Predicting the effects of multiple global change drivers on
microbial communities remains challenging

Marcel Suleiman, Uriah Daugaard, Yves Choffat, Xue Zheng, Owen L Petchey

Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental studies, University of Zurich

Corresponding author: Marcel Suleiman, marcel.suleiman@uzh.ch, Tel: +41 44 635 4773

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Microbial communities in many ecosystems are facing a broad range of global change drivers, such as nutrient enrichment, chemical pollution, and temperature change. These drivers can cause changes in the abundance of taxa, the composition of communities, and the properties of ecosystems. While the influence of single drivers is already described in numerous studies, the effect and predictability of multiple drivers changing simultaneously is still poorly understood. In this study, we used 240 highly replicable oxic/anoxic aquatic lab microcosms and four drivers (fertilizer, glyphosate, metal pollution, antibiotics) in all possible combinations at three different temperatures (20 °C, 24 °C, and 28 °C) to shed light into consequences of multiple drivers on different levels of organization, ranging from species abundance to community and ecosystem parameters. We found (i) that at all levels of ecological organisation, combinations of drivers can change the biological consequence and direction of effect compared to single drivers (ii), that effects of combinations are further modified by temperature, (iii) that a larger number of drivers occurring simultaneously is often quite closely related to their effect size, and (iv) that there is little evidence that any of these effects are associated with the level of ecological organisation of the state variable. These findings suggest that, at least in this experimental ecosystem approximating a stratified aquatic ecosystem, there may be relatively little scope for predicting the effects of combinations of drivers from the effects of individual drivers, or by accounting for the level of ecological organisation in question, though there may be some scope for prediction based on the number of drivers that are occurring simultaneous. A priority, though also a considerable challenge, is to extend such research to consider continuous variation in the magnitude of multiple drivers acting together.

Introduction

Microbial communities are key components of many ecosystems, and are often exposed to

many anthropogenic global change drivers (Christensen et al. 2006; Jackson et al. 2016).

Exposure to fertilizer (da Costa et al. 2021) and metal pollution (Xu et al. 2018a), pesticides

like glyphosate (Solomon and Thompson 2003; Relyea 2009), antibiotics (Xu et al. 2018b) and

temperature increase (Wu et al. 2011) force populations and whole ecosystems to develop in

different ways compared to less or unaffected ones (Tylianakis et al. 2008). Studies show that

even slight changes in environmental conditions can lead to large taxonomic and functional

microbial change, and even to regime shifts, with potential long-term consequences for

biogeochemical cycles and ecological function of the affected habitat (Gruber 2011).

While many studies have focused on one global change driver, recent studies

(Christensen et al. 2006; Rillig et al. 2019; Suleiman et al. 2021a) indicated that the combined

effect of multiple drivers applied simultaneously is often not equal to the sum of the effects

of each individual driver. Rather, they demonstrate that interactive effects can occur. These

can be synergistic (combined effect greater than the sum of the effect of individual drivers)

or antagonistic (combined effect less than the sum of the effect of individual drivers).

Furthermore, not just the type of driver, but also the number of factors combined plays a

crucial role (Rillig et al. 2019). Nevertheless, the number of studies testing three or more

drivers remains very low (Rillig et al. 2019), which highlights the need for further

investigations of combined effects. Combining this with the importance of understanding

drivers of change in microbial communities is a critical aspect of the emerging research field

of climate/global change microbiology (Hutchins et al. 2019).

The limited number of studies with three or more drivers may be in part due to the

logistical demands of the required experiments and the complexity of interpreting their

results. For example, to assess all interactions a fully-factorial experimental design is needed

which quickly leads to large experiments. Regarding interpretation, it can be difficult to

understand the meaning of interactions among more than three drivers, such that even if one

would conduct a fully factorial experiment, traditional methods for interpreting interactions

(such as interaction plots) may be insufficient. Some studies have instead focused on the

effect of variation in the number of drivers, and have applied only a subsample of all possible

combinations (e.g. Brennan and Collins 2015; Rillig et al. 2019).

Another gap in understanding is how drivers and combinations of drivers act across

levels of ecological organization from individuals to ecosystems (Galic et al. 2018). For

example, in a case study of a model of amphipod feeding behavior Galic et al (2018) showed

that responses to multiple drivers at the individual level were not consistent with those at

higher levels of organization. In their case-study, the nature of this inconsistency would lead

to underestimation of effects at population and ecosystem levels if effects at the individual

level were assumed to hold across levels of organisation.

These gaps in knowledge are problematic. One reason is that interactions can be

source of ecological surprises, i.e., if we assume additivity we can be surprised if there are

interactions (Christensen et al 2006). Also, unless there are some generalities about

interactions among drivers, we will never be able to predict the effect of a new (previously

unstudied) combination of drivers, so interactions will also then be a surprise (Christensen et

al 2006). Hence, we and others (e.g., Simmons et al 2021, Rillig et al 2018) are interested in

discovering if there are any general patterns that will allow us to predict the effects of

combinations of environmental changes. This report is about our search for signals of general

patterns in the effects of combinations of environmental drivers. For example, whether the

relationship between a biological variable and the number of drivers is dependent on the level

of ecological organization of the biological variable and whether the strength and nature of

the interaction effects varies with level of ecological organization.

Microbial communities in aquatic ecosystems are complex (Chistensen et al. 2006;

Davis et al. 2010; Faust and Raes 2012), consisting of feedback among numerous abiotic and

biotic interactions (Singh et al. 2009), among and within functional microbial groups (Bush et

al. 2017; Richardson et al. 2018). Recent work indicates that these ecosystems are sensitive

to environmental change (Christensen et al. 2006; Shade et al. 2011, 2012; Suleiman et al.

2021b, a), identifying them as appropriate systems for the study of the influences of global

changes.

