Genomic architecture drives population structuring in Amazonian birds

Gregory Thom[1*], Lucas Rocha Moreira[2,3], Romina Batista[4,5], Marcelo Gehara[6], Alexandre Aleixo[7,8], Brian

Tilston Smith[1]

[1]Department of Ornithology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, United States.

[2]Program in Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School,

Worcester, MA, United States.

[3]Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, United States.

[4]Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Brazil.

[5]Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Gothenburg, Sweden.

[6]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Rutgers University, Newark, United States.

[7] Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

[8] Instituto Tecnológico Vale, Belém, Brazil.

[*]Corresponding author: gthomesilva@amnh.org

Abstract

Large rivers are ubiquitously invoked to explain the distributional limits and speciation of the Amazon Basin's mega-diversity. However, inferences on the spatial and temporal origins of Amazonian species have narrowly focused on evolutionary neutral models, ignoring the potential role of natural selection and intrinsic genomic processes known to produce heterogeneity in differentiation across the genome. To test how genomic architecture impacts our ability to reconstruct patterns of spatial diversification across multiple taxa, we sequenced whole genomes for populations of bird species that co-occur in southeastern Amazonian. We found that phylogenetic relationships within species and demographic parameters varied across the genome in predictable ways. Genetic diversity was positively associated with recombination rate and negatively associated with the species tree topology weight. Gene flow was less pervasive in regions of low recombination, making these windows more likely to retain patterns of population structuring that matched the species tree. We further found that approximately a third of the genome showed evidence of selective sweeps and linked selection skewing genome-wide estimates of effective population sizes and gene flow between populations towards lower values. In sum, we showed that the effects of intrinsic genomic characteristics and selection can be disentangled from the neutral processes to elucidate how speciation hypotheses and biogeographic patterns are sensitive to genomic architecture.

Introduction

Across the Amazon Basin, large rivers delimit the distribution of hundreds of rainforest taxa (Cracraft 1985; Bates et al. 1998; da Silva et al. 2005). The spatial patterns that underlie these distributions have been central for understanding how diversity originates in the hyperdiverse Neotropics (Silva et al. 2019; Haffer 2008, 1969; Ribas et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2014). The species isolated by large rivers show complex and highly variable relationships that span millions of years, with limited congruence in spatial patterns of diversification and historical demography (Smith et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2019). Reduced genomic approaches have revealed that factors such as gene flow may hinder inferences on the origins of species distributed across Amazonian rivers (Weir et al. 2015; Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018; Berv et al. 2021; Ferreira et al. 2018; Luna et al. 2021; Musher et al. 2021; Del-Rio et al. 2021). In addition to gene flow, intrinsic (e.g., recombination rate) and extrinsic (e.g., selection) processes that influence the landscape of genomic diversity and differentiation may further obfuscate biogeographic inferences by affecting the estimation of phylogenetic and demographic parameters (Bravo et al. 2021; Harvey et al. 2019). Elucidating the relationships between the processes driving genomic evolution may yield more accurate inferences on the spatial and temporal history of species, providing increased resolution into the hotly debated origins of Amazonian biodiversity.

The genomic landscape of genetic diversity is ubiquitous across taxonomic groups indicating that evolutionary signal is dependent on which portions of the genome are examined (Martin et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Manthey et al. 2021; Delmore et al. 2018; Johri et al.). Components of genomic architecture, such as chromosome inheritance, meiotic recombination, the density of targets of selection, biased gene conversion, and mutation rate operate simultaneously and heterogeneously across the genome, resulting in highly variable levels of genetic diversity and divergence at both intra- and interspecific scales (Martin et al. 2019; Edelman et al. 2019; Garrigan et al. 2012; Fontaine et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2018; Meunier and Duret 2004; Cruickshank and Hahn 2014; Roux et al. 2014; Seehausen et al. 2014; Wolf and Ellegren 2017; Johri et al.). For instance, recent evidence indicates that phylogenetic signal (e.g., the support for a

particular topology) is associated with chromosome size and recombination rate, with larger chromosomes having slower rates and higher support for the species trees (Martin et al. 2019). However, most methods used in phylogenomics do not account for the multiple processes that shape the genomic landscape, which may confound estimation of evolutionary histories (Ewing and Jensen 2016; Schrider et al. 2016; Li et al. 2019). This is critical given that modern biogeography relies heavily on phylogenetic and population genetic approaches to explore the spatial history and demography of populations (Knowles 2009). Understanding how genomic architecture may affect inferences of spatial diversification histories will provide a clearer picture on the relative roles of intrinsic genomic characteristics, natural selection, and neutral processes on speciation (Pouyet et al. 2018; Johri et al. 2020).

Linked selection can have a large impact on genome-wide variation, but its effects on phylogenetic signal and demographic history of species are only starting to be explored (Martin et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019). The indirect influence of selection on linked neutral sites can reduce genetic diversity around target regions, decreasing local effective population size (Ne), and leading to faster fixation of alleles (Charlesworth 1998; Cruickshank and Hahn 2014; Burri et al. 2015). The intensity of linked selection on neutral sites is predicted by the interplay between the local density of targets under selection and the recombination rate, with more pronounced reductions in genetic diversity occurring in genomic regions with stronger selection and lower recombination (Smith and Haigh 1974; Hudson and Kaplan 1995; Zeng 2013; Gillespie 2000; Charlesworth et al. 1993). Areas of low recombination should also be more resistant to the confounding effects of gene flow and function as hotspots of phylogenetic signal (Chase et al. 2021; Martin et al. 2019). In these regions, linkage is maintained between introgressed variants and large genomic blocks may be removed from a population if deleterious alleles are present (Mořkovský et al. 2018; Brandvain et al. 2014; Schumer et al. 2017). The reduced impact of gene flow in regions of low recombination indicates that the phylogenetic signal is more likely to follow a bifurcating tree model, fitting the assumptions of most phylogenetic methods (Martin et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019). However, linked selection on low recombination areas violates neutral models of evolution and affects

genome-wide estimations of demographic parameters (Schrider et al. 2016; Johri et al. 2020).

Although recent studies show that linked selection impacts a larger proportion of the genome than

previously thought (Pouyet et al. 2018; Kern and Hahn 2018), the degree of this impact varies between

species (Tigano et al. 2021; Jensen et al. 2019). For instance, the divergence between populations with

high rates of gene flow might be restricted to small areas of the genome, maintained by strong divergent

selection whereas the vast majority of the genome might show reduced differentiation due to widespread

introgression (Ellegren et al. 2012). In contrast, genomic differentiation between populations with

reduced levels of gene flow tends to be more widespread, given the higher contribution of genetic drift in

isolated populations. This latter scenario should produce a stronger association between genomic

architecture and levels of genetic differentiation across the genome.

In this study, we model the impact of genomic architecture on patterns of genetic diversity and

spatial differentiation of three bird species that co-occur in southeastern Amazonian. These taxa have

different propensities to move across space that are linked to their life histories, resulting in landscapes of

genomic differentiation impacted by distinct levels of gene flow. We hypothesize that if linked selection

led to congruent patterns of genetic diversity across the genome, then metrics associated with species

differentiation and genetic diversity should be correlated with recombination rate, the density of targets

under selection, and chromosome size. Alternatively, species could have idiosyncratic patterns of

association with genomic architecture, driven by other factors such as historical demography and the level

of differentiation across rivers. We demonstrate that the interplay between recombination, selection, and

gene flow lead to a highly variable landscape of genetic diversity and differentiation within and between

species, and impact evolutionary inferences under different population histories.

Results

Population genetics summary statistics and genomic features vary between species and across the

genome

We resequenced 95 whole-genomes for three species of birds, *Phlegopsis nigromaculata* (n =

31), *Xiphorhynchus spixii* (n = 31), and *Lipaugus vociferans* (n = 26) that are co-distributed across three

Amazonian areas of endemism, the Tapajos, Xingu, and Belem (Figure 1; Table S1). We obtained a mean

coverage of 10.1x across all species. On average, 88% of the pseudo-chromosome reference genomes

were recovered with coverage above 5x per individual (Table S1). Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy

Orthologs analyses performed in BUSCO v2.0.1 (Waterhouse et al. 2018) identified a high proportion of

targeted genes on the references used for *P. nigromaculata* (89.3%), *X. spixii* (89.1%), and *L. vociferans*

(93.4%; Table S2). The number of segregating sites were of a similar magnitude but varied between

species: *P. nigromaculata* (n = 20,838,931), *X. spixii* (n = 26,583,784), and *L. vociferans* (n =

21,769,167). The proportion of missing sites per individual was on average 18% (Table S1). Summary

statistics estimated from 100kb non-overlapping sliding windows and mean values per chromosome

showed that levels of genetic diversity varied substantially across species and within and between

chromosomes (Figure 2). Populations from species with higher putative dispersal abilities (*L. vociferans*

and *X. spixii*) had greater nucleotide diversity (Figure 3; Tables S3-S5). We observed higher nucleotide

diversity on smaller chromosomes in *P. nigromaculata* (Pearson's correlation R = -0.6; p-value = 0.002; n

= 26) and *X. spixii* (Pearson's correlation R = -0.36; p-value = 0.047; n = 32) but not in *L. vociferans*

(Pearson's correlation R = -0.01; p-value = 0.94 ; n = 32; Figure S1-S6; Table S6-S11). We also found

similar associations with *Dxy*, number of segregation sites, and Tajima's D (Figure S1-S6; Table S6-S11).

These results support a highly heterogeneous landscape of genetic diversity across the genome of the

three studied species.

Figure 1: Contrasting patterns of genomic differentiation and spatial relationships between populations of three species of birds occurring in southeastern Amazonia. Geographic distribution of genomic samples for each species **(A)**. Triangles, stars, and circles are sampled localities for *Phlegopsis nigromaculata, Xiphorhynchus spixii,* and *Lipaugus vociferans,* respectively. Each colored polygon in the map represents a major Amazonian interfluve (area of endemism): Tapajos (Green), Xingu (Blue), and Belem (Yellow). **(B)** Patterns of genetic structure across the genome were obtained with local PCAs based on 10kb windows. Left: plots for the first and second multidimensional coordinates, where each point represents a genomic window. Gray points represent corners clustering the 10% of the windows closer to the three further points in the graph. Right: PCA plots for the first and second principal components, combining the windows of each corner. **(C)** Supermatrix phylogenetic estimations based on concatenated SNPs.

Numbers on the nodes represent bootstrap support for major nodes in the tree. Color bars next to

terminals represent geographic location following the map (A).

Figure 2: Phylogenetic signal for the species tree was higher on central portions of chromosomes and

was associated with genomic architecture. **(A)** Example of how phylogenetic signal and summary

statistics are distributed across a chromosome. Shown are pseudo-chromosome 6 of *P. nigromaculata*. On

the top graph, colored bars represent the weight for the three alternative topologies shown in **(B)** for the

relationship between Tapajos, Xingu, and Belem areas of endemism. On the three bottom graphs, the

magenta color represents the overlap between the orange (y-axis on the left) and blue (y-axis on the right)

tones. Estimates of nucleotide diversity, recombination rate, and Tajima's D were based on the Tapajos

population. ABBA represents the number of sites supporting Topology 2 assuming Topology 1 as the

species tree.

