Acoustic and postural displays in a miniature and transparent teleost fish, *Danionella dracula*

Rose L. Tatarsky[1], Zilin Guo[1], Sarah C. Campbell[1], Helena Kim[1], Wenxuan Fang[1], Jonathan T.

Perelmuter[1], Eric R. Schuppe[1], Hudson K. Reeve[1], Andrew H. Bass[1]

Author information:

[1]Cornell University, Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Ithaca, New York, USA.

Key Words:

Acoustic communication, aggression, teleost fish, residency, intruder

ABSTRACT

Individuals can reveal their relative competitive ability or mate quality through acoustic

communication, varying signals in form and frequency to mediate adaptive interactions including

competitive aggression. We report robust acoustic displays during aggressive interactions for a

laboratory colony of *Danionella dracula*, a recently discovered miniature and transparent species

of teleost fish closely related to zebrafish (*Danio rerio)*. Males produce bursts of pulsatile, click-

like sounds and a distinct postural display, extension of a hypertrophied lower jaw, during

resident-intruder dyad interactions. Females lack a hypertrophied lower jaw and show no

evidence of sound production or jaw extension under such conditions. Novel pairs of size-

matched or mismatched males were combined in resident-intruder assays where sound

production and jaw extension could be linked to individuals. Resident males produce

significantly more sound pulses than intruders in both dyad contexts; larger males are

consistently more sonic in size-mismatched pairs. For both conditions, males show a similar

pattern of increased jaw extension that frequently coincided with acoustic displays during

periods of heightened sonic activity. These studies firmly establish *D. dracula* as a sound-

producing species that modulates both acoustic and postural displays during social interactions

based on either residency or body size, thus providing a foundation for investigating the role of

these displays in a new model clade for neurogenomic studies of aggression, courtship and other

social interactions.

INTRODUCTION

Animals across taxa have distinct behavioral repertoires utilizing a variety of signaling channels and modalities to mediate complex social interactions (Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011). Signal structure within a modality can further vary in a species depending on the social context where these signals are used. In addition, individuals within a social group may differ in signal parameters depending on the context (e.g., Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011; McIver et al. 2014; Elias et al. 2003). Acoustic communication provides many such examples, such as advertisement and agonistic calls (e.g., Amorim et al. 2015; Amorim et al 2013; Pereira et al 2014). Across vertebrate taxa, acoustic signaling repertoires often differ between the sexes (Gerhardt and Huber, 2002; Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011). These signals may further differ in parameters ranging from amplitude, duration and fundamental frequency to interval between repetitive components within a call and overall call rate (Davies and Halliday, 1978; Clutton-Brock and Albon, 1979; Sebastiannutto et al. 2008; Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011; Odom et al., 2020). In many species of teleost fish, the focus of this report, males often exhibit more robust acoustic repertoires than females, although detailed investigations of female sound production are scarce (Amorim, 2006; McIver et al. 2014; Amorim et al., 2015; Ladich, 2015). This difference in behavior can reflect underlying dimorphisms, where males may possess hypertrophied muscles often associated with vibration of the swim bladder or stridulation of pectoral fin rays to drive sound production (Rice et al., in revision).

Like other vertebrate taxa, soniferous behavior in teleost fish can figure prominently into aggressive competition for mates, nest sites, shelters, and territories (Andersson, 1994; Amorim refs above). Acoustic signaling can enable these contests to be resolved through less costly measures before escalating to more intense stages of engagement involving direct contact and possible physical damage (Davies and Halliday, 1978; Clutton-Brock and Albon, 1979; Hsu et al., 2008; Oliveira et al. 2011; Green and Patek, 2018). These signals can communicate information about a contestant's physical attributes, such as body size which can figure prominently into determining the outcome of aggressive conflicts (Davies and Halliday, 1978; Clutton-Brock and Albon, 1979; Lee and Bass, 2006; Bradbury and Vehrencamp, 2011; Amorim et al., 2015; Conti et al., 2015; Billings, 2018). It is within these contexts that we describe the acoustic signaling behavior of *Danionella dracula*, a miniature species of cypriniform fish that we found produces relatively simple, broadband sounds apparently solely during aggressive

encounters. *Danionella dracula* was first described in 2009 and known only from a small stream

in the Kachin state in northern Myanmar (Britz and Conway, 2016, Britz et al., 2009, Britz et al.,

2021). Nothing is known regarding the specifics of its behavior in the wild, largely because its

natural habitat is relatively inaccessible currently due to political unrest (e.g., see

https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-55902070) . *Danionella dracula*, like other members of

its genus, exhibits developmental truncation, leading to the retention of some larvae-like traits as

miniature adults (progenesis), reaching only 12-17 mm in standard length (Britz and Conway

refs above). So far, adult males in the genus, but not females, have a large muscle mass

associated with the swim bladder and hypertrophied elements of the Weberian apparatus and

fifth rib, which together form a sound-producing, "drumming" structure (Britz and Conway refs

above, Judkewitz personal communication). *Danionella dracula* further possess a hypertrophied

lower jaw and a series of odontoid processes which resemble teeth (Britz and Conway, 2016).

Acoustic signaling and extension of the lower jaw are the two focal characters in the current

investigation.

Our main intent here is to provide a behavioral baseline for a species that can be readily

bred in captivity and studied for the effects of genetic manipulations on display behaviors during

social interactions and the neuronal populations driving these behaviors. Given their close

phylogenetic relationship to zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), *D. dracula* are amenable to genetic

manipulation using the same molecular toolbox (see Schulze et al). Species within the genus

Danionella further offer multiple advantages for behavioral neuroscience given their especially

small size as adults (see above) and transparency through their entire lifespan (e.g., Fig. 1A-C),

thus facilitating non-invasive, optical imaging of the brain using multiphoton microscopy (e.g.,

see Chow et al., 2020; Akbari et al. 2020,21; Schulze et al., 2018+erratum). Despite possessing

these attractive features for neurogenomic studies of social behavior, these behaviors have not

yet been described in a comprehensive manner for *D. dracula* or its sister species.

We report the establishment of a laboratory-based breeding colony of *D. dracula* to study

courtship and aggressive behavior over the use of nest sites and present the results of a resident-

intruder assay to examine acoustic and postural signaling during dyadic aggressive interactions

in size-matched and size-mismatched male encounters. Our quantitative analyses focus on two

display characters that distinguish this species from others within the genus: temporal features of

acoustic signals and extension of a hypertrophied lower jaw. Previous research in aggression has

utilized behavioral tests like resident-intruder assays and other dyadic designs to study how two
individual males can compete over a resource and what signaling parameters correlate with
differences in the ability for an animal to win a contest, which is termed as the animal's resource-
holding potential (Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011). We hypothesized that in size-matched
encounters, resident fish would exhibit increased sound production and jaw extension directed
against the intruder fish, as well as possibly exhibit differences in the structure of their acoustic
signals. However, in size-mismatched encounters, we hypothesized the larger fish regardless of
residency would exhibit increased sound production and jaw extension against the smaller fish.
For both sets of contests, we expected higher sound producing animals to exhibit similar acoustic
signal structure differences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Colony formation and breeding

Adult *D. dracula* were originally purchased from commercial dealers (The Wet Spot Tropical
Fish, Portland, OR; Invertebrates by Msjinkzd, York, PA) and bred in environmental control
rooms at Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. Fish were kept at water temperatures of 23-25.5°C and
on a 16:8 light: dark cycle. We found that *D. dracula* requires direct overhead lighting to breed.
Thus, breeding tanks were maintained in rooms with natural overhead lighting via a skylight that
was supplemented with artificial lighting during the short days of late fall and winter or in rooms
that only had the artificial lighting year-long. There were no obvious differences between fish
under the two housing conditions. All dyad contests were conducted in rooms supplemented with
artificial lighting on a 16:8 light: dark cycle. All animals were fed twice a day, *Artemia nauplii* in
the morning and ground fish flake (TetraMin Tropical Flake) in the evening. Plastic plants were
added to the tank for fish to acclimate to the aquarium setting.

