Evaluation of intron-1 of odorant-binding protein-1 of *Anopheles stephensi* as a marker for the identification of biological forms or putative sibling species

Om P. Singh[1]*, Shobhna Mishra[1], Gunjan Sharma[1], Ankita Sindhania[1], Taranjeet Kaur[1], U. Sreehari[2], Manoj K. Das[3], Neera Kapoor[4], Bhavna Gupta[5]

[1]National Institute of Malaria Research, Sector 8, Dwarka, New Delhi, India

[2]National Institute of Malaria Research, Field Unit, Bengaluru, India

[3]National Institute of Malaria Research, Field Unit, Ranchi, India

[4]School of Sciences, Indira Gandhi National Open University, Maidangarhi, New Delhi, India

[5]Vector Control Research Centre, Field Unit, Madurai, India

*Correspondence: dr.opsingh@gmail.com, singh@nimr.org.in

Abstract

Background

Anopheles stephensi, an invasive malaria vector, has been reported to have three biological forms identifiable mainly based on the number of ridges present on the egg's floats. Recently, the first intron of the odorant-binding protein-1 (*AsteObp1*) has been introduced as a molecular marker for the identification of these forms, and based on this marker, the presence of three putative sibling species (designated as species A, B and C) has been proposed. However, there is no data on the association of proposed markers with biological form or putative species on field populations.

Methods

Field collected and laboratory-reared *An. stephensi* were characterized for biological forms based on the number of ridges on the egg's float. DNA sequencing of the partial *AsteObp1*

gene of *An. stephensi* individuals were performed by Sanger's method, either directly or after

cloning with a plasmid vector. Additionally, *AsteObp1* sequences of various laboratory lines

of *An. stephensi* were retrieved from a public sequence database.

Results

AsteObp1 intron-1 in Indian *An. stephensi* populations are highly polymorphic with the

presence of more than 13 haplotypes exhibiting nucleotides as well as length-polymorphism

(90-to-121 bp). No specific haplotype or a group of closely related haplotypes of intron-1 was

found associated with any biological form identified morphologically. High heterozygosity

for this marker with a low inbreeding coefficient in field and laboratory populations indicates

that this marker is not suitable for the delimitation of putative sibling species, at least in

Indian populations.

Conclusions

AsteObp1 cannot serve as a marker for identifying biological forms of *An. stephensi* or

putative sibling species in Indian populations.

Keywords: *Anopheles stephensi, var. mysorensis,* type form, odorant-binding protein, sibling

species, malaria

Background

Anopheles stephensi is an efficient malaria vector responsible for malaria, mainly in urban

areas. Earlier, this species was reported to be distributed mainly in countries of the Middle

East and South Asia [1]. During the last few decades, the distribution of this species has

expanded to new geographical localities, such as Lakshadweep island of India [2], Sri Lanka

[3], countries of the Horns of Africa [4-8] and the Republic of Sudan [6, 9], and have been

reported to transmit malaria parasite at least in Djibouti, Horn of Africa [4, 7, 10]. A high

probability of their presence within many urban cities across Africa has been predicted [11],

which poses a major threat to the elimination of urban malaria from the African continent. In

consequence of recent invasions of this species in several countries, the World Health

Organization issued an alert to affected and surrounding countries to take immediate actions

[6].

An. stephensi in India is predominantly found in urban areas due to the presence of

favourable breeding habitats in urban settings and is considered an urban malaria vector. In

the 1940's, Sweet and Rao [12] and Rao et al. [13] described the presence of two races, i.e.,

'type form' and '*var. mysorensis*' in the *An. stephensi* based on the dimension of eggs, length

of eggs-floats and numbers of ridges on the float. The 'type form' was reported mainly from

the urban area and '*var. mysorensis*' from the rural area [12, 14]. Initial reciprocal crossing

experiments showed a certain degree of sterility between these two races [15] but

subsequently, it was demonstrated that there is no reproductive barrier between these two

races (hereafter, described as 'biological form') and the proposed status of subspecies was

refuted by Rutledge et al. [16]. Later, an additional form "intermediate" was designated by

Subbarao et al. [14] based on genetic evidence derived from crossing experiments between

the 'type form' and '*var. mysorensis*'.

The 'type form' is reported to be an efficient malaria parasite vector, while '*var.*

mysorensis' is considered to be poor in malaria transmission [14], though the latter is

reported to be a vector in Iran [17-18]. Laboratory feeding experiments suggest differences in

the success of sporogonic development of rodent malaria parasites in the biological forms

[19-20]. Due to the suggested differential epidemiological implications of biological forms, it

is desirable to determine their biological forms in all epidemiological studies. The classical

method for the identification of biological forms of *An. stephensi* involves morphometrics of

eggs, which is a cumbersome process; for which, live female mosquitoes are to be transported

to the laboratory and their eggs have to be obtained for the counting of ridges on the egg's

floats. Nagpal et al. [21] reported that the 'spiracular index' (ratio of the length of anterior

spiracle with the length of the thorax) of *An. stephensi* can be used for the discrimination of

biological forms in the adult mosquitoes, which was higher in 'type form' as compared to

'*var. mysorensis*' in Rajasthan, India (an arid zone). They also reported that the two forms of

An. stephensi, i.e., 'type form' and '*var. mysorensis*', occupy different ecological niches

where '*var. mysorensis*' prefers outdoor habitat and 'type form' indoors [21]. The 'spiracular

index', which measures the degree of spiracular opening, may serve as an adaptation to water-

loss regulation, and therefore needs to be evaluated in non-arid zones also. Recently, a

molecular marker was introduced by Gholizadeh et al. [22] for the identification of all three

biological forms. This group sequenced the odorant-binding protein-1 (*AsteObp1*) gene of

An. stephensi from four laboratory strains, two strains representing '*var. mysorensis*' and one

of each representing 'type form' and 'intermediate'. Based on sequences of a total of 20

samples from all of the four colonies, they demonstrated fixed differences (no heterozygotes

were reported) in the first intron of the gene among three biological forms. This prompted

them to propose the *AsteObp1* intron-1 as a molecular marker for the identification of

biological forms. However, this marker was not tested on field populations. Subsequently, the

same research group [23], speculated the three biological forms to be distinct sibling species

and designated them as species A, B and C, which correspond to 'type form', 'intermediate'

and '*var. mysorensis*', respectively. However, they didn't establish an association of the

AsteObp1 intron-1 haplotypes with the eggs' morphology. In the absence of any study

showing the association of *AsteObp1* haplotypes with biological form in field populations, we

investigated the extent of polymorphism in *AsteObp1* intron-1 in Indian *An. stephensi* (field

populations as well as laboratory colonies) and validated their association with biological

forms or proposed sibling species.