Figure 3: Nucleotide diversity varied within and between pseudo-chromosomes and across species. **(A)** Distribution of nucleotide diversity (π) across chromosomes for the three studied species. **(B)** Scatterplot and regression line with 95% confidence interval models with average nucleotide diversity as a function of chromosome size. *Phlegopsis nigromaculata*: Pearson's correlation R = -0.6; p-value = 0.002; n = 26; *Xiphorhynchus spixii*: Pearson's correlation R = -0.36; p-value = 0.047; n = 32; *Lipaugus vociferans*: Pearson's correlation R = -0.01; p-value=0.94 ; n = 32.

We found that genomic regions with a reduced meiotic recombination rate were less impacted by

gene flow and had stronger signatures of linked selection with greater genetic differentiation. To test for

associations between recombination rate and genetic metrics while accounting for historical demography,

we estimated the per-base recombination rate (r) with ReLERNN (Adrion et al. 2020). Recombination

rate varied considerably across the genome of *P. nigromaculata* (mean r = 2.103e-9; SD = 4.413e-10), *X.*

spixii (mean r = 1.234e-9; SD = 7.190e-10), and *L. vociferans* (mean r = 1.776e-9; SD = 4.025e-10;

Figure S7) but in predictable ways. We found that regions with higher recombination rates were often in

chromosome ends (Figure S7) and smaller chromosomes (Figure S4-S6) and were positively correlated

with gene density and nucleotide diversity in all three species (Figure S8; Table S12). Loess models with

recombination rate and gene density as covariate predictors explained a large proportion of the variation

in genetic diversity in *P. nigromaculata* (R^2 = 0.33), *X. spixii* (R^2 = 0.65), and *L. vociferans* (R^2 = 0.41;

Figure S8, S9). These results suggest a significant effect of linked selection driving genomic patterns of

diversity.

Genome-wide levels of differentiation between species match the evolutionary expectations

associated with their life history. The least dispersive species that inhabit the understory, *P.*

nigromaculata, had the most pronounced levels of genetic structure across rivers, followed by *X. spixii*

which occupies the midstory, and the most dispersive, canopy species, *L. vociferans*, had the shallowest

structure. To visualize patterns of genetic structure based on independently evolving sets of SNPs

(linkage disequilibrium R^2 < 0.2), we used Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Three isolated clusters

of individuals supported strong geographic structure within *P. nigromaculata*, consistent with previous

studies based on mtDNA, spatially matching areas of endemism (Silva et al. 2019; Aleixo et al. 2009b);

Figure 1, S10). In *X. spixii*, the PCA supported strong differentiation between the Tapajos from Belem

and Xingu populations, which had a substantial overlap in PC2 (5.0% of the explained variance; Figure

S10). For *L. vociferans*, all samples clustered together, indicating a lack of spatial structure in the genetic

variation (Figure S10). In agreement with these results, the average *Fst* between populations was

considerably higher in *P. nigromaculata* (mean *Fst* = 0.1262; SD = 0.09) than in *X. spixii* (mean *Fst* =

0.059; SD = 0.046) and *L. vociferans* (mean *Fst* = 0.008; SD = 0.019). Pairwise *Fst* between populations

was, in general, negatively correlated with genetic diversity metrics in all three species, and it was

negatively correlated with recombination rate in *P. nigromaculata* and *X. spixii* (Figure S1–S6; Table

S6–S11).

Genetic structure varied substantially across the genome and was associated with intrinsic

genomic processes. To explore the genome-wide variation in genetic structure, we used local PCAs

across sliding windows using lostruct v0.0.0.9 (Li and Ralph 2019). Local PCAs showed that distinct

parts of the genome support different clustering patterns in *P. nigromaculata* and *X. spixii* (Figure 1). In

L. vociferans, we observed a gradient between Tapajos, Xingu, and Belem individuals, without clear

geographic structuring, consistent with the low *Fst* estimates reported for this species (Figure 1). Genetic

structure, as described by the first two MDS axes obtained from lostruct, were associated with

recombination rate in *P. nigromaculata* (MDS1: R^2 = -0.04, p-value < 0.0001, n = 20,143 windows) and

X spixii (MDS1: R^2 = 0.017, p-value < 0.0001, n = 28,803 windows; MDS2: R^2 = -0.15, p-value < 0.0001,

n = 28,803 windows) but not in *L. vociferans* (R^2 < 0.001 for all MDSs, n = 25,007 windows). This result

indicates that for the species with marked genetic structure across rivers, recombination rate was a key

predictor of spatial differentiation. These results highlight the high variation in patterns of genetic

structure across the genome as well as the contrast between patterns of diversification of sympatric

species distributed across Amazonian rivers.

Although the association between recombination rate and genetic diversity supports the effect of

linked selection, it does not indicate which portions of the genome are directly impacted by this process.

To further explore the extent of linked selection across the genome, we used a machine learning approach

implemented on diploS/HIC (Kern and Schrider 2018) to predict which 20kb genomic windows were

evolving under neutrality or had signatures of selective sweeps and linked selection (i.e., background

selection; Charlesworth et al. 1993). We initially simulated genomic windows under distinct selective

regimes accounting for historical oscillations in effective population size and uncertainty in demographic

parameters using discoal (Kern and Schrider 2016). To account for the historical demography of the

analyzed populations in our simulations, we calculated population size changes occurring in the last

300,000 years with SMC++ (Terhorst et al. 2017); Figure S11). The convolutional neural network

designed to classify five alternative models produced an average accuracy for model classification of 0.69

and a false positive rate of 0.27 among species (Table S13). On average, across all three species, 43.3% of

the genome had signatures of selective sweeps or linked selection. In *P. nigromaculata*, 30.29% of tested

windows had a high probability (>0.70) for models including the direct or indirect effect of selection

(Figure 4). For *X. spixii* (44.83%) and *L. vociferans* (54.77%), we obtained even higher proportions

(Figure 4). When accounting for a false positive rate by assuming that all potential false positives were

neutral regions classified as having signatures of selection, on average 31.6% of the genomes we analyzed

had signatures of selective sweeps or linked selection.

Figure 4: Signature of selection across the genomes of the studied species. Vertical bars represent the

model with the highest probability for 20kb genomic windows. On the right is the percentage of windows

assigned to each of the five models with high probability (>0.70): hard sweep, linked to hard sweep, soft

sweep, linked to soft sweep, and neutral. In Bold is the proportion of windows with low probability for

model classification.

Phylogenetic signal was associated with genomic architecture.

We explored how evolutionary relationships were distributed across the genome of the co-

occurring species to test which aspects of the genomic architecture best predicted phylogenetic signal.

First, we estimated topologies for each species in IQTREE-2 v2.1.5 (Nguyen et al. 2015) by

concatenating SNPs and controlling for ascertainment bias (Figure 1). We found substantial variation in

topology between species, with clades matching the three areas of endemism only in *P. nigromaculata.* In

X. spixii, Belem individuals were nested within Xingu despite forming a monophyletic group. In *L.*

vociferans, the clustering of individuals matched their spatial distribution.

The support for alternative species tree topologies varied with recombination rate and genetic

diversity (Figure 5). To explore how phylogenetic relationships varied with genomic characteristics and

population genetics summary statistics, we calculated gene trees for non-overlapping genomic windows

and ran species tree analyses for subsets of the genome. For *P. nigromaculata* we did not obtain high

support for any topology in the genome-wide species tree analysis, but the topology with the highest

probability (posterior probability = 0.81) matched the concatenated SNP tree (Figure 5). The topology

estimated from genomic regions with high recombination matched the concatenated tree, but regions of

low recombination placed Tapajos and Xingu as sisters (Figure 5). A similar pattern was observed when

filtering gene trees based on π and *Dxy*. The phylogenetic signal in *X. spixii* and *L. vociferans* were more

stable, with widespread support for the same topology across the genome but with substantially higher

weight for that topology in areas with lower recombination and lower genetic diversity. Phylogenetic

signal also co-varied with chromosome size in *P. nigromaculata* but not in *X. spixii* and *L. vociferans*. In

P. nigromaculata, macro chromosomes supported the topology found in low recombination areas

(Topology 1), while microchromosomes (<50MB) supported the concatenated tree (Topology 2; S12-

S14). Our results suggest that support for the species tree was higher in genomic regions with reduced

genetic diversity and recombination rates.

The weight for alternative topologies varied considerably across windows and was associated

with genomic architecture. To test how the probability of alternative topologies varied across the genome

of each species, we calculated topology weights using Twisst (Martin and Van Belleghem 2017). This

analysis was performed on genomic windows with 100 SNPs and assumed the three possible unrooted

trees representing the relationship between the three areas of endemism plus an outgroup. From hereafter

we refer to these three unrooted topologies as Topology 1 (outgroup, Belem (Xingu, Tapajos)), Topology

2 (outgroup, Tapajos (Xingu, Belem)), and Topology 3 (outgroup, Xingu (Belem, Tapajos)). When

averaging weights for genome-wide windows of *P. nigromaculata* we observed a higher weight for

Topology 2, followed closely by Topology 1. Genomic windows from *P. nigromaculata* based on upper

and lower thresholds for summary statistics showed substantial variation in which topology had the

highest average weight, consistent with our species tree approach (Figure 5). For the other two species,

we found less variation along the genome for the topology with the highest average weight. The topology

with the highest weight also varied across chromosomes of different sizes. Smaller chromosomes in *P.*

nigromaculata had a higher weight for Topology 2, putatively derived from gene flow (Figure 6). In *X.*

spixii we observed a progressive increase in the weight for the species tree (Topology 2) in larger

chromosomes, despite a non-significant correlation, whereas in *L. vociferans* all three topologies had a

similar weight across chromosomes.

Figure 5: Species tree and topology weights vary accordingly to recombination rate and genetic diversity. **(A)** Alternative topologies for the relationship between the three areas of endemism and the outgroup; **(B)** posterior probabilities for the three topologies for windows across the whole-genome and for distinct subsets of genomic windows that were selected based on upper and lower thresholds for summary statistics; and **(C)** weights for three topologies for windows across the whole-genome and for distinct

298   subsets of genomic windows that were selected based on upper and lower thresholds for summary

299   statistics: - recombination rate (*r*); fixation index (*Fst*); nucleotide diversity (*π*); genetic distance (*Dxy*);

300   and introgression proportion (*Fdm*).

Figure 6: Chromosome size was associated with topology weight across the genome of *Phlegopsis*

nigromaculata (Topology 2 - R^2 = 0.31, p-value = 0.003; Topologies 1 and 2 p-value > 0.05, n= 26) but

not in *Xiphorhynchus spixii* (p-value > 0.05 for all three topologies, n = 32) , and *L. vociferans* (p-value >

0.05 for all three topologies, n = 32). Scatterplot and regression line with 95% confidence interval

showing the relationship between topology weights and chromosome size. We tested three alternative

unrooted topologies for the relationship between the three areas of endemism (Tapajos, Xingu, and

Belem): Topology 1 (outgroup, Belem (Xingu, Tapajos)), Topology 2 (outgroup, Tapajos (Xingu,

Belem)), and Topology 3 (outgroup, Xingu (Belem, Tapajos)).

