Contribution of markers of adiposopathy and adipose cell size in predicting insulin resistance markers in women of varying age and adiposity

Eve-Julie Tremblay[1,2], André Tchernof[2,3], Mélissa Pelletier[2], Nicolas Chabot[1,2], Denis R. Joanisse[1,2] and Pascale Mauriège[1,2]

[1]Département de kinésiologie, Faculté de médecine, Université Laval, Québec

[2]Centre de recherche de l'institut Universitaire de cardiologie et pneumologie de Québec (CRIUCPQ), Université Laval, Québec

[3]École de Nutrition, Faculté des sciences de l'agriculture et de l'alimentation, Université Laval, Québec

Corresponding author:
Pascale Mauriège, PhD
Département de Kinésiologie
PEPS, local 0290C
Faculté de Médecine
Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada
Tél: (418) 656-2131 ext. 407268
Fax: (418) 656-2441
Courriel: pascale.mauriege@kin.ulaval.ca

Abstract

Markers of adipose tissue (AT) dysfunctions, such as adipocyte hypertrophy, macrophage infiltration and secretory adiposopathy (low plasma adiponectin/leptin, A/L, ratio), associate with metabolic disorders. However, no study has compared the relative contribution of these markers to cardiometabolic risk in women of varying age and adiposity. Body composition, regional AT distribution, lipid-lipoprotein profile, glucose homeostasis and plasma A and L levels were determined in 67 women (age: 40-62 years; BMI: 17-41 kg/m²). Expression of macrophage infiltration marker CD68 and adipocyte size were measured from subcutaneous abdominal (SCABD) and omental (OME) fat samples. AT dysfunction markers were correlated with most lipid-lipoprotein levels such as TAGs (-0.36<rho<0.46; 0.0005<p<0.05), except OME CD68 expression which was negatively related to HDL-cholesterol. The A/L ratio was negatively associated with fasting insulinemia and HOMA-IR (-0.60<rho<-0.63; p<0.0001), while SCABD or OME adipocyte size and SCABD CD68 expression were positively related to these variables (0.39<rho<0.59; p<0.01). Multiple regression analyses including these markers and triacylglycerol (TAG) levels revealed that the A/L ratio was the only predictor of fasting insulinemia and HOMA-IR (partial R^2=0.31-0.33; 0.0005<p<0.005) in the model with TAGs and CD68 expression. However, the contribution of the A/L ratio was supplanted by adipose cell size in the model where the latter replaced TAGs. Combination of tertiles of largest adipocyte size and lowest A/L ratio showed the highest HOMA-IR. Taken together, our results show that adipocyte hypertrophy combined with reduced A/L ratio was related to increased IR, suggesting an independent contribution of both markers to the variance of cardiometabolic risk.

Introduction

Obesity contributes to the development of several metabolic complications, although the distribution of adipose tissue (AT) is more significant with respect to health outcomes than obesity per se [1,2]. Indeed, visceral obesity, defined as an accumulation of intra-abdominal AT, is closely associated with metabolic disorders such as chronic, low-grade inflammation, insulin resistance (IR), metabolic syndrome (MetS), type 2 diabetes (T2D), dyslipidemia, hypertension and cardiovascular disease (CVD), *i.e*. increased cardiometabolic risk [3,4].

In addition, the way in which AT manages the excess energy as lipids can vary with obesity and leads to greater health risk [5,6]. In this regard, AT expansion can occur via either adipocyte hypertrophy or hyperplasia [7], and the number and the size of adipose cells may represent significant markers of AT metabolic dysfunction [8,9]. While cell size is known to generally increase with obesity level irrespective of the anatomical location [10], hyperplasia was predominant in subcutaneous abdominal (SCABD) fat depots, whereas adipose cell hypertrophy was observed both in the omental (OME) and SCABD compartments of women with obesity [11]. Moreover, women characterized by OME adipocyte hypertrophy presented a worsened lipid-lipoprotein profile compared with women characterized by OME adipocyte hyperplasia, regardless of differences in body composition and regional fat distribution [9]. These results suggest that adipose cell size, and more importantly OME adipocyte hypertrophy, more closely associates with cardiometabolic disorders.

With obesity, AT is also infiltrated by immune cells such as macrophages which contribute to local and systemic inflammation [12], and are thus involved in metabolic complications such as IR [13]. This infiltration is typically assessed by the expression of several macrophage-specific

genes such as CD68 (a marker of total macrophage inflammation), CD11b, and CD11c, which are surface markers involved in the binding of antigens, adhesion molecules, and macrophage-specific growth factors [14]. Total adiposity, regional fat distribution, OME and SCABD adipose cell sizes are all positively correlated with the expression of CD68, CD11b, and CD11c, stronger correlations being observed at the SCABD than the OME level [15,16].

Another dimension of adiposopathy (or "sick fat") relates to secretory dysfunctions [17], which are frequently observed with obesity. Indeed, AT secretes a wide variety of biologically active adipokines [18], including leptin and adiponectin which are primarily secreted by subcutaneous and visceral adipose cells respectively [19]. The adiponectin (anti-inflammatory) to leptin (pro-inflammatory) (A/L) ratio is often used to assess the AT secretory state [20]. Indeed, as an increase in circulating leptin and a decrease in adiponectin levels are observed in obesity [21], a low plasma A/L ratio is associated with a worsened AT secretory dysfunction [22]. Also, the A/L ratio was shown to be a stronger correlate to IR than adiponectin or leptin alone [23], an independent predictor of insulin sensitivity (IS) in women with moderate obesity [24] and men presenting obesity without [22] or with MetS [25], glucose intolerance [26] or T2D [23].

