Multiple groups of methanotrophic bacteria mediate methane oxidation in anoxic lake sediments

Guangyi Su [1*], Jakob Zopfi[1], Helge Niemann[2] and Moritz F. Lehmann[1]

[1] Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Bernoullistrasse 30, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

[2] Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, NIOZ Royal Institute for Sea Research and Utrecht University, Texel, The Netherlands

[*]corresponding author

Abstract

Freshwater lakes represent an important source of the potent greenhouse gas methane (CH_4) to the atmosphere. Methane emissions are regulated to large parts by aerobic (MOx) and anaerobic (AOM) oxidation of methane that are important sinks in lakes. In contrast to marine benthic environments, our knowledge about the modes of AOM and the related methanotrophic microorganisms in anoxic lake sediments is still rudimentary. Here we demonstrate the occurrence of AOM in the anoxic sediments of Lake Sempach (Switzerland), with maximum in situ AOM rates observed within the surface sediment layers in presence of multiple groups of methanotrophic bacteria and various oxidants known to support AOM. However, substrate-amended incubations (with NO_2^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Fe^{3+} and Mn^{4+}) revealed that none of the electron acceptors previously reported to support AOM enhanced methane turnover in Lake Sempach sediments under anoxic conditions. In contrast, the addition of oxygen to the anoxic sediments resulted in an approximately tenfold increase in methane oxidation relative to the anoxic incubations. Phylogenetic and isotopic evidence indicate that both Type I and Type II aerobic methanotrophs were growing on methane under both oxic and anoxic conditions, although methane assimilation rates were an order of magnitude higher under oxic conditions. While the anaerobic electron acceptor responsible for AOM could not be identified, these findings expand our understanding of the metabolic versatility of canonically aerobic methanotrophs under anoxic conditions, with important implications for future investigations to identify methane oxidation processes. Bacterial AOM by facultative aerobic methane oxidizers might be of much larger environmental significance in reducing methane emissions than previously

thought.

Introduction

Methane (CH_4) is a powerful greenhouse gas in the atmosphere and a major

portion of this gas in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems is produced biologically in

anoxic environments (e.g., anoxic waters and sediments) by anaerobic methanogens.

In contrast to oceans, freshwater habitats such as lakes cover only a small portion of

the Earth's surface (0.9%; Downing et al. 2006), yet, they contribute a significant part

to the global emissions of methane to the atmosphere (6-16%; Bastviken et al. 2004).

The comparatively low methane concentrations in both surface and bottom waters of

lakes (Blees et al. 2015; Donis et al. 2017) relative to those in anoxic sediments (mM

range, e.g. Blees et al. 2014) suggest that methane in lake sediments is largely

consumed before released to the water column. However, the primary sink for

biogenic methane in anoxic lake sediments is still not well understood, and the

physiological mechanisms and modes of benthic methane oxidation by microbes have

not yet been unraveled.

In freshwater lakes, high activities of methane oxidation is often thought to occur

at the oxic-anoxic transition zones (Lidstrom and Somers 1984; Kuivila et al. 1988;

Frenzel et al. 1990; Bender and Conrad 1994; He et al. 2012). Here, methane

oxidation is usually carried out by aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB), and

the methane oxidation with oxygen as electron acceptor (MOx) is considered the

prime methane sink in lacustrine environments, and thus mitigator of lacustrine

methane emissions. In anoxic lake waters, this pathway can be fueled by oxygen

diffusion or intrusion events from the oxic water column (Hanson and Hanson 1996;

Bastviken et al. 2002; Pasche et al. 2011; He et al. 2012; Oswald et al. 2016), or

through oxygenic photosynthesis in shallow lakes (Oswald et al. 2015; Milucka et al.

2015; Rissanen et al. 2018). True anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in anoxic

environments is usually performed by anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANMEs)

that are able to couple methane oxidation to the reduction of sulfate (Boetius et al.

2000; Orphan et al. 2002; Knittel et al. 2005; Milucka et al. 2012), nitrate (Haroon et

al. 2013), metal oxides (Beal et al. 2009; Ettwig et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2018; Leu et al.

2020) or in a few cases, organic electron acceptors such as humic substances (Scheller

et al. 2016). Methane oxidizing microorganisms may be metabolically quite

adaptable. For example, recently identified anaerobic methanotrophic archaea in lake

sediments, which are phylogenetically closely related to *Candidatus*

Methanoperedens nitroreducens (i.e., nitrate-dependent methanotrophs or ANME-2d,

Haroon et al. 2013), were reported to also perform methane oxidation coupled to

sulfate reduction (Su et al. 2020). In addition to archaeal AOM, AOM can be

performed by bacteria. Bacteria of the NC10 phylum (i.e., *Candidatus*

Methylomirabilis), for example, can couple aerobic methane oxidation to nitrite

reduction (Raghoebarsing et al. 2006; Ettwig et al. 2008), whereby the bacteria

produce oxygen for methane oxidation intracellularly via the dismutation of nitric

oxide (Ettwig et al. 2010). Thus, nitrite-dependent AOM can employ a pathway

similar to that of canonical aerobic methane oxidation, which involves soluble and/or

particulate methane monooxygenase enzymes. Such denitrifying methanotrophic

bacteria have been recently reported for both lake sediments (Kojima et al. 2012;

Deutzmann et al. 2014) and water column (Graf et al. 2018; Mayr et al. 2020).

Irrespective of the microbial players involved, in anoxic lake sediments, pathways of

AOM like nitrate/nitrite-dependent methane oxidation may be masked by aerobic

process, due to the close proximity of the nitrate/nitrite- and oxygen-consumption

zones near sediment-water interfaces, thus making it difficult to distinguish between

true AOM and aerobic methane oxidation.

Methane oxidation may be ubiquitous in strictly anoxic lake sediments

(Martinez-Cruz et al. 2018), yet, evidence for the occurrence of true AOM (i.e., by the

above-mention AOM-performing microbes, involving electron acceptors other than

oxygen) is still sparse (Schubert et al. 2011; CROWE et al. 2011; Sivan et al. 2011;

Norði et al. 2013; Weber et al. 2017; Bar-Or et al. 2017; Su et al. 2020). Moreover,

the role of traditionally aerobic methanotrophs in anoxic sediments and their

contribution to AOM is still not well understood. Under oxygen limitation, some

gamma-proteobacterial MOB (i.e., *Methylomonas* and *Methylomicrobium*) seem

capable of oxidizing methane with nitrate/nitrite as terminal electron acceptors (Kits

et al. 2015a; b), however, the initial attack of methane by methane monooxygenase

still seems to be dependent on oxygen. Similarly, *Methylobacter* was recently shown

to utilize methane for lipid synthesis during long-term anoxic incubations although

the responsible electron acceptors for AOM were not investigated (Martinez-Cruz et

al. 2017). High abundances of *Methylobacter* were also observed in the deep anoxic

hypolimnion of a permanently stratified lake, in association with high methane

oxidation rates (Blees et al. 2014), yet, the exact mechanism or mode of this apparent

AOM by aerobic methane oxidizers, and the electron acceptor involved remained

enigmatic. Finally, another putatively gammaproteobacterial lineage, *Crenothrix* was

shown to have the potential to catalyze methane oxidation coupled the reduction of

nitrate to N_2O under oxygen-deficient conditions (Oswald et al. 2017). It appears that

aerobic methanotrophs are versatile in their electron acceptor requirements, capable to

conduct anaerobic respiration in oxygen-deficient or anoxic environments.

