TITLE

pop-1/TCF, *ref-2/ZIC* and T-box factors regulate the development of anterior cells in the *C. elegans* embryo

AUTHORS

Jonathan D. Rumley[1], Elicia A. Preston[1], Dylan Cook[1], Felicia L. Peng[1], Amanda L. Zacharias[2,3], Lucy Wu[1], Ilona Jileaeva[1], John Isaac Murray[1,4]

1. **Department of Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104**
2. **Division of Developmental Biology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229**
3. **Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45267**
4. **Corresponding author - jmurr@pennmedicine.upenn.edu**

ABSTRACT

Patterning of the anterior-posterior axis is fundamental to animal development. The Wnt pathway plays a major role in this process by activating the expression of posterior genes in animals from worms to humans. This observation raises the question of whether the Wnt pathway or other regulators control the expression of the many anterior-expressed genes. We found that the expression of five anterior-specific genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos depends on the Wnt pathway effectors *pop-1/TCF* and *sys-1/*β-catenin. We focused further on one of these anterior genes, *ref-2/ZIC,* a conserved transcription factor expressed in multiple anterior lineages. Live imaging of *ref-2* mutant embryos identified defects in cell division timing and position in anterior lineages. *Cis*-regulatory dissection identified three *ref-2* transcriptional enhancers, one of which is necessary and sufficient for anterior-specific expression. This enhancer is activated by the T-box transcription factors TBX-37 and TBX-38, and surprisingly, concatemerized TBX-37/38 binding sites are sufficient to drive anterior-biased expression alone, despite the broad expression of TBX-37 and TBX-38. Taken together, our results highlight the diverse mechanisms used to regulate anterior expression patterns in the embryo.

INTRODUCTION

Anterior-posterior patterning regulation

Proper anterior-posterior patterning is critical for animal embryonic development and requires the Wnt pathway across all bilaterian animals from worms to humans. Typically, posterior cell identities are induced by posteriorly-produced Wnt ligands signaling through the canonical Wnt pathway [1]. In this pathway, signal transduction in response to Wnt activates posterior-expressed gene transcription through the transcription factor TCF and its co-activator β-catenin [2]. Although this conserved role for the Wnt pathway in regulating the expression of posterior genes is well documented [3], much less is known about how genes expressed in anterior cells are regulated.

Caenorhabditis elegans has been widely used to study anterior-posterior patterning because it is genetically tractable, its embryonic lineage is invariant, and most of its developmental regulators are highly conserved in vertebrates and other animals [4–10]. Also, the majority of embryonic cell divisions are oriented along the anterior-posterior axis, and require the Wnt pathway to differentiate the two daughter fates [11–13]. Following each anterior-posterior cell division, the Wnt pathway is activated in the more posterior daughter cell, leading to high nuclear SYS-1/β-catenin and low nuclear POP-1/TCF, whereas the anterior daughter cell has low nuclear SYS-1 and high nuclear POP-1[11–13]. The combination of high nuclear SYS-1 and low nuclear POP-1 in posterior cells makes it stoichiometrically favorable for POP-1 to be bound to posterior-expressed targets as a complex with SYS-1, thereby driving their expression [14–16]. In contrast, anterior cells have low nuclear SYS-1 and high nuclear POP-1; for posterior-expressed targets, this results in POP-1 being bound in the absence of SYS-1, allowing it to recruit co-repressors, including UNC-37/groucho [17] (Fig 1A). Loss of POP-1/TCF results in posterior genes having either reduced expression in posterior cells or increased expression in anterior cells, indicative of its dual roles as an activator and repressor. Loss of SYS-1/β-catenin results only in down-regulation of posterior genes in posterior cells, consistent with an exclusive role as a posterior activator [13]. These observations leave open the question of how anterior genes are regulated in *C. elegans*.

Fig 1. How are anterior genes regulated?
(A) The Wnt/β-catenin asymmetry pathway regulates anterior-posterior patterning by producing asymmetric nuclear concentrations of POP-1/TCF and SYS-1/β-catenin in anterior and posterior sister cells. Low nuclear concentrations of POP-1 and high nuclear concentrations of SYS-1 in the posterior cell activate expression of posterior genes and are associated with the lack of expression of anterior genes. Conversely, high nuclear concentrations of POP-1 and low nuclear concentrations of SYS-1 in the anterior cell repress posterior genes and are associated with expression of anterior genes. Effects of *pop-1* and *sys-1* RNAi on posterior genes are shown; effects on most anterior genes are unknown. (B) In this work, we test whether *pop-1*/TCF and *sys-1*/β-catenin regulate anterior genes in the *C. elegans* embryo, and ask what other factors also regulate anterior expression.

Previous work suggests at least three possible mechanisms by which anterior genes could be regulated. 1) They may be regulated by the Wnt pathway indirectly, with posteriorly-expressed canonical Wnt targets repressing the expression of anterior genes in posterior cells. 2) They may be directly regulated by Wnt pathway components acting in a modified (or "opposite") manner. 3) They may be regulated by a non-Wnt-related mechanism (Fig 1B).

An example of indirect Wnt pathway regulation through a posterior repressor is seen in the *C. elegans* embryonic EMS lineage, in which cells derived from the posterior daughter of EMS, E, express the POP-1-activated, gut-specifying transcription factor *end-1*. In turn, *end-1* represses the transcription factor *ceh-51*, which is normally expressed in and specifies the lineage derived from the anterior daughter of EMS, MS [18,19].

Conversely, the *C. elegans* anterior gene *ttx-3* is directly regulated by Wnt pathway components. *ttx-3* helps specify the AIY neuron class and is regulated by POP-1 and SYS-1 in a manner dependent on the sole *C. elegans* ZIC family transcription factor REF-2 [20]. After the AIY grandmother divides, *ttx-3* is expressed in the anterior daughter, the AIY mother, but not in the posterior daughter. In the AIY mother, the Wnt pathway is inactive, with low nuclear SYS-1 and

high nuclear POP-1, and both *pop-1* and *ref-2* are required to activate *ttx-3* expresion. In its

posterior sister, the Wnt pathway is active, with high nuclear SYS-1 levels and low nuclear POP-

1 levels, and *sys-1* is required to repress expression of *ttx-3*. Furthermore, POP-1 and REF-2 can

directly interact, suggesting that REF-2 and POP-1 bind as a complex to activate *ttx-3* expression

in the AIY mother. The role of SYS-1 is less clear but some evidence suggests SYS-1 may bind to

the POP-1/REF-2 complex to repress expression, or may sequester away the limited POP-1, such

that POP-1 cannot interact with REF-2 [20,21]. Similar regulation, termed "opposite Wnt

regulation", has also been seen in other organisms such as *Drosophila* [22].

Because of the role of POP-1 and SYS-1 in regulating the anterior-specific expression of *ttx-3*, we

hypothesized that these genes may also regulate other anterior genes. POP-1 and SYS-1 could act

as general anterior expression regulators, interacting with lineage-restricted co-regulators to ensure

appropriate expression of anterior genes in the correct lineages [20,21]. We tested this by mining

single-cell-resolution expression data to identify transcription factors expressed in anterior-

specific patterns, and show that of five we tested, all require *pop-1* and/or *sys-1* for either anterior

expression or posterior repression. We focus in more detail on a single anterior-specific gene, *ref-*

2/ZIC. By automated lineage analysis of mutants we find that *ref-2* is required for normal cell

division timing and cell position in *ref-2* expressing lineages. Embryonic expression of *ref-2* is

driven by at least three developmental enhancers, two of which drive early embryonic expression

and one of which drives expression in later-stage embryos. The most distal enhancer (3.9 kb

upstream of the transcription start site) drives highly anterior-biased expression. Functional

dissection revealed unexpected redundancy within this enhancer, and a role for the T-box

transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* in driving anterior-specific expression. Surprisingly,

concatemers of a single T-box binding site reiterate much of the anterior-specific expression

pattern of *ref-2* in early embryos, suggesting a key role for these genes in driving anterior

expression.

