1 **Panton-Valentine leukocidin-induced neutrophil extracellular traps**

2 **lack antimicrobial activity and are readily induced in patients with**

3 **recurrent PVL+-*Staphylococcus aureus* infections**

4 **Short title: PVL induces nontoxic NETs**

5 *Authors*

6 Hina Jhelum[1], Dora Čerina[1], CJ Harbort[1], Andreas Lindner[2], Leif Gunnar

7 Hanitsch[3], Rasmus Leistner[4], Jennyver-Tabea Schröder[5], Horst von

8 Bernuth[6,7,8,9], Miriam Songa Stegemann[10], Mariana Schürmann[10], Arturo

9 Zychlinsky[1], Renate Krüger[6*], Gerben Marsman[1*#]

10 * Contributed equally to the manuscript

11 # Corresponding author: marsman@mpiib-berlin.mpg.de

12

13 *Author affiliations*

14 [1]Department of Cellular Microbiology, Max Planck Institute for Infection

15 Biology, Charitéplatz 1, 10117, Berlin, Germany

16 [2]Institute of Tropical Medicine and International Health, Charité,

17 Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

18 [3]Department of Medical Immunology, Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin,

19 Berlin, Germany

20 [4]Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, Charité,

21 Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

22 [5]Department of Pediatric Surgery, Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin,

23 Berlin, Germany

[6]Department of Pediatric Respiratory Medicine, Immunology and Critical Care Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin, Germany

[7]Department of Immunology, Labor Berlin GmbH, Berlin, Germany

[8]Berlin Institute of Health at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

[9]Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Berlin Institute of Health at Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin, Germany

[10]Department of Infectious Diseases and Respiratory Medicine, Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Staphylococcus aureus (*S. aureus*) strains that produce the toxin Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL; PVL-SA) frequently cause recurrent skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI). PVL binds to and kills human neutrophils, resulting in the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps, but the pathomechanism has not been extensively studied. Furthermore, it is unclear why some individuals colonized with PVL-SA suffer from recurring infections whereas others are asymptomatic. We thus aimed to (a) investigate how PVL exerts its pathogenicity on neutrophils and (b) identify factors that could help to explain the predisposition of patients with recurring infections.

We provide genetic and pharmacological evidence that PVL-induced NET formation is independent of NADPH-oxidase and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Moreover, through NET proteome analysis we identified that the protein content of PVL-induced NETs is different from NETs induced by mitogen or the microbial toxin nigericin. The abundance of the proteins cathelicidin (CAMP), elastase (NE), and proteinase 3 (PRTN3) was lower on PVL-induced NETs, and as such they were unable to kill *S. aureus.* Furthermore, we found that neutrophils from affected patients express higher levels of CD45, one of the PVL receptors, and are more susceptible to be killed at a low PVL concentration than control neutrophils. Neutrophils from patients that suffer from recurring PVL-positive infections may thus be more sensitive to PVL-induced NET formation, which might impair their ability to combat the infection.

Importance

Individuals colonized by *Staphylococcus aureus* strains that produce

Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL-SA) often present with recurrent skin

and soft-tissue infections, whilst other individuals remain asymptomatic.

PVL is a toxin that kills neutrophils, which results in the formation of

neutrophil extracellular traps. Traps induced by other stimuli are known to

be toxic to *S. aureus.* We found however that NETs specifically induced by

PVL are not toxic to *S. aureus*. Furthermore, we show that neutrophils

from individuals that suffer from recurring PVL-SA infections are more

sensitive to PVL-induced NET formation compared to healthy individuals.

The significance of our work is in identifying a mechanism through which

PVL-SA may actively counter the engagement of neutrophils. Moreover,

we identified that patients with recuring PVL-SA infections may be more

sensitive to this mechanism, which may help to explain their clinical

condition and might provide avenues for future treatment development.

Introduction

S. aureus is a widespread Gram-positive pathogen that causes infections

in skin and soft tissue (SSTI), osteoarticular, airway, and the blood stream

in humans and animals. Nasal colonization with *S. aureus* is found in up to

50% of humans, with strong age variation, and may be asymptomatic

provided that skin and mucous membrane barriers are intact [1,2].

Pathogenicity of *S. aureus* strains depends on a variety of virulence factors, among those, pore-forming leukocidins such as PVL that target neutrophils (also called polymorphonuclear leukocytes, PMN), and macrophages to evade phagocytosis and intracellular killing (reviewed in [3]). Over the last two decades an increasing number of outbreaks of SSTI in close communities [4–12] and in other health care settings [13,15,14,16–24] were caused by *S. aureus* strains that produce PVL (PVL-SA).

Although recurrent skin abscesses and furunculosis are often associated with PVL-SA infections, other pathologies are also linked to these strains. These include (a) worldwide reports of severe invasive infections - often complicated by thrombotic events - in previously healthy young individuals [25–27], (b) breast abscesses in lactating women [28] and transmission to offspring with life-threatening infections [29], and (c) necrotizing pneumonia [30], a severe manifestation, often fatal within days of hospital admission [31,32]. In most cases, pneumonia is preceded by viral airway infections, like influenza, parainfluenza [31,32] and SARS-CoV2 [33,35,34].

During outbreaks, identical PVL-SA strains have been obtained from individuals with asymptomatic nasal colonization as well as from patients with severe SSTI or invasive infections [11], suggesting that host factors may explain susceptibility to, and severity of, PVL-SA infections. Although studies in African Pygmies suggest that genetic variations in *C5AR1* may

be associated with PVL-SA colonization [36], other factors that allow PVL-

SA colonization or infections are not known.

The binding specificity of PVL defines both its host- and cell tropism. PVL

is a two-component toxin consisting of LukF-PV and LukS-PV, which bind

to the human panleukocyte receptor CD45 [37], and the human

complement 5a receptors CD88/C5aR and C5L2, respectively [38]. As a

result, human phagocytes are the major target of PVL. Upon binding, the

subcomponents hetero-oligomerize into an octameric membrane spanning

pore [39,40], which drives cell death. Neutrophils express higher levels of

both C5a receptors than monocytes [38] and are more sensitive to PVL

mediated killing than both monocytes and macrophages [41], suggesting

they are the major target of PVL. Importantly, neutrophils are essential

for host defense against *S. aureus* [42] and patients with impaired

production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in phagocytes are particularly

sensitive to *S. aureus* infections (see review [43]). Neutrophils clear

invading *S. aureus* through phagocytosis and by undergoing NETosis, a

cell death process which results in the formation of neutrophil extracellular

traps (NETs). NETs consist of an externalized web of chromatin decorated

with antimicrobial peptides and proteases and are toxic to bacteria [44].

Depending on the stimulus, NET formation may require ROS formation

[45]. A common mediator of ROS-dependent NET formation is NADPH-

oxidase, which produces superoxide (O^{2-}) that spontaneously dismutates

to hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). Myeloperoxidase (MPO) converts hydrogen

peroxide into highly reactive hypochlorous acid (HOCl). ROS production

allows for the release of neutrophil proteases from granules, protease-

mediated chromatin decondensation and the final lysis of the plasma

membrane [46–48]. Two *S. aureus* toxins that kill neutrophils and result

in NET formation are γ-hemolysin AB and PVL [49–51]. Interestingly, *S.*

aureus also produces nucleases to escape from NETs [52]. Whether NET

formation is a beneficial or detrimental neutrophil response, both for the

host as well as for *S. aureus*, remains unclear and may be context

dependent [50].

In this study, we set out to characterize PVL-induced NET formation with

the aim to understand its possible contribution to the pathogenesis of PVL-

SA infections. We show that PVL-induced NET formation is NADPH-oxidase

independent. Consistently, neutrophils isolated from chronic

granulomatous disease (CGD) patients, which have a mutation in the gene

encoding one subunit of the NADPH oxidase complex, make NETs in

response to this toxin [53]. PVL-induced NETs showed quantitative

proteomic differences to NETs produced after PMA or nigericin stimulation.

PVL-NETs contained a lower abundance of multiple antimicrobial proteins

and in contrast to PMA- or nigericin-NETs, did not kill *S. aureus.* Given the

lack of antimicrobial activity, we asked whether neutrophils from patients

with a history of recurrent PVL-SA infection show altered sensitivity to PVL

compared to controls. Indeed, we found that neutrophils from these

patients express higher levels of the PVL receptor CD45 than healthy

controls and make more NETs in response to a low concentration of PVL.

