Evasion of Toll-like Receptor Recognition by *Escherichia coli* is mediated via

Population Level Regulation of Flagellin Production

Short Title: TLR5 Evasion by Uropathogenic *E. coli*

Author Names: M Lanz[1,*], C Birchall[1,*], L Drage[1,*], D Picton[1,*], C Mowbray[1,*], Q Alsenani[1,*],

A. Tan[1], A Ali[1&2], C Harding[2,3], R Pickard[2,3†], J Hall[1,§], PD Aldridge[1,§]

Addresses:

1. Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, UK
2. Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, UK
3. Urology Department, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS
Foundation Trust, UK

* Contributed equally

† Died 2018

§ co-corresponding authors

Key words: UTI; TLR5; UPEC; Flagellin

Corresponding author for contact:

Phillip D Aldridge

phillip.aldridge@ncl.ac.uk

Biosciences Institute, Faculty Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, UK

Abstract (300)

Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) is a major cause of urinary tract infections. Analysis of the innate immune response in immortalised urothelial cells suggests that the bacterial flagellar subunit, flagellin, is key in inducing host defences. A panel of 40 clinical uro-associated *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered from either asymptomatic bacteruria (ASB), cystitis or pyelonephritis patients, were characterised for motility and their ability to induce an innate response in urothelial cells stably transfected with a NFκB luciferase reporter. Twenty-four isolates (60%) were identified as motile with strains recovered from cystitis patients exhibiting a bipolar motility distribution pattern (P < 0.005) and associated with a 2-5 fold increase in NFκB signalling. Although two isolates were associated with swarm sizes of >7 cm and NFκB activities of >30 fold (P = 0.029), data overall suggested bacterial motility and the NFκB signalling response were not directly correlated. To explore whether the signalling response reflected antigenic variation flagellin was purified from 11 different isolates and the urothelial cell challenges repeated. Purified flagellin filaments generated comparable (30.4±1.8 to 46.1±2.5 fold, P = NS) NFκB signalling responses, irrespective of either the source of the isolate or H-serotype. These data argued against any variability between isolates being related to flagellin itself. To determine the roles, if any, of flagellar abundance in inducing these responses flagellar hook numbers of a range of cystitis and ABU isolates were quantified using a plasmid encoded flagellar hook gene *flgEA240C*. Foci data suggested isolates were averaging between 1 and 2 flagella per cell, while only 10 to 60% each isolates population exhibited foci. These data suggested selective pressures exist in the urinary tract that allow uro-associated *E. coli* strains to maintain motility exploiting population heterogeneity to prevent host TLR5 recognition.

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most common bacterial infections suffered by individuals of all ages. They affect an estimated 150 million people worldwide including children, young adults and older populations (1). Infections are often painful and debilitating, associated with a wide range of pathogens, but the majority (70-80%) link to the bacterial uropathogen *Escherichia coli* (2). Regardless of the uropathogen, treatment options remain limited with antibiotics being the first choice therapeutic. Treatment consequences, namely multi-drug resistant bacteria, often underpin persistent or rUTIs and has driven the urologic community to work collaboratively to adopt antibiotic stewardship programmes (3)

Research to date suggests UTIs link to genotypic and phenotypic variation in both the host and the uropathogen (4,5). At present it is assumed that the relationship between an individual's susceptibility and bacterial virulence determines the balance between tolerance of invading pathogens and the mounting of an immune response, which in turn dictates the course of infection and subsequent recurrence (6-8). *Escherichia coli* reside naturally in the gastrointestinal tract, but are able to migrate from the anus, colonise the vaginal and periurethral areas, then ascend to the bladder causing asymptomatic infection (ABU) or acute cystitis (5). However, our understanding of the associated host-microbe interactions is compounded by the observation that the same or related strains can lead to both symptomatic UTI and ABU. One outcome is that ABU patients, particularly the elderly, are often given antibiotics without justification due to clinical uncertainty (1).

While UPEC harbour a large array of virulence determinants, the ability to cause disease is dependent on the ability of the bacterium to ascend the urinary tract through adherence (fimbriae driven) and flagella-based motility (2). Moreover *in vivo* studies using genetically

engineered UPEC strains and mice UTI models support flagella as being a key factor in the aetiology of an UTI (9-11). The bacterial flagellum is a macromolecular, self-assembling nano-machine whose genetics, assembly process and mechanisms of action during host-microbe interactions are well-documented (12-17). *E. coli* is known to produce 2-8 flagella per cell arranged peritrichously across the cell surface and, is characterised genetically, by approximately 60 flagellar genes organised into three loci: *flg*, *flh* and *fli* that function to orchestrate flagellar assembly and rotation (18). Evidence supports flagellar assembly and function to be coupled to flagellar gene expression by a complex transcriptional hierarchy (19). Additionally, tight control of flagellar gene expression enables *E. coli* to efficiently pass-through ON/OFF phases of motility that can be exploited and used advantageously during host-microbe interactions (20).

