Mechanism of glycogen synthase inactivation and interaction with glycogenin

Laura Marr [a], Dipsikha Biswas [b], Leonard A. Daly [c], Christopher Browning [d], Sarah Vial [d],

Daniel P. Maskell [a], Catherine Hudson [d], John Pollard [d], Jay Bertrand [d], Neil A. Ranson [a],

Heena Khatter [d], Claire E. Eyers [c], Kei Sakamoto [b], Elton Zeqiraj [a]*

[a] Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

[b] Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[c] Department of Biochemistry and Systems Biology, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[d] Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc., Milton Park, Abingdon, UK

*Correspondence to: e.zeqiraj@leeds.ac.uk

Abstract (150 words max)

Glycogen is the major glucose reserve in eukaryotes, and defects in glycogen metabolism and structure lead to disease. Glycogenesis involves interaction of glycogenin (GN) with glycogen synthase (GS), where GS is activated by glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and inactivated by phosphorylation. We describe the 2.6 Å resolution cryo-EM structure of phosphorylated human GS revealing an autoinhibited GS tetramer flanked by two GN dimers. Phosphorylated N- and C-termini from two GS protomers converge near the G6P-binding pocket and buttress against GS regulatory helices. This keeps GS in an inactive conformation mediated by phospho-Ser641 interactions with a composite "arginine cradle". Structure-guided mutagenesis perturbing interactions with phosphorylated tails led to increased basal/unstimulated GS activity. We propose that multivalent phosphorylation supports GS autoinhibition through interactions from a dynamic "spike" region, allowing a tuneable rheostat for regulating GS activity. This work therefore provides new insights into glycogen synthesis regulation and facilitates studies of glycogen-related diseases.

Introduction

Glycogen is a branched polymer of glucose that functions as the primary energy store in eukaryotes. In its mature form, the glycogen particle can comprise up to ~50,000 glucose units that are rapidly utilized when glucose levels are low. Glycogen is stored predominantly in the muscle and liver cells, and to a lesser extent in other organs and tissues including kidney, brain, fat and heart[1].

Glycogen is synthesized through the cooperative action of three enzymes: glycogenin (GN), glycogen synthase (GS) and glycogen branching enzyme (GBE)[2]. GN initiates the process via auto-glucosylation of a conserved tyrosine residue, producing a primer glucose chain of 8-12 resides connected by α-1,4-linkages[3] (**Fig. 1a**). This glycogen initiating particle is further extended by GS after its recruitment by the GN C-terminus allowing the addition of glucose residues using α-1,4-linkages[4,5]. GBE introduces α-1,6-linkages every 6-8 residues to the growing glycogen molecule, thus creating the final globular structure containing GN at the centre[2,6] (**Fig. 1b**). Glycogen exists as a population of molecules with varying sizes (10-290 nm) in different tissues and species, although the importance of this variability is not well understood[1,7].

Glycogen synthesis and breakdown are tightly regulated processes, and thus dysregulation of the enzymes involved in glycogen metabolism contributes to glycogen storage diseases (GSDs), diabetes, neuroinflammation, neurodegeneration and muscle damage[1,8]. Excessive and/or abnormal glycogen is a common characteristic in most GSDs. Pompe disease (GSDII) is caused by deficiency of acid-α-glucosidase, resulting in accumulation of lysosomal glycogen and consequent lysosomal destruction and dysfunction[9]. Lafora disease is a fatal neurodegenerative condition, characterized by Lafora bodies that contain hyperphosphorylated and poorly branched, insoluble glycogen deposits[10]. In addition, loss of GS-GN interaction results in muscle weakness and cardiomyopathy[11].

Studies using mouse models have found inhibition of glycogen synthesis, particularly by reducing GS activity, to be beneficial for multiple GSDs[12-16] . To date there is no structure of the GS-GN complex and no structure of human GS. Since inhibition of GS activity is potentially beneficial for GSD patients, obtaining a human GS-GN structure and understanding how GS is regulated is instrumental in developing new therapeutics.

GN is found in two isoforms, GN1 and GN2, encoded by the *GYG1* and *GYG2* genes respectively. While *GYG1* is widely expressed, *GYG2* is restricted to the liver, pancreas and heart[17,18]. GN belongs to the GT8 family of glycosyltransferases, containing a glycosyl transferase A (GT-A) fold with a single Rossmann fold domain at the N-terminus, which is essential for binding of the glucose donor uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP-G)[19-21]. The C-terminus comprises a highly conserved region of ~34 residues (GN[34]) which is the minimal targeting region for binding GS[5,22]. Other interaction interfaces have been suggested[23], but, further investigation into the full-length complex is required to precisely define any additional interaction interfaces. The area between the N-terminal catalytic domain and C-terminal GS binding motif is a linker region that is variable in sequence and in length (**Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1**).

GS is also found as two isoforms, GS1 and GS2, encoded by the *GYS1* and *GYS2* genes respectively. These are differentially expressed, with *GYS1* being expressed predominantly in skeletal muscle and most other cell types where glycogen is present, while *GYS2* is expressed exclusively in the liver[24-26]. Eukaryotic GS belongs to the GT3 family of glycosyltransferases with a GT-B architecture comprising an N-terminal and a C-terminal Rossmann fold domain, with an interdomain cleft that contains the active site[19,27]. GS is the rate limiting enzyme in glycogen biosynthesis and as such its activity is tightly regulated[28]. GS is inactivated by covalent phosphorylation at numerous N- and C-terminal sites (**Fig. 1c**), and is allosterically activated by glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) binding and/or dephosphorylation[2,29,30]. Human GS phosphorylation sites lie at the N-terminus (sites 2 and 2a) and C-terminus (sites 3a, 3b, 3c, 4, 5, 1a, 1b), and phosphorylation occurs in a hierarchical fashion, whereby the phosphorylation of a specific site is the recognition motif for subsequent phosphorylation[31-33] (**Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2**). How the metazoan GS is inhibited is not clear and while allosteric activation by G6P binding been described for the yeast GS paralogues[34] no structural information of the phosphorylated version of the enzyme exists.

The complex interplay between allosteric activation and inhibitory phosphorylation is not yet fully understood, at least in part because of the lack of structural data for the full GS-GN complex. Although a binary GS-GN complex was co-purified over 30 years ago[3], we have yet to confirm the stoichiometry of this complex and identify precisely how the two proteins cooperate to make glycogen.

Here, we report the structural and functional analysis of the full-length human GS-GN complex and the cryo-EM structure of phosphorylated human GS. The structure reveals that phosphoregulatory elements form a flexible inter-subunit "spike" region emanating from two

GS protomers, which help to keep GS in an inactive conformation via interactions of phosphorylated Ser641 (site 3a) with arginine residues from GS regulatory helices, which we have termed the arginine cradle. Moreover, low resolution maps of GN bound to GS reveal two flexible GN dimers coordinating a GS tetramer, providing new insights into the stoichiometry and the conformational plasticity of this enzyme complex. Collectively, these results shed light on the regulation of glycogen biosynthesis and the inner workings of how GS and GN cooperate to synthesize glycogen.

Results

GS-GN forms an equimolar 4:4 complex

To characterize the synthesis of glycogen by the GS-GN complex, we expressed and purified human full length GS1 and GN1 in insect cells. Consistent with previous reports, co-expression of GS with GN resulted in improved production yields over the expression of GS alone[35,36]. Purification of the wild-type (WT) complex resulted in a highly glucosylated sample, as evidenced by a smear by SDS-PAGE corresponding to glucosylated GN detected by Coomassie stain, periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining and immunoblotting (**Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 3b and 3c**). In-gel protease digestion of different molecular weight regions (encompassing mass ranges from 43-55 kDa, 55-72 kDa, 95-130 kDa and greater than 130 kDa) combined with tandem mass spectrometry confirmed the presence of GN1 in all these higher MW species (**Supplementary Data 1**). In addition, treatment of GS-GN preparations with α-amylase (endo-α-1,4-d-glucan hydrolase) resulted in the disappearance of the smeared bands revealing a single, sharp band migrating at the expected molecular weight for GN1 (~37.5 kDa) and also absence of glucosylated species after PAS staining. Thus, confirming that the smearing effect is due to glucosylation of GN (**Supplementary Fig. 3d**). Mutation of the GN auto-glucosylating tyrosine 195[37,38] to a phenylalanine (Y195F), resulted in a non-glucosylated GN species, as shown by a single band for GN migrating at the expected size (~37.5 kDa) detected by Coomassie stain and immunoblotting and absence of glucosylated species after PAS staining (**Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 3b and Fig. 1d**).

To determine the stoichiometry of the GS-GN complex, we first performed mass photometry analysis of GS-GN and GS-GN(Y195F) mutant complexes, which enables mass measurements of single molecules in solution. Mass photometry measurements of the GS-GN(Y195F) complex showed a predominant species with an average molecular weight of 473 kDa, which is suggestive of a 4:4 stoichiometry (calculated mass of 485 kDa) (**Fig. 1f**).

Analysis of the GS-GN(WT) sample identified a species with an average molecular weight of

534 kDa and the measured peak was broader than the non-glucosylated species (**Fig. 1f**).

