Insights into the role of lipoteichoic acids and MprF function in *Bacillus subtilis*

Aurélie Guyet[1]*, Amirah Alofi[1], Richard A. Daniel[1]*

[1] Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Baddiley-Clark Building, Biosciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, United Kingdom.

*For correspondence Email: aurelie.guyet@newcastle.ac.uk and richard.daniel@newcastle.ac.uk
Tel. +44 1912 083239.

Running title: Insights into the role of LTA in *B. subtilis*

Abstract

Gram-positive bacterial cells are protected from the environment by a cell envelope which

comprises of layers of peptidoglycan that maintain the cell shape and teichoic acids polymers

whose biological function remains unclear. In *Bacillus subtilis*, loss of all Class A Penicillin-

Binding Proteins (aPBPs) which function in peptidoglycan synthesis is conditionally lethal. Here

we show that this lethality is associated with an alteration of the lipoteichoic acids (LTA) and the

accumulation of the major autolysin LytE in the cell wall. Our analysis provides further evidence

that the length and abundance of LTA acts to regulate the cellular level and activity of autolytic

enzymes, specifically LytE. Importantly, we identify a novel function for the aminoacyl-

phosphatidylglycerol synthase MprF in the modulation of LTA biosynthesis in *B. subtilis* and

Staphylococcus aureus. This finding has implications for our understanding of antimicrobial

resistance (particularly daptomycin) in clinically relevant bacteria and the involvement of MprF

in the virulence of pathogens, such as methicillin resistant *S. aureus*.

Keywords: *Bacillus*/ LTA/ LytE/ MprF/ PBP1

Introduction

Gram-positive bacteria have a common cell envelope architecture that contributes to maintaining

cell shape and protects the cell from environmental changes. The best characterised component

of the cell envelope is the peptidoglycan (PG) which forms a mesh like structure enclosing the

cell membrane. Onto this structure anionic polymers are either covalently attached to PG N-

acetylmuramic acids and known as wall-teichoic acids (WTA, **Fig 1A**) or are tethered to the

external face of the membrane and named lipoteichoic acids (LTA) (1-4). It is unclear whether

LTA are localized in a periplasm-like space between the membrane and PG or extend through

the PG layers (5, 6).

Peptidoglycan is synthetised by glycosyltransferases (GTases) which catalyse the addition of a

disaccharide pentapeptide precursor (lipid II), to the nascent PG chain (**Fig 1A**) while

transpeptidase (TPase) enzymes cross-link a proportion of the pentapeptides to adjacent PG

strands (7, 8). In the absence of the bifunctional transmembrane GTase and TPase enzymes,

known as class A Penicillin-Binding Proteins (aPBPs) (**Fig 1A**), *B. subtilis* cells are viable but

the cells are longer and thinner and are prone to lysis (9, 10). The viability of *B. subtilis* lacking

all aPBPs (referred as *Δ4*) depends on the functionality of the essential protein RodA that was

recently identified to function as a PG glycosyltransferase (11, 12). The *Δ4* strain is conditionally

lethal on PAB agar medium unless supplemented with magnesium (10). It is thought that this

divalent cation alters the structure of the wall by binding to teichoic acids or PG and by doing so,

might alter the activity of cell wall hydrolases (**Fig 1A**), the enzymes that modify and sculpt

synthesised PG to allow the cell to elongate and divide (5, 13-15).

In rod-shaped *B. subtilis* 168*,* LTA and WTA are composed of polyglycerolphosphate

(polyGroP) generated by independent biosynthetic pathways (**Fig 1B**) (2-5). Importantly, *B.*

subtilis is not able to survive the loss of both LTA and WTA synthesis (16) and these teichoic

acids seem to have roles in both cell growth (17) and division-separation (16, 18). It has also

been determined that anionic charge of these polymers can be modified by the addition of D-

alanine or N-acetylglucosamine (19). D-alanylation by Dlt proteins (**Fig 1A**) is proposed to

regulate autolysin activity, cell wall ion homeostasis (3, 5) and also imparts some resistance to

positively charged cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) (20). The net cell envelope charge is

proposed to be moderated by the teichoic acids and also the phospholipid composition of the cell

membrane (**Fig 1**) (21, 22). In this respect, the transmembrane protein MprF is the only enzyme

known to synthesise and translocate aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol (aaPGol), in particular

lysyl-PGol (**Fig 1**) (23-26). MprF plays a role in the virulence of bacterial pathogens (26, 27) and

in *B. subtilis,* it confers resistance to CAMPs (**Fig 1A**) such as nisin and daptomycin through

mechanisms that are not yet characterized (28, 29). Phosphatidylglycerol (PGol) is also the

substrate for LTA-synthases, in *B. subtilis* three of these enzymes are present: LtaS ('house-

keeping'), YfnI ('stress') and YqgS ('sporulation') (16, 18) (**Fig 1**). These transmembrane LTA-

synthases have an extracellular catalytic domain that uses PGol to generate a polyGroP polymer

on a lipid anchor (2, 3). The lipid anchor is usually a diglucosyldiacylglycerol (Glc_2DAG)

produced by UgtP on the internal surface of the cell membrane (30) (**Fig 1A**). As an *ugtP* mutant

still produces LTA (31), it suggests that polyGroP polymers are probably anchored to a

phosphatidylglycerol as observed in *Staphylococcus aureus* (32, 33).

In *S. aureus,* LTA is essential and seems to modulate autolytic activity (34), while WTA is

dispensable but appears to restrict autolytic activity (1, 4). Contrary to *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* has

only one LTA-synthase (LtaS) whose absence is (conditionally) essential (35) and can be

complemented by *B. subtilis ltaS* but not *yfnI* (18, 36). A recent *in vitro* study showed that *S.*

aureus LtaS is processive and that the LTA polymer elongation is directly regulated by the

identity and concentration of lipid starter units. Interestingly, use of Glc_2DAG as a starter unit

led to the formation of shorter polymers (33) while the use of PGol resulted in the synthesis of

longer polymers. It is not clear if this newly identified mechanism might be conserved in *B.*

subtilis. However, in absence of *B. subtilis* LtaS, YfnI activity results in LTA of increased length

and it is possible that the combination of LtaS and YfnI activities modulated the length of LTA

(**Fig 1A**) (18, 37).

Through characterising *B. subtilis* strains lacking peptidoglycan GTase activity (12), we aimed

to understand the cell wall stresses causing aPBP mutants to lyse on glucose rich media.

Collectively, our data supports the idea that in *B. subtilis* LTA length acts to moderate the

activity of at least one important autolysin, LytE (**Fig 1A**). The presence of glucose in a strain

lacking aPBPs increases the expression of LtaS and YfnI and these result in altered LTA

production. Crucially, we identify a new role for MprF in altering LTA polymerisation in *B.*

subtilis and *S. aureus*. Our results reveal that MprF acts to change the cell envelope in a more

dramatic way than simply increasing the positive charge on the cell membrane which has

implications for understanding the association of MprF with both virulence and antibiotic

resistance in Gram-positive bacteria.

Results

MprF alters cell viability in absence of *ponA* or *mbl*

In *Bacillus subtilis*, the absence of all aPBPs (*Δ4* for *ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* mutant) is

conditionally lethal on glucose-rich PAB agar medium unless supplemented with magnesium

(10, 12, 38). The suppressive effect of divalent magnesium has been observed in other cell-wall

deficient mutants (10, 16, 38, 39) and it is not well understood. To identify the cell wall factors

able to suppress *Δ4* lethality, we applied a random transposition mutagenesis to a strain deleted

for the vegetative aPBPs (**Tables 1-3**). Mapping of the transposon in strain SWA11a revealed an

insertion in the gene *mprF*, encoding a lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LysPGol) synthase known to

participate in phospholipid metabolism (**Fig 1**) (23, 28). Introduction of a *mprF* deletion into *Δ4*,

confirmed that *Δ4 ΔmprF* was viable on nutrient agar (NA) with glucose and on PAB agar (**Fig

2A, Fig S1A**). A strain lacking *ponA* (encoding the major aPBP (PBP1) is viable but exhibits a

growth phenotype that is suppressed by magnesium (**Fig 2A, Fig S1B**). Here the deletion of

mprF in *ΔponA* improved its growth and its colony morphology.

In the light of these results we reasoned that if MprF activity is detrimental to *Δ4* its

overexpression should be deleterious to *ΔponA*. Consistent with this, the overexpression of MprF

(MprF ↑) was found to be lethal in *ponA*-deleted strains on NA-glucose (**Fig 2B**). In contrast, on

NA, both *ΔponA* and *Δ4* strains grew slowly when *mprF* overexpression was induced (**Fig 2B**)

but no obvious effect was observed for 168CA or *Δ3* aPBPs (*ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG*). We also

observed that the overexpression of *mprF* caused the cells of *ΔponA* and *Δ4* to lyse in nutrient

broth (NB) (**Fig S1C**).

The identification of *ΔmprF* as a suppressor suggested that increased negative charge on the

membrane could contribute to the rescue of *Δ4* growth. This seemed to contradict the long-

standing hypothetical role of magnesium in altering the cell envelope by neutralizing anionic

polymers and/or autolysis activity (1, 5). As it is known that the function of *dlt* operon is to add

positive charge to LTA, it would be predicted that the loss of Dlt's function should have a similar

effect as *ΔmprF* on *Δ4* strain viability (40, 41). However, *Δ4 ΔdltAB* strain was unable to grow

on glucose rich media (data not shown). We also deleted the genes encoding the cardiolipin

synthases in *Δ4* to alter the membrane charge and the cellular pool of PGol (**Fig 1B**) but the loss

of cardiolipin had no suppressive effect on the *Δ4* phenotype (data not shown).

To understand if the effect of MprF was specific to *Δ4*, we altered MprF expression in other

known magnesium dependent mutants *ΔmreB* and *Δmbl*. It should however be noted that only

Δmbl requires magnesium to grow on plate compared to *ΔmreB* (**Fig S2A**). MreB, Mbl and

MreBH are actin-like isologues which help maintain cell shape by controlling both cell wall

synthesis (42) and the major autolytic enzymes CwlO and LytE (Mbl for CwlO and MreB/BH

for LytE) (43). Interestingly, we identified that MprF overexpression rescued the growth of *Δmbl*

on NA-glucose and NA (**Fig 2B**). In addition, the morphological defects associated with this

mutation (16) were less pronounced, resulting in wide rod-like shape cells and cell chains that

were less often twisted (**Fig 2C**).

To explain our genetic findings in *Δmbl* background, we had to consider that MprF might play a

role in cell wall metabolism. This idea seemed to be supported by transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) of a strain overexpressing MprF (**Fig 2FG**). Here cells exhibited an increase

in cell wall thickness (it was also observed that cell poles were 'dented', and septa were

misplaced), a defect similar to that observed in cell division mutants. However the change in cell

morphology of the strain deleted for *mprF* was less significant (**Fig 2E**).

Previous reports showed that lethality of *B. subtilis* Δ*mbl* is suppressed by the deletion of the

major LTA-synthase LtaS (16) and other work had shown that in *ltaS* mutant the activity of the

LTA-synthase YfnI results in longer LTA polymers (18). This suggested that the overexpression

of MprF in Δ*mbl* might diminish the cellular level of PGol (through a different pathway, **Fig 1B**)

(24) or MprF might function in LTA synthesis.

Conditional essentiality of UgtP in the absence of MprF and the Class A PBPs

To comprehend the effect of MprF-loss in a strain lacking aPBPs and identify the MprF

suppression pathway, we carried out a transposon screen in a strain lacking the vegetative class

A PBPs, *mprF, lacA* and carrying pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* (strain AG200, **Tables 1-2**) (12) with the

objective of identifying alleles that rendered the maintenance of the plasmid copy of *ponA*

essential. From this screen strain AG200BK#42 was isolated with the expected phenotype and

found to carry a transposon inserted in the gene *gtaB*. GtaB catalyses a cytoplasmic conversion

of Glucose-1-P to UDP-Glc (**Fig 1**), where the latter ultimately contributes to LTA synthesis and

WTA modification. Subsequent mutagenesis in backgrounds *Δ4* and *Δ4 ΔmprF* confirmed the

lethal (NA-glucose) and sick (NA) phenotypes in absence of GtaB (**Fig 3A**).

To determine if this was related to LTA synthesis or WTA modification, we deleted sequentially,

in the *Δ4* or *Δ4* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* backgrounds, each enzyme whose function is immediately

upstream or downstream of GtaB (**Fig 1B**). Characterisation of the resulting strains (**Table 2)**

showed that only deletion of *ugtP*, the enzyme which produces the Glc_2DAG lipid anchor for

LTA, had a similar effect to that of *gtaB*. Strain *Δ4 ΔmprF ΔugtP* was unable to grow on NA

glucose (**Fig 3A**), a phenotype common to *ΔponA ΔgtaB* and *ΔponA ΔugtP* (**Fig S2C**). On NA,

Δ4 ΔgtaB and *Δ4 ΔugtP* grew very slowly (**Fig 3A,** right panel).

LysPGol content increases in *ΔugtP* cells (24) which could explain the similarity of phenotype of

Δ4 ΔugtP and *Δ4* MprF ↑ (i.e. slow growing on NA) but not the dominant effect of *ΔugtP* on *Δ4*

ΔmprF. In *S. aureus,* it has been observed that *ΔugtP* (*ypfP*) and *ΔgtaB* mutants produce long

LTA (32). Thus this context and our results reinforced the idea that MprF may have a role in

LTA synthesis.

Growth of a strain lacking all aPBPs mutant improves in absence of LtaS

To verify that LTA synthesis alters *Δ4* growth, we analysed the phenotype of strains lacking

aPBPs and the LTA-synthase genes individually or in combination (**Fig 1**). Surprisingly, the *Δ4*

ΔltaS mutant was viable on NA-glucose as well as with the additional deletion of *yfnI* or *yqgS*

(**Fig 3B**). Other strains expressing LtaS showed limited growth and were found to pick up

suppressor mutations rapidly on glucose (e.g. *Δ4 ΔyfnI*, *Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS,* **Fig 3B**)*.* Thus, LtaS

activity is also linked to the glucose-associated lethality of *Δ4*. Interestingly, the absence of

MprF is more advantageous to *Δ4,* as it results in a faster growth and larger colonies formed on

NA-glucose compared to *Δ4 ΔltaS* (**Fig S1B**). This phenotypic difference indicated that slightly

different mechanisms helped restore the growth of *Δ4*.

To test this, LTA-synthase genes were systematically deleted in the *Δ4 ΔmprF* (AG223)

background and the viability of the strains generated determined on NA with or without glucose.

All the strains remained viable on NA glucose (**Fig 3C**) which indicated the effect of MprF loss

could be related to LTA. In support of this, in the *Δ4 ΔmprF* background the combined absence

of *ltaS* and *yfnI* delayed growth on NA glucose resulting in a phenotype similar to that observed

on NA (**Fig 3C**). Thus, in these specific genetic backgrounds LTA synthesis is not essential but

permitted higher growth efficiency.

