Combinatorial expression of gamma-protocadherins regulates synaptic specificity in the mouse neocortex

Yi-Jun Zhu[1, 2, 3], Cai-Yun Deng[1], Liu Fan[2], Ya-Qian Wang[2], Hui Zhou[2], Hua-Tai Xu*[2]

1 Institute of Neuroscience and State Key Laboratory of Neuroscience, CAS Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200031, China.

2 Lingang Laboratory, Shanghai Center for Brain Science and Brain-Inspired Intelligence Technology，Shanghai 201210, China.

3 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

* Correspondence should be addressed to H. -T. X. (xuht@lglab.ac.cn)

Abstract

During the process of synaptic formation, neurons require not only certain principles for selecting partners to form synapses but also additional mechanisms to avoid undesired cells. However, the means to get around unwanted partners remains largely unknown. In this study, we have identified that the combinatorial expression of clustered protocadherin gammas (γ-PCDHs) is essential in regulating such specificity in the mouse neocortex. Using single-cell sequencing from the 5-prime end, we revealed the combinatorial expression pattern of γ-PCDH variable isoforms in neocortical neurons. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings demonstrated that increasing the similarity level of this combinatorial pattern in neurons reduced their synaptic connectivity. Our findings reveal a delicate molecular mechanism for assembling the neural network in the mouse neocortex.

Introduction

The specificity of synaptic connections is essential for the function of neural circuits(Yogev and Shen, 2014). Cell adhesion molecules play crucial roles in the specificity of synapse formation(Duan et al., 2014, Serizawa et al., 2006, Tan et al., 2015, Rawson et al., 2017, Sytnyk et al., 2017, Berns et al., 2018, Jang et al., 2017, Courgeon and Desplan, 2019), but how to achieve specificity at the microcircuit level remains to be determined. The unique expression pattern of clustered protocadherins (cPCDH) leads to millions of possible combinations of cPCDH isoforms on the neuron surface(Kaneko et al., 2006, Esumi et al., 2005), suggesting cPCDHs function as a unique barcode for each neuron(Yagi, 2012). The absence of γ-PCDH does not cause general abnormality in the development of the cerebral cortex, such as cell differentiation, migration, and survival(Wang et al., 2002). However, the presence of γ-PCDH has been detected at the synaptic contacts(Fernandez-Monreal et al., 2009, Phillips et al., 2003, LaMassa et al., 2021), and its absence has substantial effects on neuronal connectivity(Tarusawa et al., 2016, Kostadinov and Sanes, 2015, Lv et al., 2022). The homophilic property of γ-PCDH allows them to promote exuberant dendritic complexity(Molumby et al., 2016). However, more evidence suggests that it

might be detrimental to synapse formation. Previous studies indicated that homophilic interactions mediated by large overlapping patterns of cPCDH isoforms on opposing cell surfaces might lead to intercellular repulsion(Rubinstein et al., 2015, Brasch et al., 2019, Honig and Shapiro, 2020, Lefebvre et al., 2012), in which the interaction with the neuroligin family may be involved(Molumby et al., 2017, Steffen et al., 2021). Following this repulsion concept, the absence of γ-PCDH caused significantly more dendritic spines and inhibitory synapse densities in neocortical neurons(Molumby et al., 2017, Steffen et al., 2021). Consistently, neurons with overexpressed one of the γ-PCDH isoforms had significantly fewer dendritic spines from the same condition(Molumby et al., 2017). Furthermore, the absence of the clustered PCDH also increased local reciprocal neural connection between lineage-related neurons in the neocortex(Tarusawa et al., 2016, Lv et al., 2022), even though sister cells had more similar expression patterns of γ-PCDH isoforms(Lv et al., 2022).

Although γ-PCDH has these "contradictory" effects on dendrites' complexity and dendritic spines, it is detrimental to synapse formation in the forebrain. However, each neuron expresses multiple isoforms of γ-PCDH(Kaneko et al., 2006, Lv et al., 2022). What could be the impact of this combinatorial expression on synapse formation? Here, using 5-prime end (5'-end) single-cell sequencing, we revealed the diversified combinatorial expression of γ-PCDH isoforms in neocortical neurons. With multiple whole-cell patch-clamp recordings after the sequential *in utero* electroporation, we discovered that the combinatorial expression of γ-PCDHs plays a vital role in synaptic specificity to assemble the neural microcircuit in the mouse neocortex. This is achieved by allowing a neuron to choose which partners not to form a synapse with rather than choosing which ones to make synapses with.

Results

The diversified combinatorial expression pattern of γ-PCDHs in neocortical neurons revealed by 5'-end single-cell sequencing

