Metformin Suppresses SARS-CoV-2 in Cell Culture

Haripriya Parthasarathy[1], Dixit Tandel[1,2], Abdul Hamid Siddiqui[1], and Krishnan H.

Harshan[1,2,€]

[1]CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, India 500007

[2]Academy for Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad-201002, India

[€]Correspondence: hkrishnan@ccmb.res.in

Keywords:

SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, antiviral activity, Metformin, AMPK, Diabetes

List of abbreviations:

AMPK – AMP-activated protein kinase; **ACC –** Acetyl CoA carboxylase; **AICAR** - 5-

Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide; **CC** – Compound C; **COVID-19** –

Coronavirus disease 19; **hpi** – hours post infection; **IFIT1** - Interferon-induced protein

with tetratricopeptide repeats 1; **MOI** – Multiplicity of infection; **PPARs** - peroxisome

proliferator-activated receptors; **SARS-CoV-2** - Severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus 2; **SREBPs** - Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins;

TCID50/90 - 50%/ 90% Tissue culture infective dose

ABSTRACT

Comorbidities such as diabetes worsen COVID-19 severity and recovery. Metformin, a first-line medication for type 2 diabetes, has antiviral properties and certain studies have also indicated its prognostic potential in COVID-19. Here, we report that metformin significantly inhibits SARS-CoV-2 growth in cell culture models. First, a steady increase in AMPK phosphorylation was detected as infection progressed, suggesting its important role during viral infection. Activation of AMPK in Calu3 and Caco2 cell lines using metformin revealed that metformin suppresses SARS-CoV-2 infectious titers up to 99%, in both naïve as well as infected cells. TCID50 values from dose-variation studies in infected cells were found to be 0.8 and 3.5 mM in Calu3 and Caco2 cells, respectively. Role of AMPK in metformin's antiviral suppression was further confirmed using other pharmacological compounds, AICAR and Compound C. Collectively, our study demonstrates that metformin is effective in limiting the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture and thus possibly could offer double benefits s diabetic COVID-19 patients by lowering both blood glucose levels and viral load.

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 prevails and rages on in several parts of the world. While vaccination drives continue to ensure its spread is limited, newer worrying aspects of long-COVID are a cause for concern. Patients with comorbidities such as cancer, auto-immune diseases, cardiovascular conditions, and diabetes, are still highly susceptible to contracting the disease (1,2). Particularly vulnerable to COVID-19 are patients with comorbidities such as cancer, auto-immune diseases, cardiovascular conditions, and diabetes. The hospitalization rate for patients with comorbidities who

contracted COVID-19 was significantly higher during the first wave of the pandemic,

associated with poor prognosis (3). Growing evidence of long-COVID indicates that

long-term effects of the illness along with a possibility of latency of SARS-CoV-2 can

drastically affect the complete recovery of COVID-19 patients (4,5). Evidences of

SARS-CoV-2 for prolonged periods have been observed in lung (6), and intestinal

epithelium (7). The prospect of repurposing drugs to combat prolonged presence of

the virus is of utmost importance to manage disease outcomes.

Type 2 diabetes, one of the most common metabolic disorders, is universally treated

using insulin and a number of other drugs, chiefly metformin (8). Metformin is a

biguanide compound, used as first-line antidiabetic medication worldwide. It acts

primarily by increasing glucose intake and limiting gluconeogenesis in the liver, and

its action is mediated in part by the energy-sensing kinase, 5'-AMP-activated protein

kinase (AMPK) (9). Metformin inhibits Complex I of the electron transport chain and

suppresses ATP synthesis, which triggers AMPK activation. This results in a

cascade of events that decreases anabolic processes and initiates macromolecular

breakdown to reinstate homeostasis. AMPK is a trimeric complex where the α

subunit harbors the kinase and activating domains. The regulatory subunit γ is where

AMP or ATP binds, thereby determining the allosteric regulation of AMPK (10).

Competitive binding of AMP or ATP to one of four binding domains on the γ subunit

is believed to cause a conformational change that makes the kinase domain on the α

subunit more accessible for phosphorylation, although this notion has been debated

(10,11).

In the past year, several reports have debated the clinical use of metformin in

COVID-19 (12–15). Many case studies on metformin treatment report a decrease in

hospital mortality rates for patients that were on metformin prior to admission

(13,16,17). Reports suggest that high glucose levels are associated with poorer

prognosis and well-controlled glucose levels were indicative of lesser complications

(16). Metformin has also been reported to show antiviral activity against other viruses

(18). In this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of metformin on SARS-CoV-2,

and identify the effects of AMPK perturbation on infection. With recent reports having

clarified that COVID-19 is not solely a respiratory illness with evidence of SARS-

CoV-2 found in feces of patients showing successful replication in enterocytes

(19,20) we hence used cell lines Calu3 and Caco2, a lung carcinoma and a

colorectal carcinoma cell line, respectively. Treatment of cells with metformin prior to

infection substantially lowered the viral titer. Additionally, metformin strongly

restricted SARS-CoV-2 levels in previously infected cells in a dose-dependent

manner. Pharmacological activation of AMPK through AICAR suppressed viral

infection while its inhibition using Compound C promoted it, confirming the effect of

metformin is through AMPK. Our results support the promising use of metformin as a

therapeutic drug in COVID-19.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and reagents

Caco2, Calu3, and Vero cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with FBS, and

Pen Strep, at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Antibodies against AMPK and phospho-AMPK

(T172) were procured from CST. GAPDH, β-tubulin, and Nucleocapsid antibodies

were from ThermoFisher Scientific. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were

purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch. Metformin, AICAR, and Compound C

were procured from Merck Millipore.

