Insights into the role of lipoteichoic acids in *Bacillus subtilis*- a new function for MprF

Aurélie Guyet[1]*, Amirah Alofi[1], Richard A. Daniel[1]*

[1] Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Baddiley-Clark Building, Biosciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, United Kingdom.

*For correspondence Email: aurelie.guyet@newcastle.ac.uk and richard.daniel@newcastle.ac.uk   Tel. +44 1912 083239.

Running title: MprF alters lipoteichoic acid production

Abstract

In *Bacillus subtilis,* the cell is protected from the environment by a cell envelope, which

comprises of layers of peptidoglycan that maintain the cell shape and anionic teichoic acids

polymers whose biological function remains unclear. In *B. subtilis*, loss of all Class A

Penicillin-Binding Proteins (aPBPs) which function in peptidoglycan synthesis is conditionally

lethal. Here we show that this lethality is associated with an alteration of the lipoteichoic acids

(LTA) and the accumulation of the major autolysin LytE in the cell wall. We provide the first

evidence that the length and abundance of LTA acts to regulate the cellular level of LytE.

Importantly, we identify a novel function for the aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol synthase

MprF which acts to modulate LTA biosynthesis in *B. subtilis* and in the pathogen

Staphylococcus aureus. This finding has implications for our understanding of antimicrobial

peptide resistance (particularly daptomycin) in clinically relevant bacteria and MprF-

associated virulence in pathogens, such as methicillin resistant *S. aureus*.

Keywords: *Bacillus*/ LTA/ LytE/ MprF/ PBP1

Introduction

Gram-positive bacteria have a common cell envelope architecture that contributes to maintaining cell shape and protects the cell from environmental changes. The best characterised component of the cell envelope is the peptidoglycan (PG) which forms a mesh like structure enclosing the cell membrane. Anionic polymers are either covalently attached to PG N-acetylmuramic acids and known as wall-teichoic acids (WTA) or are tethered to the external face of the membrane and named lipoteichoic acids (LTA) (Hanson and Neely, 2012, Percy and Grundling, 2014, Schneewind and Missiakas, 2016, Rajagopal and Walker, 2017). It is unclear whether LTA are localized in a periplasm-like space between the membrane and PG or extend through the PG layers (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003, Erickson, 2021).

Peptidoglycan is synthetised by glycosyltransferases (GTases) which catalyse the addition of a disaccharide pentapeptide precursor (lipid II), to the nascent PG chain while transpeptidase (TPase) enzymes cross-link a proportion of the pentapeptides to adjacent PG strands (Pazos and Vollmer, 2021, Straume et al., 2021). In the absence of the bifunctional transmembrane GTase and TPase enzymes, known as class A Penicillin-Binding Proteins (aPBPs), *B. subtilis* cells are viable but the cells are longer and thinner and are prone to lysis (McPherson and Popham, 2003, Kawai et al., 2009b). The viability of *B. subtilis* lacking all aPBPs (referred as *Δ4*) depends on the functionality of the essential protein RodA, that was recently identified to function as a PG glycosyltransferase (Meeske et al., 2016, Emami et al., 2017). The *Δ4* strain is conditionally lethal on PAB agar medium unless supplemented with magnesium (Kawai et al., 2009b). It is thought that this divalent cation alters the structure of the wall by binding to teichoic acids or PG and by doing so, might alter the activity of cell wall hydrolases, the enzymes that modify and sculpt synthesised PG to allow the cell to elongate and divide (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003, Do et al., 2020, Wilson and Garner, 2021).

In rod-shaped *B. subtilis* 168*,* LTA and WTA are composed of polyglycerolphosphate

(polyGroP) generated by specific biosynthetic pathways (**Fig 1A**) (Neuhaus and Baddiley,

2003, Percy and Grundling, 2014, Schneewind and Missiakas, 2016, Rajagopal and Walker,

2017). Importantly, *B. subtilis* is not able to survive the loss of both LTA and WTA synthesis

(Schirner et al., 2009) and these teichoic acids have roles in cell separation-division (Schirner

et al., 2009, Wormann et al., 2011) and cell elongation (Soldo et al., 2002), respectively. The

net anionic charge of these polymers can be altered by D-alanylation, a process proposed to

regulate autolysin activity and cell wall ion homeostasis (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003,

Schneewind and Missiakas, 2016).

The teichoic acids located in the wall and the membrane phospholipids produced by MprF both

impact on the negative net charge of the bacterial cell envelopes (**Fig 1A**) (Peschel et al., 2001,

Slavetinsky et al., 2017). The transmembrane protein MprF is the only enzyme known to

synthesise and translocate aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol (aaPGol), in particular lysyl-PGol

(**Fig 1A**) (Staubitz and Peschel, 2002, Johnson and Grossman, 2016, Atila et al., 2016, Ernst

and Peschel, 2019). MprF plays a role in the virulence of bacterial pathogens (Ernst and

Peschel, 2019, Nowak et al., 2021) and in *B. subtilis,* it confers resistance against positively

charged antimicrobial peptides such as nisin and daptomycin through mechanisms that are not

yet characterized (Salzberg and Helmann, 2008, Hachmann et al., 2009).

Phosphatidylglycerol is also consumed by LTA-synthases LtaS ('house-keeping'), YfnI

('stress') and YqgS ('sporulation') (Schirner et al., 2009, Wormann et al., 2011) (**Fig 1A**).

These transmembrane LTA-synthases have an extracellular catalytic domain that uses PGol to

generate a polyGroP polymer on a lipid anchor (Percy and Grundling, 2014, Schneewind and

Missiakas, 2016). The lipid anchor is usually a diglucosyldiacylglycerol (Glc$_2$DAG) produced

by UgtP on the internal surface of the cell membrane (Jorasch et al., 1998) (**Fig 1A**). As a *ugtP*

mutant still produces LTA (Lazarevic et al., 2005), it suggests that polyGroP polymers are

anchored to the lipid diacylglycerol (DAG) as shown in *Staphylococcus aureus ΔugtP*

(Grundling and Schneewind, 2007a, Hesser et al., 2020b).

In *S. aureus,* LTA is essential and seems to promote autolytic activity (Oku et al., 2009), while

WTA is dispensable but appears to restrict autolytic activity (Hanson and Neely, 2012,

Rajagopal and Walker, 2017). Contrary to *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* has only one LTA-synthase

(LtaS) whose absence is (conditionally) essential (Coe et al., 2019) and can be complemented

by *B. subtilis ltaS* (Grundling and Schneewind, 2007b). A recent *in vitro* study showed that *S.*

aureus LtaS is processive and that the LTA polymer elongation is directly regulated by the

identity and concentration of lipid starter units. The use of Glc_2DAG as a preferred starter unit

led to the formation of shorter polymer (Hesser et al., 2020b) while the use of PGol resulted in

the synthesis of longer polymers. It is not clear if this mechanism might be conserved in *B.*

subtilis however, in absence of *B. subtilis* LtaS, YfnI expression and LTA length increase

(Wormann et al., 2011, Hashimoto et al., 2013).

Through characterising *B. subtilis* strains lacking peptidoglycan GTase activity (Emami et al.,

2017), we aimed to understand the cell wall stresses causing aPBP mutants to lyse on glucose

rich media. Collectively, our data supports the idea that in *B. subtilis* LTA length acts to

moderate the activity of the major autolysin LytE. The presence of glucose increases the

expression of LtaS, YfnI, and their activity results in an alteration of LTA production in

absence of major PBP1. Crucially, we identify a new role for MprF in altering LTA

polymerisation in *B. subtilis* and the pathogen *S. aureus*. Our results reveal that MprF acts to

change the cell envelope in a more dramatic way than simply increasing the positive charge on

the cell membrane which has implications for understanding the virulence associated to MprF

in Gram-positive bacteria.

Results

MprF alters cell viability in absence of *ponA* or *mbl*

In *Bacillus subtilis*, the absence of all aPBPs (*Δ4* for *ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* mutant) is conditionally lethal on glucose-rich PAB agar medium unless supplemented with magnesium (Kawai et al., 2009b, Emami et al., 2017). The suppressive effect of divalent magnesium has been observed in other cell-wall deficient mutants (Murray et al., 1998, Claessen et al., 2008, Kawai et al., 2009b, Schirner et al., 2009) and it is not well understood. To identify the cell wall factors able to suppress *Δ4* lethality, we applied a random transposition mutagenesis to a strain deleted for the vegetative aPBPs (**Tables EV1-3**). Mapping of the transposon in strain SWA11a revealed its insertion in the gene *mprF*, encoding a lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LysPGol) synthase known to participate in phospholipids metabolism (**Fig 1A**) (Staubitz and Peschel, 2002, Salzberg and Helmann, 2008). Introduction of a null *mprF* deletion into *Δ4*, confirmed that *Δ4 ΔmprF* was viable on nutrient agar (NA) with glucose and on PAB agar (**Fig 1B, Appendix Fig S1A**). In absence of *ponA* encoding the major aPBP (PBP1), the single mutant is viable and yet its growth and the colony morphology is seen to improve in absence of *mprF* (**Fig 1B, Fig EV1**).

We reasoned that if MprF activity is detrimental to *Δ4,* its overexpression should be deleterious to *ΔponA*. Indeed, overexpression of MprF (MprF ↑) was lethal to *ponA*-deleted strains on NA-glucose (**Fig 1B**). On NA, both *ΔponA* and *Δ4* strains grew slowly (**Fig 1B**) but no obvious effect was observed for 168CA and *Δ3* aPBPs (i.e. *ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG*). Consistently, the overexpression of MprF caused the cells of *ΔponA* and *Δ4* to lyse in nutrient broth (NB) (**Appendix Fig S1B**).

Figure 1. MprF alters cell viability of *B. subtilis* class A PBPs and *mbl* mutants.

A A schematic representation of the biosynthetic links between lipoteichoic acid, phospholipids and glucolipids in *B. subtilis*, adapted from previous studies (Matsuoka et al., 2011, Schneewind and Missiakas, 2016). Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) consist of glycerolphosphate units anchored to the membrane by a glycolipid. In absence of cytoplasmic UgtP, LTA polymer is linked to the membrane by a diacylglycerol (DAG), instead of a diglucosyldiacylglycerol (Glc$_2$DAG). When grown in LB at 37°C, the majority of *B. subtilis* phospholipids are phosphatidylglycerol (PGol) (37.5% ± 6.2), phosphatidylethanolamine PE (30.5% ± 3.8), Glc$_2$DAG (9.6% ± 4.7) and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LysPGol, 7.8% ± 3.7) produced by MprF (Matsuoka et al., 2011). Recently, L- and N- succinyl-LysPGol, and also L- and D-AlaPGol were identified in *B. subtilis* (Atila et al., 2016). Grey arrow indicates a reaction step that might be bidirectional.

B Ten-fold spot growth assays of strains *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG157 (*ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* renamed *Δ4*), AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), SWA11a (*ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF mprF::TnYLB-1 lacA*), AG417 (*ΔpbpD*

ΔpbpF ΔpbpG renamed *Δ3*), RE101 (*ΔponA*), AG193 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*) and AG181 (*ΔmprF*). Plates (here Glc 1%) were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and images taken.

C The effect of *mprF* overexpression (MprF ↑) is assay in different strain backgrounds using an IPTG-inducible *mprF* construct. Plates (here Glc 1%) were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and scanned. Strains tested were AG304 (MprF ↑), AG317 (*Δ4* MprF ↑), AG311 (*ΔponA* MprF ↑), AG421 (*Δ3* MprF ↑) and AG322 (*Δmbl* MprF ↑).

D Phase-contrast and membrane dye (FM5-95) microscopy images of *B. subtilis* 168CA and AG322 (*Δmbl* MprF ↑) strains grown in NB ± IPTG (0.1 mM) to mid-exponential phase at 37°C. Scale bar indicates 5 μm.

E-H Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images show cross-sections of (F) wild type 168CA, (G) AG181 (*ΔmprF)* and (H-I) AG304 strain (MprF ↑) grown in nutrient broth (and IPTG for AG304). Arrows indicate abnormal cell wall structures that seem specific to the strain MprF ↑. All images were taken at 15,000x magnification and processed in Fiji with scale bars attached.

Data information. Strains phenotype was tested at least three times, TEM experiment was carried out one time. Supporting information for this figure is presented in **Appendix Figures S1-S3**

The identification of *ΔmprF* as a suppressor suggested that increased negative charge on the

membrane could contribute to the rescue of *Δ4* growth. This seemed to contradict the long-

standing hypothetical role of magnesium in altering the cell envelope by neutralizing anionic

polymers and/or autolysis activity (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003, Hanson and Neely, 2012). To

determine if electrostatic change in the wall was the suppressive effect we deleted *dltAB* in *Δ4,*

as the Dlt proteins add positive charges to the LTA polymers by D-alanylation (Perego et al.,

1995, Wood et al., 2018). However, the new mutant was unable to grow on glucose rich media

(our unpublished observations).

To understand if the effect of MprF was specific to *Δ4*, we altered MprF expression in known

magnesium dependent mutants. The *B. subtilis Δmbl* mutant requires an elevated level of

magnesium for growth compared to *ΔmreB* (**Appendix Fig S2**). MreB, Mbl and MreBH are

actin-like isologues which help maintain cell shape by controlling the cell wall synthesis

(Kawai et al., 2009a) and the major autolytic enzymes CwlO and LytE (Mbl for CwlO and

MreB/BH for LytE) (Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013). Interestingly, we identified that MprF

overexpression rescued the growth of *Δmbl* on NA-glucose and NA (**Fig 1C**). In addition,

morphology defects were less pronounced, changing towards a wide rod-like shape and cell

chains were less often twisted (**Fig 1D**).

To explain our genetic findings in *Δmbl* background, we had to consider that MprF might play

a role in cell wall metabolism. This idea seemed to be supported by our observations made by

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in a wild type background overexpressing MprF (**Fig**

1GH, Appendix Fig S3). Here cells exhibited an increase in cell wall thickness (e.g. cell poles

with a 'dented' shape, lateral walls with septum misplacement), a defect similar to that

observed in cell division mutants. However the change in cell morphology of the strain deleted

for *mprF* was less significant (**Fig 1F**).

Lethality of *B. subtilis* Δ*mbl* is suppressed by the deletion of the major LTA-synthase LtaS

(Schirner et al., 2009) and in a single mutant Δ*ltaS*, the LTA-synthase YfnI synthesises longer

LTA polymers (Wormann et al., 2011). This suggested that the overexpression of MprF in

Δ*mbl* has contributed to diminish cellular level of PGol (through a different pathway, **Fig 1A**)

(Johnson and Grossman, 2016) or MprF possibly functions in LTA synthesis.

Conditional essentiality of UgtP in the absence of MprF and the Class A PBPs

To comprehend the effect of MprF-loss in a strain lacking aPBPs, we carried out a transposon

screen in *Δ4 ΔmprF* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* strain (Emami et al., 2017) (AG200, **Table EV2**).

Strain AG200BK#42 which stabilized the plasmid carried a transposon inserted in the gene

gtaB. GtaB catalyses a cytoplasmic conversion of Glucose-1-P to UDP-Glc, where the latter

ultimately contributes to LTA synthesis and WTA modification (**Fig 1A**). Subsequent

mutagenesis in backgrounds *Δ4* and *Δ4 ΔmprF* confirmed the lethal (NA-glucose) and sick

(NA) phenotypes in absence of GtaB (**Fig 2A**).

To determine if this was related to LTA synthesis or WTA modification, we deleted

sequentially, in the *Δ4* or *Δ4* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* backgrounds, each enzyme whose function is

immediately upstream or downstream of GtaB (**Fig 1A**). Characterisation of the resulting

strains (**Table S2**) showed that only deletion of *ugtP*, the enzyme which produces the Glc$_2$DAG

lipid anchor for LTA, had a similar effect to that of *gtaB*. Strain *Δ4 ΔmprF ΔugtP* was unable

to grow on NA glucose (**Fig 2A**), a phenotype common to *ΔponA ΔgtaB* and *ΔponA ΔugtP*

(**Appendix Fig S4**). On NA, *Δ4 ΔgtaB* and *Δ4 ΔugtP* grew very slowly (**Fig 2A,** right panel).

LysPGol content increases in *ΔugtP* cells (Johnson and Grossman, 2016) which could explain

the similarity of phenotype of *Δ4 ΔugtP* and *Δ4* MprF ↑ (i.e. slow growing on NA) but not the

dominant effect of *ΔugtP* on *Δ4 ΔmprF*. In *S. aureus,* it has been observed that *ΔugtP* (*ypfP*)

and *ΔgtaB* mutants produce long LTA (Grundling and Schneewind, 2007a). Thus this context

and our results reinforced the idea that MprF may have a role in LTA synthesis.

