1   Full title:

2   The *Blepharisma stoltei* macronuclear genome:

3   towards the origins of whole genome

4   reorganization

5   Short title:

6   Macronuclear genome of *Blepharisma stoltei*

7   strain ATCC 30299

8   Minakshi Singh[1], Kwee Boon Brandon Seah[1], Christiane Emmerich[1], Aditi Singh[1], Christian

9   Woehle[2], Bruno Huettel[2], Adam Byerly[3], Naomi Alexandra Stover[4], Mayumi Sugiura[5], Terue

10   Harumoto[5], Estienne Carl Swart[1,*]

12   1. Max Planck Institute for Biology, Tuebingen, Germany

13   2. Max Planck Genome Center Cologne, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding, Cologne,

14   Germany

15   3. Department of Computer Science and Information Systems, Bradley University, Peoria

16   IL, USA

17   4. Department of Biology, Bradley University, Peoria, IL, USA

18   5. Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan

19   *   Corresponding author

Abstract

The germ-soma distinction is a defining feature of multicellular eukaryotes. Analogous to this, ciliates, a ubiquitous microbial eukaryote lineage, have morphologically and functionally distinct nuclei, but within single cells: the germline micronucleus (MIC) and somatic macronucleus (MAC). The origins and mechanisms of the MIC to MAC transformation, especially the extensive elimination of abundant internally eliminated sequences (IESs) and transposons during genome reorganization, are great biological mysteries. *Blepharisma* represents one of the two earliest diverging ciliate classes, and has unique, dual pathways of MAC development, making it ideal for investigating the functioning, origins and evolution of these processes. Here, we report the MAC genome assembly of *Blepharisma stoltei* strain ATCC 30299 (41 Mb), arranged as numerous alternative telomere-capped minichromosomes, tens to hundreds of kilobases long. The *B. stoltei* MAC genome encodes eight PiggyBac transposase homologs liberated from transposons*.* All are subject to purifying selection, but just one, the putative *Blepharisma* IES excisase, has a complete catalytic amino acid triad. Numerous genes encoding other domesticated transposases are present in *B. stoltei*, and often are comparably strongly upregulated in a similar timeframe to model ciliate genome reorganization homologs. Our phylogenetic investigations suggest the PiggyBac homologs may have been ancestral ciliate IES excisases. The *B. stoltei* MAC genome, together with the upcoming MIC genome, highlights the evolution and complex interplay between transposons, domesticated transposases, and genome reorganization in the context of germline-soma differentiation within single cells.

Abbreviations

- MIC - micronucleus
- MAC - macronucleus
- IES - interspersed eliminated sequence
- MDS - macronuclear-destined sequence
- PacBio - Pacific Biosciences
- CLR - continuous long read (PacBio)
- CCS - circular consensus sequence (PacBio)
- HiFi - High-fidelity read (PacBio)
- ATAS - alternative telomere addition site
- PBLE - PiggyBac-like element
- PGBD - PiggyBac element-derived
- Pgm - PiggyMac
- PgmL - PiggyMac-like

Introduction

Acquisition of new organelles defines and is responsible for the origins of eukaryotes [1]. Ciliates represent one of a couple of eukaryotic lineages with more than one kind of nucleus within individual cells [2]. Profound differences between the ciliate nuclei and the genomes they contain now exist, with some parallels to the germline-soma distinction in multicellular organisms [3]. Ciliate nuclear and genomic dimorphism provides a special opportunity to observe the consequences of evolution of a specialized organelle clearly derived from a general one and for studying the evolution of new, functionally differentiated genes that enable this unusual situation.

The processes responsible for large-scale developmental DNA elimination [4] in ciliate nuclei are under active study, but what the responsible ancestral molecules were remains to be determined. Knowledge of the molecules responsible for ciliate genome reorganization is dominated by *Tetrahymena* and *Paramecium* (class Oligohymenophorea), with additional input from *Oxytricha*, *Stylonychia* and *Euplotes* (class Spirotrichea) [5,6], whereas this remains to be investigated in the remaining nine or so classes. To gain fresh insights into genome reorganization and tackle questions about its origin we focused on the ciliate species *Blepharisma stoltei*. Together with its sister-class, Karyorelictea, the class Heterotrichea, to which this *B. stoltei* belongs, represent the earliest branching ciliate lineages, more distantly related to current model ciliates than those models are to each other [7]. Furthermore, *Blepharisma* exhibits distinctive alternative somatic developmental pathways, which have potential utility in disentangling processes involved in genome reorganization from preceding ones that may indirectly influence it.

Blepharisma is a distinctive genus of single-celled ciliates (Figure 1) known for the red, light-sensitive pigment, blepharismin, in the sub-pellicular membranes of representative species [8],

and unusual nuclear/developmental biology (Figure 2) [9]. To date molecular investigations and

genomics of ciliates have predominantly focused on oligohymenophoreans and spirotrichs

(Figure 3, Table S1). In recent years, publication of a draft genome for the heterotrich ciliate,

Stentor, has facilitated revival of this genus for investigations of cellular regeneration [10–12].

However, significant hurdles still need to be overcome to investigate genome reorganization in

Stentor coeruleus since requisite cell mating has not been observed in the reference somatic

genome strain (personal communication, Mark Slabodnick), and very high lethality has been

reported for other strains in which mating occurred [13]. We therefore focused on *Blepharisma*

which is amenable to such investigations, with controlled induction of mating, and, critically,

procedures for investigating cellular and nuclear development have been established from more

than a century of meticulous cytological research [8,14–25].

The *Blepharisma stoltei* strains used in the present study were originally isolated in Germany

(strain ATCC 30299) and Japan (strain HT-IV), with the former continuously cultured for over

fifty years, and the latter for over a decade. The cells are comparatively straightforward to

maintain, e.g., stable cultures can be established in a simple salt medium on a few grains of rice

passaged every few months. Due to their distinctive pigmentation and large size several

Blepharisma species are excellent subjects for introducing cell biology concepts to non-

specialists, and are thus readily available for educational purposes from commercial suppliers

like Carolina Biological Supply Company (USA). They are ideal subjects for behavioural and

developmental investigations, e.g., as voracious predators of smaller ciliates and other

unicellular species, and also exhibit pronounced phenotypic plasticity, including forming cysts

and giant, cannibal cells under suitable conditions [8].

Like all ciliates [3], *Blepharisma* cells have two types of nuclei: a macronucleus (MAC) which is

very large and transcriptionally active during vegetative growth, and a small, generally

transcriptionally inactive micronucleus (MIC), which serves as the germline. Each *Blepharisma*

cell has one MAC and several MICs (Figure 1A, B). In vegetative propagation (asexual

replication) of *Blepharisma*, cell fission results in about half of the MAC pinching off which is

then distributed to each of the resulting daughter cells together with the mitotically divided MICs.

Upon starvation, *Blepharisma* cells, like other ciliates, are also capable of conjugation and the

associated sexual processes. Essential for developmental investigations, the intricate ballet of

nuclear movements and morphological changes occurring during *Blepharisma* conjugation is

well-documented [9] (Figure 2). During this process about half of the MICs in each of the cells

undergo meiosis (meiotic MICs) and the rest do not (somatic MICs) (Figure 2B). One of the

meiotic MICs eventually gives rise to two haploid gametic nuclei. One gametic MIC (the

migratory nucleus) from each conjugating cell is exchanged with that of its partner. In parallel in

partnered cells, subsequent fusion of the migratory and stationary haploid nuclei generates a

zygotic nucleus (synkaryon), and after successive mitotic divisions gives rise to both new MICs

and new MACs (known as anlagen). The new MACs continue to mature, eventually growing in

size and DNA content [9].

Conveniently for investigations of development and genome reorganization, *Blepharisma* is one

of only two ciliate genera, along with *Euplotes* [26–29], where conjugation has been shown to be

mediated through pheromone-like substances called gamones. *Blepharisma* has two mating

types, distinguished by their gamone production. Mating type I cells release gamone 1, a ~30

kDa tyrosine-rich [30] glycoprotein [31,32]; mating type II cells release gamone 2, calcium-3-(2'-

formylamino-5'-hydroxybenzoyl) lactate, a small-molecule effector [33]. *Blepharisma* cells

commit to conjugation when complementary mating types recognize each other's gamones, with

the cells remaining paired while meiosis and fertilization occur and eventually new MACs begin

to form.

As in model ciliates, during *Blepharisma* anlagen development, MIC-specific sequences are

removed from a MIC genome copy to form a functional MAC genome (Seah, et al. in prep.). The

new MAC genomes of model ciliate species are largely free of mobile elements and other forms

of "junk" DNA contained in the MIC genome [34]. In the best studied ciliates, a form of genome

reorganization assisted by small RNAs (sRNAs) is thought to occur [5]. Specific genome

segments limited to the MIC, termed internally eliminated sequences (IESs), are excised by

domesticated transposases, and may have originated from transposons [3,5,35,36]. Large scale

genome-wide DNA amplification accompanies the genome reorganization process, producing

thousands of copies in mature MACs of larger ciliate species [3,34].

We were motivated to investigate genome reorganization in *Blepharisma*, as, unlike model

ciliates, these cells can produce two kinds of anlagen, and particularly because one of the two

pathways of their development skips the complex series of mitoses, meioses, nuclear

exchanges and fertilization [9] (Figure 2). Primary anlagen mature in the conventional manner

from zygotic nuclei. Somatic MICs which have not undergone meiosis can give rise to secondary

anlagen, which can develop into mature macronuclei [9]. This occurs frequently in strains with a

high frequency of selfing (conjugation among cells within a clonal population), in preference to

development of primary macronuclear anlagen [9]. This alternative pathway of MAC

development has also been observed experimentally after removal of primary macronuclear

anlagen by microsurgery [9]. As conjugation progresses, the old (maternal) MACs are

progressively degraded [9]. Since the *B. stoltei* MIC genome has numerous IESs which interrupt

genes (Seah et al. in prep.), in principle, to produce functional, mature MAC genomes, it is

essential that reorganization of DNA occurs in both primary and secondary anlagen.

Here we provide essential macronuclear genome and developmental, transcriptomic resources

for *B. stoltei* and present the first investigations of possible molecules involved in its genome

reorganization. Like *Stentor coeruleus* [10], *Blepharisma stoltei* genes have the shortest known

spliceosomal introns, predominantly 15 or 16 nt long. From long-read sequencing the *B. stoltei*

MAC genome appears to be organized in the form of numerous minichromosomes. Among the

MAC-encoded transposase genes we identified in *Blepharisma* were PiggyBac transposase

homologs, which, as far as we are aware, have not been reported in any ciliates other than

Paramecium and *Tetrahymena*. A few *Blepharisma* PiggyBac homologs are substantially

upregulated in MAC development, including one with a complete catalytic triad which is the main

candidate IES excisase. Raising the possibility of additional IES excisases, transposases from a

few different classes are also present in the MAC genome, including some with complete

catalytic triads. Consistent with ancient origins of genome reorganization in ciliates, *Blepharisma*

shares pronounced development-specific upregulation of homologs known to be involved in this

process, notably Dicer-like and Piwi proteins responsible for sRNA biogenesis. *Blepharisma*

therefore represents an invaluable outgroup for investigations of the evolution of genome

reorganization.

173   Results

174   A compact, extensively fragmented genome

The draft *Blepharisma stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC genome is compact, at 41 Mb, and AT rich

(66%), like most sequenced ciliate MAC genomes, and relatively complete (Figure 3; Table S1,

2, Figure S1). From joint variant calling of reads from strains ATCC 30299 and HT-IV mapped to

this assembly, strain ATCC 30299 appears to be virtually homozygous, with only 1277

heterozygous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) observed compared to 193725 in strain

HT-IV (i.e., individual heterozygosity of 3.08×10^{-5} vs. 4.67×10^{-3} respectively). Low SNP levels

were likely beneficial for the overall assembly contiguity, since heterozygosity poses significant

algorithmic challenges for genome assembly software [37]. For brevity's sake, we refer to this

draft genome as the *Blepharisma* MAC genome (and likewise "*Blepharisma*" for the associated

strain). Though the final assembly comprises 64 telomere-to-telomere sequences, it is not

possible to define conventional chromosome ends given the extensive natural fragmentation of

the *Blepharisma* MAC genome (characterized in the next section), hence we refer to them

simply as "contigs".

The basic telomere unit of *B. stoltei* is a permutation of CCCTAACA, like its heterotrich relative

Stentor coeruleus [10] (Figure S2). However, a compelling candidate for a telomerase ncRNA

(TERC) could not be found in either *Blepharisma* or *Stentor* using Infernal [38] and RFAM

models (RF00025 - ciliate TERC; RF00024 - vertebrate TERC). Thus, it was not possible to

delimit the ends of the repeat. Heterotrichs may use a different kind of ncRNA, or one which is

very divergent from known TERCs. In contrast to the extremely short (20 bp) macronuclear

telomeres of spirotrichs like *Oxytricha* with extreme MAC genome fragmentation [39],

sequenced *Blepharisma* macronuclear telomeres are moderately long (Figure S2A), with a

mode of 209 bp (i.e., 26 repeats of the 8 bp motif), extending to a few kilobases.

Approximately one in eight reads in the *Blepharisma* HiFi library were telomere-bearing and

distributed across the entire genome (Figure 4A), using a moderately strict definition of

possessing at least three consecutive telomeric repeats. In contrast, the telomere-bearing reads

of the model ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila* predominantly map to chromosome ends (Figure

S3A), and only one in fifty nine *Tetrahymena* CLR reads are telomere-bearing, as identified by

three consecutive telomeric subunit repeats (i.e., 3×CCCCAA).

Typically, only a minority of mapped reads are telomere-bearing at individual internal contig

positions, and so we term them alternative telomere addition sites (ATASs) (Figure 4A). We

identified a total of 46705 potential ATASs in *Blepharisma* by mapping PacBio HiFi reads onto

the MAC reference, and searching for partially mapped reads that contained telomeric repeats in

the unmapped (clipped) segments without any intervening sequence between the mapped

segment and the beginning/end of the telomeric repeat. The majority of these sites (38686) were

represented by only one mapped HiFi read.

The expected distance between telomeres, and hence the average MAC DNA molecule length,

is about 130 kb. This is consistent with the raw input MAC DNA lengths, which were mostly

longer than 10 kb and as long as 1.5 Mb (Figure S3C, D), and our observation that a small

fraction (1.3%) of *Blepharisma*'s HiFi reads are bound by telomeres on both ends. Excluding the

length of the telomeres, these telomere-bound reads may be as short as 4 kb (Figure S2B).

Given the frequency of telomere-bearing reads, we expect many additional two-telomere DNA

molecules longer than 12 kb, the approximate maximum length of the HiFi reads (Figure S3C,

D).

Since the lengths of the sequenced two-telomere DNA molecules on average imply that they

encode multiple genes, we propose classifying them as "minichromosomes". This places them

between the length of "nanochromosomes" of ciliates like *Oxytricha* and *Stylonychia*, which

typically encode single genes and are on the order of a few kilobases long [39,40], and MAC

chromosomes of *Paramecium tetraurelia* and *Tetrahymena thermophila* which are hundreds of

kilobases to megabases long [41–43]. Recently it was reported that the *Paramecium bursaria*

MAC genome is considerably more fragmented than those of other previously examined

Paramecium species [44]. The DNA molecules from *P. bursaria* have thus also been classified

as minichromosomes [44].

