

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 1

1 **Title:** Once-daily feeding is associated with better health in companion dogs: Results from the
2 Dog Aging Project

3 **Authors:** Emily E. Bray^{1,2}, Zihan Zheng³, M. Katherine Tolbert⁴, Brianah M. McCoy⁵, Dog Aging
4 Project Consortium, Matt Kaerberlein⁶, Kathleen F. Kerr³

5 ¹Arizona Canine Cognition Center, School of Anthropology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ,
6 USA

7 ²Canine Companions for Independence, National Headquarters, Santa Rosa, CA, USA

8 ³Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

9 ⁴Gastrointestinal Laboratory, Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College of
10 Veterinary Medicine, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

11 ⁵School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

12 ⁶Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,
13 USA

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 2

42

Abstract

43 A variety of diets have been studied for possible anti-aging effects. In particular, studies
44 of intermittent fasting and time-restricted feeding in laboratory rodents have found evidence of
45 beneficial health outcomes. Companion dogs represent a unique opportunity to study diet in a
46 large mammal that shares human environments. The Dog Aging Project has been collecting
47 data on thousands of companion dogs of all different ages, sizes, and breeds since 2019. We
48 leveraged this diverse cross-sectional dataset to investigate associations between feeding
49 frequency and cognitive function ($n = 10,474$) as well as nine broad categories of health
50 conditions ($n = 24,238$). Controlling for sex, age, breed, and other potential confounders, we
51 found that dogs fed once daily rather than more frequently had lower mean scores on a
52 cognitive dysfunction scale, and lower odds of having gastrointestinal, dental, orthopedic,
53 kidney/urinary, and liver/pancreas disorders. Therefore, we find that once-daily feeding is
54 associated with better health in multiple domains. Future research with longitudinal data can
55 provide stronger evidence for a possible causal effect of feeding frequency on health in
56 companion dogs.

57

Keywords:

58 Canine, Canine Cognitive Dysfunction, Feeding frequency, Healthy aging, Time-restricted
59 feeding

60

Introduction

61 For nearly a century, caloric restriction has been known to extend lifespan and delay age-
62 associated pathology in laboratory animals [1–5]. More recently, in both animals and humans, a
63 variety of alternative “anti-aging” diet modalities have been described which are providing new
64 mechanistic insights and potential clinical applications [6]. These diets include intermittent
65 fasting [7, 8], fasting mimicking diets [9, 10], ketogenic diets [11–15], protein or essential amino
66 acid restriction [16, 17], and time-restricted feeding [18–20].

67 These diets have been most extensively studied in rodents in controlled laboratory settings, due
68 to ease of administering diets on a specific schedule and an enhanced ability to tease apart the
69 mechanisms through which they act. Time-restricted feeding studies in rodents suggest
70 improvements in several metabolic parameters, including glucose and insulin homeostasis,
71 energy expenditure, hepatic pathology, resistance to different obesogenic diets, and improved
72 circadian rhythm maintenance during aging [21–23]. In one study, mice who experienced time-
73 restricted feeding demonstrated an 11% extension in lifespan [18]. Additionally, several studies
74 demonstrate that caloric restriction and intermittent fasting play a protective role in maintaining
75 and enhancing cognitive function, including memory and spatial learning [24–28]. It remains
76 unclear, however, whether these benefits in laboratory animals are generally due to reduced
77 caloric intake or meal frequency or both [6].

78 Despite mainstream popularization in humans of several of these diets, the beneficial health
79 effects of time-restricted feeding outside of a laboratory setting are less clear. In some human

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 3

80 studies, only mild improvements in body composition and cardiovascular risk factors were
81 detected [29], even when subjects also reduced their daily caloric intake [30]. In other studies,
82 detrimental effects on glucose homeostasis were observed with time-restricted feeding [31].
83 Finally, while some studies have found potential cognitive benefits, especially for memory in
84 older adults [32, 33], other studies have shown no effect of fasting on cognition [34, 35].

85 Companion dogs provide a potentially powerful animal model to elucidate the relationship
86 between diet and age-related health outcomes [36]. Having co-evolved alongside people for
87 thousands of years [37], companion dogs share human environments, experience similar
88 diseases, and receive similar medical care. Once-daily feeding in dogs serves as a natural
89 model for the intermittent fasting/time-restricted feeding protocols currently being studied both in
90 preclinical rodent models and in human trials [38].

91 The Dog Aging Project is a large-scale research initiative following thousands of companion
92 dogs over their lifetimes to better understand how biology, lifestyle, and environment impact
93 healthy aging [39]. Participating owners report annually on a variety of aspects related to their
94 dog's diet, primary and secondary activities, social and physical environments, medications, and
95 health conditions. In the current study, we used cross-sectional data collected in the first year of
96 the Dog Aging Project to ask if feeding frequency is associated with cognitive function and
97 health conditions. Specifically, we hypothesized that dogs fed once-a-day would display lower
98 rates of physical health issues and better cognitive scores compared to dogs fed more
99 frequently.

100 **Methods**

101 *Subjects*

102 All dogs had been recruited to join the Dog Aging Project (DAP) via mainstream media, social
103 media, or word of mouth. Their owners then completed the relevant online surveys between
104 December 26, 2019 and December 31, 2020 [40]. Study data were collected and managed
105 using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted through the DAP [41, 42]. REDCap
106 (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based software platform designed to
107 support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive interface for validated data
108 capture; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated
109 export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and 4)
110 procedures for data integration and interoperability with external sources.