Fish were bred in 2.5-125 gal aquaria and were housed in populations of varying density
based on tank size, in adult ratios of 1:2 males: females, with at least three males per tank (Fig.
1D). Nest sites were made from double sponge water filters (XINYOU XY-2822 Air Pump
Double Sponge Water Filter, Amazon) that contained nine 4-mm crevices for spawning (Fig.
1E). The sponges were covered with a BIO-CHEM ZORB filtration cartridge (API Fishcare
CRYSTAL Filtration Cartridge), as it is required for the crevices to be enclosed for breeding

(Fig. 1E). Breeding sponges were placed at opposite ends of the tank (Fig. 1D). Eggs were

collected daily by removing the nest, unrolling the filtration cartridge and gently moving the

clusters of eggs to acrylic cylinders (10 cm diameter) with a mesh bottom, resting within a larger

50-gal aquarium (see eggs in Fig. 1E). Larval fish were fed AP100 Dry Larval Diet (Zeigler

Bros, Inc.) twice a day. Larvae were kept in these smaller cylinders for 10 days before being

moved to 5-gallon tanks where they are fed adult diet. Larvae become adults in 3 months,

determined by the presence of eggs in females and the hypertrophied jaw in males.

Community tank observations

A 16.8-gal community tank with dimensions 75 x 30 x 28 cm was set up using the same

parameters for colony system tanks to allow for behavioral observations in a reproductive

context (1D). A heater in the tank kept the tank at 25°C. Males (3) and females (6) were placed

into the tank 30 minutes after dye labeling. Each male had a muscle segment labeled in the tail

with either red, green, or black dye to allow three independent observers to determine identity

while watching the tank and in video recordings. (Tissue Marking Dye Kit, MDT100, Sigma-

Aldrich). Females were also labeled using the same method, thus all fish in the tank went

through the same injection process. Sounds were recorded with a hydrophone (Aquarian Audio

H1a) placed next to each nest site. Hydrophones were connected to 30-fps video cameras (Canon

Vixia HFR500) using a mono to stereo adaptor to synchronize the audio collection with the

video. *Danionella dracula* males are more sonic during daylight hours, like males of their sister

species, *D. cerebrum* (Schulze 2018; initially designated *D. cerebrum* as *D. translucida*; see

Britz et al., 2021). All observations in community tanks were carried out between 9:00 – 17:00 h.

Fish were allowed an acclimation period, which concluded after one week at the onset of

courtship. Then, three independent observers used focal sampling and live observation (BORIS)

alongside collecting video and acoustic data centered on the two nest sites to observe each of the

three labeled males. Behavioral observations were based on three randomly selected, 15-min

periods, made up of three 5-min periods where each of the different focal males were observed,

between 09:00 and 17:00 for each of seven days. Fish received the same diet regime as colony

tanks, with the first feeding at 10:00-10:15 and the second at 17:00. Live observations were

synchronized to the video with a red LED pressed at the start of the observation period by the

observer. Observers sat 46 cm in front of the tank and used keystrokes to signify behavioral

states and point events in the focal male. These point and state events are detailed in Table 1.

These behavioral characterizations around the nest site were verified with the video camera and

sound data collected.

Table 1. Community Tank Behavioral Ethogram for *D. dracula*

Point Event	Definition
Lunge at male	Focal male orients head towards and swims rapidly towards male. Trajectory directed at target male , but does not pursue as target swims away.
Lunge at female	Focal male orients head towards and swims rapidly towards female. Trajectory directed at female, but does not pursue as female swims away.
Courtship	Focal male swims beneath female and vibrates its head and fins back and forth underneath egg vent
Chase Female	Focal male swims in the direction towards female, while female swims in direction away from male. Trajectory may change depending on movement of female.
Lead Female	Focal male swims in front of female; female swims in direction towards male.
Enter nest	Focal male swims into crevice of nest head-first.

State Event	Definition
Nest Circling Left Nest	Focal male fish swims around left nest site within 2-3 body lengths
Nest Circling Right Nest	Focal male fish swims around right nest site within 2-3 body lengths
Male Interaction	2 male fish associate closely with each other, performing aggressive behaviors including lunge, sound production, and/or jaw extension
Alone	Focal male fish swimming outside nest zone alone
Shoaling	Focal male fish swimming in coordination with at least one other fish within 2-3 body lengths of other fish for 3-5 seconds, mirroring movements of other fish

Resident-intruder assays

Males were removed from group colony tanks 22-24 h before the resident-intruder assay. The resident male was housed in the experimental rectangular tank, which was 14 cm x 5 cm x 5 cm (Fig. 2A). The intruder male was housed separately in an 8 cm x 8 cm x 8 cm tank. Both tanks contained the same volume of water, 315 cm^3, with a similar depth of 4.5-5 cm. Taking advantage of male color variation (Fig. 1A-B), residents and intruders were selected to be different colors in size-matched assays, to readily distinguish them in the videos. One fish was greener in coloration than the other, which was more pale yellow; half of the size-matched residents were pale yellow, and half were green. There was no apparent effect of color on outcome of contests. Fish were fed their community tank diet in the evening and morning before testing. Both tanks were aerated with an air stone, and water was novel system water that had not housed adult fish previously. Three of the four tank walls were black and opaque, allowing for better contrast for later fish identification. The experimental tank used to run resident-intruder trials alone contained a hydrophone. The size of the hydrophone was chosen to best resemble a *D. dracula* nest site; this type of hydrophone has been observed to elicit crevice-seeking behaviors in males (R. Tatarsky, unpublished observations). Each resident-intruder trial lasted 2 h, beginning at 11:30 (Fig. 2B). The intruder male was added to the experimental tank with a small net to begin the resident-intruder assay (Fig. 2C). Sound production and video were recorded through the hydrophone attached to a 30-fps video camera (Canon Vixia HFR500). There were 10 size-matched dyads and 10 size-mismatched dyads. Two of the size-matched dyads were removed from analysis due to fish swimming continuously against the sides of the miniature tank, as this appeared as if the fish did not acclimate to the experimental chamber. Two of the size-mismatched dyads were removed from analysis, one due to fish swimming continuously against the sides of the miniature tank, and the other because both fish were intensely engaged in an escalated aggressive interaction where it was not possible to ascertain identity of the sound producer, similar to extended aggressive interactions in community tanks. In size-matched assays, the relative size difference between males ranged from 0.2 to 0.5 mm (standard length). In size-mismatched assays, males differed by 1.6 to 4.4 mm. Five single-male control trials were conducted following the same procedure, but only one male was in the

experimental chamber and an intruder male was not added to the chamber at the start of the 2-h

trial. Single male controls did not produce sounds and solely swam around the tank.

Five additional control dyads were conducted using male-female pairs. 5 males and 5

females were combined in single pairs in the experimental tank following the same procedure,

varying in size differences between males and females. 2 of the residents were male and 3 were

female. There was no evidence in these recordings that females made any sounds similar to

males, consistent with the reported sexual dimorphism in the putative sonic "drumming" muscle

(Britz and Conway, 2016). A hydrophone placed in a 20-gal tank of 10 female fish for 16 hours

also did not provide any evidence of sound production by females.

Audio recording sampling and analysis

After the intruder male was added to the experimental tank for resident-intruder trials using a

small net, the fish were given 5 min to expectedly acclimate to the experimental scenario. The

hydrophone recording for each dyad was for the entire 2 h long trial. Due to the large number of

sounds observed in the 2 h period, the oscillogram from each hydrophone recording after the

initial acclimation period was split into six 5 min long time-periods separated by 15 min intervals

(Fig. 2D).

