Title: The double-edged role of nitric oxide drives predictable microbial community organization

according to the microenvironment.

Steven A. Wilbert[1] and Dianne K. Newman[1,2,*]

Contact information:

[1] Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,

California, USA, 91125

[2] Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,

California, USA, 91125

[*]**Correspondence:**

Dianne K. Newman

1200 E. California Blvd., Mail code 147-75

Pasadena, CA 91125

Telephone: 626-395-3543

Email: dkn@caltech.edu

Keywords: Polymicrobial communities, metabolism, metabolic cross-feeding, nitric oxide,

nitrate, denitrification, spatial organization, microenvironment, oxygen gradients, *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa

Abstract

Microbial assemblages are omnipresent in the biosphere, forming communities on the surfaces

of roots, rocks, and within living tissues. These communities can exhibit strikingly beautiful

compositional structures, with certain members reproducibly occupying particular

spatiotemporal microniches. Yet often, we lack the ability to explain the spatial patterns we see

within them. To test the hypothesis that certain spatial patterns in microbial communities may be

explained by the exchange of redox-active metabolites whose biological function is sensitive to

environmental gradients, here we developed a simple community consisting of synthetic

Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains with a partitioned denitrification pathway: a strict consumer

and strict producer of nitric oxide (NO), a key pathway intermediate. Because NO can be both

toxic or beneficial depending on the amount of oxygen present, this system provided an

opportunity to investigate whether dynamic oxygen gradients can tune metabolic cross-feeding

in a predictable fashion. Using a combination of genetic analysis, different growth environments

and imaging, we show that oxygen availability controls whether NO cross-feeding is commensal

or mutually beneficial, and that this organizing principle maps to the microscale. More generally,

this work underscores the importance of considering the double-edged roles redox-active

metabolites can play in shaping microbial communities.

Introduction

Given the diversity of microbial metabolic strategies and that microbes rarely live alone,

the potential for metabolic interactions is vast (Zuñiga et al., 2017). Metabolic interactions can

be positive or negative and can have profound effects on the surrounding environment, from

contributing to nutrient flux to stimulating the immune system of an infected animal or plant.

Therefore, there is an increasing appreciation for the need to characterize and predict such

interactions (Widder et al., 2016). Numerous ecological models have been developed to better

understand how such interactions influence the growth and subsequent spatial patterning of a

community (Goldford et al., 2018; Niehaus et al., 2019; Ratzke et al., 2020). However, these

models typically focus on simple growth kinetics involving a shared or exchanged beneficial

metabolite and do not consider how changing microenvironments over small spatial scales can

influence the initiation and/or quality of interactions dependent upon this metabolite. In

particular, dynamic spatiotemporal changes in oxygen availability is a well-documented

ecological phenomenon microbes are confronted with (Dal Co et al., 2019; Krichels et al., 2019;

Lowery and Ursell, 2019; Stewart et al., 2016), but is often overlooked in these models.

Diffusible, redox-active metabolites, be they organic or inorganic, are particularly

interesting to consider in this context, as these molecules' reactivity is highly sensitive to local

chemistry. Recent work has called attention to the fact that certain redox-active metabolites

have the potential to be both toxic (i.e. generate reactive oxygen species under oxic conditions)

or beneficial (i.e. contribute to energy conservation under anoxic conditions), thereby having the

potential to play different and dynamic roles in the development of a microbial community

(Dahlstrom et al., 2020). Such double-edged effects may be particularly important when the

metabolite in question is an intermediate in a common metabolic pathway. For example, if two

microbes are reliant on each other for growth due to an intermediate that can be toxic to the

producer but is essential for the consumer, it stands to reason that their growth and subsequent

environmental impact will depend on microenvironmental conditions that tune the properties of

the exchanged intermediate. The potential for variable local interactions due to shifting

environmental gradients would therefore be expected to tune when, where, and how certain

microbial interactions would manifest spatiotemporally.

As a step towards testing this general hypothesis, we sought to create a simple synthetic

model system in which to explore the effect of the microenvironment on the interactions

between two bacterial partners linked via an exchanged redox-active metabolite. Four criteria

guided our development of such a system. First, we required that the metabolite be

"agathokakological", a word derived from Greek roots meaning "composed of good and evil", in

other words, a metabolite that is predictably "double-edged": beneficial or harmful according to

its context. Second, we needed to be able to measure and perturb the most important

environmental parameter—oxygen—that affects its physiological functions. Third, we aimed to

control the production and utilization of this metabolite via the generation of mutant strains from

an isogenic background in order to focus strictly on the capacity to make or use it. Finally, we

sought a metabolic exchange that is broadly ecologically relevant across many environments.

The exchange of nitric oxide (NO) met all of our criteria. NO is known in both the

eukaryotic and microbial worlds as a potent signaling molecule and a highly reactive nitrogen

species that can damage a variety of cellular components (Volk et al., 2004). In the absence of

oxygen, NO is toxic at high concentrations by antagonizing Fe-S centers and causing DNA

damage; yet in the presence of oxygen, much lower levels of NO can be toxic due the

generation of peroxynitrite; (Koppenol and Koppenol, 2021; Zumft, 1997). Microbes have

numerous mechanisms to deal with low levels of NO toxicity such as flavohemoglobin proteins

(Fhp) that can reduce NO to nitrous oxide (N_2O), or mediate its oxidation to nitrate (NO_3^-),

depending on the environment (Arai, 2011; Koskenkorva et al., 2008), and other dioxygenases

can sequester NO (Sasaki et al., 2016; Yoon et al., 2007). While NO has been studied in detail

with respect to these properties, it can also support energy conservation. At the right

concentrations, and if a microbe has the requisite machinery to process it, in the absence of

oxygen, NO can serve as a terminal electron acceptor (TEA) for anaerobic respiration (Carr et

al., 1989; Kalkowski, 1991). Yet as its concentration rises, and if producing cells or neighbors

are unable to reduce it to nitrous oxide (N_2O), it reaches toxic levels and leads to local growth

inhibition or death (Brunelli et al., 1995; Yu et al., 1999).

NO production is a landmark step in denitrification, where the soluble substrate nitrite

(NO_2^-) is reduced to gaseous NO. Denitrification *sensu stricto* plays a critical role in the loss of

nitrogen in soils (Fang et al., 2015; Krichels and Yang, 2019; Tian et al., 2019). If an organism

contains a full pathway to sequentially reduce nitrate (NO_3^-) > NO_2^- > NO > N_2O > nitrogen gas

(N_2), harmless N_2 will be released into the environment where it can eventually become recycled

by nitrogen fixing species (Kuypers et al., 2018). However, it is becoming more clear that the

complete reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas may occur as a polymicrobial community effort in

which different members contain only a subset of the denitrification pathway (Gowda et al.,

2020; Hester et al., 2019; Lycus et al., 2017; Rich et al., 2003). Synthetic *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa strains have been shown to exchange NO_2^- under strictly anoxic conditions, leading

to predictable spatial patterns that are sensitive to pH-dependent abiotic nitrite reduction to toxic

nitrogen species (Borer et al., 2020; Lilja and Johnson, 2016). In an independent study,

environmental isolates that naturally differed in their possession of denitrification genes were

used to create a model that predicted interactions via denitrification intermediates (Gowda et al.,

2020); yet, in this case, NO production was only considered for its potential toxicity rather than

its potential for energy conservation. Importantly, while older physiological studies have shown

that NO can support energy conservation as the sole terminal electron acceptor (Carr et al.,

1989; Voβwinkel et al., 1991), to our knowledge, the ability for NO to be exchanged between

cells in a manner that supports growth has not be demonstrated.

In this study, we utilized different mutant strains of the denitrifying organism, *P.*

aeruginosa strain PA14, to test the hypothesis that the spatial interactions of strains exchanging

NO can be predictably altered as a function of oxygen in the microenvironment. *P. aeruginosa* is

a cosmopolitan organism, notorious for being an opportunistic human pathogen, but also found

in sediments and soils (Arai, 2011). *P. aeruginosa* is also a classic denitrifier that encodes all

enzymes necessary for the complete reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas and can be easily

genetically manipulated, permitting the creation of a synthetic NO cross-feeding community. Our

findings with this model community provide a conceptual lens through which to interpret more

complex microbial community patterning in nature and disease involving the exchange of

agathokakological metabolites.

Results

Design and characterization of a synthetic NO cross-feeding community.

The PA14 genome contains a full suite of respiratory enzymes for the complete

dissimilatory reduction of NO_3^- to N_2 (Nar, Nap, Nir, Nor, Nos) (Figure 1A) (Arai, 2011), and

previous studies have shown that these steps occur sequentially (Carlson and Ingraham, 1983).