The gamma isoform of cPCDHs (γ-PCDHs) is critical for synaptic connectivity(Kostadinov and Sanes, 2015, Tarusawa et al., 2016, Lv et al., 2022). To

determine the role of γ-PCDH in the neocortex, we examined their expression in the neocortical neurons of postnatal mice. Previous studies have suggested that cPCDHs were stochastically expressed in neurons(Hirayama et al., 2012, Toyoda et al., 2014). Here, we applied a 5'-end single-cell RNA sequencing to identify γ-PCDH isoforms by sequencing their variable exon (exon 1), where they differ from each other(Kohmura et al., 1998, Wu and Maniatis, 1999). Since the second postnatal week is the critical stage for synapse formation in the rodent neocortex(Lendvai et al., 2000, Holtmaat and Svoboda, 2009), we chose postnatal day 11 (P11) to examine their expression. After reverse transcription and cDNA amplification (Fig. 1-S1A, B), we split cDNA into two parts: one for specific amplification of *pcdhg* mRNAs and the other for the 5' gene expression library construction (Fig. 1-S1C). After the cluster analysis (Fig. 1-S2-4), we collected 6505 neurons out of 17438 cells (Fig. 1A and Fig. 1-S1D). Neurons with more than 10 UMI (cutoff>1 for each type of individual isoform) for all γ-PCDH (Fig. 1B, C) were further analyzed. We found that significantly more cells expressed "C-type" isoforms (C3, C4, and C5) compared to other "variable" isoforms (Fig. 1D and Fig. 1-S1E), which is consistent with a previous study(Toyoda et al., 2014). We performed the pair-wise analysis on similarity between neurons in the single-cell expression pattern of γ-PCDH variable isoforms, which revealed that most neocortical neurons had distinct combinatorial expression patterns (Fig. 1E). Based on the variance analysis(Wada et al., 2018) of fraction distribution of the number of the expressed isoforms per cell for all neurons (Fig. 1F and Fig. 1-S5), we found a weak but significant co-occurrence for the expression of γ-PCDH isoforms in most neurons (Fig. 1G). We did not detect any apparent differences among clusters except for cluster 0, which had much less expression (Fig. 1C) and no co-occurrence of γ-PCDH isoforms (Fig. 1G and Fig. 1-S5). Since all of our electrophysiological recordings were carried out on pyramidal neurons in the layer 2/3 of the neocortex, we analyzed the corresponding cluster 7 in more detail. They also showed distinct expression patterns as a general population of neocortical neurons (Fig. 1-S6). In summary, the data from 5'-end single-cell sequencing reveal that γ-PCDHs are diversely expressed in most neocortical neurons with a combinatorial pattern.

The absence of γ-PCDHs led to an increase in local synaptic connectivity among pyramidal neurons

To investigate the function of γ-PCDH in the synaptic formation between neocortical neurons, we conducted paired recordings on pyramidal neurons in the layer 2/3 of the neocortex from γ-PCDH conditional knockout (cKO) mice. These mice were obtained by crossing *Pcdhg^flox/flox* mice(Lefebvre et al., 2008) with *Nex-cre* mice(Goebbels et al., 2006), in which all variable and C-type γ-PCDH isoforms were specifically removed in pyramidal neurons. Using multiple whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from cortical slices of P9-32 mice, we measured the connectivity among nearby pyramidal cells (<50 μm between cell somas) in the layer 2/3 of the neocortex by the presence of evoked monosynaptic responses (Fig. 2 and Fig. 2-S1). As shown in the sample traces in the connectivity matrix from six recorded neurons (Fig. 2A and Fig. 2-S1), two neuronal pairs (the neuronal pairs 4→3 and 5→6) exhibited unidirectional monosynaptic connections (indicated by orange arrows), and one pair (the neuronal pair 1↔3) with bidirectional connection (indicated by green arrows) out of 15 possibilities. Overall, we found that the percentage of connected pairs was significantly higher in *Pcdhg* cKO mice (20.2%, 103/511) than in wild-type (WT) mice (15.0%, 122/813). Due to their potential different roles in the synaptic organizations in the neocortex(Douglas and Martin, 2004), we performed an extra set of recordings in the layer 2/3 of the neocortex from P10-20 mice to separate neuron pairs along their axes vertical *vs.* horizontal to the pial surface in *Pcdhg* cKO mice. We found a more significant difference in the connectivity for vertically aligned cells (18.3%, 94/515 in *Pcdhg* cKO mice *vs.* 11.2%, 73/651 in WT mice for vertically aligned neuron pairs; 12.2%, 27/221 in *Pcdhg* cKO mice *vs.* 9.5%, 28/294 in WT mice for horizontally aligned neuron pairs) (Fig. 2C). We also generated *Pcdha* cKO mice (Fig. 2-S2-4) and performed the same set of experiments for vertically aligned neurons. We did not find a significant difference (11.3%, 38/337 in *Pcdha* cKO mice *vs.* 14.3%, 26/182 in WT mice, Fig. 2D) in the mice without α-PCDH. In a more detailed analysis of synaptic connections among vertically aligned neurons in *Pcdhg* cKO mice, we found that the absence of γ-PCDH

expression appeared to significantly increase synapse formation between cells separated vertically by 50 to 100 μm (24.0%, 50/208 in *Pcdhg* cKO mice *vs.* 9.6%, 20/208 in WT mice) (Fig. 2E). Furthermore, we found that the absence of γ-PCDH increased synapse formation starting from P10 (16.1%, 32/199 in *Pcdhg* cKO mice *vs.* 8.7%, 20/230 in WT mice for P10-12; 21.6%, 22/102 in *Pcdhg* cKO mice *vs.* 12.8%, 29/227 in WT mice for P13-15), when chemical synapses between cortical neurons become more detectable, and such tendency maintained for as long as measurements were performed (up to P20) (Fig. 2F). Thus, γ-PCDH may play a role in preventing synapse formation starting from the early stage of neural development.

