**Main Manuscript for**

Epistasis is not a strong constraint on the recurrent evolution of toxin-
resistant Na⁺,K⁺-ATPases among tetrapods.

Shabnam Mohammadi[1,2,*], Lu Yang[3, §,*], Santiago Herrera-Álvarez[4,5,*], María del Pilar Rodríguez-
Ordoñez[4,#], Karen Zhang[3], Jay F. Storz[1], Susanne Dobler[2], Andrew J. Crawford[4] & Peter
Andolfatto[6]

[1]School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA
[2]Molecular Evolutionary Biology, Institute of Zoology, Biocenter Grindel, Universität Hamburg,
Hamburg, Germany
[3]Department of Ecology and Evolution, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
[4]Department of Biological Sciences, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, 111711, Colombia
[5]Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
[6]Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
15

16 *Co-first authorship

17 § Current address: Wellcome Sanger Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom
18 # Current address: Université Paris-Saclay Evry, Evry, France
19
**Email:**  andrew@dna.ac, pa2543@columbia.edu

SM: 0000-0003-3450-6424, LY: 0000-0002-2694-1189, SHA: 0000-0002-0793-7811, MPRO:
0000-0002-0856-1297, KZ: 0000-0003-4406-9977, JFS: 0000-0001-5448-7924, SD: 0000-0002-
0635-7719, AJC: 0000-0003-3153-6898, PA: 0000-0003-3393-4574

**Classification**
Biological Sciences; Evolution

**Keywords**
Epistasis, protein evolution, cardiotonic steroids, toxin resistance, adaptation

**Author Contributions**
PA and AJC conceived of and oversaw the project; SM, JFS, SD, AJC and PA
designed experiments; KZ, LY, MPRO, SHA, SM collected data; SM, SHA and PA
performed evolutionary and statistical analyses; SM, SHA, and PA wrote the paper; All authors
edited the manuscript.

**This PDF file includes:**
Main Text
Figures 1 to 6
38

**Abstract**

Comparative genomic studies reveal a global decline in rates of convergent amino acid substitution as a function of evolutionary distance. This pattern has been attributed to epistatic constraints on protein evolution, the idea being that mutations tend to confer the same fitness effects on more similar genetic backgrounds, so convergent substitutions are more likely to occur in closely related species. However, this hypothesis lacks experimental validation. We tested this model in the context of the recurrent evolution of resistance to cardiotonic steroids (CTS) across diverse groups of tetrapods, which occurs via specific amino acid substitutions to the α-subunit family of $Na^+,K^+$-ATPases (ATP1A). After identifying a series of recurrent substitutions at two key sites of ATP1A1 predicted to confer CTS resistance, we performed protein engineering experiments to test the functional consequences of introducing these substitutions onto divergent species backgrounds. While we find that substitutions at these sites can have substantial background-dependent effects on CTS resistance, we also find no evidence for background-dependent effects on protein activity. We further show that the magnitude of a substitution's effect on activity does not depend on the overall extent of ATP1A1 sequence divergence between species. More generally, a global analysis of substitution patterns across ATP1A orthologs and paralogs reveals that the probability of convergent substitution protein-wide is not predicted by sequence divergence. Together, these findings suggest that intramolecular epistasis is not an important constraint on the evolution of ATP1A CTS resistance in tetrapods.

**Significance Statement**

Individual amino acid residues within a protein work in concert to produce a functionally coherent structure that must be maintained even as orthologous proteins in different species diverge over time. Given this dependence, we expect identical mutations to have more similar effects on protein function in more closely related species. We tested this hypothesis by performing protein-engineering experiments on ATP1A, an enzyme mediating target-site insensitivity to cardiotonic steroids (CTS) in diverse animals. These experiments reveal that although the phenotypic effects of substitutions can sometimes be background-dependent, the magnitude of these effects does not correlate with ATP1A1 sequence divergence. This implies that the genetic background across the ATP1A protein does not strongly limit the evolution of CTS resistance in animals.

**Main Text**

**Introduction**

Patterns of molecular parallelism and convergence represent a useful paradigm to examine the factors that limit the rate of adaptation and the extent to which adaptive evolutionary paths are predictable (1, 2). In the context of protein evolution, patterns of parallelism and convergence are influenced by pleiotropy (the effect of a given mutation on multiple phenotypes) and intramolecular epistasis (nonadditive interactions between mutant sites in the same protein) (3–11). If the phenotypic and fitness effects of mutations depend on the genetic background on which they arise (i.e. epistasis), a given mutation is expected to have more similar effects in orthologs from closely related species. Therefore, the probability of parallel or convergent substitution resulting in sequence divergence between species is expected to decrease with divergence time. Consistent with this expectation, there is evidence for such a decline in broad-scale phylogenetic comparisons of mitochondrial (12) and nuclear (13, 14) proteins. However, this hypothesis has not been tested experimentally to date.

To address the question of how changes in the genetic background alter the phenotypic effects of new mutations, we focus on the test case of the repeated evolution of resistance to cardiotonic steroids (CTS) in animals. CTS are potent inhibitors of Na$^+$,K$^+$-ATPase (NKA), a protein that plays a critical role in maintaining membrane potential and is consequently vital for the maintenance of many physiological processes and signaling pathways in animals (15). NKA (Fig. 1A) is a heterodimeric transmembrane protein that consists of a catalytic α-subunit (ATP1A) and a glycoprotein $\beta$-subunit (ATP1B) (16). CTS inhibit NKA function by binding to a highly conserved domain of ATP1A and blocking the exchange of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ ions (15). NKA is thus often the target of parallel evolution of CTS resistance in insect herbivores that feed on toxic plants (17, 18) as well as vertebrate predators that feed on toxic prey (19–22). Functional investigations of CTS resistance-conferring substitutions in Drosophila (23, 24) and Neotropical grass frogs (25) revealed associated negative pleiotropic effects on protein function and showed that substitutions elsewhere in the protein mitigate these effects. However, despite these examples, the generality of these patterns, and specifically the predicted dependence on evolutionary distance, remain poorly understood given the limited availability of comparative functional data.

Broad phylogenetic comparisons in vertebrates have focused primarily on the H1-H2 extracellular loop of ATP1A proteins, a subset of the CTS-binding domain that contains two sites (111 and 122) known to underlie CTS resistance in rats and toad-eating frogs (25, 26). Most vertebrates possess three paralogs of the α-subunit gene (ATP1A) that have different tissue-specific expression profiles and are associated with distinct physiological roles (Fig. 1B) (15, 27). Mammals possess a fourth

paralog that is expressed predominantly in testes (28). A major limitation of studies to date is that

the H1-H2 extracellular loop has been inconsistently surveyed among vertebrate taxa, with

previous studies focusing on ATP1A3 in reptiles (20, 21, 29, 30), ATP1A1 and/or ATP1A2 in birds

and mammals (30, 31), and either ATP1A1 or ATP1A3 in amphibians (19, 30). We therefore lack

a comprehensive survey of amino acid variation in the ATP1A protein family across vertebrates.