Figure 2. LtaS causes cell morphogenesis defect of an all-class A PBP mutant.

A Absence of *gtaB* or *ugtP* causes severe growth defect in absence of class A PBPs and MprF. Strains were grown exponentially in NB with 20 mM MgS0$_4$, then were washed and diluted in NB prior to preparing a 10-fold serial dilution in NB spotting on the NA and NA glucose (0.5%) plates which were incubated at 37°C and imaged after 24 h and 45 h. Strains were also spotted on same media supplemented with 10 mM MgS0$_4$ to confirm all the strains dilution spots could grow, at 17 h of incubation only *Δ4 ΔgtaB* and *Δ4 ΔugtP* displayed colonies of smaller size at that time point (data not shown). Strains tested: *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), PG253 (*ΔugtP*), SM08 (*ΔgtaB*), AG157 (*Δ4*), AG290NopLOSS (*Δ4 ΔgtaB*), AG443 (*Δ4 ΔugtP*), AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), AG636 (*Δ4 ΔmprF gtaB::TnYLB-1*) and AG632 (*Δ4 ΔmprF ΔugtP*).
B Spot dilution growth assays for the following strains: *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG157 (*Δ4*), AG342 (*Δ4 ΔltaS*), AG343 (*Δ4 ΔyfnI*), AG344 (*Δ4 ΔyqgS*), AG370 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG372 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG377 (*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and AG380 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*). Samples were inoculated onto NA ± Glc 1% and, incubated at 37°C for 24 h.
C Ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared for *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), AG389 (AG223 *ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG390 (AG223 *ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG399 (AG223 *ΔltaS ΔyfnI*) and AG400 (AG223 *ΔyqgS ΔltaS ΔyfnI*) strains. Plates (here Glc 1%) were incubated at 37°C and imaged after 24h.

D Representative microscopy images of cells of strains of (A) grown in NB at 37°C for 120 min and stained with a FM5-95 dye. Scale bar represents 5 μm. The average of cells diameter extracted from **Appendix Figure S5** is indicated. * The cells measurement was done on the straight regions of the cell chain.

Data information: Strains phenotype was tested at least three times. Supporting information is presented in **Appendix Figure S4-S6**. **Appendix Figures S4, S6** displayed results obtained in *ΔponA* background.

Growth of a strain lacking all aPBPs mutant improves in absence of LtaS

To verify that LTA synthesis alters *Δ4* growth, we analysed the phenotype of strains lacking

aPBPs and the LTA-synthase genes individually or in combination (**Fig 1A**). Surprisingly, the

Δ4 ΔltaS mutant was viable on NA-glucose as well as with the additional deletion of *yfnI* or

yqgS (**Fig 2B**). Thus, LtaS activity is also linked to the glucose-associated lethality of *Δ4.*

Interestingly, the absence of MprF is more advantageous to *Δ4,* as it results in a faster growth

and larger colonies formed on NA-glucose compared to *Δ4 ΔltaS* (**Fig EV1**). This phenotype

difference indicated that slightly different mechanisms helped restore the growth of *Δ4*.

To test this, LTA-synthase genes were systematically deleted in the *Δ4 ΔmprF* (AG223)

background and the viability of the strains generated determined on NA with or without

glucose. All the strains remained viable on NA glucose (**Fig 2C**) which indicated the effect of

MprF loss could be related to LTA. In support of this, in the *Δ4 ΔmprF* background the

combined absence of *ltaS* and *yfnI* delayed growth on NA glucose resulting in a phenotype

similar to that observed on NA (**Fig 2C)**. Thus, in these specific genetic backgrounds LTA

synthesis is not essential but desirable for cell growth.

Neither absence of LtaS or MprF has an effect on the filamentous thin cell morphology of *Δ4*

(**Appendix Fig S5**) but cell lysis is significantly decreased in NB. In the absence of all LTA-

synthases, *Bacillus* is viable but the strain forms filamentous and clumpy cells (Schirner et al.,

2009). We observed that the combined absence of LtaS, YfnI and/or YqgS in *Δ4, ΔponA* or *Δ4*

ΔmprF genetic backgrounds lead to an increase in cell diameter and cells remained attached at

the site of division (**Fig 2D, Appendix Fig S5-6**). However, when LtaS was the only functional

LTA-synthase (e.g. *Δ4 ΔyqgS ΔyfnI*, **Fig 2D**)*,* cells were thin and comparable to *Δ4* and the

ΔponA background (**Appendix Fig S6**). Collectively, our results indicate that LtaS activity

accounts for the conditional lethality of *Δ4*.

Impact of glucose, PBP1 and MprF on LTA synthesis.

To comprehend the effect of LtaS, MprF and UgtP in the absence of aPBPs we decided to

analyse LTA production. We developed a Western blot protocol relying on commercially

available Gram-positive monoclonal LTA and polyclonal PBP2B antibodies. First we

determined the LTA profile for 168CA and the LTA-synthases mutants (**Fig 3A** and **Appendix**

Fig S7) grown in parallel in NB and in NB glucose (0.2%). The wild type LTA signal

corresponded to a mobility comparable to 7-17 kDa as previously identified (Wormann et al.,

2011). Interestingly, our media comparison showed that LTA-signal in *ΔltaS* cells grown in

NB-glucose (> 15 kDa) (**Fig 3A** left panel, 2nd lane) was distinct from that seen for growth in

NB (7-32 kDa) (**Fig 3A** right panel, 2nd lane). However, the LTA pattern was different when

YfnI was the only functional LTA-synthase (**Fig 3A**). From this analysis, short LTA (<10 kDa)

were more abundantly produced in NB-glucose presumably through LtaS activity, as we

observed an increase in short LTA in *ΔyfnI* and *ΔyfnI ΔyqgS* mutants compared to 168CA (**Fig**

3A left panel, 3rd & 5th lanes). Collectively, our results show that the regulation of LTA

synthesis is more complex than thought (Wormann et al., 2011) as it alters in presence of

glucose.

As the *mprF* mutation suppressed the *ΔponA* phenotype (**Fig 1B, Fig EV1, Appendix Fig 6A**)

we reasoned that any alteration of LTA synthesis would be detectable in a strain where only

the major aPBP was deleted. Moreover, *ΔponA* is impaired but viable on NA-glucose (0.5%),

whereas the multiple aPBP deletion strain was very lytic and did not grow under these

conditions (**Fig EV1**). Thus, we settled to work in *ΔponA* background and using NB glucose

0.2% for growth of samples for the Western blot analysis. This revealed that there was an

increase of short LTA in *ΔponA* cells grown in the presence of glucose (**Fig 3B** left panel, 3rd

lane, **Appendix Fig S8**). The LTA signal of *ΔponA ΔmprF* decreased compared to *ΔponA* (**Fig**

3B left panel, 5th lane) and the *ΔmprF* mutant in NB-glucose (**Fig 3B** left panel, 4th lane)

decreased compared to 168CA. However, we found that *mprF* overexpression (MprF ↑) had a

significant effect, increasing LTA polymer length (6th lane in **Fig 3B)**. This effect was

independent of YfnI, as a similar LTA profile was observed in a strain *ΔyfnI* MprF ↑ (our

unpublished observations, strain AG353 in **Table EV2**). The overexpression of *mprF* in *ΔponA*

cells, which resulted in lysis on NA-glucose (**Fig 1C**), resulted in LTA-signals that

corresponded to the sum of the effects of the two mutations (7th lane in **Fig 3B**).

Interestingly, our analysis showed that LTA production is altered in *Δmbl*, as we observed a

weak, short LTA-signal (second to last lane **Fig 3B**). Previously, we found that overexpression

of MprF rescued *Δmbl* growth (**Fig 1C**) and here we identified that it is associated to an increase

in LTA length and abundance (last lane **Fig 3B**). Consistently, we observed the production of

long LTA polymers in the suppressor strain *Δmbl ΔltaS* (our unpublished observations)

(Schirner et al., 2009) similar to that of *ΔltaS* (**Fig 3A**). Thus an increase in LTA length seems

to characterize the effect of these two *Δmbl* suppressor mutations.

Analysis of the suppressor *ΔltaS ΔponA* revealed the dominant effect of *ltaS* deletion, in that

the LTA exhibited a retarded migration (3rd lanes in **Fig 3C, Appendix Fig S9**), similar to that

observed in *ΔltaS* (**Fig 3C**). In absence of UgtP which produces a lipid anchor Glc$_2$DAG (**Fig**

1A), we observed an increase in LTA length in both media conditions, but the shift was more

distinct in glucose (>12 kDa, left panel 4th lane in **Fig 3C**). The latter is comparable to the LTA

signal detected in the *S. aureus ΔugtP* mutant grown in TSB, a glucose rich medium (Grundling

and Schneewind, 2007a). Analysis of *ΔponA ΔugtP,* which was conditionally lethal on NA-

glucose (**Appendix Fig S4**), revealed an increase of the LTA-signals and length (7-25 kDa, left

panel 5th lane, **Fig 3C**), a pattern reminiscent of that determined for *ΔponA* MprF↑ strain, which

was lethal on NA-glucose (left panel 7th lane in **Fig 3B**, **Fig 1C**).

To conclude we show the first evidence that MprF activity has a role in altering the length of

LTA, through a LtaS-dependent mechanism and has an impact on cell wall metabolism. Our

results highlight that the conditions leading to the lethality of *ponA* are associated with an

increase in the abundance and the length of LTA.

Figure 3. Analysis of lipoteichoic acid production in *B. subtilis* strains.

For each set of cultures (NB ± Glc 0.2%), samples were separated on the same Bis-Tris gradient gel. Membrane was split at ~ 60 kDa position. The lower part of the membrane was probed using a monoclonal LTA antibody and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibody. The other membrane part was probed using polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies, to provide a sample loading control.

A Samples were prepared from strains *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), 4289CA (*ΔyfnI*), 4292CA (*ΔyqgS*), AG595 (*ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG593 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG594 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG600 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and 4620 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS ΔyvgJ*, noted here ΔLTA).

B Strains analysed: *B. subtilis* wild type, AG417 (*ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG*), RE101 (*ΔponA*), AG181 (*ΔmprF*), AG193 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG304 (MprF ↑), AG311 (*ΔponA* MprF ↑), 4261CA (*Δmbl*), AG322 (*Δmbl* MprF ↑).

C Samples were extracted from *B. subtilis* 168CA, 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), AG383 (*ΔltaS ΔponA*), PG253 (*ΔugtP*) and AG444 (*ΔugtP ΔponA*) strains.

Data information: Representative of one of three independent experiments shown in **Appendix Figures S7-S9**. At each section A, B, C, samples were derived from the same experiment.

Overexpression of major autolysin LytE is lethal in absence of PBP1 or LtaS

Our results inferred that the conditional lethality of aPBPs mutant might be linked to an interplay between LTA length and autolysin activity. Autolysins or cell wall hydrolases help shape and recycle the peptidoglycan during cell elongation and division. *B. subtilis* expresses a larger number of them but only the loss of both PG D,L-endopeptidases CwlO and LytE is lethal (Hashimoto et al., 2012, Salzberg et al., 2013, Dobihal et al., 2019, Do et al., 2020, Wilson and Garner, 2021). Our interest in LytE derived from the facts that this major autolysin is secreted and thought to be anchored to the lateral cell wall and the septum (Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013). LytE abundance also increased in *ΔltaS* (Kasahara et al., 2016) and both LtaS and LytE affect colony development (Mamou et al., 2017) and cell diameter (Schirner et al., 2009, Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013).

We reasoned that if an imbalance of LytE activity existed in the aPBPs mutants (*Δ4* and *ΔponA*) grown in glucose-rich medium, then a deliberate increase in LytE expression should exacerbate the strain phenotype, leading to cell death. Interestingly, LytE overexpression (by IPTG induction of a $P_{hyspank}$-*lytE* allele) was found to be lethal to *ΔponA* on NA-glucose and it significantly delayed the growth of *Δ4* and *ΔponA* on NA but not that of *Δ3 ponA+* (**Fig 4A, Appendix Fig S10**). This result confirmed that an imbalance in autolytic activity was detrimental to the cell that had lost PBP1. Importantly, the overexpression of *lytE* in the *Δ4*

250   *ΔltaS, ΔponA ΔltaS* and *ΔltaS* strains was lethal, while the *Δ4 ΔmprF* and *ΔponA ΔmprF* strains

251   grew slowly (**Fig 4A**) but no change was observed for the *ΔmprF* background.

Figure 4. LytE overexpression is conditionally lethal in absence of PBP1 or LtaS.

A *lytE* overexpression was analysed in strains which integrated at the *amyE* locus an (0.1 mM) IPTG-inducible $P_{hyspank}$-*lytE,* represented here LytE ↑. The strains tested had the following relevant features: AG484 (*ΔponA* LytE ↑), AG1460 (*Δ3 ponA$^+$* LytE ↑), AG501 (*Δ4* LytE ↑), AG502 (*Δ4 ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1465 (*Δ4 ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1478 (*ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1479 (*ΔponA ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1462 (*ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1480 (*ΔponA ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1497 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1498 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS* LytE ↑) and AG1499 (*ΔyqgS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑). The following strains that did not display any obvious phenotype are not represented here: AG475 (168CA LytE ↑), AG1461 (*ΔlytE* LytE ↑), AG1463 (*ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1492 (*ΔyqgS* LytE ↑). Plates (here Glc 0.5%)were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24 h (this figure) and 48 h (**Appendix Figure S10**).

B Strains AG1481 (*ΔmprF* pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*) and AG551 (168CA pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*) were streaked on NA and NA glucose (Glc 0.5%), supplemented with IPTG (1 mM) and X-Gal from a fresh colony on NA spectinomycin. Plates were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24 h. Blue colonies indicate the stabilisation of plasmid pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* in *ΔmprF*. Grey scale images are here to help visualize white colonies.

C Detection of LytE-His$_{12}$ and PBP2B by Western blots. For simplification on this figure only the relevant background feature is displayed for the following strains (expressing LytE-His$_{12}$ under the control of its native promoter): wild-type-like AG565 (WT*), AG587 (*ΔponA*), AG1486 (*ΔmprF*), AG1487 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG1489

($\Delta ltaS$), AG1488 ($\Delta ponA$ $\Delta ltaS$), AG1535 ($\Delta ugtP$) and AG1541 ($\Delta cwlO$). In addition, *B. subtilis* 168CA was grown in parallel (without antibiotic) and used here as a negative control for the LytE-blot. The membrane was split and incubated either with a monoclonal Penta-His and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibodies or with a polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies. Note: a 'ladder' sample loaded after the NB-Glc (0.2%) sample set is not displayed and the image editing is symbolised by the vertical black line (see original on **Appendix Fig S11B**).

D Detection of LTA-synthases LtaS/YfnI and PBP2B by Western blots. The samples used in experiment A were loaded on a new SDS-gel and the Western blot was carry out with polyclonal anti-LtaS (able to detect YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab), anti-PBP2B antibodies, and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibody.

Data information: One representative set of three independent Western Blot experiments is shown here and in **Appendix Figures S11-12**. Additional supporting information is presented in **Fig EV2-3** and **Appendix Figure S13**. At each section samples were derived from the same experiment.

This finding suggested that $\Delta ponA$ $\Delta ltaS$ and $\Delta ponA$ $\Delta mprF$ mutants, which have distinct LTA

length, were differently sensitive to LytE activity. Therefore, we examined the double LTA-

synthase mutant backgrounds, here we found that only the strain expressing *ltaS* was viable

when LytE was overexpressed (**Fig 4A,** last strain). In these genetic backgrounds, the absence

of *mprF* or *ugtP* had no effect (our unpublished observations). Interestingly, $\Delta ltaS$ LytE ↑ was

viable on NA (**Fig 4A,** 8th strain) but not on NA-glucose while we previously identified that

$\Delta ltaS$ produces distinct LTA length on each of these media (**Fig 3A**). Thus, it is clear that the

activity of LtaS, presumably the presence of a specific LTA length, is required for the cell to

balance the effect of overexpression of *lytE*.

