Multiple hybridization events punctuate the evolutionary trajectory of

Malassezia furfur

Bart Theelen[a,§], Verónica Mixão[b,c,*,§], Giuseppe Ianiri[d], Joleen Goh Pei Zhen[e], Jan

Dijksterhuis[a], Joseph Heitman[f], Thomas L. Dawson Jr.[e,g], Toni Gabaldón[b,c,h,#,+], Teun

Boekhout[a,i,#,+]

[a] Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands

[b] Life Sciences Department, Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona,

Spain

[c] Mechanisms of Disease Programme, Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB),

Barcelona, Spain

[d] Department of Agricultural, Environmental and Food Sciences, University of

Molise, 86100 Campobasso, Italy

[e] Skin Research Institute of Singapore (SRIS), Agency for Science, Technology, and

Research (A∗STAR), Singapore

[f] Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical

Center, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

[g] Center for Cell Death, Injury and Regeneration, Departments of Drug Discovery and

Biomedical Sciences and Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Medical University of

South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

[h] Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

[i] Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of

Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Running head: Hybridization in *Malassezia furfur*

#Address correspondence to Teun Boekhout (t.boekhout@wi.knaw.nl) and Toni

Gabaldón (toni.gabaldon@bsc.es)

[*] Present address: Bioinformatics Unit, Infectious Diseases Department, National

Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Av. Padre Cruz, 1649-016, Lisbon, Portugal

[§] Bart Theelen and Verónica Mixão contributed equally to this work.

[+] Toni Gabaldón and Teun Boekhout share senior authorship and correspondence.

Abstract: 255 words

Importance: 149 words

Text: 7248 words

Abstract

Malassezia species are important fungal skin commensals and are part of the normal microbiota of humans and other animals. However, under certain circumstances these fungi can also display a pathogenic behaviour. For example, *Malassezia furfur* is a common commensal of human skin, and yet is often responsible for skin disorders but also systemic infections. Comparative genomics analysis of *M. furfur* revealed that some isolates have a hybrid origin, similar to several other recently described hybrid fungal pathogens. Because hybrid species exhibit genomic plasticity that can impact phenotypes, we sought to elucidate the genomic evolution and phenotypic characteristics of *M. furfur* hybrids in comparison to their parental lineages. To this end, we performed a comparative genomics analysis between hybrid strains and their presumptive parental lineages, and assessed phenotypic characteristics. Our results provide evidence that at least two distinct hybridization events occurred between the same parental lineages, and that the parental strains may have originally been hybrids themselves. Analysis of the mating-type locus reveals that *M. furfur* has a pseudobipolar mating system, and provides evidence that after sexual liaisons of mating compatible cells, hybridization involved cell-cell fusion leading to a diploid/aneuploid state. This study provides new insights into the evolutionary trajectory of *M. furfur* and contributes with valuable genomic resources for future pathogenicity studies.

Importance

Malassezia furfur is a common commensal member of human/animal microbiota that is also associated with several pathogenic states. Recent studies report involvement of *Malassezia* species in Crohn's disease, a type of inflammatory bowel disease,

pancreatic cancer progression, and exacerbation of Cystic fibrosis. A recent genomics

analysis of *M. furfur* revealed the existence of hybrid isolates and identified their

putative parental lineages. In this study, we explored the genomic and phenotypic

features of these hybrids in comparison to their putative parental lineages. Our results

revealed the existence of a pseudobipolar mating system in this species and showed

evidence for the occurrence of multiple hybridization events in the evolutionary

trajectory of *M. furfur*. These findings significantly advance our understanding of the

evolution of this commensal microbe and are relevant for future studies exploring the

role of hybridization in the adaptation to new niches or environments, including the

emergence of pathogenicity.

Introduction (588 words)

Malassezia are basidiomycetous yeasts that are the dominant fungal

component of the healthy human skin microbiome (1, 2). Nevertheless, they can also

take on pathogenic roles in various skin disorders, and have been implicated in cases

of infection associated with several comorbidities (3–12). Non-culture based

sequencing methods revealed *Malassezia* presence in other ecological niches,

including insects, nematodes, corals, sponges, deep-sea environments, and soils (13–

15), suggesting that *Malassezia* are ecologically diverse. Interestingly, *Malassezia* are

evolutionarily related to fungal plant pathogens , potentially pointing towards a host

shift from plants to animals, facilitated by the loss of genes coding for proteins

involved in the degradation of complex carbohydrates, and expansion of lipid

hydrolases required to break down lipids available on animal skin (16, 17).

In *Basidiomycetes*, species with either a tetrapolar or bipolar mating system have been described (18, 19). In a tetrapolar mating system, the pheromone and pheromone-receptor locus (P/R) and the homeodomain locus (HD) are unlinked, whereas in a bipolar mating system both loci are linked and contiguous on the same chromosome which precludes recombination. An intermediate -pseudobipolar- mating system was described in three *Malassezia* species, namely *Malassezia globosa, Malassezia sympodialis*, and *Malassezia yamatoensis*. In this configuration, the P/R and HD mating-type loci are linked on the same chromosome but far enough apart from each other that recombination can still occur (16, 20, 21). The presence of mating and meiotic genes suggests that *Malassezia* are potentially capable of sexual reproduction, but mating remains hitherto unobserved, and the genus is known to propagate asexually through unipolar budding (16, 21, 22).

Our study focuses on *Malassezia furfur*, a species isolated from a wide variety of hosts, from humans (with healthy skin, skin disorders, or bloodstream infections), to a range of domestic and zoo animals (12, 15, 23–26). This species presents variable cell sizes and shapes and different ploidies and karyotypes (27–29). Indeed, previous studies reported two different karyotypes -one displaying more chromosomes (10-11 vs. 7-8) and a larger genome size (~14 Mbp vs. 8.5 Mbp) than the other- and a high degree of genetic variation that uncovered a possible hybrid genotype (28, 30, 31). These findings were later corroborated in a genomic analysis of members of the *Malassezia* genus. This analysis revealed four *M. furfur* strains (CBS1878, CBS4172, CBS7019, CBS7710) with double genome size due to gene duplication that possibly originated from a hybridization event between members of the lineages of strains CBS7982 and CBS14141 (syn. JPLK23) (16).

The coexistence of genomic material of two diverged lineages in a single cell is

generally expected to have lower fitness (32, 33). Nevertheless, several genomic

mechanisms, such as recombination, often lead to loss of heterozygosity (LOH), thus

erasing some of the genomic incompatibilities (34). In such a scenario, organisms

carrying highly plastic genomes and unique phenotypes may survive leading to new

lineages able to thrive in new environments (34–36). For instance, findings of

multiple pathogenic hybrids, such as *Candida albicans* or the *Cryptococcus gattii* /

Cryptococcus neoformans species complex, has led to the hypothesis that

hybridization plays an important role in the emergence of pathogenicity (30, 37–44).

Taking advantage of the existence of known candidate parental haploid lineages, this

study explored genomic and some general phenotypic features of *M. furfur* hybrids to

assess the genomic aftermath of hybridization in this species and determine the

existence of genomic and phenotypic alterations in these hybrids when compared to

their parentals. This study reveals that *M. furfur* has a pseudobipolar mating system

and shows evidence that multiple hybridization events punctuated the evolution of the

species.

Results and Discussion (4444 words)

***M. furfur* genomic patterns reveal the current existence of two hybrid lineages**

Previous AFLP and comparative genomics analyses suggested the existence of *M.*

furfur hybrid strains (16, 31). In this study, we further explored the genomic patterns

of these hybrids and of 17 additional strains presently classified as *M. furfur* (Table 1)

to assess how widespread hybridization is within this species. Following the original

observations of a hybrid genotype (31), we performed AFLP with two different

adaptor and primer set combinations for 22 *M. furfur* strains (Supplementary Table 1

and Materials and Methods for more details). These AFLP analyses confirmed that

the banding patterns of three previously identified hybrids (CBS1878, CBS4172,

CBS7019) are indeed a combination of those of the proposed parental lineages

(Figure 1) (16). Nevertheless, the hybrid strain CBS7019 did not cluster with the

other two, suggesting the existence of two putative hybrid clades (Figure 1). In total,

the AFLP clustering analysis identified four lineages: P1 (parental 1), which

corresponds to the parental CBS7982 lineage with five additional strains; P2, which

corresponds to the parental CBS14141 lineage and four additional strains; H1 (hybrid

1), which corresponds to CBS1878, CBS4172, and five additional hybrid strains; and

finally, H2, which harbors CBS7019 and three additional hybrid strains (Figure 1).

[Figure 1]

[Table 1]

To confirm the existence of two independent hybridization events, 13 strains (Table

1) comprising representative isolates of all four AFLP-determined lineages were

further compared in detail at the genomic level. To this end, we performed the

genome assembly of the representative isolate of each of the parental lineages

(CBS9595 representing P1: 8.2 Mb, 8 scaffolds; and CBS14141 representing P2: 8.3

Mb, 9 scaffolds; Supplementary file 1, Table 2, Materials and Methods for more

details). The sequence alignment of these genome assemblies has shown that P1 and

P2 lineages have an overall sequence similarity of 89 %. Therefore, a read mapping

approach where all the reads are aligned on a single haplotype would not be possible,

and hybrid sequencing reads were mapped to a combined reference including the P1

and the P2 (CBS14141: 8.3 Mb, 9 scaffolds) genome assemblies (Materials and

Methods for more details) to identify the source and sequence of the different sub-

genomes of the hybrids (Figure 2a).