To bridge this gap, we first surveyed variation in near full-length coding sequences of the three

NKA α-subunit paralogs (ATP1A1, ATP1A2, ATP1A3) that are shared across major extant tetrapod

groups (mammals, birds, non-avian reptiles, and amphibians), and identified substitutions that

occur repeatedly among divergent lineages. Focusing on two key sites implicated in CTS resistance

across animals (111 and 122), we tested whether substitutions at these sites have increasingly

distinct phenotypic effects on more divergent genetic backgrounds. Specifically, we engineered

several common substitutions at sites 111 and 122 of ATP1A1 that differ between species to reveal

potential 'cryptic' epistasis (8, 32). By quantifying the level of CTS resistance conferred by these

substitutions, as well as their effects on enzyme function, we evaluate the extent to which pleiotropy

and epistasis have constrained the evolution of CTS-resistant forms of ATP1A1 across tetrapods.

**Results**

**Patterns of ATP1A sequence evolution across species and paralogs.**

To obtain a more comprehensive portrait of ATP1A amino acid variation among tetrapods, we

created multiple sequence alignments for near full-length ATP1A proteins for the three ATP1A

paralogs shared among vertebrates. In addition to publicly available data, we generated new RNA-

seq data for 27 non-avian reptiles (PRJNA754197) (Table S1-S2). We then *de novo* assembled

full-length transcripts of all ATP1A paralogs using these and RNA-seq data from 18 anuran species

(25) (PRJNA627222) to achieve better representation for these groups. In total, this dataset

comprises 429 species for ATP1A1, 197 species for ATP1A2 and 204 species for ATP1A3 (831

sequences total; Supplemental Dataset 1, Fig. S1).

Our survey reveals numerous substitutions at sites implicated in CTS resistance of NKA (Fig. 2;

Supplementary Dataset 2; for comparison to insects, see Supplemental file 1 of ref. (23)). As

anticipated from studies of full-length sequences in insects (17, 18, 23), most amino acid variation

among species and paralogs is concentrated in the H1-H2 extracellular loop (residues 111-122;

Fig 1A). Despite harboring just 28% of 43 sites previously implicated in CTS resistance (33), the

H1-H2 extracellular loop contains 81.4% of all substitutions identified among the three ATP1A
paralogs (Fig. S2).

Our survey reveals several clade- and paralog-specific patterns. Notably, ATP1A1 exhibits more
variation among species at sites implicated in CTS resistance (Fig. 2). Most of the variation in
ATP1A2 at these sites is restricted to squamate reptiles and ATP1A3 lacks substitutions at site 122
altogether, despite the well-known potential for substitutions at this site to confer CTS resistance
(25, 26). Looking across species and paralogs, the extent of parallelism at sites 111 and 122 is
remarkable (Figs. 2-3): for example, the substitutions Q111E, Q111T, Q111H, Q111L, and Q111V
all occur in parallel in multiple species of both insects and vertebrates. N122H and N122D also
frequently occur in parallel in both of these major clades. The frequent parallelism of CTS-sensitive
(i.e. Q111 and N122) to CTS-resistant states at these sites has been interpreted as evidence for
adaptive significance of these substitutions (17–20), but may also reflect mutation biases (34) and
the nature of physico-chemico constraints (13, 35).

In contrast, some parallelism is restricted to specific clades: for example, Q111R occurs in parallel
across tetrapods but has not been observed in insects. Similarly, the combination Q111R+N122D
has evolved three times independently in ATP1A1 of tetrapods but is not observed in insects.
Conversely, insects have evolved Q111V+N122H independently four times, but this combination
has never been observed in tetrapods. These patterns suggest that the fitness effects of some
CTS-resistant substitutions depend on genetic background, with the result that CTS-resistance
evolved via different mutational pathways in different lineages.

Beyond known CTS-resistant substitutions at sites 111 and 122, some taxa have evolved other
paths to CTS resistance. For example, the Pacman frog (genus *Ceratophyrs*) is known to prey on
CTS-containing toads (36) and its ATP1A1 harbors a known CTS-resistant substitution at site 121
(D121N, Supplementary Dataset 2). This substitution is rare among vertebrates but has been
previously reported in CTS-adapted milkweed bugs (17, 18). Similarly, the known CTS resistance
substitution C104Y is observed among many natricid snakes (Supplementary Dataset 2) and CTS-
adapted milkweed weevils (18). Chinchilla (*Chinchilla lanigera*) and yellow-throated sandgrouse
(*Pterocles gutturalis*) show distinct single-amino acid insertions in the H1–H2 extracellular loop, a
characteristic that has been previously associated with CTS resistance in pyrgomorphid
grasshoppers (33, 37). Further, *in lieu* of variation at site 122, ATP1A3 of tetrapods harbors
frequent parallel substitutions at site 120 (G120R). Interestingly, this site also shows substantial
parallel substitution in the ATP1A1 paralog of birds (where N120K occurs eight times
independently) but is mostly invariant in ATP1A1 of other tetrapods.

**Context-dependent CTS resistance for substitutions at sites 111 and 122**

The clade- and paralog-specific patterns of substitution among ATP1A paralogs outlined above suggest that the evolution of CTS resistance may be highly dependent on sequence context. However, the functional effects of the vast majority of these substitutions on the diverse genetic backgrounds in which they occur remain largely unknown (25, 26, 29). Given the diversity and broad phylogenetic distribution of parallel substitutions at sites 111 and 122, and the documented effects of some of these substitutions on CTS resistance, we experimentally tested the extent to which functional effects of substitutions at these sites are background-dependent.

We focused functional experiments on ATP1A1, because it is the most ubiquitously expressed paralog and exhibits both the most sequence diversity and the broadest phylogenetic distribution of parallel substitutions. Specifically, we considered ATP1A1 orthologs from nine representative tetrapod species that possess different combinations of wild-type amino acids at 111 and 122 (Fig. 4A). Our taxon sampling includes two lizards, two snakes, two birds, two mammals and previously published data for one amphibian (Fig. S4; Fig. S5; Table S3). The ancestral amino acid states of sites 111 and 122 in tetrapods are Q and N, respectively. We found that the sum of the number of derived states at positions 111 and 122 is a strong predictor of the level of CTS-resistance (Fig 4B, $IC_{50}$, Spearman's $r_S$=0.85, p=0.001). Nonetheless, we also found greater than 10-fold variation in CTS-resistance among enzymes that had identical paired states at 111 and 122 (e.g., compare chinchilla (CHI) versus red-necked keelback snakes (KEE) or compare rat (RAT) versus the resistant paralog of grass frogs ($GRA_R$)). These differences suggest that substitutions at other sites also contribute to CTS resistance.

