Converting antimicrobial into targeting peptides reveals key features governing protein import into mitochondria and chloroplasts

Oliver D Caspari[1]*[†], Clotilde Garrido[1], Chris O Law[2], Yves Choquet[1], Francis-André Wollman[1], Ingrid Lafontaine[1]*

[1]UMR7141 (CNRS/Sorbonne Université), Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, 13 Rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France

[2]Centre for Microscopy and Cellular Imaging, Biology Dept. Loyola Campus of Concordia University, 7141 Sherbrooke W., Montréal H4B 1R6, QC, Canada

*corresponding authors:

ODC: **odc20@cantab.net**

IL: **ingrid.lafontaine@ibpc.fr**

current addresses:

[†]Department of Microbiology, Institut Pasteur, 28 Rue Docteur Roux, 75015 Paris, France

[¶]UMR7238 (CNRS/Sorbonne Université), Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine, Sorbonne Jussieu 7-9 quai Saint Bernard, 75005 Paris, France

Summary

We asked what peptide features govern targeting to the mitochondria versus the chloroplast using antimicrobial peptides as a starting point. This approach was inspired by the endosymbiotic hypothesis that organelle-targeting peptides derive from antimicrobial amphipathic peptides delivered by the host cell, to which organelle progenitors became resistant. To explore the molecular changes required to convert antimicrobial into targeting peptides, we expressed a set of 13 antimicrobial peptides in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Peptides were systematically modified to test distinctive features of mitochondrial and chloroplast targeting peptides, and we assessed their targeting potential by following the intracellular localization and maturation of a Venus fluorescent reporter used as cargo protein. Mitochondrial targeting can be achieved by some unmodified antimicrobial peptide sequences. Targeting to both organelles is improved by replacing Lysines with Arginines. Chloroplast targeting is enabled by the presence of flanking unstructured sequences, additional constraints consistent with chloroplast endosymbiosis having occurred in a cell that already contained mitochondria. If indeed targeting peptides evolved from antimicrobial peptides, required modifications imply a temporal evolutionary scenario with an early exchange of cationic residues, and a late acquisition of chloroplast specific motifs.

Keywords:

1. Antimicrobial peptide
2. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*
3. Chloroplast
4. Endosymbiotic organellogenesis
5. Mitochondria
6. Presequence
7. Targeting peptide
8. Transit peptide

Introduction

Mitochondria and chloroplasts arose through endosymbiosis and retain their own genomes, yet the vast majority of organellar proteins is encoded in the nucleus, translated in the cytoplasm and imported into the organelles (Wiedemann and Pfanner, 2017; Chotewutmontri et al., 2017). N-terminal targeting peptides (TPs) that are cleaved off upon import provide the information on targeting, although their primary structures are very diverse (Bruce, 2001). Yet chloroplast transit peptides (cTP) and mitochondrial presequences (mTP) have very similar physico-chemical properties making reliable differentiation often challenging. A multitude of studies has found sequence elements contributing to specificity determination, and prediction programs have been improving (Tardif et al., 2012; Armenteros et al., 2019), yet a mechanistic understanding of how targeting information is encoded has remained elusive.

Here, we use antimicrobial peptides (AMP) as an original chassis, to test the contribution of key TP features towards targeting efficiency and specificity. Part of the innate immune system, AMPs are produced by virtually all types of cells in a bid to kill or control microbial adversaries (Joo et al., 2016; Lazzaro et al., 2020). AMPs have recently been proposed to be at the evolutionary origin of TPs (Wollman, 2016; Caspari and Lafontaine, 2021). The proposed evolutionary scenario posits that early during endosymbiotic organellogenesis of first the mitochondrion and later the chloroplast, the host cell used AMPs to attack the bacterial proto-endosymbiont. A bacterial resistance mechanism, whereby the AMP is imported into the bacterial cytoplasm, would have generated a pathway for host proteins to reach the bacterial cytosol – a plausible first step in the evolution of a protein import machinery. Cationic, Helical-Amphipathic Ribosomally-produced AMPs (HA-RAMPs) and TPs share key physico-chemical properties and they were shown, in some instances, to retain cross-functionality (Garrido et al., 2020): several TPs showed antimicrobial activity and selected HA-RAMPs, fused to a cleavage-site containing TP element, were shown to promote the import of a Venus fluorescent protein into either the mitochondria or the chloroplast of the model green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.

The main part of mTPs and the central element of cTPs most closely resemble HA-RAMPs on account of a shared cationic, amphipathic helical structure that often encompasses the entire length of HA-RAMPs (Caspari and Lafontaine, 2021) and mTPs (von Heijne, 1986; von Heijne et al., 1989). Although plant cTPs have been described as unstructured (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991), algal cTPs more closely

resemble mTPs in being helical (Franzén et al., 1990). Helices were observed by NMR in membrane-mimetic environments in both algal and plant cTPs (Lancelin et al., 1996; Bruce, 1998; Krimm et al., 1999; Wienk et al., 2000; Bruce, 2001), and signatures of amphipathic helices can be detected in a majority of cTPs (Garrido et al., 2020).

In addition to the helices, both mTPs and cTPs contain recognition sites at the C-terminus where processing peptidases in the mitochondrial matrix (MPP) and the chloroplast stroma (SPP) cleave off the cargo protein (Teixeira and Glaser, 2013). These recognition sites encompass some 10 residues upstream of the cleavage site and are structurally distinct from the rest of the TPs, even showing a weak sequence conservation (von Heijne et al., 1989; Tardif et al., 2012; Köhler et al., 2015). While targeting information is usually contained within mTP sequences upstream of the cleavage site, targeting by cTPs shorter than ca. 60 amino acids often requires downstream unstructured sequence stretches in the N-terminal domain of the mature protein (Bionda et al., 2010; Caspari, 2022). Besides the amphipathic helical module and the C-terminal cleavage module shared between mTPs and cTPs, it has been argued that distinct features at their N-termini conferred organelle specificity to each set of targeting peptides (von Heijne et al., 1989; Ivey and Bruce, 2000; Ivey et al., 2000; Bhushan et al., 2006; Chotewutmontri et al., 2012; Chotewutmontri and Bruce, 2015; Köhler et al., 2015; Chotewutmontri et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2019).

In this study, we systematically introduced modifications into a diversity of HA-RAMPs in a bid to generate targeting to the mitochondria or chloroplast in Chlamydomonas. This dataset provides new insights into how different TP elements contribute to differential targeting and to the efficiency of protein import. Being similar in physico-chemical properties to TPs (Garrido et al., 2020), HA-RAMPs provide a privileged vantage point from which to study sequence elements that govern targeting. In our choice of HA-RAMPs, we aimed to reflect the diversity of available sequences by choosing representatives of different HA-RAMP families based on similarity with TPs. We show that some of our 13 HA-RAMPs natively contain TP-like sequence elements, with some HA-RAMPs being prone to chloroplast targeting while others show a preference for the mitochondria. Furthermore, we provide evidence for a critical functional difference in cationic residues with Lysine (K) being used in HA-RAMPs and Arginine (R) in TPs.

Results

HA-RAMPs display varying degrees of similarity to TPs

Figure 1 shows the major sequence features of the 13 HA-RAMPs used in the present study (Fig. 1a) together with those of a typical cTP and a typical mTP (Fig. 1b). On the right-hand side, these peptides are represented according to their proportion of α-helical amphipathic structure. The HA-RAMPs Brevinin-2ISb (B2I), Magainin 2 (MII), Ranatuerin-2G (R2G), Dermaseptin S4 (DS4), Dermadistinctin-M (DDM), Brevinin-1E (B1E), Cecropin-P3 (CP3), Sarcotoxin-1D (S1D), Esculentin-1SEA (E1S), Leucocin A (LCA), SI Moricin (SIM), Bacillocin 1580 (B15), and Enterocin HF (EHF) were chosen such that different AMP families would be represented with varying proximity to TPs (Table S1) and thus a range of physico-chemical properties be explored (Fig. S1). As negative controls, two peptides that lack predicted amphipathic helices were chosen from among randomly generated sequences (Fig. 1c).

To explore targeting, the 13 HA-RAMPs were systematically modified (Fig. 2), notably by adding TP elements from the N- and/or C-terminal domains of Chlamydomonas Rubisco activase (RCA1) cTP, or a C-terminal domain of similar length from mitochondrial γ-carbonic anhydrase 2 (CAG2) mTP (Fig. 1b, Fig. 2). In a bid to keep peptidase recognition sites intact, C-terminal elements were designed to start 10 residues upstream of the cleavage site, even though this slightly truncates TP helices. Peptides carrying the 15 amino-acid long cTP N-terminus (cTP-N) will be denoted ^{c}P. Similarly, peptides with C-terminal elements from RCA1-cTP (cTP-C) or CAG2-mTP (mTP-C) will be denoted P^c or P^m respectively. Peptide variants are used to drive the subcellular localization of a Venus fluorescent reporter protein, which was assessed using fluorescence microscopy; in Fig. 2 an executive overview of observed Venus localization is presented for all constructs. We previously validated fluorescence localization biochemically in a small number of strains by showing that the Venus-FLAG reporter is retained within isolated mitochondria or chloroplasts (Garrido et al., 2020). Here, we use automated image segmentation to validate our subcellular localization assessment (Fig. S2, Supplementary text). Localization was obtained using stable Chlamydomonas expression lines, generated by introducing DNA sequences encoding peptides upstream of the Venus coding sequence in a bicistronic expression vector (Caspari, 2020) using Gibson assembly, with transformation cassettes integrated into the Chlamydomonas nuclear genome at random sites via electroporation.

150 Micrographs for three biological replicates (i.e., independent insertion lines) per

151 construct are displayed in Fig. S4-S21. The reader will be asked to return to Fig. 2

152 throughout, with Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 providing selected micrographs as examples

153 highlighting particular points of interest.

Some unmodified HA-RAMPs generate mitochondrial targeting

In the absence of any modifications, B2I and MII were capable of organelle-targeting

visible in fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2 row A, Fig. S3-S15). When equipped with

B2I, the fluorescent reporter Venus shows mitochondrial localization (Fig. 3A, Fig.