[Table 2]

AFLP-determined H1 and H2 lineages on average presented 6 SNPs/kb (all

homozygous given the alignment to a combined reference, Supplementary Table 2),

with the majority of them (>85%) corresponding to P2 for all hybrid strains, thus

suggesting that CBS9595 is possibly closer to the actual parent 1 than CBS14141 is to

the actual parent 2. Noteworthy, these SNPs were not homogeneously distributed

along the genome, but rather formed blocks of variants (see Supplementary Figure 1a

and Materials and Methods for more details on block definition). Therefore, based on

the assumption that strains originating from the same hybridization event would share

the same blocks of variants, we utilized these as signatures of the hybrids'

evolutionary past and compared them between the different hybrids to confirm the

number of hybridization events. Jaccard metrics revealed a high block overlap

between the strains identified by AFLP as H1 (>84.6%), and the strains identified by

AFLP as H2 (>91.8%), thus confirming the results of the AFLP analysis. It is

important to highlight that among the H1 strains, CBS4172 presented the lowest

similarity with its peers. While this strain revealed 84.6% and 84.9% block overlap

with CBS1878 and CBS9365, respectively, the latter two strains had an overlap of

99%. The block overlap in strains of hybrid lineage H2 was more homogeneous. The

overlap of the high polymorphic regions between strains of H1 and H2 varied

between 20.8% and 23.1%, thus suggesting independent origins. Together, these

results confirm that the hybrid lineages resulted from two independent hybridization

events between P1 and P2. These findings suggest an apparent propensity of P1 and

P2 to hybridize, and for their hybrids to survive.

[Figure 2]

Genomic divergence and different mitochondria uncover the hybrid origin of P1

and P2

Considering the possible ancestry of the highly polymorphic blocks in the two hybrid

lineages, we sought to determine their presence in the parental lineages. To this end,

the same methodology was followed as for the hybrids (see Materials and Methods),

and we verified that indeed, the strains of both P1 and P2 that were not used for

genome assembly also harbor highly polymorphic genomic regions. A comparison

between these blocks and the ones observed in the hybrid strains showed that the

highest overlap between H1 strains and P1 and P2 is 14.6% and 21%, respectively.

Similarly, comparing the regions of high variability in the hybrid lineage H2 with

what was detected in P1 and P2 revealed that the highest overlaps were 64% and

13%, respectively. This is in line with our previous observations in the hybrid

genomes and suggests that none of the strains sequenced for the P1 or P2 lineages

represents the direct parental strain of the hybrids, but rather their close relatives.

The origin of these blocks of high genomic variability was unknown but, as reported

by Mixão and Gabaldón (37) for *C. albicans*, two possible models could explain their

existence: i) continuous admixture between different strains, and ii) a hybrid ancestor

that experienced genomic recombination. A key aspect to distinguish these models is

the divergence between the reference genome and the observed sequence, which we

expect to vary between the different blocks in the first model, and to be similar among

them in the second one (37). Therefore, we next assessed the level of divergence

between these blocks and the reference genome in both lineages. For P2 strains, we

identified a clear single peak of sequence divergence in both CBS8735 and PM315

(Figure 2b), suggesting that the genomic material of all the blocks was acquired at a

single time-point, which in turns supports the existence of a hybrid ancestor. Our

estimations point to a current haplotype divergence between the strains of parental

lineage P2 of 4% to 4.5% (Supplementary Table 2). To determine if any sequenced

strain could be the alternative parent of P2 lineage, we performed a BLASTn search

in the NCBI genome database. The only hit obtained was the CBS14141 (the same

strain we use as the P2 reference) genome with 95.2% sequence similarity, a value

consistent with our estimations. This means that the alternative parent of the P2

lineage has not been sequenced thus far.

For the P1 lineage, this analysis was complicated by the limited number of

polymorphic blocks (min 78 - max 463 blocks), and their short size (average = 245

bp), because a variation in a single polymorphism can have a large impact on the

estimation of the sequence divergence. Indeed, despite the observed overlap between

the peaks of sequence divergence in the different P1 strains, we also detected multiple

sequence divergence peaks (Figure 2c). Therefore, we could not exclude any of the

above-mentioned models (i.e., continuous admixture between different strains, or a

hybrid ancestor that experienced genomic recombination) and properly estimate the

sequence divergence. Even so, we performed a BLASTn search in the NCBI genome

database using the longest blocks (>500 bp), and we verified that their best hits

always presented a sequence similarity of ~93% with the CBS14141 genome (P2

lineage), thus suggesting that P1 also has a hybrid origin.

We next focused on comparing the mitochondrial genome sequences of the different

M. furfur lineages. Genomic reads of each parental strain were mapped against

mitochondrial genomes of the respective strains. As reference mitochondria for the P2

lineages, we selected the CBS14141 mitochondrial sequence available in NCBI

(accession number: KY911086.1). As expected, all P2 strains shared the same

mitochondrial genome. In the P1 lineage, the publicly available mitochondrial

genome of CBS7982 (NCBI accession number: KY911085.1) was used as a reference

for mapping sequencing reads from P1 strains. Mapping results revealed the presence

of two different mitochondrial sequences in the P1 lineage with the mitochondrial

sequence of CBS7982 differing from that of CBS9595, CD866, and CBS9574

(Supplementary Figure 1b). To compare both P1 mitochondrial types, a draft

mitochondrial genome assembly with 49kb was generated for CBS9595 (see

Materials and Methods). A BLASTn search with this mitochondrial genome against

the NCBI nr database revealed that its sequence is equally distant to that of the

mitochondrial genomes of CBS7982 (P1 lineage) and CBS14141 (P2 lineage), with a

similarity of 93.45%. These results are in line with our observations for the

polymorphic regions of the nuclear genome, further providing support that P1 results

from the cross of two diverged lineages, i.e. hybridization, and CBS7982 harbors the

mitochondrial genome of the alternative parent. A recent study assessing three

mitochondrial genomic loci of 43 *M. furfur* strains, found two rather divergent

mitochondrial clades for samples belonging to the P1 lineage (45), supporting our

results and suggesting a wider presence of two different mitochondria among P1

strains. When reads of the strains belonging to both hybrid lineages were mapped

against the mitochondrial reference genomes, it was observed that all hybrid strains

inherited the mitochondria from the P2 lineage.

Together, these analyses suggest that both parental lineages are not genetically "pure"

but are genomic mosaics likely resulting from a hybridization event between two

unknown lineages that are approximately 7% divergent in their mitochondrial genome

in the case of P1 (divergence in the nuclear genome could not be confidently

estimated), and 4% divergent in their nuclear genome in the case of P2 (Figure 3).

The observed small differences in the genetic mosaics detected in the nuclear

genomes in each of the parental lineages suggest that strains in each lineage (P1 and

P2) diverged before some of the recombination events occurred, a scenario which

implies a non-haploid state of the strains at the time of their respective divergence.

Nevertheless, all analyses performed in this study support a haploid state for all of

them (Supplementary Figures 2 and 3), indicating independent ploidy reduction.

[Figure 3]

***M. furfur* hybrid lineages are mostly diploid with some LOH**

Karyotype data from this study and previous publications (46, 47) demonstrate

significant chromosomal variation in *M. furfur* with hybrid strains containing

additional chromosomal bands when compared to strains from their hypothesized

haploid parental lineages (Supplementary Figure 2a). Moreover, FACS ploidy

analysis for H1 strains displayed a DNA content between 1n and 2n suggesting aneuploidy, whereas the DNA-content of both analyzed H2 strains is consistent with assignment as diploids (Supplementary Figure 2b). Based on whole-genome sequencing data analysis, the analyzed strains for both hybrid lineages generally seem of diploid nature (Supplementary Figures 4 and 5), with a few exceptions, such as a putative triplication of a chromosome from P2 in the hybrid strain CBS9365 (H1, Supplementary Figure 4).

Considering the non-haploid state of these hybrid strains, we decided to look for LOH events, an important feature to restore genomic stability through the erasure of one of the haplotypes of the recombining region (34). In the case of the *M. furfur* hybrids analyzed in this study, we estimated that LOH covers approximately 20% of the genome (Supplementary Table 2). This is a low value when compared to other fungal hybrids, such as *Candida* hybrids, where LOH has been estimated to cover at least 50% of the genome (37–40, 44). Considering that sequence divergence between the parental lineages is higher in *M. furfur* (~10%) than in *Candida* hybrids (~4%) (37–40, 44), we hypothesize that the lower occurrence of LOH in *M. furfur* may be related to a lower number of potential recombining sites. Indeed, a recent study on *C. neoformans* x *C. gattii* hybrids, which present 7% sequence divergence, has shown that they experience fewer recombination events (41).

The direction of the LOH event, i.e. which allele is retained, varies from hybrid to hybrid, or even from niche to niche according to the most advantageous phenotypes (48). Therefore, it is important to understand the genomic alterations that the coexistence of the parental sub-genomes may cause in the hybrid. While in some

hybrids there seems to be a tendency to retain the allele of a given parental lineage, in others this appears to be a random process (34, 40, 44, 49, 50). Previous studies have shown that incompatibilities between the mitochondrial and the nuclear genome may be one of the major sources of incompatibilities in hybrids (51, 52). Nevertheless, a previous study on Saccharomyces hybrids revealed that the overall direction of LOH is not correlated with the direction of mitochondrial inheritance (53). In *M. furfur* H1 and H2 hybrid lineages we found that >60% of the genome covered by LOH corresponds to the allele of P2, except for the strains CBS6001 and CBS7019 where this value is 45% (Supplementary Table 2). Although this result may suggest a slight tendency to retain the allele of P2 parental, we consider it to be not sufficiently clear or strong, as in other hybrids where >80% of the genome retains the allele of the same parent (49, 50). Indeed, if instead of focusing on the percentage of the genome covered by LOH, we analyze the number of LOH events that favored each of the parental alleles, we see that in three out of the six hybrid strains ~56% of the events tended to P2, while in the other three this number is reduced to 43%, thus suggesting high stochasticity in the process.