Neither the absence of LtaS nor MprF had any effect on the filamentous thin cell morphology of

Δ4 (**Fig S3A-B, Table 4**) but cell lysis was significantly decreased in NB. In the absence of all

LTA-synthases, *Bacillus* is viable but the strain forms filamentous and clumpy cells (16). We

observed that the combined absence of LtaS, YfnI and/or YqgS in *Δ4, ΔponA* or *Δ4 ΔmprF*

genetic backgrounds lead to an increase in cell diameter and cells remained linked together at the

site of division (**Fig 3D, Fig S3A-B, Table 4**). However, when LtaS was the only functional

LTA-synthase (e.g. *Δ4 ΔyqgS ΔyfnI*, **Fig 3D**)*,* cells were thin and comparable to *Δ4* and the

ΔponA background (**Fig S3C-D**). Collectively, our results indicate that the activity of the LTA

synthases, in particular LtaS activity, could account for *Δ4* phenotype*.*

Impact of glucose, PBP1 and MprF on LTA synthesis.

To comprehend the effect of LtaS, MprF and UgtP in the absence of aPBPs we decided to

analyse LTA production. We developed a Western blot protocol relying on a monoclonal

antibody for Gram-positive LTA (Thermofischer) and a polyclonal PBP2B antibody. First, we

determined the LTA profile for 168CA and the LTA-synthases mutants (**Fig 4A** and **Fig S4**)

grown in NB and NB glucose (0.2%). The wild type LTA signal corresponded to a mobility

comparable to 7-17 kDa as previously identified (18). Interestingly, our media comparison

showed that LTA-signal in *ΔltaS* cells grown in NB-glucose (> 15 kDa) (**Fig 4A** left panel, 2nd

lane) was distinct from that seen for growth in NB (7-32 kDa) (**Fig 4A** right panel, 2nd lane).

However, the LTA pattern was different when YfnI was the only functional LTA-synthase (**Fig**

4A). From this analysis, short LTA (<10 kDa) were more abundantly produced in NB-glucose.

This seemed to be related to LtaS activity, as we observed an increase in short LTA in *ΔyfnI* and

ΔyfnI ΔyqgS mutants compared to 168CA (**Fig 4A** left panel, 3rd & 5th lanes)*.* Collectively, our

results show that the regulation of LTA synthesis is more complex than thought (18) as it

influenced by the metabolic/nutritional status of the cells.

As the *mprF* mutation suppressed the *ΔponA* phenotype (**Fig 2A, Fig S1B**) we reasoned that any

alteration of LTA synthesis would be detectable in a strain where only the major aPBP was

deleted. As the multiple aPBP deletion strain was very lytic and did not grow under certain

conditions (**Fig S1**), further experiments were done in the *ΔponA* background. Using this

background, strains could grow in NB glucose 0.2% and this permitted the preparation of

samples for the Western blot analysis. Analysis of *ΔponA* cells grown in the presence of glucose

revealed that there was an increase in short LTA that was not evident in the absence of glucose

(**Fig 4B** left panel, 3rd lane, **Fig S5D**). In comparison, the LTA signal of *ΔponA ΔmprF*

decreased compared to *ΔponA* (**Fig 4B** left panel, 5th lane) and the *ΔmprF* mutant in NB-glucose

(**Fig 4B** left panel, 4th lane) decreased compared to that of 168CA (**Fig S5D**). Surprisingly, we

found that *mprF* overexpression (MprF ↑) had a significant effect, resulting in an increased LTA

polymer length (6th lane in **Fig 4B)**. This effect was independent of YfnI, as a similar LTA

profile was observed in a strain *ΔyfnI* MprF ↑ while the profile of a *ΔltaS* MprF ↑ was similar to

that of *ΔltaS* (data not shown, strains AG353 & AG349 in **Table 2**). The latter was expected as

LtaS activity seems dominant over YfnI (18). The overexpression of *mprF* in *ΔponA* cells

resulted in a broader size range of LTA than that seen for the wild type as we observed an

increase in LTA signals below 10 kDa but also above 15 kDa (7th lane in **Fig 4B, Fig S5D**). This

to some extent resembled that observed in single mutants *ΔponA* and MprF ↑, respectively. Thus,

the cumulative effect of the mutations had led to an increase in LTA length range and could

explain the lethality of the *ΔponA* MprF ↑ double mutant on NA-glucose-IPTG (**Fig 2B**).

Western blot analysis of the LTA in the *Δmbl* background showed that it was weak, and

representative of short LTA (second to last lane **Fig 4B, Fig S5D**). Overexpression of *mprF*

rescued *Δmbl* growth (**Fig 2B**) and this overexpression resulted in an increased in LTA length

and abundance in the *mbl* background (last lane **Fig 4B**). This result was also consistent with

what was observed for *Δmbl ΔltaS* with the detection of longer LTA polymers (data not shown)

similar to that of *ΔltaS* (**Fig 4A**). Thus an increase in LTA length seems to characterize the effect

of these two *Δmbl* suppressor mutations.

Analysis of the suppressor *ΔltaS ΔponA* revealed the dominance of *ltaS* deletion, in that the LTA

exhibited a retarded migration (3rd lanes in **Fig 4C, Fig S6**), similar to that observed in *ΔltaS*

(**Fig 4C**). In absence of UgtP which produces a lipid anchor Glc_2DAG (**Fig 1**), we observed an

increase in LTA length in both media conditions, but the shift was more distinct in glucose (>12

kDa, left panel 4th lane in **Fig 4C**). The latter is comparable to the LTA signal detected in the *S.*

aureus ΔugtP mutant grown in TSB, a glucose rich medium (32). Analysis of *ΔponA ΔugtP,*

which was conditionally lethal on NA-glucose (**Fig S2C**), revealed an increase of the LTA-

signals and length (7-25 kDa, left panel 5th lane, **Fig 4C**), a pattern reminiscent of that

determined for *ΔponA* MprF↑ strain (left panel 7th lane in **Fig 4B)**, which was also lethal on NA-

glucose (**Fig 2B**).

To conclude we show the first evidence that MprF activity has a role in altering the length of

LTA, through a LtaS-dependent mechanism and has an impact on cell wall metabolism. Our

results also highlight that the conditions leading to the lethality of *ponA* are associated with an

increase in the abundance and length of LTA.

Overexpression of major autolysin LytE is lethal in absence of PBP1 or LtaS

Our results inferred that the conditional lethality of aPBPs mutant might be linked to an interplay

between LTA length and autolysin activity. Autolysins or cell wall hydrolases help shape and

recycle the peptidoglycan during cell elongation and division. *B. subtilis* expresses a large

number of them but only the loss of both PG D,L-endopeptidases CwlO and LytE is lethal (13,

14, 44-46) (**Fig 1A**). We focused on LytE because it is considered to be one of the key

autolysins, it is secreted and thought to be anchored to the lateral cell wall and the septum in a

way that is influenced by the teichoic acids (43). LytE abundance also increased in *ΔltaS* (47)

and both LtaS and LytE affect colony development (48) and cell diameter (16, 43).

We reasoned that if an imbalance of LytE activity existed in the aPBPs mutants (*Δ4* and *ΔponA*)

grown in glucose-rich medium, then a deliberate increase in LytE expression should exacerbate

the strain phenotype, leading to cell death. Interestingly, *lytE* overexpression (by IPTG induction

of a *P*$_{hyspank}$-*lytE* allele) was found to be lethal in both *Δ4* and *ΔponA* backgrounds when grown

on NA-glucose and it significantly delayed the growth of *Δ4* and *ΔponA* on NA but not that of *Δ3*

ponA+ (**Fig 5A, Fig S7A**). This result confirmed that an imbalance in autolytic activity was

detrimental to the cell that had lost PBP1. Importantly, the overexpression of *lytE* in the *Δ4*

ΔltaS, ΔponA ΔltaS and *ΔltaS* strains was lethal (**Fig 5A**) while the growth of *ΔponA ΔmprF* was

only slightly delayed. However, the overexpression of *lytE* in *Δ4 ΔmprF* severely perturbed its

growth on glucose but the strain remained viable. In contrast, the control strain with only the

ΔmprF mutation was unaffected by *lytE* overexpression.

This finding suggested that *ΔponA ΔltaS* and *ΔponA ΔmprF* mutants, which have distinct LTA

length, were differently sensitive to LytE activity. Therefore, we examined the double LTA-

synthase mutant backgrounds, here we found that only the strain expressing *ltaS* was viable

when *lytE* was overexpressed (**Fig 5A,** last strain). In these genetic backgrounds, the absence of

mprF or *ugtP* had no effect (data not shown). Interestingly, *ΔltaS* LytE ↑ was viable on NA (**Fig**

5A, 8th strain) but not on NA-glucose while we previously identified that *ΔltaS* produces distinct

LTA length on each of these media (**Fig 4A**). Thus, it is clear that the activity of LtaS, is required

for the cell to balance the effect of overexpression of *lytE*, presumably by producing a specific

LTA length.

To comprehend the apparent tolerance of $LtaS^+$ and *ΔmprF* cells to LytE, we constructed an

unstable replicon pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* plasmid which expresses *lytE* at a level lower than $P_{hyspank}$-

lytE (**Table 1**). This plasmid in the *ΔmprF* strain was retained in all media conditions tested

(AG1481 strain), including elevated magnesium. This was indicated by the fact that the colonies

remained blue on IPTG XGal supplemented media (**Fig 5B**) whereas other strains tested showed

instability of the plasmid (e.g. 168CA, *ΔponA, ΔponA ΔmprF* and LTA-synthases mutants,

Table 2) an indication that there was no selective advantage for the cells to maintain the plasmid.

Thus this plasmid stability assay highlights that *ΔmprF* cells tolerate higher expression of *lytE*

compared to the other background strains tested. At this stage, it is unclear if the *ΔmprF* strain's

tolerance for *lytE* overexpression is due to the loss of aaPGols or the production of shorter LTA

or both.

LTA influences LytE activity

The conditional lethality observed when overexpressing *lytE* prompted us to investigate the

cellular levels of this autolytic enzyme in our strains. First, we engineered strains that could

express a recombinant protein LytE-LEMGRSH$_{12}$ (using pMUTin-*'lytE-his,* **Table 1**) and then

analysed total lysates by Western blot using anti-histidine (for LytE) (**Fig 5C, Fig S7B**) and an

anti-LtaS antibody raised against LtaS (74 kDa) that cross reacts with YfnI (73 kDa) (**Fig 5D,**

Fig S8A). This showed that LytE and LtaS/YfnI accumulate in *ΔponA* cells, particularly when

grown in presence of glucose (**Fig 5C-D**). Using a set of strains that had either pMUTin-*'ltaS-his*

or pMUTin-*'yfnI-his* integrated into the genome, we could confirm that both LtaS and YfnI are

more abundant in *ponA* cells (**Fig S8B, Tables 1-3**).

In *ΔponA ΔmprF*, LytE and LtaS/YfnI protein levels were lower compared to *ΔponA* (**Fig 5C-D**),

but no obvious change was observed in the wild-type background (WT*) and *ΔmprF* strains.

ΔltaS and *ΔponA ΔltaS* exhibited an increase in both LytE and YfnI when grown in NB-glucose,

an effect more pronounced in the *ΔponA ΔltaS* mutant (**Fig 5C-D**, left panel). In addition,

comparative analysis of the set of LTA-synthase mutants (**Fig S8C**) revealed that LtaS/YfnI

increased in presence of glucose and in the absence of YfnI, LtaS increased significantly. Also,

and potentially more importantly, in the absence of LtaS, LytE abundance increased. Analyses of

the strains that were lytic under the same growth conditions showed that there was an excess of

LtaS/YfnI in *ΔponA ΔugtP* and *ΔponA* MprF ↑ cells cultured in either NB or NB-glucose (**Fig**

S9B-C, e.g. 6-7th lanes). It was also evident that LytE was more abundant than the wild type.

These observations seem to support the idea that the altered abundance of these proteins,

identified in *ΔponA* cells, was associated with the sick phenotype.

Taken together, our results show a correlation between LTA length and LytE abundance in the

cell which suggests that LTA acts to moderate cell wall metabolism. In *ponA* mutants, this

function is unbalanced such that cell wall degradation is predominant. This is summarised in the

discussion in **Fig 7**.

LTA production is altered in *S. aureus ΔmprF* and is an important factor in *B. subtilis* sensitivity to daptomycin

MprF is conserved in the *Firmicutes* and has been shown to contribute to *S. aureus* pathogenicity (21, 22). Importantly, studies of clinical isolates have found that methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* strains (MRSA) that have acquired resistance to daptomycin (Dap^R), a lipopeptide antibiotic that acts to interfere with membrane containing phosphatidylglycerol (26, 49-51), frequently carry nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *mprF* (52, 53). Although some *mprF* SNPs were associated with a MprF gain of activity (increasing LysPGol and reducing PGol), others had no clear correlation between the phospholipids composition and the relative surface charge of the cell (26). In this context, we asked whether the role of MprF in LTA production is conserved in *S. aureus* as it seemed reasonable that this might contribute to Dap resistance. Analysis of LTA production in methicillin sensitive *S. aureus* strains showed that the LTA from the *mprF* mutant migrated faster than that of the wild type SA113 (**Fig 6A, Fig S10A**), thus LTA production is altered in *S. aureus ΔmprF*. Interestingly, when exposing exponentially growing cells of *S. aureus* to daptomycin, our analysis showed that the LTA length and production had increased in both strains (**Fig. S10B-C**, samples treated with 0.25 and 0.5 μg/ml DAP for *ΔmprF* and the wild type, respectively). It is also noteworthy that even when exposed to DAP, the LTA produced by the wild type remained longer than that of the *ΔmprF* mutant, which perhaps indicates that the LTA length plays a role in DAP sensitivity. This effect was observed at DAP concentrations that did not significantly impacted on the wild type growth (**Fig. S10E**) whereas the growth of the *mprF* mutant was significantly impaired (**Fig. S10D-E**), as previously reported (52, 53). Our results seem to confirm that MprF's role in modulating LTA production is conserved in both *Bacillus* and *Staphylococcus* species. Collectively, these results indicate that a change in LTA

nature and/or production, rather than an alteration in membrane properties, might be associated

with Dap resistance in *S. aureus*.

As we could not carry analysis on Dap^R MRSA (laboratory regulations), we, therefore, turned

our interest to the strongest known Dap^R allele in *B. subtilis, pgsA A64V* (54). This mutation of

the essential gene *pgsA,* encoding the PGol-synthase (**Fig 1B**), has been shown to reduce cellular

PGol content and was suggested to modify LTA production (54). Thus, we analysed the LTA of

B. subtilis wild type (WT), isogenic strains carrying *pgsA^{A64V}* (strains Dap^R 1 & Dap^R 20) and

pgsA^{A64V} complemented with *pgsA^{WT}* grown overnight in NB **(Fig 6B, Fig S10F)**. Our assays

revealed that no LTA signal was detected below 30 kDa in Dap^R strains whereas LTA was

detected in the strains complemented for *pgsA* (Dap^R *pgsA^{WT}*) that were similar to that of the

wild type strain. Thus, PgsA A64V accounts for the severe reduction in LTA production. Finally,

we verified that the two major LTA-synthases were still produced in Dap^R strains by Western

blot (**Fig 6B, Fig S10F**). A strong LtaS/YfnI signal was detected in Dap^R strains which proved

that one or both LTA-synthases were still expressed, but up-regulated.