In this work, we applied four different global change drivers (fertilizer, glyphosate,

metal pollution, and antibiotics) together with increasing temperatures (20 °C, 24 °C, and 28

°C) in all combinations possible, on a recently developed and highly replicable stratified

aquatic microbial lab system. Our experiment includes the analysis of several abiotic (oxygen,

total nitrogen, total organic carbon, pH) and biotic variables (Shannon index, microbial

community composition, genera abundances), since recent studies have shown that various

levels of ecosystems can be affected (Weithoff et al. 2000; Shade et al. 2011, 2012; Suleiman

et al. 2021b) and in order to examine if effects show predictable variation across levels of

organisation. We hypothesize that (i) combinations of drivers will have non-additive effects

on system properties, (ii) the non-additive effects will be different depending on the

temperature, and (iii) increasing the number of drivers applied will cause a systematic change

in system properties.

Material and methods

Experimental ecosystems

Incubation of stratified microbial communities was performed in microcosms consisting of standard glass test tubes (4 mL volume). These test tubes were closed with plastic lids, with a small hole (0.5 mm) that allowed gas exchange between the headspace and the atmosphere. Each microcosm consisted of sediment and water samples taken in May from a small pond in Zurich, Switzerland (47°23'51.2"N 8°32'33.3"E, temperature of 19 °C, pH of 7) at a depth of 25 cm. Sediment was homogenized (30 min mixing) and subsequently supplemented with sterile 0.25 % crystalline cellulose, 0.25 % methyl-cellulose, 0.5 % $CaSO_4$, 0.1% $CaCO_3$, and 0.005 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$. Glass tubes were filled with a 3 mm layer of supplemented sediment and covered with 3.4 mL pond water (with 0.005 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$), resulting in 500 µL headspace. Microecosystems were incubated at room temperature for 2 hours to let sediment settle, before the different treatments were applied. The experiment involved incubation for 23 days at either 20 °C, 24 °C or 28 °C.

Experimental design

The four drivers, namely $NH_4H_2PO_4$-fertilizer (F), Glyphosate (G), metal pollution (M) and antibiotics (A), each had two levels. Furthermore, control microcosms (C) were used without application of any drivers and the experiment was carried out at 20 °C, 24 °C and 28 °C under a dark-light cycle of 8:16 h. The temperature treatment and four driver treatments were applied in a fully factorial design. Five replicates (Burgess et al. 2022) per treatment combination resulted in 240 microcosms, according to the following design:

["Control","F","G","M","A","FG","FM","FA","GM","GA","MA","FGM","FGA","GMA","FMA", "FGMA"] * 5 replicates * 3 Temperatures = 240 microcosms.

For the driver treatments, the following chemical compounds were added to the microcosms

two hours after the assembly of the microcosms:

• $NH_4H_2PO_4$ in final concentration of 0.1 g/L as fertilizer (F), as used in a previous study

(Suleiman et al., 2021)

•   Glyphosate in final concentration of 0.001 g/L (G) as used in a recent study (da Costa

et al. 2021)

•   Metal pollution: DSMZ Trace element solution SL-10 final concentration 10x higher

than   recommended

(https://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/medium/pdf/DSMZ_Medium320.pdf). The

following chemicals with final concentration in the microcosms were 0.015 g/L $FeCl_2$ x

4 H_2 O,. 0.7 mg/L $ZnCl_2$, 1 mg/L $MnCl_2$ x 4 H_2O, 0.06 mg/L H_3BO_3, 1.9 mg/L $CoCl_2$ x 6

H_2O, 0.02 mg/L $CuCl_2$ x 2 H_2O, 0.24 mg/L $NiCl_2$ x 6 H_2O, 0.36 mg/L Na_2MoO_4 x 2 H_2O

(M)

•   Mixture of penicillin and ampicillin (0,05 mg/100 mL each) in final concentration of 25

ng/L for antibiotic treatment (A), since comparable maximum amounts were detected

in natural aquatic habitats (Xu et al. 2018b)

Drivers were applied to the microcosms only on day 0; there were no further press or pulse

perturbations. After incubation for 23 days, the whole microcosm was centrifuged for 5 min

at 10'000 rpm (sediment and water column together). The pellet was used for DNA extraction

the supernatant was used for performing TOC analysis.

Measurement of abiotic factors

Oxygen concentration was measured 1.5 cm below the water/air interphase using an oxygen

dipping probe (PreSens, Germany) at the last day of incubation. pH was measured in the

supernatant of the centrifuged microcosm. Total nitrogen (TN) and total organic carbon (TOC)

were measured in the supernatant using a TOC analyser.

Microbial community composition

DNA was extracted using ZymoBIOMICS DNA Miniprep Kit (ZymoResearch), following the

manufacturer's instructions. Full-length 16S rRNA gene amplification was performed using

the primer pair 27F forward primer (5'-AGRGTTYGATYMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1592R reverse

primer (5'-RGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'), as reported previously (Suleiman et al., 2021).

PCR products were visualized on an 1 % (w/v) agarose gel and were pooled for

sequencing in equal concentrations. PCR product pools were purified using AMPure®PB beads

(PacBio). Sequencing was performed at the Functional Genomic Center Zürich, Switzerland,

and performed using SMRT Technology (PacBio) as reported previously (Suleiman et al.

2021a) (on three SMRT chips). Sequencing data quality were checked using the PacBio SMRT

Link software.

Sequencing data were filtered based on primer sequences, length (1300-1600 bp),

quality, error rates and chimeras using the R-package *dada2* (Callahan et al. 2016). The final

sequence table was aligned using SILVA ribosomal RNA database (Quast et al. 2012), using

version 138 (non-redundant dataset 99). A phyloseq object was created using the *phyloseq* R-

package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013), consisting of amplicon sequence variant (ASV) table,

taxonomy table and sample data. For further analysis, the R-packages *phyloseq* (McMurdie

and Holmes 2013) and *vegan* (Oksanen et al. 2019) were used. Overview on number of reads

per sample after the dada2 pipeline is shown in supplementary table 1.