RESULTS

Many early embryonic transcription factors have anterior-specific expression

We used large-scale single-cell expression databases derived from time-lapse 4D imaging of
embryos expressing reporter genes to identify anterior-expressed genes (Fig 2A). We define
"anterior" or "posterior" lineages as sets of related cells derived from either the more-anterior or
more-posterior daughter after a specific cell division (Fig 2B). Notably, this is distinct from
physical position; for example, a cell that divides near the posterior pole of the embryo gives rise
to both an anterior and posterior daughter lineage, both of which are located in the posterior half
of the embryo (Fig 2B, left side). Following convention [5,23], all lineage trees in this manuscript
are drawn with the more anterior daughters on the left and the posterior daughters on the right of
each bifurcation. Earlier studies identified a strong tendency for genes to be expressed in either
multiple anterior or multiple posterior lineages during early and mid-embryogenesis; these
frequently appear as "lineally repetitive" patterns, with multiple related anterior or posterior cousin
lineages all expressing the same gene (e.g. *nob-1* and *ref-2* in Fig 2D,E) [24,25]. Both anterior-
specific and posterior-specific gene expression were similarly common. While posterior specific
genes (e.g. Fig 2D) appear to be largely regulated by canonical Wnt signaling [13], it is less clear
how anterior-specific patterns are regulated. We mined existing literature and large-scale databases
of fluorescent reporter expression patterns (Fig 2A) [24–26] to identify 19 conserved genes
expressed in anterior lineage-specific patterns (Fig 2C); the anterior-biased expression of these
genes is also seen in embryonic single-cell RNA-seq data [27].

Fig 2. Identification of genes with anterior-biased expression.
(A) Automated lineaging traces lineages and quantifies expression from 4D confocal movies. In the lineage trees, vertical lines represent cells, and horizontal lines represent cell divisions. Most cells divide along the anterior-posterior axis, with anterior cells depicted on the left branch and posterior cells on the right branch. (B) Posterior and anterior genes are denoted as such based on their expression in cells descended from either a posterior or anterior sister cell, respectively, following an anterior-posterior cell division. Anterior and posterior genes can generally be expressed in cells from any part of the embryo. Anterior and posterior founder cells are labeled with orange asterisks/green triangles respectively. (C) List of anterior-biased genes in the EPiC database along with their predicted human homologs. (D) Expression pattern of a posterior gene, *nob-1/Hox9-13*. (E) Expression patterns of several anterior genes; most are expressed in unique combinations of mostly anterior lineages.

We confirmed the anterior-biased expression of seven of these by live confocal imaging followed

by automated cell tracking and lineage tracing (Fig 2A, E, Fig S1, Fig S2, Fig S3) [26,28]. For

each gene, we collected 3D timelapse movies of transgenic embryos expressing a histone-mCherry

(*pha-4*, *ref-2*, *elt-1*, *tbx-35*, *irx-1*, and *pes-1*), or GFP (*ceh-51*) reporter under the control of

upstream regulatory sequences of each gene (referred to here as "promoter reporters"). For five

genes we also collected images for fosmid transgenes (*irx-1, elt-1, pha-4* and *ref-2)* or CRISPR

knock-ins (*ceh-51*) in which the protein is fused to GFP and surrounded by its normal genomic

context ("protein reporters"). Each embryo also contained a ubiquitously expressed second-color,

histone-GFP or histone-mCherry fusion, used for cell tracking. We identified each nucleus at each

time point and traced them across time using StarryNite [28,29] cell tracking software, and used

AceTree [30,31] for subsequent manual error correction and validation that the extracted lineages

were correct. Finally, we quantified the expression of the reporter in each nucleus at each time

point (Fig 2A) [26]. The results confirm the anterior-specific expression of each gene, and, in some

cases, identify previously unknown expression patterns (Fig S1, Fig S2, Fig S3). For each promoter

reporter, the anterior-specific patterns were consistent, but the protein fusion reporters all had

additional expressing lineages and dynamics indicative of distal enhancers and post-transcriptional

regulation (Fig S1, Fig S2, Fig S3).

The Wnt pathway effectors *pop-1* and *sys-1* are required for normal anterior-specific

expression

Most genes expressed preferentially in posterior lineages require the Wnt effector transcription

factor POP-1/TCF either for activation in posterior cells (together with its coactivator SYS-1/β-

catenin) or for repression in anterior cells, and at least some are direct targets [12,13,32–35].

Although *pop-1* is required for the normal expression of some anterior genes [20,32,36], it is

unclear whether *pop-1* and *sys-1* are generally required for anterior-specific expression, as they

are for posterior-specific expression.

To test this, we measured the expression of five anterior gene reporters (*tbx-35, ceh-51, elt-1, irx-*

1, and *ref-2*) after *pop-1* and *sys-1* RNAi by live 3D imaging and lineage tracing as described

above. We used promoter reporters for *tbx-35, ceh-51, irx-1,* and *ref-2* because our wild-type

expression data indicated these reporters are sufficient for anterior regulation (Fig S1, Fig S2, Fig

S3). For *elt-1*, anterior-specific expression was more robust for the protein reporter so we tested

that reporter's dependence on *pop-1* and *sys-1* (Fig 3; Fig S4).

Reporters of two of the genes we tested, *tbx-35* and *ceh-51*, are expressed solely in the anterior

lineage MS (Fig S2; Fig S4A, B), and previous qualitative expression analyses indicated that

expression persists in the absence of *pop-1* [19,36,37]. Consistent with this, we detected expression

of both genes in the MS lineage after *pop-1* RNAi, however this expression was significantly

decreased. We also observed increased expression of both genes in the E lineage (posterior sister

of MS), although this expression remained lower than both the wild-type and *pop-1* RNAi MS

levels (Fig 3A, B; Fig S4A, B; Table S4). We also observe low-level ectopic expression of *tbx-35*

in the E lineage under *sys-1* RNAi. These results indicate that both *tbx-35* and *ceh-51* require *pop-*

1 for full expression in the MS lineage and for repression in the E lineage (as shown previously

[19,36]), and that *sys-1* is required to repress *tbx-35* in the E lineage.

elt-1 reporters are expressed in several early anterior lineages that primarily give rise to ectodermal

fates (ABpla, ABpra, Caa and Cpa), and in one posterior lineage (ABarp) (Fig S1; Fig S4C). In

the Caa and Cpa lineages, anterior lineages that express both the promoter and protein reporters,

pop-1 RNAi results in decreased *elt-1* reporter expression. However, RNAi for neither *pop-1* nor

sys-1 affects expression in their posterior sister lineages Cap and Cpp (Fig 3C; Fig S4C; Table S4).

Similarly, *pop-1* RNAi results in reduced expression of the protein reporter in the ABpla and

ABpra lineages, while RNAi knockdown of neither *pop-1* nor *sys-1* has much effect on their

posterior sister lineages ABplp and ABprp (Fig S4C; Table S4). Thus, in both the ABp and C

lineages, *pop-1* is required for anterior expression of *elt-1*, but neither *pop-1* nor *sys-1* is required

for posterior repression.

Fig 3. Regulation of anterior genes by Wnt effectors *pop-1* and *sys-1*.
(A-E) Expression pattern (left) and quantification (right) of reporters for the anterior genes *tbx-35*
(A), *ceh-51* (B), ELT-1 (C), *irx-1* (D), and *ref-2* (E) in specific anterior lineages and their posterior
sisters. Expression is shown under WT conditions and following *pop-1* or *sys-1* RNAi. Expression
quantification (right) is the mean expression across all measurements within that lineage from
when the reporter is normally first detected until the last time point indicated in the lineage (details
in Methods).

irx-1 reporters are expressed early in four related anterior sublineages of ABp, and in three anterior

sublineages of MS, while in later embryos they are expressed in both anterior and posterior

lineages (Fig S3; Fig S4D). Like for *elt-1, pop-1* RNAi reduces *irx-1* reporter expression in anterior

ABp-derived sublineages, and two of the MS-derived expressing sublineages lose expression

nearly completely. After both *pop-1* and *sys-1* RNAi, the *irx-1* reporter expression expands into

several posterior sublineages of ABp whose anterior sisters normally express *irx-1* (Fig 3D; Fig

S4D; Table S4). These results indicate that *irx-1* requires *pop-1* for expression in anterior lineages

and both *pop-1* and *sys-1* for repression in posterior lineages.