Accordingly, we hypothesized that it would be possible to partition the PA14 denitrification

pathway between two strains, a NO producer and a NO consumer, to make a synthetic

community with the ability to catalyze the complete denitrification reaction (Figure 1B,

Supplementary file 1A). Several steps were necessary to construct a well-controlled system.

First, we generated a strain of PA14 lacking the nitrate reductase ($\Delta narGHJI$, consumer 1,

Supplementary file 1A) and grew it in a rich medium anoxically in the presence of nitrate.

Compared to wild type PA (WT), growth without nitrate reductase was significantly slower

(Supplementary file 1B, orange line). Next, we generated a strain of PA14 lacking the nitric

oxide reductase ($\Delta norCB$, producer 1; Supplementary file 1A); when grown under the same

conditions, this producer strain showed negligible growth (Supplementary file 1C, orange line).

This lack of growth was likely due to the reduction of nitrate to NO, which has been measured to

build up to 16µM in similar mutants, repressing nitrate reductase expression and preventing

further nitrate reduction as a survival mechanism (Yoon et al., 2007). When we mixed these two

strains in equal ratios (Supplementary file 1D, orange line), the mixture grew to a higher final

OD and nearly twice as fast as the consumer strain.

However, due to the sequential nature of the reduction steps, we realized it was possible

that the nitrate reductase mutant (consumer 1) strain could be reducing the NO_2^- intermediate

from the nitric oxide reductase mutant (producer 1) strain before NO was even generated (Lilja

and Johnson, 2016), or that the producer was benefiting from a secondary crosstalk via N_2O

reduction produced by the consumer (Supplementary file 1A, co-culture 1). To eliminate the

possibility of these unintended interactions, we removed the nitrite reductase (Nir) from the

consumer strain and the nitrous oxide reductase (Nos) from the producer strain (Supplementary

file 1A, co-culture 2). Growth of this new producer strain ($\Delta norCB\Delta nosZ$, producer 2) alone was

unchanged, indicating that NO growth inhibition or toxicity was still the primary phenotypic driver

(Supplementary file 1C, green line). The new consumer strain ($\Delta narGHJI\Delta nirS$, producer 2;

Supplementary file 1B, green line) lacking both the nitrate and nitrite reductases, however,

showed reduced growth compared to the nitrate-reductase only mutant (Supplementary file 1B,

orange line). When these two strains were grown together, there was still a rescue, although

slower (Supplementary file 1D, compare orange and green lines). We interpret this difference in

growth rate to be due to differential cross-feeding: 1) the strains only cross-feed NO (green

trace) or 2) the strains can cross-feed more than NO (yellow trace).

In experiments with our second set of co-culture strains (green), we noticed that the

consumer displayed reduced growth upon the removal of nitrite reductase, even though the

medium only contained added nitrate. This led us to speculate that the periplasmic nitrate

reductase (Nap) might be contributing to consumer growth, or at least reducing the nitrate

available to the producer; Nap is commonly thought to be used for redox balancing compared to

the membrane bound nitrate reductase (Nar), which contributes to proton translocation and

ultimately ATP generation (Richardson, 2000; Sparacino-Watkins et al., 2014; Van Alst et al.,

2009). To test this possibility, we generated a new set of partitioned strains (Supplementary file

1A, co-culture 3, 4), where Nap either was deleted from both the consumer and producer

($\Delta narGHJI\Delta nirS\Delta napAB$, co-culture 3, purple) or from the consumer only

($\Delta norCB\Delta nosZ\Delta napAB$, co-culture 4, red). In both cases (Supplementary file 1D) we observed

slightly faster growth for the third and fourth co-cultures compared to the second co-culture set,

likely due to the restriction of nitrate reduction by the most energetically favorable path (i.e. the

producer strain could only reduce nitrate via Nar). Based on these results, for the remainder of

our studies, we decided to further investigate the behavior of the nitric oxide producer

($\Delta norCB\Delta nosZ$), consumer ($\Delta narGHJI\Delta nirS\Delta napAB$), and co-culture described as co-culture 4

(hereafter referred to as the "co-culture") (Figure 1B,C).

To verify that the growth of the strains in the co-culture was due to the removal of NO

from the producer by the consumer, in a separate experiment, we functionally replayed the

consumer with the chemical NO scavenger, c-PTIO, growing the NO producer strain alone in its

presence or absence. In its absence, the producer failed to grow, consistent with the inhibitory

effect of NO accumulation. However, the addition of c-PTIO restored growth, supporting the

interpretation that NO reduction drives growth in the co-culture (Figure 1D).

Single cell intermixing under planktonic and sessile anoxic conditions suggests NO

cross-feeding is mutually beneficial and proximity dependent.

Because our planktonic growth experiments suggested there is a net community benefit

to strains in co-culture with a partitioned denitrification pathway under anoxic conditions (Figure

1C), we next sought to determine whether this reflected a mutual or commensal relationship. To

address this, we co-cultured and imaged strains expressing constitutive fluorescence markers

(GFP or mApple) after 2 days of growth. Population ratios indicated that both strains grew in the

co-cultures with the producer having a slight advantage likely due to its ability to continue

reducing nitrate (Supplementary file 2A). Swapping the fluorescent proteins between strains did

not change this outcome, indicating that this effect is specific to the denitrification effects and

not an artefact of GFP or mApple expression. These results suggest that this cross-feeding

interaction is mutual (the producer and the consumer represent approximately half of the total

population) in which the harm of NO to the producer is alleviated by the consumer, which, in

turn, gains an electron acceptor; together, the pathway can proceed.

Building on these results, we wondered how spatial structure might influence the growth

of the producer and consumer given that NO is a highly reactive and diffusible molecule. We

hypothesized that, unlike under shaken planktonic growth conditions in which the whole

population benefited, under spatially constrained anoxic conditions, proximity would determine

the success of community members. To test this prediction, we mixed fluorescently labeled cells

of the same or differing genotypes in equal ratios, and then diluted the cultures and sandwiched

them between a coverslip and a nitrate-supplemented agar medium pad such that single cells

were randomly distributed from one to dozens of cell lengths from each other. From these

starting positions, single cells could develop into aggregate biofilms over a 48-hour period.

Figure 2A graphically represents the strain mixtures shown in panel B as well as their predicted

interactions based on the results of our planktonic growth experiments. As controls, we mixed

WT with WT to explore how two cells with the full pathway and no growth defects would interact

under these conditions, as well as the consumer and producer strains with themselves. Finally,

the producer and consumer strains were mixed to visualize their interaction.

The two strains (GFP/mApple) in the WT mixture grew as large clonal expansions with

little single cell intermixing, indicating neither strain was impacted by the other (Ciccarese et al.,

2020; Weinstein et al., 2017). In contrast, the consumer mixture showed a dramatic reduction in

growth compared to WT, in line with the inability of these strains to reduce nitrate, a terminal

electron acceptor. The producer mixture, like the consumer mixture, showed a marked reduction

in individual aggregate growth, but also a reduction in total aggregates overall. We infer that the

producer strain likely was inhibited for growth but might also have been undergoing lysis. If we

compare the WT (Figure 2C) and co-culture (Figure 2D) under these conditions, most strikingly

we observe an increase in single cell intermixing between the two strains for the co-culture. The

two WT strains (GFP/mApple) appear to grow as clonal expansions, pushing against one

another rather than optimizing cell-cell contact. Conversely, the co-culture, although significantly

less dense than the WT mixture, appears to favor cell-cell contact between strains and form

mixed aggregates, suggestive of a mutual reliance on each other for continued growth. These

mixed aggregates were likely the result of close starting positions of producer and consumer

cells relative to one another.

In addition to intermixed co-culture aggregates, we also observed a difference in total

identifiable aggregates between single genotype mixtures and the co-culture. We observed few

producer-only aggregates when grown alone (Supplementary file 2B), likely due to growth

inhibition or toxicity of NO. However, when grown in co-culture, we observed an increase in

producer aggregate count across several fields of view (Supplementary file 2B). While

consumer-only aggregates were initially more numerous than producer-only aggregates

(compare Supplementary file 2C "Con" with 2B "Pro"), we found that co-culture with the

producer strain increased the variability of consumer aggregate count (Supplementary file 2C).