While mass photometry measurements lack the resolution to ascertain the precise molecular

mass of heterogeneously glucosylated species, the observed increase in average molecular

mass and overall distribution of the WT complex when compared to the Y195F complex is

consistent with the observed higher molecular weight of WT GN1 glucosylated species (**Fig.**

1d and Supplementary Fig. 3b).

Fig. 1 Structural analysis of the full-length GS-GN complex
a Enzymatic reaction catalyzed by GN. **b** Enzymatic reaction catalyzed by GS and subsequent branching of glycogen by GBE. **c** Domain architecture of human GS (top) and GN (bottom). Known *in vivo* phosphorylation sites of GS are shown in red and are labelled with residue number and classical nomenclature (in bold). GN tyrosine 195 that becomes auto-glucosylated and mutated to a phenylalanine (Y195F) in this study is indicated. Not to scale. **d** SDS-PAGE analysis of GS-GN WT and Y195F complexes (left) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining of both complexes (right). **e** Cartoon representation of GN WT and Y195F. **f** Mass photometry of GS-GN(Y195F) (left) and WT complex (right). Expected stoichiometry for each peak is indicated. The percentage of particles contributing to each peak is shown in brackets. **g** Selected 2D class averages after negative-stain electron microscopy (nsEM) analysis of indicated GS-GN complexes. **h** nsEM final map (C1 symmetry at ~22 Å) is shown in transparent surface, with fitted human GN crystal structure (PDB ID 3T7O) and human GS cryo-EM structure (reported here).

To understand how GS and GN interact and to reveal the overall shape of the GS-GN complex
we performed negative stain electron microscopy (nsEM) of the WT and Y195F complexes.
2D class averages show two GN dimers, one on either side of a GS tetramer, for both WT and
mutant complexes (**Fig. 1g**). Final 3D maps for both complexes are consistent with the 2D
classes, and the reconstructed 3D EM density map can accommodate a GS tetramer flanked
by two GN dimers (**Fig. 1h**). This nsEM confirms a 4:4 stoichiometry and is consistent with
previous findings showing that GS can interact with four GN C-terminal peptides
simultaneously[4,5,17]. Surprisingly, GN dimers do not engage the GS tetramer in an identical
fashion, with one GN dimer tilted slightly towards GS and bringing it closer to one of the GS
subunits (**Fig. 1h**). Collectively, these results provide the first glimpse of the glycogen initiating
particle, where two GN dimers can engage a single GS tetramer.
158
159 **Phosphorylated human GS is in the inactive state**
160
GS is regulated by both allosteric activation by G6P and inhibition via phosphorylation of its
N- and C-terminal tails[2] (**Fig. 1c**). Mechanistic and structural studies of yeast GS have
elegantly dissected its allosteric activation by G6P[30,34]. However, GS structures to date were
from protein preparations produced in bacterial expression systems and thus could not provide
insights into the phospho-regulatory apparatus. Our GS-GN preparations are from eukaryotic
expression systems and therefore provide an opportunity to study the inactive GS form. We
confirmed that GS was phosphorylated at sites 2 (S8) and 3a (S641) and the enzyme
preparation was inactive unless stimulated by G6P or dephosphorylation (**Fig. 2a and 2b**).
Protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) and lambda protein phosphatase (lambda PP) treatment
resulted in faster migration of GS by SDS-PAGE and also a reduction in signal detected by
specific phosphorylation site antibodies (**Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 4a**). Notably, we
see only minor dephosphorylation of the GS-GN(Y195F) complex with PP1 alone, which was
associated with a 5-fold increase in basal activity (-G6P) (**Fig. 2a and 2b**). We observed a 15-
fold increase in basal activity when GS is dephosphorylated by both PP1 and lambda PP (**Fig.
2b**). The phosphorylated and dephosphorylated GS forms were similarly active after addition
of G6P (**Fig. 2b**), which is consistent with studies using GS from endogenous sources[39,40].

Fig. 2 Cryo-EM structure of human GS-GN[34] complex
a Immunoblot for the indicated human GS phosphorylation sites and total GS. **b** Activity of GS-GN(Y195F) with and without the addition of lambda protein phosphatase (lambda PP) and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) (left) and -/+ G6P activity ratio (right). Upon G6P saturation, GS reaches similar activity levels regardless of phosphorylation state. Data are mean +/- S.E.M. from n=2 and representative of two independent experiments. One-way analysis of variance (Tukey's post hoc test); §= $p < 0.05$, +PP1 vs. +PP1+lambda PP (-G6P) (left). *= –PP1–lambda PP vs. +PP1 vs. +PP1+lambda PP, §= +PP1 vs. +PP1+lambda PP (right). **c** 2.6 Å cryo-EM map of the GS tetramer coloured by corresponding chain. Density corresponding to the GN[34] C-terminal region is shown in green. **d** Human GS-GN[34] cartoon model shown in ribbons coloured by corresponding chain (left). Interaction between GS and GN[34] (right). **e** Unsharpened cryo-EM map shown at a lower threshold to visualise the "spike" region depicted in grey (left). The N- and C-terminal tails of two protomers converge and form the "spike" region (right).

To better understand the extent of phosphorylation we used tandem mass spectrometry
(MS/MS) after proteolysis with either trypsin, chymotrypsin or elastase to map the
phosphorylation sites of human GS. This resulted in a sequence coverage of 97%, which is
higher than the 73%[35] and 65%[36] sequence coverage achieved in previous studies (**Table 1
and Supplementary Fig. 4b**). Our analysis identified canonical sites 2, 3a, 3b, 4 and 5 (S8,
S641, S645, S653, S657), and also non-conventional sites (S412, S652, S727, S731). In
addition, we could detect human GS site 2 (S8) phosphorylation by mass spectrometry for the
first time in a recombinant enzyme preparation. Together, these results show that expression
in insect cells is sufficient to achieve phosphorylation at multiple inhibitory sites and to provide
suitable enzyme preparations to study inactive GS.

Table 1: Summary of GS phosphorylation site analysis. Peptide modifications show either
phosphorylation (P) or oxidation (O). PhosphoSite Plus (web-based bioinformatics resource)
was used for comparison of our results with previous literature. LTP and HTP refer to low
throughput site determination (methods other than mass spectrometry) and high throughput
analysis (mass spectrometry only), respectively[41].

Site	Sequence	Peptide modifications	ptmRS Score	MASCOT score	Enzyme	PhosphoSite: LTP	HTP
S8	PLNRTLsMS	S7(P)	S7: 100	40	Elastase	15	1
S8	PLNRTLsMS	S7(P); M8(O)	S7: 100	31	Elastase	15	1
S8	sMSSLPGLEDW	S1(P)	S1: 99.71	13	Chymotrypsin	15	1
S412	ESLLVGsLPDMNKMLDKEDF	S7(P)	S7: 100	31	Chymotrypsin	-	17
S412	ESLLVGsLPDMNKML	S7(P); M11(O); M14(O)	S7: 100	23	Chymotrypsin	-	17
S641	QGYRYPRPAsVPPSPS	S10(P)	S10: 99.99	20	Elastase	32	30
S641 & S645	QGYRYPRPAsVPPsPS	S10(P); S14(P)	S10: 100; S14: 100	24	Elastase	(645) 21	(645) 39
S652	RHsSPHQSEDEEDPRNGPL	S3(P)	S3: 99.78	34	Elastase	-	15
S652 & S653 & S657	RHssPHQsEDEEDPRNGPL	S3(P); S4(P); S8(P)	S3: 100; S4: 100; S8: 100	19	Elastase	(653) 13	(653) 27
S652 & S657	RHsSPHQsEDEEDPRNGPL	S3(P); S8(P)	S3: 99.67; S8: 100	53	Elastase	(657) 14	(657) 50
S727	RNSVDTATSSSLSTPSEPLsPTSSLGEER	S20(P)	S20: 100	66	Trypsin	1	22
S727	STPSEPLsPTSSL	S8(P)	S8: 99.63	20	Chymotrypsin	1	22
S731	STPSEPLSPTSsLGEERN	S12(P)	S12: 99.79	73	Chymotrypsin	-	6
S731	TPSEPLSPTSsL	S11(P)	S11: 100	22	Elastase	-	6
S727 & S731	NSVDTATSSSLSTPSEPLsPTSsLGEER	S19(P); S23(P)	S19: 100; S23: 99.52	60	Trypsin	see above	
S727 & S731	STPSEPLsPTSsLGEERN	S8(P); S12(P)	S8: 100; S12: 100	42	Chymotrypsin	see above	

High resolution structure of human GS

Previous attempts to crystallise full-length GS in complex with full-length GN were
unsuccessful[22] leading us to pursue structural analysis using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-
EM). NsEM indicated that the position of each GN dimer is different suggesting flexibility of
GN in the complex (**Fig. 1g and 1h**). Cryo-EM analysis of the GS-GN(Y195F) complex
confirmed this GN flexibility as evidenced from the lack of GN signal in 2D class averages

(**Supplementary Fig. 5a**) and subsequent 3D maps. Although we could detect the presence

of GN after data processing without the application of symmetry averaging (**Supplementary**

Fig. 6c), it was not possible to trace the connecting residues between the GN globular domain

and the C-terminal GN[34] region that binds GS. To gain a higher resolution structure for the

human GS, we applied D2 symmetry and achieved a global resolution of 2.6 Å (EMDB-14587)

(**Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 1**). The 3D reconstruction

revealed a tetrameric arrangement of human GS in agreement with the crystal structures of

the *C. elegans* GS and yeast GS enzymes, with root mean square deviation (RMSD) values

of 1.1 Å (between 484 Cα atom pairs) and 0.9 Å (between 522 Cα atom pairs) respectively

(**Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. 7a and 7b**). Structural analysis of the human GS-GN(WT)

complex revealed a 6 Å map of the GS tetramer and comparing this to the GS structure from

human GS-GN(Y195F) complex reveals no differences at this resolution (**Supplementary**

Fig. 6d and 6e).