Reporters for *ref-2* are expressed in the early embryo in all MS descendants and in several related

anterior sublineages of ABa that arise at the 50-cell stage (Fig S1; Fig S4E). In later embryos,

expression occurs in two anterior sublineages of MS, in several anterior ABp-derived sublineages,

and in the Pn ventral epidermal blast cells, consistent with previous studies [38]. After *pop-1*

RNAi, expression of the *ref-2* promoter reporter is significantly reduced in anterior sublineages of

ABala (Fig 3E). As for other genes, *sys-1* RNAi caused de-repression of *ref-2* in several posterior

sisters of the normally expressing sublineages, but we did not detect de-repression in these

sublineages after *pop-1* RNAi (Fig 3E; Fig S4E; Table S4). Thus, *ref-2* requires *pop-1* for

expression in anterior lineages and *sys-1* for repression in posterior lineages.

To summarize these perturbations, each anterior gene tested requires *pop-1* for full expression in

anterior lineages. Several genes also require *pop-1* (*tbx-35*, *ceh-51* and *irx-1*) or *sys-1* (*tbx-35, irx-*

1 and *ref-2*) for repression in some posterior lineages. Thus, it appears that the expression of most

anterior genes requires the Wnt pathway components POP-1 and SYS-1, but this dependency is

complex as was seen previously for posterior genes [13].

The anterior-specific transcription factor *ref-2/ZIC* is required for normal patterns of cell

division and cell position in expressing lineages.

The striking anterior-specific expression patterns observed for early embryonic TFs raises the

question of whether these factors regulate the development of anterior lineages. To address this

question, we focused on one anterior gene, *ref-2*. *ref-2* encodes a zinc finger transcription factor

homologous to mammalian ZIC genes and *Drosophila* Odd-Paired. Previous work showed that
ref-2 is required to prevent "Pn" epidermal blast cells from fusing with the major hypodermal
syncytium in larval stages [38]. More recently, REF-2 was shown to activate the anterior-specific
expression of the neural regulator *ttx-3* by binding the *ttx-3 cis*-regulatory region and recruiting
POP-1 in a manner independent of POP-1 DNA binding activity in the AIY mother cell [20]. Since
ref-2 is expressed in many other anterior lineages in early embryos, we investigated whether *ref-2*
mutants have developmental defects in those lineages.
263
We tested for embryonic lineage phenotypes at single-cell resolution by analyzing 3D time-lapse
movies of eight embryos homozygous for the deletion allele *ref-2(gk178)* and expressing a
ubiquitous histone-mCherry fusion for cell tracking. We used StarryNite to track the lineage of
each embryo, and used AceTree to curate the lineages through the >550-cell stage (six embryos)
or >350-cell stage (two embryos). We compared the position and cell cycle length of each cell in
each embryo to those from a reference of 17 wild-type embryos [39,40] to identify outliers
("defects") (Fig 4A).
271
272

273
274
Fig 4. *ref-2* is required for proper division timing and positioning for many embryonic cells
that are descended from cells that express *ref-2*.
(A) Lineage tree depicting the REF-2 protein expression pattern (top), and chart indicating the
number of embryos out of eight homozygous *ref-2* mutant embryos that exhibit cell cycle defects
and position defects in indicated cell lineages. Yellow indicates lineages that express *ref-2* early,
blue indicates lineages that express *ref-2* late, and the overlap (green) indicates both. A
corresponding analysis of wild-type embryos gives 0-1 defects per cell in all of 17 embryos tested
[39]. (B-C) Scatter plot of mean neighbor score vs mean position deviation of cells of 17 wild-type
(B) and eight *ref-2* mutant (C) embryos. Neighbor score is the ratio of each cell's distances to its
ten closest wild-type neighbors between mutant and wild-type embryos. Points representing cells
are colored based on WT REF-2::GFP expression levels [39]. (D) Plot of cell position deviations
with arrows starting at the average WT position of cells and pointing to the average position in *ref-*
2 mutants. Arrows are colored by the WT expression levels of REF-2::GFP for each cell. The
labeled cells (ABaraaaapa and ABaraaaapp) have the greatest mean cell position deviations. (E)
ABaraa lineages of WT and *ref-2* mutant embryos, with cells expressing REF-2::GFP outlined on
the WT tree. Two delayed and three missed cell divisions are highlighted on the *ref-2* mutant tree.
(F) ABaraaa lineage with cells expressing REF-2::GFP outlined. The average position deviations
in microns of terminal sister cells are indicated on the terminal branches of the lineage tree. Three
dimensional projections of a WT and a *ref-2* mutant embryo are shown with the positions of the
terminal ABaraaa lineage cells highlighted. ABaraaaapa and ABaraaaapp are outlined in the WT
embryo projection as the cells with the greatest mean position deviation in the *ref-2* mutant.

We identified a total of 55 cells with early, late or missing divisions, and 54 of these were derived

from REF-2::GFP expressing lineages ($P < 10^{-15}$). Overall 95% of cell cycle defects were delays

or missed divisions, indicating a role for *ref-2* in promoting cell division. Similarly, we identified

68 position defects, of which 56 were in *ref-2* expressing lineages (Fig 4B, C, $P = 1.1 * 10^{-14}$, chi-

squared test). Ten *ref-2*-expressing cells, and no non-expressing cells, had position defects in two

or more embryos. The cells with the strongest position and cell cycle length defects were from the

ref-2-expressing anterior ABaraaa lineage, which produces primarily anterior pharynx cells (e1,

m1 and arcade cells). The most frequent cell cycle length defects were in ABaraaaaa and

ABaraaaap (both defective in 8 of 8 embryos), which were delayed by 21.8 minutes and 14.2

minutes, respectively (Fig 4E). The largest position defects were in ABaraaaapa and ABaraaaapp,

which were mispositioned on average 6 microns posterior of their wild-type position (Fig 4D,F).

However, other defects were broadly distributed across *ref-2*-expressing lineages, in particular

MS- and ABa-derived anterior sublineages (Fig 4A). In summary, the anterior lineage-expressed

TF *ref-2* is required for the normal development of anterior lineages, although the low penetrance

of many defects suggests that *ref-2* may act with other partially redundant regulators, similar to

other early zygotic TFs [39,41–46].

Modular enhancers control *ref-2* embryonic expression

The anterior expression and phenotypes of *ref-2* raise the question of what sequences and

regulators control this expression. To identify genomic sequences responsible for *ref-2* anterior

expression, we first compared expression driven by the 31.9 kb genomic fosmid protein reporter

to the shorter 4.1kb upstream ("promoter") reporter (Fig 5A, B, C; Fig S6). In general, the patterns

were similar; both are expressed broadly in the MS lineage and in multiple anterior ABa-derived

sublineages. In the ABa lineage, the promoter reporter shows background expression in some

posterior sister sublineages suggesting the existence of repressive sequences outside of this

promoter region. Additionally, the promoter fusion reporter is detected persistently in both the MS

and AB lineages, whereas protein reporter expression is detected transiently, likely reflecting the

use of a stable histone-mCherry reporter for the promoter reporter. Importantly, both the REF-

2::GFP protein and *ref-2* promoter reporters drive expression in progenitors of most cells defective

in *ref-2* mutants.