Sound characteristics definition and measurement criteria

Sound characteristics in Table 1 were measured using Raven Pro 1.6 (K. Lisa Young Center for

Conservation Bioacoustics, Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology). Recordings typically displayed

a high signal to noise ratio (e.g., Fig. 2D). Pulse duration was determined by first measuring the

maximum amplitude of an individual sound pulse's waveform. This value was then divided by 4

to get a quarter amplitude value, and the pulse duration was determined as the duration of the

pulse where waveform peaks were all greater than the quarter amplitude value. Pulse peak

frequency was measured for each pulse after selecting the pulse using the pulse duration criteria

above, using Raven's Peak Frequency measurement. This measurement in Raven is calculated

from the spectrogram of the sound and is the frequency at which the maximum/peak power

occurs within the selection. On the recording, we observed individual pulses occurring very close

to each other in time, forming apparent clusters composed of multiple pulses. We measured the

duration of time between neighboring individual pulses, which we call the inter-pulse interval

(IPI). We measured the duration of time between all individual pulses and pooled all the male's

inter-pulse interval (IPI) data together and found a distinct peak value of 34 ms. We used this

value to set boundaries for individual burst types, where pulses that composed a burst had to

possess an IPI within two standard deviations of the peak, be less than 70 ms apart. This criterion

allowed us to identify burst types ranging up to 7 pulses in length. All IPIs greater than 70ms

were defined as inter-burst intervals (IBI), or the distance between bursts composed of individual

pulses. We did not measure sound amplitude given our inability to measure sounds from

individual sound producing fish that were always moving in community tanks and during dyadic

interactions in small volumes, at most 7 cm away from the hydrophone.

Table 2. Sound Characteristics and Definitions in *D. dracula*

Sound Characteristic	Definition
Pulse Duration	Total time of one individual sound pulse.
Peak Pulse Frequency	Frequency at which the maximum peak power occurs within one individual sound pulse.
Burst	A train of individual pulses (1-7 observed), where pulses are separated by ≤ 70 ms.
Inter-Pulse Interval	Time between individual pulses in a single burst of pulses, where pulses are separated by ≤ 70 ms.
Inter-Burst Interval	Time between individual bursts, where interval between two successive pulses is ≥ 70 ms.

235   **Analysis of a Male's Sound Production at Tank Reflection**

For determining near-cooccurence of sound production and lunging behavior, a 4 minute segment of a 23 min recording of a *D. dracula* male continuously lunging at its reflection and producing simultaneous multi-pulse bursts and jaw extensions was analyzed for lunging behaviors and sound production (Supplementary Video 1). To examine the timing of sound production and lunging, the video was coded in BORIS for lunging behavior by the focal fish towards its reflection by an observer at 0.3x the normal speed without sound. After the observer completed the lunging behavior analysis, the observer went back to the time points marked for lunging behavior and recorded yes or no if sound production nearly occurred with the lunging behavior observed, determining a percentage of how many lunges were concurrent with sound production.

We also wanted to determine what percentage of sound production was near-coocurrent with lunging behaviors. An observer coded in Raven every sound pulse in the same 4-minute time period analyzed for lunging behaviors in BORIS, and then the start value for a burst of sound production was compared to the start value of a lunge. To determine how close a burst and lunge could be associated to be determined as near-coocurrent, we calculated the distance in seconds between the start times of subsequent lunges and saved these into a new dataset. From the dataset, the minimum distance between individual lunges was determined as 0.2s, and the cutoff for near-cooccurence was determined as the minimum distance + 1 standard deviation (0.424s), or 0.624s. These operations were performed using the Python coding language and the package numpy (Python 3.8; numpy 1.18.5).

Video analysis of sound production and jaw extension behavior

For the two different contexts of size-matched and size-mismatched assays, an observer blind to resident-intruder status verified instances of male sound production by watching the synchronized video at 0.3X the normal speed. Sound bursts were attributed to an individual male based on associated lunging movement, which was noted but not quantified. This assumption was based on our analysis of the 23 min recording of the *D. dracula* male continuously lunging at its reflection.

Observers distinguished fish based on coloration: green or pale yellow (see above), as well as other identifying features such as body girth. Hinged extension of the lower jaw can also occur during sound production. Coding of jaw extension was done by a third observer in BORIS

who watched the video at 0.3X the normal speed without sound. The time point of an instance of

jaw extension was determined as the first frame where the lower jaw was first extended from the

head. We did not collect the end point of jaw extension as the start point was readily visualized,

but finer resolution video would be needed for quantifying the duration, and hence end point, of

jaw extension.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R. To first test the hypothesis that multipulse burst usage

may vary between residents or contexts, we reduced each animals multipulse pulse bursts (2 – 7

pulses/burst) into a single metric. As such, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA),

using the *psych* package and *principal* function. In these models, we loaded the number of the

different burst types for each male, and generated PCs separately for size matched and

mismatched contexts. Higher PC scores represented greater multipulse burst usage. To next

investigate whether PC1 (multipulse burst usage) varied between residents and intruders across

each contexts we used two linear mixed model (LMM) using the *lme4* package with pair identity

as a random effect. In size mismatched contests, we also used a LMM to investigate whether

mutlipulse burst usage differed between large and small individuals size. Finally, we subsetted

animals into high, medium, and low sound producers. Using this categorical variable we tested

whether overall call production (e.g., high, medium, low sound producers) was related to

multipulse burst usage (PC1).

We next wanted investigated whether agonistic behaviors varied between residents and intruders

across each behavioral context. To do this, we ran a repeated measures LMM with pair identity

as a random effect to examine agonstic behaviors differed between residents or intruders across

each context, and whether this usage may change across the length of the behavioral trial (e.g.,

time-periods 1-6 of behavioral sampling; see above for more details about sampling). As in the

previous models, we also investigated overall behavior usage varied between large and small fish

in size mismatched contests.

Fig. 1: Male and female *Danionella dracula* and community tank. A. Male fish with green
coloration, especially on dorsum; SL = 17.2 mm B. Male fish without green coloration, SL =
16.4 mm. C. Female fish, SL = 16.7 mm. D. Community tank setup described in Methods. Red
boxes at either end of tank indicate video camera field of view. External video cameras indicated
by black boxes below tank and red arrows indicate hydrophone placement. E. Eggs in nest site.
Zoom-in insets from left to right: developing *D. dracula* eggs; cluster of eggs in crevice of
sponge filter nest site, schematic of nest site we use for egg collection.

304
305

Fig. 2: Dyad testing tank for male *Danionella dracula*. A. Experimental tank schematic. Dimensions:14 cm x 5cm x 5cm. B. Dyad interaction timeline. R stands for Resident and I for Intruder male. The black line indicates the 22 h Isolation/Acclimation period (1:30pm-11:30am) that the fish undergo before the 2 h assay (11:30am-1:30pm), which is indicated by a red line. C. Two males in miniature tank, one has its jaw extended as it lunges at the other. Extended jaw is indicated with an orange arrow and insert zooms in on anterior region of body and jaw. D. Behavior sampling regime. Red boxes indicate on oscillogram 5 min sampled time periods over 2 h assay. Sounds on oscillogram in green. Black line indicate 15 min intervals between sampled time periods.

Fig. 3: Video frames of *Danionella dracula* courtship behavior. A. Male swimming below female B. Male vibrating his body back and forth beneath female egg vent. C. Male swimming back to nest entry crevice. Female swims behind male to same nest entry crevice. D. M male swimming headfirst into nest entry crevice E. Female orienting head towards nest entry crevice. F. Female swimming headfirst into nest entry crevice.

RESULTS

Courtship and spawning in colony and community tanks

Danionella dracula readily breed and produce courtship and aggressive behaviors in laboratory colony and community tank settings. Although we focus on male aggressive behaviors, we first

provide a description of courtship and spawning for both current and future broader contexts.