To test for epistatic effects of common CTS-resistant substitutions at sites 111 and 122, we used site-directed mutagenesis to introduce 15 substitutions (nine at position 111 and six at position 122) in the wildtype ATP1A1 backgrounds of 9 different species (Fig. S4). The specific substitutions chosen were either phylogenetically broadly-distributed parallel substitutions and/or divergent substitutions that distinguish closely related clades of species. We expressed each of these 24 ATP1A1 constructs with an appropriate species-specific ATP1B1 protein (Table S3). For each recombinant NKA protein complex, we characterized its level of CTS resistance ($IC_{50}$) and we estimated enzyme activity as the rate of ATP hydrolysis in the absence of CTS (Table S4).

Of the 12 substitutions for which $IC_{50}$ could be measured, substitutions had a 15-fold effect on average (Fig. 4C, Table S4) and were equally likely to increase or decrease $IC_{50}$. To assess the

background-dependence of specific substitutions, we examined five cases in which a given
substitution (e.g., E111H), or the reverse substitution (e.g., H111E), could be evaluated on two or
more backgrounds. In the absence of intramolecular epistasis, the effect of a substitution in different
backgrounds should remain unchanged and the magnitude of the effect of the reverse substitution
should also be the same but with opposite sign. This analysis revealed substantial background
dependence for $IC_{50}$ in two of the five informative cases (Fig. 4E; Table S5). In one case, the N122D
substitution results in a 200-fold larger increase in $IC_{50}$ when added to the chinchilla (CHI)
background compared to the grass frog (GRA) background (p=1.2e-3 by ANOVA). In the other
case, the E111H substitution and the reverse substitution (H111E) produced effects in the same
direction (reducing CTS-resistance) when added to different backgrounds (false fer-de-lance (FER)
and red-necked keelback (KEE) snakes, respectively, p=1e-7 by ANOVA). Overall, these results
suggest that the effect of a given substitution on $IC_{50}$ can be strongly dependent on the background
on which it occurs. The remaining three substitutions (H111T, Q111R and H122D) showed no
significant change in the magnitude of the effect on $IC_{50}$ when introduced into different species'
backgrounds. These results suggest that, while some substitutions can have strong background-
dependent effects, strong intramolecular epistasis with respect to CTS resistance is not universal.
228    
229    **Pleiotropic effects on NKA activity exhibit little evidence for background-dependence.**
230    
We next tested whether substitutions at sites 111 and 122 have pleiotropic effects on ATPase
activity. Because ion transport across the membrane is a primary function of NKA and its disruption
can have severe pathological effects (38), mutations that compromise this function are likely to be
under strong purifying selection. As suggested by previous work (23–25), CTS-resistant
substitutions at sites 111 and 122 can decrease enzyme activity. We evaluated the generality of
these effects by comparing enzyme activity of the 15 mutant NKA proteins to their corresponding
wild-type proteins.
238    
Interestingly, the wild-type enzymes themselves exhibit substantial variation in activity, from 3-18
nmol/mg*min ($P$ = 6e-7 by ANOVA, Fig 4D; Table S4). On average, substitutions at sites 111 and
122 changed enzyme activity by 60% (Fig 4D; Fig S4). In two cases, amino acid substitutions at
position 122 (N122H and H122D) nearly inactivate lizard NKAs and, in one case, a substitution at
position 111 (Q111T) resulted in low expression of the recombinant protein in the transfected cells
(Fig S5; Fig. S6). A test of uniformity of pairwise t-test p-values across substitutions suggests a
significant enrichment of low p-values (Fig 4D inset; p=2.5e-4, chi-squared test of uniformity). Thus,
globally, this set of substitutions has significant effects on NKA activity, but they were not

significantly more likely to decrease than increase activity (10 decrease: 5 increase, p>0.3, binomial
test, Fig. 4D, Table S5).

We next asked to what extent pleiotropic effects of CTS resistant substitutions at positions 111 and
122 are dependent on genetic background. This question is motivated by recent studies in insects
which revealed that deleterious pleiotropic effects of some resistance-conferring substitutions at
sites 111 and 122 are background-dependent (23, 24). Likewise, recent work on ATP1A1 of toad-
eating grass frogs showed that effects of Q111R and N122D on NKA activity are background-
dependent (25). In contrast, among the five informative cases in which we compared the same
substitution (or the reverse substitution) on two or more backgrounds, there is little evidence for
background dependence (Fig 4E; Table S5). For example, N122D has similar effects on NKA
activity in grass frog and chinchilla despite the substantial divergence between the species' proteins
(8.4% protein sequence divergence; Fig. 4D). Similarly, the effects of Q111R in ostrich or the
reverse substitution R111Q in sandgrouse were not significantly different from the effect of Q111R
in grass frog (7.5% and 8% protein sequence divergence, respectively).

To further examine the evidence for background dependence, we tested whether changes to the
same amino acid state (regardless of starting state) at 111 and 122 produce different changes in
NKA activity (e.g., R111E on the rat background versus H111E on the false fer-de-lance
background). If epistasis is important, we expect that the difference in effects of substitutions to a
given amino acid state should increase with increasing sequence divergence compared to ATP1A1
backgrounds in which that state is wild-type. However, across the 11 possible comparisons, we
found no relationship between the difference in the effect of substitutions to the same state and the
extent of amino acid divergence between the orthologous proteins (Fig. 5). This pattern suggests
that, while pleiotropic effects can be background dependent (23, 25), these effects are not
pervasive across species and do not correlate with overall sequence divergence.

**The overall rate of convergence across ATP1A proteins does not depend on sequence**
**divergence.**

If intramolecular epistasis is pervasive, we would predict that rates of convergent substitution
should decrease as a function of overall sequence divergence (12–14). In contrast to this
expectation, our experiments suggest that, for ATP1A1, the extent of background sequence
divergence is a poor predictor of the magnitude of effects of substitutions at sites 111 and 122 on
CTS resistance and enzyme activity. Since our experiments were necessarily limited in scope, we

carried out a broad phylogenetic analysis to evaluate how well our findings align with global

estimates of rates of convergence for the ATP1A family beyond ATP1A1 and beyond sites

implicated in CTS resistance.

Using a multisequence alignment of 831 ATP1A protein sequences, including the three ATP1A

paralogs shared among tetrapods (i.e., amphibians, non-avian reptiles, birds, and mammals), we

inferred a maximum likelihood phylogeny of the gene family (Fig. S1). We then used ancestral

sequence reconstruction to infer the history of substitution events on all branches in the tree and

counted the number of convergent amino acid substitutions along the protein per site (see Materials

and Methods). Convergent substitutions are defined as substitutions on two branches at the same

site resulting in the same amino acid state. Interestingly, we do not detect a correlation between

the relative number of convergent substitutions with background ATP1A divergence across the tree

(Fig. 6A).  This result also holds true when considering only substitutions to the key CTS resistance

sites 111 and 122 (Fig. S5).