Our results suggest that PVL-receptor expression may mediate

susceptibility to symptomatic *S. aureus* infection and that NET-formation induced by this toxin serves as an offensive strategy to preemptively neutralize the neutrophil.

Results

PVL induces NETs independent from ROS

To further our understanding of the role of PVL toxin in PVL-SA pathogenesis, we verified [50,51] and further characterized PVL-induced NET formation. NADPH-oxidase dependent superoxide formation is essential in NETs induced by some stimuli [45], prompting us to investigate the NETosis pathway initiated by PVL. We first tested superoxide generation in healthy primary neutrophils stimulated with different concentrations of PVL or PMA, a well characterized mitogen that induces NADPH-oxidase dependent NETosis [54]. PVL stimulation resulted in weak superoxide production at all concentrations (Fig 1A). In contrast, PMA induced a significant superoxide burst.

We quantified ROS production as well as NET formation in neutrophils from CGD patients. As expected, CGD neutrophils failed to generate superoxide in response to PVL or PMA (Fig 1B). However, unlike PMA, PVL induced extracellular DNA release (Fig 1C) and NET formation (Fig 1D and S1-S8 movies) in CGD neutrophils. These data show that while PVL

186   stimulation weakly activates NADPH-oxidase, NET formation is

187   independent from the produced ROS.

Fig 1. PVL induces ROS-independent NET formation. (A-B) Reactive oxygen species

(ROS) formation was quantified in control (A n=14, B n=3) or CGD human primary

neutrophils (n=3) stimulated with PMA (50 nM) or PVL (0.1 nM, 1 nM or 10 nM). (C)

Control or CGD neutrophils (n=3) were stimulated with PMA (50 nM) or PVL (10 nM) for

3h and cell death was quantified using the cell impermeable DNA dye SYTOX Green.

Indicated is SYTOX Green fluorescence relative to t = 0 min. (D) Representative confocal

microscopy images of control and CGD patient neutrophils either naïve or stimulated with

PMA (50 nM) or PVL (1 nM or 10 nM) and stained for DNA (blue), NE (red) and chromatin

(green). Scale bar represents 10 µm. (E-G) Healthy human neutrophils were treated with

PKC inhibitor Gö6983 (PKCi, 1 µM), BAPTA-AM (10 µM), DPI (1 µM), pyrocatechol (30

µM), ABAH (500 µM), NEi (20 µM) and AEBSF (100 µM) for 30 min, before stimulating

with (E) PVL 1 nM, (F) PVL 10 nM or (G) PMA 50 nM for 4h. We quantified cell death with

SYTOX Green and the fluorescence signal relative to naïve is indicated. (H)

Representative confocal microscopy of naïve neutrophils or after stimulation with PMA or

PVL (1 nM or 10 nM) in the presence or absence of indicated inhibitors, and stained for

DNA (blue), NE (red) and chromatin (green). Scale bar represents 10 µm. (C) Two-way

ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. *P<0.05. (E-G) Mean ±SD of four independent

experiments. *P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett´s

multiple comparison test.

Given the known involvement of various neutrophil proteins in NET

formation, we asked if PVL-induced NET formation could be blocked

pharmacologically by the PKC inhibitor Gö6983 (PKCi), the calcium

chelator BAPTA-AM, the NADPH-oxidase inhibitor DPI, the ROS scavenger

pyrocatechol, the myeloperoxidase inhibitor ABAH, the neutrophil elastase

inhibitor NEi, or the pan serine protease inhibitor AEBSF (Fig 1E-F and

1H). We observed that PKCi weakly inhibited NET formation induced by 1

nM PVL (Fig 1E), whilst none of the other inhibitors, including inhibitors of

ROS-producing enzymes (DPI and ABAH) or ROS scavengers

(pyrocatechol), blocked NET formation induced by 1 or 10 nM PVL (Fig 1E-

F and 1H). Notably, neutrophils pre-treated with NEi or AEBSF and

stimulated with 1nM PVL formed smaller NETs (Fig 1H). These data

suggest that PVL-mediated NET formation is ROS- and protease-

independent. As a control, we confirmed [45] that PMA-induced NET

formation was blocked by all inhibitors tested (Fig 1G-H).

Mazzoleni *et al.* [51] suggested that small conductance calcium-activated

potassium (SK) channels and alternative ROS sources, such as

mitochondria or xanthine oxidase, mediate PVL-induced NET formation. To

verify this, we pre-treated neutrophils with the xanthine oxidase inhibitor

allopurinol, the SK channel inhibitor apamin, and the mitochondrial

uncouplers DNP and FCCP, before stimulating with either PMA or PVL. The

SK channel inhibitor NS8593 was toxic to neutrophils in our hands and

was excluded from our experiments. At 1 nM, but not at 10 nM PVL

stimulation, allopurinol inhibited NET formation, whilst mitochondrial

uncoupling with FCCP partially inhibited NET formation. (S1A-B Fig). These

findings were supported by confocal imaging (S1D Fig). None of the

inhibitors affected PMA-induced NET formation (S1C Fig). Given that PVL

induces NET formation in CGD patients despite a complete lack of ROS

(Fig 1B), and the inability of the ROS scavenger pyrocatechol to inhibit

PVL-induced NET formation, the inhibition of NETs by allopurinol treatment

or mitochondrial uncoupling appears to be independent of ROS production.

This is further supported by the observation that DPI, which not only

inhibits NADPH-oxidase but all flavin-containing proteins including

xanthine oxidase [55] and mitochondrial complex I [56], did not block

PVL-induced NET formation (Fig 1E-F). Finally, the inability of ROS-

targeting inhibitors to block NET formation induced by 10 nM PVL indicates

that PVL-induced NET formation is independent from ROS production.

PVL-induced NETs lack enrichment of key antimicrobial proteins

Since PVL induces NETs through a non-canonical pathway we

hypothesized that the resulting NETs may have different protein

compositions. We therefore analyzed the proteomes of NETs induced by

PVL, PMA, and the NADPH-oxidase independent NET inducer nigericin

[45]. We analyzed NETs from three independent donors by quantitative

mass spectrometry and compared them to the proteome of unstimulated

neutrophils. Principal component analysis revealed that PVL-, PMA- and

nigericin-induced NETs each have distinct proteomes (Fig 2A).

Interestingly, PVL-NETs appear to cluster between naïve neutrophils and

NETs induced by PMA and nigericin, suggesting their proteome

composition is intermediate between naïve cells and classical NETs.

Measurement of the Euclidean distance between samples confirmed that

the PVL-NET proteome is less distinct from naïve neutrophils than that of

PMA- or nigericin-induced NETs (S2A Fig).

We detected 2458 distinct proteins in the combined NET samples (present

in at least two of three replicate experiments). To examine which proteins

are driving the differences between the NET proteomes, we performed a

differential enrichment analysis using a 2-fold change in protein

abundance with an adjusted *p* value below 0.01 as a cut-off. We found

significant differences in relative protein abundance between all three NET

stimuli when compared with naïve neutrophils (Fig 2B and S2A Fig). Fewer

differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) were detected between PVL-

induced NETs and naïve PMNs (194 significant) compared to PMA- (449)

and nigericin-induced NETs (670). These data suggest that the specific

enrichment or depletion of proteins during NET formation is lower or

incomplete in PVL-treated neutrophils.

Fig 2. NET proteome assembly is different in PVL-induced NETs. (A) Principal

component analysis of the proteomes of naïve neutrophils, and PVL-, PMA-, and

nigericin-induced NETs. Analysis was performed on scaled log2-abundance of all proteins detected in at least 2/3 replicates. (B) Euler diagram showing the number and distribution of significant Differentially Abundant Peptides (logFC > 1, adjusted p < 0.01) on NETs compared to naïve neutrophils. Areas are proportional to DAP set size. (C) Clustered heatmap showing fold change (log2) values on each NET sample compared to naïve neutrophils of significant DAPs from (B). Clustering was performed by k-means algorithm with k=4 clusters. (D-E) Top 25 most-abundant DAPs that are significantly differentially abundant on PVL-induced NETs compared with PMA- (D) and nigericin-induced NETs (E). Point size and fill color represent average abundance across samples and adjusted p value, respectively. Proteomes were made from n=3 samples per condition from independent donors. DAP significance for each comparison was determined by a threshold of |[log2 fold change]| > 1 and adjusted p value < 0.01.