Alternative telomere addition sites in the MAC genome tend to be intergenic in model ciliates like

Oxytricha trifallax [39]. In *Blepharisma*, we found more intergenic ATASs (28309) than intragenic

ones (18396). As intergenic regions only make up 10.1 Mb of the assembly, the intergenic

frequency of ATASs is about five-fold higher (2.81 per 1 kb) than intragenic frequency (0.562 per

1 kb). The presence of intragenic ATASs raises the question how the cell tolerates or deals with

mRNAs encoding partial proteins transcribed from 3' truncated genes. Since the sequence data

was from a clonal population, it is not possible to tell how much ATAS variability there is within

individual cells. However, it is conceivable that their positional variation in single cells reflects

that of the population. In this case, together with redundancy from massive DNA amplification

there would likely be sufficient intact copies of every gene.

Beyond the first 2-5 bp corresponding to the junction sequences, the average base composition

on the chromosome flanking ATAS junctions shows an asymmetrical bias (Figure 4B). From

position +6 onwards there is an enrichment of T to about 40% and A to 35-39%, compared to

the genome-wide frequencies of 33% each. At position +19 to +23, there is a slight decrease in

T to 37-39%. AT values gradually decline back to about 35% each by position +150.

Correspondingly, G and C are depleted downstream of ATAS junctions, dropping to a minimum

of 8.6% and 11% respectively around position +37, compared to the genome-wide average of

17% each. AT enrichment and GC depletion upstream of ATAS junctions are less pronounced.

If breakage and chromosome healing were random, we would not expect such an asymmetry.

This suggests that there is a nucleotide bias, whether in the initiation of breaks, telomere

addition, or in the processing of breaks before telomere addition. However, we have not yet

identified any conserved motif like the 15 bp chromosome breakage site (CBS) in *Tetrahymena*

[45] nor a short 10-bp sequence periodicity in base composition like in *Oxytricha trifallax* [46].

Therefore, telomere addition in *B. stoltei* appears to involve base-pairing of short segments of

about 2 bp between the telomere and chromosome, with a bias centered on the "CT" in the

telomere unit, and an asymmetrical preference for AT-rich sequences on the chromosomal side

of the junction.

Tiny spliceosomal introns

The *Blepharisma stoltei* ATCC 30299 macronuclear genome is gene-dense (25,711 predicted

genes), with short intergenic regions, tiny introns and untranslated regions (UTRs) (Figure 5A).

In contrast to *Stentor* which, unusually for ciliates, uses the standard genetic code, *B. stoltei*

uses an alternative genetic code with UGA codons reassigned from stops to tryptophan (Figure

S5).

Like *Stentor* [10], most (82%) *Blepharisma* genes have no introns. In line with genome

compactness, during our inspections we also observed numerous overlapping poly(A)-tailed

RNA-seq reads on opposite strands derived from convergently transcribed gene pairs. The

correlation of the lengths of different noncoding region classes (intergenic regions, introns and

UTRs) can be explained by them being subject to common, neutral evolutionary processes [47].

Blepharisma introns are mostly (97%) 15 or 16 nucleotides (nt) long, like those of *Stentor*

(Figure 5B). Though intron reduction (7389 introns predicted in the reference *B. stoltei* MAC

genome, i.e., 0.29 introns per gene) is not as extreme as some other microbial eukaryotes, like

Giardia lamblia [48], where almost all have been lost, both *Blepharisma* and *Stentor* have much

fewer introns relative to other ciliates (e.g., intron densities of 1.6, 2.3 and 4.8 introns per gene

in *Paramecium*, *Oxytricha* and *Tetrahymena*, respectively [49]) and to the putative, relatively

intron-rich eukaryotic common ancestor [50], along with their extreme length reduction.

Blepharisma 15 nt introns possess a characteristic branch-point "A", as would be expected in

classical models of lariat formation during mRNA splicing (Figure 5C). 16 nt introns almost

invariably have an "A" at either 10 or 11 nt downstream of the donor site (i.e., only one of 499

does not, but has "A" at 9 nt), although this is not obvious in the consensus sequence logo

because the position is variable (Figure S6D). Similarly, 17 nt introns all possess "A" at 10-12 nt

downstream of the donor site. Only a few intron bases, 5-8 and 12, of *Blepharisma*'s 15 nt

introns are relatively unconstrained (Figure 5C). This leaves little room for the presence of any

additional regulatory elements in the mRNA or underlying DNA.

Extensive duplications of transmembrane protein genes

A notable extended ~220 kb region encoding 53 genes belonging to a single orthologous group

(orthogroup), OG0000085 is present on Contig_1 (Figure 5A). Four additional OG0000085

genes are present at the opposite end of Contig_1, and 24 copies are found on other contigs,

often clustered together (Figure 5D). The DNA coverage across this region is lower (74×) than

the rest of Contig_1 (185×). Though there is uncertainty in the exact extent, given the sheer

volume of reads involved, the assembled sequences certainly correspond to highly repetitive

regions of the MAC genome. At the junction between the lower and higher coverage regions

more than 30 HiFi reads link the two regions of coverage, and a similar number of telomere-

bearing reads are in close proximity. At the junction we also observe at least two potential

locations of IESs, corresponding to regions that may be partially IES/partially MDS.

Large clusters of genes from particular orthogroups can be found on additional contigs (Figure

5D). In total 551 (2%) of predicted *B. stoltei* genes belong to the orthogroups with the largest

clusters per contig. Some of the largest contiguous clusters of genes from these orthogroups are

situated at the ends of contigs, suggesting they may have caused assembly breaks beyond

them. One contig, split off from other connected components in the assembly graph,

predominantly encodes genes from a single orthogroup (contig_64, 43× coverage; Figure 5D;

Figure S7). Further increases in read length and accuracy may allow assemblers to fully resolve

these in future. Curiously, all the orthogroups corresponding to the largest contiguous clusters of

genes appear to be transmembrane proteins, or decayed remnants thereof. The nature of these

proteins is described in Supplemental Text ("Properties of proteins encoded by extensive

duplications").

314   Features of gene expression during new MAC development

To gain an overview of the molecular processes during genome reorganization in *Blepharisma*

we examined gene expression trends across a developmental time series. Complementary

mating strains of *B. stoltei* were treated with gamones of the opposite mating type, before mixing

to initiate conjugation [9,51]. Samples for morphological staging and RNA-seq were taken at

intervals from the time of mixing ("0 hour" time point) up to 38 hours (Figure S8). The

progression of nuclear morphological development and the proportion of cells in each stage at

various time points is illustrated in Figure S9.

During conjugation in *Blepharisma*, meiosis begins around 2 h after conjugating cell pairs form

and continues up to around 18 h, by when gametic nuclei generated by meiosis have been

exchanged (Figure 2A, B, Figure S9). This is followed by karyogamy and mitotic multiplication of

the zygotic nucleus (22 hours). At the 26 h time point, new, developing primary MACs can be

observed in the conjugating pairs, in the form of large, irregular bodies (Figure 2A, Figure S9).

These macronuclear anlagen mature into the new MACs of the exconjugant cell by 38 h, after

which cell division generates two daughter cells. Smaller secondary MACs, derived directly from

MICs without all the intermediate nuclear stages, can also be seen from 22 hours to 30 hours,

but eventually disappear, giving sole way to the primary MACs (Figure 2A, Figure S9).

Examining gene expression at 26 hours, when the majority of cells are forming a new MAC

(Figure S9), we observe two broad trends: relatively stable constitutive gene expression (Table

S5; Data S3), e.g., an actin homolog (ENA accession: BSTOLATCC_MAC19444) and a

bacteria-like globin protein (BSTOLATCC_MAC21846), versus pronounced development-

specific upregulation (Table S6; Data S3), e.g., a histone (BSTOLATCC_MAC21995) an HMG

box protein (BSTOLATCC_MAC14030), and a translation initiation factor (eIF4E,

BSTOLATCC_MAC5291).

We eschewed a crude, large-scale Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis in favour of close

scrutiny of a smaller subset of genes strongly upregulated during new MAC formation which we

expect are more relevant to molecular biologists. For this, computational gene annotations in

combination with BLASTP searches and examination of literature associated with homologs was

used. Ranking the relative gene expression at 26 hours vs. the average expression of starved,

gamone treated, and 0 hour cells, in descending order, reveals numerous genes of interest in

the context of genome reorganization, including homologs of proteins known to be involved in

genome reorganization in model ciliate species (Table S6).

Among the top 100 genes ranked this way (69× to 825× upregulation) nine contain PFAM

transposase domains: DDE_Tnp_1_7, DDE_3, MULE and DDE_Tnp_IS1595 (e.g.,

BSTOLATCC_MAC2188, BSTOLATCC_MAC14490, BSTOLATCC_MAC18054,

BSTOLATCC_MAC18052, respectively). We also observe small RNA (sRNA) biogenesis and

transport proteins, i.e., a Piwi protein (BSTOLATCC_MAC5406) and a Dicer-like protein

(BSTOLATCC_MAC1138; see also "Supplemental text", "Homologs of small RNA-related

proteins involved in ciliate genome reorganization" and Figure S10), and a POT1 telomere-

binding protein homolog (POT1.4; BSTOLATCC_MAC1496; Supplemental Text "Telomere-

binding protein paralogs"). Numerous homologs of genes involved in DNA repair and chromatin

are also present among these highly developmentally upregulated genes ("Supplemental text",

"Development-specific upregulation of proteins associated with DNA repair and chromatin" and

"Supplemental text", "Development-specific histone variant upregulation"). The presence of

proteins involved in either transcription initiation or translation initiation among these highly

upregulated genes suggests a possible manner to coordinate the regulation of development-

specific gene expression ("Supplemental text", "Development-specific upregulation of proteins

associated with initiation of transcription and translation").

366   PiggyBac homologs in *Blepharisma* are candidate IES excisases

In *Paramecium tetraurelia* and *Tetrahymena thermophila*, PiggyBac transposases are

responsible for IES excision during genome reorganization occurring in the developing new

MAC [52,53]. These transposases appear to have been domesticated, i.e., their genes are no

longer contained in transposons but are encoded in the somatic genome where they provide a

necessary function in genome development [52,53]. PiggyBac belongs to the DDE/D-

superfamily of transposases due to the presence of a protein domain containing the catalytic

triad of 3 aspartic acid residues (DDD), where the third residue can also be a glutamate (E).

PiggyBac homologs typically have a DDD catalytic triad, rather than the more common DDE

triad of other DDE/D transposases [54]. The DDD catalytic motif is present in the PiggyMac

(Pgm) of *Paramecium* and the *Tetrahymena* PiggyBac homologs Tpb1 and Tpb2 [53,55].

Among ciliates, domesticated PiggyBac transposases have so far only been reported in the

model oligohymenophorean genera *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena*, and have not been

detected in either the MAC or MIC genomes of the spirotrich *Oxytricha trifallax* [39,56].

We detected more transposase domains (9 distinct PFAM identifiers) in *B. stoltei* than any of the

other ciliate species we examined (Figure 6A). Seven of these domains are also found in at

least one other ciliate species. Using HMMER searches with the PFAM domain characteristic of

PiggyBac homologs, DDE_Tnp_1_7 (PF13843), we found eight homologs in *B. stoltei* ATCC,

none of which were flanked by terminal repeats identified by RepeatModeler. We also found

PiggyBac homologs in *B. stoltei* HT-IV and *B. japonicum* R1072. Reminiscent of *Paramecium*

tetraurelia, which, among ten PiggyMac homologs, has just one homolog with a complete

catalytic triad [55], the DDD triad is preserved in just a single *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 PiggyBac

homolog (Figure 6B; Contig_49.g1063, BSTOLATCC_MAC17466). This gene is strongly

upregulated during development from 22 to 38 hours after formation of heterotypic pairs, when

new MACs develop and IES excision is required (Figure 6B). Furthermore, there are significant

similarities in the basic properties of *Blepharisma* and *Paramecium* IESs, which will be reported

in detail in the subsequent *B. stoltei* MIC genome paper (Seah et al., in prep.). Consequently,

adopting the *Paramecium* nomenclature, we refer to our primary candidate IES excisase as

Blepharisma PiggyMac (BPgm) and the other homologs as BPgm-Likes (BPgmLs).

PiggyMac homologs are also present in other heterotrich ciliates but have not yet been

described because of genome assembly or annotation challenges. Using BPgm as a query

sequence, we found convincing homologs containing the conserved catalytic DDD-motif in a

genome assembly of the heterotrichous ciliate *Condylostoma magnum* (TBLASTN e-value 2e-

24 to 2e-37). While we failed to detect the DDE_Tnp_1_7 domain in predicted genes of the

heterotrich *Stentor coeruleus*, we were able to detect a relatively weak adjacent TBLASTN

matches split across two frames in its draft MAC genome (e-value 7e-15; SteCoe_contig_741

positions 6558-5475). After joining ORFs corresponding to this region and translating them, we

obtained a more convincing DDE_Tnp_1_7 match with HMMER3 (e-value 2e-24). This either

corresponds to a pseudogene or a poorly assembled genomic region. It is also possible that

additional PiggyBac homologs were missed in unassembled *Stentor* MAC genome regions.

In addition, we searched for PiggyMac homologs in the MAC genome of the pathogenic

oligohymenophorean ciliate *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* [57]. TBLASTN searches using the *T.*

thermophila Tpb2 as a query returned no hits. A HMMER search using hmmscan with a six-

frame translation of the *I. multifiliis* MAC genome against the PFAM-A database also did not

return any matches with independent E-values (i-E-value) less than 1. We note that based on

BUSCO analyses (Figure S1) the *I. multifiliis* genome appears to be less complete than other

ciliates we examined. So, a better genome assembly will be needed to investigate the possibility

that PiggyBac homologs are encoded elsewhere in this MAC genome.

Other than the PFAM DDE_Tnp_1_7 domain, three of the *Blepharisma* PiggyBac homologs also

possess a short, characteristic cysteine-rich domain (CRD) (Figure 6C). This domain is essential

for Pgm activity and *Paramecium* IES excision [58]. PiggyBac CRDs have been classified into

three different groups [58]. In *Blepharisma*, the CRD consists of five cysteine residues arranged

as CxxC-CxxCxxxxH-Cxxx(Y)H (where C, H, Y and x respectively denote cysteine, histidine,

tyrosine and any other residue). Two *Blepharisma* homologs possess this CRD without the

penultimate tyrosine residue, while the third contains a tyrosine residue before the final histidine.

This -YH feature towards the end of the CxxC-CxxCxxxxH-Cxxx(Y)H CRD is shared by all the

PiggyBac homologs we found in *Condylostoma*, as well as the PiggyBac-like element (PBLE)

from the bat *Myotis lucifugus* (Piggybat) and the human PiggyBac element-derived (PGBD)

proteins PGBD2 and PGBD3. In contrast, PiggyBac homologs from *Paramecium* and

Tetrahymena have a CRD with six cysteine residues arranged in the variants of the motif CxxC-

CxxC-Cx{2-7}Cx{3,4}H, and group together with human PGDB4 and *Spodoptera frugiperda*

PBLE (Figure 6C).

Previous experiments involving individual or paired gene knockdowns of most of the ten

Paramecium tetraurelia PiggyMac(-like) paralogs lead to substantial IES retention, even though

only one PiggyMac gene (PGM) has the complete catalytic triad, indicating that all these

proteins are functional [55]. To examine whether PiggyMac homologs in *Paramecium* are

functionally constrained we examined non-synonymous (d_N) to synonymous substitution rate

(d_S) ratios ($\omega = d_N/d_S$) for pairwise codon sequence alignments within and between species, with

the latter using two closely related *Paramecium* species (*P. tetraurelia* and *P. octaurelia*). All the

d_N/d_S values for pairwise comparisons of each of the catalytically incomplete *P. tetraurelia*

PgmLs versus the complete Pgm, were less than 1, ranging from 0.01 to 0.25 (Table S7). All

d_N/d_S values for pairwise comparisons between *P. tetraurelia* and *P. octaurelia* PiggyBac

orthologs were also substantially less than 1, ranging from 0.02 to 0.11 (Table S8). Since $d_N/d_S=$

1 indicates genes evolving neutrally [59], none of these genes are likely pseudogenes, and all

are subject to similar strong purifying selection.