Overexpression of γ-PCDHs decreases local synaptic connectivity in the mouse neocortex

To further determine the effect of γ-PCDHs on synapse formation, we overexpressed randomly selected single or multiple γ-PCDH isoforms tagged with fluorescent proteins through *in utero* electroporation in mice. We then performed multiple whole-cell patch-clamp recordings to address the effect of γ-PCDHs on pair-wise synaptic connectivity for neurons in the layer 2/3 of the neocortex.

To confirm the overexpression effect, we performed the assays of RT-qPCR (Fig. 3A, B), single-cell RT-PCR (Fig. 3C, D), and immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3-S1A to C). The RT-qPCR assay revealed that the electroporated isoforms, but not the non-overexpressed ones, from the surgical side indeed had significantly higher expression levels than those from the contralateral side (Fig. 3A, B). To estimate how many isoforms were expressed in a given neuron when multiple plasmids were electroporated, we tagged first five isoforms with mNeongreen and the sixth one with mCherry (Fig. 3-S1A). Based on the rates of yellow and red-only cells in the total electroporated neuron population (Fig. 3-S1B), the probability analysis revealed that each positive neuron expressed an average of 5.6 types of isoforms (Fig. 3-S1C and the top panel of D). This result was consistent with the data from single-cell RT-PCR analysis in which an average of 5.3 types out of 6 electroporated isoforms was detected from 19 neurons (Fig. 3C, D and the bottom panel of Fig. 3-S1D). The results from single-cell RT-PCR

also suggest that electroporation-introduced isoforms are the dominant ones in these

neurons (Fig. 3D).

Since the layer distribution of neocortical neurons plays a critical role in their synaptic

connection(He et al., 2015), we examined their positions relatively to the pial surface

after the overexpression. We found that overexpressing γ-PCDH isoforms did not affect

cell positions in the neocortex compared to the control plasmids (Fig. 3-S1E, F).

We then used multiple recordings to examine the impact of γ-PCDHs on synapse

formation. Overexpressing of one or six γ-PCDH variable isoforms in neurons

significantly reduced the synaptic connection rate among them (10.3%, 15/146 in

expressing control plasmids; 1.9%, 4/216 in overexpressing one isoform; and 4.4%,

8/181 in overexpressing six isoforms, red bars in Fig. 3E). However, overexpressing γ-

PCDH C4 did not affect the synaptic connection rate (11.3%, 12/106 in overexpressing

γ-PCDH C4, Fig. 3E). To exclude further the potential effect of C-types of γ-PCDHs'

impacts in synapse formation, we electroporated six variable isoforms in *pcdhg* cKO

mice. The overexpression also led to the reduction of the connection rate in *pcdhg* cKO

mice (6.1%, 12/198 in overexpressing six isoforms vs. 16.5%, 31/188 in expressing

control plasmids), similar to what we observed in WT littermate mice (6.6%, 8/121 in

overexpressing six isoforms vs. 16.5%, 18/109 in expressing control plasmids) (Fig.

3F). These observations reveal that overexpressing the variables, but not the C-types of

γ-PCDHs isoforms, decrease synaptic connectivity in the mouse neocortex.

Combinatorial expression of γ-PCDHs regulates synaptic specificity in the mouse

neocortex

To investigate the impact of the combinatorial expression pattern of γ-PCDH isoforms

on synapse formation, we conducted a sequential *in utero* electroporation at E14.5 and

E15.5 (Fig. 4A). By electroporating the same or different combinations of γ-PCDH

variable isoforms on these two days, we aimed to manipulate the expression similarity

level between two groups of neurons. Isoforms were randomly selected based on their

comparable expression, except for isoforms A5 and B8, which had low expression

levels according to the single cell sequencing data (Fig. 1D and Fig. 1-S1E). We

assigned their combinations to achieve similarity levels ranging from 0% (no overlap, completely different) to 100% (complete overlap, all identical) (Fig. 4B). We used fluorescent proteins mNeongreen and mRuby3(Bajar et al., 2016) to tag isoforms for electroporation at E14.5 and E15.5 respectively (Fig. 4A). Subsequently, whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were conducted on layer 2/3 neurons in the neocortex from acute brain slices containing both green and red cells from P10-14 pups. Each set of recordings included at least one mNeongreen$^+$, one mRuby3$^+$, and one nearby control neuron without fluorescence (Fig. 4C). Our results showed that neurons with the same color exhibited significantly lower connectivity (Fig. 4-S1A), which aligns with the findings from single electroporation (as shown in Fig. 3E). In the complete overlap (100%) group, the connectivity rate between neurons electroporated at different days was also significantly lower compared to the control (3.7%, 5/134 in the complete-overlap group; 12.5%, 19/151 in control pairs, 100% in Fig. 4D). However, as the similarity levels decreased from 100% to 0%, the connectivity probabilities progressively returned to the control level. The likelihood recovered to 8.4% (14/165) for the pairs with a 33% similarity level and 10.3% (12/117) and 10.5% (12/114) for pairs with 11% or 0% similarity level, respectively (Fig. 4D). These observations suggested that it is the similarity level of γ-PCDH isoforms between neurons, but not the absolute expression of the protein in individual neurons, that regulate the synaptic formation. Similar to the single electroporation experiment (gray bars in Fig. 3E), there were no significant changes in the synaptic connectivity between electroporated and nearby control neurons (Fig. 4-S1B). Overall, our findings demonstrate a negative correlation between the probability of forming synaptic connections and the similarity level of γ-PCDH isoforms expressed in neuron pairs (Fig. 4E). These findings strongly suggest that the diversified combinatorial expression of γ-PCDH isoforms is crucial for synapse formation between nearby pyramidal cells. The more similar the γ-PCDH isoforms patterns expressed in neurons, the lower the probability of forming synapses between them (Fig. 4F).

