Semaphorin signaling restricts neuronal regeneration in *C. elegans*

Harreguy MB[1,2], Tanvir Z[1,2], Shah E[1], Simprevil B[1], Tran TS[1,2], Haspel G[1,2,3]*

[1] New Jersey Institute of Technology, Department of Biological Sciences, Newark, New
Jersey, USA
[2] Rutgers University, Department of Biological Sciences, Newark, New Jersey, USA
[3] Mercer University School of Medicine, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Columbus,
Georgia, USA

* Correspondence:
haspel@njit.edu

12
13 **Key Words:** neuroregeneration, semaphorins, plexins, laser microsurgery, *C. elegans*,
14 locomotion

15
Abstract

Extracellular signaling proteins serve as neuronal growth cone guidance molecules
during development and are well positioned to be involved in neuronal regeneration and
recovery from injury. Semaphorins and their receptors, the plexins, are a family of
conserved proteins involved in development that, in the nervous system, are axonal
guidance cues mediating axon pathfinding and synapse formation. The *Caenorhabditis*
elegans genome encodes for three semaphorins and two plexin receptors: the
transmembrane semaphorins, SMP-1 and SMP-2, signal through their receptor, PLX-1,
while the secreted semaphorin, MAB-20, signals through PLX-2. Here, we evaluate the
locomotion behavior of knockout animals missing each of the semaphorins and plexins
and the neuronal morphology of plexin knockout animals; we described the cellular
expression pattern of the promoters of all plexins in the nervous system of *C. elegans;*
and we evaluated their effect on the regrowth and reconnection of motoneuron neurites
and the recovery of locomotion behavior following precise laser microsurgery. Regrowth
and reconnection were more prevalent in the absence of each plexin, while recovery of
locomotion surpassed regeneration in all genotypes.

Introduction

During neurodevelopment, growth factors and guidance cues regulate dendrite
morphogenesis, axon growth cone initiation and navigation, axon elongation and target
recognition, but their effects are less pronounced in the adult nervous system. Studying
their role in the context of adult regeneration and recovery could provide insight into the
molecular and cellular response to injury (Chen et al., 2011; Chisholm et al., 2016).

The semaphorins are a family of glycosylated proteins that were first characterized for
their role in the development of the insect and avian nervous systems as axonal

guidance cues but were later found in a variety of other tissues and organisms (Alto and
Terman, 2017; Junqueira Alves et al., 2019). All semaphorins have a distinctive 500
residue long N-terminal domain, known as the Sema domain. This domain, which is a
seven-blade beta-propeller, with each blade formed by four anti-parallel beta-strands
(Gherardi et al., 2004), is exclusive to semaphorins and their receptors, the plexins,
where it mediates semaphorin dimerization and receptor binding. Eight classes of
semaphorins are phylogenetically conserved in nematodes, flies, chick, mammals, and
viruses, with three classes of smaller proteins that are secreted and five classes that are
membrane-bound by a transmembrane domain or a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)
link (Alto and Terman, 2017; Junqueira Alves et al., 2019). Correspondingly, four
classes of plexins are conserved in invertebrates and vertebrates (Tamagnone et al.,
1999; Negishi et al., 2005). All plexins are transmembrane proteins with an extracellular
Sema domain that mediates semaphorin binding and signaling, either by themselves or
with a neuropilin co-receptor, in the case of the secreted class 3 semaphorins in
vertebrate (Negishi et al., 2005; Pascoe et al., 2015).

In mammals, semaphorins and their receptors, neuropilins and plexins, were originally
described as guidance cues for neuronal growth cones aiding axons to their targets by
acting as chemorepellents (Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne, 2011). More recently,
semaphorins have been implicated in multiple key roles of neural circuit assembly
during neurodevelopment (Yoshida, 2012; Koropouli and Kolodkin, 2014). For example,
the mammalian secreted semaphorin, SEMA3A, is involved in various
neurodevelopmental processes in the mouse, including repelling dorsal root ganglion
sensory axons, promoting basal dendrite elaboration in cortical pyramidal neurons, and
pruning of hippocampal axons (Bagri et al., 2003; Yaron et al., 2005; Mlechkovich et al.,
2014; Danelon et al., 2020). Another well studied secreted semaphorin, SEMA3F, and
its receptor Neuropilin-2, are also involved in axon guidance, synaptic plasticity, and
refinement, as well as in restraining the excess of dendritic spines on apical dendrites of
cortical neurons and regulating inhibitory interneuron numbers in the hippocampus
(Tran et al., 2009; Riccomagno et al., 2012; Riccomagno and Kolodkin, 2015; Assous et
al., 2019; Eisenberg et al., 2021). As the mediators of semaphorin signaling, the plexins
are involved in axon guidance, synapse and dendrite formation, axonal pruning and
synaptic stability (Shen and Cowan, 2010; Limoni, 2021).

In accordance with their role in neurodevelopment, semaphorins could be involved in
axonal regeneration after injury (Fard and Tamagnone, 2021). For example, SEMA3A
expression levels increase after injury in the spinal cord and cerebral cortex (de Winter
et al., 2002; Hashimoto et al., 2004) and regenerating axons avoid areas with high
SEMA3A expression (Pasterkamp and Verhaagen, 2001). Accordingly, a SEMA3A-
specific inhibitor improved axon regeneration and spontaneous hind leg movement after
spinal cord transection (Kaneko et al., 2006). Plexin expression and function in
response to injury varies depending on the type. Plexin A family members increase their
expression after axonal injury in facial motoneurons and rubrospinal neurons
contributing to the role of semaphorins in restricting regeneration (Spinelli et al., 2007).
On the other hand, PlexinB2 is upregulated after spinal cord injury in glial cells proximal
to the injury site and is required for wound healing and recovery (Zhou et al., 2020).