In humans, uropathogens such as UPEC are sensed via TLR5 receptors, which detect flagellin: the major subunit of the flagellum filament (21). TLR5 activation results in the rapid release of urothelial host defence agents including cytokines and defensins that function individually or collectively to kill potential uropathogens (22,23). However, using urine and employing *in vitro* chemotaxis assays Herrmann and Burman (1985) reported that only 68% (19/28) of *E. coli* isolates associated with cystitis, or an UTI, were motile (24). Yet, there is strong evidence to support uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC) exploiting flagellar-mediated movement to establish the initial ascending colonisation of the bladder from the urethra (11,25). Lane et al (2005) and Wright et al (2005) both concluded that motility provided UPEC a competitive advantage over non-motile UPEC strains in establishing an UTI in murine models. A key challenge therefore is to understand what triggers potential uropathogenic bacteria to regulate their motility, ascend the urethra and infect the bladder.

102 Using clinically derived uro-associated *E. coli* isolates data are presented suggesting a

103 regulatory mechanism linked to population heterogeneity that maintains motility within a

104 bacterial population, but at levels below a threshold required for innate immune recognition.

Results

Uro-associated E. coli motility and the urothelial innate response.

Forty uro-associated *E. coli* isolates were curated from patients presenting with either cystitis, pyelonephritis, asymptomatic bacteriuria (ABU) or UTI-associated bacteraemia. Semi-quantitative agar assays measuring the size of a bacterial swarm after 8 hrs (Figure 1A) were used to assess motility of these isolates and 24 (60%) were identified as motile (Fig 1A & B, Table S1). In general, the swarms of isolates recovered from ABU patients measured between 0.8 and 5.4 cm, while cystitis strains exhibited a bipolar motility distribution pattern with strains swarming less (n=6) or greater (n=4) than 5.4 cm respectively (Fig 1B; P < 0.005).

The impact of bacterial motility on the urothelial innate response was assessed *in vitro* using heat-killed isolates (1 x 10^5 CFU/ml) and bladder RT4 cells stably transfected with a NFκB luciferase reporter (26). Following these challenges 33 (83%) of all the isolates were associated with a 2-5 fold increase in NFκB signalling (Fig 1B & C), with 7 of the motile isolates associated with increases of >5-fold. Two isolates recovered from cystitis patients were associated with NFκB activities of >30 fold (Fig 1C; P = 0.029) and swarm sizes of >7 cm (Fig 1B). These data suggested that bacterial motility linked to a NFκB signalling response, but that the two were not directly correlated.

Urothelial responses to flagellins prepared from uro-associated E. coli isolates

UTIs are ascending infections and urothelial cells respond to potential uropathogens via flagellin detection, TLR5 signalling and the release of antimicrobial killing and pro-

inflammatory agents (26). TLR5 proteins recognise a conserved motif found in the majority

of flagellins (27), which in *E. coli* is referred to as the H-antigen with to date 53 flagellin or

H-serotypes being identified (28) (Table S1). To explore whether the signalling response

reflected antigenic variation flagellin was purified from 11 different uro-associated *E. coli*

isolates (Table S1: Lab IDs PYL3398-PYL3424) and the urothelial cell challenges repeated

(Fig 2A). Purified flagellin filaments generated robust and comparable (30.4±1.8 to 46.1±2.5

fold, P = NS) NFκB signalling responses, irrespective of either the source of the isolate or

H-serotype. These data argued against any variability between isolates being related to

flagellin itself.

TLR-signalling activates a complex signalling cascade that leads to the degradation of IκBα

and NFκB release that activates gene expression (29). Smith et al (2003) using transfected

Chinese hamster ovary cells, showed TLR5 recognition of flagellin to be dose-dependent

(30). A dose-dependent response to flagellin, measured through IκBα protein levels and

NFκB induction was also observed in RT4 urothelial cells (Fig 2B and C). Interestingly, using

purified flagelllin from *E. coli* UTI3408 experiments suggested a peak response at

approximately 2.5 ng/ml.

Urothelial responses to outer membranes prepared from uro-associated E. coli isolates

Data from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, both murine and clinical, suggest TLR4 signalling as

well as TLR5 impacts UTI host-microbe interactions (21,31-33). TLR4 recognises

lipopolysaccharide, the major outer membrane (OM) component of Gram-negative bacterial

species such as UPEC (34). Therefore, the potential roles of TLR4 and LPS were explored

to help explain the differing NFκB signalling responses observed during whole bacterial cell

challenges. Outer membrane preparations of four clinical isolates (3 motile [PYL3398,

UTI3408 and UTI3412] (Table S1) and 1 non-motile [ABU3416]) and two control strains

NCTC10418 and CFT073, were used to challenge RT4 (TLR+) cells and RT4 cells where

either TLR4 or TLR5 expression had been inhibited by siRNA knockdown (Fig 2D). The

innate response was determined through measurement of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-

8. Data presented in Fig 2D showed that the IL-8 responses to flagellin (50 ng/ml) and whole

bacterial cell challenges were significantly reduced in the TLR5^{siRNA} cells (P = 0.0013). While

a two-fold reduction in IL8 was observed in the NCTC14028 challenged TLR4^{siRNA} cells.

