Thymic macrophages consist of two populations with distinct

localization and origin

Tyng-An Zhou[1], Hsuan-Po Hsu[1], Yueh-Hua Tu[2,3], Hui-Kuei Cheng[1], Chih-Yu

Lin[1], Nien-Jung Chen[1], Jin-Wu Tsai[4], Ellen A. Robey[5], Hsuan-Cheng

Huang[2,6], Chia-Lin Hsu[1], and Ivan L. Dzhagalov[1*]

[1]Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei,

Taiwan

[2]Bioinformatics Program, Taiwan International Graduate Program, Institute of Information

Science, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

[3]Graduate Institute of Biomedical Electronics and Bioinformatics, National Taiwan University,

Taipei, Taiwan

[4]Brain Research Center, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan

[5]Division of Immunology and Pathogenesis, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology,

University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States

[6]Institute of Biomedical Informatics, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei,

Taiwan

*Correspondence to Ivan L. Dzhagalov: ivan.dzhagalov@nycu.edu.tw, ORCID: 0000-0001-

9209-4582

Mailing Address: Ivan L. Dzhagalov, Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, National Yang

Ming Chiao Tung University, #155, Sec. 2, LiNong Street, Beitou, Taipei City, 11221,

TAIWAN

Abstract

Tissue-resident macrophages are essential to protect from pathogen invasion and maintain organ homeostasis. The ability of thymic macrophages to engulf apoptotic thymocytes is well appreciated, but little is known about their ontogeny, maintenance, and diversity. Here, we characterized the surface phenotype and transcriptional profile of these cells and defined their expression signature. Thymic macrophages were most closely related to spleen red pulp macrophages and Kupffer cells and shared the expression of the transcription factor SpiC with these cells. Single-cell RNA sequencing showed that the macrophages in the adult thymus are composed of two populations distinguished by the expression of *Timd4* and *Cx3cr1*. Remarkably, *Timd4*⁺ cells were located in the cortex, while *Cx3cr1*⁺ macrophages were restricted to the medulla and the cortico-medullary junction. Using shield chimeras, transplantation of embryonic thymuses, and genetic fate mapping, we found that the two populations have distinct origins. *Timd4*⁺ thymic macrophages are of embryonic origin, while *Cx3cr1*⁺ macrophages are derived from adult hematopoietic stem cells. Aging has a profound effect on the macrophages in the thymus. *Timd4*⁺ cells underwent gradual attrition, while *Cx3cr1*⁺ cells slowly accumulated with age and, in older mice, were the dominant macrophage population in the thymus. Altogether, our work defines the phenotype, origin, and diversity of thymic macrophages.

Introduction

Tissue-resident macrophages are present in every organ and maintain local homeostasis through diverse functions ranging from protection against pathogens to tissue repair (Wynn et al., 2013). To perform their roles efficiently, macrophages acquire specialized phenotypes depending on the tissue microenvironment, and as a consequence, multiple subtypes exist, frequently within the same organ. For example, the spleen harbors red pulp macrophages specialized in red blood cell phagocytosis, marginal zone macrophages and metallophilic macrophages that are the first defense against blood-borne pathogens, T cell zone macrophages that silently dispose of apoptotic immune cells, and tingible-body macrophages that engulf less fit B cells in the germinal center (Baratin et al., 2017) (A-Gonzalez & Castrillo, 2018; Bellomo et al., 2018). Thus, tissue-resident macrophages represent a fascinating developmental system that allows enormous plasticity.

The last decade has seen a paradigm shift in our understanding of the development of tissue-resident macrophages. Contrary to the long-held belief that all macrophages derive from circulating monocytes (van Furth & Cohn, 1968), multiple studies have shown that many of them are long-lived cells with an embryonic origin that can maintain themselves in the tissues (reviewed in (Ginhoux & Guilliams, 2016)). Three waves of distinct progenitors settle the tissues and contribute in various degrees to the resident macrophages in each organ. The first wave consists of the yolk sac (YS)-derived primitive macrophages that enter all tissues and establish the earliest macrophage populations (Perdiguero & Geissmann, 2015) (Mass et al., 2016). In all organs, except for the brain and, partially, the epidermis, primitive macrophages are

replaced by the next wave consisting of fetal monocytes (Ginhoux et al., 2010)

(Hoeffel et al., 2012) (Hoeffel et al., 2015) (Goldmann et al., 2016). The third

wave comes from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs)-derived monocytes that

contribute to various degrees to the macrophage pool in different tissues. For

example, these cells contribute little to the microglia in the brain, Langerhans

cells in the epidermis, and alveolar macrophages in the lungs but substantially

to most other organs (Hashimoto et al., 2013) (Epelman et al., 2014) (Sheng et

al., 2015) (Liu et al., 2019). Moreover, the kinetics and timing of HSC-derived

monocyte infiltration vary in different parts of the body. For some

macrophage populations, such as the arterial macrophages and subcapsular

lymph node macrophages, monocytes replace embryonic macrophages soon

after birth and self-maintain after that with little contribution from circulating

cells (Ensan et al., 2015) (Mondor et al., 2019). Others, such as heart

macrophages, osteoclasts, and pancreatic islets macrophages, are

progressively replaced at a low rate (Epelman et al., 2014) (Molawi et al., 2014)

(Heidt et al., 2014) (Calderon et al., 2015) (Jacome-Galarza et al., 2019) (Yahara

et al., 2020). A third group, such as the macrophages in the dermis and most

of the gut macrophages, are constantly replaced by blood monocytes with

relatively fast kinetics (Tamoutounour et al., 2013) (Bain et al., 2014). These

conclusions have been extended to many different macrophage populations

such as Kupffer cells, liver capsular macrophages, red pulp macrophages,

testicular macrophages, large and small peritoneal macrophages, and T zone

macrophages in the lymph nodes (Baratin et al., 2017) (Hashimoto et al., 2013)

(Epelman et al., 2014) (Liu et al., 2019) (Sierro et al., 2017) (Mossadegh-Keller et

al., 2017) (Lokka et al., 2020) (Wang et al., 2021) (Bain et al., 2016).

The recent revitalization in macrophage research has yet to reach thymic

macrophages. Although their prodigious phagocytic ability is well appreciated

(Surh & Sprent, 1994), little is known about the origin, diversity, and

maintenance of these cells. This gap in our knowledge is, partly, due to the

lack of a consensus about the surface phenotype of thymic macrophages.

Various groups have used different markers to identify these cells, such as

F4/80 and Mac-3 (LAMP-2) (Surh & Sprent, 1994), or CD4 and CD11b (Esashi

et al., 2003), or Mac-2 (galectin 3), F4/80 and ED-1 (CD68) (Liu et al., 2013).

Most commonly, researchers employ F4/80 and CD11b (Guerri et al., 2013)

(Lopes et al., 2018) (Kim et al., 2010) (Tacke et al., 2015). However, none of

these markers is macrophage-specific: F4/80 is also expressed on

eosinophils and monocytes (Gautier et al., 2012) (Ingersoll et al., 2010), while

CD11b is present on most myeloid cells. The lack of a clear phenotypic

definition of thymic macrophages has translated into the absence of models

that target genes specifically in this population. For example, although

macrophages in various organs have been successfully targeted with $Lyz2^{Cre}$,

$Csf1r^{Cre}$, or $Cx3cr1^{Cre}$, very few studies have used these models in the thymus

(Tacke et al., 2015) (Wang et al., 2019) (Chan et al., 2020).

Only a handful of studies have explored the origin of thymic macrophages.

Several reports have indicated that these cells could be derived from T cell

progenitors in the thymus based on an improved single cell in vitro culture and

in vivo transplantation experiments (Wada et al., 2008) (Bell & Bhandoola,

2008). However, these conclusions have been questioned based on fate-

mapping experiments using $Il7r^{Cre}$ that found very limited contribution of

lymphoid progenitors to thymic macrophages in vivo in unperturbed mice

(Schlenner et al., 2010). Most recently, Tacke et al. used parabiosis to rule out

circulating monocytes as a major source of thymic macrophages (Tacke et al.,

2015). The same study also performed fate-mapping experiments to show

that most thymic macrophages descend from *Flt3*^{+} HSC-derived progenitors.

However, the contribution of earlier waves of hematopoiesis has not been

explored.

Here, we aimed to bring our knowledge of thymic macrophages on par with

other tissue-resident macrophages. We started by clearly defining thymic

macrophages according to the guidelines set by the Immunological Genome

Consortium (IMMGEN) (Gautier et al., 2012) and characterized their surface

phenotype and transcriptional signature. Using single-cell RNA sequencing

(scRNA-Seq), we identified two populations of thymic macrophages with

distinct localization. We explored the origin of these cells through genetic fate

mapping, shield chimeras, and embryonic thymus transplantation and

documented that different waves of progenitors give rise to the two

populations of thymic macrophages. Altogether our work fills an important gap

in our understanding of resident thymic macrophages and provides the

framework for future functional characterization of these cells.

Results:

CD64, F4/80, and MerTK identify the macrophages in the thymus

To unambiguously and comprehensively identify macrophages in the

thymus, we evaluated several of the prototypical macrophage markers –

MerTK, CD64, and F4/80 (Gautier et al., 2012). A population that was stained

with all three markers (Figure 1A). As staining with MerTK and F4/80 was

relatively dim even when the brightest fluorochromes (e.g., PE) were used

and could not be resolved fully from the isotype control (Figure 1 – figure

supplement 1), we chose to use CD64 vs. forward scatter (FSC) as the first

step in our gating strategy (Figure 1B). Among CD64$^+$FSC^{hi} cells,

F4/80$^+$CD11b^{lo} macrophages could be distinguished from F4/80^{lo}CD11b$^+$

monocytes.

The CD64$^+$F4/80$^+$MerTK$^+$CD11b^{lo}FSC^{hi} cells had typical macrophage

morphology with abundant cytoplasm (Figure 1C). These cells did not

express lineage markers characteristic of T cells (CD3ε), B cells (CD19),

eosinophils (Siglec F), NK cells (NK1.1), neutrophils (Gr1), or plasmacytoid

dendritic cells (Siglec H) (Figure 1D). However, they expressed phagocytic

receptors such as TIM4, CD51, and Axl (Figure 1E). Immunofluorescent

staining with CD64, MerTK, and TIM4 in the thymic cortex confirmed the

presence of large cells positive for all three macrophage markers (Figure 1 –

figure supplement 2).

Importantly, MerTK$^+$ cells could not be labeled by intravenously injected

CD45 antibody (Figure 1F), proving that they reside in the parenchyma of the

organs and not in the blood vessels. Based on the above data, we will refer to

CD64$^+$F4/80$^+$MerTK$^+$CD11b^{lo}FSC^{hi} cells as thymic macrophages. The smaller

CD64$^+$F4/80^{lo}CD11b$^+$FSC^{hi} population did not express MerTK but most of

them expressed Ly6C, and we classified them as thymic monocytes.

Thymic macrophages expressed CD11c, MHC2, and SIRPα making them

partially overlap with CD11c$^+$MHC2$^+$ classical dendritic cells (cDCs), thus

making problematic the unambiguous identification of thymic cDCs based only

on these two markers (Figure 1 – figure supplement 3). Proper identification of

cDC in the thymus requires the exclusion of macrophages based on CD64 or

MerTK staining. Otherwise, the cDCs, particularly the SIRPα^+ cDC2 subset,

would be contaminated with macrophages that account for ~25% of cDC2

(Figure 1 – figure supplement 4).

Thymic macrophages were ~0.1% of all the cells in the thymus of young

adult mice and numbered ~4×10^5 on average per mouse (Figure 1G). We did

not find statistically significant differences in their percentages between 4 and

11 weeks of age. Still, there was a significant decline in their numbers with

age, consistent with the beginning of thymic involution (Figure 1H).

Figure 1. Thymic macrophages (ThyMacs) can be identified by the

expression of CD64, MerTK, and F4/80. A Flow cytometric analysis of

enzymatically digested thymus tissue with macrophage markers CD64,

MerTK, F4/80, and CD11b. **B** Gating strategy for identifying thymic

macrophages: CD64$^+$FSC^{hi} are first gated; the F4/80$^+$CD11b^{lo} cells among

them are the thymic macrophages (ThyMacs), while F4/80^{lo}CD11b^{+} are the

thymic monocytes (ThyMonos). **C** Pappenheim (Hemacolor Rapid staining

kit) staining of sorted ThyMacs. **D** Lack of expression of lineage markers

associated with other cell types on ThyMacs. **E** The expression on ThyMacs

of three receptors for phosphatidylserine that participate in the phagocytosis

of apoptotic cells. **F** Labeling of ThyMacs with intravenously injected anti-

CD45-PE antibody or PBS. The labeling of blood leucocytes is shown for

comparison. **G** Average numbers and percentages of ThyMacs in 4-11 weeks

old mice, n=82. **H** Comparison of the numbers and percentages of ThyMacs

in mice of different ages, n=82. All flow cytometry plots are representative of

at least 3 independent repeats. The numbers in the flow cytometry plots are

the percent of cells in the respective gates. Data in **G** and **H** represent

mean±SEM. Statistical significance in **H** was determined with one-way

ANOVA.

Transcriptional signature of thymic macrophages

To further understand the identity and functions of the thymic

macrophages, we analyzed the RNA sequencing data from the IMMGEN's

Open Source Mononuclear Phagocyte profiling. We first examined the

expression of the core signature macrophage genes (Gautier et al., 2012) and

found that they were enriched in thymic macrophages but not in *Sirpa*$^{+}$ or

Xcr1^{+} thymic cDCs (Figure 2A). On the contrary, cDC core signature genes

were abundantly expressed in both thymic cDC subsets but not in thymic

macrophages. Thus, although thymic macrophages and cDCs share the

thymic microenvironment and expression of CD11c and MHC2, they have

distinct transcriptional profiles.

We then compared the gene expression profile of thymic macrophages to

that of other well-characterized macrophage populations from the IMMGEN

database. Because of the abundance of samples, we limited our comparison

to only nine types of tissue-resident macrophages under steady-state

conditions – splenic red pulp macrophages, Kupffer cells, broncho-alveolar

lavage macrophages, large peritoneal cavity macrophages, white adipose

tissue macrophages, aorta macrophages, central nervous system microglia,

and spinal cord microglia. Principal component analysis revealed that thymic

macrophages were most closely related to splenic red pulp macrophages and

Kupffer cells (Figure 2B).

To better identify the unique functions of thymic macrophages, we looked

for differentially expressed genes in these cells compared to other tissue-

resident macrophages. We set three criteria: 1) high expression in thymic

macrophages (>500); 2) >5-fold higher expression than the average value in

the nine populations of non-thymic macrophages; 3) expression in thymic

macrophages is higher than any non-thymic macrophage samples. A total of

44 genes met these criteria, and we consider them to constitute the

transcriptional signature of thymic macrophages (Figure 2C). These included

several degradation enzymes and their inhibitors (*Cst7*, *Mmp2*, *Mmp14*,

Dnase1l3, *Serpina3g*, *Acp5*), non-classical MHC molecules (*H2-M2*, *H2-Q6*,

H2-Q7), metabolic enzymes (*Chst2*, *Ass1*, *Kynu*, *Cp*, *Dgat2*, *Sorl1*, *Lap3*),

molecules involved in innate immunity (*Ifit2*, *Il18bp*, *Mefv*, *Lgals3bp*) and

extracellular signaling molecules and their receptors (*Pdgfa*, *Cxcl16*, *Il2rg*,

Gpr157). We also looked for transcription factors (TFs) highly expressed in

thymic macrophages and could potentially regulate critical gene networks in

these cells. A total of 25 TFs were highly expressed in thymic macrophages

(>250) and were at least 2-fold higher as compared to the non-thymic

macrophages (Table 1). Among them were several TFs involved in type I

interferon (IFN-I) signaling (*Stat1*, *Stat2*, *Irf7*, and *Irf8*) and lipid metabolism

(*Nr1h3*, *Pparg*, *Srebf1*, and *Rxra*) (Figure 2D). Notably, *Runx3*, which is

essential for the development and function of cytotoxic T lymphocytes

(Taniuchi et al., 2002), innate lymphoid cells (Ebihara et al., 2015), and

Langerhans cells (Fainaru et al., 2004), was highly expressed in thymic

macrophages. *Spic*, which has well-documented roles in the development of

red pulp macrophages in the spleen and bone marrow macrophages

(Kohyama et al., 2008) (Haldar et al., 2014), was also highly expressed in

thymic macrophages, further strengthening the argument for the similarity

between thymus, spleen, and liver macrophages. To confirm the expression

of *Spic* in thymic macrophages, we analyzed the thymus of *Spic^GFP^* mice

(Haldar et al., 2014). We found that all *Spic^GFP+^* cells were macrophages

(Figure 2 – figure supplement 1), making them the most specific thymic

macrophage reporter strain compared with *Lyz2^GFP^*, MAFIA (*Csf1r^GFP^*),

*Cd11c^YFP^*, and *Cx3cr1^GFP^* mice (Figure 2 – figure supplement 2). However,

only ~80% of thymic macrophages were *Spic^GFP+^* suggesting heterogeneity

within the cells (Figure 2 – figure supplement 3).