111 *Instruments*

112 The first survey that participants completed was the Health and Life Experience Survey (HLES),
113 which collects information regarding dog demographics, physical activity, environment, dog
114 behavior, diet, medications and preventives, health status, and owner demographics. In the
115 current investigation we were principally interested in feeding frequency and health status, and
116 we identified *a priori* health conditions that could plausibly be affected by feeding frequency.

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 4

117 After completing HLES, all participants were offered the opportunity to complete the Canine
118 Social and Learned Behavior Survey (CSLB), which measures cognitive function. The CSLB,
119 renamed by the DAP, is the same as the validated Canine Cognitive Dysfunction Rating Scale
120 (CCDR) [43], with only a handful of minor wording changes. The Canine Cognitive Dysfunction
121 Rating Scale was presented to participants as the Canine Social and Learned Behavior Survey
122 to avoid the negative connotations of the phrase 'cognitive dysfunction. This instrument asks
123 owners to indicate the frequency with which their dogs exhibit behaviors indicative of dementia
124 (i.e., disengagement from social activity; difficulty in navigation, searching, and recognition).
125 Based on owner responses, dogs receive a score that ranges from 16 to 80, where higher
126 scores are indicative of worse cognitive function.

127 During the study time period, 27,541 DAP participants completed HLES, and 20,096 DAP
128 participants completed CSLB.

129 *Ethical Note*

130 The University of Washington IRB deemed that recruitment of dog owners for the Dog Aging
131 Project, and the administration and content of the DAP Health and Life Experience Survey
132 (HLES), are human subjects research that qualifies for Category 2 exempt status (IRB ID no.
133 5988, effective 10/30/2018). No interactions between researchers and privately owned dogs
134 occurred; therefore, IACUC oversight was not required.

135 *Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria*

136 Given that meal frequency is adjusted as puppies mature, we specified age inclusion as $1 \leq \text{age}$
137 < 18 years for all health outcomes. For the CSLB outcome, we specified age inclusion as $6 \leq$
138 $\text{age} < 18$ years, as 6 years is the youngest age indicated in the literature where signs of
139 cognitive decline can start to appear in dogs [44–46]. Less than 5% of dogs in the DAP are
140 intact and these dogs were excluded, as well as dogs (<1%) whose owners reported that their
141 diet was "not at all consistent." Thus, in our final sample, all dogs were spayed or neutered due
142 to exclusion criteria, and slightly less than half of dogs were male. About one-fifth of dogs
143 received daily or more frequent omega-3 or other fatty acid supplementation in their diet.

144 We studied health conditions that were reported in the nine broad categories on HLES that
145 could plausibly be affected by feeding frequency: dental or oral disease, skin disorders,
146 orthopedic disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, cancer or tumors, kidney or urinary disorders,
147 cardiac disorders, neurological disorders, and liver or pancreas disorders. The other broad
148 categories of health conditions reported in HLES were not analyzed because they were either
149 based on temporary situational and/or environmental factors and thus unlikely to be associated
150 with feeding frequency (e.g., trauma, ingesting toxic substances, infectious or parasitic
151 disorders); were infrequently reported and thus had a very small sample size (less than 3.5% of
152 the total sample; e.g., respiratory disorders, endocrine disorders, reproductive system disorders,
153 immune-mediated disorders, and hematopoietic disorders); or there was no compelling rationale
154 as to why feeding frequency would affect them (e.g., ear, nose, and throat disorders, eye
155 disorders).

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 5

156 For the health categories examined in this investigation, all participants were assigned a binary
157 score (affected/unaffected). Dogs were considered ‘affected’ if their owner reported them to
158 have at least one relevant condition within a given category. However, we did not consider any
159 congenital health outcomes as ‘affected’: since animals were born with these conditions, their
160 feeding regimen was by definition instituted after onset and could therefore not have affected
161 the development of the condition. Similarly, disorders linked to transient situational factors,
162 including infectious diseases and trauma, were not considered as ‘affected,’ as the
163 circumstantial nature of these instances made them unlikely to be affected by feeding
164 frequency.

165 See Supplementary Information 1 for details of all inclusion/exclusion criteria, as well as the
166 specific conditions that qualified a dog as affected within the dental or oral disease, skin
167 disorders, orthopedic disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, cancer or tumors, kidney or urinary
168 disorders, cardiac disorders, neurological disorders, and liver or pancreas disorders categories.

169 After applying exclusion criteria, the final sample consisted of responses from 24,238 HLES
170 surveys and 10,474 CSLB surveys. The CSLB was always completed at least one week after
171 completion of HLES. Most participants in the final sample (88%) completed CSLB within 3
172 months of completing HLES and always within a year (range: 7 to 352 days, average: 47 days).

173 *Explanatory variables*

174 We analyzed feeding frequency as a binary exposure, comparing dogs fed once-daily to dogs
175 fed more frequently. Specifically, owners were asked “How many times per day is your dog
176 fed?” The dogs of owners who answered “Once” were sorted into the once-daily category,
177 whereas the dogs of owners who answered “Twice”, “Three or more”, or “Free fed (filling up
178 bowl when empty or always having food available)” were sorted into the fed-more-frequently
179 category. In all analyses, 8% of the total sample were fed once daily (Table 1a and Table 1b).

