SBP-SITA: A sequence-based prediction tools for S-itaconation

Laizhi Zhang[1,] Xuanwen Wang[2], Lin Zhang[2], Yanzheng Meng[1], Ziyu Wang[1], Yu Chen[2*], Lei
Li[1*]

[1] College of Computer Science and technology, Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

[2] School of Basic Medicine, Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

* Correspondence:
Yu Chen   Lei Li
chenyu@qdu.edu.cn   lilei@qdu.edu.cn

Keywords: machine learning[1], post-translational modification[2], itaconation[3], cysteine[4],
prediction[5]. (Min.5-Max. 8)

Abstract

As a novel type of post-translational modification, lysine 2-Hydroxyisobutyrylation (K_{hib}) plays an important role
in gene transcription and signal transduction. In order to understand its regulatory mechanism, the essential
step is the recognition of K_{hib} sites. Thousands of K_{hib} sites have been experimentally verified across five
different species. However, there are only a couple traditional machine-learning algorithms developed to
predict K_{hib} sites for limited species, lacking a general prediction algorithm. We constructed a ensemble
algorithm based on Soft Voting Classifier with RF_{EAAC} and RF_{ZSCALE}. The area under the ROC curve (AUC)
value 0.73 for ensemble model. Accordingly, we constructed the on-line prediction tool dubbed SBP-SITA for
easily identifying Cystine sites. SBP-SITA is available at http://www.bioinfogo.org/sbp-sita.

1   Introduction

As one of the 20 amino acid composing protein, cysteine has high reactivity for its thiol side chain.
Some cysteine residues in protein could covalently bind to endogenous molecules like succinate or
itaconate, forming post-translation modification (PTM). Itaconate is a metabolite molecule, which
was first observed to be present in mammalian cells during macrophage activation in 2011 (Strelko et
al., 2011). Six years later, it was found that itaconate could also modify cysteine residue in proteins,
resulting in unreversible PTM S-itaconation (Mills et al., 2018). S-itaconation regulate a series of
immune process including decreasing antigen presentation, anti-inflammation and decreasing
glycolysis (O'Neill and Artyomov, 2019). Recently, its unusual role in tumor development was also
been revealed (Weiss et al., 2018).

Because of the functional significance of S-itaconation, the identification of new S-itaconatoin sites
in proteins and proteome is much more important. However, antibody that could capture S-itaconate
proteins or peptides are still lacking. Although some chemical proteomics techniques were developed
to identify S-itaconate protein from cell lysates, they are expensive and time-consuming (Qin et al.,
2019; Qin et al., 2020). By contrast, combing big data and advanced algorithms, some bioinformatics
method can provide an alternative strategy by predicting S-itaconation. Some bioinformatics
prediction tools have been developed for certain types of cysteine PTM like DeepCSO for S-
sulphenylation, GPS-Palm for S-palmitoylation and so on (Lyu et al., 2020; Ning et al., 2020). These
bioinformatics predictors become complement approaches for wet experiment, promoting the
investigation of different kinds of cysteine PTM. Nevertheless, no prediction model is available for
the S-itaconation.

*All authors have contributed equally

In this study, we developed a machine-learning prediction tools named SPS-SITA. We firstly collect
the identified 997 S-itaconation sites in RAW 264.7 cell lines to make dataset and then developed an
Ensemble predictor by Soft Voting based on six models. It achieves AUC 0.73. We also provided the
online SBP-SITA via https://bioinfogo.org/sbp-sita

2　　Materials and Methods

2.1　　Data Collection and Preprocessing

In this study, we collected the experimentally identified S-itaconation protein sequences from
literature (Qin *et al.*, 2020). To construct positive data of modeling, a sequence window was applied
to intercept the protein sequences. After this process, we obtained an amino acid sequence of length
27, with the modified cysteine site in the center (position 14), containing 13 amino acids on each
side. If there were less than fifteen amino acids on one side, we used "*" to replace the lacking
residues. Afterwards, negative data are generated using a similar methodology, where the unmodified
cysteine was in the center of the sequence windows (Wang et al., 2020). A data set containing 1422
positive sites and 17414 negative sites was established. Second, to eliminate the homology bias, CD-
HIT tool was employed with 40% identity. Redundant samples in the data sets were removed that
that none of the sequences had a larger than 40% pairwise identity in both positive and negative sets.
Thirdly, to prevent errors caused by data imbalance, we performed a down-sampling for the data.
Specifically, after CD-HIT process we obtained 995 positive peptides and 5140 negative peptides. In
order to equalize the positive and negative sample sizes, we randomly selected the same number of
negative sequences as the positive samples using down-sampling, i.e., 995 negative samples were
randomly chosen.