To comprehend the apparent tolerance of LtaS[+] and $\Delta mprF$ cells to LytE, we constructed an

unstable replicon pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE* plasmid which expresses *lytE* at a level lower than $P_{hyspank}$-

lytE (**Table EV1**). Introducing this plasmid into $\Delta mprF$ resulted in better stability of the

plasmid in all media conditions tested (AG1481 strain), including elevated magnesium. This

was indicated by the fact that the colonies remained blue on IPTG XGal supplemented media

(**Fig 4B**) whereas other strains tested showed no effect (e.g. 168CA, $\Delta ponA$ and LTA-synthases

mutants, **Table EV2**) . At this stage, it is unclear if the $\Delta mprF$ strain's tolerance for *lytE*

overexpression is due to the loss of aaPGols or the production of shorter LTA or both.

LTA influences LytE activity

The conditional lethality observed when overexpressing *lytE* prompted us to investigate the cellular levels of this autolytic enzyme in our strains. First, we engineered strains that could express a recombinant protein LytE-LEMGRSH$_{12}$ (using pMUTin-*'lytE-his,* **Table EV1**) and then analysed total lysates by Western blot using anti-histidine (for LytE) (**Fig 4C, Appendix Fig S11**) or an anti-LtaS antibody raised against LtaS (74 kDa) that cross reacts with YfnI (73 kDa) (**Fig 4D, Appendix Fig S12**). Importantly, our results showed that LytE and LtaS/YfnI accumulate in *ΔponA* cells, particularly when grown in presence of glucose (**Fig 4C-D**). Using a set of strains that has integrated either pMUTin-*'ltaS-his* or pMUTin-*'yfnI-his,* we could confirm that both LtaS and YfnI are more abundant in *ponA* cells (**Fig EV2, Tables EV1-3**).

In *ΔponA ΔmprF*, LytE and LtaS/YfnI protein levels decreased compared to *ΔponA* (**Fig 4C-D**). No obvious change was observed in the wild-type background (WT*) and *ΔmprF* strains. *ΔltaS* and *ΔponA ΔltaS* exhibited an increase in LytE and YfnI when grown in NB-glucose, an effect more pronounced in the *ΔponA ΔltaS* mutant (**Fig 4C-D**, left panel). In addition, comparative analysis of the whole set of LTA-synthase mutants (**Fig EV3**) revealed that LtaS/YfnI signal increased in presence of glucose. In the absence of YfnI, LtaS increased significantly and more importantly LytE abundance increased in the absence of LtaS.

To corroborate that the altered abundance of these proteins, identified in *ΔponA* cells, were associated with the sick phenotype, we analysed the strains that were lytic under the same growth conditions as **Fig 4C**. Our result show that there is an excess of LtaS/YfnI in *ΔponA ΔugtP* and *ΔponA* MprF ↑ cells in NB and NB-glucose (**Appendix Fig S13 B-C,** e.g. 6-7th lanes**) and that LytE signal is higher than the wild type.

Finally, we looked at the effect of magnesium in presence of glucose as this cation suppresses the growth defect of aPBP mutants (Kawai et al., 2009b). Our analysis showed that magnesium decreased the abundance of LytE significantly in *ΔponA* and *ΔponA ΔmprF* (**Appendix Fig**

S13) but not as much in *ΔponA ΔltaS*. Perhaps, the unknown mechanism by which exogenous

magnesium helps the growth of some cell wall mutants might partially require LtaS activity to

tune LytE (Wilson and Garner, 2021).

Taken together, our results show a correlation between LTA length and LytE abundance in the

cell which suggest that LTA acts to moderate cell wall metabolism. In *ponA* mutants, this

function is unbalanced such that cell wall degradation is predominant.

LTA production is altered in *S. aureus ΔmprF* and *Bacillus* daptomycin resistant strains

MprF is conserved in the *Firmicutes* and has been shown to contribute to *S. aureus*

pathogenicity (Peschel et al., 2001, Slavetinsky et al., 2017). Importantly, studies of clinical

isolates have found that methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* strains (MRSA) resistant to daptomycin

(Dap^R) frequently carry nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *mprF* (Friedman et al., 2006,

Ernst et al., 2018). The active Ca^{2+}-Dap lipopeptide antibiotic is often used as last resort in the

treatment of MRSA infections and acts to interfere with membranes containing

phosphatidylglycerol (Muller et al., 2016, Ernst and Peschel, 2019, Gray and Wenzel, 2020,

Grein et al., 2020). Although some *mprF* SNPs were associated with a MprF gain of function

(increased Lys-PGol and decreased PGol contents), others had no clear correlation between the

phospholipids composition and their relative cell surface charge (Ernst and Peschel, 2019). In

that context, we asked whether the role of MprF in LTA production is conserved in *S. aureus*

and could shed new light in Dap^R MRSA. Analysis of LTA production in methicillin sensitive

S. aureus strains showed that the LTA from the *mprF* mutant migrated faster than that of the

wild type SA113 (**Fig 5A, Fig EV4A**). Thus, a role of MprF in modulating LTA production is

conserved across the studied *Firmicutes* species.

We, therefore, turned our interest to the Dap^R *B. subtilis* strain that carries a *pgsA A64V* allele

(Hachmann et al., 2011). This mutation of the essential gene *pgsA* encoding the PGol-synthase

(Fig 1A), is shown to reduce cellular PGol content and was suggested to modify LTA

production. Thus, we analysed LTA of *B. subtilis* 168 1A1 wild type (WT), Dap^R and Dap^R

pgsA^{WT} strains grown overnight in NB **(Fig 5B, Fig EV4 B-D)**. Our assays revealed that no

LTA signal was detected below 30 kDa in Dap^R strains whereas LTA signals migration

detected in the strains complemented for *pgsA* (Dap^R *pgsA*^{WT}) were similar to that of the wild

type. Thus, PgsA A64V accounts for the severe reduction in LTA production. Finally, we

verified that the two major LTA-synthases were still produced in Dap^R strains by Western blot

(Fig 5C, Fig EV4 B-D). A strong LtaS/YfnI signal was detected in Dap^R strains which proved

that one or both LTA-synthases were still expressed. In addition to the altered membrane

composition, the severe reduction in LTA production could also act to reduce susceptibility to

daptomycin.

Figure 5. Altered LTA in *S. aureus* SA113 Δ*mprF* and in *B. subtilis* daptomycin resistant strains.

A Samples of methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains SA113 and SA113 Δ*mprF* (Peschel et al., 2001) were probed for LTA by Western Blot.
B Researchers identified that a modified allele of *pgsA*(*A64V*) was the major determinant of daptomycin resistance of *B. subtilis* Dap^{R}1 and Dap^{R}20 strains selected by serial daptomycin antibiotic passages (Hachmann et al., 2011). The strains 168 1A1 (wild type, WT), Dap^{R}1, Dap^{R}20, HB15516 (Dap^{R}1 *pgsA*^{WT}) and HB15507 (Dap^{R}20 *pgsA*^{WT}) were grown in NB overnight at 30°C and LTA was detected along with PBP2B productions by Western Blots. Analysis of the samples grown exponentially at 37°C using theses overnight cultures is presented in **Fig EV4**.
C shows the cellular abundance of the LTA-synthase with anti-LtaS (able to detect YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab), an anti-PBP2B and an HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies.
Data information: One representative set of three experiments is shown here and in **Fig EV4**.

Discussion

In absence of the class A PBPs (*Δ4*), *B. subtilis* is viable due to the presence of RodA, the essential PG glycosyltransferase (controlled by the cell envelope stress regulator σ^M (Meeske et al., 2016, Emami et al., 2017)) and yet lethal on glucose rich medium (**Fig 1B, Fig EV1**). By studying either *Δ4* or *ΔponA* single mutant, we now understand that glucose presence led to an increase in abundance of LtaS, YfnI (LTA-synthases) presumably causing the observed change in LTA production that results in the accumulation of major autolysin LytE (**Fig 3-4**). The end result being an environment unable to regulate the activity of autolysins leading to cell lysis. Indeed, we have found that *Δ4* can grow on NA-glucose in the absence of LtaS or the absence of MprF (**Fig 1B, 2B**). In *ΔponA,* loss of LtaS lead to the production of very long LTA polymers similar to the single *ltaS* mutant (**Fig 3C**). The *ltaS* mutation is not as beneficial for the growth of *Δ4* strain compared to *ΔmprF* (**Fig EV1**), which we observed reduced LTA production in *ΔponA* background (**Fig 3B**). This is consistent with our finding that an increase in length and abundance of LTA (overexpression *mprF*, *ΔugtP*) and/or an increase in LytE coincide with glucose-mediated lethality in *ΔponA* (**Fig 1C, 3, 4A, Appendix Fig S13 B-C**). The analysis of LTA-synthases mutants indicates that LtaS activity and a distinct LTA length (7-17kDa) and/or abondance similar to the wild type LTA is required to prevent cell lysing when *lytE* is artificial overexpressed (**Fig 4A**). Indeed, a LTA signal (7-20 kDa) is present in the mutant *ΔltaS* in NB but not in NB-glucose (long LTA > 20 kDa) (**Fig 3A**) and it happens that the strain *ΔltaS* LytE ↑ is unable to grow on NA-IPTG-glucose (**Fig 4A**). Moreover, the mutants *ΔltaS ΔyqgS* (long LTA-only) and *ΔltaS ΔyfnI* (weak short LTA signal, **Fig 3A**) were unable to grow on both media when *lytE* was overexpressed (**Fig 4A**).

Previous studies have suggested that LTA could inhibit autolysins activity (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003, Hanson and Neely, 2012) but the key factors involved have not been identified.

Recent work in *S. aureus* showed a *ΔugtP* mutant producing long LTA is susceptible to PG

hydrolases (Hesser et al., 2020a) and it has been suggested that major autolysin LytA is

sequestered by LTA in exponential growth (Flores-Kim et al., 2019). Our results indicate that

LytE in *B. subtilis* exhibits similar characteristics and that the length of LTA has a role in

modulating LytE abundance or presumably its activity. However it is unclear how this

mechanism may work in relation to the localisation of the LTA in the wall relative to active

autolysins, particularly LytE, and remain an important question to answer.

In *B. subtilis* 168, expression of *lytE* is quite complex and coordinated by regulators such as σ^I

and WalR (Dobihal et al., 2019, Patel et al., 2020). The transcriptional regulation of *mprF* is

not well defined, but interestingly *B. subtilis* BSB1 *mprF* and *pgsA* expression is positively

correlated with that of *walKR,* the two-component response system that controls cell wall

metabolism, and notably *lytE* (Nicolas et al., 2012, Zhu and Stulke, 2018) (cluster A418).

Expression of *walKR* and *sigI* is not significantly altered in LB-glucose (Nicolas et al., 2012)

or in the absence of aPBPs (Emami et al., 2017) but theses regulators might play a post-

transcriptional role in *Δ4* that interferes with the σ^M cell wall stress response (Emami et al.,

2017, Patel et al., 2020). Some of the cell wall associated genes mentioned (*sigM*, *ponA*, *ltaS*,

yfnI, *mprF*, *pgsA*) are differently expressed in cells of *B. subtilis* BSB1 in LB-glucose (Nicolas

et al., 2012, Zhu and Stulke, 2018). Thus *B. subtilis* might need to adapt its cell wall metabolism

in response to glucose, this might account for the partial redundancy of function of *B. subtilis*

LTA-synthases. Altogether, our study brings a new perspective on the importance of LTA in

the cell envelope stability.

In this study, we have identified a new function for MprF, an enzyme known to synthetise

aminoacyl-phosphatidylglycerol (aaPGol) phospholipids and translocate them across the

membrane (**Fig 1A**). We show that MprF activity impacts the biosynthesis of LTA and this in

turn alters cell wall metabolism. The LTA profiles observed in the absence of *B. subtilis* MprF

or *S. aureus* MprF (faster mobility and/or decreased signal) and the overexpression of *B.*

subtilis MprF (smear & retarded mobility) (**Fig 3B, 5A**) suggest that MprF positively regulates

LTA production. Other studies have indicated that MprFs may have relaxed substrate

specificities across species (Slavetinsky et al., 2017, Song et al., 2021) and even synthetise

lysyl-glucosyl-DAG glycolipid in *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Joyce et al., 2021). Recently, it

has been shown that when *S. aureus* LtaS uses PGol as an alternative anchor to the glycolipid

produced by UgtP, the concentration of these free lipid starter units regulates the length of the

LTA polymerised *in vitro* (Hesser et al., 2020b). Future works could investigate whether MprF

produces a substrate that contributes to LTA initiation/processivity. Alternatively, MprF might

act to synthetise positively charged aaPGols to provide an electrostatic environment that either

impacts on LTA-synthase(s) activity and/or directly contributes to altering the abundance of

hydrolases in the wall (e.g. LytE, **Fig 4**). This might help maintain the nascent anionic LTA

polymers adjacent to the membrane and facilitate D-alanylation of LTA (Schneewind and

Missiakas, 2014), hence leading to a modification in the cell envelope charge.

Gain of function in MprF has been identified in methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) clinical

isolates that have acquired resistance to daptomycin (Dap), an antibiotic used as a last resort to

treat MRSA infections (Ernst et al., 2018, Ernst and Peschel, 2019). Over the years, MprF-

mediated resistance to Dap (Dap^R) has been investigated but a consensus model has yet to link

Dap^R to a particular domain of MprF and/or to a particular Lys-PGol content in one or both

membrane leaflets (Ernst and Peschel, 2019, Thitiananpakorn et al., 2020). In *B. subtilis,* our

analysis shows the severe decrease of LTA produced in Dap^R *pgsA* A64V (**Fig 5B**), a strain

with a reduced PGol content (Hachmann et al., 2011). The function of MprF in LTA synthesis

and the new understanding of *S. aureus* LtaS processivity (Hesser et al., 2020b) can now be

linked to the identification of other MRSA Dap^R isolates (*cls* encoding a cardiolipin synthase,

pgsA, dlt operon) (Gray and Wenzel, 2020). Together, these findings support the idea that a

modification in LTA polymerisation and D-alanylation of teichoic acid (Bertsche et al., 2013,

Mishra et al., 2014) could result in a cell envelope less permeable to Dap, as such not

necessarily linked to cell wall thickness (Yang et al., 2010). Future research simultaneously

analysing LTA production and cell wall composition will help redefine MprF-mediated Dap[R]

mechanism and help appreciate the exact role of MprF in the net cell surface charge, the

protection against cationic antimicrobial peptides and the contribution to bacterial virulence.

Material and Methods

Plasmids, bacterial strains, and primers

Plasmids and strains used in this study are listed in **Table EV1 and EV2.** Strains constructions are described below or in **Table EV2** (last column). Primers used are listed in **Table EV3.**

Growth conditions and general methods.

B. subtilis strains were grown routinely on nutrient agar (NA, Oxoid) or in nutrient broth (NB, Oxoid). For some assays, strains were grown in liquid Difco antibiotic medium 3 (PAB, Oxoid) and PAB agar (15 g/l agar, Oxoid). *B. subtilis* transformations were carried out as previously described using SMM defined minimal medium (Anagnostopoulos and Spizizen, 1961, Hamoen et al., 2002). *B. subtilis* was usually incubated at 30°C (overnight), next day cells were diluted and grown at 37°C (unless specified differently). *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were incubated at 37°C on NA plate or in Luria-Bertani (LB). PCR, plasmid manipulations, and *E. coli* transformation were carried out using standard methods. Strains were selected on NA supplemented with ampicillin (for *E. coli,* 200 μg/ml), spectinomycin (60 μg/ml), kanamycin (5 μg/ml), phleomycin (1 μg/ml), erythromycin (0.5 μg/ml), and chloramphenicol (5 μg/ml). Various supplements were also used: IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, 0.1 or 1 mM), X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, 100 μg/ml), $MgSO_4$ (between 5 mM to 25 mM as required), and glucose (final concentrations up to 1%). For NA plates supplemented with 20 mM $MgSO_4$, the concentration of spectinomycin, kanamycin and erythromycin antibiotics was doubled to avoid growth of false positive strains. Strains with the pMUTin-'*lytE-his* integration were always grown in presence of erythromycin.

Plasmids constructions

To obtain pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF* plasmid, the *mprF* (BSU_08425) coding sequence was

amplified using primers oAG271-272, which changed the start codon (from TTG to ATG). The

PCR product and pDR111 plasmid (**Table EV1**) were digested by *Sal*I and *Sph*I restriction

enzymes, ligated together and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. Digestion and PCR sequencing

using primers oAG300-301 verified the presence of the insert.

