Predicting the effects of multiple global change drivers on microbial communities remains challenging

Marcel Suleiman, Uriah Daugaard, Yves Choffat, Xue Zheng, Owen L Petchey

Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental studies, University of Zurich

Corresponding author: Marcel Suleiman, marcel.suleiman@uzh.ch, Tel: +41 44 635 4773

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Microbial communities in many ecosystems are facing a broad range of global change drivers, such as nutrient enrichment, chemical pollution, and temperature change. These drivers can cause changes in the abundance of taxa, the composition of communities, and the properties of ecosystems. While the influence of single drivers is already described in numerous studies, the effect and predictability of multiple drivers changing simultaneously is still poorly understood. In this study, we used 240 highly replicable oxic/anoxic aquatic lab micro-ecosystems and four drivers (fertilizer, glyphosate, metal pollution, antibiotics) in all possible combinations at three different temperatures (20 °C, 24 °C, and 28 °C) to shed light into consequences of multiple drivers on different levels of organization, ranging from species abundance to community and ecosystem parameters. We found (i) that at all levels of ecological organisation, combinations of drivers can change the biological consequence and direction of effect compared to single drivers (ii), that effects of combinations are further modified by temperature, (iii) that a larger number of drivers occurring simultaneously is often quite closely related to their effect size, and (iv) that there is little evidence that any of these effects are associated with the level of ecological organisation of the state variable. These findings suggest that, at least in this experimental ecosystem approximating a stratified aquatic ecosystem, there may be relatively little scope for predicting the effects of

30   combinations of drivers from the effects of individual drivers, or by accounting for the level

31   of ecological organisation in question, though there may be some scope for prediction based

32   on the number of drivers that are occurring simultaneous. A priority, though also a

33   considerable challenge, is to extend such research to consider continuous variation in the

34   magnitude of multiple drivers acting together.

Introduction

Microbial communities are key components of many ecosystems, and yet must face many

anthropogenic global change drivers (Christensen et al. 2006; Jackson et al. 2016).

Confrontation with fertilizer (Suleiman et al. 2017) and metal pollution (Xu et al. 2018a),

pesticides like glyphosate(Solomon and Thompson 2003; Relyea 2009), antibiotics (Xu et al.

2018b) and temperature increase (Wu et al. 2011) force populations and whole ecosystems

to develop in different ways compared to less or unaffected ones (Tylianakis et al. 2008).

Studies show that even slight changes in environmental conditions can lead to large

taxonomic and functional microbial change, and even to regime shifts, with potential long-

term consequences for biogeochemical cycles and ecological function of the affected habitat

(Gruber 2011; Suleiman et al. 2021b).

While many studies have focused on one global change driver, recent studies

(Christensen et al. 2006; Rillig et al. 2019; Suleiman et al. 2021a) indicated that the combined

effect of multiple drivers applied simultaneously is often not equal to the sum of the effects

of each individual driver. Rather, they demonstrate that interactive effects can occur. These

can be synergistic (combined effect greater than the sum of the effect of individual drivers)

or antagonistic (combined effect less than the sum of the effect of individual drivers).

Furthermore, not just the type of driver, but also the number of factors combined plays a

crucial role (Rillig et al. 2019). Nevertheless, the number of studies testing three or more

drivers remains very low (Rillig et al. 2019), which highlights the need for further

investigations of combined effects. Combining this with the importance of understanding

drivers of change in microbial communities is a critical aspect of the emerging research field

of climate/global change microbiology (Hutchins et al. 2019).

The limited number of studies with three or more drivers may be in part due to the

logistical demands of the required experiments and the complexity of interpreting their

results. For example, to assess all interactions a fully-factorial experimental design is needed

which quickly leads to large experiments. Regarding interpretation, it can be difficult to

understand the meaning of interactions among more than three drivers, such that even if one

would conduct a fully factorial experiment, traditional methods for interpreting interactions

(such as interaction plots) may be insufficient. Some studies have instead focused on the

effect of variation in the number of drivers, and have applied only a subsample of all possible

combinations (e.g. Brennan and Collins 2015; Rillig et al. 2019).

