1 # Structural variant-based pangenome construction has low sensitivity
2 # to variability of haplotype-resolved bovine assemblies
3

4 Alexander S. Leonard[1], Danang Crysnanto[1], Zih-Hua Fang[1], Michael P Heaton [2], Brian L.
5 Vander Ley[3], Carolina Herrera[4], Heinrich Bollwein[4], Derek M. Bickhart[5], Kristen L. Kuhn[2],
6 Timothy PL. Smith[2], Benjamin D. Rosen[6], Hubert Pausch[1]
7
[1]Animal Genomics, ETH Zurich, Universitaetstrasse 2, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland
[2] U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, USDA-ARS, 844 Road 313, Clay Center, NE 68933, USA
[3] Great Plains Veterinary Educational Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE,
68588, USA
[4] Clinic of Reproductive Medicine, Department for Farm Animals, University of Zurich, 8057,
Zurich, Switzerland
[5] Dairy Forage Research Center, USDA-ARS, 1925 Linden Drive, Madison, WI, 53706, USA
[6] Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory, USDA-ARS, 10300 Baltimore Ave,
Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

Abstract
19

Advantages of pangenomes over linear reference assemblies for genome research have
recently been established. However, potential effects of sequence platform and assembly
approach, or of combining assemblies created by different approaches, on pangenome
construction have not been investigated. We generated haplotype-resolved assemblies from
the offspring of three bovine trios representing increasing levels of heterozygosity that each
demonstrate a substantial improvement in contiguity, completeness, and accuracy over the
current *Bos taurus* reference genome. Diploid coverage as low as 20x for HiFi or 60x for ONT
was sufficient to produce two haplotype-resolved assemblies meeting the standards set by
the Vertebrate Genome Project. Structural variant-based pangenomes created from the
haplotype-resolved assemblies demonstrated significant consensus regardless of sequence
platform, assembler algorithm, or coverage. Inspecting pangenome topologies identified 90
thousand structural variants including 931 overlapping with coding sequences; this
approach revealed variants affecting *QRICH2*, *PRDM9*, *HSPA1A*, *TAS2R46*, and *GC* that have
potential to affect phenotype.

Introduction
36
Cattle are a substantial component of global animal-based food production, and are raised
for meat, milk, or both. Two subspecies of cattle, taurine and indicine, have emerged from
at least two distinct domestication events (Loftus et al., 1994; Pitt et al., 2019)), with
artificial selection for production goals or environmental adaptation contributing to diversity
within cattle, resulting in the current existence of hundreds of taurine and indicine cattle
breeds. Interbreeding and introgressions with other bovids, like yak and banteng (N. Chen et
al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018), further drive an increase in genetic diversity within Bovinae.
44
The *Bos taurus* reference genome was first drafted in 2004 and was based on whole genome

shotgun sequence of a Hereford cow, supplemented with sequences of bacterial artificial
chromosome clones prepared from DNA of her sire (Elsik et al., 2009). A major revision
using Pacific Biosciences continuous long read (CLR) sequencing of the same cow was
recently reported (ARS-UCD1.2; (Rosen et al., 2020)) and remains the accepted reference for
conducting genomic studies in cattle due to extensive annotation efforts and connections to
historical analyses, despite more recent bovine assemblies having higher contiguity and
accuracy (Heaton et al., 2021; Oppenheimer et al., 2021; Rice et al., 2020).
53
Sequence variability between cattle breeds at both the single nucleotide (SNP) and short
insertion or deletion (indel) level has been extensively characterized through reference-
guided approaches (Daetwyler et al., 2014; Hayes & Daetwyler, 2019; J. Kim et al., 2017).
Short sequencing reads have also been used to study larger structural variants (SVs) and
variation located in repetitive or challenging regions across Bovinae (Butty et al., 2020;
Kommadath et al., 2019; Mei et al., 2020), although their accuracy is limited compared to
using long sequencing reads (Mahmoud et al., 2019). SVs may be involved in expression
quantitative trait loci more often than previously estimated, and can impact gene
expression more than shorter variants (Chiang et al., 2017). However, previous studies
suffer from potential reference bias, because the use of a single taurine cattle reference
assembly fails to reflect the immense genomic diversity present in global breeds of domestic
cattle (Crysnanto et al., 2019, 2021; Crysnanto & Pausch, 2020; Talenti et al., 2021).
66
Pangenomes have long been proposed (Tettelin et al., 2005) as a way to better reflect
variation present in a group of individuals (e.g., breed, species, clade, etc.). Pangenomes can
be constructed from variants called through reference-guided approaches (Garrison et al.,
2018; Hickey et al., 2020), contigs assembled from reads which failed to align to the
reference (Sherman et al., 2018), or multiple whole-genome assemblies (Armstrong et al.,
2020; Li et al., 2020). The latter approach may more faithfully capture challenging regions
and SVs due to the complexity of calling and representing nested variation. Third-generation
sequencing continues to become more cost-effective and accessible, making population-
scale *de novo* assemblies more feasible. An influx of high-quality assemblies makes the need
for pangenome representations more pressing, although the effects of integrating disparate
assemblies into pangenomes are unknown.
78
The present study combines de-novo assembled genomes from three bovine trios of varying
heterozygosity into structural variant-based pangenomes. Haplotype-resolved, reference-
quality assemblies are generated from the trio offspring using Pacific Biosciences high-
fidelity (HiFi; Eid et al., 2009; Wenger et al., 2019) and Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT;
Mikheyev & Tin, 2014) sequencing and various assembly algorithms. This set of assemblies
from disparate sequencing and origin is then used to evaluate the effects on pangenome
construction depending on assembly approach, or when constructed from a combination of
approaches. The utility of a bovine pangenome is then demonstrated by analyses using SVs
to assess evolutionary relationships between Bovinae, recovering putatively trait-associated
SVs, and quantifying SV-coding sequence overlaps.
89

Results

The three examined bovine trios (Figure 1a-c) reflect diverse breeding strategies (within-breed, inter-subspecies, and inter-species) and increasing heterozygosity. The first F1 (OxO) was a cross between two Original Braunvieh cattle (*Bos taurus taurus*), but was still substantially less inbred compared to the cow used for the ARS-UCD1.2 reference (pedigree-based coefficient of inbreeding of 0.07 compared to 0.30 (Rosen et al., 2020) respectively). The second F1 (NxB) was a cross between Nellore (*Bos taurus indicus*) and Brown Swiss (*Bos taurus taurus*) cattle and the third F1 (GxP) was an inter-species cross between a gaur (*Bos gaurus*) bull and a Piedmontese (*Bos taurus taurus*) cow. HiFi and ONT reads were collected for each F1, and short reads were collected for all animals in the trios (Figure 1d, Supplementary Table 1). F1 long reads were separated into paternal, maternal, and unknown origin (Koren et al., 2018). Separability, the proportion of reads assigned to a parent-of-origin bin, improved significantly from 81.1% to 99.9% with increasing heterozygosity for HiFi reads, but was near perfectly separable for ONT at all examined heterozygosities (Figure 1e, Supplementary Table 2).

Figure 1. Overview of bovine trios. a) – c) Representative animals for the parents of the three bovine trios and the respective F1s (OxO, NxB, and GxP) examined in this study. The OxO and GxP were female, while the NxB was male. d) The three respective F1s were sequenced to 32-, 52-, and 51- fold HiFi coverage, with read N50 of 20, 21, and 14 Kb. ONT sequencing was performed to 36-, 103-, and 152-fold coverage respectively, with read N50 of 65, 45, and 49 Kb. Coverage is determined with respect to an assumed genome size of 2.7 Gb. F1 short reads (SR) were collected to 31-, 23-, and 37-fold coverage. e) Separating F1 reads into parental haplotype bins improved with increasing heterozygosity for HiFi, but F1 reads were nearly 100% separable for ONT even at low heterozygosity (color from panel d). f) HiFi reads were assembled with hifiasm, HiCanu, and Peregrine, while ONT reads were assembled with Shasta, Flye, and Raven. Bold type indicates the tools used to produce the assemblies that are discussed in detail. Assemblies were assessed individually for quality metrics (blue lines) as well as integrated together into pangenome analyses (red lines).

Assembling bovine genomes

Investigating the resiliency of pangenome graphs to variable input assemblies was initiated by examining the properties of each type of assembly and their sensitivity to input data parameters. Contigs were assembled for each F1 using multiple assemblers for HiFi and ONT data (Figure 1f, methods). Contigs were scaffolded by alignment to ARS-UCD1.2 to produce the final assemblies, an approach with minimal bias given the well-curated reference and

highly contiguous assemblies (Alonge et al., 2019, 2021). The gaur has a centromeric fusion
of chromosomes 2 and 28 resulting in a different karyotype than that of domestic cattle
(Mamat-Hamidi et al., 2012), but this scaffolding approach was still successful for the
paternal haplotype of the GxP (Supplementary Figure 1a). The haplotype-resolved
assemblies for the inter-subspecies NxB and inter-species GxP are directly breed/species-
specific, while both haplotypes of the OxO constitute the same breed, resulting in six
haplotype-resolved assemblies, five of which represent novel breed/species. For
breed/species-level analysis, we only use the maternal haplotype of the OxO by choice,
while analyses displaying intra-breed variation use both haplotypes (methods). The "quality"
of each assembly was assessed by several widely used metrics: contiguity (NG50)
representing the size distribution of contigs, phasing (PG50) to characterize haplotype
separation, correctness (QV) quantified as Phred-scaled base-error rate, and completeness
(BUSCO) which approximates the percentage of near-universal, single-copy genes that were
identified. These metrics provide a coarse-grained summary of assembly quality amenable
to comparisons.
138
The assemblies produced by hifiasm for HiFi data and Shasta for ONT data were selected for
further analysis based on having the best quality metrics (Table 1) and computational
tractability compared to four other tested assemblers (Supplementary Table 3). These
assemblies were of reference quality with every examined metric exceeding those of the
current *Bos taurus* Hereford-based reference. Improvements over the current reference are
substantial, including a 3-11x reduction in autosomal gaps, 1.8-3.6x increase in NG50, and 3-
22x reduction in base errors. Furthermore, they all exceed the current standards set by the
Vertebrate Genome Project (VGP) (Rhie et al., 2021). The ONT-based assemblies were
marginally above the QV targeted by the VGP, but other metrics for these assemblies such
as the contig or phased contiguity are orders of magnitude greater than VGP thresholds.
149
150
Table 1. Quality metrics for ten haplotype-resolved assemblies. The assembly haplotype is either maternal or paternal
(indicating either an "X" or "Y" paternal sex chromosome). The ARS-UCD1.2 reference is not haplotype-resolved and lacks
sufficient parental data to assess phasing, hence the N/A. Size and contigs refer to the entire genome assembly, while the
autosomal values only measure chromosomes 1 through 29. NG50 is the contig N50 using the ARS-UCD1.2 reference
sequence as the expected length. PG50 is NG50 after splitting contigs into haplotype-phased blocks. Phasing and QV are
determined through merqury using parental and F1 short reads. Scaffolded NG50 is not shown, as all assemblies are
effectively end-to-end (excluding centromeres and telomeres), with values greater than 100 Mb. Assemblies are available
online (Leonard, 2022).