Discussion

Homophilic proteins cPCDHs are strong candidates for promoting synaptic specificity

due to their combinatorial and stochastic expression pattern(Yagi, 2012, Kohmura et

al., 1998, Toyoda et al., 2014). Our 5'-end single-cell sequencing data provided insights

into the combinatorial expression pattern of γ-PCDH isoforms in neocortical neurons.

We further demonstrated the critical role of this diversity in synaptic specificity through

three lines of evidence. Firstly, the absence of γ-PCDH significantly increased

functional connectivity between adjacent neocortical neurons. Secondly,

electroporation-induced overexpression of identical γ-PCDH variable isoforms in

developing neurons markedly decreased their connectivity. Lastly, using sequential *in*

utero electroporation with different batchs of isoforms, we found that increasing the

similarity level of γ-PCDH variable isoforms expressed in neurons led to a reduction in

their synaptic connectivity. These findings suggest that γ-PCDHs regulate the

specificity of synapse formation by preventing synapse formation with specific cells,

rather than by selectively choosing particular targets. It remains to be studied whether

the diversified patterns of γ-PCDH isoforms expressed in different neurons have

additional coding functions for neurons beyond their homophilic interaction.

Previous studies by Molumby et al. demonstrated that neurons from the neocortex of

pcdhg knockout mice exhibited significantly more dendritic spines, while neurons

overexpressing a single γ-PCDH isoforms had fewer dendritic spines in (Molumby et

al., 2017). Our recordings are consistent with these previous morphology studies.

Tarusawa et al. revealed that the absence of the whole cluster of cPCDH affected

synaptic connections among lineage-related cells(Tarusawa et al., 2016). More recently,

overexpression of the C-type γ-PCDH isoform C3 also showed a negative effect on

synapse formation within a defined clone(Lv et al., 2022). In our study, we further

demonstrated an increased synaptic connection rate between adjacent pyramidal

neurons in the neocortex of *pcdhg* knockout mice, while it decreased between neurons

overexpressing single or multiple identical γ-PCDH variable isoforms. These effects

were not just limited to lineage-dependent cells. Together with previous

findings(Molumby et al., 2017, Tarusawa et al., 2016), our observations solidify the

repulsion effect of γ-PCDH on synapse formation among neocortical neurons. Some

subtle differences exist between our findings and previous recordings(Tarusawa et al.,

2016, Lv et al., 2022). Tarusawa et al. demonstrated that the connection probability

between excitatory neurons lacking the entire cPCDH cluster in the layer 4 was

approximately twofold higher at early stage P9-11, significantly lower at P13-16, and

similar to control cells at P18-20 compared (Tarusawa et al., 2016). In our study, $pcdhg^{-/-}$

pyramidal neuronal pairs consistently exhibited a higher connection probability from

P10 to P20. Two potential reasons could explain these differences. Firstly, we only

removed γ-PCDH instead of the entire cPCDH cluster, which includes α, β, and γ

isoforms. Secondly, γ-PCDH might have different functions in neurons located in the

layer 2/3 compared to the layer 4. Lv et al. found that overexpression of C-type γ-PCDH

C3 decreased the preferential connection between sister cells(Lv et al., 2022). However,

our study demonstrated that only variable, but not C-type isoforms had a negative

impact on synapse formation. This discrepancy might be attributed to the lineage

relationship, which could have an unknown impact on synapse formation. Since a single

neuron can express multiple isoforms, deleting all γ-PCDH isoforms might mask the

role of this combination. In this study, we manipulated the combinatorial expression

patterns of γ-PCDH isoforms in nearby neocortical neurons through sequential *in utero*

electroporation, expressing different batches of isoforms with adjustable similarities.

We observed that when two neurons expressed identical variable isoforms (100%

group), the likelihood of synapse formation between them was lowest. As the similarity

level between two cells decreased, with fewer shared isoforms, the connectivity

probability increased. The connectivity probability between neurons with different

variable isoforms (0% group) did not differ from the control pairs (without

overexpression). However, the connections between overexpressed and control neurons

were not affected under both 100% and 0% similarity conditions. These observations

suggest that the similarity level, rather than the absolute expression of the protein,

affects synapse formation between neurons.

Our findings also demonstrated that the overexpression of multiple γ-PCDH variable

isoforms in one neuron only affected its connection if the other neuron overexpressed

an identical combination of PCDHg isoforms. This indicates that the expression of

multiple PCDHg variable isoforms in a neuron assists in selecting its synapse partner, emphasizing the essential role of diversified combinatorial expression of γ-PCDHs in synaptic specificity in the mouse neocortex. Moreover, the overexpression of the γ-PCDH C4 isoform did not affect synaptic connections, while overexpression of six variable isoforms led to a reduction in the connection rate in *pcdhg* cKO mice. These findings suggest that the variables, but not the C-type isoforms of γ-PCDHs, play crucial roles in the synapse formation in the mouse neocortex.

