1 Assessing single-component gene drive systems in the mosquito *Aedes*
2 *aegypti* via single generation crosses and modeling
3

4 William Reid[1§], Adeline E Williams[2,3§], Irma Sanchez-Vargas[2], Jingyi Lin[1], Rucsanda Juncu[1], Ken E
5 Olson[2], Alexander WE Franz*[1]
6
7 [1]Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA.
8 [2]Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, Colorado State University, Fort
9 Collins, CO 80523, USA.
10 [3]Current address: Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and
11 Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD, 20852, USA.
12
13 §Contributed equally
14 *Corresponding author franza@missouri.edu

15
16
17 **Short running head:** CRISPR/Cas9 gene drive in *Ae. aegypti*
18
19 **Keywords:** gene drive blocking indels, arbovirus, CRISPR, genomic position effect, population
20 replacement
21

24
25
26 **This PDF file includes:**
27   Main Text
28   Figures 1 to 6
29   Tables 1 to 4
30
31

ABSTRACT

The yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is a major vector of arthropod-borne viruses, including dengue, chikungunya, and Zika. A novel approach to mitigate arboviral infections is to generate mosquitoes refractory to infection by overexpressing antiviral effector molecules. Such an approach requires a mechanism to spread these antiviral effectors through a population, for example, by using CRISPR/Cas9-based gene drive (GD) systems. Critical to the design of a single-locus autonomous GD is that the selected genomic locus be amenable to both GD and appropriate expression of the antiviral effector. In our study, we used reverse engineering to target two intergenic genomic loci, which had previously shown to be highly permissive for antiviral effector gene expression, and we further investigated the use of three promoters (*nanos, β2-tubulin,* or *zpg*) for Cas9 expression. We then quantified the accrual of insertions or deletions (indels) after single generation crossings, measured maternal effects, and assessed fitness costs associated with the various transgenic lines to model the rate of GD fixation. Overall, MGDrivE modeling suggested that when an autonomous GD is placed into an intergenic locus, the GD system will eventually be blocked by the accrual of GD blocking resistance alleles and ultimately be lost in the population. Moreover, while genomic locus and promoter selection were critically important for the initial establishment of the autonomous GD, it was the fitness of the GD line that most strongly influenced the persistence of the GD in the simulated population. As such, we propose that when autonomous CRISPR/Cas9 based GD systems are anchored in an intergenic locus, they temporarily result in a strong population replacement effect, but as GD-blocking indels accrue, the GD becomes exhausted due to the fixation of CRISPR resistance alleles.

Significance statement

For the purpose of population replacement, CRISPR/Cas9 based gene drives (GD) have been developed in *Anopheles* spp. and split GDs have been developed in *Ae. aegypti.* In our study, we developed autonomous GD in *Ae. aegypti* and positioned the drives in intergenic loci ideal for the expression of antiviral effector genes. Our results suggest that when the GD is placed into an intergenic locus, there is rapid introgression of the GD resulting in a transient population

replacement followed by loss of the drive as resistance alleles accrue. Fitness of the transgenic

lines and maternal deposition of CRISPR/Cas9 components were the major contributing factors

affecting the perseverance of the GD in our population models.

INTRODUCTION

The yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is the principal vector of arthropod-borne viruses

(arboviruses) such as dengue, yellow fever, chikungunya, and Zika in tropical regions of the

world (1-3). Presently, the major strategy to control *Ae. aegypti* populations relies on the use of

Bacillus thuringiensis var *israelensis* (*Bti*) and chemical insecticides; however, these approaches

have led to multiple mechanisms of insecticide resistance, warranting the ongoing quest for

alternative methods of control (4-5). Novel approaches, including the incompatible insect

technique (IIT) using the intracellular parasite *Wolbachia* (6, 7) and transgenic mosquitoes

containing dominant lethal transgenes (RIDL, fsRIDL), have been tested in the field (8, 9). Other

promising technologies, including precision-guided sterile insect technique (pgSIT) have been

developed for the purposes of localized, confinable management of *Ae. aegypti* populations (10,

11). Another genetic control strategy, termed population replacement, aims at spreading an

antipathogen (*i.e.*, antiviral) effector through a targeted mosquito population. Antiviral

effectors, specifically those blocking dengue and Zika viruses in *Ae. aegypti*, have been

previously developed and tested (12-17). Linking an autonomous gene drive (GD) system to the

antiviral effector could lead to super-Mendelian inheritance of the transgene within the

targeted mosquito population (18). As a consequence, the proportion of the population

harboring the effectors would be increased, resulting in the emergence of mosquitoes refractory

to arbovirus transmission. For such a broad-scale population replacement approach, the GD

system needs to overcome several limitations, including: 1) the antiviral effector must provide

sufficient efficacy to render the targeted population refractory, 2) the inheritance of the GD

must be greater than any fitness cost associated with the GD, and 3) the GD must be able to

outpace the development of GD resistant alleles. GD systems utilizing a homing endonuclease

gene (HEG) based approach were initially proposed in 2003 and subsequently demonstrated in

2008 in *Anopheles gambiae* as a fully synthetic homing GD using the DNA cleaving intron-

encoded endonuclease I-SceI (19). The CRISPR/Cas9 system has been modified to allow for HEG-

based GDs in *Drosophila melanogaster* (20), along with several mosquito species including

Anopheles stephensi (21, 22), *An. gambiae* (23), and *Ae. aegypti* (10, 24). The HEG-based GD

developed in *Ae. aegypti* inserted itself into the *white* gene on chromosome 1 and contained a

single guide RNA (sgRNA)-expressing cassette that targeted the locus, along with an eGFP eye

marker as cargo (10).

Highly invasive GD systems are thought to carry substantial environmental risk since they are

not designed to self-eliminate or be confinable to a region. However, several systems have been

developed that would allow for their recall, including systems that destroy or overwrite the GD,

and more recently, a "biodegradable" self-eliminating GD system (25-28). Split-GD systems have

been developed for *Ae. aegypti*; by design – these GD systems are modelled to be self-limiting

or self-extinguishing (10, 24). Five different *Ae. aegypti* lines, each of which expressed Cas9

under the control of a different promoter (*i.e.*, *exuperantia*, *4nitro*, *trunk*, *nup50*, and *PUb*) were

used to drive *in trans* an sgRNA-expressing cassette positioned in the *white* gene (10, 29). The

work by Li *et al* (2020) demonstrated an efficient split GD system that was designed to be

confinable (10). Of major concern to the development of an autonomous GD system with an

associated genetically linked antipathogen effector is that the expression patterns for the HEG-

based GD and the antipathogen effector are spatio-temporally distinct from each other. That is,

the HEG-based GD is ideally active in the germline during early gametogenesis while the

antipathogen effector is ideally expressed in tissues associated with the pathogen in the

mosquitoes, such as in the female midgut. Therefore, the genomic locus for an autonomous

CRISPR/Cas9 GD must allow for both the expression of the GD as well as the antipathogen

effector. Multiple viral effectors have been developed and tested in *Ae. aegypti* (30), with these

effectors all being incorporated into the genome in a quasi-random fashion using transposons.

While multiple genomic loci have been identified to be suitable for transgene expression in *Ae.*

aegypti, two of our previous studies have identified genomic loci that reliably allow for high

levels of gene expression in the female midgut following ingestion of a blood meal (13, 31). In

our studies, midgut-specific transgenes were placed under control of the *carboxypeptidase A*

promoter and identified two genomic loci that exhibited strong transgene expression: 'Carb 109'

(C109) and 'TIMP-P4' (T4) (13, 31). In addition, the Carb109 locus was found to be highly stable

for more than 50 generations for a dengue virus type 2 (DENV2)-targeting inverted-repeat

effector and represents an ideal genomic locus for the insertion and expression of antiviral

effectors. In our study, we took a reverse engineering approach to design, build, and test

autonomous CRISPR/Cas9-based GD systems that position the GD at intergenic loci known to

allow for efficient transgene expression in the midgut and investigated three promoters/3'-UTR

for the expression of the Cas9 nuclease. Following establishment, we characterized the GD along

with fitness parameters of the GD harboring lines and modeled how they would behave as a

single release in a confined area. Overall, our study demonstrates the effects of placing an

autonomous GD system in an intergenic genomic locus and highlights the many variables that

ultimately affect transgenic population fixation in the context of GD performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of cDNA constructs

The constructs used for establishing the GD lines were prepared in three sequential steps: 1) an

'empty' gene drive destination vector containing the homology arms corresponding to the

destination locus (*i.e.*: TIMP-P4 or Carb109); 2) the NLS-Cas9 gene from the pHsp70 Cas9

plasmid (Addgene plasmid #46294 (32)) connected to the promoters and 3'-UTRs from the

β2tubulin (AAEL019894), *nanos* (AAEL012107), and *innexin-4* (*zpg*, AAEL006726) genes,

respectively, 3) the respective sgRNA targeting either the TIMP-P4 or the Carb109 locus under

control of the U6:3 snRNA promoter (AAEL017774).

Construction of 'empty' destination vectors for the GD cassettes

The 'empty' destination vector for the TIMP-P4 locus (Chr2:32138225) was constructed as

previously described for plasmid AeaeCFPT4 (**Table S6**) with the exception that the eCFP marker

was replaced with that of mCherry as a two-fragment Gibson assembly (17). The ORF of

mCherry was amplified using primers BR-100 and BR-101, while primers BR-98 and BR-99 were

used to amplify the full backbone of the destination vector minus the eCFP marker (**Table S5**).

The two PCR fragments were then assembled using the HiFi Gibson Assembly kit from NEB

(Waltham, MA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The 'empty' destination vector for the

Carb109 locus (3: 409699138) was constructed by first amplifying the 459 bp upstream

homology arm (genomic positions AaegL5_3:409698681-409699140) using primers BR-368 and

BR-122, followed by insertion of the amplicon into pBluescript using *Kpn*I and *Xho*I. The 541 bp

downstream homology arm (genomic positions AaegL5_3: 3:409699139-409699680) was then

amplified using primers BR-113 and BR-364, followed by insertion of the amplicon into the

previous intermediate plasmid using *Xho*I and *Sac*II. Finally, the 3xP3-mCherry-SV40 cassette

was amplified from the empty TIMP-P4 destination vector using primers BR-54 and BR-55 and

cloned into the destination vector using *Xho*I followed by screening for orientation using primers

BR-60 and BR-54.

Construction of the β2tubulinCas9 cassette

The basic components of the β2tubulinCas9 construct were assembled in pUC19 in three steps.

First, the *β2tubulin* promoter/5'-UTR (AaegL5_2: 326339137-326338047) fragment was

amplified from the *Ae. aegypti* genome (HWE strain) using primers BR-32 and BR-33 and cloned

into pUC19 using *Hind*III and *Pst*I, which introduced an *Xho*I site upstream of the promoter and

an *Nco*I site at the end of the 5'-UTR of *β2tubulin*. The 4272 bp ORF of Cas9 was then amplified

from pHsp70 Cas9 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #46294 (32)) using primers BR-34 and BR-35 and

inserted into the plasmid vector using *Nco*I and *Sal*I. Finally, the 3'-UTR fragment of *β2tubulin*

(AaegL5_2:326336649-326336454) was amplified using BR-28 and BR-29 and inserted into the

assembly plasmid vector using *Sal*I and *Xba*I. The entire cassette was then removable from

pUC19 using *Xho*I and *Xba*I for cloning into the TIMP-P4 or Carb109 destination vectors as either

*Xho*I/*Xba*I or *Xho*I/blunted insertions.