S3): the Venus signal is observed as a characteristic network pattern that co-localizes

with MitoTracker fluorescence (cf. Fig. S2A, S4). By contrast, in the case of MII only

a fraction of the fluorescence signal in the Venus channel shows co-localization with

the MitoTracker dye, signifying that targeting is only partial (Fig. 3A, Fig. S5). Note

that in these epifluorescence images, some autofluorescence emanating from the

chloroplast is present in the Venus channel (Fig. 3B). In all images, display brightness

was adjusted such that Venus localization would be clearly visible, and where possible

this included removing autofluorescence. Brightness settings were chosen as indicated

next to each image for full transparency. In cases where low Venus accumulation

necessitated brightness values low enough for autofluorescence to be visible in the

Venus channel, a black dotted line is visible in the brightness display, indicating that

some autofluorescence signal co-localizing with chlorophyll should be expected in the

Venus channel independent of the genuine Venus localization.

K/R content contributes to functional divergence between HA-RAMP and TP

Extant HA-RAMPs and organellar TPs display very few differences in their amino-acid

content (Supplementary text, Fig. S20). As expected, both are poor in acidic residues

(D, E) but enriched in basic residues (K or R). However, their complement in basic

residues is markedly different (Fig. 3C): HA-RAMPs are rich in Lysines (K) whereas

TPs are rich in Arginines (R).

In order to see whether these contrasting differences in K/R ratio contributed to the

functional divergence between HA-RAMPs and TPs, we substituted all instances of K

by R in HA-RAMPs and of R by K in TPs. In the rest of the text, the basic amino acid

mostly present in a given peptide P are indicated by a subscript as P_R or P_K. HA-RAMPs

with K➔R transition showed reduced antimicrobial activity, as illustrated on Fig. 3D

by the increased minimum inhibitory concentrations for MII, DS4 or B1E.

We used Chlamydomonas Rubisco activase (RCA1) cTP ($^cCH_R^c$) and mitochondrial γ-
carbonic anhydrase 2 (CAG2) mTP (MH_R^m) as positive controls for chloroplast and
mitochondrial targeting respectively (Fig. 3E, Fig. S18-S19). Note that TP helical
fragments, stripped of their N- and C-terminal domains, are denoted as MH for mTP
and CH for cTP (as detailed in Fig. 1B). When equipped with RCA1-cTP ($^cCH_R^c$),
Venus shows two chloroplast localization features: a diffuse signal that colocalizes with
chlorophyll fluorescence, and a bright spot where there is a drop in chlorophyll
fluorescence. Both of these features are genuine markers of chloroplast localization: in
Chlamydomonas, the single cup-shaped chloroplast has a reliable morphology, and the
dip in chlorophyll fluorescence at the base of the chloroplast is a well-established
marker of the pyrenoid, a proteinaceous chloroplast sub-compartment that contains a
large majority of Rubisco (Fig. S2B) (Mackinder et al., 2016; Caspari et al., 2017;
Mackinder et al., 2017; Caspari, 2022). While small proteins like Venus can enter the
pyrenoid, most thylakoid membranes are excluded, leading to the observed decrease in
chlorophyll autofluorescence in this spot. Venus channel signal emanating from the
pyrenoid is thus a useful visual guide to true chloroplast localization of the fluorescent
reporter (Caspari, 2022). Note that due to cTP-C containing a Rubisco-binding motif
(Meyer et al., 2020), Venus accumulation in the Rubisco microcompartment, the
pyrenoid, is particularly pronounced in constructs carrying this element. When natively
R-rich RCA1-cTP and CAG2-mTP sequences were subjected to systematic R$\rightarrow$K
substitutions ($^cCH_K^c$, MH_K^m), their ability to target was reduced or abolished (Fig. 3E,
Fig. S18-S19). These experiments demonstrate the respective functional contributions
of K and R residues to antimicrobial and organelle targeting activity.

We then systematically re-examined the organelle targeting ability of the set of 13 HA-
RAMP that had undergone K$\rightarrow$R substitutions (Fig. 2 row B, Fig. S3-S15). MII$_R$ now
shows much improved mitochondrial targeting (Fig. 3F), with a large majority of Venus
colocalizing with MitoTracker (note that the MitoTracker occasionally appears to stain
the cell envelope in addition to the mitochondria, thus not all the MitoTracker signal
colocalizes with Venus; cf. Fig. S2A). R2G$_R$ as well as CP3$_R$ show a gain of partial
targeting, as evidenced by significant overlap of Venus and MitoTracker fluorescence
(Fig. 3F). Thus, an exchange of K into R increases targeting by HA-RAMP constructs.
This effect is not exclusive to mitochondrial targeting (Fig. 3G): Across constructs (i.e.
including those containing additional modifications), the K$\rightarrow$R switch enabled or
improved mitochondrial targeting in 8 cases (Fig. 2, rows = capital letters, columns =

lower case letters; gain: ABcg, CDgi, GHf; improve: ABb, CDc, GHc) and chloroplast

targeting in 15 cases, including 3 cases of dual targeting (Fig. 2, gain: CDm, EFg,

GHgilm, KLg; improve: EFm, GHk, KLafj; dual: IJab, KLc). Lost or decreased

targeting was observed in only three cases (Fig. 2, loss: IJgj, decrease: EFh). See Fig.

S24 for illustrative examples.

TP C-termini matter for targeting

Addition of mTP-C, the cleavage-site containing C-terminal element of CAG2-mTP

enabled partial mitochondrial targeting in two constructs (R2G$_K$ and E1S$_R$) and

improved mitochondrial targeting in a further two constructs (R2G$_R$ and CP3$_R$), in

addition to continued targeting by B2I and MII (Fig. 2 rows CD). As an indicative

example, gain of targeting in R2G$_K$ is shown in Fig. 4A. The impact of adding cTP-C,

the C-terminal element derived from RCA1-cTP, is even more important: cTP-C

significantly enabled chloroplast targeting, which could be seen in nine HA-RAMP

constructs involving CP3$_R$, S1D, LCA, B15 and EHF (Fig. 2 rows E,F). Note that the

addition of cTP-C was also compatible with mitochondrial localization by B2I and MII

(Fig. 2 rows E,F). Gain of partial chloroplast targeting in LCA$_K$ and full targeting in

EHF$_R$ are shown as examples in Fig. 4A. The addition of mTP-C also enabled

chloroplast localization by EHF$_R$ (Fig. 4A, Fig. 2 row D). Low Venus accumulation in

EHF$_R$ and EHF$_R^m$ means brightness needed to be set low enough that autofluorescence

accounts for at least some of the signal that is colocalized with the chlorophyll channel

(Fig. 4A). EHF$_R$ shows Venus signal in the cytoplasm, but not within the pyrenoid,

which was interpreted as an absence of targeting. By contrast, Venus signal emanating

from within the pyrenoid in EHF$_R^m$ provides unambiguous evidence for chloroplast

localization.

In TP controls, deletion of mTP-C reduces but does not totally abolish mitochondrial

targeting of CAG2-mTP (MH$_R$), while replacing mTP-C with cTP-C (MH$_R^c$) partially

retargets the construct to the chloroplast (Fig. 4B; Fig. 2 column q, rows BDF). By

contrast, deletion of cTP-C abolishes chloroplast targeting by RCA1-cTP (^{c}CH$_R$), as

does replacing cTP-C with mTP-C (^{c}CH$_R^m$) (Fig. 4B; Fig. 2 column p, rows HIL).

cTP N-termini matter for chloroplast targeting

The sole addition of cTP-N generated at least partial chloroplast targeting in 6 HA-

RAMP constructs, notably by SIM (Fig. 4C), but also CP3$_R$, E1S$_R$, B15$_R$ and EHF$_R$

(Fig. 2 rows G,H). However, cTP-N also enabled mitochondrial targeting in three HA-

RAMPs that had not previously shown mitochondrial localization, B1E (Fig. 4C),

DDM_R and S1D (Fig. 2 rows G,H).

The importance of cTP-N as a chloroplast determinant becomes more evident when

combined with a C-terminal element, as shown in Fig. 4D using the example of $^cB2I_R$:

while $^cB2I_R$ (partially) targets the mitochondria, addition of mTP-C ($^cB2I_R{}^m$) results in

dual targeting to the chloroplast in addition to the mitochondria, and addition of cTP-C

($^cB2I_R{}^c$) results in targeting only to the chloroplast. Across HA-RAMP constructs,

combining cTP-N with mTP-C (Fig. 2 rows I,J) resulted in 6 cases of dual targeting

($B2I_R$, MII_R, B1E, S1D) and 10 cases of chloroplast targeting ($CP3_K$, E1S, LCA_K, SIM,

B15, EHF). Combining cTP-N with cTP-C (Fig. 2 rows KL) generated at least partial

chloroplast targeting in 22 out of 26 HA-RAMP constructs (including 1 instance of dual

targeting by $R2G_R$); only DS4 fails to show any chloroplast targeting.

Finally, Fig. 4E (also Fig. 2 column q, rows JL) shows that while addition of cTP-N to

the CAG2-mTP abolished mitochondrial targeting ($^cMH_R{}^m$), the cTP-N/cTP-C

combination ($^cMH_R{}^c$) retargets to the chloroplast (see Fig. 4B for controls without cTP-

N). Replacing the native amphipathic helix of RCA1-cTP between cTP-N and cTP-C

with a poly-Alanine peptide of equal length ($^cAA^c$), or fusing cTP-N and cTP-C directly

with no intervening peptide (^{c}-^{c}) both lead to partial chloroplast targeting (Fig. 4E, Fig

2 rows KL, columns rs). The two latter experiments demonstrate that there are enough

determinants for recognition of the chloroplast translocon dispersed between the Nter

and Cter of a cTP, to target Venus to the chloroplast, albeit with a lower efficiency than

when an amphipathic helix is present in between. That the nature of the intervening

peptide matters can be further seen in constructs that fail to target the chloroplast in the

presence of cTP-N and cTP-C, such as RP2 (Fig. 4E), but also RP1, $CP3_K$, DS4 and

$R2G_K$ (Fig. 2 rows KL).

HA-RAMPs dominate targeting specificity

Considering Fig. 2 by columns reveals that organelle specificity is, to a large extent,

determined by HA-RAMPs. Only 3 HA-RAMPs (B2I, R2G, MII; referred to hereafter

as the mt-set) account for >70% of all constructs where mitochondrial targeting is seen.

Similarly, 5 HA-RAMPs (E1S, LCA, SIM, B15, EHF; referred to as the cp-set) account

for the majority (~57%) of all chloroplast targeting, and for two thirds when excluding

the cTP-N/cTP-C combination that generates chloroplast targeting across most HA-

RAMPs. In some instances, a set of peptide modifications may switch targeting from

the mitochondria to the chloroplast, yet the major effect of modifications, i.e.
exchanging K➔R (Fig. 3, Fig. S24) or adding TP elements (Fig. 4), is to enhance the
targeting ability to an organelle determined by the HA-RAMP primary sequence
properties (Fig. 2).