Importantly, reduction in total aggregates was observed owing to fields of view in which only one
intermixed co-culture aggregate was present. These results suggest that while single cell
intermixing can occur when the two strains are seeded at close initial starting positions (Figure
2D), NO may also diffuse outward from producer cell aggregates to reach consumer cells at
further distances, thereby increasing the interaction range. Together, these results extend our
planktonic growth observations into a spatial context, revealing how community members with a
partitioned denitrification pathway can interact under anoxic conditions where NO can diffuse.
245

Oxygen availability induces synthetic community interaction and dials it from a
mutualistic (anoxic) to commensal (hyp(oxic)) interaction by increasing NO toxicity.
Recognizing that many environments where denitrification occurs experience variable
amounts of oxygen, we wondered what would happen to NO reactivity and in the presence of
oxygen. Strains such as PA14 are facultative anaerobes, meaning they preferentially respire
oxygen when it is available (oxic conditions), but when oxygen is limited, they induce pathways
(such as the denitrification pathway) that permit energy conservation using alternative electron
acceptors. A classic example of this phenomenon is when cells grow planktonically but are not
shaken during growth. Cells at the surface of the medium reduce oxygen faster than it can
diffuse into the medium, resulting in an oxygen gradient (Price-Whelan et al., 2007). To expand
our understanding of when, where and how NO-cross feeding operates, we exploited this
phenomenon and measured growth in shaking or standing "oxic" cultures. We hypothesized
strains harboring a partitioned denitrification pathway would exhibit different behaviors
depending on the amount of oxygen and nitrate present, in a manner reflecting the
agathokakological effects of oxygen and NO on cells.
To test this hypothesis, we tracked the growth of different producer and consumer
strains, alone and in combination, over 48-hours in a continuously shaking plate reader to
prevent an oxygen gradient from forming. In the absence of nitrate all strains grew similarly, as
expected, indicating the genetic modifications had no off-target effects (Figure 3A). Conversely,
when we added 5 mM nitrate to the medium, the consumer strain slightly decreased its growth
compared to the WT and the producer strains displayed a brief growth arrest midway through
exponential phase, with growth then paralleling that seen for the WT or mixed producer +
consumer cultures (Figure 3B). Despite continuous shaking, at these cell densities, we surmise
from prior work that these strains began to experience oxygen-limitation during mid exponential
phase (Price-Whelan et al., 2007).

To induce hypoxic conditions in a way that oxygen concentration could be measured, we
performed the same growth experiment in a plate reader without shaking, thus inducing an
oxygen gradient. Again, in the absence of nitrate we did not see a difference between the
strains (Figure 3C). However, in the presence of nitrate, growth of the producer and consumer
individually slowed compared to the WT but was rescued in co-culture (Figure 3D). Importantly,
even without the ability to reduce nitrate, the consumer was able to reach the same final OD as
WT, suggesting that while its growth was slowed, it was able to overcome its inability to utilize
nitrate as a terminal electron acceptor under these conditions. Conversely, the producer
strain(s) showed a dramatic decrease in OD later in the growth curve, suggestive of cell lysis.
To validate that an oxygen gradient had formed over time, we used microelectrodes to profile
oxgyen concentrations throughout the depth of standing cultures for both WT and the co-culture
(Figure 3E).
NO will readily react with superoxide (O_2^-) to form the reactive nitrogen species,
peroxynitrite ($ONOO^-$). O_2^- is a by-product and intermediate of oxygen respiration which is
removed by superoxide dismutase (SOD), and $ONOO^-$ can out compete superoxide for SOD
leading to an accumulation of reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress (Brunelli et al.,
1995). Thus, we reasoned that the lysis we observed under hypoxic conditions might be
correlated with an increased production of superoxide. To test this idea, we used an intracellular
NO sensitive dye (DAF-2DA, Figure 3G) and a superoxide sensitive dye (CellROX, Figure 3F) to
detect these metabolites. Both NO and superoxide increased over the growth curve in the
producer strain alone (Figure 3F,G), with a rise in signal around 30 hours, correlating with the
drop in OD (Figure 3D, arrows, "lysis").
293
Differences in the transcriptional regulation of nitrate reductases leads to spatiotemporal
control of NO production during aggregate biofilm development.
Based on the observation that the producer's growth and self-toxicity was correlated with
oxygen availability, and because oxygen gradients like those seen in standing cultures (Figure
3E) readily form in biofilm contexts (Arshad et al., 2014; Spero and Newman, 2018; Stewart et
al., 2016), we next sought to visualize lysis as a spatial proxy for NO production. To achieve
this, we grew diluted cells on agar pads under oxic conditions to form aggregate biofilms,
focusing only on NO producing cells. Recalling that the substrate for the nitrite reductase that
produces NO can be generated by both Nap and Nar, we compared two different producer
strains, one that contained both Nap and Nar and one that only contained Nar (Figure 4A).
Because *nap* and *nar* genes are regulated by different transcription factors: *nap* by RpoS

(stationary phase/quorum sensing) (Schuster et al., 2004) and *nar* by ANR (nitrate and low

oxygen) (Arai, 2011), we hypothesized that NO production in aggregate biofilm might be a

differential spatial distributed as a function of the strain's genomic content and thus

transcriptional regulation.

Inoculated agar pads were pre-incubated at 37°C under oxic conditions for 18 hours to

enable aggregate biofilm development after which we performed time lapse imaging at 37°C to

track subsequent growth and lysis. The producer containing both Nap and Nar grew into overall

smaller aggregate biofilms compared to the producer containing Nar only before undergoing a

wave of lysis starting at the periphery and moving inward (Figure 4B). High magnification views

of lysis illustrate that cells no longer appear black under phase and no longer contain

fluorescence (Supplementary file 4A). It is possible that the pattern of lysis observed in the

biofilms reflects relatively more NO being made by peripheral cells that have greater access to

oxygen and nutrients (Smriga et al., 2021); by the same token, these cells are the first to

experience NO-induced toxicity and die. To test this idea, we used the oxidative stress

fluorescent probe, CellROX on similar biofilms (containing both Nap and Nar) to visualize local

toxicity in relation to cell lysis. Though our planktonic experiments successfully documented a

correlation between superoxide and NO production with fluorescent probes (Fig. 3F, 3G), the

NO probe was too dim to quantify relative to the autofluorescent background, thus superoxide

detection is our proxy for NO. We observed a strong correlation between CellROX intensity

patterns and lysis, reinforcing that oxidative stress begins at the periphery and moves towards

the center as lysis progresses (Fig. 4D, 4E). Such peripheral death would collapse an oxygen

gradient, resulting in a lysis cascade as deeper cells continue to produce NO now in the

presence of more oxygen until all cells have lysed.

Conversely, the producer that only contains Nar initially develops into large aggregate

biofilms, likely due to little NO production until Nar is activated in response to low oxygen in the

interior and the presence of nitrate, leading to internal NO production and lysis (Figure 4C).

Interestingly, when we compared CellROX staining of three different producer (Nar) biofilms at

different stages of lysis, we also saw a dramatic increase in staining that started centrally and

worked its way outward. These results give credence to our model, but also indicate that lysis at

the perimeter or core are both due to oxidative stress. This could either be due to trace oxygen

being present in the core initially, allowing superoxide production, or anoxic NO buildup

inhibiting metabolism, thereby causing a collapse of the oxygen gradient.

Microenvironmental control of NO cross-feeding leads to predictable spatial patterns.

To visualize dynamic interactions between NO producers and consumers, we again
mixed the strains with themselves or each other and incubated them under oxic conditions on
nutrient agar pads containing nitrate. After 24 hours of growth, we observed that WT, like under
anoxic conditions, grew into aggregate biofilms, but rather than as monolayers, these biofilms
appeared thicker, perhaps due to the ability to support oxic and anoxic growth (Figure 5A). The
consumer mixture also grew into large aggregate biofilms (much larger than under anoxic
conditions due to its access to oxygen as a TEA), though the expansions were not as dense
due to the inability to support anoxic growth with nitrate (Figure 5A). The producer only mixture
again showed lysis (Figure 5A). Strikingly, the border between the the producer and consumer
in co-culture was dramatically different.

As seen under anoxic conditions (Figure 2C), WT strains showed no intermixing,
suggestive of no interaction/advantage or reliance on each other (Figure 5B). The co-culture,
however, showed lysis of producer cells when not in proximity to consumer cells and an
increase in single cell intermixing (Figure 5C). This suggests that from the beginning, the co-
culture single cells grew into clonal expansions, not interacting until the microenvironment was
metabolically altered (depletion of oxygen, beginning utilization of nitrate); the producer began
to lyse on its own, but when in proximity to a consumer, was relieved of NO toxicity. In other
words, over time, metabolic dynamics unlock a spatial niche where cross-feeding provides a
selective advantage. Such protection manifested in several ways (Figure 5D): some producer
cells appeared to cling to the consumer cells, following their contour; other producer cells
appeared to be protected by a pocket formed by consumer cells; and still others were protected
by regions of high intermixing. Knowing that the producer cells would lyse without local NO
depletion (Figure 4) permits us to link cellular spatial arrangement to physiological activity. To
further test this interpretation, we set up additional pads with the co-culture, pre-incubating them
until just before the producer showed lysis, and recording the cellular dynamics over time. As
predicted, the producer (Nap/Nar) cells lysed; however, unlike when grown alone where lysis
began at the periphery (compare to Figure 4B), the producer in the co-culture experiment
showed lysis beginning several cell lengths from the periphery when in close proximity to
consumer strains (Figure 5E).

