Bacteria modulate tamoxifen-induced death via host fatty acid metabolism

Cédric Diot[1], Aurian P. García-González[1], Andre F. Vieira[2], Melissa Walker[1],

Megan Honeywell[1], Hailey Doyle[1], Yomari Rivera[1], Huimin Na[1], Hefei Zhang[1], Michael

Lee[1], Carissa P. Olsen[2], Albertha J.M. Walhout[1*]

[1]Department of Systems Biology, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School,

Worcester, MA, 01605, USA

[2]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 100

Institute Road, Worcester, MA, 01609, USA

*Lead contact: marian.walhout@umassmed.edu

SUMMARY

Tamoxifen is a selective estrogen receptor (ER) modulator that is used to treat ER-positive breast cancer, but that at high doses kills both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. We recapitulate this off-target effect in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, which does not have an ER ortholog. We find that different bacteria dramatically modulate tamoxifen toxicity in *C. elegans*, with a three-order of magnitude difference between animals fed *Escherichia coli*, *Comamonas aquatica*, and *Bacillus subtilis*. Remarkably, host fatty acid (FA) biosynthesis mitigates tamoxifen toxicity, and different bacteria provide the animal with different FAs, resulting in distinct FA profiles. Surprisingly these bacteria modulate tamoxifen toxicity by different death mechanisms, some of which are modulated by FA supplementation and others by antioxidants. Together, this work reveals a complex interplay between microbiota, FA metabolism and tamoxifen toxicity that may provide a blueprint for similar studies in more complex mammals.

INTRODUCTION

The bacteria that inhabit our body, known as our microbiota, influence many biological processes in both health and disease, and greatly contribute to our metabolic capacity (Koppel et al., 2017; Spanogiannopoulos et al., 2016). The bacteria from the gut microbiota are among the first cells to encounter orally ingested nutrients and xenobiotics. Therefore, it is perhaps not surprising that the microbiota can also impact our response to medications (Koppel et al., 2017). Several mechanisms have been identified by which microbes influence the host's drug response. First, the microbial composition can change in response to drugs. Known as dysbiosis, such altered composition can affect the host's response to therapeutic drugs (Diot et al., 2018; Maier et al., 2018). Second, bacteria can alter host drug availability, for instance by drug sequestration or modification (Koppel et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021). Third, the metabolic crosstalk between the microbiota and its host can modify host physiology, and consequently drug efficacy (Selwyn et al., 2015). All these processes can change drug action and it is therefore important to systematically identify which bacteria modulate the efficacies of which drugs, and to dissect the mechanisms involved.

Systematic identification of microbial effects on the host's drug response in humans or mammalian model systems is challenging because of their complex diet and microbiota, as well as relatively low scalability. Recently, we and others, have developed the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and its bacterial diet as an interspecies model system to rapidly assess the animal's response to different drugs and how this response can be modulated by different bacteria (Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2017)(Rosener et al., 2020; Scott et al., 2017). The application of high-throughput genetic screens not only in

the host, but also in the bacteria it consumes, make this a powerful model (Diot et al.,

2018; Garcia-Gonzalez and Walhout, 2017; Watson et al., 2013; Watson et al., 2014).

Recent studies illustrate the insights on host-bacteria-drug interactions that can be

obtained using this model system. In a screen with 11 chemotherapeutic drugs and two

bacterial diets, we found that three drugs, 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR), 5-fluoro-uracil

(5-FU) and camptothecin (CPT) elicited a reproductive phenotype, two of which were

modulated by the two bacteria, in opposite directions (Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2017).

Specifically, a diet of *Escherichia coli* rendered the animals more sensitive to FUDR, but

less sensitive to CPT, relative to a diet of *Comamonas aquatica* (Garcia-Gonzalez et al.,

2017). We found that bacterial pyrimidine metabolism, specifically the generation of 5-

fluorouridine monophosphate (FUMP), was critical to FUDR toxicity in the animal (Garcia-

Gonzalez et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2017).

Tamoxifen is a triphenylethylene belonging to the class of drugs known as

selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs). SERMs bind to and either activate or

repress the estrogen receptor (ER), a nuclear hormone receptor (NHR) transcription

factor (Shelly et al., 2008). Tamoxifen is commonly used to treat ER-positive breast

cancers, as well as other estrogen-dependent ailments such as ovarian or endometrial

carcinoma (Jordan, 2003; Shagufta and Ahmad, 2018). A significant proportion of ER-

negative breast cancers also appear responsive to tamoxifen, but the underlying biology

remains to be uncovered (Manna and Holz, 2016). There has also been increasing clinical

interest in the potential of tamoxifen for treating various other cancer types (Clifford et al.,

2020). The potential repurposing of tamoxifen for the treatment of non-ER-positive breast

malignancies requires an understanding of the potential alternative mechanisms of action

of this drug, especially in ER-negative models.

The *C. elegans* genome encodes more than 250 NHRs (Reece-Hoyes et al.,

2005). Most of these are paralogs of HNF4α and there is no ER ortholog. We find that

tamoxifen is toxic in *C. elegans* at higher doses and that three bacterial species, *E. coli,*

C. aquatica, and *Bacillus subtilis,* confer dramatically different levels of sensitivity to

tamoxifen toxicity, with an EC$_{50}$ ranging three orders of magnitude. We identify a role for

host fatty acid (FA) metabolism in modulating tamoxifen toxicity. We further find that the

FA composition of *C. elegans* is greatly modulated by bacterial diet. Most strikingly, we

find that different bacteria modulate *C. elegans* tamoxifen toxicity through different death

mechanisms. Taken together, we show that a complex interplay between bacteria, FA

metabolism and different mechanisms of death modulate tamoxifen toxicity in *C. elegans*.

RESULTS

Tamoxifen Kills both ER-Positive and ER-Negative Cancer Cells

We first confirmed that tamoxifen can kill ER-negative breast cancer cells (Bekele et al.,

2016). Specifically, we compared the effects of tamoxifen on ER-positive T-47D cells,

which rely on ER for proliferation, to the effects of tamoxifen on ER-negative MDA-MB-

231 cells (Lacroix and Leclercq, 2004). Drug toxicity can be assessed through two

parameters: growth rate and fractional viability. The growth rate (GR) index is calculated

by the relative number of live cells over time in presence or absence of drug and

integrates both cytostatic and lethal effects of a drug (Hafner et al., 2016). In contrast,

fractional viability only considers the lethal effect of a drug (Schwartz et al., 2020). We

first calculated the GR index and, as expected, observed a decrease in the growth rate

of T-47D cells incubated with tamoxifen in a dose-dependent manner (**Figure 1A**). While

lower doses of tamoxifen did not affect the growth rate of MDA-MB-231 cells, we found

that concentrations greater than 10 µM resulted in a reduction in the population growth

rate, indicating either a reduced cell proliferation rate or increased cell death rate

(**Figure 1A**). When we computed fractional viability, we found that a dose of tamoxifen

greater than 10 µM, killed both T-47D and MDA-MB-231 cells effectively (**Figure 1B**).

This indicates that tamoxifen toxicity relies on two distinct mechanisms depending on the

dose: at low doses, tamoxifen slows the growth of ER-positive, but not ER-negative cells,

whereas at high doses, tamoxifen kills independently of whether the cells express ER.

Since this cell death does not depend on ER, it can be considered an off-target effect.