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome encodes for only three semaphorin and two plexin
homologues. Of those, PLX-1 binds the two membrane-bound semaphorins (SMP-1
and SMP-2), while PLX-2 binds the only secreted semaphorin (MAB-20; Fig. 1A;
(Ginzburg et al., 2002; Nakao et al., 2007). Both membrane-bound and secreted
semaphorin-plexin systems are involved in development; semaphorins guide ventral
enclosure (Ikegami et al., 2012), and regulate epidermal morphogenesis (Ginzburg et
al., 2002; Ikegami et al., 2012) as well as vulva and tail-rays morphogenesis in the
hermaphrodite and males, respectively (Dalpe et al., 2012). In the nervous system,
membrane-bound semaphorin signaling (the *plx-1/smp-1/smp-2* pathway) is necessary
for synaptic tiling in two DA motoneurons in the tail (Mizumoto and Shen, 2013) and for
guidance of the long axons of mechanosensory neurons (Ginzburg et al., 2002).
Secreted semaphorin signaling (via the *plx-2/mab-20* pathway) contributes to
motoneuronal axon guidance; eliminating this pathway, when not embryonic lethal,
causes defasciculation of the ventral nerve cord (VNC; 17% of surviving *mab-20*
knockout animals) and axon misguidance in DA and DB motoneuron classes (4% of
surviving *mab-20* knockout animals;(Roy et al., 2000).

C. elegans is a well-established model for neuronal regeneration and most of its
neurons are able to regenerate after precise laser microsurgery (60%) and in some
cases reestablish functional connections (Yanik et al., 2004; Ghosh-Roy and Chisholm,
2010; Neumann et al., 2011; Harreguy et al., 2020; Harreguy et al., 2022). **Here we**
take advantage of the small number of plexins in *C. elegans* and the capability to
precisely disconnect single neurites in intact animals, to investigate the role of
semaphorin signaling in neuroregeneration *in vivo*. We describe the neuronal
expression of the plexin receptors and the effect of their absence on neuronal
regeneration and recovery of locomotion behavior.

Methods

Strains and transgenics

We maintained *C. elegans* strains under standard laboratory conditions on nematode
growth medium (NGM: 0.25% Tryptone, 0.3% Sodium Chloride, 1 mM Calcium
Chloride, 1 mM Magnesium Sulfate, 25 mM Potassium Phosphate (pH 6.0), 5 µg/mL
Cholesterol, 1.5% Aga) agar plates with OP-50-1 *Escherichia coli* bacterial lawn at 15°C
(Stiernagle, 2006), without antibiotics. All animals used in the experiments were
hermaphrodites.

We acquired semaphorin and plexin mutants from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center
(CGC) or the *C. elegans* National Bioresource Project of Japan (NBRP): ev778 (*mab-*
20, null), tm729 (*plx-2*, null), ev715 (*smp-1*, null), ev709 (*smp-2*, null), tm10697 (*plx-1*,
null), and evls111 ([F25B3.3::GFP + dpy-20(+)], pan-neural GFP expression). To allow
imaging and microsurgery, we crossed males of NW1229 (evls111), induced by 10-
minute exposure of L4 larvae to 10% ethanol (Lyons and Hecht, 1997), with null-mutant
hermaphrodites to obtain knockout animals expressing GFP in the entire nervous
system: TOL55 (ev715, evls111, outcrossed x6), TOL57 (ev709, evls111, outcrossed
x6), TOL59 (tm10697, evls111, outcrossed x1), and TOL62 (tm729, evls111,
outcrossed x1). All strains were verified by PCR upon arrival, after crosses, and at the

end of the study. All generated strains and primer sequences for genotyping will be
deposited with the CGC.

The reporter strain for *plx-1p*::EGFP (NW2339, 2,621 bp sequence immediately 5′ to the
ATG start codon cloned into the multiple cloning site of pPD95_77; Dalpé et al., 2004)
and *plx-2p*::GFP (NW1693, 4,529 bp sequence immediately 5′ to the ATG start codon
cloned into the multiple cloning site of pPD95.75) were generous gifts from Dr Joseph
Culotti (University of Toronto, Mt Sinai Hospital) and Dr Richard Ikegami (UC Berkeley),
respectively. For unambiguous identification, we crossed each reporter strain with a
NeuroPAL transgenic strain (OH15495; Yemini et.al 2021).

Locomotion analysis

We tracked locomotion behavior of multiple animals over an agar surface (1.7% in NGM
buffer), without food, as well as in liquid (NGM buffer). We recorded videos with a static
multi-worm tracker, composed of three major parts, from top to bottom: (1) a CMOS
camera (acA4024-29um, Basler) mounted with a fixed focal length lens (C Series 5MP
35 mm 2/3", Edmund Optics), and an infrared cut-off filter (SCOTT-KG3 M25.5x0.5,
Edmund Optics); (2) a specimen stage for plates or slides; (3) a collimated Infrared LED
light source (M850L3 and COP1-B, Thorlabs).

One day before the experiment, we transferred animals of the fourth larval stage (L4)
onto a new plate with healthy OP-50-1 bacterial lawn. Ten to fifteens minutes before
tracking, animals were transferred onto a 30 mm agar plate with no food or a 150 µL
drop of NGM buffer, placed on a microscope slide. During tracking, animals moved
freely, and we recorded multiple 25 Hz 15-second videos using Pylon Viewer (Pylon
Camera Software Suite, Basler). We analyzed the videos with Tierpsy worm-tracker
(Javer et al., 2018) that can track multiple animals and extract up to 726 features for
each tracked trajectory. We used the Tierpsy post-processing user interface to merge
tracked sections (trajectories) if those were erroneously split by the automatic tracking,
and we rejected any trajectory shorter than 3 s, as well as ambiguous cases of animal
proximity. Recording and Tierpsy analysis were done by undergraduate researchers,
blinded to the animals' genotype and injury condition. We analyzed the HDF5 output file
produced by Tierpsy with a MATLAB script (code available upon request) to collect the
mean speed and frequency values for each trajectory and then plotted the data and
estimated confidence intervals between each group and its control with a freely
available software for Estimation Statistics (https://www.estimationstats.com; (Ho et al.,
2019) that focuses on the magnitude of the effect (the effect size) and its precision. We
also present statistical significance calculated with a two-sided permutation t-testto
compare sham vs injured groups, or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple
comparisons test post hoc to compare genotypes (GraphPad Prism v9.2), included as p
values in the text and as asterisks that denote levels of significance. We routinely use
this tracking system to evaluate and compare wild type, injured, and uncoordinated
mutant strains. We tracked all the knockout, transgenic, and wild type strains without
injury to assess their baseline locomotion parameters. Further, we tracked locomotion to
assess recovery 6, 12, and 24 hours after microsurgery. For comparison, we also
quantified locomotion parameters of sham-surgery groups for each genotype and time

point. We treated the sham-surgery groups through the same protocol (including cooling
and immobilization, see below), except for the exposure to the laser beam.