In the end, we obtained 1990 sequences from mice for modeling. To effectively assess the
performance of models, 10-fold cross validations and independent testing were employed on the
mouse data (Chen et al., 2018a). We randomly selected 398 samples from 1990 protein fragments of
mouse data for independent testing. Then the remaining 1592 sequences were randomly divided into
deciles. One set of data was used for model validation, while the remaining nine sets of data served
as the training set (Figure 1A).

Figure 1 (A) From the scientific literature we collected S-itaconation data set. After redundancy clearance and downsampling, only 1994 sequences were remained as data sets. (B) Six models were trained and integrated as an Ensemble model by Soft Voting. (C) The web-server implementation of SBP-SITA.

2.2 Feature Investigation

To check the quality of the training data, we visualized the features of the sequences to facilitate our inspection via Two Sample Logo web server (Vacic et al., 2006). It calculated the statistical significance of the position specific residue frequencies between the positive and negative sequences in training sets, showing the significantly enriched or depleted residues in the flanking sequence of center cysteine. To be more specific, in the output graph, the upper part shows the set of characters which are enriched (overrepresented) in the positive set. The lower part displays the set of characters which are depleted (underrepresented) in the positive set while the middle part shows the consensus characters. We also used this with model discission ratio the importance of each position in sequence through sequence encoding. (Fig 3A)

2.3 Sequence Encoding

In order to facilitate computer processing of amino acid sequences, we converted the sequence information into a format that can be processed by programs. Therefore, the amino acid sequences represented by letters is converted into quantification vectors. We used the following four methods to encode the sequences in order to preserve the positional information as much as possible and to facilitate the extraction of peptide features.

2.3.1 Binary Encoding (BE)

Binary encoding (BE) uses 0, 1 for representing the twenty amino acids. For each amino acid letter on the peptide, there is a 20-dimensional vector of zeros and one, i.e., only one position is 1 and the remaining 19 positions are set as zero (Chen et al., 2018b). For example, (01000000000000000000) is used to represent C, and (00000000000000000001) is used to

92   represent Y, uniquely. When we choose the input sequence length of 51 amino acids, after BE
93   process we got the vector with the shape of (51, 20).

2.3.2 Enhanced Amino Acid Composition (EAAC)

EAAC was developed based on Amino Acid Composition (AAC) encoding method, which counts
the frequency of distribution of each amino acid on the sequence (Chen *et al.*, 2018a). Unlike BE,
AAC cannot cover the position information of amino acids. Therefore, EAAC solves this problem by
introducing a sliding window of fixed length over the full length of the peptide, and then based on the
statistical method of AAC. For instance, when a fragment of 31 amino acids in length was input, by
EAAC encoding (with a sliding size of default 6) we end up with a vector of shape (26, 20).

2.3.3 Composition of k-spaced Amino Acid Pairs (CKSAAP)

The CKSAAP (Composition of k-spaced Amino Acid Pairs) method builds a mathematical model for
feature vector extraction using the proportion of k-spaced residue pairs in a fragment of a protein
sequence (Chen et al., 2020). Taking a peptide of length 51 as an example, when k=0, we can extract
50 residue pairs with 0 amino acids in each residue pair spaced in the sequence. And so on, when we
choose k to be 1, 49 residue pairs can be extracted, so when the number of spaced amino acids is k,
we can extract:

$$N_{Total} = N - k - 1$$

Since the number of basic amino acids is 20, the number of residue pairs that can be formed is 20 x
20 = 400. We calculate the probability that these pairs of residues occur in a given protein sequence,
resulting in a 400-dimensional feature vector. It can be defined as:

$$\left(\frac{N_{LE}}{N_{Total}}, \frac{N_{EE}}{N_{Total}}, \frac{N_{EY}}{N_{Total}}, \dots \dots, \frac{N_{DL}}{N_{Total}}, \frac{N_{LL}}{N_{Total}} \right)_{400}$$

We end up with a vector of the shape (400,).

2.3.4 Z-SCALE (ZSCALE)

115 Z-Scale method was developed by Sandberg et al. in 1998 which embeds the physicochemical
116 properties of amino acids into vectors (Sandberg et al., 1998). The ZSCALE descriptor can be
117 applied to encode peptides of equal length. When we input a peptide of 51 amino acids in length for
118 encoding, we end up with a vector of shape (51, 5) through the Z-S descriptor (Table S1).