180 In our analyses, we adjusted for sex (spayed female or neutered male), age, breed for purebred
181 dogs and body size (as captured by weight) for mixed breed dogs. We also adjusted for whether
182 the owner reported daily omega-3 (or other fatty acid) diet supplementation for all analyses
183 except for dental/oral disorders and liver/pancreas, as there is evidence in the literature that
184 fatty acids can have beneficial effects on cognitive function, skin, cardiac, gastrointestinal, renal,
185 orthopedic, and neoplastic outcomes [47–55]. For analysis of CSLB, we additionally adjusted for
186 two factors that are thought to affect cognitive function: physical activity level [56] and whether
187 the dog has a history of training (according to the dog’s primary or secondary activity indicated
188 by the owner; e.g., show dogs, service dogs, and dogs trained for field trials vs. pets/companion
189 dogs; see Supplementary Information 2 for full details) [57].

190 We adjusted for the breed of purebred dogs as a categorical variable. After inspecting the
191 distribution of weight by breed, we subdivided Standard poodles into two breeds for the
192 analysis, large poodles (weight \geq 13.6 kg (30 lb)) and small poodles (weight $<$ 13.6 kg (30 lb)).
193 Although there are over 200 breeds represented in DAP data, for each analysis we only
194 included breeds that had at least one exposed and one unexposed dog because breeds without

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 6

195 variance in the exposure cannot inform the exposure-outcome association. We also restricted
196 our analyses to breeds with at least 10 dogs meeting inclusion criteria. These restrictions
197 reduced the number of breeds to 76 breeds for the CSLB analysis and 100 breeds for the
198 analyses of health outcomes.

199 *Statistical Methods*

200 All statistical analyses were carried out in R v.4.0.3 [58]. Age was flexibly modeled using natural
201 splines with interior knots at 7, 10, and 14 years for CSLB analysis and interior knots at 2, 7,
202 and 13 years for health outcomes [59]. Weight was similarly modeled using natural splines with
203 interior knots at 14, 48, and 79 lbs. In each instance, interior knots are at approximately the 10th,
204 50th, and 90th percentile of each variable. We explored more elaborate adjustment models (e.g.,
205 4 or 5 interior knots), but these were not supported by metrics such as AIC and examination of
206 some results suggested overfitting.

207 To adjust for physical activity, we performed principal component analysis on three HLES-
208 reported activity variables: lifestyle activity level (reported as not active, moderately active, or
209 very active over the past year), average activity intensity level (reported as low: walking,
210 medium: jogging, or vigorous: sprinting, such as playing fetch or frisbee), and average daily time
211 spent physically active (reported in hours and minutes). Parallel analysis recommended
212 retaining one principal component. This principal component captured 52% of the variance, and
213 we used the loadings onto the first principal component as a physical activity score (PA-score).
214 We adjusted for PA-score using natural splines with interior knots at approximately the 10th, 50th,
215 and 90th percentiles.

216 Mixed breed dogs were included as a separate category of breed, and we adjusted for body
217 size, as measured by weight, for mixed breed dogs only by constructing a variable *weight*MB*
218 where *weight* is the dog's weight and *MB* = 1 for mixed breed dogs and *MB* = 0 for purebred
219 dogs. This is analogous to grouping mixed breed dogs by weight and including each group as a
220 breed, except that our approach uses continuous weight information.

221 We used linear regression for analysis of CSLB and logistic regression for analysis of all health
222 outcomes. For linear regression, the large number of parameters in the model due to 76 breeds
223 does not cause statistical issues. However, large models are problematic for logistic regression
224 when using conventional maximum likelihood model-fitting [60]. Therefore, we fit the logistic
225 models using a conditional likelihood, where the conditioning was on the breed categories. This
226 approach allowed breed to be in the model without necessitating the estimation of 100 breed
227 parameters. Due to the large size of the data set, maximizing the exact conditional likelihood
228 was not computationally feasible, and we used the Efron approximation. We investigated the
229 fidelity of the approximation with follow-up analyses (reported in Supplementary Information 3)
230 on mixed breed dogs plus dogs from the 10 most common breeds and fitting the model with
231 ordinary logistic regression. The 10 most common breeds were Australian shepherd, beagle,
232 border collie, chihuahua, dachshund, German shepherd, golden retriever, Labrador retriever,
233 poodles (large), and pugs (Table 1b). We also treated these analyses as secondary analyses to
234 assess the robustness of our findings. We used robust standard error estimates for all

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 7

235 regression analyses. All hypothesis tests were two-sided and we did not adjust for multiple
236 comparisons.

237 **Results**

238 In the CSLB analysis ($n = 10,474$ dogs), 56% of dogs were mixed breed with the remaining
239 dogs belonging to 76 breeds (Table 1a and Supplementary Information 4). Dogs fed once per
240 day had, on average, a 0.62 point lower CSLB score than dogs fed more than once per day
241 (95%: 0.27, 0.97; $p < 0.001$), adjusting for age, sex, weight (for mixed breed dogs), breed (for
242 purebred dogs), cognitive activity, physical activity level, and fatty acid supplementation (Figure
243 1; see Supplementary Information 3 for the full model). This effect size of 0.62 points is roughly
244 the same difference in mean CSLB score between 11- and 7-year-old dogs.