The above findings in *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* suggested that alteration in LTA could be

associated with DAP sensitivity. As *S. aureus ltaS* is essential, we decided to analyse the Dap-

sensitivity of *B. subtilis* expressing only one LTA-synthase. Our assays on NA (**Fig 6C**) and NB

(**Fig. S10G**) showed that strains lacking the major LTA-synthase LtaS were sensitive to DAP

and this sensitivity was higher than that observed for *ΔmprF* or *Δdlt* mutants (**Fig S10G**). Thus

LtaS activity is required for DAP tolerance in *B. subtilis*, more so than MprF.

Discussion

In absence of the class A PBPs (*Δ4*), *B. subtilis* is viable due to the presence of RodA, the essential PG glycosyltransferase (controlled at least in part by the cell envelope stress regulator σ^M (11, 12)) and yet lethal on glucose rich medium (**Fig 2A & S1B**). By studying either *Δ4* or *ΔponA* single mutant, we now understand that the presence of glucose leads to an increase in abundance of the LTA-synthases LtaS and YfnI. The increase in these enzymes results in altered LTA production that in turn causes the accumulation of major autolysin LytE (**Fig 4-5**), the end result being cell lysis through the inability to regulate the activity of autolysins (**Fig 7**). This lytic phenotype could be suppressed by the absence of MprF (**Fig 2A, S1B**), an enzyme that we think helps in the regulation of LTA production (**Fig 8**). In *ΔponA,* loss of MprF resulted in reduced LTA production and decreased level of LytE (**Fig 4B, 5C, S5D**). This was consistent with our finding that an increase in length and abundance of LTA (overexpression *mprF*, *ΔugtP*) and/or an increase in LytE correlated with glucose-mediated lethality in *ΔponA* (**Fig 2B, 3A, 4B-C, 5A, S5D, Fig S9B-C**). Loss of major LTA-synthase LtaS was not as beneficial for the growth of *Δ4* as the loss of MprF (**Fig 3B, S1B**). In *ΔponA,* loss of LtaS lead to the production of very long LTA polymers similar to the single *ltaS* mutant and a persisting high level of LytE (**Fig 4C, 5C**). This might explain why the growth of *ΔponA ΔltaS* was not significantly enhanced compared to *ΔponA* (**Fig S1B**). In support of this, the analysis of strains only defective in LTA synthesis (last three rows in **Fig 5A**) indicated that LtaS presence is required to prevent cell lysis when *lytE* was artificial overexpressed (**Fig 5A, 7**), presumably because a distinct LTA length (7-17kDa) and/or abundance similar to the wild type LTA (**Fig 4A**) is required (**Fig 7**).

Previous studies have suggested that LTA could inhibit autolysins activity (1, 5) but the key factors involved have not been identified. Recent work in *S. aureus* showed a *ΔugtP* mutant

producing long LTA is susceptible to PG hydrolases (55) and it has been suggested that major

autolysin LytA is sequestered by LTA in exponential growth (56). Our results indicate that LytE

in *B. subtilis* exhibits similar characteristics and that the length of LTA has a role in modulating

LytE abundance or presumably its activity (**Fig 7**). However it is unclear how this mechanism

may work in relation to the localisation of the LTA in the wall relative to active autolysins,

particularly LytE, and this remains an important question to answer in future work.

In *B. subtilis* 168, expression of *lytE* is quite complex and coordinated by regulators such as σ^I

and WalR (46, 57). In contrast, transcriptional regulation of *mprF* is not well defined, but

interestingly analysis of *B. subtilis* BSB1 showed that *mprF* and *pgsA* expression is positively

correlated with that of *walKR,* the two-component response system that controls cell wall

metabolism, and notably *lytE* (cluster A418 in Subtiwiki expression data browser; (58, 59)).

However, expression of *walKR* and *sigI* is not significantly altered in LB-glucose (58) or in the

absence of aPBPs (12) but theses regulators might play a post-transcriptional role in *Δ4* where

the σ^M cell wall stress response is active (12, 57). This seems to be supported by the fact that

some of the cell wall associated genes mentioned (*sigM*, *ponA*, *ltaS*, *yfnI*, *mprF*, *pgsA*) are

differently expressed in cells of *B. subtilis* BSB1 in LB-glucose (58, 59). Thus *B. subtilis* might

need to adapt its cell wall metabolism in response to glucose and this might account for the

partial redundancy of function of *B. subtilis* LTA-synthases. Evidence also suggest that the

presence of magnesium in culture medium leads *Bacillus* cells to adjust its cell wall metabolism.

When we looked at the effect of magnesium in presence of glucose on aPBP mutants, as this is

known to suppress the growth defect (10), we have observed that magnesium decreased the

abundance of LytE significantly in *ΔponA* and *ΔponA ΔmprF* (**Fig S9**) but not as much in *ΔponA*

ΔltaS. In addition, high levels of magnesium have an impact on LTA production (work in

progress) and other processes (60, 61). Thus, the mechanism by which exogenous magnesium

helps the growth of some cell wall mutants might partially require LtaS activity to tune LytE

abundance in the cell wall (14). Altogether, our study brings a new perspective on the

importance of LTA in the cell envelope stability and how the extracellular biosynthesis is

regulated.

In this study, we have identified a new function for MprF, an enzyme known to synthetise

aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol (aaPGol) phospholipids and translocate them across the

membrane (**Fig 1**). We show that MprF activity impacts the biosynthesis of LTA (**Fig 8A**) and

this in turn alters cell wall metabolism. The LTA profiles observed in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*

lacking MprF (seen as shorter and/or decreased in abundance) and the overexpression of *B.*

subtilis MprF (causing a smeared & retarded mobility of the LTA) (**Fig 4B, 6A**) suggest that

MprF positively regulates LTA production (**Fig 8A**). Other studies have indicated that MprFs

may have relaxed substrate specificities across species (22, 62) and even synthetise lysyl-

glucosyl-DAG glycolipid in *Streptococcus agalactiae* (63). Recently, it has been shown that

when *S. aureus* LtaS uses PGol as an alternative anchor to the glycolipid produced by UgtP, the

concentration of these free lipid starter units regulates the length of the LTA polymerised *in vitro*

(33). Thus it is possible that MprF activity contributes to LTA synthesis (**Fig 8B**). MprF might

provide the last capping unit (Lys-, Ala-GroP) to LtaS to terminate the LTA being polymerised,

this would also contribute to the protection against CAMPs (**Fig 8B**). Alternatively, aaPGols

might be a source of GroP units (**Fig 8B**) in the formation of LTA in addition to the known PGol

source altering processivity (33). Another possibility would be that aaPGols change the

electrostatic environment and this impacts on LTA-synthase(s) activity by keeping the LTA

polymers adjacent to the membrane (**Fig 8B**).

Gain of function in MprF has been identified in methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) clinical

isolates that have acquired resistance to daptomycin (Dap), an antibiotic used as a last resort to

treat MRSA infections (26, 53). Over the years, MprF-mediated resistance to Dap (Dap^R) has

been investigated but a consensus model has yet to link Dap^R to a particular domain of MprF

and/or to a particular LysPGol content in one or both membrane leaflets (26, 64). In *B. subtilis,*

our analysis shows the significant decrease of LTA produced in a strain with the *pgsA A64V*

mutation (**Fig 6B**), a strain with a reduced PGol content that exhibits Dap resistance (54). Also,

we found that LTA-synthase LtaS is required for DAP tolerance in *B. subtilis* (**Fig 6C**). The

function of MprF in LTA synthesis and the new understanding of *S. aureus* LtaS processivity

(33) could be the link with the alleles *cls* encoding a cardiolipin synthase, *pgsA* and *dlt* operon

(50) identified in *S. aureus* MRSA that result in Dap resistance. Together, these findings support

the idea that a modification in LTA polymerisation in addition to D-alanylation of teichoic acid

(65, 66) could result in a cell envelope that is less permeable to Dap, and not necessarily linked

to increased cell wall thickness (67). Further analysis of LTA synthesis and cell wall

composition will help redefine MprF-mediated Dap^R mechanism and help appreciate the exact

role of MprF in the net cell surface charge and the protection against cationic antimicrobial

peptides. Recent research on compounds targeting LTA synthesis (68, 69) and on MprF-targeting

monoclonal antibodies (70) suggest these approaches have relevance in combating clinically

relevant bacteria.

Material and Methods

Plasmids, bacterial strains, and primers

Plasmids and strains used in this study are listed in **Tables 1** and **2.** Strains constructions are

described below or in **Table 2** (last column). Primers used are listed in **Table 3.**

Growth conditions and general methods.

B. subtilis strains were grown routinely on nutrient agar (NA, Oxoid) or in nutrient broth (NB,

Oxoid). For some assays, strains were grown in liquid Difco antibiotic medium 3 (PAB, Oxoid)

and PAB agar (15 g/l agar, Oxoid). *B. subtilis* transformations were carried out as previously

described using SMM defined minimal medium (71, 72). *B. subtilis* was usually incubated at

30°C (overnight), next day cells were diluted and grown at 37°C (unless specified differently).

Escherichia coli and *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were incubated at 37°C on NA plate or in

Luria-Bertani (LB). PCR, plasmid manipulations, and *E. coli* transformation were carried out

using standard methods. Strains were selected on NA supplemented with ampicillin (for *E. coli,*

200 μg/ml), spectinomycin (60 μg/ml), kanamycin (5 μg/ml), phleomycin (1 μg/ml),

erythromycin (0.5 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (5 μg/ml) and daptomycin (see later). Various

supplements were also used: IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, 0.1 or 1 mM), X-Gal

(5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, 100 μg/ml), $MgS0_4$ (between 5 mM to 25

mM as required), and glucose (final concentrations up to 1%). For NA plates supplemented with

20 mM $MgSO_4$, the concentration of spectinomycin, kanamycin and erythromycin antibiotics

was doubled to avoid growth of false positive strains. Strains with the pMUTin-*his* integration

were always grown in presence of erythromycin.

Plasmids constructions

To obtain pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF* plasmid, the *mprF* (BSU_08425) coding sequence was

amplified using primers oAG271-272, which changed the start codon (from TTG to ATG). The

PCR product and pDR111 plasmid (**Table 1**) were digested by *Sal*I and *Sph*I restriction

enzymes, ligated together and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. Digestion and PCR sequencing

using primers oAG300-301 verified the presence of the insert.

To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*ltaS*, pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yfnI* and pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yqgS,* each LTA-

synthase gene was amplified by PCR with primers pairs oAG308-309 (2064 bp), oAG310-311

(2078 bp) and oAG312-313 (1990 bp), respectively. PCR products were digested by *Nhe*I and

*Sph*I, each ligated with the plasmid pDR111 digested with the same restriction enzymes. The

next steps were carried out as described for pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*.

For pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE, lytE* was amplified by PCR with oAG348-349 (1143 bp) primers*,* and the

PCR product inserted in pLOSS* (**Table 1**) as a *Not*I and *Bam*HI fragment. After transformation,

the resulting plasmid was checked by PCRs with primers oAG18-19 and finally checked by

sequencing.

To construct the plasmids pMUTin-*'lytE-his*, pMUTin-*'ltaS-his* and pMUTin-*'yfnI-his* the 3'-

terminus part of gene of interest gene (except for the stop codon) was amplified with primer pairs

oAG354-355, oAG366-367 and oAG360-361, respectively to obtain a PCR products ranging

from 288 to 309 bp. The PCR products and the plasmid pMUTinHis (**Table 1**) (73) were

digested by *Eco*RI and *Xho*I, ligated together and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. Each insertion

'*lytE, 'ltaS* and *'yfnI* was verified by PCR and plasmid sequencing using primers oAG368-369.

To construct pAM-21, plasmid pET28a (+) (Novagen) and *lytE* (from the 76th bp, removing the

coding sequence for the signal peptide) were amplified by PCRs using primer pairs oAA01-02

and oAA03-04, respectively. DNA fragments generated were assembled using NEBuilder HiFi

DNA assembly kit (NEB). The resulting plasmid was verified by sequencing using primers

oAA05-06.

Bacillus directed mutagenesis

Steps leading to construction of new mutants are indicated in the last column of **Table 2** with

additional details in this section. New mutants were often obtained by transformation of

competent cells with a clean genomic DNA extracted from another existing *B. subtilis* mutants.

Construction of some of the markerless class A PBPs mutants were previously described (12).

Additional mutants described in this study were obtained using the plasmids pG+host9::*ΔponA,*

pG+host10::*ΔpbpD,* pG+host10::*ΔpbpF* (**Table 1**) (12). PCR with primers oAG124 to oAG129

were consistently performed to check markerless deletions were not lost (12).

All transformations of the background strain with, and/or leading to, deletion of *ponA, mreB,*

mbl, gtaB, ugtP, ltaS were selected for on NA medium supplemented with $MgSO_4$ (20-25 mM)

with the appropriate antibiotic.

To construct strain AG181 (*ΔmprF*), DNA fragments upstream and downstream the *mprF* coding

sequence were amplified by PCR using primers oAG103-104 (2390 bp) and oAG105-106 (2414

bp), respectively and were digested by *Eco*RI and *Xba*I, respectively. The phleomycin resistance

antibiotic cassette was amplified by PCR with primers PhleoXbaIfor and PhleoEcoRIrev from

plasmid pIC22 and digested by both *Eco*RI and *Xba*I. The 3 digested PCR-fragments were

ligated to generate a linear DNA, transformed into 168CA with selection for phleomycin

resistance. The deletion of *mprF* was confirmed by PCR using primers oAG107-108.

Strain AG304 (*amyE::P~hyspank~mprF, spc*) was obtained by transformation of 168CA with

pDR111-*P~hyspank~-mprF* plasmid (**Table 1**). Correct recombination was confirmed by the absence

of amylase activity when grown on NA with 0.2% starch and by PCR (oAG300-301 primers).

The same methods were used after transformation of other pDR111-derivatives.

We constructed our own *lytE* deletion mutant (Δ*lytE::cat*). For this, regions of genomic DNA

upstream and downstream of *lytE* were amplified by PCRs with oAG350-351 (2048 bp) and

oAG352-353 (2500 bp) primers pairs, respectively. These products were then digested by *Xba*I

or *Bam*HI (**Table 3**). The chloramphenicol resistance cassette from pCotC-GFP plasmid (**Table**

1) was amplified with primers oAG197-198. The resulting PCR products (850 bp) was then

digested by *Xba*I and *Bam*HI and the three DNA fragments were ligated and transformed into *B.*

subtilis 168CA. Strain AG547 was obtained from this transformation and the deletion of *lytE*

confirmed by PCR using primers oAG340-341 (**Table 3**).