Since only one of the eight homologs has the complete DDD catalytic triad characteristic of

functional PiggyBac transposases, this is the only possible catalytically active PiggyMac in *B.*

stoltei. The *in vitro* catalytic activity of the *T. ni* PiggyBac transposase is abolished when any of

the catalytic residues are substituted by alanine [60]. Conversely, we expect all *Blepharisma*

PiggyMac homologs with an incomplete catalytic triad to be catalytically inactive. In pairwise

comparisons of each of the catalytically incomplete homologs versus the complete one d_N/d_S

ranges from 0.0076 to 0.1351 (Table S9). As these estimates fall in a similar range to those

obtained for *Paramecium* PiggyMac/PiggyMac-likes, this indicates that the *B. stoltei* PiggyMac

homologs are predominantly subject to comparable purifying selection and unlikely to be

pseudogenes, but may have differentiated and acquired new functional roles.

A common origin for most ciliate PiggyBac homologs

To determine whether the *Blepharisma* PiggyBac homologs share a common ciliate ancestor, or whether they arose from independent acquisitions in major ciliate groups, we explored a range of phylogenetic methods. We created a phylogeny of 155 sequences of DDE_Tnp_1_7 domains of PiggyBac homologs which are representatives of putative domesticated transposases from the genus *Blepharisma* (*B. stoltei* ATCC 30299, *B. stoltei* HT-IV, *B. japonicum*), *Condylostoma magnum*, *Paramecium* spp., *Tetrahymena thermophila*, together with PiggyBac-like element (PBLE [61]) transposases from a stramenopile species, an archaeplastid species, several opisthokont species and an amoebozoan, homologs of the human PiggyBac-derived 1 gene (PGBD1), PGBD3, PGBD4 and PGBD5 homologs (Figure 7; Data S1). The three BPgms from the three *Blepharisma* strains whose MAC genomes we sequenced form a monophyletic clade, with the BPgmLs 1 and 2 as outgroups. The BPgmLs 3,4,5,6 and 7 are grouped together with the *Condylostoma* Pgms (each of which appears to have a complete catalytic triad). These two clades form a heterotrich-specific group in the phylogeny. We observe that the ciliate Pgms and PgmLs largely cluster to form a single clade. *T. thermophila* Tpb7 is an exception which appears to group together with homologs of PGBD5 from *H. sapiens, G. gallus* and *D. rerio* but has an aBayes support value of 0.84, much lower than the mode (1.0) and average (0.91) for this phylogeny. The other exceptions are two fungal PBLEs and two red algal PBLEs with low aBayes support within the predominantly monophyletic ciliate clade.

We applied tree topology tests to ascertain the likelihood of a monophyletic clade of ciliate Pgms and PgmLs. Constrained trees were compared by different methods including bootstrap proportion using the RELL method [62], a one-sided Kishino-Hasegawa test, a Shimodaira-Hasegawa test [63,64], estimating confidence using expected likelihood weights [65], and an approximately unbiased test [66] (Table S10). A tree with the constraint of monophyly for all

482   ciliate Pgms and PgmLs lacks support in comparison to an unconstrained tree with all the ciliate

483   Pgms and PgmLs and eukaryotic PBLEs. After excluding *T. thermophila* Tpb7, a tree

484   constrained with a clade consisting of the remaining ciliate Pgms and PgmLs is supported by all

485   tests. In other words, the ciliate PiggyBac homologs except Tpb7 likely originated in a ciliate

486   common ancestor.

487 Additional domesticated transposases

Three *B. stoltei* ATCC30299 MAC genome-encoded proteins possess PFAM domain DDE_1

(PF03184; Figure 8A). In PFAM version 35 the most common domain combinations

(architectures) with DDE_1, aside from proteins with just this domain detected (5898

sequences), are with an N-terminal PFAM domain HTH_Tnp_Tc5 (PF03221) alone (2240

sequences), and both an N-terminal CENP-B_N domain (PF04218) and central HTH_Tnp_Tc5

domain (1255 sequences). All the *B. stoltei* proteins possess the HTH_Tnp_Tc5 domain.

Though pairwise sequence identity is low amongst the *Blepharisma* proteins (avg. 28.3%) in

their multiple sequence alignment, the CENP-B_N domain in one of them appears to align

reasonably well to corresponding regions in the two proteins lacking this domain, suggesting

that it deteriorated in this pair, beyond the recognition capabilities of HMMER3 with the given

PFAM domain model and search parameters.

The N-terminal domains of *Homo sapiens* CENP-B proteins (corresponding to PFAM: CENP-

B_N, PF04218, and HTH_Tnp_Tc5) are able to bind 17 bp centromeric DNA repeats and are

involved in centromeric binding during chromosome segregation [67]. Potential convergent

domestication of transposases with these domains has been proposed for mammalian and

fission yeast lineages [68]. The PDC2 protein in budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

which regulates pyruvate decarboxylase transcription [69], is considered structurally

homologous to human CENP-B and shares the same pair of domains, but has not been

reported to play a role in centromere binding [68,70]. The CENP-B_N domain abbreviation is

somewhat unfortunate, since the domain is also characteristic of numerous transposases with

no known or expected role in centromeric function, notably the Tigger and PogoR families, and

so presumably originated as a transposase domain [71]. As judged from searches of the UniProt

database, the characteristic N-terminal CENP-B DNA-binding domain (PF04218) is detectable in

a few protist clades (e.g., Rhodophyta and Cryptophyceae), but, among alveolates, only in

Stentor coeruleus. BLASTp matches for all three proteins in GenBank are annotated either as

Jerky or Tigger homologs (Jerky transposases belong to the Tigger transposase family [71]).

Given that none of the *B. stoltei* DDE_1 domain proteins appears to have a complete catalytic

triad, we think it is unlikely they are involved in transposition or IES excision.

Six MAC-encoded transposases containing the DDE_3 (PF13358) domain are present in *B.*

stoltei, all of which are substantially upregulated in MAC development (Figure 8B). Five of these

possess the complete DDE catalytic triad. The DDE_3 domain is characteristic of DDE

transposases encoded by the Telomere-Bearing Element transposons (TBEs) of *Oxytricha*

trifallax [72,73], which, despite being MIC genome-limited, are thought to be involved in IES

excision, rather than a domesticated PiggyMac [74]. Other DDE_3-containing transposons,

called Tec elements, are found in another spirotrichous ciliate, *Euplotes crassus,* but no role in

genome reorganization has been established for these [75]. TBEs and Tec elements do not

share obvious features with one another, other than both possessing an encoded protein

belonging to the IS630-Tc1 transposase (super)-family [76]. All six DDE_3 genes in *B. stoltei*

ATCC 30299 have at least 150× HiFi read coverage, consistent with the regions encoding these

being *bona fide* macronuclear DNA rather than MIC DNA contaminants.

The *B. stoltei* DDE_3 domain transposases appear to be more closely related to the IS630

family than to *Oxytricha* TBE transposases and *Euplotes* Tec transposases, and thus acquired

independently of them. BLASTP searches of GenBank NR with these proteins as queries,

returned bacterial or fungal proteins for most of the hundred top hits, and among these the most

common classifications are "IS630 family" transposases. One of the top hits is a MIC genome-

encoded protein in *Oxytricha trifallax* with a DDE_3 domain which is not a TBE transposase

(GenBank accession: KEJ83017.1). IS630 transposases diverge considerably from Tc1-Mariner

transposases, and hence are considered an outgroup to them [77]. On the other hand, IS630-

related transposases encoded by Anchois transposons have been detected in the *Paramecium*

tetraurelia MIC genome [35]. Since all but one of the *B. stoltei* paralogs appear to possess

complete catalytic triads, the possibility that they may excise a subset of IESs needs to be

considered.

Among other ciliates with draft MAC genomes we examined, the PFAM IS1595- and MULE

transposase-like domains (PF12762 and PF10551) have so far only been observed in the

spirotrichs *Oxytricha* and *Stylonychia* [39,40]. DDE_Tnp_IS1595 domains are characteristic of

the Merlin transposon superfamily and MULE is part of the Mutator transposon superfamily [54].

Currently no particular functions have been ascribed to these proteins in these ciliates, but they

are substantially upregulated during the development of these ciliates [39,56]. Both

transposase-like domains are found in MAC-encoded proteins in *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 and the

underlying genes are also upregulated during *Blepharisma* MAC development (Figure 9A, B).

The genes encoding DDE_Tnp_IS1595 and MULE proteins, like the genes encoding the DDE_1

and DDE_3 proteins, appear to lack the flanking terminal inverted repeats characteristic of a

functional transposon, as identified by RepeatModeler, consistent with transposase

domestication. A number of members of both IS1595 and MULE transposases, including those

that are upregulated during new MAC formation, also appear to have complete catalytic triads.

In addition to the transposases, we also detected a family (> 30 copies) of APE-type non-LTR

retrotransposase genes with the two domains characteristic of such retrotransposases, i.e., an

APE endonuclease domain (PFAM "exo_endo_phos_2"; PF14529) and a reverse transcriptase

domain (PFAM "RVT_1"; PF00078) present on adjacent genes. Unlike the conventional

transposase-derived genes in *B. stoltei*, the expression of all these genes throughout the

conditions we examined is very low to negligible, and some of them also appear to be truncated

pseudogenes (Data S3; workbook "RVT1 + exo_endo_phos_2"). Since it is necessary to

understand the impact of the presence of IESs in some of these genes, their detailed analyses

will be reported in the context of the *Blepharisma stoltei* MIC genome, where their nature and

origin will be more evident.

568 Discussion

The genus *Blepharisma* represents one of the earliest diverging ciliate lineages, the

heterotrichs, forming an outgroup to the best-studied and deeply divergent oligohymenophorean

and spirotrich ciliates [7]. *Blepharisma* species thus provide an excellent vantage point to

compare unique processes that have accompanied the evolution of nuclear and genomic

dimorphism in ciliates, particularly the extensive genomic reorganization that occurs during the

new macronucleus (MAC) development. Comparisons between *Blepharisma* and other ciliates

also enable deeper consideration of the potential state of the ciliate common ancestor. The

annotated draft *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC genome and associated transcriptomic data provide

the basis for comparative studies of key characteristics of genome reorganization processes.

A minichromosomal architecture with pronounced telomere addition site variation

Ciliate MAC genome architectures span from longer DNA molecules in the

oligohymenophoreans *Paramecium tetraurelia* and *Tetrahymena thermophila*, which are more

similar to conventional eukaryotic chromosomes, to numerous, predominantly single-gene

nanochromosomes of spirotrichs like *Oxytricha trifallax* and *Euplotes crassus* [3]. The *B. stoltei*

ATCC 30299 MAC genome organization (and like that of *Paramecium bursaria* [44]) lies

between these two extremes with many minichromosomes representing numerous alternative

telomere addition sites. This form of natural sequence heterogeneity creates significant new

challenges for generating single representative consensus sequences, because genome

assembly methods assume chromosome boundaries are generally consistent, as they are in

multicellular eukaryote models, down to the terminology used to describe them [78]. Fortunately,

the reference *Blepharisma* MAC genome is largely homozygous, promoting contiguity in the

final, 41 Mb assembly of 64 two-telomere-capped contigs. Nevertheless, plenty of room for

refinement remains, including development of new ways to better assemble, describe and

organize the constituents of genomes with such extreme sequence variability.

Despite the abundance of *Blepharisma* MAC genome telomeres, we did not detect a typical

ncRNA gene corresponding to the telomerase RNA component (TERC) of the ribozyme

responsible for telomere synthesis in the MAC genome. We suspect this is due to ncRNAs

presenting a far greater challenge to detect than protein-coding genes and the presence of

highly divergent ncRNA with insufficient similarity to the handful of taxonomically-restricted

TERCs identified in oligohymenophorean and spirotrich ciliates and other eukaryotes so far. On

the other hand, five homologs of POT1, the canonical telomere-binding protein, are present

(Figure S4). One of these is highly upregulated when the new MAC genome is forming, and

presumably like model ciliates the DNA is fragmented and replicated, requiring further telomere

synthesis and telomere-binding proteins.

A potentially catalytically active PiggyMac is present in

Blepharisma

In current models of IES excision, MIC-limited sequences are demarcated by deposition of

methylation marks on histones in an sRNA-dependent process [5]. These sequences are then

recognized by domesticated transposases whose excision is supported by additional proteins,

including those that recognize these marks [5]. Together with MIC sequencing and from the

same time course we employed in the present study for RNA-seq we have observed abundant,

development-specific sRNA production in *Blepharisma* like that in other ciliates (Seah et al. in

prep), and so we examined the expression of potential genes responsible for their biogenesis,

along with other genes highly upregulated during new MAC formation. Homologs of proteins

implicated in ciliate genome reorganization were present among the genes most highly

differentially upregulated during new MAC development, notably including Dicer-like and Piwi

proteins, and domesticated transposases.

Following this study, we will report detailed analyses of a draft *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MIC

genome, which possesses abundant and typically TA-bound IESs (Seah et al. in prep). In

oligohymenophorean ciliates *Tetrahymena* and *Paramecium* there is a considerable body of

evidence that PiggyBac homologs are responsible for IES excision [35,52,53,55,79]. The

responsible IES excisases in the less-studied spirotrich ciliates, *Oxytricha*, *Stylonychia* and

Euplotes, are not as evident. In *Oxytricha* the TBE transposases are considered to be involved

in IES excision, but, unlike the MAC genome-encoded primary IES excisase (Tpb2) in

Tetrahymena and all the *Paramecium* PiggyMacs and PiggyMac-likes, are encoded by full-

length transposons present in the MIC genome, but absent from the MAC [74]. The considerable

heterogeneity in *Oxytricha* IES boundaries and "unscrambling" of a large subset of them [56],

together with the observation of pronounced developmental upregulation of numerous additional

MAC- and MIC-encoded transposases raises the possibility that additional transposases other

than those of TBEs could also be involved in IES excision [39,56]. Knowledge of IESs in other

ciliates is sparse (primarily confined to the phyllopharyngean *Chilodonella uncinata* [80,81]),

and, as far as we are aware, no specific IES excisases have been proposed for them.

Since the oligohymenophorean ciliate PiggyBac homologs are clear IES excisases, we sought

and found eight homologs of these genes in the *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC genome.

Blepharisma is the first ciliate genus aside from *Tetrahymena* and *Paramecium* in which such

proteins have been reported. Additional searches revealed clear PiggyBac homologs in

Condylostoma magnum, and a weaker pair of matches in *Stentor coeruleus*, suggesting that

these are a common feature of heterotrich ciliates. Reminiscent of *Paramecium tetraurelia*, in

which just one of the nine PiggyBac homologs, PiggyMac, has a complete DDD catalytic triad

[55], a single *B. stoltei* PiggyBac homolog has a complete DDD catalytic triad. The gene

encoding this protein in *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 is highly upregulated during MAC development,

together with two other homologs that lack a complete catalytic triad. As is characteristic of

PiggyBac homologs, each of these three homologs also has a C-terminal, cysteine-rich, zinc

finger domain, which most closely resemble those of *Condylostoma magnum* homologs. The

organization of the heterotrich PiggyBac homolog zinc finger domains appears to be more

similar to that of comparable domains of *Homo sapiens* PGBD2 and PGBD3 homologs than that

of the zinc finger domains in *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* PiggyBac homologs (which are

more similar to the *Homo sapiens* PGBD4 zinc fingers [58]).