Fig 5. Identification of *ref-2* enhancers.
(A) Genome browser screenshot showing the *ref-2* locus on the X chromosome. Displayed tracks include the gene model, sequence conservation among 26 *Caenorhabditis* species [49], ChIP-seq data for TBX-37 and TBX-38 binding, and ATAC-seq data for cells in the ABa and ABp lineages [50]. Boxes indicate candidate enhancer regions as determined by conservation. Below the genome browser screenshot are models of the fluorescent reporter expression constructs we examined. (B-H) Lineage trees showing the expression patterns of *ref-2* protein (B), promoter (C), -3.9 kb enhancer reporter (D), promoter lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer (E), -2.8 kb enhancer reporter (F), -1.6 kb enhancer reporter (G), and promoter in the *tbx-37 tbx-38* double mutant (H) as determined by time-lapse confocal microscopy. Sublineages used in anteriority analyses are labeled in B and underlined in black (anterior) and red (posterior) under each lineage tree. Sublineages with transient early REF-2::EGFP (protein reporter) expression are underlined in yellow under the lineage tree in B. Pn ventral epidermal cells are indicated with asterisks in insets of panels B, C, and F. (I) Example lineage showing which cells were included in anteriority score analyses. Reporter expression levels were averaged across cells in the anterior or posterior lineages for the indicated cell generations. (J) Anteriority scores of the *ref-2* promoter, enhancers, and promoter lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer. Lineages used for analysis are ABala, ABalaa, ABalap, ABalpa, ABalpp, ABaraa, ABarap, ABarpa, and ABarpp (see Fig 5B). (K) Full somatic lineage correlation (Spearman's ρ) of each reporter's expression pattern with the mean expression pattern across embryos expressing the promoter reporter.

To identify the sequences in this region that regulate expression in these lineages, we sought to

identify minimal portions of the region with enhancer activity. We identified three portions of the

ref-2 promoter conserved among *Caenorhabditis* species, suggesting they encode important

functions (Fig 5A) [47–49]. The most distal of these regions is in open chromatin in ABa-derived

cells as measured in a recently published ATAC-seq data set [50]. Also, this region is bound by

the broadly-expressed ABa lineage transcription factors TBX-37 and TBX-38, as determined by a

recently published ChIP-seq data set (Fig 5A) [50]. We fused each putative enhancer to a reporter

cassette consisting of a minimal *pes-10* promoter and a *his-24*::mCherry reporter. The *pes-10*

promoter is widely used, drives no consistent embryonic expression on its own [13,51,52] and is

compatible with a wide variety of enhancers [13,51]. We introduced each reporter into worms and

used StarryNite to determine in which cells each putative enhancer drives expression.

The most distal enhancer, located 3.9 kb upstream of the likely transcription start site, (-3.9 kb

enhancer; 449 base pairs) drives early expression (during gastrulation) in several anterior

sublineages of ABa and in anterior sublineages of MS (Fig 5D; Fig S6). This expression occurs in

nearly identical lineages to the early portion of the protein reporter expression pattern, but while

the protein reporter expression in these lineages is transient, the enhancer reporter persists, again

likely because of the use of a stable histone-mCherry reporter. The -3.9 kb enhancer expression is

also well correlated with the pattern driven by the full promoter of *ref-2* (Spearman's $\rho > 0.6$, Fig

5K). Deleting this enhancer from the *ref-2* promoter reporter results in loss of the strong anterior-

biased expression in the ABalaa, ABalap, and ABarpa lineages, and a loss in the weak anterior-

biased expression in the ABalpa, ABalpp, ABaraa, and ABarap lineages. All remaining consistent

expression occurs in the lineages derived from the Notch-signaled cells ABalp and ABara and has

little anterior bias (Fig 5E, J; Fig S5; Fig S6) [46]. The significance of this expression is unclear;

it can be seen in the promoter reporter but not the protein reporter, suggesting that it is normally

repressed by additional sequences outside the promoter. We conclude that the -3.9 kb enhancer is

necessary and sufficient for anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage.

A second 745 bp enhancer, located at -2.8 kb, drives expression in later embryos (bean stage) in

some anterior sublineages of MS and in the ABp-derived Pn ventral epidermal blast cells that were

previously reported to require *ref-2* [38]. These cells also robustly express the *ref-2* protein reporter

and weakly express the *ref-2* promoter reporter (Fig 5B, C, F, J, K). Finally, the most proximal

enhancer candidate (at -1.6 kb; 206 base pairs) drives variable, weakly anterior-biased, expression

in multiple lineages, most consistently in ABala and ABara (Fig 5G, J, K; Fig S7) and also drives

late expression in some ABp sublineages (Fig 5G; Fig S7). Much of this expression is in cells or

at stages that do not express the full length reporters, suggesting that the activity of this sequence

differs in its normal genomic context.

To measure anterior expression bias we developed an "anteriority score" based on the log2 ratio

between anterior and posterior sister lineage expression. Because expression tends to accumulate

over several cell cycles in each expressing lineage, we calculated this score based on the mean

expression of the progeny 2-3 cell divisions after the birth of each anterior lineage (Fig 5I). Using

this metric, we detected robust and significant anterior-biased expression for both the *ref-2*

promoter and -3.9 kb enhancer reporters in ABa-derived sublineages, and reduced or no anterior-

biased expression for the -2.8 kb and -1.6 kb enhancer reporters and for the promoter Δ -3.9 kb

enhancer reporter in the same sublineages (Fig 5J). Comparing the expression driven by each

enhancer to the full promoter showed each is positively, but imperfectly, correlated, consistent

with each driving a subset of the full pattern (Fig 5K).

ref-2 promoter expression requires the T-box transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38*

A dominant feature of the early *ref-2* expression pattern is reiterated expression in six of the eight

anterior sister cells of the ectodermal ABa lineage at the 50 cell stage (when there are 16 ABa

descendants). This expression is not observed in the fluorescent reporter strains until at least the

following cell generation (when there are 32 ABa descendents) because of the time required for

the fluorophores of mCherry or GFP to mature. This raises the question of whether *ref-2* expression

requires the ABa-specific transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38*. These genes encode redundant

paralogous T-box family transcription factors which are expressed throughout the ABa lineage

and are required for multiple cell fate decisions within ABa [44,50,53]. Confirming previous

reports [44,50], a TBX-38::GFP knock-in reporter made by CRISPR [50] is expressed throughout

the ABa lineage and is detectable at least from the AB16 to AB128 stages (Fig S8). To test whether

tbx-37 and *tbx-38* are necessary for *ref-2* expression, we measured expression of the *ref-2* promoter

reporter in embryos carrying homozygous deletions of both *tbx-37* and *tbx-38*. We found that in
the absence of *tbx-37* and *tbx-38,* nearly all *ref-2* promoter expression in the ABa lineage is lost
(Fig 5H; Fig S9), while expression in the MS lineage was maintained. Thus, *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are
necessary for the anterior-biased expression of *ref-2* in ABa.
414
415   **The -3.9 kb enhancer contains three non-overlapping sub-enhancers that independently**
416   **drive anterior expression in the ABa lineage**
417
Since the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer drives expression in a similar pattern to the early expression of
the full promoter and protein reporters, and its deletion from the *ref-2* promoter results in a
reduction of anterior-biased expression in portions of the ABa lineage, it is a primary enhancer
responsible for driving the early anterior-biased expression of *ref-2*. To map features necessary or
sufficient for anterior expression, we tested the activity of eight truncated versions of the *ref-2* -
3.9 kb enhancer to identify minimal regions of the enhancer sufficient to drive anterior expression
in the ABa lineage (Fig 6, Fig S10). Instead of a single minimal region, we found three non-
overlapping regions within the -3.9 kb enhancer that each are sufficient to drive anterior-biased
enhancer activity in the ABa lineage: a promoter-distal region (bases 1 to 200), a medial region
(bases 227 to 304) and a promoter-proximal region (bases 320 to 449) (Fig 6B, C). Of these
regions, the proximal region drives the most consistent expression and this expression is most
similar to the full length -3.9 kb enhancer construct (Fig 6D), whereas the medial and distal
fragments drive more variable expression and in a subset of these lineages. Thus, although
integration of information between these sub-enhancers is likely necessary for robustness of
expression pattern, the 130 bp proximal fragment provides a model system for further dissection
to identify anterior expression regulators.
434
435

Fig 6. Three non-overlapping fragments of the -3.9 kb enhancer are each sufficient to drive anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage of the early embryo.
(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with predicted TBX-37/38 sites (cyan, predicted high affinity sites indicated by thick line and predicted low-affinity by a thin line), and predicted high affinity POP-1 sites (magenta). (B) Expression patterns driven by full-length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and by minimal fragments. (C-D) Box plots displaying the anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the full-length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and minimal fragments. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and was calculated relative to the mean expression of the full-length -3.9 kb enhancer. A complete set of enhancer truncations tested is displayed in Fig S10.