The conflicting phylogenetic pattern observed for *P. nigromaculata* could be driven by gene flow

increasing the signal for the topology where introgressing populations are sisters. To explore how

topology weight varied according to gene flow and intralocus recombination, we performed coalescent

simulations with demographic parameters similar to those estimated for *P. nigromaculata,* and we

calculated topology weights using the approach mentioned above. Our simulations suggested that in the

absence of gene flow, the frequency of alternative topologies was similar (Figure S15). The presence of

gene flow between non-sister species produces a deviation from this pattern by increasing the average

weight for the topology with introgressing populations as sisters. This relationship was further intensified

by intralocus recombination (Figure S15). Although our simulations corroborate that recombination rate

by itself does not affect levels of genetic diversity (Hudson 1983), it does affect levels of ILS between

populations when gene flow was present by increasing the variance of topology weights across the

genome (Figure S15). When comparing the results obtained with this simulation approach with the

genome-wide topology weights obtained for *P. nigromaculata,* our results suggest that gene flow between

non-sister taxa was likely increasing the weights for one of the two best topologies.

Gene flow affected phylogenetic inference

When modeling gene flow, our results indicated that the topology recovered for low

recombination areas was the best genome-wide tree. To estimate the probability for alternative topologies

and demographic parameters for the entire genome explicitly accounting for gene flow, we used a

multiclass neural network approach with Keras v2.3 (https://github.com/rstudio/keras) in R. We simulated

genetic data under the three possible unrooted topologies for the relationship between areas of endemism

using uniform priors for *Ne,* gene flow between geographically adjacent populations, and divergence

times. We selected one 10kb window every 100kb to reduce the effect of linkage between windows,

excluding windows with missing data. This procedure yielded a total of 7,213, 9,140, and 9,693 windows

for *P. nigromaculata, X. spixii,* and *L. vociferans,* respectively, and we randomly selected 5,000 windows

per species. Genomic windows were converted into feature vectors representing the mean and variance of

commonly used population genetics summary statistics. On average, this approach produced highly

accurate model classification probabilities (neural network accuracy = 0.93; categorical cross-entropy =

0.17) and a high correlation between observed and estimated parameters for testing data sets with low

mean absolute errors (Table S14-S16). PCAs and goodness-of-fit analyses showed that simulated models

matched observed values of summary statistics. In *P. nigromaculata,* we obtained a high probability for

Topology 1 (probability = 0.86), conflicting with the concatenated and species tree topology (Topology 2;

probability = 0.12) but agreeing with the topology of low recombination areas (Table S17). Divergence

times were highly variable between species. The initial divergence in *P. nigromaculata* between Belem

and the ancestor of the Tapajos and Xingu lineages, diverged at 149,836ya (SD = 15,272; MAE = 36,576;

Table S14), followed by the divergence between the later populations at 77,866ya (SD = 17,849; MAE =

37,810). In *X. spixii* (Topology 2; probability = 0.99), the first divergence event occured at 218,858ya

(SD = 12,095; MAE = 30,668), followed by a more recent divergence event at 40,303ya (SD = 16,236;

MAE = 32,798; Table S15). For *L. vociferans* (Topology 1; probability = 0.54) divergence times were the

most recent, occurring within the last 40,000 years, reflecting the lack of population structure in this

species (Table S16). Our data indicated that gene flow among *P. nigromaculata* populations (2Nm) was

negligible between Tapajos and Xingu (migration between Tapajos and Xingu = 0.002; SD = 0.005; MAE

= 0.161) and low between the non-sisters in Xingu and Belem (migration between Xingu and Belem =

0.484; SD = 0.486; MAE = 0.138; Table S14). The relatively reduced levels of gene flow between

populations of *P. nigromaculata*, indicated that ancestral gene flow might be the source of the

phylogenetic conflict. In *X. spixii,* we inferred moderate rates of gene flow between populations, which

was highest between the recently diverged Xingu and Belem populations (migration between Xingu and

Belem = 2.075; SD = 0.144; MAE = 0.139; Table S15). In *L. vociferans* we also found moderate to high

gene flow among populations (migration between Tapajos and Xingu = 2.347; MAE = 0.175; migration

between Xingu and Belem = 1.827; MAE = 0.205; Table S16).

Selection biases estimates of demographic parameters

Given the considerable proportion of the genome with signatures of selective sweeps and

background selection in all three species, we explored how selection might impact demographic

parameters. We calculated parameters from subsets of genomic windows classified under distinct

selection regimes with diploS/HIC using our machine learning approach. We selected up to 1,000

windows assigned to each of the five models tested in diploS/HIC with a probability > 0.70, and

estimated demographic parameters based on the topology with the highest probability considering all

genomic windows (Topology 1 for *P. nigromaculata* and *L. vociferans*, and Topology 2 for *X. spixii*). We

found that genome-wide windows yielded more similar values for *Ne* and gene flow to regions with

signatures of linked selection than neutral regions (Figure 7). Our approach supported higher *Ne* and gene

flow in neutral areas of the genome than areas under selection with little to no overlap of standard error

distributions (Figure 7).

Demographic parameters varied considerably across the genome and were strongly associated

with the recombination rate (Figure S16; Table S18). To explore the associations between demographic

parameters and genomic architecture, we calculated the probability of alternative topologies and

estimated demographic parameters for 100kb genomic windows, taking into account intralocus

recombination. To increase model classification accuracy, we only tested the two most likely topologies

based on the spatial distribution of the populations. This approach yielded a high accuracy in model

classification (accuracy = 0.9314; categorical cross-entropy = 0.18). We also recovered high correlations

between simulated and pseudo observed data indicating good accuracy in parameter estimation for *Ne*

(average R^2 = 0.94; average MAE = 73,099 individuals) and divergence times (average R^2 = 0.87; average

MAE = 39,172ya) but not for gene flow (average R^2 = 0.54; average MAE = 0.19 migrants per

generation). Effective population sizes and divergence times varied over one order of magnitude and gene

flow over two orders of magnitude across the genome. The substantial variation in *Ne* and gene flow

across the genome was positively associated with recombination rate in all three species, except gene

flow in *L. vociferans* (Figure S16; Table S18). Variation in divergence time was not associated with

recombination rate in any of the species (Table S18).

Figure 7: Genomic regions inferred to be evolving neutrally had larger effective population sizes and higher gene flow than areas with signatures of selection. Demographic parameter estimation for the three studied species of Amazonian Birds. - Effective population size of the Tapajos population (*Ne*). - Time to the most recent common ancestor of the most recent divergence event (TMRCA). - Gene flow rate between Tapajos and Xingu populations (Gene flow). Classes on the x-axis represent genome-wide windows (Whole Genome), and subsets of genomic windows assigned with high probability to distinct

models tested with diploS/HIC. Neutral: neutrally evolving windows; Linked Soft: windows linked to a

soft sweep; Soft: windows assigned to a soft sweep; Linked Hard : windows linked to a hard sweep; and

Hard: windows assigned to a hard sweep.

To further explore the signal for gene flow across the genome, we estimated D and fdm statistics

using window-based ABBA-BABA tests in 100kb non-overlapping sliding windows. We found little

evidence for gene flow between populations of all three species, except between Belem and Xingu

populations of *P. nigromaculata.* The gene flow inferred between these two populations of *P.*

nigromaculata was positively associated with recombination rate (Figure 2, S1, S4). For *L. vociferans*

despite the lack of genetic structure, the D statistics failed to find any significant levels of introgression,

likely due to the high levels of gene flow among all three populations, violating the ABBA-BABA model.

Discussion

We found that genomic architecture directly impacts genome-wide estimates of key parameters

used in speciation research, adding an underappreciated layer of complexity for testing diversification

hypotheses. Biogeographic patterns inferred from Amazonian taxa exhibit a wide array of temporal and

spatial divergences (Silva et al. 2019; Smith et al. 2014; Dagosta and De Pinna 2019; Lynch Alfaro et al.

2015; Byrne et al. 2018; de Oliveira et al. 2016; Penz et al. 2015). Our results indicate that the

heterogeneity in parameters estimated in these studies may be driven by unaccounted for evolutionary

processes. We found that the interplay of selection, gene flow, and recombination shaped the genomic

landscape of genetic diversity resulting in different portions of the genome strongly supporting alternative

hypotheses on spatial diversification. This study indicates that genome-wide estimates of phylogenomic

parameters might be misleading and accounting for the processes that produce an heterogeneous genomic

landscape is essential to understand the processes driving speciation across Amazonian rivers.

 Genomic architecture informs patterns of spatial diversification

We found that introgression, which was associated with genomic architecture, can produce a

highly heterogeneous landscape of phylogenetic conflict that obscures inferences on population history.

For example, in *P. nigromaculata*, gene flow between non-sister taxa was positively associated with

recombination rate and the support for an alternative topology (topology 2) that was found to be the most

prevalent tree across the genome. This pattern affected genome-wide species tree and topology weight

analyses. In contrast, the topology reflecting the most probable species tree (topology 1) was more

frequent in windows with low recombination rates. The phylogenetic conflict between alternative

topologies has practical implications for inferring the pattern of spatial population history. Support for

topology 2 for *P. nigromaculata* would indicate that the taxa diverged via a stepping-stone process from

the west through the Tapajos, Xingu, and Belem regions, consistent with the Moisture Gradient

Hypothesis (Silva et al. 2019). In contrast, if topology 1 reflects the population history of *P.*

nigromaculata, it would indicate an opposite scenario, with an ancestral population in southeastern

Amazonia, which could be linked to physiographic changes in the landscape (Musher et al. 2021; Albert

et al. 2018). Although the probability for alternative topologies was more stable across the genomes of *X.*

spixii and *L. vociferans*, topology weight was also predicted by recombination rate and gene flow. The

conflicting phylogenetic pattern reported here might be common across the thousands of lineages isolated

by Amazonian tributaries, given that recent studies have been suggesting extensive introgression across

rivers (Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018; Berv et al. 2021; Ferreira et al. 2018; Del-Rio et al. 2021; Weir et al.

2015; Musher et al. 2021).

We found that the interaction between intrinsic (e.g. recombination and selection) and extrinsic

(e.g., gene flow) genomic processes lead to phylogenetic conflict affecting genome-wide estimates. In

the presence of gene flow, low recombination areas are more prone to maintain the ancient branching

signal (Li et al. 2019; Tigano et al. 2021). The strong linkage in low recombination regions should lead to

the more effective removal of alleles introduced by hybridization that are more likely to be deleterious

(Nachman and Payseur 2012; Schumer et al. 2018). In this sense, even methods designed to incorporate

gene flow into phylogenetic analyses such as phylogenetic network approaches (Wen et al. 2018; Solís-

Lemus and Ané 2016), might produce misleading results when considering genome-wide markers.

Although phylogenetic networks are an ideal way to track the presence of gene flow, it might be difficult

to disentangle the processes driving phylogenetic conflict, given that introgression proportions might be

biased by the genomic landscape.