Strains AG565 and AG586 were obtained by integration of pMUTin-'*lytE-his* (erythromycin

selection) in 168CA and Δ*cwlO* (AG474 strain) background, respectively. The plasmid

integration (single cross-over) in *B. subtilis* resulted in expression of recombinant proteins with

LEMRGSH$_{12}$ tag in C-terminus, under the control of its native promoter. Since the integration of

this plasmid into the Δ*cwlO* background did not result in any phenotypic change it indicated that

the LytE-His$_{12}$ was functional, as a double mutant *lytE cwlO* is lethal (44). Thereafter, genomic

DNA of AG565 strain was used to transform all the strains needed for Western blot analysis

(**Table 2**). Of note, AG1541 strain (Δ*cwlO*) obtained by genomic DNA recombination has the

same genotype as AG586 strain obtained by plasmid integration.

Random transposition mutageneses

Random transposition mutageneses were performed as previously described (39, 74) using the

pMarB plasmid that carries the transposon TnYLB-1 encoding kanamycin resistance. pMarB

was introduced into *B. subtilis* strains carrying pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* (12) (**Table 1**), a plasmid with

an unstable origin of replication and *lacZ* which helps to monitor the plasmid stability by

observing formation of blue or white colonies. After screening the random library of mutants,

genomic DNA extracted from positive clones were backcrossed into the parental strain used for

the transposon screen. Transposon insertion sites were identified by inverse PCR using gDNA

extracted from the backcrossed positive clones (74) and sequencing the resulting PCR products.

Further verification of the transposon's site of insertion was obtained using specific primers.

For the suppressor screen in *ponA pbpD pbpF* mutant background*,* we constructed strain AG141

(**Table 2**) which carries deletions of the three vegetative class A PBPs*, lacA* gene (74) and

pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* (12)*.* The transposon mutant library was screened on PAB agar supplemented

with MgSO$_4$ (10 mM), X-Gal and IPTG (1 mM) and white colonies selected. The strain SWA11a

(**Table 2**) had a transposon in *mprF* coding sequence which was verified using specific primers

oAG81-82 (**Table 3**).

A conditional lethal screen was also carried out in a *ponA pbpD pbpF mprF lacA* pLOSS-P_{spac}-

ponA strain (AG200, **Table 2**). Here the transposon mutant library was screened on NA and PAB

supplemented with X-Gal and IPTG (1mM). Blue colonies indicating plasmid stability were

selected. One mutant was isolated and its genomic DNA was backcrossed into AG200

background. The resulting blue forming AG200BK#42 strain (**Table 2**) was found to carry a

transposon in *gtaB*'s coding sequence using primers oAG165-166 (**Table 3**).

Spot growth assays

Strains were grown in NB with 20 mM $MgSO_4$ at 30°C overnight. The cultures were then diluted

1:100 into fresh NB 10 mM $MgSO_4$ (or when applicable 20 mM) and grown up to mid

exponential phase at 37°C. Cells were harvested, washed in NB, and diluted to an $OD_{600nm} \approx 0.3$

in NB. Each strain sample was then serially diluted 1:10 using a 96-multiwell plate and NB.

Using a multichannel pipette, 5 μl of each dilution were transferred to various agar plates. As a

control all the serial dilutions presented in the figures were also spotted on media supplemented

with 10 mM or 20 mM $MgS0_4$ to ensure that growth occurred for all spots (data not shown).

Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and scanned at different time points. Images were edited

in Photoshop CS software.

Microscopic imaging

Cells were grown to exponential growth phase at 37 °C in NB medium. Before the cells were

mounted onto microscopic slides, the slides were covered with a thin layer of NA medium

supplemented with the FM5-95 membrane dye at 140 μg/ml (Invitrogen). Fluorescence

microscopy was carried out with a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope attached to a Sony Cool-

Snap HQ cooled CCD camera with Nikon APO x100/1.40 oil Ph3 objective lens. Images were

acquired using Metamorph 6 imaging software (Molecular Devices, Inc). Images were analysed

with ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) and assembled with Photoshop CS software.

Images stained with the FM5-95 membrane dye were processed using the plugin ObjectJ in

ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) to measure cell diameter and cell length of about 400 cells per

strain. Measurements were performed only once as cell diameter change was obvious under the

microscope and on the images of **Fig 3D** (details in **Fig S3AB, Table 4**).

Transmission electron microscopy

Strains were grown in NB medium (with IPTG when applicable) until reaching an OD_{600nm} of

about 0.4. The cell pellets were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer at 4°C

for at least 24 h. Samples were spun and molten 4% agarose was added to the sample and re

spun. Sample were allowed to cool in the fridge for 30 min. The agarose block was removed

from each tube and cut into 1 mm^3 pieces. The samples were then dehydrated by microwave

processing using the Pelco Biowave® Pro+ incorporating the Pelco Coldspot® Pro. In the

vacuum chamber, samples were rinsed 3 times in buffer (150 watts for 40s), then treated in 1%

osmium, pulsed (100 watts for 8 min) and rinsed 3 times in distilled water (150 watts for 40s).

They were then taken out of the vaccum chamber and a graded series of dried acetone was

applied to the samples (25%, 50%,75%, and 3 times in 100%, at 150 watts for 40 s for each

step). This was followed by impregnation with a series of increasing concentrations of epoxy

resin (Taab Medium resin) in acetone (25%, 50%,75%, 3 times in 100% at 300 watts for 3 min.

for each step). Samples were embedded in fresh 100% resin and polymerised at 60°C for 24 h in

a conventional oven. Once polymerised the resin block were cut in semi-thin survey sections (0.5

μm) and stained with 1% toluidine blue in 1% borax. Ultrathin sections (70 nm approx.) were

then cut using a diamond knife on a Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome. The sections were stretched

with chloroform to eliminate compression and mounted on Pioloform-filmed copper grids. Grids

were stained with uranyl acetate (2%) and Lead citrate and viewed on a Hitachi HT7800

transmission electron microscope using an Emsis Xarosa camera.

Samples preparation for LTA polymer detection

B. subtilis strains were grown overnight at 30°C with shaking in NB supplemented with 5 mM MgS0$_4$ (or 10 mM for *mbl* mutants). The cells were then diluted (1:60-1:80 depending on the strain) to an approximate OD$_{600nm}$ of 0.02 in prewarmed NB and NB supplemented with 0.2% glucose. Strains were then incubated at 37°C shaking and grown for about 4.5 h (late exponential phase). At the sampling time the OD$_{600nm}$ of the cultures were measured before harvesting 15-25 ml of culture at 3273 *g* for 10 min, using a swing out centrifuge at room temperature. Pellets were washed with 200 μl of solution A (with 10 mL of Tris-HCl at 100 mM pH 7.4 and one cOmplete Mini EDTA-free tablet of protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche) and pelleted with benchtop centrifuge. Cells pellets were then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C until processing. Samples were resuspended in a volume of solution B (an equal volume of solution A and LDS sample buffer, Life Technologies) ranging from 70 to 200 μl in proportion to their OD$_{600nm}$. Samples were boiled 30 min at 100°C, cooled down on ice for 3 min and incubated each with 100 U of benzonase (E1014, Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. Samples were pelleted at 4°C, supernatants containing the extracted LTA were collected. Supernatants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -25°C. For the LTA Western blots, the quantity of sample loaded was normalized using the Bio-Rad Protein assays such that the final OD$_{595nm}$ was approximately 0.35. Sample sets to be compared were grown, extracted, measured and normalized together for each experiment.

S. aureus strains were grown overnight at 37°C with shaking in LB. The cells were then diluted (about 300-fold) to an approximate OD$_{600nm}$ of about 0.02 and grown in LB at 37°C until reaching late exponential and an OD$_{600nm}$ of about 1. 10 ml of cultures were harvested, and samples were prepared as for *B. subtilis* with the following changes: 60 μl of solution B were

used and about 18-20 µl of samples were loaded on SDS-PAGE with samples normalised to their

OD_{600nm}.

Total protein samples preparation

For LytE or LtaS Western blots, cells were grown, harvested and processed in the same way as

the LTA-samples, with the exception that to detect the His tagged proteins (LytE, LtaS or YfnI)

the strains were grown in presence of erythromycin. An 8 ml culture sample was resuspended in

180-200 µl of a solution A and sonicated until a clear lysate was obtained. Samples were

centrifuged at 16,000 *g* for 10 min at 4°C and a volume of sample supernatant loaded on the

SDS-gel, normalized based on the OD_{600nm} of the cells culture collected.

SDS-PAGE, LTA and proteins Western blots, detection blots

For SDS-PAGE, samples were diluted in solution B with the addition of reducing agent (1.5 µl

per sample) in final volume of 20 µl, heated at 70°C for 10 min, loaded on the gel (NuPAGE 4-

12% Bis-Tris gradient Midi Gel, Life Technologies) and separated by electrophoresis. For the

LTA-PBP2B experiment, MES buffer, Novex Sharp prestained protein Ladder (Life

Technologies) and 0.2 µm Amersham Hybond sequencing PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare)

were used. Whereas for LytE (37 kDa) or LtaS (74 kDa) Western blots, MOPS buffer, Abcam

prestained mid-range protein ladder (Ab116027) and 0.45 µM Amersham Hybond PVDF

membrane (GE Healthcare) were used. The SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to membranes

using the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad) using an in-house transfer buffer (tris 600

mM, glycine 600 mM, tricine 280 mM, EDTA 2.5 mM and SDS 0.05%). After transfer, the

membranes were washed 3x 5 min in PBS using a rocking shaker, afterwards the membranes

were cut where required to allow different detection methods.

For the LTA Western blot, membrane was cut at the 60 kDa ladder position. The bottom part of

the membrane was used for the LTA-blot whereas the top part was used to detect the membrane

protein PBP2B (79 kDa) as a loading/extraction control. All LTA Western blot steps were

performed at room temperature in a rolling shaker and using 50 mL falcon tubes. The LTA-

membranes were incubated 1h15 in PBS buffer with 3% BSA (A7030, Sigma), then 1h30 in

fresh PBS-3% BSA with a dilution 1:1000 of Gram-positive LTA monoclonal antibody (MA1-

7402, Thermofischer). The membrane was then washed briefly 2 times, followed by 4x 8 min in

PBS and then incubated in PBS-5% dried semi-skimmed milk with 1:10,000 anti-mouse HRP-

linked secondary antibody (A9044, Sigma) for 1 h. This was then followed by 2 brief and 6x 8

min washes steps prior to detection.

For proteins Western blots to detect LytE-His$_{12}$ or LtaS and PBP2B, all the following steps were

performed at room temperature using a rocking shaker. The membranes were washed after

transfer in PBS-Tween20 at 0.1% (here after noted PBS-T) and blocked in PBS-T with 5% milk

for 1h15 (i.e PBS-T-milk) at room temperature. Thereafter the LytE-His$_{12}$/PBP2B membrane

blot was cut at about 53 kDa ladder position. Membranes were placed in fresh buffer PBS-T-

milk for 1h (or 1h30 when performing the LTAs western blot in parallel) with the polyclonal

rabbit anti-PBP2B antibody (at 1:5000, lab. stock or available at Merck ABS2199), the mouse

monoclonal Penta-His antibody (Qiagen n°34660 stock at 200 µg/ml, used at dilution 1:2000) or

polyclonal rabbit anti-LtaS antibody (at 1:1000, lab. stock). Two brief washing steps were

performed in PBS-T followed by 3 washes of 10 min. Membranes were incubated in PBS-T-milk

for 1 h using 1:10000 dilutions of anti-rabbit or anti-mouse HRP-linked secondary antibodies

643 (A0545 or A9044 Sigma antibodies, respectively) as required. Membranes were then washed as

644 described at the previous step.

645 Pierce ECL Plus Western Blotting Substrate (32132, Thermo Scientific) was used for detection

646 as recommended by manufacturer. Chemiluminescence was detected using ImageQuant LAS

647 4000 mini digital imaging system (GE Healthcare) and membranes were exposed with 1 min

648 increment for 20 min. Membranes were also imaged by Epi-illumination to detect the pre-stained

649 proteins ladder on the membrane. In our conditions, quantities of LTAs samples loaded were

650 scaled down to avoid burn out of signals observed in some of the tested strains. Occasionally for

651 the LTAs blot, strains (in NB-only) can display a very weak signal above the 30 kDa ladder

652 position. This phenomenon was observed by others performing *Bacillus* LTA Western blots and

653 was assumed not to be related to LTA but possibly a cross-reactivity of the antibody to WTAs

654 that also contains glycerolphosphate (18).

655 Images were edited in ImageJ (Fiji), the same contrast enhancement was applied for the

656 membranes of the same set experiment. Three independent sets of experimental samples were

657 generated by Western blots. Contrast was sometimes added to the images, in particular to

658 observe LytE signal in low-expressing strains.

659 ***LytE purification and raising antiserum***

660 Plasmid pAM-21 was transferred into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) strain. Newly obtained strain grew at

661 37°C in LB kanamycin, when cell reached an approximative OD_{600nm} of 0.5 *lytE* expression was

662 induced with 1 mM IPTG for 3 h. 50 ml culture was collected by centrifugation at 9,000 *g* for 5

663 min and resuspended in 15 ml of a solution of PBS at 4 µg/ml of lysosyme. After incubation for

664 20 min at room temperature (RT), cells were sonicated, and once clear lysate was obtained cells

were harvested at 3,000 g for 4 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in PBS buffer at 8 M Urea

and incubated for 30 min at RT on a rolling shaker. The cells were then centrifuged at 9,000 g

for 10 min and supernatant was collected and mixed gently with 200 µl of Ni Sepharose High

Performance (GE Healthcare) and incubated for 1 h in same condition as above. Then the sample

was washed 3 to 4 times in buffer A (50 mM Tris (pH 8) and 300 mM NaCl), and the last wash

was done in buffer B (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM Imidazole). Sample was eluted five

times in buffer C (PBS at 8 M Urea and 100 mM imidazole) and elution fractions were analysed

by SDS-PAGE. Ice-cold acetone was added at a 4:1 volume ratio to the desired eluted sample

and incubated overnight at -20°C. Sample was centrifuged at 4 °C for 10 min at 15,000 g and the

pellet was left to dry at RT. The pellet was suspended in 200 µl of PBS and 100 µg aliquot was

sent to raise antiserum (Eurogentec). The LytE antiserum was used in Western blot at 1:5000

dilution and with the anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:10000).

Growth assays in presence of Daptomycin

For the *S. aureus* samples for LTA Western blot, cultures were done as previously described but

after 3h growing in LB, $CaCl_2$ (1.25 mM final) was added to the cultures which were then

divided and grown an extra hour with or without daptomycin (Dap stock at 1 mg/ml, Abcam

ab141204).

