Ubiquitin ligases and a processive proteasome facilitate protein clearance

during the oocyte-to-embryo transition in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Caroline A. Spike*, Tatsuya Tsukamoto*, and David Greenstein*,[1]

*Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Development, University of Minnesota,

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

Running title: Protein Degradation During the OET

Keywords: oocyte meiotic maturation, oocyte-to-embryo transition, translational regulation,

RNA-binding proteins, ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation

[1]Corresponding author: David Greenstein, Department of Genetics, Cell Biology, and

Development, University of Minnesota, 4-208 MCB, 420 Washington Avenue SE, Minneapolis,

MN 55455. Tel: 612-624-3955; FAX: 612-626-6140. E-mail: green959@umn.edu

ABSTRACT

The ubiquitin-mediated degradation of oocyte translational regulatory proteins is a conserved

feature of the oocyte-to-embryo transition (OET). In the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*,

multiple translational regulatory proteins, including the TRIM-NHL RNA-binding protein LIN-

41/Trim71 and the Pumilio-family RNA-binding proteins PUF-3 and PUF-11, are degraded

during the OET. Degradation of each protein requires activation of the M-phase cyclin-

dependent kinase CDK-1, is largely complete by the end of the first meiotic division and does

not require the anaphase promoting complex (APC). However, only LIN-41 degradation requires

the F-box protein SEL-10/FBW7/Cdc4p, the substrate recognition subunit of an SCF-type E3

ubiquitin ligase. This finding suggests that PUF-3 and PUF-11, which localize to LIN-41-

containing ribonucleoprotein particles (RNPs), are independently degraded through the action of

other factors and that the oocyte RNPs are disassembled in a concerted fashion during the OET.

We develop and test the hypothesis that PUF-3 and PUF-11 are targeted for degradation by the

proteasome-associated HECT-type ubiquitin ligase ETC-1/UBE3C/Hul5, which is broadly

expressed in *C. elegans*. We find that several GFP-tagged fusion proteins that are degraded

during the OET, including fusions with PUF-3, PUF-11, LIN-41, IFY-1/Securin and CYB-

1/Cyclin B, are incompletely degraded when ETC-1 function is compromised. However, it is the

fused GFP moiety that appears to be the critical determinant of this proteolysis defect. These

findings are consistent with a conserved role for ETC-1 in promoting proteasome processivity

and suggest that proteasomal processivity is an important element of the OET during which

many key oocyte regulatory proteins are rapidly targeted for degradation.

Article Summary

The ubiquitin-mediated degradation of translational regulatory RNA-binding proteins is a

conserved feature of the oocyte-to-embryo transition (OET). *C. elegans* LIN-41 is a master

regulator of oogenesis and is found in a large translational regulatory ribonucleoprotein (RNP)

complex with more than 1000 maternal transcripts and the Pumilio-family RNA-binding proteins

PUF-3 and PUF-11. We show that the concerted action of ubiquitin-mediated protein

degradation and proteasome processivity rapidly disassemble LIN-41-containing RNPs during

the OET thereby relieving repression of many maternal transcripts.

INTRODUCTION

The oocyte-to-embryo transition (OET) initiates when oocytes exit meiotic prophase and enter

the first meiotic metaphase, a cell cycle and developmental event also known as meiotic

resumption or meiotic maturation. The OET is complete when zygotic gene transcription begins

after fertilization in the early embryo. In many mammals and in the nematode *Caenorhabditis*

elegans, zygotic gene transcription begins early at the 2- or ~4-cell stage, respectively (Clegg

and Pikó 1982; Latham *et al*. 1991; Edgar *et al*., 1994; Seydoux and Fire 1994; Hamatani *et al*.

2004). In some animals, such as Drosophila and Xenopus, zygotic gene activation predominantly

begins later—at approximately the eighth nuclear division in Drosophila (Pritchard and

Schubiger 1996; reviewed by Harrison and Eisen 2015) and at the midblastula transition in

Xenopus (Bachvarova *et al.* 1966; Newport and Kirschner 1982a,b; reviewed by Blitz and Cho

2021). Because the late-stage oocytes of most animals are transcriptionally quiescent, the

regulation of protein translation by RNA-binding proteins represents a dominant mode of

developmental control during oocyte meiotic maturation and the OET (reviewed by Kotani *et al*.

2017; Huelgas-Morales and Greenstein 2018; Teixeira and Lehmann 2019). In fact, genomic

analyses suggest that mammalian oocytes contain more than 200 RNA-binding proteins (Luong

and Conti 2019). The presumption is that many of these RNA-binding proteins regulate protein

translation in oocytes and preserve the dowry of maternal mRNA contributed to the early

embryo.

In *C. elegans* oocytes, the TRIM-NHL RNA-binding protein LIN-41 is a key component

of a large translational regulatory RNP complex that associates with more than 1000 mRNAs

(Spike *et al*. 2014b; Tsukamoto *et al*. 2017). Many of these mRNAs encode protein components

of LIN-41-containing RNPs and function as translational regulators during oogenesis or early

embryonic development. LIN-41 also mediates the 3'-untranslated region (UTR)-mediated

translational repression of some of the transcripts that associate with LIN-41 in oocytes and has

an essential function to promote the extended meiotic prophase of oocytes (Spike *et al*. 2014a,b;

Tsukamoto *et al*. 2017). *lin-41* null mutants are sterile because they produce pachytene-stage

oocytes that exit meiotic prophase prematurely; these oocytes inappropriately disassemble the

synaptonemal complex, cellularize, activate the CDK-1/cyclin B cyclin-dependent kinase, and

enter M phase (Spike *et al*. 2014a). Hypomorphic *lin-41* mutant alleles reduce oocyte quality and

cause nondisjunction during female meiosis (Spike *et al*. 2014a). LIN-41 prevents the premature

activation of the CDK-1/cyclin B during early oogenesis, in part, through the 3'UTR-mediated

translational repression of the CDC-25.3 phosphatase, a CDK-1 activator (Spike *et al*. 2014a,b).

Premature CDK-1 activation in *lin-41* mutant oocytes drives precocious M-phase entry and

causes oocytes to abnormally transcribe and express genes that are normally expressed

zygotically after the OET (Allen *et al*. 2014; Spike *et al*. 2014a; Tocchini *et al*. 2014; Matsuura

et al. 2016). Thus, a major function of LIN-41 appears to be to preserve mRNAs that are

maternally inherited and translationally activated late in oogenesis or during early embryonic

development. Many LIN-41-associated transcripts are translated only after LIN-41 is inactivated

and degraded via ubiquitin-mediated degradation upon the onset of meiotic maturation (Spike *et*

al. 2018). For example, LIN-41 associates with and represses the translation of the ORC-1

subunit of the origin recognition complex during meiotic prophase, and its degradation permits

ORC-1 expression for DNA replication in the embryo (Tsukamoto *et al*. 2017).

LIN-41 is highly conserved and its mammalian ortholog, LIN-41/TRIM71, is required for

embryonic viability and neural tube closure in mice (Maller Schulman *et al*. 2008; Chen *et al*.

2012; Cuevas *et al*. 2015; Mitschka *et al*. 2015). This defect appears to be related to a

requirement for LIN-41/TRIM71 for maintaining pluripotency in neural progenitor cells in the

embryo. LIN-41/TRIM71 has also been found to promote the pluripotency of embryonic stem

cells in the mouse (Chang *et al*. 2012; Worringer *et al*. 2014; Mitschka *et al*. 2015; Liu *et al*.

2021). The defects in pluripotency, which underlie the neural tube defects observed in LIN-

41/TRIM71 deficient mice, may be highly relevant to the finding of *de novo* heterozygous LIN-

41/TRIM71 missense mutations in congenital hydrocephalus cases in humans (Furey *et al*. 2018;

Jin *et al*. 2020). Germ cell-specific depletion of LIN-41/TRIM71 in mice causes germ cell loss

and sterility in both sexes (Torres-Fernández *et al*. 2021a). Interestingly, male mice with LIN-

41/TRIM71-deficient germ cells exhibit a Sertoli cell-only phenotype, which resembles the

infertility phenotype of human males with heterozygous LIN-41/TRIM71 mutations (Torres-

Fernández *et al*. 2021a). The essential role of LIN-41/TRIM71 in female germ cells remains to

be more fully explored. Thus, in mammals, LIN-41/TRIM71 mutations produce distinct

phenotypes in germ cells and somatic cells, which highlights the flexibility of LIN-41/TRIM71

proteins for deployment in developmental cell fate decisions. Indeed, LIN-41 was first

discovered as a target of the *let-7* microRNA in the genetic pathway controlling the

developmental timing of somatic cells in *C. elegans* (Reinhart *et al*. 2000; Slack *et al*. 2000). The

regulation of LIN-41/TRIM71 orthologs by *let-7* microRNAs appears to be highly conserved in

animals (O'Farrell *et al*. 2008; Kloosterman *et al*. 2004; Kanamoto *et al*. 2006; Lin *et al*. 2007;

Rybak *et al*. 2009; Worringer *et al*. 2014). This mode of regulation is not observed in the *C.*

elegans germline, as the *let-7* microRNA appears to be only expressed in somatic cells (Lau *et*

al. 2001). A new twist is that recent studies suggest that mammalian LIN-41/TRIM71 can inhibit

the accumulation of *let-7* microRNAs (Liu *et al*. 2021; Torres-Fernández *et al*. 2021b),

suggesting its involvement as a component of a bistable developmental switch. Although it is not

known whether LIN-41 can inhibit the *let-7* pathway in *C. elegans*, it is clear that LIN-41 is a

component of a bistable switch regulating oocyte meiotic maturation in this organism—LIN-41

inhibits CDK-1 activation, and in turn, active CDK-1 promotes the ubiquitin-mediated

degradation of LIN-41 upon the onset of meiotic maturation (Spike *et al*. 2018).

The rapid elimination of LIN-41 upon the onset of meiotic maturation is emblematic of

the highly choreographed and exquisitely timed patterns of degradation of RNA-binding proteins

during late oogenesis and the OET in many organisms (Nishi *et al*. 2005; Stitzel *et al*. 2006;

Shirayama *et al*. 2006; Sha *et al*. 2017; Kisielnicka *et al*. 2018; Cao *et al*. 2020, 2021; Zavortink

et al. 2020; reviewed by Robertson and Lin 2015). Previous results suggested that an SCF-type

E3 ubiquitin ligase containing the FBW7/Cdc4 ortholog SEL-10 promotes the degradation of

LIN-41 using a bi-partite degron located near the N-terminus of LIN-41 (Spike *et al.* 2018). The

ubiquitin proteasome pathway utilizes an E1-E2-E3 enzymatic relay to transfer ubiquitin to mark

proteins for degradation by the 26S proteasome. E3 ubiquitin ligases, comprising multi-subunit

enzymes or single chain proteins, function as substrate specificity factors to conjugate multiple

ubiquitin moieties to target proteins. SEL-10 is a highly conserved and well-studied substrate-

recognition subunit (Hubbard *et al*. 1997, de la Cova and Greenwald 2012). After substrate

proteins are recognized and ubiquitinated, they are received by the 19S regulatory particles of the

proteasome, which bind the ubiquitin chains, unfold the substrate, and processively translocate it

into the 20S particle for degradation (reviewed by Finley 2009; Collins and Goldberg 2017).

In this work, we strengthen our understanding of LIN-41 degradation and begin to

investigate how additional RNA-binding proteins in LIN-41 RNPs are degraded upon the onset

of meiotic maturation. First, we show that SEL-10 is expressed in oocytes and use

photoconversion of DENDRA::LIN-41 in maturing oocytes to demonstrate unequivocally that

SEL-10 is required to degrade LIN-41 during the OET. Next, we identify the Pumilio/FBF-

family RNA-binding proteins PUF-3 and PUF-11 as proteins that are degraded soon after

meiotic maturation. PUF-3 and PUF-11 are components of LIN-41-containing RNPs in oocytes

(Tsukamoto *et al*. 2017) that are 89 percent identical at the amino acid level and play important

roles in germline stem cell and embryonic development (Hubstenberger *et al.* 2012, Haupt *et al.*

2020). Although both PUF-3 and PUF-11 are degraded soon after meiotic maturation in a CDK-

1-dependent fashion, the degradation of both proteins occurs independently of SEL-10.

Collectively, these results indicate that PUF-3 and PUF-11 are not degraded as a consequence of

LIN-41 degradation and that LIN-41 RNPs are dismantled in a concerted fashion during the

OET. Finally, we find that several different GFP-tagged fusion proteins that are degraded during

the OET, including fusions with PUF-3, PUF-11 and LIN-41, are incompletely degraded when

the function of the conserved ETC-1/UBE3C/Hul5 HECT-domain ubiquitin ligase is reduced,

indicating that ETC-1 is important for proteasome processivity. We provide evidence that the

GFP tag causes this proteolysis defect, re-evaluate key aspects of a previous report that assigned

a different role to ETC-1 (Wang *et al*. 2013), and propose that proteasomal processivity is

critical for the rapid and efficient targeting of a plethora of oocyte regulatory proteins for

degradation during the OET.

  MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and genetic analysis

The genotypes of strains used in this study are reported in Table S1. All analyses were conducted

at 20 °C, unless specified otherwise. *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* animals are susceptible to even brief

observations and manipulations at room temperature. Thus, strains containing the *mat-1(ax161*ts*)*

mutation were handled as little as possible (< 15 min at room temperature) and prechilled (15°C)

media was used to establish cultures. The following mutations were used: LGI—*rnp-*

*8(tn1860[rnp-8::gfp::tev::3xflag]), mat-1(ax161*ts*), lin-41(tn1541[gfp::tev::s::lin-41])), lin-*

41(tn1892[mscarlet::tev::3xflag:lin-41]), lin-41(tn1894[dendra::tev::3xflag::lin-41]), lin-

41(tn2054[gfp::tev::3xflag::lin-41]), lin-41(tn2055[gfp::tev::3xflag::lin-41]), and *fog-3(q443)*.

lin-41(tn2054) and *lin-41(tn2055)* were generated independently but are identical at the DNA

sequence level. LGII—*dpy-10(tn2076), etc-1(gk5182), etc-1(tn1919[gfp::tev::3xflag::etc-1]),*

etc-1(tn1920[gfp::tev::3xflag::etc-1]), etc-1(tn2077), and *unc-4(e120)*. LGIII—*plk-1(or683*ts*),*

*emb-30(tn377*ts*),* and *cul-2(or209*ts*)*. LGIV—*puf-11(tn1824[puf-11::gfp::tev::3xflag]), puf-*

11(q971), cyb-1(tn1806[cyb-1::gfp::tev::3xflag]), unc-22(e66), puf-3(tn1820[puf-

3::gfp::tev::3xflag]), and *puf-3(q966)*. LGV—*lon-3(e2175), sel-10(ar41), sel-10(ok1632), sel-*

10(tn1816[sel-10::gfp::tev::3xflag]), sel-10(tn1817[sel-10::gfp::tev::3xflag]), sel-

10(tn1875[gfp::tev::3xflag::sel-10]) and *fog-2(oz40)*. *sel-10(tn1816)* and *sel-10(tn1817)* were

generated independently but are identical at the DNA sequence level. The following balancers

were used: *tmC18[dpy-5(tmIs1236)]* I (Dejima *et al.* 2018), *mnC1[dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)*

umnIs32] II, *nT1[qIs51]* (IV; V). The following transgene insertions were used: *itIs37[pie-*

1p::mcherry::histoneH2B::pie-1 3'UTR, unc-119(+)] IV (McNally *et al.* 2006) and *ddIs128[ify-*

1::2xty1::egfp::3xflag(92C12) + unc-119(+)] (Sarov *et al.* 2012).

mScarlet and Dendra repair templates

The repair templates used to create *lin-41(tn1892[mscarlet::tev::3xflag::lin-41])* and *lin-41(tn1894[dendra::tev::3xflag::lin-41])* were generated by Gibson assembly using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly master mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and five different PCR products: (1) a *lin-41* 5' homology arm (~0.6 kb), (2) a codon-optimized mScarlet-I or a Dendra coding sequence with introns (~0.9 kb), (3) self-excising cassette (SEC)-containing sequences (~5.7 kb), (4) a *lin-41* 3' homology arm (~0.8 kb), and (5) vector backbone sequences (~2.6 kb). *lin-41* homology arms (1 and 4) were amplified from genomic DNA prepared from the wild type. SEC and vector sequences (3 and 5) were amplified from *Cla*I and *Spe*I-digested pDD268 plasmid DNA (Dickinson *et al.* 2015). mScarlet-I and Dendra were amplified from the plasmids pMS050 (Addgene plasmid #91826), a gift from Bob Goldstein, and pEG545 (Griffin *et al.* 2011), a gift from Geraldine Seydoux. Primer sequences are presented in File S1. All PCR products were initially column purified (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and most of the plasmids recovered after assembly lacked the SEC fragment; only 10% and 5% of the mScarlet-I and Dendra repair constructs were complete, respectively. While making different *lin-41* repair constructs using the same method and many of the same PCR fragments, we found that gel purifying the SEC PCR product increased the inclusion of the SEC fragment to 80% of the assembled plasmids.