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no attempt to compare the relative importance of the secretory dimension of adiposopathy to other AT dysfunction markers regarding cardiometabolic risk. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the respective contribution of the plasma A/L ratio, adipose cell size and the expression of macrophage infiltration markers at both the SCABD and OME levels on adiposity, lipid-lipoprotein profile, glucose homeostasis, particularly IR, in a sample of women with varying age and adiposity.

Results

Participants' characteristics

Table 1 summarizes participants' characteristics. Although being overweight on average according to their BMI (27.2 ± 5.0 kg/m^2), patients nevertheless showed a wide range of body fatness, regional fat distribution and adipocyte hypertrophy at both the SCABD and the OME levels. From the range of fasting glucose and insulin values, our sample included normal glucose tolerant to intolerant (but not diabetic) individuals. Also, adiponectin and leptin levels showed marked inter-individual variation attesting to variations in AT secretory function, with plasma A/L ratio values ranging from 0.04 to 34.2. Regarding macrophage infiltration markers, CD68 mRNA levels were similar in the SCABD and OME fat depots (1.3 ± 0.8 and 1.2 ± 0.5, respectively). Although expression of CD11b did not show regional variation (1.0 ± 0.5 in both depots), CD11c mRNA abundance was higher in SCABD than in OME AT (1.7 ± 1.4 and 0.8 ± 0.7; p=0.0002) (data not shown).

Table 1 near here.

Relationships between selected AT dysfunction markers and participants' characteristics

As shown in **Table 2**, the plasma A/L ratio was strongly and negatively associated with both the SCABD and VISC AT areas. The A/L ratio was also positively associated with HDL-cholesterol and negatively with TAG levels, but not with total cholesterol or LDL-cholesterol concentrations. A positive correlation was observed between adipose cell sizes and abdominal AT areas in both depots. Both SCABD and OME adipose cell sizes were negatively associated with

HDL-cholesterol and positively with TAGs, but only the latter showed a positive correlation with LDL-cholesterol. SCABD CD68 expression was positively associated with VISC AT area and with most lipid-lipoprotein levels, except cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol, whereas OME CD68 was negatively correlated with HDL-cholesterol levels and positively correlated with the cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio.

Table 2 near here.

In addition, SCABD CD11b mRNA levels were positively associated with SCABD and VISC AT areas (0.33<rho<0.36; p<0.05) and with the cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (rho=0.33; p<0.05), while being negatively related to HDL-cholesterol concentrations (rho=-0.34; p<0.05). Positive associations were found between OME CD11b expression and VISC fat area (rho=0.32; p<0.05) as well as the cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (rho=0.34; p<0.05). Although there was no relationship between SCABD CD11c expression and regional fat distribution or lipid-lipoprotein profile, a marginally significant association was observed between OME CD11c mRNA levels and VISC AT area (rh =0.28; p=0.049) (data not shown).

Regarding glucose homeostasis, adipose cell size, irrespective of anatomic location, was positively related to fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR **(Figures 1A to 1D)**. The plasma A/L ratio was negatively associated with the latter variables **(Figures 1E and 1F)** while SCABD CD68 (but not that of OME) mRNA levels were positively associated with fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR **(Figures 1G and 1H)**. Fasting glucose levels were related to adipocyte size in both AT depots (0.38<rho<0.43; 0.001<p<0.005) but were not correlated with the plasma A/L ratio or to CD68 expression. In addition, SCABD (but not OME) CD11b expression was associated with fasting insulinemia and HOMA-IR (0.34<rho<0.37; 0.01<p<0.05) but not with fasting glycemia. Finally,

CD11c expression assessed at the SCABD or the OME AT was not associated with any variable reflecting glucose homeostasis (data not shown).

Figure 1 near here.

On the other hand, a strong and negative relationship was observed between the A/L ratio and SCABD or OME adipose cell size ($-0.72 < rho < -0.62$; $p < 0.0001$). As these relationships did not reach collinearity, we proceeded with multiple regression analyses to identify variables independently predicting IR in our sample of women.

Multiple regression modelling of glucose homeostasis

To investigate among selected variables the best predictors of glucose homeostasis and more particularly of IR, the strongest single correlates from different dimensions of AT dysfunction were entered into stepwise multiple regression models with or without SCABD or OME adipose cell size **(Tables 3)**. In models without cell size, the plasma A/L ratio was a strong predictor of HOMA-IR and fasting insulin levels but not of fasting glucose concentrations, TAGs being the best predictor of the latter **(Table 3A)**. When TAGs were replaced by adipose cell size, the A/L ratio lost its independent predicting ability of the different variables reflecting glucose homeostasis **(Table 3B)**. SCABD or OME adipocyte size was the only predictor of HOMA-IR, fasting insulin and glucose levels retained in these models.

Tables 3 A and 3B near here.

Despite not being collinear, the strong relationship reported between the plasma A/L ratio and adipose cell size could have masked their independent contribution to IR in our regression models **(Tables 3A and 3B)**. We thus chose to perform partial correlation analyses including HOMA-IR, the plasma A/L ratio, and SCABD or OME adipose cell size. When including

OME adipose cell size, the correlation between A/L ratio and HOMA-IR remained significant (partial r=-0.315; p=0.024). In contrast, when including SCABD adipose cell size, the correlation between A/L ratio and HOMA-IR was lost (partial r=-0.253; p=0.068).