Material & methods

Mosquito sampling and processing

Anopheles stephensi samples were collected from urban, peri-urban and rural localities. The

list of localities and their geographical coordinates is provided in **Table 1**. The resting

mosquitoes were collected from dwellings in the morning between 6:00 and 8:00 AM with

the help of a mouth aspirator and flash-light. The live mosquitoes were carefully transferred

in a thermocol box and transported to the insectary maintained at 27 °C and 70-75% RH.

Mosquitoes were provided access to soaked raisins and water-pads. Mosquitoes were allowed

to attain the gravid stage. Gravid mosquitoes were provisionally identified for species using a

magnifying glass and individual *An. stephensi* were transferred inside separate paper cups

containing a small amount of water and having the inner side lined with blotting paper. The

mosquitoes were kept in the cup overnight to allow them to lay their eggs. The next morning,

female mosquitoes which laid their eggs were removed, anesthetized with diethyl ether, and

confirmatory identification of *An. stephensi* was performed under a binocular microscope

following keys by Christophers [24]. The mosquitoes were preserved in individual

microcentrifuge tubes containing a few drops of isopropanol for molecular studies. The eggs

of corresponding mosquitoes laid on filter paper were removed onto a glass micro-slide and

the number of ridges present on one side of eggs' float was scored following Rao et al [13]

from 10 to 15 eggs under a stereo-binocular microscope using a 10X objective lens. Finally,

the mode number of ridges was determined for each egg batch. Mosquitoes from laboratory

colonies of *An. stephensi* originating from New Delhi (NIMR strain) and being maintained

since the year 2011, was also characterized for the number of ridges present on egg's float.

DNA was isolated from individual mosquitoes manually following methods by Black and

Duteau [25]. Besides, genomic DNA isolated from *An. stephensi* individuals from a

laboratory colony (F5) originating from Chennai (urban area) and *An. stephensi* strain STE2

(origin, Delhi, India, supplied by BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH, USA) were also used for

molecular studies. A total of 143 samples were included in this study, out of which 137

samples were sequenced successfully and 119 samples were successfully characterized for

the number of ridges on eggs, of which 27 were characterized as '*var. mysorensis*', 30 as

'intermediate' and 62 as 'type form'.

PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing

Initially, the amplification of partial *AsteObp1* was performed using primers OBP1F1 (5'-

CGT AGG TGG AAT ATA GGT GG-3') and OBP1R2 (5'-TCG GCG TAA CCA TAT TTG

C-3' [22] which covers full intron-1 and partial intron-2. PCR was performed with Hot Start

Taq 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs Inc) in a 20 µL reaction mixture containing 1.5

mM of $MgCl_2$, 0.5 units of Taq polymerase and 0.25 µM of each primer. The PCR cycling

conditions were: a denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles, each with a denaturation

step at 95 °C for 30 s; annealing at 55 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 45 s, followed

by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were cleaned using ExoSAP-IT™

(ThermoFisher Scientific) and sequenced on both strands of DNA using ABI BigDye

Terminator v3.2 (ThermoFisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol.

To phase out haplotypes in heterozygotes, the PCR products of 12 heterozygous

individuals exhibiting different patterns of mixed bases in sequence chromatograms were

selected for cloning followed by sequencing. For cloning, PCR products were amplified using

a high-fidelity Taq DNA polymerase to avoid or minimize PCR errors. Briefly, PCR

reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 µL containing 0.5 units of Phusion (New

England Biolabs) Taq DNA polymerase, Phusion HF reaction buffer (containing 1.5 mM

$MgCl_2$ and 200 mM of each dNTP), 0.5 µM of each primer and 0.5 µL of PCR product. PCR

thermal cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, 35 cycles, each of

denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s; annealing at 65 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 45 s,

followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 2 min. Five µL of PCR product was loaded onto

2% agarose gel and visualized under UV illumination. The remaining PCR products were

purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Inc) following the vendor's

protocol. The purified PCR product was 'A'-tailed using dATP in the presence of Taq

polymerase. The reaction mixture (50 μL) contained 0.25 μL of Taq polymerase (KAPA

Biosystem, USA), 5μL of 10X buffer containing 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5μL of purified PCR

product, 1μL of 10mM dATP and incubated at 70 °C for 20 min. Three μL of purified A-

tailed PCR product was then ligated into pGemT®-T vector (Promega Corporation) in a 1:3

vector to insert ratio following the recommended protocol by the manufacturer. Then 5 μL of

the ligated product was transformed into DH5-alpha competent E. coli (New England Biolab)

and 90 μL of the transformed product was spread onto Ampicillin-IPTG/X-Gal LB agar

plates and incubated overnight at 37 °C for blue/white colony screening. Plasmid DNA from

five clones from each mosquito was PCR amplified and sequenced from both directions of

the DNA strand as described above.

For subsequent sequencing reactions for the characterization of full intron-1 (short-

PCR), we designed a different primer set (OBP_INTF: 5'-CGC CGT GAT GCC GAA TA-3'

and OBP_INTR: 5'-ATT GTC GTC CAC CAC CTT G-3') from flanking exons. The PCR

conditions were similar to what we used for the PCR amplification for direct sequencing

using primers OBP1F1 and OBP1R2, except for the duration of extension time in PCR-

cycling, which was reduced to 30 s. A total of 137 samples, which includes 109 samples

characterized for egg ridges count were successfully sequenced for *AstObp1*-intron-1.

173 Haplotype phasing in heterozygotes

Due to the presence of indels at multiple loci in the intron-1, the phasing of haplotypes in

heterozygous sequences was performed using the online tool Poly Peak Parser available at

http://yosttools.genetics.utah.edu/PolyPeakParser [26]. The haplotypes identified through

sequencing of cloned samples, homozygotes and heterozygotes for single SNP were taken as

reference haplotypes. While using software signal ratio cut-off value was adjusted below the

level of the true peaks, but always above the noise level. Any new haplotype determined by

the software which was not present in reference sequences was treated as 'unidentified'

because of the uncertainty of phase.