In this study, we investigated methane oxidation in anoxic sediments of Lake

Sempach in Switzerland, with the particular goal to elucidate the potential electron

acceptors and microbial players involved, and to verify whether the apparent anoxic

benthic methane sink represents true AOM. Towards this goal, we combined sediment

pore water hydrochemical data with in situ AOM rate measurements using radio-

labeled methane, as well as slurry incubation experiments to study the impact of

alternative oxidants (i.e., sulfate, iron, manganese, nitrite and nitrate) on AOM. In

addition, we characterized the microorganisms that are involved in methane oxidation

using approaches including lipid biomarker and 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Materials and Methods

Study Site and sampling

Lake Sempach is a eutrophic lake located in central Switzerland. For more than three

decades, the hypolimnion of this lake has been artificially aerated to maintain bottom

water conditions oxic during summer thermal stratification, and to support mixing

throughout the water column in winter (Stadelmann 1988). Alternative oxidants for

methane oxidation (e.g. nitrate, nitrite, Fe^{3+}, Mn^{4+}) are found in the shallow sediments

and porewaters. In March 2015, sediment cores (inner diameter 62 mm) were

recovered with a gravity corer from the deepest site of Lake Sempach. Samples for

dissolved methane concentrations were collected onsite with cut-off syringes through

pre-drilled, tape-covered holes in the sediment core tube. 3 mL of sediment samples

were fixed with 7.0 mL 10% NaOH in 20 mL glass vials, which were then

immediately sealed with thick butyl rubber stoppers (Niemann et al. 2015). Porewater

was extracted by centrifuging the 1 or 2 cm segments of a second sediment core under

N_2 atmosphere, and filtering the supernatant through 0.45 μm filters. Sample aliquots

for sulfide concentration measurements were fixed with Zn acetate (5%) immediately

after filtration. Sample aliquots for dissolved iron (Fe^{2+}) and manganese (Mn^{2+}) were fixed with HCl (6 M). The fixed or untreated filtered sample aliquots were then stored at 4 °C. Sediment samples for DNA extraction and particulate iron/manganese analyses were collected from a third sediment core, also at 1- or 2-cm intervals, and stored frozen at -20 °C until further processing.

Chemical analyses

Methane concentrations in the headspace of NaOH-fixed samples were measured using a gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 6890N) with a flame ionization detector, and helium as a carrier gas. Nitrite was determined colorimetrically using the Griess reaction (Hansen and Koroleff (1999). Concentrations of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium were analyzed by ion chromatography (881 IC compact plus, Metrohm, Switzerland). Samples for dissolved iron (Fe^{2+}) and manganese (Mn^{2+}) were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Sulfide concentrations were analyzed in the laboratory photometrically (Cline 1969). Reactive iron oxide in the solid phase was extracted using 0.5 M HCl and then reduced to Fe(II) with 1.5 M hydroxylamine. Concentrations of Fe(II) were then determined photometrically using the ferrozine assay (Stookey 1970). Particulate reactive iron was calculated from the difference between the total Fe(II) concentrations after reduction, and the dissolved Fe(II) in the filtered sample. A total carbon analyzer (Shimadzu, Corp., Kyoto, Japan) was used to quantify dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations. The penetration depth of oxygen into the surface sediments was determined using a Clark-type microelectrode sensor (Unisense A/S, Denmark).

AOM rate measurements

To determine depth-specific AOM rates in Lake Sempach sediments, the subcore incubation approach was applied (Su et al. 2019). Briefly, subcores were collected by inserting three small plexiglass tubes (inner diameter 16 mm) into the fresh sediment core, and both ends of the core tubes were sealed securely with black rubber stoppers. After ~1 h preincubation, 20 µL of $^{14}CH_4$ solution (~2.5kBq) was injected through each of the predrilled, silicone-sealed ports at 1.5 cm intervals. Subcores were incubated at in situ temperature (4 °C) in the dark for 2 days. At the end of the incubation, subcores were extruded, and subsamples were collected at 1 or 2 cm

segments, and directly transferred into 100 ml vials containing 20 ml of the aqueous

NaOH (5% w:w) to terminate microbial activity. Radioactivities of different ^{14}C pools

in the incubation samples and AOM rates were determined according to Su et al.

(2019).

Substrate-amended slurry incubations

Experiment 1 (sulfate, iron and manganese-addition experiments with ^{14}C-labelled

methane). Anoxic slurries of ~8 L were prepared by purging a mixture of sediments

(surface 10 cm × 4 sediment cores, ~1500 cm^3) and autoclaved anoxic artificial

sulfate-free medium (Su et al. 2020). Homogenized slurries of ~100 mL were

subsequently dispensed into 120-mL serum bottles and sealed with butyl rubber

stoppers. For the electron acceptor amended incubations, stock solutions of sulfate,

ferrihydrite and birnessite were added to final concentrations of 2 mM, 5 mM and 5

mM, respectively. For the inhibitor-addition experiment, molybdate was added to a

final concentration of 4 mM. Base-killed controls (pH > 13) were also prepared and

incubated in parallel. All bottles were further purged with N_2 to remove any traces of

oxygen. After 24-h preincubation, 1.5 ml pure CH_4 was injected into the headspace of

each bottle, which was gently shaken for 24 h, resulting in a final concentration of

100 μmol/L in the slurries. Finally, all incubation bottles were transported to an

anoxic chamber and filled bubble-free with anoxic medium (100 μmol/L CH_4) before

injecting $^{14}CH_4$ gas tracer. At different time points (30 and 60 days), incubation

samples were stopped by adding 5 ml saturated NaOH after creating 20 ml headspace

of N_2. AOM rates were analyzed and calculated as described previously (Su et al.

2019).

Experiment 2 (nitrite-, nitrate-addition and oxic experiments with ^{13}C-labelled

methane). To further test specifically the role of nitrite/nitrate in AOM, we performed

slurry incubation experiments with a mixture of sediment and anoxic bottom lake

water taken in November 2020. Briefly, 100 ml mixed slurries (1.5 g dw sediment per

120-mL bottle) were degassed with He to remove any traces of oxygen and

background methane before transfer to an anoxic bag with continuous N_2 flow. 5 mL

of pure ^{13}C-labelled methane (99.8 atom %, Campro Scientific) was injected into the

headspace of the bottles, which were amended with anoxic stock solutions of nitrite

and nitrate, with final concentrations of 0.48 mM and 2.4 mM, respectively.

Incubations took place at room temperature under N_2 atmosphere. Nitrite and nitrate

were replenished, when consumed or when they remained at low concentrations.

Killed controls (autoclaved and $^{13}CH_4$), live controls (only $^{13}CH_4$) and controls with

only ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate were also incubated in parallel under the same

conditions. For oxic experiments, slurries were spiked with pure oxygen and then

injected with 5 mL of pure $^{13}CH_4$. Oxygen was replenished regularly to maintain oxic

condition in the incubation bottles. At different time points, incubation slurries were

homogenized and 5 mL of the supernatant was collected under N_2 atmosphere, and

filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter for subsequent sulfate, nitrate, nitrite, DIC

concentration and stable carbon isotope ratio analyses to determine the transfer of ^{13}C

into the product DIC pool. For the latter, 0.2-1 mL of water sample was transferred

into a 12 mL He-purged exetainer (Labco Ltd) containing 200 μL zinc chloride (50 %

w/v), and then acidified with ~200 μL 80% H_3PO_4. The liberated CO_2 was then

analyzed in the headspace using a purge-and-trap purification system (Gas Bench)

coupled to a GC-IRMS (Thermo Scientific, Delta V Advantage). Absolute ^{13}C-DIC

abundances were determined from the DIC concentrations and the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios

converted from $\delta^{13}C$-DIC values (Oswald et al. 2015). The temporal change in ^{13}C-

DIC with incubation time was then used to calculate slurry-incubation-based potential

methane oxidation rates. Temporal solute-concentration and 13C-DIC changes were

monitored over a total experimental period of 48 days. Thereafter, incubations were

continued without further subsampling. After a total incubation period of

approximately 5 months, slurry incubations were sacrificed for lipid analysis and

DNA extraction (see below).