Breed or species	Haplotype	Read technology	Size (autosomal size)	Contigs (autosomal contigs)	NG50	PG50	QV	BUSCO (single copy)	Repeat
Original Braunvieh	Paternal (X)	HiFi	3.15 (2.57)	2108 (107)	56.0	16.2	49.2	95.7 (93.9)	49.39
	Paternal (X)	ONT	2.70 (2.48)	2622 (109)	71.6	2.8	40.7	95.2 (93.5)	43.27
	Maternal	HiFi	3.11 (2.57)	1706 (105)	47.0	23.6	49.7	95.7 (93.9)	48.95
	Maternal	ONT	2.70 (2.48)	2622 (109)	71.6	2.7	40.3	95.1 (93.4)	43.19
Nellore	Paternal (Y)	HiFi	2.95 (2.60)	1217 (52)	94.4	79.1	46.1	93.3 (91.8)	47.81
	Paternal (Y)	ONT	2.57 (2.49)	1457 (67)	68.5	64.9	42.4	92.8 (91.3)	42.64
Brown Swiss	Maternal	HiFi	3.07 (2.62)	1045 (58)	86.7	81.1	45.6	95.9 (94.2)	48.43
	Maternal	ONT	2.67 (2.48)	1268 (71)	64.0	53.0	42.5	95.3 (93.7)	42.85

gaur	Paternal (X)	HiFi	3.02 (2.52)	1352 (75)	73.5	61.2	48.4	95.7 (94.1)	47.73
	Paternal (X)	ONT	2.64 (2.48)	532 (89)	68.1	68.1	41.2	95.1 (93.3)	42.26
Piedmontese	Maternal	HiFi	3.10 (2.56)	1427 (90)	52.0	47.6	48.3	95.8 (94.1)	48.43
	Maternal	ONT	2.66 (2.48)	782 (64)	82.8	82.8	40.9	95.3 (93.6)	43.06
Hereford (ARS-UCD1.2)	(N/A)	CLR	2.72 (2.49)	2597 (289)	25.9	N/A	35.8	95.7 (93.9)	42.96
				VGP Standards	1	0.1	40	90	N/A

159
160
161

The HiFi- and ONT-based assemblies were generally comparable, however there were
notable differences in the average assembly correctness and genome size metrics. Shasta
assemblies averaged QV 41.5 after one round each of polishing with ONT reads and short
reads, while hifiasm assemblies reach QV 47.6 without any polishing. The log scale of QV
means that the hifiasm assemblies had a 4-fold reduction in base errors compared to the
Shasta assemblies, indicating the ability of HiFi data to achieve higher quality in fewer steps.
In contrast, phasing in Shasta assemblies is better compared to hifiasm, while both
platforms showed improved phasing at higher heterozygosity in agreement with the relative
ability to sort F1 reads by parental origin prior to assembly. There was insufficient ONT
coverage for the OxO to perform the bin-then-assemble approach used for the NxB and GxP
and so instead a diploid polishing approach was used to phase the haplotypes (methods),
resulting in reduced PG50.

The mean autosomal genome size of the assemblies generated by hifiasm and Shasta was
2.57 ± 0.03 Gb and 2.48 ± 0.004 Gb respectively, such that on average each hifiasm
autosome was 2.8 Mb longer than ARS-UCD1.2, and 0.34 Mb shorter for Shasta. The
additional length of hifiasm autosomes was primarily due to the presence of more repetitive
sequences (43.5% repetitive content in hifiasm versus 41.7% in Shasta), especially
centromeric repeat sequence which accounted for 56% of the additional sequence
compared to Shasta assemblies. Previous studies have shown that the higher accuracy of
HiFi reads allows assemblers to confidently assemble through more repeats despite having
shorter read lengths (Chu et al., 2021), leading to extension of autosomal contigs into
flanking centromere sequence. Hifiasm assemblies also contained more sequence in contigs
not assigned to chromosomes (average of 300 Mb) compared to Shasta assemblies (50 Mb).
These unassigned contigs were composed primarily of repetitive sequences (Supplementary
Table 4) including novel centromeric sequence and long terminal repeats. Unplaced contigs
were generally higher in repeat content than the scaffolds (88% versus 48% for hifiasm and
87% versus 42% for Shasta), and thus would present a challenge to scaffolding by any
technology including the reference alignment approach applied here.

Bovine autosomes are acrocentric (Blazak & Eldridge, 1977), and so a complete bovine
assembly is conceptually closer to "centromere-to-telomere". Hifiasm assemblies contained
substantially more centromeric sequence than Shasta assemblies, respectively averaging
2.01 and 0.14 Mb per autosome, compared to 0.08 in the ARS-UCD1.2 reference (Figure 2a).
Similarly, hifiasm autosomes average 2.6 Kb of vertebrate telomeric repeats (TTAGGG)
within 10 Kb of the chromosome end, compared to 0.8 Kb for the ARS-UCD1.2 reference.

Telomeres were almost entirely missing in Shasta assemblies, averaging only 88 bp of
telomeric repeats per autosome (Figure 2b), a reported issue with ONT basecalling (Tan et
al., 2022). Chromosomes which contain at least 50 kb of centromeric repeats at the
proximal end and 500 bp of telomeric repeats at the distal end are considered to be end-to-
end (but not necessarily "complete"), of which there were 5 for ARS-UCD1.2, and a mean of
13.2 and 1.2 for hifiasm and Shasta across the five breeds/species. Hifiasm and Shasta
assemblies had a near equal distribution of gaps, averaging about 1.5 gaps per autosome,
compared to nearly 9 in the ARS-UCD1.2 reference (Figure 2c). These observations hold in
general for all HiFi- and ONT-based bovine assemblies investigated (Supplementary Figure
2). These differences are visible on the example Brown Swiss chromosome ideograms in
Figure 2d. Both HiFi- and ONT-based assemblies were able to assemble the 16 Kb bovine
mitochondrial DNA (Anderson et al., 1982).
210

211
Figure 2. Centromeric and telomeric completeness of assemblies produced by hifiasm and Shasta. a) The mean number of
bases identified per autosome as "Satellite" by RepeatMasker for the five hifiasm (blue) and five Shasta (orange)
assemblies, where error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. The black dots represent values from the CLR-based ARS-
UCD1.2. Dashed lines indicate the autosome-wide mean for the respective color of points. Mean values of 0 (e.g.,
chromosome 20) are not shown due to the log scale. b) Similar to a), but the number of bases in telomeric repeats within 10
Kb of chromosome ends. c) Similar to a), but the number of scaffold gaps. d) Chromosome ideograms for ARS-UCD1.2
(center), and Brown Swiss assemblies produced by hifiasm (left) and Shasta (right). Scaffolded contigs alternate white/grey
across gapped regions, which are colored red. Chromosomes which are predicted to extend from centromere to telomere
are bolded in blue. Arrows indicate the centromere-to-telomere directionality of the chromosomes.

Optimal sequencing coverage depths

Impact of sequencing depth on assembly quality was assessed using the Brown Swiss
haplotype of the NxB as an example. Subsets of the 52x diploid HiFi and 55x haploid (trio
binned) ONT reads, respectively, were randomly sampled to mimic lower sequencing depths
(Figure 3). Completeness metrics (e.g., BUSCO or k-mer content) plateau when coverage
increased above 25-fold, however other metrics like contiguity or correctness continued to
benefit from higher sequencing coverage with diminishing returns. The trio aware mode of
hifiasm only required about 19x diploid coverage of HiFi reads to meet the VGP targets.
Shasta required around 28x haploid coverage (corresponding to roughly 56x diploid
coverage) to achieve the necessary QV, although 17x haploid (34x diploid) coverage fulfills

the contiguity and completeness targets. The minimum VGP-satisfying coverage varies
slightly for the different F1s due to different input sequencing read properties but is
approximately consistent across all examined bovine trios (Supplementary Figure 3).
236

Figure 3. Assembly quality at subsampled coverages. Trio aware hifiasm (hifiasm_F1) uses diploid coverage while Shasta
(Shasta_TB) uses haploid coverage. We additionally examined trio binned hifiasm (hifiasm_TB) using haploid coverage and
the polish-phased Shasta approach using diploid coverage (Shasta_F1). NG50, BUSCO, QV, autosomal gaps, and genome
size are defined in Table 1, while autosomal masked is the number of autosomal bases within repetitive elements as
identified by RepeatMasker. The black dashed line represents the relevant value for the ARS-UCD1.2 reference and the grey
solid line is the VGP target where applicable. Three subsampling replicates were performed for lower coverage assemblies
(<20x for HiFi and <30x for ONT) due to their higher stochasticity. For trio binned hifiasm assemblies below 15x coverage,
we manually set the duplication purging parameter (hifiasm_purge) and reran on the same subsamplings.

246
The higher accuracy of HiFi reads allows hifiasm to exploit sequence common to both
haplotypes during trio aware assembly. Reaching a comparable quality through a trio binned
approach required approximately 16% higher coverage, with 11x haploid coverage (22x
diploid) necessary. The higher error rate of ONT reads makes haplotype-aware correction
and phasing challenging, and so diploid assembly followed by haplotype separation or
diploid-aware polishing is less effective than trio binning (Figure 3, up triangles versus down
triangles). Phasing is particularly poor, with the PG50 25 times smaller on average compared
to trio binning approaches.
255
There is an increased risk of coverage gaps when sequencing coverage is reduced, even if
the resulting assemblies achieve certain genome-wide standards. When 11x HiFi coverage is
aligned to ARS-UCD1.2 and binned into 10 Kb windows, there are 950 regions on average of
near total dropout (<1x coverage) across the autosomes. This drops by 23% at 13.5x
coverage and by 30% at 16x coverage, as the effects of stochasticity are reduced. While the
overall assembly quality does not fluctuate substantially between random subsamplings
(Figure 3), it may overestimate the quality at specific regions. Furthermore, default
parameters of assemblers are typically tuned to higher coverage and assembling at low
coverage can introduce subtle issues. Hifiasm could underestimate a parameter related to
duplication purging at coverages below 15x, resulting in a sharp transition to larger
assemblies with more duplicated BUSCO genes (Figure 3, blue circles versus red diamonds).

Manually setting the parameter to its expected value recovered similar behavior seen in
higher coverage assemblies.
269
270

271 ## Constructing a bovine pangenome

272
Sequencing technologies and assemblers evolve rapidly, and so even recently generated
bovine assemblies, including the ones reported here, have been produced under non-
uniform conditions (e.g., (Crysnanto et al., 2021; Talenti et al., 2021)). Given the differences
we observed between HiFi- and ONT-based assemblies, especially in comparison to the CLR-
based ARS-UCD1.2 reference, it was crucial to examine how pangenome construction
responded to different assembly inputs.
279
Pangenomes were constructed with minigraph (Li et al., 2020) using ARS-UCD1.2 as the
initial backbone of the graph structure. Assemblies were iteratively added into the graph,
and regions of synteny were ignored while sufficiently diverged subsequences (>50 bp)
instead augmented the graph with new nodes ("bubbles"). Pangenomes for each autosome
were constructed from all hifiasm assemblies, all Shasta assemblies, or random mixtures of
the two. Graph properties of each pangenome in terms of the amount of non-reference
sequence added, were generally robust to the input assemblies (Figure 4a,b, Supplementary
Table 5). Pangenomes constructed from five hifiasm assemblies had more non-reference
sequence added compared to five Shasta assemblies (82.5 Mb across 88.5k nodes versus
63.5 Mb across 90.2k nodes), in agreement with the greater completeness observed in
hifiasm assemblies. Approximately 92.1% of identified SVs were common between hifiasm
and Shasta pangenomes, with 3.6% and 4.3% unique to each respectively (Figure 4c). There
was not a clear bias between HiFi- and ONT-based pangenomes, with only 1.8 and 0.39 Mb
non-reference sequence uniquely identified in each. These bubbles were more repetitive
than autosome-wide averages (53% and 45% respectively, Supplementary Table 6).
Minigraph is sensitive to the order of integration, and on rare occasions constructed
significantly different bubbles, particularly for palindromic sequences, resulting in larger
variance on chromosomes 7 and 12 (Supplementary Figure 4).
298

Figure 4. Pangenomes are generally robust to different input assemblies. a) The number of large (>1 Kb) bubbles is highly consistent across hifiasm, Shasta, and mixed pangenomes at both full (up triangles) and lower (down triangles) coverages. b) The mean bubble size is also consistent across different inputs, but bubbles are larger on average in hifiasm pangenomes compared to Shasta pangenomes. c) The vast majority (84.5%) of SVs identified through minigraph are present in all pangenomes (blue). SVs unique to either hifiasm or Shasta (green) only account for about 3.5% of all SVs, while SVs only identified through either full or lower coverage pangenomes are negligible (pink). d) Comparing the number of bubbles present in Simmental- or Angus-backed pangenomes to the ARS-UCD1.2-backed pangenome in a) shows consistency. Angus chromosome 28 is the only exception due to its incomplete sequence. All points reflect the mean over 20 stochastic pangenome constructions, and error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval.