Interestingly, in contrast to mixtures using the Nap/Nar producer (Supplementary file
4A), when the same experiment is performed using the producer that only contains Nar, rescue
of the producer is not observed by the consumer, presumably due to NO only being generated
in the biofilm core, too far from the consumer to trigger a mutually-beneficial interaction
(Supplementary file 4B). These results suggest a model in which initially the two strains do not

interact because they have full access to oxygen and do not yet need nitrate. However, as they

grow larger and an oxygen gradient forms in the microenvironment, the producer begins

generating NO which leads to self-lysis unless the producer is proximal to the consumer, which

relieves the producer's self-toxicity and provides the consumer a terminal electron acceptor

(Supplementary file 4C).

Discussion

Microbial metabolism is increasingly appreciated for its contributions to human and

environmental health (Hester et al., 2019; Ponomarova and Patil, 2015; Zuñiga et al., 2017),

and awareness of the diversity of microbial metabolic interactions is expanding with improved

techniques for identifying new pathways and observing interactions (Cordero and Datta, 2016;

Dar et al., 2021; DePas et al., 2016; Pessi et al., 2020; Wilbert et al., 2020; Zuñiga et al., 2017).

In the face of this progress, gaining a better understanding of how these interactions may shift

as a function of dynamic oxygen gradients is an important goal. We developed a synthetic

microbial community linked by the exchange of NO, an agathokakological molecule whose

biological effects are tuned by the presence of oxygen, as a step towards this end. Our work

shows that the interactions that drive NO intercellular exchange and reduction are induced and

sensitive to the genetic content of community members and microenvironmental gradients,

knowledge of which leads to predictable organizational outcomes.

Differential regulation of the Nar and Nap enzymes, both of which produce nitrite, the

metabolic precursor of NO in the denitrification pathway, directly impacts NO producer and

consumer interactions. Nar is induced under anoxic conditions and contributes to energy

conservation (Arai, 2011) whereas Nap can be expressed in (hyp)oxic environments and

facilitates redox balancing (Brondijk et al., 2004; Gates et al., 2008). These differences in

regulation thereby control NO production and reduction across a range of oxygen regimes.

Linking metabolic interactions to microscale microbial organizations is an important and

increasingly appreciated goal (Fuhrman, 2009; Gralka et al., 2020; Kuhns et al., 2015; Ma and

Cordero, 2018; Proctor and Relman, 2017; Widder et al., 2016). That genomic content—

including regulatory structure—shapes microbial interactions is not surprising, yet the point that

differential regulation of key steps in a partitioned metabolic pathway can determine interaction

outcomes is important to keep in mind when attempting to understand and predict when and

how members of complex microbial communities may spatially associate.

Our findings spotlight local oxygen availability for its ability to tune both the nature and

need for a cross-feeding relationship. In our example case, NO can generate toxic byproducts in

the presence of oxygen, leading to cellular growth arrest. Yet in the absence of oxygen, NO can

be a lifeline, serving as a terminal electron acceptor and promoting energy conservation and

growth. These agathokakological effects are important because oxygen is often

heterogeneously available in natural systems, exhibiting steep environmental gradients over

small spatial scales (Kolpen et al., 2014; Krichels et al., 2019). Because of this, oxygen

availability influences whether strains with a bifurcated denitrification pathway exhibit mutualism

(in anoxic environments, growth of the NO producer and consumer is co-dependent) or

commensalism (in (hyp)oxic environments, growth of the NO producer is constrained due to

toxicity in the absence of the consumer, whereas the consumer does not rely on NO to support

growth). Moreover, oxygen gradients can shape microbial interactions both in planktonic and

sessile communities, with the scales over which these interactions occur being set by the

proximity of interacting cells and their local microenvironment, which they in turn alter in space

and time.

While our work with a well-controlled synthetic community allowed us to make these

observations, an important next step will be to determine whether mixtures of natural isolates

with partitioned metabolic pathways play by the same rules. Our work gives rise to testable

predictions about when and where NO cross-feeding may occur. For example, it is well

appreciated that NO is an important intermediate species in the nitrogen cycle (Kuypers et al.,

2018), whose turnover rates may decide the fate of soil nitrogen stores. Interestingly, recent

metagenomic studies indicate that within certain habitats, there an enrichment for organisms

that can only reduce NO to N_2O, an important greenhouse gas (Pessi et al., 2020). Thus, an

understanding what constrains NO reduction may provide insight into a metabolic process with

important environmental consequences. Recent studies have drawn attention to the potential for

partitioned pathways to influence the rate of nitrogen flux in soils by resident microbes (Gowda

et al., 2020; Pessi et al., 2020). Our work attempts to directly link structure and function of such

partitions as is suggested by the genomic content of environmental isolates (Pessi et al., 2020).

In an entirely different context, our finding that *P. aeruginosa* can thrive by reducing NO

provided by another cell lends credence to the hypothesis that PA may survive the host immune

NO attack by its reduction to N_2O, and may correlate with the appearance of N_2O in the breath

gas of cystic fibrosis patients (Kolpen et al., 2014). Importantly, *P. aeruginosa* can be found

proximal to host immune cells in these infected environments (DePas et al., 2016).

Finally, we note that NO is only one of many agathokakological molecules, both organic

and inorganic, that may help structure microbial communities over small spatial scales as a

function of oxygen availability. For example, other redox-active metabolites such as phenazines

can function as natural toxins or beneficial agents of nutrient acquisition and/or redox

homeostasis, depending on the microenvironmental context (Dahlstrom et al., 2020). Similarly,

sulfide has long been known to play nuanced roles in nature, with its concentration-dependent

toxicity influencing which phototrophic species are able to thrive (Findlay et al., 2015), and

where different energy-conserving processes can occur within microbial mats (Jungblut et al.,

2016; Prieto-Barajas et al., 2018). We hasten to note that many other parameters may be

subject to similar dynamic spatiotemporal gradients, such as carbon, pH and light, and are likely

to also play important roles in determining the niches where cross-feeding or other types of

metabolic interactions can occur (Zhang et al., 2021). Towards the goal of gaining a predictive

understanding of why diverse microbial communities are structured the way they are, a

constructive next step will be to model these interactions, iterating with experiments until cross-

cutting principles can be identified with predictive power.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All strains used are clean deletion mutants

originating from the wild-type (WT) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 strain as described

below. Strains were stored as 50% glycerol stocks at -80°C. Before use, strains were streaked

from frozen stock onto Bacto agar (BD, Sigma) plates containing LB (Miller, BD, Sigma) or LB

supplemented with 50ug/mL gentamicin (GoldBio) to select for retention of expression cassettes

within fluorescent strains, and grown overnight at 37°C. From streak plates, a dab of culture was

used to inoculate 5mL liquid cultures in LB or LB plus 50ug/mL gentamicin liquid media.

Cultures were shaken at 250rpm at 37°C overnight until fully saturated at stationary phase. To

standardize inoculum sizes between strains, each strain's optical density (OD_{500nm}) was

measured by spectrophotometry (Beckman Coulter). Strains were then each washed and

diluted to an OD of 1 via centrifugation and resuspension in 1X phosphate buffered saline

(PBS). Strains were then either further diluted directly, or first mixed at equal ratios for co-

culture experiments. For all experiments, we used a Low Salt LB (LSLB) in place of standard LB

powder due to microelectrode reactivity to high NaCl in LB. The composition of LSLB is 141mM

Tryptone (BD, Sigma), 16mM Yeast Extract (BD, Sigma), 45mM NaCl (Fisher Chemical), which

were dissolved in Mili-Q water and autoclaved. 5mM Nitrate was supplemented into LB or LSBL

where indicated by diluting from a 1M stock of KNO_3 (Fisher Chemical).