Fig. 3A-F are single frames from a video record of courtship and spawning in the presence of a

black vertical tube that was originally part of an underwater filtration system in a colony tank.

After entering a nest site, males swim from the nest to court females who are often schooling

around the tank (Supplementary Video 2). A male will approach a female and swim beneath her

body, directly hovering beneath her egg vent (Fig. 3A, B). The male rapidly moves his pectoral

fins and vibrates his body and head back and forth beneath the female. No sound production has

been recorded during this behavior in our colony and community tank settings. Males swim back

to the nest site that they were observed to swim closely around during the day, and the female

swims behind the male to the same nest site (Fig. 3C). Males beat their tails back and forth

rapidly as they enter nest crevices (Supplementary Video 3). The male enters the spawning

crevice head-first (Fig. 3D), followed by the female (Fig. 3E, F). Spawning cannot be directly

observed as fish require the spawning crevices to be enclosed, but it is inferred to occur in the

crevices due to the presence of eggs in the crevices of the artificial sponge nest. Clutches of 12-

24 eggs are often found in nests (see Fig. 1E) following these male-female interactions.

Furthermore, during daily nest checks, we often find a male and/or a female on top of a cluster of

eggs within the sponge grooves. After spawning occurs, the female leaves the nest. The male

then emerges from the nest and swims closely around the nest, which we refer to as nest circling,

courting additional females throughout the day. Multiple females appear to be courted over the

course of the day, as multiple clutches are found per nest daily and eggs can be found at any time

of the light cycle.

Male aggressive displays in colony and community tanks

In colony tanks, we often observed solo males swimming throughout the day around artificial

nest sites that are dark-colored objects containing crevices for spawning (see Fig. 1D, E). By

observing dye-marked males in a controlled community tank over a two week-long period, we

found that the same male swims 2-3 body lengths around the same nest site for 1-8 days,

suggesting nest fidelity. These males also lunge at other males who swim into the perimeter

surrounding the nest site, often producing a burst of stereotyped sound pulses at the same time as

the lunge (Fig. 4A, B; Supplementary Videos 4, 5). These observations combined with a

recording of a male lunging continuously against its own reflection (Fig. 4C) led us to conclude

that sound production is primarily coupled to lunges at intruder fish or the visual presence of

another male in the contexts critically assessed here (Fig. 4A, B). In response to directed

agonistic signals, rival males either flee or respond with sound production (Fig. 4B-D). Male

interactions can escalate and include mutual sound production and lunging, extension of the

lower jaw, and vertical lateral displays until one male flees (Fig. 4C-F; Supplementary Videos

5,6). Extended aggressive interactions have been observed to span up to 30 mins before one of

the males flees. Males will swim around the entire community tank after leaving the vicinity of

the nest.

Fig. 4: Schematic and video frames of *Danionella dracula* male-male aggressive interaction. A.
Schematic of male lunging at other male who flees. The resident or intruder male can be the one
that lunges and produces sound pulses. The lunge can be associated with sound production as
well as extension of the lower jaw. The male lunged at can flee from the other male after the

lunge. B. Frame from resident-intruder assay of male lunging at other male and extending its
lower jaw, indicated by orange arrow. C. Frame from male lunging at its own tank reflection.
Direction of lunge towards tank glass indicated by orange arrow extending above entire dorsum
of lunging male. D. Oscillogram from 23 min recording of male lunging at its reflection in tank
wall.
379

Sound production by dyadic males

To characterize male sound production during aggressive interactions in *D. dracula*, we

simplified the community tank setting and created a dyadic resident-intruder assay to generate

aggressive interactions between males (Fig. 2). The assay was in a miniature tank that

constrained two fish within the field of view of the camera and allowed enough resolution to

determine the identity of individual sound producing males in the dyad. Dyad interactions are

primarily one-sided, where one fish emerges as the more apparent aggressor towards the other.

It is the pulsatile sounds of the more aggressive male that are always concurrent with a lunge and

sometimes with jaw extension that are both directed at another fish (Fig. 5A,B).

Individual sound pulses have a mean pulse peak frequency of 1988.6 ± 14.0 Hz in size-

matched and 1961.6 ± 11.5 Hz in size-mismatched contexts (see Fig. 5A, B - example

spectrogram in 5A). Mean pulse duration is 2.0 ± 0.02 ms in all sound producing size-matched

males and 2.6 ± 0.03 ms in all sound producing size-mismatched males (Fig. 5C, D).

Individual males vary widely in the total number of sound pulses directed towards

another fish, with some time periods for individual males having more sound production than

others over the course of the 2 h dyad trial. To investigate the hypothesis that sonic

characteristics might vary depending on the amount of total sound production, we first placed

males into one of three groups based on the quartiles of the dataset. In size-matched assays, 5 out

of 16 males were in the upper 75% of the observed male total sound production and designated

high sound producing males in the size-matched assays, with high sound producers ranging up to

318 total sound pulses in the 30 mins sampled over the 2 h trial for each dyad (Fig. 6A, C, E). In

size-mismatched assays, 5 out of 16 males were in the upper 75% of the observed total sound

production and designated high sound producing males, with high sound producers ranging up to

428 total sound pulses (Fig. 6B, D, E). Four out of 16 males in the size-matched assays and 4 out

of 16 males in the size-mismatched assays were designated medium sound producers as their

sound production values were around the median of the dataset (Fig. 6E). Seven out of 16 males

in size-matched assays and 7 out of 16 males in size-mismatched assays were in the lower 25%

of the observed male total sound production, and these fish were designated low sound producers

(Fig. 6E).

Males produce burst trains composed of up to 6 pulses per burst (see Table 2 for

definition), with mean IPI of 42.9±0.6 ms in size-matched contexts and 39.5±0.5 ms in size-

mismatched contexts (e.g., see 2- and 3-pulse burst in Fig. 5B). In both contexts, males primarily

use single (65.3% of all bursts size-matched; 64.1% size-mismatched) and 2-pulse bursts (23.4%,

size-matched; 27.1%, size-mismatched) that are concurrent with lunging at other males (Fig. 5F).

Three and higher pulse bursts occur more rarely, with 3-pulse bursts making up 8.1% for size-

matched males and 7.9% for size-mismatched males (Fig. 5F). Four pulse and higher burst types

make up only 3.2% for size-matched males and 0.9% for size-mismatched males (Fig. 5F).

Individual fish can produce multiple types of bursts containing differing numbers of pulses, with

mean inter-burst intervals of 12.6±1.6 s in size-matched contests and 10.5±0.7 s in size-

mismatched contests.

Fig. 5: Multi-pulse sound bursts of male *Danionella dracula*. A. Left: Male lunges at other male
in a size-matched dyad during 3-pulse burst. Lunging male also has its jaw extended in this
instance. Orange arrow indicates direction of lunge toward target male. Right: Oscillogram of 3-
pulse burst made by lunging male to the left. Red lines indicate that pulses were 2.5 ms in this
burst and were separated by around 35 ms. Below: spectrogram of 3-pulse burst. Dark red

indicates peak power frequency, which in this burst was 1968.750 Hz. B. Example 2- and 3-
pulse bursts separated by inter-burst interval (IBI) of 430 ms. C. Zoom-in indicates one of the
single pulses that composes the example 2-pulse burst in C. This pulse was 2.5 ms in duration
(see criteria in Materials and Methods).
430