Density for the C-terminal GS interacting region of GN allows for model building of residues

300-332 (human GN[34]). Four GN peptides bind to the GS tetramer, and these residues form

a helix-turn helix, where the first helix is denoted binding helix 1 (BH1) and the second as BH2

(**Fig. 2d)**. This is consistent with the *C. elegans* GS-GN[34] crystal structure[22], with an RMSD

value of 0.8 Å (between 30 Cα atom pairs) (**Supplementary Fig. 7c**). The interaction interface

between human GS, namely α4, α9 and α10, and human GN[34] is mediated by a combination

of hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding interactions and is consistent with the interactions

observed for GS-GN[34] from *C. elegans*[22] (**Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 7c**).

Mechanism of GS inactivation

A unique feature of metazoan GS is that both N- and C-terminal tails are phosphorylated, but

the mechanism by which they participate in enzyme inactivation has remained elusive. We

were able to build a model for the N-terminus starting from residue 13, and of the C-terminus

up to residue 625, and then from 630-639 (chain A/C) and 630-642 (chain B/D), that could

help understand the mechanisms of GS inactivation (PDB 7ZBN). The N- and C-terminal tails

of each GS protomer lie almost parallel to each other, and travel side by side along the GS

tetrameric core to reach the centre (**Fig. 3a**, right panels). Here, the C-terminal tail (chain A)

meets the C-terminal tail from an adjacent GS protomer (chain B), which has travelled from

the opposite direction (**Fig. 3a,** right panels). A 2.8 Å cryo-EM map of GS generated without

the application of D2 symmetry averaging (EMDB-14587) (**Supplementary Fig. 6a and 6b**),

suggests that one C-terminal tail disengages with the GS core earlier than the other C-terminal

tail from the adjacent chain. The C-terminal tail from chain B continues to travel further across
the regulatory helices than chain A, prior to traversing away from the core (**Fig. 3a**). This
allows chain B to engage with the regulatory helices α22, specifically phosphorylated S641
interacting with residues R588 and R591, which come from two GS protomers to form a
positively charged pocket we have termed the "arginine cradle" (**Fig. 3a and Supplementary**
Fig. 7d). This is consistent with our phosphorylation mapping and immunoblotting data
showing S641 is phosphorylated in our preparations (**Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4b and**
Fig. 2a).

S641 is a major phosphorylation site involved in the regulation of GS activity[40,42], and
interaction of pS641 with the arginine cradle in helix α22 shows the mechanism of inactivation
of human GS through constraining the GS tetramer in a "tense state". This interaction therefore
provides a crucial activity switch mechanism from a tense (phosphorylated) state to a relaxed
(G6P-bound) state[30]. The involvement of helices α22, which also interact with G6P via the
nearby arginine residues R582 and R586[30] (**Supplementary Fig. 8a**), provides a possible link
between G6P-binding and its ability to override inactivation by phosphorylation.

The Rossmann fold domains of human GS were predicted to a high level of accuracy by
AlphaFold[43] (RMSD 1.0 Å between 575 Cα atoms), although the position of the N- and C-
terminal tails does not agree entirely (**Supplementary Fig. 8c**). However, the position of S641
is consistent and overlays well with the phospho-S641 modelled in our cryo-EM structure
(**Supplementary Fig. 8d**). This suggests that a Ser641 interaction with the arginine cradle
may also be possible in the non-phosphorylated state, although the negative charge on the
phosphate group would naturally provide stronger interactions with the positively charged
arginine cradle.

Fig. 3 The phosphoregulatory region of human GS

a Human (Hs)GS-GN[34] structure shown in ribbons (top left). The N- and C- terminal tails of one GS protomer (chain A) lie next to one another and move towards the adjacent protomer, meeting the N- and C-terminal tails from chain B. Arrows indicate continuation of cryo-EM density (top right). Electron density (C1 symmetry) for phosphorylated S641 (pS641) interacting with R588 and R591 on the regulatory helices α22 (bottom left). Residues that are interacting with the N- and C-terminal tails that are mutated in this study are shown (bottom right). **b** Comparison of distances between regulatory helices of adjacent monomers of HsGS (reported here), low activity inhibited mimic (PDB ID 5SUL), basal state (PDB ID 3NAZ) and G6P activated (PDB ID 5SUK) yeast crystal structure. Quoted distances were measured from Cα of Arg591 (chain A) and -Cα of Arg580 (chain B) of HsGS and corresponding yeast (yGS) residues.

GS contains a dynamic "spike" region

Notably, the EM structures maps show density for an inter-subunit region that extends from

the N- and C- termini of two adjacent GS protomers. These N- and C-termini contain the GS

phosphoregulatory apparatus which meet and traverse away from the GS core (**Fig. 2e**).

Analysis of this ~25 kDa region by focussed 3D classification (without applying symmetry)
reveals that the region is highly flexible, as seen by the various different conformations
(**Supplementary Fig. 5f and 5g**). Interestingly, these "spike" regions were present in all the
refined classes, and suggests that GS exists as a continuum of structures with a core inactive
tetramer and "dynamic spikes" buttressed on either side, thus preventing GS from adopting
an open, active conformation.
277
To explore the flexibility and mobility of GS, we performed 3D variability analysis[44] using
cryoSPARC[45]. The dynamic movements of the "spike" region and concurrent movements of
the GS tetramer are highlighted in Movie S1. Consistent with the focussed 3D classification,
the "spike" is highly mobile, whereas only slight flexibility was observed within each GS
protomer. This suggests a role of the "spike" region in constricting a tense state of the GS
tetramer, and subsequently contributing to the GS regulation.
284
285 **<u>Cross species comparison of GS structures</u>**
286
When comparing human GS to previous crystal structures of yeast GS, the distance between
regulatory helices (α22) in adjacent monomers changes according to the activity state of GS
(**Fig. 3b**). In the phosphorylated human GS structure, helices α22 lie 7.9 Å apart when
measuring Cα-Cα distances from Arg591 on chain A and Arg580 on chain B (**Fig. 3b**). A
similar measurement of the corresponding residues in the yeast proteins shows that helices
α22 are furthest apart, at 16 Å, when G6P is bound and GS is in its high activity state, and
this translates into better access for accepting the substrate[30,34]. When no G6P is bound and
there is no phosphorylation, GS is in the basal state and the helices lie 11 Å apart[30]. In a yeast
GS structure of a mimic of the inhibited state, where residues R589 and R592 were mutated
to Ala and GS was produced in bacteria, the helices are closest together at 8 Å[34]. This is
similar to the phospho-human GS, where phosphorylation appears to contribute to the closing
of the regulatory helices constraining the GS tetramer and thus locking it in a tense, inactive
state (**Fig. 3b).**
300
The position of the extreme N-terminus is noticeably different in human and *C. elegans* GS
structures compared to yeast (**Supplementary Fig. 7e**). The majority of the first β-sheet in all
structures is in a similar orientation, however human residues before 26 (residue 7 in yeast)
move in the opposite direction to yeast (**Supplementary Fig. 7e**). This positioning of the
human GS N-terminus is directed towards the regulatory helices α22. Previous structural
investigation of *C. elegans* GS-GN[34] suggested a hypothesis where phosphorylation could

enable the N-terminus to engage with regulatory helices, as the N-terminus is also situated towards the regulatory helices[22] (**Supplementary Fig. 7e**). Our structure of the human, phosphorylated enzyme supports this hypothesis, although the current density does not allow model building before residue 13. However, using LAFTER[46] denoised maps to aid model building and electron density interpretation, some density for the N-terminus is present next to the regulatory helices, near to R579 and R580. This suggests that perhaps the N-terminal phosphorylation sites can also interact with the regulatory helices and/or nearby residues (**Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 7f**).

Comparisons between human, *C. elegans* and yeast GS structures are consistent with the human structure in the inactive state. Each human GS protomer shows a closed conformation of its active site, and a regulatory loop, that only becomes ordered upon G6P binding, is disordered in the human structure (**Supplementary Fig. 8b and 8a**). Previous studies have suggested that phosphorylated tails may be able to engage the G6P binding site and directly compete with G6P. However, our EM density maps show no extra density within the G6P binding site (**Supplementary Fig. 8a**). Thus, we see no evidence to support the hypothesis that the phosphorylated tails interact with residues lining the G6P pocket to directly compete with G6P binding. Instead, we posit that the phosphoregulatory regions indirectly affect G6P binding by constraining the opening and closing of the GS tetramer. Collectively, our structural analyses support a model by which phosphorylated N- and C-terminal tails inhibit the GS tetramer by constraining a tense conformation through inter-subunit interactions.