To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*ltaS*, pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yfnI* and pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yqgS,* each

LTA-synthase gene was amplified by PCR with primers pairs oAG308-309 (2064 bp),

oAG310-311 (2078 bp) and oAG312-313 (1990 bp), respectively. PCR products were digested

by *Nhe*I and *Sph*I, each ligated with the plasmid pDR111 digested with the same restriction

enzymes. The next steps were carried out as described for pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*.

To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF-lytE* and pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*lytE-mprF* plasmids for

coexpression of *mprF* and *lytE,* the gene *lytE* was amplified from the chromosome by PCR

with oAG911-912 or oAG917-918 primers, respectively. PCR reactions were set up with

plasmid pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF* and with one or the other PCR-*lytE* product (PrimeSTAR GXL

Polymerase, TaKaRa). Recombinant plasmid-inserts were obtained by overlapping PCR and

*Dpn*I digestion and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. The resulting plasmids (with duplicate RBS

site, 24 bp) were verified by PCRs and by plasmid sequencing using primers oAG913-916,

oAG919-20, oAG292 and oAG294.

For pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE, lytE* was amplified by PCR with oAG348-349 (1143 bp) primers*,* and

the PCR product inserted in pLOSS* (**Table EV1**) as a *Not*I and *BamH*I fragment. After

transformation, the resulting plasmid was checked by PCRs with primers oAG18-19 and finally

checked by sequencing.

To construct the plasmids pMUTin-*'lytE-his*, pMUTin-*'ltaS-his* and pMUTin-*'yfnI-his* the 3'-

terminus part of gene of interest gene (except for the stop codon) was amplified with primer

pairs oAG354-355, oAG366-367 and oAG360-361, respectively to obtain a PCR products

ranging from 288 to 309 bp. The PCR products and the plasmid pMUTinHis (**Table EV1**)

(Ishikawa et al., 2006) were digested by *Eco*RI and *Xho*I, ligated together and transformed into

E. coli DH5α. Each insertion '*lytE, 'ltaS* and '*yfnI* was verified by PCR and plasmid sequencing

using primers oAG368-369.

To construct pAM-21, plasmid pET28a (+) (Novagen) and *lytE* (from the 76th bp, removing the

coding sequence for the signal peptide) were amplified by PCRs using primer pairs oAA01-02

and oAA03-04, respectively. DNA fragments generated were assembled using NEBuilder HiFi

DNA assembly kit (NEB). The resulting plasmid was verified by sequencing using primers

oAA05-06.

Bacillus directed mutagenesis

Steps leading to construction of new mutants are indicated in the last column of **Table EV2**

with additional details in this section. New mutants were often obtained by transformation of

competent cells with a clean genomic DNA extracted from another existing *B. subtilis* mutants.

Construction of some of the markerless class A PBPs mutants were previously described

(Emami et al., 2017). Additional mutants described in this study were obtained using the

plasmids pG+host9::*ΔponA,* pG+host10::*ΔpbpD,* pG+host10::*ΔpbpF* (**Table EV1**) (Emami et

al., 2017). PCR with primers oAG124 to oAG129 were consistently performed to check

markerless deletions were not lost (Emami et al., 2017).

All transformations of the background strain with, and/or leading to, deletion of *ponA, mreB,*

mbl, gtaB, ugtP, ltaS were selected for on NA medium supplemented with MgSO$_4$ (20-25 mM)

with the appropriate antibiotic.

To construct strain AG181 (*ΔmprF*), DNA fragments upstream and downstream the *mprF*

coding sequence were amplified by PCR using primers oAG103-104 (2390 bp) and oAG105-

106 (2414 bp), respectively and were digested by *Eco*RI and *Xba*I, respectively. The

phleomycin resistance antibiotic cassette was amplified by PCR with primers PhleoXbaIfor

and PhleoEcoRIrev from plasmid pIC22 and digested by both *Eco*RI and *Xba*I. The 3 digested

PCR-fragments were ligated to generate a linear DNA, transformed into 168CA with selection

for phleomycin resistance. The deletion of *mprF* was confirmed by PCR using primers

oAG107-108.

Strain AG304 (*amyE::P$_{hyspank}$mprF, spc*) was obtained by transformation of 168CA with

pDR111-*P$_{hyspank}$-mprF* plasmid (**Table EV1**). Correct recombination was confirmed by the

absence of amylase activity when grown on NA with 0.2% starch and by PCR (oAG300-301

primers). The same methods were used after transformation of other pDR111-derivatives.

We constructed our own *lytE* deletion mutant (Δ*lytE::cat*). For this, regions of genomic DNA

upstream and downstream of *lytE* were amplified by PCRs with oAG350-351 (2048 bp) and

oAG352-353 (2500 bp) primers pairs, respectively. These products were then digested by *Xba*I

or *BamH*I (**Table EV3**). The chloramphenicol resistance cassette from pCotC-GFP plasmid

(**Table EV1**) was amplified with primers oAG197-198. The resulting PCR products (850 bp)

was then digested by *Xba*I and *Bam*HI and the three DNA fragments were ligated and

transformed into *B. subtilis* 168CA. Strain AG547 was obtained from this transformation and

the deletion of *lytE* confirmed by PCR using primers oAG340-341 (**Table EV3**).

Strains AG565 and AG586 were obtained by integration of pMUTin-'*lytE-his* (erythromycin

selection) in 168CA and Δ*cwlO* (AG474 strain) background, respectively. The plasmid

integration (single cross-over) in *B. subtilis* resulted in expression of recombinant proteins with

LEMRGSH$_{12}$ tag in C-terminus, under the control of its native promoter. Since the integration

of this plasmid into the Δ*cwlO* background did not result in any phenotypic change it indicated

that the LytE-His$_{12}$ was functional, as a double mutant *lytE cwlO* is lethal (Hashimoto et al.,

2012). Thereafter, genomic DNA of AG565 strain was used to transform all the strains needed

for Western blot analysis (**Table EV2**). Of note, AG1541 strain (Δ*cwlO*) obtained by genomic

DNA recombination has the same genotype as AG586 strain obtained by plasmid integration.

Random transposition mutageneses

Random transposition mutageneses were performed as previously described (Claessen et al.,

2008, Surdova et al., 2013) using the pMarB plasmid that carries the transposon TnYLB-1

encoding kanamycin resistance. pMarB was introduced into *B. subtilis* strains carrying pLOSS-

P_{spac}-*ponA* (Emami et al., 2017) (**Table EV1**), a plasmid with an unstable origin of replication

and *lacZ* which helps to monitor the plasmid stability by observing formation of blue or white

colonies. After screening the random library of mutants, genomic DNA extracted from positive

clones were backcrossed into the parental strain used for the transposon screen. Transposon

insertion sites were identified by inverse PCR using gDNA extracted from the backcrossed

positive clones (Surdova et al., 2013) and sequencing the resulting PCR products. Further

verification of the transposon's site of insertion was obtained using specific primers.

For the suppressor screen in *ponA pbpD pbpF* mutant background, we constructed strain

AG141 (**Table EV2**) which carries deletions of the three vegetative class A PBPs, *lacA* gene

(Surdova et al., 2013) and pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA* (Emami et al., 2017). The transposon mutant

library was screened on PAB agar supplemented with MgSO$_4$ (10 mM), X-Gal and IPTG (1

mM) and white colonies selected. The strain SWA11a (**Table EV2**) had a transposon in *mprF*

coding sequence which was verified using specific primers oAG81-82 (**Table EV3**).

A conditional lethal screen was also carried out in a *ponA pbpD pbpF mprF lacA* pLOSS-P_{spac}-

ponA strain (AG200, **Table EV2**). Here the transposon mutant library was screened on NA and

PAB supplemented with X-Gal and IPTG (1mM). Blue colonies indicating plasmid stability

were selected. One mutant was isolated and its genomic DNA was backcrossed into AG200

background. The resulting blue forming AG200BK#42 strain (**Table EV2**) was found to carry

a transposon in *gtaB*'s coding sequence using primers oAG165-166 (**Table EV3**).

Spot growth assays

Strains were grown in NB with 20 mM $MgSO_4$ at 30°C overnight. The cultures were then

diluted 1:100 into fresh NB 10 mM $MgSO_4$ (or when applicable 20 mM) and grown up to mid

exponential phase at 37°C. Cells were harvested, washed in NB, and diluted to an $OD_{600nm} \approx$

0.3 in NB. Each strain sample was then serially diluted 1:10 using a 96-multiwell plate and

NB. Using a multichannel pipette, 5 μl of each dilution were transferred to various agar plates.

As a control all the serial dilutions presented in the figures were also spotted on media

supplemented with 10 mM or 20 mM $MgS0_4$ to ensure that growth occurred for all spots (our

unpublished observations). Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and scanned at different

time points. Images were edited in Photoshop.

Microscopic imaging

Cells were grown to exponential growth phase at 37 °C in NB medium. Before the cells were

mounted onto microscopic slides, the slides were covered with a thin layer of NA medium

supplemented with the FM5-95 membrane dye at 140 μg/ml (Invitrogen). Fluorescence

microscopy was carried out with a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope attached to a Sony Cool-

Snap HQ cooled CCD camera with Nikon APO x100/1.40 oil Ph3 objective lens. Images were

acquired using Metamorph 6 imaging software (Molecular Devices, Inc). Images were

analysed with ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) and assembled with Photoshop CS software.

Images stained with the FM5-95 membrane dye were processed using the plugin ObjectJ in

ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) to measure cell diameter and cell length of about 400 cells

per strain. Measurements were performed only once as cell diameter change was obvious under

the microscope and on the images of **Fig 2D** (details in **Appendix Figure S5**).

Transmission electron microscopy

Strains were grown in NB medium (with IPTG when applicable) until reaching an OD_{600nm} of

about 0.4. The cell pellets were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer at 4°C

for at least 24 h. Samples were spun and molten 4% agarose was added to the sample and re

spun. Sample were allowed to cool in the fridge for 30 min. The agarose block was removed

from each tube and cut into 1 mm^3 pieces. The samples were then dehydrated by microwave

processing using the Pelco Biowave® Pro+ incorporating the Pelco Coldspot® Pro. In the

vaccum chamber, samples were rinsed 3 times in buffer (150 watts for 40s), then treated in 1%

osmium, pulsed (100 watts for 8 min) and rinsed 3 times in distilled water (150 watts for 40s).

They were then taken out of the vaccum chamber and a graded series of dried acetone was

applied to the samples (25%, 50%,75%, and 3 times in 100%, at 150 watts for 40 s for each

step). This was followed by impregnation with a series of increasing concentrations of epoxy

resin (Taab Medium resin) in acetone (25%, 50%,75%, 3 times in 100% at 300 watts for 3 min.

for each step). Samples were embedded in fresh 100% resin and polymerised at 60°C for 24 h

in a conventional oven. Once polymerised the resin block were cut in semi-thin survey sections

(0.5 µm) and stained with 1% toluidine blue in 1% borax. Ultrathin sections (70 nm approx.)

were then cut using a diamond knife on a Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome. The sections were

stretched with chloroform to eliminate compression and mounted on Pioloform-filmed copper

grids. Grids were stained with uranyl acetate (2%) and Lead citrate and viewed on a Hitachi

HT7800 transmission electron microscope using an Emsis Xarosa camera.

Samples preparation for LTA polymer detection

B. subtilis strains were grown overnight at 30°C with shaking in NB supplemented with 5 mM MgS0$_4$ (or 10 mM for *mbl* mutants). The cells were then diluted (1:60-1:80 depending on the strain) to an approximate OD$_{600nm}$ of 0.02 in prewarmed NB and NB supplemented with 0.2% glucose. Strains were then incubated at 37°C shaking and grown for about 4.5 h (late exponential phase). At the sampling time the OD$_{600nm}$ of the cultures were measured before harvesting 15-25 ml of culture at 3273 *g* for 10 min, using a swing out centrifuge at room temperature. Pellets were washed with 200 μl of solution A (with 10 mL of Tris-HCl at 100 mM pH 7.4 and one cOmplete Mini EDTA-free tablet of protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche) and pelleted with benchtop centrifuge. Cells pellets were then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C until processing. Samples were resuspended in a volume of solution B (an equal volume of solution A and LDS sample buffer, Life Technologies) ranging from 70 to 200 μl in proportion to their OD$_{600nm}$. Samples were boiled 30 min at 100°C, cooled down on ice for 3 min and incubated each with 100 U of benzonase (E1014, Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. Samples were pelleted at 4°C, supernatants containing the extracted LTA were collected. Supernatants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -25°C. For the LTA Western blots, the quantity of sample loaded was normalized using the Bio-Rad Protein assays such that the final OD$_{595nm}$ was approximately 0.35. Sample sets to be compared were grown, extracted, measured and normalized together for each experiment.

S. aureus strains were grown overnight at 37°C with shaking in LB. The cells were then diluted (about 300-fold) to an approximate OD$_{600nm}$ of about 0.02 and grown in LB at 37°C until reaching late exponential and an OD$_{600nm}$ of about 1. 10 ml of cultures were harvested, and samples were prepared as for *B. subtilis* with the following changes: 60 μl of solution B were used and about 18-20 μl of samples were loaded on SDS-PAGE with samples normalised to their OD$_{600nm}$.

Total protein samples preparation

For LytE or LtaS Western blots, cells were grown, harvested and processed in the same way

as the LTA-samples, with the exception that to detect the his tagged LytE, the strains were

grown in presence of erythromycin. An 8 ml culture sample was resuspended in 180-200 μl of

a solution A and sonicated until a clear lysate was obtained. Samples were centrifuged at 16,000

g for 10 min at 4°C and a volume of sample supernatant loaded on the SDS-gel, normalized

based on the OD_{600nm} of the cells culture collected.

SDS-PAGE, LTA and proteins Western blots, detection blots

For SDS-PAGE, samples were diluted in solution B with the addition of reducing agent (1.5 μl

per sample) in final volume of 20 μl, heated at 70°C for 10 min, loaded on the gel (NuPAGE

4-12% Bis-Tris gradient Midi Gel, Life Technologies) and separated by electrophoresis. For

the LTA-PBP2B experiment, MES buffer, Novex Sharp prestained protein Ladder (Life

Technologies) and 0.2 μm Amersham Hybond sequencing PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare)

were used. Whereas for LytE (37 kDa) or LtaS (74 kDa) Western blots, MOPS buffer, Abcam

prestained mid-range protein ladder (Ab116027) and 0.45 μM Amersham Hybond PVDF

membrane (GE Healthcare) were used. The SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to membranes

using the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad) using an in-house transfer buffer (tris

600 mM, glycine 600 mM, tricine 280 mM, EDTA 2.5 mM and SDS 0.05%). After transfer,

the membranes were washed 3x 5 min in PBS using a rocking shaker, afterwards the

membranes were cut where required to allow different detection methods.

For the LTA Western blot, membrane was cut at the 60 kDa ladder position. The bottom part

of the membrane was used for the LTA-blot whereas the top part was used to detect the

membrane protein PBP2B (79 kDa) as a loading/extraction control. All LTA Western blot steps

were performed at room temperature in a rolling shaker and using 50 mL falcon tubes. The

LTA-membranes were incubated 1h15 in PBS buffer with 3% BSA (A7030, Sigma), then 1h30

in fresh PBS-3% BSA with a dilution 1:1000 of Gram-positive LTA monoclonal antibody

(MA1-7402, Thermofischer). The membrane was then washed briefly 2 times, followed by 4x

8 min in PBS and then incubated in PBS-5% dried semi-skimmed milk with 1:10,000 anti-

mouse HRP-linked secondary antibody (A9044, Sigma) for 1 h. This was then followed by 2

brief and 6x 8 min washes steps prior to detection.

For proteins Western blots to detect LytE-His$_{12}$ or LtaS and PBP2B, all the following steps

were performed at room temperature using a rocking shaker. The membranes were washed

after transfer in PBS-Tween20 at 0.1% (here after noted PBS-T) and blocked in PBS-T with

5% milk for 1h15 (i.e PBS-T-milk) at room temperature. Thereafter the LytE-His$_{12}$/PBP2B

membrane blot was cut at about 53 kDa ladder position. Membranes were placed in fresh buffer

PBS-T-milk for 1h (or 1h30 when performing the LTAs western blot in parallel) with the

polyclonal rabbit anti-PBP2B antibody (at 1:5000, lab. stock or available at Merck ABS2199),

the mouse monoclonal Penta-His antibody (Qiagen n°34660 stock at 200 µg/ml, used at

dilution 1:2000) or polyclonal rabbit anti-LtaS antibody (at 1:1000, lab. stock). Two brief

washing steps were performed in PBS-T followed by 3 washes of 10 min. Membranes were

incubated in PBS-T-milk for 1 h using 1:10000 dilutions of anti-rabbit or anti-mouse HRP-

linked secondary antibodies (A0545 or A9044 Sigma antibodies, respectively) as required.