This decrease was not significant and not mirrored in either the flagellin or CFT073

challenges. Challenges with OM sample preparations supported reduced IL-8

concentrations overall, but again a significant reduction was detected only in the TLR5

silenced cells (Fig 2D P < 0.001). While these data suggested the OM preparations maybe

contaminated with flagellin they also supported minor roles for LPS and TLR4 in the RT4

bladder cell innate response to an acute infection.

Correlating urothelial responses to flagellar abundance amongst uro-associated E. coli

isolates

Data in Fig 1 and 2 indicated that flagellin isolated from a range of uro-associated *E. coli*

induced an innate response as shown by NFκB signalling and effector (IL-8) responses. To

determine the roles, if any, of flagellar abundance in inducing these responses flagellar hook

numbers of cystitis (5), ABU (10) and PYL (1) isolates were quantified (Fig 3A) using the

plasmid encoded flagellar hook gene *flgEA240C* (20). The control *E. coli* strain NCTC10418

averaged 2.5 foci per cell, while foci data suggested the clinical isolates and CFT073 were

averaging between 1 and 2 flagella per cell (P = 0.148) (Fig 3B, x-axis).

However, only 10 to 60% of the CFT073 and clinical isolate cell populations exhibited

FlgEA240C foci compared to 80% of the NCTC10418 cell population (Fig 3B, y-axis).

Moreover, using NCTC10418 as the control and exploiting IL-8 concentrations resulting from

RT4 urothelial cells challenge experiments, identified a link between flagellar numbers and

the host response (Fig 3C P = 0.013). These data suggested selective pressures exist in

the urinary tract that allow uro-associated *E. coli* strains to maintain motility but exploit

population heterogeneity to prevent host TLR5 recognition and bacterial killing.

The flagellar system is regulated at the expression level by a transcriptional hierarchy

controlled by the master transcriptional regulatory $FlhD_4C_2$ (35). $FlhD_4C_2$ levels are sensitive

to a wide range of regulatory mechanisms that include transcription, translation and protein

stability (36-39). Population data (Fig 3B) suggested that the number of flagella observed

linked to reduced flagellar gene expression. To examine this further a high copy number

plasmid encoding *flhDC* was transformed into the control strain NCTC10418 and UTI3408

(25% Fla+; 1.66 Fla/cell; 0.19 relative IL-8 production: Table S1). RT4 urothelial cell

challenge experiments performed using these transformed strains showed that increasing

flhDC expression supported a two-fold increase in IL-8 concentrations for UTI3408

compared to no change for NCTC10418 (Fig 3D).

Discussion

Motility is a well-recognised pathogenicity, virulence and/or colonisation factor for a wide range of bacterial species including uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC) (40). However, motility links to flagellin production, which is the bacterial ligand for the mammalian host receptor TLR5. TLR5 activation releases host bacterial killing agents including cytokines and antimicrobial agents that facilitate bacterial killing, and clearance from potential colonisation and/or infection sites (21,26,30). Data from this study exploiting clinical isolates associated with UTIs suggest that uro-associated *E. coli* exploit population heterogeneity to maintain motility, but prevent the TLR5-dependent activation of the host innate immune response (41). Essentially these bacterial populations manipulate their flagellar production so they can survive and/or colonise the urinary tract, but remain under the host radar. It has been reported that *E. coli* swims efficiently with only one flagellum per cell (42) and using a FlgEA240C foci labelling approach uro-associated clinical isolates and the UPEC model strain CFT073 cultured *in vitro* were characterised by 1 to 2 flagella per cell (Fig 3). Together these data support *E. coli* motility and survivability within the lower urinary tract environment. However, once motility is enhanced through increased flagellar production, modelled *in vitro* using strain 3408 (Fig 3D), these microbes become visible to urothelial cell TLRs. Detection is associated with the release of a plethora of host defence molecules, shown here in these studies by elevated IL-8 concentrations (Fig 3D).

Flagellar systems have been shown to be subject to multiple regulatory controls (43). For example, *Salmonella enterica* generates a bipolar Fla$^-$/Fla$^+$ population in response to either nutritional and/or cell envelope stresses (44) while *Caulobacter crescentus* divides asymmetrically to produce one motile cell each division, ensuring a subpopulation of motile progeny within a growing population (45). While urine is a medium that sustains microbial

growth, it is well recognised to be nutritionally weak when compared to normal laboratory

growth conditions (46). Therefore, it could be argued that uro-associated *E. coli* completely

switching off flagellin synthesis to evade TLR5 recognition and the host immune response

is not compatible with its survival. However, exploiting population heterogeneity to regulate

environmental flagellin concentrations ensures microbial survivability and potentially host

colonisation. Additionally, the concept that immune evasion i.e. host TLR5 recognition of

flagellin proteins drives uro-associated *E. coli* to downregulate flagellar production may help

unravel the pathogenesis of asymptomatic bacteria, defined as the presence of bacteria in

the urinary tract without inflammatory symptoms.