Probing cleavage of HA-RAMP-driven reporter constructs by immunoblotting

To characterize the maturation of HA-RAMP–targeted proteins upon organellar import,
we performed immunoblotting experiments using whole-cell extracts probed with a
FLAG antibody targeting the Venus-FLAG reporter (Fig. 5). Indicative examples were
selected for clarity; a more comprehensive overview is provided in Fig. S28.
In the absence of a dedicated cleavage site (Fig. 5A), we found some processing did
occur but preproteins are also maintained, as evidenced by two bands being present in
a given lane. The upper band corresponds to unprocessed Venus, which is a fusion of
the reporter with the HA-RAMP construct and migrates at varying positions depending
on the length of the presequence. The lower band corresponds to the processed form
migrating closer to the "free" Venus position (lane a) depending on the exact site of
cleavage. In HA-RAMP constructs, the majority of Venus remained in the unprocessed
top band for constructs targeting the mitochondria (lanes d-h). Nonetheless, the
presence of a faint processed form as lower bands just above free Venus shows that
some processing occurs. Such processing is suggestive of import but not conclusive,
since proteolysis can occur unlinked to import. The proportion of processed versus
unprocessed Venus preprotein is much higher in partially chloroplast-targeted $^{c}SIM_{K}$
(lane d), hinting at more efficient degradation of the unprocessed form in the
chloroplast.
Addition of a cleavage site improves processing across organelles. In the presence of
cTP-C (Fig. 5B), which contains the RCA1-cTP cleavage site, HA-RAMP constructs
that show evidence of organellar targeting appear to be processed at a site
corresponding to the cTP control (lane h), independently of whether the mitochondria
or the chloroplast are targeted (lanes c-g). Constructs that do not target (lanes a,b; see
also Fig. S28C lanes a,e,i) also appear to be processed, but at a site different to the one
used in organelles, suggesting cTP-C may be recognized by cytoplasmic peptidases.
Fig. 5C shows constructs equipped with mTP-C, which contains the CAG2-mTP
cleavage site. Here, the mTP control (lane a) shows two bands, consistent with partial
targeting observed by microscopy (cf. Fig. 3E, Fig. 4B). The top band thus likely

corresponds to the preprotein and the bottom band to the mature form within the mitochondria. HA-RAMP constructs equipped with mTP-C that target either mitochondria or the chloroplast (lanes b-g) show lower bands that migrate at or near the cleaved mTP control. These results indicate that mTP and cTP cleavage sites are recognized in both organelles.

A switch from K to R (Fig. 5D), which improved targeting, also increased the amount of processed form relative to that of the unprocessed form in all cases, whether due to a gain of targeting (lanes f-i) or an increase in efficiency (lanes b-e), suggesting that cleavage can serve as a proxy for targeting. Indeed, constructs that show targeting, as judged from microscopy, also show evidence of cleavage in immunoblots: second bands are present for constructs lacking cleavage sites (Fig. S28A,D), while cleavage site-containing constructs migrate at the size expected for processed cTP-C (Fig. S28C,F) or mTP-C (Fig. S28B,E). We note that faint processed bands can be seen for several additional constructs (Fig. S28), notably among unmodified, HA-RAMP (Fig. S28A) suggesting partial targeting may occur in these cases, backed up by high image quantification values e.g. for $CP3_K$ and $R2G_K$ (Fig. S2EF, S5A, S9A). Such very partial targeting is however below the detection limit of our targeting assessment based on fluorescence microscopy.

Chloroplast targeting involves longer, less helical peptides

To understand what differentiates mt-set from cp-set HA-RAMPs, we examined the sequence characteristics of our 13 HA-RAMPs with those of well-characterized Chlamydomonas targeting peptides (Fig. 6). In Chlamydomonas, cTPs are on average 49 residues in length (Fig. 6A), and significantly longer than mTPs (t-test, p=0.0017) that are on average 37 residues long. The difference is even greater than shown here given that many cTPs require a contribution from post-cleavage site residues for successful targeting (Bionda et al., 2010; Caspari, 2022). Consistently, cp-set HA-RAMPs (in green, Fig. 6A) are longer than mt-set HA-RAMPs (in orange; p=0.0184) and require further elongation by addition of TP elements before targeting can be observed (Fig. 2).

Most mTPs fold into an amphipathic helix for ~80% of the sequence on average, Fig. 6B) starting directly from their N-terminus (Fig. 6C). The fraction dedicated to amphipathic helix formation in cTPs is significantly lower (Fig. 6B, p<0.0001), with a longer stretch of non-helical sequence at the N-terminus (Fig. 6B, p<0.0001). In line

with this contrast, 4 out of 5 of the cp-set HA-RAMPs have longer sequence stretches upstream of the main helix than the mt-set HA-RAMPs (Fig. 1, Fig. 6C). (Franzén et al., 1990) (Supplementary text, Fig. S22, Fig. S23). Also note that cTPs are predicted to be more prone to protein interaction than mTPs (Supplementary text, Fig. S26, Fig. S27).

Discussion

How to make TPs from HA-RAMPs

To gain insight into peptide features that govern targeting, we used a related but separate class of peptides, HA-RAMPs, as chassis and stacked modifications to generate targeting into mitochondria or chloroplasts, or in some cases into both (Fig. 7A).

Arginines target better than Lysines

We showed that a K-to-R-switch increases targeting efficacy to both organelles across many constructs. R is more common than K in cTPs across green algae, vascular plants, red algae, glaucophytes and many secondary plastids (Patron and Waller, 2007), but the functional significance for targeting efficacy had not previously been recognized. Our observation is in line with a previous report that a R-to-K switch at the N-ter of an Arabidopsis mTP abolished targeting (Lee at al., 2019). The underlying mechanism warrants further research. Contributing factors may be differences in bulkiness and pKa (Li et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017), or in trans-acting factors that regulate targeting. For example, R features in consensus sequences of the cleavage sites (Tardif et al., 2012; Calvo et al., 2017); recognition of R by processing peptidases may thus potentially account for increased chloroplast-localization among cTP-N bearing constructs (Fig. 2 rows GH). Since K is used for ubiquination (Mattiroli and Sixma, 2014), a preference for R over K in TPs may help to protect preproteins from degradation while they transit in the cytosol (Fig. 7A). We note that the 20S proteasome is already present in archaea, with effectors specifically targeting K, like in the eukaryotic cytosol (Maupin-Furlow et al., 2006; Maupin-Furlow, 2013) which means that K residues were likely targets for protein degradation when primary endosymbiosis led to formation of the proto-mitochondrion.

 Unstructured sequences contribute to chloroplast determination

Differences between cTP and mTP N-termini have previously been recognized to

contribute to differential targeting (Bhushan et al., 2006), but the sequence features

underlying this differentiation have been a matter of debate. In vascular plants, the

presence of a N-terminal multi-Arginine motif was shown to prevent chloroplast

import, leading to a proposal that this feature was solely responsible for differential

targeting (Lee et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020). A different research effort has focused on

the presence of Hsp70 binding sites within cTP N-termini as crucial for enabling import

(Chotewutmontri et al., 2012; Chotewutmontri and Bruce, 2015; Chotewutmontri et al.,

2017). Here, we find that multiple Arginines are not uncommon in Chlamydomonas

cTP N-termini (Fig. S23) and that Hsp70 binding sites are equally present in mTP N-

termini (Fig. S26). We found that HA-RAMPs with intrinsically unstructured N-termini

proved able to support chloroplast targeting, even in the absence of a cTP-N addition.

This finding makes it extremely unlikely that differential import relies on specific

peptide-receptor interactions mediated by co-evolved sequence motifs. Instead, we

argue that the feature that differentiates cTPs from mTPs is the presence of an

unstructured sequence upstream of the amphipathic helix in cTP N-termini. This view

re-interprets the tripartite structure of cTPs (von Heijne et al., 1989), which is conserved

all the way from glaucocystophyte algae to vascular plants (Köhler et al., 2015), by

describing cTPs as composed of a central amphipathic helix flanked by N- and C-

terminal unstructured sequence elements. This central helix has a weaker signal than

the helix in mTPs (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991) and may only form upon

membrane contact (Bruce, 2000; Garrido et al., 2020).

We also found that chloroplast targeting was further improved by unstructured C-

termini. That TP C-termini are important to enable import was previously noted, with

cTP and mTP C-termini thought to be functionally interchangeable (Lee et al., 2019).

Here, we find that the nature of the C-terminus does matter: the more unstructured cTP-

C enabled chloroplast targeting more often and more effectively than mTP-C, which

contains a long predicted amphipathic helix. The need to contain unstructured

sequences (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991) as well as the increased length of cTPs,

even extending beyond the cleavage site in many cases (Bionda et al., 2010; Caspari,

2022), could be mechanistically related to the import system (Fig. 7A): whereas

mitochondrial import makes use of the proton gradient to power uptake of positively

charged presequences (Martin et al., 1991; Garg and Gould, 2016), energized

chloroplast import requires the cTP to stretch across both TOC and TIC and contact the

translocation motor (Chotewutmontri et al., 2017; Richardson et al., 2018; Nakai,

2018). Structured sequence elements can thus impede import, including the helix at the

Venus N-terminus (Rekas et al., 2002). Consistent with this view, HA-RAMPs with

unstructured C-termini, such as SIM, generated chloroplast targeting in the absence of

an added TP-C.

Unstructured elements also likely provide protein-protein interaction motifs. For

instance, TOC-interaction has been attributed to semi-conserved 'FGLK' motifs (Pilon

et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2009; Chotewutmontri et al., 2012; Holbrook et al., 2016),

although the requirement for F appears to be relaxed in Chlamydomonas (Fig. S26E)

(Razzak et al., 2017). The presence of GLK sites with high predicted interactivity in

cTP-N and cTP-C should contribute to the high chloroplast targeting potential of

constructs equipped with both elements (Fig. S26).

