Multiple groups of methanotrophic bacteria mediate methane oxidation in anoxic lake sediments

Guangyi Su[*], Jakob Zopfi, Moritz F. Lehmann

Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Bernoullistrasse 30, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

[*]corresponding author

Abstract

Freshwater lakes represent an important source of the potent greenhouse gas methane (CH_4) to the atmosphere. Methane emissions are regulated to large parts by aerobic (MOx) and anaerobic (AOM) oxidation of methane that are important sinks in lakes. In contrast to marine benthic environments, our knowledge about the modes of AOM and the related methanotrophic microorganisms in anoxic lake sediments is still rudimentary. Here we demonstrate the occurrence of AOM in the anoxic sediments of Lake Sempach (Switzerland), with maximum in situ AOM rates observed within the surface sediment layers in presence of multiple groups of methanotrophic bacteria and various oxidants known to support AOM. However, substrate-amended incubations (with NO_2^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Fe^{3+} and Mn^{4+}) revealed that none of the electron acceptors previously reported to support AOM enhanced methane turnover in Lake Sempach sediments under anoxic conditions. In contrast, the addition of oxygen to the anoxic sediments resulted in an approximately tenfold increase in methane oxidation relative to the anoxic incubations. Phylogenetic and isotopic evidence indicate that both Type I and Type II aerobic methanotrophs were growing on methane under both oxic and anoxic conditions, although methane assimilation rates were an order of magnitude higher under oxic conditions. While the anaerobic electron acceptor responsible for AOM could not be identified, these findings expand our understanding of the metabolic versatility of canonically aerobic methanotrophs under anoxic conditions, with important implications for future investigations to identify methane oxidation processes. Bacterial AOM by facultative aerobic methane oxidizers might be of much larger environmental significance in reducing methane emissions than previously thought.

Introduction

Methane (CH_4) is a powerful greenhouse gas in the atmosphere and a major portion of this gas in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems is produced biologically in anoxic environments (e.g., anoxic waters and sediments) by anaerobic methanogens. In contrast to oceans, freshwater habitats such as lakes cover only a small portion of the earth's surface (0.9%; Downing et al. 2006), yet, they contribute a significant part to the global emissions of methane to the atmosphere (6-16%; Bastviken et al. 2004). The comparatively low methane concentrations in both surface and bottom waters of lakes (Blees et al. 2015; Donis et al. 2017) relative to those (mM ranges) in anoxic sediments (Blees et al. 2014) may suggest that methane in lake sediments is mostly consumed before released to the water column.

In freshwater lakes, high activities of methane oxidation is often thought to occur at the oxic-anoxic transition zones (Lidstrom and Somers 1984; Kuivila et al. 1988; Frenzel et al. 1990; Bender and Conrad 1994; He et al. 2012). In this scenario, methane oxidation is usually carried out by the conventionally known aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB), which is an important methane sink in lacustrine environments. In anoxic lake waters, this pathway can be fueled by oxygen diffusion or intrusion events from the oxic water column (Hanson and Hanson 1996; Bastviken et al. 2002; Pasche et al. 2011; He et al. 2012; Oswald et al. 2016), or through oxygenic photosynthesis in shallow lakes (Oswald et al. 2015; Milucka et al. 2015; Rissanen et al. 2018). On the other hand, true anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in anoxic environments is usually performed by anaerobic methanotrophs (ANMEs) that are able to couple methane oxidation to the reduction of sulfate (Boetius et al. 2000; Orphan et al. 2002; Knittel et al. 2005; Milucka et al. 2012), nitrite (Raghoebarsing et al. 2006; Ettwig et al. 2008), nitrate (Haroon et al. 2013), metal oxides (Beal et al. 2009; Ettwig et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2018; Leu et al. 2020) or in a few cases, organic electron acceptors such as humic substances (Scheller et al. 2016). In anoxic lake sediments, pathways of AOM such as nitrate/nitrite-dependent methane oxidation may sometimes be masked by aerobic process due to the close proximity of nitrate/nitrite- and oxygen-consumption zones near the sediment-water interfaces, thus making it difficult to distinguish between true AOM and aerobic methane oxidation. Interestingly, nitrite-dependent AOM employs the pathway similar to canonical aerobic methane oxidation that involves soluble and/or particulate methane

monooxygenase enzymes and is catalyzed by *Candidatus* Methylomirabilis that are capable of producing oxygen intracellularly via the dismutation of nitric oxide (Ettwig et al. 2010). Such denitrifying methanotrophic bacteria have been recently reported for both lake sediments (Kojima et al. 2012; Deutzmann et al. 2014) and water column (Graf et al. 2018; Mayr et al. 2020). In contrast to marine ANMEs that use sulfate to oxidize methane (Boetius et al. 2000; Orphan et al. 2002; Knittel et al. 2005; Milucka et al. 2012), a recently identified anaerobic methanotrophic archaea in freshwater lake sediments that are phylogenetically closely related to *Candidatus* Methanoperedens nitroreducens (i.e., nitrate-dependent methanotrophs or ANME-2d, Haroon et al. 2013), was demonstrated to also perform methane oxidation coupled to sulfate reduction (Su et al. 2020).

Methane oxidation seems to be ubiquitous in strictly anoxic lake sediments (Martinez-Cruz et al. 2018), however, evidence of the occurrence of true AOM that involves anaerobic electron acceptors and related microorganisms, is still sparse (Schubert et al. 2011; Crowe et al. 2011; Sivan et al. 2011; Norði et al. 2013; Weber et al. 2017; Bar-Or et al. 2017; Su et al. 2020). Moreover, the role of aerobic methanotrophs in anoxic sediments and their contribution to the observed AOM is still not well understood. Indeed, under oxygen limitation, some gamma-proteobacterial MOB (i.e., *Methylomonas* and *Methylomicrobium*) have the capacity to oxidize methane with nitrate/nitrite as terminal electron acceptors (Kits et al. 2015a; b). In addition, *Methylobacter* was recently shown to utilize methane for lipid synthesis during long-term anoxic incubation although the responsible electron acceptors for AOM were not investigated (Martinez-cruz et al. 2017). This genus was also observed in deep anoxic hypolimnion of a permanently stratified lake with high methane oxidation rates (Blees et al. 2014), yet, the exact mechanism of this apparent AOM by aerobic methane oxidizers and the electron acceptor remained enigmatic. Another putative aerobic methanotroph lineage-*Crenothrix* (Stoecker et al. 2006), was also shown to have the potential to catalyze methane oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction under anoxic conditions (Oswald et al. 2017).