While the absence of γ-PCDHs causes significantly more synaptic formation among neocortical pyramidal neurons, evidence supports that their absence also leads to a significant reduction of synapse formation in other brain regions. For example, mice lacking γ-PCDHs exhibit fewer synapses in spinal cord interneurons(Weiner et al., 2005). Knocking down γ-PCDHs causes a decline in dendritic spines in cultured hippocampal neurons(Suo et al., 2012) and decrease the astrocyte-neuron contacts in the coculture from the developing spinal cord(Garrett and Weiner, 2009). The absence of γ-PCDHs leads to the reduction of dendritic arborization and dendritic spines in olfactory granule cells(Ledderose et al., 2013). Additionally, immuno-positive signals for γ-PCDHs are more frequently detected in mushroom spines than in thin spines(LaMassa et al., 2021). Moreover, we observed that the absence of γ-PCDHs impacted vertical-aligned neurons more than horizontal-situated pairs in the neocortex. These findings suggest that different mechanisms may be employed by synapses in different brain regions to achieve their specificity. Notably, different mechanisms have already been proposed for targeting specific inhibitory neural circuits in the neocortex, including "on-target" synapse formation for targeting apical dendrites and "off-target" synapse selective removal for somatic innervations(Gour et al., 2021).

In summary, our data demonstrate that the similarity level of γ-PCDH isoforms between neocortical neurons is critical for their synapse formation, with neurons expressing more similar γ-PCDH isoforms patterns exhibiting a lower probability of forming synapses between them. This suggests that the presence of γ-PCDHs enables neocortical neurons to choose which neurons to avoid synapsing with, rather than selecting specific neurons to form synapses with. Whether there are specific attractive

forces between cells to promote synaptic specificity remains an open question.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Yifeng Zhang for providing the *Pcdhg flox/flox* mouse line and Dr. Zilong

Qiu for *Nex-cre* mouse line, Dr. Jun Chu for sharing plasmids with mNeongreen and

mRuby3, and other members in Xu lab for their discussions and technique supports. We

are grateful to Prof. Mu-ming Poo and Song-hai Shi for critical reading of the

manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Training Program of the Major

Research Plan of the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant No.

91632101; Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences,

grant No. XDB32010100; National Natural Science Foundation of China project

31671113; Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project，grant No.

2018SHZDZX05，the State Key Laboratory of Neuroscience and the Lingang

Laboratory, grant No. LG-GG-202201-01.

Author contributions

H. -T. X. conceived the project. Y. -J. Z. performed most recordings and single-cell

analysis. C. -Y. D. and L. F. performed part of recordings. Y. -Q. W. prepared PCDH

plasmids. H. Z. prepared mice. Y. -J. Z. and H. -T. X. wrote the manuscript.

References

BAJAR, B. T., WANG, E. S., LAM, A. J., KIM, B. B., JACOBS, C. L., HOWE, E. S., DAVIDSON, M. W., LIN, M.
Z. & CHU, J. 2016. Improving brightness and photostability of green and red fluorescent
proteins for live cell imaging and FRET reporting. *Sci Rep,* 6**,** 20889. doi: 10.1038/srep20889.
BERNS, D. S., DENARDO, L. A., PEDERICK, D. T. & LUO, L. 2018. Teneurin-3 controls topographic circuit
assembly in the hippocampus. *Nature,* 554**,** 328-333. doi: 10.1038/nature25463.
BRASCH, J., GOODMAN, K. M., NOBLE, A. J., RAPP, M., MANNEPALLI, S., BAHNA, F., DANDEY, V. P.,
BEPLER, T., BERGER, B., MANIATIS, T., POTTER, C. S., CARRAGHER, B., HONIG, B. & SHAPIRO, L.
2019. Visualization of clustered protocadherin neuronal self-recognition complexes. *Nature,*
569**,** 280-283. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1089-3.
COURGEON, M. & DESPLAN, C. 2019. Coordination between stochastic and deterministic specification
in the Drosophila visual system. *Science,* 366doi: 10.1126/science.aay6727.
DOUGLAS, R. J. & MARTIN, K. A. 2004. Neuronal circuits of the neocortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci,* 27**,** 419-

51. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144152.

DUAN, X., KRISHNASWAMY, A., DE LA HUERTA, I. & SANES, J. R. 2014. Type II cadherins guide assembly of a direction-selective retinal circuit. *Cell,* 158**,** 793-807. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.047.

ESUMI, S., KAKAZU, N., TAGUCHI, Y., HIRAYAMA, T., SASAKI, A., HIRABAYASHI, T., KOIDE, T., KITSUKAWA, T., HAMADA, S. & YAGI, T. 2005. Monoallelic yet combinatorial expression of variable exons of the protocadherin-alpha gene cluster in single neurons. *Nat Genet,* 37**,** 171-6. doi: 10.1038/ng1500.

FERNANDEZ-MONREAL, M., KANG, S. & PHILLIPS, G. R. 2009. Gamma-protocadherin homophilic interaction and intracellular trafficking is controlled by the cytoplasmic domain in neurons. *Mol Cell Neurosci,* 40**,** 344-53. doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2008.12.002.

GARRETT, A. M. & WEINER, J. A. 2009. Control of CNS synapse development by {gamma}-protocadherin-mediated astrocyte-neuron contact. *J Neurosci,* 29**,** 11723-31. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2818-09.2009.

GOEBBELS, S., BORMUTH, I., BODE, U., HERMANSON, O., SCHWAB, M. H. & NAVE, K. A. 2006. Genetic targeting of principal neurons in neocortex and hippocampus of NEX-Cre mice. *Genesis,* 44**,** 611-21. doi: 10.1002/dvg.20256.