Several dominant pathways emerged when we grouped the 500 most

highly expressed genes in thymic macrophages according to gene ontology

(GO) terms (Figure 2E). Notably, five of the ten most highly enriched GO

pathways concerned antigen presentation of both exogenous and

endogenous antigens. These data complement our flow cytometry findings of

expression of MHC2 and suggest that thymic macrophages could be potent

antigen-presenting cells and might play a role in negative selection or agonist

selection of thymocytes. Two other highly enriched GO pathways were

involved in lysosomal biogenesis and functions, highlighting the high capacity

of these cells to degrade phagocytosed material. Thus, our transcriptional

analysis has revealed that thymic macrophages are bona fide macrophages

that bear significant similarity to spleen and liver macrophages and are

specialized in lysosomal degradation of phagocytosed material and antigen

presentation.

Figure 2. Transcriptional profile of thymic macrophages (ThyMacs). A

Expression of cDC-specific genes (top) and macrophage-specific genes

(bottom) in ThyMacs and two populations of thymic cDCs (ThyDCs) – *Xcr1+*

ThyDCs and *Sirpa+* ThyDCs. **B** Principal components analysis of ThyMacs

and nine other populations of tissue-resident macrophages in duplicates. **C**

Highly expressed (>500) genes enriched (>5-fold) in ThyMacs (4 samples)

compared to nine other tissue-resident macrophage populations (two samples

each). The genes in red are >10-fold up-regulated in thymic macrophages. **D**

Comparison of the geometric mean expression of transcription factors in

thymic macrophages (4 samples) and the nine other macrophage populations

(2 samples each). Transcription factors with expression >250 and fold

change >2 are marked with red dots. **E** Top 10 GO pathways in ThyMacs

based on the 500 most highly expressed genes in these cells.

Thymic macrophages can present antigens to T cells and clear

apoptotic cells

Next, we investigated the biological functions of thymic macrophages. Our

findings that these cells express MHC2 and many other genes involved in

antigen presentations prompted us to test if they can efficiently activate T

cells. We pulsed sorted thymic macrophages with chicken ovalbumin (Ova)

and cultured them with naïve OT2 cells labeled with CFSE. The positive

control, thymic DCs, efficiently induced OT2 cell proliferation, while peritoneal

macrophages were very inefficient (Figure 3A and B), similar to other tissue-

resident macrophages (Baratin et al., 2017). Surprisingly, thymic macrophages

induced proliferation in a considerable proportion (~30%) of OT2 cells as

calculated by FlowJo's Proliferation Modeling module. Thus, thymic

macrophages are able antigen-presenting cells, although not as good as DCs.

To confirm the ability of thymic macrophages to clear apoptotic cells, we

did in vitro engulfment assay. Thymocytes were induced to undergo apoptosis

by Dexamethasone treatment and labeled with pHrodo Red dye. pHrodo Red

is weakly fluorescent at neutral pH, but its fluorescence increases significantly

at low pH, for example, in lysosomes. Thus, engulfed apoptotic cells can be

clearly identified by their strong red fluorescence. We incubated the pHrodo

Red-labeled apoptotic thymocytes for 2 hours with sorted thymic or peritoneal

cavity macrophages and detected the extent of efferocytosis by fluorescent

microscopy. Thymic macrophages were avid phagocytes, and we could

record many instances of efferocytosis at this time point (Figure 3C and D).

However, peritoneal macrophages were able to phagocytose even more

apoptotic cells.

To determine if thymic macrophages are the major phagocytes in the

thymus in vivo, we evaluated their participation in the phagocytosis of

apoptotic cells in the thymus by TUNEL staining. Most TUNEL$^+$ cells could be

found clearly inside or closely associated with MerTK$^+$ and TIM4$^+$ cells in the

thymus (Figure 3E and F). On average, ~85% of all TUNEL$^+$ cells were within

5 µm of MerTK$^+$ cells, confirming that thymic macrophages are the dominant

phagocytic population in the thymus (Figure 3G). The degree of co-

localization between TUNEL$^+$ cells and TIM4$^+$ cells was slightly lower, ~75%

on average, possibly reflecting the absence of TIM4 expression on a small

proportion of thymic macrophages (Figure 1E).

Figure 3. Thymic macrophages can present antigens to T cells and

phagocytose apoptotic cells. A Naïve OT2 T cells were labeled with CFSE

and cultured with purified thymic dendritic cells (ThyDCs), thymic

macrophages (ThyMacs), or peritoneal cavity macrophages (PC Macs) in the

presence or absence of chicken ovalbumin (Ova). Three days later, the CFSE

dilution was assessed by flow cytometry. **B** Quantification of the cell division

in naïve OT2 cells by using the Cell Proliferation module in FlowJo that

calculates the percent of cells from the initial population that has undergone

division. **C** Example immunofluorescent images of ThyMacs or PC Macs

phagocytosis apoptotic thymocytes. The macrophages were labeled with

eFluor 450, while the apoptotic thymocytes – with pHrodo Red. An intense

red signal within the macrophages indicates phagocytosed thymocytes. **D**

Quantification of the percentage of macrophages that have engulfed at least

one thymocyte (phagocytic index). **E** Example images showing co-localization

of TUNEL$^+$ apoptotic cells and MerTK$^+$ ThyMacs in thymic sections. **F**

Example images showing co-localization of TUNEL$^+$ apoptotic cells and TIM4$^+$

ThyMacs in thymic sections. Scale bars in **E** and **F** are 50 µm. **G** Frequencies

of the co-localization of TUNEL$^+$ signal with MerTK$^+$ and TIM4$^+$ cells. Flow

cytometry plots in **A** are representative of two independent experiments. All

immunofluorescent images are representative of at least 3 independent

repeats. Data in **B**, **D**, and **G** represent mean±SEM. Each symbol in **B** and **G**

is an individual mouse. Each symbol in **D** is a field of view.

Expression of *Timd4* and *Cx3cr1 can distinguish* two populations of

thymic macrophages

Our phenotypic characterization showed clear signs of heterogeneity within

thymic macrophages, including the presence of TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ cells (Figure

1E) and *Cx3cr1*$^{GFP+}$ and *Cx3cr1*$^{GFP-}$ cells (Figure 2 – figure supplement). To

determine the degree of thymic macrophage heterogeneity, we performed

single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq) of sorted *Csf1r*$^{GFP+}$ and *Cd11c*$^{YFP+}$

thymic cells. *Csf1r* is required for the survival of most macrophages and is

considered their definitive marker (Witmer-Pack et al., 1993) (Sasmono et al.,

2003), while *Cd11c*^{YFP} is expressed in many myeloid cells, including

macrophages (Hume, 2011). Both reporters identified an overlapping set of

cells (Figure 4 – figure supplement 1). At least 7 clusters could be identified

and assigned to different cell types by specific marker expression (Figure 4A

and B), including macrophages, B cells, pDCs, contaminating thymocytes,

and multiple cDC clusters. Two clusters expressed the

macrophage/monocytes-specific transcription factor *Mafb* and high levels of

Fcgr1 (CD64), *Mertk*, and *Adgre1* (F4/80), indicating that they are

macrophages (Figure 4 – figure supplement 2). An additional cluster

expressed *Mafb* together with *Fcgr1* and *Adgre1* but not *Mertk*, fitting the

description of monocytes. There was no expression of *Mafb* outside these

three clusters confirming that our flow cytometry gating had identified all

macrophages in the thymus. Once we zoomed onto *Mafb*-expressing cells,

we could distinguish three separate populations: 1) monocytes that expressed

high levels of *Ly6c2* and *Itgam* (CD11b) but did not express *Mertk*; 2) *Timd4$^+$*

(encoding TIM4) macrophages that also expressed high levels of *Spic* and

Slc40a1, but low levels of *Cx3cr1*; 3) *Cx3cr1$^+$* macrophages that expressed

low levels of *Timd4*, *Spic*, and *Slc40a1* (Figure 4C and D). Both

macrophages and monocytes expressed *Fcgr1* (CD64). Thus, these data

indicate that thymic macrophages consist of two populations with distinct

expression profiles.

We confirmed the results from scRNA-Seq by flow cytometry. We could

identify discrete TIM4$^+$*Cx3cr1^{GFP-}* and TIM4$^-$*Cx3cr1^{GFP+}* macrophages (Figure

4E). There was even a TIM4$^+$*Cx3cr1^{GFP+}* intermediate population that could

not be distinguished in the scRNA-Seq dataset, likely because of the lack of

statistical power. To determine the localization of the two distinct macrophage

populations, we stained thymic sections from *Cx3cr1^{GFP}* mice with an antibody

to MerTK. The *Cx3cr1^{GFP-}* MerTK$^+$ cells correspond to *Timd4$^+$* macrophages,

while the *Cx3cr1^{GFP+}*MerTK$^+$ cells would be the *Cx3cr1^{GFP+}* macrophages.

Strikingly, the two macrophage populations showed distinct localization in

young mice. *Timd4$^+$* macrophages were located in the cortex, while the

Cx3cr1^{GFP+} macrophages resided in the medulla and the cortico-medullary

junction (Figure 4F). The result was confirmed with direct staining for TIM4

that showed intense signal in the cortex, particularly in the deep cortex, and

absence of staining in the medulla (Figure 4 figure supplement 3). However,

the medulla still featured many CD64$^+$ macrophages.

To better understand the differences between the two populations of thymic

macrophages, we looked for differentially expressed genes. We included the

thymic monocytes in the comparison, as these cells clustered the closest to

macrophages.   *Timd4*$^+$ macrophages expressed the highest levels of the

transcription factors *Spic*, *Maf*, and *Nr1h3*; the receptors for apoptotic cells

Axl, *Mertk*, and *Timd4*; and many Slc transporters such as *Slc40a1*, *Slc1a3*,

Slco2b1, *Slc11a1*, and *Slc7a7* (Figure 4G and Table 2). *Cx3cr1*$^+$

macrophages expressed high levels of the transcription factor *Runx3*; a

distinct set of phosphatidylserine receptors such as *Stab1*, *Anxa5*, and *Anxa3*;

many degradative enzymes such as *Mmp2*, *Mmp14*, *Dnase1l3*, *Acp5*, *Lyz2*,

Ctsz, *Ctss*, *Ctsd*, *Ctsl*; cytokines such as *Pdgfa*, *Cxcl16*, and *Ccl12*; and

molecules involved in MHC1 antigen presentation such as *B2m*, *H2-M2*, *H2-*

K1, *H2-Q7*. Thymic monocytes were characterized by differential expression

of the typical monocyte genes *Ly6c2*, *Ccr2*, and *S100a4*, and genes involved

in MHC2 antigen presentation such as *Ciita*, *H2-DMb1*, *H2-Ab1*, and *Cd74*.

Figure 4: **Two populations of macrophages with distinct localization**

exist in the thymus. A Identification of the clusters from the scRNA-Seq data

based on lineage-specific markers. **B** Expression of lineage-specific markers

in different clusters. **C** UMAP clusters from **A** with high expression of the

transcription factor *Mafb* fall into three groups: monocytes, *Timd4*$^+$

macrophages, and *Cx3cr1*$^+$ macrophages. **D** Expression of the indicated

genes in the three *Mafb*-positive clusters. **E** A flow cytometry plot of *Cx3cr1*^{GFP}

and TIM4 expression in ThyMacs. The plot is representative of >10 individual

experiments. The numbers inside the plot are the percentages of the cell

populations in the respective gates. **F** Immunofluorescent staining of the

thymus of *Cx3cr1^{GFP}* mouse stained with MerTK (a marker for all

macrophages) and Keratin 5 (a marker for medulla). The scale bar is 150 µm.

Areas in the cortex, medulla, and the cortico-medullary junction (CMJ)

represented by the dashed boxes are enlarged to the right to show the co-

localization of *Cx3cr11^{GFP}* and MerTK signal in CMJ and medulla, but not in

the cortex. The scale bars in the images to the right are 20 µm. The images

are representative of three individual mice. **G** Differentially expressed genes

among *Timd4$^+$* thymic macrophages, *Cx3cr1$^+$* thymic macrophages, and

thymic monocytes. The negative log$_{10}$ p-values for the genes expressed in

each cluster were calculated as described in the Materials and Methods, and

the top 50 differentially expressed genes were plotted in the figure. Ten of

these genes are listed on the left.

Yolk-sac progenitors contribute to embryonic thymic macrophages

The ontogeny of thymic macrophages has been examined by only one

study since the realization that many tissue-resident macrophages are

descendants of embryonic progenitors (Tacke et al., 2015). Based on *Flt3^{Cre}*

fate-mapping, the authors concluded that most adult thymic macrophages

derive from HSCs. To determine if yolk-sac (YS) progenitors contribute to

embryonic thymic macrophages, we used *Cx3cr1^{CreER}* fate mapping (Yona et

al., 2013). Injection of 4-OHT at E9.5 in *ROSA26$^{LSL-GFP}$* mouse mated with a

Cx3cr1^{CreER} male permanently tags YS progenitors and their descendants

with GFP (Figure 5A). Indeed, E19.5 microglia that are exclusively derived

from YS progenitors were labeled to a high degree (Figure 5B). After adjusting

for incomplete labeling based on the microglia, we found that at E15.5 >50%

of thymic macrophages were fate mapped, i. e. from YS origin (Figure 5C).

However, GFP$^+$ thymic macrophages decreased to just ~11% at E19.5,

suggesting that YS progenitors establish the embryonic thymic macrophage

pool but are quickly replaced by subsequent wave(s) of macrophages.

Differential contribution of adult bone marrow-derived monocytes to

the two thymic macrophage populations

To investigate the possibility that thymic macrophages arise from adult

bone marrow-derived monocytes, we devised two complementary

experiments. First, we evaluated the contribution of circulating adult

monocytes to thymic macrophages without the confounding effect of radiation

damage on the thymus. We created shield chimeras by subjecting CD45.2

mice to a lethal dose of irradiation while protecting their upper body and the

thymus with a 5 cm lead shield, followed by reconstitution with CD45.1 bone

marrow (Figure 5D). We analyzed *Timd4*$^+$ and *Cx3cr1*$^+$ thymic macrophages

separately after 6 weeks because we suspected they might have different

origins. As CX3CR1 protein expression was low on thymic macrophages

(Figure 5B), we defined the *Cx3cr1*$^+$*Timd4*$^-$ population as TIM4$^-$. The donor-

derived monocytes in the blood were, on average, 57%, but less than 2% of

TIM4$^+$ thymic macrophages were CD45.1$^+$ (Figure 5E and F), suggesting very

limited contribution of adult circulating monocytes to the TIM4$^+$ macrophage

pool. The percentage of HSC-derived TIM4$^-$ macrophages (on average 23%)

was intermediate between the monocytes and TIM4$^+$ macrophages, pointing

out that a sizeable part of TIM4$^-$ cells was derived from adult HSCs.

467  We also transplanted E15.5 thymuses from $Spic^{GFP+}$ CD45.2 embryos

468 under the kidney capsule of adult CD45.1 mice and analyzed them six weeks

469 later (Figure 5G). By that time, >99% of thymocytes in the transplanted

470 thymus were derived from CD45.1$^+$ host HSCs, indicating successful

471 replacement by HSC-derived progenitors (Figure 5 – figure supplement 1).

472 TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages were derived entirely from host HSCs, just like

473 thymocytes. In contrast, most TIM4$^+$ cells (on average 70%) were donor-

474 derived (Figure 5H and I). Moreover, only CD45.2$^+$ TIM4$^+$ macrophages

475 expressed $Spic^{GFP}$ (Figure 5 – figure supplement 2). As expected, thymic

476 macrophages in the endogenous thymus were all CD45.1$^+$. The results from

477 our transplantation experiments show that the progenitors of most TIM4$^+$

478 thymic macrophages are of embryonic origin, while TIM4$^-$ cells are derived

479 from adult monocytes. Altogether our results suggest that the two populations

480 of thymic macrophages have different origins. TIM4$^+$ cells are derived from

481 embryonic precursors and can survive long-term without much contribution

482 from adult HSC and monocytes. In contrast, TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages rely

483 mostly on adult HSCs for their generation and replacement.