For the NA-$CaCl_2$ DAP assays, strains were exponentially growing in NB for about 3h at 37°C

(exponential phase) and then normalized to an OD_{600nm} of 0.5. Cells (300 µl) were spread on

calibrated NA plate supplemented with $CaCl_2$ (1.25 mM final). A daptomycin 0.016-256 mg/l

antimicrobial susceptibility testing strip (Liofilchem) was applied to the medium surface. Plates

were incubated at 37°C overnight and scanned after 24h. Images were edited in Fiji (ImageJ).

Interpretation on growth inhibition follows manufacturer's guidance.

To monitor strain growth in a plate reader, we used pre-cultures which has grown in NB (or in

LB for *S. aureus*) at 37°C for about 3h. For each assays, a daptomycin stock at 17.4 mg/ml was

used to prepare a fresh DAP stock at 0.1 mg/ml and this was used to make dilutions of the

antibiotic as required in 96-wells plates in 100 µl of medium supplemented with $CaCl_2$ (1.25mM

final). Plates were then loaded with 100 µl of cells (diluted in medium-$CaCl_2$ and normalized at

the desired OD_{600nm}). Plates were shaken at 37°C in a Tecan Sunrise plate reader and the OD_{600nm}

was measured every 6 min. Conditions were tested in duplicate or triplicates whenever possible.

Data were collected using Magellan software (version 7.2). Microsoft Excel was used for

analysis and generating graphs.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank P. Aldridge for critical reading of the manuscript. The authors thank colleagues for strains, genomic DNAs or daptomycin stock: S. Moore, P. Dominguez-Cuevas, P. Gamba, A. Koh, K. Seistrup, L. Bowman, J. Buttress, H. Strahl and J. D. Helmann, B. M. Wendel and A. Peschel for strains related to daptomycin resistance. Technical support was provided by I. Selmes, F. Davison and T. Fletcher and TEM sample processing by Tracey Davey in the Electron Microscopy Research Services Newcastle University (funded through the BBSRC; BB/R013942/1). The authors thank J. Errington for the anti-LtaS antibody, H. Strahl and W. Vollmer for helpful discussion, C. Winterhalter and S. Fenyk for advice on Western blots and P. Tiago for sharing subtiwiki data. This work was funded by grants from the BBSRC (BB/G015902/1), EPSRC (EP/N031962/1) (RAD and AG) and SACB (studentship for AA).

Author contributions

Conceptualization: AG; Funding acquisition, RAD; Methodology: AG; Investigation: AG and AA; Methodology: AG; Project administration: RAD; Supervision: RAD; Validation: AG; Visualization: AG; Writing—original draft: AG; Writing—review & editing: AG and RAD.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supporting information

Figures, Tables and Supplemental Figures are available for this manuscript. This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.

References

1. Hanson BR, Neely MN. 2012. Coordinate regulation of Gram-positive cell surface components. Curr Opin Microbiol 15:204-10.

2. Percy MG, Grundling A. 2014. Lipoteichoic Acid Synthesis and Function in Gram-Positive Bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-112949.

3. Schneewind O, Missiakas D. 2016. Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in gram-positive bacteria. Biogenesis of Fatty Acids, Lipids and Membranes:1-18.

4. Rajagopal M, Walker S. 2017. Envelope Structures of Gram-Positive Bacteria. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 404:1-44.

5. Neuhaus FC, Baddiley J. 2003. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in Gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:686-723.

6. Erickson HP. 2021. How Teichoic Acids Could Support a Periplasm in Gram-Positive Bacteria, and Let Cell Division Cheat Turgor Pressure. Front Microbiol 12:664704.

7. Pazos M, Vollmer W. 2021. Regulation and function of class A Penicillin-binding proteins. Curr Opin Microbiol 60:80-87.

8. Straume D, Piechowiak KW, Kjos M, Havarstein LS. 2021. Class A PBPs: It is time to rethink traditional paradigms. Mol Microbiol doi:10.1111/mmi.14714.

9. McPherson DC, Popham DL. 2003. Peptidoglycan synthesis in the absence of class A penicillin-binding proteins in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 185:1423-31.

10. Kawai Y, Daniel RA, Errington J. 2009. Regulation of cell wall morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis* by recruitment of PBP1 to the MreB helix. Mol Microbiol 71:1131-44.

11. Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, Walker S, Kruse AC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2016. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature 537:634-638.

12. Emami K, Guyet A, Kawai Y, Devi J, Wu LJ, Allenby N, Daniel RA, Errington J. 2017. RodA as the missing glycosyltransferase in *Bacillus subtilis* and antibiotic discovery for the peptidoglycan polymerase pathway. Nat Microbiol 2:16253.

13. Do T, Page JE, Walker S. 2020. Uncovering the activities, biological roles, and regulation of bacterial cell wall hydrolases and tailoring enzymes. J Biol Chem 295:3347-3361.

14.   Wilson S, Garner E. 2021. An Exhaustive Multiple Knockout Approach to Understanding

Cell Wall Hydrolase Function in *Bacillus subtilis*. bioRxiv

doi:10.1101/2021.02.18.431929:2021.02.18.431929.

15.   Brunet YR, Wang X, Rudner DZ. 2019. SweC and SweD are essential co-factors of the

FtsEX-CwlO cell wall hydrolase complex in *Bacillus subtilis*. PLoS Genet 15:e1008296.

16.   Schirner K, Marles-Wright J, Lewis RJ, Errington J. 2009. Distinct and essential

morphogenic functions for wall- and lipo-teichoic acids in *Bacillus subtilis*. EMBO J

28:830-42.

17.   Soldo B, Lazarevic V, Karamata D. 2002. *tagO* is involved in the synthesis of all anionic

cell-wall polymers in *Bacillus subtilis* 168. Microbiology (Reading) 148:2079-2087.

18.   Wormann ME, Corrigan RM, Simpson PJ, Matthews SJ, Grundling A. 2011. Enzymatic

activities and functional interdependencies of *Bacillus subtilis* lipoteichoic acid synthesis

enzymes. Mol Microbiol 79:566-83.

19.   Schneewind O, Missiakas D. 2014. Lipoteichoic acids, phosphate-containing polymers in

the envelope of Gram-positive bacteria. J Bacteriol 196:1133-42.

20.   Kingston AW, Liao X, Helmann JD. 2013. Contributions of the σ^W , σ^M and σ^X regulons

to the lantibiotic resistome of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 90:502-18.

21.   Peschel A, Jack RW, Otto M, Collins LV, Staubitz P, Nicholson G, Kalbacher H,

Nieuwenhuizen WF, Jung G, Tarkowski A, van Kessel KP, van Strijp JA. 2001.

Staphylococcus aureus resistance to human defensins and evasion of neutrophil killing

via the novel virulence factor MprF is based on modification of membrane lipids with l-

lysine. J Exp Med 193:1067-76.

22.   Slavetinsky C, Kuhn S, Peschel A. 2017. Bacterial aminoacyl phospholipids -

Biosynthesis and role in basic cellular processes and pathogenicity. Biochim Biophys

Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids 1862:1310-1318.

23.   Staubitz P, Peschel A. 2002. MprF-mediated lysinylation of phospholipids in *Bacillus*

subtilis - protection against bacteriocins in terrestrial habitats? Microbiology 148:3331-2.

24.   Johnson CM, Grossman AD. 2016. The Composition of the Cell Envelope Affects

Conjugation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 198:1241-9.

25.   Atila M, Katselis G, Chumala P, Luo Y. 2016. Characterization of N-Succinylation of L-
778   Lysylphosphatidylglycerol in *Bacillus subtilis* Using Tandem Mass Spectrometry. J Am
779   Soc Mass Spectrom 27:1606-13.

780   26.   Ernst CM, Peschel A. 2019. MprF-mediated daptomycin resistance. Int J Med Microbiol
781   309:359-363.

782   27.   Nowak J, Visnovsky SB, Cruz CD, Fletcher GC, van Vliet AHM, Hedderley D, Butler R,
783   Flint S, Palmer J, Pitman AR. 2021. Inactivation of the gene encoding the cationic
784   antimicrobial peptide resistance factor MprF increases biofilm formation but reduces
785   invasiveness of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Appl Microbiol 130:464-477.

786   28.   Salzberg LI, Helmann JD. 2008. Phenotypic and transcriptomic characterization of
787   *Bacillus subtilis* mutants with grossly altered membrane composition. J Bacteriol
788   190:7797-807.

789   29.   Hachmann AB, Angert ER, Helmann JD. 2009. Genetic analysis of factors affecting
790   susceptibility of *Bacillus subtilis* to daptomycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:1598-
791   609.

792   30.   Jorasch P, Wolter FP, Zahringer U, Heinz E. 1998. A UDP glucosyltransferase from
793   *Bacillus subtilis* successively transfers up to four glucose residues to 1,2-diacylglycerol:
794   expression of *ypfP* in *Escherichia coli* and structural analysis of its reaction products.
795   Mol Microbiol 29:419-30.

796   31.   Lazarevic V, Soldo B, Medico N, Pooley H, Bron S, Karamata D. 2005. *Bacillus subtilis*
797   α-phosphoglucomutase is required for normal cell morphology and biofilm formation.
798   Appl Environ Microbiol 71:39-45.

799   32.   Grundling A, Schneewind O. 2007. Genes required for glycolipid synthesis and
800   lipoteichoic acid anchoring in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Bacteriol 189:2521-30.

801   33.   Hesser AR, Schaefer K, Lee W, Walker S. 2020. Lipoteichoic acid polymer length is
802   determined by competition between free starter units. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
803   117:29669-29676.

804   34.   Oku Y, Kurokawa K, Matsuo M, Yamada S, Lee BL, Sekimizu K. 2009. Pleiotropic roles
805   of polyglycerolphosphate synthase of lipoteichoic acid in growth of *Staphylococcus*
806   *aureus* cells. J Bacteriol 191:141-51.

35.   Coe KA, Lee W, Stone MC, Komazin-Meredith G, Meredith TC, Grad YH, Walker S.

2019. Multi-strain Tn-Seq reveals common daptomycin resistance determinants in

Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007862.

36.   Grundling A, Schneewind O. 2007. Synthesis of glycerol phosphate lipoteichoic acid in

Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:8478-83.

37.   Hashimoto M, Seki T, Matsuoka S, Hara H, Asai K, Sadaie Y, Matsumoto K. 2013.

Induction of extracytoplasmic function sigma factors in *Bacillus subtilis* cells with

defects in lipoteichoic acid synthesis. Microbiology 159:23-35.

38.   Murray T, Popham DL, Setlow P. 1998. *Bacillus subtili*s cells lacking penicillin-binding

protein 1 require increased levels of divalent cations for growth. J Bacteriol 180:4555-63.

39.   Claessen D, Emmins R, Hamoen LW, Daniel RA, Errington J, Edwards DH. 2008.

Control of the cell elongation-division cycle by shuttling of PBP1 protein in *Bacillus*

subtilis. Mol Microbiol 68:1029-46.

40.   Perego M, Glaser P, Minutello A, Strauch MA, Leopold K, Fischer W. 1995.

Incorporation of D-alanine into lipoteichoic acid and wall teichoic acid in *Bacillus*

subtilis. Identification of genes and regulation. J Biol Chem 270:15598-606.

41.   Wood BM, Santa Maria JP, Jr., Matano LM, Vickery CR, Walker S. 2018. A partial

reconstitution implicates DltD in catalyzing lipoteichoic acid d-alanylation. J Biol Chem

293:17985-17996.

42.   Kawai Y, Asai K, Errington J. 2009. Partial functional redundancy of MreB isoforms,

MreB, Mbl and MreBH, in cell morphogenesis of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol

73:719-31.

43.   Dominguez-Cuevas P, Porcelli I, Daniel RA, Errington J. 2013. Differentiated roles for

MreB-actin isologues and autolytic enzymes in *Bacillus subtilis* morphogenesis. Mol

Microbiol 89:1084-98.

44.   Hashimoto M, Ooiwa S, Sekiguchi J. 2012. Synthetic lethality of the *lytE cwlO* genotype

in *Bacillus subtilis* is caused by lack of D,L-endopeptidase activity at the lateral cell wall.

J Bacteriol 194:796-803.

45.   Salzberg LI, Powell L, Hokamp K, Botella E, Noone D, Devine KM. 2013. The WalRK

(YycFG) and σ^I RsgI regulators cooperate to control CwlO and LytE expression in

exponentially growing and stressed *Bacillus subtilis* cells. Mol Microbiol 87:180-95.

46.   Dobihal GS, Brunet YR, Flores-Kim J, Rudner DZ. 2019. Homeostatic control of cell
wall hydrolysis by the WalRK two-component signaling pathway in *Bacillus subtilis*.
Elife 8.

47.   Kasahara J, Kiriyama Y, Miyashita M, Kondo T, Yamada T, Yazawa K, Yoshikawa R,
Yamamoto H. 2016. Teichoic Acid Polymers Affect Expression and Localization of dl-
Endopeptidase LytE Required for Lateral Cell Wall Hydrolysis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J
Bacteriol 198:1585-1594.

48.   Mamou G, Fiyaksel O, Sinai L, Ben-Yehuda S. 2017. Deficiency in Lipoteichoic Acid
Synthesis Causes a Failure in Executing the Colony Developmental Program in *Bacillus*
subtilis. Front Microbiol 8:1991.

49.   Muller A, Wenzel M, Strahl H, Grein F, Saaki TNV, Kohl B, Siersma T, Bandow JE,
Sahl HG, Schneider T, Hamoen LW. 2016. Daptomycin inhibits cell envelope synthesis
by interfering with fluid membrane microdomains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:E7077-
E7086.

50.   Gray DA, Wenzel M. 2020. More Than a Pore: A Current Perspective on the In Vivo
Mode of Action of the Lipopeptide Antibiotic Daptomycin. Antibiotics (Basel) 9.

51.   Grein F, Muller A, Scherer KM, Liu X, Ludwig KC, Klockner A, Strach M, Sahl HG,
Kubitscheck U, Schneider T. 2020. Ca^{2+}-Daptomycin targets cell wall biosynthesis by
forming a tripartite complex with undecaprenyl-coupled intermediates and membrane
lipids. Nat Commun 11:1455.

52.   Friedman L, Alder JD, Silverman JA. 2006. Genetic changes that correlate with reduced
susceptibility to daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
50:2137-45.

53.   Ernst CM, Slavetinsky CJ, Kuhn S, Hauser JN, Nega M, Mishra NN, Gekeler C, Bayer
AS, Peschel A. 2018. Gain-of-Function Mutations in the Phospholipid Flippase MprF
Confer Specific Daptomycin Resistance. mBio 9.

54.   Hachmann AB, Sevim E, Gaballa A, Popham DL, Antelmann H, Helmann JD. 2011.
Reduction in membrane phosphatidylglycerol content leads to daptomycin resistance in
Bacillus subtilis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:4326-37.