Another gap in understanding is how drivers and combinations of drivers act across

levels of ecological organization from individuals to ecosystems (Galic et al. 2018). For

example, in a case study of a model of amphipod feeding behavior Galic et al (2018) showed

that responses to multiple drivers at the individual level were not consistent with those at

higher levels of organization. In their case-study, the nature of this inconsistency would lead

to underestimation of effects at population and ecosystem levels if effects at the individual

level were assumed to hold across levels of organisation.

These gaps in knowledge are problematic. One reason is that interactions can be

source of ecological surprises, i.e., if we assume additivity we can be surprised if there are

interactions (Christensen et al 2006). Also, unless there are some generalities about interactions among drivers, we will never be able to predict the effect of a new (previously unstudied) combination of drivers, so interactions will also then be a surprise (Christensen et al 2006). Hence, we and others (e.g., Simmons et al 2021, Rillig et al 2018) are interested in discovering if there are any general patterns that will allow us to predict the effects of combinations of environmental changes. This report is about our search for signals of general patterns in the effects of combinations of environmental drivers. For example, whether the relationship between a biological variable and the number of drivers is dependent on the level of ecological organization of the biological variable and whether the strength and nature of the interaction effects varies with level of ecological organization.

Microbial communities in aquatic ecosystems are complex (Chistensen et al. 2006; Davis et al. 2010; Faust and Raes 2012), consisting of feedback among numerous abiotic and biotic interactions (Singh et al. 2009), among and within functional microbial groups (Bush et al. 2017; Richardson et al. 2018). Recent work indicates that these ecosystems are sensitive to environmental change (Christensen et al. 2006; Shade et al. 2011, 2012; Suleiman et al. 2021b, a), identifying them as appropriate systems for the study of the influences of global changes.

In this work, we applied four different global change drivers (fertilizer, glyphosate, metal pollution, and antibiotics) together with increasing temperatures (20 °C, 24 °C, and 28 °C) in all combinations possible, on a recently developed and highly replicable stratified aquatic microbial lab system. Our experiment includes the analysis of several abiotic (oxygen, total nitrogen, total organic carbon, pH) and biotic variables (diversity richness, microbial community composition, genera abundances), since recent studies have shown that various levels of ecosystems can be affected (Weithoff et al. 2000; Shade et al. 2011, 2012; Suleiman

102 et al. 2021b) and in order to examine if effects show predictable variation across levels of

103 organisation. We hypothesize that (i) combinations of drivers will have non-additive effects

104 on system properties, (ii) the non-additive effects will be different depending on the

105 temperature, and (iii) increasing the number of drivers applied will cause a systematic change

106 in system properties.

Material and methods

Experimental ecosystems

Incubation of stratified microbial communities was performed in micro-ecosystems consisting

of standard glass test tubes (4 mL volume). These test tubes were closed with plastic lids, with

a small whole (0.5 mm) that allowed gas exchange between the headspace and the

atmosphere. Each micro-ecosystem consisted of sediment and water samples taken in May

from a small pond in Zurich, Switzerland (47°23'51.2"N 8°32'33.3"E, temperature of 19 °C, pH

of 7) at a depth of 25 cm. Sediment was homogenized (30 min mixing) and subsequently

supplemented with sterile 0.25 % crystalline cellulose, 0.25 % methyl-cellulose, 0.5 % $CaSO_4$,

0.1% $CaCO_3$, and 0.005 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$. Glass tubes were filled with a 3 mm layer of

supplemented sediment and covered with 3.4 mL pond water (with 0.005 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$),

resulting in 500 µL headspace. Microecosystems were incubated at room temperature for 2

hours to let sediment settle, before the different treatments were applied. The experiment

involved incubation for 23 days at either 20 °C, 24 °C or 28 °C.

Experimental design

The four drivers, namely $NH_4H_2PO_4$-fertilizer (F), Glyphosate (G), metal pollution (M) and antibiotics (A), each had two levels. Furthermore, control micro-ecosystems (C) were used without application of any drivers and the experiment was carried out at 20 °C, 24 °C and 28 °C under a dark-light cycle of 8:16 h. The temperature treatment and four driver treatments were applied in a fully factorial design. Five replicates (Burgess et al. 2022) per treatment combination resulted in 240 micro-ecosystems, according to the following design:

["Control","F","G","M","A","FG","FM","FA","GM","GA","MA","FGM","FGA","GMA","FMA",

"FGMA"] * 5 replicates * 3 Temperatures

= 240 micro-ecosystems.