Expression and neuronal morphology analysis.

To reduce autofluorescence and straighten the animals we incubated fourth stage
larvae (L4) in M9 buffer for 90 m and washed in the same buffer three times, incubated
in 1 mM Levamisole (a paralytic nicotinic agonist, Sigma Aldrich) for 15 m, and fixed
overnight at 4°C in 10% formalin solution, neutral buffered (SIGMA), then washed and
mounted with Fluoromount-G (EMS), and allowed the slides to dry for at least 24 h
before imaging. We used a laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica SP8;
microscope: DM6000CS; objectives: Leica 40x/NA1.30 HC PL APO oil or Leica
63x/NA1.40 HC PL APO oil, with lateral resolutions of 223 nm and 207 nm respectively;
laser lines: 405 nm, 561 nm, and 488 nm). We collected multiple optical slices
(thickness optimized by the confocal software, ranging 0.343 - 0.345 μm for the 63X
objective, and 0.410 - 0.422 μm for the 40X objective). To analyze morphology and
cellular expression we constructed the maximum intensity projections for at least 10
animals of each strain and, in some cases, processed images to reduce background
noise via the Leica Application Suite (LASX) software.

For unambiguous identification of VNC motoneuronal expression, we crossed each
transcriptional reporter strain with a NeuroPAL transgenic strain and imaged the F1
progeny that express both transgenes. The NeuroPAL strains express an invariant color
map across individuals, where every neuron is uniquely identified by its color and
position (Yemini et.al 2021). We identified 29 motoneurons in 3 animals and rejected 3
motoneurons that expressed GFP but their location and NeuroPAL colors were
ambiguous.

Laser Microsurgery

For laser microsurgery and associated microscopy, we mounted *C. elegans*
hermaphrodites at L4 stage by placing them in a drop of ice cold, liquid 36% Pluronic F-
127 with 1☐mM levamisole solution and pressed them between two #1 coverslips
(Melentijevic et al., 2017). We brought the coverslips to room temperature, to solidify the
Pluronic F-127 gel and immobilize the animals. We used a Yb-fiber laser (100 pulses at
10 kHz repetition rate) to cut a single neurite with submicron precision and no
discernable collateral damage (Harreguy et al., 2020; Harreguy et al., 2022). We took
images immediately before and after the lesion to visually verify the microsurgery. In
some cases, multiple laser exposures were necessary to disconnect a neurite. We
disconnected the ventral-dorsal commissures of all motoneurons that we were able to
identify by their relative position (at least 6 per animal), at about 45 μm away from the
VNC. We assessed neuronal regeneration 24☐hours (following most regeneration
studies in *C. elegans*, since Yanik et al., 2004) after microsurgery on the same
microscope and imaging system in at least 6 neurons per animal in at least 15 animals
for each condition. We considered neurites regrown when a new branch was observed
extending from the proximal segment of the injury site (Harreguy et al., 2020; Harreguy
et al., 2022). When the branch extended to the distal segment or the target of the pre-

injury neurite, we considered it regrown and reconnected. We used Fisher Exact on 2x3
contingency table to compare the fraction of observed neurites that regrew or
reconnected. We used ImageJ (FIJI v.1.52) and LASX (Leica) for image processing and
visualization, and Prism (GraphPad v.9.2.0) for statistical analysis and plotting.

220 Results

221 *C. elegans animals that do not express functional semaphorins or plexins*
222 *exhibited altered locomotion patterns.*

We analyzed the contribution to locomotor behavior of each of *C. elegans* three
semaphorins and two plexins (Fig. 1A) by comparing the speed and frequency of
locomotion of knockout (ko) mutant strains to that of wild type animals. During crawling
on agar (Fig. 1B), all strains translocated significantly slower compared to 204±54 µm/s
of wild type (speed and p values were: *plx-1* 123±37, p<0.0001; *smp-1* 83±33,
p<0.0001; *smp-2* 123±35, p<0.0001; *plx-2* 168±41, p=0.0011; *mab-20* 186±51,
p=0.0016); and the undulation frequency of all strains was reduced compared to
0.43±0.08 Hz of wild type (frequency and p values were: *plx-1* 0.29±0.07, p=0.0497;
smp-1 0.19±0.09, p<0.0001; *smp-2* 0.25±0.06, p<0.0001; *plx-2* 0.36±0.09; *mab-20*
0.36±0.08). Relative to crawling, swimming speed and frequency were less affected by
the absence of plexins or semaphorins (Fig. 1B), only *plx-1(ko)* and *plx-2(ko)* animals
translocated slower than 243±88 µm/s of wild type (speed and p values were: *plx-1*
196±63, p=0.003; *smp-1* 209±94; *smp-2* 234±63; *plx-2* 172±38, p<0.0001; *mab-20*
277±52); only *smp-1(ko)* animals undulated at higher frequency compared to 1.34±0.27
Hz of wild type (frequency and p values were: *plx-1* 1.53±0.55; *smp-1* 1.62±0.44,
p=0.0014; *smp-2* 1.17±0.29; *plx-2* 1.14±0.22; *mab-20* 1.23±0.22). The largest reduction
of crawling speed and frequency was in *smp-1(ko)* animals that were also the only
genotype to exhibit a change (increase) in undulation frequency during swimming.