Construction of the nanosCas9 cassette

The 1159 bp *nanos* promoter fragment (AaegL5_1:228706-229865) was amplified from the HWE

strain of *Ae. aegypti* using primers BR-40 and BR-41 and cloned into pBluescript using *Xho*I

followed by screening for orientation using primers BR-99 and BR-41. The 4272 bp ORF of Cas9

was then amplified from the pHsp70 Cas9 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #46294 (32)) using primers

BR-34 and BR-35 and inserted into pBluescript downstream of the *nanos* promoter fragment

using a *Nco*I and *Sal*I digest for the PCR product and a *Pci*I/*Sal*I digest for the plasmid vector.

Finally, the 594 bp *nanos* 3'-UTR fragment (AaegL5_1:240330-240924) was amplified from the

Ae. aegypti genome (HWE strain) using primers BR-42 and BR-43 and cloned into the assembly

plasmid vector downstream of the Cas9 ORF using *Stu*I and *Nhe*I.

Construction of Carb109-zpgCas9^{GD}

The 1729 bp *zpg* promoter fragment (AaegL5_2:84862322-84863150) was amplified from

genomic DNA of the HWE strain of *Ae. aegypti* using primers BR-655 and BR-656. The promoter

region for the *zpg* gene in the HWE strain contained a 144 bp deletion (positions

AaegL5_2:84863229-848632372), which was 825 bp upstream of the +1 ATG of the *zpg* ORF.

The PCR product containing the promoter region was digested with *Xho*I and *Xba*I and cloned

into pBluescript. In addition, primer BR-655 was internally tagged with a *Bbs*I site to allow for

downstream scarless introduction of Cas9. The 1357 bp 3'-UTR of the *zpg* gene

(AaegL5_2:84865497-84866853) was amplified from *Ae. aegypti* (HWE strain) genomic DNA

using primers BR-660 and BR-683 and cloned into the vector containing the *zpg* promoter using

*Pst*I and *Spe*I. In addition, primer BR-660 was internally tagged with an inverted *Bbs*I site to

allow for downstream scarless introduction of Cas9. Subsequently, the 4272 bp ORF of Cas9 was

amplified from pHsp70 Cas9 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #46294 (32)) using primers BR-666 and

BR-667 and cloned into the vector between the *zpg* promoter and the 3'-UTR for *zpg* using *Bbs*I

for scarless, directional cloning.

Assembly of the Carb109-3xP3-eCFP eye marker vector

The Carb109-3xP3-eCFP vector was constructed by replacing the mCherry ORF from the Carb109

destination vector with eCFP. The eCFP ORF was amplified from plasmid AeaeCFPT4 using

primers BR-100 and BR-101 (17). The mCherry-deleted backbone from the empty Carb109

destination vector was amplified using BR-98 and BR-99. The two PCR fragments were then

assembled using the HiFi Gibson Assembly kit from NEB (Waltham, MA) following the

manufacturer's instructions.

Assembly of the Pol-III promoter/chiRNA 'empty' vector

The active U6 promoter from Konet *et al*., 2007 (33) was synthesized as a gBlock (IDT,

Corralville, IA) starting with the promoter region for AAEL017774 (AaegL5_3:382122755-

382123154, minus strand) with a G to A substitution at the -6 position relative to the TATA box

in order to domesticate a *Bbs*I restriction site and allow for Golden-Gate cloning (34). The sgRNA

scaffold from Dang *et al*. (2015) (35) was placed downstream of the promoter and separated by

two inverted *Bbs*I sites oriented such that the generated 5' overhang regions were present

within the promoter and chiRNA respectively (36). Primers tagged with *Sac*II restriction sites

were used to PCR amplify the full gBlock cDNA, which was then cloned into pBluescript using

*Sac*II. Subsequently, the sgRNA programming for all gRNA was prepared by digesting the empty

Pol III promoter/chiRNA vector with *Bbs*I followed by ligation of the respective adapter primers

(BR- 360 and BR-361 for the TIMP-P4 locus, BR-362 and BR-363 for the Carb109 locus). Adapters

were unphosphorylated and tagged with 5'-AAAT for the protospacer sequence (PAM-sense

strand) and 5'-AAAC for the corresponding reverse complement (PAM antisense strand),

following the approach outlined in Gokcezade *et al*., 2014 (36). The respective programmed U6-

sgRNA cassettes were then amplified using BR-350 and BR-351 and cloned into the destination

vectors using *Sac*II followed by screening for orientation using the appropriate forward adapter

(BR-360 for TIMP-P4 and BR-362 for Carb109) and M13R primers.

Assembly of the pAeT7ku70 plasmid for dsRNA production

A total of 10 fourth instar *Ae. aegypti* (HWE strain) larvae were collected, flash frozen on dry ice

and then extracted for total RNA using TRIzol reagent (Carlsbad, CA). First strand cDNA synthesis

was performed using the Protoscript cDNA kit (NEB, Waltham, MA) following the manufacturer's

instructions. The ku70 dsRNA template was then amplified from the cDNA using primers BR-44

and BR-45, which tagged both ends of the PCR product with the T7 promoter (37).

Subsequently, the T7-tagged PCR product was amplified using *Hind*III-tagged T7 (BR-80) and

cloned into pUC19 using *Hind*III.

Final assemblies of GD constructs

The final assemblies of the gene drive constructs were prepared using a three-step cloning

strategy. Cas9 cassettes for the TIMP-P4 or the Carb109 locus were cloned into their respective

destination vectors using *Xho*I/*Sac*II, followed by the addition of the mCherry fluorescent marker

under control of the 3xP3 synthetic promoter (38) using *Xho*I, and finally the addition of the

appropriate U6 promoter plus sgRNA using *Sac*II. The schematic for our GD constructs is

presented in **Figure S2**, and the primers used in our study are listed in **Table S5.** The final

sequences for the constructs are available at NCBI as outlined in **Table S6**.

Generation of transgenic lines

Mosquito rearing

The HWE strain of *Ae. aegypti* was used for the generation of all transgenic lines in our study.

The larval stages were reared in deionized water at 28°C with a 12:12 (L:D) photoperiod and fed

a diet of Tetramin Tropical flakes (Tetra Spectrum Brands Pet, LLC, Blacksburg, VA). Pupae were

transferred to plastic containers and allowed to emerge in 18 cubic-inch cages and provided

with deionized water and raisins as food sources.

CRISPR/Cas9 target site identification

For our initial testing, we first assessed the activities of six sgRNAs for activity proximal to the

Carb109 genome locus, while the active sgRNA for the TIMP-P4 genome locus was already

reported (17). To accomplish this, we prepared all injection mix material so that the final

concentrations of Cas9-NLS protein was 300 ng/µL and the concentration of sgRNA was 80

ng/µL. Then, three sets of approximately 100 pre-blastoderm embryos were injected with

injection mix and incubated overnight (18 h – 24 h) at 27°C before being homogenized and

extracted for gDNA into each of three pools. The genomic sequence of the Carb109 locus was

then PCR amplified using primers BR-60 and BR-65, and the resulting amplicons gel-purified

using the Zymo Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, San Diego, CA). PCR products were

subsequently sequenced at the University of Missouri Genomics Technology Core and analyzed

visually for trace decay at the predicted CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage site. All products were sequenced

in both directions to confirm the correct site of sequence decay.

Harvest and microinjection of embryos

Cages of 7-10 day-old mosquitoes were provided with a blood meal (defibrinated sheep blood,

Colorado Serum, Denver, CO) and maintained at 28°C under a 12:12 (L:D) photoperiod for four

days prior to injection. Groups of 15-20 hyper-gravid females were then mouth aspirated into an

aluminum foil-wrapped 50 mL conical tube containing two 2.5 x 0.5 cm strips of moistened,

overlapping Whatman #1 filter paper and allowed to oviposit for 20 min. Early embryos were

then aligned over a period of 20 to 30 min using a fine spotter paint brush such that all posterior

ends of the embryos faced the same direction. Aligned embryos were then transferred to

double-faced tape (Scotch brand, 3M Columbia, MO) affixed to a plastic coverslip (Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA) and covered with a layer of Halocarbon 27 oil (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,

MO) prior to the visible onset of melanization. Embryos were then injected with injection mix

using a pulled and beveled micropipette capillary tube (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA)

connected to a Femtojet 5247 air compressor (Eppendorf, Germany) at 650 psi positive pressure

and 100 psi backpressure. Immediately following injection, the Halocarbon 27 oil was gently

washed from the embryos with deionized water, and the embryos were allowed to age in a

humid chamber for a minimum of 2 h prior to transfer to a 500 mL plastic beaker lined with 5-10

layers of moistened Kimwipes. Injected embryos (herein referred to as G_0) were then

maintained in the humid plastic beaker for 6 days, transferred to a small plastic cup filled with

deionized water and allowed to hatch out. G_0 survivors were reared as described above and

backcrossed to non-transgenic HWE. For the backcrossing, male G_0 individuals were individually

provided with 5-10 virgin females and allowed to mate for 3-5 days then pooled into larger

cartons using 20 of the smaller cartons for each large carton. Female G_0 were collected into

large cartons (up to 100 per carton) and provided with half the number of virgin HWE males and

allowed to mate for 3-5 days. All cages were then provided with a minimum of three blood

meals (defibrinated sheep blood, Colorado Serum) and allowed to lay eggs onto filter papers. G_1

eggs were allowed to mature for five days and were then hatched, reared to fourth instar, and

screened for the presence of the fluorescence marker. Positive G_1 individuals were subsequently

outcrossed to HWE again to establish the transgenic lines. Integration of the transgene was

validated by PCR and Sanger sequencing using primers BR-13 and BR-115 for transgenes at the

Carb109 locus and primers BR-51 and BR-73 at the TIMP-P4 locus.

Genetic crosses to test for GD activity

An initial pool of G_0 hemizygous individuals was established for each of the gene drive lines by

outcrossing 40 transgenic males to 200 HWE virgins *en masse* and allowing them to mate and

take a blood meal. The G_0 eggs were subsequently collected and used as the starting material

for each of the drive activity crosses in our study. From the G_0 pools, a total of 12 hemizygous

males were individually crossed to two virgin HWE females, and 20-25 hemizygous females were

crossed to an equal number of male HWE *en masse* and allowed to mate for 3-5 days. The

individual "male founder" containers were each provided with their own blood meals, while the

"female founder" container was provided with a common blood meal, followed by the transfer

of blood-fed females to individual cartons for egg laying. Following oviposition, egg papers were

removed and allowed to develop for a minimum of five days prior to hatching individually into 4

oz plastic cups. Larvae were reared to third/fourth instar and scored for the presence of the

transgenic marker to determine the level of gene drive inheritance. Following the scoring of the

outcross-1 (OX-1) mosquitoes, two pools of crosses representing either a 'low' level (*i.e.*,

Mendelian-like), or 'high' level (*i.e.*, Super-Mendelian-like) were selected from each cross such

that an additional 12 female and 12 male G_1 hemizygotes could be outcrossed again to HWE for

the OX-2 assessment. The selection of the 'low' and 'high' pool, therefore, did not necessarily

represent the lowest and highest levels of gene drive inheritance, but rather served as

representative pools from which to establish the following outcross. From within OX-1, non-

transgenic individuals were saved to assess for the presence of GDBI. For the AeaNosC109[GD] and

AeaZpgC109[GD] lines, all non-transgenic mosquitoes were selected for assessment, while for the

AeaNosT4[GD] and Aeaβ2tC109[GD] lines, a total of 20 and 10 individuals were selected, respectively.

All crosses were duplicated and the data pooled for analysis.