M. furfur possesses the genetic machinery of a pseudo-bipolar mating system

To understand the origin of the hybridization events leading to the H1 and H2 lineages, analysis of the mating-type genes of *M. furfur* was carried out (see Supplementary file 2 for a detailed description of the results). The *MAT* genes of *M. furfur* haploid strains were identified based on similarity searches with the *MAT* genes of two opposite mating-types of *M. sympodialis* (strain ATCC42132, *MAT a1b1*; strain ATCC44340, *MAT a2b2*). Two *MAT a* and two *MAT b* loci were identified in the haploid strains of *M. furfur*. The *MAT a* and *b* loci of the *M. furfur* haploid strains

analyzed are ~ 590 kb apart (Supplementary Table 3), suggesting a pseudo-bipolar

configuration. A representative comparison of the *MAT* loci of CBS14139 (*MAT*

a1b1) and CBS7982 (*MAT a2b2*), as model strains, is shown in Figure 4. The *M.*

furfur MAT structure reflects that of the *MAT* locus of *M. yamatoensis (16, 20)* and

differs from the *M. globosa* and *M. sympodialis MAT* loci, for which *MAT a* and *MAT*

b are ~167 kb and ~140 kb apart, respectively (20, 22). This is consistent with a

whole-genome based clustering that groups *M. furfur* and *M. yamatoensis* in a

separate phylogenetic cluster within the *Malassezia* genus (16). In all *M. furfur*

haploid strains analyzed, the two *MAT a* locus genes are divergently oriented,

corroborating previous findings for other *Malassezia* species (16, 20, 22). Moreover,

a comparison between the *MAT a1* and *a2* loci revealed that the *Mfa* and *Pra* genes

have an opposite arrangement albeit being located in highly syntenic flanking regions

(Figure 4). In *Basidiomycetes*, the tetrapolar mating system is thought to be ancestral,

and transition from a tetrapolar to bipolar system may be linked to the evolution of

pathogenicity (54, 55). For example, in the genus *Cryptococcus*, pathogenic species

have a bipolar mating system, whereas closely related non-pathogenic species such as

Cryptococcus amylolentus have a tetrapolar mating system (56). Based on findings

for the red yeast *Sporobolomyces salmonicolor* (cited as *Sporidiobolus salmonicolor*),

the pseudo-bipolar mating system was proposed to be a gradual stage in the transition

from a tetrapolar to bipolar system (55).

[Figure 4]

Hybrid genome searches with the *MAT* genes of haploid *M. furfur* identified two *MAT*

a loci and two additional *MAT b* loci in the *M. furfur* hybrid strains CBS1878 (H1)

and CBS7019 (H2). The sexual identity of the *b* loci among strains from all lineages

was assigned following sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis of the

predicted proteins, resulting in *MAT b1*, *b2*, *b3*, and *b4* alleles, with MAT b3 and

MAT b4 being present only in the hybrids, and closely related to MAT b1 and MAT

b2, respectively (Figure 5C). In particular, based on genome data (both genome

assembly and read mapping data), strain CBS1878 was designated as *MAT a2a2b1b4,*

and strain CBS7019 as *MAT a1a2b3b4*. Interestingly, CBS1878 did not contain *MAT*

a1 but the *a2* locus was present in two copies. A closer inspection of the read

alignment on the MAT locus with IGV (57) revealed that part of the Illumina paired-

end reads flanking this duplication in a2 had their mate aligning in the edges of the

region corresponding to the a1 allele (region present in the reference P1, but without

read coverage in H1 strains), suggesting the occurrence of a LOH from an ancestral

a1a2 state to a derived *a2a2* configuration. Based on read mapping results, a similar

occurrence seems to have happened for H1-strains CBS 4172 and CBS9365

(Supplementary Figure 1c).

A molecular assay for *M. furfur* mating type identifies mating-compatible strains

in the parental lineages

Following these initial findings for the *M. furfur* mating-type regions, a PCR assay

was developed with primers that specifically amplify *MAT a1*, *MAT a2*, *MAT b1-b3*,

and *MAT b2-b4*. PCR results for the *MAT a1* and *a2*, and sequencing analysis of *MAT*

b1-b3 and *b2-b4*, indicated additional mating-type configurations (Figure 5A-C and

Supplementary Table 4). Both P1 and P2 parental lineages contain strains with either

MAT a1 or *MAT a2*, while all P1 strains are of the *MAT b2* type and all P2 strains are

of the *MAT b1* type (Figure 5A-C and Supplementary Table 4). The presence of *a1b1,*

a2b1, a1b2 and *a2b2 MAT* combinations supports the hypothesis that recombination

occurs within this region, corroborating findings on the pseudo-bipolar *MAT* structure

of *M. sympodialis* and in contrast with the lack of recombination reported for *Ustilago*

hordei, which is bipolar (20). Our findings also highlight incompatibility at the B loci

within each parental lineage, despite compatibility being present at the *MAT a* locus,

which might explain why sexual reproduction could not be observed under laboratory

conditions. Attempts to cross compatible *MAT a* and *MAT b* strains belonging to the

P1 and P2 parental lineages were also carried out, but also in this case sexual

reproduction could not be observed. It seems likely that two individual mating events

between representatives of both parental lineages originally resulted in hybrid strains

that contained the combination *MAT a1a2b1b2*, yet our data showed that various

alterations of the mating loci occurred in the hybrids. All H1-strains lost the *MAT a1*

copy and may have duplicated the *MAT a2* allele (only confirmed for CBS1878,

CBS4172, and CBS9365). Strains belonging to the H2 hybrid lineage still have both

parental *MAT a* copies, with the exception of CBS6093, that seems to have lost the

parental *MAT a2* copy. Additionally, two unique *MAT b* arrangements were observed

in the hybrids: *MAT b4* in both hybrid lineages, with similarity to *MAT b2* of the P1

strains; and *MAT b3* which is only present in H2 strains, and is a phylogenetic sister

of *MAT b1* of the P2 strains (Figure 5A-C and Supplementary Table 4). Strains of

hybrid lineage H1 retained the *MAT b1* copy. Considering that recombination in the

MAT locus has previously been observed in other hybrid lineages (40, 58, 59), and is

associated with a possible restoration of hybrid fertility (58, 59), we hypothesize that

strains in lineages H1 and H2 may have undergone genomic changes leading to re-

establishment of a viable sexual state.

[Figure 5]

Targeted sequencing of five nuclear loci and genomic data suggest P1 and P2

lineages may be two separate species

The species *M. furfur* is represented by two neotype cultures, namely CBS1878 and

CBS7019, corresponding to the respective names *Malassezia furfur* and *Pityrosporum*

ovale (synonym of *M. furfur*), but these belong to the hybrid lineages H1 and H2,

respectively. Many species are described based on a limited number of nuclear DNA

loci, ribosomal loci ITS and LSU being two of the most frequently used taxonomic

markers in fungi, but these loci may not reflect the genetic heterogeneity of a yeast

strain sufficiently as is also shown in this study for the ITS of ribosomal DNA and

three protein coding genes (β-tubulin, chitin synthase (*CHS2*), and translation

elongation factor 1-α (EF1- α)) (Supplementary Figure 3). Phylogenetic analysis of

published sequencing data for the intergenic transcribed spacer (IGS) of the rDNA

resulted in separate clusters for each of the studied lineages, making it a potential

diagnostic tool for identifying hybrids belonging to H1 or H2 among genetically

uncharacterized *M. furfur* isolates (Supplementary Figure 3B). As chromatograms of

hybrid strains for the protein coding genes possessed multiple sites with two different

nucleotide peaks representing both parental backgrounds, they were phased into two

sequences representing their respective parental copies (Supplementary Figure 3C-E).

Based on ITS data, both hybrid lineages H1 and H2 cannot be distinguished from the

parental lineage P2, yet for the most part they contain genetic material from both P1

and P2. Type strains or neotype strains are reference strains, often used as

representatives for a species in specific functional assays, but as in this case they

represent hybrid lineages with a combined genomic content of two haploid parental

lineages, they may not be the best choice to serve as reference strains and should

probably be disqualified to serve as neotypes. At present, all strains considered in this

study are classified as *M. furfur*. However, based on sequence divergence between the

P1 and P2 lineages for the five analyzed nuclear genomic loci it is likely that P1 and

P2 may represent two closely related species. For example, ITS sequence similarity

between P1 strain CBS9595 and the P2 strain CBS14141 is 98% but their similarity

for protein coding genes is much lower: 97.3% for EF1- α, 96.4% for β-tubulin, and

87.6 % for *CHS2* respectively. According to a study that assessed more than 9 000

yeast isolates to establish species and genus thresholds for ribosomal ITS and LSU

regions, a species-threshold of 98.41% was presented for ITS (60), which supports the

assignment of these lineages into two species. Furthermore, as above-mentioned,

based on whole-genome sequence alignments for CBS9595 (P1) and CBS14141 (P2),

an overall sequence similarity of 89 % was estimated, further supporting that these

strains represent two species.