Figure 5. Yolk sac (YS), non-YS-derived embryonic progenitors, and

adult hematopoietic stem cells sequentially contribute to the thymic

macrophage pool. A Scheme of the YS-progenitor labeling experiments.

E9.5 pregnant *ROSA26^LSL-GFP* mice mated with *Cx3cr1^CreER* males were

injected with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) and sacrificed at E15.5 or E19.5. **B**

Representative flow cytometry plots of the *Cx3cr1^GFP* expression in microglia

(CD45⁺CD11b⁺ cells in the brain) and ThyMacs of the pups at E19.5. **C**

Frequencies of GFP⁺ ThyMacs at E15.5 and E19.5 adjusted to the degree of

labeling of microglia. **D** Scheme of the shield chimera experiments. Congenic

CD45.2 mice were lethally irradiated with their upper body protected by a 5

cm thick lead shield and then injected with CD45.1⁺ bone marrow. **E**

Representative flow cytometric analysis of CD115$^+$CD11b$^+$ blood monocytes,

TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages for donor-derived (CD45.1$^+$) and host-

derived (CD45.2$^+$) cells. Non-chimeric CD45.1 and CD45.2 samples serve as

controls for the gating. **F** Frequencies of donor-derived blood monocytes,

TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ ThyMacs. **G** Scheme of the thymus transplantation

experiments. Embryonic thymuses from E15.5 *Spic^{GFP+}* CD45.2$^+$ mice were

transplanted under the kidney capsule of CD45.1$^+$ mice and analyzed six

weeks later. **H** Representative flow cytometry plots of donor (CD45.2$^+$) vs.

host (CD45.1$^+$) derived TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ ThyMacs in the transplanted thymus.

The host thymus (endogenous thymus) serves as a negative control. **I**

Frequencies of CD45.2$^+$ (donor-derived) cells among TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$

ThyMacs in the transplanted and endogenous thymuses of the mice. Data in

C, **F**, and **I** are mean±SEM with two litters, seven, and five mice per group,

respectively. The numbers in the flow cytometry plots are the percent of cells

in the respective gates. Each symbol in the graphs is an individual mouse or

embryo.

Thymic macrophages can proliferate in situ

TIM4$^+$ macrophages can persist for many weeks in the thymus without

constant replacement from blood monocytes, suggesting they can divide in

situ. Staining for the proliferation marker Ki67 revealed that ~4% of all thymic

macrophages expressed this marker compared to an isotype control (Figure

6A and B). To prove that thymic macrophages are proliferative, we tested the

incorporation of the nucleotide analog 5-Ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU).

Short-term EdU labeling experiments unexpectedly revealed that thymic

macrophages become EdU$^+$ with faster kinetics than thymocytes (Figure 6 –

figure supplement 1). The most likely explanation for this puzzling result is

that some of the thymic macrophages have engulfed apoptotic thymocytes

that have recently divided and incorporated EdU. Thus, EdU could have

accumulated in these macrophages through phagocytosis, not cell division.

To circumvent this caveat, we designed a pulse-chase experiment (Figure

6C). Mice were injected daily with EdU for 21 days so that all cells that

proliferated in that period would incorporate the label. Most thymocytes and

thymic macrophages became EdU$^+$ at d. 21 (Figure 6D). After 21 more days

of "chase period", only ~0.2% of thymocytes had retained the EdU label,

consistent with the existence of a tiny population of long-term resident

thymocytes consisting mainly of regulatory T cells and NKT cells[54] (Figure

6D and E). However, ~5% of the thymic macrophages were EdU$^+$, suggesting

they divided during the labeling period. We also sorted thymic macrophages

and subjected them to cell cycle analysis. Although almost all thymic

macrophages were in G0/G1 phase, a small population of ~3% was in the

G2/M phase of the cell cycle (Figure 6F and G). Surprisingly, most *Mki67*$^+$

thymic macrophages belonged to the *Cx3cr1*$^+$ subset, and only a few of the

Timd4$^+$ cells were positive (Figure 6H). We confirmed this result from the

scRNA-Seq analysis experimentally. The expression of Ki-67 was significantly

higher in TIM4$^-$ than in TIM4$^+$ thymic macrophages (Fig. 6G), suggesting that

the former is the more proliferative subset. Collectively, four independent

approaches documented that a small proportion (3-5%) of thymic

macrophages are actively dividing under homeostatic conditions within the

thymus. The majority of the dividing cells were from the adult HSC-derived

Cx3cr1⁺ subset. *Timd4⁺* macrophages were primarily quiescent.

Figure 6. Thymic macrophages exhibit a low degree of proliferation.

A Example flow cytometry plots of Ki67 staining of thymic macrophages

(ThyMacs). **B** Frequency of Ki67⁺ thymic macrophages. **C** Scheme of EdU

pulse/chase experiment: mice were injected daily with 1 mg EdU i.p for 21

days and rested for 21 more days. **D** Example flow cytometry plots of EdU

staining of thymocytes (upper row) and ThyMacs (lower row). **E** Frequencies

of EdU$^+$ cells among thymocytes (top graph) and ThyMacs (bottom graph). **F**

Example flow cytometry plot of cell cycle analysis of FACS sorted ThyMacs. **G**

Frequencies of ThyMacs in different stages of the cell cycle. **H** UMAP plot of

Mki67 expression in *Mafb*-positive clusters from the scRNA-Seq data

described in Figure 4. **I** Comparison of Ki67 protein expression in TIM4$^+$ and

TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages. The expression is measured as the difference of

the geometric mean fluorescent intensities of the Ki67 antibody staining and

isotype control (ΔgMFI). The numbers in the flow cytometry plots are the

percent of cells in the respective gates. Data are mean±SEM from three mice

(**B** and **G**) or 5 mice (**I**), or 6-7 individual mice (**E**). Each dot is an individual

mouse. Statistical significance in (**I**) was determined by unpaired Student's t-

test.

***Cx3cr1*$^+$ cells give rise to *Timd4*$^+$ cells during embryonic development**

To determine if the two populations of thymic macrophages are related, we

first analyzed the kinetics of their appearance during embryonic development.

At the earliest time point (E14.5), all thymic macrophages were *Cx3cr1*$^+$, and

only ~20% were also TIM4$^+$ (Figure 7A and B). The proportion of TIM4$^+$ cells

increased at E17.5, and TIM4$^+$*Cx3cr1*$^-$ cells started to appear. In the neonatal

period, almost all macrophages were TIM4$^+$, and very few remained TIM4$^-$.

The proportion of TIM4$^-$ cells increased in 6 weeks old mice, but TIM4$^+$

macrophages remained the dominant population. These kinetics (Figure 7C)

are consistent with *Timd4*$^+$ macrophages developing from *Cx3cr1*$^+$ cells

before birth. Another plausible scenario is that distinct progenitors give rise to

different thymic macrophage populations (e.g., YS-progenitors give rise to

Cx3cr1⁺Timd4⁻ and HSC-derived progenitors develop into *Timd4⁺*

macrophages). To test the latter hypothesis, we revisited the fate mapping of

YS progenitors (Figure 5A). Although a larger part (~60% at E15.5) of fate-

mapped cells were *Cx3cr1⁺*TIM4⁻ cells (Figure 7D), a substantial proportion

(~40% at E15.5) of fate-mapped TIM4⁺ macrophages could clearly be

identified at both E15.5 and E19.5, suggesting that YS progenitors can give

rise to both *Cx3cr1⁺* and *Timd4⁺* cells. Thus, the simplest explanation for our

findings is that *Timd4⁺* cells develop from *Cx3cr1⁺* cells during embryonic

development. This transition is complete in the first week after birth as there

were essentially no *Cx3cr1⁺*TIM4⁻ thymic macrophages remaining at d.7

(Figure 7A and B). To formally demonstrate that *Cx3cr1⁺* macrophages can

give rise to *Timd4⁺* cells during embryonic development, we injected 4-OHT in

E15.5 pregnant females carrying *Cx3cr1^{CreER}* X *ROSA26^{LSL-GFP}* fetuses (Fig.

7E). At this time almost all thymic macrophages are *Cx3cr1⁺* (Fig. 7A). Just

before birth, at E19.5, we could identify a sizeable population of

TIM4⁺CX3CR1⁻ among fate-mapped cells, suggesting that they originate from

Cx3cr1⁺ progenitors (Fig. 7F and G).

Figure 7. *Timd4*⁺ thymic macrophages are derived from *Cx3cr1*⁺ cells

during embryonic development. A Example flow cytometry plots for the

expression of *Cx3cr1^{GFP}* and TIM4 on thymic macrophages at different times

during embryonic development (E14.5, E17.5), immediately after birth, at 7

days, and 6 weeks of age. **B** Frequencies of *Timd4*⁺*Cx3cr1*⁻ (*Timd4* single-

positive or *Timd4*SP), *Timd4*⁺*Cx3cr1*⁺(double-positive or DP), and

Cx3cr1⁺*Timd4*⁻ (*Cx3cr1* single-positive or *Cx3cr1*SP) thymic macrophages at

the indicated time points. **C** Kinetics of the changes in different subpopulations

of thymic macrophages from E14.5 to 6 weeks. **D** Frequencies at E15.5 and

E19.5 of GFP-labeled cells among TIM4⁺ or TIM4⁻ cells in *Cx3cr1^{CreER}* X

ROSA26^{LSL-GFP} embryos treated with 4-OHT at E9.5. **E** Scheme of the fate-

mapping experiments showing the relationship between *Cx3cr1*⁺ and *Timd4*⁺

thymic macrophages during embryonic development. E15.5 pregnant

ROSA26^{LSL-GFP} mice mated with *Cx3cr1^{CreER}* males were injected with 4-

hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) and sacrificed at E19.5. **F** Representative flow

cytometry staining for TIM4 and CX3CR1 in fate-mapped GFP$^+$ thymic

macrophages at E19.5. The panel to the right is the isotype control for

CX3CR1-PE staining. **G** Frequencies of TIM4$^+$CX3CR1$^-$ cells among fate-

mapped GFP$^+$ macrophages. Data are from at least two independent

experiments for each panel. Each symbol is an individual mouse or embryo.

Cx3cr1$^+$ thymic macrophages slowly accumulate with age at the expense of Timd4$^+$ cells

To understand the dynamics of the two resident thymic macrophage

populations with age, we induced recombination in *Cx3cr1^{CreER}* X *ROSA26^{LSL-GFP}* mice during the neonatal period (Figure 8A) or at 6 weeks of age (Figure

8C) and compared the proportion of GFP$^+$ cells 3 and 42 days after labeling.

The extent of labeling of TIM4$^+$ thymic macrophages did not change within

these 6 weeks, no matter whether the mice were treated with Tamoxifen in

the first week after birth or at 6 weeks (Figure 8B and D), suggesting an

absence of a significant influx from unlabeled cells (e.g., monocytes). In

contrast, the proportion of labeled TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages decreased

significantly 6 weeks after Tamoxifen injection in neonatal and adult mice,

suggesting that this population was diluted by unlabeled cells. To further

substantiate these findings, we examined older WT mice and found out that

the proportions of TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages increased with age, and in mice

>8 months old, they accounted for ~70% of all macrophages in the organ

(Figure 8E).   As these changes in the proportions of the thymic macrophage

subpopulations occurred at the background of thymic involution, we wanted to

know if the accumulation of TIM4⁻ cells was only relative or also in absolute

cell numbers. In contrast to TIM4⁺ thymic macrophages that reached peak

numbers at an early age and then declined significantly, TIM4⁻ cells tended to

increase their numbers in older mice (Figure 8F). Thus, we conclude that,

after birth, the numbers of TIM4⁺ macrophages follow the kinetics of the

thymus size – increase in young and decrease in old mice, and they are not

replaced by other cells. In contrast, since the first week of life, *Cx3cr1⁺* cells

are recruited to the thymus, accumulate with age, and in old mice, form the

predominant phagocytic population in the organ.

Figure 8. *Timd4⁺* thymic macrophages are progressively lost, while

***Cx3cr1⁺* cells slowly accumulate with age. A** Scheme of the neonatal fate

mapping: A nursing dam was injected twice with Tamoxifen (Tam) or vehicle

(Veh) in the first week after giving birth to *Cx3cr1*[CreER] X *ROSA26*[LSL-GFP] pups.

The mice were sacrificed three or 42 days after the last injection, and the

degree of labeling of TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages was examined by

flow cytometry. **B** Frequencies of GFP$^+$ TIM4$^+$ or TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages

from neonatally fate-mapped mice after 3 and 42 days. Vehicle-injected

nursing dam litters (Veh) served as a control for non-specific labeling. **C**

Scheme of the adult fate mapping: Six weeks old *Cx3cr1*[CreER] X *ROSA26*[LSL-

GFP] mice were injected twice with Tamoxifen (Tam) or vehicle (Veh). The

mice were sacrificed three or 42 days after the last injection, and the degree

of labeling of TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages was examined by flow

cytometry. **D** Frequencies of GFP$^+$ TIM4$^+$ or TIM4$^-$ thymic macrophages from

adult fate-mapped mice after 3 and 42 days. **E** Frequencies of TIM4$^-$ thymic

macrophages at different ages. **F** Changes in the numbers of TIM4$^-$ and

TIM4$^+$ thymic macrophages with age. The data are mean±SEM from 2

independent experiments (**B**) or at least 3 individual mice per time point (**D**,

E, and **F**). Each symbol is an individual mouse. Statistical significance in the

difference between Tamoxifen-treated samples at different time points was

determined with unpaired Student's t-test (**B** and **D**). One-way ANOVA was

used to assess the significance of the change in TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ ThyMacs

percentages and numbers with age (**E** and **F**).

Discussion

Here, we have described the phenotype, transcriptional profile, localization,

diversity, ontogeny, and maintenance of macrophages in the thymus. These

cells express the typical macrophage markers CD64, MerTK, and F4/80 and

are transcriptionally most similar to splenic red pulp macrophages and liver

Kupffer cells. However, they have a unique expression profile dominated by

genes involved in antigen presentation and lysosomal degradation. We found

that thymic macrophages consist of two populations with distinct localization.

Timd4$^+$ macrophages occupied the cortex, while *Cx3cr1$^+$* cells were located in

the medulla and the cortico-medullary junction. While YS-derived

macrophages dominated the early stages of thymus development, they were

quickly replaced by non-YS embryonic progenitors that gave rise to the

Timd4$^+$ thymic macrophages that persisted into adulthood and formed the

main macrophage population in young mice. *Cx3cr1$^+$* macrophages slowly

accumulated after birth and became the most abundant population in old

mice.

Altogether our data depict thymic macrophages as typical tissue-resident

macrophages originating from multiple hematopoietic waves, surviving long-

term, and expressing the core macrophage-specific genes. They are most

similar transcriptionally to splenic red pulp macrophages and Kupffer cells,

which is not surprising considering that they all specialize in efferocytosis and

have efficient lysosomal degradation machinery. These three populations

also shared expression of the transcription factor *Spic* that is induced by

heme released following red blood cells phagocytosis (Haldar et al., 2014).

However, the thymus is not known as a place for erythrocyte degradation.

Thus, the mechanism for *Spic* up-regulation in thymic macrophages is

unclear.

The unique features of thymic macrophages include high expression of

genes involved in the IFN-I pathway, antigen presentation, and lysosomal

degradation. The up-regulation of IFN-I-stimulated genes such as *Stat1*,

Stat2, *Irf7*, and *Irf8* can be explained by the constitutive secretion of IFN-I by

thymic epithelial cells (Lienenklaus et al., 2009) (Otero et al., 2013). The

purpose of IFN-I expression in the thymus in the absence of a viral infection is

unclear. Still, one possibility is that it mediates negative selection to IFN-

dependent genes as part of central tolerance.

Thymic macrophages highly express molecules involved in antigen

presentation, including MHC1 and MHC2, although the latter is expressed at

lower levels than in cDCs, and are functionally competent to induce T cell

activation. Thus, they have the potential to present antigens for both negative

selection and agonist selection. These two activities have traditionally been

assigned solely to cDCs (Breed et al., 2017). However, recent evidence

suggests that negative selection is most efficient when the cell that presents

the antigen to an auto-reactive thymocyte is also the one that phagocytoses it

(Kurd et al., 2019). So, macrophages' participation in thymocyte selection

needs to be re-evaluated.