To gain more insight into the factors that determine convergent evolution in ATP1A, we looked

more closely at patterns of individual convergent substitutions at sites 111 and 122 by extracting

each convergent substitution and visualizing its distribution along the sequence divergence axis

(Fig. 6B). Under the expectation that rates of convergence should tend to decrease as a function

of sequence divergence, the distribution of pairwise convergent events along the sequence

divergence axis should be left-skewed, with a peak towards lower sequence divergence. In contrast

to this expectation, the distribution is bimodal, with one peak at 0.33 and the other at 0.69

substitutions/site (Fig. 6B bottom panel). Parallel and convergent substitutions have occurred

almost across the full range of protein divergence estimates. For example, if X is any starting state,

the substitution X111R has occurred independently in 13 tetrapod lineages and X111L

independently in 20 lineages. Both substitutions have a broad phylogenetic distribution, suggesting

that their effects do not strongly depend on overall genetic background. Interestingly, however, the

distributions for X111H and X111E substitutions are relatively right-skewed, in line with epistasis

for CTS resistance that we observed in experiments for H111E/E111H (Fig 4E). Overall, the results

of these analyses align well with our functional experiments but run contrary to expectations based

on previously reported proteome-wide evolutionary trends (12–14).

**Discussion**

Previous work has suggested that rates of convergent amino-acid substitution generally decline as

a function of time, a pattern that can potentially be explained by epistatic constraints. According to

this view, the higher the level of sequence divergence between a given pair of homologs, the higher
the probability that the same mutation will have different fitness effects on the two backgrounds
(12). In that respect, our broad survey of the ATP1A gene family in tetrapods, in combination with
previous work, reveals two striking and seemingly contradictory patterns. The first is that some
substitutions underlying CTS resistance in tetrapods are broadly distributed phylogenetically and
even shared with insects (e.g., N122H is widespread among snakes and found in the monarch
butterfly and other insects; see Fig. 3 for more examples). Patterns like these suggest that epistatic
constraints have a limited role in the evolution of CTS resistance, as the same mutation can be
favored on highly divergent genetic backgrounds. On the other hand, there is also substantial
diversity in resistance-conferring states at sites 111 and 122, and some combinations of these
substitutions appear to be phylogenetically restricted. For example, the CTS-resistant combination
of Q111R+N122D has evolved multiple times in tetrapods but is absent in insects, whereas the
CTS-resistant combination Q111V+N122H evolved multiple times in insects but is absent in
tetrapods (Fig 3). Additionally, some substitutions also appear to be paralog-specific in tetrapods
(Fig 3). These phylogenetic signatures suggest at least some role for epistasis as a source of
contingency in the evolution of ATP1A-mediated CTS resistance in animals (i.e., the fitness effects
of substitutions depend on the order in which they occur). How can these disparate patterns be
reconciled? To what extent do genetic background and contingency limit the evolution of CTS
resistance in animals?

In our survey of putative CTS-resistant substitutions at sites 111 and 122, we find that derived
substitutions have largely predictable effects on CTS resistance, with notable exceptions that tend
to be in magnitude rather than direction (Fig. 4C and 4E). While derived states at sites 111 and 122
are generally a reliable predictor of CTS resistance (Fig. 4A), they do not always predict the effect
size of particular substitutions (e.g., Q111R contributes to CTS resistance on many species'
backgrounds, but not on that of sandgrouse, Fig. 4C). It is also notable that species with identical
paired states at 111 and 122 can vary in CTS resistance by more than an order of magnitude. Both
patterns point to background determinants of CTS resistance that may be additive rather than
epistatic. Yet there are some broadly phylogenetically distributed substitutions, such as N122D,
that nonetheless do exhibit background-dependent effects on CTS resistance (Fig. 4C and 4E).

While epistasis is likely to be a pervasive feature in protein evolution, many mutational effects on
structural and functional properties of proteins appear to be purely additive (e.g., (39–41). In line
with this, our experimental results revealed that the phenotypic effects of individual substitutions
on ATPase activity are likely to be additive in general. We also found no correlation between the
marginal effect of a substitution with background genetic divergence. Specifically, mutating to the

same amino acid state (irrespective of the initial state) doesn't result in larger effects in more distant

backgrounds. Under additivity, the rate of convergence is expected to be uncorrelated with

background genetic distance because the phenotypic effect of a mutation does not depend on the

amino acid states at other sites in the protein. Our phylogenetic and experimental results align with

this expectation.

While the extent to which changes in CTS resistance are favorable to an organism depend on

physiological constraints and the specific ecological context (e.g., in which tissues NKA is

expressed and the presence of dietary CTS), changes in enzyme activity associated with these

substitutions are most likely detrimental to organismal fitness. It follows that changes to the ATP1A1

background would be required to offset such changes in enzyme activity. Surprisingly, we found

that, with rare exceptions, CTS-resistant substitutions at sites 111 and 122 tend to exhibit little or

no pleiotropy with respect to enzyme activity. In addition, amino acid substitutions were not

significantly more likely to decrease rather than increase activity. Interestingly, the activity of

wildtype ATP1A1 enzymes varies 6-fold among the species surveyed (Fig. 4E), suggesting that

most species are either robust to changes in NKA activity, or that changes have occurred in other

genes (including other ATP1A paralogs) that compensate for changes in activity. Thus, it may be

that protein activity itself is either not an important pleiotropic constraint on the evolution of ATP1A

CTS resistance or that constraint depends not just on the protein background, but also on the

background at higher levels (e.g., other interacting proteins). A further possibility is that detrimental

effects of CTS resistant substitutions depend on few sites, and these sites are also highly

convergent (e.g., A119S among insect herbivores, see refs. 23 and 24).

We conclude that intramolecular epistasis in ATP1A -- at the level of protein activity -- is unlikely to

represent a substantial constraint in the evolution of CTS resistance. However, the lack of evidence

of epistasis at the level of protein function does not preclude an important role for epistasis at higher

levels. For example, our results are also consistent with a scenario of nonspecific (or global)

epistasis, where mutations have additive effects on molecular phenotypes (e.g., ATPase activity)

but have nonadditive effects on fitness due to a nonlinear relationship between phenotype and

fitness (7, 40, 42). Nonspecific epistasis predicts a many-to-one relationship with respect to genetic

backgrounds and specific mutations (7, 42), such that many genetic backgrounds can compensate

for the deleterious effects of a given mutation. Thus, nonspecific epistasis of this form could explain

why CTS resistant substitutions at sites 111 and 122 exhibit broad phylogenetic distributions.