Bacteria Differentially Modulate Tamoxifen Toxicity in *C. elegans*

C. elegans does not have an obvious ER ortholog (Reece-Hoyes et al., 2005; Taubert et

al., 2011). Therefore, we wondered whether we could use *C. elegans* to study off-target

tamoxifen toxicity in an intact animal. Since we previously found that the bacterial diet

consumed by *C. elegans* can greatly affect the response to chemotherapeutic drugs

(Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2017), we supplemented increasing doses of tamoxifen to

animals fed either of three different bacterial diets: *E. coli, C. aquatica* or *B. subtilis*. Visual

inspection showed dramatic differences in tamoxifen toxicity, depending on bacterial

diet: there was little effect on animal development on *E. coli*, while animals fed *C. aquatica*

displayed developmental arrest or delay at high drug concentrations, and animals fed

B. subtilis were exquisitely sensitive to tamoxifen, even at low micromolar concentrations

(**Figure 1C**). We observed many arrested first larval stage (L1) animals in the presence

of high concentrations of tamoxifen, and therefore quantified tamoxifen toxicity by

counting the proportion of animals that failed to develop at different doses of the drug.

The resulting dose response curves (DRCs) confirmed that tamoxifen is more than three

orders of magnitude more toxic to animals fed *B. subtilis* than to animals fed *E. coli*

(**Figure 1D**). Together, these results show that tamoxifen is toxic to *C. elegans* at high

doses and that bacteria greatly modulate this toxicity.

***C. elegans* Fatty Acid Synthesis Protects Against Tamoxifen Toxicity**

When fed *E. coli*, tamoxifen is only toxic to *C. elegans* at high micromolar doses (**Figure**

1D). We hypothesized that *C. elegans* metabolism may modulate tamoxifen toxicity.

Therefore, we screened an RNAi library of 1,495 *C. elegans* metabolic genes (in *E. coli*)

for increased or decreased drug toxicity. This library includes genes with known metabolic

functions that make up the genome-scale iCEL1314 metabolic network model, as well as

other genes that are predicted to encode metabolic enzymes (Yilmaz et al., 2020; Yilmaz

and Walhout, 2016). We did not find any genes that, upon RNAi knockdown, rendered

the animals less sensitive to tamoxifen. We did, however, identify three genes for which

RNAi knockdown led to increased tamoxifen toxicity (**Figure 2A**). These genes include

dhs-19, which encodes a short-chain dehydrogenase of unknown function that localizes

to lipid droplets (Liu et al., 2018) and is predicted to function in retinol metabolism (Yilmaz

et al., 2020). The other two genes, *elo-3* and *elo-6,* both encode elongases predicted to

be involved in long chain FA biosynthesis (Walker et al., 2021; Watts, 2009). We

generated a *dhs-19* deletion mutant by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing and confirmed that

this strain is more sensitive to tamoxifen (**Figure 2B**, **Figure S1**).

While mutant strains are available for *elo-3* and *elo-6*, we could not use these

animals because their development is strongly impaired even in absence of drug

exposure (data not shown). Both *elo-3* and *elo-6* function in FA biosynthesis (**Figure 2C**).

We wondered if these two genes specifically affect tamoxifen toxicity, or if FA metabolism

is more broadly involved even though no other genes were captured in the RNAi screen.

To test this, we performed full tamoxifen DRC experiments for the knockdown of 33 genes

encoding enzymes predicted to be involved in FA elongation and desaturation (Walker et

al., 2021)(**Figure 2C**). In addition, we included eight genes encoding acyl-CoA

synthetases that catalyze the addition of co-enzyme A (CoA) to FAs and eight genes

encoding enzymes that remove CoA. We calculated the difference between the area

under the curve (ΔAUC) for each RNAi condition + tamoxifen relative to *E. coli* (**Figure**

2D). Statistical significance was evaluated on the original DRCs (**Figure S2, Tables S1**

and S2). Remarkably, knockdown of most FA biosynthesis genes tested significantly

increased tamoxifen toxicity (**Figure 2E, Figure S2, Table S1**). These results show that

C. elegans FA synthesis is broadly involved in mitigating tamoxifen toxicity. This points to

a systems-level involvement of FA biosynthesis rather than a single FA species.

Next, we asked whether genes involved in mitochondrial and peroxisomal FA

degradation also modulate tamoxifen toxicity and found that, of 33 genes tested, only

ech-8 appeared to increase tamoxifen toxicity, but this effect was not statistically

significant (**Figure 2F, Figure S3**). Knockdown of 13 of the 33 FA degradation genes

tested led to a decrease in tamoxifen toxicity, with only *daf-22* RNAi being statistically

significant (**Table S2**). This result shows that the biosynthesis, but not degradation, of

long chain FAs is critical to mitigate tamoxifen toxicity in *C. elegans.*

Bacterial Diets Modulate *C. elegans* Fatty Acid Profiles

Previously, it has been shown that different strains of *E. coli* diets affect the FA

composition of *C. elegans* (Brooks et al., 2009). Since *C. elegans* FA synthesis modulates

tamoxifen toxicity, we hypothesized that the three bacterial species used herein may elicit

different FA profiles in the animal. To test this, we measured both bacterial and *C. elegans*

FA profiles by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (**Table S3** and **S4**). The

bacterial FA profiles showed dramatic differences: *E. coli* mainly contained the saturated

FAs (SFAs) palmitic acid and stearic acid, cyclopropane FAs (CPFAs), and the mono-

unsaturated FAs (MUFAs) oleic acid, palmitoleic acid and cis-vaccenic acid; *C. aquatica*

mainly contained the MUFAs oleic acid and palmitoleic acid; and *B. subtilis* almost

exclusively contains monomethyl branched chain FAs (mmBCFAs), which agrees with

previous findings (Kaneda, 1977) (**Figure 3A**).

The FA profiles of *C. elegans* fed the three bacteria reflected the three bacterial

diets in some but not all respects. One striking observation was that the proportion of

each of the different poly-unsaturated FAs (PUFAs) is diet-independent (**Figure 3B**). This

indicates that the animal can effectively convert different dietary FAs into PUFAs by

elongation and/or desaturation. Oleic acid is the primary substrate for PUFA synthesis

and is present in *E. coli* and *C. aquatica* but absent in *B. subtilis* (**Figure 3A**). This could

indicate that PUFAs are generated by a combination of FA metabolic steps that yield this

even-chained MUFA from odd-chained mmBCFAs in animals fed *B. subtilis* or

synthesizes them de novo.

Another striking observation is that most other types of FAs are quite different in

proportion in *C. elegans* fed different bacterial diets. Most notable are the near absence

of MUFAs, and presence of C15 and C17-ante-iso mmBCFAs in animals fed *B. subtilis*

(**Figure 3B**). The differences between animals fed the other two bacteria are more subtle,

with a greater proportion of the MUFA cis-vaccenic acid in *C. aquatica*-fed animals,

relative to those fed *E. coli* (**Figure 3B**). Altogether, the different bacterial diets result in

a different proportion of the different types of FAs: high overall levels of MUFAs in animals

fed *E. coli* or *C. aquatica* and high overall levels of mmBCFAs in animals fed *B. subtilis*

(**Figure 3B**, bottom). Taken together, these results show that *C. elegans* maintains its

PUFA content in a narrow and well-defined regime, while it can tolerate different

proportions of the other types of FAs.