Pangenomes constructed from lower-coverage assemblies remained robust. We selected hifiasm and Shasta assemblies generated with an average of 21.6x diploid and 24.9x haploid coverage respectively, which approximately satisfy the VGP standards. The hifiasm assemblies have a higher average QV compared to the Shasta assemblies (41.9 versus 39.3), but lower NG50 (2.3 Mb versus 19.5 Mb) and more autosomal gaps (1869 versus 323) (Supplementary Table 7). Although these assemblies are substantially worse than their full-coverage counterparts, the resulting pangenomes are similar (Figure 4a-c). Taking the high coverage SVs as the truth set, the low coverage hifiasm and Shasta pangenomes have an F1 score of 98.5 and 94.7 for SV discovery respectively. The low coverage Shasta pangenomes tend to identify a greater number of SVs not present in other pangenomes, and so may be false positives.

Pangenomes constructed using the existing Angus (CLR-based) (Low et al., 2020) or Simmental (ONT-based) (Heaton et al., 2021) assemblies as backbones (Figure 4d) produced similar results compared to using the Hereford-based ARS-UCD1.2. More non-reference sequence was identified in the lower-quality Angus-backed pangenome (+13%), while the more complete Simmental-backed pangenomes had less (-6%). Reference-bias propagates through minigraph's pangenomes, such as the missing sequence in Angus chromosome 28

327   (Lloret-Villas et al., 2021) resulting in 25% fewer bubbles compared to using ARS-UCD1.2
328   (Figure 4d).
329
330

Quantifying bovine structural diversity through the pangenome

332
Potential effects of particular assembly approaches or sequencing technologies on the utility
of pangenome graphs for structural variation detection were investigated through the
analysis of mutual and private bubbles. Structural variation bubbles were associated with
their source assembly by retracing each "haplotype walk" through the graph. The
phylogenetic topology of their evolutionary relationship was then estimated by counting the
number of mutually exclusive bubbles any two assemblies have to construct a condensed
distance matrix. The results are consistent with expectations, with the gaur (*Bos gaurus*)
largely separate, followed by the Nellore (*Bos taurus indicus*), and then the three taurine
cattle (Figure 5a). All constructed pangenomes unequivocally predicted the first two
branches, while there was also good agreement within the closely related taurine cattle
(Figure 5b).
344

345
346

Figure 5. SV-based dendrograms. a) All dendrograms followed the same overall topology, with gaur (G) and Nellore (N)
clearly differentiated while the taurine cattle displayed three possible arrangements, with either the Original Braunvieh (O),
Piedmontese (P), or Brown Swiss (B) more distantly related. The colored boxes represent the three possible orderings of the
taurine cattle. b) Box plots of 20 randomly constructed pangenomes with either all hifiasm, all Shasta, or mixing hifiasm
and Shasta assemblies, as well as the low coverage equivalents show good agreement on autosomes displaying a specific
topology (color from panel a). The box plots represent the median (center line), first and third quartile (box bottom and
top), and 1.5x the interquartile range (whiskers). Outliers beyond this range are marked by diamond markers. The SNP

Several chromosomes (e.g., 2, 6, 9, etc.) are observed to only predict a single taurine
topology, even across different assembly inputs and coverages, while other chromosomes
(e.g., 1, 12, 16, etc.) have multiple predicted topologies with similar frequencies. This may
indicate that certain chromosomes harbor greater structural variation between specific
taurine breeds, which may be reflected in phenotypic differences. We compared against a
conventional approach, calling small variants from the parental short reads corresponding
to the five haplotypes against ARS-UCD1.2 (Figure 5b). While the overall topology and
magnitude is similar to that found through the pangenome, there was no significant
concordance within the taurine cattle, as might be expected given the low linkage
disequilibrium between small and structural variants (Yan et al., 2021).

Genome level dendrograms were mostly consistent across different combinations of inputs,
demonstrating that pangenome robustness extends beyond graph properties and into
applications. The same general topology was recovered for all examined assemblers, both
individually and combined, as well as the lower coverage assemblies. The dendrogram
correctly places all assemblies of each breed on their own branch (Figure 5c) when 31
pangenome assemblies are included (1 reference backbone plus 5 breeds/species x 6
assemblers). Some HiFi- and all ONT-based assemblies for Original Braunvieh are only
partially phased due to limited coverage, resulting in greater structural variation within
these assemblies (Figure 5d). The variation between the parental haplotypes of the OxO
results in the higher branching point for "O" in Figure 5c, and highlights the importance of
cleanly resolved haplotypes for downstream analyses.

Pangenome topology at trait-associated SVs

There presently is no "truth set" of bovine structural variants appearing in the haplotypes
represented to benchmark the success of each pangenome graph. Therefore, we selected
several previously identified SVs known to impact phenotype which were present in our
pangenomes for further investigation. One case is a multi-allelic copy number variation
(CNV) at 86.96 Mb on BTA6 encompassing an enhancer of the group-specific component
(*GC*) gene. This CNV has pleiotropic effects on mastitis resistance and other dairy traits, and
segregates in different breeds of cattle (Lee et al., 2021; Olsen et al., 2016), although the
prevalence of the CNV has not yet been determined for the breeds/species included in our
bovine pangenome. All examined assemblers predicted duplication of a 12 Kb segment in
Brown Swiss and Original Braunvieh (Figure 6a,b, Supplementary Figure 5), although hifiasm
required reassembling a small subset of reads as the duplication was missing in the original
assembly (methods). The *de novo* assembled duplicated segments contained various
repetitive elements (Figure 6c) matching those previously reported (Lee et al., 2021). There
was poor consensus in copy number among the assemblies (Supplementary Figure 5).
Inspection of coverage data retrieved from HiFi- and ONT-binned long read alignments
against the ARS-UCD1.2 reference confirmed that the gaur, Piedmontese, and Nellore

haplotypes have no additional copies, while the Brown Swiss and Original Braunvieh
haplotypes harbor between 2 and 4 additional copies of the 12 Kb segment (Figure 6d,
Supplementary Notes). Coverages derived from the NxB and OxO F1 short read alignments
are consistent with these values but are unable to resolve the haplotype-specific copy
number disagreement observed between HiFi and ONT coverage, as well as between
alignments and assemblies (Figure 6e).
408
409

410
Figure 6. Topology of a tandem duplication on BTA6. a-b) Example subgraphs of the promoter region of GC from a) hifiasm-
and b) Shasta-based pangenomes respectively. Reference paths (including those in bubbles) are colored gray, while the
tandem duplications are orange. Two insertions observed uniquely in Nellore and gaur haplotypes are blue, shown in circles
1 and 2. Complex bubbles generally have suboptimal topologies due to the lack of base-level alignment. For example, the
725 bp insertion is obvious in a), but appears as the difference between a 1,400 bp and 667 bp path in b). However, both
subgraphs identify the approximately 200 bp (1) and 700 bp (2) insertions in Nellore and gaur, as well as the tandem
duplication in Brown Swiss and Original Braunvieh. c) The 12 Kb repeat structure (orange) is clearly identified by
RepeatMasker across all assemblies, shown here for the ARS-UCD1.2 reference and Shasta assemblies for gaur, Nellore,
Piedmontese, Brown Swiss, and Original Braunvieh. The two marked gaur/Nellore insertions (1&2) are consistent with the
pangenomes in a-b). One additional copy in Brown Swiss and Original Braunvieh is shown (yellow), while the tandem
duplication eventually ends with a similar repeat (Bov-tA3, black) to the other assemblies. d) The identified CNV region
shows clear coverage increase in only Brown Swiss and Original Braunvieh, across both HiFi and ONT haplotype-resolved
reads, although the HiFi reads suggest one less additional copy than ONT reads. e) F1 short reads also show increased
coverage for the NxB and OxO trios. The NxB coverage increase is consistent with only the Brown Swiss haplotype carrying
additional copies.

An SV at the *ASIP* gene, encoding the Agouti-signaling protein involved in mammalian
pigmentation and located on chromosome 13, was also investigated. This genomic
sequence harbors alleles associated with coat color variation in many species including
cattle (Girardot et al., 2006; Trigo et al., 2021). Both Nellore and Brown Swiss cattle present
variability in coat color ranging from near white to almost black. The NxB was born with a
light coat, which darkened as the bull aged (Supplementary Notes). Our pangenome
confirmed great allelic diversity between the bovine assemblies involving insertions and
deletions of repetitive elements upstream of *ASIP*. However, the previously described
variants associated with coat color variation (Trigo et al., 2021) were not in the
pangenomes. Short read alignments of the Nellore sire confirmed it carried the previously
described SV in the heterozygous state, but the F1 inherited the other haplotype
(Supplementary Notes). Thus, the darkening of the coat we observed in the NxB is not due
to previously described *ASIP* alleles.

We identified 808 and 845 genes in the ARS-UCD1.2 genome annotation (Refseq release
106) whose coding regions overlap bubbles with complete haplotype information in hifiasm
and Shasta based pangenomes respectively, with 722 common to both (Supplementary
Figure 6, Supplementary Table 8). This overlap is less than expected under random
distribution of the same bubbles (one-sided Wilcoxon test: p=6.9e-10, methods), as
expected given the greater evolutionary conservation of genic regions. Out of 229 affected
genes which had pLI scores in human orthologues (Fuller et al., 2019), 35 and 36 overlapping
bubbles in hifiasm and Shasta pangenomes (30 common to both) exceeded the threshold of
0.9 indicating they are intolerant to change (Supplementary Table 8). Separately, five and
three genes that overlapped with bubbles in hifiasm and Shasta pangenomes are listed in
the OMIA (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Animals) database of 168 genes that cause
phenotypic variation in cattle (Supplementary Table 8); for instance, both hifiasm and
Shasta pangenomes contained a bubble encompassing a 36 bp deletion in *ACAN* resulting in
the in-frame deletion of 12 amino acids in the gaur assemblies. Variants in *ACAN* are
associated with variation in stature in cattle (Cavanagh et al., 2007) and other species
(Gibson & Briggs, 2016). The pangenome also recovered the insertion of an 11 Kb segment
on chromosome 23 in all assemblies. This segment encompasses *HSPA1B* (Hess et al., 2018)
which is not annotated in ARS-UCD1.2 (Suqueli García et al., 2017). This 11 Kb insertion is
challenging to identify by inspection of short and long read alignments, mainly appearing as
elevated coverage over the 2294 bp segment encompassing *HSPA1A*, indicating a similarly
sized duplication, with soft-clipped bases extending on both sides (Supplementary Note).
461

We also investigated genes in this set previously reported to harbor variants that affect
phenotype in cattle. For instance, the coding sequence of *QRICH2* overlapped with multiple
bubbles indicating tandem duplications of a 30 bp region of the fifth exon (Figure 7a). Loss
of function alleles in mammalian *QRICH2* orthologs lead to multiple morphological
abnormalities of the sperm flagella (Hiltpold et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2019). We find that the
fifth exon of *QRICH2*, which is affected by the coding sequence expansion, is transcribed in
high abundance (>30 transcripts per million) in testes of mature taurine bulls
(Supplementary Notes). Inspection of long read alignments confirms an expansion of the
coding sequence relative to ARS-UCD1.2 that extends the high molecular weight glutenin
subunit of the protein by 10 amino acids in our taurine cattle, 60 amino acids in Nellore, and
50 amino acids in gaur (Figure 7b,c). This SV is challenging to resolve with short reads
(Supplementary Notes). Another example of potential trait-associated SV lies in *TAS2R46*,
related to bitter taste receptors and associated with adaptation to dietary habitats (Dong et
al., 2009), which overlapped with a 17 Kb deletion in gaur (Figure 7d,e). This deletion also
spanned ENSBTAG00000001761. A final example is found in *PRDM9*, the only known
speciation gene in mammals and known to harbor alleles associated with variation in
meiotic recombination within and between Bovinae (Ahlawat et al., 2016; Sandor et al.,
2012; Zhou et al., 2018), where an SV overlapped with copy number variation in the zinc
finger array domain (Figure 7f,g). The Nellore and gaur assemblies contained one zinc finger
less, while the paternal haplotype of OxO carried one more relative to ARS-UCD1.2. The
maternal haplotype of OxO contained the same number of zinc fingers as ARS-UCD1.2,
supporting the intra- and inter-breed/species variation observed for *PRDM9*.