55.   Hesser AR, Matano LM, Vickery CR, Wood BM, Santiago AG, Morris HG, Do T,
Losick R, Walker S. 2020. The length of lipoteichoic acid polymers controls

Staphylococcus aureus cell size and envelope integrity. J Bacteriol

doi:10.1128/JB.00149-20.

56.   Flores-Kim J, Dobihal GS, Fenton A, Rudner DZ, Bernhardt TG. 2019. A switch in

surface polymer biogenesis triggers growth-phase-dependent and antibiotic-induced

bacteriolysis. Elife 8.

57.   Patel Y, Zhao H, Helmann JD. 2020. A regulatory pathway that selectively up-regulates

elongasome function in the absence of class A PBPs. Elife 9.

58.   Nicolas P, Mader U, Dervyn E, Rochat T, Leduc A, Pigeonneau N, Bidnenko E,

Marchadier E, Hoebeke M, Aymerich S, Becher D, Bisicchia P, Botella E, Delumeau O,

Doherty G, Denham EL, Fogg MJ, Fromion V, Goelzer A, Hansen A, Hartig E, Harwood

CR, Homuth G, Jarmer H, Jules M, Klipp E, Le Chat L, Lecointe F, Lewis P,

Liebermeister W, March A, Mars RA, Nannapaneni P, Noone D, Pohl S, Rinn B,

Rugheimer F, Sappa PK, Samson F, Schaffer M, Schwikowski B, Steil L, Stulke J,

Wiegert T, Devine KM, Wilkinson AJ, van Dijl JM, Hecker M, Volker U, Bessieres P, et

al. 2012. Condition-dependent transcriptome reveals high-level regulatory architecture in

Bacillus subtilis. Science 335:1103-6.

59.   Zhu B, Stulke J. 2018. SubtiWiki in 2018: from genes and proteins to functional network

annotation of the model organism *Bacillus subtilis*. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D743-D748.

60.   Wendel BM, Pi H, Kruger L, Herzberg C, Stulke J, Helmann JD. 2022. A Central Role

for Magnesium Homeostasis during Adaptation to Osmotic Stress. mBio

doi:10.1128/mbio.00092-22:e0009222.

61.   Tesson B, Dajkovic A, Keary R, Marliere C, Dupont-Gillain CC, Carballido-Lopez R.

2022. Magnesium rescues the morphology of *Bacillus subtilis mreB* mutants through its

inhibitory effect on peptidoglycan hydrolases. Sci Rep 12:1137.

62.   Song D, Jiao H, Liu Z. 2021. Phospholipid translocation captured in a bifunctional

membrane protein MprF. Nat Commun 12:2927.

63.   Joyce LR, Manzer HS, da CMJ, Villarreal R, Nagao PE, Doran KS, Palmer KL, Guan Z.

2022. Identification of a novel cationic glycolipid in *Streptococcus agalactiae* that

contributes to brain entry and meningitis. PLoS Biol 20:e3001555.

64.   Thitiananpakorn K, Aiba Y, Tan XE, Watanabe S, Kiga K, Sato'o Y, Boonsiri T, Li FY,

Sasahara T, Taki Y, Azam AH, Zhang Y, Cui L. 2020. Association of *mprF* mutations

with cross-resistance to daptomycin and vancomycin in methicillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). Sci Rep 10:16107.

65.   Bertsche U, Yang SJ, Kuehner D, Wanner S, Mishra NN, Roth T, Nega M, Schneider A,

Mayer C, Grau T, Bayer AS, Weidenmaier C. 2013. Increased cell wall teichoic acid

production and D-alanylation are common phenotypes among daptomycin-resistant

methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) clinical isolates. PLoS One

8:e67398.

66.   Mishra NN, Bayer AS, Weidenmaier C, Grau T, Wanner S, Stefani S, Cafiso V,

Bertuccio T, Yeaman MR, Nast CC, Yang SJ. 2014. Phenotypic and genotypic

characterization of daptomycin-resistant methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

strains: relative roles of *mprF* and *dlt* operons. PLoS One 9:e107426.

67.   Yang SJ, Nast CC, Mishra NN, Yeaman MR, Fey PD, Bayer AS. 2010. Cell wall

thickening is not a universal accompaniment of the daptomycin nonsusceptibility

phenotype in *Staphylococcus aureus*: evidence for multiple resistance mechanisms.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3079-85.

68.   Muscato JD, Morris HG, Mychack A, Rajagopal M, Baidin V, Hesser AR, Lee W, Inecik

K, Wilson LJ, Kraml CM, Meredith TC, Walker S. 2022. Rapid Inhibitor Discovery by

Exploiting Synthetic Lethality. J Am Chem Soc 144:3696-3705.

69.   Chee Wezen X, Chandran A, Eapen RS, Waters E, Bricio-Moreno L, Tosi T, Dolan S,

Millership C, Kadioglu A, Grundling A, Itzhaki LS, Welch M, Rahman T. 2022.

Structure-Based Discovery of Lipoteichoic Acid Synthase Inhibitors. J Chem Inf Model

62:2586-2599.

70.   Slavetinsky CJ, Hauser JN, Gekeler C, Slavetinsky J, Geyer A, Kraus A, Heilingbrunner

D, Wagner S, Tesar M, Krismer B, Kuhn S, Ernst CM, Peschel A. 2022. Sensitizing

Staphylococcus aureus to antibacterial agents by decoding and blocking the lipid flippase

MprF. Elife 11.

71.   Anagnostopoulos C, Spizizen J. 1961. Requirements for Transformation in *Bacillus*

subtilis. J Bacteriol 81:741-6.

72.   Hamoen LW, Smits WK, de Jong A, Holsappel S, Kuipers OP. 2002. Improving the

predictive value of the competence transcription factor (ComK) binding site in *Bacillus*

subtilis using a genomic approach. Nucleic Acids Res 30:5517-28.

73.   Ishikawa S, Kawai Y, Hiramatsu K, Kuwano M, Ogasawara N. 2006. A new FtsZ-
932   interacting protein, YlmF, complements the activity of FtsA during progression of cell
933   division in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 60:1364-80.

934   74.   Surdova K, Gamba P, Claessen D, Siersma T, Jonker MJ, Errington J, Hamoen LW.
935   2013. The conserved DNA-binding protein WhiA is involved in cell division in *Bacillus
936   subtilis*. J Bacteriol 195:5450-60.

937   75.   Veening JW, Kuipers OP, Brul S, Hellingwerf KJ, Kort R. 2006. Effects of phosphorelay
938   perturbations on architecture, sporulation, and spore resistance in biofilms of *Bacillus
939   subtilis*. J Bacteriol 188:3099-109.

940   76.   Steinmetz M, Richter R. 1994. Plasmids designed to alter the antibiotic resistance
941   expressed by insertion mutations in *Bacillus subtilis*, through in vivo recombination.
942   Gene 142:79-83.

943   77.   Le Breton Y, Mohapatra NP, Haldenwang WG. 2006. *In vivo* random mutagenesis of
944   *Bacillus subtilis* by use of TnYLB-1, a mariner-based transposon. Appl Environ
945   Microbiol 72:327-33.

946   78.   Formstone A, Errington J. 2005. A magnesium-dependent *mreB* null mutant: implications
947   for the role of *mreB* in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 55:1646-57.

948   79.   Schirner K, Errington J. 2009. The cell wall regulator σ^I specifically suppresses the lethal
949   phenotype of *mbl* mutants in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 191:1404-13.

950   80.   McPherson DC, Driks A, Popham DL. 2001. Two class A high-molecular-weight
951   penicillin-binding proteins of *Bacillus subtilis* play redundant roles in sporulation. J
952   Bacteriol 183:6046-53.

953   81.   Branda SS, Gonzalez-Pastor JE, Dervyn E, Ehrlich SD, Losick R, Kolter R. 2004. Genes
954   involved in formation of structured multicellular communities by *Bacillus subtilis*. J
955   Bacteriol 186:3970-9.

956   82.   Koo BM, Kritikos G, Farelli JD, Todor H, Tong K, Kimsey H, Wapinski I, Galardini M,
957   Cabal A, Peters JM, Hachmann AB, Rudner DZ, Allen KN, Typas A, Gross CA. 2017.
958   Construction and Analysis of Two Genome-Scale Deletion Libraries for *Bacillus subtilis*.
959   Cell Syst 4:291-305 e7.

960   83.   Matsuoka S, Hashimoto M, Kamiya Y, Miyazawa T, Ishikawa K, Hara H, Matsumoto K.

961   2011. The *Bacillus subtilis* essential gene *dgkB* is dispensable in mutants with defective

962   lipoteichoic acid synthesis. Genes Genet Syst 86:365-76.

964   **TABLE 1** Plasmids used in this study (by alphabetic order)

Plasmids	Relevant features[a]	Source or reference
pAM-21	*kan* P_{T7} LytE$^{(26-334AA)}$-6His *lacI*	This study
pCotC-GFP	used as matrix to amplify *cat*	(75)
pDR111	*bla amyE::(lacI* $P_{hyspank}$*, spc)*	Rudner, D., unpublished
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*ltaS*	*bla amyE::(lacI* $P_{hyspank}$*-ltaS, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*	*bla amyE::(lacI* $P_{hyspank-ATG}$*mprF, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yfnI*	*bla amyE::(lacI* $P_{hyspank}$*-yfnI, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yqgS*	*bla amyE::(lacI* $P_{hyspank}$*-yqgS, spc)*	This study
pET28a (+)	*kan* P_{T7} *his lacI*	Novagen
pG$^+$host9::*ΔponA*	*erm*, carry flanking DNA regions of *ponA*	(12)
pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpD*	*bla*, carry flanking DNA regions of *pbpD*	(12)
pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpF*	*bla*, carry flanking DNA regions of *pbpF*	(12)
pIC22	used as matrix to amplify *phleo*	(76)
pLOSS*	*bla spc* P_{spac}*-mcs* P_{divIVA}*-lacZ lacI* $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$	(39)
pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	*bla spc* P_{spac}*-lytE* P_{divIVA}*-lacZ lacI* $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$	This study
pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA*	*bla spc* P_{spac}*-ponA* P_{divIVA}*-lacZ lacI* $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$	(12)
pMarB	*bla erm* TnYLB-1::*kan Himar1* transposase	(77)
pMUTinHis	*bla erm* P_{spac} *mcs 12xhis lacZ lacI*	(73)
pMUTin-`*lytE-his*	*bla erm* P_{spac} *mcs* `LytE-6AA-12His *lacZ lacI*	This study
pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*	*bla erm* P_{spac} *mcs* `LtaS-6AA-12His *lacZ lacI*	This study
pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*	*bla erm* P_{spac} *mcs* `YfnI-6AA-12His *lacZ lacI*	This study

965   [a]*cat,* chloramphenicol resistance; *bla*, ampicillin resistance; *spc*, spectinomycin resistance; *erm*,

966   erythromycin resistance; *phleo*, phleomycin resistance; *kan*, kanamycin resistance; *lacI*, encodes

967   lactose repressor; *mcs,* multiple cloning site; *lacZ*, encodes β-galactosidase; AA, amino acids;

968    $P_{hyspank}$ and P_{spac} are IPTG-inducible promoters with $P_{hyspank}$ known to be stronger;

969    $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$, unstable replication in *Bacillus*.

 TABLE 2 Strains used in this study

Strains	Relevant genotype[a]	Source or reference[b]
E. coli		
DH5α	F⁻ endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG Φ80d*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ(*lacZYA-argF*)U169, hsdR17(r$_K^-$ m$_K^+$), λ–	Lab. stock
BL21(DE3)	B F⁻ *ompT gal dcm lon hsdS$_B$*(r$_B^-$ m$_B^-$) λ(DE3 [*lacI lacUV5-T7p07 ind1 sam7 nin5*]) [*malB⁺*]$_{K-12}$(λ^S)	Novagen
B. subtilis		
168CA	*trpC2*	R. Daniel Lab. stock
168 1A1	*trpC2* (BGSC n° 1A1)	(54)
3725CA	*trpC2 Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725 (78) → 168CA cells
4261CA	*trpC2 Δmbl::cat*	4261 (79) → 168CA cells
4283CA	*trpC2 ΔltaS::neo*	4283 (79) → 168CA cells
4285CA	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat*	4285 (79) → 168CA cells
4287CA	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::spc*	4287 (79) → 168CA cells
4289CA	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm*	4289 (79) → 168CA cells
4292CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::spc*	4292 (79) → 168CA cells
4293CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat*	4293 (79) → 168CA cells
4294CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::erm*	4294 (79) → 168CA cells
4295CA	*trpC2 ΔyvgJ::spc*	4295 (79) → 168CA cells
4620	*trpC2 ΔltaS::neo ΔyfnI::cat ΔyqgS::spc ΔyvgJ::erm*	(79)
AG105	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF*	pGhost10::ΔpbpF (12) → RE103 cells
AG108	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF*	pG⁺host10::*ΔpbpF* → RE104 cells
AG123	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA* → AG108 cells
AG141	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA lacA::cat*	K532 → AG123 cells
AG157	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan*	(12)
AG181	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo*	This study
AG185	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725CA → AG181 cells
AG189	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo Δmbl::cat*	4261CA → AG181 cell
AG193	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo*	AG181 → RE101 cells
AG200	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat*	AG181 → AG141 cells

AG200BK#42	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* *ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat gtaB::*TnYLB-1*(kan)*	This study
AG210	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA*	DPVB45CA → AG200 cells
AG211	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA*	DPVB45CA → AG123 cells
AG223	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo*	AG181 → AG157 cells
AG290	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → AG211 cells
AG290NopLoss	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔgtaB::erm*	AG290 lost plasmid on 20 mM MgS0$_4$ with kan
AG292	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA Ωerm ΔtagE*	SM50 → AG211 cells
AG293	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ggaAB::spc*	SM31 → AG229 cells
AG296	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA pgcA::tet*	SSB122 → AG211 cells
AG304	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF* → 168CA cells
AG311	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → RE101 cells
AG317	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG157 cells
AG322	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc) Δmbl::cat*	4261CA → AG304 cells
AG327	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc) Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725CA → AG304 cells
AG341	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan dltAB::cat*	DLT71 (40) → AG157 cells
AG342	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → AG157 cells
AG343	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG157 cells
AG344	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA→ AG157 cells
AG347	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → AG181 cells
AG349	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc) ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → AG304 cells
AG353	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc) ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA→ AG304 cells
AG370	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG342 cells
AG372	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA → AG342 cells
AG377	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	4287CA → AG344 cells