Examination of the fold changes of NET-specific DAPs revealed that most DAPs have the same pattern of enrichment or depletion across all types of NETs compared to naïve neutrophils (459 with negative logFC, 294 positive logFC, Fig 2C). Regression of global DAP fold changes (Fig S2B) revealed that though most proteins have the same enrichment pattern across NETs, the fold-changes are reduced in PVL- compared to PMA- and nigericin-induced NETs (slopes = 0.64, and 0.49 respectively), while PMA and nigericin NETs have equivalent DAP fold-changes (slope = 1).

K-means clustering of DAP fold change patterns supported this trend, and further identified a cluster of proteins specifically enriched on nigericin induced NETs (Fig 2C cluster two, orange, and S1 Table). To look more closely at the differences between PVL-induced NETs and PMA- or nigericin-induced NETs, we performed pair-wise comparisons between PVL and PMA or PVL and nigericin (Fig S2C and S2D) and ranked the 25 most

abundant DAPs (Fig 2D and 2E, respectively). Among these, key

neutrophil proteins such a NE (ELANE), PRTN3, and CAMP, were all less

abundant on PVL-induced NETs compared with PMA- or nigericin-induced

NETs. In contrast, we found cytoskeleton proteins such as actin (ACTB),

myosin (MYH9), and tubulin (TUBA1B) to be more enriched on PVL-NETs.

Our data suggest that PVL induces NETs with a different NET proteome

assembly when compared with PMA or nigericin, resulting in a lack of

enrichment of key antimicrobial proteins on PVL-NETs.

PVL-induced NETs are less bactericidal

Given the differential enrichment of antimicrobial proteins in PVL-

compared to PMA- and nigericin-NETs, we hypothesized that their

bactericidal activity may be different. We incubated methicillin-resistant *S.*

aureus (MRSA) with either PVL-, PMA-, or nigericin-induced NETs for 1 h,

harvested the bacteria, and quantified the surviving colony forming units.

PVL-induced NETs did not kill MRSA, whilst PMA- and nigericin-induced

NETs did (Fig 3A). We verified that all stimuli at these concentrations

released similar amounts of NETs by nanodrop DNA quantification (Fig

3B). To exclude a possible contribution of killing through phagocytosis we

performed the same experiment in the presence of cytochalasin B. We did

not observe a difference in antimicrobial activity upon inhibition of

phagocytosis, indicating that NETs were solely responsible for the killing

(Fig S3). These results show that PVL-NETs have a lower antimicrobial

potential against MRSA than PMA- or nigericin-NETs.

Fig 3. PVL-induced NETs do not kill MRSA. (A) Primary human neutrophils were
stimulated with PVL (100 nM), PMA (100 nM), or nigericin (30 µM), for 4 h to induce
NETs. MRSA was incubated with NETs for 1 h and CFU was quantified. Bacterial viability
is expressed as a percentage of CFUs normalized to MRSA incubated in the absence of
NETs. (B) The DNA content of PVL-, PMA-, and nigericin-induced NETs at 4 h was
quantified by spectrophotometry. (A) Mean ± SD of five independent experiments.
*p<0.05, *** p<0.001, one-way ANOVA. (B) Mean ± SD of four independent
experiments.

PVL induces NETs efficiently in neutrophils from patients with recurrent PVL-SA infections

The lack of antimicrobial activity of PVL-NETs prompted us to ask whether
neutrophils from patients that suffer from recurrent PVL-SA infections
show altered responses to PVL that may help to explain the patients'
increased susceptibility to infection. We quantified NET formation in
response to 0.1 nM, 1 nM, and 10 nM PVL in patients and controls using a
cell impermeable DNA dye. Interestingly, 0.1 nM PVL killed neutrophils
isolated from patients more efficiently than neutrophils isolated from

healthy controls (Fig 4A). Patient and control neutrophils were equally

susceptible to make NETs in response to PMA.

Given the binding specificity of PVL we checked the expression of CD45,

CD88, and C5L2, as well as the neutrophil activation markers CD63 and

CD66b on control and patient neutrophils by flow cytometry. Patient

neutrophils expressed higher levels of CD45 (adjusted *p* value =

0.000473), and there was no difference in CD88. Furthermore, a subset of

patients expressed increased C5L2 levels compared to control neutrophils

(Fig S4A), but this trend was not consistent for all patients (Fig 4B).

Interestingly, the difference in expression of C5L2 and possibly CD45 in

patients compared to controls correlated with the difference in NET

formation in response to 0.1 nM PVL (ρ = 0.4491 for CD45, ρ = 0.7105 for

C5L2) (Fig 4C and 4D). Differences in expression levels of CD88, CD63 or

CD66b did not correlate with differences in NET formation (Fig S4B-D).

Taken together, our data suggest that neutrophils from patients with

recurrent PVL-SA infections express higher levels of the PVL receptor

CD45 and may be more sensitive to PVL-induced NET formation at low

PVL concentrations when compared to control neutrophils.

Fig 4. Neutrophils from patients with recurrent PVL-SA infections make more NETs in response to PVL and express more CD45 than neutrophils from healthy donors. (A) Purified primary neutrophils from patients suffering from recurrent PVL-SA infections (n=19) and healthy controls (n=19) were either left untreated or stimulated with PMA (50 nM) or PVL (0.1 nM, 1 nM or 10 nM) for 4 h and cell death was quantified

by adding the cell-impermeable DNA dye SYTOX Green and measuring fluorescence. Boxplot indicates SYTOX fluorescence relative to naïve neutrophils at 4 h. (B) CD45, C5L2, CD88, CD63 and CD66b were immunolabelled on neutrophils from patients and healthy controls. The fluorescence was measured and indicated as log-transformed MFI. (C) CD45 or (D) C5L2 fluorescence of patient neutrophils relative to control was plotted against SYTOX fluorescence of patient neutrophils relative to control after incubation with 0.1 nM PVL for 4 h. (A) Unpaired Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni post-hoc test, * $P<0.05$. (B) Paired t-test, *** $P<0.001$. (C-D) Spearman's correlation test where the coefficient of correlation (ρ) and probability (p) are indicated. A best-fit line indicates the trend.

Discussion

In the past few decades, PVL has emerged as an important virulence factor in community acquired SA infections. Interestingly, human host factors that mediate susceptibility or severity of PVL-SA infections are not known. To further our understanding of the contribution of PVL to PVL-SA pathogenesis, we set out to characterize PVL-induced NET formation. PMA or *Candida albicans* induce NET formation which is ROS-dependent and is blocked by compounds that scavenge ROS or inhibit ROS [45]. Other stimuli, like nigericin and calcium ionophores induce NETs through a ROS independent mechanism [45]. We identified that PVL induces the formation of NETs independently of NADPH-oxidase and MPO activity, two enzymes that produce ROS. This is evidenced by two observations (1) CGD neutrophils do not produce ROS upon stimulation with PVL, but make NETs, and (2) DPI, an inhibitor of flavin-containing proteins such as

NADPH-oxidase, xanthine oxidase [55], and cytochrome C [57,56], the

ROS scavenger pyrocatechol, and the MPO inhibitor ABAH all fail to inhibit

PVL-induced NET formation.

A recent study by Mazzoleni *et al*. suggested that ROS derived from

mitochondria or xanthine oxidase are involved in PVL-induced NET

formation [51]. We observed that treatment with DNP or FCCP, as well as

xanthine oxidase inhibition by allopurinol partly inhibited PVL-induced NET

formation. Xanthine oxidoreductase consists of two isoforms, xanthine

oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase. Allopurinol inhibits both isoforms,

which affects purine metabolism. Moreover, allopurinol itself generates

superoxide radicals upon inhibition of xanthine oxidase [58]. Therefore, its

inhibitory effect on PVL-induced NET formation may be independent from

xanthine oxidase inhibition or ROS formation. How allopurinol exerts its

inhibitory effects on PVL-induced NET formation is still unclear.

Furthermore, given that both DPI and the ROS scavenger pyrocatechol

were unable to inhibit PVL-induced NET formation, we hypothesize that

the inhibitory effect of allopurinol and FCCP is independent from their

effects on ROS production. Notably, inhibition of NET formation by these

inhibitors was seen at 1 nM PVL but was lost when stimulating with 10 nM

PVL. It may be that PVL at high concentrations is able to induce NET

formation without the involvement of neutrophil components interrogated

by the inhibitors used in our study, whilst at low PVL concentrations some

components may contribute to NET formation.