Infections and treatments

All experiments involving virus culture were carried out in the biosafety level-3

laboratory at the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB). SARS-CoV-2

strain B.1.1.8 (TG-CCMB-L1021/2020 isolate) was used for all experiments at 1 MOI

(21). Cells were grown to 80% confluency and treated as described. For pre-infection

treatments, cells were subjected to 10 mM metformin or 1 mM AICAR for 24 h,

followed by infection with SARS-CoV-2 in serum-free medium (SFM) for 3 h in the

presence of the respective compound. The inoculum was subsequently replaced

with complete medium containing the compound and the cells were harvested at 24

h post-infection (hpi). In post-infection mode of metformin treatment, the cells were

first infected for 3 h after which the inoculum was replaced by media containing 10

mM metformin and further incubated until 24 hpi. Dose-dependent effect of

metformin was studied by subjecting cells to varying doses of metformin (5, 10, 20,

and 40 mM in Caco2, and 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 mM in Calu3 cells) similar to the post-

infection treatment regimen mentioned above. Compound C treatment was carried

out by infecting cells for 3 h at 1 MOI, complete media for 21 h, and then an

additional 24 h with 10 µM Compound C. For all treatments, media supernatant was

collected to measure extracellular viral RNA as well as infectious viral titers, and

cells were processed for immunoblotting.

Virus quantification and titration

RNA from viral supernatants was isolated using Nucleospin Viral RNA isolation kit

(Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG). qRT-PCR was carried out using nCOV-19 RT-

PCR detection kit from Q-line Molecular to quantify SARS-CoV-2 RNA following

manufacturer's protocol on Roche LightCycler 480.

Infectious titers of the supernatants were calculated using plaque forming assay

(PFU/mL) as mentioned previously (21). Briefly, the supernatant was serially diluted

in SFM and added to a confluent monolayer of Vero cells for infection for 3 h. The

medium was then replaced with a 1:1 mixture of agarose: 2 × DMEM (1% low-

melting agarose (LMA) containing a final concentration of 5% FBS and 1 × Pen-

Strep). Six days post-infection, cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde prepared in 1 ×

PBS and subsequently washed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet to count the

plaques.

Immunoblotting

Cell pellets were lysed in an NP-40 lysis buffer as described earlier (21). Protein

quantification was done using BCA method (G Biosciences). Lysates were then

mixed with 6 × Laemmli buffer, and equal amounts of protein were run on SDS-

PAGE, followed by transfer onto PVDF membrane. Blots were blocked in 5% BSA

and incubated with specific primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Incubation with

HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies was done for 1 hour and the blots were

developed on a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using ECL reagents (ThermoFisher

and G Biosciences). Quantification was performed using ImageJ (22).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate to calculate mean ± SEM. Statistical

significance was calculated using two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test and p values

are represented as *, **, ***, indicating $p \leq 0.05$, 0.005, and 0.0005, respectively.

IC50 and IC90 were calculated from qRT-PCR data, while TCID50 and TCID90 were

calculated from PFU data from metformin titration experiments.

RESULTS

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes long-term phosphorylation of AMPK

We tracked the activity and levels of AMPK during SARS-CoV-2 infection over a 96-

h time course beginning from 1 hour. Caco2 cells were infected with 1 MOI of SARS-

CoV-2 for 1, 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h time. Though no significant change in the

AMPK phosphorylation was detected until 48 h post-infection (hpi), marked increase

in phosphorylation was evident from 48 hpi that further strengthened until 96 hpi,

despite a drop in the abundance of the protein (Figure 1 A and B). These results

indicated a major metabolic reprogramming, resulting in AMPK phosphorylation

occurring after 24 hpi. Interestingly, AMPK phosphorylation coincided with the

accumulation of viral proteins (Figure 1A).

Metformin protects cells from SARS-CoV-2 infection

We investigated the role of AMPK activation during SARS-CoV-2 infection as

previous reports have clearly established the roles played by this molecule on the

outcome of viral infections (23). One compound of clinical relevance known to

activate AMPK is metformin. With the emergence of reports suggesting a possible

beneficial role of metformin in COVID-19 patients (12,13), we used this compound to

both activate AMPK, as well as study it from a drug-repurposing point of view. In this

study, we used Calu3, a respiratory epithelial cell line, and Caco2, a gut epithelial

cell line, allowing us to study a larger impact of metformin and extrapolate its results

in cells from different organs of relevance. Calu3 cells were pre-treated with 10 mM

concentration of metformin for 24 h after which they were infected with 1 MOI of

SARS-CoV-2 for 3 h in presence of metformin. Metformin at 10 mM is widely used in

studies, is physiologically relevant and well reported to induce AMPK activity (24–

26). Subsequently, the inoculum was replaced with growth medium containing

metformin and incubated until 24 hpi (Figure 2A). Increased phosphorylation of

AMPK in the drug-treated cells confirmed the effect of metformin (Figures 2 B and

C). Metformin treatment resulted in nearly 90% drop in the viral RNA (Figure 2D), and nearly two-log drop in the infectious viral titers (Figure 2E) indicating that metformin treatment is protective against SARS-CoV-2 infection. Viral protein levels also decreased by 50%, suggesting a clear suppression of the viral life cycle by metformin (Figure 2B). Metformin treatment performed in Caco2 cells corroborated the results from Calu3, confirming that the drug has strong protective effects against SARS-CoV-2 infection in multiple cell lines (Figures 2 F-I).