Membranes were then washed as described at the previous step.

Pierce ECL Plus Western Blotting Substrate (32132, Thermo Scientific) was used for detection

as recommended by manufacturer. Chemiluminescence was detected using ImageQuant LAS

4000 mini digital imaging system (GE Healthcare) and membranes were exposed with 1 min

increment for 20 min. Membranes were also imaged by Epi-illumination to detect the pre-

stained proteins ladder on the membrane. In our conditions, quantities of LTAs samples loaded

were scaled down to avoid burn out of signals observed in some of the tested strains.

Occasionally for the LTAs blot, strains (in NB-only) can display a very weak signal above the

30 kDa ladder position. This phenomenon was observed by others performing *Bacillus* LTA

Western blots and was assumed not to be related to LTA but possibly a cross-reactivity of the

antibody to WTAs that also contains glycerolphosphate (Wormann et al., 2011).

Images were edited in ImageJ (Fiji), the same contrast enhancement was applied for the

membranes of the same set experiment. Three independent sets of experimental samples were

generated by Western blots. Contrast was sometimes added to the images, in particular to

observe LytE signal in low-expressing strains.

LytE purification and raising antiserum

Plasmid pAM-21 was transferred into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) strain. Newly obtained strain grew

at 37°C in LB kanamycin, when cell reached an approximative OD_{600nm} of 0.5 *lytE* expression

was induced with 1 mM IPTG for 3 h. 50 ml culture was collected by centrifugation at 9,000

g for 5 min and resuspended in 15 ml of a solution of PBS at 4 µg/ml of lysosyme. After

incubation for 20 min at room temperature (RT), cells were sonicated, and once clear lysate

was obtained cells were harvested at 3,000 *g* for 4 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in PBS

buffer at 8 M Urea and incubated for 30 min at RT on a rolling shaker. The cells were then

centrifuged at 9,000 *g* for 10 min and supernatant was collected and mixed gently with 200 µl

of Ni Sepharose High Performance (GE Healthcare) and incubated for 1 h in same condition

as above. Then the sample was washed 3 to 4 times in buffer A (50 mM Tris (pH 8) and 300

mM NaCl), and the last wash was done in buffer B (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM

Imidazole). Sample was eluted five times in buffer C (PBS at 8 M Urea and 100mM imidazole)

and elution fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE. Ice-cold acetone was added at a 4:1 volume

ratio to the desired eluted sample and incubated overnight at -20°C. Sample was centrifuged at

4 °C for 10 min at 15,000 *g* and the pellet was left to dry at RT. The pellet was suspended in

200 μl of PBS and 100 μg aliquot was sent to raise antiserum (Eurogentec). The LytE antiserum

was used in Western blot at 1:5000 dilution and with the anti-rabbit secondary antibody

(1:10000).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank P. Aldridge for critical reading of the manuscript. The authors thank

colleagues for strains or genomic DNA S. Moore, P. Dominguez-Cuevas, P. Gamba, A. Koh,

K. Seistrup, L. Bowman, H. Strahl and J. D. Helmann, B. M. Wendel and A. Peschel for strains

related to daptomycin resistance. Technical support was provided by I. Selmes, F. Davison and

T. Fletcher and TEM sample processing by Tracey Davey in the Electron Microscopy Research

Services Newcastle University (funded through the BBSRC; BB/R013942/1). The authors

thank J. Errington for the anti-LtaS antibody, H. Strahl and W. Vollmer for helpful discussion,

C. Winterhalter and S. Fenyk for advice on Western blots and P. Tiago for sharing subtiwiki

data. This work was funded by grants from the BBSRC (BB/G015902/1), EPSRC

(EP/N031962/1) (RAD and AG) and SACB (studentship for AA).

Author contributions

685 Conceptualization: AG; Funding acquisition, RAD; Methodology: AG; Investigation: AG and

686 AA; Methodology: AG; Project administration: RAD; Supervision: RAD; Validation: AG;

687 Visualization: AG; Writing—original draft: AG; Writing—review & editing: AG and RAD.

Conflict of interest

689 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supporting information

691 Expanded View Figures, Expanded View Tables and Appendix are available for this

692 manuscript. This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.

References

ANAGNOSTOPOULOS, C. & SPIZIZEN, J. 1961. Requirements for Transformation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol,* 81**,** 741-6.

ATILA, M., KATSELIS, G., CHUMALA, P. & LUO, Y. 2016. Characterization of N-Succinylation of L-Lysylphosphatidylglycerol in *Bacillus subtilis* Using Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom,* 27**,** 1606-13.

BERTSCHE, U., YANG, S. J., KUEHNER, D., WANNER, S., MISHRA, N. N., ROTH, T., NEGA, M., SCHNEIDER, A., MAYER, C., GRAU, T., BAYER, A. S. & WEIDENMAIER, C. 2013. Increased cell wall teichoic acid production and D-alanylation are common phenotypes among daptomycin-resistant methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) clinical isolates. *PLoS One,* 8**,** e67398.

BRANDA, S. S., GONZALEZ-PASTOR, J. E., DERVYN, E., EHRLICH, S. D., LOSICK, R. & KOLTER, R. 2004. Genes involved in formation of structured multicellular communities by *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol,* 186**,** 3970-9.

CLAESSEN, D., EMMINS, R., HAMOEN, L. W., DANIEL, R. A., ERRINGTON, J. & EDWARDS, D. H. 2008. Control of the cell elongation-division cycle by shuttling of PBP1 protein in *Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol,* 68**,** 1029-46.

COE, K. A., LEE, W., STONE, M. C., KOMAZIN-MEREDITH, G., MEREDITH, T. C., GRAD, Y. H. & WALKER, S. 2019. Multi-strain Tn-Seq reveals common daptomycin resistance determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS Pathog,* 15**,** e1007862.

DO, T., PAGE, J. E. & WALKER, S. 2020. Uncovering the activities, biological roles, and regulation of bacterial cell wall hydrolases and tailoring enzymes. *J Biol Chem,* 295**,** 3347-3361.

DOBIHAL, G. S., BRUNET, Y. R., FLORES-KIM, J. & RUDNER, D. Z. 2019. Homeostatic control of cell wall hydrolysis by the WalRK two-component signaling pathway in *Bacillus subtilis. Elife,* 8.

DOMINGUEZ-CUEVAS, P., PORCELLI, I., DANIEL, R. A. & ERRINGTON, J. 2013. Differentiated roles for MreB-actin isologues and autolytic enzymes in *Bacillus subtilis* morphogenesis. *Mol Microbiol,* 89**,** 1084-98.

EMAMI, K., GUYET, A., KAWAI, Y., DEVI, J., WU, L. J., ALLENBY, N., DANIEL, R. A. & ERRINGTON, J. 2017. RodA as the missing glycosyltransferase in *Bacillus subtilis* and antibiotic discovery for the peptidoglycan polymerase pathway. *Nat Microbiol,* 2**,** 16253.

ERICKSON, H. P. 2021. How Teichoic Acids Could Support a Periplasm in Gram-Positive Bacteria, and Let Cell Division Cheat Turgor Pressure. *Front Microbiol,* 12**,** 664704.

ERNST, C. M. & PESCHEL, A. 2019. MprF-mediated daptomycin resistance. *Int J Med Microbiol,* 309**,** 359-363.

ERNST, C. M., SLAVETINSKY, C. J., KUHN, S., HAUSER, J. N., NEGA, M., MISHRA, N. N., GEKELER, C., BAYER, A. S. & PESCHEL, A. 2018. Gain-of-Function Mutations in the Phospholipid Flippase MprF Confer Specific Daptomycin Resistance. *mBio,* 9.

FLORES-KIM, J., DOBIHAL, G. S., FENTON, A., RUDNER, D. Z. & BERNHARDT, T. G. 2019. A switch in surface polymer biogenesis triggers growth-phase-dependent and antibiotic-induced bacteriolysis. *Elife,* 8.

FORMSTONE, A. & ERRINGTON, J. 2005. A magnesium-dependent *mreB* null mutant: implications for the role of *mreB* in *Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol,* 55**,** 1646-57.

FRIEDMAN, L., ALDER, J. D. & SILVERMAN, J. A. 2006. Genetic changes that correlate with reduced susceptibility to daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 50**,** 2137-45.

GRAY, D. A. & WENZEL, M. 2020. More Than a Pore: A Current Perspective on the In Vivo Mode of Action of the Lipopeptide Antibiotic Daptomycin. *Antibiotics (Basel),* 9.

GREIN, F., MULLER, A., SCHERER, K. M., LIU, X., LUDWIG, K. C., KLOCKNER, A., STRACH, M., SAHL, H. G., KUBITSCHECK, U. & SCHNEIDER, T. 2020. Ca^{2+}-Daptomycin targets cell wall biosynthesis by forming a tripartite complex with undecaprenyl-coupled intermediates and membrane lipids. *Nat Commun,* 11**,** 1455.

GRUNDLING, A. & SCHNEEWIND, O. 2007a. Genes required for glycolipid synthesis and lipoteichoic acid anchoring in *Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol,* 189**,** 2521-30.

GRUNDLING, A. & SCHNEEWIND, O. 2007b. Synthesis of glycerol phosphate lipoteichoic acid in *Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 104**,** 8478-83.

HACHMANN, A. B., ANGERT, E. R. & HELMANN, J. D. 2009. Genetic analysis of factors affecting susceptibility of *Bacillus subtilis* to daptomycin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 53**,** 1598-609.

HACHMANN, A. B., SEVIM, E., GABALLA, A., POPHAM, D. L., ANTELMANN, H. & HELMANN, J. D. 2011. Reduction in membrane phosphatidylglycerol content leads to daptomycin resistance in *Bacillus subtilis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 55**,** 4326-37.

HAMOEN, L. W., SMITS, W. K., DE JONG, A., HOLSAPPEL, S. & KUIPERS, O. P. 2002. Improving the predictive value of the competence transcription factor (ComK) binding site in *Bacillus subtilis* using a genomic approach. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 30**,** 5517-28.

HANSON, B. R. & NEELY, M. N. 2012. Coordinate regulation of Gram-positive cell surface components. *Curr Opin Microbiol,* 15**,** 204-10.

HASHIMOTO, M., OOIWA, S. & SEKIGUCHI, J. 2012. Synthetic lethality of the *lytE cwlO* genotype in *Bacillus subtilis* is caused by lack of D,L-endopeptidase activity at the lateral cell wall. *J Bacteriol,* 194**,** 796-803.

HASHIMOTO, M., SEKI, T., MATSUOKA, S., HARA, H., ASAI, K., SADAIE, Y. & MATSUMOTO, K. 2013. Induction of extracytoplasmic function sigma factors in *Bacillus subtilis* cells with defects in lipoteichoic acid synthesis. *Microbiology,* 159**,** 23-35.

HESSER, A. R., MATANO, L. M., VICKERY, C. R., WOOD, B. M., SANTIAGO, A. G., MORRIS, H. G., DO, T., LOSICK, R. & WALKER, S. 2020a. The length of lipoteichoic acid polymers controls *Staphylococcus aureus* cell size and envelope integrity. *J Bacteriol.*

HESSER, A. R., SCHAEFER, K., LEE, W. & WALKER, S. 2020b. Lipoteichoic acid
polymer length is determined by competition between free starter units. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A, 117**,** 29669-29676.
ISHIKAWA, S., KAWAI, Y., HIRAMATSU, K., KUWANO, M. & OGASAWARA, N.
2006. A new FtsZ-interacting protein, YlmF, complements the activity of FtsA during
progression of cell division in *Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol,* 60**,** 1364-80.
JOHNSON, C. M. & GROSSMAN, A. D. 2016. The Composition of the Cell Envelope
Affects Conjugation in *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol,* 198**,** 1241-9.
JORASCH, P., WOLTER, F. P., ZAHRINGER, U. & HEINZ, E. 1998. A UDP
glucosyltransferase from *Bacillus subtilis* successively transfers up to four glucose
residues to 1,2-diacylglycerol: expression of *ypfP* in *Escherichia coli* and structural
analysis of its reaction products. *Mol Microbiol,* 29**,** 419-30.
JOYCE, L. R., MANZER, H. S., DA C. MENDONÇA, J., VILLARREAL, R., DORAN, K.
S., PALMER, K. L. & GUAN, Z. 2021. *Streptococcus agalactiae* MprF synthesizes a
novel cationic glycolipid and plays a role in brain entry and meningitis. *bioRxiv***,**
2020.12.01.406702.
KASAHARA, J., KIRIYAMA, Y., MIYASHITA, M., KONDO, T., YAMADA, T.,
YAZAWA, K., YOSHIKAWA, R. & YAMAMOTO, H. 2016. Teichoic Acid
Polymers Affect Expression and Localization of dl-Endopeptidase LytE Required for
Lateral Cell Wall Hydrolysis in *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol,* 198**,** 1585-1594.
KAWAI, Y., ASAI, K. & ERRINGTON, J. 2009a. Partial functional redundancy of MreB
isoforms, MreB, Mbl and MreBH, in cell morphogenesis of *Bacillus subtilis. Mol*
Microbiol, 73**,** 719-31.
KAWAI, Y., DANIEL, R. A. & ERRINGTON, J. 2009b. Regulation of cell wall
morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis* by recruitment of PBP1 to the MreB helix. *Mol*
Microbiol, 71**,** 1131-44.
KOO, B. M., KRITIKOS, G., FARELLI, J. D., TODOR, H., TONG, K., KIMSEY, H.,
WAPINSKI, I., GALARDINI, M., CABAL, A., PETERS, J. M., HACHMANN, A.
B., RUDNER, D. Z., ALLEN, K. N., TYPAS, A. & GROSS, C. A. 2017.
Construction and Analysis of Two Genome-Scale Deletion Libraries for *Bacillus*
subtilis. Cell Syst, 4**,** 291-305 e7.
LAZAREVIC, V., SOLDO, B., MEDICO, N., POOLEY, H., BRON, S. & KARAMATA, D.
2005. *Bacillus subtilis* α-phosphoglucomutase is required for normal cell morphology
and biofilm formation. *Appl Environ Microbiol,* 71**,** 39-45.
LE BRETON, Y., MOHAPATRA, N. P. & HALDENWANG, W. G. 2006. *In vivo* random
mutagenesis of *Bacillus subtilis* by use of TnYLB-1, a mariner-based transposon.
Appl Environ Microbiol, 72**,** 327-33.
MAMOU, G., FIYAKSEL, O., SINAI, L. & BEN-YEHUDA, S. 2017. Deficiency in
Lipoteichoic Acid Synthesis Causes a Failure in Executing the Colony Developmental
Program in *Bacillus subtilis. Front Microbiol,* 8**,** 1991.
MATSUOKA, S., HASHIMOTO, M., KAMIYA, Y., MIYAZAWA, T., ISHIKAWA, K.,
HARA, H. & MATSUMOTO, K. 2011. The *Bacillus subtilis* essential gene *dgkB* is
dispensable in mutants with defective lipoteichoic acid synthesis. *Genes Genet Syst,*
86**,** 365-76.