Dietary Fatty Acids Modulate Tamoxifen Toxicity

Our findings so far lead us to consider two models: either tamoxifen treatment could alter

the animal's FA profile, leading to diet-dependent toxicity, or differences in *C. elegans* FA

composition elicited by bacterial diet may modulate tamoxifen toxicity. To discriminate

between these two models, we first measured bacterial and *C. elegans* FAs in the

presence or absence of tamoxifen and found that the drug did not modulate the animal's

FA profiles (**Table S5**). Thus, we did not find evidence to support the first model.

To evaluate the second model, we first asked whether supplementation of a FA

cocktail, containing equimolar amounts of the PUFAs arachidonic and linoleic acid, the

SFAs lauric and myristic acid, and the MUFA oleic acid (Sharma et al., 2013), would

modulate tamoxifen toxicity in animals fed either of the three bacterial diets. We found

that FA cocktail supplementation suppressed tamoxifen toxicity but only in animals fed *C.*

aquatica; it had no effect on tamoxifen toxicity in animals fed *E. coli* or *B. subtilis* (**Figure**

4A-C). Next, we tested the effects of the supplementation of individual FAs and found

that MUFAs such as cis-vaccenic acid increased tamoxifen toxicity on animals fed *E. coli*,

but not *C. aquatica* (**Figure 4D and 4E, Figure S5**). Since *C. aquatica*-fed animals contain

more cis-vaccenic acid than *E. coli*-fed animals (33% vs 20%, **Figure 3B**), this suggests

that this difference may contribute to increased tamoxifen toxicity on the *C. aquatica* diet.

Supplementation of individual PUFAs such as α-linoleic acid decreased tamoxifen

toxicity on animals fed *C. aquatica*, (**Figure 4F and 4G, Figure S5**). On an *E. coli* diet

PUFAs had no effect. However, animals are generally tolerant to tamoxifen, which limits

the ability to observe decreased tamoxifen toxicity. Since the proportion of PUFAs is

similar in animals fed each of the three bacterial diets (**Figure 3B**), this indicates that the

ratio of PUFAs to other FAs is more relevant than absolute levels. Surprisingly,

supplementation of either MUFAs or PUFAs abolished the growth of *B. subtilis*, and

therefore these FA could not be tested for tamoxifen toxicity modulation in *C. elegans* fed

these bacteria (**Figure 4H and 4I**). Together with the observation that knockdown of

genes involved in FA synthesis increases tamoxifen toxicity, these results point to a

complex interplay between dietary FA, FA synthesis and tamoxifen toxicity.

The FA cocktail is stabilized by vitamin E, a potent antioxidant that inhibits FA

oxidation (Traber and Atkinson, 2007). Therefore, we performed a control experiment by

supplementing only vitamin E. We found that vitamin E had no effect on tamoxifen toxicity

in animals fed either *E. coli* or *C. aquatica*, indicating that it is the FAs in the FA cocktail

that mitigate toxicity in animals fed *C. aquatica*. To our surprise, we found that vitamin E

supplementation did suppress tamoxifen toxicity in animals fed *B. subtilis* (**Figure 4J-L**).

However, N-acetylcysteine, another antioxidant that can rescue the growth of *C. elegans*

on iron-deficient *E. coli* mutants (Zhang et al., 2019) did not affect tamoxifen toxicity

(**Figure 4M**). These results indicate that FA oxidation, but not the general accumulation

of reactive oxygen species (ROS), affects tamoxifen toxicity in *C. elegans* when fed *B.*

subtilis. Since FAs rapidly turnover in the animal's membranes (Dancy et al., 2015), the

observation that FA biosynthesis mitigates tamoxifen toxicity (**Figure 2E**) could be

explained because it replenishes oxidized FAs.

Taken together, these results indicate that FA or vitamin E supplementation can

mitigate tamoxifen toxicity differently depending on which bacteria the animals are fed,

which suggests that different, diet-dependent toxicity mechanisms are involved.

Bacteria Elicit Different Tamoxifen-Induced Death Mechanisms

Lipid metabolism has been linked to different mechanisms of cell death, especially

apoptosis and ferroptosis (Galluzzi et al., 2018; Garbarino and Sturley, 2009). In fact, it

has been shown that cell survival is dependent on lipid homeostasis, and both excessive

MUFAs or SFAs have been shown to be pro-apoptotic, depending on the cell lines

(Garbarino and Sturley, 2009). Further, several MUFAs have been reported to protect

against ferroptosis (Magtanong et al., 2019), which is characterized by lipid peroxidation,

while others, specifically cis-vaccenic acid have been reported to induce cell death

(Nakamura et al., 2018). PUFAs, most notably di-homo-gamma-linoleic acid, have been

shown to induce ferroptosis in both human cells and in *C. elegans* (Perez et al., 2020).

Given these connections, we asked whether apoptosis contributes to tamoxifen toxicity

in *C. elegans*. To test this, we used *ced-3(n717)* mutant animals that cannot execute

apoptosis, because they harbor a substitution in the acceptor splice site of exon 7

encoding for the caspase p10 domain of the CED-3 protein (Yuan et al., 1993).

Remarkably, loss of *ced-3* greatly increased sensitivity to tamoxifen in animals fed

either *E. coli* or *C. aquatica* but had no effect on animals fed *B. subtilis* (**Figure 5A-C**).

This result suggests that, when fed *E. coli* or *C. aquatica,* tamoxifen toxicity employs

apoptosis, and that *ced-3* mutant animals switch to another, more potent mode of death

that is suppressed when apoptosis is functional. We additionally observed that a high

dose of vitamin E could mitigate tamoxifen toxicity in *ced-3* mutant animals fed *C. aquatica*

but not *E. coli* (**Figure 5A and 5B)**. This indicates that, in the absence of *ced-3*, tamoxifen

toxicity in animals fed *C. aquatica* involves FA oxidation, similar to what we observed with

wild type animals fed *B. subtilis*.

Finally, we used expression profiling by RNA-seq to ask whether tamoxifen

supplementation results in the modulating the expression of genes involved in FA

metabolism or oxidative stress in *C. elegans*. As discussed above, tamoxifen does not

affect the animal's FA profiles and accordingly, the expression of FA biosynthesis or

degradation genes was not systematically altered upon tamoxifen exposure, with the

exception of *fat-5* which showed a three-fold increase, and *ech-6* which was reduced

by ~two-fold (**Figure 5D**). We did, however identify increased expression of R05D8.9

(**Figure 5D**), which encodes a predicted 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 14

(17βHSD14) homolog. In humans, 17βHSD14 enzymes are associated with the response

to tamoxifen in breast cancer (Sivik et al., 2012). These enzymes are further known to

interconvert different steroids, suggesting that R05D8.9 may be directly involved in the

detoxification of tamoxifen in *C. elegans*. In addition, the expression of several CYP

genes, which encode cytochrome P450 enzymes, is induced by tamoxifen

supplementation (**Figure 5D**). Cytochrome P450 enzymes have been reported to modify

tamoxifen in humans (Singh et al., 2011), and are a source of oxidative stress as their

enzymatic cycle involves significant leakage of electrons (Hrycay and Bandiera, 2015).

Taken together, tamoxifen does not transcriptionally rewire FA metabolism. In contrast, it

induces the expression of ROS-generating detoxifying enzymes, that may contribute to

FA oxidation.