To compare the effects of the multiple drivers, we analysed various response

variables, namely community composition based on non-metric multidimensional scaling

NMDS, Shannon index, oxygen, total nitrogen TN, total organic carbon TOC, and abundances

of specific genera and functional groups.

Microbial community composition was quantified using NMDS based on Bray-Curtis

scores with the *metaMDS* function of the vegan R-package (Oksanen et al. 2019), with three

dimensions used (k=3, try=100). We chose to use NMDS to better represent the non-

linearities that often occur in ecological patterns that lead some other types of ordination

(e.g. principal component analysis) to be less desirable. All samples were analysed at once in

the NMDS analysis (Stress = 0.11; stress less than 0.2 is generally considered acceptable, e.g.

(Dexter et al. 2018). Shannon index was calculated using the *phyloseq* package (McMurdie

and Holmes 2013). We included the analysis of the Shannon index since the system is highly

dynamic and develops from a small microbe density to a high microbe density. Please note

that we can not differentiate between live and dead as well as active and non-active cells.

Functional groups were constructed by filtering for cyanobacterial, sulfur-related and typical

lake-associated species, which resulted in a reduced dataset consisting of cyanobacterial

orders *Cyanobacteriales*, *Synechococcales*, *Leptolyngbyales* and *Limnotrichales*, phototrophic

sulfur-bacterial orders of *Chromatiales* and *Chlorobiales*, as well as sulfate-reducing orders of

Desulfobulbales and *Desulfovibrionales*. Furthermore, we also implemented the order

Campylobacteriales, since some members (not all) like *Sulfuricurvum* and *Thiovolum* are also

associated with the sulfur cycle. The community of these members were used as a subset for

NMDS analysis in this study (stress = 0.10). Detailed scripts and a phyloseq with all sequences

are available in the github repository https://github.com/Marcel2907/multiple-stressor and

on zenodo (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5948600).

 Data analysis

 For every response variable (see above) we carried out two linear models, one to investigate

the relation between the response variable and the various drivers and their combinations

and one to investigate how the response depended on the number of drivers applied. To

ensure that modelling assumptions were met, we carried out the following response variable

transformations, which are listed in detail in the deposited R script. For total nitrogen we took

the square root, for total carbon we took the squared inverse, for *Tychonema* we used a logit

transformation and for *Sulfuricurvum* we took the cubic root. For the variables not listed here

no data transformations were necessary. Further, for total nitrogen concentration we

excluded 13 data points due to measurement problems (Supplementary Figure 1). For the

analyses of the effect of the number of applied drivers, all response variables were further

standardized to render them more comparable. Moreover, in the cases of pH and total

nitrogen we included the variable fertilizer as a covariate, as it strongly influenced these

response variables. In all models we included all possible covariate interactions.

Results

Microbial community and genera abundances

In total, 20'319 unique ASVs were identified after running the dada2 pipeline. After filtering

to retain only ASVs with relative abundance of at least 0.1 % in at least one sample, the total

number of ASVs decreased to 5'830 taxa. The control microcosms consisted of mainly

cyanobacteria (e.g., *Phormidaceae*) and phototrophic sulfur bacteria (specially

Chromatiaceae) (Fig. 1 a&b, Supplementary Fig. 2). Depending on the driver combination and

temperature, the microbial communities and genera abundances showed strong differences

compared to the controls. Microcosms with glyphosate and metal pollution, each as a single

driver or in combination, were still dominated by members of *Phormidiaceae* and

Chromatiaceae, but in all remaining treatments the abundance of members of these families

was lower (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Also on genus level, we observed shifts in microbial composition due to driver

combination and temperature (Fig. 1 b, Supplementary Fig. 3). The cyanobacterial genus

Tychonema was highly abundant in the metal pollution only treatment, and with increasing

temperature also in glyphosate+metal pollution treatments (20 % at 28 °C, 7 % at 20 °C).

Chromatium, in contrast, appeared in several treatments and reached highest relative

abundance at 20 °C when confronted with G:M (20 %), but vanished with increasing

temperature in all treatments (< 5%). Statistical analysis of the dependence of relative

abundance on driver combinations and temperature were performed on genus level for

Tychonema and *Sulfuricurvum*, as representative species for high-abundance and low-

abundance taxa (Fig. 3). Statistical analysis confirmed significant changes of relative species

abundance caused by individual drivers, due to combination of drivers, and due to

temperature.

Fig. 1 Effects of drivers and driver combinations on microbial community composition and genera abundance. (a) Microbial community composition (mean per 5 replicates) at family level. Taxa with rel. abundance > 5 % shown. **(b)** Relative abundance of specific genera with rel. abundance > 5 % for each driver combination at each of the three temperatures. White color represents a relative abundance < 5 %. F=Fertilizer, G= Glyphosate, M=Metall pollution, A=Antibiotics.

 ## Effects of combination and number of drivers on Shannon index

Shannon index of the microcosms changed depending on the combination of drivers (Fig.

2a+b), resulting in values between 3 and 7, with the majority of samples between 5 and 6,

indicating diverse microbial communities within the microcosms. Several significant driver

treatments and combinations effects occurred, namely temperature increase (F-statistic =

6.8, p-value = 0.001), fertilizer (F-statistic = 16.0, p-value < 0.001), antibiotics (F-statistic =

26.3, p-value < 0.001), as well as combination of fertilizer+antibiotics (F-statistic = 17.9, p-

value < 0.001) and fertilizer+antibiotics+glyphosate (F-statistic = 4.8, p-value = 0.030) (Fig. 2a).

All significant driver combinations had a positive effect on Shannon index, except the

temperature increase to 28 °C and the fertilizer+antibiotic combination treatment (Fig. 3, Fig.