Constructs created by Griffin *et al.* (2011) that contain DENDRA-expressing sequences optimized for use in *C. elegans* (Gallo *et al.* 2010) are annotated in Addgene as containing DENDRA2 (e.g., pEG545, Addgene plasmid #40116 and pEG345, Addgene plasmid #40077). DENDRA2 is a modified form of DENDRA that has an important single amino acid change near the C-terminus (A224V) as well as a 5-amino acid insertion near the N-terminus (Gurskaya *et al.*

2006; Chudakov *et al.* 2007). The relationship between DENDRA and DENDRA2 is most easily

visualized using the fluorescent protein database www.fpbase.org (Lambert 2019). We

sequenced the pEG545 plasmid and confirmed that it encodes DENDRA; the DENDRA-coding

sequence lacks both the A224V amino acid change and the 5-amino acid insertion found in

DENDRA2. Since we obtained pEG545 directly from the Seydoux lab, we also analyzed the

Addgene-deposited sequences of pEG545 and pEG345. Although those sequences are

incomplete, they confirm that each plasmid encodes DENDRA[A224]. As both plasmids have

been used to generate many different fusion proteins labeled as containing DENDRA2 [e.g.,

Herrera *et al.* (2016) and Rosu and Orna Cohen-Fix (2017)], this annotation error may be

relatively common in the *C. elegans* literature.

Genome editing

Plasmids that express guide RNAs (gRNAs) under the control of the U6 promoter were

generated as described (Arribere *et al*. 2014). Repair templates used to tag genes with *gfp* were

also generated as described (Dickinson *et al.* 2015). Repair templates used to tag genes with

mSCARLET-I and DENDRA were generated as described in the previous section. Genome

editing was performed by injecting wild-type adult gonads with a DNA mix containing a repair

template (10 ng/µl), one or more gRNA plasmids (25 ng/µl each), Cas9-expressing plasmid

(pDD162, 50 ng/µl), and injection marker (pMyo2::Tdtomato, 4 ng/µl) and selecting for repairs

and selection cassette excisions using standard methods (Dickinson *et al*. 2015). Null mutations

in *lin-41* are both Dumpy (Dpy) and sterile (Slack *et al.* 2000; Spike *et al.* 2014a). None of the

animals homozygous for the *mScarlet^SEC^lin-41*, *Dendra^SEC^lin-41* and *GFP^SEC^lin-41*

repairs were Dpy, but some were sterile, consistent with the interpretation that the insertion of

each SEC (self-excising cassette) at the 5' end of the *lin-41* gene created reduction-of-function

rather than null alleles of *lin-41*. Each *lin-41* repair was balanced with *tmC18* and the SEC was

removed from the *lin-41* locus in balanced heterozygotes. Fluorescently tagged *lin-41* alleles

were homozygous fertile after SEC excision. All edited loci were validated by sequencing the

repair junctions using PCR products as templates. At least two alleles derived from

independently injected parents were isolated and assigned allele names. A 4240 bp deletion in

the *etc-1* locus, which removes the entire open reading frame was constructed using the *dpy-1*0

co-conversion method (Arribere *et al*. 2014). The injection mix contained pJA58 (7.5 ng/µl), AF-

ZF-827 (500 nM), etc-1 sgRNA2 (pCS629) (25 ng/µl), etc-1 sgRNA4 (pCS633) (25 ng/µl), the

repair template etc-1_RT1 (500 nM), and pDD162 (50 ng/µl). Correct targeting was verified by

conducting PCR with primer pairs eif-2Bepsilon_F2 and hgap-2_F2 followed by Sanger

sequencing. The DG5379 *dpy-10(tn2076) etc-1(tn2077)* strain was isolated after screening the

progeny of 267 F1 Roller animals. The *etc-1(tn2077)* null mutation was separated from the *dpy-*

10(tn2076) mutation by recombination by seeking non-Dpy non-Rol non-Unc animals from *dpy-*

10(tn2076) etc-1(tn2077)/unc-4(e120) heterozygotes.

Antibody staining

Dissected gonads stained with the rabbit anti-GLD-1 primary antibody (1:200 or 1:300 dilution;

Jan *et al*. 1999) were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, with a 5-min post fix step in ice-

cold methanol as previously described (Rose *et al.* 1997; Spike *et al.* 2018). Primary antibodies

were detected using Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1:500 dilution;

Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA).

Microscopy and image analysis

Microscope images in Figures 4 and S2 were acquired on a Carl Zeiss motorized Axioplan 2

microscope with a 63x Plan-Apochromat (numerical aperture 1.4) objective lens using an

AxioCam MRm camera and AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Microscope

images in Figures S4, 5I–L, S6, S8 and S9 were acquired on a Nikon Ni-E microscope with

either a Plan Apo λ 60x (numerical aperture 1.4) objective or a Plan Fluor 40x Oil (numerical

aperture 1.3) objective using NIS elements (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY). All the remaining

microscope images were acquired on a Nikon Ti2 inverted confocal microscope with a Plan

ApoIR 60x objective (numerical aperture 1.27), motorized stage and Galvano scanner using NIS

elements (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY). When using the Nikon Ti2 microscope, the large image

function of NIS elements was used to acquire images that show the entire germline. We

quantified the average fluorescence intensity in selected areas of images using the measure

function of ImageJ (Schneider *et al*. 2012). Each measurement was background corrected using

the average fluorescence intensity of a nearby area outside the body of the worm. Multiple

images were analyzed per genotype, as specified in the text.

Photoconverting ^{Green}DENDRA::LIN-41 to ^{Red}DENDRA::LIN-41

Worms were mounted without anesthetic on 10% agarose pads in a 1:1 solution of M9 buffer and

0.1 μM polystyrene beads (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) essentially as described (Rosu

and Cohen-Fix 2020). Photoactivation and imaging was performed using the Nikon Ti2 inverted

confocal microscope equipped as described in the previous section. A region of interest

encompassing the −1 oocyte was selected for photoactivation using the 405 nm laser at 10%

power for 31.25 seconds (125 loops at 4 frames per second) and a large pinhole (173.69 microns)

to promote DENDRA photoconversion throughout the oocyte. After photoactivation, animals

were allowed to recover on NGM plates seeded with OP50-1 bacteria for either 60 min or 100

min and then mounted a second time. Confocal settings optimized to image oocytes after

photoconversion were used to collect images of the ^{Green}DENDRA::LIN-41 and

^{Red}DENDRA::LIN-41 in oocytes and embryos at all stages of the experiment: before

photoactivation, after photoactivation, and after recovery.

Although the conditions used for photoactivation were generally compatible with oocyte

meiotic maturation and ovulation, our experimental manipulations sometimes interfered with

these events. For example, one *lin-41(tn1894)* animal failed to move after recovery, suggesting

that it might have been damaged while mounting on or recovering from the agarose pad.

^{Red}DENDRA::LIN-41 was still detectable in the −1 and −2 oocytes of the photoconverted arm

after a 100-min recovery period, indicating that the converted oocytes in this damaged animal

had not matured or ovulated. The other *lin-41(tn1894)* animals (n=5) survived the experimental

manipulations and exhibited exclusively green oocytes in the −1 and −2 positions in each

photoconverted arm (n=6 arms, the −1 oocytes of both arms were converted in one animal),

confirming that oocytes had matured and been ovulated into the uterus during the recovery

period (60 min (n=2) or 100 min (n=4)). All *lin-41(tn1894); sel-10(ok1632)* animals survived

our experimental manipulations (n=4 animals), and most of the photoconverted arms had

exclusively green oocytes in the −1 and −2 positions (n=4/5 arms, the −1 oocytes of both arms

were converted in one animal) and one or two red embryos in the uterus after the recovery

period. The sole exception was in an animal that laid only 2 eggs during the 100-min recovery

period and appeared to be sickly. This animal had a bright red 1-cell stage embryo in the +1

position and a fainter red oocyte in the −1 position. The −1 oocyte converted in this gonad arm

must have experienced a substantial temporal delay prior to oocyte maturation and ovulation, as

a shorter (60 min) recovery period was sufficient for two ovulation events in the healthier *lin-*

41(tn1894); sel-10(ok1632) animals.

itIs37 puf-3(tn1820) and puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820) double mutants

Crosses with *puf-11(tn1824)* and *puf-3(tn1820)* established that the *itIs37[pie-*

1p::mcherry::histoneH2B::pie-1 3'UTR, unc-119(+)] insertion on chromosome IV is tightly

linked to *puf-11* and more loosely linked to *puf-3*. 0 of 72 progeny from *itIs37 + / + puf-*

11(tn1824) parents carried a recombinant *itIs37 puf-11(tn1824)* chromosome, while 5 of 64

progeny from *itIs37 + / + puf-3(tn1820)* parents carried a recombinant *itIs37 puf-3(tn1820)*

chromosome. Together, these observations suggested that *itIs37* is ~7.8 map units to the left of

puf-3, in good agreement with the relative genetic positions of *puf-11* and *puf-3* described in

WormBase (http://www.wormbase.org, release WS278; Davis *et al*. 2022). We utilized *unc-*

22(e66), which causes a homozygous Uncoordinated (Unc) phenotype and is located in-between

puf-11 and *puf-3*, to construct the *puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820)* double mutant. Animals carrying

itIs37 puf-3(tn1820) and *puf-11(tn1824) unc-22(e66)* recombinant chromosomes were mated

with each other. Animals with one copy of each chromosome were selected and allowed to self-

fertilize. In the next generation, non-Unc-22 animals were examined for *itIs37*-derived

mCHERRY::HISTONE expression using fluorescence microscopy. A single *itIs37*-negative

animal was identified among the 168 non-Unc animals examined, and this animal segregated

approximately one quarter Unc progeny in the next generation. Based on the mapping data, we

anticipated that this rare animal carried either a *puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820)* or a *puf-11(+) puf-*

3(tn1820) recombinant chromosome in *trans* to the parental *puf-11(tn1824) unc-22(e66)*

chromosome. Animals homozygous for the recombinant chromosome were analyzed using

primers that produce allele-specific PCR products (File S1) and found to carry both *puf-*

11(tn1824) and *puf-3(tn1820)*.

RNA interference

Gene-specific RNA interference (RNAi) was performed by feeding *C. elegans* with double-

stranded RNA (dsRNA)-expressing *E. coli* (Timmons and Fire 1998) at 22 °C using the RNAi

culture media described by Govindan *et al*. (2006). RNAi clones were obtained from Source

BioScience (Nottingham, UK), and the identities of important RNAi clones were verified by

DNA sequencing (see File S1 for details). Exposure to dsRNA-expressing *E. coli* was initiated

during the fourth larval stage and animals were examined and imaged after 2 days. Under these

RNAi conditions and at the time-point analyzed, all *cdk-1(RNAi)* animals produced arrested 1-

cell embryos and therefore had a strong *cdk-1(RNAi)* phenotype (see Boxem *et al.* 1999). Using

the same RNAi conditions, the gonad arms of *wee-1.3(RNAi)* animals exhibited a range of oocyte

phenotypes. Gonads with a mild *wee-1.3(RNAi)* phenotype have relatively large and normal-

appearing oocytes that occupy approximately normal positions with respect to each other and to

the spermatheca. Gonads with strong *wee-1.3(RNAi)* phenotype have small, sometimes indistinct

oocytes or a mass of oocyte-like material near the spermatheca. Burrows *et al.* (2006) also noted

that prolonged RNAi results in small oocytes, consistent with the idea that this phenotype is

caused by a strong response to *wee-1.3(RNAi)*. GFP$_S$::LIN-41 is prematurely degraded in animals

with both weak and strong *wee-1.3(RNAi)* phenotypes (Spike *et al.* 2014a, 2018). In the screen

for E3 ubiquitin ligases that degrade PUF-3::GFP, we did not exclusively use RNAi to test the

SCF subunit CUL-2. Since our *cul-2(RNAi)* clone does not trigger a good RNAi response (Spike

et al. 2018), we also examined *cul-2(or209*ts*); puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::tev::3xflag])* adults 1

day after they were upshifted to 25 °C as L4-stage larvae. All other ubiquitin ligase subunits

were screened using RNA interference alone.

Western blots

Each lane was loaded with a protein lysate made from adult worms picked into 10 microliters of

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing proteinase inhibitors (2x complete EDTA-free;

Millipore-Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Whole-worm lysates were frozen at –80 °C and prepared for

electrophoresis by adding LDS sample buffer supplemented with a reducing agent (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, CA). Immediately after adding the sample buffer, the samples were heated at 70 °C

and vortexed multiple times prior to loading on a gel. Lysates were made from 40 Day 1 adult

worms (24 hours past the L4 stage at 20 °C) with a few exceptions. Some lysates expressing

CYB-1::GFP were made from 50 instead of 40 worms (hermaphrodites in Figures 7A and S7B;

males in Figures 7B and S7D). In addition, the mated females used for lysates were not

developmentally staged (Figure S7C). Instead, gravid females were selected from mixed-stage

plates. Proteins were separated using 3–8% Tris-Acetate gels (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and

visualized after western blotting. Blots were blocked with 5% nonfat dried milk. The primary

antibodies used were mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Millipore-Sigma) at a 1:2,000–1:4,000 dilution and

rabbit anti-GFP NB600-308 (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO) at a 1:4,000 dilution. The

secondary antibodies used were peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Thermo Scientific,

Waltham, MA) at a 1:30,000 dilution or peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (Thermo

Scientific) at a 1:5,000 dilution. Detection was performed using SuperSignal West Femto

Maximum Sensitivity Substrate and CL-XPosure film (Thermo Scientific).

eGFP, mSCARLET-I and DENDRA fusion protein tag sequences

The predicted amino sequence and molecular mass of each protein tag is presented in File S2 for

reference. All GFP tags express the GFP[S65C] enhanced GFP variant commonly used in *C.*

elegans (Green *et al.* 2008). Most also contain the apparently neutral GFP[Q80R] change likely

introduced into the original GFP cDNA sequence by PCR error (Tsien 1998).

Data availability

All alleles and strains (Table S1), plasmids (File S1) and Sanger sequencing files are available

upon request. Primer sequences are provided in File S1. File S2 contains the amino acid and

nucleotide sequences of fluorophore tags, tagged alleles, and deletion alleles created for or used

in this work. Supplemental materials are available at Figshare: https://doi.org/

                                    RESULTS

SEL-10 is present in the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm of oogenic germ cells

SEL-10/Cdc4/FBW7 is a highly conserved F-box protein; it is the substrate-recognition subunit

of a Skp, Cullin, F-box (SCF)-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that promotes the

ubiquitination and degradation of specific substrates (reviewed in Deshaies and Ferrell 2001;

Welcker and Clurman 2008). In *C. elegans*, SEL-10 functions in the oogenic germline and

during the OET to promote the timely elimination of the cytoplasmic RNA-binding proteins

GLD-1 and LIN-41 at different stages of oogenesis (Kisielnicka *et al*. 2018; Spike *et al.* 2018).

Consistent with this idea, *sel-10* mRNA is expressed throughout the oogenic germlines of adult

hermaphrodites and the *sel-10* 3' UTR is permissive for the translation of green fluorescent

protein (GFP) in pre-meiotic and meiotic cells during oogenesis (Kisielnicka *et al.* 2018).

However, the expression of SEL-10 has not been reported in oocytes or in any other region of the

C. elegans germline, possibly because SEL-10 expression constructs were examined in the

context of extrachromosomal arrays (Ding *et al.* 2007; Dorfman *et al.* 2009), which can silence

the expression of germline genes in *C. elegans* (Kelly *et al.* 1997). To avoid this technical issue,

we used genome editing to generate new alleles of *sel-10* that have a GFP-tag fused to the SEL-

10 protein at both the amino-terminus (N-terminus, GFP$_F$::SEL-10 in Figure 1) and carboxyl-

terminus (C-terminus, SEL-10::GFP$_F$ in Figure 1). In the figures, and where needed for textual

clarity, we will indicate the presence and relative position of a 3xFLAG or an S epitope tag in a

fusion protein with an F or S subscript, respectively. The alleles that express GFP::SEL-10, SEL-

10::GFP, and many of the other fusion proteins used in this work, are shown in Figure S1.

The pattern of GFP expression from each *sel-10* allele confirms that SEL-10 is expressed

throughout the oogenic germline of adult hermaphrodites and in early embryos (Figure 1, C and

E). GFP::SEL-10 and SEL-10::GFP are present in the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm of oocytes and

distal germ cells, but show different patterns of localization, likely reflecting the fact that

GFP::SEL-10 is functional, whereas SEL-10::GFP is not, as described in the subsequent section.

GFP::SEL-10 is enriched in the nucleoplasm relative to the cytoplasm at all stages of germ cell

development (Figure 1C). GFP::SEL-10 localization throughout the distal region of the gonad

appears to be "ring-like" because it is enriched in the nucleoplasm relative to the cytoplasm and

largely excluded from nucleoli (Figure 1, C and G–I). SEL-10::GFP is also excluded from

nucleoli at all stages of germ cell development (Figure 1, E and J–L). However, SEL-10::GFP is

present at similar levels in the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm of distal germ cells and only becomes

enriched in the nucleoplasm of growing oocytes (Figure 1E). Using confocal images collected

with identical settings, we measured 23% and 43% increases in background-corrected

cytoplasmic fluorescence in the rachis and the −3 oocyte, respectively, in SEL-10::GFP animals

relative to GFP::SEL-10 animals ($P<0.01$, n=7 images per genotype). However, nuclear

fluorescence in the −3 oocyte of each strain was not significantly different ($P>0.1$). We conclude

that, at least in the oogenic germline, SEL-10::GFP appears to be more abundant than GFP::SEL-

10 and more likely to reside in the cytoplasm.