The extraordinary ability of thymic macrophages to engulf and degrade

apoptotic thymocytes has been appreciated for a long time (Surh & Sprent,

1994), and our RNA-Seq data provides additional supporting evidence for this

function by highlighting the up-regulation of pathways involved in lysosomal

degradation. Moreover, we recently showed that the pentose phosphate

pathway has a central role in buffering the efferocytosis-associated oxidative

stress in thymic macrophages (Tsai et al., 2022). An interesting topic for future

research would be understanding how the metabolites derived from apoptotic

cells are returned to the microenvironment to support the proliferation of

immature thymocytes. A *SoLute Carrier* (*Slc*) genes-based program has been

described in vitro (Morioka et al., 2018), but its relevance to tissue-resident

macrophages remains to be determined. Altogether, our study demonstrates

that thymic macrophages are a unique subset of tissue-resident macrophages

and support the idea that resident macrophage phenotype is determined by

the combination of ontogeny, microenvironment, and other factors (Bleriot et

al., 2020).

Together with the study by Tacke et al., our work builds the following model

for thymic macrophage origin (Tacke et al., 2015): Thymic macrophages

develop in three distinct waves: YS-derived progenitors dominate the early

stages of thymus development but are replaced before birth by a second

wave of YS-independent embryonic progenitors that forms the bulk of thymic

macrophages after birth and can self-maintain into adulthood. With age, there

is a slow and steady influx of $Timd4^- Cx3cr1^+$ macrophage precursors that

occupy the medulla and cortico-medullary junction, becoming the major

phagocytic population in the thymus of older mice (>8 months). The second

wave of YS-independent macrophages is most likely the progeny of

embryonic HSCs based on $Flt3^{Cre}$ fate mapping that showed that >80% of

thymic macrophages in adult mice are descendants of HSCs (Tacke et al.,

2015). Whether HSC-independent fetal liver monocytes contribute to thymic

macrophages and to what extent awaits the creation of models that can

specifically target this population of progenitors. Recruitment of circulating

monocytes to the resident macrophage pool in the thymus has been ruled out

previously by parabiosis and *Ccr2*$^{-/-}$ mice (Tacke et al., 2015). Our shield

chimera experiments have arrived at similar conclusions. However, the

relatively short duration of these experiments and their focus on the bulk

thymic macrophages have prevented the recognition of the gradual

accumulation of *Timd4*$^-$ macrophages. Once we zoomed in on this minor cell

population in young mice, the fate mapping clearly showed an influx of

unlabeled progenitors. Whether the progenitors of *Timd4*$^-$ macrophages are

monocytes remains to be formally demonstrated. However, monocytes have

been singled out as the source of all macrophage populations exhibiting

replacement in adults examined to date (Molawi et al., 2014; Goldmann et al.,

2016) (Jacome-Galarza et al., 2019) (Tamoutounour et al., 2013) (Bain et al.,

2014) (Bain et al., 2016). An alternative possibility involves thymocyte

progenitors that, under certain circumstances, have been shown to

differentiate into macrophages and granulocytes in the thymus (Wada et al.,

2008) (Bell & Bhandoola, 2008). However, if this occurs in unmanipulated mice

at a steady state remains unclear.

We observed interesting dynamics of the *Cx3cr1*$^+$ macrophages in the

thymus. Thymic macrophage progenitors are initially *Cx3cr1*$^+$ during the

embryonic period but gradually down-regulate this chemokine receptor and

up-regulate *Timd4* so that by day 7 after birth, there are almost no

Cx3cr1$^+$*Timd4*$^-$ cells remaining. *Cx3cr1*$^+$*Timd4*$^-$ macrophages start to

increase after the neonatal stage, but these cells come from an entirely

different source – adult hematopoietic cell-derived progenitors, and slowly

accumulate in the medulla with time so that by 6-8 months, they are the

majority of the resident macrophages in that tissue. Both YS-derived primitive

macrophages and fetal liver monocytes express *Cx3cr1* (Hoeffel et al., 2012)

(Mass et al., 2016). However, the tissue-resident macrophages in some organs

(e.g., Kupffer cells, alveolar macrophages, red pulp macrophages,

Langerhans cells) lose *Cx3cr1* expression similar to thymic macrophages,

while the macrophages in the intestines, aorta, kidney, dermis, lymph node T

cell zone, and microglia do not (Yona et al., 2013) (Tamoutounour et al., 2013)

(Ensan et al., 2015) (Baratin et al., 2017). Similar processes may occur in other

tissues where the embryonic macrophages transition to a *Cx3cr1*$^-$ phenotype

and are slowly replaced by monocyte-derived cells with age. However,

detailed time-course analyses of *Cx3cr1* expression starting before birth and

extending to very old (1 year) mice coupled with lineage tracing would be

necessary to document if this transition takes place.

The spatial segregation of the two macrophage populations in the thymus

implies that they might have distinct functions. *Timd4*$^+$ cells are restricted to

the cortex and are particularly abundant in the deeper cortex, close to the

medulla. Both positive and negative selection of thymocytes occur there, so

we speculate that *Timd4*$^+$ macrophages might be specialized in efferocytosis

of CD4$^+$CD8$^+$ (double-positive) thymocytes that cannot interact with cortical

thymic epithelial cells and die by neglect or are auto-reactive and undergo

clonal deletion in the cortex (Stritesky et al., 2013). On the other hand,

Cx3cr1$^+$ macrophages accumulate in the medulla – the thymic region

specialized in negative selection to tissue-restricted antigens (TRA). They

might contribute to the process in several ways: 1) by carrying TRAs from

blood and peripheral organs. A similar process has been described for cDC2

(SIRPα^+ DCs) (Bonasio et al., 2006; Baba et al., 2009). In fact, *Cx3cr1*$^+$ thymic

macrophages could have contributed to this role because they were not

distinguished from cDC2 in this study. 2) By capturing TRAs from *Aire*[+]

medullary thymic epithelial cells and presenting them to auto-reactive

thymocytes as shown for DCs (Gallegos & Bevan, 2004) (Koble & Kyewski,

2009) (Vobořil et al., 2020). 3) By phagocytosing apoptotic TRA-specific

medullary thymocytes, a process we have observed before (Kurd et al., 2019).

The exact involvement of thymic macrophages in the selection events in the

thymus remains to be determined.

The accumulation of the *Cx3cr1*^{+} cells in older mice has clear implications

for thymus aging. One key feature of thymus involution is the accumulation of

extracellular matrix produced by fibroblasts and the emergence of white

adipocytes (Dixit, 2012). A well-recognized driver of fibrosis is TGFβ1 (Budi et

al., 2021) that is induced by efferocytosis in macrophages (Huynh et al., 2002).

Tgfb1 was highly expressed in thymic macrophages. However, its expression

was the highest in the *Timd4*^{+} subset (Figure 4 – figure supplement 4). This

expression pattern casts some doubt that this molecule is the primary driver of

extracellular matrix accumulation during thymic involution because *Timd4*^{+}

macrophages peak in young mice (Fig. 8F). At that time, there is minimal

extracellular matrix in the cortex where these cells reside. In addition, during

thymic involution, the number of these cells declines significantly. The clear

correlation between the accumulation of *Cx3cr1*[+] thymic macrophages and

thymic involution suggests that some factor(s) produced exclusively by these

cells would be more relevant. For example, *Cx3cr1*[+] thymic macrophages are

the predominant producer of the growth factor PDGFα (Figure 4G) that is

required for the maintenance of adipocyte stem cells and can stimulate tissue

fibrosis (Rivera-Gonzalez et al., 2016) (Olson & Soriano, 2009). The gradual

accumulation of *Cx3cr1+* macrophages could increase the availability PDGFα

in the aging thymus stimulating extracellular matrix production and

differentiation of precursors into adipocytes. This model predicts that limiting

the influx of *Cx3cr1+* macrophage precursors could delay thymus involution.

Recent work described a novel phagocytic and antigen-presenting cell type

in the thymus called monocyte-derived DCs (Vobořil et al., 2020). The

phenotype of these cells overlaps with the CD64+F4/80loCD11b+ cells in our

study. However, we favor the classification of these cells as monocytes based

on their expression of *Mafb*, CD64, and Ly6C and lack of expression of the

defining DC transcription factor *Zbtb46* (Figure 4B and D) (Satpathy et al.,

2012). As monocytes can differentiate into cDC2, particularly in the context of

inflammation (Guilliams et al., 2018), the precise identity and the relationship of

this population to thymic cDC2 remain to be established.

In the past several years, scRNA-Seq has come to the forefront of

biologists' efforts to disentangle the cellular diversity of tissues. Several

comprehensive studies have included samples from mouse or human thymus

(Han et al., 2018) (Tabula et al., 2018) (Tabula, 2020). However, too few thymic

macrophages were sampled in these studies to give meaningful clustering

results. Efforts specifically targeting the thymus have provided considerably

more information (Kernfeld et al., 2018) (Park et al., 2020), but macrophage

diversity was still not recognized. Characterization of rare populations such as

thymic macrophages (~0.1% of all cells in the thymus) requires optimized

enzymatic digestion procedures and enrichment strategies, as has already

been demonstrated for thymic epithelial cells (Bornstein et al., 2018) (Bautista

et al., 2021). Our scRNA-Seq dataset provides a rich resource for the

unbiased characterization of myeloid cells in the thymus and will greatly aid in

the understanding of the myeloid landscape of the thymus.

In summary, our work comprehensively characterizes macrophages in the

thymus and paves the way for the exploration of their functions.

Materials and methods

Mice

C57BL/6Narl (CD45.2) mice were purchased from the National Laboratory

Animal Center, Taipei, Taiwan (NLAC stock# RMRC11005). MAFIA

(MAcrophage Fas-Induced Apoptosis, Jackson Labs stock# 005070) (Burnett

et al., 2004), $Cx3cr1^{GFP}$ (Jackson Labs stock# 005582) (Jung et al., 2000),

$Spic^{GFP}$ (Jackson Labs stock# 025673) (Haldar et al., 2014), $Cx3cr1^{CreER}$

(Jackson Labs stock# 020940) (Yona et al., 2013), and *B6.SJL-Ptprca*

Pepcb/BoyJ (CD45.1, Jackson Labs stock# 002014) mice were purchased

from the Jackson Laboratories. $Cd11c^{YFP}$ (Jackson Labs stock# 008829)

(Lindquist et al., 2004) and $Lyz2^{GFP}$ (Faust et al., 2000) mice have been

described. Mice ubiquitously expressing GFP from the *ROSA26* locus were

generated by breeding $Pdgfra^{Cre}$ (Jackson Labs stock# 013148) (Roesch et al.,

2008) and $ROSA26^{LSL-ZsGreen}$ (also known as $ROSA26^{LSL-GFP}$ or Ai6, Jackson

Labs stock# 007906) mice (Madisen et al., 2009) (both from the Jackson

Laboratories). A mouse from this cross was identified, in which the STOP

cassette was deleted in the germline. It was designated $ROSA26^{GFP}$ and

subsequently bred to C57BL/6 mice. All mice were used at 4-10 weeks of age

unless otherwise specified. Mice were bred and maintained under specific

pathogen-free conditions at the animal facility of National Yang Ming Chiao

Tung University (NYCU). All experimental procedures were approved by the

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of NYCU.

Treatment with 5-Ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU)

Mice were i.p. injected with 1 mg EdU (Carbosynth) dissolved in PBS daily

for 21 days and then rested for 21 more days. Cells from the thymus were

harvested on day 21 or 42. In some experiments, the mice were sacrificed 2

hours after the first EdU injection.

Shield chimera generation

WT (CD45.2) mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 120 µg/g body

weight Ketamine hydrochloride (Toronto Research Chemicals) and 12 µg/g

body weight Xylazine hydrochloride (Sigma). Anesthetized mice were taped

to a 5 cm thick lead block so that the lead block covered the head and the

chest down to the bottom of the rib cage. Then, they were irradiated with a

lethal dose (1000 rad) from a ^{137}Cs source (Minishot II, AXR) so that only their

abdomen and hind legs were exposed. After recovery from anesthesia, the

mice were transfused i.v. with 10^7 bone marrow cells from a congenic

(CD45.1) donor. Then, they were given Trimerin (0.5 mg/mL Sulfadiazine +

0.1 mg/mL Trimethoprim, China Chemical and Pharmaceutical Co., Tainan,

Taiwan) in the drinking water for the first two weeks after the irradiation and

analyzed after six weeks.

Cell isolation from thymus, blood, and peritoneal cavity

Thymocytes were obtained by mechanical disruption of the thymus with a

syringe plunger. For myeloid cell isolation, mouse thymuses were cut into

small pieces and digested with 0.2 mg/mL DNase I (Roche) and 0.2 mg/mL

collagenase P (Roche) in complete DMEM for 20 min at 37˚C with frequent

agitation. In some experiments, thymic myeloid cells were enriched by 57%

Percoll PLUS (GE Healthcare) discontinuous gradient centrifugation at 4˚C,

1800 rpm, for 20 min without brake. Cells at the interface were collected and

washed with PBS to remove residual silica particles. Then the cells were

resuspended in PBS with 0.5% BSA (HM Biological), filtered through a 70 µm

filter, and kept on ice.

Blood was isolated by cardiac puncture of sacrificed mice and immediately

diluted with PBS. After centrifugation, the cell suspensions were treated with

ammonium chloride-potassium lysis buffer for 3 min on ice once or twice.

Peritoneal cavity cells were obtained by lavage with 5 mL PBS + 2 mM EDTA

(Merck). Following gentle massage, the cavity was opened with an abdominal

incision, and lavage fluid was collected.

Flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions ($0.5 - 2X10^6$ cells) from thymus, blood, or

peritoneal cavity were blocked with supernatant from 2.4G2 hybridoma (a kind

gift by Dr. Fang Liao, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan) and stained with

fluorochrome- or biotin-labeled antibodies for 20 min on ice in PBS + 0.5%

BSA + 2 mM EDTA + 0.1% NaN_3 (FACS buffer). The following antibodies

were used: CD11b (clone M1/70), MHC2 (M5/114.15.2), CD11c (N418),

F4/80 (BM8), CD115 (AFS98), SIRPα (P84), CD45 (30-F11), NK1.1 (PK136),

TIM4 (RMT4-54), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), CD64 (X54-5/7.1), Siglec H (551), Ly6C

(HK1.4), CD3ε (145-2C11), CD8α (53-6.7), CD19 (6D5), B220 (RA3-6B2),

CD4 (GK1.5), CD51 (RMV-7), CD45.1 (A20), CD45.2 (104), CX3CR1

(SA011F11), and EpCAM (G8.8) from BioLegend; Axl (MAXL8DS), MerTK

(DS5MMER), and Ki67 (SolA15) were from eBioscience; Siglec F (E50-2440),

CD90.2 (30-H12), and CD11c (HL3) were from BD Biosciences. Cells were

washed, and if necessary, incubated for 20 more min with fluorochrome-

labeled Streptavidin: Streptavidin-AF647 (Jackson Immunoresearch) or

Streptavidin-APC/cy7, Streptavidin-BV421, Streptavidin-BV605 (BioLegend).

After the last wash, the cells were resuspended in FACS buffer containing

DAPI (BioLegend), Propidium Iodide (Sigma), or DRAQ7 (BioLegend) and

analyzed immediately on an LSR Fortessa flow cytometer running Diva 8

software (BD Biosciences). Typically, 500,000 cells were collected from

thymus samples. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar).

For intracellular staining, after surface antibody staining, the cells were

labeled with Zombie Aqua (BioLegend) for 30 min in ice. Then, the cells were

fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscope Sciences) in PBS for

20 min on ice, permeabilized with either 0.5% Triton-X 100 (Sigma) for 20 min

on ice, or with Foxp3 staining kit (eBioscience) according to the protocol

provided by the manufacturer, and stained with antibodies for intracellular

markers for 40-60 min on ice.

For cell cycle analysis, 1-5x10^5 sorted thymic macrophages were fixed with

70% ethanol for 2 h on ice. The cells were spun down at 1800 rpm for 20 min

at 4°C, washed with PBS, and stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI (BioLegend) for 30

min at room temperature in the dark.

For EdU staining, after surface marker and Zombie Aqua staining, cells

were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min on ice and

permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS at room temperature for 20 min.

EdU was detected by adding an equal volume of 2X Click reaction buffer

consisting of 200 mM Tris, 200 mM ascorbic acid (Acros), 8 mM CuSO$_4$

(Acros), 8 μM Cy5-azide (Lumiprobe) to the permeabilized cells resuspended

in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS and incubation at room temperature for 30 min.

Cells were washed twice with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS and analyzed on a

flow cytometer.

Cell sorting

The sorting of thymic macrophages was done following the IMMGEN

guidelines. Briefly, the thymuses of 3 male C57BL/6Narl mice were harvested

in ice-cold staining buffer containing phenol red-free DMEM (Gibco) with 10

mM HEPES (Sigma), 0.1% NaN$_3$, and 2% FBS (Gibco). Single-cell

suspensions were prepared as described in the Flow cytometry section.