245 In analyses of health conditions ($n = 24,238$ dogs), 55% of dogs were of mixed breed and the
246 remaining dogs belonged to 100 breeds (Table 1b and Supplementary Information 5). For five of
247 nine health conditions analyzed, we found evidence that being fed once per day vs. more often
248 is associated with lower odds of having the health condition (Figure 2; Table 2). Adjusted odds
249 ratios were less than one and statistically significant for gastrointestinal, dental/oral, orthopedic,
250 kidney/urinary, and liver/pancreas health conditions. Adjusted odds ratios were also less than
251 one for the remaining four categories of health conditions (cardiac, skin, neurological, cancer),
252 but not statistically significant (see Supplementary Information 3 for detailed model results).
253 Results were similar in secondary analyses including only mixed breed dogs and dogs from the
254 ten most common breeds (see Supplementary Information 3 for full report).

255 **Discussion**

256 Using observational data from the Dog Aging Project, this is the largest study to date of feeding
257 frequency conducted in companion dogs. We found that adult dogs fed once daily have better
258 average cognitive scores and are less likely to have gastrointestinal, dental/oral, orthopedic,
259 kidney/urinary, and liver/pancreas health conditions than dogs fed more frequently. While it is
260 important to note that this study does not demonstrate causality, our observations are consistent
261 with prior work in laboratory mice and observational studies in humans [61] suggesting that diets
262 that restrict the timing of feeding are associated with better cognitive function and physical
263 health.

264 In addition to being able to observe the animals in a naturalistic versus laboratory setting, one of
265 the major strengths of our investigation is the large sample size of dogs included (CSLB
266 assessment: 10,474 dogs; all other health conditions: 24,238 dogs). Furthermore, our statistical
267 methods used flexible adjustment of continuous covariates (age, weight, and physical activity),
268 reducing the possibility of residual confounding by these factors.

269 The key limitation of this work is that it is a cross-sectional analysis. Thus, we cannot rule out
270 the possibility that dog owners shifted to more frequent feeding in response to health conditions,
271 and observed associations are due in whole or part to reverse causality. This is a particular
272 concern for gastrointestinal conditions and liver conditions, which are the two health conditions

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 8

273 with the strongest observed associations. Such a shift would not be captured in the current
274 dataset as owners reported on their dog's current feeding frequency but did not provide
275 information on feeding frequency history. In the future, we will gather this information through
276 annual 'snapshots' since participants complete HLES each year. As the Dog Aging Project
277 accrues longitudinal data over the next several years, investigations can compare dogs with
278 different feeding frequencies who do not have a health condition and prospectively examine
279 incidence of the condition. Such analyses can provide stronger evidence for a causal effect of
280 feeding frequency on health.

281 It is plausible that once-daily feeding tends to result in lower overall caloric intake compared to
282 more frequent feeding. Since we do not have data on caloric intake, we cannot analyze whether
283 observed associations are mediated by caloric intake or reflect a possible effect of feeding
284 frequency through other pathways. Caloric restriction has been previously reported to extend
285 lifespan and improve health in Labrador retrievers maintained in a laboratory setting [5],
286 although it has not yet been studied in other breeds of dog or in companion dogs.

287 This study has other limitations. All data are owner-reported and thus subject to error in recall
288 and interpretation. However, while a given owner's responses on their dog's cognitive function,
289 physical activity, and other health conditions might reflect individual differences in interpretation
290 and reporting errors, it is unlikely that these would generate the specific associations we
291 observed. We were also unable to account for dogs reported as fed once-daily but who received
292 snacks and treats throughout the day. Although HLES gathers data on frequency of treats, we
293 did not use these data because the caloric content of treats was unknown. Finally, due to the
294 rarity of intact dogs in our sample, analyses included only spayed and neutered dogs. While age
295 at spay or neuter might be an important factor for some health outcomes [62, 63], this
296 information was not incorporated into our analyses because data on the timing of gonadectomy
297 were not available with sufficient detail or completeness.

298 Studies of obesity, including possible associations with feeding frequency [23], will be an
299 important area of future research. This investigation did not consider obesity because
300 information on dogs' body condition scores was not available. We anticipate that these data will
301 be available in the future when owners share their dogs' veterinary electronic medical records
302 (VEMR) with the Dog Aging Project.

303 Given the limitations of this cross-sectional, observational study, the results of this investigation
304 should not be used to make decisions about the feeding or clinical care of companion dogs.
305 However, if supported by future studies, it may be prudent to revisit the currently predominant
306 recommendation that adult dogs be fed twice daily. The rationale for twice-daily feeding in dogs
307 is obscure (although see [64]), and our study suggests that more frequent feeding may, in fact,
308 be suboptimal for several age-related health outcomes.

309 We view these results as an exciting first step of an ongoing exploration of the impact of diet on
310 companion dogs living in human environments. Given the intense interest in, and popularization
311 of, "longevity diets" such as intermittent fasting and time-restricted feeding, these types of

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 9

312 studies in dogs are both timely and important. We believe these studies will ultimately offer
313 insights into factors that promote health and longevity for both dogs and humans.