DISCUSSION

Tamoxifen Off-Target Mechanisms: Fat Metabolism, Oxidative Stress and

Microbiota

In this study, we present *C. elegans* as a model to study off-target, ER-independent,

mechanisms of tamoxifen toxicity using concentrations in the range of drug-levels

accumulating in patients (Kisanga et al., 2004; Robinson et al., 1991). We found that FA

biosynthesis and composition of the animals are major drivers of tamoxifen toxicity, and

that this toxicity relies on the potential of the drug to induce oxidative stress and lipid

peroxidation. Moreover, we found that both the mechanism and the degree of tamoxifen

toxicity are greatly modulated by bacteria, where *B. subtilis* induces a non-apoptotic

mechanism that is three orders of magnitude more severe than apoptotic mechanism

elicited by tamoxifen in the presence of either *E. coli* or *C. aquatica* (**Figure 6**).

In the *C. elegans* model, bacteria form a source of nutrition, can be pathogenic,

and can inhabit the gut as the animal ages (Felix and Braendle, 2010). Both in the

laboratory and in the wild, the animals are constantly exposed to bacterial metabolism

which provides nutrients and contributes to animal physiology (Yilmaz and Walhout,

2014). Our findings illuminate the possible effects the microbiota may have on tamoxifen

off-target effect toxicity and the treatment of ER-independent malignancies (Clifford et al.,

2020). It is tempting to speculate that tamoxifen activity could be modulated by

perturbations of the microbiota using antibiotics or probiotics. Notably, *B. subtilis*, which

is present in a large proportion of commercially available probiotics (Joerger and Ganguly,

2017) may affect patients treated with tamoxifen. Our findings of the role of FA

metabolism and oxidative stress further suggest that studies of nutritional intake of fats

and vitamin E in humans may shed light on whether the off-target mechanisms discovered

herein are conserved.

FA Modulation of Tamoxifen Toxicity

We uncovered complex relationships between bacteria, host FA metabolism, apoptosis,

and tamoxifen toxicity. The literature about the relationship between FAs and cell survival

integrates two major components: distinct FA-species display different oxidation

potentials (Gardner, 1989), and different potentials to induce alternative cell-death

mechanisms (Garbarino and Sturley, 2009; Magtanong et al., 2019). Our data using

lipophilic ROS-scavenging vitamin E and apoptosis-deficient animals suggest that

tamoxifen-toxicity relies on these two entangled components. MUFAs are generally

described as 'healthy" FAs, as they buffer the oxidative stress of the ROS-sensitive

PUFAs (Magtanong et al., 2019). To our knowledge, there is only one report on the effects

of the MUFA cis-vaccenic acid, which found that cis-VA accumulation inhibits cell survival,

which is opposite to other MUFAs tested in parallel (Nakamura et al., 2018). Overall, the

literature is consistent with the observation of extreme tamoxifen toxicity in *B. subtilis*-fed

animals that do not provide the animal with MUFAs, and in *C. aquatica*-fed animals that

accumulate cis-vaccenic acid. Further studies are required to systematically determine

the effects of different FAs on cell survival and tamoxifen toxicity in human cells.

***dhs-19:* a Potential Integrator of FA Metabolism and Oxidative Stress**

Knockdown of or mutations in *dhs-19* enhance tamoxifen toxicity in animals fed *E. coli.*

The precise molecular or metabolic function of DHS-19 is unknown. It encodes a

dehydrogenase and is a marker of lipid droplets (Zhang et al., 2012), which are essential

for FA homeostasis in all eukaryotes (Olzmann and Carvalho, 2019). Based on enzyme

homology, DHS-19 is predicted to act in retinoid metabolism, through the interconversion

of retinol and retinal (Walker et al., 2021). This reaction precedes the synthesis of retinyl

palmitate which consumes palmitoyl-CoA, which is a precursor for the synthesis of

palmitoleic and vaccenic acid, both of which potentiate tamoxifen toxicity in *C. elegans*

when animals are fed *E. coli.* Retinoids are also potent ROS scavengers and have been

shown to act synergically with vitamin E to reduce lipid oxidative stress (Tesoriere et al.,

1993). Together, this suggests that the increase in tamoxifen toxicity by *dhs-19*

perturbation could result from a complex integration of metabolic cues involving oxidative

stress and retinoid metabolism.

You are What you Eat: *C. elegans* FA Composition is Determined by its Diet

C. elegans is a remarkable animal with a fixed lineage in which wild type animals develop

deterministically to adults with precisely 959 somatic nuclei (Sulston and Horvitz, 1977;

Sulston et al., 1983). Therefore, one would assume that all adult animals are essentially

the same. Here we show that wild type animals can exhibit marked differences in their FA

composition, depending on which bacterial diet they are fed. To our knowledge,

C. elegans FA composition has only been studied in animals fed *E. coli*, and the profiles

observed in our experiments are consistent with the only available previous study (Brooks

et al., 2009). Here, we observe much more drastic changes in FA composition in animals

fed *C. aquatica* or *B. subtilis*, relative to those fed *E. coli*. First, the MUFA, mmBCFA or

CPFAs content of the animals strongly reflects the dietary input; a diet of *C. aquatica* is

rich in MUFAs, and a diet of *B. subtilis* is rich in mmBCFAs, and these FAs accumulate

in the animals. This result demonstrates that the animal's membranes are permissive to

varying levels of these types of FAs. In contrast, the PUFA composition of *C. elegans* is

largely independent of its bacterial diet. While PUFAs are essential dietary FAs in

humans, *C. elegans* synthesize PUFAs de novo (Watts and Browse, 2002). This result

indicates that *C. elegans* PUFA levels are sensed, and that metabolic fluxes in FA

biosynthesis pathways are adjusted to maintain relative levels in a tight regime. It is

therefore perhaps not surprising that PUFA deficiencies have been associated with

developmental and neuronal defects in *C. elegans* (Connor and Watts, 2019). Future

studies are required to reveal to what the extent wild type *C. elegans* exhibit other fitness

changes in response to differences in FA composition.

Cell Death and Organismal Death

How does cell-death connect to organism death? Fifty years after Horvitz and Sulston

first described apoptosis in *C. elegans* (Sulston and Horvitz, 1977), it remains unclear

how cell death mechanisms communicate and how they relate to organismal phenotypes

including death. Other open questions that remain to be answered are: What is the exact

nature of the triggers directing decisions in the induction of alternative cell-death

mechanisms? And how do bacteria affect cell-death potentials? This study provides a

foundation for future studies to address fundamental questions related to cell-death and

organismal fate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of the Walhout lab and Job Dekker for discussion and critical reading

of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of

Health DK068429 and GM082971 to A.J.M.W., GM127559 to M.J.L., AG058950 to

C.O.P. and GM122393 to A.G.G. Several bacterial and nematode strains used in this

work were provided by the CGC, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research

Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.D., A.G.G. and A.J.M.W. conceived the study. C.D. and A.G.G. performed most

experiments with technical help from M.W., H.D., Y.R. and A.F.V. performed the fatty acid

measurements under supervision of C.P.O. H.N. engineered the Δ*dhs-19* mutant strain.

M.H. and C.D. performed the mammalian cell experiments under the supervision of M.L.