Construction of mutant bacterial strains. Table S1 contains a full list of primers used in the

creation of deletion constructs and mutant strains used in this study. Briefly, a 1kb fragment up-

and downstream of the target gene was amplified via PCR and cloned into the pMQ30 plasmid

using Gibson cloning (NEB) and transformed into *E. coli* cells as previously described (Spero

and Newman, 2018). Deletion constructs were then introduced to parent strains (WT PA14 and

or deletion strains of PA14, see Table S1) via triparental conjugation. *E. coli* plasmid and helper

strains were selected against by bead plating conjugation onto VBMM plates containing

50ug/mL gentamicin (Choi and Schweizer, 2006). Clean deletion strains were then bead plated

on a 10% sucrose plate to induce homologous recombination with the construct containing the

regions up- and downstream, but lacking the targeted gene locus (Basta et al., 2017). Mutant

strains were confirmed first by patching on sucrose and gentamicin plates, and successful

mutants grew on sucrose but not gentamicin. Next, PCR genotyping was performed using

primers that span the deletion region, sequencing confirmed the identity of these deletions, and

anaerobic growth challenges revealed expected physiological effects (e.g. ΔnirS was unable to

grow on nitrite, etc). Fluorescence strains were created as previously describe (Basta et al.,

2017; Meirelles and Newman, 2018) using fluorescent GFP/mApple plasmids driven by the

constative ribosomal promoter rpsG introduced into the Tn7 site of specified strains using tetra-

parental conjugation. *E. coli* helper strains were again selected against using VBMM containing

50ug/mL gentamicin. Confirmation of insertion was performed via microscopy, visualizing

colonies in both green and red fluorescence channels and selecting those that were bright in

their respective channel. Frozen stocks were also used to start cultures and image single cells

which showed significant fluorescence in appropriate channels above background

autofluorescence.

Growth curves. Anoxic growth curve media was placed in anaerobic chamber 72 hours prior to

experiment to allow time for oxygen to degas from media. 1 OD_{500nm} cultures were brought into

chamber and further diluted to 0.005 OD_{500nm} in anoxic media. Growth curves were performed

using a BioTek Synergy 4 plate reader stored in an anoxic chamber and set to 37C with shaking

and measured OD_{500nm} every 10 minutes. Oxic (shaking) and Hypoxic (stationary) growth curves

were set up as above but under normal atmosphere. Oxic growth curves were performed using

a Tecan Spark 10M set to orbital shaking. Hypoxic growth curves were performed using a

Spectramax M3 (Molecular Devices) plate reader set to not shake. Each condition was

performed in technical triplicate or quadruplicate. Each well contained 200 µl of culture with

40uL of mineral oil added to the top of each well to prevent evaporation of 48-72-hour growth

curves. Traces from all growth curves (Figure 1, Figure 3, Supplementary file 1) were analyzed

by plotting mean OD_{500nm} over time (dark center line) as well as 95% confidence intervals from

the technical replicates (shaded area) using Seaborn plotting packages in Python.

Nitric oxide and superoxide quantification. Levels of nitric oxide and superoxide were

quantitated using fluorescent dyes during hypoxic growth curves. NO was quantitated using

50μM DAF-2DA (Calbiochem, Sigma) which is internalized by cells where esterases free DAF-

2DA to interact with NO producing a green fluorescence signal (Aakanksha Wany, Pradeep

Kumar Pathak, 2020). Superoxide was quantitated using 5μM CellROX Deep Red (Thermo),

which is non-fluorescent in a reduced state but exhibits a strong induction of fluorescence upon

oxidation with reactive oxygen species (McBee et al., 2017). To measure these probes, a

hypoxic growth curve in LSLB with or without 5mM KNO_3 was performed as indicated above

and the Spectramax plate reader was configured to additionally measure green fluorescence

(Ex. 488nm, Em. 520nm, DAF-2) and far red fluorescence (Ex. 640nm, Em. 665nm, CellROX).

For pad imaging assays, CellROX was supplemented to the agar pads directly at a final

concentration of 10μM and imaged after significant biofilm growth had occurred to reveal

superoxide localization. DAF-2DA was also used to visualize NO, however, due to weak single-

cell signal and strong background autofluorescence in the green channel, we were unable to

visualize the signal.

Oxygen profiling. To confirm the presence of oxygen gradients in standing cultures, we

employed a 25μm diameter oxygen microelectrode electrode (Unisense), which was calibrated

as previously described (Spero and Newman, 2018). Briefly, LSLB media incubated at 37°C

was used to calculate the high point O_2 concentration. A zero-point calibration was obtained by

dissolving 2g Sodium Ascorbate in 100mL 0.1 NaOH, which scavenges O_2 from the medium.

Using the Unisense Sensor Suite Software to save calibration values and to control a motorized

micromanipulator to perform profiling, O_2 was measured from the surface of hypoxically

incubated planktonic cultures in 1mL Eppendorf tubes. The surface was set by eye and

confirmed by a reduction in mV signal. O_2 was then measured over a 1mm distance below the

surface at 50μm intervals. Two measurements were taken at each position, and three technical

replicates were averaged and displayed as the mean value with 95% confidence intervals

(Figure 3E). Cultures were prepared as in growth curves but maintained as 1mL volumes in

tubes rather than aliquoting into 96-well plates. Mineral oil was not added due to potential

incompatibility with electrodes.

Agar pad imaging assay. After significant optimization, it was determined that 1 OD_{500nm}
cultures diluted to a starting concentration of 0.001 OD_{500nm} was sufficient to obtain single cells
randomly distributed under agar pads. Agar pads were made by combining LSLB media with or
without 5mM KNO_3, with 2% w/v Noble Agar (BD, Sigma). Prior to use, the agar was melted by
microwaving. To make equally sized agar pads, 100µL was pipetted into each of several square
molds (6-7mm X 7mm X 1.6mm Depth ID, 25mm X 75mm, Grace Bio Labs), placed between
two glass microscope slides, and allowed to solidify at 4°C until use. Anoxic pads were made 72
hours in advance and placed in an anaerobic chamber to degas. 5 µL of 0.001 OD_{500nm} dilution
culture was added to the bottom of a well in an 8-well LabTek dish (Thermo). An agar pad was
then placed on top of the culture. LabTek cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours (oxic) or
48 hours (anoxic) in a sealed container (6.7ounce, Systema). Importantly, when additional
humidity was added using a wet tissue under samples, instead of distinct aggregate biofilms,
cells grew more like intermixed planktonic cultures; thus, additional humidity was not added.
Time lapse imaging of pads was performed by first incubating pads as described above, but just
before lysis would occur (empirically determined to be at approximately 18 hours), LabTek
cultures were sealed with parafilm to prevent evaporation in the heated microscope incubation
chamber. Anoxically-incubated cells were not initially fluorescent upon removal from chamber to
image due to an inability for fluorophores to fold in the absence of oxygen. To overcome this,
pads were placed at 4ºC without lids for one to two hours prior to imaging which resulted in
sufficient signal recovering to differentiate between GFP and mApple cells.
562
Imaging and image analyses. All imaging was performed using a Nikon Ti2 widefield
fluorescence microscope. End time point images were collected using a Plan Fluor 100x/1.30 oil
phase objective and time lapse images were collected using a Plan Apo 40x/0.90 dry phase
objective. GFP channel used a 488nm LED excitation light source and emission was collected
with a FITC filter. The mApple channel used 561nm LED light source and emission was
collected with a TRITC filter. For time lapse imaging, an OKO Labs cage incubation unit was set
to 37°C several hours before imaging to equilibrate the microscope stand and used for the
duration of the imaging experiment. Images were collected every 20 minutes from multiple stage
positions. Time indicated in figures (Figure 4B,C, Figure 5E) represents time since the pads
were inoculated. Images were analyzed and prepared for display using FIJI (Schindelin et al.,
2012). Anoxically-incubated cells showed significantly less fluorescence than oxically incubated
ones; in order to optimally observe cells over background, a background subtraction using FIJI's
built-in function was performed. Brightness and contrast were adjusted on a per image basis in

order to best display cell positions and identifications, unless otherwise noted (e.g. CellROX

intensity in biofilms imaged and displayed the same for comparison of intensity (Figure 4D)). To

account for significant drift of the agar pads during time lapse imaging and produce the videos

and montages displayed herein, an image registration was performed using the StackReg

plugin with the Rigid Body choice. Image segmentation was used to quantify the ratio of

GFP:mApple cells in the anoxic planktonic cultures (Supplementary file 2A),. Briefly, contrast

was enhanced, background subtracted fluorescence channels were combined to create a total

cell mask. The Auto local Threshold function with the Phansalkar selection was used to

segment and count both the total and GFP channel cells. Because cells appeared to become

more fluorescent in the mApple channel during long anoxic incubations, this prevented us from

being able to segment mApple cells directly. Therefore, we calculated mApple cell counts as

total cell counts minus GFP cell counts, then used these values to compute population

percentages.