For the driver treatments, the following chemical compounds were added to the micro-ecosystems two hours after the assembly of the micro-ecosystems:

- $NH_4H_2PO_4$ in final concentration of 0.01 % as fertilizer (F), as used in a previous study (Suleiman et al., 2021)

- Glyphosate in final concentration of 0.0001 % (G) as used in a recent study (da Costa et al. 2021)

- For metal pollution: DSMZ Trace element solution SL-10 final concentration 10x higher than recommended (find medium composition here https://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/medium/pdf/DSMZ_Medium320.pdf) (M)

- Mixture of penicillin and ampicillin (0,05 mg/100 mL each) in final concentration of 0.000005 % for antibiotic treatment (A), since such amounts were detected in natural aquatic habitats (Xu et al. 2018b)

151 Drivers were applied to the micro-ecosystems only on day 0; there were no further press or

152 pulse perturbations.

153 Microbial community composition
154
After incubation for 23 days, the whole micro-ecosystem was centrifuged for 5 min at 10'000

rpm (sediment and water column together). DNA was extracted using ZymoBIOMICS DNA

Miniprep Kit (ZymoResearch), following the manufacturer's instructions. Full-length 16S rRNA

gene amplification was performed using the primer pair 27F forward primer (5'-

AGRGTTYGATYMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1592R reverse primer (5'-RGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'),

as reported previously (Suleiman et al., 2021).

PCR products were visualized on an 1 % (w/v) agarose gel and were pooled for

sequencing in equal concentrations. PCR product pools were purified using AMPure®PB beads

(PacBio). Sequencing was performed at the Functional Genomic Center Zürich, Switzerland,

and performed using SMRT Technology (PacBio) as reported previously (Suleiman et al.

2021a) (on three SMRT chips). Sequencing data quality were checked using the PacBio SMRT

Link software.

167
Sequencing data were filtered based on primer sequences, length (1300-1600 bp),

quality, error rates and chimeras using the R-package *dada2* (Callahan et al. 2016). The final

sequence table was aligned using SILVA ribosomal RNA database (Quast et al. 2012), using

version 138 (non-redundant dataset 99). A phyloseq object was created using the *phyloseq* R-

package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013), consisting of amplicon sequence variant (ASV) table,

taxonomy table and sample data. For further analysis, the R-packages *phyloseq* (McMurdie

and Holmes 2013) and *vegan* (Oksanen et al. 2019) were used.

To compare the effects of the multiple drivers, we analysed various response

variables, namely community composition based on non-metric multidimensional scaling

NMDS, Shannon richness, oxygen, total nitrogen TN, total organic carbon TOC, and

abundances of specific genera and functional groups.

Microbial community composition was quantified using NMDS based on Bray-Curtis

scores with the *metaMDS* function of the vegan R-package (Oksanen et al. 2019), with three

dimensions used (k=3, try=100). Shannon richness was calculated using the *phyloseq* package

(McMurdie and Holmes 2013). Functional groups were constructed by filtering for

cyanobacterial and sulfur-related species, which resulted in a reduced dataset consisting of

members of the orders *Cyanobacteriales*, *Chromatiales*, *Synechococcales*, *Chlorobiales*,

Leptolyngbyales, *Desulfobulbales*, *Desulfovibrionales*, *Limnotrichales* and *Campylobacterales*.

The community of these members were used as a subset for NMDS analysis in this study.

Detailed scripts and a phyloseq with all sequences are available in the github repository

https://github.com/Marcel2907/multiple-stressor   and   on   zenodo   (DOI

10.5281/zenodo.5948600).

Measurement of abiotic factors

Oxygen concentration was measured 1.5 cm below the water/air interphase using an

oxygen dipping probe (PreSens, Germany) at the last day of incubation. pH was measured in

the supernatant of the centrifuged micro-ecosystemTotal nitrogen (TN) and total organic

carbon (TOC) were measured in the supernatant using a TOC analyser.