485
486
Figure 7. Identification of structural variation in coding sequences of QRICH2, TAS2R46 *and* PRDM9 *through the HiFi-based*
pangenome. a) Pangenome topology in the fifth exon of bovine QRICH2 *revealed tandem repeats of 30 bp sequence. b)*
Nucleotide (upper) and protein (lower) sequence logo plot of the repeat motif. c) While the ARS-UCD1.2 reference sequence
contains 15 copies of the repeat motif, the pangenome revealed 1, 5, and 6 additional copies in the five haplotype-resolved
assemblies (A – ARS-UCD1.2, B – Brown Swiss, O – Original Braunvieh, P – Piedmontese, N – Nellore, G – gaur). d)
Representation of a 17 kb deletion on BTA5 encompassing TAS2R46 *and* ENSBTAG00000001761*. e) Coverage of binned HiFi*
(above horizontal line) and ONT (below horizontal line) long read alignments in gaur indicate a large deletion between
98,587,384 and 98,604,401 bp. f) Pangenome topology at the eleventh exon of PRDM9 *indicating paths with gain and loss*
of 84 bp sequence. g) Representation of the domains of PRDM9 *in the haplotype-resolved assemblies including a variable*
number of zinc fingers (ZF) in the different assemblies, where O_m *and* O_p *are the maternal and paternal haplotypes of the*
OxO.

Discussion

499
Haplotype-resolved assemblies for cattle and related species were constructed using recent
sequencing and assembling technologies. Assemblies produced by hifiasm (HiFi) and Shasta
(ONT) were substantially more contiguous and correct than those produced by other tools
evaluated (Supplementary Table 3) and the current *Bos taurus* reference sequence, which is
a haplotype-merged assembly based on older CLR technology. The higher accuracy of HiFi
reads was found to be generally more advantageous to quality measures of assembly and
increased completeness of centromeric and telomeric regions compared to the longer but
higher-error ONT reads. HiFi-based assemblers also required less compute and storage
resources compared to ONT-based assemblers; producing haplotype-resolved hifiasm
assemblies required approximately 600 CPU hours and 200 GB of peak memory usage, while
the equivalent Shasta (plus polishing) assemblies took 2200 CPU hours and 750 GB of peak
memory usage. Correct-then-assemble approaches like Canu (Koren et al., 2017) can be
practical for smaller genomes (Wick & Holt, 2021), but on gigabase-sized mammalian
genomes like in Bovinae we observed >20 Tb of peak temporary storage and >25k CPU
hours for correcting only 30-fold ONT reads. Even recent reference-guided correction
approaches like Ratatosk (Holley et al., 2021) still needed approximately 15k CPU hours to
correct 55-fold ONT reads. Cutting-edge sequencing and bioinformatic improvements (Baid
et al., 2021; Silvestre-Ryan & Holmes, 2021), like the ONT Guppy5 basecaller, will likely
assist more efficient assembly, resulting in higher QV and reduced computational load;

however, currently the ONT specific requirements might be computationally prohibitive,
especially when assembling many samples. Currently there are no approaches to co-
assembling HiFi and ONT data that fully exploit the different advantages of the reads;
however, HiFi and ONT assemblies can be merged *post hoc* (Alonge et al., 2021) which may
improve contiguity (van Rengs et al., 2021), although we observed only minor increases
(Supplementary Table 8).
525
The phased assembly graph approach of hifiasm is most efficient with lower heterozygosity
samples, where HiFi reads are least sortable by parental haplotype and there is more mutual
sequence to exploit, but still functions with highly heterozygous F1s. The inter-species GxP
trio binned assemblies were more contiguous (+25% NG50) compared to the trio aware
assemblies, but contained several large (>5 Mb) misassemblies which the latter did not
(Supplementary Figure 1b,c). Phasing in both HiFi- and ONT-based assemblies improved as
heterozygosity increased and allowed more cleanly resolved haplotypes, which can be
beneficial to downstream analyses (Feng & Li, 2021; Yang & Chaisson, 2021). The ability of
hifiasm, and to a lesser extent Shasta with diploid-aware polishing, to assemble phased
haplotypes from purebred individuals also avoids ethical and logistical concerns regarding
the higher heterozygosity crosses previously targeted (Koren et al., 2018). In situations
where parental data is unavailable, accurate haplotype phasing is still possible with
supplementary data on the offspring (Cheng, Jarvis, et al., 2021; Porubsky et al., 2020). Our
results show that HiFi reads alone are sufficient for contig-level phasing for higher
heterozygosity individuals like the GxP (Supplementary Figure 7).
541
The minigraph pangenomes were strongly comparable whether the input assemblies were
all HiFi-based, ONT-based, or a mix. The greater completeness observed in hifiasm
assemblies is reflected in those pangenomes containing more non-reference sequence, but
no notable HiFi or ONT specific biases were observed in the pangenomes. The quality and
completeness of the pangenome backbone can have an impact, seen on the incomplete
Angus chromosome 28 or the ARS-UCD1.2 chromosomes generally lacking centromeric
sequence, but again we found no specific bias between CLR- or ONT-based backbones.
These results indicate that optimal minigraph pangenomes would use high-quality,
complete genomes as the backbone, like emerging telomere-to-telomere assemblies (Belser
et al., 2021; Nurk et al., 2021; van Rengs et al., 2021). Alternatively, reference-free
approaches that use base-level alignment may circumvent these issues and resolve variation
down to single nucleotides (Armstrong et al., 2020; Garrison, n.d.), which may prove crucial
for breakpoint resolution and related analyses.
555
Mutual variation identified through shared paths in the pangenome provides opportunities
to study the phylogeny of Bovinae beyond SNPs and indels. The ability to accurately
separate and represent paths within SVs also enables pangenome-based GWAS (PWAS)
(Gupta, 2021), as recently explored in crops (Della Coletta et al., 2021; Hufford et al., 2021;
Song et al., 2020). We identified multiple SVs overlapping annotated coding sequences in
different bovine pangenomes, demonstrating that pangenomes provide a framework to
make them amenable to association mapping. Furthermore, some of these SVs (e.g.,
tandem duplications in *QRICH2*) are inaccessible from short or noisy long read alignments
and some (e.g., an insertion of *HSPA1B*) are challenging to resolve even with long read

alignments. These cases highlight the benefits of *de novo*, haplotype-resolved assemblies
and pangenome integration which are better able to resolve the variation.
567
Our work shows that advances in sequencing and algorithms enable computationally
feasible haplotype-resolved assembly of 20x HiFi or 60x ONT coverage while retaining 90+%
accuracy of detecting SVs when integrated into pangenomes. Structural variation-based
pangenomes built from these assemblies demonstrated significant consensus regardless of
sequence platform and heterozygosity of the F1. Given the manageable read input needed,
it is feasible to produce in the order of several dozens of haplotype-resolved assemblies for
specific breeds of cattle. This effort can be de-centralized as no manual curation is needed
to produce assemblies of sufficient quality for SV detection, even from purebred individuals.
Due to the low effective population size of most breeds of cattle (Stachowicz et al., 2011),
the resulting pangenomes would capture the most prevalent SVs within breeds, particularly
when assemblies were produced from individuals that account for a large portion of the
haplotype diversity of the population (Ros-Freixedes et al., 2017). More haplotype-resolved
assemblies are required to reveal rare SVs (Beyter et al., 2021) and characterize SV
prevalence in breeds with large effective population size or a history of admixture (J. Kim et
al., 2017; K. Kim et al., 2020). Extensive existing short-read sequencing data could then be
leveraged to genotype SVs present in the pangenome (Ebler et al., 2020; Hickey et al., 2020)
and then impute them into tens of thousands of cattle previously genotyped with
microarrays, enabling structural variant analysis at hitherto unattainable scale.
586

587 # Methods
588
Ethics statement
The sampling of blood from the NxB and OxO trios was approved by the veterinary office of
the Canton of Zurich (animal experimentation permit ZH 200/19). All GxP protocols were
approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of
Nebraska–Lincoln, an AAALAC International Accredited institution (IACUC Project ID 1697).
Gaur semen was collected and preserved by Omaha's Henry Doorly Zoo and all protocols
were reviewed and approved by their IACUC in 1992.
596

Animals
Cows from the Original Braunvieh (O), Brown Swiss (B), and Piedmontese (P) breeds were
inseminated with semen samples from Original Braunvieh, Nellore (N), and gaur (G) sires,
respectively. The Original Braunvieh sire/dam and Brown Swiss dam were purebred animals
with official entries in the respective Swiss herdbooks. The Piedmontese dam was selected
by the American Piedmontese Association as representative of their Piedmontese animals.
The Nellore sire is from Brazil, with both parents also recorded as purebred Brazilian
Nellore. The gaur sire was a zoo animal. Breed affiliation was examined through a PCA on
publicly available cattle whole-genome sequencing samples (Supplementary Figure 8).
606

A female (OxO) and a male (NxB) calf were delivered at term. A female fetus (GxP) cross was
collected by cesarean section at 119 days of gestation. Blood samples were taken from the
calves and dams by trained veterinarians. Lung tissue was taken from the fetus and DNA
extracted as described (Logsdon, 2020). Semen samples were available for the bulls. High-

molecular weight DNA was extracted from blood and semen samples, respectively, using
Qiagen's MagAttract HMW DNA Kit as described earlier (Crysnanto et al., 2021).
613
614

Sequencing
The genomes of the F1s were sequenced with long reads using PacBio HiFi and ONT. The
PromethION 1D Genomic DNA by ligation SQK-LSK110 library prep kit was used for the OxO,
NxB, and GxP F1s. The libraries were respectively sequenced on PromethION (R9.4.1)
flowcells (nuclease wash was applied to one cell). The OxO and NxB reads were basecalled
on Guppy4, while the GxP reads were basecalled with Guppy3.
621
622 Paired-end libraries (2x150 bp) were produced using parental DNA samples and sequenced
623 on Illumina instruments.
624