Acknowledgments. The work was supported by the National Institutes of Health

(R01HL152190 to D.K.N.) and the National Science Foundation Graduate Student Fellowships

Program (to S.A.W.). We would like to thank the current and past Newman Lab members for

feedback and discussions. Specifically, we thank Drs. Darcy McRose and Avi Flamholz for

critical manuscript review, Dr. Melanie Spero for molecular technique instruction, Drs. Reinaldo

Alcade and Georgia Squyres for fruitful discussion and troubleshooting imaging assays, and Dr.

Michael Piacentino for help with coding and general morale.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

Aakanksha Wany, Pradeep Kumar Pathak and KJG. 2020. Methods for Measuring Nitrate
Reductase, Nitrite Levels, and Nitric Oxide from Plant Tissues Aakanksha **2057**:5–6.

Arai H. 2011. Regulation and Function of Versatile Aerobic and Anaerobic Respiratory
Metabolism in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Front Microbiol* **2**:103.
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00103

Arshad TA, Bonnecaze RT, Shear JB, Whiteley M, Wessel AK, Connell JL, Fitzpatrick M. 2014.
Oxygen Limitation within a Bacterial Aggregate. *MBio* **5**. doi:10.1128/mbio.00992-14

Basta DW, Bergkessel M, Newman DK. 2017. Identification of fitness determinants during
energy-limited growth arrest in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *MBio* **8**:1–17.
doi:10.1128/mBio.01170-17

Borer B, Ciccarese D, Johnson D, Ori D. 2020. Spatial organization in microbial range
expansion emerges from trophic dependencies and successful lineages Benedict.
Commun Biol 1–10. doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01409-y

Brondijk THC, Nilavongse A, Filenko N, Richardson DJ, Cole JA. 2004. NapGH components of
the periplasmic nitrate reductase of Escherichia coli K-12: Location, topology and
physiological roles in quinol oxidation and redox balancing. *Biochem J* **379**:47–55.
doi:10.1042/BJ20031115

Brunelli L, Crow JP, Beckman JS. 1995. The comparative toxicity of nitric oxide and
peroxynitrite to escherichia coli. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. doi:10.1006/abbi.1995.1044

Carlson CA, Ingraham JL. 1983. Comparison of denitrification by Pseudomonas stutzeri,
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and paracoccus denitrificans. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **45**:1247–
1253. doi:10.1128/aem.45.4.1247-1253.1983

Carr GJ, Page MD, Ferguson SJ. 1989. The energy-conserving nitric-oxide-reductase system in
Paracoccus denitrificans Distinction from the nitrite reductase that catalyses synthesis of
nitric oxide and evidence from trapping experiments for nitric oxide as a free intermediate
during denitrifi. *Eur J Biochem* **179**:683–692. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989.tb14601.x

Choi KH, Schweizer HP. 2006. mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites: Example
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Nat Protoc* **1**:153–161. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.24

Ciccarese D, Zuidema A, Merlo V, Johnson DR. 2020. Interaction-dependent effects of surface
structure on microbial spatial self-organization. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci*
375:20190246. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0246

Cordero OX, Datta MS. 2016. Microbial interactions and community assembly at microscales.
Curr Opin Microbiol **31**:227–234. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2016.03.015

Dahlstrom KM, McRose DL, Newman DK. 2020. Keystone metabolites of crop rhizosphere
microbiomes. *Curr Biol* **30**:R1131–R1137. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.005

Dal Co A, Ackermann M, van Vliet S. 2019. Metabolic activity affects response of single cells to
a nutrient switch in structured populations. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/580563

Dar D, Dar N, Cai L, Newman DK. 2021. In situ single-cell activities of microbial populations
revealed by spatial transcriptomics. *bioRxiv* 2021.02.24.432792.

DePas WH, Starwalt-Lee R, Van Sambeek L, Kumar SR, Gradinaru V, Newman DK. 2016.
Exposing the three-dimensional biogeography and metabolic states of pathogens in cystic
fibrosis sputum via hydrogel embedding, clearing, and rRNA labeling. *MBio* **7**:1–11.
doi:10.1128/mBio.00796-16

Fang Y, Koba K, Makabe A, Takahashi C, Zhu W, Hayashi T, Hokari AA, Urakawa R, Bai E,
Houlton BZ, Xi D, Zhang S, Matsushita K, Tu Y, Liu D, Zhu F, Wang Z, Zhou G, Chen D,
Makita T, Toda H, Liu X, Chen Q, Zhang D, Li Y, Yoh M. 2015. Microbial denitrification
dominates nitrate losses from forest ecosystems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:1470–
1474. doi:10.1073/pnas.1416776112

Findlay AJ, Bennett AJ, Hanson TE, Luther GW. 2015. Light-dependent sulfide oxidation in the
anoxic zone of the chesapeake bay can be explained by small populations of phototrophic
bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **81**:7560–7569. doi:10.1128/AEM.02062-15

Fuhrman JA. 2009. Microbial community structure and its functional implications. *Nature*
459:193–199. doi:10.1038/nature08058

Gates AJ, Richardson DJ, Butt JN. 2008. Voltammetric characterization of the aerobic energy-
dissipating nitrate reductase of Paracoccus pantotrophus: Exploring the activity of a redox-
balancing enzyme as a function of electrochemical potential. *Biochem J* **409**:159–168.
doi:10.1042/BJ20071088

Goldford JE, Lu N, Bajić D, Estrela S, Tikhonov M, Sanchez-Gorostiaga A, Segrè D, Mehta P,
Sanchez A. 2018. Emergent simplicity in microbial community assembly. *Science (80-)*
361:469–474. doi:10.1126/science.aat1168

Gowda K, Ping D, Mani M, Kuehn S. 2020. A sparse mapping of structure to function in
microbial communities. *bioRxiv* 1–16.

Gralka M, Szabo R, Stocker R, Cordero OX. 2020. Trophic Interactions and the Drivers of
Microbial Community Assembly. *Curr Biol* **30**:R1176–R1188.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.007

Hester ER, Jetten MSM, Welte CU, Lücker S. 2019. Metabolic overlap in environmentally
diverse microbial communities. *Front Genet* **10**:1–10. doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.00989

Jungblut AD, Hawes I, Mackey TJ, Krusor M, Doran PT, Sumner DY, Eisen JA, Hillman C,
Goroncy AK. 2016. Microbial mat communities along an oxygen gradient in a perennially
ice-covered Antarctic lake. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **82**:620–630. doi:10.1128/AEM.02699-15

Kalkowski I. 1991. Metabolism of nitric oxide in denitrifying Pseudomonas aeruginosa and
nitrate-respiring Bacillus cereus. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **82**:107–112. doi:10.1016/0378-
1097(91)90429-E

Kolpen M, Kühl M, Bjarnsholt T, Moser C, Hansen CR, Liengaard L, Kharazmi A, Pressler T,
Høiby N, Jensen PØ. 2014. Nitrous oxide production in sputum from cystic fibrosis patients
with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection. *PLoS One* **9**.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084353

Koppenol H, Koppenol H. 2021. Nitric oxide, superoxide, and peroxynitrite: the good, the bad,
and the ugly.

Koskenkorva T, Aro-Kärkkäinen N, Bachmann D, Arai H, Frey AD, Kallio PT. 2008.
Transcriptional activity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa fhp promoter is dependent on two
regulators in addition to FhpR. *Arch Microbiol* **189**:385–396. doi:10.1007/s00203-007-0329-
3

Krichels A, DeLucia EH, Sanford R, Chee-Sanford J, Yang WH. 2019. Historical soil drainage
mediates the response of soil greenhouse gas emissions to intense precipitation events.
Biogeochemistry **142**:425–442. doi:10.1007/s10533-019-00544-x

Krichels AH, Yang WH. 2019. Dynamic Controls on Field-Scale Soil Nitrous Oxide Hot Spots
and Hot Moments Across a Microtopographic Gradient. *J Geophys Res Biogeosciences*
124:3618–3634. doi:10.1029/2019JG005224

Kuhns DB, Priel DAL, Chu J, Zarember KA. 2015. Isolation and Functional Analysis of Human
Neutrophils. *Curr Protoc Immunol* **111**:139–148. doi:10.1002/0471142735.im0723s111

Kuypers MMM, Marchant HK, Kartal B. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **16**:263–276. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9

Lilja EE, Johnson DR. 2016. Segregating metabolic processes into different microbial cells
accelerates the consumption of inhibitory substrates. *ISME J* **10**:1568–1578.
doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.243

Lowery NV, Ursell T. 2019. Structured environments fundamentally alter dynamics and stability
of ecological communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:379–388.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1811887116