It was interesting, however, that following *in vitro* culture the motility and NFκB observations

(Fig 2B) did not differentiate between the ASB and cystitis strains. During active UTIs in

humans it has been reported that infecting bacteria need to divide rapidly to survive the host

innate response. Doubling times have been estimated to be between 17 to 34 minutes and

averaging 22 minutes (47). This rapid growth response characterised by upregulation of

UPEC translational machinery results in high cell densities that are orchestrated to both

overwhelm and escape the host defences (48). The latter is supported by growth

experiments using steady state chemostat cultures where faster growing *E. coli* produce

more flagella (Sim 2017).

However, a key question relates to the cues in the urogenital tract that trigger increased

growth and UPEC infections. It is generally accepted that low nutrient conditions up-regulate

E. coli flagellar synthesis via activation of the *flhDC* operon (49) although other signals

including urine osmolality and pH cannot be ignored (50). Studies in *Salmonella* grown in

low nutrient conditions have shown that non flagellar regulators such as RflP, a regulator

that modulates ClpXP recognition of FlhD$_4$C$_2$, can impact the master regulator FlhD$_4$C$_2$

activity and hence flagellin synthesis (39,44,49,51). Whether comparable regulators function

to trigger flagellar growth in uro-associated *E.coli* is not known although NarL, ModE, Metj,

GadE and YdeO, all sensors of environmental cues, have been identified as playing

potential roles in infection-specific UPEC gene expression (48).

Population heterogeneity is not an original concept and has been shown to be exploited by

a number of bacterial species to retain a selective advantage particularly during growth in

specific environmental niches (41,52,53). However, its exploitation by uro-associated *E. coli*

to regulate flagellin synthesis and avoid the host defences is novel. This study was not

designed to identify the regulatory mechanisms functioning to control flagellin synthesis in

the urogenital tract, but environmental and genetic cues including population densities, urine

osmolality and electrolytes, urinary and bacterial metabolites, and pH need to be

investigated further.

Materials and Methods

Strains and General Microbiology

E. coli strains used in the study have either been previously described (26). Strains PYL3398

to ABU3710 were a kind donation from the Diagnostic Microbiology Unit at the Freeman

hospital, Newcastle NHS Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne between 2010 and 2012 (Table S1

and S2). No ethics were necessary for the use of these strains as the researchers did not

have access to clinical records and the only information provided by the unit was the type

of UTI associated with each isolate. Strains ABU4738-ABU4745 came from the clinical study

of Drage et al (2019) that was conducted under ethically approved study protocols (ref: REC-

14-NE-0026).

Strains used during this study were propagated in or on Luria-Bertani (LB) medium using

1.5% agar for plates. Incubation, unless stated otherwise, was overnight at 37°C with liquid

cultures aerated by orbital shaking at 160 rpm. All motility assays were performed by either

direct inoculation using a toothpick or inoculating 3 µl of an overnight culture onto motility

agar (1% Tryptone, 0.5% NaCl, 0.3% Agar) and incubating for 8 hours at 30°C. Images of

motility swarms were digitally captured, and the vertical and horizontal diameter measured

to generate an average swarm distance using ImageJ. All swarm assays were performed

with a minimum of three independent colonies. Transformation of the plasmids p*flhDC* or

pBAD*flgE*A240C were performed by electroporation as described previously (20). Selection

for plasmids was performed using either 100 µg/ml Ampicillin or 50 µg/ml Kanamycin.

p*flhDC* was generated by cloning a PCR product using the primers *flhD*-42FBam

[ggcggatccGGGTGCGGCTACGTCGCAC] and *flhC*+616RBam

[ggcggatccCGCTGCTGGAGTGTTTGTCC] into the high copy number vector pSE280 using

standard cloning techniques.

Isolation of Flagellin and Outer membranes

All flagellin and outer membrane (OM) preparations were based on 1 L cultures of strains

grown to an OD_{600} of 0.6-0.7. Cells were centrifuged at 3890 *g* and cell pellets resuspended

in cold 10mM HEPES pH 7.4. For flagellin isolation, cell suspensions were sheared using

an Ultra-Turrax blending stick for 2 minutes set at 13500 rpm. The same protocol was used

prior to OM isolation to reduce flagellin contamination. Blended supernatants were

centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 hour at 4°C to collect sheared flagellar filaments. The pellets

were washed by repeating this procedure three times. Pellets were resuspended in 10mM

HEPES pH 7.4 and centrifuged at 3890 *g* to improve the removal of cell debris between

each ultra-centrifuge wash step. The washed flagellin pellets were resuspended in 500 µl

10mM HEPES pH 7.4 and stored at -20°C.

For outer membrane isolation cell suspensions were lysed using a Constant Systems cell

disruptor at 23kPSI. Lysed cell suspensions were centrifuged at 12000 *g* at 4°C for 40

minutes, the supernatant layered onto a sucrose gradient and centrifuged at 56000 *g* for 36

hours at 4°C. Outer membrane fractions were resuspended and washed once in 10mM

HEPES pH7.4. The washed outer membrane fraction was collected by centrifuging at

134000 *g* for 6 hours at 4°C and the resulting pellet resuspended in 500 µl 10mmM HEPES.

The quality of preparations was assessed using standard SDS polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis.