MCPHERSON, D. C., DRIKS, A. & POPHAM, D. L. 2001. Two class A high-molecular-
weight penicillin-binding proteins of *Bacillus subtilis* play redundant roles in
sporulation. *J Bacteriol,* 183**,** 6046-53.
MCPHERSON, D. C. & POPHAM, D. L. 2003. Peptidoglycan synthesis in the absence of
class A penicillin-binding proteins in *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol,* 185**,** 1423-31.
MEESKE, A. J., RILEY, E. P., ROBINS, W. P., UEHARA, T., MEKALANOS, J. J.,
KAHNE, D., WALKER, S., KRUSE, A. C., BERNHARDT, T. G. & RUDNER, D.
Z. 2016. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases.
Nature, 537**,** 634-638.
MISHRA, N. N., BAYER, A. S., WEIDENMAIER, C., GRAU, T., WANNER, S.,
STEFANI, S., CAFISO, V., BERTUCCIO, T., YEAMAN, M. R., NAST, C. C. &
YANG, S. J. 2014. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of daptomycin-resistant
methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains: relative roles of *mprF* and *dlt*
operons. *PLoS One,* 9**,** e107426.
MULLER, A., WENZEL, M., STRAHL, H., GREIN, F., SAAKI, T. N. V., KOHL, B.,
SIERSMA, T., BANDOW, J. E., SAHL, H. G., SCHNEIDER, T. & HAMOEN, L.
W. 2016. Daptomycin inhibits cell envelope synthesis by interfering with fluid
membrane microdomains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 113**,** E7077-E7086.
MURRAY, T., POPHAM, D. L. & SETLOW, P. 1998. *Bacillus subtili*s cells lacking
penicillin-binding protein 1 require increased levels of divalent cations for growth. *J*
Bacteriol, 180**,** 4555-63.
NEUHAUS, F. C. & BADDILEY, J. 2003. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and
functions of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in Gram-positive bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol*
Rev, 67**,** 686-723.
NICOLAS, P., MADER, U., DERVYN, E., ROCHAT, T., LEDUC, A., PIGEONNEAU, N.,
BIDNENKO, E., MARCHADIER, E., HOEBEKE, M., AYMERICH, S., BECHER,
D., BISICCHIA, P., BOTELLA, E., DELUMEAU, O., DOHERTY, G., DENHAM,
E. L., FOGG, M. J., FROMION, V., GOELZER, A., HANSEN, A., HARTIG, E.,
HARWOOD, C. R., HOMUTH, G., JARMER, H., JULES, M., KLIPP, E., LE
CHAT, L., LECOINTE, F., LEWIS, P., LIEBERMEISTER, W., MARCH, A.,
MARS, R. A., NANNAPANENI, P., NOONE, D., POHL, S., RINN, B.,
RUGHEIMER, F., SAPPA, P. K., SAMSON, F., SCHAFFER, M., SCHWIKOWSKI,
B., STEIL, L., STULKE, J., WIEGERT, T., DEVINE, K. M., WILKINSON, A. J.,
VAN DIJL, J. M., HECKER, M., VOLKER, U., BESSIERES, P. & NOIROT, P.
2012. Condition-dependent transcriptome reveals high-level regulatory architecture in
Bacillus subtilis. Science, 335**,** 1103-6.
NOWAK, J., VISNOVSKY, S. B., CRUZ, C. D., FLETCHER, G. C., VAN VLIET, A. H.
M., HEDDERLEY, D., BUTLER, R., FLINT, S., PALMER, J. & PITMAN, A. R.
2021. Inactivation of the gene encoding the cationic antimicrobial peptide resistance
factor MprF increases biofilm formation but reduces invasiveness of *Listeria*
monocytogenes. J Appl Microbiol, 130**,** 464-477.
OKU, Y., KUROKAWA, K., MATSUO, M., YAMADA, S., LEE, B. L. & SEKIMIZU, K.
2009. Pleiotropic roles of polyglycerolphosphate synthase of lipoteichoic acid in
growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* cells. *J Bacteriol,* 191**,** 141-51.

PATEL, Y., ZHAO, H. & HELMANN, J. D. 2020. A regulatory pathway that selectively up-
regulates elongasome function in the absence of class A PBPs. *Elife,* 9.
PAZOS, M. & VOLLMER, W. 2021. Regulation and function of class A Penicillin-binding
proteins. *Curr Opin Microbiol,* 60**,** 80-87.
PERCY, M. G. & GRUNDLING, A. 2014. Lipoteichoic Acid Synthesis and Function in
Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol*.
PEREGO, M., GLASER, P., MINUTELLO, A., STRAUCH, M. A., LEOPOLD, K. &
FISCHER, W. 1995. Incorporation of D-alanine into lipoteichoic acid and wall
teichoic acid in *Bacillus subtilis*. Identification of genes and regulation. *J Biol Chem,*
270**,** 15598-606.
PESCHEL, A., JACK, R. W., OTTO, M., COLLINS, L. V., STAUBITZ, P., NICHOLSON,
G., KALBACHER, H., NIEUWENHUIZEN, W. F., JUNG, G., TARKOWSKI, A.,
VAN KESSEL, K. P. & VAN STRIJP, J. A. 2001. *Staphylococcus aureus* resistance
to human defensins and evasion of neutrophil killing via the novel virulence factor
MprF is based on modification of membrane lipids with l-lysine. *J Exp Med,* 193**,**
1067-76.
RAJAGOPAL, M. & WALKER, S. 2017. Envelope Structures of Gram-Positive Bacteria.
Curr Top Microbiol Immunol, 404**,** 1-44.
SALZBERG, L. I. & HELMANN, J. D. 2008. Phenotypic and transcriptomic characterization
of *Bacillus subtilis* mutants with grossly altered membrane composition. *J Bacteriol,*
190**,** 7797-807.
SALZBERG, L. I., POWELL, L., HOKAMP, K., BOTELLA, E., NOONE, D. & DEVINE,
K. M. 2013. The WalRK (YycFG) and s[I] RsgI regulators cooperate to control CwlO
and LytE expression in exponentially growing and stressed *Bacillus subtilis* cells. *Mol*
Microbiol, 87**,** 180-95.
SCHIRNER, K. & ERRINGTON, J. 2009. The cell wall regulator s[I] specifically suppresses
the lethal phenotype of *mbl* mutants in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol,* 191**,** 1404-13.
SCHIRNER, K., MARLES-WRIGHT, J., LEWIS, R. J. & ERRINGTON, J. 2009. Distinct
and essential morphogenic functions for wall- and lipo-teichoic acids in *Bacillus*
subtilis. *EMBO J,* 28**,** 830-42.
SCHNEEWIND, O. & MISSIAKAS, D. 2014. Lipoteichoic acids, phosphate-containing
polymers in the envelope of Gram-positive bacteria. *J Bacteriol,* 196**,** 1133-42.
SCHNEEWIND, O. & MISSIAKAS, D. 2016. Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in
gram-positive bacteria. *Biogenesis of Fatty Acids, Lipids and Membranes***,** 1-18.
SLAVETINSKY, C., KUHN, S. & PESCHEL, A. 2017. Bacterial aminoacyl phospholipids -
Biosynthesis and role in basic cellular processes and pathogenicity. *Biochim Biophys*
Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids, 1862**,** 1310-1318.
SOLDO, B., LAZAREVIC, V. & KARAMATA, D. 2002. *tagO* is involved in the synthesis
of all anionic cell-wall polymers in *Bacillus subtilis* 168. *Microbiology (Reading),*
148**,** 2079-2087.
SONG, D., JIAO, H. & LIU, Z. 2021. Phospholipid translocation captured in a bifunctional
membrane protein MprF. *Nat Commun,* 12**,** 2927.

STAUBITZ, P. & PESCHEL, A. 2002. MprF-mediated lysinylation of phospholipids in
Bacillus subtilis - protection against bacteriocins in terrestrial habitats? *Microbiology,*
148**,** 3331-2.
STEINMETZ, M. & RICHTER, R. 1994. Plasmids designed to alter the antibiotic resistance
expressed by insertion mutations in *Bacillus subtilis*, through in vivo recombination.
Gene, 142**,** 79-83.
STRAUME, D., PIECHOWIAK, K. W., KJOS, M. & HAVARSTEIN, L. S. 2021. Class A
PBPs: It is time to rethink traditional paradigms. *Mol Microbiol.*
SURDOVA, K., GAMBA, P., CLAESSEN, D., SIERSMA, T., JONKER, M. J.,
ERRINGTON, J. & HAMOEN, L. W. 2013. The conserved DNA-binding protein
WhiA is involved in cell division in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol,* 195**,** 5450-60.
THITIANANPAKORN, K., AIBA, Y., TAN, X. E., WATANABE, S., KIGA, K., SATO'O,
Y., BOONSIRI, T., LI, F. Y., SASAHARA, T., TAKI, Y., AZAM, A. H., ZHANG,
Y. & CUI, L. 2020. Association of *mprF* mutations with cross-resistance to
daptomycin and vancomycin in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA).
Sci Rep, 10**,** 16107.
VEENING, J. W., KUIPERS, O. P., BRUL, S., HELLINGWERF, K. J. & KORT, R. 2006.
Effects of phosphorelay perturbations on architecture, sporulation, and spore
resistance in biofilms of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol,* 188**,** 3099-109.
WILSON, S. & GARNER, E. 2021. An Exhaustive Multiple Knockout Approach to
Understanding Cell Wall Hydrolase Function in *Bacillus subtilis*. *bioRxiv***,**
2021.02.18.431929.
WOOD, B. M., SANTA MARIA, J. P., JR., MATANO, L. M., VICKERY, C. R. &
WALKER, S. 2018. A partial reconstitution implicates DltD in catalyzing lipoteichoic
acid d-alanylation. *J Biol Chem,* 293**,** 17985-17996.
WORMANN, M. E., CORRIGAN, R. M., SIMPSON, P. J., MATTHEWS, S. J. &
GRUNDLING, A. 2011. Enzymatic activities and functional interdependencies of
Bacillus subtilis lipoteichoic acid synthesis enzymes. *Mol Microbiol,* 79**,** 566-83.
YANG, S. J., NAST, C. C., MISHRA, N. N., YEAMAN, M. R., FEY, P. D. & BAYER, A.
S. 2010. Cell wall thickening is not a universal accompaniment of the daptomycin
nonsusceptibility phenotype in Staphylococcus aureus: evidence for multiple
resistance mechanisms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother,* 54**,** 3079-85.
ZHU, B. & STULKE, J. 2018. SubtiWiki in 2018: from genes and proteins to functional
network annotation of the model organism *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 46**,**
D743-D748.

 Expanded View figures

Figure EV1. Comparison of *Δ4* and *ΔponA* mutants in absence MprF or LtaS

Strains were streaked from glycerol stocks onto NA plates (supplemented with antibiotic and/or magnesium, where required). After incubation at 37°C overnight, a single colony for each strain was then streak across the set of plates shown above (with glucose 0.5%, MgSO$_4$ 10mM) and scanned after 16 h incubation at 37°C. The following strains were inoculated: AG157 (*Δ4*), AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), AG342 (*Δ4 ΔltaS*), RE101 (*ΔponA*), AG193 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG383 (*ΔponA ΔltaS*), AG181 (*ΔmprF*), 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), AG347 (*ΔmprF ΔltaS*).

Figure EV2. Accumulation of LtaS-His and YfnI-His in *ΔponA* cells.

Strains were grown in NB and NB supplemented with glucose 0.2% until late exponential growth at 37°C. Tested once in condition similar to that of the proteins Western blot presented in this study, following a preliminary test. ***** Strains used here express the following his tagged protein under the control of their native promoter: either LtaS-His$_{12}$ in a wild type-like background (AG569) or in *ΔponA* (AG588) background; or YfnI-His$_{12}$ in a wild type-like (WT) (AG570 strain) or in *ΔponA* (AG589) background. (A) The membrane was detected for His tagged proteins with a monoclonal Penta-His and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibodies. (B) The membrane was reused to detected PBP2B (sample loading control) with a polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies. Experiment tested on one biological set of samples.

Figure EV3. Accumulation of LtaS/YfnI and LytE in LTA-synthase mutants.

The following strains were grown (NB ± Glc 0.2%) in condition similar to our previous assays: *B. subtilis* 168CA, 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), 4289CA (*ΔyfnI*), 4292CA (*ΔyqgS*), AG595 (*ΔyqgS ΔyfnI*), AG593 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS)*, AG594 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI)*, AG600 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*). In this figure, the Western blot experiments were carried out in same condition as other assays (polyclonal anti-LtaS antibody cross reacts with YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab) (A), except for the use of our newly produced polyclonal anti-LytE antibody (B). Experiment tested on one biological set of samples.

Figure EV4. Results of three independent experiments supporting Fig 5.

A Samples of methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains SA113 and SA113 *ΔmprF* (Peschel et al., 2001) were probed for LTA by Western Blot. Three independents set of cultures grown in LB at 37°C were tested simultaneously.

B-D It has been shown that a modified allele of *pgsA(A64V)* was the major determinant of daptomycin resistance of *B. subtilis* Dap[R]1 and Dap[R]20 strains selected by serial daptomycin antibiotic passages (Hachmann et al., 2011). The strains 168 1A1 (wild type, WT), Dap[R]1, Dap[R]20, HB15516 (Dap[R]1 *pgsA*[WT]) and HB15507 (Dap[R]20 *pgsA*[WT]) were grown in NB overnight at 30°C and at late exponential growth phase (37°C, using theses overnight cultures). For each set of samples, LTA was detected along with PBP2B productions by Western Blots. Bottom panel shows the cellular abundance of PB2B and the LTA-synthase detected with anti-LtaS antibody (also detect YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab). Samples used in the bottom panel where those of the LTA/PBP2B experiment loaded onto a new SDS-gel, after minor samples normalization based on PBP2B signal shown on the upper panel.

Expanded View Tables

Table EV1: Plasmids used in this study (by alphabetic order)

Plasmids	Relevant features[1]	Source or reference
pAM-21	*kan P_{T7} LytE$^{(26-334AA)}$-6His lacI*	This study
pCotC-GFP	used as matrix to amplify *cat*	(Veening et al., 2006)
pDR111	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank}$, spc)*	Rudner, D., unpublished
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*ltaS*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank}$-ltaS, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*lytE-mprF*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank}$-lytE-mprF, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank-ATG}$mprF, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF-lytE*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank-ATG}$mprF-lytE, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yfnI*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank}$-yfnI, spc)*	This study
pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*yqgS*	*bla amyE::(lacI $P_{hyspank}$-yqgS, spc)*	This study
pET28a (+)	*kan P_{T7} his lacI*	Novagen
pG$^+$host9::*ΔponA*	*erm*, carry flanking DNA regions of *ponA*	(Emami et al., 2017)
pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpD*	*bla*, carry flanking DNA regions of *pbpD*	(Emami et al., 2017)
pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpF*	*bla*, carry flanking DNA regions of *pbpF*	(Emami et al., 2017)
pIC22	used as matrix to amplify *phleo*	(Steinmetz and Richter, 1994)
pLOSS*	*bla spc P_{spac}-mcs P_{divIVA}-lacZ lacI rep_{pLS20}(GA→CC)*	(Claessen et al., 2008)
pLOSS-P_{spac}-*lytE*	*bla spc P_{spac}-lytE P_{divIVA}-lacZ lacI rep_{pLS20}(GA→CC)*	This study
pLOSS-P_{spac}-*ponA*	*bla spc P_{spac}-ponA P_{divIVA}-lacZ lacI rep_{pLS20}(GA→CC)*	(Emami et al., 2017)
pMarB	*bla erm TnYLB-1::kan Himar1 transposase*	(Le Breton et al., 2006)
pMUTinHis	*bla erm P_{spac} mcs 12xhis lacZ lacI*	(Ishikawa et al., 2006)
pMUTin-`*lytE-his*	*bla erm P_{spac} mcs `LytE-6AA-12His lacZ lacI*	This study
pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*	*bla erm P_{spac} mcs `LtaS-6AA-12His lacZ lacI*	This study
pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*	*bla erm P_{spac} mcs `YfnI-6AA-12His lacZ lacI*	This study

[1] *cat,* chloramphenicol resistance; *bla*, ampicillin resistance; *spc*, spectinomycin resistance; *erm*, erythromycin resistance; *phleo*, phleomycin resistance; *kan*, kanamycin resistance; *lacI*, encodes lactose repressor; *mcs,* multiple cloning site; *lacZ*, encodes β-galactosidase; AA, amino acids; $P_{hyspank}$ and P_{spac} are IPTG-inducible promoters with $P_{hyspank}$ known to be stronger; rep_{pLS20}*(GA→CC),* unstable replication in *Bacillus*.

Table EV2: Strains used in this study.