Lycus P, Bøthun KL, Bergaust L, Shapleigh JP, Bakken LR, Frostegård Å. 2017. Phenotypic
and genotypic richness of denitrifiers revealed by a novel isolation strategy. *ISME J*

11:2219–2232. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.82

Ma L, Cordero OX. 2018. Solving the structure-function puzzle. *Nat Microbiol* **3**:750–751.
doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0186-7

Meirelles LA, Newman DK. 2018. Both toxic and beneficial effects of pyocyanin contribute to the
lifecycle of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mol Microbiol* **110**:995–1010.
doi:10.1111/mmi.14132

Niehaus L, Boland I, Liu M, Chen K, Fu D, Henckel C, Chaung K, Miranda SE, Dyckman S,
Crum M, Dedrick S, Shou W, Momeni B. 2019. Microbial coexistence through chemical-
mediated interactions. *Nat Commun* **10**. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10062-x

Pessi IS, Viitamäki S, Eronen-Rasimus E, Delmont TO, Luoto M, Hultman J. 2020. Truncated
denitrifiers dominate the denitrification pathway in tundra soil metagenomes. *bioRxiv*.
doi:10.1101/2020.12.21.419267

Ponomarova O, Patil KR. 2015. Metabolic interactions in microbial communities: Untangling the
Gordian knot. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **27**:37–44. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2015.06.014

Price-Whelan A, Dietrich LEP, Newman DK. 2007. Pyocyanin alters redox homeostasis and
carbon flux through central metabolic pathways in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14. *J
Bacteriol* **189**:6372–6381. doi:10.1128/JB.00505-07

Prieto-Barajas CM, Valencia-Cantero E, Santoyo G. 2018. Microbial mat ecosystems: Structure
types, functional diversity, and biotechnological application. *Electron J Biotechnol* **31**:48–
56. doi:10.1016/j.ejbt.2017.11.001

Proctor DM, Relman DA. 2017. The Landscape Ecology and Microbiota of the Human Nose,
Mouth, and Throat. *Cell Host Microbe*. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.03.011

Ratzke C, Barrere J, Gore J. 2020. Strength of species interactions determines biodiversity and
stability in microbial communities. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**:376–383. doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1099-
4

Rich JJ, Heichen RS, Bottomley PJ, Cromack K, Myrold DD. 2003. Community Composition
and Functioning of Denitrifying Bacteria from Adjacent Meadow and Forest Soils. *Appl
Environ Microbiol* **69**:5974–5982. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.10.5974-5982.2003

Richardson DJ. 2000. Bacterial respiration: a flexible process for a changing environment.
Microbiology **146 (Pt 3**:551–571. doi:10.1099/00221287-146-3-551

Sasaki Y, Oguchi H, Kobayashi T, Kusama S, Sugiura R, Moriya K, Hirata T, Yukioka Y, Takaya
N, Yajima S, Ito S, Okada K, Ohsawa K, Ikeda H, Takano H, Ueda K, Shoun H. 2016.
Nitrogen oxide cycle regulates nitric oxide levels and bacterial cell signaling. *Sci Rep* **6**:1–
11. doi:10.1038/srep22038

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K,
Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis.
Nat Methods **9**:676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

Schuster M, Hawkins AC, Harwood CS, Greenberg EP. 2004. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa
RpoS regulon and its relationship to quorum sensing. *Mol Microbiol* **51**:973–985.
doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03886.x

Smriga S, Ciccarese D, Babbin AR. 2021. Denitrifying bacteria respond to and shape
microscale gradients within particulate matrices. *Commun Biol* **4**:570. doi:10.1038/s42003-
021-02102-4

Sparacino-Watkins C, Stolz JF, Basu P. 2014. Nitrate and periplasmic nitrate reductases.
AUTHOR'S MANUSCRIPT. *Chem Soc Rev* **43**:676–706. doi:10.1039/c3cs60249d.Nitrate

Spero MA, Newman DK. 2018. Chlorate Specifically Targets Oxidant-Starved, Antibiotic-
Tolerant Populations of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms Melanie. *MBio* **9**:e01400-18.

Stewart PS, Zhang T, Xu R, Pitts B, Walters MC, Roe F, Kikhney J, Moter A. 2016. Reaction-
diffusion theory explains hypoxia and heterogeneous growth within microbial biofilms
associated with chronic infections. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **2**:1–8.
doi:10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.12

Tian H, Yang J, Xu R, Lu C, Canadell JG, Davidson EA, Jackson RB, Arneth A, Chang J, Ciais
P, Gerber S, Ito A, Joos F, Lienert S, Messina P, Olin S, Pan S, Peng C, Saikawa E,
Thompson RL, Vuichard N, Winiwarter W, Zaehle S, Zhang B. 2019. Global soil nitrous
oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated by an ensemble of terrestrial
biosphere models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty. *Glob Chang Biol* **25**:640–659.
doi:10.1111/gcb.14514

Van Alst NE, Sherrill LA, Iglewski BH, Haidaris CG. 2009. Compensatory periplasmic nitrate
reductase activity supports anaerobic growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 in the
absence of membrane nitrate reductase. *Can J Microbiol* **55**:1133–1144. doi:10.1139/W09-
065

VoBwinkel R, Neidt I, Bothe H. 1991. The production and utilization of nitric oxide by a new,
denitrifying strain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Arch Microbiol* **156**:62–69.
doi:10.1007/BF00418189

Volk N., Vollmer D, Schmidt M, Oppermann W, Huber K. 2004. Nitric Oxide, an Old Molecule
With Noble Functions in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biology. *Adv Polym Sci* **166**:29–65.
doi:10.1016/j.cossms.2010.07.001

Weinstein BT, Lavrentovich MO, Möbius W, Murray AW, Nelson DR. 2017. Genetic drift and
selection in many-allele range expansions, bioRxiv. doi:10.1101/145631

Widder S, Allen RJ, Pfeiffer T, Curtis TP, Wiuf C, Sloan WT, Cordero OX, Brown SP, Momeni B,
Shou W, Kettle H, Flint HJ, Haas AF, Laroche B, Kreft JU, Rainey PB, Freilich S, Schuster
S, Milferstedt K, Van Der Meer JR, Grobkopf T, Huisman J, Free A, Picioreanu C, Quince
C, Klapper I, Labarthe S, Smets BF, Wang H, Soyer OS, Allison SD, Chong J,
Lagomarsino MC, Croze OA, Hamelin J, Harmand J, Hoyle R, Hwa TT, Jin Q, Johnson
DR, de Lorenzo V, Mobilia M, Murphy B, Peaudecerf F, Prosser JI, Quinn RA, Ralser M,
Smith AG, Steyer JP, Swainston N, Tarnita CE, Trably E, Warren PB, Wilmes P. 2016.
Challenges in microbial ecology: Building predictive understanding of community function
and dynamics. *ISME J* **10**:2557–2568. doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.45

Wilbert SA, Mark Welch JL, Borisy GG. 2020. Spatial Ecology of the Human Tongue Dorsum
Microbiome. *Cell Rep* **30**:4003-4015.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.097

Yoon SS, Karabulut AC, Lipscomb JD, Hennigan RF, Lymar S V., Groce SL, Herr AB, Howell
ML, Kiley PJ, Schurr MJ, Gaston B, Choi KH, Schweizer HP, Hassett DJ. 2007. Two-
pronged survival strategy for the major cystic fibrosis pathogen, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,
lacking the capacity to degrade nitric oxide during anaerobic respiration. *EMBO J* **26**:3662–
3672. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601787

Yu K, Mitchell C, Xing Y, Magliozzo RS, Bloom BR, Chan J. 1999. Toxicity of nitrogen oxides
and related oxidants on mycobacteria: M. tuberculosis is resistant to peroxynitrite anion.
Tuber Lung Dis **79**:191–198. doi:10.1054/tuld.1998.0203

Zhang IH, Mullen S, Ciccarese D, Dumit D, Martocello DE, Toyofuku M, Nomura N, Smriga S,
Babbin AR. 2021. Ratio of organic carbon to fixed nitrogen regulates metabolic
specialization and denitrification dynamics in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front
Microbiol 12:1-13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.711073

Zumft WG. 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*
61:533–616. doi:10.1128/mmbr.61.4.533-616.1997

Zuñiga C, Zaramela L, Zengler K. 2017. Elucidation of complexity and prediction of interactions
in microbial communities. *Microb Biotechnol* **10**:1500–1522. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12855

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Design and characterization of synthetic nitric oxide cross-feeding community. (**A**) WT

PA14 denitrification pathway (**B**) Schematic of NO consumer and producer strains where genes

that have been deleted are grayed-out, and those remaining are shown in black. The pathway

partitions where NO is produced and reduced. (**C**) Growth curve of co-culture 4 members grown

individually and together, compared to the WT, over 72hrs under anoxic conditions with nitrate

provided as sole terminal electron acceptor (TEA). (**D**) Growth rescue of producer strain using

the chemical NO scavenger c-PTIO.