625 ## Genome assembly
626 HiFi reads were first filtered with fastp (version 0.21.0) (S. Chen et al., 2018), removing reads
627 below 1 Kb length or QV20. Nanopore reads were pre-filtered to a minimum of QV7, with no
628 length restrictions. Short reads were also filtered with fastp, using the "-g" parameter to
629 trim polyG tails.
630

631 Both HiFi and ONT reads were trio binned into paternal and maternal haplotypes using
632 (Trio)Canu (Koren et al., 2018) (version e0d6bb0), using parental short reads and an
633 estimated genome size of 2.7g.
634

635 HiCanu (Nurk et al., 2020) was used with default settings and "genomeSize=2.7g -pacbio-
636 hifi" to produce a draft set of contigs from the HiFi reads. Only contigs which were not
637 suggested as bubbles (suggestBubble=no) were retained, which improved automated
638 coverage detection when using purge_dups (version 1.2.3) (Guan et al., 2020). The final set
639 of "assembled" contigs was obtained after following the default purging pipeline.
640

The trio aware hifiasm (Cheng, Concepcion, et al., 2021) (version 0.15.3-r339) assemblies
were produced from HiFi reads with non-default parameters "-a 5 -n 5". The resulting graph
information was then used in the trio-mode, with parental k-mers constructed with yak
(version 0.1-r62-dirty) specified through the "-1 {paternal} -2 {maternal}" parameters. The
haplotype gfa files were then converted to fasta contigs with gfa2fa command of gfatools
(version 0.5-r234).
647

648 The trio binned hifiasm assemblies were obtained with the same assembly parameters, with
649 the addition of the "--primary" flag to produce a primary and alternate assembly. The
650 primary gfa file was converted to fasta as described above. Only the primary assembly was
651 retained.
652

653 Peregrine assemblies (Chin & Khalak, 2019) (version main:2aefc14+) were produced using
654 the settings "--with-consensus --shimmer-r 3 --best_n_ovlp 8" on either the trio binned or
655 total HiFi data set where appropriate. Only the primary assembly was retained.
656

Shasta (Shafin et al., 2020) (version 0.7) assemblies were produced using the standard
configuration file "Nanopore-Sep2020.conf". Assemblies for the Brown Swiss, Nellore,
Piedmontese, and gaur haplotypes used trio binned nanopore reads. The OxO had
insufficient coverage to trio bin and then assemble, and so the total F1 nanopore read set
was used in assembly. Due to the high coverage for the gaur and Piedmontese haplotypes,
the parameter "minReadLength=30000" was used instead of the default 10000.
663
All nanopore assemblies except the Original Braunvieh Shasta were polished using PEPPER
(Shafin et al., 2021) (version 0.1) and the trio binned nanopore reads. The Original
Braunvieh Shasta assembly was polished with PEPPER-DV (version 0.4), tagging the
intermediate bam file with trio binned read IDs to produce the two Original Braunvieh
haplotypes. All assemblies were then further polished using short reads. Since short reads
cannot be successfully trio binned, we excluded F1 short reads containing e.g. maternal
specific k-mers from the short reads used to polish the paternal haplotype and vice versa
using meryl. These short reads were then aligned to the long read polished assembly using
BWA-mem2 (Li, 2013; Md et al., 2019) and variants were called with DeepVariant (Poplin et
al., 2018) (version 1.1). Merfin (Formenti et al., 2021) (commit version 1331fa5) was used to
filter the variants and then the assembly was polished using bcftools consensus (Danecek et
al., 2021) (version 1.12).
676
677 Raven (Vaser & Šikić, 2021) (version 1.5.0) assemblies were obtained from nanopore reads
678 with default parameters, except for "-p 0" to disable racon polishing (Vaser et al., 2017).
679 Assemblies were instead polished with PEPPER for consistency with other ONT assemblers.
680
Flye (Kolmogorov et al., 2019) (version 2.8.3-b1725) assemblies were constructed with "--
genome-size=2.7g --nano-corr" from Ratatosk (version 0.4) (Holley et al., 2021) error-
corrected nanopore reads. The nanopore reads were corrected using a reference-guided
approach, taking the haplotype-specific hifiasm assembly as the reference. Ambiguous
IUPAC codes were randomly replaced with equal probability of an appropriate nucleotide.
The contig set was taken from the pre-scaffolding result of Flye and was not polished due to
the pre-corrected reads having sufficient accuracy.
688
689 ## Scaffolding
690 Contigs were scaffolded into chromosomes by the reference-guided approach of RagTag
691 (Alonge et al., 2021) (v2.0.1) to ARS-UCD1.2, using the additional parameters "--mm2-
692 params "-cx asm5" -r -m 1000000".
693
694 ## Assembly merging
695 Hifiasm and Shasta assemblies were merged using RagTag patch, alternatively using either
696 the hifiasm or Shasta assembly as the base assembly, with the same parameters used for
697 RagTag scaffolding. The merged assembly was then scaffolded to ARS-UD1.2 again as
698 described above.
699
Quality metrics
Completeness was assessed with BUSCO (version 5.1.2), using the metaeuk backend
(commit 9dee7a7) and odb10 cetartiodactyla database
(e96dfc6299c567768085ee9569b6ab15). QV and PG50 were calculated with merqury

(version 1.3) (Rhie et al., 2020), with k-mer databases constructed from short reads using
meryl (https://github.com/marbl/meryl) (version r953). NG50 was assessed with calN50.js
(https://github.com/lh3/calN50). Repetitive elements were identified by RepeatMasker
(http://www.repeatmasker.org) (version 4.1.1) and rmblast (version 2.10.0), using a
modified version of the 2018 Repbase database. For whole genome analysis, the rush job
mode was used, while for pangenome region analysis the slow mode was used.
710

Coverage downsampling
711
Sequencing subsets were made through seqtk (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) (version 1.3-
r115-dirty) with the command "seqtk seq -f {sample}", including the "-A" flag to drop quality
scores where appropriate. In the case of repeat trials, the "-s {seed}" flag was set with a
randomly generated 64-bit integer to ensure a unique subsampling of data. The reduced
coverage assemblies were conducted identically to the full coverage methods unless
explicitly mentioned otherwise.
718

Coverage depth estimation
719
720 Sequencing reads were mapped against ARS-UCD1.2 with minimap2 (version 2.19-r1059-
721 dirty) (Li, 2018). Coverage depth was determined by megadepth (version 1.1.0c) (Wilks et
722 al., 2021), and averages over 10 Kb windows were estimated with pyBigWig (version 0.3.18)
723 (Ramírez et al., 2016).
724

Pangenome construction and bubble extraction
725
Pangenomes were constructed on a per chromosome basis using minigraph (vesion 0.15-
r426) (Li et al., 2020), with default parameters and ARS-UCD1.2 as the initial backbone. The
selected assemblies were added to the graph in a randomly shuffled order, as "minigraph -
xggs <ARS-UCD1.2> <shuffled input assemblies>". As input order can affect pangenome
construction, this was repeated 20 times with different random orders for each set of inputs
(e.g., hifiasm only, Shasta only, mixed, etc.). Pangenomes were visualized with Bandage
(version 0.8.1) (Wick et al., 2015).
733
Haplotype paths were called with minigraph, using the "--call --xasm" option for each
respective assembly, resulting in bed files indicating which node the assembly took through
each bubble. Intersection sets for the assemblies across each bubble were created with
UpSetPlot (https://github.com/jnothman/UpSetPlot) (version 0.6.0). The intersections were
then converted into a condensed distance matrix, where each element in the matrix
indicates on how many occasions two assemblies took different paths through the same
bubble.
741

SNP phylogeny
742
743 Parental short reads were mapped to the ARS-UCD1.2 reference using BWA-mem2. Variants
744 were called using DeepVariant (version 1.1) with the "WGS" model and merged using
745 GLnexus (version 1.3.1) (Lin et al., 2018). Phylograms were constructed per chromosome
746 with vcf-kit (version 0.2.9) (Cook & Andersen, 2017), using the command "vk phylo tree
747 upgma".
748

Identifying potential trait-associated SVs

All entries in the ARS-UCD1.2 annotation (RefSeq release 106) with a "CDS" description were extracted into a bed file. Bubbles from the respective hifiasm or Shasta pangenomes were also extracted into bed files using the command "gfatools bubble". The two bed files were then intersected using bedtools (version 2.30.0) (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) with the intersect command and "-wo" to find SVs that impact coding sequence. We then filtered out SVs where haplotype path information through the bubble was not present for all assemblies, which removed 92 and 77 incomplete or ambiguous associations in hifiasm and Shasta pangenomes.

To assess the significance of the SV-gene overlaps, we collected the lengths for the pangenome bubbles per chromosome and then randomly assigned a new location in the uniform interval [1,chromosome length – bubble length]. These randomly permuted bubble locations were then tested for overlaps with CDS regions as described above. We used a one-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test, assuming the real bubbles would have fewer overlaps with the CDS regions compared to the permuted bubbles.

The set of overlapped genes was compared against the OMIA database (https://www.omia.org/home/) as well as pLI scores in human orthologues (ftp://ftp.broadinstitute.org/pub/ExAC_release/release1/manuscript_data/forweb_cleaned _exac_r03_march16_z_data_pLI.txt.gz) to produce a table containing all genes of interest overlapping pangenome bubbles. Several specific genes were selected for further investigation based on their presence in OMIA or known existing literature describing trait-associated variants.

Brown Swiss hifiasm localized reassembly

HiFi reads in the area of the *GC* bubble were extracted from a bam file of the Brown Swiss trio binned reads aligned to ARS-UCD1.2 using samtools over the interval 6:86900000-87100000. These reads were then assembled using hifiasm as described for standard hifiasm trio binned assemblies and then were used in a local pangenome analysis where required.

OxO haplotypes

We used the maternal haplotype of the OxO as the representant assembly for Original Braunvieh (chosen for its more complete X chromosome although it was eventually not used in our analyses) in all analyses where we compared breed/species. This is the case in Figure 4, Figure 5a-c, Figure 6, Figure 7a-e, and the Supplementary material except Figure_SN_1_16 and Figure_SN_1_17. When intra-breed variation for the Original Braunvieh was relevant, we also included the paternal haplotype of the OxO, used in Figure 5d, Figure 7g,f, and the two Supplementary figures listed above.

Miscellaneous analysis

Assembly, validation, and pangenome workflows have been implemented using Snakemake (Köster et al., 2021), and are available online (https://github.com/AnimalGenomicsETH/bovine-assembly). Nucleotide and protein sequence logos were generated using the R package ggseqlogo (Wagih, 2017).

Availability of data

HiFi reads for the OxO and NxB F1s are available at the study accession PRJEB42335 under sample accession SAMEA7759028 and SAMEA7765441.

ONT reads for the OxO and NxB are available at the study accession PRJEB42335 under sample accession SAMEA10017983 and SAMEA10017982.

Short reads for the OxO and NxB are available under accession number SAMEA9986200 and SAMEA7589752. Parental short reads are available at SAMEA9986201 & SAMEA9986199 (OxO) and at SAMEA6163185 & SAMEA9533783 (NxB).