Dependence on few sites, or the many-to-one nature of non-specific epistasis, may also account

for the weak signature of decreasing convergence with increasing divergence for ATP1A. Our study

suggests that, while intramolecular epistasis may be pervasive across proteomes, it does not
always represent a substantial constraint on the evolution of adaptive traits, as we show here for
CTS resistance in tetrapods. Further evaluation of epistasis at higher levels than enzyme activity
(e.g., whole organism neural function, CTS tolerance or viability, refs. 23, 24) may elucidate the
extent to which nonspecific epistasis constrains protein evolution in these cases.
396

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection and data sources.**

In order to carry out a comprehensive survey of vertebrate ATP1A paralogs, we collated a total of 831 protein sequences for this study (Supplementary Dataset 1). In addition to publicly available data, we also generated RNA-seq data for 27 species of non-avian reptiles (Table S1; PRJNA754197) to achieve a better representation of some previously underrepresented lineages. These included field-caught and museum-archived specimens as well as animals purchased from commercial pet vendors. Purchased animals were processed following the procedures specified in the IACUC Protocol No. 2057-16 (Princeton University) and implemented by a research veterinarian at Princeton University. Wild-caught animals were collected under Colombian umbrella permit *resolución No.* 1177 granted by the *Autoridad Nacional de Licencias Ambientales* to the Universidad de los Andes and handled according to protocols approved by the Institutional Committee on the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (abbreviated CICUAL in Spanish) of the Universidad de los Andes. In all cases, fresh tissues (brain, stomach, and muscle) were taken and preserved in RNAlater (Invitrogen) and stored at -80ºC until used.

**Reconstruction of ATP1A paralogs.**

RNA-seq libraries were prepared either using TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2500 (Genomics Core Facility, Princeton, NJ, USA) or using NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Preparation Lit (NEB) and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq4000 (Genewiz, South Plainfield, NJ, USA) (Table S2). All raw RNA-seq data generated for this study have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under bioproject PRJNA754197. Together with SRA datasets downloaded from public database, reads were trimmed to Phred quality ≥ 20 and length ≥ 20 and then assembled *de novo* using Trinity v2.2.0 (43). Sequences of ATP1A paralogs 1, 2 and 3 were pulled out with BLAST searches (blast-v2.26), individually curated, and then aligned using ClustalW. Complete alignments of ATP1/2/3 can be found in Supplementary Dataset 1.

**Character state mapping and parameter estimation for the ATP1A1-3 paralogs**

Protein sequences from ATP1A1 (N=429), ATP1A2 (N=197) and ATP1A3 (N=205) including main tetrapod groups (amphibians, non-avian reptiles, birds, and mammals) and lungfish+coelacanth as outgroups were aligned using ClustalW with default parameters. The optimal parameters for phylogenetic reconstruction were taken from the best-fit amino acid substitution model based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as implemented in ModelTest-NG v.0.1.5 (44), and was inferred to be JTT+G4+F. An initial phylogeny was inferred using RAxML HPC v.8 (45) under the JTT+GAMMA model with empirical amino acid frequencies. Branch lengths and node support

(aLRS) were further refined using PhyML v.3.1 (46) with empirical amino acid frequencies and
maximum likelihood estimates of rate heterogeneity parameters, I and $\Gamma$. Phylogeny visualization
and mapping of character states for each paralog was done using the R package ggtree (47).

**Ancestral sequence reconstruction and convergence calculations**

Ancestral sequence reconstruction (ASR) was performed in PAML using codeml (48) under the
JTT+G4+F substitution model. Statistical confidence in each position's reconstructed state for each
ancestor was determined from the posterior probability (PP), and only states with PP>0.8 were
considered. Ancestral sequences from all nodes in the ATP1A phylogeny were retrieved from the
codeml output, resulting in an alignment of 1,660 ATP1A proteins (831 extant species and 829
inferred ancestral sequences; Fig. S1). For each branch in the tree, we determined the occurrence
of substitutions by using the ancestral and derived amino acid states at each site using only states
with PP>0.8. All branch pairs were compared, except sister branches and ancestor-descendent
pairs (12, 13). When comparing substitutions on two distinct branches at the same site,
substitutions to the same amino acid state were counted as convergences, while substitutions away
from a common amino acid were counted as divergences. An alignment of 1,040 amino acids was
used to calculate the number of molecular convergences and divergences, excluding a putative 30
amino acid-long alternative spliced region (positions 834-864). Model-based estimates of sequence
divergence, number of convergences, number of divergences, and total number of substitutions
since the common ancestor were recorded for each pairwise comparison. We calculated the
proportion of observed convergent events per branch as (number of convergences +1) / (number
of divergences +1). The line describing the trend was calculated as a running average with window
size of 0.05 substitutions/site. 95% confidence intervals were calculated based on 100 bootstrap
replicates per window, resampling only variable sites.

For sites 111 and 122, molecular convergence was coded as "1" when the substitution along
branch$_i$ was to the same amino acid state as the substitution along branch$_j$, and "0" if substitutions
were to different states. Model-based estimates of sequence divergence, amino acid state, and
convergence event were recorded for each pairwise comparison (when convergence was "0",
amino acid state was set to "NA"). A logistic regression between molecular convergence (0 or 1)
and genetic distance was used to test for the correlation between variables (Fig 6B; Fig. S3)

**Construction of expression vectors**.

ATP1A1 and ATP1B1 wild-type sequences for the eight selected tetrapod species (Fig 4) were
synthesized by Invitrogen$^{TM}$ GeneArt. The $\beta$1-subunit genes were inserted into pFastBac Dual
expression vectors (Life Technologies) at the p10 promoter with XhoI and PaeI (FastDigest Thermo

Scientific™) and then control sequenced. The α1-subunit genes were inserted at the PH promoter

of vectors already containing the corresponding $\beta$1-subunit proteins using In-Fusion® HD Cloning

Kit (Takara Bio, USA Inc.) and control sequenced. All resulting vectors had the α1-subunit gene

under the control of the PH promoter and a $\beta$1-subunit gene under the p10 promoter. The resulting

eight vectors were then subjected to site-directed mutagenesis (QuickChange II XL Kit; Agilent

Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA) to introduce the codons of interest. In total, 21 vectors were

produced (Table S3).

**Generation of recombinant viruses and transfection into Sf9 cells.**

*Escherichia coli* DH10bac cells harboring the baculovirus genome (bacmid) and a transposition

helper vector (Life Technologies) were transformed according to the manufacturer's protocol with

expression vectors containing the different gene constructs. Recombinant bacmids were selected

through PCR screening, grown, and isolated. Subsequently, Sf9 cells ($4 \times 10^5$ cells*ml) in 2 ml of

Insect-Xpress medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) were transfected with recombinant bacmids

using Cellfectin reagent (Life Technologies). After a three-day incubation period, recombinant

baculoviruses were isolated (P1) and used to infect fresh Sf9 cells ($1.2 \times 10^6$ cells*ml) in 10 ml of

Insect-Xpress medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) with 15 mg/ml gentamycin (Roth, Karlsruhe,

Germany) at a multiplicity of infection of 0.1. Five days after infection, the amplified viruses were

harvested (P2 stock).

**Preparation of Sf9 membranes.**

For production of recombinant NKA, Sf9 cells were infected with the P2 viral stock at a multiplicity

of infection of $10^3$. The cells ($1.6 \times 10^6$ cells*ml) were grown in 50 ml of Insect-Xpress medium

(Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) with 15 mg/ml gentamycin (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 27°C in

500 ml flasks (35). After 3 days, Sf9 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 20,000 x g for 10 min.