Metformin treatment post-infection causes more profound restriction of SARS-CoV-2

We next tested the effect of metformin in cells previously infected with SARS-CoV-2 to extrapolate its impact on the infected patients. Cells infected with 1 MOI of SARS-CoV-2 for 3 h were subsequently treated with 10 mM metformin until harvested at 24 hpi (Figure 3 A). Metformin caused higher AMPK phosphorylation in both Calu3 and Caco2 cultures infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Figures 3 B and C, F and G). Post-infection treatment with metformin resulted in a significant decrease in viral RNA and almost one-log drop in infectious titer in the supernatant of Calu3 cells with reduction in nucleocapsid expression as well (Figures 3 B, D, and E). Similar results were observed in Caco2 cells, including a two-log reduction in viral titers (Figure 3 G-K), further confirming the profound restrictive effect of the drug on SARS-CoV-2. These results collectively indicate that metformin offers strong protection to both naïve as well as infected cells.

Metformin treatment post-infection causes a dose-dependent suppression of SARS-CoV-2

Metformin dose administered to diabetic individuals varies widely depending on a

number of clinical parameters including fasting plasma glucose levels and HbA1c

(27). Hence, based on our hypothesis, it is possible that individuals prescribed with

different metformin doses are affected differently when infected with SARS-CoV-2.

To assess whether *in vitro* administration of different doses of metformin affected the

virus differently, we performed a dose-variation analysis. A concentration-dependent

increase in AMPK phosphorylation was evident in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells from 1-

20 mM metformin concentrations in Calu3 cells (Figures 4 A and B). A gradual and

dose-dependent decrease in N levels was also evident (Figures 4 A and C). The

drop in viral RNA levels was more dramatic with a very significant drop detected

even at 1 mM concentration, that stabilized between 2- 20 mM concentration (Figure

4D). Drop in viral titers was even more profound, with viral titers sharply decreasing

beyond 2 mM metformin (Figure 4E). The IC50 calculated using RNA values was 0.8

mM (Figure 4F) with TCID50/90 values from PFU being 2.0 and 4.9 mM, respectively

(Figure 4G). A comparable decrease in N, viral RNA, and infectious titers was

observed in Caco2 cultures with increasing metformin dose (Figure 4 H-L). IC50

value was calculated to be 2.9 mM with a corresponding TCID50 value of 3.5 mM

(Figure 4 M and N). Together, these results unambiguously demonstrate a potent

anti-SARS-CoV-2 effect of metformin.

AMPK activation restricts SARS-CoV-2

We then sought to understand the mechanism by which metformin may be carrying

out its suppressive effects. Since metformin's mode of action through AMPK is well

established, we wanted to evaluate whether AMPK mediated this effect. To verify the

same, we used pharmacological compounds that would activate or inhibit AMPK

activity. AICAR, an allosteric activator, directly activates AMPK by binding to the γ

subunit, mimicking AMP-binding, thereby activating downstream signaling. Cells

were pre-treated with 1 mM of AICAR, a well-established concentration (28,29). for

24 h as in the case of metformin (Figure 5A). AICAR treatment activated AMPK

(Figure 5B and C) with a marginal change in nucleocapsid (Figure 5A), while

significantly lowering the infectious viral titer of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 5D) by almost

one-log, as demonstrated previously with metformin (Figure 2I). These results

demonstrate that AMPK activation is certainly beneficial to the host cells by

significantly limiting the viral titers. We further confirmed this effect by inhibiting

AMPK by using Compound C (CC), a small molecule ATP-competitive inhibitor,

during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Since AMPK phosphorylation peaked beyond 24 h in

the time-course study (Figure 1A), we decided to infect the cells first to activate

AMPK and then inhibited AMPK with CC. Cells infected at 1 MOI were treated with

10 μM CC at 24 hpi and incubated for an additional 24 h (Figure 5E), for a total of 48

hours of infection. CC is used at this concentration widely (9,30). Though CC

treatment caused a visible drop in AMPK phosphorylation in mock cells, there was

no apparent decrease observed in infected cells, indicating that the virus-induced

AMPK activation overrides CC inhibition (Figure 5 F and G). As anticipated, CC

treatment resulted in over four-fold higher viral titers in the supernatants as against

the control sample (Figure 5H). These results confirm that AMPK coordinates strong

antiviral measures in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells. Thus, activation of AMPK during

the infection is protective against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

DISCUSSION

The anti-diabetic drug, metformin, has been projected to influence the prognosis of