314 **Author Contributions**

315 All authors contributed to writing – review & editing. E.B.: conceptualization, methodology, data
316 curation, writing – original draft, and project administration. Z.Z.: conceptualization,
317 methodology, formal analysis, and visualization. K.T.: conceptualization and data curation. B.M.:
318 data curation. DAP consortium: resources. M.K.: conceptualization, writing – original draft, and
319 funding acquisition. K.K.: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, data curation, writing
320 – original draft, visualization, project administration, and supervision.

321 **Dog Aging Project Consortium Authors**

322 Joshua M. Akey, Brooke Benton, Elhanan Borenstein, Marta G. Castelhana, Amanda E.
323 Coleman, Kate E. Creevy, Kyle Crowder, Matthew D. Dunbar, Virginia R. Fajt, Annette L.
324 Fitzpatrick, Erica C. Jonlin, Unity Jeffrey, Elinor K. Karlsson, Jonathan M. Levine, Jing Ma,
325 Robyn McClelland, Daniel E.L. Promislow, Audrey Ruple, Stephen M. Schwartz, Sandi Shrager,
326 Noah Snyder-Mackler, Silvan R. Urfer, Benjamin S. Wilfond

327 **Acknowledgments**

328 The Dog Aging Project thanks study participants, their dogs, and community veterinarians for
329 their important contributions. The Dog Aging Project is supported by U19AG057377 and
330 R24AG073137 from the National Institute on Aging, a part of the National Institutes of Health,
331 and by additional grants and private donations. The content is solely the responsibility of the
332 authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

333 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests**

334 The authors declare no competing interests.

335 **Data availability statement**

336 These data are housed on the Terra platform at the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard.

337 **Code availability statement**

338 This study did not use custom code or mathematical algorithms.

339 **Supplementary Information captions**

340 Supplementary Information 1: Summary of the inclusion and exclusion criteria for subjects
341 across all analyses, including guidelines for how all relevant variables were coded.

342 Supplementary Information 2: Criteria for determining whether or not a dog had a history of
343 training (coded as a binary variable).

- 344 Supplementary Information 3: Regression outputs from the CSLB analysis, as well as the
345 regression outputs from the health outcome analyses (both primary and secondary).
- 346 Supplementary Information 4: Complete list of purebred dogs ($n = 76$) included in the CSLB
347 analysis, with sample sizes.
- 348 Supplementary Information 5: Complete list of purebred dogs ($n = 100$) included in analysis of
349 health conditions, with sample sizes.

350 **References**

- 351 1. Weindruch R, Walford RL (1988) The Retardation of Aging and Disease by Dietary
352 Restriction. Charles C Thomas Pub Limited
- 353 2. Anderson RM, Weindruch R (2012) The caloric restriction paradigm: implications for
354 healthy human aging. *Am J Hum Biol* 24:101–106
- 355 3. Mattison JA, Colman RJ, Beasley TM, et al (2017) Caloric restriction improves health and
356 survival of rhesus monkeys. *Nat Commun* 8:14063
- 357 4. Kealy RD, Lawler DF, Ballam JM, et al (2002) Effects of diet restriction on life span and
358 age-related changes in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 220:1315–1320
- 359 5. Lawler DF, Larson BT, Ballam JM, et al (2008) Diet restriction and ageing in the dog: major
360 observations over two decades. *Br J Nutr* 99:793–805
- 361 6. Lee MB, Hill CM, Bitto A, Kaeberlein M (2021) Antiaging diets: Separating fact from fiction.
362 *Science* 374:eabe7365
- 363 7. Mattson MP, Longo VD, Harvie M (2017) Impact of intermittent fasting on health and
364 disease processes. *Ageing Res Rev* 39:46–58
- 365 8. de Cabo R, Mattson MP (2019) Effects of Intermittent Fasting on Health, Aging, and
366 Disease. *N Engl J Med* 381:2541–2551
- 367 9. Brandhorst S, Choi IY, Wei M, et al (2015) A Periodic Diet that Mimics Fasting Promotes
368 Multi-System Regeneration, Enhanced Cognitive Performance, and Healthspan. *Cell*
369 *Metab* 22:86–99
- 370 10. Wei M, Brandhorst S, Shelehchi M, et al (2017) Fasting-mimicking diet and markers/risk
371 factors for aging, diabetes, cancer, and cardiovascular disease. *Sci Transl Med* 9.:
372 <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aai8700>
- 373 11. Newman JC, Covarrubias AJ, Zhao M, et al (2017) Ketogenic Diet Reduces Midlife
374 Mortality and Improves Memory in Aging Mice. *Cell Metab* 26:547–557.e8
- 375 12. Roberts MN, Wallace MA, Tomilov AA, et al (2017) A Ketogenic Diet Extends Longevity
376 and Healthspan in Adult Mice. *Cell Metabolism* 26:539–546.e5
- 377 13. Bostock ECS, Kirkby KC, Taylor BVM (2017) The Current Status of the Ketogenic Diet in
378 Psychiatry. *Front Psychiatry* 8:43