It appears that aerobic methanotrophs are versatile in electron acceptors use and able to conduct anaerobic respiration in oxygen-deficient or anoxic environments. In this study, we investigated methane oxidation in anoxic sediments of Lake Sempach in Switzerland, with the particular goal to elucidate the potential electron acceptors and microbial players involved and to verify whether the apparent anoxic methane

sink represents true AOM. Towards this goal, we combined sediment pore water
hydrochemical data with in situ AOM rate measurements using radio-labeled
methane, as well as slurry incubation experiments to study the impact of alternative
oxidants (i.e., sulfate, iron, manganese, nitrite and nitrate) on AOM. In addition, we
characterized the microorganisms that are involved in methane oxidation using
approaches including lipid biomarker and 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Materials and Methods

Study Site and sampling. Lake Sempach is a eutrophic lake and located in central
Switzerland. Since decades ago, the hypolimnion of this lake has been artificially
aerated, leading to complete mixing of water column (Stadelmann 1988). The
oxygenation of the hypolimnion leads to oxic condition in the bottom waters and may
potentially introduce alternative oxidants for methane oxidation in the sediment. In
March 2015, sediment cores (inner diameter 62 mm) were recovered with a gravity
corer from the deepest site of Lake Sempach. Samples for dissolved methane
concentrations were collected onsite with cut-off syringes. 3 mL of sediment samples
were fixed with 7.0 mL 10% NaOH in 20 mL glass vials, which were then
immediately sealed with thick butyl rubber stoppers. Porewater was extracted by
centrifuging the 1 or 2 cm segments of the sediment core under N_2 atmosphere and
filtering the supernatant through 0.45 μm filters, and stored at 4 °C after fixation for
respective analysis. Samples for DNA extraction and particulate iron/manganese were
collected at 1 or 2 cm intervals of the sediment core, and stored frozen at -20 °C until
further processing.

Chemical analyses. Methane concentrations in the headspace of NaOH-fixed
samples were measured using a gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 6890N) with a
flame ionization detector, and helium as a carrier gas. Nitrite was determined
colorimetrically using Griess reagent (Hansen and Koroleff (1999). Concentrations of
sulfate, nitrate and ammonium were analyzed by ion chromatography (881 IC
compact plus, Metrohm, Switzerland). Samples for dissolved iron (Fe^{2+}) and
manganese (Mn^{2+}) were fixed with HCl (6 M) after filtration through a 0.45 μm
membrane filter and measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission
spectrometry (ICP-OES). Filtered samples for sulfide concentrations were stabilized

immediately after sampling with 200 μL zinc acetate (5% w/w) and analyzed in the laboratory photometrically (Cline 1969). Reactive iron oxide in the solid phase was extracted using 0.5 M HCl and then reduced to Fe(II) with 1.5 M hydroxylamine. Concentrations of Fe(II) were then determined photometrically using the ferrozine assay (Stookey 1970). Particulate reactive iron was calculated from the difference between the total Fe(II) concentrations after reduction, and the dissolved Fe(II) in the filtered sample. A total carbon analyzer (Shimadzu, Corp., Kyoto, Japan) was used to quantify dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations. To determine the penetration depth, oxygen gradients in surface sediments were measured with a Clark-type microelectrode sensor (Ox 10; Unisense A/S, Denmark).

In situ AOM rate measurements. To determine depth-specific in situ AOM rates in Lake Sempach sediments, subcore incubation was applied (Su et al. 2019). Briefly, subcores were taken by inserting three small plexiglass tubes (inner diameter 16 mm) into the fresh sediment core and both ends of the core tubes were sealed securely with black rubber stoppers. After ~1 h preincubation, 20μL of $^{14}CH_4$ solution (~2.5kBq) was injected through the predrilled, silicone-sealed ports at 1.5 cm intervals. Subcores were incubated at in situ temperature (4 °C) in the dark for 2 days. At the end of the incubation, subcores were extruded and subsamples were collected at 1 or 2 cm segments, and directly transferred into 100 ml vials containing 20 ml of the aqueous NaOH (5% w:w) to terminate microbial activity. Radioactivities of different ^{14}C pools in the incubation samples and AOM rates were determined according to Su et al. (2019).

Substrate-amended slurry incubations. Experiment 1 (sulfate, iron and manganese-addition experiments with ^{14}C-labelled methane). Anoxic slurries of ~8 L were prepared by purging a mixture of sediments (surface 10 cm × 4 sediment cores, ~1500 cm^3) and autoclaved anoxic artificial sulfate-free medium (Su et al. 2020). Homogenized slurries of ~100 mL were subsequently dispensed into 120-mL serum bottles and sealed with butyl rubber stoppers. To the electron acceptor-supplemented bottles, stock solutions of sulfate, ferrihydrite and birnessite were added to final concentrations of 2 mM, 5 mM and 5 mM, respectively. For the inhibitor-addition experiment, molybdate was added to a final concentration of 4 mM. Base-killed controls (pH > 13) were also prepared and incubated in parallel. All bottles were

further purged with N_2 to remove any traces of oxygen. After 24-h preincubation, 1.5

ml pure CH_4 was injected into the headspace of each bottle, which was gently shaken

for 24 h, resulting in a final concentration of 100 μmol/L in the slurries. Finally, all

incubation bottles were transported to anoxic chamber and filled bubble-free with

anoxic medium (100 μmol/L CH_4) before injecting $^{14}CH_4$ gas tracer. At different time

points (30 and 60 days), incubation samples were stopped by adding 5 ml saturated

NaOH after creating 20 ml headspace. AOM rates were analyzed and calculated as

described previously (Su et al. 2019).

Experiment 2 (nitrite-, nitrate-addition and oxic experiments with ^{13}C-labelled

methane). To further test the role of nitrite/nitrate in AOM, we performed slurry

incubation experiments with a mixture of sediment and anoxic bottom lake water

taken in November 2020. Briefly, 100 ml mixed slurries (1.5 g dw sediment per 120-

mL bottle) were degassed with He to remove any traces of oxygen and background

methane before transporting to anoxic bag. 5 mL of pure ^{13}C-labelled methane (99.8

atom %, Campro Scientific) was injected into the headspace of bottles, which were

amended with anoxic stock solutions of nitrite and nitrate, with final concentrations of

0.48 mM and 2.4 mM, respectively. Nitrite and nitrate were replenished when

consumed or remained at low concentrations. Killed controls (autoclaved and $^{13}CH_4$),

live controls (only $^{13}CH_4$) and controls with only ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate were also

incubated in parallel at room temperature. For oxic experiments, slurries were spiked

with pure oxygen and then injected with 5 mL of pure $^{13}CH_4$. Oxygen was replenished

regularly to maintain oxic condition in the incubation bottles. At different time points,

incubation slurries were homogenized and 5 mL of the supernatant was collected

under N_2 atmosphere and filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter for subsequent

sulfate, nitrate, nitrite, DIC concentration and stable carbon isotope ratio analyses. To

determine the carbon isotope composition of DIC, 0.2-1 mL of water sample was

transferred into a 12 mL He-purged exetainer (Labco Ltd) containing 200 μL zinc

chloride (50 % w/v), and then acidified with ~200 μL 80% H_3PO_4. The liberated CO_2

was analyzed in the headspace using a purge-and-trap purification system (Gas Bench)

coupled to a GC-IRMS (Thermo Scientific, Delta V Advantage). Absolute ^{13}C-DIC

abundances were determined from the DIC concentrations and the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios

converted from $δ^{13}C$-DIC values (Oswald et al. 2015). The temporal change in ^{13}C-

DIC with incubation time was then used to calculate slurry-incubation-based potential

methane oxidation rates.