182 Genetic distance, haplotype networking and phylogenetic analyses

All the haplotypes of intron-1 of *An. stephensi* and *An. gambiae* (GenBank accession

AY146721) were aligned using MUSCLE implemented in the application MEGA7 [27]. The

sequence of *An. stephensi* was treated as an outgroup. The genetic distances among all

haplotypes of *An. stephensi* and phylogenetic analyses were performed using the T92 model

(Tamura 3-parameter), the best model as determined by MEGA7 based on the lowest

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) scores. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 500

replicates was taken to represent evolutionary history. Haplotype network was constructed

using NETWORK 10 (https://www.fluxus-engineering.com/sharenet.htm). The pairwise

differences between and within biological forms, and F_{ST} between biological forms, based on

the *AsObp1* haplotypes were estimated using software Arlequin [28],

Retrieval of *AsteObp1* intron-1 sequences from publicly available genome

database of laboratory strains of *An. stephensi*

Sequences of the intron-1 of *AsObp1* were retrieved from publicly available genome

sequence databases of various strains of *An. stephensi,* i.e., AsteI2 strain (origin: India),

SDA500 strain (origin: Pakistan) Hor strain (origin: India) and UCISS2018 strain (probably

Indian origin), along with information on their biological forms, if available.

Results

Characterization of *AsteObp1* intron-1 haplotypes

A total of nine haplotypes (H1 to H9) were identified through sequencing of cloned PCR

products from heterozygous individuals (**Figure 1**). Direct sequencing of the short-PCR

product covering intron-1 revealed the presence of an additional six haplotypes (designated as

H10 to H15) based on either homozygous sequences or heterozygous sequences with

polymorphism at a single nucleotide base. Considering only intron-1 (the region proposed as

a marker for the discrimination of biological forms or sibling species by Gholizadeh et al.,

2015 and Firooziyan et al., 2018), there were 13 haplotypes because haplotype H1 was

identical to H7, and H4 was identical to H5 in the intron-1 region. Thus, there were 13

haplotypes with respect to intron-1. Indels were present in both introns, but intron-1 exhibited

a higher degree of length polymorphism which varied between 90 and 121 bp (90, 114, 116,

120 and 121 bp). The nucleotide sequences of all haplotypes are available at GenBank

(accession nos. ON564278-ON564292)

Distribution of haplotypes in different populations

The distribution of haplotypes in laboratory colonies and field populations is shown in **Table**

2. Haplotypes in nine heterozygous sequence reads could not be phased out due to the

presence of additional haplotypes, which require additional cloning and sequencing for

phasing. The *AsteObp1* intron-1 exhibited extensive polymorphism in field populations. A

high degree of heterozygosity, as per expectation, was observed in field populations, i.e., in

Karamcand Pur (H_O=87%, H_E=87%), Jaisingh Pur (H_O=86%, H_E=83%) and Bengaluru

(H_O=83%, H_E=79%) (**Table 2**). The laboratory colony (NIMR strain) which has been

maintained in the laboratory since 2011, had only two haplotypes (H_O=46%; H_E=45%). In all

cases, the inbreeding coefficient was close to zero (-0.05 to 0.00), suggesting random

breeding. In populations with a sample size of less than 10, we didn't calculate

heterozygosity and inbreeding coefficient, but the majority of the individuals in such

populations were heterozygous (**Table 2**).

Genetic distances, phylogenetic analyses and haplotype networking

To infer genetic relatedness among different haplotypes, haplotype clustering was performed

based on (i) pair-wise genetic distance; (ii) phylogenetic tree, and (iii) minimum spanning

haplotype network. For haplotype clustering, 13 haplotypes obtained in this study were

analyzed together with five *AstObp1* intron-1 haplotypes identified by Gholizadeh et al. [22]

and Firooziyan et al. [23] (Supplementary **Table S1**)

Pair-wise genetic distance between haplotypes, as estimated using Timura 3 Parameter

(T3P) nucleotide substitution model, has been displayed in Supplementary **Figure S1**). Based

on the genetic distance, haplotypes can be clustered into four groups: (i) the six haplotypes,

H1, H10, H2, H3, H12 and H4 were genetically closer, with genetic distances ranging from

1-4%; (ii) H6, H11 and H15 were closer, with genetic distance ranging between 1-2%; (iii)

H8 and H14 were closely related with a distance of 1%, and (iv) H9 and H13 were closely

related with 2%. The genetic distances between intergroup haplotypes ranged between 8 to

22%.

Phylogenetic clustering of 13 haplotypes showed a similar pattern as seen above. Trees

constructed using three different methods showed similar topology (Supplementary **Figure**

S2). The haplotypes were majorly grouped into four clusters as identified in genetic distance

analysis.

Haplotype network also showed similar patterns of clustering (**Figure 2**). Thirteen

haplotypes were portioned into four clusters: Cluster-1 comprising H9 and H13; cluster-2

comprising H8 and H14; cluster-3 comprising H4, H2, H3, H12, H10 and H1; and cluster-4

comprising H6, H11 and H15.

None of the above analyses could partition haplotypes designated as markers for 'type

form' (H4) and 'intermediate' (H2 and H10), which fall within the same cluster. However, the

haplotypes designated as markers for *'var. mysorensis'* (H8 and H14) from an independent

cluster.

Association of *AsteObp1* haplotype groups with biological forms of *An.*

stephensi

According to Firooziyan et al. [23], haplotypes H8 and H14 are assigned as markers for the

'*var. mysorensis'*, H2 and H10 for the 'intermediate' and H4 for the 'type form'

(Supplementary **Table S1**). Firooziyan et al. [23] considered closely related haplotypes with

indel and SNP into a similar category and therefore, haplotypes H1, H3 and H12 being

closely related (genetic distances ≤ 0.2) to haplotype H2 and H10, can also be included in the

group of markers for 'intermediate'. The other haplotypes (H9, H13, H6, H11 and H15),

which constitute 25% of the total haplotypes in field populations and 66% in a laboratory

colony, could not be classified into any of the above categories, being genetically distantly

related to any of the predefined markers (8-22%). To evaluate the association of such markers

with biological forms, we, therefore, classified haplotypes into four categories: haplogroup-M

(HG-M) for '*var. mysorensis'* comprising H8 and H14, (ii) haplogroup-I (HG-I) for

'intermediate', comprising H2, H3, H12, H10 and H1, (iii) haplotype-T (H-T) for 'type

form', i.e., H4, and (iv) other haplotypes (H9, H13, H6, H11 and H15). The frequency

distribution of different haplotype groups, categorized above, among phenotypically

determined biological forms based on the number of floats on eggs, has been shown in