Percoll PLUS was used to enrich mononuclear cells. The cells were

resuspended at 10^8/mL in staining buffer and labeled with appropriate

antibodies for 15 min in ice. To sort thymic macrophages, the cells were first

labeled with biotinylated antibodies to lineage markers (Lin) – CD3, CD8, Gr1,

B220. After washing, the cells were stained with antibodies to CD11b, F4/80,

CD45, CD64, and Streptavidin-APC/cy7 for 15 min in ice. For sorting thymus

XCR1$^+$ and SIRPα^+ cDCs, antibodies to XCR1, SIRPα, CD11c, MHC2, CD64,

and F4/80 were used. For sorting peritoneal cavity macrophages, antibodies

to ICAM2 and F4/80 were used. Immediately before sorting, the dead cells

were excluded with DRAQ7 or PI. For RNA Sequencing experiments, the

cells were double-sorted on FACS Melody, or Aria cell sorters (BD

Biosciences) and 1000 cells were directly deposited in TCL buffer (Qiagen),

frozen in dry ice and sent to IMMGEN for RNA sequencing. Four biological

replicates were prepared. For cytospin and cell cycle analysis, $1-5 \times 10^5$ cells

sorted on FACS Melody were collected in staining buffer.

Cytospin

Sorted cells were mounted on Superfrost PLUS slides (Thermo Scientific)

using a Cytospin centrifuge (Cytospin 3, Shandon) for 5 min at 500 rpm. Cells

were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and

stained with the Hemacolor Rapid Staining Kit (Merck Millipore). Images were

collected on BX61 upright microscope (Olympus) using 100X objective with

immersion oil and captured with a CCD camera. Images were then analyzed

and processed with ImageJ (NIH) and Adobe Photoshop 5.5 (Adobe).

In vitro phagocytosis assay

10^7 Thymocytes were cultured in cDMEM in the presence of 1 µM of

dexamethasone (Sigma) in a 3.5-cm culture dish at 37℃ in 5% CO_2 incubator

for 8 hours. Apoptosis levels were assessed by PI (Biolegend) and Annexin V-

FITC (Biolegend) staining. Typically, more than 80% of cells were Annexin V$^+$.

The dexamethasone-treated thymocytes were stained with 1 µg/mL pHrodo

Red, SE (ThermoFisher) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. The cells

were washed two times with cDMEM and resuspended at $2x10^6$ cells/mL.

$4x10^4$ sorted peritoneal and thymic macrophages were stained with 5 µM

eFluor 450 (Thermo Fisher) in PBS for 10 min at 37℃, washed two times with

cDMEM and cultured in 96-well flat-bottom culture plate (Nunc) in 100 µL

cDMEM at 37℃ in 5% CO_2 incubator. After 3 hours of attachment, the non-

adherent cells were removed, and 200 µL ($4x10^5$) apoptotic thymocytes were

added to the macrophages. The cells were incubated at 37℃ in 5% CO_2

incubator for 2 hours. Fluorescent images were captured with AxioObserver 7

(Carl Zeiss) wide-field microscope equipped with Plan Apochromat 40x

NA=1.0 objective (Zeiss) and AxioCam 702 monochrome camera (Zeiss)

controlled by Zen 2.3 Blue (Zeiss) software. Image analysis was performed

with Imaris 8.0.2 (Bitplane). Phagocytosis was scored by investigators blinded

to the samples' identities.

1012

In vitro antigen presentation assay

$3x10^4$ sorted thymic CD64$^-$MHCII$^+$CD11c$^+$ dendritic cells, thymic, or

peritoneal macrophages were cultured in 96-well round-bottom culture plate in

100 µL cDMEM at 37℃ in 5% CO_2 incubator for 3 hours to attach.

Splenocytes from OT2 mice were stained with biotinylated antibodies to

CD8a, CD11b, CD11c, B220, and MHCII (all from BioLegend), washed, and

labeled with anti-biotin Microbeads (Miltenyi) plus CD44 microbeads (Miltenyi)

in cRPMI. The cells were separated on MACS LS columns (Miltenyi)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Enriched cells (naïve CD4 T

cells) were stained with 10 µM CFSE (Sigma) for 5 min in PBS at 37℃ and

cocultured with the sorted thymic MHCII+CD11c+ dendritic cells, thymic, or

peritoneal macrophages, in the presence or absence of 0.5 mg/mL OVA

protein (Sigma) in cRPMI at 37℃ in 5% CO_2 incubator for 72 hours. The cells

were collected and stained with antibodies to TCRβ and CD4 (from

BioLegend) for flow cytometry analyses of CFSE dilution. The data were

analyzed with FlowJo's Proliferation Modelling module (BD Biosciences).

1029

RNA sequencing analysis

RNA sequencing was done at IMMGEN using Smart-seq2 protocol (Picelli

et al., 2013) (Picelli et al., 2014) on a NextSeq500 sequencer (Illumina).

Following sequencing, raw reads were aligned with STAR to the mouse

genome assembly mm10 and assigned to specific genes using the

GENCODE vM12 annotation. Gene expression was normalized by DESeq2

(Love et al., 2014) and visualized by Morpheus

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). The principal component

analysis was done by plotPCA() function of R package "DESeq2. Gene

expression of mouse transcription factors (Schmeier et al., 2017) was

visualized in MultiplotStudio of GenePattern (Reich et al., 2006). GO

enrichment was calculated and visualized in R by using clusterProfiler (Yu et

al., 2012).

1043

Timed pregnancies and embryonic thymus analysis

To set up timed pregnancies, each male mouse (*Cx3cr1^CreER/CreER*,

Cx3cr1^GFP/GFP or C57BL/6) and female mouse (*ROSA26^LSL-GFP/LSL-GFP* or

C57BL/6) were housed together in the same cage for one night and separated

on the next day, which we defined as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Female mice

were assumed to be pregnant if their weight gain was over 2 g at E8.5 (Heyne

et al., 2015). Thymuses from E14.5 and E17.5 embryos, neonatal, 1-weeks-

old pups, and adult mice (older than 6-weeks-old) were harvested,

mechanically dissociated with plastic sticks in 1.5-mL centrifuge tubes, and

enzymatically digested with 0.2 mg/mL DNase I and 0.2 mg/mL collagenase P

in complete DMEM for 20 min at 37˚C with frequent agitation. The cells were

resuspended in PBS with 0.5% BSA, filtered through a 70 μm filter, kept on

ice, and used flow cytometric analysis as described in the Flow Cytometry

section.

1058

Genetic fate mapping – E9.5, neonatal and adult

For genetic fate mapping, timed pregnancies of *Cx3cr1^CreER/CreER* male and

ROSA26^LSL-GFP/LSL-GFP female mice were set up as described. To label the

Cx3cr1^+ erythromyeloid progenitors derived from embryonic yolk sac (Mass et

al., 2016), 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT from Sigma) was administered i.p. to

pregnant females on E9.5 at a dose of 75 μg/g (body weight). To improve the

survival of embryos and reduce the risk of abortions, Progesterone (Sigma)

was co-injected at a dose of 37.5 μg/g (body weight) (Iturri et al., 2017). To

label the *Cx3cr1^+* thymic macrophages in *Cx3cr1^CreER* X *ROSA26^LSL-GFP*

neonates and adult mice, Tamoxifen (TAM from Sigma) was injected i.p. at a

dose of 2 mg/mouse to lactating dams on postnatal day 3 and 4 (P3 and P4)

or to adult mice for 2 consecutive days. Thymuses were harvested and

analyzed 3 days or 6 weeks after the last injection by flow cytometry.

1072

scRNA-Seq – sorting, library generation, and sequencing

scRNA-Seq was performed at the Genomics Center for Clinical and

Biotechnological Applications of NCFB (NYCU, Taipei, Taiwan). Briefly, the

thymuses of one female MAFIA and 2 male $Cd11c^{YFP}$ mice were harvested

and enzymatically digested as described previously. Mononuclear cells were

enriched by 57% Percoll PLUS discontinuous centrifugation, washed to

remove silica particles, and resuspended at 10^6/mL in PBS with 0.04% BSA.

The cell suspensions were filtered through Falcon 35 μm strainer (Corning)

and stained with viability dye (PI or DAPI) immediately before sorting. Cell

sorting was performed on a FACS Melody sorter (BD Biosciences) running

FACS Chorus (BD Biosciences) software in purity mode. $3X10^5$ GFP or YFP

positive cells under the live/singlet gating were collected into 5 ml round

bottom tubes pre-coated with 0.04% BSA in PBS. Sorted cells were washed

and resuspended in 300 μL PBS with 0.04% BSA and then filtered again into

1.5-mL DNA LoBind tubes (Eppendorf) through a 35 μm strainer. The viability

of the cells was evaluated by Countess II (Invitrogen) and Trypan Blue

(ThermoFisher), and samples with cell viability rates higher than 85% were

used for encapsulation and library preparation. Single-cell encapsulation and

library preparation were performed using Single Cell 3' v3/v3.1 Gene

Expression solution (10x Genomics). All the libraries were processed

according to the manufacturer's instruction and sequenced on NovaSeq 6000

(Illumina) platform at the NHRI (Zhubei, Taiwan). Post-processing and quality

control were performed by the NYCU Genome Center using the CellRanger

package (v. 3.0.2, 10x Genomics). Reads were aligned to mm10 reference

assembly. Primary assessment with CellRanger reported 9,973 cell-barcodes

with 11,385 median unique molecular identifiers (UMIs, transcripts) per cell

and 3,076 median genes per cell sequenced to 71.0% sequencing saturation

with 94,260 mean reads per cell for MAFIA mouse sample; 9,801 cell-

barcodes with 13,467 median UMIs per cell and 3,211 median genes per cell

sequenced to 74.9% sequencing saturation with 119,820 mean reads per cell

for the first $Cd11c^{YFP}$ mouse sample; 12,938 cell-barcodes with 14,439

median UMIs per cell and 3,199 median genes per cell sequenced to 71.4%

sequencing saturation with 108,585 mean reads per cell for the second

$Cd11c^{YFP}$ mouse sample.

1107

Analysis of scRNA-Seq

Preprocessing

The Scanpy (Wolf et al., 2018) pipeline was used to read the count matrix.

Three batches of samples (one from GFP+ cells from MAFIA mouse and two

from YFP+ cells from $Cd11c^{YFP}$ mice) were preprocessed independently and

integrated later. Cells that expressed <200 genes and genes that were

expressed in <3 cells were filtered out. The percentage of mitochondrial

genes was calculated -and cells with >10% mitochondrial genes were

removed. Cells with >7,000 genes or <1,000 genes were also removed. Read

counts were normalized to library size 10,000 and log-transformed with

scanpy.pp.log1p function.

Datasets integration and batch effect correction

Read count matrices and spliced/unspliced matrices were merged first.

Principal Component Analysis was applied to reduce dimensions to 70.

BBKNN(Polański et al., 2020) was then used to remove batch effects with the

scanpy.external.pp.bbknn function with default parameters.

Visualization and clustering

UMAP (McInnes et al., 2018) provided by scanpy was used to visualize data

with default parameters. K-nearest neighbor and Leiden clustering were

applied sequentially to cluster cells into groups. K-nearest neighbor graph

construction was done by scanpy.pp.neighbors with parameters

n_neighbors=12 and n_pcs=70. Leiden clustering was then performed by

scanpy.tl.leiden with parameter resolution=0.15. To improve UMAP

visualization, scanpy.tl.paga was applied, and we trimmed unnecessary graph

edges by scanpy.tl.paga with threshold=0.018.

Marker genes and statistics

Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were applied to examine differentially expressed

genes. Clusters were selected from the result of Leiden clustering.

Differentially expressed genes of a cluster against other clusters were

identified by scanpy.tl.rank_genes_groups and scanpy.pl.rank_genes_groups.

P-values were collected for each cluster and transformed by negative $\log_{10}$ for

better visualization. The top 50 differentially expressed genes were visualized

in the figure.

1141

Immunofluorescent staining

Dissected thymus lobes from C57BL/6 mice were cleaned of connective

tissue and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 1 h at 4˚C, washed in

PBS, submerged in 10% sucrose, and then in 30% sucrose for 12 h each.

The tissue was then frozen in Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Fintek) for

cryostat sectioning. 10 or 20 µm thick sections were prepared with CryoStar

NX50 (ThermoFisher) on Superfrost PLUS (ThermoScientific) microscope

slides, dried overnight, and stored at -80˚C until used. Before staining, the

sections were fixed with acetone (Sigma) at -20˚C for 10 min, air-dried, then

blocked with 5% goat serum + 5% donkey serum (both from Jackson

Immunoresearch) in PBS for 2 h and stained with primary antibodies: rat

monoclonal to MerTK (DS5MMER, eBioscience), rat monoclonal to TIM4

(RMT4-54, Bio-X-Cell), rabbit polyclonal to CD64 (Sinobiological), or rabbit

polyclonal to Keratin 5 (BioLegend) overnight at 4˚C in a humidified chamber.

After washing in PBS, the sections were labeled with goat anti-rat-Alexa Fluor

647 (Invitrogen) or goat anti-rat Cy3 (Jackson Immunoresearch) and donkey

anti-rabbit Cy3 or donkey anti-rabbit AF647 (both from Jackson

Immunoresearch) secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature,

followed by 5 min staining with DAPI. TUNEL Assay was done with the Click-

iT Plus TUNEL Assay Alexa Fluor 647 kit (Invitrogen) according to the

manufacturer's recommendations. A positive (pre-incubation with DNase I for

30 min at room temperature) and negative (no TdT enzyme) controls were

always included. The sections were mounted with 0.1% n-propyl gallate

(Sigma) in glycerol (Sigma) and imaged with an AxioObserver 7 (Carl Zeiss)

wide-field microscope equipped with Plan Apochromat 20x NA=0.8 objective

(Zeiss) and AxioCam 702 mono camera (Zeiss) and controlled by Zen 2.3

Blue (Zeiss) software. Image analysis was performed with Imaris 8.0.2

(Bitplane).

1170

The co-localization scoring for MerTK and TIM4 with TUNEL was done with

Imaris 8.2 (Bitplane). TUNEL$^+$ cells were detected with the Spots function,

while MerTK$^+$ and TIM4$^+$ cells were detected with the Surface function. Spots

that co-localize with Surfaces were identified with the "Find Spots close to

Surface" function of Imaris XT. The threshold for co-localization was set to 5

μm. The results were manually curated so that Spots categorized as "not co-

localized" that were: 1) at the edge of the imaging field were excluded from

consideration; 2) with clear Surface signal around them were re-categorized

as "co-localized". The ratio of co-localized Spots to all Spots was calculated

and presented as the co-localization index.

1181

Thymus transplantation

To obtain E15.5 embryos, *Spic^{GFP}* (CD45.2) homozygous male and

congenic CD45.1 female mice were mated in a cage overnight and separated

on the next day. Pregnant mice were sacrificed 15 days later, the viable

embryos were harvested, and the thymuses were isolated in ice-cold PBS.

C57BL/6 recipients were anesthetized by i.p injection of Ketamine

hydrochloride (120 μg/g, Toronto Research Chemicals) and Xylazine

hydrochloride (12 μg/g, Sigma). The fur on the left flank was removed, and the

left kidney was exposed by cutting the skin, muscle layer, and peritoneum.

The kidney capsule was nicked with a G23 needle, and the fetal thymus was

pushed into the pocket under the kidney capsule with a G23 needle equipped

with a plunger from a spinal needle. After the kidney was re-positioned back

into the peritoneal cavity, the peritoneum was sutured, and the skin was

stapled with metal clips. Rymadil (Carprofen, 5 µg/g, Zoetis) was given

subcutaneously to ease the wound pain, and Trimerin (Sulfadiazine at 0.5

mg/mL + Trimethoprim at 0.1 mg/mL) were given in the drinking water for the

first two weeks after the surgery. The metal clips were removed from the skin

after the first week, and the transplanted thymus and recipient's endogenous

thymus were harvested and analyzed six weeks after the kidney

transplantation.

1202

1203   **Statistical analysis**

1204   Comparison between groups was made with Prism 6 (GraphPad Software).

1205   Comparisons between two groups were carried out with unpaired Student's t-

1206   test. When more than two groups were compared, a one-way ANOVA with

1207   Tukey correction was used. Differences were considered significant if $p<0.05$.

1208

Data availability

The RNA Sequencing data of thymic macrophages and thymic dendritic

cells are available at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) as part of

GSE122108 and at www.immgen.org. The single cell RNA sequencing data is

deposited at NCBI GEO under accession number GSE185460. The source

data underlying Fig. 1G and H, Fig. 3B, D, and G, Fig. 5C, F, and I, Fig. 6B, E,

G, and I, Fig. 7B, C, D, and G, Fig. 8B, D, E, and F, Fig. 1S4, Fig. 2S1, Fig.