Statistical analyses

Comparisons of the OX-1 gene drive levels for the lines were assessed in R using a Kruskal-
Wallace non- parametric ANOVA followed by a Dunn-Bonferroni *post hoc* means separation
procedure to test for significance across all groups and all lines. Comparisons of the OX-2 gene
drive levels, and assessments of gene drive blocking indels were conducted in R using a Kruskal-
Wallace nonparametric ANOVA followed by a Dunn-Bonferroni *post hoc* means separation
procedure to test for significance among individual crosses for all groups within each line.
Comparisons of the maternal and paternal contributions were assessed using pairwise T-testing
in R following a Shapiro-Wilk test for normality. Deviation from the expected Mendelian
inheritance level for the eCFP marker in the paternal contribution testing was conducted
manually using Chi-Square analysis.

Testing of maternal contribution of Cas9-ribonucleo-protein (RNP)

Initial crosses to establish trans-heterozygous mosquitoes were conducted using AeaNosC109^{GD}
females outcrossed to males harboring a 3xP3-eCFP-SV40 fluorescent eye marker at the
Carb109 locus (**Figure S3**). The positionings of the fluorescent markers in both transgenes were
in opposite orientation to one another to mitigate the potential for crossing over. Following this
cross, fourth instar progeny were screened and selected for the presence of both an mCherry
(gene drive) and an eCFP (null drive) marker. From this cross, 500 females of either the
AeaNosC109^{GD} or the AeaZpgC109^{GD} lines were outcrossed to 200 HWE males. Approximately
1000 embryos resulting from these crosses were then microinjected with 100 ng/μL of sgRNA
targeting the TIMP-P4 locus. Three rounds of injection, each > 1000 embryos were performed
for each of the crosses. Embryos were allowed to develop for one week prior to hatch, then
surviving larvae were reared to L3 and genotyped under a fluorescent microscope for the
presence of either the eCFP marker or mCherry marker. The DNA was then individually
extracted from each larva and assessed for GDBI at both the Carb109 and TIMP-P4 loci as
previously described.

With this assay, any activity at the Carb109 locus in the male (non-transgenic) allele for

AeaeCFPC109 mosquitoes would indicate a maternal provisioning of both the Cas9 and the

sgRNA targeting the Carb109 locus. Since it was possible that the Cas9 protein could be

inherited without the sgRNA, we further injected the early embryos with the sgRNA targeting

the TIMP-P4 locus. This allowed for us to interrogate whether Cas9 had been supplied

maternally (AeaeCFPC109 inheriting progeny) or if Cas9 had been supplied maternally and could

also be expressed in the early embryo/larva (mCherry GD inheriting).

Testing of parental effect resulting in GD activity

To test for a parental effect that results in GD activity, trans-heterozygous crosses were

performed as similarly described for the testing of the maternal effect, with the addition that

crosses were performed reciprocally to include male trans-heterozygous parentals as well. The

progeny from these crosses were scored for the numbers of offspring containing either mCherry

or an eCFP eye marker to test for the possibility of a fitness cost at the germline level relative to

the eCFP (null drive) marker. The female progeny from each of these crosses were saved (within

cross) and subsequently mated to an equal number of HWE males, then provided with a single

blood meal after which females were separated to allow them to lay eggs individually. Eggs were

allowed to mature for at least 5 d, then hatched and scored for the presence of the eCFP marker

to determine the level of transgene inheritance.

Assessment of GDBI

GDBI were assessed as inheritable refractory indels by analyzing the nucleotide sequence of the

alternative inheritable allele in outcrossed hemizygous individuals. For the OX-1 generation of

the gene drive assessment assays, non-transgenic mosquitoes were individually analyzed by PCR

using primers BR-20 and BR-23 for the TIMP-P4 locus and BR-60 and BR-65 for the Carb109

locus. PCR products were amplified, purified using the Zymo Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo

Research, San Diego, CA), and Sanger sequenced. Chromatogram traces were then assessed

manually for trace decay at the predicted CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage site. For the assessment of GDBI

in the OX-2 generation, all non-transgenic mosquitoes within a cross were pooled and their DNA

extracted to obtain an 'average' number of GDBI for the non-drive-inheriting mosquitoes. PCR

products were then amplified using primers BR-724 and BR-725 for the Carb109 locus and BR-

726 and BR-727 for the TIMP-P4 locus to obtain the 'round 1' PCR products for Illumina whole-

amplicon sequencing. The first round PCR was held to 30 cycles. PCR products were

subsequently purified using the Zymo Gel Extraction kit (Zymo Research, San Diego, CA) and

adjusted to 1 ng/uL using a Qubit fluorimeter (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham MA), and

material was subsequently sequenced using paired end reads of 250 bp length (PE250) on an

Illumina MiSeq instrument. The resulting reads were trimmed using Cutadapt v1.01 (39) and

assessed for indels using Crispresso v2 (40). The output files of Crispresso v2 containing

insertions, deletions, and substitutions (assumed to be a composite event of deletion/insertion)

were then summed up to generate the value for 'indels'.

Fitness cost studies

Life parameter data was collected from male hemizygote AeaNosC109^{GD} and AeaZpgC109^{GD}

parentals as well as from HWE for comparison. Each fitness measurement was calculated as an

average from a minimum of 100 individual egg papers per mosquito strain $\pm$ standard error of

the mean. All data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA, and if a significant difference was

found, one-tailed t-tests were performed comparing HWE and each transgenic line. All

mosquitoes were maintained at 28 °C with 75 –80% relative humidity and a 12 h light / 12 h dark

cycle. Data are displayed in **Table S7**.

Fecundity

Three to five days post-emergence, hemizygous males or HWE males were mated *en masse* with

virgin HWE females in ratios of 5 males : 1 female using 64 oz. cartons (WebstaurantStore,

catalog number: 76 999SOUP64WB) each containing ~ 150 mosquitoes. Around 2-3 days later,

females were offered an artificial blood meal containing defibrinated sheep blood (Colorado

Serum Co., Denver, CO, USA) and 10 mM ATP, after which engorged females were retained. Two

to three days later, individual females were placed in 50 ml conical tubes lined with Whatman

Grade 1 Qualitative Filter Paper (catalog number: 1001-824) and filled with ~ 5 ml tap water.

Females were allowed to lay eggs for 1-2 days. Papers were visually inspected for eggs, which

were then quantified for fecundity, defined as the number of eggs a female oviposited in one

gonotrophic cycle. Egg papers were dried for a minimum of 5 days.

Fertility, sex ratios, larva viability, and larva-to-pupa development

Individual egg papers were hatched in ~ 100 ml freshly sterilized deionized water using ProPak

1600 polypropylene clear deli containers (Webstaurant) and were offered a ground fish food

(Tetramin, Melle, Germany) slurry *ad libitum*. Around 2-3 days post-initial hatch, the egg papers

were removed from the water and were allowed to dry again overnight. All egg papers were

then rehatched in the same containers from which they were initially hatched. Larvae (2nd-4th

instars) were visually inspected under a fluorescent microscope for expression of the mCherry

eye marker. Both positive and negative larvae were counted, but negative larvae were

eventually discarded. Fertility was calculated as the total number of larvae / total number of

eggs. Female and male pupae were collected every day, up to 14 days post-hatch, and the

timepoints of pupation were recorded. Around 150 mosquitoes (either males or females) of

each mosquito line were each pooled into small cups and allowed to emerge in 64 oz cartons.

Sex ratio was defined as the total number of males or females / the total number of pupae; larva

viability was defined as the total number of pupae / total number of eggs; and larva-to-pupa

development was defined as the average time to pupae development post-egg hatch.

Male competition and adult longevity

Using virgin adults, 50 transgenic and 50 HWE males (age matched) were allowed to mate with

100 HWE females for 2-3 days. Females were then offered an artificial blood meal containing

defibrinated sheep blood and 10 mM ATP. Eggs from individual engorged females were

obtained as described above for the fecundity assay. Around 5 days later, the egg papers were

hatched in sterile water, and larvae were fed ground Tetramin fish food *ad libitum*. Larvae (2nd-

4th instars) were visually inspected under a fluorescent microscope for the expression of the

mCherry eye marker. Male competition data was calculated as the number of positive G_2 larvae

/ total number of larvae. For the longevity assay, 50 male and 50 female transgenic mosquitoes

(3-5 days post-emergence) were maintained in 64 oz. containers and offered raisins (as a sugar

source) and water. Every day, the number of dead mosquitoes was recorded and longevity was

calculated as the average number of days passed when 50% of the male or female mosquitoes

had died across cartons.

MGDrivE population modeling

The mosquito GD explorer (MGDrivE v 1.6.0) was used to model the inheritance of the

AeaNosC109^{GD} and AeaZpgC109^{GD} lines (41). A stable population was set to 10000 mosquitoes

at a 1:1 sex ratio with no migration out of, or into, the main patch. A single release of 2000

homozygous male mosquitoes (20%) was simulated at day 25 for 100 stochastic samplings for

1500 days (AeaNosC109^{GD}) and 4000 days (AeaZpgC109^{GD}). In the MGDrivE package, the cube

"Cube-CRISPR2MF.R" allows for sex-specific rates of drive and allows for the modeling of two

types of resistance alleles: those that block the GD, yet cause no fitness cost (R), and those that

block the GD but result in a fitness cost (B). Since it was predicted that a GDBI occurring in an

intergenic locus would cause no fitness cost, we modified the tMatrices in the cube script

"Cube-CRISPR2MF.R" to still allow for sex-specific rates of drive and indel causing activities, but

we condensed the (R) and (B) alleles to a common (R) allele, which represented all GDBI and

assumed no fitness cost to that genotype. The modified gtype and tMatrices are provided as

Table S8. We further plotted an additional figure panel that summed females with any

combination of genotypes containing a GD allele and any combination of genotypes without a

GD allele to simulation. These values were used to estimate the proportion of a hypothetical

linked antiviral effector gene to represent females having a viral refractory phenotype. The

parameters used for our model and how they were calculated are provided in **Table S9**. The

entomological parameters popGrowth and muAD were left as default, based on literature. tEgg

and tPupa were assumed to be 5 and 2, respectively, based on standard laboratory rearing

practices and observations. tLarva was calculated as the average number of days of larva-to-

pupa development. betaK was calculated as the average fecundity * 4 (the average number of

blood meals a female takes during her lifetime) / 11 (the average lifespan of a female mosquito

in an urban environment) (42). They were determined from **Table 3** by adding the marker

positive mosquitoes from the "low" and "high" pools together to obtain the total number of

correct homing events. The number of incorrect homing events (*i.e.*, GDBI formation) was

estimated by multiplying the total number of marker-negative mosquitoes from the "low" and

"high" pools by the fraction of the tested OX-1 marker-negative progeny identified to contain

GDBI. This was done in place of a direct count since some of the samples from the OX-1 marker-

negative larvae did not provide a PCR product that could be sequenced for the assessment of

GDBIs. Then, to calculate the parameters cF and cM, the number of marker positive and GDBI

positive mosquitoes were summed and divided by the total N. From this number, the proportion

that gave rise to correct homing events was determined as chF and chM, with the resistance

rate formation parameters crF and crM calculated as 1-chF or 1-chM, respectively. The rate of

maternal deposition, dF, was calculated as the average rate of GDBI observed for the Carb109

locus for eCFP-inheriting offspring from the trans-heterozygous cross between the GD and the

eCFP-blocked GD. For both the AeaNosC109^{GD} and AeaZpgC109^{GD} line, we then further set the

rate of correct maternal effect homing (dhF) to 0 and the rate of incorrect maternal effect

homing (drF) to 1. Larval viability was significantly reduced for the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, which we

incorporated into the model under the xiF/xiM variables by subtracting the average larval

viability of the HWE strain from the average larval viability of the AeaNosC109^{GD} line. In the

AeaZpgC109^{GD} line, the larval viability was slightly higher than in HWE, but not significantly

different. Therefore, we set the parameters xiF and xiM to NULL for the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line.