AG380	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	4287CA → AG372 cells
AG383	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → RE101 cells
AG384	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → RE101 cells
AG385	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → RE101 cells
AG389	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	AG223 → AG372 cells
AG390	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔygqS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	AG223 → AG377 cells
AG393	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG383 cells
AG394	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → AG383 cells
AG395	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyfnI::spc ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA→ AG384 cells
AG399	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	AG223 → AG370 cells
AG400	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	AG223 → AG380 cells
AG403	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → AG393 cells
AG417	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan*	DPVB45CA → AG105 cells
AG421	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::($P_{hyspank}$ mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG417 cells
AG441	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG393 cells
AG442	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm gtaB::TnYLB-1(kan)*	AG200BK#42 → 168CA → AG393 cells
AG443	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG157 cells
AG444	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → RE101 cells
AG474	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc*	PDC463 (43) → 168CA cells
AG475	*trpC2 amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	Dominguez-Cuevas, unpublished → 168CA cells
AG484	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → RE101 cells
AG501	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG157 cells
AG502	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG223 cells
AG506	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → RE101 cells
AG547	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat*	This study
AG549	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE →AG547 cells
AG551	*trpC2 pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE → 168CA cells
AG557	*trpC2 ΔponA pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-lytE → RE101 cells

AG565	*trpC2 ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	pMUTinHis-'*lytE* → 168CA cells
AG569	*trpC2 ΩltaS-his$_{12}$::(*pMUTIN-`*ltaS, erm*)	pMUTinHis-'*ltaS* → 168CA cells
AG570	*trpC2 ΩyfnI-his$_{12}$::(*pMUTIN-`*yfnI, erm*)	pMUTinHis-'*yfnI* → 168CA cells
AG586	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	pMUTinHis-'*lytE* → AG474 cells
AG587	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → RE101 cells
AG588	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩltaS-his$_{12}$::(*pMUTIN-`*ltaS, erm*)	AG569 → RE101 cells
AG589	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩyfnI-his$_{12}$::(*pMUTIN-`*yfnI, erm*)	AG570 → RE101 cells
AG593	*trpC2 ΔyqgS:spc ΔltaS::cat*	4292CA → 4285CA cells
AG594	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm ΔltaS::cat*	4289CA → 4285CA cells
AG595	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → 4289CA cells
AG600	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4285CA → AG595 cells
AG614	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → AG210 cells
AG632	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG223 cells
AG636	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔgtaB::erm*	AG614 plasmid lost on NA MgS0$_4$ 20 mM with erm
AG1460	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG417 cells
AG1461	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG547 cells
AG1462	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4285CA cells
AG1463	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4289CA cells
AG1465	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG342 cells
AG1466	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → 4285CA cells
AG1467	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → 4289CA cells
AG1469	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG594 cells
AG1478	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG181 cells
AG1479	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG193 cells
AG1480	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG383 cells
AG1481	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG181 cells

AG1482	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* → AG193 cells
AG1483	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* → AG383 cells
AG1486	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → AG181 cells
AG1487	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → AG193 cells
AG1488	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → AG383 cells
AG1489	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → 4285CA cells
AG1490	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA → 4285CA cells
AG1491	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4293CA → 4289CA cells
AG1492	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4293CA cells
AG1493	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* → 4293CA cells
AG1497	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG594 cells
AG1498	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG1490 cells
AG1499	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG1491 cells
AG1500	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* → AG1490 cells
AG1502	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* → AG1491 cells
AG1535	*trpC2 ΔugtP::spc ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → PG253 cells
AG1541	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc ΩlytE-his$_{12}$*::(pMUTin-`*lytE, erm*)	AG565 → AG474 cells
AG1581	*trpC2 Δmbl::zeo amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AK045B → AG304 cells
AG1593	*trpC2 ΔmreBH::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1594	*trpC2 ΔugtP::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1604	*trpC2 ΔponA Ωneo ΔmreB*	KS36 → RE101 cells
AG1605	*trpC2 ΔponA Δmbl::zeo*	AK045B → RE101 cells
AG1643	*trpC2 ΔugtP::kan amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG1594 → AG475 cells
AG1663	*trpC2 ΔmprF::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1667	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::($P_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1497 cells

AG1668	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1498 cells
AG1669	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1499 cells
AG1670	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1497 cells
AG1671	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1498 cells
AG1672	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1499 cells
AG1673	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::spc ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG593 cells
AG1675	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG595 cells
AG1684	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm) amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG587 cells
AG1685	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG587 cells
AK045B	*trpC2 Δmbl::zeo (in 168CA background)*	H. Strahl's lab, A. Koh
Dap^{R}1	DAP-resistant isolate derived from 168 1A1	(54)
Dap^{R}20	DAP-resistant isolate derived from 168 1A1	(54)
DLT71CA	*trpC2 dltAB::cat*	DLT71 (40) → 168CA cells
DPVB45CA	*trpC2 ΔpbpG::kan*	DPVB45 (80) → 168CA
HB15507	Dap^{R}20 *pgsA*^{WT}	(54)
HB15516	Dap^{R}1 *pgsA*^{WT}	(54)
K532	*trpC2 lacA::cat*	D. Claessen, unpublished
KS36	*trpC2 Ωneo ΔmreB (in 168CA background)*	H. Strahl's lab, K. Seistrup
PDC620	*trpC2 aprE::(P$_{spac}$-lytE, erm)*	(43)
PDC702	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat::spc aprE(::P$_{xyl}$-lytE erm)*	(43)
PG253	*trpC2 ΔugtP::spc*	P. Gamba, unpublished
RE101	*trpC2 ΔponA*	(12)
RE102	*trpC2 ΔpbpF*	pG$^+$host10::ΔpbpF (12) → 168CA cells
RE103	*trpC2 ΔpbpD*	pG$^+$host10::ΔpbpD (12) → 168CA cells
RE104	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD*	pG$^+$host9::ΔponA (12) → RE103 cells
SM08	*trpC2 ΔgtaB::erm*	S. Moore, unpublished
SM31	*trpC2 ggaAB::spc*	S. Moore, unpublished
SM50	*trpC2 Ωerm ΔtagE*	S. Moore, unpublished
SSB122	*trpC2 pgcA (yhxB)::tet*	(81)
SWA11a	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF lacA::cat mprF::TnYLB-1(kan)*	This study
S. aureus		

| SA113 | SA113 (wild type, methicillin-sensitive) | T. Palmer, lab collection |
| SA113 *ΔmprF* | SA113 *ΔmprF::erm* | (21) |

ᵃAntibiotic resistance to *spc*, spectinomycin; *kan*, kanamycin; *erm*, erythromycin; *neo*,

neomycin; *cat*, chloramphenicol; *tet*, tetracyclin; *zeo*, zeocin and *phleo*: phleomycin. *TnYLB-*

1(kan) transposon that originates from pMarB (**Table 1**). *his$_{12}$* indicates a coding sequence that

corresponds to a 12 His tag at the C terminus. $P_{hyspank}$ and P_{spac} are IPTG-inducible promoters

with $P_{hyspank}$ known to be stronger. Other abbreviations: Δ, deletion; Ω, insertion.

ᵇArrows indicate how the strain was constructed by transformation using either a plasmid DNA,

a chromosomal DNA (strain code is then indicated) or a PCR on chromosomal DNA. BGSC*

refers to the deletion mutant collection of the Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (82).

 TABLE 3 Primers used in this study

Primer name	Sequence (in bold restriction enzyme site)	Used for[a]
oAA01	TTTTTCACCTTAATGCTTTGCATGGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTCTAGAGG	To amplify pET28a (+)
oAA02	ACCTCGGTGCGAAAAGATTCCACCACCACCACCACCACT	To amplify pET28a (+)
oAA03	TAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGCAAAGCATTAAGGTGAAAAAAGGCGAC	To amplify *lytE* from base 76th-1002th
oAA04	CAGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGAATCTTTTCGCACCGAGGTAACG	To amplify *lytE* from base 76th-1002th
oAA05	ACGCCGAAACAAGCGCTC	To check pET28a-*lytE-his* plasmid
oAA06	GCTAGTTATTGCTCAGCG	To check pET28a-*lytE-his* plasmid
oAG18	GTTGACTTTATCTACAAGGT	To check insert cloned in pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*
oAG19	GGTACCAGTAGTTCACCAC	To check pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*
oAG81	GAATCGCCTGGCTCACATCATC	To check *B. subtilis* *mprF::*TnYLB-1
oAG82	CGGCAAAGAACAGTCCCAAG	To check *B. subtilis* *mprF::*TnYLB-1
oAG103	CCTGTATGTCTGGCACTCAC	To amplify upstream *mprF*
oAG104	GC**TCTAGA**TGGTCTCTCCAATCATATTC	To amplify upstream *mprF* (*Eco*RI)
oAG105	CG**GAATTC**TAAGACGGAGTCTTTTTTTATTTCG	To amplify downstream *mprF* (*Xba*I)
oAG106	CGGAGATGCGAGAGGGTTTC	To amplify downstream *mprF*
oAG107	TGCGGCATGCTCATATCCAG	To check *B. subtilis* Δ*mprF*
oAG108	TGGGCCAATCAGTGGACAAG	To check *B. subtilis* Δ*mprF*
oAG124	CCATCATCTGGTGCGAAAGG	(12), to check *B. subtilis* Δ*ponA*
oAG125	CCGCAAAGCCGATTAATTGG	(12), to check *B. subtilis* Δ*ponA*
oAG126	TCTATTGGCGAGTGCTTC	(12), to check *B. subtilis* Δ*pbpF*
oAG127	AGCATCGACTCCGTATTG	(12), to check *B. subtilis* Δ*pbpF*
oAG128	TTATTCGGAATGGCGATGGG	(12),to check *B. subtilis* Δ*pbpD*
oAG129	CCTTAATGGCTGCAGTTGAC	(12),to check *B. subtilis* Δ*pbpD*
oAG165	CTAACCTGGCTGACATTCAC	To check *B. subtilis* *gtaB::*TnYLB-1
oAG166	TGATCAGGTCTTCGCAGTTG	To check *B. subtilis* *gtaB::*TnYLB-1
oAG197	GC**TCTAGA**GCACCCATTAGTTCAACAAACG	To amplify *cat* (*Xba*I)
oAG198	GC**GGATCC**AGTACAGTCGGCATTATCTC	To amplify *cat* (*Bam*HI)
oAG271	GGG**GTCGAC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT</u>GCTGATTAAAAGAATGCT	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*
oAG272	GGG**GCATGC**TTGATGATATTGAAACCT	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*

oAG300	CAATCACGAAACAATAATTGG	To check cloned insert in pDR111
oAG301	GTTGACTTTATCTACAAGGTG	To check cloned insert in pDR111
oAG308	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT</u><u>G</u>AAACATTTATAAAGAAAGAGG	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*ltaS* (*Nhe*I)
oAG309	CCG**GCATGC**CCGAATGTGGAATTTGC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*ltaS* (*Sph*I)
oAG310	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT</u><u>G</u>AAGAAACTTTTTTCTTACAAAC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yfnI* (*Nhe*I)
oAG311	CCG**GCATGC**TGTAATGATATGAGAGAAAGC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yfnI* (*Sph*I)
oAG312	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT</u><u>G</u>CGAAAACGTTTTTTTCGAAG	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yqgS* (*Nhe*I)
oAG313	CCGGCATGCGAAAGCCTCCCGCTCACTTC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yqgS* (*Sph*I)
oAG340	GTCACATGAAGTCAAGACTATT	To check *ΔlytE*
oAG341	ACGGTTTATCAAGGAAGGACTC	To check *ΔlytE*
oAG348	GTG**GCGGCCGC**ATGAAAAAGCAAATCATTACAG	To construct pLOSS-*P_{spac}*-*lytE* (*Not*I)
oAG349	CCG**GGATCC**GATTGCCCTTTATGAAAATAAG	To construct pLOSS-*P_{spac}*-*lytE* (*Bam*HI)
oAG350	GTCTGTGCTTGAGGATAAGG	To amplify upstream *lytE* for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG351	GGG**TCTAGA**CTGCTGTCGTAGCTGTAATG	To amplify upstream *lytE* (*Xba*I) for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG352	CCG**GGATCC**GCGAAAGATTCTAATTTTTAG	To amplify downstream *lytE* (*Bam*HI), for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG353	GATCCGTTTGCGTGTTTC	To amplify downstream *lytE,* for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG354	GGG**GAATTC**GGCGGAACGACAACTTCAG	To construct pMUTin-`*lytE-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*lytE* (no stop codon)
oAG355	GGG**CTCGAG**GAATCTTTTCGCACCGAGG	To construct pMUTin-`*lytE-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*lytE* (no stop codon)
oAG360	GGC**GAATTC**GATTTATTCTCTAAAGACCACG	To construct pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*yfnI* (no stop codon)
oAG361	GGG**CTCGAG**TTTGATTCTTTCTCCTTGCCG	To construct pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*yfnI* (no stop codon)
oAG366	GGC**GAATTC**CGAAACGGAGACTTTATTTCAC	To construct pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*ltaS* (no stop codon)
oAG367	GGG**CTCGAG**TTTATCTTCGTTATCCTTTGAC	To construct pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*ltaS* (no stop codon)

oAG368	CAAGGTGTGGCATAATGT	To check integration in pMUTinHis
oAG369	GACATCCAGAGGCACTTC	To check integration in pMUTinHis
oAG412	CACCTGGTTTTCTGCTATAGT	To check *B. subtilis* *ΔgtaB*
oAG413	GTTTAACTCTACAATCAGTG	To check *B. subtilis* *ΔgtaB*
PhleoXbaI-fw	GC**TCTAGA**TCTTCCTTCAGGTTATGAC	To amplify *phleo* from pIC22 (*Xba*I)
PhleoEcoRI-rev	C**GAATTC**CGCGCCCGATTGCTGAAC	To amplify *phleo* from pIC22 (*Eco*RI)
oAG686	AAGAGAATGCGCCGGAACATC	To amplify *aprE* locus and generate strain AG1676-1677
oAG689	TGACATTCGGCACACTCC	To amplify *aprE* locus and generate strain AG1676-1677

980   **ᵃ** *cat,* chloramphenicol resistance*; phleo*, phleomycin cassette; P_{spac} and $P_{hyspank}$ are promoter

981   inducible with IPTG, the latter is known to be stronger; $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$, unstable replication

982   in *Bacillus, TnYLB-1* transposon associated to kanamycin resistance.

983 **TABLE 4** Cell morphogenesis changes associated with deletion of LTA-synthase genes

984 combined with class A PBPs null mutants of *B. subtilis*

Strains[a]	168CA	*Δ4*	*Δ4 ΔmprF*	*Δ4 ΔltaS*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*	*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*[b]
average cell width (μm)	0.8399	0.6595	0.6793	0.6679	0.7269	0.7560	0.6538	0.7666
stdev	0.0469	0.0492	0.0546	0.0550	0.0528	0.0499	0.0513	0.0529
average cell lenght (μm)	3.258	4.557	4.659	4.828	4.873	4.926	4.813	5.312
stdev	0.787	2.075	2.180	2.325	2.240	2.301	1.926	2.651
cells counted	437	419	399	414	426	420	423	416

985 [a]Average of cell width and cell length of strains 168CA, AG157 (*Δ4*), AG342 (*Δ4 ΔltaS*), AG377

986 (*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG370 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG372 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG380 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*

987 *ΔyqgS*) and AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*) analysed in **Fig S3AB**. Standard deviation (stdev) is indicated.