Selection and recombination effects parameter estimates

We showed pervasive signatures of selection across the genome of three co-occurring species

impacting genome-wide demographic parameter estimation. On average and after accounting for false

positives, one third of the genomes of our focal species had a high probability for models with selective

sweeps or linked selection. Recent estimations for birds and mammals show substantial variation in the

proportion of the genome subject to selection, with approximations above 50% (Manthey et al. 2021;

Brand et al. 2021; Pouyet et al. 2018; McVicker et al. 2009). Although our data indicate that regions

potentially affected by linked selection had a better fit to a bifurcating phylogenetic model, these regions

violate neutral models of evolution, affecting genome-wide population genomic parameters (Schrider et

al. 2016; Pouyet et al. 2018). Whole genome approximations of *Ne* and gene flow were more similar to

regions with signatures of selection versus regions deemed to be evolving neutrally. For instance, areas of

the genome evolving neutrally had up to 13% larger *Ne* in *P. nigromaculata* and 64% higher gene flow in

X. spixii than estimates based on genome-wide loci (Figure 7). These results are in agreement with studies

indicating that demographic parameters can be severely affected by positive and background selection

(Schrider et al. 2016; Johri et al. 2021). Natural selection can skew levels of genetic variation in a similar

way to certain non-equilibrium demographic histories, often leading to overestimates of population

bottlenecks and the rate of demographic expansions (Schrider et al. 2016; Ewing and Jensen 2016). For

example, positive selection leading to fixation of large haplotypes linked to the target of selection may

mimic population bottlenecks (Wayne and Simonsen 1998), and the recovery from these sweeps, might

inflate the proportion of rare variants, resembling recent population expansions (Schrider et al. 2016).

Although we did not model demographic changes, summary statistics that are indicative of demographic

oscillations such as Tajima's D, varied considerably across the genome, with more negative values in

regions of low recombination (Figure 2). Our examination of three codistributed species showed that the

effect of selection on demographic analyses was a general phenomenon that can have a profound effect

on modeling population histories.

Reconciling phylogenetic inference and demographic parameter estimation from whole genomes

are aided by recombination and selection-aware approaches. By characterizing the genomic landscape, the

effect of selection in demographic parameter estimations can be mitigated by targeting genomic regions

as distant as possible from potential targets of selection such as genes and functional elements, as well as

avoiding areas of low recombination or affected by biased gene conversion (Pouyet et al. 2018). A key

problem with selecting loci with distinct characteristics is that current methods designed to calculate

recombination and selection across the genome achieve optimal performance when the demographic

history of a population is known (Harris et al. 2018; Dapper and Payseur 2018; Johri et al. 2020;

Rousselle et al. 2018). On the other hand, demographic parameters may be heavily biased when

recombination and selection are neglected (Pouyet et al. 2018; Ewing and Jensen 2016). This conundrum

indicates that methods designed to simultaneously account for multiple genomic processes, such as

recombination, selection, drift, and mutation (Barroso and Dutheil 2021; Johri et al. 2020, 2021),

associated with simulation studies (Tigano et al. 2021) might be necessary to unbiasedly obtain

evolutionary parameters from genome-wide variation.

Heterogeneous genomic landscapes within and between species

The recombination rate and the proportion of the genome impacted by selection varied

considerably among species leading to different levels of association between genomic architecture and

population history. Chromosome size was a good predictor of genetic diversity, recombination rate, and

phylogenetic signal in two of the species but not in *L. vociferans*. The lack of association between

chromosome size and genomic characteristics in *L. vociferans* was unexpected given that during meiosis,

chromosome segregation often requires at least one recombination event per homologous chromosome

pair (Fledel-Alon et al. 2009). This process should lead to an overall higher recombination rate in shorter

chromosomes (Manthey et al. 2021; Farré et al. 2012; Kawakami et al. 2014; Haenel et al. 2018; Kaback

et al. 1992). Similar results to the one we obtained for *L. vociferans* have also been observed in other

species of birds and mammals (Dutoit et al. 2017a; Pessia et al. 2012; Kartje et al. 2020) and could be

explained by a reduced synteny between our reference and the zebra finch genome, or the historical

demography of the species, which in some cases can reverse the expected associations between

recombination, genetic diversity, and chromosome size (Tigano et al. 2021; Van Belleghem et al. 2018).

However, the former scenario was less likely due to the relative stability of chromosomes across avian

species (Ellegren 2010). These results suggest that, although genomic architecture seems to be a strong

predictor for phylogenetic relationships in the presence of gene flow, this was not the case in *L.*

vociferans.

Genomic architecture was likely conserved over the timeframe of our study, indicating that a

stable genomic landscape might shape the demographic and phylogenetic histories of closely related

populations in similar ways (Vijay et al. 2017; Van Doren et al. 2017; Dutoit et al. 2017b; Delmore et al.

2018; Tigano et al. 2021). For example, consistent variation in *Fst* values across the genomes of

population pairs of the same species were likely reflecting the genomic landscape of the ancestral

population. Regions of low recombination and *Ne* in the parent population would promote faster

differentiation between daughter populations after isolation. These results agree with the idea that in

birds, recombination hotspots are associated with gene promoters, which might help maintain a conserved

landscape of recombination across lineages that span for millions of years (Singhal et al. 2015)**.** Although

the temporal scale on which the genomic architecture remains conserved between diverging lineages is

still unknown, our data suggest that stable recombination hotspots in the early stages of speciation can

considerably help accounting for genomic architecture in phylogenomic approaches.

Multiple processes produce heterogenous landscapes across the genome

Identifying the driving forces shaping patterns of diversity along the genome of non-model

organisms and understanding how theoretical models extend to natural systems is a major endeavor in

speciation genomics (Comeron 2014; Elyashiv et al. 2016; Stankowski et al. 2019; Barroso and Dutheil

2021). In this study, we demonstrated that the interplay between recombination and selection had a strong

impact on phylogenetic inference and demographic parameters, which are key for distinguishing

alternative spatial models of divergence. However, other genomic processes might be shaping

phylogenetic signals across the genome. For instance, levels of polymorphism across the genome could

be derived from variation in mutation rate (Besenbacher et al. 2019; Jónsson et al. 2018; Smith et al.

2018; Barroso and Dutheil 2021). Non-crossover gene conversion (Korunes and Noor 2017), where DNA

strands break during meiosis and are repaired based on homologous sequences without crossing-over, and

crossover events could be mutagenic, leading to higher mutation rates in areas of higher recombination

(Arbeithuber et al. 2015; Korunes and Noor 2017). Simulation studies have rejected gene conversion as a

process driving genome-wide patterns of genomic diversity in relatively recent divergence events (Tigano

et al. 2021) and empirical studies suggest that mutations associated with crossover events occur at

relatively low frequencies (Halldorsson et al. 2019). Although differential mutation rates across the

genome might not explain the strong association between genetic diversity and genomic architecture, the

majority of the variation in genetic diversity in our focal species was not explained by recombination.

This suggests that variation in mutation rate, not associated with recombination, could be playing a role in

the genomic landscape of genetic diversity. It is important to note that irrespective of the processes

driving the heterogeneous levels of genetic variation across the genome, these biases on genome-wide

phylogenetic and population genetics inferences may remain unless the multitude of parameters varying

across the genome are modeled in a unifying approach (Johri et al. 2021). Until that horizon is reached,

genomic-architecture-aware approaches can be used to disentangle the effects of intrinsic genomic

characteristics and selection from neutral processes.

Methods

Studied species, sampling design and whole-genome sequencing

We selected three species that occur in southeastern Amazonia occurring in distinct forest strata

of upland forest habitats: 1) *Phlegopsis nigromaculata,* an obligatory army-ant follower restricted to the

understory, with three distinct subspecies isolated by Xingu and Tocantins rivers with considerable levels

of genetic differentiation (Aleixo et al. 2009a); 2) *Xiphorhynchus spixii,* which occupies the midstory of

eastern Amazonian forests, and has two structured populations divided by the Xingu River (Aleixo 2004);

and 3) *Lipaugus vociferans,* a widespread canopy species that is expected to be less structured across

rivers.

To optimize the spatial representation of our samples, we selected a single individual per locality

targeting approximately 10 individuals per interfluve per species (Tapajos, Xingu, and Belem), yielding a

total of 31, 31, and 26 samples for *P. nigromaculata, L. vociferans,* and *X. spixii,* respectively (Table S1;

Figure 1). We isolated genomic DNA from muscle tissue preserved in alcohol (n = 65) and skin from the

toe pads of museum specimens (n = 31). All samples were loaned from the Museu Paraense Emilio

Goeldi (MPEG). From tissues, we extracted DNA with Qiagen high molecular weight DNA kit

(MagAttract HMW DNA Kit - Qiagen). For the toe pads, we performed a protocol specific for degraded

DNA consisting of additional steps for washing the samples with H_2O and EtOH prior to extracting and

extra time for digestion. We modified the DNeasy extraction protocol (DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits -

Qiagen) by replacing the standard spin columns with the QIAquick PCR filter columns (QIAquick PCR

Purification Kit - Qiagen), selecting for smaller fragments of DNA, typically found in degraded samples.

Toe pad extractions were conducted on a dedicated lab for working with historical samples at the

American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) to reduce contamination risk. We quantified DNA

extracts using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Illumina libraries with variable insert

sizes were generated and samples were sequenced by Rapid Genomics (Gainesville, Florida) to ~10x

coverage using 3.5 lanes of paired-end (2x150 bp) Illumina S4 NovaSeq 6000. Raw reads were trimmed

and filtered using trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014).

Genomic references, gene annotation and outgroups

We obtained reference genomes from closely related species. For *P. nigromaculata,* we used as reference

the genome of *Rhegmatorhina melanosticta* (Coelho et al. 2019) with TMRCA = 9.60Ma (Harvey et al.

2020). For *X. spixii,* we used the genome of *X. elegans* (GCA_013401175.1 ASM1340117v1; NCBI

genome ID: 92877; Feng et al. 2020) with TMRCA = 2.36Ma (Harvey et al. 2020), and for *L. vociferans*

we used the genome of *Cephalopterus ornatus*   (GCA_013396775.1   ASM1339677v1;   NCBI   genome

ID: 92752; Feng et al. 2020) with TMRCA =15.10Ma (Harvey et al. 2020). Given that bird chromosomes

are known to have high synteny and evolutionary stasis between distantly related species (Ellegren 2010),

we produced a pseudo-chromosome reference genome for *X. elegans* and *C. ornatus* by ordering and

orienting their scaffolds to the chromosomes of the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*; version

taeGut3.2.4) with chromosemble in satsuma v3.1.0 (Grabherr et al. 2010). For *R. melanosticta,* we used

the chromosome assignment conducted in a previous study (Coelho et al. 2019). To check the

completeness of our pseudo-chromosome references, we used Busco v2.0.1 (Waterhouse et al. 2018) to

search for a set of single-copy avian ortholog loci. To transfer genome annotations from the scaffold

assemblies to the pseudo chromosome reference genomes, we mapped the genomic coordinates of each

annotated feature using gmap (Wu and Watanabe 2005). For *R. melanosticta* we used the annotation

performed by (Mikkelsen and Weir 2020) and for X. *elegans* and *L. vociferans,* we used the annotations

performed by (Feng et al. 2020). A total of 98.90% (15,195), 97.46% (14,834 genes), and 98.92% (15,599

genes) of all annotated genes in *R. melanosticta, X. elegans,* and *C. ornatus* were successfully mapped to

the pseudo-chromosome reference, respectively.