Hybrid lineages H1 and H2 show different morphologies but limited

differentiation based on traditional physiological properties

At the phenotypic level, cells belonging to hybrid lineages differ in size and shape

from parental lineage cells (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5), with hybrid cells being

thinner and more elongated. However, growth profiling experiments traditionally

used for *Malassezia* species identification, seem not to differ significantly between

hybrid and parental lineages (Supplementary Table 6). One noteworthy observation is

that all P2 strains were positive for β-glucosidase activity and strains from the other

lineages were all negative, except for one P1 strain (CBS9589) and for one H2-strain,

CBS6093. This latter strain also seems somewhat different from other H2-strains

based on mating type, AFLP-pattern, and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption

Ionization-time Of Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrum (Supplementary Figure 6)

and would be an interesting candidate for further genomic exploration. Variable

results for Cremophor EL utilization and β-glucosidase activity have been described

previously for *M. furfur* isolates (12, 15, 23–26) and may be an expression of the

heterogeneity of the species. Analysis of mass spectra generated with a Bruker

MALDI Biotyper also illustrated the differences between P1 and P2 lineages and

formed separate dendrogram clusters for H1, H2, P1, and P2, with a few exceptions

(Supplementary Figure 6). The putative H2 strain CBS6093 clustered basal to clusters

for P2 and H2, supporting above mentioned deviating findings for that strain. In

addition, the MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of H1-strain CBS4172 clusters with the P2

strains. This divergent character is in line with a deviating PFGE chromosomal

banding pattern and this strain has lost *MAT* a1, and only has one copy of *MAT* a2.

These findings need to be repeated but confirm the current high heterogeneity present

in the species *M. furfur* that might be better interpreted as a species complex.

Based on AFLP, mating type analysis, and Sanger sequencing data, the H1 hybrid

lineage consists of seven strains, five originating from animal skin and two from

diseased human skin. Hybrid lineage H2 consists of four strains, all isolated from

human diseased skin, with the exception of CBS6093 for which the origin is

unknown. Of note, as has also previously been mentioned (30, 61), deep-seated

isolates (e.g. blood, urine, rectal swabs) seem to almost exclusively belong to the

genotype representing the P2 lineage. Such an observation could, at first sight, be at

odds with the previously proposed hypothesis that hybridization plays a role in the

emergence of pathogenicity (34). However, it is important to note that such a

hypothesis does not imply that hybridization is the only mechanism leading to

pathogenicity, and, in the particular case of M. furfur P2 lineage, our results show that

it was likely to result from a hybridization event as well. Leong and colleagues

explored antifungal susceptibility patterns of 26 *M. furfur* strains, including some

strains also considered in this study (62). Four hybrid strains (H1: CBS1878,

CBS7019; H2:CBS6000, CBS600) showed reduced susceptibility to certain azoles.

This feature was not exclusive for strains from hybrid lineages H1 and H2 but rather

seemed linked to disease state backgrounds of strains, and reduced azole

susceptibility also included disease isolates belonging to parental lineage P2 (62).

Whether hybridization may have facilitated genetic changes driving this reduced

azole susceptibility in any of the lineages H1, H2, or P2, or whether this was the

result from mere exposure to these drugs, remains to be elucidated. Considering that

five out of the seven H1-strains are derived from animals, we hypothesize that the

hybridization event for H1 may have facilitated a host-shift event between humans

and animals. This hypothesis should be tested in future analyses including a larger

sampling of strains.

[Figure 6]

In summary, this study identified two individual hybrid lineages H1 and H2, with P1

and P2 representing their parental lineages, although not the exact parental strains.

We propose that the diploid hybrid lineages H1 and H2 are the result of two separate

mating events between mating-compatible strains from the P1 and P2 groups.

Interestingly, our genome analysis shows that both haploid parental lineages were

themselves the result of prior hybridization events but became haploid before some of

their members mated again to form hybrid lineages H1 and H2. The various

hybridization events and subsequent further evolutionary changes have contributed to

additional genetic diversification in *M. furfur*, but also at the phenotypic level, the

hybrid strains differ from their parental lineages. The implications of possible

hybridization-driven changes in pathogenicity, or adaptation to new environments,

will drive further analysis and in depth examination comparing pathogenicity factors,

lipid production and utilization, and salient physiological and phenotypic facets.

Materials and Methods (2216 words)

DNA extraction

To extract DNA for whole-genome sequencing, cells were grown on modified Dixon

agar (mDA) (63) for 48 h at 30°C and harvested into 50 ml tubes. Yeast cells were

lysed, using the QIAGEN Genomic DNA Purification procedure for yeast samples

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), with minor modifications. Lyticase incubation was

performed for 2 h at 30°C, and RNase/Proteinase incubation was performed for 2 h at

55°C. Genomic DNA was purified using Genomic-tip 100/G prep columns, according

to the manufacturer's handbook. For AFLP, MLST, and the mating type assay, gDNA

extraction was performed following the CTAB method as described by (64) with the

following modification: DNA was purified in two steps- first with phenol-chloroform

and secondly with chloroform only.

AFLP

AFLP analysis was performed according to (65) with some modifications. Various

restriction enzymes and adaptor pairs were combined with multiple primer

combinations (Supplementary Table 1). A combined restriction ligation reaction was

performed for 2 h at 37°C. PCR products were diluted 200x after purification and

then combined with Orange600 size standard (Nimagen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands)

before fragment analysis on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Data was imported and analyzed using Bionumerics

v.7.6.3 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium), and dendrograms were

created with UPGMA clustering and Pearson correlation coefficient. After

purification of PCR products, all reactions were diluted 200x.

Whole-genome sequencing

Thirteen samples were selected for whole-genome sequencing data analysis (Table 1).

For three of them (CBS7982, CBS14141 and CBS4172), we retrieved Illumina data

from SRA (Table 1) (16). For the remaining ones, whole-genome sequencing was

performed at the Genomics Unit from the Centre for Genomic Regulation (group 1:

CBS8735, PM315, CBS1878 and CBS7019) and at the Genome Institute Singapore

(group 2: CBS9595, CD866, CBS9574, CBS6000 and CBS6001). Except when

specified, the protocol was similar in both groups of strains. Libraries were prepared

using the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs,

United States) according to manufacturer's instructions. All reagents subsequently

mentioned are from the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep kit for Illumina, if not

specified otherwise. 1 μg of gDNA was fragmented by ultrasonic acoustic energy in

Covaris to a size of ~600 bp in group 1 and ~300-400 bp in group 2. After shearing,

the ends of the DNA fragments were blunted with the End Prep Enzyme Mix, and

then NEBNext Adaptors for Illumina were ligated using the Blunt/TA Ligase Master

Mix. The adaptor-ligated DNA was cleaned-up using the MinElute PCR Purification

kit (Qiagen, Germany) and a further size selection step was performed using an

agarose gel. Size-selected DNA was then purified using the QIAgen Gel Extraction

Kit with MinElute columns (Qiagen) and library amplification was performed by

PCR with the NEBNext Q5 Hot Start 2X PCR Master Mix and index primers (12–15

cycles in group 1, 6 cycles in group 2). A purification step was done using AMPure

XP Beads (Agentcourt, United States). The final library was analyzed using Agilent

DNA 1000 chip (Agilent) to estimate the quantity and check size distribution, and it

was then quantified by qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit

(KapaBiosystems, United States) prior to amplification with Illumina's cBot.

Libraries were loaded and sequenced 2 x 125 on Illumina's HiSeq2500 for group 1

and 2 x 101 on Illumina's HiSeq2000 for group 2. Base-calling was performed using

Illumina pipeline software. De-convolution was performed using the CASAVA

software (Illumina, United States).

Four samples (CBS7982, CBS9595, CBS14141 and CBS1878) were additionally

sequenced with PacBio long-read sequencing strategy. In addition, previously

generated PacBio sequencing data was used for mating type analysis only, for five

samples (CBS14139, CBS8735, PM315, CBS4172, CBS9369 and CBS7019). All

samples were sequenced on the PacBio RSII platform (Pacific Biosciences, United

States), and, except for CBS9595, libraries were prepared in 2015 with the DNA

Template Prep Kit 3.0, and polymerase/template complexes were subsequently

formed using Polymerase Binding Kit P6 v2, and then sequenced with Sequencing

Reagent Kit 4.0. Sample CBS9595 was sequenced more recently, with the following

specifications: the library was prepared using the SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0,

polymerase/template complexes were generated with DNA/Polymerase Binding Kit

P6 v2, and the sample was sequenced using DNA Sequencing Reagent Kit 4.0 v2

with 360 min runtime per SMRTcell.

De novo **genome assembly**

The genomes of the samples exclusively sequenced with PacBio (CBS14139,

CBS8735, PM315, CBS4172, CBS9369 and CBS7019) were assembled with HGAP3

within the SMRT portal of PacBio, SMRTanalysis v3.1, with standard settings. The

genomes of the four samples with short- and long-read sequencing libraries

(CBS7982, CBS9595, CBS14141 and CBS1878) were assembled with a pipeline that

combines short- and long-read assemblers. Briefly, Illumina reads were filtered and

trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.36 (66) and assembled with Platanus v1.2.4 (67).

PacBio reads were corrected with Canu (68) and assembled with DBG2OLC

(v20180222) (69) using Platanus assembly, MaSurCA v3.3.0 (70), and WTDBG2

v2.1 (71). Ragout v2.2 (72) was used for scaffolding using DBG2OLC, WTDBG2

and MaSurCA assemblies. Assembly correction was performed with Pilon v1.22 (73).

The assemblies' quality was assessed with Quast v4.5 (74) and K-mer Analysis

Toolkit v2.4.1 (KAT, (75)). The best assembly for each sample was chosen based on

N50, level of fragmentation and estimated assembly completeness by KAT (75).

Augustus Web-server (76, 77) was used for genome annotation, using *Malassezia*

restricta proteome as training set (accession number: GCA_003290485.1 (78)).

Predicted protein-coding genes completeness was assessed with BUSCO v4 using the

Basidiomycota database (79). Functional annotation was performed with eggNOG-

mapper web-server using the default settings (80).