 Data analysis

For every response variable (see above) we carried out two linear models, one to investigate

the relation between the response variable and the various drivers and their combinations

and one to investigate how the response depended on the number of drivers applied. To

ensure that modelling assumptions were met, we carried out the following response variable

transformations, which are listed in detail in the deposited R script. For total nitrogen we took

the square root, for total carbon we took the squared inverse, for *Tychonema* we used a logit

transformation and for *Sulfuricurvum* we took the cubic root. For the variables not listed here

no data transformations were necessary. Further, for total nitrogen concentration we

excluded 13 data points due to measurement problems. For the analyses of the effect of the

number of applied drivers, all response variables were further standardized to render them

more comparable. Moreover, in the cases of pH and total nitrogen we included the variable

fertilizer as a covariate, as it strongly influenced these response variables. In all models we

included all possible covariate interactions.

Results

We first show the results regarding the microbial community and genera abundances of the

240 micro-ecosystems (Fig. 1). We then show the impact of driver combination and of number

of applied drivers on the response variables microbial richness (Shannon), community

structure (NMDS1), community structure of a subset of the taxa, oxygen and pH (Fig. 2).

Additional abiotic and biotic variables were investigated (NMDS2, total nitrogen, total carbon)

and can be found in detail in Supplementary Fig. 3. The summary results of all variables

(species, community, ecosystem) analysed based on the impact of driver combination can be

found in Fig. 3, and the influence of number of drivers in Fig. 4. Finally, Fig. 5 illustrates one

of the observed three-way interactions among drivers.

In total, 20'319 unique ASVs were identified after running the dada2 pipeline. After

filtering to retain only ASVs with relative abundance of at least 0.1 % in at least one sample,

the total number of ASVs decreased to 5'830 taxa. The control micro-ecosystems consisted

of mainly cyanobacteria (e.g., *Phormidaceae*) and phototrophic sulfur bacteria (specially

Chromatiaceae) (Fig. 1 a&b, Supplementary Fig. 1). Depending on the driver combination and

temperature, the microbial communities and genera abundances showed strong differences

compared to the controls. Micro-ecosystems with glyphosate and metal pollution, each as a

single driver or in combination, were still dominated by members of *Phormidiaceae* and

Chromatiaceae, but in all remaining treatments the abundance of members of these families

was lower (Supplementary Fig. 1).

235
Also on genus level, we observed shifts in microbial composition due to driver

combination and temperature (Fig. 1 b). The cyanobacterial genus *Tychonema* was highly

abundant in the metal pollution only treatment, and with increasing temperature also in

glyphosate+metal pollution treatments (20 % at 28 °C, 7 % at 20 °C). *Chromatium*, in contrast,

appeared in several treatments and reached highest relative abundance at 20 °C when

confronted with G:M (20 %), but vanished with increasing temperature in all treatments (<

5%). Statistical analysis of the dependence of relative abundance on driver combinations and

temperature were performed on genus level for *Tychonema* and *Sulfuricurvum*, as

representative species for high-abundance and low-abundance taxa (Fig. 3). Statistical

analysis confirmed significant changes of relative species abundance caused by individual

drivers, due to combination of drivers, and due to temperature.

247
248 **Fig. 1 Effects of drivers and driver combinations on microbial community composition and genera abundance. (a)** Microbial
249 community composition (mean per 5 replicates) at family level. Taxa with rel. abundance > 5 % shown. **(b)** Relative
250 abundance of specific genera with rel. abundance > 5 % for each driver combination at each of the three temperatures.
251 White color represents a relative abundance < 5 %.
252
253

ASV diversity of the micro-ecosystems changed depending on the combination of

drivers (Fig. 2a+b), resulting in Shannon index values between 3 and 7, with the majority of

samples between 5 and 6, indicating a high diversity in most of the micro-ecosystems. Several

significant driver treatments and combinations effects occurred, namely temperature

increase (F-statistic = 6.8, p-value = 0.001), fertilizer (F-statistic = 16.0, p-value < 0.001),

antibiotics (F-statistic = 26.3, p-value < 0.001), as well as combination of fertilizer+antibiotics