Microbial lipid extraction and analysis. After 160 days of incubation with $^{13}CH_4$ (Experiment 2), slurries of triplicate bottles were combined and freeze-dried. Samples were then homogenized for lipid extraction using an Accelerated Solvent Extraction system (ASE 350, Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Samples were extracted with a solvent mixture of dichloromethane and methanol (9:1, vol/vol) over three extraction cycles at 100 °C and maximum pressure of 1500 psi. Total lipid extracts (TLEs) were collected in 60 mL glass vials and concentrated using a vacuum evaporator system (Rocket Synergy Evaporator, Genevac Ltd., Ipswich, UK). Prior to extraction, an internal standard mix (5α-Cholestane, Nonadecanoic acid and 1-Nonadecanol) was added to each sample for the quantification of individual biomarkers. The TLEs were further evaporated to dryness and then saponified with methanolic KOH-solution (12%) at 80 °C for 3 h. Neutral compounds were extracted by liquid-liquid extraction using hexane. After extracting the neutral fraction, fatty acids (FAs) were extracted from the remaining aqueous phase with hexane after acidification (pH ~ 1). The fatty acid fraction was methylated using BF_3 in methanol (14% v/v, Sigma Aldrich) at 80 °C for 2 h, and analyzed later as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). Neutral compounds were further separated into hydrocarbon and alcohol fractions over silica glass gel columns, as described by Sicre et al. (1994) with following modifications. The neutral fraction was dissolved in heptane and transferred onto the wet column. Aliphatic, cyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons were eluted with 2 mL heptane and 2 mL heptane/toluene (3:1 v/v), ethers and ketones with 2 mL heptane/toluene (1:1 v/v) and 2 mL heptane/ethyl acetate (95:5 v/v), and finally alcohols with 2 mL heptane/ethyl acetate (85:15 v/v) and heptane/ethyl acetate (80:20 v/v). The alcohol fraction was methylated with 100 µL pyridine and 50 µl bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoracetamide (BSTFA) at 70 °C for 1 h.

All fractions were quantified using a TRACE GC Ultra gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Individual lipid compounds were quantified by normalization to the internal standard and identified by comparing their retention times to those of laboratory standard mixtures, or by a gas chromatograph mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Thermo Scientific DSQ II Dual Stage Quadrupole) and the acquired mass spectra were identified through comparison with published data. Compound-specific stable carbon isotope ratios were determined using a gas chromatograph with split/splitless

injector, connected on-line via a GC-Isolink combustion interface to a ConFlo IV and

Delta V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer (GC-IRMS, Thermo Scientific,

Bremen, Germany). Both concentrations and $\delta^{13}C$ values of lipids were corrected for

the introduction of carbon atoms during derivatization.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, Illumina sequencing and data analysis.

DNA was extracted from both samples of Lake Sempach core sediments as well as

from the slurry sediments at the end of incubations (Experiment 2), using a FastDNA

SPIN Kit (MP Biomedicals) following the manufacturer's instructions. A two-step

PCR approach was applied in order to prepare the library for sequencing at the

Genomics Facility Basel (https://www.bsse.ethz.ch/genomicsbasel). Briefly, a first

PCR (25 cycles) was performed using primers 515F-Y and 926R targeting the V4 and

V5 regions of the 16S rRNA gene (Parada et al. 2016). Sample indices and Illumina

adaptors were added in a second PCR of eight cycles. Purified indexed amplicons

were finally pooled at equimolar concentration into one library and sequenced on an

Illumina MiSeq platform using the 2×300 bp paired-end protocol (V3 kit). After

sequencing, quality of the raw reads was checked using FastQC (v 0.11.8) (Andrews

2010). Paired-end read merger (usearch v11.0.667_i86linux64) was used to merge

forward and reverse reads into amplicons of about 419 bp length, allowing a

minimum overlap of 40 nucleotides and a mismatch density of 0.25. Quality filtering

(min Q20, no Ns allowed) was carried out using PRINSEQ (Schmieder and Edwards

2011). Amplicon sequence variants were determined by denoising using the UNOISE

algorithm (UNOISE3, usearch v11.0.667_i86linux64) and are herein referred to as

ZOTU (zero-radius OTU). Taxonomic assignment was done using SINTAX

v11.0.240_i86linux64 (Edgar 2016) and the SILVA 16S rRNA reference database

v128 (Quast et al. 2013). Subsequent data analyses were carried out with Phyloseq

(McMurdie and Holmes 2013) in the R environment (R Core Team, 2014)

(http://www.r-project.org/).

Data availability. Raw reads are deposited at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

(SRA) in the BioProject PRJNA759259, and can be accessed under the accession

numbers from SRR15689022- SRR15689034.

Results

Rates of AOM and geochemistry of Lake Sempach sediments. Concentration of methane in the sediments increased with depth, reaching a maximum of ~1.75 mM at 10 cm depth. Methane oxidation were observed throughout the sediment core and the activity decreased with depth, with the maximum AOM rates (68.0 ± 19.0 nmol cm^{-3} d^{-1}) measured in the upper 0-2 cm (Fig. 1B). Nitrate was only detected above 3 cm (up to 5 µM) and the sulfate concentration decreased downward from 78 µM at the sediment-water interface to 7 µM at 10 cm. Both sulfide and nitrite were below detection at all depths. Reducible iron and manganese oxides were most abundant in surface sediments (24.3 and 30.8 µmol g^{-1} sediment respectively), and concentrations of both dissolved iron (Fe^{2+}) and manganese (Mn^{2+}) increased steadily with depth. Under the condition of fully oxygenated bottom waters, oxygen was rapidly consumed in the uppermost sediment layers and penetrated to a maximum depth of 3 mm (Fig. 1F).

Diversity and abundance of methanotrophs in lake sediments. Analysis of sediment microbial community, based on 16SrRNA gene sequencing, revealed the presence of multiple groups of aerobic methanotrophs, including Type I gamma- and Type II alpha-proteobacterial MOB (Fig. 1G-H). Type I gamma-MOB consist of the conventionally known *Methylococcaceae*, of which the genus *Methylobacter* dominated this cluster. The relative abundances of *Methylococcaceae* range from 0.07% to 0.23%, with peak abundance occurring at 3 cm depth. In comparison, Type II alpha-MOB *Methylocystaceae* was found at much lower relative abundances, with a maximum of 0.04% observed at 6 cm depth. A large number of 16S rRNA gene sequences (0.17–1.25%, Fig. 1I), belonged to *Crenotrichaceae*, of which *Crenothrix* were the predominant genus and the amplified sequence variants shared very high sequence similarities (96-100%) with what has been described recently as major methane oxidizers in stratified lakes (Oswald et al. 2017). In addition, a very small proportion of sequences (up to 0.03%) were affiliated with *Methylacidiphilaceae* within the *Verrucomicrobia* phylum and were reported to perform aerobic methane oxidation in acidic geothermal environments (Islam et al. 2008; Carere et al. 2017). A canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was performed to show correlation between the concentrations of potential electron acceptors, methane oxidation rates and

specific methanotrophic species (Fig. 1K). The CCA triplot shows that members belonging to *Crenothrix* or *Methylobacter* were positively correlated to the methane oxidation rates and negatively correlated to methane concentrations. Interestingly, the plot also shows that *Crenothrix* and *Methylobacter* were more likely found in surface sediments with high concentrations of potential electron acceptors (i.e., oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, iron and manganese).