Neutrophil proteases were not essential for PVL-induced NET formation,

although we did observe that formed NETs appeared smaller upon

protease inhibition. Neutrophil elastase is known to contribute to

chromatin decondensation during NADPH-oxidase dependent NETosis

[47,59] and this decondensation may occur during PVL-mediated NET

formation as well. Although PAD4-mediated histone citrullination has been

suggested to be involved in NADPH oxidase-independent NET formation,

others have excluded its involvement in driving PVL-induced NET

formation [51].

Interestingly, different NETosis mechanisms lead to NETs with specific

compositions. We find differences in protein abundances of NETs induced

by PVL, PMA, or nigericin, and the proteome of PVL NETs specifically

appears to be less distinct from that of unstimulated neutrophils. Several

antimicrobial proteins are less abundant in PVL-induced NETs, when

compared to PMA- or nigericin-induced NETs. In contrast, cytoskeletal

proteins are more abundant on PVL NETs. A previous report also identified

a similar enrichment of cytoskeletal proteins in spontaneously lysed

neutrophils [60]. Given PMA or nigericin-induced NET formation involves

an intracellular release of granular components [59], this 'mixing-of-the-

bag' may allow for efficient tethering of granular components to the

chromatin backbone and degradation of the cytoskeleton. Cell lysis occurs

2-3 h after stimulation with PMA, while PVL-induced NET formation occurs

swiftly, with cell lysis occurring within the first 2 h after stimulation. We

speculate that granular components may be lost to the extracellular

environment during this rapid lysis, resulting in a less bactericidal NET.

These data suggest that NETs induced by different stimuli may display

different functional characteristics. Leukocidins such as PVL may have

evolved to elicit a harmless form of NET formation to promote survival of

the invading bacteria.

We hypothesized that the lack of antimicrobial activity of PVL-NETs might

contribute to PVL-SA pathogenesis and asked whether neutrophils from

patients that suffer from recurrent PVL-SA infections show unusual

responses to PVL. We identified increased NET formation in patient

neutrophils upon stimulation with a low PVL concentration, and a higher

expression of CD45 and potentially C5L2, two of the toxin's receptors, in

patient neutrophils compared to healthy controls. Furthermore, the

increase in CD45 and C5L2 expression correlated with more NET formation

induced by PVL.

LukS-PV and LukF-PV have different binding affinities to their respective

receptors (C5L2 and CD88 for LukS-PV, CD45 for LukF-PV), and the low

binding affinity of LukF-PV to CD45 suggests that varying expression

levels of CD45 are unlikely to modulate pore formation [37]. In contrast,

LukS-PV binding affinity to CD88 or C5L2 is much higher and therefore

their expression may modulate sensitivity to PVL toxin. Given that

neutrophils express C5L2 less abundantly than CD88, it was surprising to

find that differential expression of C5L2 correlates with an increased

sensitivity of patient neutrophils to PVL [38]. However, the affinities of

LukS-PV binding to CD88 and C5L2 have never been compared and the

relative contribution of C5L2-binding to PVL mediated cell death is therefore not known. Whether there is a genetic predisposition in patients with recurrent PVL-SA remains to be determined and we are currently investigating avenues to study this.

CD88 localizes to the plasma membrane, rendering it accessible for extracellular PVL toxin. However, there are conflicting reports on the localization of C5L2 since it has been detected both intracellularly and on the plasma membrane [61,62]. We detected C5L2 on the plasma membrane through flow cytometry, suggesting that the expression is not exclusively intracellular. However, much is unknown concerning the regulation of C5L2 and CD88 expression and receptor shuttling and it is unclear how this regulation might affect targeting by PVL [61,62].

Pore formation by PVL is described to exert various cellular effects such as intracellular calcium flux, ATP-release into the extracellular environment, induction of apoptosis and cellular lysis [63]. These various phenotypes likely depend on the toxin concentration a cell encounters. We therefore characterized NET formation at different PVL concentrations and observed robust NET formation upwards of 1 nM PVL. In clinical samples from PVL-SA patients, median levels of PVL toxin were previously found to be 0.42µg/ml (~11 nM) with a range of 0-399 µg/ml, which suggests that NET induction may be expected in these patients [64], notwithstanding the difficulty of predicting PVL potency *in vivo*.

NETs have at least three described functions, they are antimicrobial [44], procoagulant [65], and activate the immune system [66,67]. PVL-SA

infections may be associated with a higher risk for thrombotic events. In addition to being less antimicrobial, it would be interesting to investigate if PVL-induced NETs also differ in their procoagulant or immune activation functions.

In conclusion, our observation of (a) differentially expressed PVL receptors in individuals with recurrent or severe PVL-SA infections and (b) functionally different NET formation after neutrophil exposure to PVL in contrast to other stimuli may explain specific clinical features and interindividual differences in PVL-SA infections. We propose that overexpression of PVL receptors might make an individual's neutrophils more prone to this disarmed NET formation, preventing efficient clearance of the invading bacteria. In turn, this could provide a competitive advantage to PVL-SA, facilitating colonization and recurrent infections. Further investigations are necessary to verify this finding and find potential treatment or prevention strategies for these infections.

Materials and Methods

Neutrophil isolation, experimental conditions, and inhibitors

Human neutrophils were isolated by layering whole blood over Histopaque-1119 (Sigma) followed by a discontinuous Percoll gradient (Amersham Biosciences) as previously described [46]. Alternatively, they were also isolated using the direct human neutrophil isolation kit (EasySep, StemCell Technologies) following manufacturer´s instructions.

All experiments were performed in Seahorse XF RPMI medium (SF-RPMI,

Agilent) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM

glucose and 0.1% human serum albumin (HSA) at pH 7.4 except where

mentioned. The stimuli used to induce NET formation were phorbol 12-

myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma), PVL (equal amounts of *S. aureus*

recombinant LukS and LukF (Bioservices), and nigericin (InvivoGen). We

used the following inhibitors: Gö6983 (PKCi, Tocris) BAPTA-AM (Thermo

Fisher Scientific), pyrocatechol (Sigma), 4-Aminobenzoic acid hydrazide

(ABAH, Cayman chemical), neutrophil elastase inhibitor (NEi,

MedChemExpress), Diphenyleneiodonium chloride (DPI, Calbiochem),

AEBSF (Sigma), allopurinol (Sigma), Apamin (Sigma), 2,4- Dinitrophenol

(DNP, Sigma) and 2-[[4-(trifluoromathoxy) phenyl]hydrazinylidene]

propanedinitrile (FCCP, Abcam).

ROS measurement

Purified neutrophils were seeded at 10^5 cells per well in a 96-well plate

and incubated for 30 min with the indicated inhibitors, followed by

incubation for 10 min with 50 μM luminol and 1.2 units/ml horseradish

peroxidase at 37°C prior to stimulation with indicated stimuli.

Luminescence was measured over time in a VICTORX luminometer (Perkin

Elmer) [45].

Neutrophil lytic cell death assay

10^5 neutrophils were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated with the
appropriate inhibitors for 30 min, followed by incubation with 50 nM cell-
impermeable DNA dye SYTOX Green (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 5 min at
37°C, prior to stimulation with indicated stimuli. Fluorescence was
recorded once per hour for 4h using a Fluoroskan Ascent (ThermoFisher
Scientific).

NET staining

10^5 neutrophils were seeded on glass coverslips in a 24-well plate and
incubated with or without appropriate inhibitors followed by stimulation
with indicated stimuli for 4 h at 37°C. Cells were fixed with 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were
washed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 1 min and
incubated in blocking buffer (3% normal goat serum, 3% cold water fish
gelatin, 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) for 30
min. Neutrophils were then stained using antibodies detecting elastase
(Merck Millipore, 481001) and a subnucleosomal complex of Histone 2A,
histone 2B and chromatin [68]. The secondary antibodies used were goat
anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, A11029) and goat anti-rabbit
Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen, A21245) followed by staining with DAPI (0.1
μg/ml, Invitrogen). Finally, the samples were mounted using antifade
mountant (ProLong Diamond Antifade mountant, ThermoFisher Scientific).
Images were acquired using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope.