The apparently stronger association between A/L ratio and SCABD adipocyte size in predicting IR led us to investigate the relationships between secretory adiposopathy and AT morphology. We ran a partial correlation analysis between A/L ratio, SCABD and OME adipose cell sizes. In these analyses, the correlation between A/L ratio and SCABD adipose cell size was retained (partial r=-0.50; p<0.0005), and that with OME cell size was lost (partial r=-0.15; p=0.36).

To further investigate the relationships between AT morphology and the secretory dimension of adiposopathy, we examined the distribution of adipose cell size in low (average 0.4 ± 0.8) vs high (5.1 ± 10.1) tertiles of plasma A/L ratio. In both SCABD (p<0.0001; **Figure 2A**) and OME (p=0.0003; **Figure 2B**) fat depots, participants with secretory adiposopathy (*i.e.,* low A/L ratio) were characterized as having larger adipocytes.

Figure 2 near here.

Despite these differences, we observed a large overlap in the distribution curves of cell sizes of those with high or low adiposopathy. Thus, we further examined, using 3-D graphs of tertiles, whether the combination of low plasma A/L ratio and adipocyte hypertrophy could be related to increased HOMA-IR**.** Indeed, the HOMA-IR index was increased by 2-3-fold compared to its value when considering the combination of a low A/L ratio and a high SCABD **(Figure 3A)** or OME **(Figure 3B)** adipose cell size. These data show that a combination of these two factors associates with the highest level of IR in our sample of women.

Figure 3 near here.

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to compare the contribution of three markers of AT dysfunction, *i.e.*, the plasma A/L ratio, adipose cell size and AT macrophage infiltration, to the variance in cardiometabolic risk factors and more particularly glucose homeostasis in women of varying age and obesity. Taken together, our data show that, in our study population, combined adipose cell hypertrophy at the SCABD or OME level and secretory adiposopathy (a low plasma A/L ratio) lead to a large increase in IR reflected by the HOMA-IR index.

In the present study, only limited and modest relationships were observed between OME CD68 and abdominal AT areas, the lipid-lipoprotein profile or glucose homeostasis. In contrast, SCABD CD68 was a better correlate with these outcomes. However, previous reports have shown positive relationships between total adiposity and body fat distribution and the expression of CD68, CD11b and CD11c in SCABD or in OME AT [15,16]. Our results were not in agreement with the findings of Harman-Boehm et al. who reported in a mixed cohort that the number of CD68+ macrophages infiltrating OME but not SCABD AT was associated with BMI and waist circumference [27]. These differences between our results and others could be explained by cohort composition (men and women vs women, number of participants) or the level of obesity and overall metabolic health, as OME AT macrophage infiltration is particularly exaggerated with centrally distributed obesity [27].

Enlarged fat cell size is considered less metabolically favorable and is generally associated with several of the metabolic abnormalities observed with obesity, including IR [9,28]. For example, men and women with SCABD or OME adipose cell hypertrophy displayed deteriorated insulin sensitivity and increased plasma insulin levels [29]; and women with larger-than-predicted

adipocytes in either OME or SCABD AT were characterized by an increased HOMA-IR index [30]. Our data agree with this previous work, as fasting insulin, and glucose levels as well as IR were found to correlate with SCABD and OME adipose cell sizes. Though several studies suggest that OME adipocyte hypertrophy has more deleterious effects on cardiometabolic outcomes when compared to SCABD adipose cell hypertrophy [8,9], the latter has nonetheless been associated with systemic IR in both men and women [28]. In our study, SCABD and OME adipose cell sizes were roughly equivalent predictors and correlates of abdominal AT areas, lipid-lipoprotein profile, and glucose homeostasis. This is perhaps not surprising, given the strong correlation we observed between SCABD and OME adipocyte sizes (rho = 0.78, p <0.0001), in agreement with previous work showing that mean cell size from all anatomical locations generally increases along with adiposity [10].

With regards to the relationship between AT morphology and secretory adiposopathy, we observed a shift toward larger cell sizes with deteriorated secretory function. This was especially true in the SCABD AT. This likely results from the greater impact of varying leptin levels compared to those of adiponectin when calculating the A/L ratio. Indeed, leptin is mainly produced by SCABD AT, and SCABD fat cell size is associated with leptin in both non-diabetic and T2D men and women [31]. As the main source of adiponectin, whose smaller range of values in our sample limits its influence on the A/L ratio when compared to leptin, it is not unexpected that we observed a greater overlap of the distribution curves for OME adipocyte size. Interestingly, the bimodal distribution in our high A/L ratio subgroup implies the presence of both small and large adipocytes in the OME depot of women with a favorable AT secretory profile. This bimodal size distribution was also observed in adipocytes from visceral (*i.e.,* omental and mesentery fat

depots) of non-diabetic individuals, while it was attenuated in T2D patients with a shift to larger sized cells [32]. We used regression analyses between SCABD or OME fat cell size and the corresponding abdominal fat areas to assess the significance of hyperplasia in AT secretory function. In a complementary analysis, participants were stratified in two subgroups according to the residuals of this regression (either above or under the 95% confidence interval), in which women with a positive residual were considered as having AT hypertrophy, as women with a negative residual were considered as having AT hyperplasia. Our results revealed no difference in the A/L ratio between the two subgroups (data not shown), suggesting that hyperplasia did not impact the association between AT morphology and adiposopathy.