Effect of potential electron acceptor addition on AOM rates. To investigate the potential electron acceptors for AOM, sediments of top 10 cm where in situ rates were measured (Fig. 1B), were incubated with different electron acceptors. In the first attempted experiment with ^{14}C-labelled methane, no apparent increase in AOM rate was observed in any amendment with potential electron acceptors compared with the controls (no electron acceptors added, Fig. 2). Incubations of both 30 and 60 days revealed very similar potential AOM rates between the untreated controls and amendments with sulfate and molybdate. Interestingly, the addition of iron oxides to the slurries did not stimulate methane oxidation but rather resulted in systematically lower AOM rates relative to the control experiments. More surprisingly, incubations with manganese oxides completely inhibited methane oxidation. In the second experiment using ^{13}C-labelled methane, we further tested the roles of nitrate/nitrite and compared with aerobic methane oxidation. Similar to ^{14}C-labelled methane incubation experiments, AOM was occurring in the live controls (without electron acceptors addition). Incubations with nitrate and nitrite additions showed a similar trend, but did not stimulate methane oxidation when compared with the live controls (Fig. 3). For both untreated controls and nitrate/nitrite amendments, AOM rates were low over the first 4 days but then increased dramatically between 4 and 8 days and afterwards remained low again. In contrast, methane oxidation was steady throughout the incubation period under oxic condition and the rates were almost 10 time higher than the controls. Strikingly, sulfate was depleted after 8 days in live controls and there was extensive sulfate production in incubation bottles amended with both nitrate or oxygen.

Lipid biomarkers and microbial communities in incubations with [13]CH4. At the end of the incubation, abundance and carbon isotopic composition of fatty acids and alcohols were examined to constrain methanotrophs and infer carbon flow through the

microbial population. The concentrations of lipid biomarkers in different treatments

were comparable, except for incubation with oxygen showing overall lower values

than the other treatments (Fig. 4). In the untreated control and nitrite amendment, the

most strongly ^{13}C-enriched fatty acids were monounsaturated hexadecanoic acids

($C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$) and $(\omega-1)$-OH-$C_{28:0}$, ranging from 531‰ to 1558‰. By

comparison, the highest ^{13}C incorporation was observed for $(\omega-1)$-OH-$C_{28:0}$ in

incubation with nitrate (1524‰), followed by 10-Methyl-hexadecanoic acid (10-Me-

$C_{16:0}$, 367‰). Most strikingly, $\delta^{13}C$ measurements of fatty acids from the oxic

incubation revealed significantly stronger ^{13}C labeling of most fatty acids (values

between 6800 and 14500‰, Fig. 4) than both the control and incubations with

nitrite/nitrate. In order to reveal the effect of autotrophic carbon assimilation, we

incubated the slurries with ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate only. The results showed that no

incorporation was observed for $(\omega-1)$-OH-$C_{28:0}$ (-39‰) and $\delta^{13}C$ value of 10-Me-$C_{16:0}$

was 164‰. In addition, ^{13}C enrichments in slurry sample with ^{13}C-bicarbonate only

were insignificant for both $C_{16:1}$ and $(\omega-1)$-OH-$C_{28:0}$ (ranging from 18 to 77‰)

relative to $^{13}CH_4$ incubations with nitrite/nitrate.

In the initial slurry (Slurry T0), *Euryarchaeota* and *Woesearchaeota* were the

most abundant phyla (Fig. 5A). Archaeal community structure in both the live control

and incubation with ^{13}C-bicarbonate was similar to that of Slurry T0. While nitrite

addition enhanced the percentage of *Bathyarchaeota* within archaea, nitrate seemed to

favor the growth of *Woesearchaeota*. A notable change in the archaeal community

was observed in oxic incubation, with *Thaumarchaeota* being predominant at the end

of incubation. As for bacterial composition at the class level (Fig. 5B), relative

abundances of both *Clostridia* and *Thermoleophilia* increased significantly in live

control and ^{13}C-bicarbonate relative to Slurry T0. *Gammaproteobacteria* comprised a

smaller proportion after nitrite/nitrate addition but members within *Anaerolineae* and

Ignavibacteria were boosted. In oxic slurries, *Alphaproteobacteria* accounted for a

larger proportion of the bacterial population. At family level, *Crenotrichaceae* and

Methylococcaceae were the most abundant groups among the known methanotrophic

communities in the initial slurry sample, representing 0.97% and 0.24% of total

sequences, respectively. It is surprising to observe that relative abundances of both

Crenotrichaceae and *Methylococcaceae* were higher in live control (3.67% and

1.14%) and ^{13}C-bicarbonate amendment (4.14% and 1.36%) when compared to Slurry

T0. In contrast, relative abundances of these two clusters were much lower in

nitrite/nitrate additions relative to Slurry T0, comprising 0.28% and 0.07% in
incubation with nitrite and 0.26% and 0.07% for nitrate amendment. Similar to lake
sediments, *Crenothrix* was the dominant genus within *Crenotrichaceae*, accounting
for 76-90% in different treatments. In addition, *Candidate* Methylomirabilis was
detected in incubation with nitrate only, but in very low abundance (0.005% of total
sequences).

Discussion

In Lake Sempach with a permanently oxic hypolimnion, oxygen was rapidly
depleted in the uppermost sediment layers and penetrated to a maximum depth of 3
mm. As revealed by in situ rate measurements, the maximum of methane oxidation
activity was located at depths near water-sediment interface and apparent AOM with
overall lower rates was also occurring in the deeper, anoxic sediment layers. This is
different from marine settings where highest AOM rates took place within sulfate
methane transition zones that were well below the sediment surface (Iversen and
Jørgensen 1985). In oxic-anoxic transition zones of lake sediments, oxygen may serve
as the most important electron acceptors and aerobic methanotrophs play a dominant
role in reducing the methane fluxes (Bender and Conrad 1994; He et al. 2012). In
anoxic sediments, however, the pathway of methane oxidation with regard to the
electron acceptors involved remains to be fully explored. Notably, the highest AOM
rates in surface sediment layers corresponded to the concentration maxima of nitrate,
sulfate, iron and manganese, suggesting their potential roles for AOM. Despite the
clear evidence of AOM in anoxic zones, no marine ANME-1 or 2 were detected
throughout the sediment core by 16S rRNA gene sequence with the primers that
match with a large fraction of the deposited sequences of anaerobic methanotrophic
archaeal clades (Su et al. 2020). No *Ca.* Methylomirabilis and *Ca.* Methanoperedens
were detected either (Ettwig et al. 2010; Haroon et al. 2013; Su et al. 2020). Instead,
the anoxic sediments contained abundant gamma-proteobacterial *Methylococcaceae*
and some alpha-proteobacterial *Methylocystacea* that are traditionally considered
aerobic methanotrophs (Hanson and Hanson 1996). In addition, the sediments also
contained an abundance of filamentous methanotroph *Crenothrix* found in drinking
water systems (Stoecker et al. 2006). The relative abundances of *Methylococcaceae*,
Crenothrix and *Methylacidiphilaceae* were higher in upper sediment layers, while

Methylocystacea were more abundant in lower parts of the sediments. The vertical
distribution of various methanotrophic groups may suggest their different affinities
for methane, as evidenced, at least in part, by some studies showing that Type I
methanotrophs were able to outcompete Type II MOB at low concentrations of
methane (Amaral and Knowles 1995; Henckel et al. 2000). However, the presence of
diverse aerobic methanotrophs in anoxic sediments led us to speculate that some of
them, if not all, might have an alternative anaerobic lifestyle.