Amphipathic helices contribute to differential targeting

While N- and C-terminal elements aid specificity determination, particularly for

chloroplast import, their influence fails to explain why some HA-RAMPs target

preferentially the mitochondria or exclusively the chloroplast. This observation

suggests that targeting specificity also is determined by some sequence properties of

the amphipathic helical elements, which in the case of HA-RAMPs serve to mediate

insertion into specific target membranes. It is of note that individual mTPs and cTPs

also interact with membrane bilayers (von Heijne et al., 1989; Bruce, 1998). For

instance, the Rubisco small subunit cTP interacts with chloroplast-mimetic membranes

only in presence of the chloroplast-specific galactolipids (Pinnaduwage and Bruce,

1996). Taken together these observations suggest that amphipathic helices may interact

specifically with the membranes of the targeted organelle (Patron and Waller, 2007;

Lazzaro et al., 2020). A direct interaction with the membrane bilayer, before that with

proteins of the translocons, would provide a basic mechanism for a first step in

differential organelle targeting (Fig. 7a). It could explain how TPs can be functionally

specific whilst diverse in sequence.

A possible series of events for the evolution of targeting peptides

A small subset of randomly chosen sequences is able to inefficiently deliver proteins

into extant mitochondria, relying on amphipathic helices (Baker and Schatz, 1987;

Lemire et al., 1989). Thus, the existing protein import machinery recognizes

amphipathic helical peptides. However, an origin of TPs from random sequences does
not explain how protein import into organelles would have spontaneously occurred in
absence of the extant translocons.

Here, our use of antimicrobial peptides that harbour an amphipathic helix to further
understand organelle targeting specificity, was inspired by the hypothesis that HA-
RAMPs may have given rise to TPs during endosymbiotic organellogenesis because of
an "import and destroy" mechanism from HA-RAMP-resistant bacteria (Wollman,
2016; Caspari and Lafontaine, 2021). In this context, combining the organelle-targeting
behaviour of all those constructs that convert antimicrobial into targeting peptides can
be translated into a temporal evolutionary scenario (Fig. 7B) that adds to previously
proposed models (Lemire et al., 1989; Garg and Gould, 2016; Lee and Hwang, 2021).
First, we found that several unaltered HA-RAMPs can deliver cargo, suggesting the
evolution of mTPs from HA-RAMPs would have been straightforward. However,
K→R was found to reduce toxicity and increase targeting, a dual effect that would have
produced a strong selection pressure favouring this exchange as an early step. Since
mitochondria appear to tolerate the presence of preproteins containing unaltered HA-
RAMPs, the addition of cleavage sites that allow presequences to be degraded
separately from the cargo protein (Kmiec et al., 2014) would have come as a second
step.

That chloroplast targeting requires additional discriminating elements is consistent with
cTPs evolving in a cell that already had mTPs. There are two possible scenarios for the
origin of cTPs. Firstly, cTPs might have evolved directly from HA-RAMPs that already
contained unstructured sequence elements (Fig. 7B), as seen for our cp-set HA-RAMPs.
Secondly, as has been suggested earlier (Lee and Hwang, 2021), cTPs might have co-
opted existing mTPs. According to the present scenario, these mTPs already contained
R and a cleavage site, yet would still have been recognized by cyanobacterial HA-
RAMP importers. In this case, the key innovation that generated cTPs may simply have
been the addition of unstructured, possibly TOC-interacting elements to mTPs, which
we showed here to be sufficient to retarget the mt-set HA-RAMPs equipped with TP
C-termini at least partially to the chloroplast. The cTP N-terminus likely originally
started with an F, given that in glaucophytes and rhodophytes (and many derived
secondary plastids), a conserved N-terminal F plays a role in chloroplast import (Patron
and Waller, 2007; Wunder et al., 2007; Köhler et al., 2015). This observation led to the
idea that cTPs may have originated from a re-use of a C-terminal F-based motif

involved in secretion via OMP85 beta-barrel proteins (Robert et al., 2006; Knopp et al.,
2020) in (cyano)bacteria, from which TOC75 evolved. To this end, periplasmic
POTRA domains responsible for substrate-recognition were proposed to have flipped
orientation and now point into the host cytoplasm (Bullmann et al., 2010; Sommer et
al., 2011). However, several studies of plant TOC75 have since consistently found
POTRA-domains to be in the inter-membrane space, not the cytoplasm (Chen et al.,
2016; Paila et al., 2016; Gross et al., 2020). Without this cytoplasmic receptor, there is
no mechanism for how an N-terminal F could have acted as an import-enabling proto-
cTP (Knopp et al., 2020).

Note that our proposed scenario makes no prediction about whether host/proto-
organelle interactions were mutualistic or antagonistic. Either way, antimicrobial
peptides are likely to have been part of the suite of tools used in these host/proto-
symbiont interactions. In particular, the role of antimicrobial peptides in mutualistic
symbioses (Mergaert, 2018) includes one of the best documented cases for defensive
antimicrobial peptide import into bacteria (Guefrachi et al., 2015).

Conclusion

Investigating the steps required to generate TPs from HA-RAMPs has allowed us to
uncover a number of novel mechanistic insights. Notably, we discovered a role for
Arginines for targeting efficacy, and delineated the contributions of N- and C-terminal
elements in targeting specificity. Our work also suggests that whether due to common
descent or convergence, the similarities between TPs and HA-RAMPs point to TPs
interacting with membrane lipids as an early targeting step. As any evolutionary
scenario, our plausible pathway from HA-RAMPs to TPs must be considered as a
working hypothesis that will need to be assessed further by a series of bioinformatics
and laboratory-controlled evolutionary experiments. Further understanding of peptide-
lipid interactions and the phylogeny of import machinery components should shed new
light on the evolution and functioning of organelle TPs.

Materials and Methods

Construct generation

Venus expression constructs were designed in SnapGene (v4.3.11) and generated by
integrating PCR-amplified (Q5 hot start high-fidelity, #M0515, New England Biolabs)

DNA fragments into plasmid pODC53 (Caspari, 2020) upstream of Venus using

Gibson assembly (NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly, #E5520S, New England Biolabs).

Chlamydomonas TP sequences were amplified from genomic DNA extracted from

strain T222+ (CC-5101). Templates for codon optimized HA-RAMP, RP and R→K

modified TP sequences were obtained by gene synthesis (Eurofins Genomics). Correct

assembly was verified by sequencing (Eurofins Genomics). Linear transformation

cassettes were generated through restriction digest with *Eco*RV (New England

Biolabs).

Transformation and fluorescence screen conditions

Constructs were transformed into wild-type strain T222+ (CC-5101) using the protocol

described previously (Onishi and Pringle, 2016), except using 4µl of DNA at 2µg/µl.

Transformants (≥24 per construct) selected for Paromomycin resistance were grown in

200µl TAP in 96-well plates under 50µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-2} for 3-5 days and then

screened for Venus expression in a fluorescence plate reader (CLARIOstar, BMG

Labtech) as described previously (Caspari, 2020).

Microscopy

Cells were grown in 96-well plates as described before (Garrido et al., 2020). Strains

with suspected mitochondrial targeting were subjected to 0.1 µM MitoTracker Red

CMXRos (ThermoFisher) in growth medium for 30 min in the dark and washed with

TAP prior to taking images. Epifluorescence microscopy was performed with cells

added into 200µl TAP medium, or SEM (250 mM Sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, in 10 mM

MOPS-KOH pH 7.2) to stop swimming, in poly-l-lysine (Sigma Aldrich) coated 8-well

µ-slides (Ibidi), using the following setup: microscope – Axio Observer.Z1 inverted

microscope (Zeiss); objective – α Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.46 Oil DIC M27 (Zeiss);

oil – immersol 518 F (Zeiss); camera – ORCA-flash4.0 digital camera (Hamamatsu);

LEDs – 470 nm (chlorophyll), 505 nm (Venus) and white light (MitoTracker, filtered

to >535nm using Zeiss beam splitter 423052-0104-000) in Colibri.2 LED system

(Zeiss); filter cubes: filter 46HE YFP shift free (Zeiss, 520–550 nm emission) for

Venus, custom-made filter set (559/34 BrightLine HC, Beamsplitter T 585 LP, 607/36

BrightLine HC; AHF Analysentechnik AG) for MitoTracker, and filter set 50 (Zeiss,

665–715 nm emission) for chlorophyll. Images were adjusted in Fiji (Schindelin et al.,

2012) (ImageJ version 2.0.0) as described before (Garrido et al., 2020), and final figures

assembled in PowerPoint (Microsoft PowerPoint for Mac 2011, Version 14.6.3).

Automated Image Analysis

A custom ImageJ Macro was written to enable automated image segmentation of epifluorescence micrographs in Fiji. Briefly, in a given micrograph, fluorescence intensities were normalized and individual cells were detected using marker-controlled watershed from the MorphoLibJ library (Legland et al., 2016). For each cell, auto-thresholding the chlorophyll channel using the Huang method was used to generate a chlorophyll mask. Separately, the chlorophyll channel was subjected to a series of morphological filters and gaussian blurring followed by auto-thresholding, to finally detect round holes between 0.1-5µm within the ensuing binary image, to be saved as a pyrenoid mask. In MitoTracker images, the MitoTracker channel was subjected to morphological filtering followed by auto-thresholding with Otsu to generate a mitochondrial mask. Venus channel intensities were recorded for each compartment. For each cell, rotated images containing binary masks as extra channels were saved. Data was compiled, analysed and plotted in R, v3.6.1 (https://www.r-project.org/), using RStudio, 2022.07.2+576 (https://www.rstudio.com/).