Long and short read sequencing data for the GxP trio are available at the study accession PRJEB48481 under secondary accessions SAMEA10563833, SAMEA10563834, and SAMEA10563835.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Melissa Terranova and Flavio Ferrari (AgroVet-Strickhof) for animal handling and Dr. Sandra Milena Bernal Ulloa for sampling blood. We are thankful for the technical support provided by Dr. Anna Bratus-Neuenschwander from the ETH Zürich technology platform FGCZ (https://fgcz.ch) for sequencing and DNA fragment analysis. The results reported here were made possible with resources provided by the USDA shared compute cluster (Ceres) as part of the ARS SciNet initiative. We thank the USMARC Core Facility staff for outstanding technical assistance. We also thank B. Lee, J. Carlson, K. McClure, H. Clark, H. Sadd, M. Sadd, and B. Shuck for outstanding technical support. We thank the North American Piedmontese Association for their enthusiastic support and assistance in producing gaur interspecies crosses, and Dr. Jason Herrick and Omaha's Henry Doorly Zoo for the gaur photograph and semen. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Funding

This work was financially supported from the Federal Office for Agriculture (FOAG), Bern, Switzerland, and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service appropriated projects (3040-31000-100-00D to T.P.L.S. and L.A.K., and 5438-32000-034-00D to M.P.H.); The University of Nebraska Great Plains Veterinary Educational Center project (2162390003 to B.L.V.L.); the Nebraska Beef Industry Endowment (2662390323001 to B.L.V.L.). The reproductive and animal husbandry portions of the project were supported by the North American Piedmontese Association.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

837
838

Bibliography

840
Ahlawat, S., De, S., Sharma, P., Sharma, R., Arora, R., Kataria, R. S., Datta, T. K., & Singh, R. K. (2016). Evolutionary dynamics of meiotic recombination hotspots regulator PRDM9 in bovids. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics 2016 292:1*, *292*(1), 117–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00438-016-1260-6

Alonge, M., Lebeigle, L., Kirsche, M., Aganezov, S., Wang, X., Lippman, Z. B., Schatz, M. C., & Soyk, S. (2021). Automated assembly scaffolding elevates a new tomato system for high-throughput genome editing. *BioRxiv*, 2021.11.18.469135. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.469135

Alonge, M., Soyk, S., Ramakrishnan, S., Wang, X., Goodwin, S., Sedlazeck, F. J., Lippman, Z. B., & Schatz, M. C. (2019). RaGOO: fast and accurate reference-guided scaffolding of draft genomes. *Genome Biology 2019 20:1*, *20*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-019-1829-6

Anderson, S., de Bruijn, M. H. L., Coulson, A. R., Eperon, I. C., Sanger, F., & Young, I. G. (1982). Complete sequence of bovine mitochondrial DNA conserved features of the mammalian mitochondrial genome. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *156*(4), 683–717. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90137-1

Armstrong, J., Hickey, G., Diekhans, M., Fiddes, I. T., Novak, A. M., Deran, A., Fang, Q., Xie, D., Feng, S., Stiller, J., Genereux, D., Johnson, J., Marinescu, V. D., Alföldi, J., Harris, R. S., Lindblad-Toh, K., Haussler, D., Karlsson, E., Jarvis, E. D., … Paten, B. (2020). Progressive Cactus is a multiple-genome aligner for the thousand-genome era. *Nature*, *587*(7833), 246–251. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2871-y

Baid, G., Cook, D. E., Shafin, K., Yun, T., Llinares-Lopez, F., Berthet, Q., Wenger, A. M., Rowell, W. J., Nattestad, M., Yang, H., Kolesnikov, A., Topfer, A., Ammar, W., Vert, J.-P., Vaswani, A., McLean, C. Y., Chang, P.-C., & Carroll, A. (2021). DeepConsensus: Gap-Aware Sequence Transformers for Sequence Correction. *BioRxiv*, 2021.08.31.458403. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.31.458403

Belser, C., Baurens, F.-C., Noel, B., Martin, G., Cruaud, C., Istace, B., Yahiaoui, N., Labadie, K., Hřibová, E., Doležel, J., Lemainque, A., Wincker, P., D'Hont, A., & Aury, J.-M. (2021). Telomere-to-telomere gapless chromosomes of banana using nanopore sequencing. *Communications Biology 2021 4:1*, *4*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02559-3

Beyter, D., Ingimundardottir, H., Oddsson, A., Eggertsson, H. P., Bjornsson, E., Jonsson, H., Atlason, B. A., Kristmundsdottir, S., Mehringer, S., Hardarson, M. T., Gudjonsson, S. A., Magnusdottir, D. N., Jonasdottir, A., Jonasdottir, A., Kristjansson, R. P., Sverrisson, S. T., Holley, G., Palsson, G., Stefansson, O. A., … Stefansson, K. (2021). Long-read sequencing of 3,622 Icelanders provides insight into the role of structural variants in human diseases and other traits. *Nature Genetics 2021 53:6*, *53*(6), 779–786. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00865-4

Blazak, W. F., & Eldridge, F. E. (1977). A Robertsonian Translocation and its Effect upon Fertility in Brown Swiss Cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *60*(7), 1133–1142. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(77)83999-4

Butty, A. M., Chud, T. C. S., Miglior, F., Schenkel, F. S., Kommadath, A., Krivushin, K., Grant, J.

R., Häfliger, I. M., Drögemüller, C., Cánovas, A., Stothard, P., & Baes, C. F. (2020). High
confidence copy number variants identified in Holstein dairy cattle from whole genome
sequence and genotype array data. *Scientific Reports 2020 10:1*, *10*(1), 1–13.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64680-3

Cavanagh, J. A. L., Tammen, I., Windsor, P. A., Bateman, J. F., Savarirayan, R., Nicholas, F. W.,
& Raadsma, H. W. (2007). Bulldog dwarfism in Dexter cattle is caused by mutations in
ACAN. *Mammalian Genome*, *18*(11), 808–814. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-007-
9066-9

Chen, N., Cai, Y., Chen, Q., Li, R., Wang, K., Huang, Y., Hu, S., Huang, S., Zhang, H., Zheng, Z.,
Song, W., Ma, Z., Ma, Y., Dang, R., Zhang, Z., Xu, L., Jia, Y., Liu, S., Yue, X., … Lei, C.
(2018). Whole-genome resequencing reveals world-wide ancestry and adaptive
introgression events of domesticated cattle in East Asia. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1),
1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04737-0

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., & Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ
preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(17), i884–i890.
https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTY560

Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H., & Li, H. (2021). Haplotype-resolved de
novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nature Methods*, *18*(2),
170–175. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-01056-5

Cheng, H., Jarvis, E. D., Fedrigo, O., Koepfli, K.-P., Urban, L., Gemmell, N. J., & Li, H. (2021).
Robust haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid individuals without parental data.
https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.04785v1

Chiang, C., Scott, A. J., Davis, J. R., Tsang, E. K., Li, X., Kim, Y., Hadzic, T., Damani, F. N., Ganel,
L., Montgomery, S. B., Battle, A., Conrad, D. F., & Hall, I. M. (2017). The impact of
structural variation on human gene expression. *Nature Genetics 2017 49:5*, *49*(5), 692–
699. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3834

Chin, C. S., & Khalak, A. (2019). Human genome assembly in 100 minutes. *BioRxiv*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/705616

Chu, C., Borges-Monroy, R., Viswanadham, V. V., Lee, S., Li, H., Lee, E. A., & Park, P. J. (2021).
Comprehensive identification of transposable element insertions using multiple
sequencing technologies. *Nature Communications 2021 12:1*, *12*(1), 1–12.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24041-8

Cook, D. E., & Andersen, E. C. (2017). VCF-kit: Assorted utilities for the variant call format.
Bioinformatics, *33*(10), 1581–1582. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx011

Crysnanto, D., Leonard, A. S., Fang, Z. H., & Pausch, H. (2021). Novel functional sequences
uncovered through a bovine multiassembly graph. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *118*(20), 2101056118.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101056118

Crysnanto, D., & Pausch, H. (2020). Bovine breed-specific augmented reference graphs
facilitate accurate sequence read mapping and unbiased variant discovery. *Genome*
Biology, *21*(1), 184. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02105-0

Crysnanto, D., Wurmser, C., & Pausch, H. (2019). Accurate sequence variant genotyping in
cattle using variation-aware genome graphs. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *51*(1), 21.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-019-0462-x

Daetwyler, H. D., Capitan, A., Pausch, H., Stothard, P., Van Binsbergen, R., Brøndum, R. F.,
Liao, X., Djari, A., Rodriguez, S. C., Grohs, C., Esquerré, D., Bouchez, O., Rossignol, M. N.,
Klopp, C., Rocha, D., Fritz, S., Eggen, A., Bowman, P. J., Coote, D., … Hayes, B. J. (2014).

Whole-genome sequencing of 234 bulls facilitates mapping of monogenic and complex
traits in cattle. *Nature Genetics*, *46*(8), 858–865. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3034

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A.,
Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and
BCFtools. *GigaScience*, *10*(2), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIAB008

Della Coletta, R., Qiu, Y., Ou, S., Hufford, M. B., & Hirsch, C. N. (2021). How the pan-genome
is changing crop genomics and improvement. *Genome Biology 2021 22:1*, *22*(1), 1–19.
https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-020-02224-8

Dong, D., Jones, G., & Zhang, S. (2009). Dynamic evolution of bitter taste receptor genes in
vertebrates. *BMC Evolutionary Biology 2009 9:1*, *9*(1), 1–9.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-12

Ebler, J., Clarke, W. E., Rausch, T., Audano, P. A., Houwaart, T., Korbel, J., Eichler, E. E., Zody,
M. C., Dilthey, A. T., & Marschall, T. (2020). Pangenome-based genome inference.
BioRxiv, 2020.11.11.378133. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.11.378133

Eid, J., Fehr, A., Gray, J., Luong, K., Lyle, J., Otto, G., Peluso, P., Rank, D., Baybayan, P.,
Bettman, B., Bibillo, A., Bjornson, K., Chaudhuri, B., Christians, F., Cicero, R., Clark, S.,
Dalal, R., DeWinter, A., Dixon, J., … Turner, S. (2009). Real-time DNA sequencing from
single polymerase molecules. *Science*, *323*(5910), 133–138.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162986

Elsik, C. G., Tellam, R. L., Worley, K. C., Gibbs, R. A., Muzny, D. M., Weinstock, G. M.,
Adelson, D. L., Eichler, E. E., Elnitski, L., Guigó, R., Hamernik, D. L., Kappes, S. M., Lewin,
H. A., Lynn, D. J., Nicholas, F. W., Reymond, A., Rijnkels, M., Skow, L. C., Zdobnov, E. M.,
… Zhao, F. Q. (2009). The genome sequence of taurine cattle: A window to ruminant
biology and evolution. *Science*, *324*(5926), 522–528.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169588

Feng, X., & Li, H. (2021). Higher Rates of Processed Pseudogene Acquisition in Humans and
Three Great Apes Revealed by Long-Read Assemblies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
38(7), 2958–2966. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab062

Formenti, G., Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Shafin, K., Koren, S., Myers, E. W.,
Jarvis, E. D., & Phillippy, A. M. (2021). Merfin: improved variant filtering and polishing
via k-mer validation. *BioRxiv*, 2021.07.16.452324.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.16.452324

Fuller, Z. L., Berg, J. J., Mostafavi, H., Sella, G., & Przeworski, M. (2019). Measuring
intolerance to mutation in human genetics. In *Nature Genetics* (Vol. 51, Issue 5, pp.
772–776). NIH Public Access. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0383-1

Garrison, E. (n.d.). *GitHub - pangenome/pggb: the pangenome graph builder*. Retrieved
January 11, 2022, from https://github.com/pangenome/pggb

Garrison, E., Sirén, J., Novak, A. M., Hickey, G., Eizenga, J. M., Dawson, E. T., Jones, W., Garg,
S., Markello, C., Lin, M. F., Paten, B., & Durbin, R. (2018). Variation graph toolkit
improves read mapping by representing genetic variation in the reference. *Nature
Biotechnology*, *36*(9), 875–881. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4227

Gibson, B. G., & Briggs, M. D. (2016). The aggrecanopathies; an evolving phenotypic
spectrum of human genetic skeletal diseases. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases 2016
11:1*, *11*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13023-016-0459-2

Girardot, M., Guibert, S., Laforet, M.-P., Gallard, Y., Larroque, H., & Oulmouden, A. (2006).
The insertion of a full-length Bos taurus LINE element is responsible for a
transcriptional deregulation of the Normande Agouti gene. *Pigment Cell Research*,

977  *19*(4), 346–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1600-0749.2006.00312.X

978 Guan, D., Guan, D., McCarthy, S. A., Wood, J., Howe, K., Wang, Y., Durbin, R., & Durbin, R.

979  (2020). Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies.