We focused further analysis on the plexins (*plx-1* and *plx-2*), because as the only
receptors, segregating membrane-bound and secreted pathways, they provide a
comprehensive and specific manipulation of these pathways, as well as the identity of
the cellular targets (Fujii et al., 2002).

245 Gross neuronal morphology was unaffected by the absence of PLX-1 and PLX-2.

We used confocal microscopy to image at least 5 intact four instar (L4) larvae of each
plexin-knockout and wild type strain, expressing pan neuronal green fluorescent protein
(GFP), with emphasis on neuron-rich areas around head, tail, the ventral nerve cord,
pharynx, and vulva, and particularly at the commissures of motoneurons (Fig. 2). We
did not observe any morphological differences between mutant and wild type animals in
any of these regions.

252 Motoneuronal expression of PLX-1 and PLX-2

We imaged transcriptional reporters for *plx-1p* and *plx-2p* in order to identify their
neuronal expression in the ventral nerve cord (VNC). GFP under the *plx-1p* promoter
(Fig. 3AB) was mostly expressed in non-neuronal tissue including the pharyngeal
muscle, the body-wall muscle in the head and along the body, and vulva muscle. We

did not find expression in the nervous system of *plx-1*p::GFP, although a translational
reporter was reported to express in the axon of a motoneuron at the base of the tail,
namely DA9, of the embryo and L1 larva (Mizumoto and Shen, 2013). GFP under the
*plx-2*p promoter was expressed by neurons in the head and tail (Fig. 3C), as well as in
motoneuron in the VNC (Fig. 3D). Most expressing motoneurons were AS and DA
classes (14 and 9, respectively, from 3 animals), 6 motoneurons of other classes,
namely DB (3), VA (2), and VB (1) also expressed GFP. Both AS and DA extend
commissures that were the targets for microsurgery, from the VNC to the dorsal nerve
cord on the opposite side of the animal.

Neurites of plexin knockout mutants regenerate more than wild type after laser
microsurgery.

We disconnected 156 commissural neurites of motoneurons of wild type and plexin
knockout mutant animals with laser microsurgery (Harreguy et al., 2020; Harreguy et al.,
2022). These lateral processes extend to connect the ventral and dorsal nerve cords
and when multiple processes are disconnected, locomotion is impaired (Yanik et al.,
2004). When we examine the same neurite after 24 hours, some regrew by sprouting a
growth cone from the proximal segment and some of those reconnected to the distal
segment or the dorsal nerve cord (Fig. 4A). In the wild type, 38 of 73 neurites regrew
(0.52±0.11) and only 5 of those (0.07±0.058) reconnected (Fig. 4B). The plexin
knockout mutants exhibited significantly more regrowth (p=0.049,), 33 of 47 (0.7±0.13)
for *plx-1(ko)* and 26 of 36 (0.72±0.15) for *plx-2(ko)*. Reconnection happened
significantly more (p<0.0001) in the plexin knockout strains: in *plx-1(ko)*, 13 of the
regrown neurites (0.28±0.13) and in *plx-2(ko)*, 20 of the regrown neurites reconnected
(0.56±0.16).

Six hours after microsurgery, wild type and mutant animals moved slower than sham-
treated animals of the same genotype (sham vs injured: WT 79±39 vs 41±20 μm/s,
p=0.004; *plx-1(ko)* 72±18 vs 31±25 μm/s, p<0.0001; *plx-2(ko)* 70±22 vs 35±21 μm/s,
p=0.0001; Fig. 4C, top). Twelve hours after microsurgery, the mean locomotion speed
of wild type animals has recovered to levels comparable to sham-treated, while mutant
animals moved slower than their sham-treated controls (sham vs injured: WT 79±28 vs
63±36 μm/s; *plx-1(ko)* 84±22 vs 58±26 μm/s, p=0178; *plx-2(ko)* 106±25 vs 51±26 μm/s,
p=0.0001; Fig. 4C, middle). Subsequently, 24 hours after microsurgery, mean
locomotion speed has recovered to levels comparable to sham-treated animals for all
groups (sham vs injured: WT 115±45 vs 145±49 μm/s; *plx-1(ko)* 111±44 vs 146±51
μm/s; *plx-2(ko)* 120±35 vs 121±20 μm/s; Fig. 4C, bottom).

Discussion

Here we have demonstrated that the two plexins that mediate semaphorin signaling in
C. elegans restrict neuronal regrowth and reconnection after injury. In their absence,
injured neurons of plexin knockout mutants exhibit higher levels of regrowth (for both
plexins) and reconnection (for *plx-2*).

By the nature of their ligands, the two plexins mediate different spatial signals.
Paracrine interaction, such as those mediated by PLX-1 typically act at short-ranged by

cell-to-cell interactions and conform subcellular resolution spatial information (Dalpé et
al., 2004, 2005; Gurrapu and Tamagnone, 2016). Because both ligand and receptor are
transmembrane proteins, the flow of information could be bidirectional, such as in the
case of reverse-signaling through semaphorins, in which plexins function as ligands (Yu
et al., 2010; Battistini and Tamagnone, 2016; Suzuki et al., 2022). On the other hand,
juxtacrine interactions, such as those mediated by PLX-2 are spatially more disperse
over tissue level where the ligand typically diffuses to set meaningful concentration
gradients (Chen et al., 2007).