NFκB reporter assay, IL-8 ELISA and Immunoblots

Growth conditions for the bladder RT4 cell line has been previously described [26]. All

challenges were performed using 24 well plates seeded with 500,000 cells in 500 µl, and

the cells grown until 80-90% confluent. Bladder RT4 cells were challenged in triplicate with

either isolated outer membrane preparations (100 ng/ml protein content), flagellin (0-250

ng/ml) or heat-killed whole cells at 37°C and 5% CO_2 [26]. Challenges were stopped after

24 hours, the extracellular media collected and stored at -20°C. Interleukin 8 concentrations

(pg/ml) were assayed using an eBioscience IL-8 ELISA kit following the manufacturer's

instructions. Measurement of RT4 NFκB reporter activity was as previously described [26].

For IκBα immunoblots, challenged RT4 cells were lysed in RIPA Buffer collected, quantified

using a Micro BCA protein assay kit (Thermo) and either stored at -20°C or 10 µg used for

immunoblots with an Anti-IkBa antibody (New England Biolabs) [54].

Inhibition of TLR4 and TLR5 siRNA expression

TLR4 and TLR5 knockdown experiments using RT4 cells and siRNA were performed as

described previously [55]. siRNAs used were as follows: s14196 (TLR4) and s14199 (TLR5)

and AM4611 (negative siRNA #1) as a control. All challenges were performed as described

previously and media bathing the cells analysed using an eBioscience IL-8 ELISA kit

following the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantification of Flagellar abundance

Expression of *flgEA240C* was analysed following bacterial growth at 37°C in LB media

containing 0.1% arabinose with shaking until an OD600 of 0.6 to 0.7. Staining of the cells

was performed using AlexaFluor 568 [20]. Essentially, bacterial cell suspensions were

immobilised on a 1% agarose padded microscope slide and samples analysed in triplicate

at 100x objective using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope capturing both phase

contrast (100 ms exposure) and red channel images (1000 ms exposure) at five different

fields of view. Five randomly chosen fields were analysed manually using the ImageJ cell

counter plugin generating data where n = 200 – 300 cells. Foci per cell was averaged across

the five fields of view to enumerate the level of cell flagellation, as well as the distribution of

flagella over the population.

Data Analysis and Presentation

Data and statistical analysis were performed using MS Excel including the use of ANOVA.

Images for figure panels were processed and cropped using ImageJ and imported into

Adobe illustrator for formatting. All figures were collated in Adobe illustrator to achieve the

correct resolution.

Acknowledgements

357 The authors would like to express thanks to Prof John Perry and Dr Kathy Walton of the

358 Diagnostic Microbiology Unit at the Freeman Hospital for the kind gift of *E. coli* isolates

359 used in this study. Finally, we thank Dr James Connolly for his valuable feedback on draft

360 versions of this manuscript.

Funding Information

Funding for this project has included a Newcastle University William Harker Studentship

for M.L., a BBSRC DTG Ph.D. studentship for C.B., a non-clinical PhD studentship for L.D.

provided by the NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre awarded to the Newcastle

upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University, a sponsored

studentship from University of Hail, Saudi Arabia for Q.A., a self-funded PhD thesis aided

by a Newcastle University Overseas Research Scholarship for A.T., and a Wellcome Trust

Clinical Training Fellowship for A.A.. The contribution of D.P. was a self-financed MPhil

thesis project. We are also extremely grateful for the internal Faculty of Medical Science

financial support in the form of bridge funding for C.M.

Conflict of Interests

374 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Figures and Legends

Figure 1: Motility of clinical uro-associated isolates and RT4 bladder cell NF-κB signalling. A) Motility agar assay data of a selection of clinical UPEC isolates (Table S1 and S2) showing the diverse range of phenotypes. **B)** Quantification of swarm diameter for n=3 independent colonies of each clinical isolate plotted against NFκB induction. Control strains, NCTC10418 and CFT073, and clinical isolates are colour coded. **C)** NFκB fold induction of all motile and non-motile UPEC clinical isolates. Points have been scattered left or right with respect to the x-axis for clarity.

Figure 2: NF-κB and IL-8 responses of bladder RT4 cells challenged with flagellin, bacterial outer membrane preparations and whole cells. A) NF-κB response of RT4 bladder cells challenged for 24 hours with flagellin filaments (250 ng/ml) isolated from 11 different uro-associated *E. coli* (strains PYL3398-PYL3324: Table S1). **B)** Immunoblot analysis of IκBα following dose dependent challenges of RT4 cells with flagellin filaments isolated UTI3408. **C)** Quantification of NFκB induction following dose dependent challenges of RT4 cells with flagellin filaments isolated from UTI3408. Data shown is the average of two technical repeats and 3 independent challenges. **D)** IL-8 concentrations of RT4 cell media following transfection with siRNAs targeting either TLR4 or TLR5 expression and challenging for 24 hours with either outer membrane preparations, flagella filaments (50 ng/ml) or heat killed whole bacteria.