Fig. 6: Total sound production by *Danionella dracula* males in dyadic contests. A. Boxplots of
total individual sound production in size-matched dyads (left boxplot; magenta = resident fish,
black = intruder fish) and size-mismatched dyads (right boxplot; green = larger fish, black =
smaller fish). Black horizontal line within box indicates median. B. Example high dyad sound
production from size-matched dyad, showing the total number of pulses for individual fish over
the 6 5-minute sampled time periods (spaced 15 minutes apart). Magenta squares indicate the
resident fish's sound production values and black triangles indicate the intruder fish's sound
production, which is at or near zero during all time periods. C. Example high sound production
oscillogram; rows 1 and 2 are from one continuous 2h record. D. Example low dyad sound
production from size-matched dyad, showing the total number of pulses for individual fish over
the 6 sampled time periods. Magenta squares indicate the resident fish's sound production
values, which peaks at 20 sound pulses, and black triangles indicates the intruder fish's sound
production, which in this case is also at or near zero during all time periods. E. Example low
sound production oscillogram; rows 1 and 2 are from one continuous 2h record.
446

Principal components analysis of sound bursts

447
To test the hypothesis that there are differences in multi-pulse burst usage among the three
groups of sound producers, we ran a principal components analysis where the number of
different burst types per male was loaded as different variables (number of 2 - 7 pulse bursts for
each male in size-matched contests and number of 2 - 5 pulse bursts for each male in size-

mismatched contests as fish in that context did not make 6 and 7 pulse bursts in the sampled time

periods). One principal component (PC1) explained 73% of the variation of male usage of multi-

pulse bursts in size-matched males and 70% of the variation in size-mismatched males (Fig. 7A-

C). We compared the different groups of sound producers in each context: high (upper 75%),

medium (median), and low (lower 25%). In both contexts, high sound producers generate more

multi-pulse bursts than medium (p=0.002163; size-matched; p=0.003596; size-mismatched) and

low (p=0.000342; size-matched; p=0.0003194; size-mismatched) sound producers (Fig. 7D, E).

Medium sound producers in both contexts also produced more multi-pulse bursts than low sound

producers (p=0.001036; size-matched; p=0.003316; size-mismatched) (Fig. 7D, E).

Fig. 7: Multi-pulse burst use for *Danionella dracula* males in dyadic contests. A. Pie chart
detailing percentages of multi-pulse bursts used by all males in size-matched contests. Legend
signifies type of multi-pulse burst, from single to 7-pulse burst. B. Pie chart detailing percentages
of multi-pulse bursts used by all males in size-mismatched contests. Legend signifies type of
multi-pulse burst, from single to 5-pulse burst. C. Boxplots of PC1 describing individual male
multi-pulse burst usage in size matched contests. Residents are in magenta, left and intruders in
black, right. Individuals in the same dyad are connected with light blue lines. D. Boxplots of PC1
describing individual male multi-pulse burst usage in size mismatched contests. Residents are in
magenta, left and intruders in black, right. Individuals in the same dyad are connected with light
blue lines. E. Boxplots of PC1 describing individual male multi-pulse burst usage in size
mismatched contests. Larger fish are in green, left, and smaller fish in black, right. Individuals in
the same dyad are connected with light blue lines. F. Boxplots of PC1 describing individual male
multi-pulse burst usage in size matched contests. High sound producing fish are in red-orange,
leftmost; medium sound producing fish are in purple, middle; and low sound producing fish are
in sea green; rightmost. Black undashed bracket indicates comparison between high and low
sound producing fish; Black small, dashed bracket indicates comparison between high and
medium sound producing fish; and black large, dashed bracket indicates comparison between
medium and low sound producing fish. Dashed axis indicates 0 axis. Line on boxplots indicates
median. G. Boxplots of PC1 describing individual male multi-pulse burst usage in size
mismatched contests. High sound producing fish are in red-orange, leftmost; medium sound
producing fish are in purple, middle; and low sound producing fish are in sea green; rightmost.
Black undashed bracket indicates comparison between high and low sound producing fish; Black
small, dashed bracket indicates comparison between high and medium sound producing fish; and
black large, dashed bracket indicates comparison between medium and low sound producing
fish. Dashed axis indicates 0 axis. Line on boxplots indicates median. C-G: ** indicates
significance as p compared to 0.01; *** indicates significance as p compared to 0.001.

Jaw extension

Beyond sound production, we also observed that *Danionella dracula* males extending their lower

jaw during aggressive interactions, often in the context of lunging and sound production. During

our initial observations of colony tanks, jaw extension always appeared to be nearly coincident

with sound production. This led us to test the hypothesis that sound production is dependent on

jaw extension. Males who produce more sounds in size-matched and size-mismatched contexts

exhibit more jaw extension behaviors during the assay, where all high sound producers in size-

matched contexts also exhibit high jaw extension and 4 of the 6 high sound producers in size-

mismatched contexts exhibit high jaw extension (Fig. 8A, B). Jaw extension is often increased as

well during the same 5 min time period samples of high sound production for all size-matched

males and the same 4 of 6 size-mismatched males (e.g., Fig. 8C, D).

By comparing video time points for sound production and jaw extension, we found that jaw extension can happen just before or after instances of high sound production. To more rigorously examine if sound production and jaw extension are near-concurrent events in high sound producing males in both size-matched and mismatched contexts, we pooled time intervals separating sound bursts and jaw extension that were less than 5 s apart for high sound producing males that also exhibited high jaw extension (Fig. 8E). We compared bursts to jaw extension instead of individual pulses to account for the difference in sampling frequency for the different behaviors. The time intervals of jaw extension occurring right before or after sound production have median values ranging from 140.8 to 393.5 milliseconds in high sound producing fish. Together, the results indicate that sound production and jaw extension are not obligatorily linked, but often closely coordinated in time of occurence.

Fig. 8: Temporal relationship between sound production and jaw extension for *Danionella dracula* males. A. Boxplots of resident fish sound production (SP) and jaw extension (JE)

behaviors in size-matched contests; total number of sound production in bursts (pink) on left and total number of jaw extensions (blue) on right for individual resident males. Sound production and jaw extension totals for the same individual are connected with light blue lines. B. Boxplots of large fish sound production and jaw extension behaviors in size-mismatched contests; total number of sound production in bursts (green) on left and total number of jaw extensions (cerulean) on right for individual larger males. Sound production and jaw extension totals for the same individual are connected with light bluelines. C. Example high dyad sound production from size-matched dyad, showing the total number of pulses for individual fish over the 6 sampled time periods. Magenta triangles indicate the resident fish's sound production values and black circles indicates the intruder fish's sound production. D. Example dyad jaw extension from same size-matched dyad as C, showing the total number of jaw extensions for individual fish over the 6 sampled time periods. Magenta triangles indicate the resident fish's jaw extension values and black circles indicate the intruder fish's jaw extension. E. Pooled time intervals (black circles) separating sound production and jaw extension that were less than 5 seconds apart in high sound producing males in size-matched contests (upper 75% of sound producing males), labeled as residents or intruders, and high jaw extending males in size-mismatched contests (upper 75% of sound producing males that also were high jaw extenders), labeled as large fish. Median indicated by black line.

Effects of Fish Identity on Variation in Behavior

We next investigated the effects of fish identity on sound production and jaw extension, as well as sonic characteristics, by examining the effect of being the resident or intruder in both assay contexts, and the larger or smaller fish in size-mismatched assays. We ran repeated measures linear mixed models for each behavior, with pair identity as a random effect. Residents produced significantly more sound pulses than intruders in size-matched (p=0.00145) and size-mismatched contexts (p=0.002705) (Fig. 9A, B). Larger fish, irrespective of their resident or intruder status, produced significantly more pulses than smaller fish (p=1.321e-08) in size-mismatched contexts (Fig. 9C). In size-matched contexts, the resident fish also extended its jaw more frequently than intruders (p=0.001601) (Fig. 9D). This was not the case in size-mismatched contexts (p=0.1182) (Fig. 9E), but larger fish did significantly extend their jaws more frequently than smaller fish (p=0.000969) (Fig. 9F). There was no significant effect of time period on the amount of sound production (p=0.7163), indicating there was not one specific time period (1-6) during the 2 h trial that fish across the dyads had increased sound production or jaw extension.