Our data showed that a low plasma A/L ratio was positively associated with several variables of the lipid-lipoprotein profile, as well as fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR. This adds to a growing number of reports showing associations between secretory adiposopathy and IR markers. For example, we have already shown such relationships with HOMA-IR as well as insulin area under the curve (AUC) in response to an oral glucose tolerance test in healthy women with obesity [24]. Our group further reported differences in fasting insulinemia, insulin AUC, HOMA-IR and HOMA β-cell indices, as well as in the Stumvoll index (which reflects peripheral IS) between postmenopausal women with low and high A/L ratios [33]. Our data showing that women characterized by a low A/L ratio present higher fasting insulinemia and HOMA-IR index reinforce these observations. Furthermore, our data show that women with a low A/L ratio had higher TAG and lower HDL-cholesterol levels as well as a higher cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio, a finding concordant with previous observations of Vega and Grundy [22].

Our group has previously reported that the A/L ratio was an independent predictor of IR and IS in pre- and post-menopausal women characterized by overweight to moderate obesity [24], and an independent predictor of IS in men without T2D [26]. Although the multiple regression models in these studies used waist circumference as an index of adiposity, this measurement cannot distinguish subcutaneous from visceral adiposity [34], or adipocyte morphology. In the present study, while we did observe an independent contribution of the A/L ratio to IR in some multiple regression models, this was lost when SCABD or OME cell size was added to the models. Though this shows that adipocyte cell size is likely a better predictor of IR, conceptually it can be argued that secretory adiposopathy could further add to IR beyond cell morphology. Also, because a strong (but not collinear) correlation was observed between the A/L ratio and adipose cell size, this could have masked independent effects in our models given the limited sample size, a notion supported by our partial correlation analyses data. We thus chose to explore the impact of combined secretory adiposopathy and adipose cell hypertrophy. Results presented in Figure 3 clearly show that the highest HOMA-IR values were observed in women presenting the largest SCABD or OME adipose cell size and the lowest A/L ratio.

Although our study presents many strengths such as the comparison of three distinct markers of AT dysfunction, the determination of body fatness using DEXA, the "gold standard" methodology and of regional fat distribution with computed tomography, as well as the large range of age and total adiposity of our sample, some limitations merit mention. First, as our study included Caucasian pre-, peri and postmenopausal women, results cannot be examined according to the hormonal status (and/or the use of HRT) of our cohort population or extrapolated to men or to different age and ethnic groups. Second, as we measured only the

expression of macrophage markers, we cannot assert with certainty that data are equivalent to cell infiltration within AT [15,16]. Third, because AT contains adipocytes and the stromal vascular fraction, heterogeneity in the proportion of each cell type [35] might explain the varying macrophage gene expression pattern observed between ATs, without actual differences occurring within a given cell type between depots. It would be thus interesting to evaluate the proportion of macrophages by immunohistochemistry. Finally, the lack of measurement at the mRNA and/or protein level(s) of the adipokines for the evaluation of adiposopathy did not allow us to link their plasma levels to their corresponding AT expression since adiponectin is predominantly secreted by OME fat [19] while leptin is produced by SCABD AT, exclusively [36]. Although the plasma A/L ratio is considered a marker of AT secretory dysfunction [24,26,33], no study has yet measured the SCABD and OME AT A/L ratios and attempted to link them to the plasma A/L ratio and other markers of AT dysfunction in individuals characterized by a wide range of age and adiposity.

Conclusion

Overall, our study shows that secretory adiposopathy, assessed as the plasma A/L ratio, is a good predictor of glucose homeostasis, and more specifically IR. However, adipose cell size, regardless of the fat depot, appears to be a better predictor of IR. Finally, adipose cell hypertrophy combined with a low plasma A/L ratio appears to be related to increased IR, and thus to cardiometabolic risk, in our sample of women of varying age and adiposity. Further studies performed in a larger cohort are clearly warranted to confirm these observations.

Participants and Methods

<u>Study Participants</u>

The study included 67 Caucasian women, aged 40-62 years and who underwent abdominal gynecological surgery at the Laval University Medical Center. Women underwent subtotal (n=3) or total abdominal hysterectomies (n=30), some with salpingo-oophorectomy of one (n=11) or two (n=23) ovaries. Reasons for surgery included one or more of the following: menorrhagia/menometrorrhagia (n=33), myoma/fibroids (n=44), incapacitating dysmenorrhea (n=11), pelvic pain (n=3), benign cyst (n=15), endometriosis (n=11), adenomyosis (n=2), pelvic adhesions (n=4), benign cystadenoma (n=1), endometrial hyperplasia (n=5), polyp (n=3) or ovarian thecoma (n=1). Hormonal status was available for 65 women: 2 of 31 premenopausal and 3 of 15 perimenopausal women used hormone replacement therapy (HRT); and only one of 11 postmenopausal women was under HRT for more than 12 months. The status of the other 8 women was uncertain (n = 6) or undetermined (n = 2). This study was approved by the medical ethics committees of Laval University Medical Center and IUCPQ (approval number # 21049). All participants provided written informed consent before their inclusion in the study.

<u>Body fatness and body fat distribution measurements</u>

Tests were performed on the morning of or within a few days before or after surgery. Measures of total body fat mass, fat percentage and lean body mass, were determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), using a Hologic QDR-2000 densitometer and the enhanced array whole-body software V5.73A (Hologic Inc., Bedford, USA). Measurement of abdominal subcutaneous and visceral AT cross-sectional areas was performed by computed tomography,

using a GE Light Speed 1.1 CT scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) and the Light Speed QX/I 1.0 production software, as previously described [37]. Participants were examined in the supine position and both arms stretched above the head. The scan was performed at the L4-L5 vertebrae level with a scout image of the body to establish the precise scanning position. The quantification of visceral AT area was done by delineating the intra-abdominal cavity at the internal-most aspect of the abdominal and oblique muscle walls surrounding the cavity and the posterior aspect of the vertebral body using the ImageJ 1.33u software (National Institutes of Health). AT was highlighted and computed using an attenuation range of −190 to −30 Hounsfield units. The coefficients of variation between two measures from the same observer (n = 10) were 0.0, 0.2, and 0.5%, for total, subcutaneous, and visceral AT areas, respectively.