We demonstrated that neither the plexins nor the three semaphorins are necessary for
gross neuromorphogenesis. However, at low penetrance their omission causes
defasciculating and axon misguidance (Roy et al., 2000). In the nervous system, PLX-1
is only expressed by two motoneurons, namely DA8 and DA9, where it is involved in
synaptic tiling during development by restricting the synaptic regions (Mizumoto and
Shen, 2013). Because, to the most part, PLX-1 is expressed in muscle and other non-
neuronal tissue (Fujii et al., 2002), we hypothesize that its restrictive effect on
regeneration is achieved by interaction with the semaphorin SMP-1 presented by the
motoneurons (Liu et al., 2005). The neurons could respond indirectly to the surrounding
tissue via another signaling pathway, such as the ephrin pathway (as described for *efn-*
4 in relation to *plx-2/mab-20*; Nakao et al., 2007), or SMP-1 could mediate a direct
cellular response via reverse-signaling from plexins to semaphorins (Yu et al., 2010;
Battistini and Tamagnone, 2016; Suzuki et al., 2022). The other membrane-bound
semaphorin, SMP-2, might not be involved in motoneuronal regeneration because it is
not expressed by VNC motoneurons, but in body wall muscle and some sensory
neurons in the head (Ginzburg et al., 2002). PLX-2 is expressed by four classes of
motoneurons, and the most parsimonious hypothesis is that MAB-20 signals via PLX-2
to prevent aberrant neuronal regeneration; MAB-20 secretion from muscle cells
generate a gradient that suppresses overgrowth of neurites in health and injury. A
similar system was described for regenerating axons of murine spinal cord and brain,
where expression of the receptor complex mediating SEMA3A function increases after
injury, while SEMA3A secretion at the site of injury declines to undetectable levels
during the period of axon regrowth, but persists to be secreted by cells adjacent to the
injury site, creating an exclusion zone which regrowing axons do not penetrate
(Pasterkamp and Verhaagen, 2001; Pasterkamp et al., 2001; de Winter et al., 2002).
Notably, the absence of MAB-20 and PLX-2 had different effects on swimming speed,
reminiscent of the different epidermal development phenotypes described for *mab-*
20(ko) and *plx-2(ko)* (Nakao et al., 2007).

The phenotypes we describe for uninjured plexin and semaphorin knockout mutant
animals are changes in speed and frequency of locomotion on agar surface and in
liquid. To the most part, these effects are small in magnitude and include both increases
and decreases compared to wild type animals. The largest effects were on the
translocation speed of *smp-1(ko)* during swimming and even worse during crawling.
Because the semaphorin signaling pathways are involved in several aspects of
embryonic development and its components are expressed in neuronal and non-
neuronal tissue in the embryo, the phenotypes are likely the product of an accumulation
of effects on structure and function of different tissue, such as muscle, cuticle, or the
nervous system. Furthermore, the semaphorin pathways could regulate expression of

downstream genes (Alto and Terman, 2017) that in turn effect locomotion behavior.
Parsimoniously, because these effects are not the focus of this study, we removed the
effect of these locomotion phenotypes by comparing animals after laser microsurgery to
sham-operated animals of the same genotype. Moreover, the laser microsurgery
experiments included only plexin knockout mutants and *smp-1(ko)* animals were not
included in that comparison.

Locomotion behavior was impaired 6 hours post-injury and recovered back to pre-injury
parameters 24 hours post-injury in wild type animals and both plexin knockout mutant
animals. Because less than half of the neurites in the wild type animals regrew and only
0.07 reconnected, we hypothesize that the recovery is due to reorganization of the
locomotion circuit to produce a meaningful motor pattern that is indistinguishable from
that of an uninjured animal (Haspel et al., 2021). Similarly, the recovery of plexin
knockout mutants that exhibit much higher levels of regrowth (for both) and
reconnection (for *plx-2*) can be due to reorganization. Full recovery of locomotion with
only partial recovery of neurites and synapses has been described in other systems
(Oliphint et al., 2010), but the underlying circuit mechanism is unknown.

The conserved but concise semaphorin-plexin system and readily available genetic and
transgenic tools in *C. elegans*, together with accurate injury and quick
neuroregeneration and recovery of behavior provide an attractive experimental model.
The secreted and membrane-bound semaphorin signaling pathways both restrict
regeneration but in distinct processes that likely include spatial specificity and recurrent
signals. Further studies, including of the effect on regeneration of each and
combinations of the semaphorins and their localization, before and right after injury, as
well as the spatiotemporal dynamics of related secondary messengers such as calcium
and cAMP, will address proximate hypotheses about the involvement of semaphorin
signaling in neural recovery from injury.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Joseph Culotti **(**University of Toronto, Mt Sinai Hospital) and Dr Ricard
Ikegami (UC Berkeley) for sharing the *plx-2* and *plx-1* reporter strains. We also thank Dr
Kang Shen (Stanford University) and Dr Kota Mizumoto (University of British Columbia)
for the *plx-1* reporter constructs. We thank Dr Monica Driscoll (Rutgers University) for
her help in generating transgenic lines and the Hobert Lab (Columbia University) for the
NeuroPal strains. We thank Joseph Soubany for help with locomotion anaysis.
Research reported in this publication was supported by NINDS of the National Institutes
of Health (1R15NS125565-01; MBH, SE, SB, TST, GH), by the State of New Jersey
Commission on Spinal Cord Research (CSCR14ERG002; GH; and CSCR16IRG013;
TST), and by the NJIT Undergraduate Research Initiative (URI; ES). Some strains were
provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure
Programs (P40 OD010440).

References

Alto, L. T., and Terman, J. R. (2017). Semaphorins and their signaling mechanisms. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1493, 1–25. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6448-2_1.

Assous, M., Martinez, E., Eisenberg, C., Shah, F., Kosc, A., Varghese, K., et al. (2019). Neuropilin 2 Signaling Mediates Corticostriatal Transmission, Spine Maintenance, and Goal-Directed Learning in Mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 39, 8845–8859. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1006-19.2019.

Bagri, A., Cheng, H.-J., Yaron, A., Pleasure, S. J., and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2003). Stereotyped Pruning of Long Hippocampal Axon Branches Triggered by Retraction Inducers of the Semaphorin Family. *Cell* 113, 285–299. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00267-8.

Battistini, C., and Tamagnone, L. (2016). Transmembrane semaphorins, forward and reverse signaling: have a look both ways. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS* 73, 1609–1622. doi:10.1007/S00018-016-2137-X.