The cells were stored at -80 °C and then resuspended at 0 °C in 15 ml of homogenization buffer

(0.25 M sucrose, 2 mM EDTA, and 25 mM HEPES/Tris; pH 7.0). The resuspended cells were

sonicated at 60 W (Bandelin Electronic Company, Berlin, Germany) for three 45 s intervals at 0 °C.

The cell suspension was then subjected to centrifugation for 30 min at 10,000 x g (J2-21 centrifuge,

Beckmann-Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). The supernatant was collected and further centrifuged for

60 m at 100,000 x g at 4 °C (Ultra- Centrifuge L-80, Beckmann-Coulter) to pellet the cell

membranes. The pelleted membranes were washed once and resuspended in ROTIPURAN® p.a.,

ACS water (Roth) and stored at -20 °C. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assays

using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Three biological replicates were produced for each

NKA construct.

**Verification by SDS-PAGE/western blotting.**

For each biological replicate, 10 $\mu$g of protein were solubilized in 4x SDS-polyacrylamide gel
electrophoresis sample buffer and separated on SDS gels containing 10% acrylamide.
Subsequently, they were blotted on nitrocellulose membrane (HP42.1, Roth). To block non-specific
binding sites after blotting, the membrane was incubated with 5% dried milk in TBS-Tween 20 for
1 h. After blocking, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with the primary monoclonal
antibody α5 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA).
Since only membrane proteins were isolated from transfected cells, detection of the α subunit also
indicates the presence of the β subunit. The primary antibody was detected using a goat-anti-
mouse secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Dianova, Hamburg,
Germany). The staining of the precipitated polypeptide-antibody complexes was performed by
addition of 60 mg 4-chloro-1 naphtol (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) in 20 ml ice-cold
methanol to 100 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 60 $\mu$l 30% $H_2O_2$. See Fig. S6.

**Ouabain inhibition assay.**

To determine the sensitivity of each NKA construct against cardiotonic steroids (CTS), we used the
water-soluble cardiac glycoside, ouabain (Acrōs Organics), as our representative CTS. 100 ug of
each protein was pipetted into each well in a nine-well row on a 96-well microplate (Fisherbrand)
containing stabilizing buffers (see buffer formulas in (49)). Each well in the nine-well row was
exposed to exponentially decreasing concentrations ($10^{-3}$ M, $10^{-4}$ M, $10^{-5}$ M, $10^{-6}$ M, $10^{-7}$ M, $10^{-8}$ M,
dissolved in distilled $H_2O$) of ouabain, distilled water only (experimental control), and a combination
of an inhibition buffer lacking KCl and $10^{-2}$ M ouabain to measure background protein activity (49).
The proteins were incubated at 37°C and 200 rpms for 10 minutes on a microplate shaker
(Quantifoil Instruments, Jena, Germany). Next, ATP (Sigma Aldrich) was added to each well and
the proteins were incubated again at 37°C and 200 rpms for 20 minutes. The activity of NKA
following ouabain exposure was determined by quantification of inorganic phosphate (Pi) released
from enzymatically hydrolyzed ATP. Reaction Pi levels were measured according to the procedure
described in Taussky and Shorr (50) (see Petschenka et al. (49)). All assays were run in duplicate
and the average of the two technical replicates was used for subsequent statistical analyses.
Absorbance for each well was measured at 650 nm with a plate absorbance reader (BioRad Model
680 spectrophotometer and software package). See Table S4.

**ATP hydrolysis assay.**

To determine the functional efficiency of different NKA constructs, we calculated the amount of Pi
hydrolyzed from ATP per mg of protein per minute. The measurements were obtained from the
same assay as described above. In brief, absorbance from the experimental control reactions, in

which 100 $\mu$g of protein was incubated without any inhibiting factors (i.e., ouabain or buffer

excluding KCl), were measured and translated to mM Pi from a standard curve that was run in

parallel (1.2 mM Pi, 1 mM Pi, 0.8 mM Pi, 0.6 mM Pi, 0.4 mM Pi, 0.2 mM Pi, 0 mM Pi). See Table

S4.

**Statistical analyses of functional data.**

Background phosphate absorbance levels from reactions with inhibiting factors were used to

calibrate phosphate absorbance in wells measuring ouabain inhibition and in the control wells

measuring non-inhibited NKA activity (49). For ouabain sensitivity measurements, calibrated

absorbance values were converted to percentage non-inhibited NKA activity based on

measurements from the control wells (49). These data were plotted and log $IC_{50}$ values were

obtained for each biological replicate from nonlinear fitting using a four-parameter logistic curve,

with the top asymptote set to 100 and the bottom asymptote set to zero. Curve fitting was performed

with the nlsLM function of the minipack.lm library in R. For comparisons of recombinant protein

activity, the calculated Pi concentrations of 100 $\mu$g of protein assayed in the absence of ouabain

were converted to nmol Pi/mg protein/min. $IC_{50}$ values were log-transformed. We used pairwise *t*-

tests with Bonferroni corrections to identify significant differences between constructs with and

without engineered substitutions. We used a two-way ANOVA to test for background dependence

of substitutions (i.e., interaction between background and amino acid substitution) with respect to

ouabain resistance (log $IC_{50}$) and protein activity. Specifically, we tested whether the effects of a

substitution X->Y are equal on different backgrounds (null hypothesis: X->Y (background 1) = X-

>Y (background 2)). We further assumed that the effects of a substitution X->Y should exactly

match that of Y->X. All statistical analyses were implemented in R. Data were plotted using the

ggplot2 package in R.

566
567
568

569 **Acknowledgments**

570
We thank C. Natarajan, P. Kowalski, M. Winter, and V. Wagschal for assistance in the laboratory,
and D.A. Gómez-Sánchez for assistance in the field. We thank J. Oaks for providing tissue from
ring-necked snake. **Funding:** This study was funded by grants from the National Institutes of Health
to PA (R01-GM115523), JFS (R01-HL087216) and SM (F32–HL149172), the National Science
Foundation (OIA-1736249) to JFS, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Do 517/10-1) to SD,
and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation to SM.

577
578
579

**References**

1. D. L. Stern, The genetic causes of convergent evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**, 751–764 (2013).

2. J. F. Storz, Causes of molecular convergence and parallelism in protein evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 239 (2016).

3. D. L. Stern, *Evolution, development, & the predictable genome* (Roberts and Co. Publishers, 2011).

4. P. C. Phillips, Epistasis—the essential role of gene interactions in the structure and evolution of genetic systems. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **9**, 855–867 (2008).

5. W. Fitch, Rate of change of concomitantly variable codons. *J. Mol. Evol.* **1**, 84–96 (1971).

6. B. Callahan, R. A. Neher, D. Bachtrog, P. Andolfatto, B. I. Shraiman, Correlated evolution of nearby residues in Drosophilid proteins. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1001315 (2011).