Dislodging the GS phosphoregulatory region

Due to the flexibility evident in the N- and C-terminal tails, we were unable to build phosphorylated residues in the cryo-EM map other than phospho-S641. However, we can see the beginning of the flexible phosphoregulatory "spike" region and residues from the GS "core tetramer" which interact with this regulatory region (**Fig. 3a,** bottom right panel). To investigate the relationship between allosteric regulation and inhibitory phosphorylation and elucidate the mechanism of inactivation, we mutated residues in GS that contact the beginning of the phosphoregulatory region. We selected residues which are not involved in G6P binding and mutated these in order to "dislodge" the regulatory tails (**Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 8e**). If the phosphorylated tails are indeed holding GS in an inactivated state, weakening the interaction between the core tetramer and the N- and C-termini inhibitory regions should create an enzyme with higher basal activity in comparison to the WT. Consistent with our hypothesis, we observed a marginal increase in basal (-G6P) GS activity in R588A+R591A, Y600A, R603A, H610E and W18A mutants, that was reflective of the phosphorylated state at

residues S8, S641 and S645 (**Fig. 4a and 4b**). These mutants were unaffected in terms of GN co-purification and with the exception of R588A+R591A mutant, they had similar melting (T_m) profiles and oligomeric state to the WT GS complexes (**Supplementary Fig. 3e, Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. 9**). All mutants except Y600A could still be activated to similar levels to the WT upon addition of G6P (**Fig. 4a)**.

Upon addition of PP1 and lambda PP, the GS mutants R588A+R591A, R603A and W18A were more robustly dephosphorylated at S641 and S8 than WT GS (**Fig. 4d**), suggestive of increased exposure of the phospho-tails to phosphatases. For the W18A mutant, this dephosphorylation by both lambda-PP and PP1 resulted in over a 20-fold increase in basal activity, and also an approximately 3-fold increase in comparison to WT GS (**Fig. 4e**). The GS R603A-GN(Y195F) mutant has a basal activity similar to WT GS upon dephosphorylation. However, the robust dephosphorylation at S641 and S8 in GS R588A+R591A was not associated with an increase in activity (**Fig. 4e**). As described above, R588 and R591 lie on the regulatory helices and are also involved in inter-subunit interactions and form the arginine cradle that interacts with phospho-S641 (**Fig. 3a**). In addition, we noticed some dissociation of the GS R588A+R591A double mutant complex in mass photometry (**Supplementary Fig. 9c**). Therefore, the role of these residues in stabilising the GS tetramer may be the cause for the lack of rescue of activity upon dephosphorylation (**Fig. 4e**). Moreover, dephosphorylated GS had a markedly lower T_m (48 ºC) than WT or mutant GS (**Fig. 4c**) supporting the idea that phosphorylation of the "spike" regions strengthens the inter-subunit interactions within the tetramer and holding the enzyme in the "tense" conformation.

Fig. 4 Dislodging the GS phosphoregulatory region increases basal activity and increases accessibility for phosphatases

a Activity of GS WT and indicated mutants in the GS-GN(Y195F) complex in the presence and absence of G6P (left) and -/+ G6P activity ratio (right). Data are mean +/- S.E.M from n=3 and representative of two independent experiments. One-way analysis of variance, (Tukey's post hoc test); *= $p<0.05$ **b** Western blot for human GS phosphorylation sites S641/645, S641, S8, and total GS and GN. **c** Melting temperature (T_m) of GS WT and mutants in the GS-GN(Y195F) complex. Changes in melting temperature upon addition of 12.5 mM G6P ($\Delta T_m = T_m^{+G6P} - T_m^{-G6P}$). Data are mean +/- S.E.M from n=3 experiments carried out in technical duplicates (dephosphorylated GS) and triplicates (WT and mutant GS). **d** Western blots of GS WT and mutants in the GS-GN(Y195F) complex after dephosphorylation with PP1 and/or lambda protein phosphatase (lambda PP). **e** Activity of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated GS WT and indicated mutants (left) and -/+ G6P activity ratio (right). Data are mean +/- S.E.M from n=2 and representative of two independent experiments. Two-way analysis of variance (Tukey's post hoc test); $p<0.05$ * = within groups, § = between groups: WT GS+lambda PP or +lambda PP+PP1 vs mutant GS +lambda PP or +lambda PP+PP1.

Discussion

For many decades human GS has remained elusive and resisted efforts for structural determination and characterization. Here, we provide structural and biochemical analysis of phosphorylated human GS in the full-length GS-GN complex. NsEM maps reveal two GN dimers binding to a GS tetramer, explaining the conformational plasticity of this octameric enzyme complex and the inner workings of how GS-GN cooperate to initiate glycogen synthesis (**Fig. 1 and Fig. 5**). The two GN dimers neighbouring a GS tetramer do not interact in an identical manner, with one GS dimer tilted closer towards GS in comparison to the other (**Fig. 1h**). This observed flexibility of GN may be aided by the variable length linkers connecting the catalytic domain and the C-terminal GN[34] region that anchors GS. The precise functional relevance of this movement is yet to be explored, however the linker length was shown to govern glycogen particle size and molecular weight distribution *in vitro*[22]. Thus, the ability for GN to interact flexibly with GS may facilitate the wide range of size and distribution of glycogen particles seen in multiple species and tissues[4].

Our human GS structure revealed phosphorylated S641 (site 3a) interacting with the regulatory helices $\alpha22$. The electrostatic interactions between phospho-S641 and the arginine cradle could be strengthening the interaction between two GS protomers, thus constraining the GS tetramer and leading to an inactive enzyme (**Fig. 3a and 5**). Our structure also suggests a role for the N-terminal phosphorylation sites S8 and S11 (sites 2 and 2a) in the mechanism of human GS inactivation, as they presumably lie close to R579 and R580 on the regulatory helices (**Supplementary Fig. 7e and 7f**). Although model building before residue 13 was not possible, our analyses highlight an essential role for $\alpha22$ in GS inhibition, specifically residues R579, R580, R588 and R591. This is consistent with previous studies

showing that both N- and C- phosphorylation is required for inhibition of rabbit GS, as well as
there being a significant role of site 3a and site 2/2a[23,42]. The role of the arginine cluster
(residues 579-591, on the α22 helices) in GS regulation was investigated in yeast orthologues,
revealing a role in G6P activation and suggesting a potential role in phosphorylation
dependent inactivation[30,34,47,48]. Our inhibited human GS structure confirms that helix α22 is
crucial for the phosphorylation dependent inactivation of GS, revealing that the same helix
α22 is involved in both allosteric activation[30] and covalent inhibition (**Fig. 3 and Fig. 5**).

GS phosphorylation sites lie outside of the catalytic core and within the N- and C- terminal
tails (**Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2**). A comparison of human, *C. elegans* and yeast
structures reveals considerable differences in the position of the tails (**Supplementary Fig.
7e**). In human GS, the C-terminus is responsible for most of the observable interactions with
helix α22 via R588 and R591 residues, however, these residues are not conserved in *C.
elegans* (**Supplementary Fig. 2**), perhaps explaining the positional differences between
human and *C. elegans* GS tails. Interestingly, S641 (site 3a) is also not conserved in *C.
elegans* GS, suggesting an evolutionary divergence, and hinting at additional mechanisms for
C. elegans GS inactivation where the N-terminus interacts with helix α22. This potential
exchange of interactions between N- and C-termini suggests a functional redundancy between
the multiple phosphorylation sites.

The non-identical engagement of the C-terminal tails and the proximity of the N-terminus to
the regulatory helices, as well as the flexibility of the "spike" region indicates coordination
between the N- and C- termini of a single GS protomer, as well as between protomers (**Fig.
3a and Supplementary Fig. 7f and 5g**). Having one tail buttressed against the regulatory
helix and the other steering away from the core may allow interchanging of the tails based on
their level of phosphorylation, perhaps explaining why multiple phosphorylation sites are
required. It may also aid/allow rapid dephosphorylation of GS, leading to an increase in GS
activity and thus promoting glycogen synthesis (**Fig. 5**).

Elucidating the role of the inter-subunit domain that house the phosphorylation sites, through
mutations that weaken the interactions between the core tetramer and the "spike" regions,
resulted in basal activity equal to or higher than the WT, yet retaining activation by G6P (**Fig.
4a**). The GS Y600A mutant was not activated by G6P to the same extent as WT (**Fig. 4a**),
and although Y600 does not directly bind to G6P, UDP or sugars[30,49,50], it is possible that this
hydrophobic residue is important for interdomain movements which are required for full GS
activation.

Fig. 5 GS and GN cooperate to synthesize glycogen
Glucose is converted into glycogen through the action of glycogenin (GN), glycogen synthase (GS) and glycogen branching enzyme (GBE). GN interacts with GS to feed the initial glucose chain into the GS active site for elongation. GS is regulated by allosteric activation and inhibitory phosphorylation. Phospho-S641 (pS641) from one C- terminal tail interacts with the regulatory helices α22 to cause enzyme inhibition. This can be relieved by G6P, with or without phosphatases, to reach a high activity state. Kinases can phosphorylate GS to inhibit the enzyme.

