Lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2 (LPCAT2) Regulates The Expression of Macrophage Phenotype Markers in RAW264.7 Cells

Running Title: LPCAT2 Regulates Macrophage Phenotype Markers

Authors: Victory Ibigo Poloamina[1], Hanaa Alrammah[1], Wondwossen Abate[2], Gyorgy Fejer[1], Simon K. Jackson[3].

[1]University of Plymouth, Faculty of Health, Plymouth, UK PL4 8AA

[2]University of Exeter, College of Medicine and Health, Exeter, UK EX1 2HZ

[3]MolEndoTech Ltd, Brixham, UK TQ5 8BA

1 Abstract:

Macrophages are key antigen presenting cells that also secrete cytokines during inflammation. They can be polarised to M1 or M2 phenotypes. Molecules such as CD206 and inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase are considered macrophage phenotype markers because they are highly expressed in either M1 or M2 macrophages. LPCAT2 is a phospholipid modifying enzyme that influences inflammatory responses in macrophages. However, how LPCAT2 influences inflammation is not fully understood.

In this study, we have used genetic technology to study the influence of LPCAT2 on macrophage phenotype markers.

Our results show for the first time that overexpression of LPCAT2 promotes the expression of M1 macrophage phenotype markers, and attenuates the expression of M2 macrophage markers.

2 Introduction:

Macrophages are primary effector cells of the innate immune system, that differentiate from monocytes. They belong to a group of phagocytic cells that stem from Myeloid progenitors. These cells have

a professional function of antigen-presentation and are characterised

with the ability to secrete cytokines that attract other immune cells

and initiate inflammation in response to pathogens at the site of

infection [1]. Macrophages can be polarized into classically activated or

alternatively activated phenotypes. Classically activated macrophages

are also known as M1 or M1-like macrophages because they

preferentially promote Th1 (T Helper 1) cell responses; thus they are

pro-inflammatory and release anti-microbial molecules such as nitric

oxide [1, 2]. On the other hand, alternatively activated macrophages

preferentially promote Th2 (T Helper 2) cell responses, therefore they

are often referred to as M2 or M2-like macrophages. M2 macrophages

mainly phagocytose pathogens, and often have a higher expression of

mannose and scavenger receptors compare to M1 macrophages. They

also promote cell proliferation and tissue repair [3, 4].

Certain molecules have been classed as markers of macrophage

polarization due to their consistently high expression in either

phenotypes of macrophages. In M1 macrophages, molecules such as

inducible Nitrogen Oxide Synthase (iNOS), CXCL10, Type I Interferons,

Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNFα). Whereas, in M2 macrophages,

molecular markers include CD206, CD36, Interleukin 10. M2

macrophages also exhibit increased fatty acid oxidation, and

adipogenesis, and a higher expression of molecules involved in fatty

acid metabolism such as carnitine palmitoyltransferase, (PPARɑ) [5].

LPCAT2 (Lysophosphatidylcholine Acyltransferase) also known as

LysoPAF acetyltransferase localises in macrophage membranes and

lipid droplets [6, 7]. It preferentially uses arachidonic-CoA as a donor

for the acylation of fatty acids, thereby producing several varieties of

arachidonic acid. Arachidonic acid is a key precursor for the synthesis

of lipid mediators such as prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and lipoxins.

Therefore, LPCAT2 influences inflammation by regulating the

synthesis of fatty acids and lipid mediators such as PAF, Leukotriene

B4, and Prostaglandin E2, and the expression of proteins involved in

macrophage inflammatory responses to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [8,

9]. Further research is needed to understand the mechanism of

LPCAT2 involvement in macrophage inflammatory responses. In this

paper, we propose that LPCAT2 regulates macrophage inflammatory

responses by influencing the expression of molecular markers of

macrophage polarization.

3 Materials and Methods:

Chemicals reagents used to prepare buffers and BCA Assay kit were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, UK and Fisher Scientific, UK. Buffers used include RIPA buffer, Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), Tris-buffered saline (TBS), Blocking Buffer, Cell lysis buffer, elution buffer, SDS sample buffer, and ECL detection reagent [23]. PolyPlus Lipofectamine 2000 was purchased from Source Bioscience, UK. Opti-MEM, Power SYBR Green, RNa to cDNA kit, and gel casting materials were purchased from Life Technologies, UK. Antibodies, Protein A/G agarose gel beads, and protein ladders were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, UK and Cell Signalling Technologies, UK. DMEM culture medium and other cell culture materials were purchased from Lonza, UK. PCR primers were designed with Primer3 Plus Bioinformatics Softwaew and NCBI BLAST, and purchased from Eurofins Genomics.