6). Interestingly, the negative effect of fertilizer+antibiotic was reversed to a positive effect

again by adding glyphosate, while the treatment of glyphosate alone had no impact (Fig. 2a,

Fig. 3). Number of drivers did not affect Shannon index, while temperature did (Fig. 2b, Fig.

4).

Effects of combination and number of drivers on microbial community structure (based on NMDS)

Fertilizer addition was a key driver of microbial community composition (quantified via

NMDS). (Fig. 2b+c, Supplementary Figure 5). Numerous driver combinations showed

significant effects on NMDS score 1 and NMDS score 2 (Fig. 2c for NMDS1, Supplementary Fig.

4 for NMDS2, Fig. 3 for both), ranging from single to four-way effects. Different impact

directions (positive or negative) can be identified depending on the driver combinations (Fig.

2c, Fig. 3). Please note that for clarity we also refer to NMDS scores with "negative" and

"positive", even though the direction is irrelevant for this measure. The three-way interaction

of fertilizer+antibiotics+temperature on NMDS1 score is a good example how combination of

drivers can change the impact direction (Fig. 5): When no fertilizer was present, the NMDS1

score was negative, while in presence of fertilizer, the NMDS1 score was positive. Additionally

the combination of antibiotics and temperature changed the magnitude of the NMDS1 score.

NMDS1 score was affected by number of drivers (F-statistic = 82.8, p-value < 0.001) (Fig. 2d,

Fig. 4), and NMDS2 score was affected by both number of drivers (F-statistic = 12.7, p-value <

0.001) and temperature (F-statistic = 27.8, p-value < 0.001, Supplementary Figure 4b, Fig. 4).

For both NMDS scores there was no interaction between number of drivers and temperature.

Analysis of the community of the subset of cyanobacteria, phototrophic sulfur

bacteria and sulfate-reducing bacteria confirmed the trends observed for NMDS of all ASVs

(Fig. 2e for NMDS1, Supplementary Fig. 4 for NMDS2, Fig. 3 for both), but showed slight

differences on three-factorial and four-factorial driver combinations.

Effects of combination and number of drivers on abiotic factors
Oxygen concentration was highly dependent on the driver combinations used, and various

strong effects were identified (Fig. 2g), both positive and negative in direction. All drivers

combined had a negative effect on oxygen concentration (F-statistic = 4.3, p-value = 0.040,

non-standardised estimate -6.1 %). The number of drivers applied had a strong effect on the

oxygen concentration at 24 °C and 28 °C (F-statistic = 18.8, p-value < 0.001), as well as

temperature (F-statistic = 17.9, p-value < 0.001), and the interaction between temperature

and number of drivers (F-statistic = 3.3, p-value = 0.040, Fig. 2h, Fig. 4).

The pH of the microcosms was influenced by numerous treatment combinations, but

the strongest influences was identified as fertilizer addition, which decreased the pH from 8.5

to 6.5 (F-statistic = 3.2 × 103, p-value < 0.001, Fig. 2i). Driver combinations that included

fertilizer addition had lower magnitude though were of the same sign (Fig. 3). Number of

drivers had a negative effect on pH (F-statistic = 4.4, p-value = 0.037), with the clearest effect

at 20 °C and no fertilizer used, in which case pH decreased the more drivers were applied (Fig.

2j, Fig. 4).

Effects on total nitrogen were driven by addition of fertilizer (F-statistic = 7.4×10^4, p-

value < 0.001), but also numerous other combinations had a significant effect (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Fig. 4). Furthermore, number of drivers strongly affected the total nitrogen

concentration (F-statistic = 67.8, p-value < 0.001). Total carbon concentration was

significantly affected by fertilizer (F-statistic = 31.9, p-value = 0.005), metal pollution (F-

statistic = 9.9, p-value = 0.002) and temperature (F-statistic = 23.4, p-value < 0.001), as well

as by antibiotics (F-statistic = 9.2, p-value = 0.003) and numerous combinations (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Fig. 4). Furthermore, for the concentration of total carbon there was a

significant interaction between number of drivers and temperature (F-statistic = 3.5, p-value

= 0.032), with TC increasing with number of applied drivers at 28°C (Fig. 4, note that the

response variable is the square inverse of total carbon).

Fig. 2 Effects of driver combination, number of drivers, and temperature on microbial community and ecosystem variables. Each row of the figure contains two graphs, both of which concern the same response variable, e.g., first row (a) and (b) concern Shannon index. The left column of figures shows the effects of temperature and driver combination. The right column shows the effects of temperature and number of drivers. Symbols are the mean of the five replicates, and errors bars show the standard error of the mean.

Fig. 3 Summary of statistical analyses of all variables analysed. Eleven variables are shown in the columns of the heat map, (grouped by genus appearance, microbial richness and community structure and abiotic factors). Rows show the estimated coefficients of the single, one-way, two-way, three-way, and four-way interaction terms. Grey cells indicate a response variable and coefficient pairs for which the coefficients were not significantly different from zero (t-test p-value > 0.05), otherwise the diverging colour palette illustrates the direction of the influence by the driver or interaction of drivers (estimates of each variable were standardized by dividing by largest absolute value of the estimates in each variable). See the main text for the applied response variable transformations. tn = total nitrogen, tc= total carbon.

Fig. 4 Effect size of number of drivers for each response variable at each temperature.
Means and 95 % confidence intervals are shown. For the variables of pH and total nitrogen,
fertilizer was used as a covariate due to its strong influence. Filled total nitrogen and pH
labels: fertilizer was used as a driver. Empty total nitrogen and pH labels: fertilizer was
excluded as driver. See the main text for the applied response variable transformations.

Fig. 5 Visualization of the significant driver interactions of temperature, fertilizer and antibiotics on NMDS1. NMDS1 is affected by the presence of fertilizer, the presence of antibiotics and temperature, but also by the interactions of fertilizer+antibiotics and fertilizer+antibiotics+temperature. Means (n=5) are shown. Error bars represent standard error.