GOUR, A., BOERGENS, K. M., HEIKE, N., HUA, Y., LASERSTEIN, P., SONG, K. & HELMSTAEDTER, M. 2021. Postnatal connectomic development of inhibition in mouse barrel cortex. *Science,* 371doi: 10.1126/science.abb4534.

HE, S., LI, Z., GE, S., YU, Y. C. & SHI, S. H. 2015. Inside-Out Radial Migration Facilitates Lineage-Dependent Neocortical Microcircuit Assembly. *Neuron,* 86**,** 1159-66. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.002.

HIRAYAMA, T., TARUSAWA, E., YOSHIMURA, Y., GALJART, N. & YAGI, T. 2012. CTCF is required for neural development and stochastic expression of clustered Pcdh genes in neurons. *Cell Rep,* 2**,** 345-57. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.06.014.

HOLTMAAT, A. & SVOBODA, K. 2009. Experience-dependent structural synaptic plasticity in the mammalian brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci,* 10**,** 647-58. doi: 10.1038/nrn2699.

HONIG, B. & SHAPIRO, L. 2020. Adhesion Protein Structure, Molecular Affinities, and Principles of Cell-Cell Recognition. *Cell,* 181**,** 520-535. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.010.

JANG, S., LEE, H. & KIM, E. 2017. Synaptic adhesion molecules and excitatory synaptic transmission. *Curr Opin Neurobiol,* 45**,** 45-50. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2017.03.005.

KANEKO, R., KATO, H., KAWAMURA, Y., ESUMI, S., HIRAYAMA, T., HIRABAYASHI, T. & YAGI, T. 2006. Allelic gene regulation of Pcdh-alpha and Pcdh-gamma clusters involving both monoallelic and biallelic expression in single Purkinje cells. *J Biol Chem,* 281**,** 30551-60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M605677200.

KOHMURA, N., SENZAKI, K., HAMADA, S., KAI, N., YASUDA, R., WATANABE, M., ISHII, H., YASUDA, M., MISHINA, M. & YAGI, T. 1998. Diversity revealed by a novel family of cadherins expressed in neurons at a synaptic complex. *Neuron,* 20**,** 1137-51. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80495-x.

KOSTADINOV, D. & SANES, J. R. 2015. Protocadherin-dependent dendritic self-avoidance regulates neural connectivity and circuit function. *Elife,* 4doi: 10.7554/eLife.08964.

LAMASSA, N., SVERDLOV, H., MAMBETALIEVA, A., SHAPIRO, S., BUCARO, M., FERNANDEZ-MONREAL, M. & PHILLIPS, G. R. 2021. Gamma-protocadherin localization at the synapse is associated with parameters of synaptic maturation. *J Comp Neurol,* 529**,** 2407-2417. doi: 10.1002/cne.25102.

LEDDEROSE, J., DIETER, S. & SCHWARZ, M. K. 2013. Maturation of postnatally generated olfactory bulb
granule cells depends on functional gamma-protocadherin expression. *Sci Rep,* 3**,** 1514. doi:
10.1038/srep01514.
LEFEBVRE, J. L., KOSTADINOV, D., CHEN, W. V., MANIATIS, T. & SANES, J. R. 2012. Protocadherins
mediate dendritic self-avoidance in the mammalian nervous system. *Nature,* 488**,** 517-21.
doi: 10.1038/nature11305.
LEFEBVRE, J. L., ZHANG, Y., MEISTER, M., WANG, X. & SANES, J. R. 2008. gamma-Protocadherins
regulate neuronal survival but are dispensable for circuit formation in retina. *Development,*
135**,** 4141-51. doi: 10.1242/dev.027912.
LENDVAI, B., STERN, E. A., CHEN, B. & SVOBODA, K. 2000. Experience-dependent plasticity of dendritic
spines in the developing rat barrel cortex in vivo. *Nature,* 404**,** 876-81. doi:
10.1038/35009107.
LV, X., LI, S., LI, J., YU, X. Y., GE, X., LI, B., HU, S., LIN, Y., ZHANG, S., YANG, J., ZHANG, X., YAN, J., JOYNER,
A. L., SHI, H., WU, Q. & SHI, S. H. 2022. Patterned cPCDH expression regulates the fine
organization of the neocortex. *Nature,* 612**,** 503-511. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05495-2.
MOLUMBY, M. J., ANDERSON, R. M., NEWBOLD, D. J., KOBLESKY, N. K., GARRETT, A. M., SCHREINER, D.,
RADLEY, J. J. & WEINER, J. A. 2017. gamma-Protocadherins Interact with Neuroligin-1 and
Negatively Regulate Dendritic Spine Morphogenesis. *Cell Rep,* 18**,** 2702-2714. doi:
10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.060.
MOLUMBY, M. J., KEELER, A. B. & WEINER, J. A. 2016. Homophilic Protocadherin Cell-Cell Interactions
Promote Dendrite Complexity. *Cell Rep,* 15**,** 1037-1050. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.093.
PHILLIPS, G. R., TANAKA, H., FRANK, M., ELSTE, A., FIDLER, L., BENSON, D. L. & COLMAN, D. R. 2003.
Gamma-protocadherins are targeted to subsets of synapses and intracellular organelles in
neurons. *J Neurosci,* 23**,** 5096-104. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-12-05096.2003.
RAWSON, R. L., MARTIN, E. A. & WILLIAMS, M. E. 2017. Mechanisms of input and output synaptic
specificity: finding partners, building synapses, and fine-tuning communication. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol, 45**,** 39-44. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2017.03.006.
RUBINSTEIN, R., THU, C. A., GOODMAN, K. M., WOLCOTT, H. N., BAHNA, F., MANNEPALLI, S., AHLSEN,
G., CHEVEE, M., HALIM, A., CLAUSEN, H., MANIATIS, T., SHAPIRO, L. & HONIG, B. 2015.
Molecular logic of neuronal self-recognition through protocadherin domain interactions. *Cell,*
163**,** 629-42. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.026.
SERIZAWA, S., MIYAMICHI, K., TAKEUCHI, H., YAMAGISHI, Y., SUZUKI, M. & SAKANO, H. 2006. A
neuronal identity code for the odorant receptor-specific and activity-dependent axon sorting.
Cell, 127**,** 1057-69. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.031.
STEFFEN, D. M., FERRI, S. L., MARCUCCI, C. G., BLOCKLINGER, K. L., MOLUMBY, M. J., ABEL, T. &
WEINER, J. A. 2021. The gamma-Protocadherins Interact Physically and Functionally with
Neuroligin-2 to Negatively Regulate Inhibitory Synapse Density and Are Required for Normal
Social Interaction. *Mol Neurobiol,* 58**,** 2574-2589. doi: 10.1007/s12035-020-02263-z.
SUO, L., LU, H., YING, G., CAPECCHI, M. R. & WU, Q. 2012. Protocadherin clusters and cell adhesion
kinase regulate dendrite complexity through Rho GTPase. *J Mol Cell Biol,* 4**,** 362-76. doi:
10.1093/jmcb/mjs034.
SYTNYK, V., LESHCHYNS'KA, I. & SCHACHNER, M. 2017. Neural Cell Adhesion Molecules of the
Immunoglobulin Superfamily Regulate Synapse Formation, Maintenance, and Function.
Trends Neurosci, 40**,** 295-308. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2017.03.003.