3.1 Culturing of RAW264.7 Cell Line:

RAW264.7 cell line was obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC) through Public Health England, UK. RAW264.7 macrophages were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) [Lonza, BE12-914F] supplemented with 10%(v/v) Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) [Labtech.com,

BS-110] and 1%(v/v) 0.2M L-Glutamine [BE17-605E], and incubated at 37ºC,

5% CO_2. Lipopolysaccharide (*E. coli* O111:B4) [Sigma-Aldrich, L2630] was

resuspended in LAL reagent water (<0.005EU/ml endotoxin levels) [Lonza,

W50-640]. Cell culture medium was used to dilute all ligands to the needed

concentration before stimulation.

3.2   Expansion and Purification of Plasmids:

Kanamycin-resistant TrueORF Gold plasmid was expanded by transformation

of 1μg/ml pCMV6 plasmid vector [4.9kb; OriGene, PS100001] with or without

murine LPCAT2 recombinant DNA [Open Reading Frame; Forward 5–CAG

ACT GTT ACG GGCTTT GCA - 3; Reverse 5– ACC TGA TGT CGC TCG CTTTT -

3] in 10μl Alpha-Gold competent *E. coli* cells [Insight Biotechnology, UK] using

GenElute™ plasmid MiniPrep Kit [Sigma-Aldrich, PLN10].

3.3   Transfection of RAW264.7 Cells with Plasmid Containing LPCAT2 Insert:

2.5μg of pCMV6 plasmid vector with or without murine LPCAT2

recombinant DNA was transfected into RAW264.7 macrophages using

Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent [PolyPlus transfection, 114-

07]. Macrophages were cultured in DMEM 24 hours before gene

silencing, then in Opti-MEM (Reduced Serum Medium) for 24 hours

during gene silencing and incubated at 37ºC, 5% CO_2. Prior to cell

stimulation or any further experiments, Opti-MEM (Reduced Serum

Medium) was replaced with DMEM cell culture medium for 24 hours

and incubated at 37ºC, 5% CO_2. Transfection mixture was prepared

using Opti-MEM.

3.4 Reverse Transcription and Real Time Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR):

The reaction mastermix contained 37%(v/v) nuclease-free, 230nM of

target primers (a mixture of both forward and reverse primers),

60%(v/v) Power SYBR Green, and 3μl of ≥ 100ng/μl cDNA. The reaction

was initiated at 95ºC for 10 minutes, then up to 40 repeated cycles of

denaturing (15 seconds, 95ºC), annealing, and extension (60 seconds,

60ºC). GAPDH was used as an endogenous control. GAPDH [forward

sequence, 5–CCT CGT CCC GTA GAC AAA ATG; reverse sequence, 5–

TCT CCA CTT TGC CAC TGC AA]; LPCAT2 [forward sequence, 5–TGG

AAG CGC CCA TTT TTG TC; reverse sequence, 5–GGG ATG AAG GCT

CTG CCA AC]; iNOS [forward sequence, 5 – CGC CTT CAA CAC CAA

GGT TG; reverse sequence, 5 – TCA GAG TCT GCC CAT TGC TG];

COX2 [forward sequence, 5–CCA CAG TCA AAG ACA CTC AGG TAG A;

reverse sequence, 5– CCA GGC ACC AGA CCA AAG AC]; PPAR⍰

[forward sequence, 5–CCA CTC GCA TTC GTT TGA CA; reverse

sequence, 5 – TCG CTC AGC TCT TCC GAA GTG]; CD206 [forward

sequence, 5– AAA TGG AGC CGT CTG TGC AT; reverse sequence, 5 –

AAG TGC AAT GGA CAA AAT CCAA].

3.5   Immunoblotting:

Equal amounts of whole cell lysates and eluates were separated on pre-cast

SDS-PAGE gels and blotted on to a PVDF membrane using a blot module. The

blots were blocked with 0.1% bovine serum albumin in PBS-0.1% Tween 20,

probed with primary and then HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. The

target proteins separated on the PVDF membrane were detected and

analysed using ImageJ.