2.4   Model Developing

121 After the protein fragments were encoded, we used the following four different algorithms to fit the
122 training set and then to predict the input sequences (Figure 2B).

2.4.1 Support Vector Classifier with Different Encoding Features

Support vector classifier (SVC) is a two-class classification model. Its basic model is a linear
classifier defined by maximizing the interval on the feature space; support vector machines also
include kernel tricks, which make it a substantially nonlinear classifier (Weng et al., 2017). The
learning strategy of a support vector classifier is interval maximization, which can be formalized as a

problem of solving convex quadratic programming, which is also equivalent to the minimization of a
regularized hinge loss function. The learning algorithm for it is the optimization algorithm for
solving convex quadratic programming. It can solve the problem of high-dimensional, nonlinear
machine learning with small samples and improve the generalization performance, and also avoid the
complex problems of neural network structures.

2.4.2 Random Forest Classifier with Different Encoding Features

The Random Forest (RF) algorithm contains several decision trees that remain invariant under
scaling of eigenvalues and various transformations, with the output class determined by the output
class pattern of individual trees (Huang et al., 2018). Each tree depends on the values of a random
vector with the same distribution of all trees in the forest. As with the SVM, we used the results of
the four encodings (BE, EAAC, CKSAAP, Z-SCALE) as input to the RF. This classifier is based on
the Python "sklearn" module developed for prediction. And we use the "GridSearchCV" method for
optimal hyperparameter selection. Finally, we obtain a decision tree and thus the importance of each
feature that can be interpreted.

2.4.3 LightGBM with Different Encoding Features

LightGBM is a gradient boosting framework that uses tree-based learning algorithms. The boosting
tree uses an additive model with a forward stepwise algorithm to implement the optimization process
of learning. When the loss function is squared error loss function and exponential loss function, each
step of optimization is simple. However, for general loss functions, it is often not so easy to optimize
each step. To address this problem, Freidman proposed the gradient boosting algorithm. Gradient
Boosting is a large class of algorithms in Boosting, which borrows its idea from gradient descent, and
its basic principle is adding weak classifiers based on the negative gradient information of the loss
function for the current model and then combine the trained weak classifiers into the existing model
in an accumulative form (Friedman, 2001).

2.4.4 Model ensemble with Soft Voting Classifiers

Voting Classifier is an estimator that combines models representing different classification algorithms associated
with individual weights for confidence (Tasci et al., 2021). Voting classifier takes majority voting based on
weights applied to the class or class probabilities and assigns a class label to a record based on majority vote. The
ensemble classifier prediction can be mathematically represented as the following:

157
$$y = \arg \max_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \chi_A\big(c_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = i\big)$$

2.5   Model Implement and Classifier Performance Evaluation

After determining the algorithmic framework of models, we performed ten-fold cross-validations on
the training data to select the optimal hyperparameters using grid search, while evaluating the
stability of the model. To evaluate the generalization ability of the models, we predicted and scored
the tuned completed models on the independent test set. The following five performance evolution
indexes are reported. For ten-fold cross-validation, the mean and standard deviation of each index are
described:

ACC:

167
$$\text{accuracy}\,(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{n_{\text{samples}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{\text{sanplas}}-1} 1(\hat{y}_i = y_i)$$

168   AUC:

169
$$AUC = \frac{\sum_{i \,\in\, \text{positive class}} \text{rank}_i - \frac{M(1+M)}{2}}{M \times N}$$

170   SP:

171
$$Sp = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

172   SN:

173
$$Sn = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

174   F1-Score:

175
$$F_1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \,\cdot\, \text{recall}}{\text{precision} \,+\, \text{recall}}$$

3   Results

3.1   EAAC_RF Model Performed Favorably to Other Single Models

Many prediction models for predicting S-itaconation sites were trained based on three ML algorithms
combined with four features encoded from peptide sequences in this study. For each model, their
performances of these algorithms in terms of several measures including AUC, ACC, SP, SN and F1-
Score for 10-fold cross-validation were recorded (Table 1). To find the best model, we compare their
average AUC values in 10-fold cross validation. Among these models, EAAC_RF shows superior
performance than other single models, which had the average AUC values of 0.71 (Figure 2A). For
SVC and LightGBM, EAAC coding were also better than other encoding method with 0.70 and 0.69
average AUC values, respectively. ZSCALE performed also well, for the potential reason that Zscale
containing chemical information of each amino acids. BE performed significantly lower than the
other encoding methods, indicating that it was not applicable to this experiment.