TAN, L., ZHANG, K. X., PECOT, M. Y., NAGARKAR-JAISWAL, S., LEE, P. T., TAKEMURA, S. Y., MCEWEN, J.
M., NERN, A., XU, S., TADROS, W., CHEN, Z., ZINN, K., BELLEN, H. J., MOREY, M. & ZIPURSKY, S.
L. 2015. Ig Superfamily Ligand and Receptor Pairs Expressed in Synaptic Partners in
Drosophila. *Cell,* 163**,** 1756-69. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.021.
TARUSAWA, E., SANBO, M., OKAYAMA, A., MIYASHITA, T., KITSUKAWA, T., HIRAYAMA, T., HIRABAYASHI,
T., HASEGAWA, S., KANEKO, R., TOYODA, S., KOBAYASHI, T., KATO-ITOH, M., NAKAUCHI, H.,
HIRABAYASHI, M., YAGI, T. & YOSHIMURA, Y. 2016. Establishment of high reciprocal
connectivity between clonal cortical neurons is regulated by the Dnmt3b DNA
methyltransferase and clustered protocadherins. *BMC Biol,* 14**,** 103. doi: 10.1186/s12915-
016-0326-6.
TOYODA, S., KAWAGUCHI, M., KOBAYASHI, T., TARUSAWA, E., TOYAMA, T., OKANO, M., ODA, M.,
NAKAUCHI, H., YOSHIMURA, Y., SANBO, M., HIRABAYASHI, M., HIRAYAMA, T., HIRABAYASHI, T.
& YAGI, T. 2014. Developmental epigenetic modification regulates stochastic expression of
clustered protocadherin genes, generating single neuron diversity. *Neuron,* 82**,** 94-108. doi:
10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.005.
WADA, T., WALLERICH, S. & BECSKEI, A. 2018. Stochastic Gene Choice during Cellular Differentiation.
Cell Rep, 24, 3503-3512. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.074.
WANG, X., WEINER, J. A., LEVI, S., CRAIG, A. M., BRADLEY, A. & SANES, J. R. 2002. Gamma
protocadherins are required for survival of spinal interneurons. *Neuron,* 36**,** 843-54. doi:
10.1016/s0896-6273(02)01090-5.
WEINER, J. A., WANG, X., TAPIA, J. C. & SANES, J. R. 2005. Gamma protocadherins are required for
synaptic development in the spinal cord. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 102**,** 8-14. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0407931101.
WU, Q. & MANIATIS, T. 1999. A striking organization of a large family of human neural cadherin-like
cell adhesion genes. *Cell,* 97**,** 779-90. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80789-8.
YAGI, T. 2012. Molecular codes for neuronal individuality and cell assembly in the brain. *Front Mol
Neurosci,* 5**,** 45. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2012.00045.
YOGEV, S. & SHEN, K. 2014. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of synaptic specificity. *Annu Rev Cell
Dev Biol,* 30**,** 417-37. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-012953.

Figures:

Zhu, *et al.* **Figure 1**

Figure 1: Diversified expression of *pcdhg* isoforms in neocortical neurons.