3.6   Data Analysis:

Statistical Analysis was carried out in R Statistical Programming

Software and graphs were plotted using ggplot2 package. All

independent experiments were repeated at least 3 times. Data

represent Mean ± Standard Error of Mean. Paired T-Test with

Dunnetts T3 multiple comparison test were used for statistical analysis.

All statistical tests were significant at 95% confidence interval, p ≤

0.05.

142   4   Results:

4.1   Cloning of RAW264.7 Cells Over-expressing LPCAT2:

LPCAT2 was cloned in E. coli and over-expressed in RAW264.7 cells using

vector plasmid carrying a neomycin-resistant gene. After 3 days, RAW264.7

cells transfected with empty vector pasmid (pCMV6) and treated with

700µg/ml of geneticin, however, RAW264.7 cells transfected with neomycin-

resistant vector plasmid over-expressing LPCAT2 survived for up to 7 days

[data not shown]. Thus, cells not over-expressing LPCAT2 were killed off,

allowing for cloning of cells over-expressing LPCAT2.

4.2   Expression of LPCAT2 in RAW264.7 Cell Transfected with LPCAT2 siRNA or

Vector Plasmid Over-Expressing LPCAT2:

The gene and protein expression of LPCAT2 were analysed to confirm the

over-expression of LPCAT2. Figure 1A shows that LPCAT2 gene is

significantly higher in cells over-expressing LPCAT2 (4.18 ± 1.1, $p = 0.05$), and

LPCAT2 protein increased (6-fold) in cells over-expressing LPCAT2 (Figure

1B&C).

Figure 1: Over-expression of LPCAT2 in RAW264.7 cells caused ≥70 increase in LPCAT2 gene expression **(A)** and ≥50% increase in LPCAT2 protein expression **(B & C)**. pCMV6 is an empty vector plasmid, whereas LPCAT2^{++} indicates cells over-expressing LPCAT2. *p≤0.05. Data represents mean of at least 3 independent experiments (n≥3) ± standard error (A), and optical densities (B) of western blots (C).

4.3   LPCAT2 Regulates the Expression of CD206, Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase

(iNOS), PPARγ, and Cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX2):

Here, the effect of LPCAT2 over-expression on the gene expression of these

macrophage phenotype markers was examined. Figure 2 shows that in

RAW264.7 cells over-expressing LPCAT2, there was a significant increase in

iNOS (281.43 ± 13.33, p < 0.0001) and COX2 (2659.39 ± 253.09, p = 0.0005)

after treating the cells with 100ng/ml LPS. On the other hand, LPS

significantly downregulated the gene expression of CD206 (0.89 ± 0.07, p =

0.03) and PPARγ (0.4 ± 0.004, p = 0.0009), and over-expression of LPCAT2

further decreased the gene expression of CD206 (0.7 ± 0.07, p = 0.1) and

PPARγ (0.16 ± 0.003, p = 0.3). In non-treated cells, LPCAT2 over-expression

significantly increased the gene expression of CD206 (3.22 ± 0.38, p = 0.0012)

and iNOS (44.48 ± 8.46, p = 0.0021), but significantly decreased the gene

expression of PPARγ (0.19 ± 0.03, $p < 0.0001$).

Figure 2: Over-expression of LPCAT2 increases the gene expression of inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase, iNOS (**A**) and Cyclo-oxygenase 2, COX2 (**B**). On the other hand, over-expression of LPCAT2 results in a significant decrease in the gene expression of CD206 (**C**) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma, PPAR γ (**D**). TLR4 ligand is 100ng/ml E. coli O111:B4 lipopolysaccharide. pCMV6 represents RAW264.7 cells transfected with empty vector plasmid and LPCAT2++ represents RAW264.7 cells over-expressing LPCAT2. *p≤0.05. Data represents the mean of 3 independent experiments (n=3) $\pm$ standard error.