In *Paramecium*, since the discovery of multiple PiggyBac homologs (PiggyMac-likes), there

have been questions about their role, since, aside from PiggyMac, they all have incomplete

catalytic triads, and are thus likely catalytically inactive, but nevertheless their gene knockdowns

lead to pronounced IES retention [55]. It has therefore been proposed that the PiggyMac-likes

may function as heteromeric multi-subunit complexes in conjunction with PiggyMac during DNA

excision [55]. On the other hand cryo-EM structures available for moth PiggyBac transposase

support a model in which these proteins function as a homodimeric complex *in vitro* [82].

Furthermore, the primary *Tetrahymena* PiggyBac, Tpb2, is able to perform cleavage *in vitro*,

without the assistance of other PiggyBac homologs [53]. In other eukaryotes, domesticated

PiggyBacs without complete catalytic triads are thought to be retained by virtue of useful DNA-

binding roles [83]. One possibility for such purely DNA-binding transposases in ciliates could be

in competitively regulating (taming) the excision of DNA by the catalytically active transposases.

Future experimental analyses of the BPgm and the BPgm-likes could aid in resolving the

conundrums and understanding of possible interactions between catalytically active and inactive

transposases.

Domesticated PiggyBac homologs are the main candidate IES

excisases in *Blepharisma*

In addition to the PiggyBac homologs, we also found MAC genome-encoded transposases with

the PFAM domains "DDE_1", "DDE_3", "DDE_Tnp_IS1595" and "MULE" in *Blepharisma*. All the

genes encoding proteins with these domains lack flanking terminal repeats characteristic of

active transposons, suggesting these genes are further classes of domesticated transposases.

In *Blepharisma* and numerous other organisms, the DDE_1 domains co-occur with CENPB

domains. Two such proteins represent totally different proposed exaptations in mammals

(centromere-binding protein) and fission yeast (regulatory protein) [68–70]. Given the great

evolutionary distances involved, there is no specific reason to expect that the *Blepharisma*

homologs have either function. None of the three proteins with co-occurring DDE_1 and CENPB

domains have a complete catalytic triad, making it unlikely that these are active transposases or

IES excisases, though all three are noticeably upregulated during MAC development. Six

proteins with the PFAM domain DDE_3 are also encoded by *Blepharisma* MAC genes, of which

five possess a complete catalytic triad. DDE_3 domains are also characteristic of TBE

transposases in *Oxytricha* and Tec transposases in *Euplotes*. All the "DDE_3" protein genes are

upregulated during conjugation in *B. stoltei*, and are expressed at particularly high levels during

development of the new MAC. A number of DDE_Tnp_IS1595 and MULE domain-containing

proteins have complete catalytic triads and also show pronounced upregulation during *B. stoltei*

MAC development.

Upon excision, classical cut-and-paste transposases in eukaryotes typically leave behind

additional bases, notably including those of the target-site duplication that arose when they were

inserted, forming a "footprint" [84]. PiggyBac homologs are unique in performing precise,

"seamless" excision in eukaryotes [85], conserving the number of bases at the site of

transposon insertion after excision, a property that makes them popular for genetic engineering

[82]. *Tetrahymena* Tpb2 is the one exception among PiggyBac homologs associated with

imprecise excision in this eukaryote [53]. Since intragenic IESs are abundant in *Blepharisma*,

like *Paramecium* and unlike *Tetrahymena*, it is essential that these are excised precisely without

addition or removal of nucleotides, which, at best, could result in translation of additional amino

acids and, at worst, translation frameshifts.

Though there are clearly numerous additional domesticated transposases with complete

catalytic triads and whose genes are substantially upregulated during *Blepharisma*

development, whether they are capable of excision, and if this is precise, needs to be

established. *Tetrahymena* has distinct domesticated transposases that excise different subsets

of IESs, namely those that are predominant, imprecisely excised and intergenic (by Tpb2) [53],

versus those that are rare, precisely excised and intragenic (by Tpb1 and Tpb6) [79,86]. We

could envisage if the additional *Blepharisma* domesticated transposases are still capable of

excision, but not a precise form, an involvement in excision of a subset of the numerous

intergenic IESs. Thus, while we cannot rule out the involvement of other possible domesticated

transposases and PiggyMac-likes, currently *B. stoltei* PiggyMac is the primary candidate IES

excisase.

A possible PiggyBac homolog ciliate common ancestor

In light of the discovery of PiggyMacs in heterotrichous ciliates, we investigated whether the last

common ancestor of ciliates also possessed a PiggyMac. Phylogenetic analyses, together with

tree topology tests, indicate that the oligohymenophorean and heterotrichous PiggyMacs and

PiggyMac-likes form a monophyletic clade. The lack of PiggyBac homologs in other ciliate

classes such as the Spirotrichea, and potentially the oligohymenophorean *Ichthyophthirius*

multifiliis (with the caveat of apparent lower genome completeness), raises the question whether

the PiggyMacs were lost in these lineages or were gained independently from the same source

by both heterotrichs and a subset of oligohymenophoreans. We think the former is more likely.

However, the alternative cannot be completely dismissed, because non-model ciliates, where

the genome assembly quality allows reliable gene and domain annotations, have only been

sparsely sampled.

Future directions

The *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC genome together with a corresponding MIC genome (Seah et

al., in prep.) pave the way for future investigations of a peculiar, direct pathway to new MAC

genome development which skips the upstream complexity of meiotic and fertilization-

associated nuclear developmental processes of the standard pathway [9]. The pair of *B. stoltei*

strains we have are both now low frequency selfers, in which the conventional, indirect MAC

development pathway dominates. Comparisons with fresh, high frequency *Blepharisma* selfers

collected from the wild will facilitate comparative gene expression analyses with the direct MAC

development pathway, which will assist in distinguishing expression upregulation due to meiotic

and mitotic processes preceding indirect new MAC development. As a representative of one of

the two deepest branching ciliate lineages, the assembled somatic genome will facilitate

investigations beyond those reported here into the enigmatic origins of nuclear and genomic

dualism within single cells.

Materials and Methods

Strains and localities

The strains used and their original isolation localities were: *Blepharisma stoltei* ATCC 30299, Lake Federsee, Germany [24]; *Blepharisma stoltei* HT-IV, Aichi prefecture, Japan; *Blepharisma japonicum* R1072, from an isolate from Bangalore, India [22].

Cell cultivation, harvesting and cleanup

For genomic DNA isolation *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 and HT-IV cells were cultured in Synthetic Medium for *Blepharisma* (SMB) [87] at 27˚C. Belpharismas were fed *Chlorogonium elongatum* grown in Tris-acetate phosphate (TAP) medium [88] at room temperature. *Chlorogonium* cells were pelleted at 1500 g at room temperature for 3 minutes to remove most of the TAP medium, and resuspended in 50 mL SMB. 50 ml of dense *Chlorogonium* was used to feed 1 litre of *Blepharisma* culture once every three days.

Blepharisma stoltei ATCC 30299 and HT-IV cells used for RNA extraction were cultured in Lettuce medium inoculated with *Enterbacter aerogenes* and maintained at 25˚C [89].

Blepharisma cultures were concentrated by centrifugation in pear-shaped flasks at 100 g for 2 minutes using a Hettich Rotanta 460 centrifuge with swing out buckets. Pelleted cells were washed with SMB and centrifuged again at 100 g for 2 minutes. The washed pellet was then transferred to a cylindrical tube capped with a 100 µm-pore nylon membrane at the base and immersed in SMB to filter residual algal debris from the washed cells. The cells were allowed to diffuse through the membrane overnight into the surrounding medium. The next day, the

cylinder with the membrane was carefully removed while attempting to minimize dislodging any

debris collected on the membrane. Cell density after harvesting was determined by cell counting

under the microscope.

DNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing

B. stoltei macronuclei were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation [4]. DNA was isolated

with a Qiagen 20/G genomic-tip kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA

from the isolated MACs was fragmented, size selected and used to prepare libraries according

to standard PacBio HiFi SMRTbell protocols. The libraries were sequenced in circular

consensus mode to generate HiFi reads.

Total genomic DNA from *B. stoltei* HT-IV and *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 was isolated with the

SigmaAldrich GenElute Mammalian genomic DNA kit. A sequencing library was prepared with a

NEBnext FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000

sequencer, generating 150 bp paired-end reads.

Total genomic DNA from *B. japonicum* was isolated with the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA kit.

A long-read PacBio sequencing library was prepared using the SMRTbell® Express Template

Preparation Kit 2.0 according to the manufacturers' instructions and sequenced on an PacBio

Sequel platform with 1 SMRT cell. Independently, total genomic DNA form *B. japonicum* was

isolated with the SigmaAldrich GenElute Mammalian genomic DNA kit and an sequencing

library was prepared with the TruSeq Nano DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) and sequenced on

an Illumina NovaSeq6000 to generate 150 bp paired-end reads.

Gamone 1/ Cell-Free Fluid (CFF) isolation and conjugation activity assay

B. stoltei ATCC 30299 cells were cultured and harvested and concentrated to a density of 2000 cells/mL according to the procedure described in "Cell cultivation, Harvesting and Cleanup". This concentrated cell culture was incubated overnight at 27˚C. The next day, the cells were harvested, and the supernatant collected and preserved at 4˚C at all times after extraction. The supernatant was then filtered through a 0.22 µm-pore filter. BSA (10 mg/mL) was added to produce the final CFF at a final BSA concentration of 0.01%.

To assess the activity of the CFF, serial dilutions of the CFF were made to obtain the gamone activity in terms of units (U) [90].The activity of the isolated CFF was 2^{10} U.

Conjugation time course and RNA isolation for high-throughput sequencing

B. stoltei cells for the complementary strains, ATCC 30299 and HT-IV, were cultivated and harvested by gentle centrifugation to achieve a final cell concentration of 2000 cells/ml for each strain. Non-gamone treated ATCC 30299 (A1) and HT-IV cells (H1) were collected (time point: -3 hours). Strain ATCC 30299 cells were then treated with synthetic gamone 2 (final concentration 1.5 µg/mL) and strain HT-IV cells were treated with cell-free fluid with a gamone 1 activity of ~2^{10} U/ml for three hours (Figure S8).

Homotypic pair formation in both cultures was checked after three hours. More than 75% of the cells in both cultures formed homotypic pairs. At this point the samples A2 (ATCC 30299) and H2 (HT-IV) were independently isolated for RNA extraction as gamone-treated control cells just

before mixing. For the rest of the culture, homotypic pairs in both cultures were separated by

pipetting them gently with a wide-bore pipette tip. Once all pairs had been separated, the two

cultures were mixed together. This constitutes the experiment's 0-h time point. The conjugating

culture was observed and samples collected for RNA isolation or cell fixation at 2 h, 6 h, 14 h,

18 h, 22 h, 26 h, 30 h and 38 h (Figure S8). Further details of the sample staging approach are

described in [9] and [51]. At each time point including samples A1, H1, A2 and H2, 7 mL of

culture was harvested for RNA-extraction using Trizol. The total RNA obtained was then

separated into a small RNA fraction < 200 nt and a fraction with RNA fragments > 200 nt using

the Zymo RNA Clean and Concentrator-5 kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA-

seq libraries were prepared by BGI according to their standard protocols and sequenced on a

BGISeq 500 instrument.

Separate 2 mL aliquots of cells at each time point for which RNA was extracted were

concentrated by centrifuging gently at 100 rcf. 50 μL of the concentrated cells were fixed with

Carnoy's fixative (ethanol:acetic acid, 6:1), stained with DAPI and imaged to determine the state

of nuclear development [9].

Cell fixation and imaging

B. stoltei cells were harvested as above ("Cell cultivation"), and fixed with an equal volume of

"ZFAE" fixative, containing zinc sulfate (0.25 M, Sigma Aldrich), formalin, glacial acetic acid and

ethanol (Carl Roth), freshly prepared by mixing in a ratio of 10:2:2:5. Fixed cells were pelleted

(1000 g; 1 min), resuspended in 1% TritonX-100 in PHEM buffer to permeabilize (5 min; room

temperature), pelleted and resuspended in 2% (w/v) formaldehyde in PHEM buffer to fix further

(10 min; room temp.), then pelleted and washed twice with 3% (w/v) BSA in TBSTEM buffer

(~10 min; room temp.). For indirect immunofluorescence, washed cells were incubated with

primary antibody rat anti-alpha tubulin (Abcam, ab6161; 1:100 dilution in 3% w/v BSA/TBSTEM;

60 min; room temp.) then secondary antibody goat anti-rat IgG H&L labeled with AlexaFluor 488

(Abcam, ab150157, 1:500 dilution in 3% w/v BSA/TBSTEM; 20 min; room temp.). Nuclei were

counterstained with DAPI (1 μg/mL) in 3% (w/v) BSA/TBSTEM. A z-stack of images was

acquired using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP8), equipped with a HC PL

APO 40× 1.30 Oil CS2 objective and a 1 photomultiplier tube and 3 HyD detectors, for DAPI

(405 nm excitation, 420-470 nm emission) and Alexa Fluor 488 (488 nm excitation, 510-530 nm

emission). Scanning was performed in sequential exposure mode. Spatial sampling was

achieved according to Nyquist criteria. ImageJ (Fiji) [91] was used to adjust image contrast and

brightness and overlay the DAPI and AlexaFluor 488 channels. The z-stack was temporally

color-coded.

For a nuclear 3D reconstruction (Figure 1B), cells were fixed in 1% (w/v) formaldehyde and

0.25% (w/v) glutaraldehyde. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) (5 μM in the

culture media), and imaged with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss, LSM780)

equipped with an LD C-Apochromat 40x/1,1 W Korr objective and a 32 channel GaAsP array

detector, with 405 nm excitation and 420-470 nm emission. Spatial sampling was achieved

according to Nyquist criteria. The IMARIS (Bitplane) software v8.0.2 was used for three-

dimensional reconstructions and contrast adjustments.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) cells were fixed in 0.5% osmium tetroxide/2%

formaldehyde/2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.06x PHEM for 5-10 minutes at room temperature. Cells

were post-fixed with 2% formaldehyde/2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.06x PHEM for 4-6 hours at

room temperature. Subsequently, samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series with 1

day per step followed by critical point drying (Polaron) with CO_2. Finally, the cells were sputter-

coated with a 4 nm thick layer of platinum (CCU-010, Safematic) and examined with a field

emission scanning electron microscope (Regulus 8230, Hitachi High Technologies) at an

accelerating voltage of 3 kV.

Genome assembly

Two MAC genome assemblies for *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 (70× and 76× coverage) were

produced with Flye (version 2.7-b1585) [92] for the two separate PacBio Sequel II libraries

(independent replicates) using default parameters and the switches: --pacbio-hifi -g 45m. The

approximate genome assembly size was chosen based on preliminary Illumina genome

assemblies of approximately 40 Mb. Additional assemblies using the combined coverage (145×)

of the two libraries were produced using either Flye version 2.7-b1585 or 2.8.1-b1676, and the

same parameters. Two rounds of extension and merging were then used, first comparing the

70× and 76× assemblies to each other, then comparing the 145× assembly to the former

merged assembly. Assembly graphs were all relatively simple, with few tangles to be resolved

(Figure S7). Minimap2 [93] was used for pairwise comparison of the assemblies using the

parameters: -x asm5 --frag=yes --secondary=no, and the resultant aligned sequences were

visually inspected and manually merged or extended where possible using Geneious (version

2020.1.2) [94].