method	AUC	ACC	SP	SN	F1-score
EAAC_RF	0.712±0.030	0.657±0.027	0.660±0.060	0.652±0.061	0.654±0.038
BE_RF	0.693±0.030	0.638±0.029	0.629±0.083	0.652±0.052	0.643±0.030
ZSCALE_RF	0.707±0.029	0.641±0.020	0.622±0.059	0.660±0.053	0.647±0.029
CKSAAP_RF	0.699±0.043	0.639±0.038	0.675±0.056	0.602±0.058	0.624±0.046
EAAC_SVC	0.696±0.038	0.646±0.029	0.655±0.044	0.636±0.054	0.642±0.036
BE_SVC	0.703±0.015	0.647±0.019	0.660±0.036	0.633±0.057	0.640±0.035
ZSCALE_SVC	0.696±0.037	0.644±0.032	0.658±0.043	0.630±0.059	0.638±0.041
CKSAAP_SVC	0.708±0.038	0.646±0.036	0.697±0.042	0.594±0.059	0.626±0.049

EAAC_LightGBM	0.691±0.036	0.644±0.037	0.633±0.057	0.654±0.047	0.647±0.036
BE_LightGBM	0.682±0.023	0.633±0.023	0.624±0.049	0.642±0.049	0.635±0.035
ZSCALE_LightGBM	0.680±0.031	0.628±0.036	0.634±0.078	0.620±0.051	0.624±0.034
CKSAAP_LightGBM	0.665±0.029	0.625±0.022	0.616±0.045	0.633±0.061	0.627±0.037
Ensemble	0.733±0.030	0.672±0.046	0.676±0.064	0.667±0.073	0.669±0.054

Table 1 10-fold Validation Performance

method	AUC	ACC	SP	SN	F1-score
EAAC_RF	0.716017	0.663317	0.658291	0.668342	0.665
BE_RF	0.661069	0.615578	0.653266	0.577889	0.600522
ZSCALE_RF	0.695437	0.645729	0.638191	0.653266	0.648379
CKSAAP_RF	0.7043	0.660804	0.728643	0.592965	0.636119
EAAC_SVC	0.689212	0.638191	0.643216	0.633166	0.636364
BE_SVC	0.693947	0.640704	0.643216	0.638191	0.639798
ZSCALE_SVC	0.689326	0.638191	0.648241	0.628141	0.634518
CKSAAP_SVC	0.715373	0.663317	0.723618	0.603015	0.641711
EAAC_LightGBM	0.676751	0.635678	0.638191	0.633166	0.634761
BE_LightGBM	0.673948	0.640704	0.653266	0.628141	0.636132
ZSCALE_LightGBM	0.655691	0.628141	0.663317	0.592965	0.614583
CKSAAP_LightGBM	0.654403	0.623116	0.592965	0.653266	0.634146
Ensemble	0.751471	0.693467	0.693467	0.693467	0.693467

191   **Table 2 Independent Test Performance**

3.2   Establishment of the Ensemble Model via Soft Voting

Due to the potential complementary effects in combining different classifiers to achieve better
results, we investigated whether an integration of more classifiers would be more robust or perform
better. We developed an Ensemble classifier by integrating six models (RF_{EAAC}, SVC_{EAAC},
$LightGBM_{EAAC}$, RF_{ZSCALE}, SVC_{ZSCALE} and $LightGBM_{ZSCALE}$) via Soft Voting (Figure 1B). Ensemble
model showed outstanding performance for both cross-validation and the independent test (Figure
2A, Figure 2B, Table S1). The AUC value was 0.73 in both 10-fold cross validation and test, which
was better than six single models integrated in it. This model was chosen as the final model.

Figure 2 (A) The average AUC of each models for 10-fold cross-validation. (B) The average AUC of Ensemble in independent test. (C) The comparison of Ensemble and six models.

3.3 Feature Exploring of S-itaconation

Two Sample Logo was employed to show the differences of amino acid distribution in each position between positive data set and negative data set (Figure 3A). The larger fonts denote the amino acid tending to appear in this position with statistical significance. It was shown that the amino acids with polarities, especially Glycine (G) and Serine (S), and one nonpolar amino acid Proline (P) were enriched in the six amino acids around S-itaconation sites. We also counted the feature importance of BE encoding. For BE feature importance, position 13, 15, 17, 12 contributed mostly to S-itaconation, showing the consistent position location of Two sample logo (Figure 3B). To further explore it occurring in physicochemical information, Z-scale feature importance was also employed. It also showed similar trends in Two sample logo, indicating the amino acids near the Cystine may influence it via physicochemical effect.