Fig. 6 Effects of fertilizer, antibiotics and their combination at 20, 24, and 28 °C on ASV
relative abundance, microbial composition, and ecosystem variables. Only significant
treatments / treatment combinations are shown (F-test p value < 0.05). Total nitrogen and
total carbon are not listed in this figure because they were not significantly affected by F, A,
and F:A.

Discussion

Our study revealed compelling evidence of effects of the combination and the total number

of drivers on taxa abundances, community composition, and ecosystem properties, and as

such, that multiple drivers acting in combination can have important consequences across

levels of ecological organisation (Rillig et al. 2019). Specifically, the addition of a driver, and/or

temperature increase, could change the biological consequences of already applied drivers,

even when the added driver applied alone had no effect (Fig. 3). We observed three trends

here. The addition of a further driver could increase (e.g. F:A:T28), decrease (e.g. F:T28) or

reverse the effect of the drivers which were already applied (e.g. F:A on *Tychonema*

abundance, Fig. 6). This demonstrates clearly the need of analysing multiple drivers in all

combinations. Furthermore, our study also confirmed that the number of drivers occurring

together can influence microbial communities and thereby provide some hope that number

of drivers can be used as a useful predictor, though individual driver effects can greatly

contribute to the effect of number of drivers (Rillig et al. 2019). Furthermore, the effect of the

number of drivers can be negative (e.g., *Tychonema* abundance) or positive (e.g. total

nitrogen) and of different magnitudes, which highlights again the need of analysing various

ecological response variables of species, microbial communities, and the whole ecosystem.

While there may be some patterns across levels of organisation, e.g., the largest effects of

number of drivers were observed at species and community levels of organisation (greater

than 0.5 or less than -0.5 standardised effect size), the absence of strong patterns limits the

scope for explanation and prediction of effect of multiple drivers across levels of organisation.

It is possible that effects of number of drivers is caused by multiple drivers acting in a

similar fashion as a single driver of greater intensity. I.e. two drivers may be considered to

typically have a higher effect because the overall intensity is higher. This would imply that

drivers combine additively, or at least not completely substitutively. If this is the case, then

some of the effects of number of drivers may not be so much number of drivers per se, but

rather that total amount of driving being applied. Given that responses can be non-linear (and

typically are), we can not exclude that some trends observed may simply be intensity effects.

A clear pattern in the results is of less apparent variability at 0 and at 4 drivers applied

than with 1, 2, or 3 applied. This mainly because there are fewer combinations possible (1

combination possible to be precise: all or none), while at 1 to 3 drivers there are more

combination of drivers possible, which leads to more variance. In contrast variance within

driver combination is roughly invariant regardless of number of drivers. We are certain if we

had a 5th stressor applied, we would see much more variation at 4 stressors applied, again

because then we would have (5 choose 4)=5 possible stressor combinations.

While fertilizer, metal pollution and antibiotics had detectable effects when applied

as single drivers, glyphosate did not. This finding is likely quite specific to the concentration

of treatments used and should be regarded with caution. Nevertheless, it is even more

interesting that when adding glyphosate to other drivers it did have an effect (see e.g.,

Shannon index, Fig. 3). Strong interactions were detected for antibiotics and fertilizer, and

interestingly, these drivers revealed in combination different biological consequences

compared to applied as a single driver, and temperature could also change the effects of the

fertilizer-antibiotic driver duo again (Fig. 6).

The effect of temperature is in our view important to note, since it predicts that

observed biological consequences caused by global change can change with on-going global

warming. An increase of temperature can lead to new interactions among driver

combinations (F:A with increasing temperature led again to significant affected variables) or

can lead to significant effects that were not observed at 20 °C (G:M, G:M:24 and G:M:28 for

NMDS1).

Our study also contributes to narrowing the gap of understanding of how drivers and

their combination act across various levels of organisation. Our results revealed that

individual taxa can be affected differently compared to abiotic factors of the ecosystem or

the microbial community composition. Therefore, our study is in line with the findings of Galic

et al. (2018) who reported differences of the influence of multiple drivers across levels of

organisation. Interestingly, in our study, some treatment combinations, like fertilizer and

antibiotics, showed significant influence across most variables and the three levels of

organisation (except total nitrogen and total carbon), though even then the sign of the

interaction term was sometimes negative and sometimes positive.

Effects of drivers, such as those we manipulated, are of course concentration-

dependent. In this study, we used only two levels of driver concentration and added the driver

in a single pulse treatment. More information about specific concentration thresholds and

press disturbances are important to study and may clarify some observed trends, as well as

the timing of the driver applied. In addition, responses of functional (metabolic) aspects of

the aquatic microbial communities should be investigated in future studies, which could shed

light into activated and deactivated pathways and enzymes used in microbial communities to

react to changing environmental conditions.

One limitation of this study is that the background level of chemical stressor was not

analysed prior to stressor addition. This information is important, since prior exposure to

pollution would influent the responses to additional exposures. We expect that the small

pond which was used to provide the water and sediment sample is low-nutrient loaded, and

is due to its location largely free from herbicide, metal-, and antibiotic contamination.

Nevertheless, this study showed clearly that combinations of stressors can lead to a new

biological consequence, and this finding is very likely not impacted by any pre-exposure of

drivers of the small pond.

One promising avenue for further research about species responses to multiple

environmental drivers is to attempt to relate the patterns of response to features of the

species, such as functional traits of the species. A relationship would reveal what traits of the

species are determining how they respond to multiple drivers, and then give potential to

predict those responses from species traits. This could be done for already present species,

and also potentially invasive species. In studies such as ours, this would involve relating

response patterns to characteristics of the microbial ASVs, and hence would require mapping

of ASVs to trait information, which is not straightforward. Another option would be to use the

16S rRNA gene sequences to predict functional characteristics of the ASVs (e.g. (Ling et al.