We downloaded raw reads from additional closely related species that were used as outgroups in

phylogenetic analyses. For *P. nigromaculata,* we included *R. melanosticta, Sakesphorus luctuosus*

(GCA_013396695.1 ASM1339669v1; NCBI genome ID: 92896; Feng et al. 2020) and *X. elegans* as

outgroups. For *X. spixii,* we included *X. elegans, S. luctuosus, Campylorhamphus procurvoides*

(GCA_013396655.1 ASM1339665v1; NCBI genome ID: 92894; Feng et al. 2020), and *Furnarius figulus*

(GCA_013397465.1 ASM1339746v1; NCBI genome ID: 92763; Feng et al. 2020). For *L. vociferans,* we

included *C. ornatus, Pachyramphus minor* (GCA_013397135.1 ASM1339713v1; NCBI genome ID:

92755; Feng et al. 2020), and *Tyrannus savana* (GCA_013399735.1 ASM1339973v1; NCBI genome ID:

92814; Feng et al. 2020).

Read alignment, variant calling and filtering

Trimmed and filtered reads were aligned to the references in BWA v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 2009)

using default parameters. We used Picard v.2.0.1 (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA;

http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) to 1) sort sam files with SortSam; 2) reassign reads to groups with

AddOrReplaceReadGroups; 3) identify duplicated reads with Markduplicates; 4) calculate summary

statistics with CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics, CollectInsertSizeMetrics, and CollectRawWgsMetrics;

and 5) create indexes with BuildBamIndex. All Picard functions were run with default parameters. We

used the standard GATK v3.8 (McKenna et al. 2010) pipeline to 1) call SNPs and Indels for each

individual separately with HaplotypeCaller; 2) perform genotyping with GenotypeGVCFs, assuming a

value of 0.05 for the --heterozygosity flag; 3) flag and filter variants with VariantFiltration. Given the

lack of a high confidence SNP panel, we implemented hard filtering options recommended by the Broad

Institute's Best Practices (https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/). We filtered SNPs with quality by depth below 2

(QD < 2.0), SNPs where reads containing the alternative allele were considerably shorter than reads with

the reference allele (ReadPosRankSum < -8), SNPs with root mean square of the mapping quality lower

than 40 (MQ < 40.0), SNPs with evidence of strand bias (FS > 60.0 and SOR > 3.0), and SNPs where the

read with the alternative allele had a lower mapping quality than the reference allele (MQRankSumTest <

− 12.5). Lastly, we filtered raw VCF files by keeping only bi-allelic sites, with no more than 50% of

missing information, with a minimum read depth of 4 and maximum of 30, and read quality score > Q20

using VCFTOOLS v0.1.15 (Danecek et al. 2011). We phased the genotypes in our genomic vcf files

using BEAGLE v5.1 (Browning et al.; Browning and Browning 2007) in sliding windows of 10kb and

overlap between windows of 1kb.

Recombination, window-based summary statistics, and genetic structure

To estimate recombination rate (r = recombination rate per base pair per generation) from

population level data for each of the species complexes, we used ReLERNN (Adrion et al. 2020). This

approach approximates the genomic landscape of recombination by leveraging recurrent neural networks

using the raw genotype matrix as a feature vector, avoiding the need to convert the data into summary

statistics. ReLERNN calculates r by simulating data matching the θW of the observed DNA sequences.

Simulations are then used to train and test a recurrent neural network model designed to predict the per

base recombination rate across sliding windows of the genome. Given that genetic structure could

potentially influence ReLERNN results (Mezmouk et al. 2011; Mangin et al. 2012), we restricted our

analyses to the individuals of the Tapajos interfluve, that was composed exclusively of recent tissue

samples, and we did not find any sign of population substructure in the three lineages (see below).

Although we did not calculate r for all populations, the landscape of recombination across bird lineages is

considered conserved, and variation between recently diverged populations should be minimal (Singhal et

al. 2015). To account for the historical demography of the populations, we provided to ReLERNN the

output of our SMC++ analyses (see below) with the --demographicHistory option. We considered a

mutation rate of 2.42×10^{-9} mutations per generation and one year generation time (Jarvis et al. 2014;

Zhang et al. 2014).

648 We calculated population genomics summary statistics for sliding windows using scripts

649 available at https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics_general. We initially converted vcf files per

650 species into geno format, using parseVCF.py. *Fst*, *Dxy*, and π were calculated for the different

651 populations in each of three interfluves using popgenWindows.py. We estimated the D statistics in sliding

652 windows using the ABBABABAwindows.py. We used species tree topology with the highest probability

653 from our species tree analyses (see *Phylogenomic analyses, and topology weighting*) treating the Tapajos,

654 Xingu and Belem populations as the terminals. For all summary statistics, we used phased vcf files,

655 setting the window size to 10kb (-w option) without overlap between windows and the minimum number

656 of sites without missing information per window to 500 (-m option). To obtain GC content proportion

657 across 100kb windows for our reference genomes, we used sequir v4.2 (Charif and Lobry 2007) in R. We

658 fit general linear regressions and Pearson's correlation index between population genetics summary

659 statistics, phylogenetic weights, and genomic architecture in R. To account for the potential non-linearity

660 of these relationships, we also fit a LOESS model using the R package caret (Kuhn 2008). Models were

661 trained using leave-one-out cross-validation from 80% of the total data.

662 To explore the genome-wide pattern of genetic structure, we performed Principal Component

663 Analysis (PCA) and individuals relatedness analyses based on identity-by-descent using SNPRelate

664 v1.20.1 (Zheng et al. 2012) in R. In order to minimize the effect of missing genotypes in the PCA, we

665 filtered our vcf files to keep SNPs present in at least 70% of the individuals. We also used SNPRelate to

666 perform an identity-by-state (IBS) analysis among individuals for each species. To avoid the influence of

667 SNP clusters in our PCA and IBS analysis, we pruned SNPs in approximate linkage equilibrium (LD>0.2)

668 with each other.

669 Specific regions of the genome might be differently affected by selection and gene flow,

670 exhibiting different levels of genetic diversity and differentiation between populations (Langley et al.

671 2012; Ellegren et al. 2012; Li et al. 2019). To explore the genomic variation in genetic structure, we used

672 lostruct (Li and Ralph 2019). This approach 1) summarizes the relatedness between individuals across

genomic windows using PCA, 2) calculates the pairwise dissimilarity in relatedness among window, 3)

uses multidimensional scaling (MDS) to produce a visualization of how variable patterns of relatedness

are across the genome, and 4) allows the user to combine regions by similarity to inspect contrasting

patterns of genetic structure across the genome. We ran lostruct for windows with 1000 SNPs, allowing

for 30% of missing genotypes. To visualize the results, we selected the 10% of the windows closer to the

three further points on the two first MDS coordinates and performed individual PCA analysis on clustered

windows.

Historical demography, selective sweeps, and linked selection

We modeled population sizes through time using unphased genomes in SMC++ v1.15.3 (Terhorst

et al. 2017). Our goal with this approach was to track past fluctuations in *Ne* to be included in ReLERNN

(Adrion et al. 2020) and DiploS/HIC (Kern and Schrider 2018) models to account for historical

demography. We ran SMC++ exclusively for the Tapajos population of each species assuming a mutation

rate of 2.42 x 10^{-9} mutations per generation and one year generation time (Jarvis et al. 2014; Zhang et al.

2014). We explored historical demography of populations within a time window between the present and

300,000ya.

To detect signatures of selection across the genome, we used a Supervised Machine Learning

(SML) approach implemented in diploS/HIC (Kern and Schrider 2018). This approach used coalescent

simulations of genomic windows to train and test a convolutional neural network (CNN) designed to

predict hard and soft selective sweeps and genetic variation linked to selective sweeps across sliding

windows of the genome. Genomic windows were simulated using discoal (Kern and Schrider 2016)

according to five distinct models: 1) hard selective sweep; 2) soft selective sweep; 3) neutral variation

linked to soft selective sweep; 4) neutral variation linked to hard selective sweep; and 5) neutral genetic

variation. We performed 5,000 simulations per model using 220kb genomic windows divided into 11

subwindows. To account for the neutral demography of the populations, which is essential to obtain

robust model classification between windows (Harris et al. 2018), we added demographic parameters

obtained with SMC++ into discoal simulations. To account for uncertainty in simulated parameters, we

followed the approach of (Manthey et al. 2021) by allowing current *Ne* to vary between ⅓ to 3x the value

obtained with SMC++ within a uniform distribution. Population scaled recombination rate (rho=4Ner;

where r is the recombination rate estimated with ReLERNN) priors were set based on the minimum and

maximum values obtained across windows with ReLERNN. We set a uniform prior for selection

coefficients ranging from 0.00025 to 0.025, and we conditioned sweep completion between the present

and 10,000 generations ago. We used a uniform prior between 0.01 and 0.2 for the initial frequency of

adaptive variants in soft sweep models. Simulations were converted into feature vectors consisting of

population genetics summary statistics, taking into account the observed amount of missing data by using

a genomic mask. We calculated the probability of alternative models for observed windows of 20kb. We

ran CNNs for 1000 epochs, stopping the run if validation accuracy did not improve for 50 consecutive

epochs. We ran five independent runs and predicted observed data with the run that provided the highest

accuracy on testing data. To assess the classification power of the CNNs, we inspected the overall

accuracy, the false positive rate (FPR), recall (the number of correct positive predictions made out of all

positive predictions that could have been made), and area under the curve (AUC). To acknowledge the

uncertainty in model selection, we only assigned a model with a probability higher than 0.7 to a genomic

window.

Phylogenomic analyses, and topology weighting

To obtain phylogenetic relationships between individuals, we calculated supermatrix trees

concatenating all SNPs using IQTree2 (Minh et al. 2020). We converted vcf files to phylip format using

vcf2phylip.py (Ortiz 2019), randomly resolving heterozygous genotypes, and keeping SNPs present in at

least 80% of the individuals. In IQTree2 we ran a total of 1000 bootstrap replicates and controlled for

ascertainment bias assuming a GTR+ASC substitution model. To obtain phylogenetic trees based on

sliding windows of phased vcf files, we used PHYML v3.0 (Guindon et al. 2010) following (Martin and

Van Belleghem 2017). We tested windows with different amounts of information content, selecting

regions with 50, 100, 500 and 1000 SNPs. We conducted 100 bootstrap replicates per window. To

calculate unrooted topology weight for each window across the genome, we used Twisst (Martin and Van

Belleghem 2017). This approach allowed us to quantify the relationships among taxa, providing an

assessment of the most likely topology for a given genomic region. Given that windows with different

information content yielded similar results for the topology weights across the genome, we only present

the results for 100 SNPs windows (average window size of 14,503 bp, 15,637 bp, and 5,821 bp for *P.*

nigromaculata, X. spixii, and *L. vociferans,* respectively) in subsequent analyses.

To estimate the posterior probability of unrooted species trees, we used Astral-III v5.1.1 (Zhang

et al. 2018; Rabiee et al. 2019), using the gene trees produced with phyml as inputs. We used Astral to

score unrooted trees (-q option), by calculating their quartet score, branch lengths, and branch support.