Adipose tissue sampling and adipocyte isolation

Superficial SCABD and OME AT samples were collected during the surgical procedure (detailed in Participants' section) and were immediately sent to the laboratory in phosphate buffer saline preheated at 37 °C. SCABD fat samples were collected at the site of a transverse lower abdominal incision, and OME AT was collected from the distal portion of the greater omentum. Adipocyte isolation was performed with a portion of the fresh biopsy and the remaining tissue was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for subsequent analyses. AT samples were digested with collagenase type I in Krebs-Ringer-Henseleit (KRH) buffer for 45 min at 37 °C, according to a modified version of the Rodbell method [38,39], as previously described [38,39]. Adipocyte suspensions were filtered through nylon mesh and

washed three times with KRBH buffer. Mature adipocyte suspensions were visualized using a contrast microscope attached to a camera and computer interface for cell size measurements. Pictures of cell suspensions were taken, and the Scion Image software was used to measure the size (diameter) of 250 adipocytes for each tissue sample. Average adipocyte diameter was used in analyses.

Lipid-lipoprotein profile

Blood samples were obtained after a 12-hour fast on the morning of surgery. Cholesterol and triacyglycerol (TAG) levels were measured in plasma and lipoprotein fractions with a Technicon RA-analyzer (Bayer, Etobicoke, Canada) using enzymatic methods, as described previously [40]. The high-density lipoprotein (HDL) fraction was obtained by precipitation of low-density lipoproteins (LDL) from the infranatant with heparin and $MnCl_2$ [41]. The cholesterol content of the infranatant measured before and after precipitation, and the concentration of LDL-cholesterol was obtained by difference.

Glucose homeostasis and adipokines

Fasting glucose and insulin levels were measured in blood samples obtained on the morning of surgery. Plasma glucose was measured using the glucose oxidase method and plasma insulin by radioimmunoassay (Linco Research). The HOMA insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index was calculated using fasting insulin (μU/mL) x fasting glucose (mmol/L)/22.5 [42]. Plasma leptin (Linco Research) and adiponectin (B-Bridge International) levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent ELISA assays from pre-surgery blood samples.

<u>mRNA determination by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction</u>

Total RNA was isolated from SCABD and OME AT using the RNeasy lipid tissue extraction kit and on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA quality and concentration were assessed using the Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Complementary DNA was generated from 4 ug of total RNA with 50 ng of random hexamers, 300 ng of oligo dT_{18}, and 200 U of Superscript II Rnase H-RT (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and purified with QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Real-time complementary DNA amplification was performed in duplicate using the Light Cycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, USA) and SYBR Green I Master (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA), as follows: 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C to 62°C for 10 seconds, 72°C for 14 seconds, and then 76°C for 5 seconds (reading) repeated 45 times. Target gene amplifications were normalized using expression levels of ATP synthase O subunit (ATP5O) as the housekeeping gene. Expression levels of this gene were not associated with age and adiposity in our study sample. Similar results were obtained using other housekeeping genes. Primer sequences for CD68 (NM_001251; sense: 5'-GCAGCAACTCGAGCATCATTCTT-3'; anti-sense: 5'-CGAGGAGGCCAAGAAGGATCA-3'), CD11b (NM_000632; sense: 5'-TTCCAGAACAACCCTAACCCAAGATC-3'; anti-sense: 5'-ATCGCCAAACTTTTCTCCATCCG-3'), and CD11c (NM_000887; sense: 5'-GGCCATGCACAGATACCAGGT-3'; antisense: 5'-CTGGGGGTGCGATTTTCTCTG-3'), were designed using Gene Tools (Biotools), as previously described [42].

<u>Statistical analysis</u>

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute, Carry, NC, USA). Data were considered statistically different when $p < 0.05$. Non-normally distributed variables were log10 transformed for parametric analyses. Spearman correlations were used to assess relationships between variables. Stepwise (mixed) regression modeling was used to assess the independent contributions of the retained variables to IR (HOMA index), and to fasting insulin and glucose levels. Two AT dysfunction markers, the plasma A/L ratio and SCABD or OME CD68 mRNA levels, and one lipid-lipoprotein marker, TAG levels, were chosen based on the strength of simple correlations with the predicted variables and included in our first model. Plasma TAG levels were replaced by the SCABD or OME adipose cell size in a second model.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to investigate differences in adipocyte size distributions between patients with low vs high plasma A/L ratio tertiles. Partial correlations were used to investigate relationships between the plasma A/L ratio, SCABD or OME adipocyte size, and HOMA-IR. Finally, the A/L ratio and SCABD or OME adipose cell size were divided into tertiles (high, mid, and low), and 3-D graphs were used to highlight the impact of combined adiposopathy and fat cell size on HOMA-IR.

Declarations and ethics statements

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the medical ethics committees of Laval University Medical Center and IUCPQ (approval number # 21049).

Informed consent from participants

All participants provided written informed consent before their inclusion in the study.