- 379 14. Leung YB, Cave NJ, Heiser A, et al (2019) Metabolic and Immunological Effects of
380 Intermittent Fasting on a Ketogenic Diet Containing Medium-Chain Triglycerides in Healthy
381 Dogs. *Front Vet Sci* 6:480
- 382 15. Packer RMA, Law TH, Davies E, et al (2016) Effects of a ketogenic diet on ADHD-like
383 behavior in dogs with idiopathic epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 55:62–68
- 384 16. Hill CM, Kaeberlein M (2021) Anti-ageing effects of protein restriction unpacked. *Nature*
385 589:357–358
- 386 17. Mirzaei H, Raynes R, Longo VD (2016) The conserved role of protein restriction in aging
387 and disease. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care* 19:74–79
- 388 18. Mitchell SJ, Bernier M, Mattison JA, et al (2019) Daily Fasting Improves Health and
389 Survival in Male Mice Independent of Diet Composition and Calories. *Cell Metab* 29:221–
390 228.e3
- 391 19. Gill S, Le HD, Melkani GC, Panda S (2015) Time-restricted feeding attenuates age-related
392 cardiac decline in *Drosophila*. *Science* 347:1265–1269
- 393 20. Longo VD, Panda S (2016) Fasting, Circadian Rhythms, and Time-Restricted Feeding in
394 Healthy Lifespan. *Cell Metab* 23:1048–1059
- 395 21. Hatori M, Vollmers C, Zarrinpar A, et al (2012) Time-restricted feeding without reducing
396 caloric intake prevents metabolic diseases in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Cell Metab* 15:848–
397 860
- 398 22. Martinez-Lopez N, Tarabra E, Toledo M, et al (2017) System-wide Benefits of Intermeal
399 Fasting by Autophagy. *Cell Metab* 26:856–871.e5
- 400 23. Chaix A, Zarrinpar A, Miu P, Panda S (2014) Time-restricted feeding is a preventative and
401 therapeutic intervention against diverse nutritional challenges. *Cell Metab* 20:991–1005
- 402 24. Yang F, Chu X, Yin M, et al (2014) mTOR and autophagy in normal brain aging and caloric
403 restriction ameliorating age-related cognition deficits. *Behav Brain Res* 264:82–90
- 404 25. Shin BK, Kang S, Da Sol K, Park S (2018) Intermittent fasting protects against the
405 deterioration of cognitive function, energy metabolism and dyslipidemia in Alzheimer's
406 disease-induced estrogen deficient rats. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 243:334–343
- 407 26. Vasconcelos AR, Yshii LM, Viel TA, et al (2014) Intermittent fasting attenuates
408 lipopolysaccharide-induced neuroinflammation and memory impairment. *J*
409 *Neuroinflammation* 11:85
- 410 27. Li L, Wang Z, Zuo Z (2013) Chronic intermittent fasting improves cognitive functions and
411 brain structures in mice. *PLoS One* 8:e66069
- 412 28. Singh R, Lakhanpal D, Kumar S, et al (2012) Late-onset intermittent fasting dietary
413 restriction as a potential intervention to retard age-associated brain function impairments in
414 male rats. *Age* 34:917–933

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 12

- 415 29. Stote KS, Baer DJ, Spears K, et al (2007) A controlled trial of reduced meal frequency
416 without caloric restriction in healthy, normal-weight, middle-aged adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*
417 85:981–988
- 418 30. Cienfuegos S, Gabel K, Kalam F, et al (2020) Effects of 4- and 6-h Time-Restricted
419 Feeding on Weight and Cardiometabolic Health: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Adults
420 with Obesity. *Cell Metabolism* 32:366–378.e3
- 421 31. Carlson O, Martin B, Stote KS, et al (2007) Impact of reduced meal frequency without
422 caloric restriction on glucose regulation in healthy, normal-weight middle-aged men and
423 women. *Metabolism* 56:1729–1734
- 424 32. Witte AV, Fobker M, Gellner R, et al (2009) Caloric restriction improves memory in elderly
425 humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:1255–1260
- 426 33. Leclerc E, Trevizol AP, Grigolon RB, et al (2020) The effect of caloric restriction on working
427 memory in healthy non-obese adults. *CNS Spectr* 25:2–8
- 428 34. Qasrawi SO, Pandi-Perumal SR, BaHamam AS (2017) The effect of intermittent fasting
429 during Ramadan on sleep, sleepiness, cognitive function, and circadian rhythm. *Sleep*
430 *Breath* 21:577–586
- 431 35. Harder-Lauridsen NM, Rosenberg A, Benatti FB, et al (2017) Ramadan model of
432 intermittent fasting for 28 d had no major effect on body composition, glucose metabolism,
433 or cognitive functions in healthy lean men. *Nutrition* 37:92–103
- 434 36. Hoffman JM, Creevy KE, Franks A, et al (2018) The companion dog as a model for human
435 aging and mortality. *Aging Cell* 17:e12737
- 436 37. Perri AR, Feuerborn TR, Frantz LAF, et al (2021) Dog domestication and the dual dispersal
437 of people and dogs into the Americas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
438 118:e2010083118
- 439 38. Lee JH, Verma N, Thakkar N, et al (2020) Intermittent Fasting: Physiological Implications
440 on Outcomes in Mice and Men. *Physiology* 35:185–195
- 441 39. Kaeberlein M, Creevy KE, Promislow DEL (2016) The dog aging project: translational
442 geroscience in companion animals. *Mamm Genome* 27:279–288
- 443 40. Dog Aging Project. (2021). Dog Aging Project - 2020 Curated Data Release, version 1.1
444 [Data file and codebook]. <https://app.terra.bio/> : Terra at the Broad Institute of MIT and
445 Harvard.
- 446 41. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al (2019) The REDCap consortium: Building an
447 international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform* 95:103208
- 448 42. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al (2009) Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a
449 metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research
450 informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 42:377–381
- 451 43. Salvin HE, McGreevy PD, Sachdev PS, Valenzuela MJ (2011) The canine cognitive