H.Z. and C.D. performed and analyzed the RNA-seq experiments. The manuscript was

written by C.D., and A.J.M.W. with editing by all other authors.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

All authors have no competing interests.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Tamoxifen Kills ER-Negative Breast Cancer Cells and Animals

(A, B) The growth rate index (A) and fractional viability (B) of ER-positive (T-47D) and

ER-negative (MDA-MB-231) breast cancer cells plotted as a function of increasing

concentrations of tamoxifen.

(C) Bright-field images showing *C. elegans* supplemented with increasing doses of

tamoxifen, fed *E. coli*, *C. aquatica*, and *B. subtilis*. Images were taken at 2x magnification

after 48h exposure to tamoxifen.

(D) Dose response curves of *C. elegans* supplemented with increasing doses of

tamoxifen, fed *E. coli*, *C. aquatica*, and *B. subtilis.* Data are represented as mean ±SEM

of three biological replicates.

Figure 2. Host Long Chain Fatty Acid Synthesis Modulates Tamoxifen Toxicity

(A) Screening a metabolic gene RNAi library identified three genes (*dhs-19*, *elo-3* and *elo-5*) that, when knocked down, increase TAM toxicity. Animals were fed *E. coli* HT115 expressing double stranded RNA as indicated. Control indicates *E. coli* containing vector control plasmid (pL4440). Bright-field images were taken at 2x magnification after a 48h exposure to tamoxifen.

(B) Tamoxifen dose response curves comparing Δ*dhs-19* mutant animals to wild type animals. See also **Figure S1**.

(C) *C. elegans* fatty acid biosynthesis pathway. Gene names are colored by enzymatic function: FA elongation in blue, FA desaturation in pink, and acyl-CoA synthesis in purple.

(D) Cartoon illustrating calculation of differential area under curve (ΔAUC) used in panels E and F. AUCs were calculated using average DRCs and statistical significance was assessed by performing 2way anovas using Graphpad Prism (v9) on original DRCs and are reported in **Table S1** and **S2**. See also **Figures S2** and **S3**.

(E, F) Bar graph showing ΔAUC values obtained from average full DRCs for animals exposed to RNAi of indicated genes.

Figure 3. Long Chain Fatty Acid Profiles of Bacteria and *C. elegans* Fed Different Bacteria

(A, B) Bubble plots showing long chain fatty acid proportions measured by GC-MS in the indicated bacteria (A), and animals fed the indicated bacteria (B). Bubble sizes are indicative of FA ratios within the sample of interest and are not indicative of absolute quantities. Ratios are available in **Table S3** and **S4**. Data are represented as mean of

three to six biological replicates. Statistical pairwise comparisons are available in **Table**

S5.

Figure 4. Long Chain Fatty Acid Supplementation Modulates Tamoxifen Toxicity

(A-M) Unless otherwise indicated, fatty acids and vitamin E were supplemented to

bacterial culture medium, as described previously (Devkota et al., 2021).

(A-C) DRCs of tamoxifen toxicity with and without FA cocktail supplementation on animals

fed *E. coli* (A), *C. aquatica* (B) or *B. subtilis* (C).

(D,E) DRCs of of tamoxifen toxicity with and without PUFA (ALA) supplementation on

animals fed *E. coli* (D) or *C. aquatica* (E).

(F) Growth curves of *B. subtilis* supplemented with PUFAs ALA or GLA. For clarity, no

error bars are reported in the graph, n=3.

(G,H) DRCs of tamoxifen toxicity with and without MUFA (VA) supplementation on

animals fed *E. coli* (G) or *C. aquatica* (H).

(I) Growth curves of *B. subtilis* supplemented with MUFAs VA, PA, or OA. No error bars

are reported in the graph, n=3.

(J-L) DRCs of tamoxifen toxicity with and without vitamin E supplementation on animals

fed *E. coli* (J), *C. aquatica* (K) or *B. subtilis* (L).

(M) DRCs of tamoxifen toxicity with and without the antioxidants vitamin E and

N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC). Culture: NAC was supplemented in the bacterial culture medium

as for vitamin E. Medium: NAC was supplemented to the NGM.

DRC: dose response curve, FA: fatty acid, MUFA: mono-unsaturated FA,

PUFA: poly-unsaturated FA, ALA: alpha-linoleic acid, GLA: gamma-linoleic acid,

DGLA: di-homo-gamma-linoleic acid, VA: cis-vaccenic acid, PA: palmitoleic acid,

OA: oleic acid. Statistical analysis of DRCs was conducted by performing 2-way Anova

using GraphPad Prism (v9) and are reported in **Table S6**. Larger panel of fatty acid

supplements was tested in **Figure S5**.

Figure 5. Bacteria Affect the Modulation of Tamoxifen Toxicity by Apoptosis

(A) Bright-field images (left) and bar graph (right) of wild type and Δ*ced-3* animals fed

E. coli.

(B) Bright-field images (left) and bar graph (right) of wild type and Δ*ced-3* animals fed

C. aquatica.

(C) Bright-field images (left) and bar graph (right) of wild type and Δ*ced-3* animals fed

B. subtilis.

(D) Volcano plot distribution of genes which expression changed significantly in animals

fed *E. coli* and exposed to 400 μM tamoxifen (FDR<0.1, >2-fold change). Pink indicates

genes encoding enzymes participating in FA metabolism, and red indicates genes

encoding for enzymes that may be involved in the detoxification of tamoxifen.

Figure 6. Model of Interplay Between Bacteria, Fatty Acid Metabolism, and Death

Pathways Affecting Tamoxifen Toxicity

STAR * METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to, and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author A.J.M. Walhout (marian.walhout@umassmed.edu).

Materials availability

All requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact. All reagents will be made available on request after completion of a Materials Transfer Agreement.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

C. elegans

C. elegans N2 (Bristol) was used as the wild-type strain. Prior to all experiments, animals were maintained on Nematode Growth Media (NGM) and fed a diet of *E. coli* OP50. The *C. elegans ced-3(n717)* mutant strain was kindly provided by the Francis lab (UMass Medical School) and was first described in (8598288). The *C. elegans dhs-19(VL1313)* mutant strain was generated using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing (Kim et al., 2014).

Bacterial Strains

Escherichia coli BW25113, *E. coli* HT115, *Comamonas aquatica* DA1877, and *Bacillus subtilis* sp168 were grown in LB, overnight at 37°C to stationary phase under agitation (200 rpm). The dietary effects on tamoxifen toxicity described herein were independent of the *E. coli* strain tested. Experiments were conducted using *E. coli* BW25113, unless stated otherwise.

METHOD DETAILS

Chemotherapeutic Drugs containing NGM preparation

Tamoxifen citrate (Selleckchem, S1972) was dissolved to 150 mM in sterile dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Stock solutions were diluted in series to 200X the final concentration and added at a final volume of 0.5% to NGM agar heated to 55°C. Drug containing NGM plates were dried overnight at room temperature. NGM was supplemented with antibiotics for experiments in 96-well plate format, and 2 mM IPTG for RNAi experiments. 96-well and 48-well plates were seeded with 15 µL and 30 µL of bacterial cultures, respectively. Plates were then dried for 6h at room temperature and kept overnight at room temperature before being used. *E. coli* cultures were concentrated 1-2X, and *C. aquatica* DA1877 and *B. subtilis* sp168 strains were concentrated 2-4X prior to seeding onto NGM agar plates, to normalize for post-seeding bacterial growth.