2022).

In sum, our study confirmed the need to research the effects of multiple drivers on

microbial communities and indicates further that a broad range of levels of organisation

(species, community, ecosystem) should be analysed due to unique sets of effect and

interactions across these and the different variables within them. Furthermore, our study

showed that combination of specific drivers can change the biological consequence and

direction compared to single drivers at all levels of organisation and that the effects of driver

combinations can be modified by temperature. Overall, the lack of patterns in effect sizes

across levels of organisation, and with respect to the number of drivers, represents lack of

evidence for these to be useful in the prediction of the effects of combinations of global

change drivers on ecological communities.

Finally, it is unclear how transferable our findings are to non-microbes or even to other

types of microbial ecosystems. Microbes have some unique characteristics, such as

mechanisms by which genetic material can move among individuals (horizontal gene

transfer). There may be other important differences, such as strong interspecific interactions,

particularly in closed experimental communities. Clearly what is required is a sufficient

number of experiments, across a diverse enough collection of ecosystem and organism types,

that involve at least four environmental drivers and observation of responses at population,

community and ecosystem level, such that a formal meta-analysis is possible. This could be

achieved a global network of such multi-stressor factorial experiments studying responses

across levels of ecological organization.

Acknowledgements

447   MS was funded by Forschungskredit of the UZH (FK-20-125). OLP was supported by the

448   University of Zurich Research Priority Programmne in Global Change and Biodiversity. OLP

449   and CZ were supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (Project 310030_188431). We

450   thank the Predictive Ecology group for comments that greatly improved the project and this

451   report of it. We thank the Functional Genomic Center Zurich for sequencing efforts.

Author contribution

OLP and MS planned the experimental set-up. MS performed all experiments in the lab. MS

performed the up- and downstream bioinformatics. MS, OLP and UD performed the data

analysis. UD supported the data transformation and statistical models. YC provided technical

support and performed the TOC analysis. XZ performed the DNA extractions and provided

technical support. OLP, MS and UD drafted the manuscript. All authors confirmed the final

version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

Brennan G, Collins S (2015) Growth responses of a green alga to multiple environmental drivers. Nat Clim Chang 5:892–897. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2682

Bush T, Diao M, Allen RJ, et al (2017) Oxic-Anoxic regime shifts mediated by feedbacks between biogeochemical processes and microbial community dynamics. Nat Commun 8:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00912-x

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Christensen MR, Graham MD, Vinebrooke RD, et al (2006) Multiple anthropogenic stressors cause ecological surprises in boreal lakes. Glob Chang Biol 12:2316–2322. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01257.x

da Costa N, Fugère V, Hébert M-P, et al (2021) Resistance, resilience, and functional redundancy of freshwater bacterioplankton communities facing a gradient of agricultural stressors in a mesocosm experiment. Mol Ecol 30:4771–4788. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16100

Davis J, Sim L, Chambers J (2010) Multiple stressors and regime shifts in shallow aquatic ecosystems in antipodean landscapes. Freshw Biol 55:5–18. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02376.x

Faust K, Raes J (2012) Microbial interactions: from networks to models. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:538–550. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2832

Galic N, Sullivan LL, Grimm V, Forbes VE (2018) When things don't add up: quantifying impacts of multiple stressors from individual metabolism to ecosystem processing. Ecol Lett 21:568–577. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12923

Gruber N (2011) Warming up, turning sour, losing breath: ocean biogeochemistry under global change. Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci 369:1980–1996. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0003

Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Remais J V, et al (2019) Climate change microbiology — problems and perspectives. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:391–396. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0178-5

Jackson MC, Loewen CJG, Vinebrooke RD, Chimimba CT (2016) Net effects of multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Glob Chang Biol 22:180–189. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13028

Ling N, Wang T, Kuzyakov Y (2022) Rhizosphere bacteriome structure and functions. Nat Com 13: 836. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28448-9

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 8:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2019) Package "vegan" Title Community Ecology
Package. Community Ecol Packag 2:1–297

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, et al (2012) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:
improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

Relyea RA (2009) A cocktail of contaminants: How mixtures of pesticides at low
concentrations affect aquatic communities. Oecologia 159:363–376.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1213-9

Richardson J, Miller C, Maberly SC, et al (2018) Effects of multiple stressors on cyanobacteria
abundance vary with lake type. Glob Chang Biol 24:5044–5055.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14396

Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A, et al (2019) The role of multiple global change factors in driving
soil functions and microbial biodiversity. Science (80-) 366:886–890.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay2832

Shade A, Read JS, Welkie DG, et al (2011) Resistance, resilience and recovery: Aquatic
bacterial dynamics after water column disturbance. Environ Microbiol 13:2752–2767.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02546.x

Shade A, Read JS, Youngblut ND, et al (2012) Lake microbial communities are resilient after a
whole-ecosystem disturbancefile:///Users/marcel/Downloads/10.1007_PL00008896-
citation.ris. ISME J 6:2153–2167. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.56

Singh BK, Dawson LA, Macdonald CA, Buckland SM (2009) Impact of biotic and abiotic
interaction on soil microbial communities and functions: A field study. Appl Soil Ecol
41:239–248. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2008.10.003

Solomon KR, Thompson DG (2003) Ecological risk assessment for aquatic organisms from
over-water uses of glyphosate. J Toxicol Environ Heal - Part B Crit Rev 6:289–324.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10937400306468

Suleiman M, Brandt FB, Brenzinger K, et al (2017) Potential N2O Emissions from the Tanks of
Bromeliads Suggest an Additional Source of N2O in the Neotropics. Microb Ecol
73:751–754. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0903-9

Suleiman M, Choffat Y, Daugaard U, Petchey OL (2021a) Large and interacting effects of
temperature and nutrient addition on stratified microbial ecosystems in a small,
replicated, and liquid-dominated Winogradsky column approach. Microbiologyopen
10:e1189. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1189