Data availability statement

All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing interests

André Tchernof receives research funding from Johnson & Johnson Medical Companies, Medronic, and GI Windows for studies on bariatric surgery and had acted as consultant for Bausch Health, Novo Nordisk and Biotwin. Other authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by two operating grants (MOP-64182 and MOP-102642) obtained from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research by André Tchernof as well by a grant received by Pascale Mauriège, Denis R. Joanisse and André Tchernof from the Foundation of the Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec (CRIUCPQ).

Ève-Julie Tremblay obtained a distinction from the 17th French-Spanish Meeting of the Consortium Trans-Pyrénéen Obésité-Diabète (CTPIOD), France, November 2020, for her presentation of some of these data.

Author contributions

EJT performed the statistical analyses, interpreted the results, and developed the draft of the manuscript. NC helped EJT in conducting analyses and interpreting results. PM and DRJ supervised the study and provided a scientific framework for the study. MP participated to data collection, data base organization and ethics approval. AT supervised the clinical study in collaboration with the medical team. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The cooperation of study participants involved in this study is greatly appreciated.

ORCID

Pascale Mauriège: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9375-532X

References

1. Bastien M, Poirier P, Lemieux I, et al. Overview of epidemiology and contribution of obesity to cardiovascular disease. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2014 Jan-Feb;56(4):369-81.

2. Powell-Wiley TM, Poirier P, Burke LE, et al. Obesity and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2021 May 25;143(21):e984-e1010.

3. St-Pierre J, Lemieux I, Vohl MC, et al. Contribution of abdominal obesity and hypertriglyceridemia to impaired fasting glucose and coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 2002 Jul 1;90(1):15-8.

4. Piché ME, Poirier P, Lemieux I, et al. Overview of Epidemiology and Contribution of Obesity and Body Fat Distribution to Cardiovascular Disease: An Update. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2018 Jul - Aug;61(2):103-113.

5. Bays HE. Adiposopathy is "sick fat" a cardiovascular disease? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Jun 21;57(25):2461-73.

6. Neeland IJ, Poirier P, Després JP. Cardiovascular and Metabolic Heterogeneity of Obesity: Clinical Challenges and Implications for Management. Circulation. 2018 Mar 27;137(13):1391-1406.

7. Choe SS, Huh JY, Hwang IJ, et al. Adipose Tissue Remodeling: Its Role in Energy Metabolism and Metabolic Disorders. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2016;7:30.

8. Laforest S, Labrecque J, Michaud A, et al. Adipocyte size as a determinant of metabolic disease and adipose tissue dysfunction. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2015;52(6):301-13.

9. Veilleux A, Caron-Jobin M, Noël S, et al. Visceral adipocyte hypertrophy is associated with dyslipidemia independent of body composition and fat distribution in women. Diabetes. 2011 May;60(5):1504-11.

10. Tchernof A, Després JP. Pathophysiology of human visceral obesity: an update. Physiol Rev. 2013 Jan;93(1):359-404.

11. Drolet R, Richard C, Sniderman AD, et al. Hypertrophy and hyperplasia of abdominal adipose tissues in women. Int J Obes (Lond). 2008 Feb;32(2):283-91.

12. Reilly SM, Saltiel AR. Adapting to obesity with adipose tissue inflammation. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017 Nov;13(11):633-643.

13. Kawai T, Autieri MV, Scalia R. Adipose tissue inflammation and metabolic dysfunction in obesity. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2021 Mar 1;320(3):C375-C391.

14. Engin AB. Adipocyte-Macrophage Cross-Talk in Obesity. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017;960:327-343.

15. Michaud A, Pelletier M, Noël S, et al. Markers of macrophage infiltration and measures of lipolysis in human abdominal adipose tissues. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013 Nov;21(11):2342-9.

16. Michaud A, Drolet R, Noël S, et al. Visceral fat accumulation is an indicator of adipose tissue macrophage infiltration in women. Metabolism. 2012 May;61(5):689-98.

17. Bays HE, Gonzalez-Campoy JM, Henry RR, et al. Is adiposopathy (sick fat) an endocrine disease? Int J Clin Pract. 2008 Oct;62(10):1474-83.

18. Galic S, Oakhill JS, Steinberg GR. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2010 Mar 25;316(2):129-39.

19. Robinson K, Prins J, Venkatesh B. Clinical review: adiponectin biology and its role in inflammation and critical illness. Crit Care. 2011 Apr 20;15(2):221.

20. Frühbeck G, Catalan V, Rodriguez A, et al. Adiponectin-leptin Ratio is a Functional Biomarker of Adipose Tissue Inflammation. Nutrients. 2019 Feb 22;11(2).

21. Frühbeck G, Catalan V, Rodriguez A, et al. Adiponectin-leptin ratio: A promising index to estimate adipose tissue dysfunction. Relation with obesity-associated cardiometabolic risk. Adipocyte. 2018 Jan 2;7(1):57-62.

22. Vega GL, Grundy SM. Metabolic risk susceptibility in men is partially related to adiponectin/leptin ratio. J Obes. 2013;2013:409679.

23. Inoue M, Maehata E, Yano M, et al. Correlation between the adiponectin-leptin ratio and parameters of insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes. Metabolism. 2005 Mar;54(3):281-6.

24. Clément AA, Riesco E, Tessier S, et al. The relationship between adiposopathy and glucose-insulin homeostasis is not affected by moderate-intensity aerobic training in healthy women with obesity. J Physiol Biochem. 2018 Nov;74(4):591-601.

25. Inoue M, Yano M, Yamakado M, et al. Relationship between the adiponectin-leptin ratio and parameters of insulin resistance in subjects without hyperglycemia. Metabolism. 2006 Sep;55(9):1248-54.