Visual inspection of read mapping to the combined assembly was then used to trim off contig

ends where there was little correspondence between the assembly consensus and the mapped

reads - which we classify as "cruft". Read mapping to cruft regions was often lower or uneven,

suggestive of repeats. Alternatively, these features could be due to trace MIC sequences, or

sites of alternative chromosome breakage during development which lead to sequences that are

neither purely MAC nor MIC. A few contigs with similar dubious mapping of reads at internal

locations, which were also clear sites of chromosome fragmentation (evident by abundant

telomere-bearing reads in the vicinity) were split apart and trimmed back as for the contig ends.

Telomere-bearing reads mapped to the non-trimmed region nearest to the trimmed site were

then used to define contig ends, adding representative telomeric repeats from one of the

underlying sequences mapped to each of the ends. The main genome assembly with gene

predictions can be obtained from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (PRJEB40285;

accession GCA_905310155). "Cruft" sequences are also available from the same project

accession.

Two separate assemblies were generated for *Blepharisma japonicum.* A genome assembly for

Blepharisma japonicum strain R1072 was generated from Illumina reads, using SPAdes

genome assembler (v3.14.0) [95]. An assembly with PacBio Sequel long reads was produced

with Ra (v0.2.1) [96], which uses the Overlap-Layout-Consensus paradigm. The assembly

produced with Ra was more contiguous, with 268 contigs, in comparison to 1510 contigs in the

SPAdes assembly, and was chosen as the reference assembly for *Blepharisma japonicum*

(ENA accession: ERR6474383)*.*

Condylostoma magnum genomic reads (study accession PRJEB9019) from a previous study

[97] were reassembled to improve contiguity and remove bacterial contamination. Reads were

trimmed with bbduk.sh from the BBmap package v38.22

(https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/), using minimum PHRED quality score 2 (both ends)

and k-mer trimming for Illumina adapters and Phi-X phage sequence (right end), retaining only

reads ≥25 bp. Trimmed reads were error-corrected and reassembled with SPAdes v3.13.0 [95]

using k-mer values 21, 33, 55, 77, 99. To identify potential contaminants, the unassembled

reads were screened with phyloFlash v3.3b1 [98] against SILVA v132 [99]; the coding density

under the standard genetic code and prokaryotic gene model were also estimated using

Prodigal v2.6.3 [100]. Plotting the coverage vs. GC% of the initial assembly showed that most of

the likely bacterial contigs (high prokaryotic coding density, lower coverage, presence of

bacterial SSU rRNA sequences) had >=40% GC, so we retained only contigs with <40% GC as

the final *C. magnum* genome bin. The final assembly is available from the ENA bioproject

PRJEB48875 (accession GCA_920105805).

All assemblies were inspected with the quality assessment tool QUAST [101].

Variant calling

Illumina total genomic DNA-seq libraries for *B. stoltei* strains ATCC 30299 (ENA accession:

ERR6061285) and HT-IV (ERR6064674) were mapped to the ATCC 30299 reference assembly

with bowtie2 v2.4.2 [102]. Alignments were tagged with the MC tag (CIGAR string for mate/next

segment) using samtools [103] fixmate. The BAM file was sorted and indexed, read groups were

added with bamaddrg (commit 9baba65, https://github.com/ekg/bamaddrg), and duplicate reads

were removed with Picard MarkDuplicates v2.25.1 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/).

Variants were called from the combined BAM file with freebayes v1.3.2 [104] in diploid mode,

with maximum coverage 1000 (option -g). The resultant VCF file was combined and indexed

with bcftools v1.12 [103], then filtered to retain only SNPs with quality score > 20, and at least

one alternate allele.

Annotation of alternative telomere addition sites

Alternative telomere addition sites (ATASs) were annotated by mapping PacBio HiFi reads to

the curated reference MAC assembly described above, using minimap2 and the following flags:

-x asm20 --secondary=no --MD. We expect reads representing alternative telomere additions to

have one portion mapping to the assembly (excluding telomeric regions), with the other portion

containing telomeric repeats being soft-clipped in the BAM record. For each mapped read with a

soft-clipped segment, we extracted the clipped sequence, and the coordinates and orientation of

the clip relative to the reference. We searched for ≥ 24 bp tandem direct repeats of the telomere

unit (i.e., ≥3 repeats of the 8 bp unit) in the clipped segment with NCRF v1.01.02 [105], which

can detect tandem repeats in the presence of noise, e.g., from sequencing error. The orientation

of the telomere sequence, the distance from the end of the telomeric repeat to the clip junction

('gap'), and the number of telomere-bearing reads vs. total mapped reads at each junction were

also recorded. Junctions with zero gap between telomere repeat and clip junction were

annotated as ATASs. The above procedure was implemented in the MILTEL module of the

software package BleTIES v0.1.3 [106].

MILTEL output was processed with Python scripts depending on Biopython [107], pybedtools

[108], Bedtools [109], and Matplotlib [110], to summarize statistics of junction sequences and

telomere permutations at ATAS junctions, and to extract genomic sequences flanking ATASs for

sequence logos. Logos were drawn with Weblogo v3.7.5 [111], with sequences oriented such

that the telomere would be added on the 5' end of the ATAS junctions.

To calculate the expected minichromosome length, we assumed that ATASs were independent

and identically distributed in the genome following a Poisson distribution. About 47×10^3 ATASs

were annotated, supported on average by a single read. Given a genome of 42 Mbp at 145×

coverage, the expected rate of encountering an ATAS is 47×10^3 / (145 × 42 Mbp), so the

distance between ATASs (i.e., the minichromosome length) is exponentially distributed with

expectation (145 × 42 Mbp) / 47×10^3 = 130 kbp.

RNA-seq read mapping

To permit correct mapping of tiny introns RNA-seq data was mapped to the *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC genome using a version of HISAT2 [112] with modified source code, with the static variable minIntronLen in hisat2.cpp lowered to 9 from 20 (change available in the HISAT2 github fork: https://github.com/Swart-lab/hisat2/; commit hash 86527b9). HISAT2 was run with default parameters and parameters --min-intronlen 9 --max-intronlen 500. It should be noted that RNA-seq from timepoints in which *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 and *B. stoltei* HT-IV cells were mixed together were only mapped to the former genome assembly, and so reads for up to three alleles may map to each of the genes in this assembly.

Genetic code prediction

We used the program PORC (Prediction Of Reassigned Codons; available from https://github.com/Swart-lab/PORC) previously written to predict genetic codes in protist transcriptomes [97] to predict the *B. stoltei* genetic code. This program was used to translate the draft *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 genome assembly in all six frames (with the standard genetic code). Like the program FACIL [113] that inspired PORC, the frequencies of amino acids in PFAM (version 34.0) protein domain profiles aligned to the six frame translation by HMMER 3.1b2 [114] (default search parameters; domains used for prediction with conditional E-values < 1e-20), and correspondingly also to the underlying codon, are used to infer the most likely amino acid encoded by each codon (Figure S5).

Gene prediction

We created a wrapper program, Intronarrator, to predict genes in *Blepharisma* and other heterotrichs, accommodating their tiny introns. Intronarrator can be downloaded and installed

together with dependencies via Conda from GitHub (https://github.com/Swart-lab/Intronarrator).

Intronarrator directly infers introns from spliced RNA-seq reads mapped by HISAT2 from the

entire developmental time course we generated. RNA-seq reads densely cover almost the entire

Blepharisma MAC genome, aside from intergenic regions, and most potential protein-coding

genes (Figure 5A). After predicting the introns and removing them to create an intron-minus

genome, Intronarrator runs AUGUSTUS (version 3.3.3) using its intronless model. It then adds

back the introns to the intronless gene predictions to produce the final gene predictions.

Introns are inferred from "CIGAR" string annotations in mapped RNA-seq BAM files, using the

regular expression "[0-9]+M([0-9][0-9])N[0-9]+M" to select spliced reads. For intron inference we

only used primary alignments with: MAPQ >= 10; just a single "N", indicating one potential

intron, per read; and at least 6 mapped bases flanking both the 5' and 3' intron boundaries (to

limit spurious chance matches of a few bases that might otherwise lead to incorrect intron

prediction). The most important parameters for Intronarrator are a cut-off of 0.2 for the fraction of

spliced reads covering a potential intron, and a minimum of 10 or more spliced reads to call an

intron. The splicing fraction cut-off was chosen based on the overall distribution of splicing

(Figure S6A-C). From our visual examination of mapped RNA-seq reads and gene predictions,

values less than this were typically "cryptic" excision events [115] which remove potentially

essential protein-coding sequences, rather than genuine introns. Intronarrator classifies an

intron as sense (7389 in total, excluding alternative splicing), when the majority of reads

(irrespective of splicing) mapping to the intron are the same strand, and antisense (554 in total)

when they are not. The most frequently spliced intron was chosen in rare cases of overlapping

alternative intron splicing.

To eliminate spurious prediction of protein-coding genes overlapping ncRNA genes, we also

incorporated ncRNA prediction in Intronarrator. Infernal [38] (default parameters; e-value < 1e-6)

was used to predict a restricted set of conserved ncRNAs models (i.e., tRNAs, rRNAs, SRP, and

spliceosomal RNAs) from RFAM 14.0 [116]. These ncRNAs were hard-masked (with "N"

characters) before AUGUSTUS gene prediction. Both Infernal ncRNA predictions (excluding

tRNAs) and tRNA-scan SE 2.0 [117] (default parameters) tRNA predictions are annotated in the

B. stoltei ATCC 30299 assembly deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive.

1002

Since we found that *Blepharisma stoltei*, like *Blepharisma japonicum* [97], uses a non-standard

genetic code, with UGA codon translated as tryptophan, gene predictions use the "The Mold,

Protozoan, and Coelenterate Mitochondrial Code and the Mycoplasma/Spiroplasma Code

(transl_table=4)" from the NCBI genetic codes. The default AUGUSTUS gene prediction

parameters override alternative (mitochondrial) start codons permitted by NCBI genetic code 4,

other than ATG. So, all predicted *B. stoltei* gene coding sequences begin with ATG.

1009

1010 RNA-seq read mapping relative to gene predictions of Contig_1 of *B. stoltei* ATCC30299 was

1011 visualized with PyGenomeTracks [118].

Assessment of genome completeness

1013 A BUSCO (version 4.0.2) [119] analysis of the assembled MAC genomes of *B. stoltei* and *B.*

1014 *japonicum* was performed on the set of predicted proteins (BUSCO mode -prot) using the

1015 BUSCO Alveolata database. The completeness of the *Blepharisma* genomes was compared to

1016 the protein-level BUSCO analysis of the published genome assemblies of ciliates *T.*

1017 *thermophila*, *P. tetraurelia*, *S. coeruleus* and *I. multifiliis* (Figure S1).

Gene annotation

1018

1019 Pannzer2 [120] (default parameters) and EggNog (version 2.0.1) [121] were used for gene

1020 annotation. Annotations were combined and are available from the Max Planck Society's Open

1021 Research Repository, Edmond (https://dx.doi.org/10.17617/3.8c). Protein domain annotations

1022 were performed using hmmscan from HMMER3 (version 3.3, Nov 2019) [114] vs. the PFAM

1023 database (Pfam-A.full, 33.0, retrieved on June 23, 2020) with default parameters.

Gene expression analysis

1024

Features from RNA-seq reads mapped to the *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 genome over the

developmental time-course were extracted using featureCounts from the Subread package

[122]. Further analysis was performed using the R software environment. Genes with a total

read count of less than 50, across all timepoints, were filtered out of the dataset. The remaining

genes were passed as a DGElist object to edgeR [123]. Each time point, representing one

library, was normalized for library size using the edgeR function calcNormFactors. The

normalized read counts were transformed into TPM (transcripts per million) values [124,125].

The TPM-values for different genes were compared across timepoints to examine changes in

gene expression. Heatmaps showing log2(TPM) changes across timepoints were plotted using

the tidyverse collection of R packages (https://www.tidyverse.org/) and RColorBrewer

(https://rdrr.io/cran/RColorBrewer/). Tabulated gene expression estimates together with protein

annotations are available from Edmond (https://dx.doi.org/10.17617/3.8c).

Sequence visualization and analysis

1037

1038 Nucleotide and amino acid sequences were visualized using Geneious Prime (Biomatters Ltd.)

1039 [94]. Multiple sequence alignments were performed with MAFFT version 7.450 [126,127].

1040 Phylogenetic trees were constructed with PhyML version 3.3.20180621 [128].

Orthogroup inference and analysis of orthogroup clusters

1041

OrthoFinder version 2.5.2 with default parameters (i.e., using Diamond for searching, MAFFT for

multiple alignment and FastTree for phylogenies) was used to define orthogroups, i.e., sets of

genes descended from the last common ancestor of the chosen species. Proteomes for the

following ciliate species were used: *Tetrahymena thermophila*, *Oxytricha trifallax*, *Stentor*

coeruleus (data from ciliate.org [129]); *Euplotes octocarinatus* (EOGD [130]); *Paramecium*

tetraurelia, *Paramecium caudatum* (data from ParameciumDB [131]); plus *Perkinsus marinus*

ATCC 50983 (GenBank accession: AAXJ00000000) as a non-ciliate outgroup. Orthogroup

clusters are available as Data S2, or from Edmond (https://dx.doi.org/10.17617/3.8c).

d_N/d_S estimation

1050

We generated pairwise coding sequence alignments of PiggyMac paralog nucleotide sequences

from *P. tetraurelia* and *P. octaurelia* using MAFFT version 7.450 [127] [126] (algorithm: "auto",

scoring matrix: 200PAM/k=2, gap open penalty 1.53, offset value 0.123) using the "translation

align" panel of Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2) [94]. PAML version 4.9 [132] was used to

estimate d_N/d_S values in pairwise mode (runmode = -2, seqtype = 1, CodonFreq = 2). For

Blepharisma stoltei, we generated pairwise coding sequence alignments of the *Blepharisma*

PiggyMac homolog, BPgm (Contig_49.g1063; BSTOLATCC_MAC17466), with the *Blepharisma*

Pgm-likes (BPgmLs) using ClustalW 2.1 [133] (Genetic code: *Blepharisma*, Protein alignment

options: ClustalW alignment, Cost matrix: BLOSUM, Gap open cost: 10, Gap open penalty: 0.1)

using "translation align" panel of Geneious Prime (version 2020.1.2). PAML version 4.9 was

used to estimate dN/dS values in pairwise mode (runmode = -2, seqtype = 1, CodonFreq = 2).