(F-statistic = 17.9, p-value < 0.001) and fertilizer+antibiotics+glyphosate (F-statistic = 4.8, p-

value = 0.030) (Fig. 2a). All significant driver combinations had a positive effect on diversity,

except the temperature increase to 28 °C and the fertilizer+antibiotic combination treatment

(Fig. 3). Interestingly, the negative effect of fertilizer+antibiotic was reversed to a positive

effect again by adding glyphosate, while the treatment of glyphosate alone had no impact

(Fig. 2a, Fig. 3). When analysing the effect of number of drivers and temperature increase on

diversity, just temperature was identified to have a significant effect, but the number of

drivers had no impact (Fig. 2b, Fig. 4).

Fertilizer addition was a key driver of microbial community composition (quantified

via NMDS). (Fig. 2b+c, Supplementary Figure 2). Numerous driver combinations showed

significant effects on NMDS score 1 and NMDS score 2 (Fig. 2c for NMDS1, Supplementary Fig.

3 for NMDS2, Fig. 3 for both), ranging from single to four-way effects. Different impact

directions (positive or negative) can be identified depending on the driver combinations (Fig.

2c, Fig. 3). The three-way interaction of fertilizer+antibiotics+temperature on NMDS1 score is

a good example how combination of drivers can change the impact direction (Fig. 5): When

no fertilizer was present, the NMDS1 score was negative, while in presence of fertilizer, the

NMDS1 score was positive. Additionally the combination of antibiotics and temperature

changed the magnitude of the NMDS1 score. NMDS1 score was affected by number of drivers

(F-statistic = 82.8, p-value < 0.001) (Fig. 2d, Fig. 4), and NMDS2 score was affected by both

number of drivers (F-statistic = 12.7, p-value < 0.001) and temperature (F-statistic = 27.8, p-

value < 0.001, Supplementary Figure 2b, Fig. 4). For both NMDS scores there was no

interaction between number of drivers and temperature.

Analysis of the community of the subset of cyanobacteria, phototrophic sulfur bacteria

and sulfate-reducing bacteria confirmed the trends observed for NMDS of all ASVs (Fig. 2e for

NMDS1, Supplementary Fig. 3 for NMDS2, Fig. 3 for both), but showed slight differences on

three-factorial and four-factorial driver combinations.

Oxygen concentration was highly dependent on the driver combinations used, and

various strong effects were identified (Fig. 2g), both positive and negative in direction. All

drivers combined had a negative effect on oxygen concentration (F-statistic = 4.3, p-value =

0.040, non-standardised estimate -6.1 %). The number of drivers applied had a strong effect

on the oxygen concentration (F-statistic = 18.8, p-value < 0.001), as well as temperature (F-

statistic = 17.9, p-value < 0.001), and the interaction between temperature and number of

drivers (F-statistic = 3.3, p-value = 0.040, Fig. 2h, Fig. 4).

The pH of the micro-ecosystems was influenced by numerous treatment

combinations, but the strongest influences was identified as fertilizer addition, which

decreased the pH from 8.5 to 6.5 (F-statistic = 3.2×10^3, p-value < 0.001, Fig. 2i). Driver

combinations that include fertilizer addition had lower magnitude though were of the same

sign (Fig. 3). Number of drivers had a negative effect on pH (F-statistic = 4.4, p-value = 0.037),

with the clearest effect at 20 °C and no fertilizer used, in which case pH decreased the more

drivers were applied (Fig. 2j, Fig. 4).

Effects on total nitrogen were driven by addition of fertilizer (F-statistic = 7.4×10^4, p-

value < 0.001), but also numerous other combinations had a significant effect (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Fig. 3). Furthermore, number of drivers strongly affected the total nitrogen

concentration (F-statistic = 67.8, p-value < 0.001). Total carbon concentration was

significantly affected by fertilizer (F-statistic = 31.9, p-value = 0.005), metal pollution (F-

statistic = 9.9, p-value = 0.002) and temperature (F-statistic = 23.4, p-value < 0.001), as well

as by antibiotics (F-statistic = 9.2, p-value = 0.003) and numerous combinations (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Fig. 3). Furthermore, for the concentration of total carbon there was a

significant interaction between number of drivers and temperature (F-statistic = 3.5, p-value

= 0.032), with TC increasing with number of applied drivers at 28°C (Fig. 4, note that the

response variable is the square inverse of total carbon).