RNAi screen

We tested a collection of 1,645 individual *E. coli* HT115 strains expressing dsRNA against a unique *C. elegans* metabolic gene, and originating from the ORFeome (Rual et al., 2004) or the Ahringer (Kamath et al., 2003) libraries. The screens were performed using 96-well plates containing NGM supplemented with tamoxifen, 50 µg/mL ampicillin, and 2 mM IPTG. We used a low dose of 300 µM tamoxifen to identify knockdowns that aggravate tamoxifen toxicity, and a high dose of 600 µM tamoxifen identify knockdowns that mitigate tamoxifen toxicity. Approximatively 20 L1-arrested animals were added to

each well. Two days later, hits were scored visually using a dissecting microscope as

knockdowns leading to a difference in animal drug response relative to animals fed an

E. coli strain containing the empty dsRNA vector backbone (pL4440).

***C. elegans* Dose-Response Curves**

Dose-response curves (DRCs) were performed in 48-well plates with six to twelve

technical replicates. Fifty to one hundred L1-arrested animals were plated onto each well

and incubated at 20°C for 48 hours. Animals that developed beyond the L1 stage were

counted under a dissecting microscope. The percentage of animals that did not

developed was calculated relative to the total number of animals developed in the control

condition. For ΔAUCs in Figures 3D-F, we used the average AUCs from the DRCs of the

E. coli BW25113 and *E. coli* HT115 pL4440 control conditions.

Bacterial Growth Curves

Bacteria were first grown overnight in LB at 37°C and shaking at 200 rpm. Next, bacterial

cultures were diluted 1:200 and grown in LB supplemented with 1% of FA supplements

(or ethanol vehicle as a control). Cultures were then grown for 20h at 37°C and shaking

at 200 rpm, in an Eon™ Microplate Spectrophotometer (BioTek) and absorbance at 600

nm was measured every 900 s.

Fatty Acid and Vitamin E supplementations

Undiluted fatty acid cocktail and PUFAs solutions were supplemented at 1% v.v. in LB for

overnight growth. MUFAs were first diluted 1:10 in ethanol and supplemented at 1% v.v.

in LB for overnight growth. Undiluted vitamin E was supplemented from 0.1% to 10% in

LB for overnight growth.

***C. elegans* FA Measurements**

Fatty acid measurements in bacteria and animals were performed as described before

(Perez and Van Gilst, 2008). Briefly, lipids were extracted from whole animals using a 2:1

chloroform:methanol mixture and dried in liquid nitrogen. A calibrated phospholipid or

triacylglycerol standard was added to the extracted lipids before separation by

solid-phase exchange chromatography. Purified lipids were then converted into fatty acid

methyl esters by incubation with methanol/2.5% H_2SO_4 before GC/MS analysis. All data

are presented as percentage of the total fraction of fatty acids measured in the sample.

Mammalian Cell Culture

MDA-MB-231 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC,

Manassas, VA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium

(Corning, 10-017-CV). T-47D cells were grown in RPMI-1640 media (Gibco, 11875119).

All cell culture media were supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, SH30910.03, lot

AYG161519), 2 mM glutamine (Corning, 25-005-CI), and penicillin/streptomycin

(Corning, 30-002-CI). Cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

In addition, cell lines were maintained at low passage numbers and regularly tested for

mycoplasma.

Mammalian Drug Sensitivity Assays

Measurement of lethal fraction (LF), fractional viability (FV), and normalized growth rate

inhibition (GR) were performed using the FLICK assay as previously described (Richards

et al., 2021). Briefly, cells were seeded in 96-well optical-bottom black-walled plates

(Greiner Bio-One, 655090) in 90 µL of media and allowed to adhere overnight. Density

was optimized for each cell line depending on the growth rate of the cells and the duration

of the assay. Dilutions of tamoxifen (Selleckchem, S1972) were prepared at 10x final

concentration in media containing 50 µM SYTOX green (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

S7020). 10 µL of drug combinations were supplemented to the cell culture media and

fluorescence signals (excitation = 504 nm, emission = 523 nm) were recorded at the

indicated time points on a Tecan Spark multimode plate reader. Total cell fluorescence

was evaluated by lysing untreated cells at the start and experimental conditions at the

end of the assay, using a supplement of 0.1% Triton X-100 (Fisher Scientific, BP151-500)

diluted in PBS.

Gene expression profiling by RNA-seq

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (ThermoFisher), followed by DNase I (NEB)

treatment and purified using the Direct-zol RNA mini-prep kit (Zymo research). RNA

quality was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Briefly, multiplexed libraries were

prepared using Cel-seq2 (Hashimshony et al., 2016). Two to three biological replicates

were sequenced with a NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2.5 (75 Cycles) on a

Nextseq500 sequencer. Paired end sequencing was performed; 13 cycles for read 1, six

cycles for the illumina index and 73 cycles for read 2. Analysis were performed as

previously detailed (Giese et al., 2020), using a homemade DolphinNext pipeline

(Yukselen et al., 2020).

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO.

This study does not report original code.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information includes five Supplemental Figures and six Supplemental

Tables.

REFERENCES

Bekele, R.T., Venkatraman, G., Liu, R.Z., Tang, X., Mi, S., Benesch, M.G., Mackey, J.R., Godbout, R.,
Curtis, J.M., McMullen, T.P.*, et al.* (2016). Oxidative stress contributes to the tamoxifen-induced killing of
breast cancer cells: implications for tamoxifen therapy and resistance. Sci Rep *6*, 21164.
614
615 Brooks, K.K., Liang, B., and Watts, J.L. (2009). The influence of bacterial diet on fat storage in *C. elegans.*
616 PLoS One *4*, e7545.
617
618 Clifford, R.E., Bowden, D., Blower, E., Kirwan, C.C., and Vimalachandran, D. (2020). Does tamoxifen have
619 a therapeutic role outside of breast cancer? A systematic review of the evidence. Surg Oncol *33*, 100-107.
620
621 Connor, A.J., and Watts, J.L. (2019). Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acid metabolism: modeling growth and
622 disease uisng *Caenorhabditis elegans*. In Omega fatty acids in brain and neurological health (second
623 edition) (Academic Press), pp. 107-116.
624
625 Dancy, B.C., Chen, S.W., Drechsler, R., Gafken, P.R., and Olsen, C.P. (2015). 13C- and 15N-Labeling
626 Strategies Combined with Mass Spectrometry Comprehensively Quantify Phospholipid Dynamics in *C.
627 elegans*. PLoS One *10*, e0141850.
628
629 Devkota, R., Kaper, D., Bodhicharla, R., Henricsson, M., Boren, J., and Pilon, M. (2021). A Genetic Titration
630 of Membrane Composition in *C. elegans* Reveals its Importance for Multiple Cellular and Physiological
631 Traits. Genetics.
632
633 Diot, C., Garcia-Gonzalez, A.P., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2018). *C. elegans* and its bacterial diet: an
634 interspecies model to explore the effects of microbiota on drug response. Drug Discovery Today: Disease
635 Models *28*, 21-26.
636

Felix, M.A., and Braendle, C. (2010). The natural history of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr Biol *20*, R965-
969.

Galluzzi, L., Vitale, I., Aaronson, S.A., Abrams, J.M., Adam, D., Agostinis, P., Alnemri, E.S., Altucci, L.,
Amelio, I., Andrews, D.W.*, et al.* (2018). Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the
Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. Cell Death Differ *25*, 486-541.