Indeed, increasing evidence has demonstrated the occurrences of gamma-MOB
in anoxic lake waters and sediments (Blees et al. 2014; Milucka et al. 2015; Oswald et
al. 2016; Martinez-cruz et al. 2017). We could additionally show active growth of
gamma-MOB in the apparent absence of oxygen by incubating Lake Sempach
sediments with $^{13}CH_4$ and analyzing lipid biomarkers for methane assimilation. The
monounsaturated fatty acids $C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$, which were typically indicative of
Type I aerobic methanotrophs (Bowman et al. 1994; Hanson and Hanson 1996), were
most enriched in ^{13}C. Although the produced $^{13}CO_2$ from methane oxidation could be
assimilated into the biomass by autotrophic microorganism such as sulfate reducing
bacteria (SRB). It has been reported that lipids such as $C_{16:1\omega7}$ and $C_{16:1\omega5}$ might be
also associated with SRB at methane-rich seeps that were involved in sulfate-
dependent AOM (Elvert et al. 2003). However, no or very low incorporation of ^{13}C
into these lipid biomarkers in the incubation with ^{13}C-labelled bicarbonate only
indicates that they were not derived from SRBs and were thus signals of Type I
gamma-MOB. The results further confirmed the direct assimilation of methane rather
than DIC by these methanotrophic bacteria. The uptake of labeled methane by alpha-
MOB was also observed in the anoxic sediments as revealed by the strong ^{13}C-
enrichment of long-chain (ω-1)-hydroxy fatty acids, that is, (ω-1)-OH-$C_{28:0}$. This lipid
compound was mainly found in type II methane-utilizing bacteria including
Methylosinus and *Methylocystis* (Skerratt et al. 1992). These findings agreed with the
composition of methanotrophic community in the incubation slurry of live control that
contained both *Methylococcaceae* and *Methylocystaceae*. Similar to initial sediment
samples, *Methylococcaceae* were dominated by *Methylobacter* and *Methylocystis* was
the most abundant genus within *Methylocystaceae* (data not shown). With respect to
Crenothrix, we were not able to clearly verify the methane assimilation into biomass
since no diagnostic lipids were ever reported for them, but due to their capacity to
oxidize methane anaerobically and the high abundances in anoxic sediments, we

cannot rule out that this methanotrophic group was not one of the most important
methane consumers. Overall, our molecular data and lipid biomarker analysis provide
some of the first evidence that AOM in anoxic incubations as well as in Lake
Sempach sediments was most likely mediated by aerobic methanotrophs.

According to the CCA triplot, members of *Crenothrix* and *Methylobacter* were
more likely found at depths with higher methane oxidation rates and associated with
the detected electron acceptors in anoxic sediments. However, slurry incubations with
either $^{13}CH_4$ (with nitrite/nitrate additions) or $^{14}CH_4$ (with sulfate, iron or manganese
additions) demonstrate that common anaerobic electron acceptor amendments did not
stimulate AOM, providing further evidence that the AOM pathway in Lake Sempach
sediments was distinguished from those of previously investigated systems (Boetius
et al. 2000; Orphan et al. 2002; Raghoebarsing et al. 2006; Vaksmaa et al. 2017; Su et
al. 2020). On the contrary, the additions of metal oxides led to systematically lower
AOM rates (iron) or complete inhibition (manganese). These observations appear at
first sight at odds with recent studies showing the stimulation of both iron and
manganese additions for aerobic methanotrophs under anoxic conditions (Oswald et
al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2020). The inhibition of AOM as a result of adding external
anaerobic electron acceptors was also observed in previous studies (Segarra et al.
2013; Milucka et al. 2015; Rissanen et al. 2018). Extra addition of metal oxides in the
slurries may change the redox condition of the systems and likely favors the growth
of iron- or manganese-utilizing microorganisms that could outcompete methane
oxidizers for essential nutrients, but further investigation is needed to reveal their
effect on AOM. Notably, in $^{13}CH_4$ incubations without electron acceptors added, the
co-occurrence of increasing $^{13}CO_2$ and decreasing sulfate concentrations over the first
8 days of incubation suggests a possible link between methane oxidation and sulfate
reduction. However, the extensive amounts of sulfate produced in nitrate-amended
bottles did not continuously stimulate $^{13}CO_2$ production significantly when compared
with the live controls. This inconsistence confirmed that sulfate was not the electron
acceptor for AOM in Lake Sempach sediments, which was further supported by the
similar rates observed in amendments with molybdate, a competitive inhibitor for
microbial sulfate reduction (Wilson and Bandurski 1958). Despite that aerobic
methanotrophs are obligate aerobes, some of them were able to survive long periods
of oxygen starvation (Roslev and King 1995), and even switch from respiring oxygen
to nitrite or nitrate for methane oxidation (Kits et al. 2015a; b; Oswald et al. 2017).

Both Type I MOB and *Crenothrix* were detected in our incubations, however, the

AOM pathway involving nitrite or nitrate respiration seemed unlikely as neither

enhanced methane oxidation rates significantly, indicating an as-yet-unidentified

electron acceptor. Interestingly, nitrite-dependent *Ca.* Methylomirabilis was not

detected in nitrite bottles but present in incubation with nitrate addition, though in

very low abundance (1.36% of known methanotrophic community). This finding

agreed with the lipid data in nitrate amendments that displayed a stronger enrichment

of the fatty acid 10-methylhexadecanoic acid (10-Me-$C_{16:0}$), which was found in *Ca.*

Methylomirabilis oxyfera (Kool et al. 2012). It is likely that these denitrifying

methanatrophic bacteria contributed a very small proportion of the oxidized methane.

However, when considering their low abundance, the consumption of nitrite/nitrate

was mostly resulting from canonical denitrification (Zumft 1997).

At this point, we are not able to constrain the electron acceptors involved in the

anoxic lake sediments based on the performed slurry incubation experiments, as none

of the anaerobic electron acceptors used resulted in the stimulation of AOM.

Interestingly, a recent study suggests that AOM via "reverse methanogenesis" can

proceed in the absence of inorganic electron acceptors (Blazewicz et al. 2012). This

pathway of AOM may offer a possible explanation for the ineffectiveness of all tested

electron acceptors and we cannot conclude that AOM via reverse methanogenesis was

not important in Lake Sempach sediments. In addition, alternative electron acceptors

that were already present in the lake sediments (e.g., organic matter) could play a role.

Indeed, humic substances have been recognized as a viable electron acceptor through

the reduction of their quinone moieties (Lovley et al. 1996; Scott et al. 1999) and

organic electron acceptors in the wetlands such as humic acids were suggested to play

a role in AOM (Smemo and Yavitt 2011; Blodau and Deppe 2012). In addition,

anaerobic methanotrophic archaea from marine sediments were recently demonstrated

to use humic substance analogues (i.e., AQDS and humic acids) as electron acceptors

in the absence of sulfate (Scheller et al. 2016). Humic substances represent a large

proportion of lake sediments (Ishiwatari 1985), and have the potential to be the

electron acceptors for methane oxidation in anoxic sediments. If true, such mode of

AOM may play an underappreciated role in reducing methane emissions, given the

widespread distribution of humic substances in lacustrine environments. However,

further investigations are apparently required to explore the role of humic substances

and the biochemical mechanism apparently by aerobic methanotrophs in anoxic lake

sediments.