Supplemental file 1. Synthetic NO cross-feeding co-culture comparisons. (**A**) Schematic

representation of genomic identity of consumer and producer strains as done Figure 1B. Wiggly

arrows indicate intermediates that can be exchanged. (**B**) Growth curves for specified

strains/co-cultures.

Figure 2. Patterning of producer and consumer strains under sessile anoxic conditions. (**A**) Phenotypic

predictions. (**B**) Representative images showing growth patterns of indicated strain mixtures

constitutively expressing either GFP or mApple after anoxic growth for 48hrs under an agar pad with

nitrate provided as sole TEA. (**C,D**) Zoom-in of WT mixture showing strains growing as clonal patches

(C) compared with zoom-in of the co-culture showing intermixing (**D**). Consumer strain is

Δ*narGHJI*Δ*nirS*Δ*napAB*. Producer strain is Δ*norCB*Δ*nosZ*.

Supplementary file 2. Planktonic culture population ratios and sessile aggregate counts. (**A**)

Co-culture 4 and 3 were grown as specified for the anoxic growth curves (Figure 1) but with

fluorescence constructs to identify the compositional ratio. Co-cultures with the consumer

expressing GFP and producer expressing mApple (left two datasets) were compared to the

reciprocal cultures with consumer expressing mApple and producer expressing GFP (right two

datasets). (**B**) Producer aggregates when grown alone (Pro.) vs. in co-culture with the consumer

(Pro. + Con.). (**C**) Consumer aggregates when grown alone (Con.) vs in co-culture with the

producer (Con. + Pro.). Consumer strain is Δ*narGHJI*Δ*nirS*Δ*napAB*. Producer strain is

Δ*norCB*Δ*nosZ*.

Figure 3. Oxic vs hypoxic growth of planktonic cultures supplemented with and without nitrate. (**A-D**)

48hr growth curves cultured under the indicated conditions. Evenly oxygenated environments ("oxic-

shaking") were achieved by shaking cultures during incubation (A,B), while unevenly oxygenated

environments ("hypoxic-stagnant") were achieved by culturing without shaking (C,D). (**E**) Oxygen

profiles from non-shaking cultures of the WT compared to profiles from the co-culture at two time

points. (**F,G**) Fluorescent dye readings of superoxide and nitric oxide accumulation over a hypoxic

growth curve with nitrate (as in D).

Figure 4. Spatiotemporal patterning of lysis within aggregate biofilms as a function of which nitrate

reductase is present. **A**) Transcriptional regulatory control of Nap/Nar gene expression (left).

Predictions of spatial Nap and Nar activity in a biofilm, given this regulation (right). Nap can reduce

nitrate (NO_3^-) to nitrite (NO_2^-) throughout biofilm, and reduction at the surface prevents nitrate diffusion

into the biofilm core. Without Nap, nitrate may penetrate deeper. (**B**) Time lapse of producer (Nap/Nar)

biofilm lysis occurring from the periphery inward. (**C**) Time lapse of producer (Nar) biofilm lysis

occurring from the core outward. (**D**) At the same time point, three distinct representative biofilms

arranged by amount of lysis observed per producer type. Red channel represents CellROX staining

indicating presence of superoxide. All images are displayed using the same display settings for direct

comparison. (**E**) Schematic interpretation of results. NO leads to superoxide production; superoxide

localization correlates with lysis: left represents the dynamic for a Nap/Nar producer, right represents

the dynamic for a Nar producer.

Supplementary file 3. Visualization of lysis in a Nap/Nar producer biofilm. High magnification image

showing lysis, which is interpreted from a loss of fluorescence and increase in transparency in phase

contrast.

Figure 5. Patterning of producer and consumer strains under sessile oxic conditions. (**A**)

Representative images of indicated strains grown as biofilms for 24hrs under oxic conditions in the

presence of nitrate. (**B**) Zoom-in of WT clonal expansion showing no intermixing. (**C**) Zoom-in of co-

culture 4 showing producer survival adjacent to the consumer expansion interface; note that cells

appear brightest prior to lysis. (**D**) Three additional high magnification callouts to spotlight specific

structural features. (**E**) Time lapse of co-culture 4 showing temporal producer lysis occurring in the

absence of consumer contact.

Supplemental file 4. Comparison of patterning of the producer in sessile oxic co-culture (**A**) Producer

(Nap/Nar) shows lysis throughout biofilms and when paired with the consumer, regardless of

fluorescent identifier; producer shows lysis in the absence of consumer contact. (**B**) Producer (Nar)

shows lysis in the center of biofilm, and no significant difference compared to in the presence or

absence of the consumer. (**C**) Proposed model in which the Nap/Nar producer and consumer strains

both grow clonally until the microenvironment changes such that the producer begins to lyse. The

consumer acts as a sink for NO in close proximity to the producer, relieving NO toxicity and preventing

lysis.

Supplemental Table 1. Primers, plasmids, cut sites and strains used to make the mutants used in this

study.

Figure 1

Supplementary file 1

Figure 2

Supplementary file 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Supplementary file 3

Figure 5

Supplementary file 4

Supplementary Table 1

Deletion Construct	NarGHI	NapAB	NirS	NorCB	NosZ
Function	Membrane bound nitrate reductase	Periplasmic nitrate reductase	Nitrite reductase	Nitric oxide reductase	Nitrous oxide reductase
Up F	TAAAACGACGGCCAGTGCCAAGCTACTGGGTGTTCGGCCTG	ACGACGGGCCAGTGCCAAGCTTCTACAGTCGGCTGCATAGA	ACGACGGGCCAGTGCCAAGCTTGTTGGATGCGCTGGGCCAGCA	TAAAACGACGGCCAGTGCCATGATCTCGGCTGGCTGG	ACGACGGCCAGTGCCAAGCTACGTACTTGACCTGCTGGCG
Up R	GGCGCAGGGGTCTTGATCTCCTCACCCGGTC	GTGCTTTCATGCGGCCCCCGGATTGTTCCTCGGGCGAG	GGCTCCTGAGGAGATAGACCGACCGGTGCGGGGCACGC	GAAGGCCATGCGGGCCTTCCTGGGAATGGG	GCCCTTGAGGACGACACAGAGTCCACTCAGCGGGGTTGGATG
Dn F	GGAGATCAAGACCCTGCGCGGCCGGGGCGCAT	CTCGCCCGAGGAGAACAATCGGGGAGGCCGCATGAAAGCACTCA	GCGTGCCCCGCACGCGGGTGGGTCTATCTCCTCAGGAGCC	AGGAGGCCGCGATGGGCCTTCGGGCGTCGAG	CATCGACCGCGCTGAGTGGACTGGTGTCCTCAAGGGC
Dn R	CATGATTACGAATTCGA GCTGCTGGGCGGCGGCAGGGAAGGGC	CATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTTCGTCGCCGACCGGGGGCCCAG	CATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTTATCAGGCGACATGGAGATC	CATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTCCGGGCAATCGTCCAGCG	CATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTGAGGAACTGGCCGCCCTGGA
Plasmid	pMQ30	pMQ30	pMQ30	pMQ30	pMQ30
Cut Sites	HindIII, SacI	HindIII, SacI	HindIII, SacI	HindIII, SacI	HindIII, SacI
Published	Spero et al 2018	This study	This study	This study	This study

Strain name	Parent	Deletion	Function of deletion	Published
PA14 (WT)	PA14	NA	NA	NA
ΔnarGHI	PA14	NarGHI	Membrane nitrate reductase	Spero et al 2018
ΔnorCB	PA14	NorCB	Nitric oxide reductase	This study
ΔnirS	PA14	NirS	Nitrite reductase	This study
ΔnarGHIΔnirS	ΔnirS	NarGHI	Membrane bound nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase	This study
ΔnorCBΔnosZ	ΔnorCB	NosZ	Nitric oxide reductase, nitrous oxide reductase	This study
ΔnarGHIΔnirSΔnapAB	ΔnarGHIΔnirS	NapAB	Membrane nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, periplasmic nitrate reductase	This study
ΔnorCBΔnosZΔnapAB	ΔnorCBΔnosZ	NapAB	Nitric oxide reductase, nitrous oxide reductase, periplasmic nitrate reductase	This study