COVID-19 patients. As patients with comorbidities fared worse when infected with

SARS-CoV-2, management of an ongoing illness alongside COVID-19 treatment

became paramount. Some studies early during the pandemic identified a positive

correlation between improved glucose levels in diabetic patients on metformin and

better clinical outcome (16,31–33). A number of reports proposed metformin as a

possible "miracle or menace" in COVID-afflicted patients, based on retrospective

data from hospitalisations, comparing the length of hospitalisation, severity of

symptoms, or mortality (17,34). In this study, we demonstrate that metformin

profoundly lowers SARS-CoV-2 infectivity. While extrapolating these results to a

clinical setup may not be appropriate, our data indicate that metformin can be

effective not only as a treatment option, but as a prophylactic agent as well. With this

data, we suggest that treatment of patients with metformin prior to infection with

SARS-CoV-2 may have assisted in decreasing their symptoms of COVID-19. Our

results also suggest that metformin could be beneficial in non-diabetic, COVID-19

patients and expand the scope of its coverage. In summary, this data lies in

agreement with the numerous case studies published during the pandemic that

suggested an antiviral role for this known anti-diabetic drug.

Metformin plays a major role in modulating lipid metabolism, but the mechanisms are

multi-dimensional. Metformin is a soluble compound that interferes with Complex I

of the electron transport chain, and the decrease in ATP production causes AMPK

activation. It also decreases hepatic lipids, increases skeletal muscle uptake of

glucose, and in parallel helps in decreasing circulating lipids that can eventually

increase cardiovascular risk especially in diabetic adults who are obese (35,36).

RNA viruses in particular are known to modulate lipogenesis to steer cells to produce

more vesicles to aid replication, as well as packaging and release (37,38). Certain

viral infections trigger induction of lipogenic genes through activating factors such as

SREBPs and PPARs, resulting in accumulation of lipid droplets (39,40). These

facilitate the establishment of key sites of replication and assembly, which has been

documented for several viruses including flaviviruses (41–44) and others (45–47).

This can also act as a double-edged sword as a number of antiviral molecules also

assemble on lipid vesicles. Activation of interferon pathways, particularly types I and

III, results in the production of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), some of which are

reported to load onto lipid droplets, especially viperin and IFIT-1 (48). A recent report

on SARS-CoV-2 highlighted the possible role that lipid droplets play in its infection

(49). Not only did they observe higher colocalization of viral RNA with the lipid

droplets, they also demonstrated that inhibition of its formation decreased viral load

as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines and apoptosis markers. These results in

conjunction with ours indicate that the anti-viral effect of metformin is probably a

resultant of altered lipid metabolism.

Certain RNA viruses have been found to remain in the host long after onset of

symptoms. Some studies have observed the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA several

weeks after symptoms develop, leading to prolonged illness (6). Presence of viral

RNA for extended periods indicates the possibility of latency in COVID-19, though

not proven yet. These factors are predicted to play a key role in long COVID-

symptoms observed in a large number of individuals that have been infected (50).

From the results of this study, it is likely that the use of metformin in such cases

could be an interesting proposition to combat latent COVID-19.

We conducted studies in Caco2 and Calu3, two cell lines with pertinent tissue origin

to our study. Metformin is absorbed in the intestine, which makes Caco2 an

appropriate choice, in addition to its permissivity to SARS-CoV-2. The use of

metformin in lung cells, an unusual choice with respect to metformin use, was in

order to study the suppressive effects of metformin in SARS-CoV-2 permissive cells.

We observed metformin's potent and impressive effect on lung as well as gut cells,

suggesting the possibility that other tissues where SARS-CoV-2 may infect would

also respond to the drug similarly (51–54).

We speculate that the loss in infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 by metformin could also be

an outcome of altered lipid metabolism mediated by AMPK. AMPK affects cellular

lipid levels through a number of its substrates, such as ACC and SREBP1. AMPK

also regulates macromolecular metabolism, mitochondrial homeostasis, autophagy

as well as apoptosis. As its role is multifaceted and vital for maintaining energy

levels, it has been reported to play key roles in many virus infections. Multiple reports

show that AMPK activation can be either detrimental or beneficial for virus survival

and propagation (23). Our results using AICAR and CC in SARS-CoV-2 infection

implies an unfavorable/antiviral environment for the virus when AMPK is activated. In

this context, it is interesting to note that N protein was unaffected during

pharmacological activation of AMPK unlike the viral RNA and infectious titer,

indicating that viral protein translation may not be inhibited during the treatments.

AICAR is an AMP analog that when phosphorylated, binds to the AMPK γ subunit.

Accumulation of AICAR in the cytosol mimics increased AMP levels, skewing the

AMP/ATP ratio thereby activating AMPK (11). Although a more specific activator of

AMPK than metformin, AICAR does not necessarily have therapeutic potential, and

hence we didn't replicate all metformin experiments with AICAR. Compound C, or

Dorsomorphin, is a largely-selective small molecule AMPK inhibitor that was picked

up from a kinase inhibitor screen, for delineating the mechanism of action of

metformin (9). Since we observed AMPK activation after 24 hours of infection, we

wanted to inhibit AMPK when it is active. In our study, AMPK activation using AICAR

reiterated the results of metformin albeit to a lower extent, while Compound C

exhibited the opposite effect to metformin. These results suggest that although

AMPK plays a pertinent role in bringing about the effects of metformin, there are

other pathways involved in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2.