Chen, G., Sima, J., Jin, M., Wang, K., Xue, X., Zheng, W., et al. (2007). Semaphorin-3A guides radial migration of cortical neurons during development. *Nature Neuroscience 2008 11:1* 11, 36–44. doi:10.1038/nn2018.

Chen, L., Wang, Z., Ghosh-Roy, A., Hubert, T., Yan, D., O'Rourke, S., et al. (2011). Axon regeneration pathways identified by systematic genetic screening in *C. elegans*. *Neuron* 71, 1043–1057. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.07.009.

Chisholm, A. D., Hutter, H., Jin, Y., and Wadsworth, W. G. (2016). The Genetics of Axon Guidance and Axon Regeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 204, 849 LP – 882. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.186262.

Dalpé, G., Brown, L., and Culotti, J. G. (2005). Vulva morphogenesis involves attraction of plexin 1-expressing primordial vulva cells to semaphorin 1a sequentially expressed at the vulva midline. *Development* 132, 1387–1400. doi:10.1242/DEV.01694.

Dalpé, G., Zhang, L., Zheng, H., and Culotti, J. (2004). Conversion of cell movement responses to Semaphorin-1 and Plexin-1 from attraction to repulsion by lowered levels of specific RAC GTPases in *C. elegans*. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 131, 2073–2088. doi:10.1242/DEV.01063.

Dalpe, G., Zheng, H., Brown, L., and Culotti, J. (2012). Semaphorin-1 and netrin signal in parallel and permissively to position the male ray 1 sensillum in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 192, 959–971. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.144253.

Danelon, V., Goldner, R., Martinez, E., Gokhman, I., Wang, K., Yaron, A., et al. (2020). Modular and Distinct Plexin-A4/FARP2/Rac1 Signaling Controls Dendrite Morphogenesis. *Journal of Neuroscience* 40, 5413–5430. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2730-19.2020.

de Winter, F., Oudega, M., Lankhorst, A. J., Hamers, F. P., Blits, B., Ruitenberg, M. J., et al. (2002). Injury-induced class 3 semaphorin expression in the rat spinal cord. *Experimental neurology* 175, 61–75. doi:10.1006/exnr.2002.7884.

Eisenberg, C., Subramanian, D., Afrasiabi, M., Ziobro, P., Delucia, J., Hirschberg, P. R.,
et al. (2021). Reduced hippocampal inhibition and enhanced autism-epilepsy
comorbidity in mice lacking neuropilin 2. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01655-6.

Fard, D., and Tamagnone, L. (2021). Semaphorins in health and disease. *Cytokine &*
growth factor reviews 57, 55–63. doi:10.1016/J.CYTOGFR.2020.05.006.

Fujii, T., Nakao, F., Shibata, Y., Shioi, G., Kodama, E., Fujisawa, H., et al. (2002).
Caenorhabditis elegans PlexinA, PLX-1, interacts with transmembrane
semaphorins and regulates epidermal morphogenesis. *Development* 129, 2053 LP
– 2063. Available at: http://dev.biologists.org/content/129/9/2053.abstract.

Limoni, G. (2021). Modelling and Refining Neuronal Circuits with Guidance Cues:
Involvement of Semaphorins. *International journal of molecular sciences* 22.
doi:10.3390/IJMS22116111.

Gherardi, E., Love, C., Esnouf, R., and Jones, E. Y. (2004). The sema domain. *Current*
Opinions in Structural Biology 14, 669–678. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2004.10.010.

Ghosh-Roy, A., and Chisholm, A. D. (2010). Caenorhabditis elegans: a new model
organism for studies of axon regeneration. *Developmental dynamics: an official*
publication of the American Association of Anatomists 239, 1460–1464.
doi:10.1002/dvdy.22253.

Ginzburg, V. E., Roy, P. J., and Culotti, J. G. (2002). Semaphorin 1a and semaphorin
1b are required for correct epidermal cell positioning and adhesion during
morphogenesis in *C. elegans*. *Development* 129, 2065 LP – 2078.

Gurrapu, S., and Tamagnone, L. (2016). Transmembrane semaphorins: Multimodal
signaling cues in development and cancer. *Cell Adhesion & Migration* 10, 675.
doi:10.1080/19336918.2016.1197479.

Harreguy, M. B., Marfil, V., Grooms, N. W. F., Gabel, C. v., Chung, S. H., and Haspel,
G. (2020). Ytterbium-doped fibre femtosecond laser offers robust operation with
deep and precise microsurgery of *C. elegans* neurons. *Scientific Reports* 10, 1–7.
doi:10.1038/s41598-020-61479-0.

Harreguy, M. B., Tran, T. S., and Haspel, G. (2022). Neuronal microsurgery with an Yb-
doped fiber femtosecond laser. In: Haspel G., Hart A.C. (eds) *C. elegans* (pp. 319-
328). Methods in Molecular Biology, vol 2468. Humana, New York, NY.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-2181-3_17.

Hashimoto, M., Ino, H., Koda, M., Murakami, M., Yoshinaga, K., Yamazaki, M., et al.
(2004). Regulation of semaphorin 3A expression in neurons of the rat spinal cord
and cerebral cortex after transection injury. *Acta neuropathologica* 107, 250–256.
doi:10.1007/s00401-003-0805-z.

Haspel, G., Severi, K. E., Fauci, L. J., Cohen, N., Tytell, E. D., and Morgan, J. R.
(2021). Resilience of neural networks for locomotion. *The Journal of Physiology*
599, 3825–3840. doi:10.1113/JP279214.

Ho, J., Tumkaya, T., Aryal, S., Choi, H., and Claridge-Chang, A. (2019). Moving beyond P values: data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nature Methods*. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3.

Ikegami, R., Simokat, K., Zheng, H., Brown, L., Garriga, G., Hardin, J., et al. (2012). Semaphorin and Eph receptor signaling guide a series of cell movements for ventral enclosure in *C. elegans. Current biology : CB* 22, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.12.009.