GFP::SEL-10 is functional but SEL-10::GFP is not

The different patterns of GFP::SEL-10 and SEL-10::GFP localization might reflect the

disruption or alteration of SEL-10 function by the inserted protein tags. We examined the

accumulation of LIN-41 and GLD-1 in animals homozygous for each GFP-tagged allele of *sel-*

10 to address this possibility. LIN-41 was monitored using *lin-41(tn1892[mscarlet::3xflag::lin-*

41]), a new allele of *lin-41* that produces a fusion protein with an mSCARLET-tag fused to the

N-terminus of LIN-41 (Figure S1B; mSC$_F$::LIN-41). Endogenous GLD-1 levels were analyzed

by immunostaining. mSCARLET::LIN-41 is strongly expressed in wild-type and *sel-10(ok1632)*

loss-of-function mutant oocytes (Figure 1, A and B). mSCARLET::LIN-41 is down-regulated in

wild-type embryos (Figure 1A), but persists at elevated levels in the embryos produced by *sel-*

10(ok1632) mutant animals (Figure 1B). The downregulation of mSCARLET::LIN-41 protein

expression during the OET is therefore SEL-10-dependent, as described for endogenous LIN-41

and a GFP-tagged LIN-41 fusion protein (Figure S1B; GFP$_S$::LIN-41; Spike *et al.* 2018).

mSCARLET::LIN-41 is downregulated in the embryos of GFP::SEL-10-expressing animals (*sel-*

10(tn1875), Figure 1D), but persists at elevated levels in the embryos of SEL-10::GFP-

expressing animals (*sel-10(tn1817)*, Figure 1F). Similar results were observed with GLD-1

(Figure S2). GLD-1 is downregulated as developing oocytes exit pachytene near the loop region

(Figure S2, A and C), and this process is impaired in *sel-10(lf)* mutants, resulting in elevated

levels of GLD-1 in proximal oocytes (Kisielnicka *et al.* 2018; Spike *et al.* 2018). GLD-1 is

downregulated in the oocytes of GFP::SEL-10-expressing animals (*sel-10(tn1875)*, Figure S2B),

but persists at elevated levels in the oocytes of SEL-10::GFP-expressing animals (*sel-10(tn1816)*,

Figure S2D). We conclude that at least two of the normal functions of SEL-10 are compatible

with the insertion of a GFP tag at the N-terminus of SEL-10 but are disrupted by the insertion of

a GFP tag at the SEL-10 C-terminus. Furthermore, these observations suggest that the

nucleoplasm-enriched localization pattern of GFP::SEL-10 shown in Figure 1C likely represents

the correct localization pattern of SEL-10 in the oogenic germline. Although known targets of

SEL-10 in the *C. elegans* germline are predominantly cytoplasmic (e.g., LIN-41, GLD-1 and

CPB-3 (Kisielnicka *et al.* 2018; Spike *et al.* 2018)), orthologs of SEL-10 are present in the

nucleoplasm in *S. cerevisiae* (Cdc4p) (Choi *et al.* 1990) and in both the nucleoplasm and

cytoplasm in humans (FBW7α and FBW7β isoforms, respectively) (Welcker *et al.* 2004).

SEL-10 is required to degrade LIN-41 during the OET

LIN-41 disappears rapidly upon the onset of meiotic maturation. We previously hypothesized

that LIN-41 is phosphorylated at this stage and recognized by a SEL-10-containing E3 ubiquitin

ligase that targets LIN-41 for proteolysis (Spike *et al*. 2018). To confirm that SEL-10 promotes

the degradation of LIN-41 during the OET, we generated *lin-41(tn1894[dendra::3xflag::lin-*

41]), a new allele of *lin-41* that produces a protein with a DENDRA-tag fused to the LIN-41 N-

terminus (Figure S1B; DND$_F$::LIN-41) and crossed it into a *sel-10(ok1632)* mutant background.

Photoactivation irreversibly converts the fluorescence emitted by DENDRA from green to red

([Green]DENDRA and [Red]DENDRA, respectively) (Gurskaya *et al*. 2006). The [Green]DENDRA::LIN-

41 present in the −1 oocytes of *sel-10(ok1632)* and *sel-10(+)* animals was targeted for

conversion to [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 using a 405 nm laser. Robust photoconversion was observed

in the −1 oocyte, with a small amount of red fluorescence sometimes visible in the adjacent

cytoplasm of the −2 oocyte (Figure 2, A, B, F, and G). After a suitable recovery period to permit

oocyte maturation, ovulation, and some embryonic development, bright [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41

was evident in a single embryo in the uterus of *sel-10(ok1632)* animals (Figure 2, C–E; n=5/5).

In general, we noted that the next-youngest embryo (or −1 oocyte in one animal, see Materials

and Methods) also contained [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 (n=4/5), but at a much lower level. We did

not observe bright [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 in any of the embryos in the uteri of *sel-10(+)* animals

(n=6), although we sometimes saw an embryo with a slight haze of red fluorescence that we

hypothesized might be derived from the converted [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 −1 oocyte (Figure 2, H

and I). Relative to these *sel-10(+)* embryos, we measured a 6- to 10-fold higher level of

background-corrected [Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 fluorescence in the brightest *sel-10(ok1632)*

embryos (n=4 of each genotype). Together, these results indicate that the fluorescently tagged

[Red]DENDRA::LIN-41 observed in *sel-10(ok1632)* embryos originates in oocytes and confirm

that LIN-41 is degraded during the OET in wild-type animals.

PUF-3 and PUF-11 are degraded during the OET

PUF-3 and PUF-11 are nearly identical Pumilio-family RNA-binding proteins that function

redundantly with respect to normal embryonic development in *C. elegans* (Hubstenberger *et al.*

2012; Haupt *et al.* 2020). PUF-3 and PUF-11 proteins and mRNAs co-purify with LIN-41-

containing ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes (Tsukamoto *et al.* 2017). Furthermore, LIN-41

and PUF-3/11 were each identified as factors important for the 3'UTR-mediated translational

repression of the Rbfox-related RNA-binding protein SPN-4 in oocytes (Hubstenberger *et al.*

2012; Tsukamoto *et al*. 2017). These observations suggest that LIN-41, PUF-3, and PUF-11

function together in developing oocytes. We confirmed that PUF-3 and PUF-11 are expressed in

oocytes, as described (Haupt *et al.* 2020), and also examined the expression patterns of PUF-3

and PUF-11 during the oocyte-to-embryo transition. We created new alleles of *puf-3* and *puf-11*

that fuse a GFP-tag to the C-terminus of each PUF protein (Figure S1C; PUF-3::GFP$_F$ and PUF-

11::GFP$_F$) and found that PUF-3 and PUF-11 are eliminated during the OET in a manner that

resembles LIN-41 (Figure 3). PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP are abundant in oocytes, but the

expression of each protein is strongly diminished soon after oocyte meiotic maturation and

ovulation (Figure 3, A and D; Figure S3, A and B). Consequently, PUF-3::GFP and PUF-

11::GFP appear to be largely absent from embryos (Figure 3A) or limited to a single young

embryo (Figure 3D and Figure S3, A and B). A similar pattern is observed with GFP::LIN-41

and mSCARLET::LIN-41 (Spike *et al.* 2018; Figure 1A). Moreover, PUF-3::GFP or PUF-

11::GFP and mSCARLET::LIN-41 identify the same young embryos (if present, as in Figure 3,

D and E; n≥7 animals of each genotype imaged as shown). To determine when PUF-3 is

eliminated from embryos, we examined PUF-3::GFP in oocytes and embryos that express an

mCHERRY::HISTONE fusion protein. Relative to the adjacent −1 oocyte, PUF-3::GFP levels

are substantially reduced in meiotic embryos that are in metaphase I (Figure 3, G–J) and most

PUF-3::GFP appears to be eliminated by the end of the first meiotic division (Figure 3K). This

roughly corresponds to the time window during which LIN-41 is degraded; time-lapse imaging

previously demonstrated that GFP::LIN-41 is dramatically reduced by the end of the first meiotic

division (Spike *et al.* 2018).

While LIN-41, PUF-3 and PUF-11 are strongly reduced soon after meiotic maturation

and ovulation, each protein has a distinct pattern of expression in the adult germline (Figure 3,

A–F). For example, the accumulation of PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP appears to peak at a

later stage of oogenesis than mSCARLET::LIN-41 (Figure 3, C and F). In addition, PUF-

11::GFP is distinctly more abundant than PUF-3::GFP in the distal germline (compare Figure 3,

A and D; Figure S3D). Haupt *et al.* (2020) also observed higher levels of PUF-11 in the distal

germline using alleles that express V5 epitope-tagged PUF-3 and PUF-11 proteins. Because *puf-*

3 and *puf-11* are redundant, to assess the function of the fusion proteins, we screened for and

identified a recombinant that expresses both PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP using standard

genetic methods (see Materials and Methods). As expected from the individual expression

patterns, GFP expression was approximately two-fold higher in the oocytes of these animals

[*puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820)* genotype] than in the oocytes of either parent [*puf-11(tn1824)* or

puf-3(tn1820) alone], and the level of GFP expression in the distal germline was similar to the

PUF-11::GFP-expressing strain (Figure S3, A–E). *puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820)* animals are

overtly wild-type; they are homozygous viable and fertile, with a brood size similar to the wild

type (333 ± 40 larvae, n=15 animals at 20 °C). In addition, most of the embryos produced by *puf-*

11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820) animals hatch (~1% embryonic lethality). Since animals that are

doubly homozygous for deletion alleles of *puf-11* and *puf-3* [*puf-11(q971) puf-3(q966)* animals]

exhibit maternal-effect embryonic lethality (Haupt *et al.* 2020), the GFP-tagged alleles of *puf-11*

and *puf-3* must retain some wild-type function. As a more stringent test, we crossed homozygous

puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820) males to balanced *puf-11(q971) puf-3(q966) / nT1[qIs51]*

hermaphrodites to generate *puf-11(tn1824) puf-3(tn1820) / puf-11(q971) puf-3(q966)* animals.

Animals with this genotype produced many embryos that were viable (n=25 animals). We

conclude that the GFP tag inserted at the C-terminus of PUF-3 and PUF-11 is largely compatible

with the function of each protein.

PUF-3 and PUF-11 are degraded in response to active CDK-1

LIN-41 is degraded in response to meiotic resumption and the activation of the cyclin-dependent

kinase CDK-1 (Spike *et al.* 2014a, 2018). Likewise, we find that using RNA interference (RNAi)

to strongly reduce CDK-1 activity causes PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP to persist in embryos

(Figure 4, A and E; n>65 for each PUF::GFP with 100% penetrance). Furthermore, a strong

RNAi-mediated reduction in the activity of the WEE-1.3 kinase, a negative regulator of CDK-1

(Burrows *et al*. 2006), causes oocytes to downregulate PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP

prematurely (Figure 4, B and F). The latter phenotype was partially penetrant; it was observed in

32/46 of the PUF-3::GFP and 25/44 of the PUF-11::GFP-expressing *wee-1.3(RNAi)* gonads and

mainly seen in *wee-1.3(RNAi)* animals with highly abnormal oocytes. GFP::LIN-41 is also

degraded prematurely after *wee-1.3(RNAi)*, but this can be seen in gonads with relatively normal

oocytes (Spike *et al.* 2014a), suggesting that LIN-41 might be more sensitive to CDK-1 activity.

We tested this idea by treating animals that co-express mSCARLET::LIN-41 and PUF-11::GFP

with *wee-1.3(RNAi)* and selectively examining gonads with large, well-organized oocytes. In

these animals, mSCARLET::LIN-41 was down-regulated in younger (more distal) oocytes

(Figure 4I) and, as a consequence, prematurely eliminated from oocytes more frequently than

PUF-11::GFP (in 11/12 instead of 6/12 gonads, respectively). Collectively, these results indicate

that active CDK-1 promotes the elimination of PUF-3 and PUF-11 and suggest that LIN-41

might be more sensitive to the dysregulatory effects of *wee-1.3(RNAi)*.

SCF$_{SEL-10}$ and APC ubiquitin ligases do not target PUF-3 or PUF-11 for degradation

PUF-3 and PUF-11 are components of LIN-41 RNPs and appear to be eliminated in response to

the same signal and at a similar time as LIN-41. We therefore asked whether they are targeted for

elimination by the same SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase. LIN-41 persists in early embryos after the

RNAi-mediated knockdown of the highly similar Skp-related genes *skr-1* and *skr-2,* the Cullin

cul-1, the F-box substrate recognition subunit *sel-10* and in the *sel-10(lf)* mutants *sel-10(ok1632)*

and *sel-10(ar41)* (Spike *et al*. 2018). However PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP are eliminated

normally from the embryos of *lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)* mutant animals (Figure 4, C, D, G, and

H) and after *skr-1/2(RNAi)* (Figure S4, C and I, n>40 animals for each PUF::GFP fusion protein)

and *cul-1(RNAi)* (Figure S4, B and H, n≥20 animals for each PUF::GFP fusion protein). A

distinct, SEL-10-independent mechanism must therefore promote the elimination of PUF-3 and

PUF-11 from early embryos. Furthermore, this indicates that the degradation of PUF-3 and PUF-

11 during the OET is not a consequence of the SEL-10-dependent degradation of LIN-41,

consistent with our data suggesting that LIN-41 is more sensitive to *wee-1.3(RNAi)* and the idea

that multiple mechanisms remodel and take apart oocyte RNPs during the OET.

An interesting feature of LIN-41 degradation is that it occurs even when the metaphase-

to-anaphase transition of the first meiotic division is blocked by disrupting the function of the

anaphase-promoting complex (APC) (Spike *et al.* 2014a). This feature distinguishes LIN-41

from proteins such as IFY-1/Securin (Kitagawa *et al.* 2002; Wang *et al.* 2013) and CYB-

1/Cyclin B1 (Liu *et al.* 2004), which are degraded slightly later than LIN-41 in an APC-

dependent fashion. Therefore, we tested whether the metaphase-to-anaphase transition regulates

the elimination of PUF-3::GFP by impairing the function of two key members of the APC, *mat-*

1/Apc3 and *emb-30*/Apc4 (Furuta *et al.* 2000; Shakes *et al.* 2003). *mat-1(RNAi)* caused fully

penetrant 1-cell embryonic arrest, but did not delay or prevent the elimination of PUF-3::GFP

from embryos (Figure S4D; n=34 animals). Likewise, PUF-3::GFP disappears normally from the

embryos of *emb-30(tn377*ts*)* mutants shifted to 25 °C at the L4 stage (Figure S4F; n > 35); these

embryos arrest in metaphase during the first meiotic division (Furuta *et al.* 2000). PUF-11::GFP

degradation was also unaffected by *mat-1(RNAi)* (Figure S4J; n=9). We conclude that, as for

LIN-41, the elimination of either PUF-3 or PUF-11 from early embryos does not require the

activity of the APC. However, unlike LIN-41, the elimination of PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP

from embryos is *sel-10* independent.

ETC-1/Hul5/UBE3C promotes efficient protein clearance during the OET

Since PUF-3/11 are degraded in a SEL-10-independent manner, and because regulated protein

degradation often requires ubiquitination (reviewed by Dikic *et al.* 2017), we tested whether a

different E3 ubiquitin ligase might promote the elimination of PUF-3::GFP from embryos. Many

potential ubiquitin ligases have been identified in *C. elegans*, including (1) multi-subunit E3s

(SCF-type, APC), (2) HECT-domain E3s, (3) U-box E3s, and (4) more than 100 RING-finger

proteins that might function as monomeric E3s (Kipreos *et al.* 2005). We performed a small-

scale RNAi screen that targeted the first three classes of ubiquitin ligases and identified the

HECT-domain ubiquitin ligase ETC-1/Hul5/UBE3C as a promising candidate. When animals

expressing both mSCARLET::LIN-41 and PUF-3::GFP [*lin-41(tn1892); puf-3(tn1820)*

genotype] were exposed to *etc-1(RNAi)*, there was no discernable change in mSCARLET::LIN-

41 degradation, yet elevated levels of GFP were observed in multiple young embryos (n=24/24

animals). This suggested that *etc-1* knock down specifically interferes with the elimination of

oocyte-expressed PUF-3::GFP but not mSCARLET::LIN-41. We confirmed these RNAi-based

observations with *etc-1(gk5182)*, a predicted loss-of-function allele, which introduces a

premature stop codon, generated by the *C. elegans* Reverse Genetics Core Facility at the

University of British Columbia (The *C. elegans* Deletion Mutant Consortium 2012). *etc-*

1(gk5182); puf-3(tn1820) and *etc-1(gk5182); puf-11(tn1824)* animals exhibit GFP fluorescence

in multiple embryos compared to *etc-1(+)* controls (Figure 5, A–D), while expanded

mSCARLET is not observed in *lin-41(tn1892); etc-1(gk5182)* animals (Figure 5, E and F).

However, detailed analysis presented in subsequent sections suggests that ETC-1 unlikely

functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets specific proteins for degradation during the OET

but instead functions as a factor that increases the processivity of the proteasome.