Dephosphorylation of the GS-GN(Y195F) complex resulted in an increase in basal activity,

yet there is little difference in the high activity (G6P-bound) state between phosphorylated and

dephosphorylated complexes (**Fig. 2a and Fig. 4a**). This is in accordance with previous

studies that demonstrate that G6P can overcome inhibition by phosphorylation and restore full

activity[2]. C-terminal mutants R588A+R591A and R603A and the N-terminal W18A mutant

were more easily dephosphorylated than WT (**Fig. 4d**) suggesting that dislodging of the

phosphoregulatory region leads to phosphorylation sites being more accessible to

phosphatases.

The robust dephosphorylation of GS R588A+R591A did not result in an increase in basal
activity (**Fig. 4d and 4e**), which mirrors previous results in yeast[34]. The analogous mutations
were used in yeast GS resulting in low basal activity, yet it could still be fully activated by
G6P[34]. It was proposed that these residues in the regulatory helix are essential for keeping
GS in a "spring loaded" intermediate state, and thus charge neutralization by mutation of
arginine to alanine leads to the "tense" inactive state[34]. Our activity data agree with this as we
don't see an increase in basal activity despite dephosphorylation at S641 and S8, although
we do see a marginal difference between this mutant and WT in the phosphorylated state
(**Fig. 4a and 4e**). However, it is important to note that we also see some complex dissociation
with the R588A+R591A mutant as evidenced by a larger dissociated complex peak in mass
photometry (**Supplementary Fig. 9c**). It is possible that the dephosphorylated R588A+R591A
mutant is also unstable and dissociates more easily than the phosphorylated mutant, resulting
in a less active preparation.
454
Mutations of human GS1 and GS2 are common in glycogen storage diseases, and cluster
within pockets of GS, affecting UDP-G, G6P and sugar binding. Some mutations affect the
interaction between GS and GN[34], consistent with the requirement of this interaction for
glycogen synthesis[22]. The structure presented here will therefore provide a valuable resource
to understand disease mutations. In addition, this new structure and increased understanding
of GS regulation facilitates GS studies and its relevance in GSD, particularly Pompe and
Lafora diseases where a reduction of glycogen levels could be beneficial[12-16] . The high
resolution achieved here (2.6 Å) would undoubtedly be beneficial in efforts to design GS
inhibitors that block G6P, substrate binding and/or GS-GN[34] interaction.
464
GS has evolved a mechanism by which the phosphorylated N- and C-terminal "spike" regions
hold GS in an inactive conformation that is relieved by dephosphorylation and/or G6P binding.
We propose that the dynamic nature of these regulatory regions provides a functional
redundancy mechanism and serves the purpose of exposing phosphorylated residues to
phosphatases, thus allowing a "tuneable rheostat" instead of an on/off switch for regulating
GS activity. Collectively, our analyses of the human GS-GN enzyme complexes reveal
important mechanistic and structural details that could improve our understanding of GSDs.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Total GN antibody (S197C, third bleed) was obtained from MRC-PPU Reagents and Services. Total GS (#3898) and p-GS S641 (#47043) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technologies. p-GS S641/S645 (#07-817) is from MerckMillipore. Affinity-purified p-GS S8 antibody (YZ5716) was custom-generated by (YenZym Antibodies Brisbane, CA, USA) by immunisation with a combination of phosphorylated peptides of the mouse GS1 (residues 2-14: PLSRSL-*S-VSSLPG-Ahx-C-amide, in which the prefix * denotes the phosphorylated residue) and human GS1 (residues 2-14: PLNRTL-*S-MSSLPG-Ahx-C-amide). Ahx and Cysteine (C) were added at the C terminal of the antigen peptides as linker/spacer and for conjugation to carrier protein, respectively. Antibody validation is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4c. Secondary antibodies (#711-035-152 and #713-035-147) were obtained from BioRad. Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) (#10127647001) is from Roche. All other chemicals if not noted otherwise are from Sigma Aldrich.

Cloning, protein expression and purification of GS-GN complex

Genes encoding human GS1 (HsGS:NM 002103) and human GN1 (HsGN:NM 001184720) mutant were cloned into pFL a vector[51]. A single 6 x His purification tag followed by a cleavable site was engineered at the N-terminus of GN WT or GN Y195F mutant. For co-expression of WT GS and mutants the genes encoding human GS1 and human GN1 (Y195F) were cloned in pFastBac vectors, both with a 6x His purification tag followed by a TEV site at the N-terminus. Recombinant bacmids were generated in DH10Bac™ cells. Virus amplification and protein expression, in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Sf9) cells and *Trichoplusia ni* (Tni) cells respectively, were carried out using standard procedures[52]. For co-infection of pFastBac clones, a 10:1 ratio of the GS:GN P2 virus ratio was used. A PCR-based site directed mutagenesis was used to create the following mutants from the pFastBac GS1 construct: W18A, R588A+R591A, Y600A, R603A, H610E, S614R. All of the alterations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Cell pellets containing HsGS-GN, HsGS-GN(Y195F) and mutants were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.075% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM benzamidine, 0.8 mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.3 mg/mL lysozyme). Cells were lysed by sonication (1 second on, 3 seconds off for a total of 5 minutes) on ice and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 35,000g for 30 minutes at 4 °C. The clarified lysate was sonicated again (1 second on, 3 seconds off for a total of 1

minute), followed by filtering with a 0.45 µM filter (MerckMillipore). Filtered lysate was loaded

onto a pre-equilibrated 1 mL or 5 mL HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) charged with Ni^{2+}.

The loaded column was washed with four column volumes (CV) of low salt buffer (50 mM Tris-

HCl pH 7.6, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.075% β-mercaptoethanol, 1mM

benzamidine), followed by four CV washes of high salt buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 500

mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.075% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM benzamidine)

and finally 4 CV washes in low salt buffer. The column was then attached to the AKTA system

(GE Healthcare) and washed with low salt buffer. The protein was then eluted by applying an

imidazole gradient with elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM

imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.075% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM benzamidine). The fractions

containing protein were analysed by SDS-PAGE and then pooled and dialysed overnight

(10,000 MWCO SnakeSkin dialysis tubing (Thermo Scientific)) at 4 °C in dialysis buffer with

TEV protease added (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol,

0.075% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM benzamidine). The dialysed protein was re-loaded onto the

HisTrap column equilibrated with low salt buffer, for a Ni subtraction step. TEV cleaved protein

was eluted in the flow through and low salt washes. The flow through and first low salt wash

were pooled and concentrated using a VIVASPIN20 30,000 MWCO (Sartorius, Generon),

followed by centrifugation at 17,000g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. Protein was then injected onto a

16/600 or 10/300 Superdex200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with gel filtration buffer

(25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol). Fractions containing

protein were analysed by SDS-PAGE, and fractions containing GN were pooled, concentrated

and stored at -80 °C. Some fractions containing GS-GN complex were stored separately at -

80 °C and the remaining protein was pooled and concentrated before being stored at -80 °C.

Proteins were visualized by Coomassie blue staining, and glucosylated species were detected

using the periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) method (Glycoprotein staining kit, Thermo Scientific).

***In vitro* dephosphorylation of GS-GN**

Protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) and lambda protein phosphatase (lambda PP) were bought from

MRC PPU Reagents and Services. Both have an N-terminal GST tag and lambda PP also

has a C-terminal 6x His tag. GS-GN complex was dephosphorylated in reactions containing

equal amounts of PP1 and lambda PP in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP,

1 mM MnCl$_2$ and 10% glycerol for 30 minutes at 30 °C. For subsequent differential scanning

fluorimetry experiments, the phosphatases were removed by incubating the reactions with

GST beads for 1 hour at 4 °C. Reactions were then passed through an equilibrated 0.45 µm

Spin-X column (Costar, 0.45 µm cellulose acetate) and eluted by centrifugation at 16,000g for

2 minutes.

In vitro deglycosylation

GS-GN was incubated with α-amylase from human saliva (Sigma) to deglycosylate the complex. Reactions contained 4 µM GS-GN with either 500 mU or 1 U α-amylase in buffer containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP and 5 mM CaCl$_2$. Reactions were incubated for 30 min, 1 hour or 2 hours at 37 °C and terminated by the addition of SDS-PAGE loading dye.

Negative stain electron microscopy – grid preparation and data collection

HsGS-GN WT and Y195F were diluted in buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol) to concentrations between 0.01 and 0.02 mg/mL immediately before grid preparation. Carbon-coated copper grids (Formvar/Carbon 300 mesh Cu, Agar Scientific) were glow-discharged for 30 seconds, 10 mA and 0.39 mBar pressure (PELCO easiGlow, Ted Pella). Grids were incubated for 1 minute with 6 µL sample, washed with H$_2$O three times and stained twice with 2% w/v uranyl acetate for 20 seconds. Excess liquid was removed by blotting with filter paper. Data was collected on a FEI Technai F20 electron microscope operated at 120 keV, equipped with a FEI Ceta (CMOS CCD) camera.