Javer, A., Ripoll-Sánchez, L., and Brown, A. E. X. (2018). Powerful and interpretable behavioural features for quantitative phenotyping of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 373, 20170375. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0375.

Junqueira Alves, C., Yotoko, K., Zou, H., and Friedel, R. H. (2019). Origin and evolution of plexins, semaphorins, and Met receptor tyrosine kinases. *Scientific Reports 2019 9:1* 9, 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-38512-y.

Kaneko, S., Iwanami, A., Nakamura, M., Kishino, A., Kikuchi, K., Shibata, S., et al. (2006). A selective Sema3A inhibitor enhances regenerative responses and functional recovery of the injured spinal cord. *Nature Medicine 2006 12:12* 12, 1380–1389. doi:10.1038/nm1505.

Kolodkin, A., and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2011). Mechanisms and molecules of neuronal wiring: a primer. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 3, 1–14. doi:10.1101/CSHPERSPECT.A001727.

Koropouli, E., and Kolodkin, A. L. (2014). Semaphorins and the dynamic regulation of synapse assembly, refinement, and function. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 27, 1–7. doi:10.1016/J.CONB.2014.02.005.

Liu, Z., Fujii, T., Nukazuka, A., Kurokawa, R., Suzuki, M., Fujisawa, H., et al. (2005). *C. elegans* PlexinA PLX-1 mediates a cell contact-dependent stop signal in vulval precursor cells. *Developmental biology* 282, 138–151. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.03.002.

Lyons, L. C., and Hecht, R. M. (1997). Acute ethanol exposure induces nondisjunction of the X chromosome during spermatogenesis. *Worm Breeders Gazette* 14, 52.

Melentijevic, I., Toth, M. L., Arnold, M. L., Guasp, R. J., Harinath, G., Nguyen, K. C., et al. (2017). *C. elegans* neurons jettison protein aggregates and mitochondria under neurotoxic stress. *Nature* 542, 367–371. doi:10.1038/nature21362.

Mizumoto, K., and Shen, K. (2013). Interaxonal interaction defines tiled presynaptic innervation in *C. elegans. Neuron* 77, 655–666. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.031.

Mlechkovich, G., Peng, S.-S., Shacham, V., Martinez, E., Gokhman, I., Minis, A., et al. (2014). Distinct cytoplasmic domains in Plexin-A4 mediate diverse responses to semaphorin 3A in developing mammalian neurons. *Science signaling* 7, ra24. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2004734.

Nakao, F., Hudson, M. L., Suzuki, M., Peckler, Z., Kurokawa, R., Liu, Z., et al. (2007). The PLEXIN PLX-2 and the ephrin EFN-4 have distinct roles in MAB-20/Semaphorin 2A signaling in Caenorhabditis elegans morphogenesis. *Genetics* 176, 1591–1607. doi:10.1534/genetics.106.067116.

Negishi, M., Oinuma, I., and Katoh, H. (2005). Review Plexins: axon guidance and signal transduction. *CMLS, Cell. Mol. Life Sci* 62, 1363–1371. doi:10.1007/s00018-005-5018-2.

Neumann, B., Nguyen, K. C. Q., Hall, D. H., Ben-Yakar, A., and Hilliard, M. A. (2011). Axonal regeneration proceeds through specific axonal fusion in transected *C. elegans* neurons. *Developmental Dynamics* 240, 1365–1372. doi:10.1002/dvdy.22606.

Oliphint, P. A., Alieva, N., Foldes, A. E., Tytell, E. D., Lau, B. Y.-B., Pariseau, J. S., et al. (2010). Regenerated Synapses in Lamprey Spinal Cord Are Sparse and Small Even After Functional Recovery From Injury. *The Journal of comparative neurology* 518, 2854. doi:10.1002/CNE.22368.

Pascoe, H. G., Wang, Y., and Zhang, X. (2015). Structural mechanisms of plexin signaling. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 118. doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2015.03.006.

Pasterkamp, R., Anderson, P., and Verhaagen, J. (2001). Peripheral nerve injury fails to induce growth of lesioned ascending dorsal column axons into spinal cord scar tissue expressing the axon repellent Semaphorin3A. *The European journal of neuroscience* 13, 457–471. doi:10.1046/J.0953-816X.2000.01398.X.

Pasterkamp, R. J., and Verhaagen, J. (2001). Emerging roles for semaphorins in neural regeneration. *Brain research. Brain research reviews* 35, 36–54.

Riccomagno, M., and Kolodkin, A. (2015). Sculpting neural circuits by axon and dendrite pruning. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology* 31, 779–805. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV-CELLBIO-100913-013038.

Riccomagno, M. M., Hurtado, A., Wang, H., MacOpson, J. G. J., Griner, E. M., Betz, A., et al. (2012). The RacGAP β2-Chimaerin Selectively Mediates Axonal Pruning in the Hippocampus. *Cell* 149, 1594–1606. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2012.05.018.

Roy, P. J., Zheng, H., Warren, C. E., and Culotti, J. G. (2000). mab-20 encodes Semaphorin-2a and is required to prevent ectopic cell contacts during epidermal morphogenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Development* 127, 755–767. doi:10.1242/DEV.127.4.755.

Shen, K., and Cowan, C. (2010). Guidance molecules in synapse formation and plasticity. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 2. doi:10.1101/CSHPERSPECT.A001842.

Spinelli, E. D., McPhail, L. T., Oschipok, L. W., Teh, J., and Tetzlaff, W. (2007). Class A plexin expression in axotomized rubrospinal and facial motoneurons. *Neuroscience* 144, 1266–1277. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROSCIENCE.2006.10.057.

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of *C. elegans. WormBook□: the online review of C.*
elegans biology, 1–11. doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1.