Finally, since Li *et al*. (2020) similarly found that their transgenic split GD had an overall fitness

similar to the parental Liverpool strain, yet still included a 10% fitness cost for their modeling,

we incorporated an overall decrease in fertility by 10% for both of our modeled GD lines (10).

RESULTS

Identification of active target sites and target site polymorphisms

Prior to testing the GD lines, we first identified two targets for CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage, which

were located in non-protein encoding regions of the mosquito genome. In our previous work

(17), we identified a highly active sgRNA for the TIMP-P4 locus (**Table 1**). In our current work, we

identified a novel active CRISPR/Cas9 target site for the Carb109 locus located 1214 bp

downstream of the *mariner/Mos1* insertion site, which is the defining locus in the DENV2-

resistant Carb109 line (13). Since the Carb109 *mariner/Mos1* insertion was determined to be

within the 3'-UTR of the polyadenylate-binding protein gene (AAEL010318), we manually

selected CRISPR/Cas9 targets as close to, but not within, the AAEL010318 gene locus and

assessed for off-targets using CHOPCHOP v2 (43). In total, we assessed six sgRNA for activity

(**Table 1**) and identified only a single sgRNA (#15) that showed DNA cleavage activity. Therefore,

for all CRISPR/Cas9 based experiments at the Carb109 locus, sgRNA #15 was selected. While

sgRNA #15 was ideal for our GD testing in the laboratory-adapted HWE strain of *Ae. aegypti*, we

further wanted to estimate how conserved the sgRNA #15 target was in field strains of this

mosquito species. In addition to the assessment of sgRNA activity, we therefore also analyzed

both genomic target sites, TIMP-P4 and Carb109, for polymorphisms using data from Schmidt *et*

al (2020), which examined CRISPR/Cas9 target sites across 132 genomes of *Ae. aegypti* (44). Two

polymorphisms were found in the TIMP-P4 locus: one in the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)

that changed the second base G to a T and had an allele frequency of 0.026 among the 132

genomes tested, while the second polymorphism was at position 13 distal to the PAM that

changed the base from a G to a C at an allelic frequency of 0.009 (**Table S1**). The Carb109 locus

contained only a single polymorphism at position 19 distal to the PAM that still allows for the

use of an 18 bp protospacer, which is considered functional for Cas9 activity (45). Although most

of the data from Schmidt et al (2020) is derived from *Ae. aegypti* populations in California, we

assumed no polymorphisms for the TIMP-P4 and Carb109 loci in regard to our population

modeling.

Establishment of transgenic Ae. aegypti lines

A total of six transgenic lines were established via homologous recombination with embryo

injection efforts ranging from 568 – 1442 embryos (**Table 2**). In general, the survival rate of the

injected G_0 mosquitoes ranged from a low of 3.9% (AeaeCFPC109) to a high of 23%

(AeaNosC109^{GD}). In addition, we found that the *nanos*Cas9-U6sgRNA constructs required no

helper Cas9 or helper sgRNA to integrate into the genome. Due to the timing of expression of

native *nanos*, there was co-expression of Cas9 from the injected plasmid, and due to the

ubiquitous expression of the AAEL017774 U6 gene, there was co-expression of sgRNA as well.

Regardless, after the initial successful injection of the *nanos*Cas9-U6sgRNA construct into the

TIMP-P4 locus, helper Cas9 protein was added to all injection mixes, with the omission of the

helper sgRNA and continued inclusion of the ku70 dsRNA trigger.

GD inheritance rates over two outcrossed generations

To test for the rates of GD inheritance, a starting population was established by outcrossing

transgenic males to non-transgenic HWE females to establish hemizygous "OX-0" (outcross 0)

mosquitoes. This was performed to ensure that all mosquitoes used for testing were

hemizygous. Overall, the strongest GD performance was observed in the AeaNosC109[GD] line,

where the median inheritance rate among progeny was 70% and 73% for male and female

parentals, respectively (**Figure 1**; **Table S2**). Further, the levels of drive ranged from no drive, 45-

48%, (comparable to Mendelian inheritance rates) to super-Mendelian rates, reaching up to

96% (**Figure 1; Table S2**). When the same cargo was inserted and retargeted for the TIMP-P4

locus, however, no GD activity was observed with median values for marker inheritance

remaining at 48% and 46% for male and female parentals, respectively (**Figure 1**; **Table S2**).

Taken together, these results show that *nanos*Cas9 GDs were principally functional in *Ae.*

aegypti and overall GD activity was strongly influenced by the GD's genomic insertion site.

Regarding the *β2tubulin*Cas9 controlled GD construct, the median values for marker inheritance

demonstrated no drive activity for the Aeaβ2tC109[GD] line, with overall transgene inheritance

rates resembling Mendelian inheritance patterns as they ranged from a low of 43% in male

parentals (n = 24/56) to a high of 55% in both male (n = 16/29) and female (n = 11/20) parental

groups. Given that GD activity was observed at the Carb109 locus using the *nanos* promoter, we

tested another promoter in the same locus, *zero population growth (zpg)*, which has been

shown to have strong GD activity in *An. gambiae* but has not yet been tested in *Ae. aegypti* (46,

47). The median GD inheritance rates for the AeaZpgC109[GD] line were 56% and 68% for male

and female parentals, respectively (**Figure 1**; **Table S2**). The male transgenic parentals had a

significantly lower (*p* = 0.0258) level of drive when compared to either parental cross for the

AeaNosC109[GD] line, or to female transgenic parentals of the AeaZpgC109[GD] line. These results

showed that while the *zpg* promoter is active in *Ae. aegypti*, there may be a sex-specific effect

on Cas9 expression, which was not anticipated for this promoter since the *zpg* gene (*innexin-4*)

is involved in early germ cell development in both male and female *Drosophila* (48). Further,

given that the median GD inheritance rates for the Aeaβ2tC109[GD] line were below 50% for both

male and female parentals, it suggested that there was no CRISPR/Cas9 activity in the germline

for this line. Recent work by Terradas *et al* (2021) indicated that in *An. gambiae*, the *β2-tubulin*

promoter is expressed post-meiotically, which could explain why we did not see GD activity

when using this promoter (49). Therefore, we did not continue the Aeaβ2tC109[GD] line for further

assessment at the OX-2 level. Meanwhile, although the AeaNosT4[GD] line also did not

demonstrate any GD activity, its cargo was identical to that of the AeaNosC109[GD] line,

prompting us to continue this line for further assessment at the OX-2 level.

Regarding the OX-2 crosses, we were interested in answering two questions: 1) Would the

effects of 'low' and 'high' levels of GD inheritance from the OX-1 crosses be consistent? and 2)

Do GD blocking indels (GDBI) accrue differently for female and male transgenic parentals? We

assessed for these by first identifying individual crosses from each of the OX-1 groups that

represented 'low' and 'high' levels of drive (**Table 3**), and subsequently outcrossed transgenic

female and males reciprocally to HWE mosquitoes as was performed in OX-1. This resulted in a

total of eight crossing groups within each line to which we applied three-letter codes that

identified the parental (F 'female' or M 'male'), the grandparental (F 'female' or M 'male'), and

the level of drive observed for the OX-1 pool (L 'low' or H 'high'). When the progeny (n ranging

from 795 to 3162) for all OX-2 crosses were scored, we again observed Mendelian-like

inheritance of the GD cassette for the AeaNosT4[GD] line. However, one cross in the FFH group

resulted in 90% inheritance (n = 124), suggesting that while GD activity was inefficient at the

TIMP-P4 locus, occasional cases of drive might still occur (**Figure 2; Table S3**). The results for the

AeaZpgC109[GD] line displayed similar levels of drive for all 157 crosses, with median inheritance

rates ranging from 50% in the MML group to 60% in the FMH group (**Figure 2; Table S3**).

Further, among all AeaZpgC109[GD] crosses, five groups contained individual crosses that

demonstrated > 80% rate of GD inheritance (**Figure 2**; **Table S3**). These results suggest that the

effect of 'low' or 'high' level of drive was not inheritable by the OX-2 generation. Finally, for the

AeaNosC109[GD] line, the median GD inheritance rates ranged from a low of 56% in the FML group

to a high of 77% in the MFL group (**Figure 2; Table S3**). Of particular interest was that in the

AeaNosC109[GD] line, there were outcrosses that occasionally resulted in 100% inheritance of the

GD. While these events were rare, they were found across multiple parental and grandparental

groups, including the MMH, MFH, FFL, and MFL groups (n = 20, 44, 114, and 137, respectively)

(**Figure 2; Table S3**). These results highlight that while, overall, the crossing groups within line

AeaNosC109^{GD} line displayed GD inheritance rates ranging from 57% to 76% (**Table S3**),

complete drive (100% inheritance) was achievable. Given that the levels of GD in the

AeaNosC109^{GD} line were statistically similar ($p > 0.05$) for male parentals with any grandparental

combinations irrespective of their 'low' or 'high' level of GD origins, we ruled out the effect of

grandparent sex and the possibility that the trait of 'low' or 'high' GD levels was inheritable.

Accrual of GD blocking indels (GDBI)

To better understand why a broad range in GD activity was observed, we assessed for the

occurrence of GDBI among the OX-1 and OX-2 crosses. Overall, the occurrence of GDBI in the

OX-1 crosses was most pronounced in the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, which also demonstrated the

highest average level of GD (**Figures 1,2**; **Table 3**). Meanwhile, the *zpg* promoter similarly

displayed some GDBI, albeit at a much lower level (7.4% for 'low' GD individuals, and 4.3% for

'high' GD individuals), and only in pools of offspring originating from females. For both the

Aeaβ2tC109^{GD} and AeaNosT4^{GD} lines, no GDBI were identified in the OX-1 crosses; however,

these lines did not demonstrate GD activity and had Mendelian-like inheritance of the marker;

thus, it was not expected that GDBI would arise in these lines as there was likely no CRISPR/Cas9

activity. Given that the GDBI identified in the OX-1 crosses were inherited from the original

transgenic males, which had been crossed to HWE females in the OX-0 cross, we further

assessed the levels of GDBI for the OX-2 crosses to identify any differences between female and

male groups with respect to the number of GDBI that arose in the population (**Figure 3; Table**

S4). Overall, we identified negligible proportions (< 5%) of amplicons containing GDBI in the

AeaNosT4^{GD} line, comparable to the single HWE control sample (**Figure 3**). This observation was

in accordance with the results of the OX-1 GDBI assessment, and again was likely due to the lack

of activity of CRISPR/Cas9 when the GD was positioned at the TIMP-P4 locus. Regarding the

assessment of the OX-2 GDBI in the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, all crossing groups displayed variable

proportions of amplicons containing GDBIs. In addition, we observed nine crosses for which the

proportion of amplicons containing GDBI was 50%. A proportion of 50% represents the

theoretical maximal proportion of amplicons that can contain indels when considering

outcrossed (GD-negative) larvae. Of these nine crosses, two data points were close to 50% (FFL:

54%, MFL: 53%), while the remaining seven data points ranged from 64% (MMH) to 100%

(MML). These elevated proportions of amplicons containing r GDBI could be an artifact of PCR

chimera. Thus, our analysis of pooled larvae based on high-throughput sequencing was likely

overestimating the actual number of GDBI in the sample. One crossing group within the

AeaNosC109^{GD} line, MFH, contained very few amplicons showing the presence of indels (median

= 14.5%); however, the median proportion of amplicons containing indels was not significantly

lower in this group than in the other crossing groups within the AeaNosC109^{GD} line (p = 0.0831).