Most strikingly, additions of oxygen to our incubations with $^{13}CH_4$ resulted in an
approximately tenfold increase in $^{13}CO_2$ production relative to the live controls or
amendments with nitrite/nitrate. This clearly shows that aerobic methanotrophs were
actively involved in methane oxidation when replenished with oxygen. Likewise,
active growth of these methanotrophic bacteria was evidenced by the substantial ^{13}C-
enrichment of the diagnostic fatty acids, with the methane assimilation rates at least
ten times higher than those in anoxic incubations. This is expected since aerobic
respiration generates much more energy than anaerobic metabolism. The result of
oxic incubation further indicates that aerobic methane oxidation is a much more
efficient methane filter than AOM pathways, consuming most of the methane flux in
the oxic-anoxic transition zones and thus controlling the emission of this greenhouse
gas from lake waters to the atmosphere. However, given that the vast majority of
methane is produced and stored in anoxic lake sediments, the pathway of AOM is also
an important process in reducing methane emissions and the widespread occurrence
of AOM in anoxic sediments is of much larger geochemical and environmental
significance than previously thought.

References

References

Amaral, J. A., and R. Knowles. 1995. Growth of methanotrophs in methane and
oxygen counter gradients. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **126**: 215–220.
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07421.x

Andrews, S. 2018. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Bar-Or, I., M. Elvert, W. Eckert, A. Kushmaro, H. Vigderovich, Q. Zhu, E. Ben-Dov,
and O. Sivan. 2017. Iron-coupled anaerobic oxidation of methane performed by
a mixed bacterial-archaeal community based on poorly reactive minerals.
Environ. Sci. Technol. **51**: 12293–12301. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b03126

Bastviken, D., J. Cole, M. Pace, and L. Tranvik. 2004. Methane emissions from lakes:
Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global
estimate. Global Biogeochem. Cycles **18**: 1–12. doi:10.1029/2004GB002238

Bastviken, D., J. Ejlertsson, and L. Tranvik. 2002. Measurement of methane oxidation
in lakes: A comparison of methods. Environ. Sci. Technol. **36**: 3354–3361.
doi:10.1021/es010311p

Beal, E. J., C. H. House, and V. J. Orphan. 2009. Manganese- and Iron-Dependent
Marine Methane Oxidation. Science (80-.). **325**: 184–187.
doi:10.1126/science.1169984

Bender, M., and R. Conrad. 1994. Methane oxidation activity in various soils and
freshwater sediments: Occurrence, characteristics, vertical profiles, and
distribution on grain size fractions. J. Geophys. Res. **99**: 16531.
doi:10.1029/94JD00266

Blazewicz, S. J., D. G. Petersen, M. P. Waldrop, and M. K. Firestone. 2012.
Anaerobic oxidation of methane in tropical and boreal soils: Ecological
significance in terrestrial methane cycling. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences **117**:
1–9. doi:10.1029/2011JG001864

Blees, J., H. Niemann, M. Erne, J. Zopfi, C. J. Schubert, and M. F. Lehmann. 2015.
Spatial variations in surface water methane super-saturation and emission in
Lake Lugano, southern Switzerland. Aquat. Sci. **77**: 535–545.
doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0401-z

Blees, J., H. Niemann, C. B. Wenk, and others. 2014. Micro-aerobic bacterial
methane oxidation in the chemocline and anoxic water column of deep south-
Alpine Lake Lugano (Switzerland). Limnol Ocean. **59**: 311–324.

doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.2.0311

Blodau, C., and M. Deppe. 2012. Humic acid addition lowers methane release in peats

of the Mer Bleue bog , Canada. Soil Biol. Biochem. **52**: 96–98.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.023

Boetius, A., K. Ravenschlag, C. J. Schubert, and others. 2000. A marine microbial

consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Nature **407**:

623–626. doi:10.1038/35036572

Bowman, J. P., L. T. Sly, P. D. Nichols, and A. C. Hayward. 1994. Erratum: Revised

taxonomy of the methanotrophs: Description of Methylobacter gen. nov.,

emendation of Methylococcus, validation of Methylosinus and Methylocystis

species, and a proposal that the family Methylococcaceae includes only the

group I methanotro. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **44**: 375. doi:10.1099/00207713-44-2-

375

Cai, C., A. O. Leu, G.-J. Xie, and others. 2018. A methanotrophic archaeon couples

anaerobic oxidation of methane to Fe(III) reduction. ISME J. **12**: 1929–1939.

doi:10.1038/s41396-018-0109-x

Carere, C. R., K. Hards, K. M. Houghton, and others. 2017. Mixotrophy drives niche

expansion of verrucomicrobial methanotrophs. ISME J. **11**: 2599–2610.

doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.112

Cline, J. D. 1969. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural

waters. Limnol. Oceanogr. **14**: 454–458. doi:10.4319/lo.1969.14.3.0454

Crowe, S. A., S. Katsev, K. Leslie, and others. 2011. The methane cycle in

ferruginous Lake Matano. Geobiology **9**: 61–78. doi:10.1111/j.1472-

4669.2010.00257.x

Deutzmann, J. S., P. Stief, J. Brandes, and B. Schink. 2014. Anaerobic methane

oxidation coupled to denitrification is the dominant methane sink in a deep lake.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **111**: 18273–18278. doi:10.1073/pnas.1411617111

Donis, D., S. Flury, A. Stöckli, J. E. Spangenberg, D. Vachon, and D. F. McGinnis.

2017. Full-scale evaluation of methane production under oxic conditions in a

mesotrophic lake. Nat Commun **8**: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01648-4

Downing, J. A., Y. T. Prairie, J. J. Cole, and others. 2006. The global abundance and

size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. Limnol. Oceanogr. **51**:

2388–2397. doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2388

Edgar, R. 2016. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and

ITS sequences. bioRxiv. doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/074161

Elvert, M., A. Boetius, K. Knittel, and B. B. Jørgensen. 2003. Characterization of

specific membrane fatty acids as chemotaxonomic markers for sulfate-reducing

bacteria involved in anaerobic oxidation of methane. Geomicrobiol. J. **20**: 403–

419. doi:10.1080/01490450303894

Ettwig, K. F., M. K. Butler, D. Le Paslier, and others. 2010. Nitrite-driven anaerobic

methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria. Nature **464**: 543–548.

doi:10.1038/nature08883

Ettwig, K. F., S. Shima, K. T. Van De Pas-Schoonen, J. Kahnt, M. H. Medema, H. J.

M. Op Den Camp, M. S. M. Jetten, and M. Strous. 2008. Denitrifying bacteria

anaerobically oxidize methane in the absence of Archaea. Environ. Microbiol.

10: 3164–3173. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01724.x

Ettwig, K. F., B. Zhu, D. Speth, J. T. Keltjens, M. S. M. Jetten, and B. Kartal. 2016.

Archaea catalyze iron-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. **113**: 12792–12796. doi:10.1073/pnas.1609534113

Frenzel, P., B. Thebrath, and R. Conrad. 1990. Oxidation of methane in the oxic

surface layer of a deep lake sediment (Lake Constance). FEMS Microbiol. Lett.

73: 149–158. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1990.tb03935.x

Graf, J. S., M. J. Mayr, H. K. Marchant, and others. 2018. Bloom of a denitrifying

methanotroph, " Candidatus Methylomirabilis limnetica", in a deep stratified

lake. Environ. Microbiol. **20**: 2598–2614. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14285

Hanson, R. S., and T. E. Hanson. 1996. Methanotrophic Bacteria. Microbiol Rev **60**:

439–471.

Haroon, M. F., S. Hu, Y. Shi, M. Imelfort, J. Keller, P. Hugenholtz, Z. Yuan, and G.