ETC-1 was proposed to function as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates the level of

cytoplasmic IFY-1/Securin and CYB-1/cyclin B1 in post-meiosis I embryos in *C. elegans* (Wang

et al. 2013). In that study, RNA interference was used to reduce *etc-1* function [*etc-1(RNAi)*] and

CYB-1 degradation was monitored using a transgene that uses heterologous regulatory elements

to drive the expression of a GFP::CYB-1 fusion protein in the *C. elegans* germline (*ekIs2[pie-*

1p::gfp::cyb-1]). We used a new viable and fertile allele of *cyb-1* that expresses a GFP-tagged

CYB-1 fusion protein (Figure S1C; CYB-1::GFP$_F$) to examine CYB-1::GFP expression in wild-

type and in *etc-1(gk5182)* embryos (Figure 5, G and H). Since CYB-1 is essential for viability

(van der Voet *et al.* 2009), the CYB-1::GFP fusion protein made by *cyb-1(tn1806[cyb-*

1::gfp::3xflag]) homozygotes must be substantially functional *in vivo*. In otherwise wild-type

animals, CYB-1::GFP is reduced in young mitotic embryos relative to meiotic embryos and

oocytes (Figure 5G). However, in *etc-1(gk5182); cyb-1(tn1806)* embryos GFP levels continue to

remain high after meiosis (Figure 5H). We also confirmed that *etc-1(gk5182)* affects the

degradation of IFY-1::GFP (IFY-1::GFP$_F$) using the fosmid-based transgene *ddIs128[ify-*

1::gfp::3xflag] (Sarov *et al.* 2012). *etc-1(gk5182)* appears to have a relatively weak effect on

IFY-1::GFP (Figure 5, I and J) compared to PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP and CYB-1::GFP

(Figure 5, A–D and G–H). The amount of GFP fluorescence in post-meiotic *etc-1(gk5182);*

ddIs128 embryos is only slightly elevated relative to *etc-1(+)* control embryos (Figure 5, I and

J). We quantified this modest increase by comparing the IFY-1::GFP fluorescence levels in +2

embryos from *etc-1(gk5182)* animals and *etc-1(+)* controls and found it to be increased 1.4-fold

in *etc-1* mutants (P<1x10^{-4}, *t*-test; n=12 for each genotype).

ETC-1 is broadly expressed and present in oocytes and early embryos

ETC-1 and its orthologs, Hul5 (yeast) and UBE3C (humans) have two well-defined structural

domains: an IQ domain close to the N-terminus and a HECT domain at the extreme C-terminus

(Figure 6A; Wang *et al.* 2013; Marín 2018). The HECT domain of UBE3C and other HECT-

domain E3 ubiquitin ligases has a well-defined function; it is essential for mediating the

acceptance and transfer of ubiquitin from an E2-conjugating enzyme to a degradation substrate

via a cysteine residue at its catalytic site (You and Pickart 2001; Singh and Sivaraman 2020;

reviewed in Lorenz 2018). The IQ domain-containing N-terminus of UBE3C mediates an

interaction with the proteasome that is also important for function, but this portion of UBE3C is

less well-conserved than the HECT domain and its function is less well-defined (You and Pickart

2001; You *et al.* 2003; Wang *et al*. 2013). Given this structural and functional information, we

decided to tag the extreme N-terminus with GFP to examine where ETC-1 is expressed and

localized in *C. elegans*.

When we examined the sequences at the 5' end of the *etc-1* gene (Figure 6A) it became

apparent that ETC-1 translation might begin 60 bp upstream of the annotated start codon (ATG

#2 in Figure 6A) (http://www.wormbase.org, release WS278). *etc-1* is the downstream gene in a

two gene operon and contains an annotated and sequence-verified trans-splice acceptor site

immediately adjacent to a possible start codon (ATG #1 in Figure 6A). In *C. elegans*, trans-

splicing is used to physically separate the co-transcribed messenger RNAs of an operon (Spieth

et al. 1993). We predicted that ETC-1 translation should be initiated at ATG #1 for the following

reasons: (1) start codons are commonly found within 10 bp of a trans-splice acceptor site (Allen

et al. 2011), and (2) after trans-splicing, ATG #1 would be the first start codon in the *etc-1*

mRNA. If correct, 20 additional amino acids would be appended to the N-terminus of the

predicted ETC-1 protein. Seven of these amino acids are similar or identical to equivalent

residues at the N-terminus of UBE3C (Figure 6B). We created two different GFP-tagged alleles

of *etc-1*: (1) *etc-1(tn1919[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#1])*, which places GFP (and another start codon)

immediately upstream of the start codon adjacent to the trans-splice acceptor site (ATG #1), and

(2) *etc-1(tn1920[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#2])*, which places an identical tag immediately upstream of

the annotated start codon (ATG #2) (Figure S5A). Both *etc-1(tn1919)* and *etc-1(tn1920)* express

GFP (Figure 6, C and D, and Figure S5, B and C) and make large fusion proteins that

approximate the predicted sizes of the GFP::ETC-1 fusion proteins (~150 kDa, see below)

(Figure 6E, lanes 1 and 2). We conclude that a start codon immediately adjacent to the *etc-1* 5'

trans-splice site is capable of initiating translation *in vivo*.

654     *etc-1(tn1919)* and *etc-1(tn1920)* express GFP::ETC-1 (labeled GFP$_F$::ETC-1) in the

oogenic germline of hermaphrodites, where it is both cytoplasmic and nucleoplasmic (Figure 6,

C and D). We observed no significant difference in the amount of cytoplasmic GFP::ETC-1 in

the germlines of *etc-1(tn1919)* (n=11) and *etc-1(tn1920)* (n=8) adult hermaphrodites (*P*>0.1

using a Student's *t*-test). In addition, both alleles express GFP::ETC-1 in embryos (Figure 6, C

and D) and in a variety of somatic tissues, including the intestine, the spermatheca, and

unidentified cells near the pharynx (arrow in Figure S5, B and C). Interestingly, *etc-1(tn1920)*

adult hermaphrodites (n=3) express 2.4-fold more GFP::ETC-1 in the unidentified cells near the

pharynx than *etc-1(tn1919)* adult hermaphrodites (n=7) (*P*<1x10^{-6} using a Student's *t*-test). This

result suggests there could be differential start codon usage in some cell types. In *etc-1(tn1920)*

animals, the start codon at the beginning of the GFP coding sequence is 60 bp downstream of

ATG #1 and in the same relative position as the annotated start codon (ATG #2) in the

endogenous *etc-1* gene (Figure S5A). We hypothesized that *etc-1(tn1920)* animals might be able

to initiate GFP::ETC-1 translation both at the start codon used by *etc-1(tn1919)* animals (150.5

kDa GFP::ETC-1) and at the start codon located at the beginning of the GFP coding sequence

(148 kDa GFP::ETC-1). Consistent with this idea, some of the GFP::ETC-1 made by *etc-*

1(tn1920) animals appears to migrate slightly faster on western blots (Figure 6E, lane 2). These

observations suggest that ETC-1 translation can initiate at ATG #1 in most tissues, including the

germline, while leaving the possibility that ETC-1 translation also initiates at ATG #2 in some

somatic cells. However, it is also possible that the slightly different GFP expression patterns seen

in *etc-1(tn1919)* and *etc-1(tn1920)* are caused by a difference in gene structure that we have not

explicitly considered or the fact that these alleles are differently functional. As we will describe,

etc-1(tn1919) (ATG #1) appears to be largely functional during the OET, while *etc-1(tn1920)*

(ATG #2) resembles the strong loss-of-function *etc-1(gk5182)* allele.

ETC-1 is non-essential

etc-1(RNAi) and *etc-1(tm5615)* deletion animals are viable and fertile; they do not have an overt

phenotype in an otherwise wild-type background (Wang *et al.* 2013). Whereas *etc-1(tm5615)* is

predicted to be an in-frame deletion (Figure 6A), *etc-1(gk5182)* is a premature stop codon in the

second exon of the *etc-1* gene (Figure 6A). This suggested to us that *etc-1(gk5182)* should be a

strong loss-of-function allele. We therefore examined these animals for a variety of phenotypes,

including fertility defects, but failed to find any obvious problems. For example, the brood size

of *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites was no different from that of the wild type at 20 °C [285.0 ±

25.2 (n=23) for *etc-1(gk5182)* vs. 292.8 ± 20.7 (n=20) in the wild type; P= 0.2784, *t* test]. *etc-*

1(gk5182) hermaphrodites also exhibited only low levels of embryonic lethality [0.3 ± 0.3 %

embryonic lethality (n=6575) for *etc-1(gk5182)* vs. 0.2 ± 0.3 (n=5853) in the wild type]. We

tested whether the strong loss-of-function mutation *sel-10(ar41)* (Figure S1A) would provide a

sensitized background to reveal defects because SEL-10 and ETC-1 both help degrade proteins

during the first meiotic division. However, *etc-1(gk5182)*; *lon-3(e2175)* and *etc-1(gk5182)*; *lon-*

3(e2175) sel-10(ar41) hermaphrodites are essentially indistinguishable and produce large

numbers of progeny [286 ± 26 larvae (n=14) and 283 ± 29 larvae, (n=15), respectively, at 20 °C].

These brood sizes are similar to the brood sizes of *lon-3(e2175)* and *lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)*

hermaphrodites at 20 °C (Spike *et al.* 2018). We examined whether *etc-1(gk5182)* animals are

unusually sensitive to elevated temperatures because Hul5, the ETC-1 ortholog of budding yeast,

facilitates the degradation of protein aggregates that form during heat stress (Fang *et al*. 2011).

However, *etc-1(gk5182)* animals are homozygous viable and fertile at 25 °C and can be

maintained at this temperature for multiple generations. When wild-type animals are heat-

stressed for 3 hours at 31 °C, partially penetrant embryonic lethality occurs in the 24-hour period

immediately after the stress is applied (Huelgas-Morales *et al.* 2016). Using this heat stress

protocol, similar percentages of embryos produced within the relevant 24-hour period died when

we compared animals with the *etc-1(gk5182)* genotype (21% lethality, n=565 embryos, n=12

animals) to the wild type (26% lethality, n=463 embryos, n=11 animals). Wild-type L4-stage

larvae shifted from 20 °C to 37 °C for 1.5 hours exhibit partially penetrant larval arrest and

lethality (Zevian and Yanowitz 2014). Using this protocol, similar percentages of wild-type

(54%, n=268) and *etc-1(gk5182)* mutant animals (48%, n=300) died after heat shock.

To unambiguously determine whether ETC-1 is important for fertility or viability, we

used CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing to generate the *etc-1(tn2077)* null allele, which deletes the

entire *etc-1* open reading frame (Figure 6A). *etc-1(tn2077)* mutants are viable and fertile at all

temperatures tested (15, 20, and 25°C), confirming that *etc-1* is a non-essential gene in *C.*

*elegan*s under standard laboratory conditions. Like *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites, *etc-1(tn2077)*

hermaphrodites exhibit negligible embryonic lethality [0.3 ± 0.6 % embryonic lethality

(n=4304)]. However, *etc-1(tn2077)* hermaphrodites produce significantly lower brood sizes than

etc-1(gk5182) hermaphrodites [178.8 ± 55.6 (n=24) for *etc-1(tn2077)* vs. 285.0 ± 25.2 (n=23) for

etc-1(gk5182); P < 0.0001, *t* test]. We also observed that *etc-1(tn2077)* hermaphrodites were

sluggish and appeared to exhibit a reduced life span (D.G., unpublished results). The reduced

brood size of *etc-1(tn2077)* hermaphrodites might be due to the production of less sperm, which

is ordinarily limiting for fertility. Whether this speculation proves correct and reflects the role of

ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation in germline sex determination (Starostina *et al*. 2007),

will require further study. Although the differences between *etc-1(gk5182)* and the large *etc-*

1(tn2077) deletion could in principle be due to impacts on neighboring genes, these phenotypic

differences are consistent with the idea that *etc-1(gk5182)* possibly retains residual ETC-1

function.

etc-1 alleles exhibit a genetic interaction with the anaphase promoting complex

Wang *et al.* (2013) reported that *etc-1(RNAi)* enhances the phenotype of *mat-1(ax161*ts*); him-*

8(e1489) animals at the permissive temperature, and causes them to produce one-cell arrested

embryos. This enhancement supported the idea that ETC-1 and the anaphase promoting complex

(APC) share redundant functions during the OET, including the degradation of the key cell cycle

regulators IFY-1/securin and CYB-1/Cyclin B. We initially revisited this genetic interaction

using *etc-1(gk5182)* and two different temperature-sensitive alleles that attenuate APC function

in *C. elegans*: *emb-30(tn377*ts*)* and *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* (Furuta *et al.* 2000, Shakes *et al.* 2003).

Contrary to expectation, we found that *etc-1(gk5182); emb-30(tn377*ts*)* and *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-*

1(gk5182) double mutants are each homozygous viable and fertile at 15 °C. To extend this result,

we examined the number of larvae produced by *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-1(gk5182)* and *mat-*

*1(ax161*ts*)* parents. These animals were initially derived from *mat-1*-balanced strains (Table S1).

For each strain, we counted the number of larvae produced by parents that were third-generation

*mat-1(ax161*ts*)* homozygotes raised at 15 °C. *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-1(gk5182)* animals produced

fewer progeny (100 ± 35 larvae, n=15 animals) than *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* animals (165 ± 46 larvae,

n=17 animals) and this difference is statistically significant (P=0.0001). However, we did not

observe that the *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-1(gk5182)* double mutants produced one-cell arrested

embryos. Thus, the double mutant phenotype is not comparable to the embryonic arrest

phenotype of *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* (Figure S6B) or *mat-1(ax161*ts*)*; *him-8(e1489)* animals at 25 °C

(Shakes *et al.* 2003).

Since our phenotypic analyses suggested that *etc-1(gk5182)* might retain some residual

function, we analyzed whether *etc-1(tn2077)* exhibits a stronger genetic interaction with *mat-*

*1(ax161*ts*)*. We observed that *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-1(tn2077)* hermaphrodites are viable and

fertile at 15°C and produce embryos that can undergo multiple rounds of cell division (Figure

S6C). Some of these embryos hatch and grow into larvae. However, *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-*

1(tn2077) hermaphrodites produce many fewer larvae than *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-1(gk5182)*

hermaphrodites at 15 °C. First-generation homozygotes derived from *mat-1(ax161*ts*)/tmC18*; *etc-*

1(tn2077) parents produced, on average, 28 ± 19 larvae (n=18). Second-generation homozygotes

were also fertile and produced a similarly small number of larvae [24 ± 14 larvae (n=36)].

However, dead embryos were also observed among the progeny of *mat-1(ax161*ts*); etc-*

1(tn2077) hermaphrodites, and dead embryos were typically more common than hatched larvae

when both of these were counted (n=12 of 18 second-generation egg lays). Although we did not

observe the one-cell arrest phenotype or the oocyte defects that were previously described

(Figure S6), these observations nonetheless confirm that *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* embryonic lethality is

enhanced by *etc-1(tn2077)*, albeit at a later stage of embryogenesis that may result from a defect

in mitotic cell division.

GFP-fusion proteins are partially proteolyzed in etc-1(gk5182) animals

The PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP, CYB-1::GFP and IFY-1::GFP fusion proteins each contain

three FLAG epitope tags in addition to the fluorescent protein tag (Figure S1C, Sarov *et al.*

2012). We used an antibody that recognizes the FLAG tag to examine each fusion protein by

western blot in wild-type and *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites. Full-length PUF-3::GFP, PUF-

11::GFP and CYB-1::GFP fusion proteins migrate as expected in lysates made from both wild-

type and *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites (Figure 7A, lanes 1–6), while the IFY-1::GFP fusion

protein migrates slightly slower than expected (Figure 7C, lanes 1 and 2). However, in each *etc-*

1(gk5182) lysate, a second band is also recognized by the anti-FLAG antibody (Figure 7A, lanes

2, 4, and 6, and Figure 7C, lane 2). This *etc-1(gk5182)*-specific band only accumulates when a

GFP fusion protein is present (Figure S7A, compare lanes 2–7 with lanes 1 and 8) and can be

recognized by a GFP-specific antibody (Figure S7B, lanes 2, 4, and 6). Depending on the GFP

fusion protein, it migrates slightly faster (Figure 7A, lanes 2, 4, and 6) or slower (Figure 7C, lane

2) than a 40 kDa molecular weight marker. But in each case, the *etc-1(gk5182)*-specific band is

predicted, based on size, to encompass the entire 31–32 kDa GFP::FLAG tag (File S2). We

estimate that these GFP::FLAG fragments account for 10–20% of the total signal in each *etc-*

1(gk5182); puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag]) lane, 4–11% of the total signal in each *etc-*

1(gk5182); puf-11(tn1824[puf-11::gfp::3xflag) lane and 4–10% of the total signal in each *etc-*

1(gk5182); cyb-1(tn1806[cyb-1::gfp::3xflag]) lane (Figure 7A, lanes 2, 4, and 6). GFP::FLAG

fragments appear to be less abundant in *etc-1(gk5182); ddIs128[ify-1::gfp::3xflag]* animals

relative to the full length IFY-1::GFP fusion protein (Figure 7C), but we could not make an

accurate assessment of this difference because the full-length fusion protein and fragment were

not in the linear range of detection at the same time. These observations suggest that the GFP

fluorescence observed in *etc-1(gk5182)* embryos (Figure 5, A–D and G–J) may derive from

GFP::FLAG fragments rather than full-length PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP, CYB-1::GFP, or IFY-

1::GFP fusion proteins.

Our observations of GFP fluorescence in living *etc-1(lf)* animals suggest that *etc-*

1(gk5182) perturbs protein degradation during the OET (Figure 5). However, if the GFP::FLAG

fragments generated from each fusion protein accumulate prior to this time (during oogenesis,

for example), they might simply become easier to visualize when the full-length fusion protein is

degraded during the OET. We examined whether GFP::FLAG fragments are generated from the

PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP and CYB-1::GFP fusion proteins in unmated *etc-1(gk5182); fog-*

2(oz40) females to address this possibility. Development of the oogenic germline is normal in

fog-2 mutant females, but due to the absence of the major sperm protein signal from sperm

(McCarter *et al.* 1999; Miller *et al.* 2001), the rate of oocyte meiotic maturation is substantially

decreased, and fertilization and embryo formation are prevented. We found that GFP::FLAG

fragments are generated from each fusion protein in *etc-1(gk5182); fog-2* females (Figure 7B,

lanes 2, 4, and 6; see Figure S7D for a longer exposure). Relative to the amount of each full-

length fusion protein, however, GFP::FLAG fragments appear to be considerably less abundant

in unmated *etc-1(gk5182); fog-2* females than in *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites (compare Figure

7, A and B) or mated *etc-1(gk5182); fog-2* females (compare Figure 7B and Figure S7, C and D).