TBX-37/38 binding sites are required for anterior expression of the proximal region

The proximal fragment exhibits broad sequence conservation with related nematodes, suggesting it contains multiple important TF binding sites (Fig 5A). To identify sequences necessary for anterior expression, we scrambled each 15 base-pair region along the length of the enhancer fragment and tested the resulting sequences for enhancer activity (Fig S11B, C, D). Scrambling a single region comprising base pairs 410-424 (coordinates relative to the full length enhancer) resulted in a complete loss of the anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage, indicating that this region is necessary for this expression (Fig 7B; Fig S11B). Scrambling the most proximal regions 425-439 and 435-449 resulted in a loss of expression in subsets of the ABa lineage (in ABar and ABalp) but maintained anterior expression in the ABala lineage (Fig S11B). Expression was largely maintained when other regions were mutated, suggesting sequences in these regions are not individually necessary for anterior-specific expression despite their conservation.

Fig 7. The ABa-expressed transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are required for the anterior-biased expression of *ref-2* in the ABa lineage.

(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with proximal fragment (base pairs 320-449) highlighted. Predicted TBX-37/38 and POP-1 sites are indicated as in Fig 6. (B) Expression patterns driven by the WT proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer, with base pairs 410-424 scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and TBX_{418} mutated. Expression driven by the proximal fragment with additional regions scrambled or with two predicted POP-1 sites mutated are displayed in Fig S11. (C-D) Anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the WT proximal fragment, with base pairs 410-424 scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and with TBX_{418} mutated. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and was calculated relative to the mean expression of the WT -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment.

In this fragment there are five predicted TBX-37/38 sites, two of which are predicted to have high affinity for TBX-37 and TBX-38. Two of the sites overlap with either the 410-424 region that is essential for ABa-specific expression or the 425-449 region that is required for a subset of ABa-specific expression (Fig 7A, B; Fig S11A, B). To determine whether this region is bound by TBX-37 and TBX-38 *in vivo,* we mined a recently published dataset that measured genome-wide TBX-37 and TBX-38 binding by ChIP-seq. Both factors show binding in the proximal region of the -3.9 kb enhancer (Fig 5A) [50].

To determine whether the TBX-37/38 sites are required for anterior expression, we measured the activity of the proximal fragment after mutating the two central nucleotides of all TBX-37/38 sites. The mutated enhancer fragment did not drive anterior-specific expression in the ABa lineage (Fig

7B, C, D; Fig S11B, C, D; Fig S12), confirming the importance of these sites. Additionally, the

loss of anterior-biased expression following the mutation of these sites indicates that the two

predicted high affinity POP-1 sites in this fragment are not sufficient to drive anterior-biased

expression. Also, these sites are not necessary for the anterior-biased expression driven by this

enhancer fragment, as the loss of these sites does not result in a loss of the anterior-biased

expression (Fig S11B, C, D). In fact, concatemers of POP-1 sites are sufficient to drive posterior-

biased expression (Fig S11F) [13,51].

Since the high affinity site beginning at position 418 (TBX_{418}) overlaps the region (410-424)

required for expression, we hypothesized that this specific TBX-37/38 site may be required for

anterior expression in the ABa lineage. To test this we tested the activity of the proximal fragment

with only the central two nucleotides of TBX_{418} mutated (Fig 7B, Fig S11B, E). This mutation

resulted in a loss of robust anterior-biased expression driven by the proximal fragment. Therefore,

TBX-37 and TBX-38 bind to the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment and TBX_{418} is necessary

for it to drive robust anterior-biased expression (Fig 5A; Fig 7B, C, D; Fig S11B, C, D; Fig S12),

suggesting that TBX-37 and TBX-38 directly regulate *ref-2* expression.

Concatemerized TBX sites are sufficient to drive anterior-specific expression in the ABa

lineage

Given that *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are expressed in both anterior and posterior cells within the ABa

lineage, we hypothesized that site TBX_{418} would be sufficient to drive broad expression in ABa,

with other sequences in the enhancer required for anterior specificity. To test this, we tested the

activity of synthetic enhancers comprising concatemerized copies of TBX_{418} separated by either

short (6-7 bp) or long (11-15 bp) flanking sequences from its endogenous context (Fig 8A).

Surprisingly, both of these reporters drove anterior-specific expression in the ABa lineage similar

to that driven by the full proximal fragment (Fig 8B, C, D). This indicates that either TBX_{418} or its

flanking sequences are sufficient both for ABa lineage expression, and for anterior-specific

expression within this lineage. To test the possibility that the flanking sequences are responsible

for anterior-specificity, we scrambled those sequences while leaving the central TBX_{418} site intact

in the context of the long-flanking-sequence construct. The resulting reporter was again expressed

 only in anterior sublineages similar to the full proximal fragment (Fig 8A, B, C, D). Thus, when

521 multimerized, *TBX₄₁₈* is sufficient both for ABa expression and for anterior specificity without

522 additional non-overlapping sequences.

524
525

Fig 8. *TBX₄₁₈* in the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer is sufficient when concatemerized to drive anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage.
(A) Models of the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer, the *TBX₄₁₈* seven-site concatemer with 6-7 base pair flanking regions, the *TBX₄₁₈* five-site concatemer with 11-15 base pair flanking regions, and the *TBX₄₁₈* five-site concatemer with 11-15 base pair scrambled flanking regions. (B) Expression patterns driven by the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and each TBX-37/38 site concatemer. (C-D) Anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and each TBX-37/38 binding site concatemer. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage expression pattern and was calculated relative to the WT proximal fragment.

DISCUSSION

Previous work has definitively shown that the Wnt pathway components POP-1 and SYS-1 regulate posteriorly expressed genes in the *C. elegans* embryo via the canonical Wnt asymmetry pathway [12,13,35]. What has been less clear is how the similar number of anteriorly expressed genes are regulated. We have demonstrated that a set of anteriorly expressed genes, *tbx-35*, *ceh-51*, *elt-1*, *irx-1*, and *ref-2*, require POP-1 and SYS-1 for their anterior-biased expression. Therefore, POP-1 and SYS-1 regulate the expression of anterior-biased genes. The mechanism by which POP-1 and SYS-1 mediate this regulation remains to be determined. Previous work demonstrated that posterior genes can be regulated by POP-1 binding directly to POP-1 binding sites in their upstream regulatory regions [51]. Thus, a simple indirect model of POP-1 regulation of anterior genes would be POP-1 activating the expression of repressors in posterior cells. This mechanism would predict that anterior genes would be expressed later than posterior genes, or that anterior genes would be expressed early in both anterior and posterior cells, but maintained only in anterior cells. This can happen; for example, in the C lineage *elt-1* is expressed in both anterior and posterior sister cells at the 4C stage, but is only maintained in the anterior granddaughters of C (Caa and Cpa), perhaps due to its repression by the Wnt target *hlh-1* in the descendents of the posterior granddaughters of C (Cap and Cpp) [32]. What we observe in many cases, however, is that anterior gene expression is activated at about the same time as posterior gene expression in sister lineages. This observation suggests that POP-1 could regulate some anterior genes directly. Although some *pop-1* dependence of anterior genes could be explained by upstream anterior-to-posterior cell fate conversions following *pop-1* RNAi, our results as a whole cannot be explained by the known pattern of fate transformations [44].

Indirect *pop-1*-dependent regulation of anterior genes, however, does not explain all of its role in anterior gene expression regulation. For instance, previous work showed that loss of muscle-specifying TFs (MRFs) expressed in Cap and Cpp (*hlh-1*, *unc-120*, and *hnd-1*) is not sufficient to permit ectopic expression of *elt-1* in these cells or to convert them to an epidermal fate [32,54]. Therefore, another factor, perhaps *pop-1* acting directly, must be responsible for restricting *elt-1* to the anterior C granddaughters. Our results identified a requirement for pop-1 in anterior expression of elt-1 but not posterior repression in the C lineage. The proposed role of the MRFs in

repressing *elt-1* expression [32,54,55] suggests that this effect could partly be due to misexpression

of MRFs in the anterior C granddaughters, which could be tested in the future.