Figure 3: Uro-associated *E. coli* population heterogeneity and UPEC evasion of the TLR5 response. A) Phase contrast and fluorescent images of FlgEA240C foci in the control strains NCTC10418 and CFT073, and two uro-associated clinical isolates. Quantification of a minimum of 250 cells per strain is shown in brackets where Fla: = average number of FlgE foci per cell and Fla+: = percentage of the population with foci. Images are chosen to show foci and may not reflect quantified numbers. **B)** Scatter plot showing average foci per cell versus flagellated population. The range of average foci per cell for all strains except NCTC10418 are within experimental error (P = 0.143). **C)** Scatter plot showing percentage of flagellated population versus relative NFκB induction (control strain NCTC10418 = 1.0). **D)** IL-8 production, presented as a ratio, following challenges of RT4 cells with NCTC10418 (p*flhDC* -ve) or UTI3408 (p*flhDC* transformed).

416 **Table S1: Motile Strains and associated data used in this study**

| Lab Strain ID | Source* | Flagellin Serotype | Average swarm diameter (cm) | Flagellar abundance § | | Reference |
				Avg Fla / cell	% Fla$^+$	
Strains used in Figure 1, 2 and 3						
2743	NCTC10418		5.78	2.35	82	(26)
3373	CFT073	H1	7.02	1.59	58	(56)
3398	PYL	H1	3.59	1.46	7	This study
3406	ABU	H18	3.06			
3408	UTI	H1	5.71	1.66	25	
3409	UTI	H5	1.87			
3411	UTI	H6	7.78			
3412	UTI	H18	1.49	1.36	27	
3414	UTI		7.39			
3415	BAC	H5	3.04			
3417	UTI	H1	2.05			
3419	ABU	H6	3.56			
3424	PYL	H18	4.98			
3425	PYL	H1	4.51			
3692	ABU		3.07			
3693	ABU		2.67	1.24	8	
3694	ABU		5.41			
3695	ABU		3.96	1.41	10	
3697	ABU		2.44	1.60	6	
3698	ABU		2.36			
3699	ABU	H4	0.77			
3701	UTI	H27	2.80	1.68	52	
3702	UTI	H4	6.97	1.52	24	
3703	UTI		1.00	1.71	10	
3704	UTI		2.10			
3710	ABU	H4	2.85			
Additional strains used in Figure 3						
4738	ABU	H5	1.58	1.67	50	(8)
4739	ABU	H1	2.41	1.62	35	
4740	ABU	H1	2.25	1.44	57	
4741	ABU	H18	2.10	1.81	11	
4742	ABU	H18	2.25	1.14	19	
4743	ABU	H5	3.67	1.62	36	
4744	ABU	H18	1.67	1.45	46	
4745	ABU	H6	2.00	1.14	7	

417 § Data shown to indicate the strains used in Figure 3
418 *PYL: Pyelonephritis; ABU: asymptomatic bacteriuria; UTI: Acute cystitis; BAC:
419 Bacteraemia

420 **Table S2 Non-motile strains used in Figures 1 and 2D.**
421

Lab Strain ID	Source*
3399	ABU
3400	ABU
3401	UTI
3402	BAC
3403	UTI
3407	UTI
3410	BAC
3413	ABU
3416	ABU
3418	ABU
3420	ABU
3422	PYL
3696	ABU
3701	UTI
3706	UTI
3707	UTI

422 *See Table S1 descriptors
423

References

1. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and
economic costs. Am J Med. 2002 Jul 8;113 Suppl 1A:5S–13S.

2. Klein RD, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: microbial pathogenesis, host–
pathogen interactions and new treatment strategies. Nat Rev Micro. Springer US;
2020 Feb 18;18:211–26.

3. Fisher H, Oluboyede Y, Chadwick T, Abdel-Fattah M, Brennand C, Fader M, et al.
Continuous low-dose antibiotic prophylaxis for adults with repeated urinary tract
infections (AnTIC): a randomised, open-label trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018
Sep;18(9):957–68.

4. Hawn TR, Scholes D, Wang H, Li SS, Stapleton AE, Janer M, et al. Genetic variation
of the human urinary tract innate immune response and asymptomatic bacteriuria in
women. PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science; 2009;4(12):e8300.

5. Schreiber HL, Conover MS, Chou W-C, Hibbing ME, Manson AL, Dodson KW, et al.
Bacterial virulence phenotypes of Escherichia coli and host susceptibility determine
risk for urinary tract infections. Science Translational Medicine. American
Association for the Advancement of Science; 2017 Mar 22;9(382):eaaf1283.

6. Chan CY, John ALS, Abraham SN. Mast Cell Interleukin-10 Drives Localized
Tolerance in Chronic Bladder Infection. Immunity. Elsevier Inc; 2013 Feb
21;38(2):349–59.

7. Choi HW, Bowen SE, Miao Y, Chan CY, Miao EA, Abrink M, et al. Loss of Bladder
Epithelium Induced by Cytolytic Mast Cell Granules. Immunity. 2016
Dec;45(6):1258–69.

8. Drage LKL, Robson W, Mowbray C, Ali A, Perry JD, Walton KE, et al. Elevated urine
IL-10 concentrations associate with *Escherichia coli* persistence in older patients
susceptible to recurrent urinary tract infections. Immun Ageing. Immunity & Ageing;
2019 Jul 10;16:1–11.