2S2, Fig. 2S3, Fig. 5S1, and Fig. 5S2 are provided in the Source Data files.

All other data supporting the findings of this study are available within the

article and its figures and tables.

1219

Abbreviations:

cDC – classical dendritic cell

DC – dendritic cell

EdU – 5-Ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine

GO – gene ontology

HSC – hematopoietic stem cell

IFN-I – type I Interferon

IMMGEN – Immunological Genome Consortium

scRNA-Seq – single-cell RNA sequencing

TF – transcription factor

ThyMacs – thymic macrophages

TRA – tissue-restricted antigen

YS – yolk sac

References:

A-Gonzalez, N., & Castrillo, A. (2018). Origin and Specialization of Splenic Macrophages. *Cellular Immunology*, *330*, 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2018.05.005

Baba, T., Nakamoto, Y., & Mukaida, N. (2009). Crucial Contribution of Thymic Sirp + Conventional Dendritic Cells to Central Tolerance against Blood-Borne Antigens in a CCR2-Dependent Manner. *The Journal of Immunology*, *183*(5), 3053-3063. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900438

Bain, C. C., Bravo-Blas, A., Scott, C. L., Gomez Perdiguero, E., Geissmann, F., Henri, S., Malissen, B., Osborne, L. C., Artis, D., & Mowat, A. M. (2014). Constant replenishment from circulating monocytes maintains the macrophage pool in the intestine of adult mice. *Nature immunology*, *15*(10), 929-937. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2967

Bain, C. C., Hawley, C. A., Garner, H., Scott, C. L., Schridde, A., Steers, N. J., Mack, M., Joshi, A., Guilliams, M., Mowat, A. M. I., Geissmann, F., & Jenkins, S. J. (2016). Long-lived self-renewing bone marrow-derived macrophages displace embryo-derived cells to inhabit adult serous cavities. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11852

Baratin, M., Simon, L., Jorquera, A., Ghigo, C., Dembele, D., Nowak, J., Gentek, R., Wienert, S., Klauschen, F., Malissen, B., Dalod, M., & Bajénoff, M. (2017). T Cell Zone Resident Macrophages Silently Dispose of Apoptotic Cells in the

Lymph Node. *Immunity*, *47*(2), 349-362.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.07.019

Bautista, J. L., Cramer, N. T., Miller, C. N., Chavez, J., Berrios, D. I., Byrnes, L. E.,
Germino, J., Ntranos, V., Sneddon, J. B., Burt, T. D., Gardner, J. M., Ye, C. J.,
Anderson, M. S., & Parent, A. V. (2021). Single-cell transcriptional profiling of
human thymic stroma uncovers novel cellular heterogeneity in the thymic
medulla. *Nat Commun*, *12*(1), 1096. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21346-
6

Bell, J. J., & Bhandoola, A. (2008). The earliest thymic progenitors for T cells possess
myeloid lineage potential. *Nature*, *452*(7188), 764-767.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06840

Bellomo, A., Gentek, R., Bajénoff, M., & Baratin, M. (2018). Lymph node
macrophages_ Scavengers, immune sentinels and trophic effectors. *Cellular
Immunology*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2018.01.010

Bleriot, C., Chakarov, S., & Ginhoux, F. (2020). Determinants of Resident Tissue
Macrophage Identity and Function. *Immunity*, *52*(6), 957-970.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.05.014

Bonasio, R., Scimone, M. L., Schaerli, P., Grabie, N., Lichtman, A. H., & Von
Andrian, U. H. (2006). Clonal deletion of thymocytes by circulating dendritic
cells homing to the thymus. *Nature immunology*, *7*(10), 1092-1100.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1385

Bornstein, C., Nevo, S., Giladi, A., Kadouri, N., Pouzolles, M., Gerbe, F., David, E.,
Machado, A., Chuprin, A., Tóth, B., Goldberg, O., Itzkovitz, S., Taylor, N., Jay,
P., Zimmermann, V. S., Abramson, J., & Amit, I. (2018). Single-cell mapping of
the thymic stroma identifies IL-25-producing tuft epithelial cells. *Nature*,
559(7715), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0346-1

Breed, E. R., Lee, S. T., & Hogquist, K. A. (2017). Directing T cell fate: How thymic
antigen presenting cells coordinate thymocyte selection. *Seminars in Cell and
Developmental Biology*, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.07.045

Budi, E. H., Schaub, J. R., Decaris, M., Turner, S., & Derynck, R. (2021). TGF-β as a
driver of fibrosis: physiological roles and therapeutic opportunities. *J Pathol*,
254(4), 358-373. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.5680

Burnett, S. H., Kershen, E. J., Zhang, J., Zeng, L., Straley, S. C., Kaplan, A. M., &
Cohen, D. A. (2004). Conditional macrophage ablation in transgenic mice
expressing a Fas-based suicide gene. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, *75*(4), 612-
623. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0903442

Calderon, B., Carrero, J. A., Ferris, S. T., Sojka, D. K., Moore, L., Epelman, S.,
Murphy, K. M., Yokoyama, W. M., Randolph, G. J., & Unanue, E. R. (2015).
The pancreas anatomy conditions the origin and properties of resident
macrophages. *J Exp Med*, *212*(10), 1497-1512.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20150496

Chan, C. T., Fenn, A. M., Harder, N. K., Mindur, J. E., McAlpine, C. S., Patel, J.,
Valet, C., Rattik, S., Iwamoto, Y., He, S., Anzai, A., Kahles, F., Poller, W. C.,
Janssen, H., Wong, L. P., Fernandez-Hernando, C., Koolbergen, D. R., van der
Laan, A. M., Yvan-Charvet, L., . . . Swirski, F. K. (2020). Liver X receptors are
required for thymic resilience and T cell output. *The Journal of experimental
medicine*, *217*(10), 245-223. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20200318

Dixit, V. D. (2012). Impact of immune-metabolic interactions on age-related thymic
demise and T cell senescence. *Seminars in Immunology*, *24*(5), 321-330.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2012.04.002

Ebihara, T., Song, C., Ryu, S. H., Plougastel-Douglas, B., Yang, L., Levanon, D., Groner, Y., Bern, M. D., Stappenbeck, T. S., Colonna, M., Egawa, T., & Yokoyama, W. M. (2015). Runx3 specifies lineage commitment of innate lymphoid cells. *Nature immunology*, *16*(11), 1124-1133. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3272

Ensan, S., Li, A., Besla, R., Degousee, N., Cosme, J., Roufaiel, M., Shikatani, E. A., El-Maklizi, M., Williams, J. W., Robins, L., Li, C., Lewis, B., Yun, T. J., Lee, J. S., Wieghofer, P., Khattar, R., Farrokhi, K., Byrne, J., Ouzounian, M., . . . Robbins, C. S. (2015). Self-renewing resident arterial macrophages arise from embryonic CX3CR1+ precursors and circulating monocytes immediately after birth. *Nature immunology*, *17*(2), 159-168. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3343

Epelman, S., Lavine, K. J., Beaudin, A. E., Sojka, D. K., Carrero, J. A., Calderon, B., Brija, T., Gautier, E. L., Ivanov, S., Satpathy, A. T., Schilling, J. D., Schwendener, R., Sergin, I., Razani, B., Forsberg, E. C., Yokoyama, W. M., Unanue, E. R., Colonna, M., Randolph, G. J., . . . Mann, D. L. (2014). Embryonic and Adult-Derived Resident Cardiac Macrophages Are Maintained through Distinct Mechanisms at Steady State and during Inflammation. *Immunity*, *40*(1), 91-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.11.019

Esashi, E., Sekiguchi, T., Ito, H., Koyasu, S., & Miyajima, A. (2003). Cutting Edge: A possible role for CD4+ thymic macrophages as professional scavengers of apoptotic thymocytes. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950)*, *171*(6), 2773-2777. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.2773

Fainaru, O., Woolf, E., Lotem, J., Yarmus, M., Brenner, O., Goldenberg, D., Negreanu, V., Bernstein, Y., Levanon, D., Jung, S., & Groner, Y. (2004). Runx3 regulates mouse TGF-beta-mediated dendritic cell function and its absence results in airway inflammation. *EMBO J*, *23*(4), 969-979. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600085

Faust, N., Varas, F., Kelly, L. M., Heck, S., & Graf, T. (2000). Insertion of enhanced green fluorescent protein into the lysozyme gene creates mice with green fluorescent granulocytes and macrophages. *Blood*, *96*(2), 719-726. http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=10887140&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks

Gallegos, A. M., & Bevan, M. J. (2004). Central Tolerance to Tissue-specific Antigens Mediated by Direct and Indirect Antigen Presentation. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *200*(8), 1039-1049. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20041457

Gautier, E. L., Shay, T., Miller, J., Greter, M., Jakubzick, C., Ivanov, S., Helft, J., Chow, A., Elpek, K. G., Gordonov, S., Mazloom, A. R., Ma'ayan, A., Chua, W.-J., Hansen, T. H., Turley, S. J., Merad, M., Randolph, G. J., & Consortium, I. G. (2012). Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nature immunology*, *13*(11), 1118-1128. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2419

Ginhoux, F., Greter, M., Leboeuf, M., Nandi, S., See, P., Gokhan, S., Mehler, M. F., Conway, S. J., Ng, L. G., Stanley, E. R., Samokhvalov, I. M., & Merad, M. (2010). Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. *Science (New York, NY)*, *330*(6005), 841-845. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1194637

Ginhoux, F., & Guilliams, M. (2016). Tissue-Resident Macrophage Ontogeny and Homeostasis. *Immunity*, *44*(3), 439-449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.024

Goldmann, T., Wieghofer, P., Jordão, M. J. C., Prutek, F., Hagemeyer, N., Frenzel, K., Amann, L., Staszewski, O., Kierdorf, K., Krueger, M., Locatelli, G., Hochgerner, H., Zeiser, R., Epelman, S., Geissmann, F., Priller, J., Rossi, F. M. V., Bechmann, I., Kerschensteiner, M., . . . Prinz, M. (2016). Origin, fate and dynamics of macrophages at central nervous system interfaces. *Nature immunology*, *17*(7), 797-805. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3423

Guerri, L., Peguillet, I., Geraldo, Y., Nabti, S., Premel, V., & Lantz, O. (2013). Analysis of APC types involved in CD4 tolerance and regulatory T cell generation using reaggregated thymic organ cultures. *The Journal of Immunology*, *190*(5), 2102-2110. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1202883

Guilliams, M., Mildner, A., & Yona, S. (2018). Developmental and Functional Heterogeneity of Monocytes. *Immunity*, *49*(4), 595-613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.10.005

Haldar, M., Kohyama, M., So, A. Y.-L., KC, W., Wu, X., Briseño, C. G., Satpathy, A. T., Kretzer, N. M., Arase, H., Rajasekaran, N. S., Wang, L., Egawa, T., Igarashi, K., Baltimore, D., Murphy, T. L., & Murphy, K. M. (2014). Heme-Mediated SPI-C Induction Promotes Monocyte Differentiation into Iron-Recycling Macrophages. *Cell*, *156*(6), 1223-1234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.069

Han, X., Wang, R., Zhou, Y., Fei, L., Sun, H., Lai, S., Saadatpour, A., Zhou, Z., Chen, H., Ye, F., Huang, D., Xu, Y., Huang, W., Jiang, M., Jiang, X., Mao, J., Chen, Y., Lu, C., Xie, J., . . . Guo, G. (2018). Mapping the Mouse Cell Atlas by Microwell-Seq. *Cell*, *172*(5), 1091-1107.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.001

Hashimoto, D., Chow, A., Noizat, C., Teo, P., Beasley, M. B., Leboeuf, M., Becker, C. D., See, P., Price, J., Lucas, D., Greter, M., Mortha, A., Boyer, S. W., Forsberg, E. C., Tanaka, M., van Rooijen, N., García-Sastre, A., Stanley, E. R., Ginhoux, F., . . . Merad, M. (2013). Tissue-Resident Macrophages Self-Maintain Locally throughout Adult Life with Minimal Contribution from Circulating Monocytes. *Immunity*, *38*(4), 792-804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.04.004

Heidt, T., Courties, G., Dutta, P., Sager, H. B., Sebas, M., Iwamoto, Y., Sun, Y., Da Silva, N., Panizzi, P., van der Laan, A. M., Swirski, F. K., Weissleder, R., & Nahrendorf, M. (2014). Differential Contribution of Monocytes to Heart Macrophages in Steady-State and After Myocardial Infarction. *Circulation Research*, *115*(2), 284-295. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.303567

Heyne, G. W., Plisch, E. H., Melberg, C. G., Sandgren, E. P., Peter, J. A., & Lipinski, R. J. (2015). A Simple and Reliable Method for Early Pregnancy Detection in Inbred Mice. *Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science : JAALAS*, *54*(4), 368-371. http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=26224435&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks

Hoeffel, G., Chen, J., Lavin, Y., Low, D., Almeida, F. F., See, P., Beaudin, A. E., Lum, J., Low, I., Forsberg, E. C., Poidinger, M., Zolezzi, F., Larbi, A., Ng, L. G., Chan, J. K. Y., Greter, M., Becher, B., Samokhvalov, I. M., Merad, M., . . . Ginhoux, F. (2015). C-Myb(+) erythro-myeloid progenitor-derived fetal

monocytes give rise to adult tissue-resident macrophages. *Immunity*, *42*(4), 665-678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.03.011

Hoeffel, G., Wang, Y., Greter, M., See, P., Teo, P., Malleret, B., Leboeuf, M., Low, D., Oller, G., Almeida, F., Choy, S. H. Y., Grisotto, M., Renia, L., Conway, S. J., Stanley, E. R., Chan, J. K. Y., Ng, L. G., Samokhvalov, I. M., Merad, M., . . . Ginhoux, F. (2012). Adult Langerhans cells derive predominantly from embryonic fetal liver monocytes with a minor contribution of yolk sac–derived macrophages. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *209*(6), 1167-1181. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20120340

Hume, D. A. (2011). Applications of myeloid-specific promoters in transgenic mice support in vivo imaging and functional genomics but do not support the concept of distinct macrophage and dendritic cell lineages or roles in immunity. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, *89*(4), 525-538. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0810472

Huynh, M. L., Fadok, V. A., & Henson, P. M. (2002). Phosphatidylserine-dependent ingestion of apoptotic cells promotes TGF-beta1 secretion and the resolution of inflammation. *J Clin Invest*, *109*(1), 41-50. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI11638

Ingersoll, M. A., Spanbroek, R., Lottaz, C., Gautier, E. L., Frankenberger, M., Hoffmann, R., Lang, R., Haniffa, M., Collin, M., Tacke, F., Habenicht, A. J. R., Ziegler-Heitbrock, L., & Randolph, G. J. (2010). Comparison of gene expression profiles between human and mouse monocyte subsets. *Blood*, *115*(3), e10-e19. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-07-235028

Iturri, L., Saenz Coronilla, J., Lallemand, Y., & Gomez Perdiguero, E. (2017). Identification Of Erythromyeloid Progenitors And Their Progeny In The Mouse Embryo By Flow Cytometry. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 125), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3791/55305

Jacome-Galarza, C. E., Percin, G. I., Muller, J. T., Mass, E., Lazarov, T., Eitler, J., Rauner, M., Yadav, V. K., Crozet, L., Bohm, M., Loyher, P. L., Karsenty, G., Waskow, C., & Geissmann, F. (2019). Developmental origin, functional maintenance and genetic rescue of osteoclasts. *Nature*, *568*(7753), 541-545. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1105-7

Jung, S., Aliberti, J., Graemmel, P., Sunshine, M. J., Kreutzberg, G. W., Sher, A., & Littman, D. R. (2000). Analysis of fractalkine receptor CX(3)CR1 function by targeted deletion and green fluorescent protein reporter gene insertion. *Molecular and cellular biology*, *20*(11), 4106-4114. http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=10805752&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks

Kernfeld, E. M., Genga, R. M. J., Neherin, K., Magaletta, M. E., Xu, P., & Maehr, R. (2018). A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Atlas of Thymus Organogenesis Resolves Cell Types and Developmental Maturation. *Immunity*, 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.015

Kim, H.-J., Alonzo, E. S., Dorothee, G., Pollard, J. W., & Sant'Angelo, D. B. (2010). Selective depletion of eosinophils or neutrophils in mice impacts the efficiency of apoptotic cell clearance in the thymus. *PloS one*, *5*(7), e11439. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011439.g010