Direct activation of genes when Wnt is absent by POP-1/TCF, termed "opposite" Wnt target

regulation, occurs in other systems. For example, in *Drosophila* TCF bound directly to DNA at

non-optimal sites can activate transcription when unbound by β-catenin, and repress transcription

when bound to β-catenin. This difference in the regulatory activity of TCF is mediated by an

allosteric conformational change in the structure of TCF [22]. In *C. elegans*, POP-1 bound together

with REF-2 at the *ttx-3 cis*-regulatory region, may similarly undergo allosteric changes, leading to

opposite target regulation [20,21]. Our findings are consistent with a similar mechanism regulating

ref-2 itself.

Our observation that five copies of a single 10 base-pair TBX-37/38 binding site are sufficient to

drive anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage suggests that a POP-1 site is likely unnecessary

for anterior expression. However, *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* cannot explain this anterior bias alone, as

these factors are expressed throughout the ABa lineage. We showed that *pop-1* is required for both

anterior expression and posterior repression of the *ref-2* promoter. If this role of POP-1 is direct,

it likely acts by binding to other factors such as TBX-37 and TBX-38, rather than to DNA directly.

Consistent with this, TBX-38 and POP-1 were previously found to physically interact with each

other [56]. Intriguingly, several other T-box factors are expressed early in the AB lineage [50,57];

future work should determine if these play a role in restricting the activity of these sites to anterior

lineages. For instance, TBX_{418} is predicted to be bound by several other *C. elegans* T-box factors.

Other T-box factors expressed in the early ABa lineage could compete for binding at this site, with

POP-1 altering the relative activity of these factors between anterior and posterior cells.

Additionally, it is unclear whether *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* also regulate posterior gene expression in the

ABa lineage; intriguingly, the expression of *pha-4*, another anterior gene, in the ABa lineage is

also dependent on *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* [44].

In contrast with our observation that TBX_{418} concatemers are sufficient to drive anterior-biased

expression, Murgan et al. 2015 found that a REF-2 binding site concatemer is not sufficient to

drive expression in the anterior AIY mother cell. Instead, Murgan et al. identified binding sites for

helix-loop-helix family transcription factors that are sufficient to drive anterior expression in the
AIY mother and its posterior sister cell, and that combining these with REF-2 binding sites restricts
expression to the AIY mother. Thus, REF-2 acting through ZIC binding sites is not sufficient to
activate expression without cooperating factors binding other sites whereas TBX-37 and TBX-38
may be sufficient to do so. Other factors could also play a role, for example we used a pes-10
minimal promoter, whereas Murgan et al. did not include a minimal promoter in their constructs,
and there could be differences in sensitivity between the microscopy techniques.

Classic work in many species, including flies and vertebrates, has found that developmental genes
are often regulated both by partially redundant enhancers and modular enhancers that are
responsible for regulating distinct portions of the genes' expression patterns [58,59]. The extent to
which *C. elegans* genes are regulated by such distal enhancers vs promoter proximal elements has
been unclear. We found that the predicted enhancers in the promoter region of *ref-2* function
modularly, such that each enhancer drives different expression patterns, presumably providing
multiple inputs to fine-tune the expression pattern of *ref-2*. Combined with other recent studies
this adds to evidence that enhancer-mediated regulation is widespread during *C. elegans*
embryonic development [52,60–64]. Additionally, we observe modularity within the -3.9 kb
enhancer, with three non-overlapping regions of the enhancer each sufficient to drive anterior-
biased expression. Since the protein reporter is expressed in more lineages than the promoter
reporter, there are likely even more enhancers outside the promoter region that regulate expression
of *ref-2*.

Several studies have identified evidence for multiple functions of *ref-2*. *ref-2* was first identified
as being required for the production of the Pn.p ventral epidermal cells and for the inhibition of
their fusion to the epidermal syncytium hyp7 [38]. *ref-2* is also required for the initiation of the
differentiation of the cholinergic neuron AIY [65]. Also, *ref-2* has been found to be required for
female fate during sexual development [66]. We have demonstrated a role for *ref-2* in embryonic
development. *ref-2* is required for robust WT cell positioning and cell division timing in anterior
lineages. Intriguingly, an insect ortholog of *ref-2*, *odd-paired*, acts as a regulator of anterior-
posterior expression and is an anterior determinant in several species [67,68], suggesting the
function of *ref-2* in anterior fate regulation may be ancestral. Because the defects in cell position

and division timing are only partially penetrant, there are likely other, partially redundant
regulators of cell position and cell division timing that act with the sole *C. elegans* ZIC homolog
ref-2 during embryonic development. Further experiments, including suppressor and enhancer
screens and co-mutation of other transcription factors will need to be performed to identify other
factors that regulate cell position and division timing in conjunction with *ref-2*.
637
638 **METHODS**
639
640 ***C. elegans* culture and strain generation**
641
C. elegans strains (Table S1) were maintained at standard growth temperatures on OP50 *E. coli*
on NGM plates (Table S2). RNAi knockdown was performed by feeding, as previously described
[69,70]. We validated the efficacy of *pop-1* RNAi by measuring the cell cycle delay resulting from
the transformation of the anterior MS lineage into an E-like lineage, and we validated the efficacy
of *sys-1* RNAi by failure of morphogenesis and embryonic death (Table S2) [13,33]. Enhancer
reporter strains were generated by microinjection into RW10029, the GFP histone strain used for
lineage tracing. Injection cocktails consisted of reporter DNA construct at 10 ng/µL, with 5 ng/µL
*myo-2*p::GFP, and 135 ng/µL pBluescript vector (or highest concentration possible whenever 135
ng/µL was impossible to make) and were injected using a Narishige MN-151 micromanipulator
with Tritech microinjector system. Other strains were created through crosses using standard
approaches (Table S1).
653
654 **Generation of transgenes**
655
Candidate enhancers were amplified by PCR from *C. elegans* N2 strain genomic DNA with
Phusion HF polymerase (New England Biosciences) and gel purified (Qiagen). Enhancer
fragments, mutated enhancers, and binding site concatemers were ordered as either gBlocks or
Ultramers from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa) and were amplified with Phusion
HF polymerase (New England Biosciences) with overhangs for stitching and either gel or PCR
purified (Qiagen). Enhancer reporters were produced by fusing via PCR stitching these constructs
to a *pes-10* minimal promoter::HIS-24::mCherry::let-858 3'UTR fragment amplified from the

POPTOP plasmid [51] (Addgene #34848). The enhancer reporters were purified with a PureLink

PCR purification kit (ThermoFisher) and/or gel purified. The desired product was determined by

its size based on gel electrophoresis. We identified putative transcription factor binding sites using

CIS-BP (http://cisbp.ccbr.utoronto.ca) [71,72].To mutate the TBX-37/38 sites, the central two

nucleotides were altered (eg. ATAG**TG**TGAA changed to ATAG**GT**TGAA for *TBX$_{418}$*). For the

construct with all TBX-37/38 sites mutated, after mutating the five primary sites, subsequent

analysis revealed two weaker sites. All of these predicted TBX-37/38 sites were mutated. The

reporter construct for the *ref-2* promoter lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer was amplified from N2

genomic DNA by PCR and PCR stitched to the *pes-10* minimal promoter::HIS-24::mCherry

reporter, and, thus, drives expression through the *pes-10* minimal promoter. The *pes-10* promoter

drives no consistent embryonic expression on its own prior to elongation [13,51,52] and is

compatible with a wide variety of enhancers [13,51] (Table S5).

Quantitative comparisons

All quantitative comparisons were performed using R version 4.0.3 (The R Foundation for

Statistical Computing), Microsoft Excel version 16.16.27, or Python version 2.7.16. For the main

figures, a minimum N of 6 was used for all experiments, except for ELT-1::GFP expression after

sys-1 RNAi, for which N = 3. For the supplemental figures, a minimum N of 2 was used (largely

for perturbations which appeared similar to wild-type at this level). Code and raw data for these

analyses are available at https://github.com/jisaacmurray/ref-2_paper.