Figure 3. Due to overlapping mode numbers of egg ridges defined for biological forms,

mosquitoes having mode numbers ≤ 13 were considered '*var. mysorensis'*, ≥ 16 as 'type

form' and 14-15 as 'intermediate'. There was no significant difference in the frequencies of

different haplotype groups in three biological forms among field populations **Figure 3** based

on chi-square test as well as overlapping 95% confidence interval (CI). In another analysis,

when we analysed the distribution of haplotype groups among biological forms classified

based on mode number of egg ridges ≤ 12, 14-15 and ≥ 17 (excluding overlapping mode

number of intermediate form), similar results were obtained. Interestingly, HG-T was absent

from type form and HG-M was absent from '*var. mysorensis'* in the latter analysis. No test

was performed between the field population and the laboratory colony. The average pairwise

difference between biological forms ranged between 13.58 and 15.21 and within biological

forms ranged between 13.59 and 14.19 (**Table S2**). F_{ST} values between the three biological

forms were negative (-0.007 to -0.014), which is equivalent to zero, and were not

significantly different (p=0.65), which means there is no genetic subdivision between the

populations (**Table S3**) based on *AsObp1* sequences.

Characterization of field and laboratory populations based on egg-ridges and

***AstObp1* markers**

1. Delhi-colony (Type form)

The laboratory colony of Delhi (NIMR strain) was characterized as a typical 'type form'

based on floats on egg-ridges. The mode number of ridges ranged between 16 and 20

(average: 18.29). However, the expected haplotype H4 (marker for 'type form') was absent.

Instead, two different haplotypes, H8 (marker for *var. mysorensis*) and H9 were found with

frequencies of 0.34 and 0.66, respectively. These two haplotypes are far distantly related to

the designated haplotype for 'type form' (H4) with T-3-P distances of 17 and 18%,

respectively (**Figure S1**)

2. Bengaluru population (Type form)

The mode number of ridges ranged between 16 and 18 (mean:16.55) which can be

categorized as 'type form'. The expected haplotype H4, the marker for 'type form', was not

found in this population. The Bengaluru population had haplotypes belonging to HG-I (50%),

HG-M (25%) and 'others' (25%). Haplogroup H-T was absent.

3. Village Chilawali village (*var. mysorensis*)

The mode number of ridges in the Chilwali population ranged between 11 and 14 (average:

13.18), which can be categorized as '*var. mysorensis*'. Out of a total of 18 haplotypes

identified, eight belonged to HG-I, one to HG-T and nine to 'others'. Expected haplotypes

(HG-M) were not found.

4. Villages Karamcand Pur (*var. mysorensis* and intermediate)

The mode number of ridges in the Karamcand Pur population ranged between 12 and 16

(average: 14.85) which can be categorized as a mixture of 'intermediate' and '*var.*

mysorensis' forms. The Karamcand Pur population had all the haplotypes identified in this

study (**Table 2**) except H12 and H14. 48% of haplotypes belonged to HG-I; 31% to the

'others' group; 17% to HG-M and 4% to H-T.

5. Villages Jaisingh Pur (all forms)

The mode number of ridges ranged between 11 and 16 (average 13.69). All forms were

present in the village. Majority of haplotypes were belonging to HG-I (66%), followed by

HG-M and the 'others' group (each 16%) and H-T(2%).

Association of intron-1 of *AsteObp1* with biological form in different laboratory
colonies of *An. stephensi*

The list of haplotypes of intron-1 of *AsteObp1* and their biological forms in different

laboratory strains, all originating from the Indian subcontinent, has been listed in **Table 3.**

Interestingly, all the six strains had H8 haplotype, a haplotype designated as a marker for

'*var. mysorensis',* irrespective of their biological forms determined phenotypically. Delhi

strain, which is a relatively new strain (5 years old) had additional haplotype H9, which is not

assigned to any biological form. Among all strains, only the Hor strain was characterized as

'*var. mysorensis*' morphologically. Two strains; AsteI2 [29] and NIMR strain were identified

as 'type form' and strain SDA500 [20], as 'intermediate' form. The biological form of the

remaining two strains (UCISS2018 and STE2) is unknown. Thus, *AstObp1* haplotypes failed

to identify biological forms of laboratory colonies.

Discussion

Evidence suggests that the biological forms of *An. stephensi* are ecological variants

exhibiting differences in their biological characteristics including vectorial competence [19-

20]. It is, therefore, vital to identify biological forms in a field population. Previous attempts

to identify a molecular marker for the differentiation of 'type form' and '*var. mysorensis*'

based on ribosomal DNA (ITS2 and 28S rDNA) [30-31] and mitochondrial markers [32-33]

were unsuccessful. However, Gholizadeh et al. [22] reported that each of the three biological

forms had a fixed *AsteObp1* intron-1 haplotype and proposed it as a molecular marker for

their identification. The observation, by Gholizadeh et al. [22], that fixed differences do exist

between different biological forms in intron-1 was based on the four inbred laboratory

colonies (two of which have completed over 200 generations) representing three biological

forms. Very recently, confirmation of the association of an intron haplotype with a biological

form (*var. mysorensis*) was shown by the same research group [34] in a highly inbred and old (>38 years) laboratory strain (Hor strain) originating from India. However, the proposed diagnostic markers have never been tested on field mosquitoes that have been characterized for biological forms based on egg morphology. Such exercise was desirable because the fixation of a haplotype in a laboratory colony can be due to the founder effect, which tends to reduce genetic variability and increases homozygosity over generations [35]. A similar effect was seen in the present study, where we found reduced heterozygosity in a laboratory colony (New Delhi) with the presence of just two haplotypes in contrast to field populations that were highly polymorphic. Therefore, any conclusion based on the association of a haplotype with biological form in laboratory colonies is flawed.

In another study by the same group [23], the biological forms were speculated to be sibling species and were designated as sibling species A, B and C. However, in this study, the assignment of biological form was based on the presumed association of haplotype with biological form and the association of haplotypes with biological forms identified based on egg morphology was not established. The limited data suggested the absence of heterozygotes based on sequences from five samples from Afghanistan and 13 samples from Iran. There is no mention of the presence or absence of heterozygotes in the paper. In both the studies by the Gholizadeh group [22-23], large numbers of samples (150 and 100 samples, respectively) were PCR-amplified, but only a few samples (20 and 18, respectively) were sequenced, which may be a reason why heterozygotes were not observed or may have been missed due to ambiguous sequences due to indels.