Read mapping and variant calling

All paired-end Illumina libraries were inspected with FastQC v0.11.5

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and trimmed and filtered

with Trimmomatic v0.36 (66). Read mapping was performed with sppIDer pipeline

(81) using a combined reference including the genome assembly of CBS9595 (as

representative of P1 lineage, Supplementary File 1 for more details) and CBS14141

(as representative of P2 lineage, Supplementary File 1 for more details). To guarantee

the proper correspondence between the scaffolds of both parentals, we aligned both

genomes with the nucmer tool of MUMmer v3 (82). Average genome coverage for

each sample was estimated with Samtools v1.9 (83). Variant calling was performed

with HaploTypo v1.0.1 (84) selecting Freebayes v1.3.2 (85) as variant caller, and

using the default settings for the remaining parameters. Read alignment was inspected

with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (57).

Definition of blocks of high genomic variability

To determine for each of the haplotypes of the hybrid and the parental genomes the

regions with high variability when compared to the reference, we used the

methodology developed and tested by (40) for LOH blocks definition. Briefly, we

used bedtools merge (86) with a distance of 100 bp to merge the homozygous SNPs

of each sample, and we set a minimum polymorphic region size of 100 bp. These

blocks were compared among the different strains using bedtools jaccard (86). The

sequence divergence between the reference alleles and the allele of the polymorphic

regions was calculated by dividing the number of SNPs overlapping such regions by

the total number of base pairs covered by them. Of note, these regions did not

represent LOH regions in the hybrids, as they only reflect the differences with the

reference. Nevertheless, they were used to infer the patterns of the respective parental

lineages.

LOH blocks definition in the hybrid strains

As read mapping of the hybrid strains was performed simultaneously in both parental

lineages, it was not possible to define LOH blocks based on the distribution of

heterozygous variants, as usually performed (37, 40, 43, 44). Instead, an alternative

approach where regions deleted in one parental and duplicated in the alternative one

were used as an indicator of recombination, i.e. LOH. Therefore, LOH block

definition in hybrid strains relied on read depth of coverage. Briefly, bedtools

genomecov (86) was used to determine the number of reads covering each position.

Positions covered by 0 reads were considered deleted, while those covered by 150%

of the average genome coverage were considered duplicated. Similarly to the

procedure developed by (40), we determined a minimum block size of 100 bp.

Bedtools intersect was used to determine the intersection of the deleted regions of P1

and the duplicated regions of P2, and *vice-versa*. Only duplicated regions in one

parent that intersect a deleted region in the alternative one were considered as LOH.

An enrichment analysis of the genes overlapping LOH blocks was performed with

FatiGO (87).

Mitochondrial genome assembly

De novo genome assembly of CBS9595 mitochondrial genome was performed with

NOVOPlasty v2.7.2 (88), using CBS7982 *cox2* sequence as seed (accession number:

KY911085.1).

Identification of *M. furfur* mating type region

P/R (*MAT A*) and HD (*MAT B*) loci of *M. sympodialis* strains ATCC42132 (*MAT*

a1b1) and ATCC44340 (*MAT a2b2*) (20) were used as query for tBLASTn analysis

on the PacBio genomic assemblies of *M. furfur* haploid strains. The designation of *M.*

furfur MAT A loci was assigned following that of the closest *M. sympodialis* orthologs

based on the *E*-value of the tBLASTn outcome, whereas that of *MAT B* loci was

assigned according to phylogenetic clustering of the predicted concatenated HD

proteins (see below). In all cases, the *MAT* genes identified in *M. furfur* strains were

confirmed by reciprocal BLASTx on GenBank. The nomenclature of the *M. furfur*

MAT genes follows that of the closely-related Ustilaginomycotina: *mfa* is the

pheromone-encoding gene, *pra* is the pheromone receptor, *bE* and *bW* are the HD

transcription factors, followed by a number to distinguish from different alleles (18).

Open reading frames of the *MAT* genes were predicted by comparison with their

respective orthologs through BLASTx on GenBank, and using RNAseq data available

for *M. furfur* CBS14141 (Bioproject PRJNA741845 (62)). DNA sequences of the

MAT genes were aligned with MUSCLE (89) and their phylogenetic reconstruction

was performed with MEGA7 (90) using the maximum likelihood method [Tamura 3-

parameter model with Gamma distribution] and 100 bootstrap replications. Similarly,

translated HD proteins were predicted with ExPASy translate tool (91), and

concatenated bE-bW sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (89) and the respective

maximum likelihood tree [Jones-Tailor-Thornton (JTT), uniform rates] with 100

bootstrap replications was obtained with MEGA7 (90).

The identified genes of the *MAT a1, a2, b1,* and *b2* loci of the *M. furfur* haploid

strains were used as queries for BLASTn and tBLASTx analyses to identify the *MAT*

regions in the *M. furfur* hybrid strains CBS1878, CBS4172, and CBS7019. The *MAT*

a1 and *MAT a2* designation followed that of the haploid strains used as input. For the

identified *MAT B* loci, the DNA sequences of *bE* and *bW* genes and their predicted

encoded proteins were aligned with MUSCLE (89) and then subjected to

phylogenetic analysis with MEGA7 (90) as described above (data not shown).

Characterization of *M. furfur* mating type

Primers for the amplification of *MAT a1, a2, b1,* and *b2* alleles were designed on the

basis of an alignment of all *MAT* loci of the available *M. furfur* parental and hybrid

strains derived from their genome sequences. The primers for *MAT A* loci specifically

amplified the *a1* or *a2* allele, while the primers for the *MAT B* loci amplified *b1* and

b3, or *b2* and *b4* (Supplementary Table 7). For the amplification of the *MAT A* loci,

ExTaq (Takara) was used following the manufacturer's instructions with the following

PCR conditions: initial denaturation 2 min at 94˚C, followed by 33 cycles of 30 sec at

94˚C, 30 sec annealing at 58˚C, 1 min 15 sec extension at 72˚C, and a final extension

of 5 min at 72˚C. For the amplification of the *MAT b1-b3,* LATaq (Taqara)

supplemented with GC Buffer II was used following the manufacturer's instruction,

and PCR conditions were: initial denaturation 2 min at 94˚C, followed by 33 cycles of

30 sec at 94˚C, 30 sec annealing at 60˚C, 2 min extension at 72˚C, and a final

extension of 5 min at 72˚C. The *MAT b2-b4* alleles were amplified using the same

conditions as reported for *MAT b1-b3,* except for the use of LATaq (Taqara)

supplemented with GC Buffer I. *MAT B* loci are high in G+C (~65 %) and the use of

specific Taq polymerase was important for their successful amplification.

The amplified MAT b1-b3 and MaT b2-b4 alleles were then sequenced using the

primers used for amplification, and sequences were aligned using MEGAX with

MUSCLE and then subjected to phylogenetic analysis using the maximum likelihood

method [Tamura-Nei model] and 500 bootstrap replications (89, 92).

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Hybrid- and parent strains were cultivated on modified Dixon (mDixon) medium for

72 h and a loop of cells was suspended in water. The cells were briefly vortexed to

dislodge from each other. Droplets of 1, 2 and 3 µl were gently placed on mDixon

agar and dried for 1 h in a laminar flow cabinet to fix the cells onto the agar. After

pre-examination under a stereo microscope, small 4 x 4 mm selections with both

individual cells and cells grouped together were cut out using a surgical blade

(Swann-Morton, no. 11, Sheffield, UK) and glued on a copper sample cup with a

small droplet of frozen-tissue medium (KP-Cryoblock, Klinipath, Duiven, The

Netherlands) and subsequently snap-frozen in nitrogen slush, and transferred into an

Oxford CT1500 Cryostation connected to a JEOL 5600LV scanning electron

microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Samples were sputter-coated (3 x 1 min) using a

gold target in the cryostation. Electron micrographs were taken at an acceleration

voltage of 5 kV.

Data availability

Sequencing data, genome assemblies and annotations are available at NCBI database

under the BioProject accessions PRJNA732434 and PRJNA779728.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Bart Kraak for some exploratory PCR and microscopy work,

Simon Denil for helping with initial bioinformatics assessment of strain CBS1878,

Claudia Cafarchia for providing strain CD866 and Marina Marcet-Houben for all the

helpful discussions on the bioinformatics analyses. This work was supported by the

European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie

Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No H2020-MSCA-ITN-2014-642095. TG group

also acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry, and

Competitiveness (MEIC) for the EMBL partnership, and grants 'Centro de Excelencia

Severo Ochoa 2013-2017' SEV-2012-0208, and BFU2015-67107 co-founded by

European Regional Development Fund (ERDF); from the CERCA Programme /

Generalitat de Catalunya; from the Catalan Research Agency (AGAUR) SGR857,

and grants from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

programme under the grant agreement ERC-2016-724173. TG also receives support

from an INB Grant (PT17/0009/0023 - ISCIII-SGEFI/ERDF). G.I and J.H. were

supported by NIH/NIAID R37 award AI39115-24 and R01 award AI50113-16A1.

J.H. is fellow and co-director of the CIFAR program Fungal Kingdom: Threats and

Opportunities. TD was supported by the A*STAR Industry Alignment Fund

(H18/01/a0/016).

References

1. Findley K, Oh J, Yang J, Conlan S, Deming C, Meyer JA, Schoenfeld D, Nomicos E, Park M, NIH Intramural Sequencing Center Comparative Sequencing Program, Kong HH, Segre JA. 2013. Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. Nature 498:367–370.

2. Byrd AL, Belkaid Y, Segre JA. 2018. The human skin microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology.

3. Soret P, Vandenborght L-E, Francis F, Coron N, Enaud R, Avalos M, Schaeverbeke T, Berger P, Fayon M, Thiebaut R, Delhaes L, Mucofong Investigation Group. 2020. Respiratory mycobiome and suggestion of inter-kingdom network during acute pulmonary exacerbation in cystic fibrosis. Sci Rep 10:3589.