Microbial lipid extraction and analysis

At the end of the incubation with $^{13}CH_4$ (Experiment 2), after 160 days, triplicate

slurries were combined and freeze-dried. Samples were then homogenized for lipid

extraction using an Accelerated Solvent Extraction system (ASE 350, Dionex Corp.,

Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Samples were extracted with a solvent mixture of

dichloromethane and methanol (9:1, vol/vol) over three extraction cycles at 100 °C

and maximum pressure of 1500 psi. Total lipid extracts (TLEs) were collected in 60

mL glass vials, and concentrated using a vacuum evaporator system (Rocket Synergy

Evaporator, Genevac Ltd., Ipswich, UK). Prior to extraction, an internal standard mix

(5α-Cholestane, Nonadecanoic acid and 1-Nonadecanol) was added to each sample

for the quantification of individual biomarkers. The TLEs were further evaporated to

dryness, and then saponified with methanolic KOH-solution (12%) at 80 °C for 3 h. Neutral compounds were extracted by liquid-liquid extraction using hexane. After extracting the neutral fraction, fatty acids (FAs) were extracted from the remaining aqueous phase with hexane after acidification (pH ~ 1). The fatty acid fraction was methylated using BF_3 in methanol (14% v/v, Sigma Aldrich) at 80 °C for 2 h, and analyzed later as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). Neutral compounds were further separated into hydrocarbon and alcohol fractions over silica glass gel columns, as described by Sicre et al. (1994) with the following modifications. The neutral fraction was dissolved in heptane and transferred onto the wet column. Aliphatic, cyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons were eluted with 2 mL heptane and 2 mL heptane/toluene (3:1 v/v), ethers and ketones with 2 mL heptane/toluene (1:1 v/v) and 2 mL heptane/ethyl acetate (95:5 v/v), and finally alcohols with 2 mL heptane/ethyl acetate (85:15 v/v) and heptane/ethyl acetate (80:20 v/v). The alcohol fraction was methylated with 100 µL pyridine and 50 µL bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoracetamide (BSTFA) at 70 °C for 1 h.

All fractions were quantified using a TRACE GC Ultra gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Individual lipid compounds were quantified by normalization to the internal standard and identified by comparing their retention times to those of laboratory standard mixtures, or by a gas chromatograph mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Thermo Scientific DSQ II Dual Stage Quadrupole) and the acquired mass spectra were identified through comparison with published data. Compound-specific stable carbon isotope ratios of individual compounds were determined using a gas chromatograph with split/splitless injector, connected on-line via a GC-Isolink combustion interface to a ConFlo IV and Delta V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer (GC-IRMS, Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Both concentrations and $\delta^{13}C$ values of lipids were corrected for the introduction of carbon atoms during derivatization.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, Illumina sequencing and data analysis

DNA was extracted from both samples of Lake Sempach core sediments, as well as from the slurry sediments at the end of incubations (Experiment 2), using a FastDNA SPIN Kit (MP Biomedicals) following the manufacturer's instructions. A two-step PCR approach was applied in order to prepare the library for sequencing at the Genomics Facility Basel. Briefly, a first PCR (25 cycles) was performed using

primers 515F-Y and 926R targeting the V4 and V5 regions of the 16S rRNA gene (Parada et al. 2016). Sample indices and Illumina adaptors were added in a second PCR of eight cycles. Purified indexed amplicons were finally pooled at equimolar concentration into one library, and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using the 2×300 bp paired-end protocol (V3 kit). After sequencing, quality of the raw reads was checked using FastQC (v 0.11.8) (Andrews 2010). Paired-end read merger (usearch v11.0.667_i86linux64) was used to merge forward and reverse reads into amplicons of about 419 bp length, allowing a minimum overlap of 40 nucleotides and a mismatch density of 0.25. Quality filtering (min Q20, no Ns allowed) was carried out using PRINSEQ (Schmieder and Edwards 2011). Amplicon sequence variants were determined by denoising using the UNOISE algorithm (UNOISE3, usearch v11.0.667_i86linux64) and are herein referred to as ZOTU (zero-radius OTU). Taxonomic assignment was done using SINTAX v11.0.240_i86linux64 (Edgar 2016) and the SILVA 16S rRNA reference database v128 (Quast et al. 2013). Subsequent data analyses were carried out with Phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes 2013) in the R environment (R Core Team, 2014) (http://www.r-project.org/).

Data availability. Raw reads are deposited at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) in the BioProject PRJNA759259, and can be accessed under the accession numbers SRR15689022- SRR15689034.

Results

Rates of AOM and geochemistry of Lake Sempach sediments

Concentration of methane in the sediments increased with depth, reaching a maximum of ~1.75 mM at 10 cm depth. Methane oxidation was observed throughout the sediment core. Activity decreased with depth, with the maximum AOM rates (68.0 ± 19.0 nmol cm^{-3} d^{-1}) measured in the upper 0-2 cm (Fig. 1B). Nitrate was only detected above 3 cm (up to 5 μM) and the sulfate concentration decreased downward from 78 μM at the sediment-water interface to 7 μM at 10 cm. Both sulfide and nitrite were below the detection limit at all depths. Reducible iron and manganese oxides were most abundant in surface sediments (24.3 and 30.8 μmol g^{-1} sediment respectively), and concentrations of both dissolved iron (Fe^{2+}) and manganese (Mn^{2+}) increased steadily with depth. Even under the condition of fully oxygenated bottom

waters, oxygen was rapidly consumed in the uppermost sediment layers and penetrated to a maximum depth of 3 mm (Fig. 1F).

Diversity and abundance of methanotrophs in lake sediments

Analysis of sediment microbial community, based on 16SrRNA gene sequencing, revealed the presence of multiple groups of aerobic methanotrophs, including Type I gamma- and Type II alpha-proteobacterial MOB (Fig. 1G-H). Type I gamma-MOB consist of *Methylococcaceae*, of which the genus *Methylobacter* was the dominating cluster. The relative abundances of *Methylococcaceae* range from 0.07% to 0.23%, with peak abundance occurring at 3 cm depth. In comparison, Type II alpha-MOB *Methylocystaceae* was found at much lower relative abundances, with a maximum of 0.04% observed at 6 cm depth. A large number of 16S rRNA gene sequences (0.17–1.25%, Fig. 1I), belonged to *Crenotrichaceae*, of which *Crenothrix* represented the predominant genus and the amplified sequence variants shared very high sequence similarities (96-100%) with what has been described recently as major methane oxidizers in stratified lakes (Oswald et al. 2017). In addition, a very small proportion of sequences (up to 0.03%) were affiliated with *Methylacidiphilaceae* within the *Verrucomicrobia* phylum. *Methylacidiphilaceae* were reported to perform aerobic methane oxidation in acidic geothermal environments (Islam et al. 2008; Carere et al. 2017). A canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was performed to show any given correlation between the concentrations of potential electron acceptors, methane oxidation rates and specific methanotrophic species (Fig. 1K). The CCA triplot shows that members belonging to *Crenothrix* or *Methylobacter* were positively correlated to the methane oxidation rates, and negatively correlated to methane concentrations. Interestingly, the plot also demonstrates that *Crenothrix* and *Methylobacter* were more likely to be found in surface sediments with higher concentrations of potential electron acceptors (i.e., oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, iron and manganese).

Effect of potential electron acceptor addition on AOM rates

To investigate the potential electron acceptors for AOM, sediments of the top 10 cm (i.e., where in situ rates were measured; Fig. 1B) were incubated with different electron acceptors. In the first experiment with ^{14}C-labelled methane, no apparent increase in AOM rate was observed with any potential electron acceptor amendment, compared with the live controls (no electron acceptors added, Fig. 2A). In fact,

incubations of both 30 and 60 days revealed very similar potential AOM rates between the untreated controls and amendments with sulfate and molybdate. Interestingly, the addition of iron oxides to the slurries did not stimulate methane oxidation but rather resulted in systematically lower AOM rates relative to the control experiments. Even more surprisingly, in incubations with added manganese oxide, methane oxidation was completely inhibited and substantial amounts of sulfate was produced. In the second experiment using ^{13}C-labelled methane, we further tested the role of nitrate/nitrite in AOM, in direct comparison with aerobic methane oxidation after O$_2$ injection. Similar to ^{14}C-labelled methane incubation experiments, AOM occurred in the anoxic live controls (without any electron acceptors added). Incubations amended with nitrate and nitrite showed similar trends with respect to the control, hence, there was no obvious stimulation of methane oxidation by either of the NOx compounds (Fig. 3). For both untreated controls and nitrate/nitrite amendments, AOM rates were low during the first four days of the incubation period, but then increased dramatically between 4 and 8 days. Thereafter, they remained relatively low again (i.e., only subtle ^{13}DIC accumulation between Day 8 and the end of the incubation). In contrast, methane oxidation was linear throughout the incubation period under oxic conditions, and the rates were almost an order of magnitude higher than the maximum rate in the control and NOx-amended incubations. Strikingly, sulfate was fully depleted after 8 days in the live controls (but not in the killed control), whereas there was extensive sulfate production in incubation bottles amended with both nitrate or oxygen. When nitrite was added, net sulfate production was also observed, at least during phases (e.g., initially and between Day 17 and 40; Fig. 3D), and at lower rates.