980  *Bioinformatics*, *36*(9), 2896–2898. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa025

981 Gupta, P. K. (2021). Quantitative genetics: pan-genomes, SVs, and k-mers for GWAS. In

982  *Trends in Genetics* (Vol. 37, Issue 10, pp. 868–871). Elsevier.

983  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2021.05.006

984 Hayes, B. J., & Daetwyler, H. D. (2019). 1000 Bull Genomes Project to Map Simple and

985  Complex Genetic Traits in Cattle: Applications and Outcomes.

986  *Https://Doi.Org/10.1146/Annurev-Animal-020518-115024*, *7*, 89–102.

987  https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ANIMAL-020518-115024

988 Heaton, M. P., Smith, T. P. L., Bickhart, D. M., Vander Ley, B. L., Kuehn, L. A., Oppenheimer,

989  J., Shafer, W. R., Schuetze, F. T., Stroud, B., McClure, J. C., Barfield, J. P., Blackburn, H.

990  D., Kalbfleisch, T. S., Davenport, K. M., Kuhn, K. L., Green, R. E., Shapiro, B., & Rosen, B.

991  D. (2021). A Reference Genome Assembly of Simmental Cattle, Bos taurus taurus.

992  *Journal of Heredity*, *112*(2), 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1093/JHERED/ESAB002

993 Hess, K., Oliverio, R., Nguyen, P., Le, D., Ellis, J., Kdeiss, B., Ord, S., Chalkia, D., & Nikolaidis,

994  N. (2018). Concurrent action of purifying selection and gene conversion results in

995  extreme conservation of the major stress-inducible Hsp70 genes in mammals. *Scientific*

996  *Reports*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23508-x

997 Hickey, G., Heller, D., Monlong, J., Sibbesen, J. A., Sirén, J., Eizenga, J., Dawson, E. T.,

998  Garrison, E., Novak, A. M., & Paten, B. (2020). Genotyping structural variants in

999  pangenome graphs using the vg toolkit. *Genome Biology 2020 21:1*, *21*(1), 1–17.

1000  https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-020-1941-7

1001 Hiltpold, M., Janett, F., Mapel, X. M., Kadri, N. K., Fang, Z.-H., Schwarzenbacher, H., Seefried,

1002  F. R., Spengeler, M., Witschi, U., & Pausch, H. (2021). A 1-bp deletion in bovine

1003  QRICH2 causes low sperm count and immotile sperm with

1004  multiple morphological abnormalities. *BioRxiv*, 2021.11.19.469233.

1005  https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.19.469233

1006 Holley, G., Beyter, D., Ingimundardottir, H., Møller, P. L., Kristmundsdottir, S., Eggertsson, H.

1007  P., & Halldorsson, B. V. (2021). Ratatosk: hybrid error correction of long reads enables

1008  accurate variant calling and assembly. *Genome Biology 2021 22:1*, *22*(1), 1–22.

1009  https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-020-02244-4

1010 Hufford, M. B., Seetharam, A. S., Woodhouse, M. R., Chougule, K. M., Ou, S., Liu, J., Ricci, W.

1011  A., Guo, T., Olson, A., Qiu, Y., Coletta, R. Della, Tittes, S., Hudson, A. I., Marand, A. P.,

1012  Wei, S., Lu, Z., Wang, B., Tello-Ruiz, M. K., Piri, R. D., … Dawe, R. K. (2021). De novo

1013  assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of 26 diverse maize genomes. *Science*,

1014  *373*(6555), 655–662. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg5289

1015 Kim, J., Hanotte, O., Mwai, O. A., Dessie, T., Salim, B., Diallo, B., Agaba, M., Kim, K., Kwak,

1016  W., Sung, S., Seo, M., Jeong, H., Kwon, T., Taye, M., Song, K. D., Lim, D., Cho, S., Lee, H.

1017  J., Yoon, D., … Kim, H. (2017). The genome landscape of indigenous African cattle.

1018  *Genome Biology*, *18*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1153-y

1019 Kim, K., Kwon, T., Dessie, T., Yoo, D. A., Mwai, O. A., Jang, J., Sung, S., Lee, S. B., Salim, B.,

1020  Jung, J., Jeong, H., Tarekegn, G. M., Tijjani, A., Lim, D., Cho, S., Oh, S. J., Lee, H. K., Kim,

1021  J., Jeong, C., … Kim, H. (2020). The mosaic genome of indigenous African cattle as a

1022  unique genetic resource for African pastoralism. *Nature Genetics 2020 52:10*, *52*(10),

1023  1099–1110. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0694-2

Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y., & Pevzner, P. A. (2019). Assembly of long, error-prone
reads using repeat graphs. *Nature Biotechnology 2019 37:5*, *37*(5), 540–546.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8
Kommadath, A., Grant, J. R., Krivushin, K., Butty, A. M., Baes, C. F., Carthy, T. R., Berry, D. P.,
& Stothard, P. (2019). A large interactive visual database of copy number variants
discovered in taurine cattle. *GigaScience*, *8*(6).
https://doi.org/10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIZ073
Koren, S., Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Dilthey, A. T., Bickhart, D. M., Kingan, S. B., Hiendleder, S.,
Williams, J. L., Smith, T. P. L., & Phillippy, A. M. (2018). De novo assembly of haplotype-
resolved genomes with trio binning. *Nature Biotechnology*, *36*(12), 1174–1182.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4277
Koren, S., Walenz, B. P., Berlin, K., Miller, J. R., Bergman, N. H., & Phillippy, A. M. (2017).
Canu: Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive κ-mer weighting and
repeat separation. *Genome Research*, *27*(5), 722–736.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116
Köster, J., Mölder, F., Jablonski, K. P., Letcher, B., Hall, M. B., Tomkins-Tinch, C. H., Sochat,
V., Forster, J., Lee, S., Twardziok, S. O., Kanitz, A., Wilm, A., Holtgrewe, M., Rahmann, S.,
& Nahnsen, S. (2021). Sustainable data analysis with Snakemake. *F1000Research*, *10*,
33. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.29032.2
Leonard, A. (2022). *Bovine pangenome assemblies*.
https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5906579
Li, H. (2013). *Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-*
MEM. https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997v2
Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*,
34(18), 3094–3100. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191
Li, H., Feng, X., & Chu, C. (2020). The design and construction of reference pangenome
graphs with minigraph. *Genome Biology*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-
02168-z
Lin, M. F., Rodeh, O., Penn, J., Bai, X., Reid, J. G., Krasheninina, O., & Salerno, W. J. (2018).
GLnexus: joint variant calling for large cohort sequencing. *BioRxiv*, 343970.
https://doi.org/10.1101/343970
Lloret-Villas, A., Bhati, M., Kadri, N. K., Fries, R., & Pausch, H. (2021). Investigating the
impact of reference assembly choice on genomic analyses in a cattle breed. *BMC*
Genomics 2021 22:1, *22*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12864-021-07554-W
Loftus, R. T., MacHugh, D. E., Bradley, D. G., Sharp, P. M., & Cunningham, P. (1994). Evidence
for two independent domestications of cattle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences, *91*(7), 2757–2761. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.91.7.2757
Logsdon, G. (2020). *HMW gDNA purification and ONT ultra-long-read data generation*.
https://www.protocols.io/view/hmw-gdna-purification-and-ont-ultra-long-read-data-
bchhit36
Low, W. Y., Tearle, R., Liu, R., Koren, S., Rhie, A., Bickhart, D. M., Rosen, B. D., Kronenberg, Z.
N., Kingan, S. B., Tseng, E., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Martin, F. J., Billis, K., Ghurye, J., Hastie,
A. R., Lee, J., Pang, A. W. C., Heaton, M. P., Phillippy, A. M., … Williams, J. L. (2020).
Haplotype-resolved genomes provide insights into structural variation and gene
content in Angus and Brahman cattle. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1–14.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15848-y
Mahmoud, M., Gobet, N., Cruz-Dávalos, D. I., Mounier, N., Dessimoz, C., & Sedlazeck, F. J.

(2019). Structural variant calling: The long and the short of it. *Genome Biology*, *20*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-019-1828-7/TABLES/2

Mamat-Hamidi, K., Hilmia, M., Idris, I., Di Berardino, D., & Iannuzzi, L. (2012). Chromosome evolution of the Malayan gaur (Bos gaurus hubbacki). *Firenze University Press*, *65*(1), 34–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.2012.678085

Md, V., Misra, S., Li, H., & Aluru, S. (2019). Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BWA-MEM for Multicore Systems. *Proceedings - 2019 IEEE 33rd International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, IPDPS 2019*, 314–324. https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.12931v1

Mei, C., Junjvlieke, Z., Raza, S. H. A., Wang, H., Cheng, G., Zhao, C., Zhu, W., & Zan, L. (2020). Copy number variation detection in Chinese indigenous cattle by whole genome sequencing. *Genomics*, *112*(1), 831–836. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGENO.2019.05.023

Mikheyev, A. S., & Tin, M. M. Y. (2014). A first look at the Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencer. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *14*(6), 1097–1102. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12324

Nurk, S., Koren, S., Rhie, A., Rautiainen, M., Bzikadze, A. V., Mikheenko, A., Vollger, M. R., Altemose, N., Uralsky, L., Gershman, A., Aganezov, S., Hoyt, S. J., Diekhans, M., Logsdon, G. A., Alonge, M., Antonarakis, S. E., Borchers, M., Bouffard, G. G., Brooks, S. Y., … Phillippy, A. M. (2021). The complete sequence of a human genome. *BioRxiv*, 2021.05.26.445798. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.26.445798

Nurk, S., Walenz, B. P., Rhie, A., Vollger, M. R., Logsdon, G. A., Grothe, R., Miga, K. H., Eichler, E. E., Phillippy, A. M., & Koren, S. (2020). HiCanu: Accurate assembly of segmental duplications, satellites, and allelic variants from high-fidelity long reads. *Genome Research*, *30*(9), 1291–1305. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.263566.120

Oppenheimer, J., Rosen, B. D., Heaton, M. P., Vander Ley, B. L., Shafer, W. R., Schuetze, F. T., Stroud, B., Kuehn, L. A., McClure, J. C., Barfield, J. P., Blackburn, H. D., Kalbfleisch, T. S., Bickhart, D. M., Davenport, K. M., Kuhn, K. L., Green, R. E., Shapiro, B., & Smith, T. P. L. (2021). A Reference Genome Assembly of American Bison, Bison bison bison. *Journal of Heredity*, *112*(2), 174–183. https://doi.org/10.1093/JHERED/ESAB003

Pitt, D., Sevane, N., Nicolazzi, E. L., MacHugh, D. E., Park, S. D. E., Colli, L., Martinez, R., Bruford, M. W., & Orozco-terWengel, P. (2019). Domestication of cattle: Two or three events? *Evolutionary Applications*, *12*(1), 123. https://doi.org/10.1111/EVA.12674

Poplin, R., Chang, P.-C., Alexander, D., Schwartz, S., Colthurst, T., Ku, A., Newburger, D., Dijamco, J., Nguyen, N., Afshar, P. T., Gross, S. S., Dorfman, L., McLean, C. Y., & DePristo, M. A. (2018). A universal SNP and small-indel variant caller using deep neural networks. *Nature Biotechnology 2018 36:10*, *36*(10), 983–987. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4235