Koble, C., & Kyewski, B. (2009). The thymic medulla: a unique microenvironment for intercellular self-antigen transfer. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *206*(7), 1505-1513. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20082449

Kohyama, M., Ise, W., Edelson, B. T., Wilker, P. R., Hildner, K., Mejia, C., Frazier, W. A., Murphy, T. L., & Murphy, K. M. (2008). Role for Spi-C in the

development of red pulp macrophages and splenic iron homeostasis. *Nature Immunology*, *457*(7226), 318-321. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07472

Kurd, N. S., Lutes, L. K., Yoon, J., Chan, S. W., Dzhagalov, I. L., Hoover, A. R., & Robey, E. A. (2019). A role for phagocytosis in inducing cell death during thymocyte negative selection. *eLife*, *8*, 1879-1818. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48097

Lienenklaus, S., Cornitescu, M., Zietara, N., Łyszkiewicz, M., Gekara, N., Jabłónska, J., Edenhofer, F., Rajewsky, K., Bruder, D., Hafner, M., Staeheli, P., & Weiss, S. (2009). Novel reporter mouse reveals constitutive and inflammatory expression of IFN-beta in vivo. *J Immunol*, *183*(5), 3229-3236. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0804277

Lindquist, R. L., Shakhar, G., Dudziak, D., Wardemann, H., Eisenreich, T., Dustin, M. L., & Nussenzweig, M. C. (2004). Visualizing dendritic cell networks in vivo. *Nature immunology*, *5*(12), 1243-1250. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1139

Liu, L.-T., Lang, Z.-F., Li, Y., Zhu, Y.-J., Zhang, J.-T., Guo, S.-F., Wang, J.-X., Wang, H.-W., & Xu, Y.-D. (2013). Composition and characteristics of distinct macrophage subpopulations in the mouse thymus. *Molecular Medicine Reports*, *7*(6), 1850-1854. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2013.1448

Liu, Z., Gu, Y., Chakarov, S., Bleriot, C., Kwok, I., Chen, X., Shin, A., Huang, W., Dress, R. J., Dutertre, C.-A., Schlitzer, A., Chen, J., Ng, L. G., Wang, H., Liu, Z., Su, B., & Ginhoux, F. (2019). Fate Mapping via Ms4a3-Expression History Traces Monocyte-Derived Cells. *Cell*, *178*(6), 1509-1525.e19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.009

Lokka, E., Lintukorpi, L., Cisneros-Montalvo, S., Mäkelä, J.-A., Tyystjärvi, S., Ojasalo, V., Gerke, H., Toppari, J., Rantakari, P., & Salmi, M. (2020). Generation, localization and functions of macrophages during the development of testis. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18206-0

Lopes, N., Charaix, J., Cédile, O., Sergé, A., & Irla, M. (2018). Lymphotoxin alpha fine-tunes T cell clonal deletion by regulating thymic entry of antigen-presenting cells. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03619-9

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 31-21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Madisen, L., Zwingman, T. A., Sunkin, S. M., Oh, S. W., Zariwala, H. A., Gu, H., Ng, L. L., Palmiter, R. D., Hawrylycz, M. J., Jones, A. R., Lein, E. S., & Zeng, H. (2009). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nature Neuroscience*, *13*(1), 133-140. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2467

Mass, E., Ballesteros, I., Farlik, M., Halbritter, F., Günther, P., Crozet, L., Jacome-Galarza, C. E., Händler, K., Klughammer, J., Kobayashi, Y., Gomez Perdiguero, E., Schultze, J. L., Beyer, M., Bock, C., & Geissmann, F. (2016). Specification of tissue-resident macrophages during organogenesis. *Science (New York, NY)*, *353*(6304), aaf4238-aaf4238. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4238

McInnes, L., Healy, J., & Melville, J. (2018). UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. *arXiv*, 1802.03426v3. http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03426v3
http://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.03426v3

Molawi, K., Wolf, Y., Kandalla, P. K., Favret, J., Hagemeyer, N., Frenzel, K., Pinto, A. R., Klapproth, K., Henri, S., Malissen, B., Rodewald, H.-R., Rosenthal, N. A., Bajénoff, M., Prinz, M., Jung, S., & Sieweke, M. H. (2014). Progressive replacement of embryo-derived cardiac macrophages with age. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *211*(11), 2151-2158. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20140639

Mondor, I., Baratin, M., Lagueyrie, M., Saro, L., Henri, S., Gentek, R., Suerinck, D., Kastenmuller, W., Jiang, J. X., & Bajénoff, M. (2019). Lymphatic Endothelial Cells Are Essential Components of the Subcapsular Sinus Macrophage Niche. *Immunity*, *50*(6), 1453-1466.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.04.002

Morioka, S., Perry, J. S. A., Raymond, M. H., Medina, C. B., Zhu, Y., Zhao, L., Serbulea, V., Onengut-Gumuscu, S., Leitinger, N., Kucenas, S., Rathmell, J. C., Makowski, L., & Ravichandran, K. S. (2018). Efferocytosis induces a novel SLC program to promote glucose uptake and lactate release. *Nature*, *563*(7733), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0735-5

Mossadegh-Keller, N., Gentek, R., Gimenez, G., Bigot, S., Mailfert, S., & Sieweke, M. H. (2017). Developmental origin and maintenance of distinct testicular macrophage populations. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *214*(10), 2829-2841. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20170829

Olson, L. E., & Soriano, P. (2009). Increased PDGFRalpha activation disrupts connective tissue development and drives systemic fibrosis. *Dev Cell*, *16*(2), 303-313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.12.003

Otero, D. C., Baker, D. P., & David, M. (2013). IRF7-Dependent IFN-β Production in Response to RANKL Promotes Medullary Thymic Epithelial Cell Development. *The Journal of Immunology*, *190*(7), 3289-3298. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1203086

Park, J.-E., Botting, R. A., Domínguez Conde, C., Popescu, D.-M., Lavaert, M., Kunz, D. J., Goh, I., Stephenson, E., Ragazzini, R., Tuck, E., Wilbrey-Clark, A., Roberts, K., Kedlian, V. R., Ferdinand, J. R., He, X., Webb, S., Maunder, D., Vandamme, N., Mahbubani, K. T., . . . Teichmann, S. A. (2020). A cell atlas of human thymic development defines T cell repertoire formation. *Science (New York, NY)*, *367*(6480), eaay3224-12. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay3224

Perdiguero, E. G., & Geissmann, F. (2015). The development and maintenance of resident macrophages. *Nature Immunology*, *17*(1), 2-8. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3341

Picelli, S., Björklund, Å. K., Faridani, O. R., Sagasser, S., Winberg, G., & Sandberg, R. (2013). Smart-seq2 for sensitive full-length transcriptome profiling in single cells. *Nature Methods*, *10*(11), 1096-1098. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2639

Picelli, S., Faridani, O. R., rklund, A. S. K. B. O., Winberg, G. O. S., Sagasser, S., & Sandberg, R. (2014). Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. *Nature protocols*, *9*(1), 171-181. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.006

Polański, K., Young, M. D., Miao, Z., Meyer, K. B., Teichmann, S. A., & Park, J. E. (2020). BBKNN: fast batch alignment of single cell transcriptomes. *Bioinformatics*, *36*(3), 964-965. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz625

Reich, M., Liefeld, T., Gould, J., Lerner, J., Tamayo, P., & Mesirov, J. P. (2006). GenePattern 2.0. *Nature genetics*, *38*(5), 500-501. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0506-500

Rivera-Gonzalez, G. C., Shook, B. A., Andrae, J., Holtrup, B., Bollag, K., Betsholtz, C., Rodeheffer, M. S., & Horsley, V. (2016). Skin Adipocyte Stem Cell Self-

Renewal Is Regulated by a PDGFA/AKT-Signaling Axis. *Cell Stem Cell*, *19*(6), 738-751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.09.002

Roesch, K., Jadhav, A. P., Trimarchi, J. M., Stadler, M. B., Roska, B., Sun, B. B., & Cepko, C. L. (2008). The transcriptome of retinal Müller glial cells. *The Journal of comparative neurology*, *509*(2), 225-238. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21730

Sasmono, R. T., Oceandy, D., Pollard, J. W., Tong, W., Pavli, P., Wainwright, B. J., Ostrowski, M. C., Himes, S. R., & Hume, D. A. (2003). A macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor-green fluorescent protein transgene is expressed throughout the mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse. *Blood*, *101*(3), 1155-1163. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-02-0569

Satpathy, A. T., KC, W., Albring, J. C., Edelson, B. T., Kretzer, N. M., Bhattacharya, D., Murphy, T. L., & Murphy, K. M. (2012). Zbtb46expression distinguishes classical dendritic cells and their committed progenitors from other immune lineages. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *209*(6), 1135-1152. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20120030

Schlenner, S. M., Madan, V., Busch, K., Tietz, A., LAufle, C., Costa, C., Blum, C., Fehling, H. J. O., & Rodewald, H.-R. (2010). Fate Mapping Reveals Separate Origins of T Cells and Myeloid Lineages in the Thymus. *Immunity*, *32*(3), 426-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.03.005

Schmeier, S., Alam, T., Essack, M., & Bajic, V. B. (2017). TcoF-DB v2: update of the database of human and mouse transcription co-factors and transcription factor interactions. *Nucleic acids research*, *45*(D1), D145-D150. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1007

Sheng, J., Ruedl, C., & Karjalainen, K. (2015). Most Tissue-Resident Macrophages Except Microglia Are Derived from Fetal Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Immunity*, *43*(2), 382-393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.07.016

Sierro, F., Evrard, M., Rizzetto, S., Melino, M., Mitchell, A. J., Florido, M., Beattie, L., Walters, S. B., Tay, S. S., Lu, B., Holz, L. E., Roediger, B., Wong, Y. C., Warren, A., Ritchie, W., McGuffog, C., Weninger, W., Le Couteur, D. G., Ginhoux, F., . . . Bertolino, P. (2017). A Liver Capsular Network of Monocyte-Derived Macrophages Restricts Hepatic Dissemination of Intraperitoneal Bacteria by Neutrophil Recruitment. *Immunity*, *47*(2), 374-388.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.07.018

Stritesky, G. L., Xing, Y., Erickson, J. R., Kalekar, L. A., Wang, X., Mueller, D. L., Jameson, S. C., & Hogquist, K. A. (2013). Murine thymic selection quantified using a unique method to capture deleted T cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(12), 4679-4684. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1217532110

Surh, C. D., & Sprent, J. (1994). T-cell apoptosis detected in situ during positive and negative selection in the thymus. *Nature*, *372*(6501), 100-103. https://doi.org/10.1038/372100a0

Tabula, M. C. (2020). A single-cell transcriptomic atlas characterizes ageing tissues in the mouse. *Nature*, *583*(7817), 590-595. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2496-1

Tabula, M. C., Overall, C., Logistical, C., Organ, C. A. P., Library, P. A. S., Computational, D. A., Cell, T. A., Writing, G., Supplemental, T. W. G., & Principal, I. (2018). Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates a Tabula Muris. *Nature*, *562*(7727), 367-372. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0590-4

Tacke, R., Hilgendorf, I., Garner, H., Waterborg, C., Park, K., Nowyhed, H., Hanna, R. N., Wu, R., Swirski, F. K., Geissmann, F., & Hedrick, C. C. (2015). The transcription factor NR4A1 is essential for the development of a novel macrophage subset in the thymus. *Scientific Reports*, *5*(1), 10055. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10055

Tamoutounour, S., Guilliams, M., Sanchis, F. M., Liu, H., Terhorst, D., Malosse, C., Pollet, E., Ardouin, L., Luche, H., Sanchez, C., Dalod, M., Malissen, B., & Henri, S. (2013). Origins and Functional Specialization of Macrophages and of Conventional and Monocyte-Derived Dendritic Cells in Mouse Skin. *Immunity*, *39*(5), 925-938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.004

Taniuchi, I., Osato, M., Egawa, T., Sunshine, M. J., Bae, S. C., Komori, T., Ito, Y., & Littman, D. R. (2002). Differential requirements for Runx proteins in CD4 repression and epigenetic silencing during T lymphocyte development. *Cell*, *111*(5), 621-633. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(02)01111-x

Tsai, T. L., Zhou, T. A., Hsieh, Y. T., Wang, J. C., Cheng, H. K., Huang, C. H., Tsai, P. Y., Fan, H. H., Feng, H. K., Huang, Y. C., Lin, C. C., Lin, C. H., Lin, C. Y., Dzhagalov, I. L., & Hsu, C. L. (2022). Multiomics reveal the central role of pentose phosphate pathway in resident thymic macrophages to cope with efferocytosis-associated stress. *Cell Rep*, *40*(2), 111065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111065

van Furth, R., & Cohn, Z. A. (1968). The origin and kinetics of mononuclear phagocytes. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, *128*(3), 415-435. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.128.3.415

Vobořil, M., Brabec, T., Dobeš, J., Šplíchalová, I., Březina, J., Čepková, A., Dobešová, M., Aidarova, A., Kubovčiak, J., Tsyklauri, O., Štěpánek, O., Beneš, V., Sedláček, R., Klein, L., Kolář, M., & Filipp, D. (2020). Toll-like receptor signaling in thymic epithelium controls monocyte-derived dendritic cell recruitment and Treg generation. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16081-3

Wada, H., Masuda, K., Satoh, R., Kakugawa, K., Ikawa, T., Katsura, Y., & Kawamoto, H. (2008). Adult T-cell progenitors retain myeloid potential. *Nature*, *452*(7188), 768-772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06839

Wang, H., Breed, E. R., Lee, Y. J., Qian, L. J., Jameson, S. C., & Hogquist, K. A. (2019). Myeloid cells activate iNKT cells to produce IL-4 in the thymic medulla. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(44), 22262-22268. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910412116

Wang, M., Yang, Y., Cansever, D., Wang, Y., Kantores, C., Messiaen, S., Moison, D., Livera, G., Chakarov, S., Weinberger, T., Stremmel, C., Fijak, M., Klein, B., Pleuger, C., Lian, Z., Ma, W., Liu, Q., Klee, K., Händler, K., . . . Bhushan, S. (2021). Two populations of self-maintaining monocyte-independent macrophages exist in adult epididymis and testis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *118*(1), e2013686117. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013686117

Witmer-Pack, M. D., Hughes, D. A., Schuler, G., Lawson, L., McWilliam, A., Inaba, K., Steinman, R. M., & Gordon, S. (1993). Identification of macrophages and dendritic cells in the osteopetrotic (op/op) mouse. *Journal of cell science*, *104*(Pt 4), 1021-1029. http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=8314887&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks

Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P., & Theis, F. J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biol*, *19*(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0

Wynn, T. A., Chawla, A., & Pollard, J. W. (2013). Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature*, *496*(7446), 445-455. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12034

Yahara, Y., Barrientos, T., Tang, Y. J., Puviindran, V., Nadesan, P., Zhang, H., Gibson, J. R., Gregory, S. G., Diao, Y., Xiang, Y., Qadri, Y. J., Souma, T., Shinohara, M. L., & Alman, B. A. (2020). Erythromyeloid progenitors give rise to a population of osteoclasts that contribute to bone homeostasis and repair. *Nature cell biology*, *22*(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0437-8

Yona, S., Kim, K.-W., Wolf, Y., Mildner, A., Varol, D., Breker, M., Strauss-Ayali, D., Viukov, S., Guilliams, M., Misharin, A., Hume, D. A., Perlman, H., Malissen, B., Zelzer, E., & Jung, S. (2013). Fate Mapping Reveals Origins and Dynamics of Monocytes and Tissue Macrophages under Homeostasis. *Immunity*, *38*(1), 79-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.12.001

Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., & He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology*, *16*(5), 284-287. https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the IMMGEN consortium for performing the RNA sequencing of our samples and providing access to its database. We thank the following core facilities at National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University: Instrumentation Resource Center for providing access to its sorting and imaging facility and the Animal Facility for mouse husbandry. We are very grateful to Wan-Chun Chang, Bing-Xiu Guo, Chien-Yi Tung, and Kate Hua from the Genomics Center for Clinical and Biotechnological Applications of NCFB (NYCU, Taipei) for help with scRNA-Seq. Special thanks go to Dr. Fang Liao, Academia Sinica, Taipei, for help with cell sorting and for providing the 24G2 hybridoma, and Chang-Feng Chu for technical assistance. We are very grateful to Alexandra Norris, Cecilia Tai, and Angelina Shih for the manual scoring of the in vitro phagocytosis experiments. We are indebted to

Dr. Yu-Wen Su (NHRI, Taiwan) for help with the mice. Some figures were

made with BioRender.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology,

Taiwan (grants # 107-2320-B-010 -016 -MY3, 110-2320-B-A49A-521 - , and

111-2320-B-A49 -031 -MY3 to IL Dzhagalov), the Yen-Tjing Ling Medical

Foundation (grant # CI-111-6 to IL Dzhagalov), and the Cancer Progression

Research Center (NYCU). The authors declare no competing financial

interests.