4.4   LPCAT2 Expression is Significantly Increased in Primed RAW264.7 Cells:

Figure 3 shows that priming RAW264.7 cells with 25ng/ml IFNγ for 24 hours

and treating the cells for 2 hours with TLR4 ligand, LPS, significantly increases

the gene expression of LPCAT2 (2.71 ± 0.63, p = 0.03). Likewise, priming

RAW264.7 cells with a PPARγ antagonist, T0070907, and then treating the

cells for 6 hours with LPS results in a dose-dependent increase in LPCAT2

gene expression (3.37 ± 0.84, p = 0.03; 5.38 ± 1.45, p = 0.002; 9.77 ± 1.72, p =

0.02) as seen in Figure 3B.

Figure 3: LPCAT2 gene expression is significantly increased in primed RAW264.7 cells. RAW264.7 cells were primed with either 25ng/ml of Interferon gamma (IFNγ) or varying concentrations of PPARγ antagonist (T0070907) and then stimulated with either 100ng/ml **(A)** or 1000ng/ml **(B)** of TLR4 ligand (*E. coli* O111:B4 lipopolysaccharide). *p≤0.05. Data represents mean of 3 independent experiments (n=3) ± standard error.

5 Discussion:

COX2 and iNOS [5, 10] are common markers of M1 macrophages, and CD206

[11] and PPARγ [12] are markers of M2 macrophage. PPARγ receptor and

TLR4 are some of the key receptors responsible for regulating macrophage

activation to either M1 or M2 phenotypes [13]. During inflammation there is

an increased release of chemokines and cytokines which can be regulated by

various factors including Nitric oxide and prostaglandins [14]. COX2

synthesises prostaglandins by breaking down arachidonic acids – a catabolic

process [15]. On the other hand, PPAR⬚ mainly store fatty acids as

triglycerides in adipocytes – an anabolic process [16]. Anabolic processes are

increased in M2 macrophages, whereas catabolic processes are increased in

M1 macrophages [5]. Therefore, our results imply that LPCAT2 promotes

catabolism and synthesis of lipid mediators, while inhibiting anabolism or

adipogenesis (Figures 2 & 3). The inflammatory influence of LPCAT2 stems

from its anabolic activity which builds up inflammatory mediator – PAF, or

Arachidonic acid – a precursor for lipid mediators.

Pro-inflammatory conditions induce an increased expression of LPCAT2 as

seen in Figure 3, implying a possible increase in its enzymatic activity which

would lead to higher amounts of arachidonic acid [18]. Accumulation of

arachidonic acid increases the expression and activity of COX2 [19, 20]. This is

in line with our results which shows that LPCAT2 over-expression induces an

increased expression of COX2 after stimulation with LPS. Furthermore, Over-

expression of LPCAT2 significantly increased iNOS expression, both in LPS-

stimulated and nonstimulated macrophages. The synthesis of nitric oxide by

nitric oxide synthases and its release are hallmarks of inflammation [8], and

inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS also known as NOS2), is classed as an M1

marker because of its consistently high expression in pro-inflammatory

macrophages [5].

Cluster of Differentiation molecules are widely used to identify

immune cell phenotypes and function, CD206 belongs to this class of

molecules [23]. CD206 expression was not induced in LPS-stimulated

macrophages over-expressing). CD206 is expressed in both M1 and M2

macrophages. CD206 (a mannose receptor) is commonly known as an

M2 macrophage marker because it is highly expressed in M2

macrophages, and is induced predominantly by interleukin 4 (which

induces M2 macrophage polarization) [5, 22]. A scientific publication

claimed that there is no difference in CD206 mRNA expression in M1

and M2 macrophage phenotypes, however, the significant difference

may have been masked by the variability of CD206 mRNA expression

[2].

In conclusion, it is evident from the results that the expression of

some macrophage phenotype markers are dependent on LPCAT2.

Moreover, LPCAT2 promotes the expression of M1 markers while

attenuating the expression of M2 macrophage markers. Therefore, this

paper is further evidence that LPCAT2 is pro-inflammatory and

regulates inflammation by inducing a higher expression of M1 markers.

6 References:

[1] Owen, J. A., Punt, J., Stranford, S. A., Jones, P. P. & Kuby, J. Kuby

immunology (W.H. Freeman, New York, 2013), 7th ed edn. URL

http://www.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy1502/2012950797-d.html.

[2] Jaguin, M., Houlbert, N., Fardel, O. & Lecureur, V. Polarization profiles of

human m-csfgenerated macrophages and comparison of m1-markers in

classically activated macrophages from gm-csf and m-csf origin. Cell Immunol

281, 51–61 (2013).