1062   Phylogenetic analysis

Protein sequences of PBLEs and PGDBs were obtained from Bouallègue et al [61]. Protein

sequences of *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* Pgms and PgmLs were obtained from

ParameciumDB [131] (PGM, PGMLs1-5) and ciliate.org [129] (Tpb1, Tpb2, Tpb7, LIA5),

respectively. *Condylostoma* and *Blepharisma* Pgms and PgmLs were obtained from genome

assemblies (accessions GCA_920105805 and GCA_905310155, respectively). Other PiggyBac

protein sequences (*T. ni, D. purpureum*) were obtained from Uniprot [134]. Sequence

manipulation was done using Geneious (Biomatters Ltd.). The Geneious plug-in for

InterProScan [135] was used to identify DDE_Tnp_1_7 domains using the PFAM-A database

[136]. The DDE_Tnp_1_7 domains were extracted and aligned using the MAFFT plug-in

(v7.450) for Geneious [127] (Algorithm: Auto, Scoring matrix: BLOSUM62, Gap open penalty:

1.53, Offset value: 0.123). The best-fit model of evolution for each alignment was identified

using ModelTest-NG [137] (modeltest-ng -i <input_file>.phy -d aa -p 4 -T phyml -o

<output_file>.out). The best model according to Bayesian criteria (BIC) were used to create

phylogenetic trees using PhyML [128] [phyml -i <input_file>.phy -d aa -m LG -f m -v 0 -a e -c 4 -

o tlr]. Phylogenetic trees thus generated were visualized with FigTree (v1.4.4) (Andrew

Rambaut, http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/). Tree topology tests were performed using IQtree (v2.1.4-

beta) [138] (iqtree -s <input file> -m LG+G4 -z <unconstrained and constrained treefiles> -n 0 -

zb 10000 -au -nt AUTO).

Repeat annotation

Interspersed repeat element families were predicted with RepeatModeler v2.0.1 (default

settings, random number seed 12345) with the following dependencies: rmblast v2.9.0+

(http://www.repeatmasker.org/RMBlast.html), TRF 4.09 (Benson, 1999), RECON (Bao and

Eddy, 2002), RepeatScout 1.0.6 (Price et al., 2005), RepeatMasker v4.1.1

(http://www.repeatmasker.org/RMDownload.html). Repeat families were also classified in the

pipeline by RepeatClassifier v2.0.1 through comparison against RepeatMasker's repeat protein

database and the Dfam database. Consensus sequences of the predicted repeat families,

produced by RepeatModeler, were then used to annotate repeats with RepeatMasker, using

rmblast as the search engine.

Terminal inverted repeats (TIRs) of selected repeat element families were identified by aligning

the consensus sequence from RepeatModeler, and/or selected full-length elements, with their

respective reverse complements using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) (plugin version

distributed with Geneious). TIRs from the Dfam DNA transposon termini signatures database

(v1.1, https://www.dfam.org/releases/dna_termini_1.1/dna_termini_1.1.hmm.gz) (Storer et al.,

2021) were searched with hmmsearch (HMMer v3.2.1) against the IES sequences, to identify

matches to TIR signatures of major transposon subfamilies.

Data availability

The draft *Blepharisma stoltei* ATCC 30299 macronuclear genome assembly is accessible from

bleph.ciliate.org and from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) bioproject PRJEB40285

under the accession GCA_905310155. PacBio CCS reads (ERR5873783 and ERR5873334)

1104   and subreads (ERR5962314) used to assemble the genome are also available from ENA.

1105   Illumina DNA-seq data for the *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 and HT-IV strains is available from

1106   accessions ERR6061285 and ERR6064674, respectively. The RNA-seq developmental time

1107   course is available from the bioproject PRJEB45374 (accessions ERR6049461-ERR6049485).

1108

1109   Illumina and PacBio Sequel sequencing data for *Blepharisma japonicum* strain R1702 is

1110   available from the ENA bioproject PRJEB46921 (Illumina accessions: ERR6473251,

1111   ERR6474356; PacBio accession: ERR6474383).

1112

Acknowledgements

1113

1114   This paper is dedicated in memory of Akio Miyake and his decades of inspirational *Blepharisma*

1115   research. We thank Federico Buonanno for the provision of *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 cells and

1116   culturing advice, Christa Lanz and the MPI for Biology's genome center, Jürgen Berger for SEM

1117   imaging, and Sebastien Colin and the MPI for Biology's Light Microscopy Facility for the 3D

1118   nuclear reconstruction.

1119

Bibliography

1120

1.   Martin WF, Garg S, Zimorski V. Endosymbiotic theories for eukaryote origin. Philos

Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015;370: 20140330. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0330

2.   Orias E. On the evolution of the karyorelict ciliate life cycle: heterophasic ciliates and the

origin of ciliate binary fission. BioSystems. 1991;25: 67–73. doi:10.1016/0303-

2647(91)90013-B

3.   Prescott DM. The DNA of ciliated protozoa. Microbiol Rev. 1994;58: 233–267.

1127   doi:10.1128/mr.58.2.233-267.1994

1128   4.   Lauth MR, Spear BB, Heumann J, Prescott DM. DNA of ciliated protozoa: DNA

1129   sequence diminution during macronuclear development of Oxytricha. Cell. 1976;7: 67–

1130   74. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(76)90256-7

1131   5.   Chalker DL, Meyer E, Mochizuki K. Epigenetics of ciliates. Cold Spring Harb Perspect

1132   Biol. 2013;5: a017764. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a017764

1133   6.   Vogt A, Goldman AD, Mochizuki K, Landweber LF. Transposon domestication versus

1134   mutualism in ciliate genome rearrangements. PLoS Genet. 2013;9: e1003659.

1135   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003659

1136   7.   Lynn DH, editor. The Ciliated Protozoa. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2010.

1137   doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-8239-9

1138   8.   Giese AC. Blepharisma: The Biology of a Light-sensitive Protozoan. illustrated ed.

1139   Stanford University Press; 1973.

1140   9.   Miyake A, Rivola V, Harumoto T. Double paths of macronucleus differentiation at

1141   conjugation in Blepharisma japonicum. Eur J Protistol. 1991;27: 178–200.

1142   doi:10.1016/S0932-4739(11)80340-8

1143   10.   Slabodnick MM, Ruby JG, Reiff SB, Swart EC, Gosai S, Prabakaran S, et al. The

1144   Macronuclear Genome of Stentor coeruleus Reveals Tiny Introns in a Giant Cell. Curr

1145   Biol. 2017;27: 569–575. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.057

1146   11.   Slabodnick MM, Marshall WF. Stentor coeruleus. Curr Biol. 2014;24: R783-4.

1147   doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.044

1148   12.   Zhang KS, Blauch LR, Huang W, Marshall WF, Tang SKY. Microfluidic guillotine reveals

1149   multiple timescales and mechanical modes of wound response in Stentor coeruleus.

BMC Biol. 2021;19: 63. doi:10.1186/s12915-021-00970-0

13.   Rapport EW, Rapport DJ, Berger J, Kupers V. Induction of conjugation in Stentor

coeruleus. Trans Am Microsc Soc. 1976;95: 220–224. doi:10.2307/3225068

14.   Miyake A, Harumoto T. Asymmetrical cell division in Blepharisma japonicum: difference

between daughter cells in mating-type expression. Exp Cell Res. 1990;190: 65–68.

doi:10.1016/0014-4827(90)90144-y

15.   Terazima MN, Harumoto T. Defense function of pigment granules in the ciliate

Blepharisma japonicum against two predatory protists, Amoeba proteus (Rhizopodea)

and Climacostomum virens (Ciliata). Zool Sci. 2004;21: 823–828. doi:10.2108/zsj.21.823

16.   Kumazawa H. Homopolar Grafting in Blepharisma japonicum. Journal of Experimental

Zoology. 1979;207: 1–16.

17.   Sugiura M, Shiotani H, Suzaki T, Harumoto T. Behavioural changes induced by the

conjugation-inducing pheromones, gamone 1 and 2, in the ciliate Blepharisma

japonicum. Eur J Protistol. 2010;46: 143–149. doi:10.1016/j.ejop.2010.01.002

18.   Young D. Macronuclear reorganization in Blepharisma undulans. Doctoral dissertation.

1937.

19.   Friedl E, Miyake A, Heckmann K. Requirement of successive protein syntheses for the

progress of meiosis in Blepharisma. Exp Cell Res. 1983;145: 105–113.

doi:10.1016/s0014-4827(83)80013-5

20.   Miyake A, Tulli M, Nobili R. Requirement of protein synthesis in the initiation of meiosis

and other nuclear changes in conjugation of Blepharisma. Exp Cell Res. 1979;120: 87–

93. doi:10.1016/0014-4827(79)90539-1

21.   Inaba F. Conjugation between two strains of *Blepharisma*. J Protozool. 1965;12: 146–

151. doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.1965.tb01828.x

22.   Harumoto T, Miyake A, Ishikawa N, Sugibayashi R, Zenfuku K, Iio H. Chemical defense

by means of pigmented extrusomes in the ciliate Blepharisma japonicum. Eur J Protistol.

1998;34: 458–470. doi:10.1016/S0932-4739(98)80014-X

23.   Kobayashi M, Miura M, Takusagawa M, Sugiura M, Harumoto T. Two possible barriers

blocking conjugation between different megakaryotypes of Blepharisma. Zool Sci.

2015;32: 53–61. doi:10.2108/zs140151

24.   Repak AJ. Encystment and Excystment of the Heterotrichous Ciliate Blepharisma stoltei

Isquith. Journal of Protozoology. 1968;5: 407–412.

25.   Salvini M, Durante M, Nobili R. Characterization of macronuclear DNA in Blepharisma

japonicum. Protoplasma. 1983;117: 82–88. doi:10.1007/BF01281787

26.   Kimball RF. The nature and inheritance of mating types in euplotes patella. Genetics.

1942;27: 269–285.

27.   Katashima RYO. Mating Types in *Euplotes eurystomus*. J Protozool. 1959;6: 75–83.

doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.1959.tb03930.x

28.   Luporini P, Miceli C, Ortenzi C. Evidence that the ciliate Euplotes raikovi releases

mating-inducing factors (gamones). J Exp Zool. 1983;226: 1–9.

doi:10.1002/jez.1402260102

29.   Vallesi A, Giuli G, Bradshaw RA, Luporini P. Autocrine mitogenic activity of pheromones

produced by the protozoan ciliate Euplotes raikovi. Nature. 1995;376: 522–524.

doi:10.1038/376522a0

30.   Braun V, Miyake A. Composition of blepharmone, a conjugation-inducing glycoprotein of

the ciliate Blepharisma. FEBS Lett. 1975;53: 131–134. doi:10.1016/0014-

5793(75)80003-2

31.   Miyake A, Beyer J. Blepharmone: a conjugation-inducing glycoprotein in the ciliate

blepharisma. Science. 1974;185: 621–623. doi:10.1126/science.185.4151.621

32.   Sugiura M, Harumoto T. Identification, characterization, and complete amino acid

1200   sequence of the conjugation-inducing glycoprotein (blepharmone) in the ciliate

1201   Blepharisma japonicum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001;98: 14446–14451.

1202   doi:10.1073/pnas.221457698

1203   33.   Kubota T, Tokoroyama T, Tsukuda Y, Koyama H, Miyake A. Isolation and structure

1204   determination of blepharismin, a conjugation initiating gamone in the ciliate blepharisma.

1205   Science. 1973;179: 400–402. doi:10.1126/science.179.4071.400

1206   34.   Klobutcher LA, Herrick G. Developmental genome reorganization in ciliated protozoa:

1207   the transposon link. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol. 1997;56: 1–62. doi:10.1016/S0079-

1208   6603(08)61001-6

1209   35.   Arnaiz O, Mathy N, Baudry C, Malinsky S, Aury J-M, Denby Wilkes C, et al. The

1210   Paramecium germline genome provides a niche for intragenic parasitic DNA:

1211   evolutionary dynamics of internal eliminated sequences. PLoS Genet. 2012;8:

1212   e1002984. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002984

1213   36.   Klobutcher LA, Herrick G. Consensus inverted terminal repeat sequence of Paramecium

1214   IESs: resemblance to termini of Tc1-related and Euplotes Tec transposons. Nucleic

1215   Acids Res. 1995;23: 2006–2013. doi:10.1093/nar/23.11.2006

1216   37.   Chin C-S, Peluso P, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Concepcion GT, Clum A, et al. Phased

1217   diploid genome assembly with single-molecule real-time sequencing. Nat Methods.

1218   2016;13: 1050–1054. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4035

1219   38.   Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments.

1220   Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1335–1337. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp157

39.   Swart EC, Bracht JR, Magrini V, Minx P, Chen X, Zhou Y, et al. The Oxytricha trifallax

macronuclear genome: a complex eukaryotic genome with 16,000 tiny chromosomes.

PLoS Biol. 2013;11: e1001473. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001473

40.   Aeschlimann SH, Jönsson F, Postberg J, Stover NA, Petera RL, Lipps H-J, et al. The

draft assembly of the radically organized Stylonychia lemnae macronuclear genome.

Genome Biol Evol. 2014;6: 1707–1723. doi:10.1093/gbe/evu139

41.   Zagulski M, Nowak JK, Le Mouël A, Nowacki M, Migdalski A, Gromadka R, et al. High

coding density on the largest Paramecium tetraurelia somatic chromosome. Curr Biol.

2004;14: 1397–1404. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.07.029

42.   Sheng Y, Duan L, Cheng T, Qiao Y, Stover NA, Gao S. The completed macronuclear

genome of a model ciliate Tetrahymena thermophila and its application in genome

scrambling and copy number analyses. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63: 1534–1542.

doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1689-4

43.   Aury J-M, Jaillon O, Duret L, Noel B, Jubin C, Porcel BM, et al. Global trends of whole-

genome duplications revealed by the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. Nature. 2006;444:

171–178. doi:10.1038/nature05230

44.   Cheng Y-H, Liu C-FJ, Yu Y-H, Jhou Y-T, Fujishima M, Tsai IJ, et al. Genome plasticity in

Paramecium bursaria revealed by population genomics. BMC Biol. 2020;18: 180.

doi:10.1186/s12915-020-00912-2

45.   Yao MC, Yao CH, Monks B. The controlling sequence for site-specific chromosome

breakage in Tetrahymena. Cell. 1990;63: 763–772. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90142-2

46.   Cavalcanti ARO, Dunn DM, Weiss R, Herrick G, Landweber LF, Doak TG. Sequence

features of Oxytricha trifallax (class Spirotrichea) macronuclear telomeric and

subtelomeric sequences. Protist. 2004;155: 311–322. doi:10.1078/143446104 1844196

47.   Lynch M. The origins of eukaryotic gene structure. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23: 450–468.

doi:10.1093/molbev/msj050

48.   Roy SW, Hudson AJ, Joseph J, Yee J, Russell AG. Numerous fragmented spliceosomal

introns, AT-AC splicing, and an unusual dynein gene expression pathway in Giardia

lamblia. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29: 43–49. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr063

49.   Bondarenko VS, Gelfand MS. Evolution of the Exon-Intron Structure in Ciliate Genomes.

PLoS ONE. 2016;11: e0161476. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161476

50.   Csuros M, Rogozin IB, Koonin EV. A detailed history of intron-rich eukaryotic ancestors

inferred from a global survey of 100 complete genomes. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011;7:

e1002150. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002150

51.   Sugiura M, Tanaka Y, Suzaki T, Harumoto T. Alternative gene expression in type I and

type II cells may enable further nuclear changes during conjugation of Blepharisma

japonicum. Protist. 2012;163: 204–216. doi:10.1016/j.protis.2011.07.007

52.   Baudry C, Malinsky S, Restituito M, Kapusta A, Rosa S, Meyer E, et al. PiggyMac, a

domesticated piggyBac transposase involved in programmed genome rearrangements in

the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. Genes Dev. 2009;23: 2478–2483.

doi:10.1101/gad.547309

53.   Cheng C-Y, Vogt A, Mochizuki K, Yao M-C. A domesticated piggyBac transposase plays

key roles in heterochromatin dynamics and DNA cleavage during programmed DNA

deletion in Tetrahymena thermophila. Mol Biol Cell. 2010;21: 1753–1762.