Western Blots

Cells were grown up in liquid culture (10ml TAP, 30µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, 160RPM) until late mid-log phase. 2ml Aliquots were resuspended in 30µl storage buffer ($1\times$ Roche cOmplete™ Mini proteinase inhibitor cocktail, 10 mM NaF, 0.2 M DTT, 0.2 M $NaCO_3$) and stored at -20°C. 20µl boiling buffer ($1\times$ Roche cOmplete™ Mini proteinase inhibitor cocktail, 10mM NaF, 50 g/L SDS, 200 g/L sucrose) was added, then aliquots were boiled (50 seconds). Cell debris was removed (tabletop centrifuge, maximum speed, 15 minutes, 4°C). Chlorophyll content was estimated spectrophotometrically: 1 µg chlorophyll $µl^{-1}$ = $0.11 \times (OD_{680} - OD_{770})$ for 5 µl diluted in 1 ml water. Samples (10 µg chlorophyll, equal volumes) were run (overnight, room temperature, 18A) on large gels (35×27cm; resolving gel: 12% Acrylamide, 0.32% Bisacrylamide, 0.25 µl/ml Tetramethyl ethylenediamine, 250 mg/L Ammonium Persulfate, 375 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.8; stacking gel: 5% Acrylamide, 0.133% Bisacrylamide, 0.666 µl/ml Tetramethyl ethylenediamine, 666 mg/L Ammonium Persulfate, 125 mM Tris/HCl pH 6.8). Proteins (<25kDa, >75kDa) were transferred (0.1 µm nitrocellulose membranes, 1h, 0.8 A cm^{-2}) as follows: cathode – 5 filter papers (FP, 3 mm, Whatman) soaked in transfer buffer 1 (40 mM Aminocaproic acid, 20% Isopropanol, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 9.4) – gel – membrane – 2 FPs soaked in transfer

buffer 2 (20% Isopropanol, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 10.4) – 3 FPs soaked in transfer buffer

3 (20% Isopropanol, 300 mM Tris/HCl pH 10.4) – anode. Membranes were fixed

(Ponceau Red), incubated (1h, room temperature) in block (30 g/L skimmed milk

powder, 0.1% tween-20, 1x PBS: 140 mM NaCl, 30 mM KCl, 100 mM Na_2HPO_4, 15

mM KH_2PO_4), immunolabelled (overnight, 4°C) using α-FLAG 1° (Sigma Aldrich

F1804, diluted 1:10 000 in block), washed (0.1% tween-20, 1x PBS), treated (1h, room

temperature) with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated α-mouse 2° (diluted 1:10 000 in

block), washed, revealed (ECL; ChemiDoc, Biorad). Blots were processed using

ImageLab (Version 6.0.0 build 26, BioRad), final figures were assembled in

PowerPoint (Microsoft).

Antimicrobial activity assay

Minimum inhibitory concentration assays were performed as described before (Garrido

et al., 2020).

Sequence Data Set

TPs with experimentally-confirmed cleavage sites were recovered from proteomic

studies: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cTP (Terashima 2011), mTP (Tardif 2012);

Arabidopsis thaliana cTP (Ge 2008, Rowland 2015), mTP (Huang 2009). For each

peptide, we recovered the full-length protein sequence from NCBI and UNIPROT.

Cytoplasmic control sequences were generated by taking N-terminal sequence stretches

of random length, matching the distribution of peptide lengths observed in our

Chlamydomonas TP dataset, from a random subset of Chlamydomonas proteins with a

validated cytoplasmic location in UNIPROT. For PCAs and calculating amino acid

frequencies, the same HA-RAMP, signal peptide and non-Chlamydomonas TP

sequences were used as before (Garrido et al., 2020).

Amphipathic helix prediction

Amphipatic α-helices are predicted as described previously (Garrido et al., 2020),

following the principle of the Heliquest algorithm (Gautier et al., 2008). Briefly, the

approach aims to establish the longest sequence stretch that contains identifiable

hydrophilic and hydrophobic faces. The algorithm is iterated such that multiple non-

overlapping helices can be found within a given peptide (Fig. 1). Consequently, helix

fractions are calculated as number of residues within all predicted helices divided by

the total number of residues in the peptide; for evaluating the number of upstream
residues, only the longest helix was considered (Fig. 6, Fig. S25).

ACC terms

In order to evaluate the physicochemical properties of our peptides we used the
approach we previously described (Garrido et al., 2020). Briefly, each amino acid is
described in terms of 3 'Z-scale' values (Hellberg et al., 1987) that can be interpreted
as representing a residue's hydrophobic, steric and electronic properties. Auto-cross-
covariances (ACC) between nearby residues are calculated up to a distance of four
amino acids, generating a quantitative representation of a given peptide in terms of 36
ACC terms. Euclidian distances between HA-RAMP ACC term vectors and the
barycentre of Chlamydomonas TPs were used as a measure of similarity (Table S1).

Visualization

621 We performed principal component analysis (PCA) to visualize the relationships
622 between peptides as described by their 36 ACC terms (Fig. S1, S22) or by their 5 salient
623 TP properties (Fig. S25) using python package sklearn v0.22.1 (Pedregosa et al., 2011).

Analysis of TP N-termini

TP N-termini, defined as the N-terminal 15 amino acids, were analysed (Fig. S23) as
follows: Charge profiles were generated as described in the literature (Chotewutmontri
et al., 2012). Hydrophobicity of TP N-termini was estimated using the Heliquest
standalone application (Gautier et al., 2008). To evaluate disorder, we used IUpred2A,
a software that calculates the probability for each residue of being in a disordered region
(Erdős and Dosztányi, 2020). We used the default 'Long' setting, which has been
reported to be more accurate than the alternative 'Short' setting (Nielsen and Mulder,
2019). The disorder of a given sequence was taken as the mean of the probability values
for each residue (average residue disorder probability).

Statistical prediction

To evaluate the predictive power of ACC terms (Garrido et al., 2020) obtained for 15
residue-long TP N-termini with regards to localization (Fig. S23) we used a binomial
logistic regression classifier. We performed one hundred 5-fold cross validation runs.
In each set of one hundred runs, we randomly selected sequences such that the same
number of mTPs and cTPs were used. For C. reinhardtii we used 33 mTP and 33 cTP
and for A. thaliana we use 29 mTP and 29 cTP sequences. We used an elastic net

penalty in a saga solver with an l1-ratio (a-parameter) of 0, which is equivalent to using

only ridge penalty, where all features take part in the model, and a C parameter ($1/\lambda$) of

0.1. The a and $1/\lambda$ parameters were optimised with 10-fold cross validation. Firstly,

when $1/\lambda = 1$, the best accuracy (0.82) was obtained with a between 0 and 0.09.

Secondly, with a = 0, the best accuracy (0.82) was obtained with $1/\lambda = 0.1$. A Logistic

Regression model with elastic net penalty ratio of 0.15 (scikit-learn Python package

v0.22.1) trained on class I HA-RAMPs (Garrido et al., 2020) and Chlamydomonas TPs

was used to evaluate how similar potential HA-RAMP candidates are to TPs (Table

S1). Custom scripts were written in python (v3.7.6).

Interaction site prediction

Values for the Boman index, a quantitative proxy for whether a peptide is more likely

to interact with proteins (high values) or lipids (low values), were calculated as

described in the literature (Boman, 2003). Anchor2 interactivity values, a second proxy

for protein interaction potential developed for disordered sequences (Mészáros et al.,

2009), were calculated using the IUPred2A standalone application and webserver

(Mészáros et al., 2018; Erdős and Dosztányi, 2020). Interaction sites for Hsp70 were

predicted as described by Ivey and co-workers (Ivey et al., 2000) based on experimental

affinity values for individual amino acids. Putatively TOC-interacting 'FGLK' sites

were established by searching for presence of F and [P or G] and [K or R] and [A or L

or V], and absence of [D and E], within each 8-residue window of a sequence,

corresponding to rule 22 by Chotewutmontri and co-workers (Chotewutmontri et al.,

2012) that was recommended by the authors in personal communication. 'FGLK-1'

sites were established the same way, but requiring the presence of only three of the four

positive determinants. Custom scripts were implemented in R using RStudio.

Statistical analysis

Chlamydomonas TP distributions (Fig. 6, Fig. S26) were compared using two-sided t-

tests (*n*=34 for mTPs and *n*=85 for cTPs), and associated mt-set and cp-set HA-RAMPs

were compared using one-sided t-tests based on the trends set by TPs (*n=3* for mt-set

and *n*=5 for cp-set HA-RAMPs) in R using RStudio. Multiple Kruskal statistical tests

are performed (same Chlamydomonas mTPs and cTPs as above, plus *n*=382 HA-

RAMPs) to evaluate the distribution of amino acids (Fig. 3c, Fig. S21) in the different

groups, followed by Dunn post-hoc tests (scipy v1.4.1).

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Florian Schober and Katherine Madden for their effort and enthusiasm in helping us generate a quantitative image quantification dataset. Thank you to Tiffina Benhamou and Gabriel Chemin, who worked on aspects of this study with ODC during their summer internships. We also thank Prakitchai Chotewutmontri and Barry Bruce for their help in correctly replicating their Hsp70-binding and FGLK-motif finding algorithms.

The following financial support is gratefully acknowledged: the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Sorbonne University for annual funding to UMR7141; the Agence National de la Recherche for (a) "ChloroMitoRAMP" ANR grant (ANR-19-CE13-0009) and (b) "LabEx Dynamo" (ANR-LABX-011), both of which provided postdoctoral support to ODC, and (c) "MATHTEST" grant (ANR-18-CE13-0027) which provided doctoral support to CG; finally, the Fondation Edmond Rothschild which provided complementary financial support to ODC and CG. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Author contributions

FAW, IL and YC conceptualized the project and acquired funds. ODC devised, conducted and visualized the wet-lab experimental part of the investigation, and was chiefly responsible for assigning subcellular localization interpretations based on microscopic evidence. CG carried out the dry-lab/bioinformatic part of the investigation and associated visualization under the supervision of IL, writing custom software to do so (ODC contributed to the analysis of FGLK and Hsp70-binding sites). COL developed the custom imageJ macros used for automated image segmentation. ODC wrote the original draft of the manuscript. FAW, IL and ODC reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript. The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. Custom code generated in the course of this project will be made available without restrictions upon

request to the authors. All plasmids, and one independent insertion line per construct (except RP constructs), are available through the Chlamydomonas resource centre (https://www.chlamycollection.org/).

References

Armenteros, J. J. A., Salvatore, M., Emanuelsson, O., Winther, O., Von Heijne, G., Elofsson, A., and Nielsen, H. (2019). Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning. *Life Sci Alliance* **2**:1–14 https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.201900429.

Baker, A., and Schatz, G. (1987). Sequences from a prokaryotic genome or the mouse dihydrofolate reductase gene can restore the import of a truncated precursor protein into yeast mitochondria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **84**:3117–3121 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.10.3117.

Bhushan, S., Kuhn, C., Berglund, A., Roth, C., and Glaser, E. (2006). The role of the N-terminal domain of chloroplast targeting peptides in organellar protein import and miss-sorting. *FEBS* **580**:3966–3972 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.06.018.

Bionda, T., Tillmann, B., Simm, S., Beilstein, K., Ruprecht, M., and Schleiff, E. (2010). Chloroplast import signals: The length requirement for translocation in vitro and in vivo. *J Mol Biol* **402**:510–523 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.07.052.

Boman, H. G. (2003). Antibacterial peptides: basic facts and emerging concepts. *J Intern Med* **254**:197–215 https://doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1365-2796.2003.01228.x.

Bruce, B. D. (1998). The role of lipids in plastid protein transport. *Plant Mol Biol* **38**:223–46 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006094308805.