26. Huth C, Pigeon E, Riou ME, et al. Fitness, adiposopathy, and adiposity are independent predictors of insulin sensitivity in middle-aged men without diabetes. J Physiol Biochem. 2016 Sep;72(3):435-44.

27. Harman-Boehm I, Bluher M, Redel H, et al. Macrophage infiltration into omental versus subcutaneous fat across different populations: effect of regional adiposity and the comorbidities of obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007 Jun;92(6):2240-7.

28. Arner E, Westermark PO, Spalding KL, et al. Adipocyte turnover: relevance to human adipose tissue morphology. Diabetes. 2010 Jan;59(1):105-9.

29. Ryden M, Andersson DP, Bergstrom IB, et al. Adipose tissue and metabolic alterations: regional differences in fat cell size and number matter, but differently: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014 Oct;99(10):E1870-6.

30. Michaud A, Laforest S, Pelletier M, et al. Abdominal adipocyte populations in women with visceral obesity. Eur J Endocrinol. 2016 Feb;174(2):227-39.

31. Lundgren M, Svensson M, Lindmark S, et al. Fat cell enlargement is an independent marker of insulin resistance and 'hyperleptinaemia'. Diabetologia. 2007 Mar;50(3):625-33.

32. Fang L, Guo F, Zhou L, et al. The cell size and distribution of adipocytes from subcutaneous and visceral fat is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus in humans. Adipocyte. 2015 Oct-Dec;4(4):273-9.

33. Mauriège P, Karelis AD, Taleb N, et al. Comparing an adiposopathy approach with four popular classifications schemes to categorize the metabolic profile of postmenopausal women. J Physiol Biochem. 2020 Nov;76(4):609-622.

34. Lemieux I, Poirier P, Bergeron J, et al. Hypertriglyceridemic waist: a useful screening phenotype in preventive cardiology? Can J Cardiol. 2007 Oct;23 Suppl B:23B-31B.

35. Fain JN, Madan AK, Hiler ML, et al. Comparison of the release of adipokines by adipose tissue, adipose tissue matrix, and adipocytes from visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissues of obese humans. Endocrinology. 2004 May;145(5):2273-82.

36. Van Harmelen V, Reynisdottir S, Eriksson P, et al. Leptin secretion from subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue in women. Diabetes. 1998 Jun;47(6):913-7.

37. Deschênes D, Couture P, Dupont P, et al. Subdivision of the subcutaneous adipose tissue compartment and lipid-lipoprotein levels in women. Obes Res. 2003 Mar;11(3):469-76.

38. Rodbell M. Metabolism of Isolated Fat Cells. I. Effects of Hormones on Glucose Metabolism and Lipolysis. J Biol Chem. 1964 Feb;239:375-80.

39. Tchernof A, Desmeules A, Richard C, et al. Ovarian hormone status and abdominal visceral adipose tissue metabolism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004 Jul;89(7):3425-30.

40. Giusti V, Suter M, Verdumo C, et al. Molecular determinants of human adipose tissue: differences between visceral and subcutaneous compartments in obese women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004 Mar;89(3):1379-84.

41. Adams M, Montague CT, Prins JB, et al. Activators of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma have depot-specific effects on human preadipocyte differentiation. J Clin Invest. 1997 Dec 15;100(12):3149-53.

42. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985 Jul;28(7):412-9.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Relationships between subcutaneous abdominal (panels A and B) or omental (panels C and D) adipose cell size, plasma A/L ratio (panels E and F), SCABD CD68 mRNA levels (panels G and H) and fasting insulin levels (left) and HOMA-IR index (right). A: adiponectin; HOMA-IR: HOmeostasic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; L: leptin; OME: omental; SCABD: subcutaneous abdominal.

Figure 2. Subcutaneous abdominal (panel A) and omental (panel B) adipose cell size distributions in low vs. high plasma A/L ratio tertiles. For abbreviations see legends to Figure 1.

Figure 3. Combination of adiposopathy (plasma A/L ratio) and subcutaneous abdominal (panel A) or omental (panel B) adipose cell size tertiles in relation to the HOMA-IR index. Values of tertiles are shown in the corresponding tables. For abbreviations see legends to Figure 1.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A.

B.

Figure 3.

A.

Tertile cut-offs	Low	Mid	High
A/L ratio	< 0.25	0.25 ≤ x ≤ 0.90	>0.90
SCABD adipose cell size (µm)	< 91.0	91.0 ≤ x ≤ 105.5	>105.5

B.

Tertile cut-offs	Low	Mid	High
A/L ratio	< 0.25	0.25 ≤ x ≤ 0.90	>0.90
OME adipose cell size (µm)	< 71.0	71.0 ≤ x ≤ 90.0	>90.0

Table 1. Characteristics of the women studied.

Table 2. Spearman correlations between AT dysfunction markers and patients' regional fat distribution and lipid-lipoprotein profile.

Tables 3. Multiple regression models of predictors of glucose homeostasis.