For live NET imaging, cells were resuspended in Agilent XF RPMI medium

(Agilent) at pH 7.4, supplemented with 0.1% Human Serum Albumin, 10

mM Glucose (Sigma Aldrich), 2 mM L-Glutamine (Gibco), 20 mM HEPES

(Gibco) 500 nM SYTOX Green (Thermo Fischer) and 2.5 μM DRAQ5

(Biostatus) and seeded at a density of $5x10^5$ cells per well into μ-slide 8

well ibiTreat dishes (ibidi). Cells were stimulated with final concentrations

of 100 nM PMA and 10 nM PVL. Images were collected at 2 min intervals

on a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope equipped with a climate chamber

at 37°C and with a Leica HC PL APO 20x/0.75 IMM CORR CS2 objective

using glycerol immersion [45].

Mass spectrometry (MS)

Human neutrophils from three different healthy donors were seeded in 6-

well tissue culture plate to a density of $3x10^5$ cells per well (SF-RPMI

without HSA) and then stimulated with 50 nM PMA, 10 nM PVL, or 15 μM

nigericin for 4 h to induce NETs. As a control, neutrophils were incubated

in medium for 4 h. Media was carefully removed followed by a wash with

fresh media to remove unbound proteins. NETs were collected by

treatment with lysis buffer (1% SDS, 50 mM HEPES pH 8, 10 mM tris-(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 40 mM Chloroacetamide and protease-

inhibitor cocktail) and subsequent scraping.

All samples were subjected to SP3 sample preparation [69]. Briefly,

proteins were denatured, reduced and alkylated, and subsequently

digested with Trypsin and Lys-C proteases. TMT 11plex (Pierce) labelling

was used for peptide multiplexing and quantification. Samples were

mixed, desalted using solid phase extraction (Seppak 1cc/50 mg, Waters),

and fractionated using basic reversed phase fractionation on a quaternary

Agilent 1290 Infinity II UPLC system equipped with a Kinetex Evo-C18

column (150 x 2.1 mm, 2.6µm, 100 Å, Phenomenex). Fractions were

concatenated into 8 final samples, dried down and resuspended in 2%

acetonitrile, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) prior MS analysis. All samples

were analyzed on an Orbitrap Q Exactive HF (Thermo Scientific) that was

coupled to a 3000 RSLC nano UPLC (Thermo Scientific). Samples were

loaded on a pepmap trap cartridge (300 µm i.d. x 5 mm, C18, Thermo)

with 2% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA at a flow rate of 20 µL/min. Peptides were

separated over a 50 cm analytical column (Picofrit, 360 µm O.D., 75 µm

I.D., 10 µm tip opening, non-coated, New Objective) that was packed in-

house with Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 2.7 µm (Agilent). Solvent A consists of

0.1% formic acid in water. Elution was carried out at a constant flow rate

of 250 nL/min using a 180-minute method: 8-33% solvent B (0.1% formic

acid in 80% acetonitrile) within 120 minutes, 33-48% solvent B within 25

minutes, 48-98% buffer B within 1 minute, followed by column washing

and equilibration. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent

acquisition mode. The MS1 survey scan was acquired from 375-1500 m/z

at a resolution of 120,000. The top 10 most abundant peptides were

isolated within a 0.7 Da window and subjected to HCD fragmentation at a

normalized collision energy of 32%. The AGC target was set to 2e5

charges, allowing a maximum injection time of 78 ms. Product ions were

detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 45,000. Precursors were

dynamically excluded for 45 s. Raw files were processed with Proteome

Discoverer 2.3 (Thermo Scientific) using SEQUEST HT for peptide

identification. Peptide-spectrum-matches (PSMs) were filtered to a 1%

false discovery rate (FDR) level using Percolator employing a target/decoy

approach. The protein FDR was set to 1%. Further data processing was

carried out in R and Perseus (v. 1.6.2.3). Only proteins identified with at

least two peptides were included in the analysis. All contaminant proteins

were filtered out. A three-step normalization procedure was applied. First,

the total intensity of each TMT channel was normalized to correct for

mixing errors. Next, the common channel in both TMT sets was used for

internal reference scaling [70] in order to correct for batch effects.

Afterwards the data was normalized applying trimmed mean of M values

(TMM) using the edgeR package in R [71]. Proteins were filtered on those

detected in at least two of three replicate experiments. Remaining

undetected (NA) values were replaced with the sample-wise minimum

abundance as an estimation of the limit of detection. Differential protein

abundances were calculated using the limma package in R [72]. Principle

component analysis and calculation of Euclidean distance between

proteome samples were performed using scaled log2(abundance) values.

NET killing assay

Purified neutrophils were seeded at 10^6 cells per well in a flat-bottom 96-

well plate. NET formation was induced with 100 nM PVL, 100 nM PMA or

30 μM nigericin for 4 h at 37 °C. If applicable, residual phagocytosis was

subsequently blocked with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin B (Abcam) for 15 min.

S. aureus (USA300) in mid-logarithmic phase was added at a multiplicity

of infection of 2 in RPMI with 10% human serum (Sigma). Bacteria were

spun down for 5 min at 800 x g and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. After

incubation, NETs were treated with 2 U micrococcal nuclease (Takara Bio)

for 10 min at RT. Samples were resuspended, serially diluted in DPBS and

plated on trypticase soy agar plates. The plates were incubated overnight

at 37 °C and colony-forming units were counted. Bacterial viability was

expressed as a percentage of bacteria incubated for 1h without NETs.

For DNA quantification, NETs were induced as described above and

digested with 2 U micrococcal nuclease for 10 min at RT, and

subsequently with 0.5 mg/ml of proteinase K (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 50°C.

The samples were vortexed and the DNA concentration was measured on

Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Neutrophil markers

1×10^6/ml neutrophils were fixed for 15 min using 4% PFA and washed to

PBS supplemented with 0.1% HSA. Cells were incubated with anti-CD63-

PE, anti-CD66b-APC (Miltenyi Biotec), 5 μg/ml anti-CD45-AlexaFluor 647

(Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 10 μg/ml CD88 (S5/1)-FITC (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology) and 10 µg/ml anti-human C5L2-APC (BioLegend)

antibodies for 30 min in the dark, washed thereafter to PBS supplemented

with 0.1% HSA and measured on a CytoFLEX (BeckMan Coulter) or

MACSQuant (Miltenyi Biotec).

Patient and control characteristics

Patient demographic and clinical data are summarized in S2 Table.

Controls were matched for gender and age. All study participants provided

written informed consent and were free of infections at the time of blood

withdrawal. The study was approved by the local Ethics committee

(EA2/003/19). Healthy control samples were collected according to the

approval and guidelines of the local ethics committee (EA1/0104/06).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of differential protein abundance was performed in limma (Linear

Models for Microarray data) in R, which has been shown to outperform t-

tests in detecting significant changes in protein abundance [73]. Protein-

wise linear models were fit and batch-corrected using the formula (0 +

condition + batch) and significant changes in abundance were tested

using an empirical Bayes moderated t-statistic with Benjamini-Hochberg

correction. Changes in abundance were considered significant at an

absolute log2 fold change > 1, and an adjusted p value < 0.01. Euler

diagrams of significant changes across samples were visualized with the

eulerr package [74]. Significant proteins were clustered by pattern across

conditions using k-means clustering with indicated number of clusters.

Heatmaps were produced using pheatmap package [75].

R scripts for proteome analysis and visualization can be found in the

supporting zip document.

Data are represented as mean±SD unless otherwise stated.

MS data availability

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the

ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [76] partner repository with

the dataset identifier PXD025702.

Reviewer account details:

Username: reviewer_pxd025702@ebi.ac.uk

Password: Yr3cVMPZ

Acknowledgements

We thank Christian Frese from the Proteomics Research Platform at the

Max Planck Unit for the Science of Pathogens for proteomic analysis.

We thank Alf Herzig for visualizing PVL-induced NET formation in healthy

and CGD neutrophils in real time by confocal microscopy.