This was most noticeable for the GFP::FLAG fragments derived from CYB-1::GFP and PUF-

11::GFP. These observations suggest that many of the GFP::FLAG fragments produced by *etc-*

1(gk5182) mutant hermaphrodites and mated females are generated when each GFP fusion

protein is degraded during the OET.

GFP::FLAG fragments also accumulate in *etc-1(gk5182); cyb-1(tn1806); fog-2(oz40)*

adult males (Figure 7B, lane 8). Endogenous CYB-1 is abundant in the male germline and

eliminated toward the end of meiosis (Yoon *et al.* 2017). CYB-1::GFP exhibits a similar pattern

of accumulation in *fog-2* males (Figure S8, A and B). In *etc-1(gk5182); fog-2* males, however, a

GFP signal perdures past the stage where CYB-1::GFP is normally eliminated (Figure S8, C and

D). Much of this signal localizes to residual bodies (Figure S8, E and F). Residual bodies form at

the end of the second meiotic division and receive cellular materials that are not required during

subsequent stages of spermatogenesis (Ward *et al.* 1981; reviewed in Chu and Shakes 2013). It

seems likely that, as in *etc-1(gk5182)* embryos, the GFP fluorescence seen in *etc-1(gk5182)*

residual bodies derives from GFP::FLAG fragments that are formed when CYB-1::GFP is

degraded during male meiosis.

ETC-1 may promote proteasome processivity

Hul5 and UBE3C, the yeast and human orthologs of ETC-1, are proteasome-associated ubiquitin

ligases that help the proteasome degrade difficult substrates (Crosas *et al.* 2006; Fang *et al.* 2011;

Kuo and Goldberg 2017; Gottlieb *et al.* 2019). In this context, Hul5 and UBE3C are thought to

be important for the processive degradation of proteins that are stalled on the proteasome. This

hypothesis was based, in part, on experiments with GFP fusion proteins. In the absence of Hul5,

or after UBE3C knockdown, the proteasomal degradation of substrates consisting of an unstable

domain fused with the much more stable GFP domain is incomplete. This results in the

accumulation of stable GFP-containing fragments of the original fusion protein (Aviram and

Kornitzer 2010; Martinez-Noël *et al.* 2012; Chu *et al.* 2013). The experiments described in the

previous section strongly suggest that ETC-1 plays a similar role in *C. elegans*. We added to

these observations by examining additional GFP and non-GFP-tagged fusion proteins in *etc-1(lf)*

hermaphrodites, as described below.

GFP::ETC-1 fusion proteins: Neither GFP::ETC-1 fusion protein is partially processed to a

substantial extent (Figure 6E, lanes 1 and 2). To determine whether either GFP-tagged allele is

functional *in vivo*, we examined whether PUF-3::GFP is partially processed in *etc-1(tn1919)* and

etc-1(tn1920) hermaphrodites. GFP::FLAG fragments accumulate in PUF-3::GFP-expressing

etc-1(tn1920) animals (Figure 6E, lane 6) and are similar in abundance to the GFP::FLAG

fragments seen in *etc-1(gk5182)* animals (Figure 6E, lane 4). *etc-1(tn1920)* therefore appears to

be a strong loss-of-function mutation. Only a very small amount of the PUF-3::GFP-derived

GFP::FLAG fragment accumulates in *etc-1(tn1919)* animals (Figure 6E, see the longer exposure

of lane 5), suggesting that *etc-1(tn1919)* retains a significant amount of function in the *C.*

elegans germline. It is not clear why GFP::ETC-1-derived GFP::FLAG fragments do not

substantially accumulate in *etc-1(tn1920)* animals. Possibly ETC-1 itself is not a substrate for

proteasomal degradation. Alternatively, it is possible that these fragments accumulate to a level

that is below our detection threshold. In this regard, it is worth noting that GFP::ETC-1 is

expressed at much lower levels than PUF-3::GFP (Figure 6E).

RNP-8::GFP fusion proteins: We created a GFP-tagged allele of *rnp-8* (Figure S1C; RNP-

8::GFP$_F$ in all figures) and found that RNP-8::GFP is abundantly expressed in oocytes and early

embryos (Figure S9A). We do not know whether this GFP-tagged allele of *rnp-8* (*rnp-*

8(tn1860[rnp-8::gfp:3xflag])) is functional *in vivo*. Although RNP-8 is thought to be degraded in

response to oocyte maturation (Kim *et al*. 2010), RNP-8::GFP is not strongly down-regulated

during the oocyte-to-embryo transition (Figure S9A). GFP fluorescence is not obviously

increased in *rnp-8(tn1860)*; *etc-1(gk5182)* animals compared to *etc-1(+)* control animals (Figure

S9, A and B). Nevertheless, a small proportion of the RNP-8::GFP fusion protein made by *rnp-*

8(tn1860) is partially processed into GFP::FLAG fragments in *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites

(Figure S7C, lanes 7 and 8); however, this might represent a low level of turnover at other stages

of germline development distinct from the OET.

LIN-41 fusion proteins: Only full-length mSCARLET::LIN-41 fusion proteins accumulate to a

substantial level in wild-type, *etc-1(gk5182)* and *sel-10(lf)* hermaphrodites (Figure 7D). We did

not detect mSCARLET::FLAG or DENDRA::FLAG fragments at elevated levels in *etc-*

1(gk5182), *sel-10(ar41)* or *sel-10(ok1632)* animals (Figure 7D; Figure S7E). This is consistent

with the observation that the levels of fluorescence appear to be the same in embryos made by

wild-type and *etc-1(gk5182)* animals that express mSCARLET::LIN-41 (Figure 5, E and F) and

the conclusion that the *etc-1(gk5182)* mutation does not perturb the SEL-10-mediated

degradation of mSCARLET::LIN-41 or DENDRA::LIN-41 that we documented using

fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1, A and B; Figure 2). We tested whether GFP::LIN-41 would

behave in the same way by crossing our original GFP-tagged *lin-41(tn1541)* allele (Figure S1B;

GFP$_S$::LIN-41 below and in all figures) into an *etc-1(gk5182)* genetic background. However, we

found that GFP is not appropriately down-regulated in the embryos of *lin-41(tn1541)*; *etc-*

1(gk5182) hermaphrodites compared to *lin-41(tn1541)*; *etc-1(+)* controls (Figure S9, C and D).

These observations suggest that GFP$_S$::LIN-41 is partially processed in *etc-1(gk5182)*

hermaphrodites and imply that GFP-tagged fusion proteins might be more recalcitrant than other

fusion proteins to processivity-challenged proteasomes.

To rigorously investigate these possibilities, we created new *lin-41* alleles that express a

GFP::FLAG-tagged LIN-41 fusion protein (GFP$_F$::LIN-41). We isolated two independent

insertions that were given different allele names [*lin-41(tn2054[gfp::3xflag::lin-41])* and *lin-*

41(tn2055[gfp::3xflag::lin-41]), see Figure S1B]; these two alleles are molecularly identical and

were used interchangeably in our experiments. Interestingly, GFP fluorescence in GFP$_F$::LIN-41-

expressing worms is much brighter than in GFP$_S$::LIN-41-expressing worms (compare Figures

S8, E and G), possibly because the mRNA sequence that encodes the GFP::3xFLAG tag has a

much higher codon adaptation index (CAI=0.92) than the sequence that encodes the GFP::S tag

(CAI=0.28) (Redemann *et al*. 2011). More than half of the GFP$_F$::LIN-41-expressing animals

also exhibit a defect in egg laying (Egl phenotype) as adult hermaphrodites at 20°C (Figure

S9H). A similar phenotype is observed when LIN-41 is over-expressed in somatic cells during

the fourth larval (L4) stage by interfering with *let-7* microRNA regulation (e.g., using the *lin-*

*41(xe11*gf*)* allele described by Ecsedi *et al.* 2015). With respect to our tagged alleles of *lin-41*,

however, this defect uniquely characterizes the GFP::FLAG tagged alleles, as most GFP$_S$::LIN-

41 (Figure S9F), mSCARLET::LIN-41, and DENDRA::LIN-41-expressing adults do not have an

Egl phenotype. Despite these intriguing differences, the temporal expression pattern and

regulation of GFP$_F$::LIN-41 strongly resembles GFP$_S$::LIN-41. Most importantly, GFP$_F$::LIN-41-

expressing animals exhibit higher levels of GFP fluorescence in the early embryos made by *sel-*

10(ok1632) and *etc-1(gk5182)* mutants relative to otherwise wild-type hermaphrodites (Figure 5,

K and L; Figure S9, I–N). Interestingly, the subcellular localization pattern of GFP$_F$::LIN-41-

derived GFP fluorescence is distinctly different in the early embryos made by *sel-10(ok1632)*

and *etc-1(gk5182)* mutant hermaphrodites (Figure S9, K and M). In *sel-10(ok1632)* embryos,

GFP fluorescence is primarily cytoplasmic and appears to be enriched in ribonucleoprotein

particles called P granules (Figure S9M). Localization to embryonic P granules is also observed

when LIN-41 degron sequences are disrupted in GFP$_S$::LIN-41 (Spike *et al.* 2018). In *etc-*

1(gk5182) embryos, however, GFP fluorescence does not localize to P granules and is slightly

enriched in the nucleus (Figure S9K). These observations are consistent with the idea that

GFP$_F$::LIN-41 is partially processed into a GFP-containing protein fragment that is largely

responsible for the excess fluorescence observed in early *etc-1(gk5182)* embryos.

The only difference between GFP$_F$:::LIN-41, mSCARLET::LIN-41 and DENDRA::LIN-

41 is the fluorescent protein fused to LIN-41 (Figure S1B). We examined all of these proteins in

etc-1(gk5182) and *etc-1(+)* hermaphrodites by western blot, and confirmed that GFP::FLAG

fragments accumulate in GFP$_F$:::LIN-41-expressing *etc-1(gk5182)* adults at elevated levels

relative to *etc-1(+)* animals (Figure 7E). A longer exposure of the same western blot revealed

low levels of GFP::FLAG fragments even in *etc-1(+)* hermaphrodites (Figure S7F, lane 5).

However, *etc-1*-dependent fragments derived from the mSCARLET::LIN-41 and

DENDRA::LIN-41 fusion proteins were not observed. We conclude that *etc-1(gk5182)*

specifically disrupts the proteolysis of the GFP-containing LIN-41 fusion proteins (GFP$_F$::LIN-

41 and GFP$_S$::LIN-41) and speculate that tagging LIN-41 with GFP creates a LIN-41 fusion

protein that is more difficult to degrade. Consistent with this idea, GFP is known to be a

particularly stable fluorescent protein that can be difficult for the proteasome to degrade

(Khmelinskii *et al.* 2016; Reichard *et al.* 2016; Bragança and Kraut 2020). Based on these

observations, we propose that fusion with GFP is an important cause of the proteolysis defects

observed in *etc-1(gk5182)* animals (Figures 5 and 7). In this context, we note the study that

identified and described ETC-1 as a target-specific E3 ubiquitin ligase in *C. elegans* (Wang *et al.*

2013) relied on GFP fusion proteins and monitored protein degradation by looking exclusively at

fluorescence. Our observations suggest, instead, that the *C. elegans etc-1* gene has the same type

of activity described for orthologous genes in yeast and humans (Aviram and Kornitzer 2010;

Martinez-Noël *et al.* 2012; Chu *et al.* 2013) and that the ETC-1 protein promotes proteasome

processivity, which may be especially important during the OET when many proteins are

targeted for efficient proteasomal degradation.

 DISCUSSION

Proteolysis and translational repression limit the expression of RNA-binding proteins during
the OET

Many of the oocyte-expressed proteins degraded during the OET in *C. elegans* are RNA-binding

proteins that regulate translation (reviewed by Robertson and Lin 2013, 2015). These RNA-

binding proteins include LIN-41 and the Pumilio/FBF-family RNA-binding proteins PUF-3 and

PUF-11. PUF-3 and PUF-11 function redundantly, repress the translation of specific targets in

oocytes, and play important roles in germline stem cells and embryonic development in *C.*

elegans (Hubstenberger *et al.* 2012; Haupt *et al.* 2020). Since PUF-3 and PUF-11 appear to be

degraded soon after meiotic maturation (Figure 3), it seems likely that the maternal-effect

embryonic lethality caused by an absence of both proteins is due to mRNA translation defects in

developing oocytes. Interestingly, the timing and regulation of PUF-3 and PUF-11 degradation

appear to be temporally and molecularly coordinated with meiotic maturation and strongly

resemble what we have described for LIN-41 (Spike *et al.* 2018 and Figure 3). The resemblances

include a requirement for active CDK-1 (Figure 4), which is essential for entry into meiotic M-

phase (Boxem *et al.* 1999, Burrows *et al.* 2006), and independence from APC ubiquitin ligase

subunits that promote the subsequent metaphase-to-anaphase transition (Furuta *et al.* 2000;

Shakes *et al.* 2003). Additional connections between LIN-41, PUF-3, and PUF-11 include the

identification of each protein and mRNA in purified LIN-41 RNPs, and the identification of

LIN-41 and PUF-3/11 as factors important for the 3'UTR-mediated translational repression of a

common target, the Rbfox-related RNA-binding protein SPN-4 (Hubstenberger *et al.* 2012;

Tsukamoto *et al.* 2017). These disparate observations suggest that LIN-41, PUF-3, and PUF-11

might collaborate and function, at least in part, as components of a regulatory RNP to inhibit the

translation of SPN-4 in developing oocytes. Previously, we proposed that LIN-41 is inactivated

as a translational repressor prior to its degradation (Spike *et al.* 2018). Since *cdk-1(RNAi)* does

not reduce or eliminate SPN-4::GFP expression in early embryos (Tsukamoto *et al.* 2017), we

speculate that PUF-3 and PUF-11 are likewise inactivated prior to their degradation. Thus, we

suggest that the function of a LIN-41/PUF-3/PUF-11 RNP is down-regulated through both CDK-

1-dependent and -independent mechanisms near the end of oogenesis.

ETC-1, the APC, and proteasome processivity during the OET

Wang *et al.* (2013) identified and described ETC-1 (UB*E T*hree *C*), the *C. elegans* UBE3C

ortholog, as a HECT-type E3 ubiquitin ligase that promotes the degradation of the essential cell

cycle proteins IFY-1/Securin and CYB-1/Cyclin B1 during the OET. Degradation was monitored

using a GFP fusion protein (IFY-1::GFP or GFP::CYB-1), immunoprecipitation assays clearly

documented a specific interaction between the GFP fusion protein and ETC-1, and *in vitro*

assays confirmed that ETC-1 is able to interact with, and ubiquitylate, each target protein. These

findings were consistent at the time with the interpretation that ETC-1 functions as an E3

ubiquitin ligase. However, they are also compatible with our results that suggest instead that

ETC-1 functions as an E4 enzyme that mediates ubiquitin chain elongation for the processive

degradation of proteins already targeted for ubiquitin conjugation by E3 enzymes—a function

shown for Hul5 in budding yeast (Crosas *et al.* 2006). When combined with the observation that

etc-1(RNAi) enhanced the one-cell arrest phenotype of mutants with a compromised APC (e.g.,

*mat-1(ax161*ts*)*), the biochemical and cell biological observations of Wang *et al.* (2013) appeared

to support a simple and sensible model: that ETC-1 and the anaphase promoting complex target

the same *C. elegans* proteins (e.g., IFY-1 and CYB-1) for ubiquitination and degradation during

the OET. We revisited the genetic interaction between *etc-1* and the anaphase promoting

complex, at least in part, because our new results suggest that ETC-1 is not a substrate-specific

E3 ligase and make it seem unlikely that ETC-1 plays a prominent role in the degradation of

endogenous IFY-1 or CYB-1. Rather, our findings suggest that *etc-1* mutants have difficulty

degrading GFP-fusion proteins. The fact that *etc-1(tn2077)* null and *etc-1(gk5182)* loss-of-

function mutants exhibit minimal embryonic lethality is consistent with this idea. Using *etc-*

1(tn2077), a molecular null mutation that deletes the entire *etc-1* open reading frame, we

confirmed that the embryo lethal phenotype of *mat-1(ax161*ts*)* is substantially enhanced at 15 °C

in the absence of ETC-1. However, because the embryos produced by *mat-1(ax161ts); etc-*

1(tn2077) hermaphrodites are primarily multicellular, this genetic interaction may reflect a defect

in mitotic cell division rather than a defect in the OET.