Garbarino, J., and Sturley, S.L. (2009). Saturated with fat: new perspectives on lipotoxicity. Curr Opin Clin
Nutr Metab Care *12*, 110-116.

Garcia-Gonzalez, A.P., Ritter, A.D., Shrestha, S., Andersen, E.C., Yilmaz, L.S., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2017).
Bacterial metabolism affects the *C. elegans* response to cancer chemotherapeutics. Cell *169*, 431-441
e438.

Garcia-Gonzalez, A.P., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2017). Worms, bugs and drugs: *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a
model for host-microbe-drug interactions. Curr Opin Systems Biol *6*, 46-50.

Gardner, H.W. (1989). Oxygen radical chemistry of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Free Radic Biol Med *7*, 65-
86.

Giese, G.E., Walker, M.D., Ponomarova, O., Zhang, H., Li, X., Minevich, G., and Walhout, A.J. (2020). *C.
elegans* methionine/S-adenosylmethionine cycle activity is sensed and adjusted by a nuclear hormone
receptor. Elife *9*.

Hafner, M., Niepel, M., Chung, M., and Sorger, P.K. (2016). Growth rate inhibition metrics correct for
confounders in measuring sensitivity to cancer drugs. Nat Methods *13*, 521-527.

Hashimshony, T., Senderovich, N., Avital, G., Klochendler, A., de Leeuw, Y., Anavy, L., Gennert, D., Li, S.,
Livak, K.J., Rozenblatt-Rosen, O.*, et al.* (2016). CEL-Seq2: sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-
Seq. Genome Biol *17*, 77.

Hrycay, E.G., and Bandiera, S.M. (2015). Involvement of Cytochrome P450 in Reactive Oxygen Species
Formation and Cancer. Adv Pharmacol *74*, 35-84.

Joerger, R.D., and Ganguly, A. (2017). Current Status of the Preharvest Application of Pro- and Prebiotics
to Farm Animals to Enhance the Microbial Safety of Animal Products. Microbiol Spectr *5*.

Jordan, V.C. (2003). Tamoxifen: a most unlikely pioneering medicine. Nat Rev Drug Discov *2*, 205-213.

Kamath, R.S., Fraser, A.G., Dong, Y., Poulin, G., Durbin, R., Gotta, M., Kanapin, A., Le Bot, N., Moreno,
S., Sohrmann, M.*, et al.* (2003). Systematic functional analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome using
RNAi. Nature *421*, 231-237.

Kaneda, T. (1977). Fatty acids of the genus Bacillus: an example of branched-chain preference. Bacteriol
Rev *41*, 391-418.

Kim, H., Ishidate, T., Ghanta, K.S., Seth, M., Conte, D., Jr., Shirayama, M., and Mello, C.C. (2014). A co-
CRISPR strategy for efficient genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *197*, 1069-1080.

Kisanga, E.R., Gjerde, J., Guerrieri-Gonzaga, A., Pigatto, F., Pesci-Feltri, A., Robertson, C., Serrano, D.,
Pelosi, G., Decensi, A., and Lien, E.A. (2004). Tamoxifen and metabolite concentrations in serum and
breast cancer tissue during three dose regimens in a randomized preoperative trial. Clin Cancer Res *10*,
2336-2343.

Koppel, N., Maini Rekdal, V., and Balskus, E.P. (2017). Chemical transformation of xenobiotics by the
human gut microbiota. Science *356*.

694
695   Lacroix, M., and Leclercq, G. (2004). Relevance of breast cancer cell lines as models for breast tumours:
696   an update. Breast Cancer Res Treat *83*, 249-289.

697
698   Liu, Y., Xu, S., Zhang, C., Zhu, X., Hammad, M.A., Zhang, X., Christian, M., Zhang, H., and Liu, P. (2018).
699   Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase family proteins on lipid droplets through bacteria, *C. elegans*, and
700   mammals. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids *1863*, 881-894.

701
702   Magtanong, L., Ko, P.J., To, M., Cao, J.Y., Forcina, G.C., Tarangelo, A., Ward, C.C., Cho, K., Patti, G.J.,
703   Nomura, D.K*., et al.* (2019). Exogenous Monounsaturated Fatty Acids Promote a Ferroptosis-Resistant Cell
704   State. Cell Chem Biol *26*, 420-432 e429.

705
706   Maier, L., Pruteanu, M., Kuhn, M., Zeller, G., Telzerow, A., Anderson, E.E., Brochado, A.R., Fernandez,
707   K.C., Dose, H., Mori, H*., et al.* (2018). Extensive impact of non-antibiotic drugs on human gut bacteria.
708   Nature *555*, 623-628.

709
710   Manna, S., and Holz, M.K. (2016). Tamoxifen Action in ER-Negative Breast Cancer. Sign Transduct
711   Insights *5*, 1-7.

712
713   Nakamura, Y., Matsuzaka, T., Tahara-Hanaoka, S., Shibuya, K., Shimano, H., Nakahashi-Oda, C., and
714   Shibuya, A. (2018). Elovl6 regulates mechanical damage-induced keratinocyte death and skin
715   inflammation. Cell Death Dis *9*, 1181.

716
717   Olzmann, J.A., and Carvalho, P. (2019). Dynamics and functions of lipid droplets. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *20*,
718   137-155.

719
720   Perez, C.L., and Van Gilst, M.R. (2008). A 13C isotope labeling strategy reveals the influence of insulin
721   signaling on lipogenesis in *C. elegans*. Cell Metab *8*, 266-274.

722
723   Perez, M.A., Magtanong, L., Dixon, S.J., and Watts, J.L. (2020). Dietary Lipids Induce Ferroptosis in
724   *Caenorhabditis elegans* and Human Cancer Cells. Dev Cell *54*, 447-454 e444.

725
726   Reece-Hoyes, J.S., Deplancke, B., Shingles, J., Grove, C.A., Hope, I.A., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2005). A
727   compendium of *C. elegans* regulatory transcription factors: a resource for mapping transcription regulatory
728   networks. Genome Biol *6*, R110.

729
730   Richards, R., Honeywell, M.E., and Lee, M.J. (2021). FLICK: an optimized plate reader-based assay to
731   infer cell death kinetics. STAR Protoc *2*, 100327.

732
733   Robinson, S.P., Langan-Fahey, S.M., Johnson, D.A., and Jordan, V.C. (1991). Metabolites,
734   pharmacodynamics, and pharmacokinetics of tamoxifen in rats and mice compared to the breast cancer
735   patient. Drug Metab Dispos *19*, 36-43.

736
737   Rosener, B., Sayin, S., Oluoch, P.O., Garcia Gonzalez, A.P., Mori, H., Walhout, A.J., and Mitchell, A.
738   (2020). Evolved bacterial resistance against fluoropyrimidines can lower chemotherapy impact in the
739   *Caenorhabditis elegans* host. Elife *9*.

740
741   Rual, J.-F., Ceron, J., Koreth, J., Hao, T., Nicot, A.-S., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Vandenhaute, J., Orkin,
742   S.H., Hill, D.E., van den Heuvel, S*., et al.* (2004). Toward improving *Caenorhabditis elegans* phenome
743   mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. Genome Res *14*, 2162-2168.