9. Lane MC, Alteri CJ, Smith SN, Mobley HLT. Expression of flagella is coincident with
uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* ascension to the upper urinary tract. Proc Natl Acad
Sci USA. 2007 Oct 16;104(42):16669–74.

10. Lane MC, Lockatell V, Monterosso G, Lamphier D, Weinert J, Hebel JR, et al. Role
of motility in the colonization of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in the urinary tract.
Infect Immun. 2005 Nov;73(11):7644–56.

11. Wright KJ, Seed PC, Hultgren SJ. Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* flagella aid in
efficient urinary tract colonization. Infect Immun. 2005 Nov;73(11):7657–68.

12. Chevance FFV, Hughes KT. Coordinating assembly of a bacterial macromolecular
machine. Nat Rev Micro. 2008 Jun;6(6):455–65.

13. Minamino T, Imada K. The bacterial flagellar motor and its structural diversity.
Trends Microbiol. 2015 May;23(5):267–74.

14.   Minamino T. Protein export through the bacterial flagellar type III export pathway.
Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014 Aug;1843(8):1642–8.

15.   Porter SL, Wadhams GH, Armitage JP. Signal processing in complex chemotaxis
pathways. Nat Rev Micro. Nature Publishing Group; 2011 Feb 1;9(3):153–65.

16.   Smith TG, Hoover TR. Deciphering bacterial flagellar gene regulatory networks in
the genomic era. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2009;67:257–95.

17.   Snyder LAS, Loman NJ, Fütterer K, Pallen MJ. Bacterial flagellar diversity and
evolution: seek simplicity and distrust it? Trends Microbiol. 2009 Jan;17(1):1–5.

18.   Aldridge P, Hughes KT. Regulation of flagellar assembly. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2002
Apr;5(2):160–5.

19.   Chilcott GS, Hughes KT. Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar assembly
in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol Mol Biol
Rev. 2000 Dec;64(4):694–708.

20.   Sim M, Koirala S, Picton D, Strahl H, Hoskisson PA, Rao CV, et al. Growth rate
control of flagellar assembly in *Escherichia coli* strain RP437. Sci Rep. 2017 Jan
24;7:41189.

21.   Andersen-Nissen E, Hawn TR, Smith KD, Nachman A, Lampano AE, Uematsu S, et
al. Cutting edge: Tlr5-/- mice are more susceptible to *Escherichia coli* urinary tract
infection. J Immunol. 2007 Apr 15;178(8):4717–20.

22.   Ali ASM, Townes CL, Hall J, Pickard RS. Maintaining a sterile urinary tract: the role
of antimicrobial peptides. J Urol. 2009 Jul;182(1):21–8.

23.   Sivick KE, Mobley HLT. Waging war against uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: winning
back the urinary tract. Infect Immun. 2010 Feb;78(2):568–85.

24.   Herrmann B, Burman LG. Pathogenesis of *Escherichia coli* cystitis and
pyelonephritis: apparent lack of significance of bacterial motility and chemotaxis
towards human urine. Infection. 1985 Jan;13(1):4–7.

25.   Schaeffer AJ, Schwan WR, Hultgren SJ, Duncan JL. Relationship of type 1 pilus
expression in *Escherichia coli* to ascending urinary tract infections in mice. Infect
Immun. American Society for Microbiology (ASM); 1987 Feb;55(2):373–80.

26.   Ali ASM, Mowbray C, Lanz M, Stanton A, Bowen S, Varley CL, et al. Targeting
Deficiencies in the TLR5 Mediated Vaginal Response to Treat Female Recurrent
Urinary Tract Infection. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2017 Sep 8;7(1):11039.

27.   Andersen-Nissen E, Smith KD, Strobe KL, Barrett SLR, Cookson BT, Logan SM, et
al. Evasion of Toll-like receptor 5 by flagellated bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
2005 Jun 28;102(26):9247–52.

28.   Wang L, Rothemund D, Curd H, Reeves PR. Species-wide variation in the
Escherichia coli flagellin (H-antigen) gene. J Bacteriol. 2003 May;185(9):2936–43.

29. Hayashi F, Smith KD, Ozinsky A, Hawn TR, Yi EC, Goodlett DR, et al. The innate
immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll-like receptor 5. Nature.
2001 Apr 26;410(6832):1099–103.

30. Smith KD, Andersen-Nissen E, Hayashi F, Strobe K, Bergman MA, Barrett SLR, et
al. Toll-like receptor 5 recognizes a conserved site on flagellin required for
protofilament formation and bacterial motility. Nat Immunol. 2003 Dec;4(12):1247–
53.

31. Samuelsson P, Hang L, Wullt B, Irjala H, Svanborg C. Toll-like receptor 4 expression
and cytokine responses in the human urinary tract mucosa. Infect Immun. American
Society for Microbiology Journals; 2004 Jun;72(6):3179–86.

32. Ragnarsdottir B, Samuelsson M, Gustafsson MCU, Leijonhufvud I, Karpman D,
Svanborg C. Reduced toll-like receptor 4 expression in children with asymptomatic
bacteriuria. J Infect Dis. Oxford University Press; 2007 Aug 1;196(3):475–84.