The in vivo dynamics of GFP-fusion protein degradation in the absence of ETC-1

Our analyses of several different GFP fusion proteins, including GFP fusions with IFY-1 and

CYB-1, provide a clear explanation for the apparent perdurance of each protein in the absence of

ETC-1. It appears that the addition of a GFP moiety to several proteins that are rapidly degraded

during the OET, such as IFY-1, CYB-1, PUF-3, PUF-11, or LIN-41, creates fusion proteins that

are incompletely degraded in the absence of *etc-1* function. Incomplete degradation results in the

accumulation of a partial GFP-containing fragment in embryos that is easily confused for the

full-length GFP fusion protein when only fluorescence is examined. Evidence that the GFP

moiety is a determining factor is derived from the analysis of LIN-41 fusion proteins. LIN-41

fusion proteins containing one of three different fluorescent proteins, mSCARLET, DENDRA or

GFP, were examined and the only fusion protein that was incompletely degraded in an ETC-1-

dependent manner was GFP::LIN-41 (Figure 7E). Our experiments do not demonstrate, however,

that the fused portion of the *C. elegans* protein is unimportant for determining whether substrate

processivity is substantively reduced in the absence of ETC-1. For example, the GFP::ETC-1

fusion protein made by the loss-of-function *etc-1(tn1920)* allele may not be partially processed

(Figure 6E). Although it is tempting to speculate that this is because GFP::ETC-1 is not unstable

during the OET (Figure 6D), some of our other experiments indicate that fragments can be

generated even when proteins appear to be relatively stable. For example, RNP-8::GFP is

partially processed to a small extent in *etc-1* mutants even though it is not rapidly degraded

during the OET (Figures S6C and S8B). Likewise, the behaviors of PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP

and CYB-1::GFP in unmated females (Figure 7B) argue for a more nuanced view. It seems likely

that the proteasome helps degrade or "turn over" each GFP fusion protein to some extent in the

adult germlines of unmated females and hermaphrodites. However, because each GFP-tagged

protein is relatively stable prior to the OET, many fewer fragments are formed in females in the

absence of *etc-1* function. We suggest that the properties conferred by each fused *C. elegans*

protein likely explain why some GFP fusion proteins appear to be less sensitive to *etc-1* function

than others, even when both proteins are rapidly degraded during the OET (e.g.: IFY-1::GFP and

PUF-3::GFP in Figures 5 and 7). Consistent with this idea, sequences outside the GFP moiety

have been shown to be important for the Hul5-dependent proteolytic processing of a GFP-Pcl5

fusion protein in *S. cerevisiae* (Aviram and Kornitzer 2010), and it is becoming increasingly

clear that the method and type of substrate ubiquitination influences proteasome processivity

(Reichard *et al*. 2016; Bragança and Kraut 2020).

1019

Conclusion

1020

Our analysis of ETC-1 updates the literature to show that it plays a conserved role to promote

proteasome processivity. Proteasome processivity may be critically important during the OET

when many oocyte regulatory proteins are rapidly turned over. Our experiments also provide a

cautionary note on the need to analyze endogenous proteins where possible, utilize multiple

protein tags and, ideally, analyze proteins on western blots when studying protein degradation *in*

vivo. Fortunately, the ease and rapidity of genome editing continues to revolutionize the ability to

conduct such experiments in the *C. elegans* system (reviewed by Nance and Frøkjaer-Jensen

2019).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the two anonymous reviewers for constructive suggestions, including the suggestion

that we analyze an *etc-1* molecular null mutation. We are grateful to Bob Goldstein, Judith

Kimble, Geraldine Seydoux, and Marcus Vargas for providing strains or reagents. Some strains

were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by grant P40OD010440

from the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs. We also thank WormBase for

sequences and annotations. Gabriela Huelgas-Morales and Todd Starich provided helpful

suggestions during the course of this work. This work was supported by NIH grant GM144029

(to D.G.).

1038

LITERATURE CITED

Allen, A. K., J. E. Nesmith, and A. Golden, 2014 An RNAi-based suppressor screen identifies interactors of the Myt1 ortholog of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. G3 (Bethesda) 4: 2329–2343.

Allen, M. A., L. W. Hillier, R. H. Waterston, and T. Blumenthal, 2011 A global analysis of *C. elegans* trans-splicing. Genome Res 21: 255–264.

Arribere, J. A., R. T. Bell, B. X. Fu, K. L. Artiles, P. S. Hartman *et al.*, 2014 Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 198: 837–846.

Aviram, S., and D. Kornitzer, 2010 The ubiquitin ligase Hul5 promotes proteasomal processivity. Mol Cell Biol 30: 985–994.

Bachvarova, R., E. H. Davidson, V. G. Allfrey, and A. E. Mirsky, 1966 Activation of RNA synthesis associated with gastrulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 55: 358–365.

Blitz, I. L., and K. W. Y. Cho, 2021 Control of zygotic genome activation in *Xenopus*. Curr Top Dev Biol 145: 167–204.

Boxem, M., D. G. Srinivasan, and S. van den Heuvel, 1999 The *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene *ncc-1* encodes a cdc2-related kinase required for M phase in meiotic and mitotic cell divisions, but not for S phase. Development 126: 2227–2239.

Bragança, C. E., and D. A. Kraut, 2020 Mode of targeting to the proteasome determines GFP fate. J Biol Chem 295: 15892–15901.

Burrows, A. E., B. K. Sceurman, M. E. Kosinski, C. T. Richie, P. L. Sadler *et al.*, 2006 The *C. elegans* Myt1 ortholog is required for the proper timing of oocyte maturation. Development 133: 697–709.

Cao, W. X., A. Karaiskakis, S. Lin, S. Angers, and H. D. Lipshitz, 2022 The F-box protein Bard

(CG14317) targets the Smaug RNA-binding protein for destruction during the

Drosophila maternal-to-zygotic transition. Genetics 220: iyab177.

Cao, W. X., S. Kabelitz, M. Gupta, E. Yeung, S. Lin *et al.*, 2020 Precise temporal regulation of

post-transcriptional repressors is required for an orderly *Drosophila* maternal-to-zygotic

transition. Cell Rep 31: 107783.

Chang, H. M., N. J. Martinez, J. E. Thornton, J. P. Hagan, K. D. Nguyen *et al.*, 2012 Trim71

cooperates with microRNAs to repress Cdkn1a expression and promote embryonic stem

cell proliferation. Nat Commun 3: 923.

Chen, J., F. Lai, and L. Niswander, 2012 The ubiquitin ligase mLin41 temporally promotes

neural progenitor cell maintenance through FGF signaling. Genes Dev 26: 803–815.

Choi, W. J., M. W. Clark, J. X. Chen, and A. Y. Jong, 1990 The CDC4 gene product is

associated with the yeast nuclear skeleton. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 172: 1324–

1330.

Chu, B. W., K. M. Kovary, J. Guillaume, L. C. Chen, M. N. Teruel *et al.*, 2013 The E3 ubiquitin

ligase UBE3C enhances proteasome processivity by ubiquitinating partially proteolyzed

substrates. J Biol Chem 288: 34575–34587.

Chu, D. S., and D. C. Shakes, 2013 Spermatogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol 757: 171–203.

Chudakov, D. M., S. Lukyanov, and K. A. Lukyanov, 2007 Using photoactivatable fluorescent

protein Dendra2 to track protein movement. Biotechniques 42: 553–563.

Clegg, K. B., and L. Pikó, 1982 RNA synthesis and cytoplasmic polyadenylation in the one-cell

mouse embryo. Nature 295: 343–344.

Collins, G. A., and A. L. Goldberg, 2017 The logic of the 26S proteasome. Cell 169: 792–806.

Crosas, B., J. Hanna, D. S. Kirkpatrick, D. P. Zhang, Y. Tone *et al.*, 2006 Ubiquitin chains are

remodeled at the proteasome by opposing ubiquitin ligase and deubiquitinating activities.

Cell 127: 1401–1413.

Cuevas, E., A. Rybak-Wolf, A. M. Rohde, D. T. Nguyen, and F. G. Wulczyn, 2015

Lin41/Trim71 is essential for mouse development and specifically expressed in postnatal

ependymal cells of the brain. Front Cell Dev Biol 3: 20.

Davis, P., M. Zarowiecki, V. Arnaboldi, A. Becerra, S. *et al.*, 2022 WormBase in 2022-data,

processes, and tools for analyzing *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics in press.

de la Cova, C. and I. Greenwald, 2012 SEL-10/Fbw7-dependent negative feedback regulation of

LIN-45/Braf signaling in *C. elegans* via a conserved phosphodegron. Genes Dev 26:

2524–2535.

Dejima, K., S. Hori, S. Iwata, Y. Suehiro, S. Yoshina *et al.*, 2018 An aneuploidy-free and

structurally defined balancer chromosome toolkit for *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cell Rep

22: 232–241.

Deshaies, R. J., and J. E. Ferrell, 2001 Multisite phosphorylation and the countdown to S phase.

Cell 107: 819–822.

Dickinson, D. J., A. M. Pani, J. K. Heppert, C. D. Higgins, and B. Goldstein, 2015 Streamlined

genome engineering with a self-excising drug selection cassette. Genetics 200: 1035–

1049.

Dikic, I., 2017 Proteasomal and autophagic degradation systems. Annu Rev Biochem 86: 193–

224.

Ding, M., D. Chao, G. Wang, and K. Shen, 2007 Spatial regulation of an E3 ubiquitin ligase

directs selective synapse elimination. Science 317: 947–951.

Dorfman, M., J. E. Gomes, S. O'Rourke, and B. Bowerman, 2009 Using RNA interference to identify specific modifiers of a temperature-sensitive, embryonic-lethal mutation in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* ubiquitin-like Nedd8 protein modification pathway E1-activating gene *rfl-1*. Genetics 182: 1035–1049.

Ecsedi, M., M. Rausch, and H. Großhans, 2015 The *let-7* microRNA directs vulval development through a single target. Dev Cell 32: 335–344.

Edgar, L. G., N. Wolf, and W. B. Wood, 1994 Early transcription in *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos. Development 120: 443–451.

Fang, N. N., A. H. Ng, V. Measday, and T. Mayor, 2011 Hul5 HECT ubiquitin ligase plays a major role in the ubiquitylation and turnover of cytosolic misfolded proteins. Nat Cell Biol 13: 1344–1352.

Finley, D., 2009 Recognition and processing of ubiquitin-protein conjugates by the proteasome. Annu Rev Biochem 78: 477–513.

Furey, C. G., J. Choi, S. C. Jin, X. Zeng, A. T. Timberlake *et al.*, 2018 De Novo mutation in genes regulating neural stem cell fate in human congenital hydrocephalus. Neuron 99: 302–314.

Furuta, T., S. Tuck, J. Kirchner, B. Koch, R. Auty *et al.*, 2000 EMB-30: an APC4 homologue required for metaphase-to-anaphase transitions during meiosis and mitosis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol Biol Cell 11: 1401–1419.

Gallo, C. M., J. T. Wang, F. Motegi, and G. Seydoux, 2010 Cytoplasmic partitioning of P granule components is not required to specify the germline in *C. elegans*. Science 330: 1685–1689.

Gottlieb, C. D., A. C. S. Thompson, A. Ordureau, J. W. Harper, and R. R. Kopito, 2019 Acute

unfolding of a single protein immediately stimulates recruitment of ubiquitin protein

ligase E3C (UBE3C) to 26S proteasomes. J Biol Chem 294: 16511–16524.

Govindan, J. A., H. Cheng, J. E. Harris, and D. Greenstein, 2006 G$\alpha_{o/i}$ and Gα_s signaling

function in parallel with the MSP/Eph receptor to control meiotic diapause in *C. elegans*.

Curr Biol 16: 1257–1268.

Green, R. A., A. Audhya, A. Pozniakovsky, A. Dammermann, H. Pemble *et al.*, 2008 Expression

and imaging of fluorescent proteins in the *C. elegans* gonad and early embryo. Methods

Cell Biol 85: 179–218.

Griffin, E. E., D. J. Odde, and G. Seydoux, 2011 Regulation of the MEX-5 gradient by a

spatially segregated kinase/phosphatase cycle. Cell 146: 955–968.

Gurskaya, N. G., V. V. Verkhusha, A. S. Shcheglov, D. B. Staroverov, T. V. Chepurnykh *et al.*,

2006 Engineering of a monomeric green-to-red photoactivatable fluorescent protein

induced by blue light. Nat Biotechnol 24: 461–465.

Hamatani, T., M. G. Carter, A. A. Sharov, and M. S. Ko, 2004 Dynamics of global gene

expression changes during mouse preimplantation development. Dev Cell 6: 117–131.

Harrison, M. M., and M. B. Eisen, 2015 Transcriptional activation of the zygotic genome in

Drosophila. Curr Top Dev Biol 113: 85–112.

Haupt, K. A., K. T. Law, A. L. Enright, C. R. Kanzler, H. Shin *et al.*, 2020 A PUF hub drives

self-renewal in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline stem cells. Genetics 214: 147–161.

Herrera, R. A., K. Kiontke, and D. H. Fitch, 2016 Makorin ortholog LEP-2 regulates LIN-28

stability to promote the juvenile-to-adult transition in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.

Development 143: 799–809.

Hubbard, E. J., G. Wu, J. Kitajewski, and I. Greenwald, 1997 *sel-10*, a negative regulator of *lin-*

12 activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, encodes a member of the CDC4 family of proteins. Genes Dev 11: 3182–3193.

Hubstenberger, A., C. Cameron, R. Shtofman, S. Gutman, and T. C. Evans, 2012 A network of PUF proteins and Ras signaling promote mRNA repression and oogenesis in *C. elegans*. Dev Biol 366: 218–231.

Huelgas-Morales, G., and D. Greenstein, 2018 Control of oocyte meiotic maturation in *C. elegans*. Semin Cell Dev Biol 84: 90–99.

Huelgas-Morales, G., C. G. Silva-García, L. S. Salinas, D. Greenstein, and R. E. Navarro, 2016 The stress granule RNA-binding protein TIAR-1 protects female germ cells from heat shock in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. G3 (Bethesda) 6: 1031–1047.

Jan, E., C. K. Motzny, L. E. Graves, and E. B. Goodwin, 1999 The STAR protein, GLD-1, is a translational regulator of sexual identity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. EMBO J 18: 258–269.

Jin, S. C., W. Dong, A. J. Kundishora, S. Panchagnula, A. Moreno-De-Luca *et al.*, 2020 Exome sequencing implicates genetic disruption of prenatal neuro-gliogenesis in sporadic congenital hydrocephalus. Nat Med 26: 1754–1765.

Kanamoto, T., K. Terada, H. Yoshikawa, and T. Furukawa, 2006 Cloning and regulation of the vertebrate homologue of *lin-41* that functions as a heterochronic gene in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Dyn 235: 1142–1149.

Kelly, W. G., S. Xu, M. K. Montgomery, and A. Fire, 1997 Distinct requirements for somatic and germline expression of a generally expressed *Caernorhabditis elegans* gene. Genetics 146: 227–238.

Khmelinskii, A., M. Meurer, C. T. Ho, B. Besenbeck, J. Füller *et al.*, 2016 Incomplete

proteasomal degradation of green fluorescent proteins in the context of tandem

fluorescent protein timers. Mol Biol Cell 27: 360–370.

Kim, K. W., T. L. Wilson, and J. Kimble, 2010 GLD-2/RNP-8 cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase

is a broad-spectrum regulator of the oogenesis program. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:

17445–17450.

Kipreos, E. T., 2005 Ubiquitin-mediated pathways in *C. elegans*. WormBook: 1–24.

Kisielnicka, E., R. Minasaki, and C. R. Eckmann, 2018 MAPK signaling couples SCF-mediated

degradation of translational regulators to oocyte meiotic progression. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A 115: E2772–E2781.

Kitagawa, R., E. Law, L. Tang, and A. M. Rose, 2002 The Cdc20 homolog, FZY-1, and its

interacting protein, IFY-1, are required for proper chromosome segregation in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Curr Biol 12: 2118–2123.

Kloosterman, W. P., E. Wienholds, R. F. Ketting, and R. H. Plasterk, 2004 Substrate

requirements for *let-7* function in the developing zebrafish embryo. Nucleic Acids Res

32: 6284–6291.

Kotani, T., K. Maehata, and N. Takei, 2017 Regulation of translationally repressed mRNAs in

zebrafish and mouse oocytes. Results Probl Cell Differ 63: 297–324.

Kuo, C. L., and A. L. Goldberg, 2017 Ubiquitinated proteins promote the association of

proteasomes with the deubiquitinating enzyme Usp14 and the ubiquitin ligase Ube3c.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: E3404–E3413.

Lambert, T. J., 2019 FPbase: a community-editable fluorescent protein database. Nat Methods

16: 277–278.

Latham, K. E., J. I. Garrels, C. Chang, and D. Solter, 1991 Quantitative analysis of protein

synthesis in mouse embryos. I. Extensive reprogramming at the one- and two-cell stages. Development 112: 921–932.

Lau, N. C., L. P. Lim, E. G. Weinstein, and D. P. Bartel, 2001 An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science 294: 858–862.

Lin, Y. C., L. C. Hsieh, M. W. Kuo, J. Yu, H. H. Kuo *et al.*, 2007 Human TRIM71 and its nematode homologue are targets of *let-7* microRNA and its zebrafish orthologue is essential for development. Mol Biol Evol 24: 2525–2534.

Liu, J., S. Vasudevan, and E. T. Kipreos, 2004 CUL-2 and ZYG-11 promote meiotic anaphase II and the proper placement of the anterior-posterior axis in *C. elegans*. Development 131: 3513–3525.

Liu, Q., X. Chen, M. K. Novak, S. Zhang, and W. Hu, 2021 Repressing Ago2 mRNA translation by Trim71 maintains pluripotency through inhibiting *let-7* microRNAs. Elife 10: e66288.

Lorenz, S., 2018 Structural mechanisms of HECT-type ubiquitin ligases. Biol Chem 399: 127–145.

Luong, X. G., and M. Conti, 2019 RNA binding protein networks and translational regulation in oocytes. In *Human Reproductive and Prenatal Genetics*, edited by P. C. K. Leung and J. Qiao. Academic Press, Cambridge.