Finally, in the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line, a significantly greater median number of GDBI was observed

for the FFL group (median = 2.6%) when compared to the MMH (median = 0.02%) group. In

general, all male parental crosses appeared to have fewer GDBI (median range = 0.02% - 1.6%)

than the female parental crosses (median range = 2.0% - 11.9%), regardless of the sex of the

grandparental transgenic individual. As explained above, this result was unexpected for the *zpg*

promoter (48). Interestingly, there was no apparent grandparental effect for the *zpg*Cas9

controlled GD. In addition to the abundance of GDBI assessed for the OX-2 cross, we also

assessed the size distributions of the resultant insertions/deletions (**Figure S1**). Overall,

deletions were the most abundant type of indel, representing 86%, 94%, and 97% of all indels

for the AeaNosT4^{GD}, AeaNosC109^{GD}, and AeaZpgC109^{GD} lines, respectively. Nearly all identified

deletions were present within 50 bp of the CRISPR/Cas9 target site, with the largest deletion

being 82 bp in the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line. The largest identified insertion was 60 bp in the

AeaNosC109^{GD} line, although nearly 100% of the insertions in all lines tested were within 50 bp

of the CRISPR/Cas9 target site. Taken together, these results suggest that for the purpose of an

autonomous GD system in *Ae. aegypti*, the homologous region required for strand invasion of

the cleaved DNA proximal to the predicted CRISPR/Cas9 target is likely a minimum of 50 bp in

size.

Testing for maternal contribution of CRISPR/Cas9

Maternal and/or paternal contribution of the CRISPR/Cas9 components leads to extra-germline

activity of the Cas9 ribonucleo-protein (RNP) in the early embryo, which could result in the

development of GDBI. Notably, this will occur in offspring that do not inherit the GD allele,

which in the absence of a donor for HDR would cause GDBI formation. To test for maternal

contribution, the paternal allele must be distinctly identifiable from the inherited maternal allele

when the GD allele is absent. Previous studies have used recessive phenotypes to identify these

effects (10, 50-51), where the maternal allele is balanced over a null-allele for the recessive

marker and the female is then outcrossed to a wildtype male. The progeny from this cross is

phenotypically wildtype and genotypically heterozygous. In the event of cleavage of the paternal

allele, however, the progeny will be biallelic/homozygous and display the recessive phenotype.

Since we targeted an intergenic locus, we accomplished the same goal through the development

of the AeaeCFPC109 line, which contained a marker-only cargo and no GD construct: a

codominant alternate color marker (eCFP). This construct was then integrated into the *Ae.*

aegypti genome through homologous recombination using sgRNA #15 and was therefore

positioned at the same (Carb109) locus as the mCherry-marked GD systems. Since the sgRNA

#15 target site was interrupted by the 3xP3-eCFP marker, it established a "blocked drive"

because the sgRNA #15 protospacer is no longer present in the genome. When the

AeaeCFPC109 line is crossed to either the AeaNosC109[GD] or the AeaZpgC109[GD] lines, offspring

containing both eCFP and mCherry eye markers would harbor one allele with an eCFP marker,

and the other allele with the mCherry-marked GD. No drive or GDBI activity would occur in the

germline as the genomic GD target is blocked by the eCFP marker meaning that the

Carb109/sgRNA #15 locus is no longer present (**Figure S3**). The genotypes of the eggs of trans-

heterozygous females resulting from the cross will then consist of either the mCherry-marked

GD or the GD blocking eCFP marker. When these trans-heterozygous females are outcrossed to

non-transgenic male HWE mosquitoes, the males will contribute an allele that is targetable by

CRISPR/Cas9 in the embryo. This then can allow for GD/cleavage activity to be assessed. Given

that CRISPR/Cas9 activity requires both the Cas9 protein and the sgRNA transcript, we further

microinjected embryos from the cross with synthetic sgRNA targeting a secondary genomic

locus (TIMP-P4 locus). These assays allowed us to reveal any maternal effect in the early embryo

and this way provided insight to possible early embryo (extra-germline) activity of CRISPR/Cas9

components. Three sets of approximately 1000 embryos were injected for each of the HWE

outcrossed trans-heterozygotes (WT X [AeaNosC109[GD]/ AeaeCFPC109], and WT X

[AeaZpgC109[GD] /AeaeCFPC109]) and allowed to develop to third instar to enable visual

genotyping of the fluorescent marker (**Table 4**). DNA was extracted from individually surviving

mosquitoes and PCR followed by Sanger sequencing was conducted across both the Carb109

and TIMP-P4 loci to assess for the presence of GDBI at the target loci. We observed for both the

AeaNosC109^{GD} and the AeaZpgC109^{GD} lines CRISPR/Cas9 activity in the GD-targeted Carb109

locus and in the TIMP-P4 locus targeted via injected sgRNA. We also found that the survival rate

of sgRNA-injected trans-heterozygotes was lower when compared to that of the sgRNA injected

(non-transgenic) HWE strain, which could be due to the concurrent targeting of two loci on

different chromosomes.

In general, the CRISPR/Cas9 activity observed for maternal deposition (inheriting the eCFP eye

marker) was highest in the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, with 40% of the larvae demonstrating Cas9

activity at the TIMP-P4 locus and 19% displaying Cas9 activity at the Carb109 GD locus (**Figure

4**). In the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line, 16% and 13% of larvae exhibited Cas9 activity at the TIMP-P4 and

Carb109 sites, respectively, although statistically, these values were not significantly different

across genomic targets or mosquito lines ($p > 0.05$) (**Figure 4**). We did, however, find that in the

AeaNosC109^{GD} line, significantly more indels were detectable at the Carb109 locus when the GD

allele was inherited ($p = 0.0309$). In addition, there were significantly more indels present among

GD inheriting progeny from the AeaNosC109^{GD} line than among GD inheriting progeny arising

from the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line crosses ($p = 0.0051$). Taken together, these results suggest a clear

and strong maternal inheritance of the CRISPR/Cas9 RNP. Furthermore, early embryonic activity

of Cas9 was stronger when additional sgRNA was supplied, either exogenously through

microinjection of synthetic TIMP-P4 targeting sgRNA, or endogenously as Pol-III expressed

sgRNA in the GD inheriting larvae.

Testing for a parental contribution of CRISPR/Cas9 resulting in GD activity

To test for a parental effect resulting in GD activity, we performed reciprocal trans-heterozygous

crosses as outlined above. In addition to female trans-heterozygotes outcrossed to non-

transgenic males, we also tested male trans-heterozygotes outcrossed to non-transgenic

females. In the first outcross (OX-1) of the trans-heterozygotes individuals, we found that the

inheritance rates of the eCFP eye marker and the mCherry-marked GD were nearly 50% for both

females and males (**Figure 5**) and were not statistically different when tested using pairwise T-

testing (p = 0.5911 and p = 0.7587, respectively). When females from the eCFP-inheriting

individuals were further outcrossed to non-transgenic (OX-2) to investigate the level of parental

GD contribution, the median inheritance rate was 47% for offspring from eCFP expressing

females and 49% for offspring from eCFP expressing male parentals, providing no evidence for a

parental effect resulting in GD activity; regardless, a few data points were greater than 50% with

two points reaching ~80% (**Figure 5**).

Fitness of GD harboring mosquitoes and modeling of GD performance over multiple generations

Based on the experimentally derived data regarding GD inheritance including frequency of GDBI

accrual, testing for maternal effect of the CRISPR/Cas9 RNP, and analysis of fitness parameters

of the GD harboring mosquito lines (**Table S7**), we modeled the performance of AaeNosC109^{GD}

or AeaZpgC109^{GD} in a hypothetical field release scenario for the purpose of population

replacement (**Figure 6; Table S8, Table S9**). To model the lines, we used the MGDrivE mosquito

population modeling package in R (41) and modeled for a static population of *Ae. aegypti* with a

stable population size of 10,000 mosquitoes at a sex ratio of 1:1. We allowed for a single release

of males at day 25 at a rate of 1/5th of the population (*i.e.*, 2000 homozygous male mosquitoes)

and ran 100 stochastic simulations for each of the transgenic lines until the allelic frequencies of

the genotypes stabilized. Given that we targeted an intergenic region, all GBDI were assumed to

incur no fitness cost to the mosquito, and we therefore merged the 'B' allele from MGDrivE (*i.e.,*

a GD resistance allele that results in a fitness cost) with the 'R' allele (GD resistance allele with

no fitness cost), such that all resistance alleles had the same fitness as the non-transgenic alleles

(**Table S8**). **Figure 6** displays the various prevalence levels of each genotype in the predicted

model for females (all genotypes), males (all genotypes), and females divided between

genotypes carrying at least one copy of the GD (displayed as "Hypothetical antiviral effector

gene" to model a linked antiviral effector) and genotypes not carrying the GD (displayed as

"Wildtype"). Overall, there was a rapid decline in the number of wildtype mosquitoes for both

simulated releases and the rate at which the genotypes stabilized within a population differed

between the two transgenic lines. In the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, the homozygous wildtype genotype

was eliminated by ~400 days-post release (PR) in the simulated closed system, with the

hemizygous GD (no GDBI) and homozygous GD genotypes quickly replacing the homozygous

wildtype genotype. By 450-500 days PR, however, the GDBI harboring resistance allele genotype

became dominant and rapidly overtook the population eventually causing the GD to fall out of

the population and the near entirety of the population to contain GDBI alleles by 1500 days PR.

When a hypothetical antiviral effector linked to the GD was considered, the proportion of

female mosquitoes carrying at least one copy of the antiviral effector gene peaked at 320 to 370

days PR with ~80% of females carrying at least one copy of the antiviral effector. Any window of

antiviral protection soon closed, and the GD was eliminated from the population by 1500 days

PR. By comparison, the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line also eliminated the homozygous wildtype genotype

by ~400 days PR while GDBI resistance alleles became the dominant genotype in the population

by ~1000 days PR. Although the AeaZpgC109^{GD} was found to have lower GD inheritance rates

than AeaNosC109^{GD}, it was able to drive at least one copy of the GD through the population,

reaching > 95% among all female mosquitoes by ~420 days PR. Similar to the AeaNosC109^{GD} line,

the window of protection for the hypothetically linked antiviral effector eventually closed due to

the fitness differences between the GD and the GD-resistant (GDBI) alleles (**Table S9**), with >

50% of females losing the antiviral effector by ~1500 days PR.

DISCUSSION

Previous GD studies in mosquitoes have targeted recessive marker genes that provide for a

visual phenotype when both alleles are disrupted. Since we selected intergenic loci, TIMP-P4

and Carb109, any disruption of these loci would not result in recessive phenotypes. We

therefore positioned a codominant marker at the Carb109 locus, which then allowed us to

distinguish between the GD cassette (mCherry marked) and a blocked drive (marked by eCFP).

The CRISPR/Cas9 RNP requires two components: the Cas9 protein, and the sgRNA transcript.