- 452 dysfunction rating scale (CCDR): a data-driven and ecologically relevant assessment tool.
453 Vet J 188:331–336
- 454 44. Studzinski C, Christie L, Araujo J, et al (2006) Visuospatial function in the beagle dog: An
455 early marker of cognitive decline in a model of human aging and dementia. *Neurobiology of*
456 *Learning and Memory* 86:197–204
- 457 45. Harvey ND (2021) How Old Is My Dog? Identification of Rational Age Groupings in Pet
458 Dogs Based Upon Normative Age-Linked Processes. *Front Vet Sci* 8:643085
- 459 46. Packer RMA, McGreevy PD, Salvin HE, et al (2018) Cognitive dysfunction in naturally
460 occurring canine idiopathic epilepsy. *PLoS One* 13:e0192182
- 461 47. Ogilvie GK, Fettman MJ, Mallinckrodt CH, et al (2000) Effect of fish oil, arginine, and
462 doxorubicin chemotherapy on remission and survival time for dogs with lymphoma. *Cancer*
463 88:1916–1928
- 464 48. Zicker SC, Jewell DE, Yamka RM, Milgram NW (2012) Evaluation of cognitive learning,
465 memory, psychomotor, immunologic, and retinal functions in healthy puppies fed foods
466 fortified with docosahexaenoic acid-rich fish oil from 8 to 52 weeks of age. *Journal of the*
467 *American Veterinary Medical Association* 241:583–594
- 468 49. Brown SA, Brown CA, Crowell WA, et al (1998) Beneficial effects of chronic administration
469 of dietary ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in dogs with renal insufficiency. *Journal of*
470 *Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 131:447–455
- 471 50. Freeman LM, Rush JE, Markwell PJ (2006) Effects of dietary modification in dogs with
472 early chronic valvular disease. *J Vet Intern Med* 20:1116–1126
- 473 51. Mueller RS, Fettman MJ, Richardson K, et al (2005) Plasma and skin concentrations of
474 polyunsaturated fatty acids before and after supplementation with n-3 fatty acids in dogs
475 with atopic dermatitis. *Am J Vet Res* 66:868–873
- 476 52. Hickman MA, Anne Hickman M (1998) Interventional nutrition for gastrointestinal disease.
477 *Clinical Techniques in Small Animal Practice* 13:211–216
- 478 53. Fritsch D, Allen TA, Dodd CE, et al (2010) Dose-titration effects of fish oil in osteoarthritic
479 dogs. *J Vet Intern Med* 24:1020–1026
- 480 54. Comblain F, Serisier S, Barthelemy N, et al (2016) Review of dietary supplements for the
481 management of osteoarthritis in dogs in studies from 2004 to 2014. *J Vet Pharmacol Ther*
482 39:1–15
- 483 55. Hadley KB, Bauer J, Milgram NW (2017) The oil-rich alga *Schizochytrium* sp. as a dietary
484 source of docosahexaenoic acid improves shape discrimination learning associated with
485 visual processing in a canine model of senescence. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and*
486 *Essential Fatty Acids* 118:10–18
- 487 56. Cotman CW, Berchtold NC (2007) Physical activity and the maintenance of cognition:
488 learning from animal models. *Alzheimers Dement* 3:S30–7

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 14

- 489 57. Milgram NW, Siwak-Tapp CT, Araujo J, Head E (2006) Neuroprotective effects of cognitive
490 enrichment. *Ageing Research Reviews* 5:354–369
- 491 58. R Development Core Team. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical
492 computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
493 <http://www.R-project.org>.
- 494 59. Harrell FE, Harrel jrl FE (2001) *Regression Modeling Strategies: With Applications to*
495 *Linear Models, Logistic Regression, and Survival Analysis*. Springer. P. 20-24.
- 496 60. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al (1996) A simulation study of the number of events
497 per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 49:1373–1379
- 498 61. Regmi P, Heilbronn LK (2020) Time-Restricted Eating: Benefits, Mechanisms, and
499 Challenges in Translation. *iScience* 23:101161
- 500 62. Zlotnick M, Corrigan V, Griffin E, et al (2019) Incidence of Health and Behavior Problems in
501 Service Dog Candidates Neutered at Various Ages. *Front Vet Sci* 6:334
- 502 63. Simpson M, Albright S, Wolfe B, et al (2019) Age at gonadectomy and risk of
503 overweight/obesity and orthopedic injury in a cohort of Golden Retrievers. *PLOS ONE*
504 14:e0209131
- 505 64. Raghavan M, Glickman N, McCabe G, et al (2004) Diet-Related Risk Factors for Gastric
506 Dilatation-Volvulus in Dogs of High-Risk Breeds. *Journal of the American Animal Hospital*
507 *Association* 40:192–203