Expression and phenotypic analysis by 4D imaging

We obtained confocal micrographs using a Leica TCS SP5 or Stellaris scanning confocal

microscope (67 z planes at 0.5 μm spacing and 1.5 minute time spacing, with laser power

increasing by 4-fold through the embryo depth to account for attenuation of signal with depth).

Embryos obtained from self-fertilized hermaphrodites were mounted in egg buffer/methyl

cellulose with 20μm beads used as spacers and imaged at 22°C using a stage temperature controller

(Brook Industries, Lake Villa, IL) [73]. We used StarryNite software to automatically annotate

nuclei and trace lineages [29]. We corrected errors from the automated analysis and quantified

reporter expression in each nucleus relative to the local background (using the "blot" background

correction technique) with AceTree software as previously described (Table S3) [24,26].

Anterior gene WT to RNAi within lineage comparisons

To compare the expression levels of the anterior genes *tbx-35*, *ceh-51*, ELT-1, *irx-1*, and *ref-2*

between WT and RNAi embryos within the expressing lineages and their posterior sisters, we

calculated for each embryo the mean reporter expression across all measurements within each

lineage (all descendant cells and time points) starting with the cell stage that expresses the reporter

at the time cells are born or shortly afterwards to the last time point in the indicated lineage. The

expression analyzed for the MS lineage starts at an earlier stage than that for other lineages, since

following *pop-1* RNAi the cell divisions are so dramatically delayed that reporter expression

comes on in earlier cell generations in these embryos. The cell generations used in each of these

comparisons are noted in Table S4.

Anteriority Scores

To measure the magnitude of anterior expression bias, we developed a measure that we refer to as

the anteriority score. To determine the anteriority scores, first we chose a minimum expression

cutoff and set all values below this cutoff to 0 and subtracted the minimum cutoff from all

expression values greater than or equal to the cutoff (an expression value of 200 was used as the

cutoff for all anteriority score analyses, except a value of zero was used for the TBX-38::GFP

reporter, which has very low fluorescence intensity compared to the other reporters analyzed).

Next, we averaged the expression levels of the reporter of interest in the cells of each anterior and

each posterior sister lineage of interest. For the *ref-2* promoter and enhancer constructs, as well as

the TBX-37/38 site concatemers, we analyzed anterior and posterior lineages descended from the

cells ABala, ABalaa, ABalap, ABalpa ABalpp ABaraa, ABarap, ABarpa, and ABarpp. We used

expression values starting at the generation with ABaxxxxxx cells (AB128 stage) until the time

point of the last division of AB128 stage cells in the ABa lineage. Next, we calculated the means

of the average expression levels of all of the anterior lineages and all of the posterior lineages

analyzed for each embryo. We then added a pseudocount of 1 to each of the anterior and posterior

embryo means. For each experimental group, the adjusted average anterior expression is divided

by the adjusted average posterior expression, and the base 2 logarithm of the result is reported--

Anteriority Score = $\log_2$((anterior mean + 1)/(posterior mean +1)).

Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis was done by Spearman's ρ, comparing each embryo's expression to the

average expression of the control group. Spearman's ρ was calculated in R using the "cor"

function. For Spearman's ρ we used all the data from the lineages of interest without excluding

any cell generations or having a minimum value cutoff. We included cells born before the onset

of expression here to include information about the cell generation of expression onset in the

analysis. For the analysis comparing the *ref-2* promoter with its enhancers we used the full somatic

lineage starting with the blastomeres AB, EMS, C, and D. For all other analyses we used only the

ABa lineage.

Mutant cell position and cell division analysis

We identified cell position and cell cycle defects as previously described [39]. We corrected for

differences in global division rates, and considered divisions as defective if they deviated from the

wild-type cell cycle length by at least five minutes and had a z-score greater than three. Cell

positions were corrected for differences in embryo size and rotation, and considered defective if

they deviated from the expected wild type position by at least five microns, had a z-score greater

than five, and a nearest neighbor score greater than 0.8.

Statistical analyses

Statistical significance of differences in anterior or posterior expression between untreated and

RNAi-treated embryos was tested using the two-tailed Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test. Statistical

significance of differences in Spearman's ρ or Anteriority Score were tested using the one-tailed

Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test to test for differences from either the control group or from 0, as

indicated in each figure. The p-values calculated by the Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test were corrected

for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate method in R. Significance of overlap

between positional or cell division defects and expression status were assessed using a Chi-squared

test.

FIGURES

763
764

Fig 1. How are anterior genes regulated?
(A) The Wnt/β-catenin asymmetry pathway regulates anterior-posterior patterning by producing
asymmetric nuclear concentrations of POP-1/TCF and SYS-1/β-catenin in anterior and posterior
sister cells. Low nuclear concentrations of POP-1 and high nuclear concentrations of SYS-1 in the
posterior cell activate expression of posterior genes and are associated with the lack of expression
of anterior genes. Conversely, high nuclear concentrations of POP-1 and low nuclear
concentrations of SYS-1 in the anterior cell repress posterior genes and are associated with
expression of anterior genes. Effects of *pop-1* and *sys-1* RNAi on posterior genes are shown;
effects on most anterior genes are unknown. (B) In this work, we test whether *pop-1*/TCF and *sys-*
1/β-catenin regulate anterior genes in the *C. elegans* embryo, and ask what other factors also
regulate anterior expression.

Fig 2. Identification of genes with anterior-biased expression.
(A) Automated lineaging traces lineages and quantifies expression from 4D confocal movies. In the lineage trees, vertical lines represent cells, and horizontal lines represent cell divisions. Most cells divide along the anterior-posterior axis, with anterior cells depicted on the left branch and posterior cells on the right branch. (B) Posterior and anterior genes are denoted as such based on their expression in cells descended from either a posterior or anterior sister cell, respectively,

following an anterior-posterior cell division. Anterior and posterior genes can generally be
expressed in cells from any part of the embryo. Anterior and posterior founder cells are labeled
with orange asterisks/green triangles respectively. (C) List of anterior-biased genes in the EPiC
database along with their predicted human homologs. (D) Expression pattern of a posterior gene,
nob-1/Hox9-13. (E) Expression patterns of several anterior genes; most are expressed in unique
combinations of mostly anterior lineages.
792
793

Fig 3. Regulation of anterior genes by Wnt effectors *pop-1* and *sys-1*.
(A-E) Expression pattern (left) and quantification (right) of reporters for the anterior genes *tbx-35*
(A), *ceh-51* (B), ELT-1 (C), *irx-1* (D), and *ref-2* (E) in specific anterior lineages and their posterior
sisters. Expression is shown under WT conditions and following *pop-1* or *sys-1* RNAi. Expression

quantification (right) is the mean expression across all measurements within that lineage from
when the reporter is normally first detected until the last time point indicated in the lineage (details
in Methods).
803
804

805
806
Fig 4. *ref-2* is required for proper division timing and positioning for many embryonic cells
that are descended from cells that express *ref-2*.
(A) Lineage tree depicting the REF-2 protein expression pattern (top), and chart indicating the
number of embryos out of eight homozygous *ref-2* mutant embryos that exhibit cell cycle defects
and position defects in indicated cell lineages. Yellow indicates lineages that express *ref-2* early,
blue indicates lineages that express *ref-2* late, and the overlap (green) indicates both. A
corresponding analysis of wild-type embryos gives 0-1 defects per cell in all of 17 embryos tested
[39]. (B-C) Scatter plot of mean neighbor score vs mean position deviation of cells of 17 wild-type
(B) and eight *ref-2* mutant (C) embryos. Neighbor score is the ratio of each cell's distances to its

ten closest wild-type neighbors between mutant and wild-type embryos. Points representing cells
are colored based on WT REF-2::GFP expression levels [39]. (D) Plot of cell position deviations
with arrows starting at the average WT position of cells and pointing to the average position in *ref-*
2 mutants. Arrows are colored by the WT expression levels of REF-2::GFP for each cell. The
labeled cells (ABaraaaapa and ABaraaaapp) have the greatest mean cell position deviations. (E)
ABaraa lineages of WT and *ref-2* mutant embryos, with cells expressing REF-2::GFP outlined on
the WT tree. Two delayed and three missed cell divisions are highlighted on the *ref-2* mutant tree.
(F) ABaraaa lineage with cells expressing REF-2::GFP outlined. The average position deviations
in microns of terminal sister cells are indicated on the terminal branches of the lineage tree. Three
dimensional projections of a WT and a *ref-2* mutant embryo are shown with the positions of the
terminal ABaraaa lineage cells highlighted. ABaraaaapa and ABaraaaapp are outlined in the WT
embryo projection as the cells with the greatest mean position deviation in the *ref-2* mutant.
828
829

Fig 5. Identification of *ref-2* enhancers.