In examining specific sonic characteristics, there is a trend where residents produce more multi-pulse bursts than intruders in both contexts, but it is not significant (p=0.07298; size-matched; p=0.09965; size-mismatched) (Fig. 7A, B). In size mismatched contests, larger fish produced significantly more multi-pulse bursts than smaller fish (p=0.007457) (Fig. 7C).

Fig. 9: Fish identify and sound production for *Danionella dracula* males in dyadic contests. Boxplots comparing total number of sound production (SP) in pulses (A-C) and jaw extension (JE) (D-F) in size matched and size mismatched contexts. Individuals in the same dyad are connected between the two boxplots compared with a light blue line. ** indicates significance as p compared to 0.01; *** indicates significance as p compared to 0.001. A. Boxplots of resident (left, magenta) fish sound production and intruder sound production (right, black) in size-matched contests. B. Boxplots of resident (left, magenta) fish sound production and intruder sound production (right, black) in size-mismatched contests. C. Boxplots of larger (left, green) fish sound production and smaller fish sound production (right, black) in size-mismatched contests. D. Boxplots of resident (left, magenta) fish jaw extension and intruder jaw extension (right, black) in size-matched contests. B. Boxplots of resident (left, magenta) fish jaw extension and intruder jaw extension (right, black) in size-mismatched contests. C. Boxplots of larger (left, green) fish jaw extension and smaller fish jaw extension (right, black) in size-mismatched contests.

DISCUSSION

We provide the most comprehensive descrption to date of social behavior for any species within
the *Danionella* genus. Sound production and jaw extension of individual adult male *D. dracula*
quantitatively characterized by developing a simplified resident-intruder assay for testing size-
matched and size-mismatched male dyads. The results support the hypothesis that resident fish in
size-matched and larger fish in size-mismatched assays, irrespective of resident or intruder
status, exhibit increased total sound production directed towards the second fish in the dyad.
During periods of high sound production, males in both size-matched and mismatched dyads also
extend their hypertrophied lower jaw. Both actions can serve as behavioral benchmarks for
comparative studies of *Danionella* species as a new model clade (see Jourjine and Hoekstra,
2021) for identifying neurogenomic mechanisms of social behavior.

Reproduction and courtship

We interpret the vibrating behavior and swimming back to the nest as related to courtship given
the spawning activity inside the dark crevice of the tube that ensues these interactions (see Fig.
3). *Danionella dracula* may differ in their courtship and spawning behaviors from *D. cerebrum*
(formerly designated *D. translucida*), based on the description available (Schulze 2018). Female
D. cerebrum enter the nest tubes first, where upon males follow and spawning occurs (Schulze
2018). In *D. dracula*, the male is the first to venture into the spawning crevices, followed by the
female. In addition, *D. cerebrum* nest tubes are transparent and allow light in, whereas *D.*
dracula requires nest coverings to completely darken spawning crevices (R. Tatarsky,
unpublished observations). There is also no description of nest site circling in *D. cerebrum,*
whereas *D. dracula* resident males swim in close proximity to nest sites from where they court
females. These possible differences in courtship, spawning, and nest localization could indicate
differences in the natural habitats of the two species, as *D. cerebrum* is described in southern
Myanmar and *D. dracula* in the north, which may possess different breeding mediums and
facilitate different courtship and resource holding strategies.

Acoustic signal characteristics

We report that *D. dracula* males produce sounds during agonistic, but not courtship, interactions.

Females were not found to be sonic in either context, consistent with their apparent lack of a

sound producing apparatus (Britz and Conway, 2016). Males in the two aggressive contexts

tested, size-matched and size-mismatched, have similar characteristics as their individual sound

pulses were broadband, short in duration and possessed a peak frequency close to 2 kHz. The

frequency spectrum is well within the range of hearing reported for other otophysans (Fay, 1988;

Ladich, 2000). Individual males vary in the total number of sound pulses produced during male-

male interactions and in their usage of multi-pulse bursts in both size-matched and size-

mismatched contexts. For some teleost species, sound characteristics such as dominant frequency

and amplitude correlate with body size as well as with winning dyadic contests (e.g., croaking

gourami, *Trichopsis vittata*; Ladich, 1992; also see Conti et al., 2015 for overview).

For both size-matched and size-mismatched dyads, *D. dracula* males predominantly

produced single pulse bursts, with multi-pulse burst trains appearing to be generated during

periods of escalated sound production (and lunging). Perhaps bursts of three or more pulses

signal aggressive escalation compared to single and two pulse bursts, which were more

frequently used by all primary aggressor males. *Danionella dracula*'s single pulses are

reminiscent of the agonistic "pops" of the bicolor damselfish, *Eupomacentrus partitus* (Myrberg,

1972). Many fish species produce multi-pulse, grunt-like sounds during reproductive and

aggressive interactions (Lobel et al., 2010, Amorim 2005; Ladich & Myrberg, 2005; Myrberg &

Lugli, 2005; McIver et al., 2014; Lobel et al., 2021; several damselfish species, including *E.*

partitus, make multi-pulse chirps during reproductive interactions; Myrberg et al., 1978). Future

studies of *Danionella* building on the foundation set out here should look at these acoustic

characteristics in the context of nest-holding and determine if nest-holding males differ in these

characteristics compared to loser males, similar to other species.

The *Danionella* genus contains multiple species for comparative studies of both sound

production and hearing (Britz et al., 2021). Male *D. dracula* and males of its sister species *D.*

cerebrum (Schulze 2018; initially designated *D. translucida*; see Britz et al., 2021) both make

very short broadband, sharp onset pulses around 2 ms in duration. They also exhibit variation in

how frequently they sequence bursts during male-male interactions. The sounds of both species

can be grouped into bursts separated by a characteristic IPI, 38ms in *D. dracula* and 8 and 17 ms

in *D. cerebrum* (Schulze 2018). Beyond the difference in IPI duration, there is a large difference

between the species when looking at the duration of individual bursts and burst trains between
the species, as *D. dracula* appears to have individual bursts of only up to 7 pulses in length,
whereas *D. cerebrum* are capable of producing single bursts lasting close to 1 sec and burst trains
on the order of minutes (Schulze 2018). We also observe *D. dracula* primarily using single pulse
signals compared to multi-pulse bursts, with burst types of 4-7 pulses also considerably rare. In
the two species, it would be of interest to compare behaviors that might be concurrent with sound
production. It is not described if *D. cerebrum* sound production is also coordinated tightly with
lunging behaviors (Schulze 2018) as documented here for *D. dracula*, and *D. cerebrum* lacks the
hypertrophied jaw and thus the dramatic jaw extension of *D. dracula*.
638
Jaw extension
Beyond acoustic signaling, animals use other sensory modalities to communicate in conflict
scenarios, including visual ornaments in males and chemical signals (see Bradbury &
Vehrencamp, 2011). As we show, *D. dracula* males often extend their hypertrophied lower jaw
during aggressive interactions. This morphological character appears to be unique to this species
within the genus *Danionella*. Jaw extension occurs in community tank settings when two males
escalate their aggressive interaction and in periods of high sound production in dyadic assays.
Future studies should test if jaw extension behavior functions as a visual agonistic signal,
possibly allowing males to signal contest escalation and assessment information to their
competitors. Jaw extension could also act to enhance characteristics of the acoustic signal, akin
to the influence of vocal tract and mouth skeleton on sound attributes in songbirds (Podos et al.,
2004).
During our initial observations of *D. dracula* in large colony tanks, we thought that jaw
extension was always linked to sound production. However, their apparent temporal separation
during periods of low and high sound production, as well as the independent occurrence of each
during aggressive encounters between two males, indicate these two actions are very separate
behavioral displays. The close temporal coupling of sound production and jaw extension during
periods of heightened activity, provides the opportunity to study a possible multimodal signaling
repertoire (Nihat et al 2007, Amorim et al 2019). Multimodal signals are composed of signals
from different transmission channels and/or modalities, that when correlated are theorized to
maximize robustness of the overall multichannel signal (Elias et al 2003). Males in other species

have evolved multimodal signaling repertoires that combine diverse features across sensory

modalities, such as combining stereotyped visual displays or visual signals such as color and

pattern changes, with acoustic signals generated by one or more mechanisms (Rice et al., in

press). For the investigation of possible coupling of postural and acoustic displays in *D. dracula*

and putative multimodal signaling, the exact concurrency of sound production and jaw extension

would be best re-visited with high resolution and high-speed video, to more precisely examine

the timing of jaw extension and retraction with sound production as done for other species (e.g.,

Fusani et al 2007, Bostwick et al 2003).