W. Tyson. 2013. Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to nitrate reduction in

a novel archaeal lineage. Nature **500**: 567–70. doi:10.1038/nature12375

He, R., M. J. Wooller, J. W. Pohlman, J. Quensen, J. M. Tiedje, and M. B. Leigh.

2012. Diversity of active aerobic methanotrophs along depth profiles of arctic

and subarctic lake water column and sediments. ISME J. **6**: 1937–1948.

doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.34

Henckel, T., P. Roslev, and R. Conrad. 2000. Effects of O2 and CH4 on presence and

activity of the indigenous methanotrophic community in rice field soil. Environ.

Microbiol. **2**: 666–679. doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00149.x

Ishiwatari, R. 1985. Geochemistry of humic substances in lake sediments. 147–180.

Islam, T., S. Jensen, L. J. Reigstad, O. Larsen, and N.-K. Birkeland. 2008. Methane

oxidation at 55 C and pH 2 by a thermoacidophilic bacterium belonging to the

Verrucomicrobia phylum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **105**: 300–304.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0704162105

Iversen, N., and B. B. Jørgensen. 1985. Anaerobic methane oxidation rates at the

sulfate-methane transition in marine sediments from Kattegat and Skagerrak

(Denmark)1. Limnol. Oceanogr. **30**: 944–955. doi:10.4319/lo.1985.30.5.0944

Kits, K. D., D. J. Campbell, A. R. Rosana, and L. Y. Stein. 2015a. Diverse electron

sources support denitrification under hypoxia in the obligate methanotroph

Methylomicrobium album strain BG8. Front. Microbiol. **6**: 1–11.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01072

Kits, K. D., M. G. Klotz, and L. Y. Stein. 2015b. Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate

reduction under hypoxia by the Gammaproteobacterium Methylomonas

denitrificans, sp. nov. type strain FJG1. Environ. Microbiol. **17**: 3219–3232.

doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12772

Knittel, K., T. Lösekann, A. Boetius, R. Kort, R. Amann, and T. Lo. 2005. Diversity

and Distribution of Methanotrophic Archaea at Cold Seeps. Appl Env. Microbiol

71: 467–479. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.1.467

Kojima, H., M. Tsutsumi, K. Ishikawa, T. Iwata, and M. Mußmann. 2012.

Distribution of putative denitrifying methane oxidizing bacteria in sediment of a

freshwater lake , Lake Biwa. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. **35**: 233–238.

doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2012.03.005

Kool, D. M., B. Zhu, W. I. C. Rijpstra, M. S. M. Jetten, K. F. Ettwig, and J. S.

Sinninghe Damsté. 2012. Rare branched fatty acids characterize the lipid

composition of the intra-aerobic methane oxidizer "Candidatus Methylomirabilis

oxyfera." Appl Env. Microbiol **78**: 8650–8656. doi:10.1128/AEM.02099-12

Kuivila, K. M., J. W. Murray, A. H. Devol, M. E. Lidstrom, and C. E. Reimers. 1988.

Methane cycling in the sediments of Lake Washington. Limnol. Oceanogr. **33**:

571–581. doi:10.4319/lo.1988.33.4.0571

Leu, A. O., C. Cai, S. J. McIlroy, G. Southam, V. J. Orphan, Z. Yuan, S. Hu, and G.

W. Tyson. 2020. Anaerobic methane oxidation coupled to manganese reduction

by members of the Methanoperedenaceae. ISME J. **14**: 1030–1041.

doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0590-x

Lidstrom, M. E., and L. Somers. 1984. Seasonal study of methane oxidation in lake

washington. Appl Env. Microbiol **47**: 1255–1260.

Lovley, D. R., J. D. Coates, E. L. Blunt-Harris, E. J. P. Phillips, and J. C. Woodward. 1996. Humic substances as electron acceptors for microbial respiration. Nature **382**: 445–448. doi:10.1038/382445a0

Martinez-cruz, K., M. Leewis, I. Charold, A. Sepulveda-jauregui, K. Walter, F. Thalasso, and M. Beth. 2017. Anaerobic oxidation of methane by aerobic methanotrophs in sub-Arctic lake sediments. Sci. Total Environ. **607–608**: 23–31. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.187

Martinez-Cruz, K., A. Sepulveda-Jauregui, P. Casper, K. W. Anthony, K. A. Smemo, and F. Thalasso. 2018. Ubiquitous and significant anaerobic oxidation of methane in freshwater lake sediments. Water Res. **144**: 332–340. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.053

Mayr, M. J., M. Zimmermann, C. Guggenheim, A. Brand, and H. Bürgmann. 2020. Niche partitioning of methane-oxidizing bacteria along the oxygen–methane counter gradient of stratified lakes. ISME J. **14**: 274–287. doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0515-8

McMurdie, P. J., and S. Holmes. 2013. Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One **8**: 1–11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Milucka, J., T. G. Ferdelman, L. Polerecky, and others. 2012. Zero-valent sulphur is a key intermediate in marine methane oxidation. Nature **491**: 541–6. doi:10.1038/nature11656

Milucka, J., M. Kirf, L. Lu, A. Krupke, P. Lam, S. Littmann, M. M. Kuypers, and C. J. Schubert. 2015. Methane oxidation coupled to oxygenic photosynthesis in anoxic waters. ISME J. **9**: 1991–2002. doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.12

Norði, K. à., B. Thamdrup, and C. J. Schubert. 2013. Anaerobic oxidation of methane in an iron-rich Danish freshwater lake sediment. Limnol. Oceanogr. **58**: 546–554. doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0546

Orphan, V. J., C. H. House, K.-U. Hinrichs, K. D. McKeegan, and E. F. DeLong. 2002. Multiple archaeal groups mediate methane oxidation in anoxic cold seep sediments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **99**: 7663–7668. doi:10.1073/pnas.072210299

Oswald, K., J. S. Graf, S. Littmann, and others. 2017. Crenothrix are major methane consumers in stratified lakes. ISME J. **11**: 2124–2140. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.77

Oswald, K., J. Milucka, A. Brand, P. Hach, S. Littmann, B. Wehrli, M. M. M.

Kuypers, and C. J. Schubert. 2016. Aerobic gammaproteobacterial

methanotrophs mitigate methane emissions from oxic and anoxic lake waters.

Limnol. Oceanogr. **61**: S101–S118. doi:10.1002/lno.10312

Oswald, K., J. Milucka, A. Brand, S. Littmann, B. Wehrli, M. M. M. Kuypers, and C.

J. Schubert. 2015. Light-dependent aerobic methane oxidation reduces methane

emissions from seasonally stratified lakes. PLoS One **10**: 1–22.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132574

Parada, A. E., D. M. Needham, and J. A. Fuhrman. 2016. Every base matters:

Assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock

communities, time series and global field samples. Environ. Microbiol. **18**:

1403–1414. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13023

Pasche, N., M. Schmid, F. Vazquez, and others. 2011. Methane sources and sinks in

Lake Kivu. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences **116**: 1–16.

doi:10.1029/2011JG001690

Quast, C., E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies, and F.

O. Glöckner. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project :

improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. **41**: 590–596.

doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

Raghoebarsing, A. a, A. Pol, K. T. van de Pas-Schoonen, and others. 2006. A

microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to denitrification.

Nature **440**: 918–921. doi:10.1038/nature04617

Rissanen, A. J., J. Saarenheimo, M. Tiirola, S. Peura, S. L. Aalto, A. Karvinen, and H.