We set as our main topology (outgroup,Belem(Xingu,Tapajos), and used the -t 2 option to calculate the

same metrics for the first alternative and second alternative topologies. Given we only have four terminals

per lineage (3 populations + outgroup), three are only three possible unrooted trees. Therefore, this

approach allowed us to calculate the posterior probability of all possible topologies. We conducted this

approach for the whole set of gene trees and also for subsets of the data, based on specific characteristics

of each window. To assess how support for a specific topology varies based on thresholds for specific

summary statistics, we selected windows across the genome with the upper and lower 10% tile for

recombination rate, Fst, π, *Dxy* and D statistics.

Model based approach to account for recombination and selection.

In order to explicitly account for gene flow while testing for alternative topologies and estimating

demographic parameters of genomic windows, we used a combination of coalescent simulations and

supervised machine learning. We simulated data under three alternative topologies, matching the

unrooted trees tested in our phylogenetic approach: topology 1) (out,(Belem,(Xingu,Tapajos))); topology

2) (out,(Tapajos,(Xingu,Belem))); and topology 3) (out,(Xingu,(Tapajos,Belem))). We allowed for

constant gene flow after the divergence between Xingu and Belem, and Xingu and Tapajos populations.

We did not allow gene flow between Belem and Tapajos due to the geographic disjunction between these

populations. We simulated 5,000 loci of 10kb, using uniform and wide priors for all parameters (Table

S19), and performed one million simulations per model. We assumed a fixed mutation rate of 2.42 x 10^{-9}

mutations per generation and a one year generation time (Jarvis et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014). Genetic

data for each model was simulated in PipeMaster (Gehara et al. 2017), which allows for a user-friendly

implementation of msABC (Pavlidis et al. 2010). We summarized genetic variation of observed and

simulated data in a feature vector composed of population genetics summary statistics, including mean

and variance across loci: number of segregating sites per population and summed across populations;

nucleotide diversity per population and for all populations combined; Watterson's theta (Watterson 1975)

per population and for all populations combined; pairwise *Fst* between populations; number of shared

alleles between pairs of populations; number of private alleles per population and between pairs of

populations; and number of fixed alleles per population and between pairs of populations. To align loci

across individuals, phased vcf files per population were split every 10kb windows and converted into a

fasta format including monomorphic sites using bcftools (Li 2011). Fasta alignments were converted into

feature vectors with PipeMaster which uses PopGenome (Pfeifer et al. 2014) in R. To obtain a genome-

wide estimate of demographic parameters, we selected one 10kb genomic window every 100kb to reduce

the effect of linkage between windows, and we subsampled 5,000 windows from this data set. We

explored how simulated models fitted the observed data PCAs by plotting the first four PCs of simulated

statistics vs observed. We also generated goodness-of-fit plots using the gfit function of abc v2.1 (Csilléry

et al. 2012) in R.

To classify observed datasets into our three models, we used a neural network (nnet)

implemented in Keras v2.3 (https://github.com/rstudio/keras) in R. After an initial exploration for the best

architecture for our nnet, we conducted our final analyses using three hidden layers with 32 internal nodes

and a "relu" activation function. The output layer was composed of three nodes and a "softmax"

activation function. 25% of the simulations were used as testing data. We ran the training step for 1000

epochs using "adam" optimizer and a batch size of 20,000. 5% of the training data set was used for

validation, and we used the overall accuray and the sparse_categorical_crossentropy for the loss function

to track improvements in model classification. For the most probable model considering genome-wide

windows per species, we estimated demographic parameters with a nnet with a similar architecture but

designed to predict continuous variables. For this step, we used an output layer with a single node and a

"relu" activation. In the training step, we used the mean absolute percentage error (MAE) as an optimizer,

training the nnet for 3000 epochs with batch size of 10,000 and a validation split of 0.1. We ran this

procedure 10 times for each demographic parameter and summarized the results by calculating the mean

across replicates. To additionally assess the accuracy of parameter estimation, we calculated the

coefficient of correlation between estimated and true simulated values of the testing data set. To explore

how genome-wide parameters differed from regions with distinct signature of selection and under

neutrality, we created subsets of 10kb windows that were assigned with high probability (> 0.70) to one

of the five distinct models implemented in diploS/HIC. For each species, we estimated parameters based

on the best model (topology) considering genome-wide windows. We selected up to 1000 windows for

each of the five selection classes and performed the same approach as described above.

To obtain window-based model probability and demographic parameters, we used a similar

approach as described above but simulating 100kb window size and using a modified version of

PipeMaster (Gehara et al. 2017) that allowed us to simulate intra locus recombination. By selecting a

larger window size we increased the information content and resolution of summary statistics of single

genomic windows. We performed 100,000 simulations per model, and used the same uniform priors for

all parameters as implemented above. For intralocus recombination, we set a uniform prior ranging from

0 to the maximum value obtained with ReLERNN per species (*P. nigromaculata* = 3.021 x 10^{-9}; *X. spixii*

$= 2.475 \times 10^{-9}$; *L. vociferans* $= 2.171 \times 10^{-9}$).

Lastly, to explore how recombination rate and gene flow impact topology weight, we performed

coalescent simulations based on demographic parameters obtained for *P. nigromaculata,* and calculated

topology weights using Twisst (Martin and Van Belleghem 2017). We simulated 1,000 windows of 10kb

for four models varying the presence of intra-locus recombination and gene flow between Xingu and

Belem, assuming topology 1 (three ingroups plus one outgroup). Simulated parameters are available on

Table S20. Simulations were performed with PipeMaster, and we converted the ms output to phylip

format with PopGenome. We ran trees for each 10kb window with IQTREE-2 using default parameters

and ran Twisst on this estimated set of trees (Figure S15).

Data access

The raw genetic data underlying this article are available in NCBI short read archive at Bioproject #

(accession numbers will be provided upon acceptance). All code and source datasets needed to replicate

this study are available at https://doi.org/number will be provided upon acceptance

(zenodo):https://github.com/GregoryThom/Genomic-architecture-Amazonian-birds.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank K. Provost, J. Merwin, V. Chua, E. Tenorio, J. Cracraft, P. Sweet, T. Trombone,

B. Bird, L. Musher. We also thank the Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi—MPEG for tissue samples. All

genetic samples were included on the SisGen platform under the protocols AA7DDBF and AB8BB93.

G.T. was funded by the Frank M. Chapman memorial fund of the American Museum of Natural History.

Romina Batista received support from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior –

Brasil (CAPES-INPA proc. 88887477562/2020-00). B.T.S. was supported by awards from the National

Science Foundation US (DEB-1655736; DBI-2029955).

References

Adrion JR, Galloway JG, Kern AD. 2020. Predicting the Landscape of Recombination Using Deep
Learning. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**: 1790–1808.

Albert JS, Craig JM, Tagliacollo VA, Petry P. 2018. Upland and lowland fishes: a test of the river capture
hypothesis. *Mountains, climate and biodiversity* 273–294.

Aleixo A. 2004. Historical diversification of a terra-firme forest bird superspecies: a phylogeographic
perspective on the role of different hypotheses of Amazonian diversification. *Evolution* **58**: 1303–
1317.

Aleixo A, Burlamaqui TCT, Schneider MPC. 2009a. Molecular Systematics and Plumage Evolution in
the Monotypic Obligate Army-Ant-Following Genus Skutchia (Thamnophilidae). *Condor*.
https://academic.oup.com/condor/article-abstract/111/2/382/5152428.

Aleixo A, Burlamaqui TCT, Schneider MPC, Gonçalves EC. 2009b. Molecular Systematics and Plumage
Evolution in the Monotypic Obligate Army-Ant-Following Genus Skutchia
(Thamnophilidae)Sistemática Molecular y Evolución del Plumaje en Skutchia, un Género
Monotípico que Sigue Ejércitos de Hormigas de Modo Obligatorio (Thamnophilidae). *Condor* **111**:
382–387.

Arbeithuber B, Betancourt AJ, Ebner T, Tiemann-Boege I. 2015. Crossovers are associated with mutation
and biased gene conversion at recombination hotspots. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 2109–2114.

Barrera-Guzmán AO, Aleixo A, Shawkey MD, Weir JT. 2018. Hybrid speciation leads to novel male
secondary sexual ornamentation of an Amazonian bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences* **115**: E218–E225.

Barroso GV, Dutheil JY. 2021. Mutation rate variation shapes genome-wide diversity in Drosophila
melanogaster. *bioRxiv* 2021.09.16.460667.
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.09.16.460667.abstract (Accessed September 23,
2021).

Bates JM, Hackett SJ, Cracraft J. 1998. Area-relationships in the Neotropical lowlands: an hypothesis
based on raw distributions of Passerine birds. *Journal of Biogeography* **25**: 783–793.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.2540783.x.

Berv JS, Campagna L, Feo TJ, Castro-Astor I, Ribas CC, Prum RO, Lovette IJ. 2021. Genomic
phylogeography of the White-crowned Manakin Pseudopipra pipra (Aves: Pipridae) illuminates a
continental-scale radiation out of the Andes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 107205.

Besenbacher S, Hvilsom C, Marques-Bonet T, Mailund T, Schierup MH. 2019. Direct estimation of
mutations in great apes reconciles phylogenetic dating. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**: 286–292.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics **30**: 2114–2120.

Brand CM, White FJ, Ting N, Webster TH. 2021. Soft sweeps predominate recent positive selection in

bonobos (Pan paniscus) and chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *bioRxiv* 2020.12.14.422788.
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.14.422788v3.abstract (Accessed June 28, 2021).

Brandvain Y, Kenney AM, Flagel L, Coop G, Sweigart AL. 2014. Speciation and introgression between
Mimulus nasutus and Mimulus guttatus. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004410.

Bravo GA, Schmitt CJ, Edwards SV. 2021. What Have We Learned from the First 500 Avian Genomes?
Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst **52**: 611–639.

Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. A one penny imputed genome from next generation reference
panels. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/357806.

Browning SR, Browning BL. 2007. Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for
whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**:
1084–1097.

Burri R, Nater A, Kawakami T, Mugal CF, Olason PI, Smeds L, Suh A, Dutoit L, Bureš S, Garamszegi
LZ, et al. 2015. Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the evolution of the genomic
landscape of differentiation across the speciation continuum of Ficedula flycatchers. *Genome Res* **25**:
1656–1665.

Byrne H, Lynch Alfaro JW, Sampaio I, Farias I, Schneider H, Hrbek T, Boubli JP. 2018. Titi monkey
biogeography: Parallel Pleistocene spread by Plecturocebus and Cheracebus into a post-Pebas
Western Amazon. *Zool Scr* **47**: 499–517.

Charif D, Lobry JR. 2007. SeqinR 1.0-2: A Contributed Package to the R Project for Statistical
Computing Devoted to Biological Sequences Retrieval and Analysis. In *Structural Approaches to*
Sequence Evolution: Molecules, Networks, Populations (eds. U. Bastolla, M. Porto, H.E. Roman,
and M. Vendruscolo), pp. 207–232, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Charlesworth B. 1998. Measures of divergence between populations and the effect of forces that reduce
variability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **15**: 538–543.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025953.

Charlesworth B, Morgan MT, Charlesworth D. 1993. The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral
molecular variation. *Genetics* **134**: 1289–1303.

Chase MA, Ellegren H, Mugal CF. 2021. Positive selection plays a major role in shaping signatures of
differentiation across the genomic landscape of two independent Ficedula flycatcher species pairs.
Evolution **75**: 2179–2196.