Bruce, B. D. (2000). Chloroplast transit peptides: Structure, function and evolution. *Trends Cell Biol* **10**:440–447 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-8924(00)01833-X.

Bruce, B. D. (2001). The paradox of plastid transit peptides: Conservation of function despite divergence in primary structure. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* **1541**:2–21 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4889(01)00149-5.

Bullmann, L., Haarmann, R., Mirus, O., Bredemeier, R., Hempel, F., Maier, U. G., and Schleiff, E. (2010). Filling the gap, evolutionarily conserved Omp85 in

plastids of chromalveolates. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **285**:6848–6856
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.074807.

Calvo, S. E., Julien, O., Clauser, K. R., Shen, H., Kamer, K. J., Wells, J. A., and
Mootha, V. K. (2017). Comparative analysis of mitochondrial N-termini from
mouse, human, and yeast. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* **16**:512–523
https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.063818.

Caspari, O. D. (2020). Introduction of a leaky stop codon as molecular tool in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *PLoS One* **15**:e0237405
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237405.

Caspari, O. D. (2022). Chloroplast transit peptides often require downstream
unstructured sequence in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Front Plant Sci* **13**:825797
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.825797.

Caspari, O. D., and Lafontaine, I. (2021). The role of antimicrobial peptides in the
evolution of endosymbiotic protein import. *PLoS Pathog* **17**:e1009466
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009466.

Caspari, O. D., Meyer, M. T., Tolleter, D., Wittkopp, T. M., Cunniffe, N. J.,
Lawson, T., Grossman, A. R., and Griffiths, H. (2017). Pyrenoid loss in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii causes limitations in CO_2 supply, but not thylakoid
operating efficiency. *J Exp Bot* **68**:3903–3913 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx197.

Chen, Y. L., Chen, L. J., and Li, H. M. (2016). Polypeptide transport-associated
domains of the Toc75 channel protein are located in the intermembrane space of
chloroplasts. *Plant Physiol* **172**:235–243 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00919.

Chotewutmontri, P., and Bruce, B. D. (2015). Non-native, N-terminal Hsp70
molecular motor recognition elements in transit peptides support plastid protein
translocation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **290**:7602–7621
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.633586.

Chotewutmontri, P., Reddick, L. E., McWilliams, D. R., Campbell, I. M., and
Bruce, B. D. (2012). Differential Transit Peptide Recognition during Preprotein
Binding and Translocation into Flowering Plant Plastids. *Plant Cell* **24**:3040–3059
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.098327.

Chotewutmontri, P., Holbrook, K., and Bruce, B. D. (2017). Plastid Protein
Targeting: Preprotein Recognition and Translocation. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol*
330:227–294 https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ircmb.2016.09.006.

Erdős, G., and Dosztányi, Z. (2020). Analyzing Protein Disorder with IUPred2A.
Curr Protoc Bioinformatics **70**:1–15 https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.99.

Franzén, L.-G. G., Rochaix, J.-D. D., and von Heijne, G. (1990). Chloroplast transit
peptides from the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii share features with both
mitochondrial and higher plant chloroplast presequences. *FEBS Lett* **260**:169–172
https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(90)80094-Y.

Garg, S. G., and Gould, S. B. (2016). The Role of Charge in Protein Targeting
Evolution. *Trends Cell Biol* **26**:894–905
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2016.07.001.

Garrido, C., Caspari, O. D., Choquet, Y., Wollman, F. A., and Lafontaine, I.
(2020). Evidence Supporting an Antimicrobial Origin of Targeting Peptides to
Endosymbiotic Organelles. *Cells* **9**:1795 https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9081795.

Gautier, R., Douguet, D., Antonny, B., and Drin, G. (2008). HELIQUEST: a web
server to screen sequences with specific alpha-helical properties. *Bioinformatics*
24:2101–2102 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn392.

Gross, L. E., Spies, N., Simm, S., and Schleiff, E. (2020). Toc75-V/OEP80 is
processed during translocation into chloroplasts, and the membrane-embedded
form exposes its POTRA domain to the intermembrane space. *FEBS Open Bio*
10:444–454 https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.12791.

Guefrachi, I., Pierre, O., Timchenko, T., Alunni, B., Barrière, Q., Czernic, P.,
Villaécija-Aguilar, J.-A., Verly, C., Bourge, M., Fardoux, J., et al. (2015).
Bradyrhizobium BclA Is a Peptide Transporter Required for Bacterial
Differentiation in Symbiosis with Aeschynomene Legumes. *Molecular Plant-*
Microbe Interactions **28**:1155–1166 https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-04-15-0094-
R.

Hellberg, S., Sjostrom, M., Skagerberg, B., and Wold, S. (1987). Peptide
Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships, a Multivariate Approach. *J Med*
Chem **30**:1126–1135 https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00390a003.

Holbrook, K., Subramanian, C., Chotewutmontri, P., Reddick, L. E., Wright, S.,
Zhang, H., Moncrief, L., and Bruce, B. D. (2016). Functional Analysis of Semi-
conserved Transit Peptide Motifs and Mechanistic Implications in Precursor
Targeting and Recognition. *Mol Plant* **9**:1286–1301
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2016.06.004.

Ivey, R. a, and Bruce, B. D. (2000). In vivo and in vitro interaction of DnaK and a

chloroplast transit peptide. *Cell Stress Chaperones* **5**:62–71.

Ivey, R. a, Subramanian, C., and Bruce, B. D. (2000). Identification of a Hsp70

recognition domain within the rubisco small subunit transit peptide. *Plant Physiol*

122:1289–1299 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.122.4.1289.

Joo, H. S., Fu, C. I., and Otto, M. (2016). Bacterial strategies of resistance to

antimicrobial peptides. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*

Biological Sciences **371** https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0292.

Kmiec, B., Teixeira, P. F., and Glaser, E. (2014). Shredding the signal: targeting

peptide degradation in mitochondria and chloroplasts. *Trends Plant Sci* **19**:771–8

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.09.004.

Knopp, M., Garg, S. G., Handrich, M., and Gould, S. B. (2020). Major Changes in

Plastid Protein Import and the Origin of the Chloroplastida. *iScience* **23**:100896

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.100896.

Köhler, D., Dobritzsch, D., Hoehenwarter, W., Helm, S., Steiner, J. M., and

Baginsky, S. (2015). Identification of protein N-termini in Cyanophora paradoxa

cyanelles: transit peptide composition and sequence determinants for precursor

maturation. *Front Plant Sci* **6**:1–11 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00559.

Krimm, I., Gans, P., Hernandez, J. F., Arlaud, G. J., and Lancelin, J. M. (1999).

A coil-helix instead of a helix-coil motif can be induced in a chloroplast transit

peptide from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Eur J Biochem* **265**:171–180

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00701.x.

Lancelin, J. M., Gans, P., Bouchayer, E., Bally, I., Arlaud, G. J., and Jacquot, J.

P. (1996). NMR structures of a mitochondrial transit peptide from the green alga

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *FEBS Lett* **391**:203–208

https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(96)00734-X.

Lazzaro, B. P., Zasloff, M., and Rolff, J. (2020). Antimicrobial peptides: Application

informed by evolution. *Science (1979)* **368**

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau5480.

Lee, D. W., and Hwang, I. (2021). Understanding the evolution of endosymbiotic

organelles based on the targeting sequences of organellar proteins. *New*

Phytologist **230**:924–930 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17167.

Lee, D. W., Lee, S., Oh, Y. J., and Hwang, I. (2009). Multiple sequence motifs in the

rubisco small subunit transit peptide independently contribute to Toc159-

dependent import of proteins into chloroplasts. *Plant Physiol* **151**:129–141

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.140673.

Lee, D. W., Lee, S., Lee, J., Woo, S., Razzak, M. A., Vitale, A., and Hwang, I.

(2019). Molecular Mechanism of the Specificity of Protein Import into

Chloroplasts and Mitochondria in Plant Cells. *Mol Plant* **12**:951–966

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2019.03.003.

Lee, D. W., Lee, S., Min, C.-K., Park, C., Kim, J.-M., Hwang, C.-S., Park, S. K.,

Cho, N.-H., and Hwang, I. (2020). Cross-Species Functional Conservation and

Possible Origin of the N-Terminal Specificity Domain of Mitochondrial

Presequences. *Front Plant Sci* **11**:1–7 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00064.

Legland, D., Arganda-Carreras, I., and Andrey, P. (2016). MorphoLibJ: Integrated

library and plugins for mathematical morphology with ImageJ. *Bioinformatics*

32:3532–3534 https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTW413.

Lemire, B. D., Fankhauser, C., Baker, A., and Schatz, G. (1989). The mitochondrial

targeting function of randomly generated peptide sequences correlates with

predicted helical amphiphilicity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **264**:20206–

20215 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)47048-8.

Li, L., Vorobyov, I., and Allen, T. W. (2013). The different interactions of lysine and

arginine side chains with lipid membranes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*

117:11906–11920 https://doi.org/10.1021/jp405418y.

Li, J., Koh, J.-J., Liu, S., Lakshminarayanan, R., Verma, C. S., and Beuerman, R.

W. (2017). Membrane Active Antimicrobial Peptides: Translating Mechanistic

Insights   to   Design.   *Front   Neurosci*   **11**:1–18

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00073.

Mackinder, L. C. M., Meyer, M. T., Mettler-Altmann, T., Chen, V. K., Mitchell,

M. C., Caspari, O. D., Freeman Rosenzweig, E. S., Pallesen, L., Reeves, G.,

Itakura, A., et al. (2016). A repeat protein links Rubisco to form the eukaryotic

carbon concentrating organelle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

113:5958–5963 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522866113.

Mackinder, L. C. M., Chen, C., Leib, R. D., Patena, W., Blum, S. R., Rodman, M.,

Ramundo, S., Adams, C. M., and Jonikas, M. C. (2017). A Spatial Interactome

Reveals the Protein Organization of the Algal CO2-Concentrating Mechanism.

Cell **171**:133–147 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.044.

Martin, J., Mahlke, K., and Pfanner, N. (1991). Role of an energized inner membrane
in mitochondrial protein import: $\Delta\Psi$ drives the movement of presequences.
Journal of Biological Chemistry **266**:18051–18057 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-
9258(18)55235-2.

Mattiroli, F., and Sixma, T. K. (2014). Lysine-targeting specificity in ubiquitin and
ubiquitin-like modification pathways. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **21**:308–316
https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2792.