Author Contributions

HJ, AZ, RK, and GM designed the study

HJ, DC, and GM performed experiments and analyzed the data

HVB and RK cared for the patients

AL, LGH, RL, JTS, MSS, MS, and HVB have interpreted the data and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content

CJH analyzed the mass spectrometry data

HJ, AZ, RK, and GM wrote the manuscript

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

References

1.   Bogaert D, van Belkum A, Sluijter M, Luijendijk A, de Groot R,

Rümke H, et al. Colonisation by Streptococcus pneumoniae and

Staphylococcus aureus in healthy children. Lancet. 2004;363: 1871–

1872. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16357-5

2.   Wertheim HFL, Melles DC, Vos MC, van Leeuwen W, van Belkum A,

Verbrugh HA, et al. The role of nasal carriage in Staphylococcus

aureus infections. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;5: 751–62.

doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70295-4

3.   Tromp AT, van Strijp JAG. Studying Staphylococcal Leukocidins: A

Challenging Endeavor. Front Microbiol. 2020;11: 1–8.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.00611

4.   Müller-Premru M, Strommenger B, Alikadic N, Witte W, Friedrich AW,

Seme K, et al. New strains of community-acquired methicillin-

resistant Staphylococcus aureus with Panton-Valentine leukocidin

causing an outbreak of severe soft tissue infection in a football team.

Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;24. doi:10.1007/s10096-005-

0048-0

5.   Gilbert M, MacDonald J, Louie M, Gregson D, Zhang K, Elsayed S, et

al. Prevalence of USA300 colonization or infection and associated

variables during an outbreak of community-associated methicillin-

resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a marginalized urban population.

Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2007;18. doi:10.1155/2007/597123

6.   Carré N, Sillam F, Dabas JP, Herbreteau N, Pinchon C, Ortmans C, et

al. Staphylococcus aureus carrying Panton-Valentine leukocidin

genes nasal colonization and skin infection: Screening in case of

outbreak in a school environment. Med Mal Infect. 2008;38.

doi:10.1016/j.medmal.2008.06.025

7.   Carré N, Herbreteau N, Askeur N, Dabas JP, Pinchon C, Bes M, et al.

Outbreak of skin infections due to Staphylococcus aureus carrying

Panton-Valentine leukocidin genes in pupils and their relatives. Med

Mal Infect. 2011;41. doi:10.1016/j.medmal.2010.12.017

8.   Higashiyama M, Ito T, Han X, Nishiyama J, Tanno A, Wada T, et al.

Trial to control an outbreak of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive

methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus at a boarding school in

Japan. Am J Infect Control. 2011;39. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2011.02.010

9.   Bourigault C, Corvec S, Brulet V, Robert P-Y, Mounoury O, Goubin C,

et al. Outbreak of Skin Infections Due to Panton-Valentine

Leukocidin-Positive Methicillin-Susceptible Staphylococcus aureus in

a French Prison in 2010-2011. PLoS Curr. 2014.

doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.e4df88f057fc49e2560a235e0f8f9fea

10. Couvé-Deacon E, Tristan A, Pestourie N, Faure C, Doffoel-Hantz V,

Garnier F, et al. Outbreak of Panton-valentine leukocidin–associated

methicillin-susceptible staphylococcus aureus infection in a rugby

team, France, 2010–2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22.

doi:10.3201/eid2201.150597

11.   Leistner R, Kola A, Gastmeier P, Krüger R, Hoppe P-A, Schneider-

Burrus S, et al. Pyoderma outbreak among kindergarten families:

Association with a Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)-producing S.

aureus strain. Becker K, editor. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0189961.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0189961

12.   Ismail H, Govender NP, Singh-Moodley A, Van Schalkwyk E, Shuping

L, Moema I, et al. An outbreak of cutaneous abscesses caused by

Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing methicillin-susceptible

Staphylococcus aureus among gold mine workers, South Africa,

November 2017 to March 2018. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20.

doi:10.1186/s12879-020-05352-5

13.   Linde H, Wagenlehner F, Strommenger B, Drubel I, Tanzer J, Reischl

U, et al. Healthcare-associated outbreaks and community-acquired

infections due to MRSA carrying the Panton-Valentine leucocidin gene

in southeastern Germany. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;24.

doi:10.1007/s10096-005-1341-7

14.   Wagenlehner FME, Naber KG, Bambl E, Raab U, Wagenlehner C,

Kahlau D, et al. Management of a large healthcare-associated

outbreak of Panton-Valentine leucocidin-positive meticillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus in Germany. J Hosp Infect. 2007;67.

doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2007.07.006

15.   Tang CT, Nguyen DT, Hoa NT, Nguyen TMP, Le VT, To SD, et al. An

outbreak of severe infections with community-acquired MRSA

812 carrying the panton-valentine leukocidin following vaccination. PLoS

813 One. 2007;2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000822

814 16. Maltezou HC, Vourli S, Katerelos P, Maragos A, Kotsalidou S,

815 Remoudaki E, et al. Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive methicillin-

816 resistant Staphylococcus aureus outbreak among healthcare workers

817 in a long-term care facility. Int J Infect Dis. 2009;13.

818 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2009.02.004

819 17. Nagao M, Iinuma Y, Suzuki M, Matsushima A, Takakura S, Ito Y, et

820 al. First outbreak of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

821 USA300 harboring the Panton-Valentine leukocidin genes among

822 Japanese health care workers and hospitalized patients. Am J Infect

823 Control. 2010;38. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2010.04.214

824 18. Teare L, Shelley OP, Millership S, Kearns A. Outbreak of Panton-

825 Valentine leucocidin-positive meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus

826 aureus in a regional burns unit. J Hosp Infect. 2010;76.

827 doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2010.04.023

828 19. Ali H, Nash JQ, Kearns AM, Pichon B, Vasu V, Nixon Z, et al.

829 Outbreak of a South West Pacific clone Panton-Valentine leucocidin-

830 positive meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection in a UK

831 neonatal intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect. 2012;80.

832 doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2011.12.019

833 20. Pinto AN, Seth R, Zhou F, Tallon J, Dempsey K, Tracy M, et al.

834 Emergence and control of an outbreak of infections due to Panton-

Valentine leukocidin positive, ST22 methicillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus in a neonatal intensive care unit. Clin

Microbiol Infect. 2013;19. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03987.x

21. Garvey MI, Bradley CW, Holden KL, Oppenheim B. Outbreak of clonal

complex 22 Panton–Valentine leucocidin-positive methicillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect Prev. 2017;18.

doi:10.1177/1757177417695647

22. Kossow A, Kampmeier S, Schaumburg F, Knaack D, Moellers M,

Mellmann A. Whole genome sequencing reveals a prolonged and

spatially spread nosocomial outbreak of Panton–Valentine leucocidin-

positive meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (USA300). J Hosp

Infect. 2019;101. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2018.09.007

23. Panigrahy A, Sinha S, Das BK, Kapil A, Vishnubhatla S, Dhawan B.

Staphylococcus aureus Colonisation in HIV-Infected Patients:

Incidence, Risk Factors and Subsequent Skin- and Soft-Tissue

Infections. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2020;38: 444–447.

doi:10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_20_5

24. Ikeuchi K, Adachi E, Sasaki T, Suzuki M, Lim LA, Saito M, et al. An

Outbreak of USA300 Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Among People With HIV in Japan. J Infect Dis. 2021;223: 610–620.

doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa651

25. Shallcross LJ, Fragaszy E, Johnson AM, Hayward AC. The role of the

Panton-Valentine leucocidin toxin in staphylococcal disease: A

systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13.

doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70238-4

26. Saeed K, Gould I, Espositio S, Ahmad-Saeed N, Ahmed SS, Alp E, et

al. Panton–Valentine leukocidin-positive Staphylococcus aureus: a

position statement from the International Society of Chemotherapy.

Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018;51: 16–25.

doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.11.002

27. Hoppe P-A, Holzhauer S, Lala B, Bührer C, Gratopp A, Hanitsch LG,

et al. Severe infections of Panton-Valentine leukocidin positive

Staphylococcus aureus in children. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98:

e17185. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000017185

28. Rimoldi SG, Pileri P, Mazzocco MI, Romeri F, Bestetti G, Calvagna N,

et al. The Role of Staphylococcus aureus in Mastitis: A

Multidisciplinary Working Group Experience. J Hum Lact. 2020;36.

doi:10.1177/0890334419876272

29. Le Thomas I, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Collignon A, Gravet A, Clermont

O, Brahimi N, et al. Breast milk transmission of a Panton-Valentine

leukocidin-producing Staphylococcus aureus strain causing infantile

pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39. doi:10.1128/JCM.39.2.728-

729.2001

30. Labandeira-Rey M, Couzon F, Boisset S, Brown EL, Bes M, Benito Y,

et al. Staphylococcus aureus Panton-Valentine leukocidin causes

necrotizing pneumonia. Science (80-). 2007;315.

doi:10.1126/science.1137165

31. Gillet Y, Issartel B, Vanhems P, Lina G, Vandenesch F, Etienne J, et

al. Severe staphylococcal pneumonia in children. Arch Pédiatrie.