The Cas9 endonuclease was placed under control of a maternally-provisioned gene promoter,

nanos, in the AeaNosC109^{GD} line or the germline-essential promoter for *innexin4* (*zpg*) in the

AeaZpgC109^{GD} line (47, 52-53). Earlier work by Akbari *et al* (2013) indicated that both *nanos* and

innexin4 transcripts were detectable in the early embryo for up to 48 h, suggesting that *innexin4*

(*zpg*) could be maternally-provisioned, a finding that was further supported based on

observations from *zpg* knock-out studies in *Drosophila* (48, 54). In addition to Cas9, the active

CRISPR/Cas9 RNP requires a sgRNA to guide the Cas9 protein for DNA cleavage. In our study, the

expression of the sgRNA was under control of a snoRNA Pol-III promoter, which allowed for

multiple possibilities of maternal inheritance of the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Assuming that Cas9

was maternally provisioned as either protein or mRNA, the possibilities of maternal inheritance

included: 1) inheritance of the full Cas9-RNP complex, 2) inheritance of the Cas9 mRNA and

sgRNA transcripts, 3) inheritance of Cas9 mRNA and/or protein, but not the sgRNA transcript. To

test for this, we independently crossed the AeaNosC109[GD] and AeaZpgC109[GD] lines to the

AeaeCFPC109 line to create females which, when mated to wildtype males, would have progeny

that either inherited the GD or the null (non-editable) allele at the Carb109 locus.

The spatio-temporal expression of transgenes in *Ae. aegypti* is strongly influenced by the

promoter used for expression as well as the genomic transgene insertion site, which can be

affected by position effect variegation (55, 56). The importance of genomic position for optimal

Cas9 activity in *Ae. aegypti* was identified in the work by Li *et al*. (2017), where the activity of

Cas9 expression varied by genomic locus when placed under control of six different promoters

and integrated into the *Ae. aegypti* genome in a quasi-random fashion using the *piggyBac*

transposable element (29). The forward approach from Li *et al*. (2017) allowed for the

identification of optimal genomic insertion loci for Cas9 activity in the germline, while in our

study, we took a reverse approach by utilizing two genomic loci (TIMP-P4 and Carb109)

previously identified to be highly permissive to antiviral transgene expression (13, 17). Our

reasoning for this was that if the GD cassettes were positioned in a genomic locus that

supported a strong expression of antiviral effectors, the entire system could be built and

established as a single-component autonomous GD line. It was unclear, how an autonomous GD

in an intergenic locus would perform. Our reverse engineering approach demonstrated that

genomic loci that are well-suited towards the expression of antiviral effectors may not

necessarily be ideal for the functioning of a CRISPR/Cas9 autonomous GD system. Notably, the

presence of the GD system at the TIMP-P4 locus resulted in no GD activity and further

demonstrated a very low level of inheritable GDBI when assessed via whole-amplicon

sequencing. This suggests that the GD components were not efficiently expressed in the

germline when positioned at the TIMP-P4 locus although our previous work demonstrated

strong expression of transgenes in the adult female midgut at the same locus (17, 31).

Meanwhile, a second genomic locus that had also been shown to be ideal for stable antiviral

activity (*i.e.*, Carb109; [13]) exhibited high GD activity; however, there was a concomitant level

of GDBI detectable that eventually blocked the GD in a population modeling simulation. This

demonstrated that while the Carb109 locus supported GD activity by allowing rapid

introgression of either GD cassette into the target population, the locus was prone to the

generation of heritable GDBI, which over time blocked and eliminated the GD in the population

modeling. These results suggest that when an autonomous CRISPR/Cas9 based GD is positioned

at an intergenic locus, it will likely be self-exhausting. Our simulation models provided valuable

information regarding the dynamics of the GD. For example, the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line provided a

wider hypothetical window of protection (females harboring at least one copy of the GD and a

hypothetical antiviral effector) than the AeaNosC109^{GD} line, although the latter GD line had

stronger GD inheritance. The major differences in GD performance and biological parameters

between the AeaNosC109^{GD} and AeaZpgC109^{GD} lines were the levels of GD activity, female

deposition rate, resistance allele formation rate, and pupation success. To estimate which of

these effects most strongly influenced the performance of the GD, we conducted simulations of

the AeaNosC109^{GD} line in which maternal deposition rate, resistance allele formation rates, or

pupation success parameters were substituted with the respective (experimentally determined)

parameters from the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line (**Figure S4**). However, there was no appreciable

difference in overall GD persistence when the levels of GDBI resistance alleles, or the levels of

maternal deposition were reduced in the AeaNosC109$^{GD-SIMULATED}$ line to those of the

experimental AeaZpgC109^{GD} line (**Figure S4**). When the parameter of pupation success was

modified to match that of the AeaZpgC109^{GD} line, the GD and associated hypothetical antiviral

effector persisted through the population with approximately half of the females carrying at

least one copy of the transgene up to ~3000 days PR. These simulations suggest that while the

rates of GD inheritance, GDBI resistance allele formation, and maternal deposition were

important factors, it was the fitness of the GD line that had the greatest impact on the dynamics

of GD inheritance. A study by Terradas *et al* (2021) investigated the deposition of germline

expressed genes in the developing oocytes of autonomous *Anopheles* sp. GD lines (49), including

the AgNosCd1^{GD} line (23, 49), which used the *nanos* promoter and 3'UTR for Cas9 expression. In

their study, the authors found that *nanos* driven Cas9 transcripts were indeed expressed in the

nurse cells along with native *nanos* transcripts; however, unlike the native *nanos* transcripts, the

Cas9 transcripts were found at very low levels or were even absent in the developing oocyte

(49). In males, by contrast, Cas9 expression controlled by the *nanos* regulatory elements

paralleled that of the native *nanos* transcript. In regard to the *β2-tubulin* regulatory elements, it

was shown by the same authors that in *An. stephensi, β2-tubulin* transcripts were male-specific.

In addition, transcript expression occurred in the late stages of spermatogenesis, which may not

be a suitable time window of expression when attempting to use the *β2-tubulin* promoter for a

CRISPR/Cas9 based GD. Indeed, this could be a reason for the lack of GD activity that we

observed for our Aeaβ2tC109[GD] line. Furthermore, Terradas *et al* (2021) investigated the pattern

of native *zpg* expression and found that its expression pattern was similar to that of *vasa* but at

a lower general level. They found that there was expression of *zpg* throughout premeiotic and

meiotic stages in males and high-level *zpg* expression in the sperm flagella, which could increase

the prevalence of GDBI. In our AeaZpgC109[GD] line, however, we identified a lower level of GDBI

for male parentals and a lower level of GD compared to the AeaNosC109[GD] line. Thus, it is likely

that the expression of the Cas9 transcript under control of the *zpg* promoter does not perfectly

parallel that of the native *zpg* transcript. Furthermore, although the *An. gambiae* AgNosCd1[GD]

line did not have an appreciable deposition of mRNA transcripts for Cas9 when expressed from

the *nanos* promoter and 3'UTR (49), a recent analysis of GDBI by Carballar-Lejarazú *et al* (2022)

found that there was indeed a maternal effect of CRISPR/Cas9 activity in the AgNosCd1[GD] line

(50). Taken together, these results suggest that the maternal contribution could be provisioned

to the developing oocyte as residual Cas9 RNP complex might be expressed during early

germline development and further persist in the zygote.

Simulations of the different GD performances and life parameters of the AeaNosC109[GD] and

AeaZpgC109[GD] lines highlight the importance of fitness and maternal contribution with respect

to the accrual of GDBI. Our population modeling revealed that in the absence of any fitness

costs directly associated with GDBI, yet there was still a fitness cost associated with the GD as

such because an autonomous GD in an intergenic locus will ultimately block itself due to the

accrual of GDBI alleles and the drive will be lost. Therefore, an accumulation of GDBI alleles will

ultimately exhaust and impair the GD. Given the significance of these observations obtained

from our simulated release study, multi-generational cage trials aiming at modeling population

replacement under more realistic field conditions (22, 23) will be imperative in the future to

confirm the effects of fitness cost on single-component GD performance in *Ae. aegypti*.

Since we selected intergenic loci, which were assumed to have no effect on fitness if cleaved,

the persistence of the autonomous drive system could be strengthened by its placement into a

non-neutral (*e.g.*, coding region) locus as performed for *kh* in *An. stephensi* (21, 22). This could

also extend the window of protection provided by the antiviral effector. There are

environmental concerns regarding the release of transgenes into wild mosquito populations,

and furthermore, there is a practical rationale for a non-persistent GD: the associated

antipathogen effector gene could lose its efficacy over time. Such a loss of efficacy could be due

to adaptations of the pathogen to evade the effect of the antipathogen effector gene, or

spontaneous mutations leading to a loss of function of the antipathogen effector. An

autonomous GD system that will ultimately self-block may have several benefits as it could

rapidly drive an antipathogen transgene through wild mosquito populations while providing a

window of protection from a circulating arbovirus, before dropping out of the population due to

the fixation of GDBI alleles.

874
875   **DATA AVAILABILITY**
876
The nucleotide sequences for all our GD constructs listed in Table S6 are available at NCBI

(ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under the following accession numbers. Construct AeaeCFPT4: accession #

MT926371; construct AeaeCFPC109: accession # OL452018; construct AeaNosT4GD: accession #

OL452014; construct Aeaβ2tC109GD: accession # OL452017; construct AeaNosC109GD:

accession # OL452015; construct AeaZpgC109GD: accession # OL452016; construct pAeU6-MT:

accession # OL452019; pAeT7ku70dsRNA: accession #: OL452021. The code modification to

MGDrivE is provided in Table S8. Supplementary material is available at G3 online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Drs. Héctor Sánchez Castellanos, Rodrigo Corder, and John Marshall for

their guidance and assistance with the use of the MGDrivE simulation for population modeling.

We thank Drs. Hanno Schmidt and Gregory Lanzaro for kindly sharing the genomic vcf data for

the *Ae. aegypti* genomes. Thanks to Susi Bennett for all her mosquito maintenance. We also

thank the University of Missouri Genomics Technology Core for technical support.

FUNDING

The authors acknowledge the National Institutes of Health - National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases (NIH-NIAID) for the funding of this research work (grant applications: R01

AI130085-01A1, K.E.O and R56 AI167980-01, A.W.E.F.).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

W.R., A.E.W., K.E.O., and A.W.E.F. designed research; W.R., A.E.W., J.L., I.S-V., and R.J.

performed research; W.R. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; W.R., A.E.W., K.E.O., and

A.W.E.F. analyzed data; and W.R., A.E.W., K.E.O., and A.W.E.F. wrote the paper.

REFERENCES

1. Weaver SC, Vasilakis N. 2009. Molecular evolution of dengue viruses: contributions of
phylogenetics to understanding the history and epidemiology of the preeminent arboviral
disease. Infect Genet Evol. 9(4):523-540.

2. Weaver SC, Reisen WK. 2010. Present and future arboviral threats. Antiviral Res. 85(2):328-
345.

3. Liu-Helmersson J, Brännström Å, Sewe MO, Semenza JC, Rocklöv J. 2019. Estimating Past,
Present, and 700 Future Trends in the Global Distribution and Abundance of the Arbovirus
Vector *Aedes aegypti* Under Climate Change Scenarios. Front Public Health. 7:148.

4. Manjarres-Suarez A, Olivero-Verbel J. 2013. Chemical control of *Aedes aegypti*: a historical
perspective. Rev. costarric. salud pública [online]. 2013, vol.22, n.1 68-75. Available from:

916 <http://www.scielo.sa.cr/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1409-
917 14292013000100012&lng=en&nrm=iso>. ISSN 1409-1429.

918 5. Liu N. 2015. Insecticide Resistance in Mosquitoes: Impact, Mechanisms, and Research
919 Directions. Annu Rev Entomol. 60:537–559.