CONCLUSION

Metformin suppresses SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro* and this data indicates both therapeutic

and prophylactic potential for metformin in the management of COVID-19. AICAR

and Compound C results explain AMPK's partial role in mediating metformin's

antiviral effect.

Institutional biosafety

Institutional biosafety clearance was obtained for the experiments pertaining to

SARS-CoV-2.

Consent for publication

All authors agree to publish the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The work was supported by the internal funding from CSIR-CCMB.

Author contributions

H.P. performed treatments, infections, quantification and immunoblotting. D.N.

performed qRT-PCR experiments. A.H.S. performed immunoblotting. H.P. and

K.H.H. conceptualized the study and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We thank Divya Gupta, Vishal Sah, Sai Poojitha, and Prangya Paramita Sahoo for

their help with generation of virus and for conducting experiments. We specially

thank Mohan Singh Moodu and Amit Kumar for their assistance with logistics.

REFERENCES

1. Gómez CE, Perdiguero B, Esteban M. Emerging SARS-CoV-2 Variants and
   Impact in Global Vaccination Programs against SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19.
   Vaccines [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1;9(3):1–13. Available from:
   /pmc/articles/PMC7999234/

2. Antonelli M, Pujol JC, Spector TD, Ourselin S, Steves CJ. Risk of long COVID
   associated with delta versus omicron variants of SARS-CoV-2. Lancet
   [Internet]. 2022 Jun 18;399(10343):2263–4. Available from:
   http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673622009412/fulltext

3. Sanyaolu A, Okorie C, Marinkovic A, Patidar R, Younis K, Desai P, et al.
   Comorbidity and its Impact on Patients with COVID-19. Sn Compr Clin Med
   [Internet]. 2020 Aug ;2(8):1. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7314621/

4. Pietsch H, Escher F, Aleshcheva G, Baumeier C, Morawietz L, Elsaesser A, et
   al. Proof of SARS-CoV-2 genomes in endomyocardial biopsy with latency after
   acute infection. Int J Infect Dis. 2021 Jan 1;102:70–2.

5. Davis HE, Assaf GS, McCorkell L, Wei H, Low RJ, Re'em Y, et al.
   Characterizing long COVID in an international cohort: 7 months of symptoms
   and their impact. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Aug 1;38:101019.

6.   Baang JH, Smith C, Mirabelli C, Valesano AL, Manthei DM, Bachman MA, et

al. Prolonged Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Replication

in an Immunocompromised Patient. J Infect Dis [Internet]. 2021 Jan

1;223(1):23–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33089317/

7.   Natarajan A, Zlitni S, Brooks EF, Vance SE, Dahlen A, Hedlin H, et al.

Gastrointestinal symptoms and fecal shedding of SARS-CoV-2 RNA suggest

prolonged gastrointestinal infection. Med. 2022 Jun 10;3(6):371-387.e9.

8.   Davidson MB, Peters AL. An overview of metformin in the treatment of type 2

diabetes mellitus. Vol. 102, American Journal of Medicine. 1997. p. 99–110.

9.   Zhou G, Myers R, Li Y, Chen Y, Shen X, Fenyk-Melody J, et al. Role of AMP-

activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. J Clin Invest

[Internet]. 2001 Oct 15 ;108(8):1167–74. Available from: http://www.jci.org.

10.   Herzig S, Shaw RJ. AMPK: Guardian of metabolism and mitochondrial

homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2018;19(2):121–35. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.95

11.   Kim J, Yang G, Kim Y, Kim J, Ha J. AMPK activators: mechanisms of action

and physiological activities. Exp Mol Med 2016 484 [Internet]. 2016 Apr

1;48(4):e224–e224. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/emm201616

12.   Bramante CT, Ingraham NE, Murray TA, Marmor S, Hovertsen S, Gronski J, et

al. Metformin and risk of mortality in patients hospitalised with COVID-19: a

retrospective cohort analysis. Lancet Heal Longev [Internet]. 2021;2(1):e34–

41. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(20)30033-7

13. Dardano A, Del Prato S. Metformin: an inexpensive and effective treatment in

people with diabetes and COVID-19? Lancet Heal Longev [Internet].

2021;2(1):e6–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2666-

7568(20)30047-7

14. Ibrahim S, Lowe JR, Bramante CT, Shah S, Klatt NR, Sherwood N, et al.

Metformin and Covid-19: Focused Review of Mechanisms and Current

Literature Suggesting Benefit. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021 Jul 22;0:625.

15. Zangiabadian M, Nejadghaderi SA, Zahmatkesh MM, Hajikhani B, Mirsaeidi M,

Nasiri MJ. The Efficacy and Potential Mechanisms of Metformin in the

Treatment of COVID-19 in the Diabetics: A Systematic Review. Front

Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12(March):1–9.

16. L Z, ZG S, X C, JJ Q, XJ Z, J C, et al. Association of Blood Glucose Control

and Outcomes in Patients with COVID-19 and Pre-existing Type 2 Diabetes.