Maller Schulman, B. R., X. Liang, C. Stahlhut, C. DelConte, G. Stefani *et al.*, 2008 The *let-7* microRNA target gene, *Mlin41/Trim71* is required for mouse embryonic survival and neural tube closure. Cell Cycle 7: 3935–3942.

Martínez-Noël, G., J. T. Galligan, M. E. Sowa, V. Arndt, T. M. Overton *et al.*, 2012 Identification and proteomic analysis of distinct UBE3A/E6AP protein complexes. Mol Cell Biol 32: 3095–3106.

Marín, I., 2018 Origin and evolution of fungal HECT ubiquitin ligases. Sci Rep 8: 6419.

Matsuura, R., T. Ashikawa, Y. Nozaki, and D. Kitagawa, 2016 LIN-41 inactivation leads to

delayed centrosome elimination and abnormal chromosome behavior during female

meiosis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol Biol Cell 27: 799–811.

McCarter, J., B. Bartlett, T. Dang, and T. Schedl, 1999 On the control of oocyte meiotic

maturation and ovulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 205: 111–128.

McNally, K., A. Audhya, K. Oegema, and F. J. McNally, 2006 Katanin controls mitotic and

meiotic spindle length. J Cell Biol 175: 881–891.

Miller, M. A., V. Q. Nguyen, M.-H. Lee, M. Kosinski, T. Schedl *et al.*, 2001 A sperm

cytoskeletal protein that signals oocyte meiotic maturation and ovulation. Science 291:

2144–2147.

Mitschka, S., T. Ulas, T. Goller, K. Schneider, A. Egert *et al.*, 2015 Co-existence of intact

stemness and priming of neural differentiation programs in mES cells lacking Trim71.

Sci Rep 5: 11126.

Nance, J., and C. Frøkjær-Jensen, 2019 The *Caenorhabditis elegans* transgenic toolbox. Genetics

212: 959–990.

Newport, J., and M. Kirschner, 1982a A major developmental transition in early *Xenopus*

embryos: I. Characterization and timing of cellular changes at the midblastula stage. Cell

30: 675–686.

Newport, J., and M. Kirschner, 1982b A major developmental transition in early *Xenopus*

embryos: II. Control of the onset of transcription. Cell 30: 687–696.

Nishi, Y., and R. Lin, 2005 DYRK2 and GSK-3 phosphorylate and promote the timely

degradation of OMA-1, a key regulator of the oocyte-to-embryo transition in *C. elegans*.

Dev Biol 288: 139–149.

O'Farrell, F., S. S. Esfahani, Y. Engström, and P. Kylsten, 2008 Regulation of the *Drosophila* *lin-41* homologue *dappled* by *let-7* reveals conservation of a regulatory mechanism within the LIN-41 subclade. Dev Dyn 237: 196–208.

Pritchard, D. K., and G. Schubiger, 1996 Activation of transcription in *Drosophila* embryos is a gradual process mediated by the nucleocytoplasmic ratio. Genes Dev 10: 1131–1142.

Redemann, S., S. Schloissnig, S. Ernst, A. Pozniakowsky, S. Ayloo *et al.*, 2011 Codon adaptation-based control of protein expression in *C. elegans*. Nat Methods 8: 250–252.

Reichard, E. L., G. G. Chirico, W. J. Dewey, N. D. Nassif, K. E. Bard *et al.*, 2016 Substrate ubiquitination controls the unfolding ability of the proteasome. J Biol Chem 291: 18547–18561.

Reinhart, B. J., F. J. Slack, M. Basson, A. E. Pasquinelli, J. C. Bettinger *et al.*, 2000 The 21-nucleotide *let-7* RNA regulates developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature 403: 901–906.

Robertson, S., and R. Lin, 2013 The oocyte-to-embryo transition. Adv Exp Med Biol 757: 351–372.

Robertson, S., and R. Lin, 2015 The maternal-to-zygotic transition in *C. elegans*. Curr Top Dev Biol 113: 1–42.

Rose, K. L., V. P. Winfrey, L. H. Hoffman, D. H. Hall, T. Furuta *et al.*, 1997 The POU gene *ceh-18* promotes gonadal sheath cell differentiation and function required for meiotic maturation and ovulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 192: 59–77.

Rosu, S., and O. Cohen-Fix, 2017 Live-imaging analysis of germ cell proliferation in the *C. elegans* adult supports a stochastic model for stem cell proliferation. Dev Biol 423: 93–

1269   100.

1270   Rosu, S., and O. Cohen-Fix, 2020 Tracking germline stem cell dynamics *in vivo* in *C. elegans*

1271   using photoconversion. Methods Mol Biol 2150: 11–23.

1272   Rybak, A., H. Fuchs, K. Hadian, L. Smirnova, E. A. Wulczyn *et al.*, 2009 The *let-7* target gene

1273   mouse *lin-41* is a stem cell specific E3 ubiquitin ligase for the miRNA pathway protein

1274   Ago2. Nat Cell Biol 11: 1411–1420.

1275   Sarov, M., J. I. Murray, K. Schanze, A. Pozniakovski, W. Niu *et al.*, 2012 A genome-scale

1276   resource for *in vivo* tag-based protein function exploration in *C. elegans*. Cell 150: 855–

1277   866.

1278   Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri, 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

1279   image analysis. Nat Methods 9: 671–675.

1280   Seydoux, G., and A. Fire, 1994 Soma-germline asymmetry in the distributions of embryonic

1281   RNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Development 120: 2823–2834.

1282   Sha, Q. Q., X. X. Dai, Y. Dang, F. Tang, J. Liu *et al.*, 2017 A MAPK cascade couples maternal

1283   mRNA translation and degradation to meiotic cell cycle progression in mouse oocytes.

1284   Development 144: 452–463.

1285   Shakes, D. C., P. L. Sadler, J. M. Schumacher, M. Abdolrasulnia, and A. Golden, 2003

1286   Developmental defects observed in hypomorphic anaphase-promoting complex mutants

1287   are linked to cell cycle abnormalities. Development 130: 1605–1620.

1288   Shirayama, M., M. C. Soto, T. Ishidate, S. Kim, K. Nakamura *et al.*, 2006 The conserved kinases

1289   CDK-1, GSK-3, KIN-19, and MBK-2 promote OMA-1 destruction to regulate the

1290   oocyte-to-embryo transition in *C. elegans*. Curr Biol 16: 47–55.

Singh, S., and J. Sivaraman, 2020 Crystal structure of HECT domain of UBE3C E3 ligase and its

ubiquitination activity. Biochem J 477: 905–923.

Slack, F. J., M. Basson, Z. Liu, V. Ambros, H. R. Horvitz *et al.*, 2000 The *lin-41* RBCC gene

acts in the *C. elegans* heterochronic pathway between the *let-7* regulatory RNA and the

LIN-29 transcription factor. Mol Cell 5: 659–669.

Spieth, J., G. Brooke, S. Kuersten, K. Lea, and T. Blumenthal, 1993 Operons in *C. elegans*:

polycistronic mRNA precursors are processed by trans-splicing of SL2 to downstream

coding regions. Cell 73: 521–532.

Spike, C. A., D. Coetzee, C. Eichten, X. Wang, D. Hansen *et al.*, 2014a The TRIM-NHL protein

LIN-41 and the OMA RNA-binding proteins antagonistically control the prophase-to-

metaphase transition and growth of *Caenorhabditis elegans* oocytes. Genetics 198: 1535–

1558.

Spike, C. A., D. Coetzee, Y. Nishi, T. Guven-Ozkan, M. Oldenbroek *et al.*, 2014b Translational

control of the oogenic program by components of OMA ribonucleoprotein particles in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 198: 1513–1533.

Spike, C. A., G. Huelgas-Morales, T. Tsukamoto, and D. Greenstein, 2018 Multiple mechanisms

inactivate the LIN-41 RNA-binding protein to ensure a robust oocyte-to-embryo

transition in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 210: 1011–1037.

Starostina, N. G., J. M. Lim, M. Schvarzstein, L. Lim, A. M. Spence *et al.*, 2007 A CUL-2

ubiquitin ligase containing three FEM proteins degrades TRA-1 to regulate *C. elegans*

sex determination. Dev Cell 13: 127–139.

Stitzel, M. L., J. Pellettieri, and G. Seydoux, 2006 The *C. elegans* DYRK kinase MBK-2 marks

oocyte proteins for degradation in response to meiotic maturation. Curr Biol 16: 56–62.

Teixeira, F. K., and R. Lehmann, 2019 Translational control during developmental transitions.

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 11: a032987.

The *C. elegans* Deletion Mutant Consortium, 2012 Large-scale screening for targeted knockouts in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. G3 (Bethesda) 2: 1415–1425.

Timmons, L., and A. Fire, 1998 Specific interference by ingested dsRNA. Nature 395: 854.

Tocchini, C., J. J. Keusch, S. B. Miller, S. Finger, H. Gut *et al.*, 2014 The TRIM-NHL protein LIN-41 controls the onset of developmental plasticity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet 10: e1004533.

Torres-Fernández, L. A., J. Emich, Y. Port, S. Mitschka, M. Wöste *et al.*, 2021a *TRIM71* deficiency causes germ cell loss during mouse embryogenesis and is associated with human male infertility. Front Cell Dev Biol 9: 658966.

Torres-Fernández, L. A., S. Mitschka, T. Ulas, S. Weise, K. Dahm *et al.*, 2021b The stem cell-specific protein TRIM71 inhibits maturation and activity of the pro-differentiation miRNA *let-7* via two independent molecular mechanisms. RNA 27: 805–828.

Tsien, R. Y., 1998 The green fluorescent protein. Annu Rev Biochem 67: 509–544.

Tsukamoto, T., M. D. Gearhart, C. A. Spike, G. Huelgas-Morales, M. Mews *et al.*, 2017 LIN-41 and OMA ribonucleoprotein complexes mediate a translational repression-to-activation switch controlling oocyte meiotic maturation and the oocyte-to-embryo transition in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 206: 2007–2039.

van der Voet, M., M. A. Lorson, D. G. Srinivasan, K. L. Bennett, and S. van den Heuvel, 2009 *C. elegans* mitotic cyclins have distinct as well as overlapping functions in chromosome segregation. Cell Cycle 8: 4091–4102.

Wang, R., Z. Kaul, C. Ambardekar, T. G. Yamamoto, K. Kavdia *et al.*, 2013 HECT-E3 ligase ETC-1 regulates securin and cyclin B1 cytoplasmic abundance to promote timely

anaphase during meiosis in *C. elegans*. Development 140: 2149–2159.

Ward, S., Y. Argon, and G. A. Nelson, 1981 Sperm morphogenesis in wild-type and fertilization-

defective mutants of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J Cell Biol 91: 26–44.

Welcker, M., and B. E. Clurman, 2008 FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the

crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 83–93.

Welcker, M., A. Orian, J. E. Grim, J. A. Grim, R. N. Eisenman *et al.*, 2004 A nucleolar isoform

of the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase regulates c-Myc and cell size. Curr Biol 14: 1852–1857.

Worringer, K. A., T. A. Rand, Y. Hayashi, S. Sami, K. Takahashi *et al.*, 2014 The *let-7*/LIN-41

pathway regulates reprogramming to human induced pluripotent stem cells by controlling

expression of prodifferentiation genes. Cell Stem Cell 14: 40–52.

Yoon, S., I. Kawasaki, and Y. H. Shim, 2017 The B-type cyclin CYB-1 maintains the proper

position and number of centrosomes during spermatogenesis in. J Cell Sci 130: 2722–

2735.

You, J., and C. M. Pickart, 2001 A HECT domain E3 enzyme assembles novel polyubiquitin

chains. J Biol Chem 276: 19871–19878.

You, J., M. Wang, T. Aoki, T. A. Tamura, and C. M. Pickart, 2003 Proteolytic targeting of

transcriptional regulator TIP120B by a HECT domain E3 ligase. J Biol Chem 278:

23369–23375.

Zavortink, M., L. N. Rutt, S. Dzitoyeva, J. C. Henriksen, C. Barrington *et al.*, 2020 The E2

Marie Kondo and the CTLH E3 ligase clear deposited RNA binding proteins during the

maternal-to-zygotic transition. Elife 9: e53889.

Zevian, S. C., and J. L. Yanowitz, 2014 Methodological considerations for heat shock of the

nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Methods 68: 450–457.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. SEL-10 is expressed in oocytes and embryos and promotes the degradation of

mSCARLET::LIN-41 during the OET. mSCARLET::LIN-41 (A, B, D, F, I, and L), GFP::SEL-

10 (C and H) and SEL-10::GFP (E and K) fluorescence in *C. elegans* germlines (solid outlines)

and early embryos (dashed outlines). mSCARLET::LIN-41 is rapidly degraded after oogenesis in

the wild type (A) and *sel-10(tn1875[gfp::3xflag::sel-10])* (D) hermaphrodites but persists in

early embryos in the *sel-10(ok1632)* deletion (B) and *sel-10(tn1817[sel-10::gfp::3xflag])* (F)

hermaphrodites. Embryos with an increased amount of mSCARLET::LIN-41 relative to *sel-*

10(+) embryos are outlined in magenta (B and F). Magnified image insets of the selected regions

(C–F) are shown for GFP::SEL-10 (G–I) and for SEL-10::GFP (J–L), respectively. Differential

interference contrast microscopic images are shown (G and J) to highlight germ cell nucleoli

(yellow arrows). The circled nucleoli (G–L) lie in the middle focal plane of a germ cell nucleus,

illustrating that functional GFP::SEL-10 is enriched in the nucleoplasm but largely excluded

from nucleoli (G–I). Non-functional SEL-10::GFP is also excluded from nucleoli but is not

enriched in the nucleoplasm relative to the cytoplasm at this stage of oocyte development (J–L).

mSCARLET::LIN-41 is exclusively cytoplasmic and excluded from nuclei (I and L). Landmark

structures such as the distal mitotic region of the germline (arrow in A), the proximal-most

oocytes (arrowheads in A) and the spermatheca (sp), are indicated for reference. Scale bars,

100 μm (A–F) and 10 μm (G–L), respectively.

1380

Figure 2. SEL-10 degrades oocyte-expressed DENDRA::LIN-41. Red and green channel

fluorescence (A–C and F–H) in DENDRA::LIN-41-expressing *sel-10(ok1632)* (A–E) and *sel-*

10(+) (F–J) hermaphrodites. The positions of oocytes (solid outlines), embryos (dashed outlines)

and spermathecae (sp) are indicated for reference. Images were collected either before

photoactivation (A and F), immediately after photoactivation of the −1 oocyte (arrowheads, B

and G) or after a 100-min recovery period (C–E and H–J). Embryos with above-background

levels of red channel fluorescence are outlined in magenta; the embryo that most likely

developed from the photoactivated −1 oocyte is indicated with an arrowhead (C and H).

Magnified red channel fluorescence (D and I) and DIC images (E and J) of this embryo are

shown. Scale bars, 50 μm (A–C and F–H) and 20 μm (D, E, I, and J).

1391

Figure 3. PUF-11::GFP and PUF-3::GFP are degraded during the OET. (A–F) PUF-11::GFP (A),

PUF-3::GFP (D and G) and mSCARLET::LIN-41 (B and E) fluorescence in *C. elegans*

germlines (solid outlines) and early embryos (dashed outlines). The arrow in (A and D) indicates

the distal mitotic region of each germline. Merged images of (A and B) and (D and E) are shown

in (C) and (F), respectively. (G–J) Images of *itIs37[pie-1p::mcherry::histoneH2B::pie-1 3'UTR,*

unc-119(+)] puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag]) oocytes and embryos reveal that PUF-3::GFP (G)

is strongly reduced in a meiosis I stage embryo (MI) relative to the −1 oocyte. Chromosomes are

marked with mCHERRY:HISTONE (H), and the oocyte-derived chromosomes in this MI

embryo appear to be aligning on the metaphase plate. PUF-3::GFP is at background levels in the

adjacent post-meiotic 1-cell embryo (pn); this embryo has two polar bodies and maternal and

paternal pronuclei at opposite ends of the embryo. Merged and DIC images of the same oocytes

and embryos are shown in (I) and (J), respectively. The fluorescent images in this series (G–I)

are 3D projections of a Z-stack. The DIC image (J) shows a single focal plane. Embryos with

slightly higher levels of GFP and mSCARLET relative to older embryos are outlined in green

and magenta, respectively (D, E, and G–J). Scale bars, 100 μm (A–F) and 50 μm (G–J). (K)

PUF-3::GFP levels decrease after meiotic resumption. Mean GFP fluorescence was measured in

images of *itIs37[pie-1p::mcherry::histoneH2B::pie-1 3'UTR] puf-3(tn1820)* oocytes and

embryos and background-corrected. Fluorescence intensity is expressed relative to the amount of

GFP fluorescence in the oldest oocyte with an intact nucleus; this is the −2 oocyte if the −1

oocyte has initiated nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD) or is starting to move into the

spermatheca (early ovulation stage). Embryonic meiotic stage (e.g., metaphase I, telophase I and

metaphase II) was classified based on the arrangement and organization of maternal

chromosomes.