In the present study, we observed a high degree of heterozygosity in Indian field populations with low inbreeding coefficients indicating unrestricted gene flow. The observed high degree of heterozygosity (>80%) in the field population is not unusual considering the number of haplotypes present in the population. The heterozygosity is expected to increase with the increase in the number of haplotype alleles. With the presence of 13 haplotype alleles, theoretically, we expect maximum heterozygosity up to 0.9 when alleles are equally frequent ($H_{E\,Max}=1-(1/n)$; see Supplementary Appendix S1 for the derivation). A limited number of sequencing in this study revealed the presence of at least 13 haplotypes of intron-1. The number of haplotypes will keep increasing with the increase in sample size and populations because introns, being neutral, are subjected to a high rate of evolution.

In our study, we did not find an association of any *AstObp1* intron-1 haplotype with a specific biological form. Moreover, we didn't find haplotype-T (H4) in a laboratory colony

morphologically characterized as 'type form'. Similarly, haplotype group-M (H8 and H14)

was absent in a population (Chilwali, Haryana), characterized morphologically as '*var.*

mysorensis'. Inconsistency in the association of haplotype with biological form was also

noted in several laboratory strains, originating from India and Pakistan and being maintained

for long (more than 30 years). Interestingly, all such laboratory colonies had H8 haplotype

(designated for *mysorensis*) irrespective of their biological forms (**Table 3**).

In this study, we also found low inbreeding coefficients (close to zero) in both,

laboratory as well as field populations, based on the *AsteObp1* intron-1, which refutes the

presence of putative sibling species based on this marker in Indian populations. Whether

reproductive isolation does exist in this species in the Pacific region needs to be confirmed by

haplotyping field populations for *AsteObp1* markers and establishing their association with

egg morphology. The negative values of F_{ST} between biological forms (-0.007 to -0.014)

based on the *AsObp1* intron-1 sequence indicate lack of genetic subdivision. However, a

single marker is not sufficient to draw any conclusion on population structure; but the use of

this *AsObp1* marker for the identification of biological forms or putative sibling species may

lead to serious consequences.

The present study provides a cautionary note that the *AsteObp1* intron-1 is not a

suitable marker for the identification of biological forms or putative species but does not rule

out the presence of putative sibling species or genetic constraints in gene flow between the

forms. Previous genetic analyses have shown conflicting reports on gene flow between

different biological forms occupying different ecological niches. A population genetic

analysis on Indian *An. stephensi* populations using microsatellite markers indicated high

genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) and negligible gene flow between the three variants [36].

However, extensive gene flow among the three forms of *An. stephensi* (type form, *var.*

mysorensis and intermediate) have been reported in Iran based on mitochondrial genes

cytochrome oxidase 1 and *cytochrome oxidase 2* (*COI* and *COII*) [32-33]. Irrespective of

biological forms, the Saudi Arabian *An. stephensi* have been found to be different from all

other populations, viz., India, Pakistan, Djibouti, Ethiopia and derived colony strains based

on *COI* sequence [37-38]. However, the ITS2 sequence of *An. stephensi* from Saudi Arabia

[37] is no different from Indian, Sri Lankan and African populations [31, 39-40], exhibiting

mito-nuclear discordance [41]. Though ITS2 has been widely and successfully used for

discrimination of species, in some cases, for example in the case of Hyrcanus Complex,

failed to differentiate *An. pseudopictus* and *An. hyrcanus* [42]. So far, there is no evidence of

differences in ribosomal DNA [30-31]. Therefore, there is a need to extend studies until find
good marker for molecular identification of these biological forms.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the utility of *AsObp1* as a marker to identify
biological forms of *An. stephensi* but by no means is indented to study the population
structure or evolutionary aspects, which remains to be studied using multiple markers.
Therefore, there is an urgent need to perform a robust population genetic analysis using
multiple molecular markers on morphologically characterized individuals to establish genetic
diversity, population structure, and extent of gene flow between different biological forms.
Next generation sequencing, on the other hand, may not only provide genetic differentiation
among different biological forms; but can also reveal the underlying genetic mechanisms of
ecological adaptation of different biological forms.

Conclusions

AsteObp1-intron is not a suitable marker for the identification of biological forms, at least in
Indian populations. No specific haplotype was found associated with biological forms. The
presence of a high degree of heterozygosity and low inbreeding coefficients in Indian
populations refutes the probable existence of sibling species in *An. stephensi* based on
AsteObp1 marker, at least in India.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Acknowledgments

Authors are thankful to the Director, NIMR for providing facilities to conduct the work. The
technical assistance rendered by Mr. Uday Prakash, Mr. Kanwar Singh and Mr. Sadarudddin
is acknowledged. The following reagent was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH:
Genomic DNA from *Anopheles stephensi*, Strain STE2, MRA-144, contributed by Mark Q.
Benedict.

References

1. Dash AP, Adak T, Raghavendra K, Singh OP. The biology and control of malaria vectors
in India. Curr Sci. 2007; 92, 1571-1578.

2. Sharma SK, Hamzakoya KK. Geographical spread of *Anopheles stephensi* vector of
urban Malaria, and *Aedes aegypti*, vector of dengue/ DHF, in the Arabian Sea Islands of
Lakshadweep, India. Dengue Bulletin. 2001; 25: 88–91.
https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/148798

3. Gayan Dharmasiri AG, Perera AY, Harishchandra J, Herath H, Aravindan K, Jayasooriya
HTR, et al. First record of *Anopheles stephensi* in Sri Lanka: a potential challenge for
prevention of malaria reintroduction. Malar J. 2017; 16:326. doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-
1977-7.

4. Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khaireh BA. First record of the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles*
stephensi and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa.
Acta Trop. 2014; 139:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.06.016.

5. Carter TE, Yared S, Gebresilassie A, Bonnell V, Damodaran L, Lopez K, et al. First
detection of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, 1901 (Diptera: Culicidae) in Ethiopia using
molecular and morphological approaches. Acta Trop. 2018; 188:180-186. doi:
10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.09.001.

6. WHO. Vector alert: *Anopheles stephensi* invasion and spread. 26 August 2019.
https://www.who.int/news/item/26-08-2019-vector-alert-anopheles-stephensi-
invasion-and-spread (retrieved: 1 October, 2021)

7. Seyfarth M, Khaireh BA, Abdi AA, Bouh SM, Faulde MK. Five years following first
detection of *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Djibouti, Horn of Africa:
populations established-malaria emerging. Parasitol Res. 2019; 118:725-732. doi:
10.1007/s00436-019-06213-0.