Fig. 2 Effects of driver combination, number of drivers, and temperature on microbial community and ecosystem variables.
Each row of the figure contains two graphs, both of which concern the same response variable, e.g., first row (a) and (b)
concern Shannon diversity index. The left column of figures shows the effects of temperature and driver combination. The
right column shows the effects of temperature and number of drivers. Symbols are the mean of the five replicates, and errors
bars show the standard error of the mean.

Fig. 3 Summary of statistical analyses of all variables analysed. Eleven variables are shown in the columns of the heat map, (grouped by genus appearance, microbial richness and community structure and abiotic factors). Rows show the estimated coefficients of the single, one-way, two-way, three-way, and four-way interaction terms. Grey cells indicate a response variable and coefficient pairs for which the coefficients were not significantly different from zero (t-test p-value > 0.05), otherwise the diverging colour palette illustrates the direction of the influence by the driver or interaction of drivers (estimates of each variable were standardized by dividing by largest absolute value of the estimates in each variable). See the main text for the applied response variable transformations. tn = total nitrogen, tc= total carbon.

328
Fig. 4 Effect size of number of drivers for each response variable at each temperature. Means and 95 % confidence intervals
330 are shown. For the variables of pH and total nitrogen, fertilizer was used as a covariate due to its strong influence. Filled total
331 nitrogen and pH labels: fertilizer was used as a driver. Empty total nitrogen and pH labels: fertilizer was excluded as driver.
332 See the main text for the applied response variable transformations.

Fig. 5 Visualization of the significant driver interactions of temperature, fertilizer and antibiotics on NMDS1. NMDS1 is affected by the presence of fertilizer, the presence of antibiotics and temperature, but also by the interactions of fertilizer+antibiotics and fertilizer+antibiotics+temperature. Means (n=5) are shown. Error bars represent standard error.

Discussion

Our study revealed compelling evidence of effects of the combination and the total number of drivers on taxa abundances, community composition, and ecosystem properties, and such that multiple drivers acting in combination can have important consequences across levels of ecological organisation (Rillig et al. 2019). Specifically, the addition of a driver, and/or temperature increase, could change the biological consequence of already applied drivers, even when the added driver applied alone had no effect (Fig. 3). We observed three trends here: The addition of a further driver can increase (e.g. F:A:T28), decrease (e.g. F:T28) or reverse the effect of the drivers which were already applied (e.g. F:A on *Tychonema* abundance, Fig. 6). This demonstrates clearly the need of analysing multiple drivers in all combinations. Furthermore, our study also confirmed that the number of drivers occurring together can influence microbial communities and thereby provide some hope that number of drivers can be used as a useful predictor, though individual driver effects can greatly

contribute to the effect of number of drivers (Rillig et al. 2019). Furthermore, the effect of the

number of drivers can be negative (e.g., *Tychonema* abundance) or positive (e.g. total

nitrogen) and of different magnitudes, which highlights again the need of analysing various

ecological response variables of species, microbial communities, and the whole ecosystem.

While there may be some patterns across levels of organisation, e.g., the largest effects of

number of drivers were observed at species and community levels of organisation (greater

than 0.5 or less than -0.5 standardised effect size), the general absence of such patterns limits

the scope for explanation and prediction of effect of multiple drivers across levels of

organisation.

While fertilizer, metal pollution and antibiotics had detectable effects when applied

as single drivers, glyphosate did not. This finding is likely quite specific to the concentration

of treatments used and should be regarded with caution. Nevertheless, it is even more

interesting that when adding glyphosate to other drivers it did have an effect (see e.g.,

diversity richness, Fig. 3). Strong interactions were detected for antibiotics and fertilizer, and

interestingly, these drivers revealed in combination different biological consequences

compared to applied as a single driver, and temperature could also change the effects of the

fertilizer-antibiotic driver duo again (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6 Effects of fertilizer, antibiotics and their combination at 20, 24, and 28 °C ASV relative abundance, microbial composition, and ecosystem variables. Only significant treatments / treatment combinations are shown (F-test p value < 0.05). Total nitrogen and total carbon are not listed in this figure because they were not significantly affected by F, A, and F:A.