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521 *Table 1a. Characteristics of 10,474 Dogs in CSLB Analysis (76 pure breeds included).*

Explanatory variable	n (%) or mean (SD)
Age	
6-9.9 years	4956 (47%)
10-18 (not including 18) years	5518 (53%)
Sex	
Male, castrated	5042 (48%)
Female, spayed	5432 (52%)
Body Size & Weight	
Small (<10 kg)	2673 (26%)
Middle (10-29.9 kg)	5064 (48%)
Large (30-44.9 kg)	2389 (23%)
Giant (≥45 kg)	348 (3%)
Breed	
Mixed-breed dog	5885 (56%)
Labrador Retriever	616 (6%)
Golden Retriever	463 (4%)
German Shepherd	192 (2%)
Dachshund	159 (2%)
Australian Shepherd	149 (1%)
Poodle (Standard) (≥13.6 kg)	130 (1%)
Border Collie	124 (1%)
Chihuahua	107 (1%)
Beagle	105 (1%)
Shih Tzu	93 (1%)

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 16

Explanatory variable	n (%) or mean (SD)
Other purebred dogs ¹	2451 (23%)
Feeding Frequency	
Fed once per day	860 (8%)
Fatty Acid Supplement	
Given daily or more often	2252 (22%)
Cognitive Activity	
Primary or Secondary Activity requires training	1759 (17%)
CSLB	
Score	36.4 (5.3)

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

¹ See Supplementary Information 4 for full list and numbers of purebred dogs included in the CSLB analysis.

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 17

536 *Table 1b. Characteristics of 24,238 Dogs in Analysis of Health Outcomes (100 pure breeds*
537 *included).*

Explanatory variable	n (%)
Age	
1-1.9 years	1672 (7%)
2-5.9 years	7721 (32%)
6-9.9 years	7082 (29%)
10-18 (not including 18) years	7763 (32%)
Sex	
Male, castrated	11853 (49%)
Female, spayed	12385 (51%)
Body Size & Weight	
Small (<10 kg)	5510 (23%)
Middle (10-29.9 kg)	12267 (51%)
Large (30-44.9 kg)	5504 (23%)
Giant (≥45 kg)	957 (4%)
Breed	
Mixed-breed dog	13308 (55%)
Labrador retriever	1467 (6%)
Golden retriever	1199 (5%)
German Shepherd	502 (2%)
Australian Shepherd	373 (2%)
Poodle (Standard) (≥13.6 kg)	306 (1%)
Dachshund	305 (1%)
Border Collie	257 (1%)

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 18

Explanatory variable	n (%)
Chihuahua	205 (1%)
Beagle	187 (1%)
Pug	180 (1%)
Other purebred dogs ²	5949 (25%)
Feeding Frequency	
Fed once per day	1884 (8%)
Fatty Acid Supplement	
Given daily or more often	4383 (18%)
Health Outcomes	
Dental/Oral	6414 (26%)
Skin	5619 (23%)
Orthopedic	4270 (18%)
Gastrointestinal	2429 (10%)
Cancer	1906 (8%)
Kidney/Urinary	1740 (7%)
Cardiac	1243 (5%)
Neurological	972 (4%)
Liver/Pancreas	673 (3%)

538

539

540

541

² See Supplementary Information 5 for full list and numbers of purebred dogs included in the analysis of health outcomes.

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 19


542 *Table 2. Estimated odds ratios of specific health condition for dogs fed once per day compared*
543 *to more frequently, adjusted for sex, age, breed for purebred dogs, and body size (as captured*
544 *by weight) for mixed breed dogs. All analyses except Liver/Pancreas and Dental/Oral are also*
545 *adjusted for omega-3 (or other fatty acid) supplementation.*

Health condition	Adjusted odds ratio	95% CI	p
Liver/Pancreas	0.41	0.27 - 0.61	<0.001
Gastrointestinal	0.65	0.54 - 0.77	<0.001
Kidney/Urinary	0.71	0.58 - 0.88	0.0012
Orthopedic	0.78	0.69 - 0.88	<0.001
Dental/Oral	0.84	0.77 - 0.92	<0.001
Cardiac	0.86	0.70 - 1.07	0.18
Cancer	0.90	0.75 - 1.07	0.24
Neurological	0.90	0.71 - 1.16	0.42
Skin	0.94	0.85 - 1.04	0.22

546

547


Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 20



549
550 **Figure 1: Scatterplot of CSLB Scores vs. Age with Superimposed Trend Lines.** Darker
551 points represent dogs fed once daily and other points represent dogs fed more frequently. Trend
552 lines were constructed separately for the two groups using natural splines. Dogs fed once daily
553 have slightly lower mean CSLB score at all ages ($6 \leq \text{age} < 18$ years).
554

555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563

Once-daily feeding associated with better outcomes 21



565

566 **Figure 2: Summary of Results for Analysis of Health Conditions.** Circles represent point

567 estimates of adjusted odds ratios, with filled circles indicating statistically significant results.

568 Bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Odds ratios less than 1 indicate lower odds of the

569 outcome among dogs fed once daily; odds ratios greater than 1 indicate higher odds of the

570 outcome among dogs fed once daily.

571

572

573