920 6. Kittayapong P, Ninphanomchai S, Limohpasmanee W, Chansang C, Chansang U,
921 Mongkalangoon P. 2019. Combined sterile insect technique and incompatible insect technique:
922 The first proof-of-concept to suppress *Aedes aegypti* vector populations in semi-rural settings in
923 Thailand. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 13(10):e0007771.

924 7. Beebe NW, Pagendam D, Trewin BJ, Boomer A, Bradford M, Ford A, Liddington C, Bondarenco
925 A, De Barro PJ, Gilchrist J, Paton C, Staunton KM, Johnson B, Maynard AJ, Devine GJ, Hugo LE,
926 Rasic G, Cook H, Massaro P, Snoad N, Crawford JE, White BJ, Xi Z, Ritchie SA. 2021. Releasing
927 incompatible males drives strong suppression across populations of wild and *Wolbachia* -
928 carrying *Aedes aegypti* in Australia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 118(41):e2106828118.

929 8. Carvalho DO, McKemey AR, Garziera L, Lacroix R, Donnelly CA, Alphey L, Malavasi A, Capurro
930 ML. 2015. Suppression of a Field Population of Aedes aegypti in Brazil by Sustained Release of
931 Transgenic Male Mosquitoes. PLOS Negl Trop Dis 9(7):
932 e0003864. DOI:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003864.

933 9. Waltz E. 2021. First genetically modified mosquitoes released in the United States. Nature.
934 593:175-176.

935 10. Li M, Yang T, Kandul NP, Bui M, Gamez S, Raban R, Bennett J, Sánchez C HM, Lanzaro GC,
936 Schmidt H, Lee Y, Marshall JM, Akbari OS. 2020. Development of a confinable gene drive system
937 in the human disease vector *Aedes aegypti.* eLife. 9:e51701.

938 11. Li M, Yang T, Bui M, Gamez S, Wise T, Kandul NP, Liu J, Alcantara L, Lee H, Edula JR, Raban R,
939 Zhan Y, Wang Y, DeBeaubien N, Chen J, Sánchez C HM, Bennett JB, Antoshechkin I, Montell C,
940 Marshall JM, Akbari OS. 2021. Suppressing mosquito populations with precision guided sterile
941 males. Nat Commun. 12:5374.

942 12. Franz AW, Sanchez-Vargas I, Adelman ZN, Blair CD, Beaty BJ, James AA, Olson KE. 2006.
943 Engineering RNA interference-based resistance to dengue virus type 2 in genetically modified
944 *Aedes aegypti*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 103(11):4198-4203.

945 13. Franz AWE, Sanchez-Vargas I, Raban RR, Black WC IV, James AA, Olson KE. 2014. Fitness
946 Impact and Stability of a Transgene Conferring Resistance to Dengue-2 Virus following
947 Introgression into a Genetically Diverse *Aedes aegypti* Strain. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 8(5):e2833.

948 14. Yen PS, James AA, Li JC, Chen CH, Failloux AB. 2018. Synthetic miRNAs induce dual arboviral-
949 resistance phenotypes in the vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Commun Biol. 1:11.

950 15. Buchman A, Gamez S, Li M, Antoshechkin I, Li H-H, Wang H-W, Chen C-H, Klein MJ,
951 Duchemin J-B, Paradkar PN, Akbari OS. 2019. Engineered resistance to Zika virus in transgenic

Aedes aegypti expressing a polycistronic cluster of synthetic small RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 116(9):3656-3661.

16. Buchman A, Gamez S, Li M, Antoshechkin I, Li HH, Wang HW, Chen CH, Klein MJ, Duchemin JB, Crowe JE Jr, Paradkar PN, Akbari OS (2020) Broad dengue neutralization in mosquitoes expressing an engineered antibody. PLoS Pathog. 16(1):e1008103.

17. Williams AE, Sanchez-Vargas I, Reid WR, Lin J, Franz AWE, Olson KE. 2020. The antiviral small-interfering RNA pathway induces Zika virus resistance in transgenic *Aedes aegypti*. Viruses. 12(11):1231.

18. Burt A. 2003. Site-specific selfish genes as tools for the control and genetic engineering of natural populations. Proc Biol Sci. 270(1518):921-928.

19. Windbichler N, Papathanos PA, Catteruccia F, Ranson H, Burt A, Crisanti A. 2007. Homing endonuclease mediated gene targeting in *Anopheles gambiae* cells and embryos. Nucleic Acids Res. 35(17):5922-5933.

20. Gantz VM, Bier E. 2015. The mutagenic chain reaction: A method for converting heterozygous to homozygous mutations. Science. 348(6233):442-444.

21. Gantz VM, Jasinskiene N, Tatarenkova O, Fazekas A, Macias VM, Bier E, James AA. 2015. Highly efficient Cas9-mediated gene drive for population modification of the malaria vector mosquito Anopheles stephensi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 112(49):E6736-E6743.

22. Adolfi A, Gantz VM, Jasinskiene N, Lee H-F, Hwang K, Terradas G, Bulger EA, Ramaiah A, Bennett JB, Emerson JJ, Marshall JM, Bier E, James AA. 2020. Efficient population modification gene-drive rescue system in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*. Nat Commun. 11, 5553.

23. Carballar-Lejarazú R, Ogaugwu C, Tushar T, Kelsey A, Pham TB, Murphy J, Schmidt H, Lee Y, Lanzaro GC, James AA. 2020. Next-generation gene drive for population modification of the malaria vector mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 117(37):22805-22814.

24. Verkuijl SAN, Gonzalez E, Li M, Ang J, Kandul NP, Anderson MAE, Akbari OS, Bonsall MB, Alphey L. 2020. A CRISPR endonuclease gene drive reveals two distinct mechanisms of inheritance bias. bioRxiv 2020.12.15.421271. doi: 10.1101/2020.12.15.421271.

25. Wu, B., L. Luo, and X. J. Gao. 2016. Cas9-triggered chain ablation of Cas9 as a gene drive. Nat. Biotechnol. 34:137–138.

26. Gantz, VM, Bier E. 2016. The dawn of active genetics. Bioessays. 38:50–63.

27. Chae K, Dawson C, Valentin C, Contreras B, Zapletal J, Myles KM, Adelman ZN. 2022. Engineering a self-eliminating transgene in the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*, PNAS Nexus. 2022:pgac037.

28. Zapletal J, Najmitabrizi N, Erraguntla M, Lawley MA, Myles KM, Adelman ZN. 2021. Making gene drive biodegradable. Phil Trans R Soc B. 376:20190804.

29. Li M, Bui M, Yang T, Bowman CS, White BJ, Akbari OS. 2017. Germline Cas9 expression yields
highly efficient genome engineering in a major worldwide disease vector, *Aedes aegypti*. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA. 114(49):E10540-E10549.

30. Williams AE, Franz AWE, Reid WR, Olson KE. 2020. Antiviral Effectors and Gene Drive for
Mosquito Population Suppression or Replacement to Mitigate Arbovirus Transmission by *Aedes
aegypti*. Insects. 11(1):52.

31. Dong S, Balaraman V, Kantor AM, Lin J, Grant DG, Held NL, Franz AWE. 2017. Chikungunya
virus dissemination from the midgut of *Aedes aegypti* is associated with temporal basal lamina
degradation during bloodmeal digestion. PLOS Negl Trop Dis. 11(9):e0005976.

32. Gratz SJ, Cummings AM, Nguyen JN, Hamm DC, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J,
O'Connor-Giles KM. 2013. Genome engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9
nuclease. Genetics. 194(4):1029-1035.

33. Konet DS, Anderson J, Piper J, Akkina R, Suchman E, Carlson J. 2007. Short-hairpin RNA
expressed from polymerase III promoters mediates RNA interference in mosquito cells. Insect
Mol Biol. 16(2):199-206.

34. Engler C, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. 2008. A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with
high-throughput capability. PLoS ONE 3(11):e3647.

35. Dang Y, Jia G, Choi J, Ma H, Anaya E, Ye C, Shankar P, Wu H. 2015. Optimizing sgRNA
structure to improve CRISPR-Cas9 knockout efficiency. Genome Biol. 16:280.

36. Gokcezade J, Sienski G, Duchek P. 2014. Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids for rapid and
versatile genome editing in *Drosophila*. G3 (Bethesda). 4(11):2279-2282.

37. Basu S, Aryan S, Overcash JM, Samuel GH, Anderson MAE, Dahlem TJ, Myles KM, Adelman
ZN. 2015. Silencing of end-joining repair for efficient site-specific gene insertion after
TALEN/CRISPR mutagenesis in Aedes aegypti. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 112(13):4038-4043.

38. Horn C, Jaunich B, Wimmer EA. 2000. Highly sensitive, fluorescent transformation marker for
Drosophila transgenesis. Dev Genes Evol. 210(12):623--629.

39. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. EMBnet.journal, 17(1):10-12.

40. Clement K, Rees H, Canver MC, Gehrke JM, Farouni R, Hsu JY, Cole MA, Liu DR, Joung K,
Bauer DE, Pinello L. 2019. CRISPResso2 provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence
analysis. Nat Biotechnol. 37:224–226.

41. Sánchez C HM, Wu SL, Bennett, JB, Marshall, JM. 2020. MGDRIVE: A modular simulation
framework for the spread of gene drives through spatially explicit mosquito populations.
Methods Ecol Evol. 11:229–239.

42. Otero M, Solari HG, Schweigmann N.2006. A stochastic population dynamics model for
Aedes aegypti: formulation and application to a city with temperate climate. Bull Math Biol.
68(8):1945-1974.

43. Labun K, Montague TG, Gagnon JA, Thyme SB, Valen E.2016. CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool for
the next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. Nucleic Acids Res. 8;44(W1):W272-276.

44. Schmidt H, Collier TC, Hanemaaijer MJ, Houston PD, Lee Y, Lanzaro GC. 2020. Abundance of
conserved CRISPR-Cas9 target sites within the highly polymorphic genomes of *Anopheles* and
Aedes mosquitoes. Nat Commun. 11:1425.

45. Cencic R, Miura H, Malina A, Robert F, Ethier S, Schmeing TM, Dostie J, Pelletier J. 2014.
Protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)-distal sequences engage CRISPR Cas9 DNA target cleavage.
PLoS One. 9(10):e109213.

46. Hammond A, Galizi R, Kyrou K, Simoni A, Siniscalchi C, Katsanos D, Gribble M, Baker D,
Marois E, Russell S, Burt A, Windbichler N, Crisanti A, Nolan T. 2016. A CRISPR-Cas9 gene drive
system targeting female reproduction in the malaria mosquito vector *Anopheles gambiae*. Nat
Biotechnol. 34(1):78-83.

47. Kyrou K, Hammond AM, Galizi R, Kranjc N, Burt A, Beaghton AK, Nolan T, Cristani A. 2018. A
CRISPR—Cas9 gene drive targeting doublesex causes complete population suppression in caged
Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes. Nat Biotech. 36:1062–1066.

48. Tazuke SI, Schulz C, Gilboa L, Fogarty M, Mahowald AP, Guichet A, Ephrussi A, Wood CG,
Lehmann R, Fuller MT. 2002. A germline-specific gap junction protein required for survival of
differentiating early germ cells. Development. 129(10):2529-2539.

49. Terradas G, Hermann A, James AA, McGinnis W, Bier E. 2021. High-resolution in situ analysis
of Cas9 germline transcript distributions in gene-drive *Anopheles* mosquitoes. G3
(Bethesda). 12(1):jkab369.