The effect of temperature is in our view important to note, since it predicts that

observed biological consequences caused by global change can change with on-going global

warming. An increase of temperature can lead to new interactions among driver

combinations (F:A with increasing temperature led again to significant affected variables) or

can lead to significant effects that were not observed at 20 °C (G:M, G:M:24 and G:M:28 for

NMDS1).

Our study also contributes to narrowing the gap of understanding of how drivers and

their combination act across various levels of organisation. Our results revealed that

individual taxa can be affected differently compared to abiotic factors of the ecosystem or

the microbial community composition. Therefore, our study is in line with the findings of Galic

et al. (2018) who reported differences of the influence of multiple drivers across levels of

organisation. Interestingly, in our study, some treatment combinations, like fertilizer and

antibiotics, showed significant influence across most variables and the three levels of

organisation (except total nitrogen and total carbon), though even then the sign of the

interaction term was sometimes negative and sometimes positive.

Effects of drivers, such as those we manipulated, are of course concentration-

dependent. In this study, we used only two levels of driver concentration and added the driver

in a single pulse treatment. More information about specific concentration thresholds and

press disturbances are important to study and may clarify some observed trends, as well as

the timing of the driver applied. In addition, responses of functional (metabolic) aspects of

the aquatic microbial communities should be investigated in future studies, which could shed

light into activated and deactivated pathways and enzymes used in microbial communities to

react to changing environmental conditions.

In sum, our study confirmed the need to research the effects of multiple drivers on

microbial communities and indicate further that a broad range of levels of organisation

(species, community, ecosystem) should be analysed due unique sets of effect and

interactions across these and the different variables within them. Furthermore, our study

showed that combination of specific drivers can change the biological consequence and

direction compared to single drivers at all levels of organisation and that the effects of driver

combinations can be modified by temperature. Overall, the lack of patterns in effect sizes

across levels of organisation, and with respect to the number of drivers, represents lack of

evidence for these to be useful in the prediction of the effects of combinations of global

change drivers on ecological communities.

412
413
414 **References**

416 Brennan G, Collins S (2015) Growth responses of a green alga to multiple environmental
417   drivers. Nat Clim Chang 5:892–897. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2682

418
419 Bush T, Diao M, Allen RJ, et al (2017) Oxic-Anoxic regime shifts mediated by feedbacks
420   between biogeochemical processes and microbial community dynamics. Nat Commun
421   8:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00912-x

422
423 Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference
424   from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583.
425   https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

426
427 Christensen MR, Graham MD, Vinebrooke RD, et al (2006) Multiple anthropogenic stressors
428   cause ecological surprises in boreal lakes. Glob Chang Biol 12:2316–2322.
429   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01257.x

430
431 da Costa N, Fugère V, Hébert M-P, et al (2021) Resistance, resilience, and functional
432   redundancy of freshwater bacterioplankton communities facing a gradient of
433   agricultural stressors in a mesocosm experiment. Mol Ecol 30:4771–4788.
434   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16100

435
436 Davis J, Sim L, Chambers J (2010) Multiple stressors and regime shifts in shallow aquatic
437   ecosystems in antipodean landscapes. Freshw Biol 55:5–18.
438   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02376.x

439
440 Faust K, Raes J (2012) Microbial interactions: from networks to models. Nat Rev Microbiol
441   10:538–550. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2832

Galic N, Sullivan LL, Grimm V, Forbes VE (2018) When things don't add up: quantifying impacts of multiple stressors from individual metabolism to ecosystem processing. Ecol Lett 21:568–577. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12923

Gruber N (2011) Warming up, turning sour, losing breath: ocean biogeochemistry under global change. Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci 369:1980–1996. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0003

Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Remais J V, et al (2019) Climate change microbiology — problems and perspectives. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:391–396. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0178-5

Jackson MC, Loewen CJG, Vinebrooke RD, Chimimba CT (2016) Net effects of multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Glob Chang Biol 22:180–189. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13028