Figure 3 (A) Two sample logo of positive and negative data sets. (B) Feature importance of BE. (C) Feature importance of ZSCALE

3.4 Construction of SBP-SITA Web-server

We developed an easy-to-use online tool for the prediction of the S-itaconation sites, dubbed Sequence-based predictor of S-itaconation (SBP-SITA). It contains the Ensemble model in this article, and accepts input protein sequences as the FASTA format directly or uploads the sequence file (Figure 4). After the job submission, the prediction will start and take several minutes. Finally, the prediction results are output in tabular form with five columns: protein, position, sequence, prediction score.

225   Figure 4 Online prediction interface

4   Conclusion and discussion

S-itaconation is a significant biological activity in the activation of macrophage cells, regulating
many pathways. Recently, thousands of serine S-itaconation sites have been found in mouse
macrophages (Qin *et al.*, 2019). Our study constructed the first S-itaconation prediction tools named
SBP-SITA. It was an Ensemble classifier integrated six machine learning models, achieving 0.73
AUC, and could be accessible at the website (https://bioinfogo.org/sbp-sita/).

In feature exploration, the Z1 of the Zscale is more significant to others (Figure 3C), it reflects the
polarity of amino acids, but P is not a polar amino acid, so the sterically hindered of amino acid side
chains may influence the occurrence of S-itaconation. But the detailed mechanism needs to be further
explored.

5   Funding

238   This work was funded by the *Shandong Training Program of Innovation and Entrepreneurship for*
239   *Undergraduates,* project number: NO. S202011065118

6   Reference

Chen, Z., He, N., Huang, Y., Qin, W.T., Liu, X., and Li, L. (2018a). Integration of A Deep Learning Classifier with A
Random Forest Approach for Predicting Malonylation Sites. Genomics, proteomics & bioinformatics *16*, 451-459.
10.1016/j.gpb.2018.08.004.

Chen, Z., Liu, X., Li, F., Li, C., Marquez-Lago, T., Leier, A., Akutsu, T., Webb, G.I., Xu, D., Smith, A.I., et al. (2019).
Large-scale comparative assessment of computational predictors for lysine post-translational modification sites. Briefings
in bioinformatics *20*, 2267-2290. 10.1093/bib/bby089.

Chen, Z., Zhao, P., Li, F., Leier, A., Marquez-Lago, T.T., Wang, Y., Webb, G.I., Smith, A.I., Daly, R.J., Chou, K.C., and
Song, J. (2018b). iFeature: a Python package and web server for features extraction and selection from protein and
peptide sequences. Bioinformatics *34*, 2499-2502. 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty140.

Chen, Z., Zhao, P., Li, F., Marquez-Lago, T.T., Leier, A., Revote, J., Zhu, Y., Powell, D.R., Akutsu, T., Webb, G.I., et al.
(2020). iLearn: an integrated platform and meta-learner for feature engineering, machine-learning analysis and modeling
of DNA, RNA and protein sequence data. Briefings in bioinformatics *21*, 1047-1057. 10.1093/bib/bbz041.

Friedman, J.H. (2001). Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine. The Annals of Statistics *29*, 1189-
1232, 1144.

Huang, Y., He, N., Chen, Y., Chen, Z., and Li, L. (2018). BERMP: a cross-species classifier for predicting m(6)A sites by
integrating a deep learning algorithm and a random forest approach. International journal of biological sciences *14*, 1669-
1677. 10.7150/ijbs.27819.

Lyu, X., Li, S., Jiang, C., He, N., Chen, Z., Zou, Y., and Li, L. (2020). DeepCSO: A Deep-Learning Network Approach
to Predicting Cysteine S-Sulphenylation Sites. Front Cell Dev Biol *8*, 594587. 10.3389/fcell.2020.594587.

Mills, E.L., Ryan, D.G., Prag, H.A., Dikovskaya, D., Menon, D., Zaslona, Z., Jedrychowski, M.P., Costa, A.S.H.,
Higgins, M., Hams, E., et al. (2018). Itaconate is an anti-inflammatory metabolite that activates Nrf2 via alkylation of
KEAP1. Nature *556*, 113-117. 10.1038/nature25986.

Ning, W., Jiang, P., Guo, Y., Wang, C., Tan, X., Zhang, W., Peng, D., and Xue, Y. (2020). GPS-Palm: a deep learning-
based graphic presentation system for the prediction of S-palmitoylation sites in proteins. Briefings in bioinformatics.
10.1093/bib/bbaa038.