8. Balkew M, Mumba P, Dengela D, Yohannes G, Getachew D, Yared S, et al.
Geographical distribution of *Anopheles stephensi* in eastern Ethiopia. Parasit Vectors.
2020; 13:35. doi: 10.1186/s13071-020-3904-y.

9. Ahmed A, Khogali R, Elnour MB, Nakao R, Salim B. Emergence of the invasive malaria
vector *Anopheles stephensi* in Khartoum State, Central Sudan. Parasit Vectors.
2021;14(1):511. doi: 10.1186/s13071-021-05026-4.

10. de Santi VP, Khaireh BA, Chiniard T, Pradines B, Taudon N, Larréché S, Mohamed AB,
de Laval F, Berger F, Gala F, Mokrane M, Benoit N, Malan L, Abdi AA, Briolant S. Role
of *Anopheles stephensi* Mosquitoes in Malaria Outbreak, Djibouti, 2019. Emerg Infect
Dis. 2021; 27:1697-1700. doi: 10.3201/eid2706.204557.

11. Sinka ME, Pironon S, Massey NC, et al. A new malaria vector in Africa: Predicting the
expansion range of *Anopheles stephensi* and identifying the urban populations at risk.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(40):24900-24908. doi:10.1073/pnas.2003976117

12. Sweet WC, Rao BA. Races of *A. stephensi* Liston,1901. Indian Medical Gazette. 1937;
72,665-674.

13. Rao BA, Sweet WC, Subbarao, A.M. Ova measurements of *A. stephensi* type and *A.*
stephensi var. mysorensis. Journal of the Malaria Institute of India. 1938; 1,261-266.

14. Subbarao SK, Vasantha K, Adak T, Sharma VP, Curtis CF. Egg-float ridge number in
Anopheles stephensi: ecological variation and genetic analysis. Med Vet Entomol. 1987;
1: 265-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.1987.tb00353.x.

15. Sweet W, Rao B, Rao A. Cross-breeding of *An. stephensi* type and *An. stephensi var.*
mysorensis. J Malar Inst India. 1938;1:149-54.

16. Rutledge LC, Ward RA, Bickley WE. Experimental hybridization of geographic strains of
Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am. 1970; 63:1024-30.

17. Manouchehri AV, Javadian E, Eshighy N, Motabar M. Ecology of *Anopheles stephensi*
Liston in southern Iran. Trop Geogr Med. 1976;28(3):228-232.

18. Oshaghi MA, Yaaghoobi F, Vatandoost H, Abaei MR. *Anopheles stephensi* biological
forms: geographical distribution and malaria transmission in malarious region of Iran. Pak
J Biol Sci. 2006a; 9: 294–6.

19. Basseri HR, Mohamadzadeh Hajipirloo H, Mohammadi Bavani M, Whitten MM.
Comparative susceptibility of different biological forms of *Anopheles stephensi* to
Plasmodium berghei ANKA strain. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e75413. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0075413.

20. Shinzawa N, Ishino T, Tachibana M, Tsuboi T, Torii M. Phenotypic dissection of a
Plasmodium-refractory strain of malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*: the reduced
susceptibility to *P. berghei* and *P. yoelii*. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e63753. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0063753.

21. Nagpal BN, Srivastava A, Kalra NL, Subbarao SK. Spiracular indices in *Anopheles*
stephensi: a taxonomic tool to identify ecological variants. J Med Entomol. 2003;40: 747-
9. doi: 10.1603/0022-2585-40.6.747.

22. Gholizadeh S, Firooziyan S, Ladonni H, Hajipirloo HM, Djadid ND, Hosseini A, Raz A.
The *Anopheles stephensi* odorant binding protein 1 (*AsteObp1*) gene: a new molecular
marker for biological forms diagnosis. Acta Trop. 2015; 146:101-13. doi:
10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.03.012.

23. Firooziyan S, Dinparast Djadid N, Gholizadeh S. Speculation on the possibility for
introducing *Anopheles stephensi* as a species complex: preliminary evidence based on
odorant binding protein 1 intron I sequence. Malar J. 2018; 17:366. doi: 10.1186/s12936-
018-2523-y

24. Christophers SR. The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Diptera. 4.
Family Culicidae, Tribe Anophelini. London: Tribe Anophelini. Taylor and Francis; 1933

25. Black WC and Duteau NM. The molecular biology of insect disease vectors: a methods
manual. In: RAPD-PCR and SSCP analysis for insect population genetic studies
(Crampton, J.M., Beard, C.B. & Louis, C., eds.). Chapman & Hall, London, 1997; 361-
373.

26. Hill JT, Demarest BL, Bisgrove BW, Su YC, Smith M, Yost HJ. Poly peak parser:
Method and software for identification of unknown indels using sanger sequencing of
polymerase chain reaction products. Dev Dyn. 2014;243:1632-6. doi:
10.1002/dvdy.24183.

27. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016; 33:1870-4. doi:
10.1093/molbev/msw054.

28. Excoffier L, Lischer HE. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol Ecol Resour.
2010;10(3):564-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x

29. Jiang X, Peery A, Hall AB, Sharma A, Chen XG, Waterhouse RM, Komissarov A, Riehle
MM, Shouche Y, Sharakhova MV, Lawson D, Pakpour N, Arensburger P, Davidson VL,
Eiglmeier K, Emrich S, George P, Kennedy RC, Mane SP, Maslen G, Oringanje C, Qi Y,
Settlage R, Tojo M, Tubio JM, Unger MF, Wang B, Vernick KD, Ribeiro JM, James AA,
Michel K, Riehle MA, Luckhart S, Sharakhov IV, Tu Z. Genome analysis of a major
urban malaria vector mosquito, *Anopheles stephensi*. Genome Biol. 2014;15(9):459. doi:
10.1186/s13059-014-0459-2.

30. Alam MT, Bora H, Das MK, Sharma YD. The type and mysorensis forms of the
Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) in India exhibit identical ribosomal DNA ITS2
and domain-3 sequences. Parasitol Res. 2008;103:75-80. doi: 10.1007/s00436-008-0930-
7.