4. Limon JJ, Tang J, Li D, Wolf AJ, Michelsen KS, Funari V, Gargus M, Nguyen C, Sharma P, Maymi VI, Iliev ID, Skalski JH, Brown J, Landers C, Borneman J, Braun J, Targan SR, McGovern DPB, Underhill DM. 2019. Malassezia Is Associated with Crohn's Disease and Exacerbates Colitis in Mouse Models. Cell Host & Microbe.

5. Prohic A, Sadikovic TJ, Krupalija-Fazlic M, Kuskunovic-Vlahovljak S. 2016. Malasseziaspecies in healthy skin and in dermatological conditions. International Journal of Dermatology.

6. LeibundGut-Landmann S, Dawson T. 2021. Malassezia: A Skin Commensal Yeast Impacting Both Health And Disease. Frontiers Media SA.

7.   Iatta R, Cafarchia C, Cuna T, Montagna O, Laforgia N, Gentile O, Rizzo A,

Boekhout T, Otranto D, Montagna MT. 2014. Bloodstream infections by

Malassezia and Candida species in critical care patients. Medical Mycology.

8.   Ha CWY, Martin A, Sepich-Poore GD, Shi B, Wang Y, Gouin K, Humphrey G,

Sanders K, Ratnayake Y, Chan KSL, Hendrick G, Caldera JR, Arias C,

Moskowitz JE, Ho Sui SJ, Yang S, Underhill D, Brady MJ, Knott S, Kaihara K,

Steinbaugh MJ, Li H, McGovern DPB, Knight R, Fleshner P, Devkota S. 2020.

Translocation of Viable Gut Microbiota to Mesenteric Adipose Drives

Formation of Creeping Fat in Humans. Cell.

9.   Spatz M, Richard ML. 2020. Overview of the Potential Role of in Gut Health

and Disease. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:201.

10. Aykut B, Pushalkar S, Chen R, Li Q, Abengozar R, Kim JI, Shadaloey SA, Wu

D, Preiss P, Verma N, Guo Y, Saxena A, Vardhan M, Diskin B, Wang W,

Leinwand J, Kurz E, Kochen Rossi JA, Hundeyin M, Zambrinis C, Li X, Saxena

D, Miller G. 2019. The fungal mycobiome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via

activation of MBL. Nature.

11. Saunte DML, Gaitanis G, Hay RJ. 2020. -Associated Skin Diseases, the Use of

Diagnostics and Treatment. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:112.

12. Rhimi W, Theelen B, Boekhout T, Otranto D, Cafarchia C. 2020. spp. Yeasts of

Emerging Concern in Fungemia. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:370.

13. Amend AS, Barshis DJ, Oliver TA. 2012. Coral-associated marine fungi form

novel lineages and heterogeneous assemblages. The ISME Journal.

14. Amend A. 2014. From dandruff to deep-sea vents: Malassezia-like fungi are

ecologically hyper-diverse. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004277.

15. Theelen B, Cafarchia C, Gaitanis G, Bassukas ID, Boekhout T, Dawson TL.

2018. Malassezia ecology, pathophysiology, and treatment. Medical Mycology.

16. Wu G, Zhao H, Li C, Rajapakse MP, Wong WC, Xu J, Saunders CW, Reeder

NL, Reilman RA, Scheynius A, Sun S, Billmyre BR, Li W, Averette AF,

Mieczkowski P, Heitman J, Theelen B, Schröder MS, De Sessions PF, Butler G,

Maurer-Stroh S, Boekhout T, Nagarajan N, Dawson TL Jr. 2015. Genus-Wide

Comparative Genomics of Malassezia Delineates Its Phylogeny, Physiology, and

Niche Adaptation on Human Skin. PLoS Genet 11:e1005614.

17. Wang Q-M, Theelen B, Groenewald M, Bai F-Y, Boekhout T. 2014.

Moniliellomycetes and Malasseziomycetes, two new classes in

Ustilaginomycotina. Persoonia - Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi.

18. Fraser JA, Heitman J. 2003. Fungal mating-type loci. Current Biology.

19. Raudaskoski M, Kothe E. 2010. Basidiomycete Mating Type Genes and

Pheromone Signaling. Eukaryotic Cell.

20. Gioti A, Nystedt B, Li W, Xu J, Andersson A, Averette AF, Münch K, Wang X,

Kappauf C, Kingsbury JM, Kraak B, Walker LA, Johansson HJ, Holm T, Lehtiö

J, Stajich JE, Mieczkowski P, Kahmann R, Kennell JC, Cardenas ME,

Lundeberg J, Saunders CW, Boekhout T, Dawson TL, Munro CA, de Groot

PWJ, Butler G, Heitman J, Scheynius A. 2013. Genomic Insights into the Atopic

Eczema-Associated Skin Commensal Yeast Malassezia sympodialis. mBio.

21. Zhu Y, Engström PG, Tellgren-Roth C, Baudo CD, Kennell JC, Sun S, Blake Billmyre R, Schröder MS, Andersson A, Holm T, Sigurgeirsson B, Wu G, Sankaranarayanan SR, Siddharthan R, Sanyal K, Lundeberg J, Nystedt B, Boekhout T, Dawson TL, Heitman J, Scheynius A, Lehtiö J. 2017. Proteogenomics produces comprehensive and highly accurate protein-coding gene annotation in a complete genome assembly ofMalassezia sympodialis. Nucleic Acids Research.

22. Xu J, Saunders CW, Hu P, Grant RA, Boekhout T, Kuramae EE, Kronstad JW, DeAngelis YM, Reeder NL, Johnstone KR, Leland M, Fieno AM, Begley WM, Sun Y, Lacey MP, Chaudhary T, Keough T, Chu L, Sears R, Yuan B, Dawson TL. 2007. Dandruff-associated Malassezia genomes reveal convergent and divergent virulence traits shared with plant and human fungal pathogens. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

23. Cafarchia C, Latrofa MS, Figueredo LA, da Silva Machado ML, Ferreiro L, Guillot J, Boekhout T, Otranto D. 2011. Physiological and molecular characterization of atypical lipid-dependentMalasseziayeasts from a dog with skin lesions: adaptation to a new host? Medical Mycology.

24. Zinkeviciene A, Norkunas V, Citavicius D. 2012. Atypical non-lipid-dependent strains of Malassezia furfur. Open Life Sciences.

25. González A, Sierra R, Cárdenas ME, Grajales A, Restrepo S, Cepero de García MC, Celis A. 2009. Physiological and molecular characterization of atypical isolates of Malassezia furfur. J Clin Microbiol 47:48–53.

26. Puig L, Rosa Bragulat M, Castellá G, Javier Cabañes F. 2017. Phenotypic and

genetic diversity of Malassezia furfur from domestic and zoo animals. Medical

Mycology.

27. Mittag H. 1994. Fine structural investigation ofMalassezia furfur:I. Size and

shape of the yeast cells and a consideration of their ploidy. Mycoses.

28. Boekhout T, Kamp M, Guého E. 1998. Molecular typing of Malassezia species

with PFGE and RAPD. Med Mycol 36:365–372.

29. Guého E, Midgley G, Guillot J. 1996. The genus Malassezia with description of

four new species. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek.

30. Theelen B, Silvestri M, Guého E, van Belkum A, Boekhout T. 2001.

Identification and typing of Malassezia yeasts using amplified fragment length

polymorphism (AFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and

denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). FEMS Yeast Res 1:79–86.

31. Theelen B, Batra R, Gupta A, Summerbell R, Boekhout T. Molecular

identification of Malassezia species.

32. Peris D, Alexander WG, Fisher KJ, Moriarty RV, Basuino MG, Ubbelohde EJ,

Wrobel RL, Hittinger CT. 2020. Synthetic hybrids of six yeast species. Nat

Commun 11:2085.

33. López-Fernández H, Bolnick DI. 2007. What causes partial F1 hybrid viability?

Incomplete penetrance versus genetic variation. PLoS One 2:e1294.

34. Mixão V, Gabaldón T. 2018. Hybridization and emergence of virulence in

opportunistic human yeast pathogens. Yeast.

35. Gabaldón T. 2020. Hybridization and the origin of new yeast lineages. FEMS

Yeast Res 20.

36. Naranjo☐Ortiz MA, Gabaldón T. 2020. Fungal evolution: cellular, genomic and

metabolic complexity. Biological Reviews.

37. Mixão V, Gabaldón T. 2020. Genomic evidence for a hybrid origin of the yeast

opportunistic pathogen Candida albicans. BMC Biol 18:48.

38. Mixão V, Saus E, Boekhout T, Gabaldón T. 2021. Extreme diversification driven

by parallel events of massive loss of heterozygosity in the hybrid lineage of

Candida albicans. Genetics 217.

39. Mixão V, Hansen AP, Saus E, Boekhout T, Lass-Florl C, Gabaldón T. 2019.

Whole-Genome Sequencing of the Opportunistic Yeast Pathogen Candida

inconspicua Uncovers Its Hybrid Origin. Frontiers in Genetics.

40. Pryszcz LP, Németh T, Saus E, Ksiezopolska E, Hegedűsová E, Nosek J, Wolfe

KH, Gacser A, Gabaldón T. 2015. The Genomic Aftermath of Hybridization in

the Opportunistic Pathogen Candida metapsilosis. PLoS Genet 11:e1005626.

41. Priest SJ, Coelho MA, Mixão V, Clancey SA, Xu Y, Sun S, Gabaldón T,

Heitman J. 2021. Factors enforcing the species boundary between the human

pathogens Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLoS

Genet 17:e1008871.

42. Samarasinghe H, Xu J. 2018. Hybrids and hybridization in the Cryptococcus

neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii species complexes. Infect Genet Evol

66:245–255.