Negative stain electron microscopy – data processing

RELION 3.0 was used for processing of negative stain-EM data[53]. Real-time contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters were determined using gCTF[54]. Approximately 2,000 particles were manually picked, extracted with a box size of 104 Å^2, then subjected to reference-free 2D classification to produce initial references to be used for auto-picking. The parameters for auto-picking were optimized and 92,580 particles were extracted. The extracted particles were used for iterative rounds of reference-free 2D classification. Based on visual inspection, best quality 2D average classes were selected to generate a *de novo* 3D initial model, which was used as a reference in unsupervised 3D classification. These classes were then subjected to 3D refinement to generate a final EM density map.

Cryo-electron microscopy – grid preparation and data collection

Quantifoil R2/2 Cu300 or Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Cu300 (Quantifoil Micro Tools) grids were glow-discharged using the GloQube plasma cleaner (Quorum) at 40 mA for 30 seconds, for GS-GN(Y195F) and GS-GN respectively. A FEI Vitrobot IV was equilibrated at 4 °C at 100% relative humidity. GS-GN(Y195F) complex was diluted in buffer (25 mM HEPES pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP) to 0.8 mg/mL (6.59 µM) containing 1.5% glycerol immediately before 3 µL was added to the grid. GS-GN was diluted to 0.36 mg/mL (2.97 µM) containing 8%

glycerol. This was followed by immediate blotting and plunge-freezing into liquid ethane cooled
by liquid nitrogen.

All data was collected on a FEI Titan KRIOS transmission electron microscope at 300 keV.
For GS-GN(Y195F), A FEI Falcon IV direct electron detector with an energy filter (10 eV) was
used in counting mode[55]. A dose per physical pixel/s used resulting in a total dose of 34.8
e/$Å^2$, fractionated across 128 EPU frames. This was then grouped into 21 frames, resulting in
a dose per frame of 0.8 e/$Å^2$. Magnification was 165,000x resulting in a pixel size of 0.71
Å/pixel. Eight exposures per hole was taken and the defocus values ranged from -1 μm to -
2.2 μm. 20,841 movies were recorded using the EPU automated acquisition software (v2.13).

For GS-GN, a FEI Falcon III direct electron detector was used in integrating mode[55]. The total
electron dose was 85 e/$Å^2$, a magnification of 75,000x was used and a final calibrated object
sampling of 1.065 Å/pixel. Each movie had a total exposure time of 1.6 seconds, collected
over 47 fractions with an electron dose of 1.8 e/$Å^2$ per fraction. One exposure per hole was
taken and the defocus values ranged from -1.7 μm to -3.1 μm. 3,009 movies were recorded
using the EPU automated acquisition

Cryo-electron microscopy – data processing

For GS-GN(Y195F), drift-corrected averages of each movie were created using MotionCor2[56]
and real-time contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters were determined using CTFFIND-
4.1[57]. Both motion correction and CTF estimation were carried out on-the fly[55]. 1,883,188
particles were picked using the PhosaurusNet general model in crYOLO[58] v1.6.1. Particles
were imported into RELION 3.1 and extracted and binned by 2. These particles were subjected
to 2D classification. 1,188,332 particles selected after 2D classification were subjected to 3D
classification, applying D2 symmetry. Carrying all "good"/unambiguous classes forward,
739,232 particles were un-binned to a box size of 288 pixels and subjected to 3Drefinement
and postprocessing, generating a map at 2.92 Å. Followed by iterative rounds of per particle
contrast transfer function refinement and Bayesian particle polishing to generate a map at
2.62 Å (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). Final resolutions were determined using the gold-standard
Fourier shell correlation criterion (FSC=0.143). Local resolution was estimated using the local
resolution feature in RELION.

To prevent interpretation of any artefacts created by applying D2 symmetry, the data was also
processed in C1 symmetry (**Supplementary Fig. 6)**. The same particles after 2D classification
were subjected to 3D classification without applying symmetry. High quality classes containing

783,177 particles were un-binned to a box size of 288 pixels and then subjected to 3D refinement and postprocessing, to generate a 3.1 Å map. Following iterative rounds of contrast transfer function refinement and Bayesian particle polishing to generate a 2.8 Å map.

To elucidate the movement of phosphoregulatory regions, an alignment free 3D classification with a mask containing the "spike" density was performed, using a regularisation parameter T of 60[59] (**Supplementary Fig. 5f and 5g**).

To explore the heterogeneity in the dataset, the 3D variability analysis[44] tool in cryoSPARC v3.2.0[45] was used. The 739,232 particles after 3D classification were imported into cryoSPARC and homogenous refinement with a mask with no symmetry application was performed. The subsequent particles were used in the 3D variability analysis to solve 3 modes, using C1 symmetry and with a filter resolution of 5 Å. The subsequent frames were visualized in Chimera[60]. 1 mode is shown in **Movie S1**.

For GS-GN, drift-corrected averages of each movie were created using MotionCor2[56] and real-time contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters were determined using gCTF[54]. 250,250 particles were picked using the PhosaurusNet general model in crYOLO[58] v1.3.5. Particles were then imported into RELION 3.0[53], extracted with a box size of 220 pixels and subjected to reference-free 2D classification. 84,557 particles selected after 2D classification were subjected to 3D classification. 36,972 particles (from 2 classes) were subjected to 3D refinement and postprocessing, generating a map at 6.0 Å (**Supplementary Fig. 6e and 6e**).

Model building and refinement

A preliminary model of human GS was generated by AlphaFold[43] (accessed 1 October 2021) and a preliminary model of last 34 residues of human GN was created by Phyre2[61]. These preliminary models were rigid body fitted into the cryo-EM density in UCSF Chimera[60]. The model was then built using iterative rounds of manual building in COOT[62] and real space refinement in PHENIX v1.19[63].

Visualisation, structure analysis and sequence alignments

Visualisation and structure analysis were performed using ChimeraX[64] or Chimera[60]. Multiple sequence alignments were performed using MUSCLE[65] and displayed and edited using ALINE v1.0.025[66].

Mass photometry

Mass photometry experiments were performed using a Refyn One[MP] mass photometer. Immediately prior to mass photometry measurements, proteins were diluted in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP for a final concentration of 50 nM. For each measurement, (16 µL) buffer was added to a well and the focus point was found and adjusted when necessary. Protein (4 µL) was then added to the buffer droplet, the sample was mixed and movies of 60 seconds were recorded using AcquireMP. Data were analysed using DiscoverMP.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry

Thermal shift assays were performed using an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR system. SYPRO™ Orange (Invitrogen) was used as a fluorescence probe. Proteins were diluted in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP to a final concentration of 1 µM. Varied concentrations of G6P were added and the reaction was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. SYPRO Orange was diluted in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP to a final concentration of 2.5 X, in a total reaction volume of 20 µL. The temperature was raised in 0.018 °C intervals from 20 °C to 95 °C. Data were analysed using Protein Thermal Shift™ v1.4.

Tandem mass spectrometry

Concentrated purified protein complexes (6.75 µg) were diluted 30-fold in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.0 before being subject to reduction with dithiothreitol and alkylation with iodoacetamide, as previously described[67]. The eluent was equally divided into three for digestion with either: 33:1 (w/w) trypsin gold (Promega), 25:1 (w/w) chymotrypsin (Promega), or 10:1 (w/w) elastase (Promega), using the manufacturer's recommended temperatures for 18 hours with 600 rpm shaking. Digests were then subject to in-house packed, strong cation exchange stage tip clean-up, as previously described by[68]. Dried peptides were solubilized in 20 µl of 3% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.1% (v/v) TFA in water, sonicated for 10 minutes, and centrifuged at 13,000g for 15 minutes at 4 °C being separated using an Ultimate 3000 nano system (Dionex) by reversed-phase HPLC, over a 60-minute gradient, as described in[67]. All data acquisition was performed using a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), with higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) fragmentation set at 32% normalized collision energy for 2+ to 5+ charge states. MS1 spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap (60K resolution at 200 m/z) over a range of 350 to 1400 m/z, AGC target = standard, maximum injection time = auto, with an intensity threshold for fragmentation of $2e^4$. MS2 spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap (30K resolution at 200 m/z), AGC target = standard,

maximum injection time = dynamic. A dynamic exclusion window of 20 seconds was applied
at a 10 ppm mass tolerance. Data was analysed by Proteome Discoverer 1.4 using the UniProt
Human reviewed database (updated April 2020) with fixed modification =
carbamidomethylation (C), variable modifications = oxidation (M) and phospho (S/T/Y),
instrument type = electrospray ionization–Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance (ESI-
FTICR), MS1 mass tolerance = 10 ppm, MS2 mass tolerance = 0.01 Da, and the *ptm*RS node
on; set to a score > 99.0.
700