Lipid biomarkers and microbial communities in incubations with ^{13}CH$_4$

At the end of the ^{13}CH$_4$ incubations (after160 days), the abundance and carbon isotopic composition of MOx/AOM diagnostic biomarkers (specific fatty acids and alcohols) were examined in the slurry sediments to constrain the methanotrophs involved and to infer carbon flow through the microbial community. The overall concentrations of lipid biomarkers in the different treatments were quite similar, except for incubations with oxygen, where concentrations were somewhat lower than in the other treatments (Fig. 4). In the untreated control and the nitrite-amended experiment, the most strongly ^{13}C-enriched fatty acids were monounsaturated

hexadecanoic acids ($C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$) and (ω-1)-OH-$C_{28:0}$, with $\delta^{13}C$ values ranging

from 531‰ to 1558‰. By comparison, the highest ^{13}C incorporation in incubations

with nitrate was observed for (ω-1)-OH-$C_{28:0}$ (1524‰), followed by 10-Methyl-

hexadecanoic acid (10-Me-$C_{16:0}$, 367‰). Most strikingly, $\delta^{13}C$ analyses of biomarker

extracts from the oxic incubations revealed significantly stronger ^{13}C labeling of most

fatty acids (compound-specific $\delta^{13}C$ values between 6800 and 14500‰; Fig. 4)

compared to the controls and to incubations with nitrite/nitrate. In order to assess

concurrent autotrophic carbon assimilation, we performed additional slurry

incubations with ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate only. No ^{13}C incorporation at all was

observed for (ω-1)-OH-$C_{28:0}$ (-39‰), and the $\delta^{13}C$ value of 10-Me-$C_{16:0}$ (164‰)

suggests only minor ^{13}C-label incorporation. Generally, $\delta^{13}C$ values of compounds

diagnostic for methanotrophic microorganisms in incubations with ^{13}C-bicarbonate

were significantly lower than those in $^{13}CH_4$ incubations with nitrite/nitrate (Fig. 4).

Initially (Slurry T0), *Euryarchaeota* was the most abundant archaeal phyla (Fig.

5A). The archaeal community structure in both the live control and incubation with

^{13}C-bicarbonate, after 160 days of incubation, was similar to that of Slurry T0.

However, No sequences of typical anaerobic archaeal methanotrophs such as ANME-

1, -2, -3 and *Candidatus* Methanoperedens have been detected. As for bacterial

composition at the class level (Fig. 5B), g*ammaproteobacteria* comprised a smaller

proportion after nitrite/nitrate and oxygen additions. In oxic slurries,

Alphaproteobacteria accounted for a larger proportion of the bacterial population

compared to the pre-incubation microbial abundances. At family level,

Crenotrichaceae and *Methylococcaceae* were the dominant groups among the known

methanotrophs in the initial slurry sample (Fig. 5C), consistent with the diversity and

abundance of methanotrophs in the sediment profiles (see Fig. 1G-J). After 160 days,

Crenotrichaceae and *Methylococcaceae* remained dominant within the known

methanotrophic families in all the treatments. Although representing a minor

proportion, the relative abundances of *Methylocystaceae* slightly increased in

incubations with nitrate/nitrite and oxygen when compared to Slurry T0. In oxic

slurries, fractional abundance of *Methylococcaceae* was higher relative to Slurry T0

and the other treatments and the dominance of *Crenotrichaceae* seemed to be reduced

(Fig. 5C). In addition, the anaerobic nitrite-dependent methanotroph *Candidatus*

Methylomirabilis sp. was detected in incubation with nitrate and at a low abundance

(1.36% of known methanotrophs; Fig. 5C).

Discussion

In Lake Sempach, despite the aeration of the deep hypolimnion, oxygen is
rapidly depleted in the uppermost sediment layers (maximum O2 penetration depth of
3 mm). Based on the measured methane turnover rates as a function of depth (Fig.
1b), maximum methane oxidation activity was located at depths near the water-
sediment interface, but apparent AOM (though at lower rates) was also indicated in
the deeper, anoxic sediment layers. This is different from marine settings and some
exceptional freshwater settings (e.g., Su et al. 2020) where highest AOM rates take
place within the sulfate methane transition zones, well below the sediment surface
(Iversen and Jørgensen 1985). Within oxic-anoxic transition zones of lake sediments,
oxygen likely serves as the most important electron acceptors and aerobic
methanotrophs play a dominant role in reducing the overall methane fluxes (Bender
and Conrad 1994; He et al. 2012). In anoxic sediments, however, the pathway of
methane oxidation with regard to the electron acceptors involved remains to be fully
explored. Notably, the highest AOM rates in surface sediment layers corresponded to
the concentration maxima of nitrate, sulfate, iron(III)- and manganese(IV) oxides,
suggesting their potential roles for AOM. Despite the clear evidence of AOM in
anoxic zones, no ANME-1 or 2, key microbial drivers of AOM in marine settings,
were detected throughout the sediment core by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing
with the primers that match with a large fraction of the deposited sequences of
anaerobic methanotrophic archaeal clades (Su et al. 2020). Neither could we detect
molecular evidence for the presence of *Ca.* Methylomirabilis and *Ca.*
Methanoperedens were detected either (Ettwig et al. 2010; Haroon et al. 2013; Su et
al. 2020). Instead, the anoxic sediments contained high abundances of gamma-MOB
and some alpha-MOB, which both are traditionally considered aerobic methanotrophs
(Hanson and Hanson 1996). Most importantly, the sediments contained high relative
abundances of *Crenothrix*, also gamma-MOB thought to be aerobes (i.e., requiring
oxygen for methane activation), and regularly found as contaminant in drinking water
systems (Stoecker et al. 2006). The relative abundances of *Methylococcaceae*,
Crenothrix and *Methylacidiphilaceae*, respectively, were higher in upper sediment
layers, while *Methylocystacea* were more abundant in lower portions of the
sediments. The vertical distribution of various methanotrophic groups may indicate

their different affinities towards methane, as evidenced, at least in part, by some

studies showing that Type I methanotrophs were able to outcompete Type II MOB at

low concentrations of methane (Amaral and Knowles 1995; Henckel et al. 2000).

However, the presence of diverse aerobic methanotrophs in anoxic sediments led us to

speculate that some of them, if not all, might have an alternative anaerobic lifestyle.