33. Song J, Bishop BL, Li G, Grady R, Stapleton A, Abraham SN. TLR4-mediated
expulsion of bacteria from infected bladder epithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
2009 Sep 1;106(35):14966–71.

34. Tapping RI, Akashi S, Miyake K, Godowski PJ, Tobias PS. Toll-Like Receptor 4, But
Not Toll-Like Receptor 2, Is a Signaling Receptor for Escherichia and Salmonella
Lipopolysaccharides. The Journal of Immunology. 2000 Nov 15;165(10):5780–7.

35. Wang S, Fleming RT, Westbrook EM, Matsumura P, McKay DB. Structure of the
Escherichia coli FlhDC complex, a prokaryotic heteromeric regulator of transcription.
Journal of Molecular Biology. 2006 Jan 27;355(4):798–808.

36. Claret L, Hughes C. Rapid Turnover of FlhD and FlhC, the Flagellar Regulon
Transcriptional Activator Proteins, during Proteus Swarming. J Bacteriol. 2000 Feb
1;182(3):833–6.

37. Soutourina OA, Bertin PN. Regulation cascade of flagellar expression in Gram-
negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2003 Oct 1;27(4):505–23.

38. Kitagawa R, Takaya A, Yamamoto T. Dual regulatory pathways of flagellar gene
expression by ClpXP protease in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli.* Microbiology.
2011 Nov 2;157(11):3094–103.

39. Takaya A, Erhardt M, Karata K, Winterberg K, Yamamoto T, Hughes KT. YdiV: a
dual function protein that targets FlhDC for ClpXP-dependent degradation by
promoting release of DNA-bound FlhDC complex. Mol Microbiol. 2012 Mar
2;83(6):1268–84.

40. Josenhans C, Suerbaum S. The role of motility as a virulence factor in bacteria. Int J
Med Microbiol. 2002 Mar;291(8):605–14.

41. Veening J-W, Smits WK, Kuipers OP. Bistability, epigenetics, and bet-hedging in
bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2008;62:193–210.

42. Mears PJ, Koirala S, Rao CV, Golding I, Chemla YR. *Escherichia coli* swimming is
robust against variations in flagellar number. Elife. 2014;3:e01916.

43. Brown J, Faulds-Pain A, Aldridge P. The coordination of flagellar gene expression
and the flagellar assembly pathway. In: Pili and Flagella: Current Research and
Future Trends. 2009. pp. 99–120.

44. Koirala S, Mears P, Sim M, Golding I, Chemla YR, Aldridge PD, et al. A Nutrient-
Tunable Bistable Switch Controls Motility in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar
Typhimurium. MBio. 2014;5(5).

45. Jenal U, Stephens C. The *Caulobacter* cell cycle: timing, spatial organization and
checkpoints. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2002 Dec 1;5(6):558–63.

46. Alteri CJ, Smith SN, Mobley HLT. Fitness of *Escherichia coli* during urinary tract
infection requires gluconeogenesis and the TCA cycle. PLoS Pathog. 2009
May;5(5):e1000448.

47. Forsyth VS, Armbruster CE, Smith SN, Pirani A, Springman AC, Walters MS, et al.
Rapid Growth of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* during Human Urinary Tract
Infection. MBio. 2018 Mar 6;9(2).

48. Sintsova A, Frick-Cheng AE, Smith S, Pirani A, Subashchandrabose S, Snitkin ES,
et al. Genetically diverse uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* adopt a common
transcriptional program in patients with UTIs. Elife. 2019 Oct 21;8.

49. Wada T, Hatamoto Y, Kutsukake K. Functional and expressional analyses of the
anti-FlhD4C2 factor gene *ydiV* in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology. 2012 Jun
1;158(Pt_6):1533–42.

50. Reitzer L, Zimmern P. Rapid Growth and Metabolism of Uropathogenic *Escherichia
coli* in Relation to Urine Composition. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2019 Dec 18;33(1).

51. Spurbeck RR, Alteri CJ, Himpsl SD, Mobley HLT. The Multifunctional Protein, YdiV,
Represses P Fimbriae-Mediated Adherence in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli.* J
Bacteriol. 2013 May 10.

52. Stewart MK, Cookson BT. Non-genetic diversity shapes infectious capacity and host
resistance. Trends Microbiol. 2012 Oct;20(10):461–6.

53. Casadesús J, Low DA. Programmed Heterogeneity: Epigenetic Mechanisms in
Bacteria. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2013 May 17;288(20):13929–35.

54. Aldridge P, Jenal U. Cell cycle-dependent degradation of a flagellar motor
component requires a novel-type response regulator. Mol Microbiol. 1999
Apr;32(2):379–91.

55. Mowbray CA, Shams S, Chung G, Stanton A, Aldridge P, Suchenko A, et al. High
molecular weight hyaluronic acid: a two-pronged protectant against infection of the
urogenital tract? Clin Transl Immunol. 2018 Jun 7;7(6):e1021–11.

56. Brzuszkiewicz E, Brüggemann H, Liesegang H, Emmerth M, Olschläger T, Nagy G,
et al. How to become a uropathogen: comparative genomic analysis of
extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006
Aug 22;103(34):12879–84.