O'Neill, L.A.J., and Artyomov, M.N. (2019). Itaconate: the poster child of metabolic reprogramming in macrophage
function. Nature reviews. Immunology *19*, 273-281. 10.1038/s41577-019-0128-5.

Qin, W., Qin, K., Zhang, Y., Jia, W., Chen, Y., Cheng, B., Peng, L., Chen, N., Liu, Y., Zhou, W., et al. (2019). S-
glycosylation-based cysteine profiling reveals regulation of glycolysis by itaconate. Nature chemical biology *15*, 983-991.
10.1038/s41589-019-0323-5.

Qin, W., Zhang, Y., Tang, H., Liu, D., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., and Wang, C. (2020). Chemoproteomic Profiling of Itaconation
by Bioorthogonal Probes in Inflammatory Macrophages. Journal of the American Chemical Society *142*, 10894-10898.
10.1021/jacs.9b11962.

Sandberg, M., Eriksson, L., Jonsson, J., Sjostrom, M., and Wold, S. (1998). New chemical descriptors relevant for the
design of biologically active peptides. A multivariate characterization of 87 amino acids. Journal of medicinal chemistry
41, 2481-2491. 10.1021/jm9700575.

Strelko, C.L., Lu, W., Dufort, F.J., Seyfried, T.N., Chiles, T.C., Rabinowitz, J.D., and Roberts, M.F. (2011). Itaconic acid
is a mammalian metabolite induced during macrophage activation. Journal of the American Chemical Society *133*,
16386-16389. 10.1021/ja2070889.

Tasci, E., Uluturk, C., and Ugur, A. (2021). A voting-based ensemble deep learning method focusing on image
augmentation and preprocessing variations for tuberculosis detection. Neural Comput Appl, 1-15. 10.1007/s00521-021-
06177-2.

Vacic, V., Iakoucheva, L.M., and Radivojac, P. (2006). Two Sample Logo: a graphical representation of the differences
between two sets of sequence alignments. Bioinformatics *22*, 1536-1537. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl151.

Wang, H., Wang, Z., Li, Z., and Lee, T.Y. (2020). Incorporating Deep Learning With Word Embedding to Identify Plant
Ubiquitylation Sites. Front Cell Dev Biol *8*, 572195. 10.3389/fcell.2020.572195.

Weiss, J.M., Davies, L.C., Karwan, M., Ileva, L., Ozaki, M.K., Cheng, R.Y., Ridnour, L.A., Annunziata, C.M., Wink,
D.A., and McVicar, D.W. (2018). Itaconic acid mediates crosstalk between macrophage metabolism and peritoneal
tumors. The Journal of clinical investigation *128*, 3794-3805. 10.1172/JCI99169.

Weng, S.L., Kao, H.J., Huang, C.H., and Lee, T.Y. (2017). MDD-Palm: Identification of protein S-palmitoylation sites
with substrate motifs based on maximal dependence decomposition. PloS one *12*, e0179529.
10.1371/journal.pone.0179529.

7   Supplementary Material

Amino acid	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z4	Z5	Amino Acid	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z4	Z5
A	0.24	-2.32	0.60	-0.14	1.30	M	-2.85	-0.22	0.47	1.94	-0.98
C	0.84	-1.67	3.71	0.18	-2.65	N	3.05	1.60	1.04	-1.15	1.61
D	3.98	0.93	1.93	-2.46	0.75	P	-1.66	0.27	1.84	0.70	2.00
E	3.11	0.26	-0.11	-3.04	-0.25	Q	1.75	0.50	-1.44	-1.34	0.66
F	-4.22	1.94	1.06	0.54	-0.62	R	3.52	2.50	-3.50	1.99	-0.17
G	2.05	4.06	0.36	-0.82	-0.38	S	2.39	-1.07	1.15	-1.39	0.67
H	2.47	1.95	0.26	3.90	0.09	T	0.75	-2.18	-1.12	-1.46	-0.40
I	-3.89	-1.73	-1.71	-0.84	0.26	V	-2.59	-2.64	-1.54	-0.85	-0.02
K	2.29	0.89	-2.49	1.49	0.31	W	-4.36	3.94	0.59	3.44	-1.59
L	-4.28	-1.30	-1.49	-0.72	0.84	Y	-2.54	2.44	0.43	0.04	-1.47

299 Table S 1 Z-scale value

300 **1   Data Availability Statement**