Indeed, increasing evidence has demonstrated the occurrences of gamma-MOB

in anoxic lake waters and sediments (Blees et al. 2014; Milucka et al. 2015; Oswald et

al. 2016; Martinez-Cruz et al. 2017). Also for Lake Sempach sediments, we were able

to demonstrate active growth of gamma-MOB in absence of oxygen. That is, in O2-

free incubations with Lake Sempach sediments and $^{13}CH_4$,, the incorporation of the

$^{13}CH_4$-carbon into specific lipid biomarkers provides clear evidence for microbial

methane assimilation. The monounsaturated fatty acids $C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$, which are

typically indicative of Type I aerobic methanotrophs (Bowman et al. 1994; Hanson

and Hanson 1996), were most enriched in ^{13}C. In theory, the ^{13}C enrichment could

also be attributed to the assimilation of $^{13}CO_2$ from methane oxidation into the

biomass by autotrophic microorganism, as for example found for certain sulfate

reducing bacteria (SRB) (Kellermann et al. 2012). Indeed, it has been reported that

specific lipids such as $C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$ are associated with SRB that were involved

in sulfate-dependent AOM at methane-rich seeps (Elvert et al. 2003). However, no or

very low incorporation of ^{13}C into these lipid biomarkers was observed in the

incubation with ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate only. This indicates that the ^{13}C-enriched

lipids in the $^{13}CH_4$ incubations were not derived from bicarbonate-assimilating SRB,

but can rather be attributed to the direct assimilation of methane-derived carbon by

Type I gamma-MOB. Direct uptake of ^{13}C-labeled methane by alpha-MOB in the

anoxic sediments was also observed, evidenced by the strong ^{13}C-enrichment of long-

chain (ω-1)-hydroxy fatty acids, such as (ω-1)-OH-$C_{28:0}$. This lipid compound is

mainly found in type II methane-utilizing bacteria including *Methylosinus* and

Methylocystis (Skerratt et al. 1992). These are consistent with our findings regarding

the composition of the methanotrophic community in the live control incubation

slurry, which comprised relatively high abundances of both *Methylococcaceae* and

Methylocystaceae. *Methylococcaceae* were dominated by *Methylobacter* and

Methylocystis was the most abundant genus within *Methylocystaceae* (data not

shown). However, we were not able to verify methane assimilation into the biomass

of *Crenothrix*, because no diagnostic lipids have been reported for them to date.

Moreover, *Crenotrichaceae* likely also include bacteria that are not strict
methanotrophs. Yet, due to the apparent capacity of at least some of the
Crenotrichaceae genera to also oxidize methane anaerobically (Oswald et al. 2017),
and given their high relative abundances in the anoxic sediments, however, we
speculate that this methanotrophic group may comprise the most important methane
consumers in Lake Sempach sediments. Overall, our molecular data and lipid
biomarker analysis provide multiple lines of evidence that AOM in Lake Sempach
sediments is most likely mediated by methanotrophs canonically considered aerobes.

According to the CCA triplot (Fig. 1K), members of *Crenothrix* and
Methylobacter were more abundantly found at sediment with higher methane
oxidation rates, and were associated with elevated concentrations of potential
alternative electron acceptors in the anoxic sediments. However, in none of the
electron acceptor-amended slurry incubations, i.e. neither with $^{13}CH_4$ (nitrite/nitrate
added) nor with $^{14}CH_4$ (sulfate, iron or manganese added), stimulation of anaerobic
methane turnover by any of the common anaerobic electron acceptors was indicated.
This suggests an AOM pathway in Lake Sempach sediments that may be different
from AOM reported for other investigated systems (Boetius et al. 2000; Orphan et al.
2002; Raghoebarsing et al. 2006; Vaksmaa et al. 2017; Su et al. 2020). In fact, the
addition of metal oxides led to systematically lower AOM rates (iron) or complete
inhibition (manganese). These observations appear, at first sight, at odds with recent
studies showing the stimulation of both iron and manganese additions for aerobic
methanotrophs under anoxic conditions (Oswald et al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2020). Yet,
the inhibition of AOM as a result of excess "anaerobic electron acceptors" was also
observed in previous studies (Segarra et al. 2013; Milucka et al. 2015; Rissanen et al.
2018). The addition of metal oxides to the slurries may change the redox condition of
the systems, and may favor the growth of iron- or manganese-utilizing
microorganisms that could outcompete methane oxidizers for essential nutrients, but
further investigation is needed to reveal their effect on AOM. Notably, in $^{13}CH_4$
incubations without electron acceptors added, the co-occurrence of increasing $^{13}CO_2$
and decreasing sulfate concentrations over the first 8 days of incubation suggests a
possible link between methane oxidation and sulfate reduction. On the other hand, the
relatively large amounts of sulfate produced in nitrate-amended bottles, likely by the
oxidation of sulfide with nitrate (Su et al. 2020), did not stimulate $^{13}CO_2$ production
significantly, when compared to the live controls, suggesting that sulfate was at least

not a limiting electron acceptor for AOM in Lake Sempach sediments. The fact that

sulfate reduction is not directly involved in driving AOM in Lake Sempach sediments

is further supported by the observation that similar methane turnover rates were

measured for incubations with and without molybdate, a competitive inhibitor for

microbial sulfate reduction (Wilson and Bandurski 1958).

Despite the fact that aerobic methanotrophs are obligate aerobes, some of them

seem to be able to survive long periods of oxygen starvation (Roslev and King 1995;

Blees et al. 2014), and may even switch from respiring oxygen to nitrite or nitrate for

methane oxidation (Kits et al. 2015a; b; Oswald et al. 2017). Both Type I MOB and

Crenothrix were detected in our incubations, and their preference for O_2 as oxidant of

methane was clearly demonstrated for the Lake Sempach Sediments (Fig. 3G).

However, how they (and/or other methane oxidizing microorganisms in the Lake

Sempach sediments) drive methane oxidation in the absence of O_2 remains uncertain.

As outlined before, the AOM pathway involving nitrite or nitrate respiration seemed

unlikely as neither enhanced methane oxidation rates significantly, indicating an as-

yet-unidentified electron acceptor. While nitrite-dependent *Ca.* Methylomirabilis was

not detected at all in any of the nitrite additions, it was present after incubation with

nitrate addition, though at very low abundance. This finding is also consistent with the

lipid data for the nitrate-amended anoxic incubations , which revealed a stronger 13C

enrichment in the fatty acid 10-methylhexadecanoic acid (10-Me-$C_{16:0}$), putatively

diagnostic for *Ca.* Methylomirabilis oxyfera (Kool et al. 2012). It is plausible that

these denitrifying methanatrophic bacteria contributed a small portion to the overall

methane oxidation.

At this point, based on our slurry incubation results, we are not able to better

constrain the electron acceptors involved in AOM in Lake Sempach sediments, and

we can only speculate about what sustains the observed methane turnover in anoxic

incubations. A recent study suggests that AOM can proceed in the absence of

inorganic electron acceptors, via "reverse methanogenesis" (Blazewicz et al. 2012).

This AOM pathway may offer a possible explanation for the observed

"ineffectiveness" of all tested electron acceptors to stimulate AOM. Alternatively,

other electron acceptors that were already present in the lake sediments (e.g., organic

matter) could be involved. Indeed, humic substances have been recognized as a viable

electron acceptor through the reduction of their quinone moieties (Lovley et al. 1996;

Scott et al. 1999), and organic electron acceptors such as humic acids were suggested

to play a role in AOM in wetlands (Smemo and Yavitt 2011; Blodau and Deppe

2012). In addition, anaerobic methanotrophic archaea in marine sediments were

recently demonstrated to use humic-substance analogues (i.e., AQDS and humic

acids) as electron acceptors in the absence of sulfate (Scheller et al. 2016). If humic

(or similar organic) substances can indeed serve as methane oxidizing agent, and

given the widespread distribution of these compounds in freshwater environments as

important component of the sedimentary organic matter pools (Ishiwatari 1985), such

mode of AOM may play an underappreciated role in reducing methane emissions

anoxic lake sediments. Clearly, further investigations are required to explore their role

in lacustrine AOM.

Most strikingly, additions of oxygen to our incubations with $^{13}CH_4$ resulted in an

approximately tenfold increase in $^{13}CO_2$ production relative to the live controls or

amendments with nitrite/nitrate. This clearly shows that aerobic methanotrophs were

actively involved in methane oxidation when replenished with oxygen, and growth of

these methanotrophic bacteria was evidenced by the substantial ^{13}C-enrichment of

diagnostic fatty acids. Our results thus highlight that there can be a strong potential

for MOx in anoxic sediments, which, at least in Lake Sempach, seems to be mostly

sustained by aerobic gamma proteobacteria (Type I MOB and *Crenothrix*). The fact

that these microbes are found in sediments where O_2 is fully depleted, and that they

can resume full aerobic metabolic activity upon the addition of O_2, attests to their

resilience against O_2 limitation. We propose that a potential capacity to switch to

anaerobic modes of methane oxidation may be key to this resilience, allowing them to

metabolize, at least at low level, also in the absence of O_2.