31. Mishra S, Sharma G, Das MK, Pande V, Singh OP. Intragenomic sequence variations in
the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) ribosomal DNA of the malaria vector
Anopheles stephensi. PLoS One. 2021; 16: e0253173. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0253173.

32. Chavshin AR, Oshaghi MA, Vatandoost H, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Raeisi A, Nikpoor F.
Molecular characterization, biological forms and sporozoite rate of *Anopheles stephensi*
in southern Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2014; 4: 47-51. doi:10.1016/S2221-
1691(14)60207-0

33. Oshaghi MA, Yaaghoobi F, Abaie M. Pattern of mitochondrial DNA variation between
and within *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae) biological forms suggests extensive
gene flow. Acta Trop. 2006b; 99: 226–233

34. Khan J, Gholizadeh S, Zhang D, Wang G, Guo Y, Zheng X, Wu Z, Wu Y. Identification
of a biological form in the Anopheles stephensi laboratory colony using the odorant-
binding protein 1 intron I sequence. PLoS One. 2022 Feb 22;17(2):e0263836. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0263836.

35. Moorad JA, Wade MJ. A genetic interpretation of the variation in inbreeding depression.
Genetics. 2005;170: 1373-84. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.033373.

36. Vipin, Dube M, Gakhar SK. Genetic differentiation between three ecological variants
('type', 'mysorensis' and 'intermediate') of malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera:
Culicidae) Insect Science. 2010; 17: 335–343, doi 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01316.x

37. Munawar K, Saleh A, Afzal M, et al. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic
analysis of anopheline (Anophelinae: Culicidae) mosquitoes of the Oriental and
Afrotropical Zoogeographic zones in Saudi Arabia. Acta Trop. 2020;207:105494.
doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105494

38. WRBU. *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, 1901.
https://www.wrbu.si.edu/vectorspecies/mosquitoes/stephensi (retrieved 8 November,
2021)

39. Surendran SN, Sivabalakrishnan K, Gajapathy K, Arthiyan S, Jayadas TTP, Karvannan
K, et al. Genotype and biotype of invasive *Anopheles stephensi* in Mannar Island of Sri
Lanka. Parasit Vectors. 2018; 11:3. doi: 10.1186/s13071-017-2601-y.

40. Tadesse FG, Ashine T, Teka H, et al. *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes as vectors of
Plasmodium vivax and *falciparum*, Horn of Africa, 2019. Emerg Infect Dis.
2021;27(2):603-607. doi:10.3201/eid2702.200019

41. Singh OP, Sindhania A, Sharma G, et al. Are members of the *Anopheles fluviatilis*
complex conspecific?. Acta Trop. 2021;224:106149.
doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.106149

42. Fang Y, Shi WQ, Zhang Y. Molecular phylogeny of *Anopheles hyrcanus* group members
based on ITS2 rDNA. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10(1):417. doi:10.1186/s13071-017-2351-x

Table 1 Geographical locations of *Anopheles stephensi* collection sites and other laboratory strains used for molecular studies

Populations/strains	Geographical coordinates	Urban/rural	Bio-types
Wild populations			
1. Bengaluru Karnataka state	13°00' N, 77°63' E	Urban	Type form
2. Karamcnand Pur, Haryana	28°04' N, 77°13' E	Rural	Intermediate and 'var. mysorensis'
3. Jaisingh Pur, Haryana	28°04' N 77°14' E	Rural	Type forms, 'intermediate' and *var. mysorensis*
4. Chilawali, Haryana	28°17' N, 76°95' E	Rural	*var. mysorensis*
5. Ghummanhera South-West Delhi district	28°53' N, 76°92' E	Peri-urban	Intermediate and *var. mysorensis*
Laboratory colonies			
1. NIMR strain (Delhi)	28° 38 N', 77° 12' E	Urban	Type form
2. Chennai	13° 07 N', 80° 23' E	Urban	Type form
3. STE2 strain (Delhi)	28° 38 N', 77° 12' E	Urban	Not known

Table 2 Distribution of *AsteObp1* intron-1 haplotypes in different population

Locality	N	Bio-type	Number of haplotype-alleles (frequency)													Total	UN*	H_O	H_E	F_S
			H1	H2	H3	H4	H6	H8	H9	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14	H15					
Karamchand Pur (rural)	46	M and I	9 (0.10)	17 (0.19)	4 (0.04)	4 (0.04)	13 (0.14)	15 (0.17)	8 (0.09)	13 (0.14)	3 (0.03)	0	4 (0.04)	0	-	90	2	0.867	0.870	0.0037
Jaisingh Pur (rural)	23	M, T and T	4 (0.09)	13 (0.30)	3 (0.07)	1 (0.02)	3 (0.07)	1 (0.02)	1 (0.02)	9 (0.20)	0	0	0	6 (0.14)	3 (0.07)	44	2	0.864	0.829	-0.0424
Chilawali (rural)	11	M	0	2 (0.13)	0	1 (0.06)	4 (0.25)	0	3 (0.19)	3 (0.19)	1 (0.06)	1 (0.06)	1 (0.06)	0	-	16	6	ND	ND	ND
Ghummanhera (peri-urban)	5	M and I	0	0	0	0	0	3 (0.30)	3 (0.30)	0	0	4 (0.40)	0	0	-	10	0	ND	ND	ND
Bengaluru (urban)	18	T	0	6 (0.17)	9 (0.25)	0	1 (0.03)	9 (0.25)	0	3 (0.08)	8 (0.22)	0	0	0	-	36	0	0.833	0.790	-0.0547
New Delhi† (NIMR strain, urban)	28	T	0	0	0	0	0	19 (0.34)	37 (0.66)	0	0	0	0	0	-	56	0	0.464	0.448	-0.0355
Chennai† (urban)	6		1 (0.08)	1 (0.08)	1 (0.08)	0	7 (0.58)	0	0	0	2 (0.17)	0	0	0	-	12	0	ND	ND	ND
Total	137		14	39	17	6	28	47	52	28	14	5	5	6	3	264				

N=number of mosquitoes sequenced for *AstObp1*-intron; H_O= observed heterozygosity; H_E= expected heterozygosity; F_S = inbreeding coefficient; *UN= could not be identified due to the presence of new haplotype in heterozygous condition; ND = not done when the sample size was <10; †laboratory colony. M= *var. mysorensis*, I= intermediate and T= type form.