Suzuki, M., Nukazuka, A., Kamei, Y., Yuba, S., Oda, Y., & Takagi, S. (2022). Mosaic
gene expression analysis of semaphorin–plexin interactions in *Caenorhabditis*
elegans using the IR-LEGO single-cell gene induction system. Development,
Growth & Differentiation, 64(5), 230–242. doi:10.1111/dgd.1279

Tamagnone, L., Artigiani, S., Chen, H., He, Z., Ming, G. L., Song, H. J., et al. (1999).
Plexins Are a Large Family of Receptors for Transmembrane, Secreted, and GPI-
Anchored Semaphorins in Vertebrates. *Cell* 99, 71–80. doi:10.1016/S0092-
8674(00)80063-X.

Tran, T. S., Rubio, M. E., Clem, R. L., Johnson, D., Case, L., Tessier-Lavigne, M., et al.
(2009). Secreted semaphorins control spine distribution and morphogenesis in the
postnatal CNS. *Nature* 462, 1065–1069. doi:10.1038/nature08628.

White, J. G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J. N., and Brenner, S. (1976). The structure of
the ventral nerve cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences 275, 327–348.
doi:10.1098/RSTB.1976.0086.

White, J. G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J. N., and Brenner, S. (1986). The structure of
the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philosophical*
transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 314, 1–
340. doi:10.1098/rstb.1986.0056.

Yanik, M. F., Cinar, H. N. H., Cinar, H. N. H., Chisholm, A. D., Jin, Y., and Ben-Yakar,
A. (2004). Functional regeneration after laser axotomy. *Nature* 432, 822.
doi:10.1038/432822a https://www.nature.com/articles/432822a#supplementary-
information.

Yaron, A., Huang, P., Cheng, H., and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2005). Differential
requirement for Plexin-A3 and -A4 in mediating responses of sensory and
sympathetic neurons to distinct class 3 Semaphorins. *Neuron* 45, 513–523.
doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2005.01.013.

Yoshida, Y. (2012). Semaphorin signaling in vertebrate neural circuit assembly.
Frontiers in molecular neuroscience 5, 71. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2012.00071.

Yu, L., Zhou, Y., Cheng, S., and Rao, Y. (2010). Plexin A-Semaphorin-1a Reverse
Signaling Regulates Photoreceptor Axon Guidance in Drosophila. *The Journal of*
Neuroscience 30, 12151. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1494-10.2010.

Zhou, X., Wahane, S., Friedl, M.-S., Kluge, M., Friedel, C. C., Avrampou, K., et al.
(2020). Microglia and macrophages promote corralling, wound compaction and
recovery after spinal cord injury via Plexin-B2. *Nature Neuroscience 2020 23:3* 23,
337–350. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0597-7.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. *C. elegans* semaphorin system comprises only three ligands and two receptors and omitting any one component affects locomotion. A) Semaphorin signaling system of *C. elegans*. The membrane bound semaphorins *smp-1* and *smp-2* signal through *plx-1*, while the secreted *mab-20* signals through *plx-2* (molecular diagrams adapted from Junqueira Alves et al., 2019). B) Mutant strains with knocked out semaphorins or plexins are significantly different from wild type when crawling (locomoting on agar) or swimming (locomoting in liquid media). The largest difference was in *smp-1*(ko) animals while *mab-20*(ko) animals are only different for lower undulatory frequency during crawling. Data points are mean absolute translocation speed or frequency to both direction of locomotion of analyzed trajectories; n.s. $p > 0.05$, *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$; one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test post hoc; in parentheses are the number of analyzed trajectories from 20-25 animals for each genotype.

Figure 2. Neuronal morphology of plexin knockout strains is comparable to wild type. The nervous systems are visible via pan-neuronal GFP in neuron-rich areas (VNC, head, and tail ganglia) of wild type (WT) and knockout mutant animals (*plx-1*(ko) and *plx-2*(ko)), as well as the entire animals (top), to look for gross neuromorphological differences. We did not observe differences between wild type and mutant strains. N>5 animals for each strain. Scale bar = 20 μm (whole animals), 5 μm (VNC), and 10 μm (bottom panels).

Figure 3. PLX-1 is expressed in non-neuronal tissue, while PLX-2 is expressed in excitatory motoneurons. AB) Green fluorescent protein (GFP) driven by *plx-1p* promoter expressed in non-neuronal tissue such as the pharynx, body-wall muscle. C) GFP driven by *plx-2p* promoter expressed mostly in AS and DA motoneurons and in a few DB, VA, and VB motoneuron. D_1) Examples of DA2-4, AS2-6, and VA6-7 that were identified with co-expressed NeuroPAL (D_2). Images in A and B are from different animals. Scale bars are 20 µm (AC) and 10 µm (BD).

Figure 4. Neuronal regrowth and reconnection increased in the absence of plexins 24 hours after laser microsurgery, while locomotion speed fully recovers in all genotypes. A) We scored all commissural neurites 24 hours after microsurgery (yellow arrowhead for site of lesion, examples are 24 hours after lesion) and scored them as exhibiting either no-regeneration (WT), regrowth (*plx-2(ko)*, note growth cone), or reconnection (*plx-2(ko)*); schematically demonstrated in green diagrams, see methods. B) About half of wild type neurites regrow 24 h post-injury and only 7% reconnected. Both plexin knockout mutant strains exhibited more regrowth (top) and *plx-2* exhibited more reconnection (bottom, note that reconnection implies regrowth). Bars are fraction of observed neurites; *p<0.05, ****p<0.0001; Fisher Exact on 2x3 contingency table. C) Injured animals of all groups moved significantly slower than sham operated 6 h post-injury, only wild type recovered at 12 h, and all genotypes recovered when compared to sham operated after 24 h. Data points are mean absolute translocation speed to both direction of locomotion; n.s. p>0.05, *p<0.05 ***p<0.001,****p<0.0001; two-sided permutation t-test; in parentheses are the number of analyzed trajectories from 7-20 animals.

A

20 μm

B

10 μm

C

20 μm

D₁ DA2 AS3 DA3 AS4
     AS2   DA4   VA6 AS6
                              VA7

D₂

10 μm