Methane carbon assimilation rates in the oxic incubations were an order of

magnitude higher than those in anoxic incubations. This is expected, since aerobic

respiration provides much more energy for growth than respiration with alternative

electron acceptors. While the result of the oxic incubation experiment confirm that

aerobic methane oxidation is much more efficient than AOM in consuming methane,

it needs to be noted that the vast majority of methane is produced, and accumulates, in

the anoxic part of lake sediments. Here, AOM, just as in the marine environment, may

serve as the important first step in a two-component benthic methane filter system. In

this context of this ecosystem function, the metabolic versatility and apparent capacity

of canonically aerobic methanotrophs to perform AOM has important implications for

the natural mitigation of CH_4 emission from lake waters to the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements

578   We thank Thomas Kuhn and Judith Kobler Waldis for technical support in the
579 lab. Thanks also go to Robert Lovas, Jana Tischer, Adeline Cojean and Yuki Weber
580 for their great help during the campaigns on Lake Sempach. This research was
581 supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and additional funds from the
582 Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel.

References

584 **References**

585 Amaral, J. A., and R. Knowles. 1995. Growth of methanotrophs in methane and

586  oxygen counter gradients. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **126**: 215–220.

587  doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07421.x

588 Andrews, S. 2018. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

589 Bar-Or, I., M. Elvert, W. Eckert, A. Kushmaro, H. Vigderovich, Q. Zhu, E. Ben-Dov,

590  and O. Sivan. 2017. Iron-coupled anaerobic oxidation of methane performed by

591  a mixed bacterial-archaeal community based on poorly reactive minerals.

592  Environ. Sci. Technol. **51**: 12293–12301. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b03126

593 Bastviken, D., J. Cole, M. Pace, and L. Tranvik. 2004. Methane emissions from lakes:

594  Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global

595  estimate. Global Biogeochem. Cycles **18**: 1–12. doi:10.1029/2004GB002238

596 Bastviken, D., J. Ejlertsson, and L. Tranvik. 2002. Measurement of methane oxidation

597  in lakes: A comparison of methods. Environ. Sci. Technol. **36**: 3354–3361.

598  doi:10.1021/es010311p

599 Beal, E. J., C. H. House, and V. J. Orphan. 2009. Manganese- and Iron-Dependent

600  Marine Methane Oxidation. Science. **325**: 184–187.

601  doi:10.1126/science.1169984

602 Bender, M., and R. Conrad. 1994. Methane oxidation activity in various soils and

603  freshwater sediments: Occurrence, characteristics, vertical profiles, and

604  distribution on grain size fractions. J. Geophys. Res. **99**: 16531.

605  doi:10.1029/94JD00266

606 Blazewicz, S. J., D. G. Petersen, M. P. Waldrop, and M. K. Firestone. 2012.

607  Anaerobic oxidation of methane in tropical and boreal soils: Ecological

608  significance in terrestrial methane cycling. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences **117**:

609  1–9. doi:10.1029/2011JG001864

610 Blees, J., H. Niemann, M. Erne, J. Zopfi, C. J. Schubert, and M. F. Lehmann. 2015.

611  Spatial variations in surface water methane super-saturation and emission in

612  Lake Lugano, southern Switzerland. Aquat. Sci. **77**: 535–545.

613  doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0401-z

614 Blees, J., H. Niemann, C. B. Wenk, and others. 2014. Micro-aerobic bacterial

615  methane oxidation in the chemocline and anoxic water column of deep south-

616  Alpine Lake Lugano (Switzerland). Limnol. Oceanogr. **59**: 311–324.

617   doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.2.0311

618 Blodau, C., and M. Deppe. 2012. Humic acid addition lowers methane release in peats

619   of the Mer Bleue bog , Canada. Soil Biol. Biochem. **52**: 96–98.

620   doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.023

621 Boetius, A., K. Ravenschlag, C. J. Schubert, and others. 2000. A marine microbial

622   consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Nature **407**:

623   623–626. doi:10.1038/35036572

624 Bowman, J. P., L. T. Sly, P. D. Nichols, and A. C. Hayward. 1994. Erratum: Revised

625   taxonomy of the methanotrophs: Description of Methylobacter gen. nov.,

626   emendation of Methylococcus, validation of Methylosinus and Methylocystis

627   species, and a proposal that the family Methylococcaceae includes only the

628   group I methanotro. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **44**: 375. doi:10.1099/00207713-44-2-

629   375

630 Cai, C., A. O. Leu, G.-J. Xie, and others. 2018. A methanotrophic archaeon couples

631   anaerobic oxidation of methane to Fe(III) reduction. ISME J. **12**: 1929–1939.

632   doi:10.1038/s41396-018-0109-x

633 Carere, C. R., K. Hards, K. M. Houghton, and others. 2017. Mixotrophy drives niche

634   expansion of verrucomicrobial methanotrophs. ISME J. **11**: 2599–2610.

635   doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.112

636 Cline, J. D. 1969. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural

637   waters. Limnol. Oceanogr. **14**: 454–458. doi:10.4319/lo.1969.14.3.0454

638 CROWE, S. A., S. KATSEV, K. LESLIE, and others. 2011. The methane cycle in

639   ferruginous Lake Matano. Geobiology **9**: 61–78. doi:10.1111/j.1472-

640   4669.2010.00257.x

641 Deutzmann, J. S., P. Stief, J. Brandes, and B. Schink. 2014. Anaerobic methane

642   oxidation coupled to denitrification is the dominant methane sink in a deep lake.

643   Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **111**: 18273–18278. doi:10.1073/pnas.1411617111

644 Donis, D., S. Flury, A. Stöckli, J. E. Spangenberg, D. Vachon, and D. F. McGinnis.

645   2017. Full-scale evaluation of methane production under oxic conditions in a

646   mesotrophic lake. Nat Commun **8**: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01648-4

647 Downing, J. A., Y. T. Prairie, J. J. Cole, and others. 2006. The global abundance and

648   size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. Limnol. Oceanogr. **51**:

649   2388–2397. doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2388

650 Edgar, R. 2016. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and

ITS sequences. bioRxiv. doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/074161

Elvert, M., A. Boetius, K. Knittel, and B. B. Jørgensen. 2003. Characterization of

specific membrane fatty acids as chemotaxonomic markers for sulfate-reducing

bacteria involved in anaerobic oxidation of methane. Geomicrobiol. J. **20**: 403–

419. doi:10.1080/01490450303894

Ettwig, K. F., M. K. Butler, D. Le Paslier, and others. 2010. Nitrite-driven anaerobic

methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria. Nature **464**: 543–548.

doi:10.1038/nature08883

Ettwig, K. F., S. Shima, K. T. Van De Pas-Schoonen, J. Kahnt, M. H. Medema, H. J.

M. Op Den Camp, M. S. M. Jetten, and M. Strous. 2008. Denitrifying bacteria

anaerobically oxidize methane in the absence of Archaea. Environ. Microbiol.

10: 3164–3173. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01724.x

Ettwig, K. F., B. Zhu, D. Speth, J. T. Keltjens, M. S. M. Jetten, and B. Kartal. 2016.

Archaea catalyze iron-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. **113**: 12792–12796. doi:10.1073/pnas.1609534113

Frenzel, P., B. Thebrath, and R. Conrad. 1990. Oxidation of methane in the oxic

surface layer of a deep lake sediment (Lake Constance). FEMS Microbiol. Lett.

73: 149–158. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1990.tb03935.x

Graf, J. S., M. J. Mayr, H. K. Marchant, and others. 2018. Bloom of a denitrifying

methanotroph, " Candidatus Methylomirabilis limnetica", in a deep stratified

lake. Environ. Microbiol. **20**: 2598–2614. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14285

Hanson, R. S., and T. E. Hanson. 1996. Methanotrophic Bacteria. Microbiol Rev **60**:

439–471.