Table 3 *AsObp1*-intron haplotype in different laboratory strains of *An. stephensi* maintained and their biological forms

Strain (origin)	*AsObp1*-intron haplotype (assigned biological form*)	Reference (sequence accession number)	Biological form	Reference
1. AsteI2 (India)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)	KE389038†	'Type' form	Jiang et al., 2014 [29]
2. SDA500 (Pakistan)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)	KB664334†, CP032232§	'Intermediate'	Shinzawa et al., 2013 [20]
3. Hor (India)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)	MW013512-MW013520§ MZ420719-MZ420722§	*'var. mysorensis'*	Khan et al., 2022 [34]
4. NIMR (Delhi, India)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)+H9**	This study	'Type' form	This study
5. STE2 (Delhi, India)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)	This study		
6. UCISS2018 (India)	H8 (*var. mysorensis*)	CM023248†		

† retrieved from VectorBase; § retrieved from GenBank; *following Gholizadeh et al. and Firooziyan et al.; **haplotype not assigned to any biological form

Ligends to Figures

Figure 1 Sequence alignment of partial *AsteObp1* haplotypes covering intron-1, identified in this study. Dots (.) represent nucleotide sequence identical to first row of sequence and dashes ('-') represent gap due to indel (highlighted with gey colour).

Figure 2 Haplotype network based on the *AsteObp1* intron 1 sequences of *An. stephensi*. Median-joining network was constructed using NETWORK 10.2. Nodes represent haplotypes (assigned as markers for biological form, other haplotypes and undetected haplotypes). Numbers shown on the branches (except one step mutations) represent the numbers of mutations separating each haplotype.

Figure 3 Distribution of haplotype groups in morphologically identified biological forms in field populations and a laboratory strain. Error bars represent 95% confidential interval (CI).

```
      CAGTCGTTTG TGTCGGGTTG ATGTGTTGCA TGATGGCGTT GGCTCAGAGT ACACCTCGCC GTGATGCCGA ATATCCACCG CCGGAGTTGC TGGAGGCGAT GAAACCGCTG CACGATATTT GCGTTGGCAA AACTGGTGTT
H1
H7    ..G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H2    .......... .......... .......... ......A... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .........A
H3    ..G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .........A
H4    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H5    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H6    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H8    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H9    ..G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........

                                                                   ————INTRON-1————
      ACTGAGGGTG AGCTTGGGTG TCTTCTGGAT ATTGTTCTAA TGTGTTTTCT GTCTATAAGT TTTTGACACA AATCTGGACT CTGCATCCTA AAGATAATTT CCTGTCATGC AATGTCATCA CTTTCCAGAA GCGATCAAAA
H1
H7    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H2    .......... .T........ .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..————.... .......... .......... ..........
H3    .......... .T........ .......... ...A...... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H4    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ....AA.... .......... .......... .......... .G........ .......... .......... ..........
H5    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ....AA.... .......... .......... .......... .G........ .......... .......... ..........
H6    .......... .......... ....CC.A.. .T........ .......... .......G... .......... .......... ...A...... .......... .C..A..... .......... ...G...... .......T..
H8    .......... .......... ....C..... .......... ..TA C...CTT.C .......... .......G.. .......... ...A.T.... ..A..GT... .T...C.... .......... ..........
H9    .......... ....A..... .......... ...A...... ..TA C..CCCTT. .......... .......G.. .......... .......TC. ......TT.C. AA........ .......... ..........
H13   ...  .—————————————————————— ..TA C...C.CTT.C .......G... .......... .......TC ......TT.C. AA........ .......... ..........
H10   ...  .T........ .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H11   ...  .......... ....CC.A.. .T........ .......... .......G... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... ..C..A..... .......... ...G...... ..........
H12   ...  .T........ .......... .......... .......T.. .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..————.... .......... .......... ..........
H14   ...  .......... ....C..... .......... ..TA C...CTT.C .......G... .......... ...A.T.... ..A..G...C .T...C.... .......... ..........
H15   ...  .......... ....CC.A.. .T........ ..TA C...CTT.C .......G... .......... .......A...C. .......C. .A........ ...G...... ..........

      AGTTCAGCGA CGAAGAGATC CACGAGGACG AAAAGCTCAA GTGCTACATG AACTGTCTGT TCCACGAGGC CAAGGTGGTG GACGACAATG GTGATGTGCA TCTGGAAAAG CTGCACGATG CGCTCCCCAA CTCGATGCAC
H1
H7    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......T... ..........
H2    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H3    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H4    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H5    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H6    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H8    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........
H9    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..........

                                                                                                     ————INTRON-2————
      GACATTGCGC TGCACATGGG CAAACGATGC CTCTATCCGG AGGGTGAAAA TCTTTGCGAC AAAGCCTTCT GGCTCCACAA ATGCTGGAAG CAGTCTGACC CGAAGGTAAG ATTCTCTCCA CCACTTCCAC GAGCAGAAGA
H1
H7    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... .......... .......... T......... .......... .......C..
H2    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... .......... .......... .......... ...T...... ..........
H3    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... .......... .......... .T........ ...T...... ..........
H4    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..T....... ...T...... ..........
H5    ...T...... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... .......... .......... .......... ...T...... ..........
H6    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .T........ ...T...... ..........
H8    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..A..C....
H9    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .T........ ....C..... ..........

                                                   ————INTRON-2————
      ACACAGTGAC CTTTCTTTAC GTACGCTCTT TCCTGGTTGA GCATTATTTT ATTCGAATAC CCTAAAGAGA ACCACCACAC AGGAGTAGCA AAAAAC                     GATAT TCAAAACGCT GCGATTCGTC GAAACCGGAA
H1
H7    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ...G...... .......T   .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H2    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... T..G...... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H3    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... T.G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H4    ...T...... ......C... ..AA...... .......... .......... .G........ .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H5    .......... .....C..A. ..AA...... .......... .......... T.G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H6    ..T....... ......C... ..AA...... .......... .......... .G........ .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H8    ......G... .......... .......... .......... .......... T.G....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .C........ ..........
H9    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... T..G...... .......... .......... .AAAG GCCAC..... .......... .C........ ..........

                                            ————INTRON-2————
      ATCGGAATAA TTTCTTCTCG GTGGCAAGTT TTTAATTGAA AAAGTTTCAC TTTTAATTGC TTCCGGCTAG TGTGACGCCA TCGTGCGGCA A  [791]
H1
H7    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H2    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... ..A....... .......... .......... .
H3    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H4    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H5    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H6    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H8    .......... .......... ..A....... .......... ..A....... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
H9    .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .......... .
```