Protein Identification Mass Spectrometry

10 µg of purified protein was separated by SDS-PAGE (10% resolving, 4% stacking) before
colloidal Coomassie staining overnight and thorough washing in milliQ water[69]. A scalpel was
used to excise the major band at ~85 kDa, and incremental bands spanning 43-55, 55-72, 95-
130 and 130+ kDa. Bands were washed in 500 µL HPLC H_2O for 10 minutes shaking at 1500
rpm, room temperature. Bands were then washed in 500 µL of 100 mM ammonium
bicarbonate with water bath sonication, as before, for 10 minutes, before an equal volume of
HPLC acetonitrile was added and sonication repeated. Previous two wash steps were
repeated until the gel pieces were clear. 100 µL of reduction solution (4 mM dithiothreitol in
50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) was added to each gel slice and heated to 60 °C for 10
minutes with 600 rpm shaking. A final concentration of 16.4 mM iodoacetamide was added
and incubated in darkness at room temperature for 30 minutes, before quenching by addition
of 100 mM dithiothreitol to make a final concentration of 7 mM. All liquid was removed before
dehydrating the gel slice by addition of 100 µL HPLC acetonitrile and shaking 1500 rpm at
room temperature for 15 minutes. Dehydrydration was repeated until gel slices were opaque
white and left open lid to dry completely (~15 minutes). 0.5 µg of trypsin in 40 mM ammonium
bicarbonate was added to the dehydrated gel slices and incubated room temperature for 15
minutes. Residual liquid was removed and 100 µL of incubation solution (40 mM ammonium
bicarbonate, 5% acetonitrile) added and incubated overnight at 37 °C with 600 rpm shaking.
An equal volume of acetonitrile was added and left to shake for an additional 30 minutes. Gel
slices were briefly centrifuged and supernatant collected. Supernatant was dried to
completion, resuspended and analysed by LC-MS/MS as described before.
723

Glycogen synthase activity assay

1 µg of purified protein was diluted in ice cold lysis buffer (270 mM sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl
(pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 20 mM glycerol-2-phosphate, 50
mM NaF, 5 mM $Na_4P_2O_7$, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 mg/mL
microcystin-LR, 2 mg/mL leupeptin, and 2 mg/mL pepstatin A) to a total volume of 100 µL. 20

µL of the protein was added to 80 µL of the assay buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 50 mM
NaF, 5 mM EDTA, 10 mg/ml glycogen, 1.5 mM UDP-glucose, 0.125% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol
and 0.15 mCi/mmol D-[^{14}C]-UDP-glucose (American Radiolabelled Chemicals, Inc., ARC
0154) with 0 and 12.5 mM G6P. Reactions were incubated for 20 minutes at 30 °C with mild
agitation at 300 rpm. The reactions were stopped by spotting 90 µL of the reaction mix onto
2.5 cm x 2.5 cm squares of filter paper (Whatman 3MM) which were immediately immersed in
ice cold 66% ethanol and left to incubate with mild agitation for 20 minutes. The filter papers
were washed thrice more in 66% ethanol for 20 minutes each and rinsed in acetone. The dried
filters were subjected to scintillation counting.
738

739 **Statistical Analysis**
740 Data are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and statistical analysis was
741 performed using GraphPad Prism software. As indicated in the respective figure legends, one-
742 way or two-way analysis of variance was performed with Tukey's post hoc test. Statistical
743 significance was set at $p<0.05$.
744

Immunoblotting
Purified proteins were denatured in Laemmli buffer at 100 °C for 5 minutes. 100 ng of the
protein was separated by SDS-PAGE on 4-10% gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose
membranes (#926-31090, LiCOR). Membranes were blocked for 45 minutes at room
temperature in 5% skim milk (Sigma, 1153630500) followed by incubation in TBST (10 mM
Tris (pH 7.6), 137 mM NaCl, and 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20) containing 5% (w/v) BSA and the
primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were incubated for 45 minutes in HRP
conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:10,000 in 3% skim milk, after extensive washing in
TBST. The membranes were imaged using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent (GE
Healthcare). For total protein staining of blots, Revert™700 Total Protein Stain (LiCOR) was
used.
756

757 For the validation of pGS S8 antibody (YenZyme, 1st cycle, YZ7516) HEK293FT cells were
758 co-transfected with 900 ng of GS (WT) or GS S8A along with GST tagged-GN. After 40 hours,
759 transfected cells were harvested for protein. The blots were probed with pGS S8 antibody
760 incubated with 20 µg of GS peptide (**Supplementary Fig. 4c**).
761
762
763
764

Data availability:

The cryo-EM maps have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under the accession code EMDB-14587. Coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession code 7ZBN.

Author contributions:

L.M. performed molecular biology, protein production, electron microscopy, differential scanning fluorimetry and mass photometry experiments, D.B. performed glycogen synthase activity and western blot assays, L.A.D. performed phosphorylation mapping and mass spectrometry experiments and C.B., S.V. and D.P.M. provided support with structural biology and protein production. L.M. and E.Z. drafted the manuscript with input from D.B., K.S., L.A.D. and C.E.E. and all authors revised it. J.P., C.E.E., C.H., J.B., N.A.R., H.K., K.S. and E.Z. provided supervision and project management. E.Z., K.S., C.E.E., C.H. and J.B. designed and interpreted data in consultation with all authors.

Acknowledgments:

L.M. is supported by an MRC Discovery Medicine North (DiMeN) iCASE studentship co-funded by UKRI and Vertex Pharmaceuticals. D.B. is supported by an International Postdoctoral Fellowship funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF) Center for Basic Metabolic Research. C.E.E. and L.A.D. were supported by grants from BBSRC (BB/S018514/1, BB/M012557/1, BB/R000182/1). E.Z. was supported by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust & Royal Society (200523/Z/16/Z) and Royal Society grant RG170407. The Astbury cryo-EM Facility is funded by a University of Leeds ABSL award and Wellcome Trust grants (108466/Z/15/Z and 221524/Z/20/Z). The NNF Center for Basic Metabolic Research is an independent Research Center at the University of Copenhagen, Denmark, and partially funded by an unconditional donation from NNF (Grant number NNF18CC0034900).

Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Roach, P. J., Depaoli-Roach, A. A., Hurley, T. D. & Tagliabracci, V. S. Glycogen and its metabolism: some new developments and old themes. *Biochem. J.* **441,** 763–787 (2012).

2. Roach, P. J. Glycogen and its metabolism. *Curr. Mol. Med.* **2,** 101–120 (2002).

3. Pitcher, J., Smythe, C., Campbell, D. G. & Cohen, P. Identification of the 38-kDa subunit of rabbit skeletal muscle glycogen synthase as glycogenin. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **169,** 497–502 (1987).

4. Zeqiraj, E. & Sicheri, F. Getting a handle on glycogen synthase – Its interaction with glycogenin. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* **46,** 63–69 (2015).

5. Skurat, A. V., Dietrich, A. D. & Roach, P. J. Interaction between glycogenin and glycogen synthase. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **456,** 93–97 (2006).

6. Pitcher, J., of, C. S. E. J.1988. Glycogenin is the priming glucosyltransferase required for the initiation of glycogen biogenesis in rabbit skeletal muscle. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **176,** 391–395 (1988).

7. Ryu, J.-H. *et al.* Comparative structural analyses of purified glycogen particles from rat liver, human skeletal muscle and commercial preparations. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* **45,** 478–482 (2009).

8. Ashcroft, F. M., Rohm, M., Clark, A. & Brereton, M. F. Is Type 2 Diabetes a Glycogen Storage Disease of Pancreatic β Cells? *Cell Metabolism* **26,** 17–23 (2017).

9. Kohler, L., Puertollano, R. & Raben, N. Pompe Disease: From Basic Science to Therapy. *Neurotherapeutics* **15,** 928–942 (2018).

10. García-Gimeno, M., Knecht, E. & Sanz, P. Lafora Disease: A Ubiquitination-Related Pathology. *Cells* **7,** 87–17 (2018).

11. Malfatti, E. *et al.* A new muscle glycogen storage disease associated with glycogenin-1 deficiency. *Ann Neurol.* **76,** 891–898 (2014).

12. Pursell, N. *et al.* Inhibition of Glycogen Synthase II with RNAi Prevents Liver Injury in Mouse Models of Glycogen Storage Diseases. *Mol Ther* **26,** 1771–1782 (2018).

13. Ashe, K. M. *et al.* Inhibition of glycogen biosynthesis via mTORC1 suppression as an adjunct therapy for Pompe disease. *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism* **100,** 309–315 (2010).

14. Turnbull, J. *et al.* PTG depletion removes Lafora bodies and rescues the fatal epilepsy of Lafora disease. *PLoS Genet* **7,** e1002037 (2011).

15. Pederson, B. A. *et al.* Inhibiting glycogen synthesis prevents Lafora disease in a mouse model. *Ann Neurol.* **74,** 297–300 (2013).

834   16.   Varea, O., Duran, J., Aguilera, M., Prats, N. & Guinovart, J. J. Suppression of
835   glycogen synthesis as a treatment for Lafora disease: Establishing the window of
836   opportunity. *Neurobiol Dis* **147,** 105173 (2021).

837   17.   Mu, J., Skurat, A. V. & Roach, P. J. Glycogenin-2, a Novel Self-glucosylating Protein
838   Involved in Liver Glycogen Biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272,** 27589–27597 (1997).

839   18.   Curtino, J. A. & Aon, M. A. From the seminal discovery of proteoglycogen and
840   glycogenin to emerging knowledge and research on glycogen biology. *Biochem. J.*
841   **476,** 3109–3124 (2019).

842   19.   Lairson, L. L., Henrissat, B., Davies, G. J. & Withers, S. G. Glycosyltransferases:
843   Structures, Functions, and Mechanisms. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **77,** 521–555 (2008).

844   20.   Gibbons, B. J., Roach, P. J. & Hurley, T. D. Crystal Structure of the Autocatalytic
845   Initiator of Glycogen Biosynthesis, Glycogenin. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **319,**
846   463–477 (2002).