Haroon, M. F., S. Hu, Y. Shi, M. Imelfort, J. Keller, P. Hugenholtz, Z. Yuan, and G.

W. Tyson. 2013. Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to nitrate reduction in

a novel archaeal lineage. Nature **500**: 567–70. doi:10.1038/nature12375

He, R., M. J. Wooller, J. W. Pohlman, J. Quensen, J. M. Tiedje, and M. B. Leigh.

2012. Diversity of active aerobic methanotrophs along depth profiles of arctic

and subarctic lake water column and sediments. ISME J. **6**: 1937–1948.

doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.34

Henckel, T., P. Roslev, and R. Conrad. 2000. Effects of O2 and CH4 on presence and

activity of the indigenous methanotrophic community in rice field soil. Environ.

Microbiol. **2**: 666–679. doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00149.x

Ishiwatari, R. 1985. Geochemistry of humic substances in lake sediments. 147–180.

Islam, T., S. Jensen, L. J. Reigstad, O. Larsen, and N.-K. Birkeland. 2008. Methane

oxidation at 55°C and pH 2 by a thermoacidophilic bacterium belonging to the

Verrucomicrobia phylum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **105**: 300–304.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0704162105

Iversen, N., and B. B. Jørgensen. 1985. Anaerobic methane oxidation rates at the

sulfate-methane transition in marine sediments from Kattegat and Skagerrak

(Denmark)1. Limnol. Oceanogr. **30**: 944–955. doi:10.4319/lo.1985.30.5.0944

Kellermann, M. Y., G. Wegener, M. Elvert, and others. 2012. Autotrophy as a

predominant mode of carbon fixation in anaerobic methane-oxidizing microbial

communities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **109**: 19321–19326.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1208795109

Kits, K. D., D. J. Campbell, A. R. Rosana, and L. Y. Stein. 2015a. Diverse electron

sources support denitrification under hypoxia in the obligate methanotroph

Methylomicrobium album strain BG8. Front. Microbiol. **6**: 1–11.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01072

Kits, K. D., M. G. Klotz, and L. Y. Stein. 2015b. Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate

reduction under hypoxia by the Gammaproteobacterium Methylomonas

denitrificans, sp. nov. type strain FJG1. Environ. Microbiol. **17**: 3219–3232.

doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12772

Knittel, K., T. Lösekann, A. Boetius, R. Kort, R. Amann, and T. Lo. 2005. Diversity

and Distribution of Methanotrophic Archaea at Cold Seeps. Appl Env. Microbiol

71: 467–479. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.1.467

Kojima, H., M. Tsutsumi, K. Ishikawa, T. Iwata, and M. Mußmann. 2012.

Distribution of putative denitrifying methane oxidizing bacteria in sediment of a

freshwater lake , Lake Biwa. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. **35**: 233–238.

doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2012.03.005

Kool, D. M., B. Zhu, W. I. C. Rijpstra, M. S. M. Jetten, K. F. Ettwig, and J. S.

Sinninghe Damsté. 2012. Rare branched fatty acids characterize the lipid

composition of the intra-aerobic methane oxidizer "Candidatus Methylomirabilis

oxyfera." Appl Env. Microbiol **78**: 8650–8656. doi:10.1128/AEM.02099-12

Kuivila, K. M., J. W. Murray, A. H. Devol, M. E. Lidstrom, and C. E. Reimers. 1988.

Methane cycling in the sediments of Lake Washington. Limnol. Oceanogr. **33**:

571–581. doi:10.4319/lo.1988.33.4.0571

Leu, A. O., C. Cai, S. J. McIlroy, G. Southam, V. J. Orphan, Z. Yuan, S. Hu, and G.

W. Tyson. 2020. Anaerobic methane oxidation coupled to manganese reduction

by members of the Methanoperedenaceae. ISME J. **14**: 1030–1041.

doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0590-x

Lidstrom, M. E., and L. Somers. 1984. Seasonal study of methane oxidation in lake

washington. Appl Env. Microbiol **47**: 1255–1260.

Lovley, D. R., J. D. Coates, E. L. Blunt-Harris, E. J. P. Phillips, and J. C. Woodward.

1996. Humic substances as electron acceptors for microbial respiration. Nature

382: 445–448. doi:10.1038/382445a0

Martinez-cruz, K., M. Leewis, I. Charold, A. Sepulveda-jauregui, K. Walter, F.

Thalasso, and M. Beth. 2017. Anaerobic oxidation of methane by aerobic

methanotrophs in sub-Arctic lake sediments. Sci. Total Environ. **607–608**: 23–

31. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.187

Martinez-Cruz, K., A. Sepulveda-Jauregui, P. Casper, K. W. Anthony, K. A. Smemo,

and F. Thalasso. 2018. Ubiquitous and significant anaerobic oxidation of

methane in freshwater lake sediments. Water Res. **144**: 332–340.

doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.053

Mayr, M. J., M. Zimmermann, C. Guggenheim, A. Brand, and H. Bürgmann. 2020.

Niche partitioning of methane-oxidizing bacteria along the oxygen–methane

counter gradient of stratified lakes. ISME J. **14**: 274–287. doi:10.1038/s41396-

019-0515-8

McMurdie, P. J., and S. Holmes. 2013. Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible

interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One **8**: 1–11.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Milucka, J., T. G. Ferdelman, L. Polerecky, and others. 2012. Zero-valent sulphur is a

key intermediate in marine methane oxidation. Nature **491**: 541–6.

doi:10.1038/nature11656

Milucka, J., M. Kirf, L. Lu, A. Krupke, P. Lam, S. Littmann, M. M. Kuypers, and C.

J. Schubert. 2015. Methane oxidation coupled to oxygenic photosynthesis in

anoxic waters. ISME J. **9**: 1991–2002. doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.12

Niemann, H., L. Steinle, J. Blees, I. Bussmann, T. Treude, S. Krause, M. Elvert, and

M. F. Lehmann. 2015. Toxic effects of lab-grade butyl rubber stoppers on

aerobic methane oxidation. Limnol Ocean. Methods **13**: 40–52.

doi:10.1002/lom3.10005

Norði, K. à., B. Thamdrup, and C. J. Schubert. 2013. Anaerobic oxidation of methane

in an iron-rich Danish freshwater lake sediment. Limnol. Oceanogr. **58**: 546–

554. doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0546

Orphan, V. J., C. H. House, K.-U. Hinrichs, K. D. McKeegan, and E. F. DeLong.

2002. Multiple archaeal groups mediate methane oxidation in anoxic cold seep

sediments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **99**: 7663–7668. doi:10.1073/pnas.072210299

Oswald, K., J. S. Graf, S. Littmann, and others. 2017. Crenothrix are major methane

consumers in stratified lakes. ISME J. **11**: 2124–2140.

doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.77

Oswald, K., J. Milucka, A. Brand, P. Hach, S. Littmann, B. Wehrli, M. M. M.

Kuypers, and C. J. Schubert. 2016. Aerobic gammaproteobacterial

methanotrophs mitigate methane emissions from oxic and anoxic lake waters.

Limnol. Oceanogr. **61**: S101–S118. doi:10.1002/lno.10312

Oswald, K., J. Milucka, A. Brand, S. Littmann, B. Wehrli, M. M. M. Kuypers, and C.

J. Schubert. 2015. Light-dependent aerobic methane oxidation reduces methane

emissions from seasonally stratified lakes. PLoS One **10**: 1–22.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132574

Parada, A. E., D. M. Needham, and J. A. Fuhrman. 2016. Every base matters:

Assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock

communities, time series and global field samples. Environ. Microbiol. **18**:

1403–1414. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13023

Pasche, N., M. Schmid, F. Vazquez, and others. 2011. Methane sources and sinks in

Lake Kivu. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences **116**: 1–16.

doi:10.1029/2011JG001690

Quast, C., E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies, and F.

O. Glöckner. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project :

improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. **41**: 590–596.

doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

Raghoebarsing, A. a, A. Pol, K. T. van de Pas-Schoonen, and others. 2006. A

microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to denitrification.