Coelho LA, Musher LJ, Cracraft J. 2019. A Multireference-Based Whole Genome Assembly for the
Obligate Ant-Following Antbird, Rhegmatorhina melanosticta (Thamnophilidae). *Diversity* **11**: 144.

Comeron JM. 2014. Background selection as baseline for nucleotide variation across the Drosophila
genome. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004434.

Cracraft J. 1985. Historical Biogeography and Patterns of Differentiation within the South American
Avifauna: Areas of Endemism. *Ornithol Monogr* 49–84.

Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. 2014. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are due to
reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Mol Ecol* **23**: 3133–3157.

Csilléry K, François O, Blum MGB. 2012. abc: an R package for approximate Bayesian computation
(ABC). *Methods Ecol Evol* **3**: 475–479.

Dagosta FCP, De Pinna M. 2019. The Fishes of the Amazon: Distribution and Biogeographical Patterns,
with a Comprehensive List of Species. *amnb* **2019**: 1–163.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth
GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156–2158.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.

Dapper AL, Payseur BA. 2018. Effects of Demographic History on the Detection of Recombination
Hotspots from Linkage Disequilibrium. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**: 335–353.

da Silva JMC, Rylands AB, da FONSECA GAB. 2005. The fate of the amazonian areas of endemism.
Conserv Biol **19**: 689–694.

Delmore KE, Lugo Ramos JS, Van Doren BM, Lundberg M, Bensch S, Irwin DE, Liedvogel M. 2018.
Comparative analysis examining patterns of genomic differentiation across multiple episodes of
population divergence in birds. *Evol Lett* **2**: 76–87.

Del-Rio G, Rego MA, Whitney BM, Schunck F, Silveira LF, Faircloth BC, Brumfield RT. 2021.
Displaced clines in an avian hybrid zone (Thamnophilidae: Rhegmatorhina) within an Amazonian
interfluve. *Evolution*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/evo.14377.

de Oliveira DP, de Carvalho VT, Hrbek T. 2016. Cryptic diversity in the lizard genus Plica (Squamata):
phylogenetic diversity and Amazonian biogeography. *Zool Scr* **45**: 630–641.

Dutoit L, Burri R, Nater A, Mugal CF, Ellegren H. 2017a. Genomic distribution and estimation of
nucleotide diversity in natural populations: perspectives from the collared flycatcher (Ficedula
albicollis) genome. *Mol Ecol Resour* **17**: 586–597.

Dutoit L, Vijay N, Mugal CF, Bossu CM, Burri R, Wolf J, Ellegren H. 2017b. Covariation in levels of
nucleotide diversity in homologous regions of the avian genome long after completion of lineage
sorting. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **284**.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2756.

Edelman NB, Frandsen PB, Miyagi M, Clavijo B, Davey J, Dikow RB, García-Accinelli G, Van
Belleghem SM, Patterson N, Neafsey DE, et al. 2019. Genomic architecture and introgression shape
a butterfly radiation. *Science* **366**: 594–599.

Ellegren H. 2010. Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. *Trends Ecol Evol* **25**: 283–291.

Ellegren H, Smeds L, Burri R, Olason PI, Backström N, Kawakami T, Künstner A, Mäkinen H,
Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Qvarnström A, et al. 2012. The genomic landscape of species divergence
in Ficedula flycatchers. *Nature* **491**: 756–760.

Elyashiv E, Sattath S, Hu TT, Strutsovsky A, McVicker G, Andolfatto P, Coop G, Sella G. 2016. A

931   Genomic Map of the Effects of Linked Selection in Drosophila. *PLoS Genet* **12**: e1006130.

932 Ewing GB, Jensen JD. 2016. The consequences of not accounting for background selection in
933   demographic inference. *Mol Ecol* **25**: 135–141.

934 Farré M, Micheletti D, Ruiz-Herrera A. 2012. Recombination Rates and Genomic Shuffling in Human
935   and Chimpanzee—A New Twist in the Chromosomal Speciation Theory. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 853–
936   864.

937 Feng S, Stiller J, Deng Y, Armstrong J, Fang Q, Reeve AH, Xie D, Chen G, Guo C, Faircloth BC, et al.
938   2020. Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics. *Nature* **587**: 252–
939   257.

940 Ferreira M, Fernandes AM, Aleixo A, Antonelli A, Olsson U, Bates JM, Cracraft J, Ribas CC. 2018.
941   Evidence for mtDNA capture in the jacamar Galbula leucogastra/chalcothorax species-complex and
942   insights on the evolution of white-sand ecosystems in the Amazon basin. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **129**:
943   149–157.

944 Fledel-Alon A, Wilson DJ, Broman K, Wen X, Ober C, Coop G, Przeworski M. 2009. Broad-scale
945   recombination patterns underlying proper disjunction in humans. *PLoS Genet* **5**: e1000658.

946 Fontaine MC, Pease JB, Steele A, Waterhouse RM, Neafsey DE, Sharakhov IV, Jiang X, Hall AB,
947   Catteruccia F, Kakani E, et al. 2015. Mosquito genomics. Extensive introgression in a malaria vector
948   species complex revealed by phylogenomics. *Science* **347**: 1258524.

949 Garrigan D, Kingan SB, Geneva AJ, Andolfatto P, Clark AG, Thornton KR, Presgraves DC. 2012.
950   Genome sequencing reveals complex speciation in the Drosophila simulans clade. *Genome Res* **22**:
951   1499–1511.

952 Gehara M, Garda AA, Werneck FP, Oliveira EF, da Fonseca EM, Camurugi F, Magalhães F de M, Lanna
953   FM, Sites JW Jr, Marques R, et al. 2017. Estimating synchronous demographic changes across
954   populations using hABC and its application for a herpetological community from northeastern
955   Brazil. *Mol Ecol* **26**: 4756–4771.

956 Gillespie JH. 2000. Genetic drift in an infinite population. The pseudohitchhiking model. *Genetics* **155**:
957   909–919.

958 Grabherr MG, Russell P, Meyer M, Mauceli E, Alföldi J, Di Palma F, Lindblad-Toh K. 2010. Genome-
959   wide synteny through highly sensitive sequence alignment: Satsuma. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 1145–1151.

960 Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and
961   methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0.
962   *Syst Biol* **59**: 307–321.

963 Haenel Q, Laurentino TG, Roesti M, Berner D. 2018. Meta-analysis of chromosome-scale crossover rate
964   variation in eukaryotes and its significance to evolutionary genomics. *Molecular Ecology* **27**: 2477–
965   2497. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.14699.

966 Haffer J. 2008. Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazonia. *Braz J Biol* **68**: 917–947.

Haffer J. 1969. Speciation in amazonian forest birds. *Science* **165**: 131–137.

Halldorsson BV, Palsson G, Stefansson OA, Jonsson H, Hardarson MT, Eggertsson HP, Gunnarsson B,
Oddsson A, Halldorsson GH, Zink F, et al. 2019. Characterizing mutagenic effects of recombination
through a sequence-level genetic map. *Science* **363**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1043.

Harris RB, Sackman A, Jensen JD. 2018. On the unfounded enthusiasm for soft selective sweeps II:
Examining recent evidence from humans, flies, and viruses. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007859.

Harvey MG, Bravo GA, Claramunt S, Cuervo AM, Derryberry GE, Battilana J, Seeholzer GF, McKay JS,
O'Meara BC, Faircloth BC, et al. 2020. The evolution of a tropical biodiversity hotspot. *Science*
370: 1343–1348.

Harvey MG, Singhal S, Rabosky DL. 2019. Beyond Reproductive Isolation: Demographic Controls on
the Speciation Process. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **50**: 75–95.

Hudson RR. 1983. Properties of a neutral allele model with intragenic recombination. *Theor Popul Biol*
23: 183–201.

Hudson RR, Kaplan NL. 1995. Deleterious background selection with recombination. *Genetics* **141**:
1605–1617. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/genetics/141.4.1605.

Jarvis ED, Mirarab S, Aberer AJ, Li B, Houde P, Li C, Ho SYW, Faircloth BC, Nabholz B, Howard JT,
et al. 2014. Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds.
Science **346**: 1320–1331.

Jensen JD, Payseur BA, Stephan W, Aquadro CF, Lynch M, Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B. 2019. The
importance of the Neutral Theory in 1968 and 50 years on: A response to Kern and Hahn 2018.
Evolution **73**: 111–114.

Johri P, Aquadro CF, Beaumont M, Charlesworth B, Excoffier L, Eyre-Walker A, Keightley PD, Lynch
M, McVean G, Payseur BA, et al. Statistical inference in population genomics.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.27.466171.

Johri P, Charlesworth B, Jensen JD. 2020. Toward an Evolutionarily Appropriate Null Model: Jointly
Inferring Demography and Purifying Selection. *Genetics* **215**: 173–192.

Johri P, Riall K, Becher H, Excoffier L, Charlesworth B, Jensen JD. 2021. The Impact of Purifying and
Background Selection on the Inference of Population History: Problems and Prospects. *Mol Biol*
Evol **38**: 2986–3003.

Jónsson H, Sulem P, Arnadottir GA, Pálsson G, Eggertsson HP, Kristmundsdottir S, Zink F, Kehr B,
Hjorleifsson KE, Jensson BÖ, et al. 2018. Multiple transmissions of de novo mutations in families.
Nat Genet **50**: 1674–1680.

Kaback D, Guacci V, Barber D, Mahon J. 1992. Chromosome size-dependent control of meiotic
recombination. *Science* **256**: 228–232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1566070.

Kartje ME, Jing P, Payseur BA. 2020. Weak Correlation between Nucleotide Variation and
Recombination Rate across the House Mouse Genome. *Genome Biol Evol* **12**: 293–299.

Kawakami T, Smeds L, Backström N, Husby A, Qvarnström A, Mugal CF, Olason P, Ellegren H. 2014. A high density linkage map enables a second generation collared flycatcher genome assembly and reveals the patterns of avian recombination rate variation and chromosomal evolution. *Molecular Ecology* **23**: 4035–4058. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12810.

Kern AD, Hahn MW. 2018. The Neutral Theory in Light of Natural Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**: 1366–1371.

Kern AD, Schrider DR. 2018. diploS/HIC: An Updated Approach to Classifying Selective Sweeps. *G3* **8**: 1959–1970.

Kern AD, Schrider DR. 2016. Discoal: flexible coalescent simulations with selection. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 3839–3841.

Knowles LL. 2009. Statistical Phylogeography. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **40**: 593–612.

Korunes KL, Noor MAF. 2017. Gene conversion and linkage: effects on genome evolution and speciation. *Mol Ecol* **26**: 351–364.

Langley CH, Stevens K, Cardeno C, Lee YCG, Schrider DR, Pool JE, Langley SA, Suarez C, Corbett-Detig RB, Kolaczkowski B, et al. 2012. Genomic variation in natural populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* **192**: 533–598.

Li G, Figueiró HV, Eizirik E, Murphy WJ. 2019. Recombination-Aware Phylogenomics Reveals the Structured Genomic Landscape of Hybridizing Cat Species. *Mol Biol Evol* **36**: 2111–2126.