Cell Metab [Internet]. 2020 Jun 2;31(6):1068-1077.e3. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32369736/

17. Marmor S, Bramante CT, Ingraham NE, Murray TA, Marmor S, Hovertsen S,

et al. Metformin and risk of mortality in patients hospitalised with COVID-19: a

retrospective cohort analysis. Artic Lancet Heal Longev [Internet]. 2021;2:34–

41. Available from: www.thelancet.com/

18. Chen X, Guo H, Qiu L, Zhang C, Deng Q, Leng Q. Immunomodulatory and

Antiviral Activity of Metformin and Its Potential Implications in Treating

Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Lung Injury. Front Immunol. 2020 Aug

18;0:2056.

19.   Chen Y, Chen L, Deng Q, Zhang G, Wu K, Ni L, et al. The presence of SARS-

CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol [Internet]. 2020 Jul

1;92(7):833–40. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32243607/

20.   Lamers MM, Beumer J, Vaart J Van Der, Knoops K, Puschhof J, Breugem TI,

et al. SARS-CoV-2 productively infects human gut enterocytes. Science (80-)

[Internet]. 2020 Jul 3;369(6499):50–4. Available from:

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abc1669

21.   Gupta D, Parthasarathy H, Sah V, Tandel D, Vedagiri D, Reddy S, et al.

Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 by β-propiolactone causes aggregation of viral

particles and loss of antigenic potential. Virus Res [Internet]. 2021 Nov

1;305:198555. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168170221002628

22.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

image analysis. Nat Methods 2012 97 [Internet]. 2012 Jun 28;9(7):671–5.

Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.2089

23.   Bhutta MS, Gallo ES, Borenstein R. Multifaceted Role of AMPK in Viral

Infections. Cells [Internet]. 2021;10(5). Available from:

/pmc/articles/PMC8148118/

24.   Tsai H-H, Lai H-Y, Chen Y-C, Li C-F, Huang H-S, Liu H-S, et al. Metformin

promotes apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma through the CEBPD-induced

autophagy pathway. Oncotarget [Internet]. 2017 Jan 13;8(8):13832–45.

Available from: https://www.oncotarget.com/article/14640/text/

25.   Cauchy F, Mebarki M, Leporq B, Laouirem S, Albuquerque M, Lambert S, et

al. Strong antineoplastic effects of metformin in preclinical models of liver

carcinogenesis. Clin Sci (Lond) [Internet]. 2017;131(1):27–36. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27803295/

26.   Alhourani AH, Tidwell TR, Bokil AA, Røsland G V., Tronstad KJ, Søreide K, et

al. Metformin treatment response is dependent on glucose growth conditions

and metabolic phenotype in colorectal cancer cells. Sci Reports 2021 111

[Internet]. 2021 May 18;11(1):1–10. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-89861-6

27.   Sanchez-Rangel E, Inzucchi SE. Metformin: clinical use in type 2 diabetes.

Diabetologia [Internet]. 2017 Sep 1;60(9):1586–93. Available from:

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00125-017-4336-x

28.   Fernandes-Siqueira LO, Zeidler JD, Sousa BG, Ferreira T, Da Poian AT.

Anaplerotic Role of Glucose in the Oxidation of Endogenous Fatty Acids during

Dengue Virus Infection. mSphere [Internet]. 2018 Feb 28;3(1). Available from:

https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mSphere.00458-17

29.   Shen C, Ka SO, Kim SJ, Kim JH, Park BH, Park JH. Metformin and AICAR

regulate NANOG expression via the JNK pathway in HepG2 cells

independently of AMPK. Tumor Biol 2016 378 [Internet]. 2016 Mar

3;37(8):11199–208. Available from:

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13277-016-5007-0

30.   Quan HY, Kim DY, Chung SH. Caffeine attenuates lipid accumulation via

activation of AMP-activated protein kinase signaling pathway in HepG2 cells.

BMB Rep [Internet]. 2013;46(4):207–12. Available from: http://dx.

31.   Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of

patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet

[Internet]. 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):497–506. Available from:

http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673620301835/fulltext

32.   Crouse AB, Grimes T, Li P, Might M, Ovalle F, Shalev A. METFORMIN USE IS

ASSOCIATED WITH REDUCED MORTALITY IN A DIVERSE POPULATION

WITH COVID-19 AND DIABETES. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020 Jul

31;2020.07.29.20164020. Available from:

https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.29.20164020v1

33.   P L, L Q, Y L, XL L, JL Z, HY X, et al. Metformin Treatment Was Associated

with Decreased Mortality in COVID-19 Patients with Diabetes in a

Retrospective Analysis. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2020 Jul 1;103(1):69–

72. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32446312/

34.   Lui DTW, Tan KCB. Is metformin a miracle or a menace in COVID-19 patients

with type 2 diabetes? J Diabetes Investig [Internet]. 2021 Apr 1;12(4):479–81.

Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/jdi.13484

35.   Pernicova I, Korbonits M. Metformin—mode of action and clinical implications

for diabetes and cancer. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2014 103 [Internet]. 2014 Jan

7;10(3):143–56. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/nrendo.2013.256

36.   Rena G, Hardie DG, Pearson ER. The mechanisms of action of metformin.

Diabetologia. 2017;60(9):1577–85.