Fish Identity

Resources such as shelters and territories are continually defended by an owner to

continue to hold fitness advantages (Conti, Arnott et al 2007). *Danionella dracula* males swim

closely around nest sites that contain spawning crevices. Future studies would benefit from

examining how males utilize acoustic communication and other signaling types to structure

dominance hierarchies and determine nest ownership in a community (Conti, 2015; Chase et al

2002, Amorim et al 2005, Arnott et al 2009, Barata et al 2007, Myrberg et al 1985). It would be

essential to determine how nest-holding males differ from other males in acoustic parameters

like inter-pulse interval, but also in body size. Do the largest males in the tank hold the nest

sites? Body size is often a determinant of winning an escalated fight in most animal species,

especially those with high variation in body size (Andersson, 1994). A display posture can

advantageously reveal body size, and larger animals can produce or bear relatively larger

ornaments (Bro-Jorgenson, 2007). Other species can assess differences in body size (see

Introduction), and we see a size effect in *D. dracula* where the larger male regardless of

residency is the primary aggressor in size-mismatched dyads. In size-matched dyads, we found a

resident effect where the slightly larger fish did not necessarily become the primary aggressor

however, indicating possible trade-offs between residency and total body size (Jennions et al

1996, Jackson et al 1991).

Concluding Comments

We demonstrate that *D. dracula* is a sonic species and characterize the sounds produced by

individual males in dyadic assays for size-matched and size-mismatched contexts, uncovering

initial residency and body size effects on aggressive behaviors in this species. The different

acoustic parameters described here serve as a foundation and could facilitate future behavioral

playback studies, physiological investigations of auditory sensitivity, and neuroimaging of

regions of the adult auditory system due to whole-brain neuroimaging access in these animals

(Chow et. al., 2020; Akbari et al., in review), to determine what components of the acoustic

signal are attended to by males as well as females. Beyond the males directly involved in an

aggressive interaction, this dyadic assay should be built upon to include the potential for

eavesdropping by females and other males to determine the interactions between acoustic

signaling in *D. dracula* and fitness advantages, like the ability to defend a nest. Male *D. dracula*,

like other fish species, could learn about each other's fighting experiences by observing male

aggressive interactions in multiple modalities, perceiving sound production and jaw extension

behaviors of individual males to facilitate assessment. The coupling of acoustic signals with a

postural display, jaw extension, could provide additional information regarding male quality

during aggressive encounters and other social interactions yet to be studied in comparable detail.

The experiments reported here also provide a basis on which to test established social behavioral

models (see Bradbury &Vehrencamp, 2011), which altogether would make a comprehensive

framework on which to study the neural and genetic drivers of social behaviors in *Danionella*

species in general.

ACKNOWEDGEMENTS

We sincercely thank Kevin Conway for his early and very generous guidance in setting up a

breeding tank. We also thank Melissa Hoffman for animal care and Margaret Marchaterre for

logistical support. Research support from NSF IOS-1457108 and IOS-1656664, and Cornell

University (AHB).

REFERENCES

718
Akbari, Najva, et al. "Label-Free Map of Adult Danionella Dracula Brain for in Vivo Navigation Using

Third Harmonic Generation Microscopy." *Biophotonics Congress 2021*, 2021,

https://doi.org/10.1364/brain.2021.btu3b.3.

Akbari, Najva, et al. "Whole Brain Optical Access in Adult Vertebrates: Two- and Three-Photon Imaging
in a Miniature Fish, Danionella Priapus." *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics*, 2020,
https://doi.org/10.1364/cleo_si.2020.sw4p.2.

Amorim, M CP, et al. "Fish Sounds and Mate Choice." *Sound Communication in Fishes*, edited by
Friedrich Ladich, Springer, Wien, 2015, pp. 1–33.

Amorim, M CP. *Communication in Fishes*, edited by Friedrich Ladich, Science Publishers, Enfield, NH,
2006, pp. 71–104.

Amorim, M. Clara, and Vitor C. Almada. "The Outcome of Male–Male Encounters Affects Subsequent
Sound Production during Courtship in the Cichlid Fish Oreochromis Mossambicus." *Animal*
Behaviour, vol. 69, no. 3, 2005, pp. 595–601., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.06.016.

Amorim, M. Clara, et al. "Assessment of Fighting Ability in the Vocal Cichlid Metriaclima Zebra in Face
of Incongruent Audiovisual Information." *Biology Open*, vol. 8, no. 12, 2019,
https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.043356.

Amorim, Maria Clara, et al. "Mate Preference in the Painted Goby: The Influence of Visual and Acoustic
Courtship Signals." *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.088682.

Andersson, Malte. *Sexual Selection*. Princeton University Press, 1994.

Arnott, Gareth, and Robert W Elwood. "Fighting for Shells: How Private Information about Resource
Value Changes Hermit Crab Pre-Fight Displays and Escalated Fight Behaviour." *Proceedings of*
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, vol. 274, no. 1628, 2007, pp. 3011–3017.,
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.1196.

Arnott, Gareth, and Robert W. Elwood. "Assessment of Fighting Ability in Animal Contests." *Animal*
Behaviour, vol. 77, no. 5, 2009, pp. 991–1004., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.02.010.

Ay, Nihat, et al. "Robustness and Complexity Co-Constructed in Multimodal Signalling Networks."
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, vol. 362, no. 1479, 2007,
pp. 441–447., https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1971.

Barata, Eduardo N, et al. "Male Urine Signals Social Rank in the Mozambique Tilapia (Oreochromis

Mossambicus)." *BMC Biology*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2007, https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-5-54.

Billings, Alexis C. "The Low-Frequency Acoustic Structure of Mobbing Calls Differs across Habitat

Types in Three Passerine Families." *Animal Behaviour*, vol. 138, 2018, pp. 39–49.,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.02.001.

Bostwick, Kimberly S., and Richard O. Prum. "High-Speed Video Analysis of Wing-Snapping in Two

Manakin Clades(Pipridae: Aves)." *Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 206, no. 20, 2003, pp.

3693–3706., https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00598.

Bradbury, Jack W., and Sandra L. Vehrencamp. *Principles of Animal Communication*. Sinauer, 2011.

Britz, Ralf, and Kevin W. Conway. "Danionella Dracula, an Escape from the Cypriniformbauplanvia

Developmental Truncation?" *Journal of Morphology*, vol. 277, no. 2, 2015, pp. 147–166.,

https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20486.

Britz, Ralf, et al. "Spectacular Morphological Novelty in a Miniature Cyprinid Fish,   Danionella

Dracula   n. Sp.." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 276, no.

1665, 2009, pp. 2179–2186., https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0141.

Britz, Ralf, et al. "The Emerging Vertebrate Model Species for Neurophysiological Studies Is Danionella

Cerebrum, New Species (Teleostei: Cyprinidae)." *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021,

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97600-0.