Maupin-Furlow, J. A. (2013). Ubiquitin-like proteins and their roles in archaea.
Trends Microbiol **21**:31–38 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.09.006.

Maupin-Furlow, J. A., Humbard, M. A., Kirkland, P. A., Li, W., Reuter, C. J.,
Wright, A. J., and Zhou, G. (2006). Proteasomes from Structure to Function:
Perspectives from Archaea. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **75**:125–169
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(06)75005-0.

Mergaert, P. (2018). Role of antimicrobial peptides in controlling symbiotic bacterial
populations. *Nat Prod Rep* **35**:336–356 https://doi.org/10.1039/c7np00056a.

Mészáros, B., Simon, I., and Dosztányi, Z. (2009). Prediction of Protein Binding
Regions in Disordered Proteins. *PLoS One* **5**:e1000376
https://doi.org/10.1371/Citation.

Mészáros, B., Erdös, G., and Dosztányi, Z. (2018). IUPred2A: Context-dependent
prediction of protein disorder as a function of redox state and protein binding.
Nucleic Acids Res **46**:W329–W337 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky384.

Meyer, M., Itakura, A., Patena, W., Wang, L., He, S., Emrich-Mills, T., Lau, C.
S., Yates, G., Mackinder, L. C. M., and Jonikas, M. C. (2020). Assembly of the
algal CO2-fixing organelle, the pyrenoid, is guided by a Rubisco-binding motif.
Sci Adv **6**:eabd2408 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd2408.

Nakai, M. (2018). New Perspectives on Chloroplast Protein Import. *Plant Cell Physiol*
59:1111–1119 https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcy083.

Nielsen, J. T., and Mulder, F. A. A. (2019). Quality and bias of protein disorder
predictors. *Sci Rep* **9**:1–11 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41644-w.

Onishi, M., and Pringle, J. R. (2016). Robust Transgene Expression from Bicistronic
mRNA in the Green Alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**:4115–
4125 https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.033035.

Paila, Y. D., Richardson, L. G. L., Inoue, H., Parks, E. S., McMahon, J., Inoue, K.,
and Schnell, D. J. (2016). Multi-functional roles for the polypeptide transport

associated domains of Toc75 in chloroplast protein import. *Elife* **5**:1–29
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12631.

Patron, N. J., and Waller, R. F. (2007). Transit peptide diversity and divergence : a
global analysis of plastid targeting signals. *BioEssays* **29**:1048–1058
https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20638.

Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O.,
Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P., Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., et al. (2011). Scikit-
learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*
12:2825–2830 https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4713.

Pilon, M., Wienk, H., Sips, W., De Swaaf, M., Talboom, I., Van't Hof, R., De
Korte- Kool, G., Demel, R., Weisbeek, P., and De Kruijff, B. (1995). Functional
domains of the ferredoxin transit sequence involved in chloroplast import. *Journal*
of Biological Chemistry **270**:3882–3893 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.8.3882.

Pinnaduwage, P., and Bruce, B. D. (1996). In vitro interaction between a chloroplast
transit peptide and chloroplast outer envelope lipids is sequence-specific and lipid
class-dependent. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **271**:32907–32915
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.51.32907.

Razzak, A., Lee, D. W., Yoo, Y., and Hwang, I. (2017). Evolution of rubisco complex
small subunit transit peptides from algae to plants. *Sci Rep* **7**:9279
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09473-x.

Rekas, A., Alattia, J. R., Nagai, T., Miyawaki, A., and Ikura, M. (2002). Crystal
structure of venus, a yellow fluorescent protein with improved maturation and
reduced environmental sensitivity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**:50573–
50578 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M209524200.

Richardson, L. G. L., Small, E. L., Inoue, H., and Schnell, D. J. (2018). Molecular
topology of the transit peptide during chloroplast protein import. *Plant Cell*
30:1789–1806 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00172.

Robert, V., Volokhina, E. B., Senf, F., Bos, M. P., Van Gelder, P., and Tommassen,
J. (2006). Assembly factor Omp85 recognizes its outer membrane protein
substrates by a species-specific C-terminal motif. *PLoS Biol* **4**:1984–1995
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040377.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch,
T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an

open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**:676–682

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Sommer, M. S., Daum, B., Gross, L. E., Weis, B. L. M., Mirus, O., Abram, L.,

Maier, U. G., Kühlbrandt, W., and Schleiff, E. (2011). Chloroplast Omp85

proteins change orientation during evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

108:13841–13846 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108626108.

Tardif, M., Atteia, A., Specht, M., Cogne, G., Rolland, N., Brugière, S., Hippler,

M., Ferro, M., Bruley, C., Peltier, G., et al. (2012). Predalgo: A new subcellular

localization prediction tool dedicated to green algae. *Mol Biol Evol* **29**:3625–3639

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss178.

Teixeira, P. F., and Glaser, E. (2013). Processing peptidases in mitochondria and

chloroplasts. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* **1833**:360–370

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.03.012.

von Heijne, G. (1986). Mitochondrial targeting sequences may form amphiphilic

helices. *EMBO J* **5**:1335–1342 https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-

2075.1986.tb04364.x.

von Heijne, G., and Nishikawa, K. (1991). Chloroplast transit peptides. The perfect

random coil? *FEBS Lett* **278**:1–3 https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(91)80069-f.

von Heijne, G., Steppuhn, J., and Herrmann, R. G. (1989). Domain structure of

mitochondrial and chloroplastic targeting peptides. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **180**:535–545

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989.tb14679.x.

Wiedemann, N., and Pfanner, N. (2017). Mitochondrial Machineries for Protein

Import and Assembly. *Annu Rev Biochem* **86**:685–714

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014352.

Wienk, H. L. J., Wechselberger, R. W., Czisch, M., and de Kruijff, B. (2000).

Structure, Dynamics, and Insertion of a Chloroplast Targeting Peptide in Mixed

Micelles. *Biochemistry* **39**:8219–8227 https://doi.org/10.1021/bi000110i.

Wollman, F.-A. (2016). An antimicrobial origin of transit peptides accounts for early

endosymbiotic events. *Traffic* **17**:1322–1328 https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12446.

Wunder, T., Martin, R., Löffelhardt, W., Schleiff, E., and Steiner, J. M. (2007).

The invariant phenylalanine of precursor proteins discloses the importance of

Omp85 for protein translocation into cyanelles. *BMC Evol Biol* **7**:236

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-236.

none

Figures and captions

A) Studied HA-RAMPs

B2I	SFLTTF**K**DLAI**K**AA**K**SAGQSVLSTLSC**K**LSNTC
MII	GIG**K**FLHSA**KK**FG**K**AFVGEIMNS
R2G	GLLLDTL**K**GAA**K**DIAGIALE**K**L**K**C**K**ITGC**K**P
B1E	FLPLLAGLAANFLP**K**IFC**K**IT**R**KC
DS4	ALWMTLL**KK**VL**K**AAA**K**AALNAVLVGANA
DDM	ALW**K**TML**KK**LGTMALHAG**K**AAFGAAADTISQ
CP3	WLS**K**TA**KK**LENSA**KK**RISEGIAIAI**K**GGS**R**
S1D	GWI**R**DFG**KR**IE**R**VGQHT**R**DATIQTIAVAQQAANVAATL**K**G
E1S	GLFS**K**FN**KKK**IKSGLI**K**II**K**TAG**K**EAGLEAL**R**TGIDVIGC**K**I**K**GEC
LCA	**K**YYGNGVHCT**K**SGCSVNWGEAFSAGVH**R**LANGGNGFW
SIM	G**K**IPV**K**AI**KK**AGAAIG**K**GL**R**AINIASTAHDVYSFF**K**P**K**H**KKK**
B15	VNYGNGVSCS**K**T**K**CSVNWGIITHQAF**R**VTSGVASG
EHF	**K**YYGNGVSCN**KK**GCSVDWG**K**AIGIIGNNAAANLTTGG**K**AGW**K**G

B) TP controls

CH

cTP-N   cTP-C

RCA1-cTP MQVTM**K**SSAVSGQ**R**VGGA**R**VATR**SV**RR**AQLQVVASS**RK**QMG**R**W**R**SIDAGVDASDDQ

CAG2-mTP ML**KR**VGQSLVPFA**R**AGLTQTAESF**R**GVSSQFFDAPNGPSV**K**QVLIEDEW

MH

mTP-C

C) Negative control peptides

RP1	NIVVYWNFTLWHMDINA**R**NAGCDGEGS
RP2	DEVNNDNC**R**I**K**F**K**GDISSESD**K**MNINY

Legend: hydrophilic face — main helix — shorter helix — non-helical sequence — main helix — hydrophobic face — shorter helix

Fig. 1. Peptide sequences under study. Amino acid sequences are shown using the
one-letter code. Positively charged residues are highlighted in red. The fraction of the
sequence predicted to fold into amphipathic helices (see Methods) is provided by a pie-
chart to the right of the sequence; for TPs in (**B**) this was calculated up to the cleavage
site indicated by a downward arrow. Predicted amphipathic helices are highlighted
using a cylinder cartoon, with residues contributing to the hydrophilic/hydrophobic face
indicated on the top/bottom. No helix could be predicted within RCA1-cTP, and thus
the indicated helix is taken from a published NMR-structure obtained in membrane-
mimetic conditions (Krimm et al., 1999). Note that the two helices of E1S are at an
angle to each other and therefore cannot form a single continuous amphipathic helix.
Abbreviations: (**A**) B2I: Brevinin-2ISb, R2G: Ranatuerin-2G, MII: Magainin 2, B1E:
Brevinin-1E, DS4: Dermaseptin S4, DDM: Dermadistinctin-M, CP3: Cecropin-P3,
S1D: Sarcotoxin-1D, E1S: Esculentin-1SEA, LCA: Leucocin-A, SIM: SI Moricin,
B15: Bacillocin 1580, EHF: Enterocin HF; (**B**) TP – targeting peptide, cTP: chloroplast
TP, mTP: mitochondrial TP, RCA1: Rubisco activase, CAG2: γ-carbonic anhydrase,
cTP-N: cTP N-terminal element, CH: cTP helix, MH: mTP helix, cTP-C: cTP C-
terminal element, mTP-C: mTP C-terminal element; (**C**) RP: random peptide.
989

Fig. 2. TP modifications enable HA-RAMP targeting. Chimeric constructs were generated by combining TP elements with HA-RAMPs (see Fig. 1 for sequences; in the ᶜAAᶜ construct in column s, all residues of the helical element "CH" within RCA1-cTP are replaced by Alanines). The overview graph shows in each column (**a-s**) one of the peptides with a cartoon indicating the position of the predicted amphipathic helices within the sequence; and in each row (**A-L**) a combination of peptide, K/R modification, addition of cTP-N (the 15 N-terminal residues upstream of the helix in

RCA1-cTP) and/or a C-terminal TP element (cTP-C or mTP-C, which include -10

residues upstream and +23 residues downstream of the cleavage site for RCA1-cTP and

CAG2-mTP respectively) indicated by a cartoon and the following shorthand: P =

peptide, $_K$ = contains mostly Lysines, $_R$ = contains mostly Arginines, ^{m} = mTP element,

^{c} = cTP element (^{c}P = cTP-N, P^c = cTP-C). In each case, an overview of observed

targeting is provided by a colour code (see figure legend). Images for all constructs are

shown in Fig. S3-S20. Note that the present results for B2I$_K$^{c}, MII$_K$^{c}, S1D$_K$^{c}, B15$_K$^{c} and

EHF$_K$^{c} (row E, columns a,b,h,l,m) confirmed our previous report on these strains

(Garrido et al., 2020).