Strains	Relevant genotype[1]	Source or reference[2]
E. coli		
DH5α	F$^-$ endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG Φ80d*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ(*lacZYA-argF*)U169, hsdR17(r$_K^-$ m$_K^+$), λ–	Lab. stock
BL21(DE3)	B F$^-$ *ompT gal dcm lon hsdS$_B$*(r$_B^-$m$_B^-$) λ(DE3 [*lacI lacUV5-T7p07 ind1 sam7 nin5*]) [*malB$^+$*]$_{K-12}$(λ^S)	Novagen
B. subtilis		
168CA	*trpC2*	R. Daniel Lab. stock
168 1A1	*trpC2* (BGSC n° 1A1)	(Hachmann et al., 2011)
3725CA	*trpC2 Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725 (Formstone and Errington, 2005) → 168CA cells
4261CA	*trpC2 Δmbl::cat*	4261 (Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4283CA	*trpC2 ΔltaS::neo*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4285CA	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4287CA	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::spc*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4289CA	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4292CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::spc*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4293CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4294CA	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::erm*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4295CA	*trpC2 ΔyvgJ::spc*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009) → 168CA cells
4620	*trpC2 ΔltaS::neo ΔyfnI::cat ΔyqgS::spc ΔyvgJ::erm*	(Schirner and Errington, 2009)
AG105	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF*	pGhost10::ΔpbpF (Emami et al., 2017) → RE103 cells
AG108	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF*	pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpF* → RE104 cells
AG123	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA* → AG108 cells
AG141	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-ponA lacA::cat*	K532 → AG123 cells

AG157	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan*	(Emami et al., 2017)
AG181	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo*	This study
AG185	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725CA → AG181 cells
AG189	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo Δmbl::cat*	4261CA → AG181 cell
AG193	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo*	AG181 → RE101 cells
AG200	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat*	AG183 → AG141 cells
AG200BK#42	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat gtaB::TnYLB-1(kan)*	This study
AG210	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo lacA::cat pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA*	DPVB45CA → AG200 cells
AG211	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA*	DPVB45CA → AG123 cells
AG223	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo*	AG181 → AG157 cells
AG290	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → AG211 cells
AG290NopLoss	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔgtaB::erm*	AG290 lost plasmid on 20 mM MgS0_4 with kan
AG292	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA Ωerm ΔtagE*	SM50 → AG211 cells
AG293	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ggaAB::spc*	SM31 → AG229 cells
AG296	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan pLOSS-P_{spac}-ponA pgcA::tet*	SSB122 → AG211 cells
AG304	*trpC2 amyE::(P_{hyspank}-mprF, spc)*	pDR111-P_{hyspank}-mprF → 168CA cells
AG311	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::(P_{hyspank}-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → RE101 cells
AG317	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::(P_{hyspank}-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG157 cells
AG322	*trpC2 amyE::(P_{hyspank}-mprF, spc) Δmbl::cat*	4261CA → AG304 cells
AG327	*trpC2 amyE::(P_{hyspank}-mprF, spc) Ωneo ΔmreB*	3725CA → AG304 cells
AG341	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan dltAB::cat*	DLT71 (Perego et al., 1995) → AG157 cells
AG342	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → AG157 cells
AG343	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG157 cells
AG344	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA→ AG157 cells

AG347	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → AG181 cells
AG353	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc) ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA→ AG304 cells
AG370	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG342 cells
AG372	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA → AG342 cells
AG377	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	4287CA → AG344 cells
AG380	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	4287CA → AG372 cells
AG383	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat*	4285CA → RE101 cells
AG384	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → RE101 cells
AG385	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → RE101 cells
AG389	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	AG223 → AG372 cells
AG390	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔygqS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	AG223 → AG377 cells
AG393	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4289CA → AG383 cells
AG394	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → AG383 cells
AG395	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔyfnI::spc ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA→ AG384 cells
AG399	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	AG223 → AG370 cells
AG400	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm ΔyfnI::spc*	AG223 → AG380 cells
AG403	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → AG393 cells
AG417	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan*	DPVB45CA → AG105 cells
AG421	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG417 cells
AG441	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG393 cells
AG442	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm gtaB::TnYLB-1(kan)*	AG200BK#42 → 168CA → AG393 cells
AG443	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG157 cells
AG444	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → RE101 cells
AG474	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc*	PDC463 (Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013) → 168CA cells
AG475	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	Dominguez-Cuevas, unpublished → 168CA cells
AG484	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → RE101 cells

AG501	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG157 cells
AG502	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG223 cells
AG506	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → RE101 cells
AG547	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat*	This study
AG549	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat pLOSS-P_spac-lytE*	pLOSS-P_spac-lytE →AG547 cells
AG551	*trpC2 pLOSS-P_spac-lytE*	pLOSS-P_spac-lytE → 168CA cells
AG557	*trpC2 ΔponA pLOSS-P_spac-lytE*	pLOSS-P_spac-lytE → RE101 cells
AG565	*trpC2 ΩlytE-his_12::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	pMUTinHis-'lytE → 168CA cells
AG569	*trpC2 ΩltaS-his_12::(pMUTIN-`ltaS, erm)*	pMUTinHis-'ltaS → 168CA cells
AG570	*trpC2 ΩyfnI-his_12::(pMUTIN-`yfnI, erm)*	pMUTinHis-'yfnI → 168CA cells
AG586	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc ΩlytE-his_12::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	pMUTinHis-'lytE → AG474 cells
AG587	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his_12::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → RE101 cells
AG588	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩltaS-his_12::(pMUTIN-`ltaS, erm)*	AG569 → RE101 cells
AG589	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩyfnI-his_12::(pMUTIN-`yfnI, erm)*	AG570 → RE101 cells
AG593	*trpC2 ΔyqgS:spc ΔltaS::cat*	4292CA → 4285CA cells
AG594	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm ΔltaS::cat*	4289CA → 4285CA cells
AG595	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4292CA → 4289CA cells
AG600	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm ΔyqgS::spc*	4285CA → AG595 cells
AG614	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo pLOSS-P_spac-ponA ΔgtaB::erm*	SM08 → AG210 cells
AG632	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔugtP::spc*	PG253 → AG223 cells
AG636	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔmprF::phleo ΔgtaB::erm*	AG614 plasmid lost on NA MgS0_4 20 mM with erm
AG1460	*trpC2 ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG417 cells
AG1461	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG547 cells
AG1462	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4285CA cells
AG1463	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P_hyspank-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4289CA cells

AG1465	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG::kan ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG342 cells
AG1466	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → 4285CA cells
AG1467	*trpC2 ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → 4289CA cells
AG1469	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG594 cells
AG1478	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG181 cells
AG1479	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG193 cells
AG1480	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG383 cells
AG1481	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG181 cells
AG1482	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG193 cells
AG1483	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG383 cells
AG1486	*trpC2 ΔmprF::phleo ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → AG181 cells
AG1487	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔmprF::phleo ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → AG193 cells
AG1488	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔltaS::cat ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → AG383 cells
AG1489	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → 4285CA cells
AG1490	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm*	4294CA → 4285CA cells
AG1491	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm*	4293CA → 4289CA cells
AG1492	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → 4293CA cells
AG1493	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → 4293CA cells
AG1497	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG594 cells
AG1498	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG1490 cells
AG1499	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG475 → AG1491 cells
AG1500	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG1490 cells
AG1502	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm* pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE*	pLOSS-*P$_{spac}$-lytE* → AG1491 cells

AG1535	*trpC2 ΔugtP::spc ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → PG253 cells
AG1541	*trpC2 ΔcwlO::spc ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm)*	AG565 → AG474 cells
AG1581	*trpC2 Δmbl::zeo amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AK045B → AG304 cells
AG1593	*trpC2 ΔmreBH::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1594	*trpC2 ΔugtP::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1604	*trpC2 ΔponA Ωneo ΔmreB*	KS36 → RE101 cells
AG1605	*trpC2 ΔponA Δmbl::zeo*	AK045B → RE101 cells
AG1643	*trpC2 ΔugtP::kan amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc)*	AG1594 → AG475 cells
AG1663	*trpC2 ΔmprF::kan*	BGSC strain* → 168CA cells
AG1667	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1497 cells
AG1668	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1498 cells
AG1669	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔmprF::kan*	AG1663 → AG1499 cells
AG1670	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1497 cells
AG1671	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat ΔyqgS::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1498 cells
AG1672	*trpC2 ΔyqgS::cat ΔyfnI::erm amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE, spc) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG1499 cells
AG1676	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$ mprF, spc) aprE::(P$_{spac}$ lytE, erm)*	PCR on gDNA PDC620 → AG304 cells
AG1677	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$ mprF, spc) aprE::(P$_{xyl}$ lytE, erm)*	PCR on gDNA PDC702→ AG304 cells
AG1684	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm) amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF, spc)*	AG304 → AG587 cells
AG1685	*trpC2 ΔponA ΩlytE-his$_{12}$::(pMUTin-`lytE, erm) ΔugtP::kan*	AG1594 → AG587 cells
AG1707	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF-lytE)*	pDR111 P$_{hyspank}$ mprF-lytE → 168CA cells
AG1709	*trpC2 amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE-mprF)*	pDR111 P$_{hyspank}$ lytE-mprF → 168CA cells
AG1711	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF-lytE)*	AG1707 → RE101 cells
AG1713	*trpC2 ΔponA amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE-mprF)*	AG1709 → RE101 cells
AG1715	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-mprF-lytE)*	AG1707 → 4285CA cells
AG1717	*trpC2 ΔltaS::cat amyE::(P$_{hyspank}$-lytE-mprF)*	AG1709 → 4285CA cells
AK045B	*trpC2 Δmbl::zeo (in 168CA background)*	H. Strahl's lab, A. Koh
Dap^{R}1	DAP-resistant isolate derived from 168 1A1	(Hachmann et al., 2011)

Dap^{R}20	DAP-resistant isolate derived from 168 1A1	(Hachmann et al., 2011)
DLT71CA	*trpC2 dltAB::cat*	DLT71 (Perego et al., 1995) → 168CA cells
DPVB45CA	*trpC2 ΔpbpG::kan*	DPVB45 (McPherson et al., 2001) → 168CA
HB15507	Dap^{R}20 *pgsA*^{WT}	(Hachmann et al., 2011)
HB15516	Dap^{R}1 *pgsA*^{WT}	(Hachmann et al., 2011)
K532	*trpC2 lacA::cat*	D. Claessen, unpublished
KS36	*trpC2 Ωneo ΔmreB* (in 168CA background)	H. Strahl's lab, K. Seistrup
PDC620	*trpC2 aprE::(P$_{spac}$-lytE, erm)*	(Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013)
PDC702	*trpC2 ΔlytE::cat::spc aprE(::P$_{xyl}$-lytE erm)*	(Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2013)
PG253	*trpC2 ΔugtP::spc*	P. Gamba, unpublished
RE101	*trpC2 ΔponA*	(Emami et al., 2017)
RE102	*trpC2 ΔpbpF*	pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpF* (Emami et al., 2017) → 168CA cells
RE103	*trpC2 ΔpbpD*	pG$^+$host10::*ΔpbpD* (Emami et al., 2017) → 168CA cells
RE104	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD*	pG$^+$host9::*ΔponA* (Emami et al., 2017) → RE103 cells
SM08	*trpC2 ΔgtaB::erm*	S. Moore, unpublished
SM31	*trpC2 ggaAB::spc*	S. Moore, unpublished
SM50	*trpC2 Ωerm ΔtagE*	S. Moore, unpublished
SSB122	*trpC2 pgcA (yhxB)::tet*	(Branda et al., 2004)
SWA11a	*trpC2 ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF lacA::cat mprF::TnYLB-1(kan)*	This study
S. aureus		
SA113	SA113 (wild type, methicillin-sensitive)	T. Palmer, lab collection
SA113 *ΔmprF*	SA113 *ΔmprF::erm*	(Peschel et al., 2001)

[1] Antibiotic resistance to *spc*, spectinomycin; *kan*, kanamycin; *erm*, erythromycin; *neo*, neomycin; *cat*, chloramphenicol; *tet*, tetracyclin; *zeo*, zeocin and *phleo*: phleomycin. *TnYLB-1(kan)* transposon that originates from pMarB (Table EV1). *his$_{12}$* indicates a coding sequence that corresponds to a 12 His tag at the C terminus. *P$_{hyspank}$* and *P$_{spac}$* are IPTG-inducible promoters with *P$_{hyspank}$* known to be stronger. Other abbreviations: Δ, deletion; Ω, insertion.

[2] Arrows indicate how the strain was constructed by transformation using either a plasmid DNA, a chromosomal DNA (strain code is then indicated) or a PCR on chromosomal DNA. BGSC* refers to the deletion mutant collection of the Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (Koo et al., 2017).

Table EV3: primers used in this study

Primer name	Sequence (in bold restriction enzyme site)	Used for[1]
oAA01	TTTTTCACCTTAATGCTTTGCATGGTATA TCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATT TCTAGAGG	To amplify pET28a (+)
oAA02	ACCTCGGTGCGAAAAGATTCCACCACCA CCACCACCACT	To amplify pET28a (+)
oAA03	TAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGCAAAGCAT TAAGGTGAAAAAAGGCGAC	To amplify *lytE* from base 76th-1002th
oAA04	CAGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGAATCTTTT CGCACCGAGGTAACG	To amplify *lytE* from base 76th-1002th
oAA05	ACGCCGAAACAAGCGCTC	To check pET28a-*lytE-his* plasmid
oAA06	GCTAGTTATTGCTCAGCG	To check pET28a-*lytE-his* plasmid
oAG18	GTTGACTTTATCTACAAGGT	To check insert cloned in pLOSS-*P*~*spac*~-*lytE*
oAG19	GGTACCAGTAGTTCACCAC	To check pLOSS-*P*~*spac*~-*lytE*
oAG81	GAATCGCCTGGCTCACATCATC	To check *B. subtilis mprF::*TnYLB-1
oAG82	CGGCAAAGAACAGTCCCAAG	To check *B. subtilis mprF::*TnYLB-1
oAG103	CCTGTATGTCTGGCACTCAC	To amplify upstream *mprF*
oAG104	GC**TCTAGA**TGGTCTCTCCAATCATATTC	To amplify upstream *mprF* (*Eco*RI)
oAG105	CG**GAATTC**TAAGACGGAGTCTTTTTTTAT TTCG	To amplify downstream *mprF* (*Xba*I)
oAG106	CGGAGATGCGAGAGGGTTTC	To amplify downstream *mprF*
oAG107	TGCGGCATGCTCATATCCAG	To check *B. subtilis ΔmprF*
oAG108	TGGGCCAATCAGTGGACAAG	To check *B. subtilis ΔmprF*
oAG124	CCATCATCTGGTGCGAAAGG	(Emami et al., 2017), to check *B. subtilis ΔponA*
oAG125	CCGCAAAGCCGATTAATTGG	
oAG126	TCTATTGGCGAGTGCTTC	(Emami et al., 2017), to check *B. subtilis ΔpbpF*
oAG127	AGCATCGACTCCGTATTG	
oAG128	TTATTCGGAATGGCGATGGG	(Emami et al., 2017),to check *B. subtilis ΔpbpD*
oAG129	CCTTAATGGCTGCAGTTGAC	
oAG165	CTAACCTGGCTGACATTCAC	To check *B. subtilis gtaB::*TnYLB-1
oAG166	TGATCAGGTCTTCGCAGTTG	To check *B. subtilis gtaB::*TnYLB-1
oAG197	GC**TCTAGA**GCACCCATTAGTTCAACAAA CG	To amplify *cat* (*Xba*I)
oAG198	GC**GGATCC**AGTACAGTCGGCATTATCTC	To amplify *cat* (*BamH*I)
oAG271	GGG**GTCGAC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT</u> <u>G</u>CTGATTAAAAAGAATGCT	To construct pDR111-*P*~*hyspank*~-*mprF*
oAG272	GGG**GCATGC**TTGATGATATTGAAACCT	To construct pDR111-*P*~*hyspank*~-*mprF*
oAG292	AGGCACTCGTGAAAGGAATC	To check plasmid by sequencing
oAG294	TTCAGCGTGCGGTATTAGTG	To check plasmid by sequencing
oAG300	CAATCACGAAACAATAATTGG	To check cloned insert in pDR111

oAG301	GTTGACTTTATCTACAAGGTG	To check cloned insert in pDR111
oAG308	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT G</u>AAAACATTTATAAAGAAAGAGG	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*ltaS* (*Nhe*I)
oAG309	CCG**GCATGC**CCGAATGTGGAATTTGC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*ltaS* (*Sph*I)
oAG310	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT GA</u>AGAAACTTTTTTCTTACAAAC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yfnI* (*Nhe*I)
oAG311	CCG**GCATGC**TGTAATGATATGAGAGAAA GC	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yfnI* (*Sph*I)
oAG312	GGG**GCTAGC**AAAGGAGATTCCTAGG<u>AT G</u>CGAAAACGTTTTTTTCGAAG	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yqgS* (*Nhe*I)
oAG313	CCGGCATGCGAAAGCCTCCCGCTCACTT C	To construct pDR111-*P_{hyspank}*-*yqgS* (*Sph*I)
oAG340	GTCACATGAAGTCAAGACTATT	To check *ΔlytE*
oAG341	ACGGTTTATCAAGGAAGGACTC	To check *ΔlytE*
oAG348	GTG**GCGGCCGC**ATGAAAAAGCAAATCA TTACAG	To construct pLOSS-*P_{spac}*-*lytE* (*Not*I)
oAG349	CCG**GGATCC**GATTGCCCTTTATGAAAAT AAG	To construct pLOSS-*P_{spac}*-*lytE* (*BamH*I)
oAG350	GTCTGTGCTTGAGGATAAGG	To amplify upstream *lytE* for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG351	GGG**TCTAGA**CTGCTGTCGTAGCTGTAAT G	To amplify upstream *lytE* (*Xba*I) for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG352	CCG**GGATCC**GCGAAAGATTCTAATTTT TAG	To amplify downstream *lytE* (*BamH*I), for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG353	GATCCGTTTGCGTGTTTC	To amplify downstream *lytE,* for construction *ΔlytE*
oAG354	GGG**GAATTC**GGCGGAACGACAACTTCA G	To construct pMUTin-`*lytE-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*lytE* (no stop codon)
oAG355	GGG**CTCGAG**GAATCTTTTCGCACCGAGG	To construct pMUTin-`*lytE-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*lytE* (no stop codon)
oAG360	GGC**GAATTC**GATTTATTCTCTAAAGACC ACG	To construct pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*yfnI* (no stop codon)
oAG361	GGG**CTCGAG**TTTGATTTCTTTCTCCTTGC CG	To construct pMUTin-`*yfnI-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*yfnI* (no stop codon)
oAG366	GGC**GAATTC**CGAAACGGAGACTTTATTT CAC	To construct pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*ltaS* (no stop codon)
oAG367	GGG**CTCGAG**TTTATCTTCGTTATCCTTTG AC	To construct pMUTin-`*ltaS-his*, amplify 3' terminus `*ltaS* (no stop codon)
oAG368	CAAGGTGTGGCATAATGT	To check integration in pMUTinHis
oAG369	GACATCCAGAGGCACTTC	To check integration in pMUTinHis
oAG412	CACCTGGTTTTCTGCTATAGT	To check *B. subtilis ΔgtaB*
oAG413	GTTTAACTCTACAATCAGTG	To check *B. subtilis ΔgtaB*