744
745   Schwartz, H.R., Richards, R., Fontana, R.E., Joyce, A.J., Honeywell, M.E., and Lee, M.J. (2020). Drug
746   GRADE: An Integrated Analysis of Population Growth and Cell Death Reveals Drug-Specific and Cancer
747   Subtype-Specific Response Profiles. Cell Rep *31*, 107800.

748
749 Scott, T.A., Quintaneiro, L.M., Norvaisas, P., Lui, P.P., Wilson, M.P., Leung, K.Y., Herrera-Dominguez, L.,
750 Sudiwala, S., Pessia, A., Clayton, P.T.*, et al.* (2017). Host-microbe co-metabolism cictates cancer drug
751 efficacy in *C. elegans*. Cell *169*, 442-456 e418.
752
753 Selwyn, F.P., Cui, J.Y., and Klaassen, C.D. (2015). RNA-Seq Quantification of Hepatic Drug Processing
754 Genes in Germ-Free Mice. Drug Metab Dispos *43*, 1572-1580.
755
756 Shagufta, and Ahmad, I. (2018). Tamoxifen a pioneering drug: An update on the therapeutic potential of
757 tamoxifen derivatives. Eur J Med Chem *143*, 515-531.
758
759 Sharma, S., Morinaga, H., Hwang, V., Fan, W., Fernandez, M.O., Varki, N., Olefsky, J.M., and Webster,
760 N.J. (2013). Free fatty acids induce Lhb mRNA but suppress Fshb mRNA in pituitary LbetaT2 gonadotropes
761 and diet-induced obesity reduces FSH levels in male mice and disrupts the proestrous LH/FSH surge in
762 female mice. Endocrinology *154*, 2188-2199.
763
764 Shelly, W., Draper, M.W., Krishnan, V., Wong, M., and Jaffe, R.B. (2008). Selective estrogen receptor
765 modulators: an update on recent clinical findings. Obstet Gynecol Surv *63*, 163-181.
766
767 Singh, M.S., Francis, P.A., and Michael, M. (2011). Tamoxifen, cytochrome P450 genes and breast cancer
768 clinical outcomes. Breast *20*, 111-118.
769
770 Sivik, T., Gunnarsson, C., Fornander, T., Nordenskjold, B., Skoog, L., Stal, O., and Jansson, A. (2012).
771 17beta-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 14 is a predictive marker for tamoxifen response in oestrogen
772 receptor positive breast cancer. PLoS One *7*, e40568.
773
774 Spanogiannopoulos, P., Bess, E.N., Carmody, R.N., and Turnbaugh, P.J. (2016). The microbial
775 pharmacists within us: a metagenomic view of xenobiotic metabolism. Nat Rev Microbiol *14*, 273-287.
776
777 Sulston, J.E., and Horvitz, H.R. (1977). Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode, *Caenorhabditis
778 elegans*. Dev Biol *56*, 110-156.
779
780 Sulston, J.E., Schierenberg, E., White, J.G., and Thomson, J.N. (1983). The embryonic cell lineage of the
781 nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol *100*, 64-119.
782
783 Taubert, S., Ward, J.D., and Yamamoto, K.R. (2011). Nuclear hormone receptors in nematodes: evolution
784 and function. Mol Cell Endocrinol *334*, 49-55.
785
786 Tesoriere, L., Ciaccio, M., Bongiorno, A., Riccio, A., Pintaudi, A.M., and Livrea, M.A. (1993). Antioxidant
787 activity of all-trans-retinol in homogeneous solution and in phosphatidylcholine liposomes. Arch Biochem
788 Biophys *307*, 217-223.
789
790 Traber, M.G., and Atkinson, J. (2007). Vitamin E, antioxidant and nothing more. Free Radic Biol Med *43*,
791 4-15.
792 Walker, M.D., Giese, G.E., Holdorf, A.D., Bhattacharya, S., Diot, C., Garcia-Gonzalez, A.P., Horowitz, B.,
793 Lee, Y.U., Leland, T., Li, X.*, et al.* (2021). WormPaths: *Caenorhabditis elegans* metabolic pathway
794 annotation and visualization. bioRxiv.
795
796 Watson, E., MacNeil, L.T., Arda, H.E., Zhu, L.J., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2013). Integration of metabolic and
797 gene regulatory networks modulates the *C. elegans* dietary response. Cell *153*, 253-266.
798
799 Watson, E., MacNeil, L.T., Ritter, A.D., Yilmaz, L.S., Rosebrock, A.P., Caudy, A.A., and Walhout, A.J.M.
800 (2014). Interspecies systems biology uncovers metabolites affecting *C. elegans* gene expression and life
801 history traits. Cell *156*, 759-770.
802

Watts, J.L. (2009). Fat synthesis and adiposity regulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Trends Endocrinol
Metab *20*, 58-65.

Watts, J.L., and Browse, J. (2002). Genetic dissection of polyunsaturated fatty acid synthesis in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *99*, 5854-5859.

Yilmaz, L.S., Li, X., Nanda, S., Fox, B., Schroeder, F., and Walhout, A.J. (2020). Modeling tissue-relevant
Caenorhabditis elegans metabolism at network, pathway, reaction, and metabolite levels. Mol Syst Biol *16*,
e9649.

Yilmaz, L.S., and Walhout, A.J. (2016). A *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome-scale metabolic network model.
Cell Syst *2*, 297-311.

Yilmaz, L.S., and Walhout, A.J.M. (2014). Worms, bacteria and micronutrients: an elegant model of our
diet. Trends Genet *30*, 496-503.

Yuan, J., Shaham, S., Ledoux, S., Ellis, H.M., and Horvitz, H.R. (1993). The *C. elegans* cell death gene
ced-3 encodes a protein similar to mammalian interleukin-1 beta-converting enzyme. Cell *75*, 641-652.

Yukselen, O., Turkyilmaz, O., Ozturk, A.R., Garber, M., and Kucukural, A. (2020). DolphinNext: a distributed
data processing platform for high throughput genomics. BMC Genomics *21*, 310.

Zhang, J., Li, X., Olmedo, M., Holdorf, A.D., Shang, Y., Artal-Sanz, M., Yilmaz, L.S., and Walhout, A.J.M.
(2019). A delicate balance between bacterial iron and reactive oxygen species supports optimal *C. elegans*
development. Cell Host Microbe *26*, 400-411 e403.

Zhang, P., Na, H., Liu, Z., Zhang, S., Xue, P., Chen, Y., Pu, J., Peng, G., Huang, X., Yang, F.*, et al.* (2012).
Proteomic study and marker protein identification of *Caenorhabditis elegans* lipid droplets. Mol Cell
Proteomics *11*, 317-328.

Zhang, X., Han, Y., Huang, W., Jin, M., and Gao, Z. (2021). The influence of the gut microbiota on the
bioavailability of oral drugs. Acta Pharm Sin B *11*, 1789-1812.

A Growth Rate index vs Tamoxifen (log$_{10}$, μM)

B Fractional Viability vs Tamoxifen (log$_{10}$, μM)

● T-47D (ER-positive)
● MDA-MB-231 (ER-negative)

C Tamoxifen (μM)
- 6 60 600
E. coli
C. aquatica
B. subtilis

D — *E. coli* — *C. aquatica* — *B. subtilis*
No development (%) vs Tamoxifen (μM)

Diot *et al.* Figure 2

Diot, *et al.* Figure 3