37.   Pereira-Dutra FS, Teixeira L, Costa MF de S, Bozza PT. Fat, fight, and

beyond: The multiple roles of lipid droplets in infections and inflammation. J

Leukoc Biol [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1;106(3):563–80. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/JLB.4MR0119-035R

38. Herker E, Ott M. Emerging Role of Lipid Droplets in Host/Pathogen

Interactions. J Biol Chem. 2012 Jan 1;287(4):2280–7.

39. Syed GH, Siddiqui A. Effects of hypolipidemic agent nordihydroguaiaretic acid

on lipid droplets and Hepatitis C virus. Hepatology [Internet]. 2011

Dec;54(6):1936. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3236615/

40. Széles L, Töröcsik D, Nagy L. PPARγ in immunity and inflammation: cell types

and diseases. Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Biol Lipids. 2007 Aug

1;1771(8):1014–30.

41. MM S, JA M, NG I, I A-M, G B-L, AT DP, et al. Dengue virus capsid protein

usurps lipid droplets for viral particle formation. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 2009

Oct;5(10). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19851456/

42. Y M, K A, N U, K W, T H, M Z, et al. The lipid droplet is an important organelle

for hepatitis C virus production. Nat Cell Biol [Internet]. 2007 Sep;9(9):1089–

97. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17721513/

43. PS S, N L, GM J, PP S, T Z, DL S, et al. Comparative Flavivirus-Host Protein

Interaction Mapping Reveals Mechanisms of Dengue and Zika Virus

Pathogenesis. Cell [Internet]. 2018 Dec 13;175(7):1931-1945.e18. Available

from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30550790/

44. S B, P T-A, J M. Disrupting the association of hepatitis C virus core protein

with lipid droplets correlates with a loss in production of infectious virus. J Gen

Virol [Internet]. 2007 Aug;88(Pt 8):2204–13. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17622624/

45. Doerflinger SY, Cortese M, Romero-Brey I, Menne Z, Tubiana T, Schenk C, et

al. Membrane alterations induced by nonstructural proteins of human

norovirus. PLOS Pathog [Internet]. 2017 Oct 1;13(10):e1006705. Available

from:

https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article?id=10.1371/journal.ppat.100670

5

46. Coffey CM, Sheh A, Kim IS, Chandran K, Nibert ML, Parker JSL. Reovirus

Outer Capsid Protein µ1 Induces Apoptosis and Associates with Lipid

Droplets, Endoplasmic Reticulum, and Mitochondria. J Virol [Internet]. 2006

Sep;80(17):8422. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC1563861/

47. W C, M G, A E, CF K, N C, S C, et al. Rotaviruses associate with cellular lipid

droplet components to replicate in viroplasms, and compounds disrupting or

blocking lipid droplets inhibit viroplasm formation and viral replication. J Virol

[Internet]. 2010 Jul;84(13):6782–98. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20335253/

48. Monson EA, Crosse KM, Das M, Helbig KJ. Lipid droplet density alters the

early innate immune response to viral infection. PLoS One [Internet]. 2018 Jan

1;13(1):e0190597. Available from:

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0190597

49. Dias SSG, Soares VC, Ferreira AC, Sacramento CQ, Fintelman-Rodrigues N,

Temerozo JR, et al. Lipid droplets fuel SARS-CoV-2 replication and production

of inflammatory mediators. PLOS Pathog [Internet]. 2020 Dec

16;16(12):e1009127. Available from:

https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article?id=10.1371/journal.ppat.100912

50. Proal AD, VanElzakker MB. Long COVID or Post-acute Sequelae of COVID-19

(PASC): An Overview of Biological Factors That May Contribute to Persistent

Symptoms. Front Microbiol. 2021 Jun 23;12:1494.

51. Chu H, Chan JF-W, Yuen TT-T, Shuai H, Yuan S, Wang Y, et al. Comparative

tropism, replication kinetics, and cell damage profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and

SARS-CoV with implications for clinical manifestations, transmissibility, and

laboratory studies of COVID-19: an observational study. The Lancet Microbe

[Internet]. 2020 May;1(1):e14–23. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32835326/

52. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S,

et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is

Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020;181(2):271-

280.e8.

53. Foretz M, Guigas B, Bertrand L, Pollak M, Viollet B. Metformin: From

Mechanisms of Action to Therapies. Cell Metab [Internet]. 2014 Dec

2;20(6):953–66. Available from:

http://www.cell.com/article/S1550413114004410/fulltext

54. Bojkova D, Klann K, Koch B, Widera M, Krause D, Ciesek S, et al. Proteomics

of SARS-CoV-2-infected host cells reveals therapy targets. Nature [Internet].

2020;583(7816):469–72. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-

2332-7

LEGENDS

Figure 1. SARS-COV-2 infection induces AMPK phosphorylation.

(A) Immunoblots analyzing the phosphorylation of AMPK in SARS-CoV-2 infected

Caco2 cells for early (1, 2, 6, and 12 h) and late (24, 48, 72, and 96 h) time points.

Cells infected with 1 MOI of SARS-CoV-2 were harvested at various time intervals

post-infection and analyzed by immunoblotting. **(B)** Quantitative representation of

AMPK phosphorylation from three independent replicates. Densitometric values of

phospho-AMPK bands were normalized against those of total-AMPK expression and

GAPDH belonging to the corresponding samples and the values were plotted

graphically.