7. T. N. Starr, J. W. Thornton, Epistasis in protein evolution. *Protein Sci.* **25**, 1204–1218 (2016).

8. J. F. Storz, Compensatory mutations and epistasis for protein function. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **50**, 18–25 (2018).

9. D. D. Pollock, G. Thiltgen, R. A. Goldstein, Amino acid coevolution induces an evolutionary Stokes shift. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, E1352 (2012).

10. P. Shah, D. M. McCandlish, J. B. Plotkin, Contingency and entrenchment in protein evolution under purifying selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, E3226 (2015).

11. V. O. Pokusaeva, *et al.*, An experimental assay of the interactions of amino acids from orthologous sequences shaping a complex fitness landscape. *PLoS Genet.* **15**, e1008079 (2019).

12. R. A. Goldstein, S. T. Pollard, S. D. Shah, D. D. Pollock, Nonadaptive amino acid convergence rates decrease over time. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 1373–1381 (2015).

13. Z. Zou, J. Zhang, Are convergent and parallel amino acid substitutions in protein evolution more prevalent than neutral expectations? *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 2085–2096 (2015).

14. Z. Zou, J. Zhang, Gene tree discordance does not explain away the temporal decline of convergence in mammalian protein sequence evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 1682–1688 (2017).

15. J. B. Lingrel, The physiological significance of the cardiotonic steroid/ouabain-binding site of the Na, K-ATPase. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **72**, 395–412 (2010).

16.    A. Koksoy, Na+, K+-ATPase: a review. *J Ank. Med Sch* **24**, 73–82 (2002).

17.    S. Dobler, S. Dalla, V. Wagschal, A. A. Agrawal, Community-wide convergent evolution in
insect adaptation to toxic cardenolides by substitutions in the Na, K-ATPase. *Proc. Natl.*
*Acad. Sci.* **109**, 13040–13045 (2012).

18.    Y. Zhen, M. L. Aardema, E. M. Medina, M. Schumer, P. Andolfatto, Parallel molecular
evolution in an herbivore community. *Science* **337**, 1634–1637 (2012).

19.    D. J. Moore, D. C. Halliday, D. M. Rowell, A. J. Robinson, J. S. Keogh, Positive Darwinian
selection results in resistance to cardioactive toxins in true toads (Anura: Bufonidae). *Biol.*
*Lett.* **5**, 513–516 (2009).

20.    B. Ujvari, *et al.*, Widespread convergence in toxin resistance by predictable molecular
evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 11911–11916 (2015).

21.    S. Mohammadi, *et al.*, Toxin-resistant isoforms of Na+/K+-ATPase in snakes do not closely
track dietary specialization on toads. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20162111 (2016).

22.    S. Mohammadi, L. Yang, M. Bulbert, H. M. Rowland, The evolutionary and behavioural
ecology of cardiotonic steroid resistance in predators (In prep).

23.    A. M. Taverner, *et al.*, Adaptive substitutions underlying cardiac glycoside insensitivity in
insects exhibit epistasis in vivo. *eLife* **8**, e48224 (2019).

24.    M. Karageorgi, *et al.*, Genome editing retraces the evolution of toxin resistance in the
monarch butterfly. *Nature* **574**, 409–412 (2019).

25.    S. Mohammadi, *et al.*, Concerted evolution reveals co-adapted amino acid substitutions in
frogs that prey on toxic toads. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 2530-2538.e10 (2021).

26.    E. M. Price, J. B. Lingrel, Structure-function relationships in the sodium-potassium ATPase.
alpha. subunit: site-directed mutagenesis of glutamine-111 to arginine and asparagine-122
to aspartic acid generates a ouabain-resistant enzyme. *Biochemistry* **27**, 8400–8408
(1988).

27.    K. J. Sweadner, *et al.*, Genotype-structure-phenotype relationships diverge in paralogs
ATP1A1, ATP1A2, and ATP1A3. *Neurol. Genet.* **5**, e303–e303 (2019).

28.    A. Mobasheri, *et al.*, Na+, K+-ATPase isozyme diversity; comparative biochemistry and
physiological implications of novel functional interactions. *Biosci. Rep.* **20**, 51–91 (2000).

29.    B. Ujvari, *et al.*, Isolation breeds naivety: island living robs Australian varanid lizards of
toad-toxin immunity via four-base-pair mutation. *Evol. Int. J. Org. Evol.* **67**, 289–294
(2013).

30.    B. M. Marshall, *et al.*, Widespread vulnerability of Malagasy predators to the toxins of an
introduced toad. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, R654–R655 (2018).

31.   S. Groen, N. Whiteman, Convergent evolution of cardiac-glycoside resistance in predators
and parasites of milkweed herbivores. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, R1465–R1466 (2021).

32.   M. Lunzer, G. B. Golding, A. M. Dean, Pervasive cryptic epistasis in molecular evolution.
*PLoS Genet* **6**, e1001162 (2010).

33.   L. Yang, *et al.*, Predictability in the evolution of Orthopteran cardenolide insensitivity.
*Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180246 (2019).

34.   A. Stoltzfus, D. M. McCandlish, Mutational Biases Influence Parallel Adaptation. *Mol. Biol.*
*Evol.* **34**, 2163–2172 (2017).

35.   J. Zhang, S. Kumar, Detection of convergent and parallel evolution at the amino acid
sequence level. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **14**, 527–536 (1997).

36.   L. F. Toledo, R. Ribeiro, C. F. Haddad, Anurans as prey: an exploratory analysis and size
relationships between predators and their prey. *J. Zool.* **271**, 170–177 (2007).

37.   S. Dobler, *et al.*, New ways to acquire resistance: imperfect convergence in insect
adaptations to a potent plant toxin. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**, 20190883 (2019).

38.   M. V. Clausen, F. Hilbers, H. Poulsen, The structure and function of the Na, K-ATPase
isoforms in health and disease. *Front. Physiol.* **8**, 371 (2017).

39.   J. A. Wells, Additivity of mutational effects in proteins. *Biochemistry* **29**, 8509–8517 (1990).

40.   M. Lunzer, S. P. Miller, R. Felsheim, A. M. Dean, The biochemical architecture of an ancient
adaptive landscape. *Science* **310**, 499–501 (2005).

41.   L. I. Gong, M. A. Suchard, J. D. Bloom, Stability-mediated epistasis constrains the evolution
of an influenza protein. *Elife* **2**, e00631 (2013).

42.   P. Nosil, *et al.*, Ecology shapes epistasis in a genotype–phenotype–fitness map for stick
insect colour. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 1673–1684 (2020).

43.   B. J. Haas, *et al.*, De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the
Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494–1512 (2013).

44.   D. Darriba, *et al.*, ModelTest-NG: a new and scalable tool for the selection of DNA and
protein evolutionary models. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 291–294 (2020).