Li H. 2011. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2987–2993.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1754–1760.

Li H, Ralph P. 2019. Local PCA Shows How the Effect of Population Structure Differs Along the Genome. *Genetics* **211**: 289–304.

Luna LW, Ribas CC, Aleixo A. 2021. Genomic differentiation with gene flow in a widespread Amazonian floodplain specialist bird species. *J Biogeogr*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jbi.14257.

Lynch Alfaro JW, Boubli JP, Paim FP, Ribas CC, Silva MNF da, Messias MR, Röhe F, Mercês MP, Silva Júnior JS, Silva CR, et al. 2015. Biogeography of squirrel monkeys (genus Saimiri): South-central Amazon origin and rapid pan-Amazonian diversification of a lowland primate. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **82 Pt B**: 436–454.

Mangin B, Siberchicot A, Nicolas S, Doligez A, This P, Cierco-Ayrolles C. 2012. Novel measures of linkage disequilibrium that correct the bias due to population structure and relatedness. *Heredity* **108**: 285–291.

Manthey JD, Klicka J, Spellman GM. 2021. The genomic signature of allopatric speciation in a songbird is shaped by genome architecture (Aves: Certhia americana). *Genome Biol Evol*.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab120.

Martin SH, Davey JW, Salazar C, Jiggins CD. 2019. Recombination rate variation shapes barriers to
introgression across butterfly genomes. *PLoS Biol* **17**: e2006288.

Martin SH, Van Belleghem SM. 2017. Exploring Evolutionary Relationships Across the Genome Using
Topology Weighting. *Genetics* **206**: 429–438.

McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D,
Gabriel S, Daly M, et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for
analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**: 1297–1303.

McVicker G, Gordon D, Davis C, Green P. 2009. Widespread genomic signatures of natural selection in
hominid evolution. *PLoS Genet* **5**: e1000471.

Meunier J, Duret L. 2004. Recombination drives the evolution of GC-content in the human genome. *Mol*
Biol Evol **21**: 984–990.

Mezmouk S, Dubreuil P, Bosio M, Décousset L, Charcosset A, Praud S, Mangin B. 2011. Effect of
population structure corrections on the results of association mapping tests in complex maize
diversity panels. *Theor Appl Genet* **122**: 1149–1160.

Mikkelsen EK, Weir JT. 2020. The genome of the Xingu scale-backed antbird (Willisornis vidua
nigrigula) reveals lineage-specific adaptations. *Genomics* **112**: 4552–4560.

Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020.
IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era.
Mol Biol Evol **37**: 1530–1534.

Mořkovský L, Janoušek V, Reif J, Rídl J, Pačes J, Choleva L, Janko K, Nachman MW, Reifová R. 2018.
Genomic islands of differentiation in two songbird species reveal candidate genes for hybrid female
sterility. *Mol Ecol* **27**: 949–958.

Musher LJ, Giakoumis M, Albert J, Del Rio G, Rego M, Thom G, Aleixo A, Ribas CC, Brumfield RT,
Smith BT, et al. 2021. River network rearrangements promote speciation in lowland Amazonian
birds. *bioRxiv* 2021.11.15.468717. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.15.468717v1
(Accessed November 16, 2021).

Nachman MW, Payseur BA. 2012. Recombination rate variation and speciation: theoretical predictions
and empirical results from rabbits and mice. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **367**: 409–421.

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic
Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**:
268–274. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300.

Ortiz EM. 2019. *vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for phylogenetic*
analysis. https://zenodo.org/record/2540861.

Pavlidis P, Laurent S, Stephan W. 2010. msABC: a modification of Hudson's ms to facilitate multi-locus
ABC analysis. *Mol Ecol Resour* **10**: 723–727.

Penz C, DeVries P, Tufto J, Lande R. 2015. Butterfly dispersal across Amazonia and its implication for
biogeography. *Ecography* **38**: 410–418.

Pessia E, Popa A, Mousset S, Rezvoy C, Duret L, Marais GAB. 2012. Evidence for widespread GC-
biased gene conversion in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol Evol* **4**: 675–682.

Pfeifer B, Wittelsbürger U, Ramos-Onsins SE, Lercher MJ. 2014. PopGenome: an efficient Swiss army
knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Mol Biol Evol* **31**: 1929–1936.

Pouyet F, Aeschbacher S, Thiéry A, Excoffier L. 2018. Background selection and biased gene conversion
affect more than 95% of the human genome and bias demographic inferences. *Elife* **7**.
http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36317.

Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2019. Multi-allele species reconstruction using ASTRAL. *Mol
Phylogenet Evol* **130**: 286–296.

Ribas CC, Aleixo A, Nogueira ACR, Miyaki CY, Cracraft J. 2012. A palaeobiogeographic model for
biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years. *Proc Biol Sci* **279**: 681–689.

Rousselle M, Mollion M, Nabholz B, Bataillon T, Galtier N. 2018. Overestimation of the adaptive
substitution rate in fluctuating populations. *Biol Lett* **14**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0055.

Roux C, Fraïsse C, Castric V, Vekemans X, Pogson GH, Bierne N. 2014. Can we continue to neglect
genomic variation in introgression rates when inferring the history of speciation? A case study in a
Mytilus hybrid zone. *J Evol Biol* **27**: 1662–1675.

Schrider DR, Shanku AG, Kern AD. 2016. Effects of linked selective sweeps on demographic inference
and model selection. *Genetics* **204**: 1207–1223.

Schumer M, Xu C, Powell D, Durvasula A, Skov L, Holland C, Sankararaman S, Andolfatto P, Rosenthal
G, Przeworski M. 2017. Natural selection interacts with the local recombination rate to shape the
evolution of hybrid genomes. bioRxiv: 212407.

Schumer M, Xu C, Powell DL, Durvasula A, Skov L, Holland C, Blazier JC, Sankararaman S, Andolfatto
P, Rosenthal GG, et al. 2018. Natural selection interacts with recombination to shape the evolution
of hybrid genomes. *Science* **360**: 656–660.

Seehausen O, Butlin RK, Keller I, Wagner CE, Boughman JW, Hohenlohe PA, Peichel CL, Saetre G-P,
Bank C, Brännström A, et al. 2014. Genomics and the origin of species. *Nat Rev Genet* **15**: 176–192.

Silva SM, Peterson AT, Carneiro L, Burlamaqui TCT, Ribas CC, Sousa-Neves T, Miranda LS, Fernandes
AM, d'Horta FM, Araújo-Silva LE, et al. 2019. A dynamic continental moisture gradient drove
Amazonian bird diversification. *Sci Adv* **5**: eaat5752.

Singhal S, Leffler EM, Sannareddy K, Turner I, Venn O, Hooper DM, Strand AI, Li Q, Raney B,
Balakrishnan CN, et al. 2015. Stable recombination hotspots in birds. *Science* **350**: 928–932.

Smith BT, McCormack JE, Cuervo AM, Hickerson MJ, Aleixo A, Cadena CD, Pérez-Emán J, Burney
CW, Xie X, Harvey MG, et al. 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. *Nature* **515**: 406–409.

Smith JM, Haigh J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. *Genet Res* **23**: 23–35.

Smith TCA, Arndt PF, Eyre-Walker A. 2018. Large scale variation in the rate of germ-line de novo
mutation, base composition, divergence and diversity in humans. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007254.

Solís-Lemus C, Ané C. 2016. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks with Maximum Pseudolikelihood under
Incomplete Lineage Sorting. *PLoS Genet* **12**: e1005896.

Stankowski S, Chase MA, Fuiten AM, Rodrigues MF, Ralph PL, Streisfeld MA. 2019. Widespread
selection and gene flow shape the genomic landscape during a radiation of monkeyflowers.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/342352.

Terhorst J, Kamm JA, Song YS. 2017. Robust and scalable inference of population history from hundreds
of unphased whole genomes. *Nat Genet* **49**: 303–309.

Tigano A, Khan R, Omer AD, Weisz D, Dudchenko O, Multani AS, Pathak S, Behringer RR, Aiden EL,
Fisher H, et al. 2021. Chromosome size affects sequence divergence between species through the
interplay of recombination and selection. *bioRxiv* 2021.01.15.426870.
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.01.15.426870v1 (Accessed June 7, 2021).

Van Belleghem SM, Baquero M, Papa R, Salazar C, McMillan WO, Counterman BA, Jiggins CD, Martin
SH. 2018. Patterns of Z chromosome divergence among Heliconius species highlight the importance
of historical demography. *Mol Ecol* **27**: 3852–3872.

Van Doren BM, Campagna L, Helm B, Illera JC, Lovette IJ, Liedvogel M. 2017. Correlated patterns of
genetic diversity and differentiation across an avian family. *Mol Ecol* **26**: 3982–3997.

Vijay N, Weissensteiner M, Burri R, Kawakami T, Ellegren H, Wolf JBW. 2017. Genomewide patterns
of variation in genetic diversity are shared among populations, species and higher-order taxa. *Mol*
Ecol **26**: 4284–4295.

Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simão FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva EV,
Zdobnov EM. 2018. BUSCO Applications from Quality Assessments to Gene Prediction and
Phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**: 543–548.

Watterson GA. 1975. On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination.
Theor Popul Biol **7**: 256–276.

Wayne ML, Simonsen KL. 1998. Statistical tests of neutrality in the age of weak selection. *Trends Ecol*
Evol **13**: 236–240.

Weir JT, Faccio MS, Pulido-Santacruz P, Barrera-Guzmán AO, Aleixo A. 2015. Hybridization in
headwater regions, and the role of rivers as drivers of speciation in Amazonian birds. *Evolution* **69**:
1823–1834.

Wen D, Yu Y, Zhu J, Nakhleh L. 2018. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks Using PhyloNet. *Syst Biol* **67**:
735–740.

Wolf JBW, Ellegren H. 2017. Making sense of genomic islands of differentiation in light of speciation.
Nat Rev Genet **18**: 87–100.

Wu TD, Watanabe CK. 2005. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST

sequences. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 1859–1875.

Zeng K. 2013. A coalescent model of background selection with recombination, demography and
variation in selection coefficients. *Heredity* **110**: 363–371. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2012.102.

Zhang C, Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree
reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**: 153.

Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ, Meredith RW, et al.
2014. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. *Science*
346: 1311–1320.

Zheng X, Levine D, Shen J, Gogarten SM, Laurie C, Weir BS. 2012. A high-performance computing
toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 3326–3328.

Edwards SV, Robin V, Ferrand N, Moritz C. 2021. The evolution of comparative phylogeography:

putting the geography (and more) into comparative population genomics. Genome Biol. Evol.:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab176

Kuhn M. 2008. Building predictive models in R using the caret package. J. Stat. Softw. 28, 1–26.

Thom G, Aleixo A. 2015. Cryptic speciation in the white-shouldered antshrike (Thamnophilus aethiops,

Aves – Thamnophilidae): The tale of a transcontinental radiation across rivers in lowland Amazonia

and the northeastern Atlantic Forest. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 82:95–110.

Thom G, Xue AT, Sawakuchi AO, Ribas CC, Hickerson MJ, Aleixo A, Miyaki C. 2020. Quaternary

climate changes as speciation drivers in the Amazon floodplains. Sci Adv 6:eaax4718.

1166