PhleoXbaI-fw	GC**TCTAGA**TCTTCCTTCAGGTTATGAC	To amplify *phleo* from pIC22 (*Xba*I)
PhleoEcoRI-rev	C**GAATTC**CGCGCCCGATTGCTGAAC	To amplify *phleo* from pIC22 (*Eco*RI)
oAG686	AAGAGAATGCGCCGGAACATC	To amplify *aprE* locus and generate strain AG1676-1677
oAG689	TGACATTCGGCACACTCC	To amplify *aprE* locus and generate strain AG1676-1677
oAG911	TGATTGGCAAAAGCAAAAAGACTCCGTCTAATAGTCGACAAAGGAGATTCCTAGGatgaaaaagcaaatcattacagc	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF-lytE* (co-expression)
oAG912	GACCTCGTTTCCACCGAATTAGCTTGCATGCTTGATGATATTGAAACCTAttagaatcttttcgcaccgag	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*mprF-lytE* (co-expression)
oAG913	GGTACGTACGATCTTTCAGC	To check plasmid construct
oAG914	GGAGTGGCGAGGGAAATAC	To check plasmid construct
oAG915	ccttaatgctttgtgcagatg	To check plasmid construct
oAG916	GTTAACTCTAGAGCTGCCTG	To check plasmid construct
oAG917	GTGTGTAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTAAGCTTAGTCGACAAAGGAGATTCCTAGGatgaaaaagcaaatcattacagc	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*lytE-mprF* (co-expression)
oAG918	TATTGATAAAGCATTCTTTTTAATCAGCATCCTAGGAATCTCCTTTGTCGACTAttagaatcttttcgcaccgag	To construct pDR111-$P_{hyspank}$-*lytE-mprF* (co-expression)
oAG919	GATGACCATGAGCGTACG	To check plasmid construct
oAG920	ccgtctgttggtgatttcgtc	To check plasmid construct

[1] *cat,* chloramphenicol resistance*; phleo*, phleomycin cassette; P_{spac} and $P_{hyspank}$ are promoter inducible with IPTG, the latter is known to be stronger; $rep_{pLS20}(GA{\rightarrow}CC)$, unstable replication in *Bacillus, TnYLB-1* transposon associated to kanamycin resistance.

APPENDIX

Insights into the role of lipoteichoic acids in *Bacillus subtilis*- a new function for MprF

Aurélie Guyet[1]*, Amirah Alofi[1], Richard A. Daniel[1]*

[1]The Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Baddiley-Clark Building, Medical School, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, United Kingdom.
*For correspondence: aurelie.guyet@newcastle.ac.uk and richard.daniel@newcastle.ac.uk
Tel. +44 1912 083239.

TABLE OF CONTENT

A

B

Appendix Figure S1. Other growth condition assays supporting Fig 1.

A Ten-fold spot growth assays of strains *B. subtilis* wild type 168CA, AG157 (*ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* renamed *Δ4*), AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*), SWA11a (*ΔponA ΔpbpD ΔpbpF mprF::TnYLB-1 lacA*), AG417 (*ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG* renamed *Δ3*), RE101 (*ΔponA*), AG193 (*ΔponA ΔmprF)* and AG181 (*ΔmprF*). Serial dilutions were spotted onto nutrient agar (NA) with or without glucose 1% (**Fig 1B**) as well as on PAB agar (this figure). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and imaged.

B Overnight cultures of strains were diluted back in NB and grown at 37°C in presence or absence of IPTG (dashed or solid lines, respectively). Growth curves of strains carrying $P_{hyspank}$-*mprF* are displayed with the following code: wild-type-like MprF ↑ (black, diamond symbol, AG304 strain), *ΔponA* MprF ↑ (red, round symbol, AG311 strain), *Δ4* MprF ↑ (blue, triangle symbol, AG317 strain) and *Δmbl* MprF ↑ (green, square-cross symbol, AG322 strain).

Appendix Figure S2. Growth of actin like mutants in 168CA background and in absence of *ponA*.

Strains were streaked from the glycerol stock onto NA plates supplemented with antibiotic and/or magnesium, where required. After incubation at 37°C overnight, a single colony for each strain was then streak across the set of plates (Glc 0.5%) shown above and scanned after 24 h or 48 h incubation at 37°C. The following strains obtained in 168CA background were inoculated: (A) *ΔmreB* (KS36), *Δmbl* (AK045B), *ΔmreBH* (AG1593); (B) *ΔponA* (RE101), AG1605 (*ΔponA Δmbl*), AG1604 (*ΔponA ΔmreB*).

Appendix Figure S3. Additional TEM images of *B. subtilis* strains.

Figure shows images of cross-sections of *B. subtilis* 168CA (**A**), *mprF* mutant (AG181) (**B**) and strain overexpressing *mprF* (AG304) (**C**) grown in nutrient broth (with IPTG present to overexpress *mprF*). Samples were taken in mid-exponential growth. Arrows indicate abnormal cell wall structures that seem specific to the overexpression of *mprF*. All images were taken at 15,000x magnification and processed in ImageJ (Fiji) with scale bars attached.

Appendix Figure S4. Absence of *gtaB* or *ugtP* causes severe growth defect in absence of *ponA*.

Strains were taken from the glycerol stocks onto NA plate supplemented with antibiotic and/or magnesium, where required. After incubation at 37°C overnight, a single colony was then streak across a set of plates shown above. The top plan indicates the strains used and their position in the pictures taken after 24 h and 72 h incubation at 37°C.

A

B

C

strains	168CA	*Δ4*	*Δ4 ΔltaS*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*	*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*	*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*	*Δ4 ΔmprF*
average cell width (μm)	0.8399	0.6595	0.6679	0.7269	0.7560	0.6538	0.7666	0.6793
stdev	0.0469	0.0492	0.0550	0.0528	0.0499	0.0513	0.0529	0.0546
average cell lenght (μm)	3.258	4.557	4.828	4.873	4.926	4.813	5.312	4.659
stdev	0.787	2.075	2.325	2.240	2.301	1.926	2.651	2.180
cells counted	437	419	414	426	420	423	416	399

Appendix Figure S5. Cell morphogenesis changes associated with deletion of LTA-synthase genes combined with class A PBPs null mutants of *B. subtilis*.

All the strains grew exponentially in NB with 10 mM MgS0$_4$, were washed and diluted in NB. Strains 168CA, AG157 (*Δ4*), AG342 (*Δ4 ΔltaS*), AG377 (*Δ4 ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG370 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG372 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG380 (*Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and AG223 (*Δ4 ΔmprF*) (**Table EV2**) grown for 120 min until mid-exponential at 37°C. Cells were stained with FM5-95 dye and microscopy images were acquired. Cells were measured using plugin ObjectJ in ImageJ. About 400 cells were counted for each strain. Content of the figure is from data acquired by one experiment only. Due to the formation of twisted cell chains, the cells measurement of *Δ4 ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS* strain was done on the straight regions of the chain. Distributions of cell width (**A**) and cell length (**B**). (**C**) indicates the average of cell width and cell length in the cell population analysed.

Appendix Figure S6. Effect of LTA-synthase deletions in *ΔponA* background.

A 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared in NB as in previous assays for strains 168CA, RE101 (*ΔponA)*, AG383 (*ΔponA ΔltaS*), AG384 (*ΔponA ΔyfnI),* AG385 (*ΔponA ΔyqgS),* AG393 (*ΔponA ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG394 (*ΔponA ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG395 (*ΔponA ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and AG403 (*ΔponA ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) (**Table EV2**). Plates were incubated at 37°C and imaged at 22 h.

B Strains described in (A) were diluted in NB and grown at 37°C for 120 min. When cells reached mid-exponential phase, cell membranes were stained with a FM5-95 dye and observed under a microscope. Representative images were assembled in photoshop. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Appendix Figure S7. Results of three independent LTA Western blots supporting Fig 3A.

The lower part of the membrane was probed using a monoclonal LTA antibody and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibody. The other membrane part was probed using polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies, to provide a sample loading control. Samples were prepared from strains *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), 4289CA (*ΔyfnI*), 4292CA (*ΔyqgS*), AG595 (*ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*), AG593 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS*), AG594 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI*), AG600 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS*) and 4620 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI ΔyqgS ΔyvgJ*, noted here *Δ*LTA).

Appendix Figure S8. Results of three independent LTA Western blots supporting Fig 3B.

The lower part of the membrane was probed using a monoclonal LTA antibody and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibody. The other membrane part was probed using polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies, to provide a sample loading control. Samples were prepared from strains *B. subtilis* wild type (168CA), AG417 *(ΔpbpD ΔpbpF ΔpbpG)*, RE101 *(ΔponA)*, AG181 *(ΔmprF)*, AG193 *(ΔponA ΔmprF)*, AG304 (MprF ↑), AG311 *(ΔponA* MprF ↑)*, 4261CA *(Δmbl)*, AG322 *(Δmbl* MprF ↑).

Appendix Figure S9. Results of three independent LTA Western blots supporting Fig 3C.

The lower part of the membrane was probed using a monoclonal LTA antibody and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibody. The other membrane part was probed using polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies, to provide a sample loading control. Samples were extracted from *B. subtilis* 168CA, 4285CA (*ΔltaS*), AG383 (*ΔltaS ΔponA*), PG253 (*ΔugtP*) and AG444 (*ΔugtP ΔponA*) strains.

Appendix Figure S10. LytE overexpression is synthetically lethal in absence of PBP1 or LtaS.

lytE overexpression was analysed in strains which integrated at the *amyE* locus an IPTG-inducible $P_{hyspank}$-*lytE,* represented here LytE ↑. The strains tested had the following relevant features: AG484 (*ΔponA* LytE ↑), AG1460 (*Δ3 ponA⁺* LytE ↑), AG501 (*Δ4* LytE ↑), AG502 (*Δ4 ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1465 (*Δ4 ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1478 (*ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1479 (*ΔponA ΔmprF* LytE ↑), AG1462 (*ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1480 (*ΔponA ΔltaS* LytE ↑), AG1497 (*ΔltaS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1498 (*ΔltaS ΔyqgS* LytE ↑) and AG1499 (*ΔyqgS ΔyfnI* LytE ↑). The following strains that did not display any obvious phenotype are not represented here: AG475 (168CA LytE ↑), AG1461 (*ΔlytE* LytE ↑), AG1463 (*ΔyfnI* LytE ↑), AG1492 (*ΔyqgS* LytE ↑). NA plates were supplemented with IPTG (0.1 mM), glucose Glc (0.5%) and MgS0₄ (10 mM). Plates were incubated at 37°C and scanned after 24 h (**Fig 4A**) and 48 h.

Appendix Figure S11. Results of three independent experiments supporting Fig 4C.

Relative abundance of LytE and PBP2B protein levels in mutant strains detected by Western blots. Strains expressing LytE-His$_{12}$ under the control of its native promoter were grown in NB and NB supplemented with glucose 0.2% until late exponential growth at 37°C. For simplification on this figure only the relevant background feature is displayed for the following strains: wild type-like AG565 (WT*), AG587 (*ΔponA*), AG1486 (*ΔmprF*), AG1487 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG1489 (*ΔltaS*), AG1488 (*ΔponA ΔltaS*), AG1535 (*ΔugtP*) and AG1541 (*ΔcwlO*). In addition, the wild type 168CA (i.e. not expressing LytE-His$_{12}$) was grown in parallel and used here as a negative control for the LytE-blot. The bottom part of the membrane (split at ~ 53 kDa position) was incubated with a monoclonal Penta-His and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibodies. The top membrane part was detected with a polyclonal anti-PBP2B and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibodies. # For each section, the middle panel corresponds to the raw image of the LytE-His$_{12}$ blot at a detection time where one of the sample signals has already reached saturation.

Appendix Figure S12. Results of three independent experiments supporting Fig 4D.

Relative abundance of LTA-synthases LtaS, YfnI and PBP2B protein levels in mutant strains detected by Western blots. The samples used in Appendix Figure S10 were loaded on a new SDS-gel and the Western blot was carried out with polyclonal anti-LtaS (that cross reacts with YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab), anti-PBP2B antibodies, and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibody. A weak non-specific protein band is observed between PBP2B and LtaS and is detected by the anti-PBP2B antibody. Strains expressing LytE-His$_{12}$ under the control of its native promoter were grown in NB and NB supplemented with glucose 0.2% until late exponential growth at 37°C. For simplification on this figure only the relevant background feature is displayed for the following strains: 168CA, wild type-like AG565 (WT*), AG587 (*ΔponA*), AG1486 (*ΔmprF*), AG1487 (*ΔponA ΔmprF*), AG1489 (Δ*ltaS*), AG1488 (*ΔponA ΔltaS*), AG1535 (*ΔugtP*) and AG1541 (*ΔcwlO*).

Appendix Figure S13. Effect of magnesium in culture medium on the production of LytE and LtaS and accumulation of LtaS/YfnI and LytE in synthetically sick strains Δ*ponA* MprF ↑ and Δ*ponA* Δ*ugtP*.

Detection of LytE-His$_{12}$ and PBP2B by Western blots. Strains expressing LytE-His$_{12}$ under the control of its native promoter were grown in NB supplemented with glucose 0.2% with or without MgS0$_4$ (10 mM) at 37°C. For simplification on this figure only the relevant background feature is displayed for the following strains: wild type like AG565 (WT*), AG587 (Δ*ponA*), AG1487 (Δ*ponA* Δ*mprF*), AG1488 (Δ*ponA* Δ*ltaS*), AG1541 (Δ*cwlO*), AG1684 (Δ*ponA* MprF ↑) and AG1685 (Δ*ponA* Δ*ugtP*). The last two strains were also grown in parallel in NB. The top membrane part was incubated with polyclonal anti-LtaS (that cross reacts with YfnI, unpublished Errington's lab), anti-PBP2B antibodies, and HRP-linked anti-rabbit antibody.The bottom part of the membrane was incubated with a monoclonal Penta-His and an HRP-linked anti-mouse antibodies. Images were processed in ImageJ software. Results of three independent experiments are presented here.