847   21.   Chaikuad, A. *et al.* Conformational plasticity of glycogenin and its maltosaccharide
848   substrate during glycogen biogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
849   *Sciences* **108,** 21028–21033 (2011).

850   22.   Zeqiraj, E. *et al.* Structural basis for the recruitment of glycogen synthase by
851   glycogenin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111,** E2831–E2840
852   (2014).

853   23.   Skurat, A. V., Wang, Y. & Roach, P. J. Rabbit skeletal muscle glycogen synthase
854   expressed in COS cells. Identification of regulatory phosphorylation sites. *J. Biol.*
855   *Chem.* **269,** 25534–25542 (1994).

856   24.   Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, von, A. *et al.* Glucose-6-phosphate-mediated activation of
857   liver glycogen synthase plays a key role in hepatic glycogen synthesis. *Diabetes* **62,**
858   4070–4082 (2013).

859   25.   Browner, M. F., Nakano, K., Bang, A. G. & Fletterick, R. J. Human muscle glycogen
860   synthase cDNA sequence: a negatively charged protein with an asymmetric charge
861   distribution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **86,** 1443–1447 (1989).

862   26.   Nuttall, F. Q., Gannon, M. C., Bai, G. & Lee, E. Y. Primary structure of human liver
863   glycogen synthase deduced by cDNA cloning. *Archives of Biochemistry and*
864   *Biophysics* **311,** 443–449 (1994).

865   27.   Buschiazzo, A. *et al.* Crystal structure of glycogen synthase: homologous enzymes
866   catalyze glycogen synthesis and degradation. *The EMBO Journal* **23,** 3196–3205
867   (2004).

868   28.   Skurat, A. V., Peng, H. L., Chang, H. Y., Cannon, J. F. & Roach, P. J. Rate-
869   determining steps in the biosynthesis of glycogen in COS cells. *Archives of*
870   *Biochemistry and Biophysics* **328,** 283–288 (1996).

29.   Hunter, R. W., Treebak, J. T., Wojtaszewski, J. F. P. & Sakamoto, K. Molecular

Mechanism by Which AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Activation Promotes Glycogen

Accumulation in Muscle. *Diabetes* **60,** 766–774 (2011).

30.   Baskaran, S., Roach, P. J., Depaoli-Roach, A. A. & Hurley, T. D. Structural basis for

glucose-6-phosphate activation of glycogen synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

107, 17563–17568 (2010).

31.   Picton, C., Woodgett, J., Hemmings, B. & Cohen, P. Multisite phosphorylation of

glycogen synthase from rabbit skeletal muscle. Phosphorylation of site 5 by glycogen

synthase kinase-5 (casein kinase-II) is a prerequisite for phosphorylation of sites 3 by

glycogen synthase kinase-3. *FEBS Lett* **150,** 191–196 (1982).

32.   Roach, P. J. Multisite and hierarchal protein phosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266,**

14139–14142 (1991).

33.   Roach, P. J. Control of glycogen synthase by hierarchal protein phosphorylation.

FASEB J **4,** 2961–2968 (1990).

34.   Mahalingan, K. K., Baskaran, S., Depaoli-Roach, A. A., Roach, P. J. & Hurley, T. D.

Redox Switch for the Inhibited State of Yeast Glycogen Synthase Mimics Regulation

by Phosphorylation. *Biochemistry* **56,** 179–188 (2017).

35.   Hunter, R. W., Zeqiraj, E., Morrice, N., Sicheri, F. & Sakamoto, K. Expression and

purification of functional human glycogen synthase-1:glycogenin-1 complex in insect

cells. *PROTEIN EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION* **108,** 23–29 (2015).

36.   Khanna, M. *et al.* Expression and purification of functional human glycogen synthase-

1 (hGYS1) in insect cells. *PROTEIN EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION* **90,** 78–83

(2013).

37.   Smythe, C., Caudwell, F. B., Ferguson, M. & Cohen, P. Isolation and structural

analysis of a peptide containing the novel tyrosyl-glucose linkage in glycogenin. *The*

EMBO Journal **7,** 2681–2686 (1988).

38.   Rodriguez, I. R. & Whelan, W. J. A novel glycosyl-amino acid linkage: rabbit-muscle

glycogen is covalently linked to a protein via tyrosine. *Biochemical and Biophysical*

Research Communications **132,** 829–836 (1985).

39.   Bouskila, M. *et al.* Allosteric Regulation of Glycogen Synthase Controls Glycogen

Synthesis in Muscle. *Cell Metabolism* **12,** 456–466 (2010).

40.   McManus, E. J. *et al.* Role that phosphorylation of GSK3 plays in insulin and Wnt

signalling defined by knockin analysis. *The EMBO Journal* **24,** 1571–1583 (2005).

41.   Hornbeck, P. V. *et al.* PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations.

Nucleic Acids Res **43,** D512–20 (2015).

42.   Wang, Y. & Roach, P. J. Inactivation of rabbit muscle glycogen synthase by glycogen
907   synthase kinase-3. Dominant role of the phosphorylation of Ser-640 (site-3a). *J. Biol.*
908   *Chem.* **268,** 23876–23880 (1993).

909   43.   Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*
910   **596,** 583–589 (2021).

911   44.   Punjani, A. & Fleet, D. J. 3D variability analysis: Resolving continuous flexibility and
912   discrete heterogeneity from single particle cryo-EM. *Journal of Structural Biology* **213,**
913   107702 (2021).

914   45.   Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms
915   for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14,** 290–296
916   (2017).

917   46.   Ramlaul, K., Palmer, C. M. & Aylett, C. H. S. A Local Agreement Filtering Algorithm
918   for Transmission EM Reconstructions. *Journal of Structural Biology* **205,** 30–40
919   (2019).

920   47.   Hanashiro, I. & Roach, P. J. Mutations of muscle glycogen synthase that disable
921   activation by glucose 6-phosphate. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **397,**
922   286–292 (2002).

923   48.   Palm, D. C., Rohwer, J. M. & Hofmeyr, J.-H. S. Regulation of glycogen synthase from
924   mammalian skeletal muscle - a unifying view of allosteric and covalent regulation.
925   *FEBS J* **280,** 2–27 (2012).

926   49.   Baskaran, S. *et al.* Multiple glycogen-binding sites in eukaryotic glycogen synthase
927   are required for high catalytic efficiency toward glycogen. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 33999–
928   34006 (2011).

929   50.   Chikwana, V. M. *et al.* Structural basis for 2'-phosphate incorporation into glycogen by
930   glycogen synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110,** 20976–20981 (2013).

931   51.   Fitzgerald, D. J. *et al.* Protein complex expression by using multigene baculoviral
932   vectors. *Nat Methods* **3,** 1021–1032 (2006).

933   52.   Zeqiraj, E. *et al.* Higher-Order Assembly of BRCC36-KIAA0157 Is Required for DUB
934   Activity and Biological Function. *Mol Cell* **59,** 970–983 (2015).

935   53.   Zivanov, J. *et al.* New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure
936   determination in RELION-3. *eLIFE* **7,** (2018).

937   54.   Zhang, K. Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. *Journal of Structural*
938   *Biology* **193,** 1–12 (2016).

939   55.   Thompson, R. F., Iadanza, M. G., Hesketh, E. L., Rawson, S. & Ranson, N. A.
940   Collection, pre-processing and on-the-fly analysis of data for high-resolution, single-
941   particle cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Protoc* **14,** 100–118 (2018).

56. Zheng, S. Q. *et al.* MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for
improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14,** 331–332 (2017).

57. Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from
electron micrographs. *Journal of Structural Biology* **192,** 216–221 (2015).

58. Wagner, T. *et al.* SPHIRE-crYOLO is a fast and accurate fully automated particle
picker for cryo-EM. *Commun Biol* **2,** 218–13 (2019).

59. Scheres, S. H. W. in *Cryo-EM, Part B: 3-D Reconstruction* **482,** 295–320 (Elsevier,
2010).

60. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research
and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25,** 1605–1612 (2004).

61. Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. E. The Phyre2
web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* **10,** 845–858
(2015).

62. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of
Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66,** 486–501 (2010).

63. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66,** 213–221
(2010).

64. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers,
educators, and developers. *Protein Sci* **30,** 70–82 (2021).

65. Madeira, F. *et al.* The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.
Nucleic Acids Res **47,** W636–W641 (2019).

66. Bond, C. S. & Schüttelkopf, A. W. ALINE: a WYSIWYG protein-sequence alignment
editor for publication-quality alignments. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **65,** 510–
512 (2009).

67. Ferries, S. *et al.* Evaluation of Parameters for Confident Phosphorylation Site
Localization Using an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid Mass Spectrometer. *J Proteome Res*
16, 3448–3459 (2017).

68. Daly, L. A. *et al.* Oxygen-dependent changes in binding partners and post-
translational modifications regulate the abundance and activity of HIF-1α/2α. *Sci*
Signal **14,** (2021).

69. Candiano, G. *et al.* Blue silver: a very sensitive colloidal Coomassie G-250 staining for
proteome analysis. *Electrophoresis* **25,** 1327–1333 (2004).