1007

A) Some unmodified HA-RAMPs target mitochondria

B) Autofluorescence in the Venus channel

C) Basic residues differ

D) K→R reduces AMP activity

E) R→K reduces targeting in TP controls

F) K→R increases targeting by HA-RAMPs

G) K→R increases HA-RAMP targeting to both organelles

1008

Fig. 3. K is for killing, R is for targeting. (**A**) Indicated peptides were inserted

upstream of a Venus fluorescent protein reporter in a bicistronic expression system for

Chlamydomonas (Caspari, 2020). AR^P: hybrid *HSP70a-RBCS2* promoter, i1: *RBCS2*

intron 1, i2: *RBCS2* intron 2, FLAG: FLAG-tag, |: stop codon, *: bicistronic bridge

sequence tagcat, AphVIII^R: Paromomycin resistance gene, R2^T: *RBCS2* terminator.

Epifluorescence microscopy images of selected examples are shown. False-coloured

yellow fluorescence from the Venus channel reports on the subcellular localization of

the fluorescent reporter. MitoTracker fluorescence, false-coloured in cyan, indicates the position of mitochondria (although parts of the cell exterior are sometimes also stained), with salient features highlighted with orange arrows to indicate co-localization with the Venus channel. Chlorophyll autofluorescence, shown in magenta, indicates the location of the chloroplast. Scale bars are 5μm. Refer to Fig. 1 for sequences and Fig. S3 and S4 for biological replicates. Where a construct was interpreted as generating reporter localization in mitochondria or chloroplast, this is indicated by an orange 'm' or a green 'c' respectively, in bold for full targeting or in italics for partial targeting. Brightness (Br.) was adjusted for clarity: fluorescence intensity values were restricted to the range shown for each channel by matching coloured rectangles. Intensity scales to 0 at the bottom of the panel, and to 65535 at the top. (**B**) A 'no Venus' control strain, expressing an empty vector, is shown with two different Venus channel brightness settings to visualize chloroplast autofluorescence in the Venus channel. Autofluorescence intensity is typically below 2000, indicated by a black dotted line in Brightness rectangles. Therefore, if Venus channel brightness is adjusted below 2000, autofluorescence originating from the chloroplast may be misinterpreted as Venus located in the chloroplast. (**C**) Lysine (K) and Arginine (R) frequencies for Chlamydomonas TPs and HA-RAMPs are shown as boxplots (centre line: median; box limits: upper and lower quartiles; whiskers: min/max values within 1.5x interquartile range). To give a baseline for comparison, their average across the UNIPROT database is given as red horizontal line. Statistically significant differences are indicated with stars: (Multiple Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post-hoc tests, ***$p < 0.0001$). See Fig. S21 for all amino acids. (**D**) Grayscale photos of antimicrobial activity growth assays in the presence of dilutions of three selected antimicrobial peptides against *E. coli* strain BW25113 show reduced activity when natively K-rich sequences (K, left hand columns) are altered by replacing all Ks by Rs (R, right hand columns). (**E,F**) Epifluorescence images of selected chimeric (**E**) TP controls (MH: mTP helical fragment, CH: cTP helical fragment) or (**F**) HA-RAMP constructs are shown as in (A). Note that images shown for MII$_K$ in (A) and (F) come from independent insertion lines of the same construct. See Fig. S4, S5, S9, S18, S19 for biological replicates. (**G**) Data for targeting across all HA-RAMP constructs (i.e. including additional modifications) is shown, comparing K and R-bearing peptides (cf. Fig. 2 columns a-m).

Fig. 4. TP N- and C-termini enable chloroplast targeting by HA-RAMPs.
Exemplary epifluorescence images are shown as in Fig. 3; same convention as in Fig.
3 for Venus localization. Note that images shown for MH_R^m and $^cCH_R^c$ in (B) come
from different independent insertion lines of the same constructs as in Fig. 3E. See Fig.
S3-S20 for biological replicates and further examples of the same trends.

A) Cleavage can occur without a TP cleavage site

B) The cTP-C cleavage site is recognized in both organelles

C) The mTP-C cleavage site is recognized in both organelles

C) Increase in targeting upon K→R is visible in cleavage

Fig. 5. Import is associated with maturation of the preprotein. Western Blots used an α-FLAG antibody on selected constructs as indicated above blots. Where a construct was interpreted as generating reporter localization by fluorescence microscopy (cf. Fig. 2, Fig. S3-S20) in mitochondria or chloroplast, this is indicated by an orange 'm' or a green 'c' respectively, in bold for full targeting or in italics for partial targeting. In (**A**) some lanes were spliced for clarity; the uncropped blot is provided in Fig. S28. The migration of Venus without any presequence, and Venus with additional amino acids at the N-terminus left over after cleavage of cTP-C and mTP-C, are indicated for reference based on free Venus and cTP/mTP controls respectively. The cTP control is RCA1-cTP (construct $^cCH_R{}^c$), the mTP control is CAG2-mTP (construct $MH_R{}^m$).

A) cTPs are longer

B) cTPs are less helical

C) cTP helices start later

Fig. 6. Chloroplast and mitochondrial targeting HA-RAMPs match cTPs and mTPs respectively. For salient properties, Chlamydomonas mTPs and cTPs are compared to our 13 HA-RAMPs. TP distributions are shown as boxplots (centre line: median; box limits: upper and lower quartiles; whiskers: min/max values within 1.5x interquartile range), coloured points represent individual peptides. The position of the CAG2-mTP and RCA1-cTP is circled in each graph. The non-zero value for RCA1-cTP in (B) and the single circle in (C) report on the amphipathic helix established by an NMR-study (Krimm et al., 1999), since no helix could be predicted by our approach. HA-RAMPs are colour-coded by preferred targeting: orange = mt-set; green = cp-set (cf. Fig. 2). (**A**) cTPs are significantly longer than mTPs (p=0.0017), and cp-set are longer than mt-set HA-RAMPs (p=0.0184). (**B**) Helices make up a significantly smaller fraction of cTPs than mTPs (p<0.0001), and HA-RAMPs show a similar trend (0.1122). (**C**) cTPs contain significantly longer sequence stretches upstream of the longest predicted helix than mTPs (p<0.0001), as do cp-set compared to mt-set HA-RAMPs (p=0.0205). Reported p-values were obtained through two-way t-tests for TPs and one-way t-tests for HA-RAMPs.

A) How TP elements may contribute to different targeting steps

1) Membrane interaction 2) PMF-energized import 3) Cleavage Avoid degradation? 1) Membrane and protein interactions 2) Passive setup 3) Cleavage

mitochondria | chloroplast

B) How TPs may have evolved from HA-RAMPs

1) Replacement of basic residues 2) Cleavage site more helical

HA-RAMPs

3') N-terminus addition 4) further Evolution mTP

3) Recruitment of unstructured HA-RAMPs cTP more unstructured

1119

Fig. 7. Proposed functioning and evolution of TPs. Abbreviations: OM – outer

membrane, IMS – inter-membrane space, IM – inner membrane, OE – outer envelope,

IES – inter-envelope space, IE – inner envelope, PMF – proton motive force, TOM –

translocon of the outer mitochondrial membrane, TIM – translocon of the inner

mitochondrial membrane, TOC – translocon of the outer chloroplast envelope, TIC –

translocon of the inner chloroplast envelope, MPP – matrix processing peptidase, SPP

– stromal processing peptidase, R – Arginine, K – Lysine, F – Phenylalanine, mTP –

mitochondrial targeting peptide, cTP – chloroplast transit peptide. (**A**) A number of

roles for TP elements during protein import are suggested. Since ubiquitination targets

K residues, a preference for R in TPs may increase preprotein stability. R may also play

a role in lipid interactions. (1) membrane interactions may play a role in enabling

differential targeting due to organelle-specific lipid preferences of TP helices. Protein

interactions by cTP N- and C-terminal elements, e.g. with cytosolic factors or TOC-components (subunits are not shown for simplicity), may also play a role in specific targeting. (2) Import of positively-charged mTPs across IM is energized by the PMF. By contrast, a passive setup is required for cTPs to allow N-termini to reach into the stroma and contact the motor complex, likely contributing to increased length and relatively unstructured N- and C-termini of cTPs. (3) Sequence elements contributing to targeting may be present downstream of cleavage sites in cTPs. (**B**) If TPs evolved from AMPs, 1) HA-RAMPs would have first changed K to R and 2) acquired a cleavage site to become mTPs. To generate cTPs, either 3) more unstructured HA-RAMPs were recruited directly to become cTPs by undergoing a K to R shift and acquiring a cleavage site separately, or 3') mTPs acquired an N-terminal non-helical domain. Early cTP N-termini likely contained a starting F (Wunder et al., 2007). 4) Further evolution would have reinforced the differences between cTPs and mTPs to limit mis-targeting.

1172   **Fig. S25** HA-RAMP properties determine their targeting propensities

1173   **Fig. S26** Higher protein interactivity predicted for cTPs than mTPs

1174   **Fig. S27** Hsp70 and (F)GLK sites are present in HA-RAMPs

1175   **Fig. S28** Western Blots for K-bearing constructs

1176

1177   **Table S1** Similarity of HA-RAMPs to TPs quantified (Excel file)

1178   **Table S2** Numeric values derived of bioinformatic analyses (Excel file)

1179   **Table S3** Automated image quantification data (Excel file)