     A. Models without adipose cell size

     B. Models with adipose cell size

Table 1.

	n	Mean (SD)	Range (min - max)
Age (years)	67	47 (5)	40 - 62
Anthropometry and body fatness			
Body weight (kg)	65	70.6 (14.8)	48.5 - 110.5
Body mass index (kg/m²)	65	27.2 (5.0)	17.2 - 41.3
Body fat mass (kg)	65	25.5 (9.0)	10.0 - 50.8
Body fat percentage (%)	65	35.1 (6.0)	32.5 - 63.0
Lean body mass (kg)	65	43.1 (6.6)	19.6 - 47.5
Abdominal adipose tissue areas (cm²)			
Total	63	424 (180)	128 - 991
Subcutaneous	63	328 (141)	94 - 759
Visceral	63	97 (46)	34 - 233
Adipose cell size (µm)			
Subcutaneous abdominal	63	98.5 (12.9)	66.8 - 122.7
Omental	59	80.8 (16.2)	51.7 - 118.7
Lipid-lipoprotein profile			
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	62	4.81 (0.64)	3.43 - 6.12
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	61	2.71 (0.59)	1.31 - 3.96
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	62	1.49 (0.39)	0.81 - 2.69
Cholesterol/HDL-C	62	3.43 (0.93)	1.64 - 6.22
Triacylglycerols (mmol/L)	62	1.34 (0.74)	0.51 - 4.69
Glucose homeostasis			
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	63	5.6 (0.6)	4.6 - 7.8
Fasting insulin (pmol/L)	63	11.4 (5.6)	3.4 - 27.6
HOMA-IR	63	2.9 (1.7)	0.8 - 8.8
Adipokines			
Adiponectin (ng/mL)	63	10.8 (5.8)	0.7 - 28.6
Leptin (ng/mL)	61	26.4 (20.3)	0.5 - 72.4
Adiponectin/Leptin	60	2.7 (6.9)	0.04 - 34.2

SD, standard deviation. C, cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, HOmeostasic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance.

Table 2.

	Plasma A/L ratio (n = 55-58)		SCABD adipose cell size (n = 56-57)		OME adipose cell size (n = 54-56)		SCABD CD68 mRNA (n = 47)		OME CD68 mRNA (n = 49)	
	rho	*p*	rho	*p*	rho	*p*	rho	*p*	rho	*p*
Abdominal adipose tissue areas (cm²)										
Total	-0.81	<0.0001	0.78	<0.0001	0.75	<0.0001	0.32	0.0268	0.13	0.3692
Subcutaneous	-0.80	<0.0001	0.74	<0.0001	0.70	<0.0001	0.28	0.0566	0.11	0.4397
Visceral	-0.70	<0.0001	0.76	<0.0001	0.78	<0.0001	0.40	0.0050	0.15	0.2918
Lipid-lipoprotein profile										
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	-0.13	0.3484	0.13	0.3412	0.16	0.2604	0.24	0.0989	-0.06	0.7016
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	-0.16	0.2287	0.22	0.0969	0.28	0.0409	0.32	0.0305	0.14	0.3432
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	0.38	0.0040	-0.48	0.0002	-0.48	0.0002	-0.28	0.0592	-0.37	0.0085
Cholesterol/HDL-C	-0.43	0.0008	0.52	0.0002	0.54	<0.0001	0.31	0.0330	0.35	0.0143
Triacylglycerols (mmol/L)	-0.46	0.0004	0.46	0.0004	0.37	0.0058	0.30	0.0401	0.16	0.2875

SCABD: subcutaneous abdominal; OME: omental. For other abbreviations, see legends to Table 1.

Tables 3.

A.

	SCABD				OME		

HOMA index (IR)

	Model R^2 = 0.38				Model R^2 = 0.40		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
A/L ratio	-0.428	0.32	0.0055	A/L ratio	-0.445	0.31	0.0021
Triacylglycerols	0.180	0.04	NS	Triacylglycerols	0.315	0.05	0.0277
CD68	0.168	0.02	NS	CD68	-0.185	0.04	NS

Fasting insulin

	Model R^2 = 0.36				Model R^2 = 0.15		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
A/L ratio	-0.492	0.33	0.0005	A/L ratio	-0.475	0.32	0.0011
CD68	0.217	0.03	NS	Triacylglycerols	0.282	0.05	0.0467
Triacylglycerols	NE	-	-	CD68	-0.187	0.03	NS

Fasting glucose

	Model R^2 = 0.14				Model R^2 = 0.15		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
Triacylglycerols	0.374	0.14	0.0033	Triacylglycerols	0.374	0.15	0.0033
A/L ratio	NE	-	-	A/L ratio	NE	-	-
CD68	NE	-	-	CD68	NE	-	-

For abbreviations, see legends to Tables 1 and 2; NE: not entered; NS: non-significant.

B.

SCABD   OME

HOMA index (IR)

	Model R^2 = 0.45				Model R^2 = 0.36		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
Adipose cell size	0.552	0.43	<0.0001	Adipose cell size	0.391	0.30	0.0326
CD68	0.139	0.02	NS	A/L ratio	-0.266	0.03	NS
A/L ratio	NE	-	-	CD68	-0.154	0.03	NS

Fasting insulin

	Model R^2 = 0.44				Model R^2 = 0.37		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
Adipose cell size	0.540	0.42	0.0002	Adipose cell size	0.397	0.31	0.0288
CD68	0.130	0.02	NS	A/L ratio	-0.272	0.04	NS
A/L ratio	NE	-	-	CD68	-0.157	0.02	NS

Fasting glucose

	Model R^2 = 0.23				Model R^2 = 0.09		
	β	Partial R^2	p		β	Partial R^2	p
Adipose cell size	0.414	0.23	0.0014	Adipose cell size	0.316	0.09	0.0187
CD68	NE	-	-	CD68	NE	-	-
A/L ratio	NE	-	-	A/L ratio	NE	-	-

For abbreviations, see legends to Tables 1 and 2; NE: not entered; NS: non-significant.