2001;8. doi:10.1016/S0929-693X(01)80190-1

32. Li HT, Zhang TT, Huang J, Zhou YQ, Zhu JX, Wu BQ. Factors

associated with the outcome of life-threatening necrotizing

pneumonia due to community-acquired Staphylococcus aureus in

adult and adolescent patients. Respiration. 2011;81.

doi:10.1159/000319557

33. Duployez C, Le Guern R, Tinez C, Lejeune AL, Robriquet L, Six S, et

al. Panton-valentine leukocidin secreting staphylococcus aureus

pneumonia complicating COVID-19. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26:

1939–1941. doi:10.3201/eid2608.201413

34. Allou N, Allyn J, Traversier N, Baron M, Blondé R, Dupieux C, et al.

SARS-CoV-2 with Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing

Staphylococcus aureus healthcare-associated pneumonia in the

Indian Ocean. Heliyon. 2022;8: e10422.

doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10422

35. Nygaard U, Petersen A, Larsen AR, Rytter MJH, Hartling U, Kirkby N,

et al. Fatal SARS-CoV-2-Associated Panton-Valentine Leukocidin-

producing Staphylococcal Bacteremia: A Nationwide Multicenter

Cohort Study. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2022;41: e142–e145.

doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000003476

36.   Schaumburg F, Witten A, Flamen A, Stoll M, Alabi AS, Kremsner PG,

905   et al. Complement 5a Receptor Polymorphisms Are Associated With

906   Panton-Valentine Leukocidin–positive Staphylococcus aureus

907   Colonization in African Pygmies. Clin Infect Dis. 2018; 1–3.

908   doi:10.1093/cid/ciy666

909   37.   Tromp AT, Van Gent M, Abrial P, Martin A, Jansen JP, De Haas CJC,

910   et al. Human CD45 is an f-component-specific receptor for the

911   staphylococcal toxin Panton-Valentine leukocidin. Nat Microbiol.

912   2018;3: 708–717. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0159-x

913   38.   Spaan AN, Henry T, Van Rooijen WJM, Perret M, Badiou C, Aerts PC,

914   et al. The staphylococcal toxin panton-valentine leukocidin targets

915   human C5a receptors. Cell Host Microbe. 2013;13: 584–594.

916   doi:10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.006

917   39.   Alonzo F, Torres VJ. Bacterial Survival Amidst an Immune Onslaught:

918   The Contribution of the Staphylococcus aureus Leukotoxins. PLoS

919   Pathog. 2013;9: e1003143. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003143

920   40.   Spaan AN, Van Strijp JAG, Torres VJ. Leukocidins: Staphylococcal bi-

921   component pore-forming toxins find their receptors. Nat Rev

922   Microbiol. 2017;15: 435–447. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.27

923   41.   Holzinger D, Gieldon L, Mysore V, Nippe N, Taxman DJ, Duncan JA,

924   et al. Staphylococcus aureus Panton-Valentine leukocidin induces an

925   inflammatory response in human phagocytes via the NLRP3

926   inflammasome. J Leukoc Biol. 2012;92: 1069–1081.

doi:10.1189/jlb.0112014

42.   van Kessel KPM, Bestebroer J, van Strijp JAG. Neutrophil-Mediated

Phagocytosis of Staphylococcus aureus. Front Immunol. 2014;5:

467. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00467

43.   Buvelot H, Posfay-Barbe KM, Linder P, Schrenzel J, Krause KH.

Staphylococcus aureus, phagocyte NADPH oxidase and chronic

granulomatous disease. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2017;41.

doi:10.1093/femsre/fuw042

44.   Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y, Weiss

DS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. Science.

2004;303: 1532–5. doi:10.1126/science.1092385

45.   Kenny EF, Herzig A, Krüger R, Muth A, Mondal S, Thompson PR, et

al. Diverse stimuli engage different neutrophil extracellular trap

pathways. Elife. 2017;6: e24437. doi:10.7554/eLife.24437

46.   Fuchs TA, Abed U, Goosmann C, Hurwitz R, Schulze I, Wahn V, et al.

Novel cell death program leads to neutrophil extracellular traps. J

Cell Biol. 2007;176: 231–241. doi:10.1083/jcb.200606027

47.   Papayannopoulos V, Metzler KD, Hakkim A, Zychlinsky A. Neutrophil

elastase and myeloperoxidase regulate the formation of neutrophil

extracellular traps. J Cell Biol. 2010;191: 677–691.

doi:10.1083/jcb.201006052

48.   Sollberger G, Choidas A, Burn GL, Habenberger P, Di Lucrezia R,

Kordes S, et al. Gasdermin D plays a vital role in the generation of

neutrophil extracellular traps. Sci Immunol. 2018;3: eaar6689.

doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aar6689

49.   Pilsczek FH, Salina D, Poon KKH, Fahey C, Yipp BG, Sibley CD, et al.

A Novel Mechanism of Rapid Nuclear Neutrophil Extracellular Trap

Formation in Response to Staphylococcus aureus. J Immunol.

2010;185: 7413–7425. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1000675

50.   Bhattacharya M, Berends ETM, Chan R, Schwab E, Roy S, Sen CK, et

al. Staphylococcus aureus biofilms release leukocidins to elicit

extracellular trap formation and evade neutrophil-mediated killing.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115: 7416–7421.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1721949115

51.   Mazzoleni V, Zimmermann-Meisse G, Smirnova A, Tarassov I,

Prévost G. Staphylococcus aureus Panton-Valentine Leukocidin

triggers an alternative NETosis process targeting mitochondria.

FASEB J. 2020; 1–22. doi:10.1096/fj.201902981R

52.   Berends ETM, Horswill AR, Haste NM, Monestier M, Nizet V, Von

Köckritz-Blickwede M. Nuclease expression by Staphylococcus aureus

facilitates escape from neutrophil extracellular traps. J Innate

Immun. 2010;2: 576–586. doi:10.1159/000319909

53.   Heyworth PG, Cross AR, Curnutte JT. Chronic granulomatous

disease. Curr Opin Immunol. 2003;15: 578–84. doi:10.1016/s0952-

7915(03)00109-2

54.   Amulic B, Knackstedt SL, Abu Abed U, Deigendesch N, Harbort CJ,

Caffrey BE, et al. Cell-Cycle Proteins Control Production of Neutrophil

Extracellular Traps. Dev Cell. 2017;43: 449-462.e5.

doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.10.013

55. Sanders SA, Eisenthal R, Harrison R. NADH oxidase activity of human

xanthine oxidoreductase generation of superoxide anion. Eur J

Biochem. 1997;245: 541–548. doi:10.1111/j.1432-

1033.1997.00541.x

56. Li Y, Trush MA. Diphenyleneiodonium, an NAD(P)H oxidase inhibitor,

also potently inhibits mitochondrial reactive oxygen species

production. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1998;253: 295–299.

doi:10.1006/bbrc.1998.9729

57. Majander A, Finel M, Wikström M. Diphenyleneiodonium inhibits

reduction of iron-sulfur clusters in the mitochondrial NADH-

ubiquinone oxidoreductase (Complex I). J Biol Chem. 1994;269:

21037–21042. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(17)31926-9

58. Galbusera C, Orth P, Fedida D, Spector T. Superoxide radical

production by allopurinol and xanthine oxidase. Biochem Pharmacol.

2006;71: 1747–52. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2006.02.008

59. Metzler KD, Goosmann C, Lubojemska A, Zychlinsky A,

Papayannopoulos V. Myeloperoxidase-containing complex regulates

neutrophil elastase release and actin dynamics during NETosis. Cell

Rep. 2014;8: 883–896. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.06.044

60. Petretto A, Bruschi M, Pratesi F, Croia C, Candiano G, Ghiggeri G, et

al. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NET) induced by different stimuli:

A comparative proteomic analysis. PLoS One. 2019;14: 1–18.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0218946

61. Scola AM, Johswich KO, Morgan BP, Klos A, Monk PN. The human

complement fragment receptor, C5L2, is a recycling decoy receptor.