Figure 4. The elimination of PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP requires active CDK-1 but does not

require SEL-10. PUF-3::GFP (A–D) and PUF-11::GFP (E–H) fluorescence in *C. elegans*

germlines (solid outlines) and early embryos (dashed outlines) in *cdk-1(RNAi)* (A and E), *wee-*

1.3(RNAi) (B and F), *lon-3(e2175)* (C and G) and *lon-3(e2175) sel-10(ar41)* (D and H)

hermaphrodites. For each GFP fusion protein, the images of the *lon-3(e2175)* and *lon-3(e2175)*

sel-10(ar41) animals were collected using the same settings (e.g., C and D). Embryos that appear

GFP-positive are outlined in green. The slight reduction of PUF-3/11::GFP levels in more

proximally located (i.e., older) arrested embryos in the uterus (A and E) might reflect CDK-1-

independent turnover or it could result from residual CDK-1 activity following the RNAi

treatment. The position of the spermatheca (sp) is indicated for reference. Arrowheads indicate

the most proximal oocyte in each *wee-1.3(RNAi)* gonad that is strongly GFP-positive. (I) This

graph illustrates the relative position of the most proximal mSCARLET or GFP-positive oocyte

in 12 different *lin-41(tn1892[mScarlet::3xflag::lin-41]); puf-11(tn1824[puf-11::gfp::3xflag]);*

wee-1.3(RNAi) animals. *wee-1.3(RNAi)* animals with well-formed and organized oocytes were

scored. Oocyte position was measured relative to other oocytes and the spermatheca (position 0).

Each animal is represented by a different symbol (e.g., filled diamond or open circle). The

selection of a filled or open symbol is arbitrary and made to depict the 12 animals scored with six

symbol shapes. The color of the symbol represents the fusion protein that was scored:

mSCARLET::LIN-41 in magenta and PUF-3::GFP in green. The vertical bar indicates the

median. Scale bars, 50 μm (A–H).

1436

Figure 5. *etc-1(gk5182)* perturbs the degradation of GFP-fusion proteins during the OET. PUF-

3::GFP (A and B), PUF-11::GFP (C and D), mSCARLET::LIN-41 (E and F), CYB-1::GFP (G

and H), IFY-1::GFP (I and J) and GFP$_F$::LIN-41 (K and L) fluorescence in young *etc-1(+)* (A, C,

E, G, I, and K) and *etc-1(gk5182)* (B, D, F, H, J, and L) embryos. In each image, the position of

the spermatheca (sp) is indicated for reference. Young embryos in the uterus are indicated with

dashed outlines that highlight individual embryos (A–H, K, and L) or a bracket that indicates a

region containing multiple post-meiotic embryos (I and J). GFP-positive embryos are indicated

in green (A–D and G–L) while mSCARLET-positive embryos are indicated in magenta (E and

F). For each fusion protein, these embryos contain detectably more fluorescence than the *etc-*

1(+) embryos indicated in white. CYB-1::GFP re-accumulates to relatively high levels in older

etc-1(+) embryos (G, arrowhead; this embryo is no longer in the uterus) and therefore has a

distinctly different expression pattern than the other OET-degraded fusion proteins. Scale bars,

50 μm.

Figure 6. ETC-1 is expressed in the *C. elegans* germline. (A) *etc-1* alleles and the exon-intron

structure of *etc-1*, the downstream gene in operon CEOP2380. Exons are shown as open boxes;

the region of exon 1 colored in pink would encode 20 additional amino acids if translation is

initiated at ATG #1, the start codon immediately adjacent to the annotated SL1/SL2 trans-splice

site (orange), instead of ATG #2. Other colors indicate the 3' UTR of the upstream gene eIF-2B

(gray) and the regions that encode the conserved IQ (purple) and HECT (yellow) domains of

ETC-1. (B) The N-terminus of ETC-1 aligned with the N-terminus of the human ortholog

UBE3C; the amino acids predicted to be added to ETC-1 are in pink. This is the N-terminal

portion of a full-length protein alignment generated by EMBOSS Needle with default alignment

parameters. ETC-1 and UBE3C were 26% identical or 46% similar overall with 16% of the

alignment gapped. (C and D) GFP::ETC-1 fluorescence in *C. elegans* germlines (solid outlines)

and early embryos (dashed outlines). GFP is N-terminal to either the first (C, *etc-*

1(tn1919[gfp::3xflag::etc-1 #1])) or second (D, *etc-1(tn1920[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#2])*) methionine

of ETC-1. Scale bars, 100 μm. (E) A western blot of GFP::ETC-1 (~150 kDa, see main text) and

PUF-3::GFP-expressing strains with an anti-FLAG antibody that recognizes both fusion proteins.

GFP::FLAG fragments derived from PUF-3::GFP strongly accumulate in *etc-1(gk5182)* and *etc-*

1(tn1920[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#2]) hermaphrodites.

1467

Figure 7. GFP-tagged fusion proteins are partially proteolyzed in *etc-1(gk5182)* animals. (A–E)

Western blots with a FLAG-specific primary antibody. Three FLAG tags are located at the

extreme C-terminus of PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP, CYB-1::GFP and IFY-1::GFP and in-

between LIN-41 and each fluorescent protein (mSCARLET, DENDRA, or GFP). The predicted

molecular mass of each full-length fusion protein (vertical line) is ~89 kDa for each PUF::GFP

fusion protein (A and B), 73 kDa for CYB-1::GFP (A and B), 61 kDa for IFY-1::GFP (C), and

154–156 kDa for each LIN-41 fusion protein (D and E), and. The same set of molecular weight

markers (horizontal lines) were used in (A) and (B). A partially proteolyzed GFP::FLAG-

containing fragment >32 kDa (arrow) accumulates in the *etc-1(gk5182)* animals that express a

GFP fusion protein (A, B, C, and E). The protein lysates were made from adult hermaphrodites

(A, C, D, and E), adult females (B) or adult males (B), as indicated.

1479

1480

Figure S1. Alleles used in this study. (A) The exon-intron structures and allele names of the *sel-*

10 alleles used in this study. Exons are shown as open boxes. Colored regions of the *sel-10* exons

encode the conserved F box (orange) and 7 WD repeat (gray) domains found in SEL-10. The

GFP and FLAG-encoding regions added to the GFP-tagged alleles are colored in green and cyan,

respectively. (B) The exon-intron structures and allele names of the *lin-41* alleles used in this

study. Colored regions of the *lin-41* exons encode the conserved RING (yellow), B-box (orange),

BBC (blue), Ig/filamin (purple) and NHL repeats (red) domains found in LIN-41. Fusion protein

tags include GFP (green), S tag (gray), mSCARLET-I (brick red), FLAG tag (cyan) and

DENDRA (green to red color gradient). (C) The exon-intron structures and allele names of *puf-*

3::gfp::3xflag, *puf-11::gfp::3xflag*, *cyb-1::gfp::3xflag* and *rnp-8::gfp::3xflag*. The Pumilio-like

repeats of PUF-3 and PUF-11 are in yellow. The Cyclin-N and Cyclin-C motifs of CYB-1 are in

blue and orange, respectively. The RNA recognition motif (RRM) present in RNP-8 is in purple.

As in prior panels, the GFP and FLAG protein tags are in green and cyan, respectively.

1494

Figure S2. GLD-1 is degraded normally in *sel-10(tn1875[gfp::3xflag::sel-10])* animals.

Dissected wild-type (A and C), *sel-10(tn1875[gfp::3xflag::sel-10])* (B) and *sel-10(tn1816[sel-*

10::gfp::3xflag]) (D) gonads (solid outlines) that have been fixed and stained with an anti-GLD-

1 antibody. Each gonad is oriented so that the distal mitotic region is on the left and the proximal

oocyte-containing region is on the right. Two different wild-type gonads are shown because

slightly different staining and imaging conditions were used for each experiment; wild-type

image A pairs with *sel-10(tn1875[gfp::3xflag::sel-10])* (A-B) and wild-type image C pairs with

sel-10(tn1816[sel-10::gfp::3xflag]) (C and D). Scale bars, 50 μm.

Figure S3. The elimination of PUF-3/11::GFP proteins during the OET. (A–C) GFP expression

in *puf-11(tn1824[puf-11::gfp::3xflag])* (A), *puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag])* (B) and *puf-*

11(tn1824); puf-3(tn1820) (C) animals. GFP-positive embryos are outlined in green. The

position of the spermatheca (sp) is indicated (A). All images were collected using the same

settings. (D and E) Quantification of GFP fluorescence levels in arbitrary units across the distal

and proximal gonad for the three genotypes analyzed: *puf-11(tn1824[puf-11::gfp::3xflag])*

(magenta); *puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag])* (blue); and *puf-11(tn1824); puf-3(tn1820)* (black).

These quantitative data indicate that PUF-11::GFP accounts for the expression in the distal

gonad, whereas both PUF-11::GFP and PUF-3::GFP are expressed at similar levels in oocytes.

Scale bars, 100 μm.

Figure S4. Degradation of PUF-3::GFP and PUF-11::GFP during the OET do not require

function of an Skp, Cullin, F-box (SCF)-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase or the anaphase-

promoting complex. PUF-3::GFP (A–D) or PUF-11::GFP (G–J) were analyzed after empty

vector control RNAi (A and G), *cul-1(RNAi)* (B and H), *skr-1/2(RNAi)* (C and I), or *mat-1(RNAi)*

(D and J). Additionally, PUF-3::GFP was analyzed at 25°C in *emb-30(tn377*ts*)*; *puf-*

3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag]) (F), with *puf-3(tn1820[puf-3::gfp::3xflag])* as the control (E). The

position of the spermatheca (sp) is indicated. Scale bars, 100 μm.

Figure S5. GFP-expressing alleles of *etc-1*. (A) The exon-intron structures and allele names of

the *gfp::3xflag::etc-1* alleles used in this study. Colors and labels are as described for Figure 6,

with the addition of exons (open boxes) that encode the GFP (green) and FLAG (cyan) tags. (C

and D) GFP fluorescence in *etc-1(tn1919[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#1])* (C) and *etc-*

1(tn1920[gfp::3xflag::etc-1#2]) (D) hermaphrodites. These are larger versions of the images

shown in Figure 7 that include the region of somatic GFP expression in the head (arrow) that is

significantly brighter in *etc-1(tn1920)* hermaphrodites. GFP expression in the nucleus of an

intestinal cell is also evident in the focal plane of the *etc-1(tn1919)* image (arrowhead).

Additional somatic cells also express GFP::ETC-1, but most of these cells were not identified.

Scale bars, 100 µm.

1532

1533 Figure S6. Genetic interaction between *etc-1(tn2077)* and *mat-1(ax161*ts*)*. (A–D and A'–D')

1534 DIC images of day-1 (25°C) and day-2 (15°C) adult hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes.

1535 Panels (A'–D') show increased magnification (1.5X) of the worms shown in panels (A–D) to

1536 focus on embryos within the uterus. Arrowheads indicate multicellular embryos. The position of

1537 the spermatheca (sp) is indicated. Scale bars, 50 µm.

1538

Figure S7. Additional western blots related to Figure 7. (A) A western blot that repeats the

experiment shown in Figure 7A and includes wild type and *etc-1(gk5182)* lanes with no

GFP::FLAG fusion protein. (B) PUF-3::GFP, PUF-11::GFP, and CYB-1:: GFP fusion proteins

(lines) and *etc-1(gk5182)*-specific GFP::FLAG fragments (arrows) are detected by an affinity

purified antibody that recognizes GFP. (C and D) Western blots with the anti-FLAG antibody on

GFP fusion protein-expressing hermaphrodites (C), mated *fog-2(oz40)* females (C), unmated *fog-*

2(oz40) females (D) and *fog-2(oz40)* males (D) with the indicated *etc-1* genotypes. For unknown

reasons, the RNP-8::GFP fusion protein detected in (C) appears to be slightly larger than

predicted. The predicted size of the large RNP-8a isoform (see http://www.wormbase.org,

release WS278) fused to the GFP::3xFLAG tag is 97 kDa. The blots shown in (D) and Figure 7B

are the same; Figure 7B shows a shorter exposure of the portion of the blot with the female

lysates and a longer exposure of the blot with the male CYB-1::GFP lysates. (E) A longer

exposure of the western blot shown in Figure 7D that also includes otherwise wild-type, *etc-*

1(gk5182) and *sel-10(lf)* animals that express DENDRA::LIN-41. (F) A longer exposure of the

western blot shown in Figure 7E. *etc-1(+)* animals that express GFP$_F$::LIN-41 appear to

accumulate a lesser amount of the GFP::FLAG fragment seen in *etc-1(gk5182)* animals. *etc-*

1(gk5182)-specific fragments containing GFP::FLAG are indicated by arrows.

1556

Figure S8. CYB-1::GFP expression in males. (A–F) CYB-1::GFP expression in *etc-1(+)* males

(A) and *etc-1(gk5182)* males (C and F). CYB-1::GFP disappears abruptly in *cyb-1(tn1806[cyb-*

1::gfp::3xflag]); fog-2(oz40) males (A and B) near the end of spermatogenesis (red boxes). At

the same stage of spermatogenesis, *etc-1(gk5182)*; *cyb-1(tn1806[cyb-1::gfp::3xflag]); fog-*

2(oz40) males (C–F) have higher levels of GFP fluorescence (C) and GFP-positive residual

bodies (e.g., arrows in E and F). Scale bars, 50 μm (A–D) and 10 μm (E and F).

1563

Figure S9. Additional images of GFP-tagged fusion proteins. (A and B) GFP is expressed at

similar levels in the germlines (solid outlines) and early embryos (dashed outlines) of *rnp-*

8(tn1860[rpn-8::gfp::3xflag]) (A) and *etc-1(gk5182); rnp-8(tn1860[rpn-8::gfp::3xflag])* (B)

hermaphrodites. (C and D) GFP fluorescence from *lin-41(tn1541[gfp::s::lin-41])* is distinctly

brighter in the early embryos (dashed outlines) of *etc-1(gk5182)* hermaphrodites (D) relative to

etc-1(+) hermaphrodites (C). (E–H) The GFP$_S$-tagged allele *lin-41(tn1541[gfp::s::lin-41])* (E)

and GFP$_F$-tagged allele *lin-41(tn2054[gfp::3xflag::lin-41])* (G) have different levels of GFP

expression. The average GFP fluorescence intensity in the cytoplasm of the −1 and −2 oocytes of

lin-41(tn2054) and *lin-41(tn1541)* Day 1 adult hermaphrodites was measured (n=7 images for

each allele, collected as shown). At each oocyte position (−1 or −2), the average background-

corrected level of fluorescence was 2.4-fold higher in *lin-41(tn2054)* oocytes relative to *lin-*

41(tn1541) oocytes ($P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$). Many *lin-41(tn2054[gfp::3xflag::lin-41])* Day 1 adult

hermaphrodites also accumulate older embryos in their uterus (H) and appear to be sluggish.

These phenotypes are shared by the independently-generated *lin-41(tn2055[gfp::3xflag::lin-41]*

allele. At 20 °C, 43% and 62% of DG5263 *lin-41(tn2055)* Day 1 and Day 2 adults (n=29),

respectively, had an egg laying (Egl) defect associated with premature mortality (dead on Day 2,

3, or 4 of adulthood) and a reduced number of progeny (52 ± 21 larvae, n=18 Egl adults; 256 ±

61 larvae, n=11 non-Egl adults). These somatic defects are not typically seen in *lin-*

41(tn1541[gfp::s::lin-41]) animals at the same stage of adulthood (F), and the average brood size

of *lin-41(tn1541)* animals is greater than 300 (Spike *et al.* 2014a, 2018). (I–N) GFP fluorescence

(I, K, and M) and DIC (J, L, and N) images of 2-cell *lin-41(tn2055[gfp::3xflag::lin-41])*

embryos produced by otherwise wild-type (I and J), *etc-1(gk5182)* (K and L) and *sel-10(ok1632)*

(M and N) parents. GFP is diffusely cytoplasmic in each embryo and is distinctly brighter in the

etc-1(gk5182) and *sel-10(ok1632)* embryos relative to the wild-type embryo. Nuclear GFP

(arrowheads) is evident in wild-type (I) and *etc-1(gk5182)* (K) embryos. In contrast, some GFP

in the posterior blastomere of the *sel-10(ok1632)* embryo (M) appears to associate with P

granules (arrow). The position of the spermatheca (sp) is indicated. Scale bars, 50 μm (A–H) and

10 μm (I–N).

1592

1593   Table S1. *C. elegans* strains used in this study.

1594

1595   File S1. Information on the repair templates, single guide RNA plasmids, PCR primers, and the

1596   RNAi clones used in this study.

1597

1598   File S2. The nucleotide and amino acid sequences of fluorophore tags, tagged alleles, and

1599   deletion alleles used in this study.

1600

Figure 1

Figure 2

1603

1604

Figure 3

lin-41(tn1892); puf-11(tn1824)

A — PUF-11::GFP_F / distal

B — mSC_F::LIN-41

C — merge

lin-41(tn1892); puf-3(tn1820)

D — PUF-3::GFP_F

E — mSC_F::LIN-41

F — merge

itIs37 puf-3(tn1820)

G — PUF-3::GFP_F / MI / pn / -1

H — Histone / MI / pn / -1

I — merge / MI / pn / -1

J — DIC / MI / pn / -1

K — Relative mean PUF-3::GFP intensity / NEBD / ovulation / metaphase I / telophase I / metaphase II / Meiotic Progress

1605

Figure 4

Figure 5

1607

Figure 6

A eIF-2B-etc-1 operon

B

```
              #1                              #2
ETC-1   1    MSFNLVFFNGDVR-RDKKQEAMIKSLNERDNFLNRLREMEHKREKDEKQE   49/29
             :  |  ||  :  |  |         |: :  |:|  :|    |||::  ::
UBE3C   1    ----MFSFEGDFKTRPKVSLGGASRKEEKASLLHRTQEERRKREEERRRL   46
```

C etc-1(tn1919) GFP_F::ETC-1 #1

D etc-1(tn1920) GFP_F::ETC-1 #2

E

1608

1609

Figure 7

1610

1611