Suleiman M, Pennekamp F, Choffat Y, Petchey OL (2021b) Contrasting resistance and
resilience to light variation of the coupled oxic and anoxic components of an
experimental microbial ecosystem. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.13.456201

Weithoff G, Lorke A, Walz N (2000) Effects of water-column mixing on bacteria,
phytoplankton, and rotifers under different levels of herbivory in a shallow eutrophic
lake. Oecologia 125:91–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00008896

Wu Z, Dijkstra P, Koch GW, et al (2011) Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature
and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. Glob Chang
Biol 17:927–942. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02302.x

Xu J, Chen Y, Zheng L, et al (2018a) Assessment of heavy metal pollution in the sediment of
the main tributaries of Dongting Lake, China. Water (Switzerland) 10:1–16.
https://doi.org/10.3390/w10081060

Xu Z, Li T, Bi J, Wang C (2018b) Spatiotemporal heterogeneity of antibiotic pollution and
ecological risk assessment in Taihu Lake Basin, China. Sci Total Environ 643:12–20.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.175

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Fig. 1 Exclusion of data of the total nitrogen measurement.
There was one sample in which high amounts of nitrogen were detected when no
fertilizer had been added and 12 samples in which no nitrogen was detected despite
the fact that fertilizer had been added to them. Both of these two cases are not
possible and were due to a faulty behavior of the measurement apparatus. Based on
the measured nitrogen values in the other samples and their distribution we are
confident that the measurement problems did not extend to them and there is thus
no reason to doubt their validity.

Supplementary Fig. 2 Relative abundance of *Chromatiaceae* and *Phormidiaceae* in the microcosm depending on driver combination and temperature. Mean is shown by the circle, standard errors are shown, n=5)

566
567
568
569

Supplementary Fig. 3 Effects of drivers and driver combinations on microbial community composition on family level (mean per 5 replicates) per driver category. Taxa with rel. abundance > 5 % shown.

Supplementary Fig. 4 Effects of driver combination and number of drivers on temperature applied for microbial community and ecosystem variables. NMDS2 scores varying with (a) temperature and driver combination and (b) temperature and number of drivers. NMDS2_subpopulation scores varying with (c) temperature and driver combination and (d) temperature and number of drivers. Total nitrogen concentration varying with (e) temperature and driver combination and (f) temperature and number of drivers. Total carbon concentration varying with (g) temperature and driver combination and (h) temperature and number of drivers. Standard errors are shown (n = 5 replicates).

592 Supplementary Fig. 5 Beta-diversity based on NMDS analysis (Bray-Curtis) in relation to
593 temperature and driver combinations. Stress is 0.16
594
595

 Supplementary Table 1. Number of reads in each replicate.

Combinations	total_reads	Replicate	Temperature
Control	7364	Replicate 1	24
Control	7046	Replicate 1	28
Control	4659	Replicate 1	20
F	9933	Replicate 1	28
F	5912	Replicate 1	20
G	265	Replicate 1	24
G	8662	Replicate 1	28
M	2695	Replicate 1	24
M	8202	Replicate 1	28
M	263	Replicate 1	20
A	4195	Replicate 1	24
A	7550	Replicate 1	28
A	5276	Replicate 1	20
FG	10815	Replicate 1	24
FG	8945	Replicate 1	28
FM	5660	Replicate 1	20
FM	284	Replicate 1	24
FM	8857	Replicate 1	28
FG	4639	Replicate 1	20
FA	4449	Replicate 1	24
FA	8835	Replicate 1	28
FA	3816	Replicate 1	20
GM	7678	Replicate 1	28
GM	6273	Replicate 1	20
GA	7469	Replicate 1	28
GA	6229	Replicate 1	20
MA	10849	Replicate 1	24
MA	11268	Replicate 1	28
MA	18182	Replicate 1	20
FGM	996	Replicate 1	24
FGM	6189	Replicate 1	28
FGM	6489	Replicate 1	20
FGA	2766	Replicate 1	24
FGA	8313	Replicate 1	28
FGA	6763	Replicate 1	20
GMA	9612	Replicate 1	24
GMA	8460	Replicate 1	28
GMA	7501	Replicate 1	20

FMA	11304	Replicate 1	24
FMA	8822	Replicate 1	28
FMA	6340	Replicate 1	20
FGMA	10657	Replicate 1	24
FGMA	14034	Replicate 1	28
FGMA	5819	Replicate 1	20
Control	9426	Replicate 2	24
Control	7438	Replicate 2	28
Control	2301	Replicate 2	20
F	5416	Replicate 2	24
F	9076	Replicate 2	28
F	6040	Replicate 2	20
G	7175	Replicate 2	24
G	8350	Replicate 2	28
G	5573	Replicate 2	20
M	7295	Replicate 2	24
M	6408	Replicate 2	28
M	7580	Replicate 2	20
A	7606	Replicate 2	24
A	7916	Replicate 2	28
A	13579	Replicate 2	20
FG	2733	Replicate 2	24
FG	9212	Replicate 2	28
FG	5454	Replicate 2	20
FM	7872	Replicate 2	24
FM	6398	Replicate 2	28
FM	4005	Replicate 2	20
FA	10016	Replicate 2	24
FA	7423	Replicate 2	28
FA	5710	Replicate 2	20
GM	11100	Replicate 2	24
GM	8294	Replicate 2	28
GM	7579	Replicate 2	20
GA	10206	Replicate 2	24
GA	7078	Replicate 2	28
GA	8674	Replicate 2	20
MA	13163	Replicate 2	24
MA	7927	Replicate 2	28
MA	7229	Replicate 2	20
FGM	10680	Replicate 2	24
FGM	8434	Replicate 2	28
FGM	8146	Replicate 2	20