Porubsky, D., Ebert, P., Audano, P. A., Vollger, M. R., Harvey, W. T., Marijon, P., Ebler, J., Munson, K. M., Sorensen, M., Sulovari, A., Haukness, M., Ghareghani, M., Lansdorp, P. M., Paten, B., Devine, S. E., Sanders, A. D., Lee, C., Chaisson, M. J. P., Korbel, J. O., … Marschall, T. (2020). Fully phased human genome assembly without parental data using single-cell strand sequencing and long reads. *Nature Biotechnology*, *39*(3), 302–308. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0719-5

Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(6), 841–842. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

Ramírez, F., Ryan, D. P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A. S., Heyne, S.,

Dündar, F., & Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(W1), W160–W165.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw257
Rhie, A., McCarthy, S. A., Fedrigo, O., Damas, J., Formenti, G., Koren, S., Uliano-Silva, M.,
Chow, W., Fungtammasan, A., Kim, J., Lee, C., Ko, B. J., Chaisson, M., Gedman, G. L.,
Cantin, L. J., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Haggerty, L., Bista, I., Smith, M., … Jarvis, E. D. (2021).
Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. *Nature
2021 592:7856*, *592*(7856), 737–746. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03451-0
Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Koren, S., & Phillippy, A. M. (2020). Merqury: Reference-free quality,
completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biology*, *21*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02134-9
Rice, E. S., Koren, S., Rhie, A., Heaton, M. P., Kalbfleisch, T. S., Hardy, T., Hackett, P. H.,
Bickhart, D. M., Rosen, B. D., Ley, B. Vander, Maurer, N. W., Green, R. E., Phillippy, A.
M., Petersen, J. L., & Smith, T. P. L. (2020). Continuous chromosome-scale haplotypes
assembled from a single interspecies F1 hybrid of yak and cattle. *GigaScience*, *9*(4), 1–9.
https://doi.org/10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIAA029
Ros-Freixedes, R., Gonen, S., Gorjanc, G., & Hickey, J. M. (2017). A method for allocating
low-coverage sequencing resources by targeting haplotypes rather than individuals.
Genetics Selection Evolution, *49*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12711-017-0353-
Y/FIGURES/8
Rosen, B. D., Bickhart, D. M., Schnabel, R. D., Koren, S., Elsik, C. G., Tseng, E., Rowan, T. N.,
Low, W. Y., Zimin, A., Couldrey, C., Hall, R., Li, W., Rhie, A., Ghurye, J., McKay, S. D.,
Thibaud-Nissen, F., Hoffman, J., Murdoch, B. M., Snelling, W. M., … Medrano, J. F.
(2020). De novo assembly of the cattle reference genome with single-molecule
sequencing. *GigaScience*, *9*(3), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa021
Sandor, C., Li, W., Coppieters, W., Druet, T., Charlier, C., & Georges, M. (2012). Genetic
Variants in REC8, RNF212, and PRDM9 Influence Male Recombination in Cattle. *PLOS
Genetics*, *8*(7), e1002854. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1002854
Shafin, K., Pesout, T., Chang, P.-C., Nattestad, M., Kolesnikov, A., Goel, S., Baid, G., Eizenga, J.
M., Miga, K. H., Carnevali, P., Jain, M., Carroll, A., & Paten, B. (2021). Haplotype-aware
variant calling enables high accuracy in nanopore long-reads using deep neural
networks. *BioRxiv*, 2021.03.04.433952. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.04.433952
Shafin, K., Pesout, T., Lorig-Roach, R., Haukness, M., Olsen, H. E., Bosworth, C., Armstrong, J.,
Tigyi, K., Maurer, N., Koren, S., Sedlazeck, F. J., Marschall, T., Mayes, S., Costa, V., Zook,
J. M., Liu, K. J., Kilburn, D., Sorensen, M., Munson, K. M., … Paten, B. (2020). Nanopore
sequencing and the Shasta toolkit enable efficient de novo assembly of eleven human
genomes. *Nature Biotechnology*, *38*(9), 1044–1053. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-
020-0503-6
Shen, Y., Zhang, F., Li, F., Jiang, X., Yang, Y., Li, X., Li, W., Wang, X., Cheng, J., Liu, M., Zhang,
X., Yuan, G., Pei, X., Cai, K., Hu, F., Sun, J., Yan, L., Tang, L., Jiang, C., … Xu, W. (2019).
Loss-of-function mutations in QRICH2 cause male infertility with multiple
morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella. *Nature Communications 2019 10:1*,
10(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08182-x
Sherman, R. M., Forman, J., Antonescu, V., Puiu, D., Daya, M., Rafaels, N., Boorgula, M. P.,
Chavan, S., Vergara, C., Ortega, V. E., Levin, A. M., Eng, C., Yazdanbakhsh, M., Wilson, J.
G., Marrugo, J., Lange, L. A., Williams, L. K., Watson, H., Ware, L. B., … Salzberg, S. L.
(2018). Assembly of a pan-genome from deep sequencing of 910 humans of African

descent. *Nature Genetics 2018 51:1*, *51*(1), 30–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0273-y

Silvestre-Ryan, J., & Holmes, I. (2021). Pair consensus decoding improves accuracy of neural network basecallers for nanopore sequencing. *Genome Biology 2021 22:1*, *22*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-020-02255-1

Song, J.-M., Guan, Z., Hu, J., Guo, C., Yang, Z., Wang, S., Liu, D., Wang, B., Lu, S., Zhou, R., Xie, W.-Z., Cheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, K., Yang, Q.-Y., Chen, L.-L., & Guo, L. (2020). Eight high-quality genomes reveal pan-genome architecture and ecotype differentiation of Brassica napus. *Nature Plants*, *6*(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41477-019-0577-7

Stachowicz, K., Sargolzaei, M., Miglior, F., & Schenkel, F. S. (2011). Rates of inbreeding and genetic diversity in Canadian Holstein and Jersey cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *94*(10), 5160–5175. https://doi.org/10.3168/JDS.2010-3308

Suqueli García, M. F., Castellote, M. A., Feingold, S. E., & Corva, P. M. (2017). Characterization of a deletion in the Hsp70 cluster in the bovine reference genome. *Animal Genetics*, *48*(4), 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12561

Talenti, A., Powell, J., Hemmink, J. D., Cook, E. A. J., Wragg, D., Jayaraman, S., Paxton, E., Ezeasor, C., Obishakin, E. T., Agusi, E. R., Tijjani, A., Marshall, K., Fisch, A., Ferreira, B., Qasim, A., Chaudhry, U. N., Wiener, P., P., T., Morrison, L. J., … Prendergast, J. (2021). A cattle graph genome incorporating global breed diversity. *BioRxiv*, 2021.06.23.449389. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.23.449389

Tan, K.-T., Slevin, M. K., Meyerson, M., & Li, H. (2022). Identifying and correcting repeat-calling errors in nanopore sequencing of telomeres. *BioRxiv*, 2022.01.11.475254. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.11.475254

Tettelin, H., Masignani, V., Cieslewicz, M. J., Donati, C., Medini, D., Ward, N. L., Angiuoli, S. V., Crabtree, J., Jones, A. L., Durkin, A. S., DeBoy, R. T., Davidsen, T. M., Mora, M., Scarselli, M., Margarit Y Ros, I., Peterson, J. D., Hauser, C. R., Sundaram, J. P., Nelson, W. C., … Fraser, C. M. (2005). Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae: Implications for the microbial "pan-genome." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *102*(39), 13950–13955. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506758102

Trigo, B. B., Utsunomiya, A. T. H., Fortunato, A. A. A. D., Milanesi, M., Torrecilha, R. B. P., Lamb, H., Nguyen, L., Ross, E. M., Hayes, B., Padula, R. C. M., Sussai, T. S., Zavarez, L. B., Cipriano, R. S., Caminhas, M. M. T., Lopes, F. L., Pelle, C., Leeb, T., Bannasch, D., Bickhart, D., … Utsunomiya, Y. T. (2021). Variants at the ASIP locus contribute to coat color darkening in Nellore cattle. *Genetics Selection Evolution 2021 53:1*, *53*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12711-021-00633-2

van Rengs, W. M., Schmidt, M. H.-W., Effgen, S., Wang, Y., Usadel, B., & Underwood, C. J. (2021). A gap-free tomato genome built from complementary PacBio and Nanopore long DNA sequences reveals extensive linkage drag during breeding. *BioRxiv*, *1*, 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.30.456472

Vaser, R., & Šikić, M. (2021). Time- and memory-efficient genome assembly with Raven. *Nature Computational Science*, *1*(5), 332–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/S43588-021-00073-4

Vaser, R., Sovic, I., Nagarajan, N., & Sikic, M. (2017). Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Research*, *27*(5), gr.214270.116. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.214270.116

Wagih, O. (2017). Ggseqlogo: A versatile R package for drawing sequence logos.

Bioinformatics, *33*(22), 3645–3647. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx469

Wenger, A. M., Peluso, P., Rowell, W. J., Chang, P.-C., Hall, R. J., Concepcion, G. T., Ebler, J.,
Fungtammasan, A., Kolesnikov, A., Olson, N. D., Töpfer, A., Alonge, M., Mahmoud, M.,
Qian, Y., Chin, C.-S., Phillippy, A. M., Schatz, M. C., Myers, G., DePristo, M. A., …
Hunkapiller, M. W. (2019). Accurate circular consensus long-read sequencing improves
variant detection and assembly of a human genome. *Nature Biotechnology 2019 37:10*,
37(10), 1155–1162. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0217-9

Wick, R. R., & Holt, K. E. (2021). Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole
genome sequencing. *F1000Research 2021 8:2138*, *8*, 2138.
https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21782.4

Wick, R. R., Schultz, M. B., Zobel, J., & Holt, K. E. (2015). Bandage: interactive visualization of
de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(20), 3350–3352.
https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTV383

Wilks, C., Ahmed, O., Baker, D. N., Zhang, D., Collado-Torres, L., & Langmead, B. (2021).
Megadepth: efficient coverage quantification for BigWigs and BAMs. *Bioinformatics*.
https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTAB152

Wu, D. D., Ding, X. D., Wang, S., Wójcik, J. M., Zhang, Y., Tokarska, M., Li, Y., Wang, M. S.,
Faruque, O., Nielsen, R., Zhang, Q., & Zhang, Y. P. (2018). Pervasive introgression
facilitated domestication and adaptation in the Bos species complex. *Nature Ecology*
and Evolution, *2*(7), 1139–1145. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0562-y

Yan, S. M., Sherman, R. M., Taylor, D. J., Nair, D. R., Bortvin, A. N., Schatz, M. C., & McCoy, R.
C. (2021). Local adaptation and archaic introgression shape global diversity at human
structural variant loci. *ELife*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.67615

Yang, J., & Chaisson, M. (2021). *TT-Mars: Structural Variants Assessment Based on*
Haplotype-resolved Assemblies. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.27.462044

Zhou, Y., Shen, B., Jiang, J., Padhi, A., Park, K.-E., Oswalt, A., Sattler, C. G., Telugu, B. P., Chen,
H., Cole, J. B., Liu, G. E., & Ma, L. (2018). Construction of PRDM9 allele-specific
recombination maps in cattle using large-scale pedigree analysis and genome-wide
single sperm genomics. *DNA Research: An International Journal for Rapid Publication of*
Reports on Genes and Genomes, *25*(2), 183. https://doi.org/10.1093/DNARES/DSX048

1242
1243
1244
1245