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 8:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2019) Package "vegan" Title Community Ecology Package. Community Ecol Packag 2:1–297

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, et al (2012) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

Relyea RA (2009) A cocktail of contaminants: How mixtures of pesticides at low concentrations affect aquatic communities. Oecologia 159:363–376. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1213-9

Richardson J, Miller C, Maberly SC, et al (2018) Effects of multiple stressors on cyanobacteria abundance vary with lake type. Glob Chang Biol 24:5044–5055. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14396

Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A, et al (2019) The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. Science (80-) 366:886–890. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay2832

Shade A, Read JS, Welkie DG, et al (2011) Resistance, resilience and recovery: Aquatic bacterial dynamics after water column disturbance. Environ Microbiol 13:2752–2767. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02546.x

Shade A, Read JS, Youngblut ND, et al (2012) Lake microbial communities are resilient after a whole-ecosystem disturbancefile:///Users/marcel/Downloads/10.1007_PL00008896-

citation.ris. ISME J 6:2153–2167. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.56

Singh BK, Dawson LA, Macdonald CA, Buckland SM (2009) Impact of biotic and abiotic
interaction on soil microbial communities and functions: A field study. Appl Soil Ecol
41:239–248. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2008.10.003

Solomon KR, Thompson DG (2003) Ecological risk assessment for aquatic organisms from
over-water uses of glyphosate. J Toxicol Environ Heal - Part B Crit Rev 6:289–324.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10937400306468

Suleiman M, Brandt FB, Brenzinger K, et al (2017) Potential N2O Emissions from the Tanks of
Bromeliads Suggest an Additional Source of N2O in the Neotropics. Microb Ecol
73:751–754. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0903-9

Suleiman M, Choffat Y, Daugaard U, Petchey OL (2021a) Large and interacting effects of
temperature and nutrient addition on stratified microbial ecosystems in a small,
replicated, and liquid-dominated Winogradsky column approach. Microbiologyopen
10:e1189. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1189

Suleiman M, Pennekamp F, Choffat Y, Petchey OL (2021b) Contrasting resistance and
resilience to light variation of the coupled oxic and anoxic components of an
experimental microbial ecosystem. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.13.456201

Weithoff G, Lorke A, Walz N (2000) Effects of water-column mixing on bacteria,
phytoplankton, and rotifers under different levels of herbivory in a shallow eutrophic
lake. Oecologia 125:91–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00008896

Wu Z, Dijkstra P, Koch GW, et al (2011) Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature
and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. Glob Chang
Biol 17:927–942. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02302.x

Xu J, Chen Y, Zheng L, et al (2018a) Assessment of heavy metal pollution in the sediment of
the main tributaries of Dongting Lake, China. Water (Switzerland) 10:1–16.
https://doi.org/10.3390/w10081060

Xu Z, Li T, Bi J, Wang C (2018b) Spatiotemporal heterogeneity of antibiotic pollution and
ecological risk assessment in Taihu Lake Basin, China. Sci Total Environ 643:12–20.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.175

Acknowledgements

MS was funded by Forschungskredit of the UZH (FK-20-125). OLP was supported by the

University of Zurich Research Priority Programmne in Global Change and Biodiversity. OLP

and CZ were supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (Project 310030_188431). We

thank the Predictive Ecology group for comments that greatly improved the project and this

report of it. We thank the Functional Genomic Center Zurich for sequencing efforts.

536
537   **Author contribution**
538
OLP and MS planned the experimental set-up. MS performed all experiments in the lab. MS

performed the up- and downstream bioinformatics. MS, OLP and UD performed the data

analysis. UD supported the data transformation and statistical models. YC provided technical

support and performed the TOC analysis. XZ performed the DNA extractions and provided

technical support. OLP, MS and UD drafted the manuscript. All authors confirmed the final

version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Fig. 1 Relative abundance of *Chromatiaceae* and *Phormidiaceae* in the micro-ecosystem depending on driver combination and temperature. Mean is shown by the circle, standard errors are shown, n=5)

Supplementary Fig. 2 Beta-diversity based on NMDS analysis (Bray-Curtis) in relation to temperature and driver combinations. Stress is 0.16