(**A**) UMAP analysis of all cells (n=17438) collected from 5'-end single-cell sequencing after the cleanup and doublets removing. Neurons were labeled as green dots and non-neurons as red. (**B**) UMAP clusters of all neurons further labeled by the UMI cutoff. Red dots represented cells with no more than 10 total UMIs of *pcdhg* (n=3671), and green dots represented cells with more than 10 UMIs (n=2834). (**C**) Fractions of neurons with more than 10 UMIs in different clusters. (**D**) Fractions of neurons expressing different *pcdhg* isoforms in the neocortex. (**E**) Fraction distribution for different similarity levels in the combinatorial expression of *pcdhg* variable isoforms among neurons. The similarity level was calculated as $\frac{A \cap B}{A \cup B}$. (**F**) The observed distribution (black) for the fraction of cells with a various number of isoforms for all neurons. The shuffled distribution (red) was generated by the hypothesis that all the isoform expressed stochastically. (**G**) The difference between observed and shuffled variance of the fraction distribution of cells from all clusters.

Zhu *et al.*, Figure 2

Figure 2: The absence of γ-PCDH increased the synaptic connectivity.

(**A**) Sample traces (red/green, average traces; gray, 10 original traces) of a multiple electrode whole-cell patch-clamp recording on six neurons in the layer 2/3 of the barrel cortex. Positive evoked postsynaptic responses were indicated by arrows. Orange/green arrows: unidirectional/ bidirectional synaptic connections. Scale bars, 100 mV (green), 50 pA (red), and 50 ms (black). (**B**) Connectivity probability among nearby pyramidal cells in the layer 2/3 of the barrel cortex in *pcdhg* cKO mice and their littermate WT controls. γKO: *pcdhg flox/flox*::*nex-cre* mice; Ctrl: *pcdhg+/+*::*nex-cre*. (**C**) Connectivity probability among vertically or horizontally aligned neurons in the layer 2/3 of the barrel cortex in *pcdhg* cKO mice and their littermate WT controls. (**D**) Connectivity probability among vertically aligned pyramidal cells in the layer 2/3 of the barrel cortex in *pcdha* cKO mice and their littermate WT controls. αKO: *pcdha flox/flox*::*nex-cre* mice; Ctrl: *pcdha+/+*::*nex-cre* mice. (**E**) Connectivity probability among vertically aligned pyramidal cells along with the distance

Zhu *et al.*, Figure 3

Figure 3: Overexpressing identical variables, but not C-types γ-PCDHs in neurons decreased their synaptic connectivity.

(**A**) The sketch to show the brain regions used for RT-qPCR for both experimental and control

groups. (**B**) The overexpression levels were tested by RT-qPCR of electroporated regions.

Electroporated isoforms: red; control isoforms: blue. The contralateral sides were used as controls

shown in black. Student's *t test* was used, *: $p<0.05$, **: $p<0.01$, ***: $p<0.001$, ****: $p<0.0001$. (**C**)

The diagram of extracting cells for the single-cell RT-PCR assay. (**D**) Single-cell RT-PCR for γ-

PCDH isoforms after the electroporation. Green numbers indicated neurons with fluorescence, and

black ones represented nearby neurons without fluorescence. N1-N6 were negative controls for the

PCR. Isoforms used in the electroporation were shown in green. Red stars indicate faint signals in

negative controls. (**E**) The effect of overexpressing one or six γ-PCDH isoforms on the synaptic

connectivity in WT mice. 1 isoform: *pcdhga2*; 6 isoforms: *pcdhga2*, *pcdhga8*, *pcdhga10*, *pcdhgb1*,

pcdhgb2, and *pcdhgb6*; gC4: *pcdhgC4*; Control: the plasmid vector without *pcdhg* insertion. (**F**)

The effect of overexpressing 6 γ-PCDH isoforms on the synaptic connectivity in *pcdhg* cKO mice.

6 used isoforms were same with the ones in (**E**). *pcdhg cKO*: *pcdhg* conditional knockout mice;

Control: WT littermates. Chi-square test and false discovery rate (FDR) correction were used to

determine the statistical differences between groups in (**E**) and (**F**).

Zhu *et al.*, Figure 4

Figure 4: Diversified γ-PCDHs were critical for synapse formation in cortical neurons.

(**A**) The diagram of a sequential *in utero* electroporation at E14.5 and E15.5. (**B**) The overexpressed γ-PCDH isoforms in different sets of experiments to achieve different similarity levels between neurons. S.l., Similarity level; EP, Electroporation; P., plasmids mixture; s./d., same/different isoforms in two electroporations. (**C**) A sample image of recorded neurons after two times of electroporation at E14.5 with plasmids carrying mNeongreen and E15.5 with plasmids carrying mRuby3. In this sample, cells 3 and 4 are mNeongreen positive, cell 6 is mRuby3 positive, and cells 1, 2, and 5 are negative ones without fluorescence. Neurobiotin was added into the internal solution to indicate recorded neurons. The translucent arrows showed the positions of electrodes. Scale bar, left, 100μm, right, 25μm. (**D**) The connectivity probability for neuron pairs overexpressed different batch of γ-PCDHs isoforms (labeled with different fluorescence) after a sequential *in utero*

545   electroporation. Chi-square test and false discovery rate (FDR) correction were used to determine

546   the statistical difference. (**E**) The correlation between the similarity level of overexpressed γ-PCDHs

547   combination and the probability of synaptic connection rate (The source data were the same as d).

548   (**F**) The graph summary of γ-PCDHs' effect on synapse formation.