doi:10.1091/mbc.e09-12-1079

54.   Yuan Y-W, Wessler SR. The catalytic domain of all eukaryotic cut-and-paste

transposase superfamilies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108: 7884–7889.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1104208108

55.   Bischerour J, Bhullar S, Denby Wilkes C, Régnier V, Mathy N, Dubois E, et al. Six

domesticated PiggyBac transposases together carry out programmed DNA elimination in Paramecium. eLife. 2018;7. doi:10.7554/eLife.37927

56. Chen X, Bracht JR, Goldman AD, Dolzhenko E, Clay DM, Swart EC, et al. The architecture of a scrambled genome reveals massive levels of genomic rearrangement during development. Cell. 2014;158: 1187–1198. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.07.034

57. Coyne RS, Hannick L, Shanmugam D, Hostetler JB, Brami D, Joardar VS, et al. Comparative genomics of the pathogenic ciliate Ichthyophthirius multifiliis, its free-living relatives and a host species provide insights into adoption of a parasitic lifestyle and prospects for disease control. Genome Biol. 2011;12: R100. doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-10-r100

58. Guérineau M, Bessa L, Moriau S, Lescop E, Bontems F, Mathy N, et al. The unusual structure of the PiggyMac cysteine-rich domain reveals zinc finger diversity in PiggyBac-related transposases. Mob DNA. 2021;12: 12. doi:10.1186/s13100-021-00240-4

59. Yang Z, Nielsen R. Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates under realistic evolutionary models. Mol Biol Evol. 2000;17: 32–43. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026236

60. Mitra R, Fain-Thornton J, Craig NL. piggyBac can bypass DNA synthesis during cut and paste transposition. EMBO J. 2008;27: 1097–1109. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.41

61. Bouallègue M, Rouault J-D, Hua-Van A, Makni M, Capy P. Molecular Evolution of piggyBac Superfamily: From Selfishness to Domestication. Genome Biol Evol. 2017;9: 323–339. doi:10.1093/gbe/evw292

62. Kishino H, Miyata T, Hasegawa M. Maximum likelihood inference of protein phylogeny and the origin of chloroplasts. J Mol Evol. 1990;31: 151–160. doi:10.1007/BF02109483

63. Kishino H, Hasegawa M. Evaluation of the maximum likelihood estimate of the

evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order in

hominoidea. J Mol Evol. 1989;29: 170–179. doi:10.1007/BF02100115

64.   Ota R, Waddell PJ, Hasegawa M, Shimodaira H, Kishino H. Appropriate likelihood ratio

tests and marginal distributions for evolutionary tree models with constraints on

parameters. Mol Biol Evol. 2000;17: 798–803.

doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026358

65.   Strimmer K, Rambaut A. Inferring confidence sets of possibly misspecified gene trees.

Proc Biol Sci. 2002;269: 137–142. doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1862

66.   Shimodaira H. An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection. Syst Biol.

2002;51: 492–508. doi:10.1080/10635150290069913

67.   Tanaka Y, Nureki O, Kurumizaka H, Fukai S, Kawaguchi S, Ikuta M, et al. Crystal

structure of the CENP-B protein-DNA complex: the DNA-binding domains of CENP-B

induce kinks in the CENP-B box DNA. EMBO J. 2001;20: 6612–6618.

doi:10.1093/emboj/20.23.6612

68.   Casola C, Hucks D, Feschotte C. Convergent domestication of pogo-like transposases

into centromere-binding proteins in fission yeast and mammals. Mol Biol Evol. 2008;25:

29–41. doi:10.1093/molbev/msm221

69.   Hohmann S. Characterisation of PDC2, a gene necessary for high level expression of

pyruvate decarboxylase structural genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Gen Genet.

1993;241: 657–666. doi:10.1007/BF00279908

70.   Mojzita D, Hohmann S. Pdc2 coordinates expression of the THI regulon in the yeast

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Genet Genomics. 2006;276: 147–161.

doi:10.1007/s00438-006-0130-z

71.   Gao B, Wang Y, Diaby M, Zong W, Shen D, Wang S, et al. Evolution of pogo, a separate

superfamily of IS630-Tc1-mariner transposons, revealing recurrent domestication events

in vertebrates. Mob DNA. 2020;11: 25. doi:10.1186/s13100-020-00220-0

72. Witherspoon DJ, Doak TG, Williams KR, Seegmiller A, Seger J, Herrick G. Selection on

the protein-coding genes of the TBE1 family of transposable elements in the ciliates

Oxytricha fallax and O. trifallax. Mol Biol Evol. 1997;14: 696–706.

doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025809

73. Williams K, Doak TG, Herrick G. Developmental precise excision of Oxytricha trifallax

telomere-bearing elements and formation of circles closed by a copy of the flanking

target duplication. EMBO J. 1993;12: 4593–4601. doi:10.1002/j.1460-

2075.1993.tb06148.x

74. Nowacki M, Higgins BP, Maquilan GM, Swart EC, Doak TG, Landweber LF. A functional

role for transposases in a large eukaryotic genome. Science. 2009;324: 935–938.

doi:10.1126/science.1170023

75. Jahn CL, Doktor SZ, Frels JS, Jaraczewski JW, Krikau MF. Structures of the Euplotes

crassus Tec1 and Tec2 elements: identification of putative transposase coding regions.

Gene. 1993;133: 71–78. doi:10.1016/0378-1119(93)90226-s

76. Doak TG, Doerder FP, Jahn CL, Herrick G. A proposed superfamily of transposase

genes: transposon-like elements in ciliated protozoa and a common "D35E" motif. Proc

Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994;91: 942–946. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.3.942

77. Dupeyron M, Baril T, Bass C, Hayward A. Phylogenetic analysis of the Tc1/mariner

superfamily reveals the unexplored diversity of pogo-like elements. Mob DNA. 2020;11:

21. doi:10.1186/s13100-020-00212-0

78. Lewin HA, Graves JAM, Ryder OA, Graphodatsky AS, O'Brien SJ. Precision

nomenclature for the new genomics. Gigascience. 2019;8.

doi:10.1093/gigascience/giz086

79.   Feng L, Wang G, Hamilton EP, Xiong J, Yan G, Chen K, et al. A germline-limited

1344   piggyBac transposase gene is required for precise excision in Tetrahymena genome

1345   rearrangement. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45: 9481–9502. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx652

1346   80.   Zufall RA, Sturm M, Mahon BC. Evolution of germline-limited sequences in two

1347   populations of the ciliate Chilodonella uncinata. J Mol Evol. 2012;74: 140–146.

1348   doi:10.1007/s00239-012-9493-4

1349   81.   Zufall RA, Katz LA. Micronuclear and macronuclear forms of beta-tubulin genes in the

1350   ciliate Chilodonella uncinata reveal insights into genome processing and protein

1351   evolution. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2007;54: 275–282. doi:10.1111/j.1550-

1352   7408.2007.00267.x

1353   82.   Chen Q, Luo W, Veach RA, Hickman AB, Wilson MH, Dyda F. Structural basis of

1354   seamless excision and specific targeting by piggyBac transposase. Nat Commun.

1355   2020;11: 3446. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17128-1

1356   83.   Sarkar A, Sim C, Hong YS, Hogan JR, Fraser MJ, Robertson HM, et al. Molecular

1357   evolutionary analysis of the widespread piggyBac transposon family and related

1358   "domesticated" sequences. Mol Genet Genomics. 2003;270: 173–180.

1359   doi:10.1007/s00438-003-0909-0

1360   84.   van Luenen HG, Colloms SD, Plasterk RH. The mechanism of transposition of Tc3 in C.

1361   elegans. Cell. 1994;79: 293–301. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(94)90198-8

1362   85.   Elick TA, Bauser CA, Fraser MJ. Excision of the piggyBac transposable element in vitro

1363   is a precise event that is enhanced by the expression of its encoded transposase.

1364   Genetica. 1996;98: 33–41. doi:10.1007/BF00120216

86.   Cheng C-Y, Young JM, Lin C-YG, Chao J-L, Malik HS, Yao M-C. The piggyBac

transposon-derived genes TPB1 and TPB6 mediate essential transposon-like excision

during the developmental rearrangement of key genes in Tetrahymena thermophila.

Genes Dev. 2016;30: 2724–2736. doi:10.1101/gad.290460.116

87.   Miyake A, Beyer J. Cell interaction by means of soluble factors (gamones) in conjugation

of Blepharisma intermedium. Exp Cell Res. 1973;76: 15–24. doi:10.1016/0014-

4827(73)90413-8

88.   Andersen RA. Algal Culturing Techniques. 1st Edition. 2004.

89.   Miyake A, Harumoto T, Salvi B, Rivola V. Defensive function of pigment granules in

Blepharisma japonicum. Eur J Protistol. 1990;25: 310–315. doi:10.1016/S0932-

4739(11)80122-7

90.   Miyake A. Cell interaction by gamones in blepharisma. Sexual interactions in eukaryotic

microbes. Elsevier; 1981. pp. 95–129. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-524160-1.50010-2

91.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an

open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 676–682.

doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

92.   Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using

repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 540–546. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

93.   Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:

3094–3100. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191

94.   Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious

Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and

analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28: 1647–1649.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

95.   Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes de novo

assembler. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2020;70: e102. doi:10.1002/cpbi.102

96.   Vaser R, Sikic M. Yet another de novo genome assembler. BioRxiv. 2019.

doi:10.1101/656306

97.   Swart EC, Serra V, Petroni G, Nowacki M. Genetic Codes with No Dedicated Stop

Codon: Context-Dependent Translation Termination. Cell. 2016;166: 691–702.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.020

98.   Gruber-Vodicka HR, Seah BKB, Pruesse E. phyloFlash: Rapid Small-Subunit rRNA

Profiling and Targeted Assembly from Metagenomes. mSystems. 2020;5.

doi:10.1128/mSystems.00920-20

99.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA

ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.

Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41: D590-6. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

100.   Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic

gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics.

2010;11: 119. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

101.   Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for

genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 1072–1075.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

102.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.

2012;9: 357–359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

103.   Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of

SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021;10. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giab008

104.   Garrison E, Marth G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. v2

ed. arXiv; 2012 Jul p. arXiv:1207.3907 [q-bio.GN]. Available:

https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3907

105.   Harris RS, Cechova M, Makova KD. Noise-cancelling repeat finder: uncovering tandem

repeats in error-prone long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2019;35: 4809–4811.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz484

106.   Seah BKB, Swart EC. BleTIES: Annotation of natural genome editing in ciliates using

long read sequencing. BioRxiv. 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.05.18.444610

107.   Cock PJA, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A, et al. Biopython: freely

available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics.

Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1422–1423. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163

108.   Dale RK, Pedersen BS, Quinlan AR. Pybedtools: a flexible Python library for

manipulating genomic datasets and annotations. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 3423–3424.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr539

109.   Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 841–842. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

110.   Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. Comput Sci Eng. 2007;9: 90–95.

doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.55

111.   Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator.

Genome Res. 2004;14: 1188–1190. doi:10.1101/gr.849004

112.   Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and

genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 907–915.

doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

113.   Dutilh BE, Jurgelenaite R, Szklarczyk R, van Hijum SAFT, Harhangi HR, Schmid M, et

al. FACIL: Fast and Accurate Genetic Code Inference and Logo. Bioinformatics.

2011;27: 1929–1933. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr316

114.   Eddy SR. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011;7: e1002195.

1439   doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195

1440   115.   Saudemont B, Popa A, Parmley JL, Rocher V, Blugeon C, Necsulea A, et al. The fitness

1441   cost of mis-splicing is the main determinant of alternative splicing patterns. Genome Biol.

1442   2017;18: 208. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1344-6

1443   116.   Kalvari I, Argasinska J, Quinones-Olvera N, Nawrocki EP, Rivas E, Eddy SR, et al. Rfam

1444   13.0: shifting to a genome-centric resource for non-coding RNA families. Nucleic Acids

1445   Res. 2018;46: D335–D342. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1038

1446   117.   Chan PP, Lin BY, Mak AJ, Lowe TM. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: Improved Detection and

1447   Functional Classification of Transfer RNA Genes. BioRxiv. 2019. doi:10.1101/614032

1448   118.   Lopez-Delisle L, Rabbani L, Wolff J, Bhardwaj V, Backofen R, Grüning B, et al.

1449   pyGenomeTracks: reproducible plots for multivariate genomic datasets. Bioinformatics.

1450   2021;37: 422–423. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa692

1451   119.   Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simão FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, et al.

1452   BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and phylogenomics.

1453   Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35: 543–548. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx319

1454   120.   Törönen P, Medlar A, Holm L. PANNZER2: a rapid functional annotation web server.

1455   Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46: W84–W88. doi:10.1093/nar/gky350

1456   121.   Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, et

1457   al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology

1458   resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:

1459   D309–D314. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1085

1460   122.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

1461   assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 923–930.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

123.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential

expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 139–140.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

124.   Li B, Ruotti V, Stewart RM, Thomson JA, Dewey CN. RNA-Seq gene expression

estimation with read mapping uncertainty. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 493–500.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp692

125.   Wagner GP, Kin K, Lynch VJ. Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNA-seq data:

RPKM measure is inconsistent among samples. Theory Biosci. 2012;131: 281–285.

doi:10.1007/s12064-012-0162-3

126.   Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple

sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30: 3059–

3066. doi:10.1093/nar/gkf436

127.   Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30: 772–780.

doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

128.   Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms

and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance

of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol. 2010;59: 307–321. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syq010

129.   Stover NA, Punia RS, Bowen MS, Dolins SB, Clark TG. Tetrahymena Genome

Database Wiki: a community-maintained model organism database. Database (Oxford).

2012;2012: bas007. doi:10.1093/database/bas007

130.   Wang R-L, Miao W, Wang W, Xiong J, Liang A-H. EOGD: the Euplotes octocarinatus

genome database. BMC Genomics. 2018;19: 63. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4445-z

131.   Arnaiz O, Meyer E, Sperling L. ParameciumDB 2019: integrating genomic data across

the genus for functional and evolutionary biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48: D599–

D605. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz948

132.   Yang Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24:

1586–1591. doi:10.1093/molbev/msm088

133.   Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, et al.

Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics. 2007;23: 2947–2948.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404

134.   UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2021;49: D480–D489. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1100

135.   Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5:

genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 1236–1240.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

136.   Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, et al.

Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49: D412–D419.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa913

137.   Darriba D, Posada D, Kozlov AM, Stamatakis A, Morel B, Flouri T. ModelTest-NG: A

New and Scalable Tool for the Selection of DNA and Protein Evolutionary Models. Mol

Biol Evol. 2020;37: 291–294. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz189

138.   Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et

al. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the

Genomic Era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37: 1530–1534. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015

139.   Suzuki S. Parthenogenetic conjugation in Blepharisma undulans japonicus Suzuki. Bull

Yamagata Univ Natural Sci. 1957;4: 69–84.

140.   Kriventseva EV, Kuznetsov D, Tegenfeldt F, Manni M, Dias R, Simão FA, et al. OrthoDB

1511   v10: sampling the diversity of animal, plant, fungal, protist, bacterial and viral genomes

1512   for evolutionary and functional annotations of orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:

1513   D807–D811. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1053

1514   141.   Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo

1515   genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 3350–3352.

1516   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383

1517

Figure captions

Figure 1. *Blepharisma* cells and properties of ciliate MAC genomes. **A.** *B. stoltei* ATCC
30299 cell stained with anti-alpha tubulin-Alexa488 (depth-color coded red to yellow) and DAPI
(cyan). **B.** Snapshot of a 3D reconstruction (Imaris, Bitplane) from CLSM images of Hoechst
33342 (dsDNA dye, Invitrogen™) fluorescence (Ex405 nm / Em420-470 nm). **C.** Scanning
electron microscope (SEM) image of a *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 cell (false color).