FGA	12236	Replicate 2	24
FGA	8018	Replicate 2	28
FGA	7086	Replicate 2	20
GMA	10598	Replicate 2	24
GMA	8885	Replicate 2	28
GMA	6503	Replicate 2	20
FMA	5447	Replicate 2	24
FMA	9021	Replicate 2	28
FMA	12484	Replicate 2	20
FGMA	2177	Replicate 2	24
FGMA	7974	Replicate 2	28
FGMA	4190	Replicate 2	20
Control	10159	Replicate 3	24
Control	8105	Replicate 3	28
Control	3981	Replicate 3	20
F	9959	Replicate 3	24
F	7459	Replicate 3	28
F	6840	Replicate 3	20
G	10556	Replicate 3	24
G	8158	Replicate 3	28
G	6546	Replicate 3	20
M	11471	Replicate 3	24
M	12913	Replicate 3	28
M	8389	Replicate 3	20
A	11192	Replicate 3	24
A	10260	Replicate 3	28
A	6407	Replicate 3	20
FG	12182	Replicate 3	24
FG	10109	Replicate 3	28
FG	7141	Replicate 3	20
FM	9788	Replicate 3	24
FM	8356	Replicate 3	28
FM	8224	Replicate 3	20
FA	11486	Replicate 3	24
FA	8163	Replicate 3	28
FA	5961	Replicate 3	20
GM	9096	Replicate 3	24
GM	8953	Replicate 3	28
GM	10665	Replicate 3	20
GA	2887	Replicate 3	24
GA	7543	Replicate 3	28
GA	5011	Replicate 3	20

MA	21214	Replicate 3	24
MA	7612	Replicate 3	28
MA	6836	Replicate 3	20
FGM	10304	Replicate 3	24
FGM	8851	Replicate 3	28
FGM	7718	Replicate 3	20
FGA	11639	Replicate 3	24
FGA	10839	Replicate 3	28
FGA	7296	Replicate 3	20
GMA	14752	Replicate 3	24
GMA	10593	Replicate 3	28
GMA	8784	Replicate 3	20
FMA	12812	Replicate 3	24
FMA	11821	Replicate 3	28
FMA	9476	Replicate 3	20
FGMA	12513	Replicate 3	24
FGMA	12886	Replicate 3	28
FGMA	6091	Replicate 3	20
Control	11749	Replicate 4	24
Control	9920	Replicate 4	28
Control	5989	Replicate 4	20
F	10527	Replicate 4	24
F	9335	Replicate 4	28
F	7371	Replicate 4	20
G	7547	Replicate 4	24
G	8513	Replicate 4	28
G	10282	Replicate 4	20
M	3827	Replicate 4	24
M	6486	Replicate 4	28
M	5361	Replicate 4	20
A	9307	Replicate 4	24
A	8114	Replicate 4	28
A	5193	Replicate 4	20
FG	11085	Replicate 4	24
FG	11630	Replicate 4	28
FG	6776	Replicate 4	20
FM	10109	Replicate 4	24
FM	8542	Replicate 4	28
FM	7170	Replicate 4	20
FA	11529	Replicate 4	24
FA	9826	Replicate 4	28
FA	5165	Replicate 4	20

GM	13030	Replicate 4	24
GM	10250	Replicate 4	28
GM	8414	Replicate 4	20
GA	12392	Replicate 4	24
GA	9148	Replicate 4	28
GA	7635	Replicate 4	20
MA	12252	Replicate 4	24
MA	8859	Replicate 4	28
MA	7882	Replicate 4	20
FGM	11554	Replicate 4	24
FGM	10022	Replicate 4	28
FGM	5482	Replicate 4	20
ori	7942	ori_2	19
FGA	7658	Replicate 4	24
FGA	9903	Replicate 4	28
FGA	4233	Replicate 4	20
GMA	1965	Replicate 4	24
GMA	7948	Replicate 4	28
GMA	4641	Replicate 4	20
FMA	5334	Replicate 4	24
FMA	7455	Replicate 4	28
FMA	3332	Replicate 4	20
FGMA	11071	Replicate 4	24
FGMA	8356	Replicate 4	28
FGMA	5910	Replicate 4	20
Control	13077	Replicate 5	24
Control	7919	Replicate 5	28
Control	6401	Replicate 5	20
F	8301	Replicate 5	24
F	7802	Replicate 5	28
F	5801	Replicate 5	20
G	11899	Replicate 5	24
G	8686	Replicate 5	28
G	2745	Replicate 5	20
M	11401	Replicate 5	24
M	8270	Replicate 5	28
M	2541	Replicate 5	20
A	7766	Replicate 5	24
A	7256	Replicate 5	28
A	497	Replicate 5	20
FG	12676	Replicate 5	24
FG	8439	Replicate 5	28

FG	15008	Replicate 5	20
ori	13295	ori_5	19
ori	3919	ori_3	19
FM	8865	Replicate 5	24
FM	11599	Replicate 5	28
FM	4819	Replicate 5	20
FA	8044	Replicate 5	28
GM	7221	Replicate 5	28
GM	504	Replicate 5	20
GA	229	Replicate 5	24
GA	7704	Replicate 5	28
GA	8461	Replicate 5	20
MA	30	Replicate 5	24
MA	8202	Replicate 5	28
MA	2288	Replicate 5	20
FGM	9054	Replicate 5	28
FGM	3240	Replicate 5	20
FGA	8673	Replicate 5	24
FGA	9333	Replicate 5	28
FGA	392	Replicate 5	20
GMA	488	Replicate 5	24
GMA	8907	Replicate 5	28
GMA	6931	Replicate 5	20
FMA	8137	Replicate 5	24
FMA	9209	Replicate 5	28
FMA	4630	Replicate 5	20
FGMA	8672	Replicate 5	28
FGMA	4883	Replicate 5	20
ori	10488	ori_6	19