50. Carballar-Lejarazú R, Tushar T, Pham TB, James AA. 2022. Cas9-mediated maternal effect
and derived resistance alleles in a gene-drive strain of the African malaria vector mosquito,
Anopheles gambiae. Genetics. 7:iyac055.

51. Chaverra-Rodriguez D, Macias VM, Hughes GL, Pujhari S, Suzuki Y, Peterson DR, Kim D,
McKeand S, Rasgon JL. 2018. Targeted delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein into arthropod
ovaries for heritable germline gene editing. Nat Commun. 9:3008.

52. Adelman ZN, Jasinskiene N, Onal S, Juhn J, Ashikyan A, Salampessy M, MacCauley T, James
AA. 2007. Nanos gene control DNA mediates developmentally regulated transposition in the
yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 104(24):9970-9975.

53. Calvo E, Walter M, Adelman ZN, Jimenez A, Onal S, Marinotti O, James AA. 2005. *Nanos* (*nos*)
genes of the vector mosquitoes, *Anopheles gambiae*, *Anopheles stephensi* and *Aedes aegypti*.
Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 35(7):789-798.

54. Akbari OS, Antoshechkin I, Amrhein H, Williams B, Diloreto R, Sandler J, Hay BA. 2013. The
developmental transcriptome of the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, an invasive species and major
arbovirus vector. G3 (Bethesda) 3(9):1493-1509.

55. Franz AW, Sanchez-Vargas I, Piper J, Smith MR, Khoo CC, James AA, Olson KE. 2009. Stability
and loss of a virus resistance phenotype over time in transgenic mosquitoes harbouring an
antiviral effector gene. Insect Mol Biol. 18(5):661-672.

56. Henikoff S. 1992. Position effect and related phenomena. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2(6):907-
912.

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

FIGURES

Figure 1. Frequency of marker gene inheritance in first-outcrossed (OX-1) individuals originating from hemizygous outcrossed females or males of transgenic lines harboring CRISPR/Cas9 based GDs at two different genomic loci (Carb109 and TIMP-P4) with Cas9 expression under control of three different promoters (β*2tubulin*, *nanos*, or *zpg*). The bar inside each box plot represents the median value, while the lower and upper borders of each box represent Q1 and Q3, respectively. Groups superseded with the same letter are not statistically different ($p > 0.05$). Each data point represents the percentage of transgene inheritance resulting from the offspring of the parental crosses where each transgenic female parental was allowed to mate with two non-transgenic males, and each transgenic male parental was allowed to mate with two non-transgenic females. A minimum of 20 larvae was set for each group in order to be scored. For the female parental crosses, the population sizes of each data point ranged from 20 to 142 larvae (mean ± SEM = 59 ± 3 larvae). For the male parental crosses, the population sizes of each data point ranged from 21 to 415 larvae (mean ± SEM = 91 ± 5 larvae).

1104

1105

Figure 2. Frequency of marker gene inheritance in progeny from second-outcrossed (OX-2)
individuals (594 groups, average n = 72 ± 2, total n = 43,015) originating from either 'high' or
'low' parental GD pools harboring the GD at two different genomic loci (Carb109 and TIMP-P4)
with Cas9 expression under control of two different promoters (*nanos* or *zpg*). The bar inside
each box plot represents the median value, while the lower and upper borders of the boxes
represent Q1 and Q3, respectively. Groups within a GD line that are superseded with the same
letter are not statistically different ($p > 0.05$). The first letter of each cross designation indicates
the OX-2 parental transgenic sex and the second letter indicates the OX-1 grandparental
transgenic sex, where F=female and M=male. L=Low/no drive level in the grandparental
generation, H=high drive level in the grandparental generation. Each data point represents the
percentage of transgene inheritance resulting from the offspring of the parental crosses where
each transgenic female parental was allowed to mate with two non-transgenic males, and each
transgenic male parental was allowed to mate with two non-transgenic females. A minimum of
20 larvae was set for each group in order to be scored.

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

Figure 3. Proportions of PCR amplicons containing GDBI for pooled non-transgenic mosquitoes
from the second-outcrossed (OX-2) GD lines originating from either 'high' or 'low' parental GD
pools harboring the GD at two different genomic loci (Carb109 or TIMP-P4) and Cas9
expression under control of two different promoters (*nanos* or *zpg*). The bar inside the box
plot represents the median value, while the lower and upper borders of the boxes represent Q1
and Q3, respectively. Indels were analyzed via high-throughput nucleotide sequencing. The first
letter within each cross indicates the parental transgenic (OX-2) sex, the second letter indicates
the grandparental (OX-1) transgenic sex. L=Low/no drive level in the parental generation,
H=High drive in the parental generation. HWE=Higgs' white eye non-transgenic. Each data point
represents the percentage of high-throughput reads containing a GDBI obtained from PCR
amplicons that spanned the genomic target site for either Carb109 or TIMP-P4 locus. The gDNA
template used for each PCR reaction consisted of the pooled contribution of all non-transgenic
larvae from the OX-2 crosses.

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

Figure 4. Maternal contributions of CRISPR/Cas9 components in the AeaNosC109[GD] and
AeaZpgC109[GD] lines. GD lines (marked with mCherry) were balanced against a blocked GD
(marked with eCFP) present at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site, and female trans-heterozygotes
were then outcrossed to non-transgenic males. Average numbers of larvae +/- SEM and mean
grouping are indicated for each group in the lower left-hand corner. Average and SEM
superscripted with the same letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05). Total numbers of
assessed larvae across all three replicates are indicated in the lower right corner. The embryos
from this cross were subsequently injected with sgRNA targeting the TIMP-P4 locus, and the
surviving larvae were reared to third instar, genotyped, and assayed for CRISPR/Cas9 activity at
both the Carb109 locus (GD target) and the TIMP-P4 locus (exogenously applied sgRNA) via PCR
and Sanger sequence trace analysis. The estimates of CRISPR/Cas9 activity were made using the
Synthego ICE tool, which compares an edited trace to a non-transgenic trace.

1162

1163

1164

Figure 5. Locus balancing of an eCFP marker harboring line against the mCherry-marked
AeaNosC109^{GD} line to test for parental GD effects. A) Crossing schematic for establishing trans-
heterozygotes parentals (OX-1), which were then outcrossed to non-transgenic HWE
mosquitoes to obtain the eCFP parentals for OX-2. The eCFP parentals used for OX-2 contained
the eCFP marker in the Carb109 locus, allowing for drive into a non-transgenic allele if trans-
acting Cas9-RNP was provisioned either maternally or paternally. B) eCFP marker inheritance for
the final outcrossing of OX-2 to non-transgenic, where inheritance > 50% is suggestive of
parentally supplied Cas9-RNP. Each data point in (B) represents the percentage of transgene
inheritance resulting from the offspring of the second outcrossing of eCFP positive females to
non-transgenic HWE males. The bar inside the box plot represents the median value, while the
lower and upper borders of the boxes represent Q1 and Q3, respectively. Groups superseded by
the same letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05). A minimum of 20 larvae was set for
each group in order to be scored.

1178

1179

Figure 6. Population modeling for the AeaNosC109[GD] line (upper panels) and the
AeaZpgC109[GD] line (lower panels) using MGDrivE. W = wildtype unedited allele, H = homing GD
allele, R = GDBI resistance allele. A hypothetical refractory antiviral allele linked to the GD,
shown as black in the right panels, displays the numbers of females in the population carrying at
least one copy of the GD and a linked hypothetical 'antiviral effector gene'. The time scale in
days differs for the two transgenic lines with the pale blue backshading representing 1500 days
post-release (PR) for comparison.

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

 TABLES

1197

1198 **Table 1. Active CRISPR/Cas9 target sites proximal to the original TIMP-P4 and Carb109**
1199 *mariner/Mos1* **insertion loci.**

Locus	sgRNA number	Genomic locus (distance from original *mariner/Mos1* insertion site)	Activity (# embryo pools / total pools)
TIMP-P4	sgRNA #5*	2: 321382225 (623 bp)	Active (3/3)
Carb109	2	3: 409717866 (19942 bp)	Not active (0/3)
	4	3: 409723215 (25291 bp)	Not active (0/3)
	6	3: 409699241 (1317 bp)	Not active (0/3)
	15	3: 409699138 (1214 bp)	Active (3/3)
	21	3: 409699885 (1961 bp)	Not active (0/3)
	29	3: 409699526 (1602 bp)	Not active (0/3)

1200 *Previously reported in Williams *et al*., 2020 (17)

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216 **Table 2.** *Aedes aegypti* **lines used in our study and respective injection efforts for their**
1217 **establishment.**

Line name	Marker	Purpose	Embryos injected	Survivors (F:M ; %)
Aeaβ2tT4[GD]	3xP3 mCherry	GD at TIMP-P4 locus	1115	72 (31:41 ; 6.5%)
AeaNosT4[GD]	3xP3 mCherry	GD at TIMP-P4 locus	959	154 (66:88 ; 16%)
Aeaβ2tC109[GD]	3xP3 mCherry	GD at Carb109 locus	882	122 (64:58 ; 13%)
AeaNosC109[GD]	3xP3 mCherry	GD at Carb109 locus	912	206 (90:116 ; 23%)
AeaZpgC109[GD]	3xP3 mCherry	GD at Carb109 locus	568	45 (17:28 ; 7.9%)
AeaeCFPC109	3xP3 eCFP	Genetic balancer for Carb109 locus	1142	44 (24:20 ; 3.9%)

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

Table 3. Testing of non-transgenic offspring from OX-1 individuals to assess for the presence of GD blocking indels (GDBI) among the GD lines.

Line	GD Parental	GD inheritance rate (% marker inheritance; n total)	Number of GD-negative larvae with indels / total number of GD negative larvae assessed (%)
AeaNosT4[GD]	Male	Low (45.9%; 290)	0/40 (0%)
		High (58.1%; 136)	0/40 (0)
	Female	Low (42.9%; 163)	0/40 (0)
		High (51.3%; 117)	0/40 (0)
AeaNosC109[GD]	Male	Low (55.3%; 228)	9/54 (16.7)
		High (86.4%; 214)	7/27 (25.9)
	Female	Low (61.5%; 148)	7/46 (15.2)
		High (89.7%; 136)	7/12 (58.3)
AeaZpgC109[GD]	Male	Low (56.5%; 232)	0/41 (0)
		High (59.2%; 228)	0/51 (0)
	Female	Low (57.1%; 98)	2/27 (7.4)
		High (73.7%; 118)	1/23 (4.3)
Aeaβ2tC109[GD]	Male	no GD (50.7%; 138)	0/10 (0)
	Female	no GD (52.5%; 40)	0/10 (0)

Table 4. Embryos injected for maternal contribution testing and the resultant genotype
frequencies of surviving larvae used for CRISPR/Cas9 activity assessment.

1252

Cross	Rep	Embryos injected	Surviving larvae N, (% of injected)	eCFP N, (% of larvae)	mCherry N, (% of larvae)
[AeaNosC109^{GD} x	1	1056	26 (2.4)	14 (53.8)	12 (46.1)
AeaeCFPC109]	2	1017	33 (3.2)	18 (54.5)	15 (45.5)
	3	1170	24 (2.0)	14 (58.3)	10 (41.7)
	1	1007	23 (2.3)	13 (56.5)	10 (43.5)
[AeaZpgC109^{GD} x	2	1015	26 (2.6)	12 (46.1)	14 (53.8)
AeaeCFPC109]	3	1004	46 (4.6)	22 (47.8)	24 (52.2)

1253

1254