43. Mixão V, Hegedűsová E, Saus E, Pryszcz LP, Cillingová A, Nosek J, Gabaldón

T. 2021. Genome analysis of Candida subhashii reveals its hybrid nature and

dual mitochondrial genome conformations. DNA Res 28.

44. Schröder MS, Martinez de San Vicente K, Prandini THR, Hammel S, Higgins

DG, Bagagli E, Wolfe KH, Butler G. 2016. Multiple Origins of the Pathogenic

Yeast Candida orthopsilosis by Separate Hybridizations between Two Parental

Species. PLoS Genet 12:e1006404.

45. Theelen B, Christinaki AC, Dawson TL, Boekhout T, Kouvelis VN. 2021.

Comparative analysis of Malassezia furfur mitogenomes and the development of

a mitochondria-based typing approach. FEMS Yeast Res 21.

46. Boekhout T, Kurtzman CP, O'Donnell K, Smith MT. 1994. Phylogeny of the

yeast genera Hanseniaspora (anamorph Kloeckera), Dekkera (anamorph

Brettanomyces), and Eeniella as inferred from partial 26S ribosomal DNA

nucleotide sequences. Int J Syst Bacteriol 44:781–786.

47. Boekhout T, Bosboom RW. 1994. Karyotyping of Malassezia Yeasts:

Taxonomic and Epidemiological Implications. Systematic and Applied

Microbiology.

48. Morales L, Dujon B. 2012. Evolutionary role of interspecies hybridization and

genetic exchanges in yeasts. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 76:721–739.

49. Louis VL, Despons L, Friedrich A, Martin T, Durrens P, Casarégola S,

Neuvéglise C, Fairhead C, Marck C, Cruz JA, Straub M-L, Kugler V, Sacerdot

C, Uzunov Z, Thierry A, Weiss S, Bleykasten C, De Montigny J, Jacques N,

Jung P, Lemaire M, Mallet S, Morel G, Richard G-F, Sarkar A, Savel G,

Schacherer J, Seret M-L, Talla E, Samson G, Jubin C, Poulain J, Vacherie B, Barbe V, Pelletier E, Sherman DJ, Westhof E, Weissenbach J, Baret PV, Wincker P, Gaillardin C, Dujon B, Souciet J-L. 2012. Pichia sorbitophila, an Interspecies Yeast Hybrid, Reveals Early Steps of Genome Resolution After Polyploidization. G3 2:299–311.

50. Pontes A, Čadež N, Gonçalves P, Sampaio JP. 2019. A Quasi-Domesticate Relic Hybrid Population of × Adapted to Olive Brine. Front Genet 10:449.

51. Lee H-Y, Chou J-Y, Cheong L, Chang N-H, Yang S-Y, Leu J-Y. 2008. Incompatibility of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes causes hybrid sterility between two yeast species. Cell 135:1065–1073.

52. Jhuang H-Y, Lee H-Y, Leu J-Y. 2017. Mitochondrial-nuclear co-evolution leads to hybrid incompatibility through pentatricopeptide repeat proteins. EMBO Rep 18:87–101.

53. Langdon QK, Peris D, Baker EP, Opulente DA, Nguyen H-V, Bond U, Gonçalves P, Sampaio JP, Libkind D, Hittinger CT. 2019. Fermentation innovation through complex hybridization of wild and domesticated yeasts. Nature Ecology & Evolution.

54. Bakkeren G, Kämper J, Schirawski J. 2008. Sex in smut fungi: Structure, function and evolution of mating-type complexes. Fungal Genet Biol 45 Suppl 1:S15–21.

55. Coelho MA, Sampaio JP, Gonçalves P. 2010. A Deviation from the Bipolar-Tetrapolar Mating Paradigm in an Early Diverged Basidiomycete. PLoS Genetics.

56.   Sun S, Yadav V, Billmyre RB, Cuomo CA, Nowrousian M, Wang L, Souciet J-

L, Boekhout T, Porcel B, Wincker P, Granek JA, Sanyal K, Heitman J. 2017.

Fungal genome and mating system transitions facilitated by chromosomal

translocations involving intercentromeric recombination. PLoS Biol

15:e2002527.

57.   Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. 2013. Integrative Genomics

Viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration.

Brief Bioinform 14:178–192.

58.   Watanabe J, Uehara K, Mogi Y, Tsukioka Y. 2017. Mechanism for Restoration

of Fertility in Hybrid Zygosaccharomyces rouxii Generated by Interspecies

Hybridization. Appl Environ Microbiol 83.

59.   Ortiz-Merino RA, Kuanyshev N, Braun-Galleani S, Byrne KP, Porro D,

Branduardi P, Wolfe KH. 2017. Evolutionary restoration of fertility in an

interspecies hybrid yeast, by whole-genome duplication after a failed mating-

type switch. PLOS Biology.

60.   Vu D, Groenewald M, Szöke S, Cardinali G, Eberhardt U, Stielow B, de Vries

M, Verkleij GJM, Crous PW, Boekhout T, Robert V. 2016. DNA barcoding

analysis of more than 9 000 yeast isolates contributes to quantitative thresholds

for yeast species and genera delimitation. Stud Mycol 85:91–105.

61.   Gupta AK, Boekhout T, Theelen B, Summerbell R, Batra R. 2004. Identification

and typing of Malassezia species by amplified fragment length polymorphism

and sequence analyses of the internal transcribed spacer and large-subunit

regions of ribosomal DNA. J Clin Microbiol 42:4253–4260.

62. Leong C, Chan JWK, Lee SM, Lam YI, Goh JPZ, Ianiri G, Dawson TL. 2021. Azole Resistance Mechanisms in Pathogenic Malassezia furfur. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.

63. Guého-Kellermann E, Boekhout T, Begerow D. 2010. Biodiversity, Phylogeny and Ultrastructure. Malassezia and the Skin.

64. O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E, Weber NS, Trappe JM. 1997. Phylogenetic Relationships among Ascomycetous Truffles and the True and False Morels Inferred from 18S and 28S Ribosomal DNA Sequence Analysis. Mycologia.

65. Lu X-L, Najafzadeh MJ, Dolatabadi S, Ran Y-P, van den Ende AHGG, Shen Y-N, Li C-Y, Xi L-Y, Hao F, Zhang Q-Q, Li R-Y, Hu Z-M, Lu G-X, Wang J-J, Drogari-Apiranthitou M, Klaassen C, Meis JF, Hagen F, Liu W-D, de Hoog GS. 2013. Taxonomy and epidemiology of Mucor irregularis , agent of chronic cutaneous mucormycosis. Persoonia - Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi.

66. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

67. Kajitani R, Toshimoto K, Noguchi H, Toyoda A, Ogura Y, Okuno M, Yabana M, Harada M, Nagayasu E, Maruyama H, Kohara Y, Fujiyama A, Hayashi T, Itoh T. 2014. Efficient de novo assembly of highly heterozygous genomes from whole-genome shotgun short reads. Genome Res 24:1384–1395.

68. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2017. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive -mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res 27:722–736.

69. Ye C, Hill CM, Wu S, Ruan J, Ma ZS. 2016. DBG2OLC: Efficient Assembly of

Large Genomes Using Long Erroneous Reads of the Third Generation

Sequencing Technologies. Sci Rep 6:31900.

70. Zimin AV, Marçais G, Puiu D, Roberts M, Salzberg SL, Yorke JA. 2013. The

MaSuRCA genome assembler. Bioinformatics 29:2669–2677.

71. Ruan J, Li H. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2.

72. Kolmogorov M, Raney B, Paten B, Pham S. 2014. Ragout-a reference-assisted

assembly tool for bacterial genomes. Bioinformatics 30:i302–9.

73. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo

CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool

for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly

improvement. PLoS One 9:e112963.

74. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment

tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075.

75. Mapleson D, Accinelli GG, Kettleborough G, Wright J, Clavijo BJ. 2016. KAT:

a K-mer analysis toolkit to quality control NGS datasets and genome assemblies.

Bioinformatics.

76. Hoff KJ, Stanke M. 2013. WebAUGUSTUS--a web service for training

AUGUSTUS and predicting genes in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 41:W123–

8.

77. Stanke M, Morgenstern B. 2005. AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction

in eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. Nucleic Acids Research.

78. Park M, Cho Y-J, Lee YW, Jung WH. 2017. Whole genome sequencing analysis of the cutaneous pathogenic yeast Malassezia restricta and identification of the major lipase expressed on the scalp of patients with dandruff. Mycoses 60:188–197.

79. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness. Methods Mol Biol 1962:227–245.

80. Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P. 2017. Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. Mol Biol Evol 34:2115–2122.

81. Langdon QK, Peris D, Kyle B, Hittinger CT. 2018. sppIDer: A Species Identification Tool to Investigate Hybrid Genomes with High-Throughput Sequencing. Mol Biol Evol 35:2835–2849.

82. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol 5:R12.

83. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

84. Pegueroles C, Mixão V, Carreté L, Molina M, Gabaldón T. 2020. HaploTypo: a variant-calling pipeline for phased genomes. Bioinformatics 36:2569–2571.

85. Garrison E, Marth G. 2012. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. arXiv 1207.3907.

86. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing

genomic features. Bioinformatics 26:841–842.

87. Al-Shahrour F, Díaz-Uriarte R, Dopazo J. 2004. FatiGO: a web tool for finding

significant associations of Gene Ontology terms with groups of genes.

Bioinformatics 20:578–580.

88. Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G. 2017. NOVOPlasty: de novo assembly of

organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Res 45:e18.

89. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy

and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797.

90. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Molecular Biology and

Evolution.

91. Gasteiger E, Gattiker A, Hoogland C, Ivanyi I, Appel RD, Bairoch A. 2003.

ExPASy: The proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis.

Nucleic Acids Res 31:3784–3788.

92. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular

Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol

35:1547–1549.

Tables

Table 1. Strains used in this study with information about their lineage, source, country of isolation, NGS sequencing data analysis, usage for PFGE, AFLP, sanger sequencing, mating-type, FACS, LM, MALDI-TOF and physiology analyses.

Lineage	Strain	Source	Country	Data availability NGS data	NGS strategy	PFGE	AFLP	Sanger sequencing 5 loci	Mating type	FACS	LM	MALDI-TOF	Physiology
P1	CBS 9595	back (man)	Greece	PRJNA732434	Reference assembly P1	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 9575	back (man)	Greece	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
	CBS 9574	back (man)	Greece	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 9589	folds (man)	Greece	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	CBS 7982	Skin of ear (man)	France	PRJNA286710* / PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	CD 866	Poodle	Brazil	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 9369	Scalp of patient	Canada	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
P2	CBS 8735	bronchial wash	Canada	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 14139	urine, human	France	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 14141	catheter, blood	France	PRJNA286710* / PRJNA732434	Reference assembly P2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	PM 315	anal swab neonate	Germany	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
H1	CBS 1878 NT	Dandruff, man	Unknown	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 4171	Ear of cow	Unknown	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 4172	Skin of eland	Unknown	PRJNA286710*	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 7969	Asian elephant	France	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

	Strain	Source	Country	BioProject	Method								
	CBS 9365	Elephant in zoo skin scales, from PV	France	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 5101		USA	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
	CBS 4162	Ear of pig	unknown	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
	CBS 6000	Dandruff	India	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CBS 6001	PV	India	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
H2	CBS 6093	Unknown	unknown	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
	CBS 7019 NT	PV on trunk of 15-year-old girl	Finland	PRJNA732434	Read mapping	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

H1 = hybrid lineage 1, H2 = hybrid lineage 2, P1 = Parental lineage 1, P2 = parental lineage 2, PFGE = Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis, AFLP = Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism. NT = neotype strain, + = analysis performed, - = analysis not performed, *Data from Wu et al. 2015.

Table 2. Summary of assembly statistics for the best genome assembly obtained for

CBS9595 and CBS14141.

	CBS9595	CBS14141
Assembly strategy	Ragout	DBG2OLC_sparse
Estimated genome size (Mb)*	7.8	8.3
Genome size (bp)	8 107 776	8 241 990
Contigs	8	9
Contigs > 50kb	8	8
N50 (bp)	1 622 862	1 642 932
GC (%)	64,48%	64,97%
Mapped reads (%)	95,50%	77,2%***
Protein-coding genes	4.376	4.441
Completeness (BUSCO)*	96.1%	95.4%
Completeness (KAT)**	99,90%	99,81%

*BUSCO (79), **KAT (75)

Figures

Figure 1. AFLP banding pattern representations derived from electropherograms with

Neighbor Joining trees for two different adaptor/primer combinations (A-B) (see

Materials & Methods, and Supplementary Table 1). The horizontal scale represents

the similarity percentage. Pink shading highlights restriction fragments shared

between parental 1 lineage and hybrids; blue shading highlights shared restriction

fragments between parental lineage 2 and hybrids. Both versions resulted from using

different primer/adaptor pairs, reflecting different polymorphisms in the genomic

DNA and thus resulting in some clustering variation for some strains. CBS6093

belongs to the H2 lineage based on dendrogram B, but clusters outside any of the

other lineages in dendrogram A, suggesting genomic deviation from other H2 strains,

a finding also supported by mating type, β-glucosidase activity, and MALDI-TOF data. CBS7982 clusters together with other P1 strains as expected in dendrogram A, but clusters close to H2 strains in dendrogram B. Interestingly, CBS7982 was found to contain a mitochondrial sequence different from other P1 strains (CBS9595, CD866, and CBS9574, Supplementary Figure 1).

Figure 2. Analysis of the genomic patterns of hybrid genomes. **A)** Hybrid genomes were sequenced originating sequencing reads from P1 (blue rectangles) and P2 (pink rectangles). These reads were simultaneously aligned to the combined reference of P1 and P2. Light blue and light pink correspond to the alleles present in this reference. Dark blue and dark pink correspond to alleles which are aligned in P1 or P2,

respectively, but present lower sequence identity forming blocks of genomic variability. Differences in the patterns of genomic variability were used to determine the different hybrid lineages. Estimated sequence divergence between the two alleles (i.e. between dark blue and light blue, or between dark pink and light pink) in terms of SNPs/bp in the blocks of genomic variability were used to determine the origin of such blocks: hybridization or admixture between different strains. **B)** Sequence divergence in the blocks of genomic variability of P2 lineages show a single density peak, suggesting a hybrid origin. **C)** Sequence divergence in the blocks of genomic variability of P1 lineages show multiple density peaks, with a single peak shared by all strains, not allowing the exclusion of any of the above-mentioned scenarios.

Figure 3. Comparative genomics analysis suggests multiple hybridization events in the evolutionary path of *M. furfur* lineages.

Figure 4. Schematic representation and comparison of mating loci for two mating compatible parental strains: *MAT* a1b1 loci of *M. furfur* strain CBS14139 (P2), and of the *MAT* a2b2 loci of *M. furfur* strain CBS7982 (P1). The coordinates of the genes in the genome scaffold are indicated. The two *MAT* regions were aligned with tBLASTx and visualized using ACT Artemis. The red and blue bars indicate regions of similarity, with red bars corresponding to regions of similar orientation and blue bars indicating regions oriented in opposite directions.

Figure 5. Mating typing assay results. A) Schematic presentation of primer positions in the MAT genes. B) Agarose gel electrophoresis picture, showing PCR results for

all assessed strains for Mat a1, MAT a2, combined MAT b1-b3, and MAT b2-b4. To distinguish between b1 and b3, and between b2 and b4, the MAT B PCR-positive products require sequencing. C) Phylogenetic tree based on the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model with 500 bootstrap replications, representing the MAT B loci, resulting in four main clusters.

Figure 6. SEM photo plate for representative strains of each lineage, showcasing different morphologies between haploid parental strains and hybrid strains. Additional phenotypic data is available in Supplementary tables 8 and 9 for cell size measurements and traditional physiological data respectively. Bar = 5 ⌠m

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table 1. Adaptor, primer sequences and PCR conditions for AFLP analysis.

Supplementary Table 2. Summary metrics of the whole-genome sequencing analyses of M. furfur strains.

Supplementary Table 3. tBLASTn E-values for the identification of M. furfur mating type analysis.

Supplementary Table 4. Mating type PCR amplification results for studied strains.

Supplementary Table 5. Cell measurements with light microscopy after 72h at 33ºC incubation on mDixon medium.

Supplementary Table 6. Temperature growth profiles and physiological characteristics of M. furfur strains. Growth at 6°C, 15°C, 24°C, 30°C, 33°C, 40°C, and 42°C on mDA (modified Dixon Agar), SGA (Sabouraud glucose agar), and YNBA (nitrogen base agar); Catalase activity; β-glucosidase activity; Tween diffusion test on SGA and YNBA at 15°C, 33°C, and 37°C for selected strains with Tween 20, 40, 60, 80 and CrEL (Cremophor EL).

Supplementary Table 7. Primer sequences for the MAT locus analysis.

Supplementary File 1. Genome assembly of *M. furfur* parental lineages and comparison with the available genomes at public databases.

Supplementary File 2. Additional details regarding analysis of the mating type system of *M. furfur*.

Supplementary Figure 1. IGV screenshots showing the genomic patterns of M. furfur. A) Read mapping of CBS1878 and CBS7019 on the reference genome,

showing an example of a region of high genomic variability. B) Read mapping of P1 strains to CBS7982 mitochondrial genome assembly. C) Read mapping of H1 strains to MAT a2 loci of P2, showing the occurrence of a duplication.

Supplementary Figure 2. A) Karyotype variation (PFGE) and B) FACS analysis present variation between both hybrid lineages H1 and H2 at the chromosomal and ploidy levels. PFGE gels were run under different conditions (see supplementary materials and methods and supplementary Table 2).

Supplementary Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees for five nuclear DNA loci. Phylogenetic trees based on the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model with 500 bootstrap replications for A) internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and B) the intergenic spacer 1 region (IGS1) of the ribosomal DNA. The IGS1 tree illustrates the suitability of this locus for discrimination between the four studied lineages. The ITS tree showcases the lack of discrimination between both hybrid lineages and the P2 lineage. Additional phylogenetic trees are presented for protein coding genes C) β-tubulin, D) TEF1 and E) CHS2, illustrating the potential difficulties when sequencing protein coding genes for hybrid strains as at multiple spots in the chromatograms, two different base peaks are present, representing both parental haplotypes. Therefore, the sequences of the protein coding were phased into two copies, based on their parental haplotypes.

Supplementary Figure 4. Coverage plot obtained from sppIDer pipeline (81) for the H1 strains used for whole-genome sequencing data analysis when aligned to a

combined reference genome of CBS9595 (P1 lineage, red) and CBS14141 (P2 lineage, blue). Dashed lines divide the different chromosomes.

Supplementary Figure 5. Coverage plot obtained from sppIDer pipeline (81) for the H2 strains used for whole-genome sequencing data analysis when aligned to a combined reference genome of CBS9595 (P1 lineage, red) and CBS14141 (P2 lineage, blue). Dashed lines divide the different chromosomes.

Supplementary Figure 6. Dendrogram based on MALDI-TOF MS generated Main Spectra (MSPs) as a measure of general phenotypic variation at the proteomic level, illustrating variation between the various lineages

Supplementary Materials and Methods. Descriptions of Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE), Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-time Of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), Microscopy (Light microscopy – cell size measurements), Physiology, and Sanger sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.