Nykänen. 2018. Gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs dominate methanotrophy

in aerobic and anaerobic layers of boreal lake waters. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. **81**:

257–276. doi:https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01874

Roslev, P., and G. M. King. 1995. Aerobic and anaerobic starvation metabolism in

methanotrophic bacteria. Appl Env. Microbiol **61**: 1563–1570.

Scheller, S., H. Yu, G. L. Chadwick, S. E. McGlynn, and V. J. Orphan. 2016.

Artificial electron acceptors decouple archaeal methane oxidation from sulfate

reduction. Science. **351**: 1754–1756. doi:10.1126/science.aad7154

Schmieder, R., and R. Edwards. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of

metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics **27**: 863–864.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026

Schubert, C. J., F. Vazquez, T. Lösekann-Behrens, K. Knittel, M. Tonolla, and A.
Boetius. 2011. Evidence for anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of a
freshwater system (Lago di Cadagno). FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **76**: 26–38.
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01036.x

Scott, D. T., D. M. McKnight, E. L. Blunt-Harris, and S. E. Kolesar. 1999. Quinone
Moieties Act as Electron Acceptors in the Reduction of Humic Substances by
Humics-Reducing Microorganisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. **33**: 372–372.
doi:10.1021/es982014z

Segarra, K. E. A., C. Comerford, J. Slaughter, and S. B. Joye. 2013. Impact of
electron acceptor availability on the anaerobic oxidation of methane in coastal
freshwater and brackish wetland sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta **115**:
15–30. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.03.029

Sicre, M.-A., R. C. Tian, and A. Saliot. 1994. Distribution of sterols in the suspended
particles of the Chang Jiang Estuary and adjacent East China Sea. Org.
Geochem. **21**: 1–10. doi:10.1016/0146-6380(94)90083-3

Sivan, O., M. Adler, A. Pearson, F. Gelman, I. Bar-Or, S. G. John, and W. Eckert.
2011. Geochemical evidence for iron-mediated anaerobic oxidation of methane.
Limnol. Oceanogr. **56**: 1536–1544. doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.4.1536

Skerratt, J. H., P. D. Nichols, J. P. Bowman, and L. I. Sly. 1992. Occurrence and
significance of long-chain (ω-1)-hydroxy fatty acids in methane-utilizing
bacteria. Org. Geochem. **18**: 189–194. doi:10.1016/0146-6380(92)90129-L

Smemo, K. A., and J. B. Yavitt. 2011. Anaerobic oxidation of methane: An
underappreciated aspect of methane cycling in peatland ecosystems?
Biogeosciences **8**: 779–793. doi:10.5194/bg-8-779-2011

Stadelmann, P. 1988. Der Zustand des Sempachersees. Wasser, Energie, Luft **80**: 81–
96.

Stoecker, K., B. Bendinger, B. Schöning, and others. 2006. Cohn's Crenothrix is a
filamentous methane oxidizer with an unusual methane monooxygenase. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **103**: 2363–2367. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506361103

Stookey, L. L. 1970. Ferrozine-A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron. Anal.
Chem. **42**: 779–781. doi:10.1021/ac60289a016

Su, G., H. Niemann, L. Steinle, J. Zopfi, and M. F. Lehmann. 2019. Evaluating
radioisotope–based approaches to measure anaerobic methane oxidation rates in
lacustrine sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods **17**: 429–438.

doi:10.1002/lom3.10323

Su, G., J. Zopfi, H. Yao, L. Steinle, H. Niemann, and M. F. Lehmann. 2020.

Manganese/iron-supported sulfate-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane by

archaea in lake sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. **65**: 863–875.

doi:10.1002/lno.11354

Vaksmaa, A., S. Guerrero-Cruz, T. A. van Alen, G. Cremers, K. F. Ettwig, C. Lüke,

and M. S. M. Jetten. 2017. Enrichment of anaerobic nitrate-dependent

methanotrophic 'Candidatus Methanoperedens nitroreducens' archaea from an

Italian paddy field soil. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **101**: 7075–7084.

doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8416-0

Valenzuela, E. I., K. A. Avendaño, N. Balagurusamy, S. Arriaga, C. Nieto-Delgado,

F. Thalasso, and F. J. Cervantes. 2019. Electron shuttling mediated by humic

substances fuels anaerobic methane oxidation and carbon burial in wetland

sediments. Sci. Total Environ. **650**: 2674–2684.

doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.09.388

Weber, H. S., K. S. Habicht, and B. Thamdrup. 2017. Anaerobic methanotrophic

archaea of the ANME-2d cluster are active in a low-sulfate, iron-rich freshwater

sediment. Front. Microbiol. **8**: 1–13. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00619

Wilson, L. G., and R. S. Bandurski. 1958. Enzymatic reactions involving sulfate,

sulfite, selenate and molybdate. J. Biol. Chem. **233**: 975–981.

Zheng, Y., H. Wang, Y. Liu, and others. 2020. Methane-Dependent Mineral

Reduction by Aerobic Methanotrophs under Hypoxia. Environ. Sci. Technol.

Lett. **7**: 606–612. doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00436

Zumft, W. G. 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. Microbiol.

Mol. Biol. Rev. **61**: 533–616. doi:10.1128/mmbr.61.4.533-616.1997

FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1. (A-F) Depth profiles of methane, methane oxidation rates, porewater nitrate/sulfate, particular iron/manganese oxides, dissolved iron/manganese and oxygen in the sediment of Lake Sempach. Error bars represent standard deviations of triplicate rate measurements. No nitrite was detected. (G-J) Relative abundances of known metahnotrophic families and their subgroups at the genus level. (G) Methylococcaceae or Type I gamma-proteobacterial MOB. (H) Methylocystaceae or Type II alpha-proteobacterial MOB. (I) Crenotrichaceae. (J) Methylacidiphilaceae. (K) Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) showing the relationship between MOR and methanotrophic species and the effect of nutrient concentrations on their distribution. ZOTU abundances were normalized and Hellinger transformed prior to CCA analysis. A total of 42 ZOTUs accounting for > 92% of methanotrophic community were shown on the plot and bold text represents taxa belonging to the genus *Methylobacter* or *Crenothrix*. Black triangles denote sediment samples at different depths.

Figure 2. Methane oxidation in anoxic slurries with ^{14}C-labeled methane and different electron acceptors (sulfate, amorphous iron and manganese oxides) over 30 and 60 days. Control incubations were conducted without electron acceptors. No methane oxidation rates were detected in base-killed controls (pH>13).

Figure 3. Concentrations of produced $^{13}CO_2$ and sulfate in anoxic slurries amended with ^{13}C-labeled methane and electron acceptors (nitrite, nitrate and oxygen). (A, B) Controls including killed controls (autoclaved) and live controls (without electron acceptors added). (C, D) Nitrite addition, nitrite was replenished after 17 days. (E, F) Nitrate addition, nitrate was replenished after 17 days. (G, H) Oxic incubations. No nitrite and nitrate was detected in both controls and slurries with oxygen.

Figure 4. Concentrations and compound-specific δ^{13}C values of fatty acids diagnostic for putative methanotrophs in initial slurry sample (Slurry T0) and $^{13}CH_4$ incubations with different treatments after 160 days.

830   **FIGURES**

832   **Figure 1**

836   **Figure 2**

839   **Figure 3**

Figure 4

844   **Figure 5**