Figure 2. Nuclear development during *Blepharisma stoltei* conjugation. **A.** Cell
development cycle with inset showing the four main kinds of nuclei, highlighted with arrows.
Illustration of various cell stages adapted from [139]). Detailed staging collected for an RNA-seq
time course is provided in Figure S9. **B.** Schematic of nuclear processes occurring during
conjugation (classified according to, and modified from [9]). Nuclear events occurring before and
up to, but not including fusion of the gametic nuclei (syngamy) are classified into sixteen pre-
gamic stages where the MICs undergo meiosis and the haploid products of meiotic MICs are
exchanged between the conjugating cells, followed by karyogamy. After karyogamy, cells are
classified into 10 stages S (synkaryon), D1 (1st mitosis), I1 (1st interphase), D2 (2nd mitosis), I2
(2nd interphase), D3 (3rd mitosis), I3 (3rd interphase), D4 (4th mitosis), E1 (1st embryonic stage),
E2 (2nd embryonic stage). After E2, the exconjugants divide further and are classified into 6
stages of cell division (CD1-6) which we did not follow here.

Figure 3. Basic properties of ciliate MAC genomes. In cell diagrams MACs are green and
MICs are small black dots in close proximity to MACs. Citations for genome properties are in
Data S1.

1543 **Figure 4**. **Alternative telomere addition sites. A.** Mapping of a subset telomere-containing

1544 HiFi reads to a *B. stoltei* MAC genome contig region, with alternative telomere addition sites

1545 (ATASs) shown by blue (5') or mauve (3') arrows. Pink bars at read ends indicate soft-masking

1546 by minimap2, typically of telomeric repeats. **B.** Mean base frequencies in +/- 1 kbp flanking

1547 ATAS junctions.

1548

Figure 5. A gene-dense somatic genome with tiny introns. A. HiFi (DNA) and RNA-seq

coverage across a representative *B. stoltei* ATCC30299 MAC genome contig (Contig_1). Y

scale is linear for HiFi reads and logarithmic (base 10) for RNA-seq. Plus strand (relative to the

contig) RNA-seq coverage is green; minus strand RNA-seq coverage is blue. Between the RNA-

seq coverage graphs each arrow represents a predicted gene, colored green when transcribed

from the sense strand and blue from the antisense one. Two orthogroups classified by

OrthoFinder are shown in orange and pink, respectively. **B.** Distribution of intron lengths from

predicted genes. **C.** Sequence logos for 15 bp introns (splicing frequency > 0.5). **D.** Clustered

orthogroups (Data S2) in the *B. stoltei* MAC genome.

1558

Figure 6. MAC genome-encoded transposases in ciliates and properties of a putative

***Blepharisma* IES excisase. A.** Presence/absence matrix of PFAM transposase domains

detected in predicted MAC genome-encoded ciliate proteins. Ciliate classes are indicated before

the binomial species names. Note that *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* is not shown because no

domains with i-E-values < 1e-4 were detected. PiggyBac homolog phylogeny with PFAM

domain architecture and gene expression heatmap for *Blepharisma*. **B**. DDE_Tnp_1_7 domain

phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene expression heatmap for *Blepharisma*. **C.**

Cysteine-rich domains of PiggyBac homologs. PBLE transposases: Ago (*Aphis gossypii*); Bmo

(*Bombyx mori*); Cag (*Ctenoplusia agnata*); Har (*Helicoverpa armigera*); Hvi (*Heliothis virescens*);

PB-Tni (*Trichoplusia ni*); Mlu (PiggyBat from *Myotis lucifugus*); PLE-wu (*Spodoptera frugiperda*).

Domesticated PGBD transposases: Oni (*Oreochromis niloticus*); Pny (*Pundamilia nyererei*);

Lia5, Tpb1, Tpb2, Tpb6 and Tpb7 (*Tetrahymena thermophila*); Pgm, PgmL1, PgmL2,

PgmL3a/b/c, PgmL4a/b, PgmL5a/b (*Paramecium tetraurelia*); Tru (*Takifugu rubripes*); Pgbd2,

Pgbd3 and Pgbd4 (*Homo sapiens*).

1573

Figure 7. Phylogeny of ciliate PiggyBac homologs, eukaryotic PBLEs and PGBD5

homologs. Colors indicate eukaryotic lineages: purple=Alveolata, green=Stramenopiles,

cyan=Archaeplastida, yellow=Opisthokonta, blue=Amoebozoa. Clade highlighted in pink

represents all heterotrich Pgms and PgmLs. aBayes values generated by PhyML are

represented as line widths and branch colors. aBayes values lower than 0.9 are represented in

red-grey, with lower values appearing more red. The tree is rooted at the PiggyBac-like element

of *Entamoeba invadens.*

1581

1582   **Figure 8. DDE_1 and DDE_3 domain transposases in *Blepharisma*. A.** DDE_1 domain

1583   phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene expression heatmap for *Blepharisma*. **B.**

1584   DDE_3 domain phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene expression heatmap for

1585   *Blepharisma*.

1586

1587   **Figure 9. DDE_Tnp_IS1595 and MULE domain transposases in *Blepharisma*. A.**

1588   DDE_Tnp_IS1595 domain phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene expression

1589   heatmap for *Blepharisma*. **B.** MULE domain phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and

1590   gene expression heatmap for *Blepharisma*.

1591

1592

1593

Supplementary figure captions

Figure S1. Analysis of assembly completeness by Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO). Completeness of the *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC assembly was estimated by the percentage of BUSCOs found in the assembly with reference to the OrthoDB v10 alveolate database [140]. The nature of the ortholog-matches is indicated by characters followed by counts: C (complete orthologs) - light blue, D (duplicated orthologs) - dark blue, F (fragmented orthologs) - yellow and M (missing orthologs) - red.

Figure S2. Properties of minichromosomes, telomeres, and alternative telomere addition sites. A. Length distribution of telomeres of telomere-bearing HiFi reads. **B.** Length distribution of HiFi reads delimited by telomeres. **C**. Diagram of a telomere-bearing read mapped onto genome reference at an ATAS. Sequence which is ambiguously chromosomal or telomeric is "junction sequence"; junction coordinate which maximizes telomere repeat length on the read is the "first identifiable breakpoint"; the coordinate maximizing alignment length to reference is the "last identifiable breakpoint". The last telomeric unit permutation at the last identifiable breakpoint is underlined (length 8 bp). **D.** Sequence logos of chromosomal sequence at ATAS junctions, sorted by which permutation of the telomeric repeat is present (plot labels). Logos are aligned to the "last identifiable breakpoint" between positions 20 and 21; telomeric repeats on telomere-bearing reads begin to the left of the breakpoint. **E**. Frequencies of 2-mers in whole genome (blue), in telomeres (green), and at ATAS junctions (chromosomal side after last identifiable breakpoint, orange). **F.** Histogram of junction sequence lengths for ATASs in *B. stoltei*. **G.** Counts of each telomere repeat permutation at ATAS junctions (last identifiable breakpoint).

Figure S3. **Femto Pulse analyses of *B. stoltei* MAC DNA and mapping of *T. thermophila***

telomere-bearing reads. A. Mapping of PacBio CLR reads with 3 consecutive telomeric

repeats to a representative *T. thermophila* MAC chromosome (Chr_001 from ciliate.org). **B.**

Length distribution of input MAC DNA sizes prior to fragmentation and library preparation

(Femto Pulse; LM = lower maker) - replicate 1. RFU=relative fluorescent units. **C.** Length

distribution of input MAC DNA sizes prior to fragmentation and library preparation (Femto Pulse;

LM = lower maker) - replicate 2.

1626

1627 **Figure S4. POT1 paralog phylogeny, PFAM domain architecture, and gene expression in**

1628 ***Blepharisma***. Diagram elements as described in Figure 6B.

1629

1630 **Figure S5. *Blepharisma stoltei* genetic code.** Prediction for *B. stoltei* ATCC 30299 MAC

1631 genome by PORC; codons that are stops in the standard genetic code are highlighted in orange.

1632

Figure S6. Intron splicing. A. Distribution of intron splicing fraction of candidate sense introns

in the *B. stoltei* MAC genome. **B**. Distribution of intron splicing fractions of introns according to

intron lengths. **C.** Distribution of intron splicing fraction of candidate antisense introns. **D.**

Sequence logos for all predicted 16 nt introns, and 16 nt introns with "A" at either position -7 or -

6 (counting from the 3' end). The number of introns underlying the logos are indicated to the

right. **E.** Distribution of intron splicing fractions of introns according to intron lengths. **F.** Sample

of RNA-seq reads mapped to a GT-GG intron from gene BSTOLATCC_MAC21551

(Contig_57.g761). Translation in alternative reading frames downstream of the predicted intron

leads to premature stop codons soon after the intron.

1642

1643 **Figure S7**. ***B. stoltei* ATCC30299 MAC genome assembly graph.** Bandage [141]

1644 representation of Flye 2.8.1 assembly graph. Edges corresponding to contigs are colored by

1645   coverage (brightest pink = 160×, black=0×).

1646

Figure S8. Experimental approach for conjugation RNA-seq time series. Complementary

mating type strains of *Blepharisma stoltei* were harvested and cleaned by starving overnight.

The cleaned cultures were treated in a time-staggered format, with gamones of the

complementary mating type, where gamone 2 was a solution of the synthetic gamone 2 calcium

salt and gamone 1 was provided as the cell-free fluid (CFF) harvested from mating-type I cells.

Two sets of time-staggered gamone-treated cultures were used for the time series. Set I,

indicated by the solid line, was mixed and used to observe and collect samples at 0 hours, 2

hours, 6 hours, 26 hours and 30 hours after mixing. Set II, indicated by the dashed lines, was

mixed and used to observe and collect samples at 14 hours, 18 hours, 22 hours and 38 hours

after mixing. Test tubes indicate Trizol samples prepared for RNA-extraction which were stored

at -80 ˚C before processing. Cells collected for imaging were obtained shortly before the

remainder were transferred into Trizol.

1659

Figure S9. Developmental staging of *B. stoltei* for RNA-seq. A. The classification of nuclear

morphology into stages has been done according to previous descriptions [9]. Nuclear events

occurring before and up to, but not including fusion of the gametic nuclei (syngamy) are

classified into sixteen stages indicated by roman numerals. These are the pre-gamic stages of

conjugation where the MICs undergo meiosis and the haploid products of meiotic MICs are

exchanged between the conjugating cells. Stages after syngamy are classified into 10 stages,

stage S (synkaryon), D1 (1st mitosis), I1(1st interphase), D2 (2nd mitosis), I2 (2nd interphase),

D3 (3rd mitosis), I3 (3rd interphase), D4 (4th mitosis), E1 (1st embryonic stage), E2 (2nd

embryonic stage). After E2, the exconjugants divide further and are classified into 6 stages of

cell division (CD1-6) which we did not follow here. Illustration of various cell stages adapted from

[139]). Stacked bars show the proportion of cells at each time point at different stages of

development, preceded by the number of cells inspected (n).

1672

1673   **Figure S10. Small RNA-related proteins in *Blepharisma*. A.** ResIII, Helicase_c and

1674   Ribonuclease_3 domain phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene expression

1675   heatmap for *Blepharisma*. **B.** PIWI domain phylogeny with PFAM domain architecture and gene

1676   expression heatmap for *B. stoltei*.

1677

1678   **Figure S11. Histones and histone-domain-containing proteins in *Blepharisma*.** Gene

1679   expression heatmaps are shown as in previous figures, are clustered according to major histone

1680   type as classified using HistoneDB domain models. Domains from PFAM and HistoneDB are

1681   shown to the right.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A.

Old MAC
Secondary MAC
Developing/ new MAC
New MIC
········· Cell boundary

Meiosis
Karyogamy
Post-karyogamic stages
Genome reorganization

0 h
18 h
22 h
26 h
38 h

B.

Meiotic micronuclei
Somatic micronuclei
Macronucleus

Time (h)

S
D1
I1
D2
I2
D3
I3
D4
E1

New micronuclei
Primary macronuclear anlagen
Secondary macronuclear anlagen
Old macronucleus

Figure 3.

Species	Genome size (~Mb)	Genome architecture	Genes (zygosity)	Codon reassignments		
				UAA	UAG	UGA
Blepharisma stoltei (ATCC 302099)	**41**	**Mini-chromosomes**	**25726 (n)**	*	*	W
Stentor coeruleus	77	?	31426 (?)	*	*	*
Paramecium tetraurelia	72	Chromosomes	39642 (n)	Q	Q	*
Tetrahymena thermophila	103	Chromosomes	26258 (n)	Q	Q	*
Euplotes octocarinatus	88	Nano-chromosomes	29076 (n)	*	*	C
Stylonychia lemnae	52	Nano-chromosomes	15102 (n)	Q	Q	*
Oxytricha trifallax	50	Nano-chromosomes	18400 (n)	Q	Q	*
Perkinsus olseni	63	Chromosomes	17342 (4n)	*	*	*

Figure 4.

A.

B.

Figure 5.

A.

Contig_1

HiFi (DNA)

+ strand RNA-seq

introns

- strand RNA-seq

rRNA

OG0000085

introns

OG0000008

B.

C.

donor

branch point

acceptor

D.

Orthogroups:
OG0000085   OG0000018   OG0000019
OG0000014   OG0000052

Figure 6.

Figure 7.

Figure 8.

A. DDE_1 domain-containing proteins

B. DDE_3 domain-containing proteins

Figure 9.

A. DDE_Tnp_IS1595 domain-containing proteins

B. MULE domain-containing proteins

Figure S1.

Figure S2.

Figure S3.

A.

C.

D.

Figure S4.

Domain architecture

PFAM domains

Figure S5.

probability

codon usage

U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
U U U U C C C C A A A A G G G G U U U U C C C C A A A A G G G G
U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G

3.3 1.4 2.9 1.6 1.6 0.5 1.9 0.3 3.0 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.9 0.3 0.9 2.3 0.8 1.2 0.9 1.7 0.2 1.6 0.3 1.6 0.8 2.4 1.1 0.3 0.2 0.4 0.1

probability

codon usage

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G
U U U U C C C C A A A A G G G G U U U U C C C C A A A A G G G G
U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G U C A G

4.1 1.8 2.2 2.3 2.0 0.8 1.8 0.3 3.5 1.7 5.3 1.8 1.0 1.2 2.5 0.9 1.9 1.4 1.6 1.0 2.4 0.8 2.2 0.4 3.4 2.0 5.2 1.6 0.9 1.4 2.9 1.4

Figure S6.

Figure S7.

Contig_64

Figure S8.

B.stoltei ATCC 30299
cultures
(Mating type I)

B.stoltei HT-IV
cultures
(Mating type II)

Harvested
and
cleaned
cells

Gamone
treatment

Staggered
gamone
treatment

Gamone 2

Gamone 2

Gamone 1
- CFF

Gamone 1
- CFF

Mixed MT-I
and MT-II
cells

Mixed MT-I
and MT-II
cells

Sample
for
imaging

0h, 2h,
6h, 26h,
30h
(in Trizol
reagent)

14h, 18h,
22h, 38h
(in Trizol
reagent)

-80˚C

-80˚C

Figure S9.

Figure S10.

A. Dicers, Dicer-likes and Dicer-derivatives

B. Piwi proteins

Figure S11.