988 [b]Due to the formation of twisted cell chains, the cells measurement of *Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*

989 strain was done on the straight regions of the chain.

Figure 1. Representations of the cell envelope of Gram-positive rod-shaped bacterium

***Bacillus subtilis* and the biosynthetic links between lipoteichoic acids and phospholipids.**

(A) Depiction of some of the cell envelope components and their function in *B. subtilis*. This

figure indicates the complexity of the cell envelope synthesis due to the presence of enzymes that

seemingly assume the same function. This redundancy of function has been identified in the

reaction steps leading to the synthesis of the peptidoglycan (glycosyltransferases: 4 class A PBPs,

PBP1/*ponA* major and the essential RodA), the lipoteichoic acids (3 LTA-synthases, where LtaS

is the major enzyme) and the actin-like filaments that coordinate the cell shape (3 Mre-

homologs: MreB/MreBH and Mbl where the latter is essential in *B. subtilis* 168CA). The major

autolysins CwlO and LytE (the absence of both is lethal to *B. subtilis*) cleave the peptidoglycan

pentapeptides. Presence of aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol (aaPGols) produced by MprF and the

D-alanylation of teichoic acids by Dlt proteins are thought to create an electrostatic environment

that protects the bacteria from CAMPs (cationic antimicrobial peptides).

(B) A schematic representation of the biosynthetic links between lipoteichoic acid,

phospholipids and glucolipids in *B. subtilis*, adapted from previous studies (3, 83). Lipoteichoic

acid (LTA) consist of glycerolphosphate units anchored to the membrane by a glycolipid. In

absence of cytoplasmic UgtP, LTA polymer is linked to the membrane by a diacylglycerol

(DAG), instead of a diglucosyldiacylglycerol (Glc_2DAG). When grown in LB at 37°C, the

majority of *B. subtilis* phospholipids are phosphatidylglycerol (PGol) (37.5% ± 6.2),

phosphatidylethanolamine PE (30.5% ± 3.8), Glc_2DAG (9.6% ± 4.7) and lysyl-

phosphatidylglycerol (LysPGol, 7.8% ± 3.7) produced by MprF (83). Recently, L- and N-

succinyl-LysPGol, and also L- and D-AlaPGol were identified in *B. subtilis* (25). Grey arrow

indicates a reaction step that might be bidirectional.

Figure 2. MprF alters cell viability of *B. subtilis* class A PBPs and *mbl* mutants.

(A) Ten-fold spot growth assays of strains *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG157 (*ΔponA ΔpbpD*

ΔpbpF ΔpbpG renamed *Δ4*), AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), SWA11a (*ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF*

mprF::TnYLB-1 lacA), AG417 (*ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* renamed *Δ3*), RE101 (*ΔponA*), AG193

(*ΔponA ΔmprF)* and AG181 (*ΔmprF*). Plates (here glucose 1%) were incubated at 37°C for 24 h

and images taken.

(B) The effect of *mprF* overexpression (MprF ↑) is assay in different strain backgrounds using

an IPTG-inducible *mprF* construct. Plates (here glucose 1%) were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and

scanned. Strains tested were AG304 (168CA MprF ↑), AG317 (*Δ4* MprF ↑), AG311 (*ΔponA*

MprF ↑), AG421 (*Δ3* MprF ↑) and AG322 (*Δmbl* MprF ↑).

(C) Phase-contrast and membrane dye (FM5-95) microscopy images of *B. subtilis* 168CA and

AG322 (*Δmbl* MprF ↑) strains grown in NB ± IPTG (0.1 mM) to mid-exponential phase at 37°C.

Scale bar indicates 5 μm.

(D-G) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images show cross-sections of (**D**) wild type

168CA, (**E**) AG181 (*ΔmprF)* and (**F-G**) AG304 strain (MprF ↑) grown in nutrient broth (and

IPTG for AG304). Arrows indicate abnormal cell wall structures that seem specific to the strain

MprF ↑. All images were taken at 15,000x magnification and processed in Fiji with scale bars

attached.

Data information. Strains phenotype was tested at least three times, TEM experiment was carried

out one time. Supporting information for this figure is presented in **Fig S1, S2AB**.

Figure 3. LtaS causes cell morphogenesis defect of an all-class A PBP mutant.

(A) Absence of *gtaB* or *ugtP* causes severe growth defect in absence of class A PBPs and MprF. Strains were grown exponentially in NB with 20 mM MgS0₄, then were washed and diluted in NB prior to preparing a 10-fold serial dilution in NB spotting on the NA and NA glucose (0.5%) plates which were incubated at 37°C and imaged after 24 h and 45 h. Strains were also spotted on same media supplemented with 10 mM MgS0₄ to confirm all the strains dilution spots could grow, at 17 h of incubation only *Δ4 ΔgtaB* and *Δ4 ΔugtP* displayed colonies of smaller size at that time point (data not shown). Strains tested: *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), PG253 (*ΔugtP*),

SM08 (*ΔgtaB*), AG157 (*Δ4*), AG290NopLOSS (*Δ4 ΔgtaB*), AG443 (*Δ4 ΔugtP*), AG223

(*Δ4 ΔmprF*), AG636 (*Δ4 ΔmprF ΔgtaB*) and AG632 (*Δ4 ΔmprF ΔugtP*).

(B) Spot dilution growth assays for the following strains: *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG157

(*Δ4*)*,* AG342 (*Δ4 ΔltaS*)*,* AG343* (*Δ4 ΔyfnI*)*,* AG344 (*Δ4 ΔyqgS*), AG370 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*),

AG372 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*)*,* AG377 (*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and AG380 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*).

Samples were inoculated onto NA ± glucose 1% and, incubated at 37°C for 24 h. * This strain

was found to pick up suppressor mutations more rapidly than AG342.

(C) Ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared for *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*)*,*

AG389 (AG223 *ΔltaS ΔyqgS*)*,* AG390 (AG223 *ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*)*,* AG399 (AG223 *ΔltaS ΔyfnI*) and

AG400 (AG223 *ΔyqgS ΔltaS ΔyfnI*) strains. Plates (here glucose 1%) were incubated at 37°C and

imaged after 24h.

(D) Representative microscopy images of cells of strains of (A) grown in NB at 37°C for 120

min and stained with a FM5-95 dye. Scale bar represents 5 µm. The average of cells diameter

extracted from **Table 4** is indicated. * The cells measurement was done on the straight regions of

the cell chain.

Data information: Strains phenotype was tested at least three times. Supporting information is

presented in **Fig S2C, S3** which also display results obtained in *ΔponA* background.

Figure 4. Analysis of lipoteichoic acid production in *B. subtilis* strains.

For each set of cultures (NB ± Glucose 0.2%), samples were separated on the same Bis-Tris

gradient gel. Membrane was split at ~ 60 kDa position. The lower part of the membrane was

probed using a monoclonal antibody for Gram-positive LTA and an HRP-linked anti-mouse

antibody. The other membrane part was probed using polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked

anti-rabbit antibodies, to provide a sample loading control.

(A) Samples were prepared from strains *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), 4285CA (*ΔltaS*),

4289CA (*ΔyfnI*), 4292CA (*ΔyqgS*), AG595 (*ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG593 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG594 (*ΔltaS*

ΔyfnI), AG600 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and 4620 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS ΔyvgJ*, noted here ΔLTA).

(B) Strains analysed: *B. subtilis* 168CA, AG417 (*ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG*)*,* RE101 (*ΔponA*)*,*

AG181 (*ΔmprF*)*,* AG193 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*)*,* AG304 (MprF ↑), AG311 (*ΔponA* MprF ↑)*,* 4261CA

(Δmbl), AG322 *(Δmbl* MprF ↑)*.* A densitometry graph of the left blot is presented in **Fig S5D**.

(C) Samples were extracted from *B. subtilis* 168CA, 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), AG383 (*ΔltaS ΔponA*),

PG253 (*ΔugtP*) and AG444 (*ΔugtP ΔponA*) strains.

Data information: Representative of one of three independent experiments shown in **Fig S4-S6.**

At each section A, B, C, samples were derived from the same experiment.

Figure 5. LytE overexpression is conditionally lethal in absence of PBP1 or LtaS.

(A) *lytE* overexpression was analysed in strains which integrated at the *amyE* locus an (0.1 mM)

IPTG-inducible $P_{hyspank}$-*lytE,* represented here LytE ↑. The strains tested had the following

relevant features: AG484 (*ΔponA* LytE ↑), AG1460 (*Δ3 ponA$^+$* LytE ↑), AG501 (*Δ4* LytE ↑),

AG502 (*Δ4 ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1465 (*Δ4 ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1478 (*ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1479

(*ΔponA ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1462 (*ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1480 (*ΔponA ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1497

(*ΔltaS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1498 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS* LytE ↑) and AG1499 (*ΔyqgS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑). The

following strains that did not display any obvious phenotype are not represented here: AG475

(168CA LytE ↑), AG1461 (*ΔlytE* LytE ↑), AG1463 (*ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1492 (*ΔyqgS* LytE ↑).

Plates (here glucose 0.5%) were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24 h (this figure) and 48 h

(**Fig S7A**).

(B) Strains AG1481 (*ΔmprF* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*) and AG551 (168CA pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*) were

streaked on NA and NA glucose (0.5%), supplemented with IPTG (1 mM) and X-Gal from a

fresh colony on NA spectinomycin. Plates were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24 h. Blue

colonies indicate the stabilisation of plasmid pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* in *ΔmprF*. Grey scale images are

here to help visualize white colonies.

(C) Detection of LytE-His$_{12}$ and PBP2B by Western blots. For simplification on this figure only

the relevant background feature is displayed for the following strains (expressing LytE-His$_{12}$

under the control of its native promoter): wild-type-like AG565 (WT*), AG587 (*ΔponA*),

AG1486 (*ΔmprF*), AG1487 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG1489 (Δ*ltaS*), AG1488 (*ΔponA ΔltaS*), AG1535

(*ΔugtP*) and AG1541 (*ΔcwlO*). In addition, *B. subtilis* 168CA was grown in parallel (without

antibiotic) and used here as a negative control for the LytE-blot. The membrane was split and

incubated either with a monoclonal Penta-His and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibodies or with

a polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies. Note: a 'ladder' sample loaded

after the NB-Glucose (0.2%) sample set is not displayed and the image editing is symbolised by

the vertical black line (see original on **Fig S7B,** middle panel).

(D) Detection of LTA-synthases LtaS/YfnI and PBP2B by Western blots. The samples used in

experiment **C** were loaded on a new SDS-gel and the Western blot was carry out with polyclonal

anti-LtaS (able to detect YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab), anti-PBP2B antibodies, and HRP-

linked anti-rabbit antibodies.

Data information: One representative set of three independent Western Blot experiments is

shown here and in **Fig S7B, S8A**. Additional supporting information is presented in **Fig S8, S9**.

At each section samples were derived from the same experiment.

Figure 6. Daptomycin resistance and LTA synthesis in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*.

(A) Samples of methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains SA113 and SA113 *ΔmprF*

(21) were probed for LTA by Western Blot.

(B) Researchers identified that a modified allele of *pgsA*(*A64V*) was the major determinant of

daptomycin resistance of *B. subtilis* Dap[R]1 and Dap[R]20 strains selected by serial daptomycin

antibiotic passages (54). The strains 168 1A1 (wild type, WT), Dap[R]1, Dap[R]20, HB15516

(Dap[R]1 *pgsA*[WT]) and HB15507 (Dap[R]20 *pgsA*[WT]) were grown in NB overnight at 30°C and LTA

was detected (top panel) along with PBP2B (middle panel) by Western Blots. Analysis of the

samples grown exponentially at 37°C using theses overnight cultures is presented in **Fig S10F**.

The bottom panel shows the cellular abundance of the LTA-synthase detected with an anti-LtaS

(that also cross reacts with YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab). Here the samples were normalized

based on the previous PBP2B blot (middle panel) (blotted as in **Fig 5D**).

Data information: One representative set of three experiments is shown here and in **Fig S10F**.

(C) Absence of LTA-synthase LtaS increase *B. subtilis* susceptibility to daptomycin. Dap strip

assays (Liofilchem) on NA-CaCl₂ plates were carried out on *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA),

AG600 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS,* noted no LTA), AG593 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG594 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG595

(*ΔyfnI ΔyqgS,* noted LtaS-only), AG1673 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS ΔmprF*), AG1675 (*ΔyfnI ΔyqgS ΔmprF*)

and AG1663 (*ΔmprF*) strains. Plates were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24h (illustrations

on top panel). * The table represents the average of at least two independent experiments except

for *ΔmprF*.

Data information: Additional supporting information is presented in **Fig S10**

Figure 7. A summary of the alteration in LTA and LytE levels associated with the

conditional lethality of *ΔltaS* and *ΔponA* mutants.

(A) The overexpression of *lytE* (inducible) in the double LTA-synthase mutants is lethal except

when the major LTA synthase LtaS is functional. The presence of a distinct LTA length is

presented by the mutant strains (**Fig 4A, 5A**).

(B) In glucose, the viability and lethality of mutants in *ΔponA* background were associated to

distinct LTA, LytE and LtaS/YfnI levels (**Fig 2A-B, 3A, 4B-C, 5A, C-D**). * The overexpression

of *lytE* (inducible) is lethal to *ΔponA* but not to *ΔponA ΔmprF* mutant (**Fig 5A**).

Strains with the ability to grow without significant cell lysis are referred as viable (green text in

the cell). Lethal refers to an absence of growth of the mutant on NA or in the specified

condition (dashed rod cell, with red text). In these cases, analysis of the strains was done by

shifting cultures to non-permissive conditions (e.g. low magnesium, addition of glucose or

inducer). Arrows indicate an increase (↑) or decrease (↓) of the specified factor. Here it is

assumed that the strength of LTA signal observed by Western blot is proportional to abundance.

Figure 8. MprF positively regulates the synthesis of LTA in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*

(**A**) MprF or its substrates positively regulate LTA production in *B. subtilis* and in *S. aureus.*

(**B**) Proposed role of MprF in LTA production via its substrate aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerols

(aaPGol) (not limitative). (**1**) LtaS could use the aminoacyl-glycerol-phosphate (aaGroP) of

aaPGol as a last LTA capping unit. Potentially the addition of this positive charged capping unit

(e.g. lysyl, alanylGroP) could contribute to the protection against CAMPs. (**2-3**) MprF could

indirectly alters LtaS processivity: the release of the aminoacyl could permit LtaS to use the

PGol as lipid anchor (**2**), the presence of aaPGol in the vicinity of LtaS could create an

electrostatic environment that help maintain the growing LTA chain in a conformation that favors

LtaS processing (**3**).