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690 **Supplementary figures and tables**

1691

1692 **Figure 1 – figure supplement 1:** Representative flow cytometry staining of

1693 enzymatically digested thymus single-cell suspension for CD64, MerTK, and

1694 F4/80 and respective isotype controls. The flow cytometry plots are

1695 representative of 5 individual experiments.

1696

1697

1698 **Figure 1 – figure supplement 2:** Immunofluorescent images of thymic

1699 sections showing co-localization of MerTK and CD64 staining (upper row) and

1700 TIM4 and CD64 staining (lower row) in the thymic cortex. The images are

1701 representative of at least three mice. The scale bar is 50 μm.

1702

1703

1704 **Figure 1 – figure supplement 3:** Expression of CD11c, MHC2, and SIRPα

1705 on ThyMacs with respective controls. The flow cytometry plots are

1706 representative of 5 individual experiments.

1707

1708

Figure 1 – figure supplement 4: Example flow cytometry plots showing

that gating on CD11c⁺MHC2⁺ thymus cells, in addition to DCs, also includes

macrophages, especially among SIRPα⁺ cells. On the right is a plot of the

frequency of MerTK⁺ cells among CD11c⁺MHC2⁺SIRPα⁺ cells. The data are

mean±SEM from 5 individual mice. Each dot is an individual mouse. The

numbers in the flow cytometry plots are the percent of cells in the respective

gate.

1716

1717

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1: Example of the gating strategy to identify

ThyMacs among *Spic^GFP+* cells. On the right is a plot showing the mean±SEM

of the frequencies of ThyMacs among *Spic^GFP+* cells. Each dot is an individual

mouse. The numbers in the flow cytometry plots are the percent of cells in the

respective gate.

1723

1724

1725 **Figure 2 – figure supplement 2:** Representative flow cytometry plots of

1726 the expression of four reporter alleles in ThyMacs (left), frequencies of

1727 GFP/YFP⁺ cells among ThyMacs (middle), and frequencies of ThyMacs

1728 among GFP/YFP⁺ cells (right). Data in the graphs represent mean±SEM.

1729 Each dot is an individual mouse.

1730

1731

1732 **Figure 2 – figure supplement 3:** Representative flow cytometry plots of

1733 the expression of *Spic^GFP* in ThyMacs. To the right is a graph showing the

1734 mean±SEM of the frequencies of *Spic^GFP+* cells among ThyMacs. Each dot is

1735 an individual mouse. The numbers in the flow cytometry plots are the percent

1736 of cells in the respective gate.

1737

1738

1739

1740   **Figure 4 – figure supplement 1:** UMAP clustering of the scRNA-Seq data

1741   shows that the cells from the three samples (one from GFP⁺ cells in MAFIA

1742   mice and two from YFP⁺ cells in *Cd11c^{YFP}* mice) overlap considerably.

1743

1744

1745   **Figure 4 – figure supplement 2**: The relative expression of prototypical

1746   macrophage genes *Mafb*, *Fcgr1* (CD64), *Mertk*, and *Adgre1* (F4/80) among

1747   thymic cells sorted as *Csf1r^{GFP+}* and *Cd11c^{YFP+}*.

1748

1749

1750 **Figure 4 figure supplement 3:** Representative immunofluorescent image

1751 of WT thymic frozen section stained for TIM4, CD64, and UEA-1 (a marker for

1752 medulla). CD64 stains all macrophages, while TIM4 – only a subset that is

1753 located in the cortex. The image is representative of 3 mice. The scale bar is

1754 400 μm.

1755

1756

1757 **Figure 4 figure supplement 4**: The relative expression of *Tgfb1* among

1758 thymic cells from the scRNA-Seq data.

1759

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1: Representative flow cytometry plots of

donor (CD45.2$^+$) vs. host (CD45.1$^+$) derived thymocytes in the transplanted

thymus. The host thymus (endogenous thymus) serves as a negative control.

To the right is a graph showing the mean±SEM of the frequencies of CD45.2$^+$

(donor-derived) cells among thymocytes in the transplanted and endogenous

thymuses of the mice. Each dot is an individual mouse. The numbers in the

flow cytometry plots are the percent of cells in the respective

gate.

1768

Figure 5 – figure supplement 2: Comparison of the geometric mean

fluorescent intensities (gMFI) of *Spic*^{GFP} TIM4$^+$ and TIM4$^-$ thymic

macrophages of host and donor-origin in the transplanted thymus. The

endogenous thymus serves as background control. Only populations

comprising >5% of thymic macrophages are shown. Each dot is an individual

mouse. The data are presented as mean±SEM.

1775

1776

1777   **Figure 6 – figure supplement 1:** Example flow cytometry plots of the EdU

1778   accumulation in thymocytes and thymic macrophages 2 hours after 1 mg EdU

1779   i.p. or vehicle injection. The numbers inside flow plots are the percentage of

1780   EdU⁺ cells from mice injected with EdU. Data are representative of three

1781   independent experiments.

1782

1783

Table 1: Expression of differentially up-regulated transcription factors in thymic macrophages

Gene name	ThyMacs	non-ThyMacs
Irf7	3879.32	300.82
Irf8	3528.27	1474.35
Stat1	2403.69	522.04
Dnmt3a	1515.94	647.81
Znxf1	1379.89	635.36
Stat2	1210.35	472.53
Nr1h3	1182.17	147.05
Srebf1	975.09	399.06
Rxra	760.26	298.55
Trps1	746.36	232.48
Runx3	723.14	9.76
Relb	715.53	293.92
Sp100	696.94	324.47
Zbp1	639.19	69.83
Tfec	588.72	74.66
Spic	573.11	34.36
Nfkbie	569.74	226.76
Ncoa4	550.69	249.15
Rest	548.22	269.22
Meis3	530.8	120.91
Bhlhe40	490.59	99.56

Parp12	414.11	126.82
Arid5b	374.03	177.08
Creb5	295.14	47.91
Pparg	276.54	33.24

1784

Table 2: Expression of differentially up-regulated transcription factors

in thymic macrophages. Transcription factors that were highly expressed in

thymic macrophages (>250) and up-regulated >2-fold in thymic macrophages

compared to non-thymic macrophages were listed alphabetically, and the

geometric means of 4 replicates of thymic macrophages (ThyMacs) and two

replicates of each of the 9 non-thymic macrophage populations (non-

ThyMacs) were recorded. Non-thymic macrophages are: spleen red pulp

macrophages, Kupffer cells, broncho-alveolar lavage macrophages,

peritoneal cavity macrophages, aorta macrophages, heart macrophages,

white adipose tissue macrophages, central nervous system microglia, spinal

cord macrophages.

Table 2: List of the differentially expressed genes among *Timd*4+ thymic macrophages, *Cx3cr1*+ thymic macrophages, and thymic monocytes

Cx3cr1+ ThyMacs		*Timd4*+ ThyMacs		ThyMonos	
Gene name	adjusted p-value	Gene name	adjusted p-value	Gene name	adjusted p-value
Ctsz	0	*Hpgd*	0	*Alox5ap*	0
Cd63	0	*Serpinb6a*	0	*S100a6*	0
Pmepa1	0	*Slc40a1*	0	*Ly6c2*	0
Zmynd15	0	*Cd81*	0	*Ifi27l2a*	0
Olfml3	0	*Vcam1*	0	*Fau*	0
Mmp2	0	*Cfp*	0	*Coro1a*	0
AU020206	1.60E-290	*Spic*	0	*Ccr2*	0
Plxnd1	1.59E-285	*Trf*	0	*Rps27*	0
Cst7	8.68E-279	*Actn1*	0	*Tmsb10*	0
Dnase1l3	2.45E-270	*Maf*	0	*Ifitm2*	7.21E-302
Timp2	2.15E-267	*Pld3*	0	*Fxyd5*	6.36E-299
Lgals3bp	8.69E-263	*Il18*	0	*Rps19*	2.04E-292
Pdgfa	6.87E-255	*Mrc1*	0	*Rpl18*	6.50E-291

Mmp14	2.33E-253	Crip2	0	Rpl9	1.11E-289
Fam46c	9.99E-235	Tmem65	0	Rps23	1.28E-289
Chst2	1.19E-226	Igf1	0	Napsa	8.91E-279
Cp	5.36E-225	Epb41l3	0	Ms4a4c	8.25E-272
Camk1	7.12E-225	Timd4	0	Plac8	2.10E-270
B2m	1.09E-222	Blvrb	0	Rpl18a	9.26E-269
Lhfpl2	4.52E-217	Clec1b	0	S100a4	4.98E-268
Acp5	5.90E-216	Cd68	0	Cd52	3.67E-267
Lag3	3.91E-213	Axl	0	Rps14	1.94E-266
Lyz2	1.28E-209	Paqr9	3.32E-307	Ifitm3	3.19E-263
H2-M2	1.22E-199	Sdc3	3.45E-305	Rpl34	2.02E-261
Psap	7.26E-198	Myo9a	5.59E-305	Rps27a	3.67E-260
Gatm	1.33E-192	Scp2	3.79E-302	Rpl36	1.54E-259
Cpd	1.50E-192	Selenop	2.10E-295	Rps16	2.55E-258
C3	2.34E-187	Lrp1	2.08E-294	Rpl24	1.37E-257
Cxcl16	8.11E-183	Lap3	1.45E-290	Rps9	6.34E-253
Lgals3	1.57E-182	Marcks	2.77E-279	Gpr141	1.21E-246
Ube2j1	1.63E-180	Glul	3.64E-279	Rpl27a	3.06E-243
Plxnc1	9.84E-180	Hebp1	3.76E-278	Rpl17	8.15E-241
Stab1	4.07E-176	Ear2	4.53E-276	Rps24	1.46E-240
Cyth1	3.27E-163	Apoc1	2.49E-275	Rps13	2.34E-236
Spsb1	3.96E-163	Kcna2	3.72E-275	Rpl38	1.95E-226
Blnk	2.35E-162	Myo10	9.05E-269	H2-DMb1	1.02E-223
Cx3cr1	9.29E-162	Atp13a2	2.95E-267	Rps18	5.39E-223
Med10	5.25E-161	Slc1a3	6.24E-263	Rpl19	3.68E-221
Nek6	5.28E-160	Slco2b1	1.11E-258	Rpl8	2.01E-219
Ptms	1.05E-159	mt-Nd2	3.45E-258	Rpl7a	4.17E-217
Anxa5	1.10E-156	Wwp1	2.16E-253	Gm34084	5.23E-216
Gpnmb	1.21E-154	Aplp2	4.22E-248	Rpl13	2.08E-215
Itgb5	2.78E-154	Atp8a1	5.03E-248	Rpl11	2.47E-213
Myo5a	1.11E-146	P2ry13	3.17E-247	Rpl35a	2.13E-210
Runx3	1.81E-146	Ccdc148	4.70E-245	Rpsa	1.62E-209
Tmem176a	2.34E-144	Grn	1.58E-244	Rpl6	5.70E-208
Ctss	4.81E-141	Bank1	1.82E-239	Tpt1	2.63E-206
Sh3pxd2b	9.38E-141	Mertk	2.15E-238	Rack1	2.14E-203
Rtcb	4.42E-140	Nr1h3	1.13E-235	Rpl23	6.14E-199
Fam20c	1.91E-139	Prnp	2.93E-235	Rpl26	7.48E-198
Il2rg	8.84E-138	Ninj1	2.42E-234	Rps6	6.64E-197
Lpcat2	8.53E-137	Fcna	3.33E-233	Rps10	2.06E-195
Kynu	8.49E-136	Csrp1	1.16E-230	Ier5	1.06E-191
Tnfsf13b	8.77E-136	Rgl1	7.18E-229	Rps3	8.23E-185
Gpr157	1.18E-135	Lpl	4.94E-223	Rpl27	8.23E-185
Tgfbr1	7.63E-135	Fam213b	1.08E-222	Rps5	8.36E-185

H2-K1	1.15E-133	Tcf7l2	1.26E-222	Rps7	3.96E-182
Basp1	1.23E-133	AB124611	4.64E-221	Rps15a	6.82E-182
Pla2g7	1.80E-132	Abcc3	3.28E-216	Rps11	1.97E-180
Fth1	4.19E-131	Fcgrt	5.79E-216	Rps4x	5.07E-180
Ggh	1.85E-126	Tgm2	1.88E-215	Rplp0	3.09E-177
Adam19	6.94E-126	Itgad	5.35E-214	Ly6i	8.17E-176
C3ar1	7.35E-125	Ptgs1	2.94E-213	S100a11	6.23E-175
Ccl12	3.37E-123	Laptm4a	1.01E-212	Atox1	1.22E-174
Hvcn1	2.51E-121	Comt	1.33E-206	Pim1	9.56E-174
Anxa3	8.60E-121	Creg1	3.24E-205	Sh3bgrl3	3.97E-173
Tgfbi	1.88E-120	Adgre1	9.67E-205	Ciita	7.35E-173
Ctsd	2.73E-117	Clec12a	6.33E-204	Eef1a1	6.09E-172
Itm2c	5.19E-116	Tspan4	7.80E-203	Rps3a1	9.09E-168
Tmem119	5.62E-116	Txn1	9.13E-203	Gm2a	6.07E-165
Rap2a	1.03E-114	Ctsb	9.52E-201	Ptprc	2.05E-163
Ctsl	4.00E-114	Mrap	5.65E-197	Rpl37	1.51E-161
Itga6	1.83E-113	Slc16a9	5.99E-197	Rps25	3.03E-160
B4galnt1	2.45E-113	Abcg3	3.83E-196	H3f3a	5.92E-159
Fam3c	1.64E-112	Pla2g15	4.22E-196	Btg2	1.14E-158
Tmem173	1.54E-111	C1qc	6.17E-192	Rpl15	1.42E-158
Ski	3.59E-111	Agpat3	1.68E-191	Cnn2	1.09E-156
Anpep	5.85E-111	Hs6st1	1.95E-191	Cdkn1a	2.57E-156
Gng2	2.37E-110	Dmpk	2.15E-191	Slfn1	4.83E-155
Nceh1	2.88E-110	Cd38	1.79E-190	Sem1	4.08E-154
H2-Q7	4.94E-108	Tmem26	2.02E-189	Lsp1	1.34E-152
Rtn1	1.28E-106	Slc11a1	1.05E-188	Rpl37a	1.78E-152
Sorl1	1.31E-103	Cd300a	1.41E-187	Rpl22	3.64E-152
Glipr1	1.22E-102	Slc7a7	3.28E-187	Sirpb1c	4.81E-152
Gsn	2.00E-102	Cyb5a	6.94E-187	Traf1	6.97E-152
Afdn	4.54E-102	Sipa1l1	7.41E-187	Emb	4.22E-151
Ak2	1.11E-101	Il18bp	1.48E-186	Rpl30	1.32E-147
Ntpcr	2.21E-98	Cd86	2.52E-183	Rps15	1.14E-146
Scarb2	3.16E-97	Vamp5	3.05E-183	H2-Ab1	2.84E-145
Creb5	5.41E-97	Jup	6.69E-182	Il1b	3.05E-145
Gsto1	5.56E-97	Blvra	1.30E-178	Rps28	4.52E-145
Ncf1	4.26E-96	Mgst1	6.48E-178	Jarid2	1.82E-143
Ppfia4	4.97E-96	Tbxas1	1.47E-177	Rps26	1.53E-142
Chchd10	7.77E-96	Hpgds	2.04E-177	Rpl32	4.21E-142
Gna12	1.23E-95	Tgfbr2	2.70E-176	Pld4	9.07E-142
Mvb12b	1.80E-95	Clec4n	3.52E-175	Cbfa2t3	1.54E-141
Rasal3	1.45E-94	Ms4a7	5.30E-175	Rps21	4.04E-141
Scoc	6.86E-94	Sirpa	3.35E-171	Fgr	4.04E-141
Cfb	6.00E-93	Fyn	2.84E-168	Rps8	1.11E-139

Lmna 1.04E-92 *Cadm1* 2.20E-167 *Cd74* 5.34E-138

1796

1797 **Table 2: List of the differentially expressed genes among *Timd4+***

1798 ***thymic macrophages, *Cx3cr1+* thymic macrophages, and thymic***

1799 **monocytes.** The top 100 differentially expressed genes among the three

1800 clusters are listed by their negative $\log_{10}$ transformed p-value.

1801

1802
1803