Bro-Jørgensen, Jakob. "Dynamics of Multiple Signalling Systems: Animal Communication in a World in

Flux." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 25, no. 5, 2010, pp. 292–300.,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.11.003.

Chase, I. D., et al. "Individual Differences versus Social Dynamics in the Formation of Animal

Dominance Hierarchies." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 99, no. 8, 2002,

pp. 5744–5749., https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082104199.

Chow, Dawnis M., et al. "Deep Three-Photon Imaging of the Brain in Intact Adult Zebrafish." *Nature*

Methods, vol. 17, no. 6, 2020, pp. 605–608., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0819-7.

Cole, Kathleen S., et al. "Acoustical Behavior of Coral Reef Fishes." *Reproduction and Sexuality in*

774   *Marine Fishes: Patterns and Processes*, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 2010, pp.

775   307–386.

776   Conti, Carlotta, et al. "How Effective Are Acoustic Signals in Territorial Defence in the Lusitanian

777   Toadfish?" *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.116673.

778   Elias, Damian O., et al. "Seismic Signals in a Courting Male Jumping Spider (Araneae:Salticidae)."

779   *Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 206, no. 22, 2003, pp. 4029–4039.,

780   https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00634.

781   Fay, Richard R. "Peripheral Adaptations for Spatial Hearing in Fish." *Sensory Biology of Aquatic*

782   *Animals*, 1988, pp. 711–731., https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3714-3_28.

783   Fusani, Leonida, et al. "High-Speed Video Analysis Reveals Individual Variability in the Courtship

784   Displays of Male Golden-Collared Manakins." *Ethology*, vol. 113, no. 10, 2007, pp. 964–972.,

785   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2007.01395.x.

786   Gerhardt, H. Carl, and Franz Huber. *Acoustic Communication in Insects and Anurans: Common Problems*

787   *and Diverse Solutions*. University of Chicago Press, 2002.

788   Green, P. A., and S. N. Patek. "Mutual Assessment during Ritualized Fighting in Mantis Shrimp

789   (Stomatopoda)." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 285, no. 1871,

790   2018, p. 20172542., https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2542.

791   Hsu, Yuying, et al. "Switching Assessment Strategy during a Contest: Fighting in Killifish Kryptolebias

792   Marmoratus." *Animal Behaviour*, vol. 75, no. 5, 2008, pp. 1641–1649.,

793   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.10.017.

794   Jackson, R.R., and K.J. Cooper. "The Influence of Body Size and Prior Residency on the Outcome of

795   Male-Male Interactions OfMarpissa Marina, a New Zealand Jumping Spider (Araneae

796   Salticidae)." *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 3, no. 1, 1991, pp. 79–82.,

797   https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.1991.9525391.

798   Jennions, Michael D., and Patricia R.Y. Backwell. "Residency and Size Affect Fight Duration and

799   Outcome in the Fiddler Crab Uca Annulipes." *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, vol. 57,

800   no. 4, 1996, pp. 293–306., https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1996.tb01851.x.

801   Jourjine, Nicholas, and Hopi E. Hoekstra. "Expanding Evolutionary Neuroscience: Insights from

802   Comparing Variation in Behavior." *Neuron*, vol. 109, no. 7, 2021, pp. 1084–1099.,

803   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.02.002.

804   Ladich, F, and AA Jr Myrberg. "Agonistic Behavior and Acoustic Communication." *Communication in*

805   *Fishes*, edited by Friedrich Ladich, Science Publishers, Enfield, NH, 2006, pp. 121–148.

806   Ladich, Friedrich, et al. "Significance of Agonistic Vocalization in the Croaking Gourami (Trichopsis

807   Vittatus, Teleostei)." *Ethology*, vol. 90, no. 4, 2010, pp. 307–314., https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-

808   0310.1992.tb00841.x.

809   Ladich, Friedrich. "Acoustic Communication and the Evolution of Hearing in Fishes." *Philosophical*

810   *Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 355, no. 1401,

811   2000, pp. 1285–1288., https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2000.0685.

812   Ladich, Friedrich. "Acoustic Signalling in Female Fish." *Sound Communication in Fishes*, edited by

813   Friedrich Ladich, Springer, Wien, 2015.

814   Lee, Jonathan S., and Andrew H. Bass. "Dimorphic Male Midshipman Fish: Reduced Sexual Selection or

815   Sexual Selection for Reduced Characters?" *Behavioral Ecology*, vol. 17, no. 4, 2006, pp. 670–

816   675., https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ark015.

817   Lobel, P S, et al. "Sonic Cichlids." *Behavior, Ecology and Evolution of Cichlid Fishes*, edited by M E

818   Abate and D LG Noakes, SPRINGER, S.l., 2021, pp. 443–502.

819   McIver, Eileen L., et al. "Novel Underwater Soundscape: Acoustic Repertoire of Plainfin Midshipman

820   Fish." *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.102772.

821   Myrberg, AA Jr, and M Lugli. "Reproductive Behavior and Acoustical Interactions." *Communication in*

822   *Fishes*, edited by Friedrich Ladich, Science Publishers, Enfield, NH, 2006.

Myrberg, AA, et al. *Contrasts in Behavior: Adaptations in the Aquatic and Terrestrial Environments*,

edited by Ernst S. Reese, J. Wiley, New York, NY, 1978.

Myrberg, Arthur A. "Ethology of the Bicolor Damselfish, Eupomacentrus Partitus (Pisces:

Pomacentridae): A Comparative Analysis of Laboratory and Field Behaviour." *Animal Behaviour*

Monographs, vol. 5, 1972, https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(72)90002-4.

Myrberg, Arthur A., and Robert J. Riggio. "Acoustically Mediated Individual Recognition by a Coral

Reef Fish (Pomacentrus Partitus)." *Animal Behaviour*, vol. 33, no. 2, 1985, pp. 411–416.,

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-3472(85)80065-8.

Odom, Karan J., et al. "Comparative Bioacoustics: A Roadmap for Quantifying and Comparing Animal

Sounds across Diverse Taxa." *Biological Reviews*, vol. 96, no. 4, 2021, pp. 1135–1159.,

https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12695.

Oliveira, Rui F., et al. "Fighting Zebrafish: Characterization of Aggressive Behavior and Winner–Loser

Effects." *Zebrafish*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2011, pp. 73–81., https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2011.0690.

Pereira, Ricardo, et al. "The Role of Agonistic Sounds in Male Nest Defence in the Painted

Gobypomatoschistus Pictus." *Ethology*, vol. 120, no. 1, 2013, pp. 53–63.,

https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12180.

Podos, Jeffrey, et al. "Bird Song: The Interface of Evolution and Mechanism." *Annual Review of Ecology,*

Evolution, and Systematics, vol. 35, no. 1, 2004, pp. 55–87.,

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105719.

Rice, A. N. et al. (in revision) "Evolutionary patterns and processes of sound production across

ray-finned fishes." *Ichthyology and Herpetology.*

Schulze, Lisanne, et al. "Publisher Correction: Transparent Danionella Translucida as a Genetically

Tractable Vertebrate Brain Model." *Nature Methods*, vol. 15, no. 12, 2018, pp. 1126–1126.,

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0217-6.

847 Schulze, Lisanne, et al. "Transparent Danionella Translucida as a Genetically Tractable Vertebrate Brain

848   Model." *Nature Methods*, vol. 15, no. 11, 2018, pp. 977–983., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-

849   018-0144-6.

850 Sebastianutto, Linda, et al. "Four Type of Sounds for One Winner: Vocalizations during Territorial

851   Behavior in the Red-Mouthed Goby Gobius Cruentatus (Pisces Gobiidae)." *Acta Ethologica*, vol.

852   11, no. 2, 2008, pp. 115–121., https://doi.org/10.1007/s10211-008-0048-z.

853