45.   A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

46.   S. Guindon, *et al.*, New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood
phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).

47.    G. Yu, D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, T. T. Lam, ggtree: an R package for visualization and
679           annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods*
680           *Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 28–36 (2017).

681    48.    Z. Yang, PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 1586–
682           1591 (2007).

683    49.    G. Petschenka, *et al.*, Stepwise evolution of resistance to toxic cardenolides via genetic
684           substitutions in the Na+/K+-ATPase of milkweed butterflies (Lepidoptera: Danaini).
685           *Evolution* **67**, 2753–2761 (2013).

686    50.    H. H. Taussky, E. Shorr, A microcolorimetric method for the determination of inorganic
687           phosphorus. *J. Biol. Chem.* **202**, 675–685 (1953).

**Figures and Tables**

A B

**Figure 1. Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase structure and phylogenetic relationships of ATP1A paralogs among vertebrates.** (A) Crystal structure of an Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase (NKA) with a bound the representative CTS bufalin in blue (PDB 4RES). The zoomed-in panel shows the H1-H2 extracellular loop, highlighting two amino acid positions (111 and 122 in red) that have been implicated repeatedly in CTS resistance. We highlight key examples of convergence in amino acid substitutions at sites in the H1-H2 extracellular loop associated with CTS resistance in Fig 3. (B) Phylogenetic relationships among ATP1A paralogs of vertebrates and ATP$\alpha$ of insects.

**Figure 2. Patterns of molecular evolution in the α(M1–M2) extracellular loop of ATP1A paralogs shared among tetrapods.** (A) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of tetrapod ATP1A1, (B) ATP1A2, and (C) ATP1A3. The character states for eight sites relevant to CTS resistance in and near the H1-H2 loop of the NKA protein are shown at the node tips. Yellow internal nodes indicate ancestral sequences reconstructed to infer derived amino acid states across clades to ease visualization; nodes reconstructed: MRCA of mammals, reptiles, and amphibians. *Top right*, each semi-circle indicates the site mapped in the main phylogeny with the inferred ancestral amino acid state for each of the three yellow nodes (posterior probability >0.8). In ATP1A1, site 119 was inferred as Q119 for amphibians and mammals, and N119 for reptiles (Table S6); in ATP1A2-3 site 119 was inferred as A119 for amphibians and reptiles, and S119 for mammals (Table S6). Site number corresponds to pig (*Sus scrofa*) reference sequence. Higher number and variation of substitutions in ATP1A1 stand out in comparison to the other paralogs.

718
719
**Figure 3. Parallel and divergent patterns of CTS-resistant substitutions across ATPα1 of**
**insects and the shared ATP1A paralogs of tetrapods.** Examples of convergence in ATPα1
across insects (A). Convergence in the **(B)** ATP1A1, **(C)** ATP1A2, and **(D)** ATP1A3 paralogs,
respectively, across tetrapods. Numbers indicate the number of independent substitutions in each
major clade depicted. For ATP1A3, resistance-conferring amino acid substitutions have been
identified at site 120, and not 122. A full list of amino acid substitutions can be found in
Supplementary Dataset 2 for tetrapods, and Taverner et al. (23) for insects.
727

**Figure 4. Functional properties of wild-type and engineered ATP1A1**. **(A)** Cladogram
relating the surveyed species. GRA: Grass Frog (*Leptodactylus*); RAT: Rat (*Rattus*); CHI:
Chinchilla (*Chinchilla*); OST: Ostrich (*Struthio*); SNG: Sandgrouse (*Pterocles*); MON: Monitor
lizard (*Varanus*); TEG: Tegu lizard (*Tupinambis*); FER: False fer-de-lance (*Xenodon*); KEE: Red-
necked keelback snake (*Rhabdophis*). Two-letter codes underneath each avatar indicate native
amino acid states at sites 111 and 122, respectively. Data for grass frog from Mohammadi et al.
(2021). **(B)** Levels of CTS resistance (IC50) among wild-type enzymes. The x-axis distinguishes
among ATP1A1 with 0, 1 or 2 derived states at sites 111 and 122. The subscripts S and R refer
to the CTS-sensitive and CTS-resistant paralogs, respectively. **(C)** Effects of changing the
number of substitutions at 111 or 122 on CTS resistance (IC50). Substitutions result in
predictable changes to resistance except in the reversal R111Q in Sandgrouse (SNG). GRA$_S$
represents Q111R+N122D on the sensitive paralog background. **(D)** Effects of single
substitutions on Na$^+$,K$^+$-ATPase (NKA) activity. Each modified ATP1A1 is compared to the wild-
type enzyme for that species. The inset shows the distribution of *t*-test p-values for all 15
substitutions, with the dotted line indicating the expectation**. (E)** Evidence for epistasis for CTS
resistance (IC50, upper panel) and lack of such effects for enzyme activity (lower panel). Each
line compares the same substitution (or the reverse substitution) tested on at least two
backgrounds. Thicker lines correspond to substitutions with significant sequence-context
dependent effects (Bonferroni-corrected ANOVA p-values < 0.05, Table S5).

749
750

**Figure 5. No relationship between the effect of substitution to a given amino state on activity**
**and the extent of divergence between ATP1A1 orthologs.** Each point represents a comparison
between the effect (% change in activity relative to the wild-type enzyme) of a given amino acid
state (e.g., 122D) on two different genetic backgrounds. For example, the effect of 122D between
chinchilla and false fer-de-lance is measured as % change [chinchilla vs. chinchilla+N122D] minus
the % change [false fer-de-lance vs. false fer-de-lance+H122D]. Comparisons were measured as
the difference between the two effects. In total, 11 comparisons were possible. The x-axis
represents the number of amino acid differences between two ATP1A1 proteins being compared.
Assuming intramolecular epistasis for protein function is prevalent, a positive correlation is
predicted. However, no such relationship is observed (Spearman's correlation, $r_S$ = -0.42, $p$ = 0.19).

**Figure 6. Rate of convergence across ATP1A sequences as a function of increasing sequence divergence. (A)** Change in the rate of convergence (protein wide) over time for the ATP1A protein family. The proportion of convergent (C) over divergent (D) substitutions along the entire protein sequence was estimated for all pairs of branches in the ATP1A phylogeny, except for sister branches or ancestor-descendant pairs. Color scale shows the density of dots for both axes. The distance between branches corresponds to the expected number of amino acid substitutions per site between protein pairs being compared (under the JTT+G4+F model). The red line shows a running average with a window size of 0.05 substitutions/site. Dashed lines show the 95% confidence interval based on 100 bootstrap replicates per window. **(B)** For each derived amino acid state at sites 111 and 122, the histograms show the distribution of pairwise convergent events along the sequence divergence axis (expected number of substitutions per site). Substitutions are color coded as in Figure 2. The histogram at the bottom shows the combined distribution of pairwise convergent events for both sites.