Nature **440**: 918–921. doi:10.1038/nature04617

Rissanen, A. J., J. Saarenheimo, M. Tiirola, S. Peura, S. L. Aalto, A. Karvinen, and H.

Nykänen. 2018. Gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs dominate methanotrophy

in aerobic and anaerobic layers of boreal lake waters. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. **81**:

257–276. doi:https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01874

Roslev, P., and G. M. King. 1995. Aerobic and anaerobic starvation metabolism in
methanotrophic bacteria. Appl Env. Microbiol **61**: 1563–1570.

Scheller, S., H. Yu, G. L. Chadwick, S. E. McGlynn, and V. J. Orphan. 2016.
Artificial electron acceptors decouple archaeal methane oxidation from sulfate
reduction. Science (80-.). **351**: 1754–1756. doi:10.1126/science.aad7154

Schmieder, R., and R. Edwards. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of
metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics **27**: 863–864.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026

Schubert, C. J., F. Vazquez, T. Lösekann-Behrens, K. Knittel, M. Tonolla, and A.
Boetius. 2011. Evidence for anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of a
freshwater system (Lago di Cadagno). FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **76**: 26–38.
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01036.x

Scott, D. T., D. M. McKnight, E. L. Blunt-Harris, and S. E. Kolesar. 1999. Quinone
Moieties Act as Electron Acceptors in the Reduction of Humic Substances by
Humics-Reducing Microorganisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. **33**: 372–372.
doi:10.1021/es982014z

Segarra, K. E. A., C. Comerford, J. Slaughter, and S. B. Joye. 2013. Impact of
electron acceptor availability on the anaerobic oxidation of methane in coastal
freshwater and brackish wetland sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta **115**:
15–30. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.03.029

Sicre, M.-A., R. C. Tian, and A. Saliot. 1994. Distribution of sterols in the suspended
particles of the Chang Jiang Estuary and adjacent East China Sea. Org.
Geochem. **21**: 1–10. doi:10.1016/0146-6380(94)90083-3

Sivan, O., M. Adler, A. Pearson, F. Gelman, I. Bar-Or, S. G. John, and W. Eckert.
2011. Geochemical evidence for iron-mediated anaerobic oxidation of methane.
Limnol. Oceanogr. **56**: 1536–1544. doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.4.1536

Skerratt, J. H., P. D. Nichols, J. P. Bowman, and L. I. Sly. 1992. Occurrence and
significance of long-chain (ω-1)-hydroxy fatty acids in methane-utilizing
bacteria. Org. Geochem. **18**: 189–194. doi:10.1016/0146-6380(92)90129-L

Smemo, K. A., and J. B. Yavitt. 2011. Anaerobic oxidation of methane: An
underappreciated aspect of methane cycling in peatland ecosystems?
Biogeosciences **8**: 779–793. doi:10.5194/bg-8-779-2011

Stadelmann, P. 1988. Der Zustand des Sempachersees. Wasser, Energie, Luft **80**: 81–
96.

Stoecker, K., B. Bendinger, B. Schöning, and others. 2006. Cohn's Crenothrix is a
filamentous methane oxidizer with an unusual methane monooxygenase. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **103**: 2363–2367. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506361103

Stookey, L. L. 1970. Ferrozine-A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron. Anal.
Chem. **42**: 779–781. doi:10.1021/ac60289a016

Su, G., H. Niemann, L. Steinle, J. Zopfi, and M. F. Lehmann. 2019. Evaluating
radioisotope–based approaches to measure anaerobic methane oxidation rates in
lacustrine sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods **17**: 429–438.
doi:10.1002/lom3.10323

Su, G., J. Zopfi, H. Yao, L. Steinle, H. Niemann, and M. F. Lehmann. 2020.
Manganese/iron–supported sulfate–dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane by
archaea in lake sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. **65**: 863–875.
doi:10.1002/lno.11354

Vaksmaa, A., S. Guerrero-Cruz, T. A. van Alen, G. Cremers, K. F. Ettwig, C. Lüke,
and M. S. M. Jetten. 2017. Enrichment of anaerobic nitrate-dependent
methanotrophic 'Candidatus Methanoperedens nitroreducens' archaea from an
Italian paddy field soil. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **101**: 7075–7084.
doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8416-0

Valenzuela, E. I., K. A. Avendaño, N. Balagurusamy, S. Arriaga, C. Nieto-Delgado,
F. Thalasso, and F. J. Cervantes. 2019. Electron shuttling mediated by humic
substances fuels anaerobic methane oxidation and carbon burial in wetland
sediments. Sci. Total Environ. **650**: 2674–2684.
doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.09.388

Weber, H. S., K. S. Habicht, and B. Thamdrup. 2017. Anaerobic methanotrophic
archaea of the ANME-2d cluster are active in a low-sulfate, iron-rich freshwater
sediment. Front. Microbiol. **8**: 1–13. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00619

Wilson, L. G., and R. S. Bandurski. 1958. Enzymatic reactions involving sulfate,
sulfite, selenate and molybdate. J. Biol. Chem. **233**: 975–981.

Zheng, Y., H. Wang, Y. Liu, and others. 2020. Methane-Dependent Mineral
Reduction by Aerobic Methanotrophs under Hypoxia. Environ. Sci. Technol.
Lett. **7**: 606–612. doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00436

FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1. (A-F) Depth profiles of methane, methane oxidation rates, porewater nitrate/sulfate, particular iron(III)/manganese(IV) oxides, dissolved iron(II)/manganese(II) and oxygen in the sediment of Lake Sempach. Error bars represent standard deviations of triplicate rate measurements. No nitrite was detected. (G-J) Relative abundances of known families OF metahnotrophes and their subgroups at the genus level: (G) Methylococcaceae or Type I gamma-proteobacterial MOB, (H) Methylocystaceae or Type II alpha-proteobacterial MOB, (I) Crenotrichaceae, (J) Methylacidiphilaceae, (K) Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), showing the relationship between MOR and methanotrophic species and the effect of nutrient concentrations on their distribution. ZOTU abundances were normalized and Hellinger-transformed prior to CCA analysis. A total of 42 ZOTUs accounting for > 92% of the methanotrophic community were shown on the plot and bold text represents taxa belonging to the genus *Methylobacter* or *Crenothrix*. Black triangles denote sediment samples at different depths.

Figure 2. (A) Methane oxidation in anoxic slurries with ^{14}C-labeled methane and different electron acceptors: Sulfate (2 mM), amorphous iron(III) oxides (5 mM) and manganese(IV) oxides (5 mM) after 30 and 60 days, respectively. (B) Sulfate concentrations in the incubation supernatants of different treatments after 30 and 60 days. Control incubations were conducted without electron acceptors added. No methane oxidation rates were detected in base-killed controls (pH>13). Error bars represent standard error of mean (n=3). Sulfate was below the detection limit in the live controls and in incubations with Fe(III) (points are overlapping).

Figure 3. Concentrations of produced $^{13}CO_2$ and sulfate in anoxic slurries amended with ^{13}C-labeled methane and different electron acceptors (nitrite, nitrate and oxygen). (A, B) Controls including killed controls (autoclaved) and live controls (without electron acceptors added). (C, D) Nitrite addition; nitrite was replenished after 17 days. (E, F) Nitrate addition; nitrate was replenished after 17 days. (G, H) Oxic incubations. No nitrite and nitrate was detected in both controls and slurries with oxygen.

Figure 4. Concentrations and compound-specific δ¹³C values of fatty acids diagnostic

for putative methanotrophs in the initial slurry sample (Slurry T0), and in $^{13}CH_4$

incubations with different treatments after 160 days.

Figure 5. Microbial communities in slurry samples before (Slurry T0) and after

incubation (160 days) with different treatments. (A) Relative abundances of the most

abundant phyla within archaea. (B) Relative abundances of top bacterial classes. (C)

Fractional abundances of known methanotrophic families. Data are based on read

abundances of 16S rRNA gene sequences.

FIGURES

Figure 1

Figure 2

907   **Figure 3**

909 **Figure 4**

912 **Figure 5**