Figure 2. Metformin pre-treatment inhibits SARS-CoV-2.

(A) Schematic of the experimental set up for pre-treatment. Cells were infected with

1 MOI SARS-CoV-2 24 h after 10 mM metformin treatment. The vehicle control cells

were also infected with the virus in parallel. **(B)** Immunoblots from Calu3 cells

confirming AMPK phosphorylation by metformin or vehicle treatment, and SARS-

CoV-2 infection. **(C)** Densitometric quantification of AMPK phosphorylation in

infected cells. **(D)** SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels in the supernatant of metformin treated

samples, measured by qRT-PCR of E gene. Relative fold change in the E levels

between metformin and vehicle treated samples is depicted. **(E)** Relative fold change

in the infectious viral titers of SARS-CoV-2 in metformin treated samples compared

against that treated with vehicle, represented as fold change in PFU/mL. Similar

experiments were carried out in Caco2 cells, with immunoblots representing AMPK

phosphorylation **(F)**, its quantification **(G)**, viral RNA **(H)** and infectious units **(I)**.

Graphs indicate mean ± SEM, indicating the three biological replicates.

Figure 3. Metformin suppresses SARS-CoV-2 replication in the infected cells.

(A) Schematic of the post-infection treatment by metformin. Cells were infected with

1 MOI SARS-CoV-2 for 3 hours, followed by 21 h of metformin treatment before

harvesting at 24 hpi. Vehicle treated cells were infected in parallel. **(B)** Confirmation

of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Calu3 cells and AMPK phosphorylation by

immunoblotting. **(C)** Densitometric analysis of AMPK phosphorylation in the treated,

infected cells. **(D)** Relative fold change in SARS-CoV-2 E gene measured by qRT-

PCR in the supernatants of metformin treated cells compared with the those treated

with vehicle. **(E)** Relative SARS-CoV-2 infectious titers of the supernatant from

samples treated with metformin represented as fold change in PFU/mL. Similar

experiments were performed in Caco2 cells. Confirmatory immunoblots **(F)**,

quantification of AMPK phosphorylation **(G)**, RNA levels in the supernatant **(H)**, and

infectious titers from vehicle and treated cells **(I)**, are represented. Graphs indicate

mean ± SEM, indicating the three biological replicates.

Figure 4. Metformin treatment inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication in a dose-

dependent manner. (A) Immunoblots confirming the infection and AMPK

phosphorylation following the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infected Calu3 cells with

metformin at the doses described above the panel. **(B)** Relative AMPK

phosphorylation in the samples treated with metformin. The graph was generated

from the densitometric analysis of the immunoblots. **(C)** Relative abundance of N

quantified from the immunoblots from the panel (A). **(D)** Relative fold change in

SARS-CoV-2 E gene measured by qRT-PCR in the supernatants of metformin

treated cells compared with the those treated with vehicle. **(E)** Relative SARS-CoV-2

infectious titers of the supernatant from samples treated with metformin represented

as fold change in PFU/mL. **(F)** Calculation of IC50 and IC90 for metformin on SARS-

CoV-2 replication measured by plotting E gene levels present in the supernatants of

the samples treated with the respective concentrations of metformin. **(G)**

Measurement of TCID50 and TCID90 for metformin on SARS-CoV-2 infectious virus

particle production. PFU/mL data for the individual samples treated with the specific

concentrations were plotted in the graph to calculate the respective values. **(H)**

Immunoblots confirming infection and AMPK phosphorylation in SARS-CoV-2

infected Caco2 cells treated with metformin at the doses mentioned. **(I)** Relative

AMPK phosphorylation in the metformin treated samples. **(J)** Relative abundance of

N quantified from the immunoblots from (H). **(K)** Relative fold change in SARS-CoV-

2 E gene measured by qRT-PCR in the supernatants of metformin treated cells

compared with vehicle control. **(L)** Relative SARS-CoV-2 infectious titers from

varying metformin treatments **(M)** IC50 and IC90 from SARS-CoV-2 E gene levels

present in the supernatants. **(N)** TCID50 and TCID90 from data depicted in (L).

Graphs indicate mean ± SEM, indicating the three biological replicates.

Figure 5. AMPK activation is beneficial to the host against SARS-CoV-2. (A)

Schematic of the treatment of Caco2 cells with AICAR and infection by SARS-CoV-

2. **(B)** Immunoblots depicting AMPK phosphorylation and levels, and confirming

infection. **(C)** Relative AMPK phosphorylation from AICAR-treated, infected cells. **(D)**

Relative infectious titers of SARS-CoV-2 in samples that underwent pre-treatment

with AICAR, as against the vehicle. **(E)** Schematic of the treatment of SARS-CoV-2

infected Caco2 cells with CC. **(F)** Immunoblot confirmation of the infection and

inhibition of AMPK activity. **(G)** Quantification of AMPK phosphorylation in CC

treated, infected samples. **(H)** Relative infectious titers of SARS-CoV-2 in samples

627   that underwent CC-treatment, as against the vehicle, DMSO. Graphs indicate mean

628   ± SEM, indicating the three biological replicates.

647 **FIGURES**

648 **Figure 1**

 Figure 2

 Figure 3

Figure 4

 Figure 4 continued

665 **Figure 5**

666