[3] Mosser, D. M. The many faces of macrophage activation. J Leukoc Biol 73,

209–12 (2003).

[4] Nikonova, A. et al. M1-like macrophages are potent producers of anti-viral

interferons and m1-associated marker-positive lung macrophages are

decreased during rhinovirus-induced asthma exacerbations. EBioMedicine 54,

102734 (2020).

[5] Orecchioni, M., Ghosheh, Y., Pramod, A. B. & Ley, K. Macrophage

polarization: Different gene signatures in m1(lps+) vs. classically and m2(lps-)

vs. alternatively activated macrophages. Front Immunol 10, 1084 (2019).

[6] Moessinger, C. et al. Two different pathways of phosphatidylcholine
synthesis, the kennedy pathway and the lands cycle, differentially regulate
cellular triacylglycerol storage. BMC Cell Biol 15, 43 (2014).

[7] Abate, W., Alrammah, H., Kiernan, M., Tonks, A. J. & Jackson, S. K.
Lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2 (lpcat2) co-localises with tlr4 and
regulates macrophage inflammatory gene expression in response to lps. Sci
Rep 10, 10355 (2020).

[8] Harayama, T., Shindou, H., Ogasawara, R., Suwabe, A. & Shimizu, T.
Identification of a novel noninflammatory biosynthetic pathway of platelet-
activating factor. J Biol Chem 283, 11097–106 (2008).

[9] Serhan, C. N., Ward, P. A. & Gilroy, D. W. Fundamentals of inflammation
(Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).

[10] Giroux, M. & Descoteaux, A. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in
macrophages: modulation by protein kinase c-alpha. J Immunol 165, 3985–91
(2000).

[11] Bertani, F. R. et al. Classification of m1/m2-polarized human macrophages
by label-free hyperspectral reflectance confocal microscopy and multivariate
analysis. Sci Rep 7, 8965 (2017).

[12] Bouhlel, M. A. et al. Ppargamma activation primes human monocytes into

alternative m2 macrophages with anti-inflammatory properties. Cell Metab 6,

137–43 (2007).

[13] Glass, C. K. & Natoli, G. Molecular control of activation and priming in

macrophages. Nat Immunol 17, 26–33 (2016).

[14] Kobayashi, Y. The regulatory role of nitric oxide in proinflammatory

cytokine expression during the induction and resolution of inflammation. J

Leukoc Biol 88, 1157–62 (2010).

[15] Rafiee, L., Hajhashemi, V. & Javanmard, S. H. Maprotiline inhibits cox2

and inos gene expression in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated u937 macrophages

and carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats. Cent Eur J Immunol 44, 15–22

(2019).

[16] Trümper, V. et al. Redox regulation of ppar in polarized macrophages.

PPAR Res 2020, 8253831 (2020).

[17] Shimakata, T. & Stumpf, P. K. The purification and function of acetyl

coenzyme a:acyl carrier protein transacylase. J Biol Chem 258, 3592–8 (1983).

[18] Morimoto, R., Shindou, H., Tarui, M. & Shimizu, T. Rapid production of

platelet-activating   factor   is   induced   by   protein   kinase   c-mediated

phosphorylation of lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2 protein. J Biol

Chem 289, 15566–76 (2014).

[19] Nakatsuka, A., Mizuno, R., Ono, N., Nakayama, J. & Ohhashi, T.

Arachidonic acid-induced cox-1 and cox-2-mediated vasodilation in rat

gingival arterioles in vivo. Jpn J Physiol 55, 293–302 (2005).

[20] Hayek, M. G. et al. Enhanced expression of inducible cyclooxygenase with

age in murine macrophages. J Immunol 159, 2445–51 (1997).

[21] Jiang, Z. et al. Cd14 is required for myd88-independent lps signaling. Nat

Immunol 6, 565–70 (2005).

[22] Schulz, D., Severin, Y., Zanotelli, V. R. T. & Bodenmiller, B. In-depth

characterization of monocyte-derived macrophages using a mass cytometry-

based phagocytosis assay. Sci Rep 9, 1925 (2019).

[23] Cruse, J., Lewis, R. & Wang, H. Immunology Guidebook (Elsevier, 2004).