Mol Immunol. 2009;46: 1149–1162.

doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2008.11.001

62. Bamberg CE, Mackay CR, Lee H, Zahra D, Jackson J, Lim YS, et al.

The C5a receptor (C5aR) C5L2 is a modulator of C5aR-mediated

signal transduction. J Biol Chem. 2010;285: 7633–7644.

doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.092106

63. Genestier A-L, Michallet M-C, Prévost G, Bellot G, Chalabreysse L,

Peyrol S, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Panton-Valentine leukocidin

directly targets mitochondria and induces Bax-independent apoptosis

of human neutrophils. J Clin Invest. 2005;115: 3117–3127.

doi:10.1172/JCI22684

64. Badiou C, Dumitrescu O, George N, Forbes ARN, Drougka E, Chan

KS, et al. Rapid detection of Staphylococcus aureus Panton-Valentine

Leukocidin in clinical specimens by enzyme-linked immunosorbent

assay and immunochromatographic tests. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:

1384–1390. doi:10.1128/JCM.02274-09

65. Fuchs TA, Brill A, Duerschmied D, Schatzberg D, Monestier M, Myers

DD, et al. Extracellular DNA traps promote thrombosis. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107: 15880–15885.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1005743107

66. Kahlenberg JM, Carmona-Rivera C, Smith CK, Kaplan MJ. Neutrophil

extracellular trap-associated protein activation of the NLRP3

inflammasome is enhanced in lupus macrophages. J Immunol.

2013;190: 1217–26. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1202388

67. Apel F, Andreeva L, Knackstedt LS, Streeck R, Frese CK, Goosmann

C, et al. The cytosolic DNA sensor cGAS recognizes neutrophil

extracellular traps. Sci Signal. 2021;14: eaax7942.

doi:10.1126/scisignal.aax7942

68. Losman MJ, Fasy TM, Novick KE, Monestier M. Monoclonal

autoantibodies to subnucleosomes from a MRL/Mp(-)+/+ mouse.

Oligoclonality of the antibody response and recognition of a

determinant composed of histones H2A, H2B, and DNA. J Immunol.

1992;148: 1561–9. Available:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1371530

69. Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Müller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB,

Krijgsveld J. Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation

for proteomics experiments. Nat Protoc. 2019;14: 68–85.

doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x

70. Plubell DL, Wilmarth PA, Zhao Y, Fenton AM, Minnier J, Reddy AP, et

al. Extended Multiplexing of Tandem Mass Tags (TMT) Labeling

Reveals Age and High Fat Diet Specific Proteome Changes in Mouse

Epididymal Adipose Tissue. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2017;16: 873–890. doi:10.1074/mcp.M116.065524

71. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 139–140. doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTP616

72. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: e47–e47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007

73. Kammers K, Cole RN, Tiengwe C, Ruczinski I. Detecting Significant Changes in Protein Abundance. EuPA open proteomics. 2015;7: 11–19. doi:10.1016/j.euprot.2015.02.002

74. Larsson J. eulerr: Area-Proportional Euler and Venn Diagrams with Ellipses. R package version 6.1.1. 2021. Available: https://cran.r-project.org/package=eulerr

75. Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12. 2019.

76. Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et al. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47: D442–D450. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1106

1065

Supplemental Figures

S1 Fig. FCCP and allopurinol inhibit PVL-induced NET formation.

Human primary neutrophils were treated with xanthine oxidase inhibitor

allopurinol (2 mM), small conductance calcium-activated potassium

channel inhibitor apamin (200 nM), and mitochondrial uncouplers DNP

(750 μM) and FCCP (10 μM) for 30 min before stimulating with (A) PVL 1

nM, (B) PVL 10 nM or (C) PMA for 4 h. We quantified cell death using

SYTOX Green and fluorescence relative to naïve is plotted for the

respective stimuli. (D) Representative confocal microscopy of naïve

neutrophils or after stimulation with PMA or PVL in the presence or

absence of indicated inhibitors, and stained for DNA (blue), NE (red) and

chromatin (green). Scale bar represents 10 μm. (A-C) Mean ± SD of three

independent experiments. *$P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$ mixed-

effects analysis with Dunnett´s multiple comparison test.

1097

S2 Fig. Comparison of PVL, PMA, and nigericin NET proteomes. (A)

Euclidean distance between whole proteomes of naïve neutrophils and NETs induced by different stimuli. For each panel, the pairwise distance is plotted between each sample of that group and the samples of the group indicated on the x-axis. Each point represents the distance between a unique pair of samples. (B) Scatter plots of DAP fold changes compared to naïve neutrophils with linear regression for PVL vs PMA NETs, PVL vs nigericin NETs, and nigericin vs PMA NETs. DAPs plotted are proteins significantly enriched or depleted in one or more NET groups compared to naïve neutrophils (as in Fig 3B and 3C). (C) Protein log2 fold change in PVL/PMA NETs or PVL/nigericin NETs vs mean abundance across samples. Data are from pairwise comparisons between PVL and PMA or PVL and nigericin NETs. Proteome data are from n=3 donors for each condition. Significant DAPs are colored red. DAPs from each indicated comparison were considered significant with an absolute log2 fold change > 1 and adjusted p value < 0.01.

1116 Cytochalasin B:

1117

S3 Fig. Inhibition of phagocytosis by cytochalasin B does not affect

killing by NETs

NET formation was induced by PVL (100 nM), PMA (100 nM), or nigericin

(30 μM) for 4 h, followed by incubation with cytochalasin B (10 μg/ml) for

15 min. MRSA was incubated with the NETs for 1 h and CFUs were

quantified after overnight incubation on TSA plates. Results are expressed

as a percentage of CFUs formed by MRSA incubated in the absence of

NETs.

S4 Fig. Comparison of receptor expression between patients and controls and correlation with cell death. (A) Pair-wise comparison of

CD45, C5L2, CD88, CD63, and CD66b MFI between patients and controls.

(B-D) CD88, CD63, and CD66b fluorescence relative to control was plotted

against SYTOX Green fluorescence induced by 0.1 nM PVL relative to

control. The coefficient of correlation (ρ) and probability (p) of a

Spearman's correlation test are indicated. A best-fit line indicates the

trend.

S1-8 movies

Live cell imaging of control or CGD neutrophils left untreated (S1 and S5)

or stimulated with 50 nM PMA (S2 and S6) or 1 nM PVL (S3-S4 and S7-

S8) over a time course of 6 h. Images were acquired every 2 min. Cells

were stained with the cell permeable DNA dye DRAQ5 (magenta) and the

cell impermeable DNA dye SYTOX Green (green).

List of abbreviations

CFU   Colony forming unit

CGD   Chronic granulomatous disease

DAP   Differentially abundant proteins

DAPI   4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

DHR   Dihydrorhodamine

DNP   Dinitrophenol

DPBS   Dulbeccos`s phosphate-buffered saline

1151	DPI	Diphenyleneiodonium chloride
1152	FCCP	Carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone
1153	FDR	False discovery rate
1154	HCD	Higher-energy collisional dissociation
1155	HEPES	Hydroxyethyl-Piperazine-Ethane Sulfonic Acid
1156	Hlg	γ-Hemolysin
1157	HAS	Human serum albumin
1158	MFI	Mean fluorescent intensities
1159	MPO	Myeloperoxidase
1160	MRSA	Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
1161	MS	Mass spectrometry
1162	NE	Neutrophil elastase
1163	NEi	Neutrophil elastase inhibitor
1164	NETs	Neutrophil extracellular traps
1165	PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
1166	PFA	Paraformaldehyde
1167	PKC	Protein Kinase C
1168	PMA	Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate
1169	PMN	Polymorphonuclear leukocytes

1170	PSMs	Peptide-spectrum-matches
1171	PVL	Panton-Valentine leukocidin
1172	PVL-SA	PVL-positive *S. aureus*
1173	ROS	Reactive oxygen species
1174	RT	Room temperature
1175	*S. aureus*	*Staphylococcus aureus*
1176	SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
1177	SSTI	Skin and soft tissue infections
1178	TCEP	Tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine
1179	TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid
1180	TMM	Trimmed mean of M values
1181	TMT	Tandem Mass Tag