(A) Genome browser screenshot showing the *ref-2* locus on the X chromosome. Displayed tracks

include the gene model, sequence conservation among 26 *Caenorhabditis* species [49], ChIP-seq

data for TBX-37 and TBX-38 binding, and ATAC-seq data for cells in the ABa and ABp lineages

[50]. Boxes indicate candidate enhancer regions as determined by conservation. Below the genome

browser screenshot are models of the fluorescent reporter expression constructs we examined. (B-

H) Lineage trees showing the expression patterns of *ref-2* protein (B), promoter (C), -3.9 kb
enhancer reporter (D), promoter lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer (E), -2.8 kb enhancer reporter (F), -
1.6 kb enhancer reporter (G), and promoter in the *tbx-37 tbx-38* double mutant (H) as determined
by time-lapse confocal microscopy. Sublineages used in anteriority analyses are labeled in B and
underlined in black (anterior) and red (posterior) under each lineage tree. Sublineages with
transient early REF-2::EGFP (protein reporter) expression are underlined in yellow under the
lineage tree in B. Pn ventral epidermal cells are indicated with asterisks in insets of panels B, C,
and F. (I) Example lineage showing which cells were included in anteriority score analyses.
Reporter expression levels were averaged across cells in the anterior or posterior lineages for the
indicated cell generations. (J) Anteriority scores of the *ref-2* promoter, enhancers, and promoter
lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer. Lineages used for analysis are ABala, ABalaa, ABalap, ABalpa,
ABalpp, ABaraa, ABarap, ABarpa, and ABarpp (see Fig 5B). (K) Full somatic lineage correlation
(Spearman's ρ) of each reporter's expression pattern with the mean expression pattern across
embryos expressing the promoter reporter.
852
853

854
855
Fig 6. Three non-overlapping fragments of the -3.9 kb enhancer are each sufficient to drive
anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage of the early embryo.
(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with predicted TBX-37/38 sites (cyan, predicted high
affinity sites indicated by thick line and predicted low-affinity by a thin line), and predicted high
affinity POP-1 sites (magenta). (B) Expression patterns driven by full-length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer
and by minimal fragments. (C-D) Box plots displaying the anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's
ρ (D) for the full-length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and minimal fragments. Lineages used to determine
anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and
was calculated relative to the mean expression of the full-length -3.9 kb enhancer. A complete set
of enhancer truncations tested is displayed in Fig S10.
866

867
868

Fig 7. The ABa-expressed transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are required for the anterior-biased expression of *ref-2* in the ABa lineage.

(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with proximal fragment (base pairs 320-449) highlighted. Predicted TBX-37/38 and POP-1 sites are indicated as in Fig 6. (B) Expression patterns driven by the WT proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer, with base pairs 410-424 scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and TBX_{418} mutated. Expression driven by the proximal fragment with additional regions scrambled or with two predicted POP-1 sites mutated are displayed in Fig S11. (C-D) Anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the WT proximal fragment, with base pairs 410-424 scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and with TBX_{418} mutated. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and was calculated relative to the mean expression of the WT -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment.

882
883

Fig 8. *TBX₄₁₈* in the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer is sufficient when concatemerized to drive
anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage.
(A) Models of the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer, the TBX_{418} seven-site
concatemer with 6-7 base pair flanking regions, the TBX_{418} five-site concatemer with 11-15 base
pair flanking regions, and the TBX_{418} five-site concatemer with 11-15 base pair scrambled flanking
regions. (B) Expression patterns driven by the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and
each TBX-37/38 site concatemer. (C-D) Anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the
proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and each TBX-37/38 binding site concatemer.
Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses
the full ABa lineage expression pattern and was calculated relative to the WT proximal fragment.
894

ref-2   REF-2   elt-1   ELT-1

Fig S1. Several early embryonic genes are expressed with anterior bias.
Full lineage expression patterns are shown for integrated transgenic promoter reporters of *ref-2*
and *elt-1*; and for integrated transgenic protein reporters of REF-2 and ELT-1.

Fig S2. Several early embryonic genes are expressed with anterior bias.
Full lineage expression patterns are shown for integrated transgenic promoter reporters of *tbx-35*
and *ceh-51*; for an integrated transgenic protein reporter of PHA-4; and for a CRISPR knock-in
protein reporter of CEH-51.

irx-1　　　IRX-1　　　*pes-1*

911 **Fig S3. Several early embryonic genes are expressed with anterior bias.**
912 Full lineage expression patterns are shown for integrated transgenic promoter reporters of *irx-1*
913 and *pes-1*; and for an integrated transgenic protein reporter of IRX-1.
914
915

Fig S4. Anterior genes are regulated by Wnt effectors *pop-1* and *sys-1*.
Full example WT, *pop-1* RNAi, and *sys-1* RNAi lineages are shown for integrated transgenic promoter reporters of *tbx-35* (A), *ceh-51* (B), *irx-1* (D), and *ref-2* (E) and for an integrated transgenic protein reporter of ELT-1 (C).

924
925
926 **Fig S5. Deletion of the -3.9 kb enhancer from the *ref-2* promoter causes a reduction in the**
927 **anterior bias in the expression driven by the promoter in most ABa sublineages in which the**
928 **full-length promoter drives anterior-biased expression.**
929 Box plots display anteriority scores of lineages descended from indicated cells.
930

931
932

Fig S6. Expression patterns driven by the *ref-2* promoter, the -3.9 kb enhancer, and the promoter lacking the -3.9 kb enhancer are somewhat variable.

Displayed here are partial lineages for all analyzed embryos bearing these reporters to show the variation in their expression.

REF-2::EGFP

ref-2 -1.6 kb enhancer

Expression

None   Low   High

941 **Fig S7. Expression patterns driven by the *ref-2* -1.6 kb enhancer are variable.**
942 Displayed here are full lineages for all analyzed embryos bearing this reporter to show the variation
943 in its expression.
944

945
946

Fig S8. A TBX-38::GFP CRISPR knock-in protein reporter has minimally anterior-biased
expression in the lineages in which the *ref-2* promoter drives anterior-biased expression.
Displayed are partial lineages of the P*ref-2*::*his-24*::mCherry promoter reporter expression and the
TBX-38::GFP CRISPR knock-in expression. The box plot shows the mean anteriority scores for
the *ref-2* promoter reporter and the TBX-38::GFP CRISPR knock-in for the same lineages as in
Fig 5J.
953

954
955
Fig S9. *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are required for *ref-2* promoter-driven anterior-biased expression
in the ABa lineage.
Displayed are partial lineages for the expression driven by the *ref-2* promoter in a WT background
and in a *tbx-37(tm314) tbx-38(tm581)* double mutant background. Also shown are box plots of
anteriority scores and Spearman's ρ for each of these groups, indicating a loss of anterior bias and
correlation to WT for the *ref-2* promoter expression pattern in *tbx-37 tbx-38* double mutant
embryos. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in Fig 5J Spearman's ρ
analysis uses the full ABa lineage expression pattern and was calculated relative to the *ref-2*
promoter in the WT background.
965

966
967

Fig S10. Three non-overlapping fragments of the -3.9 kb enhancer are each sufficient to drive
968
anterior-biased expression in the ABa lineage of the early embryo.
969
(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with predicted TBX-37/38 and POP-1 sites indicated as
970
in Fig 6. (B) Expression patterns driven by full-length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and by all tested
971
fragments. (C-D) Box plots displaying the anteriority scores (C) and Spearman's ρ (D) for the full-
972
length *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer and all tested fragments. Lineages used to determine anteriority
973
scores are the same as in Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and was
974
calculated relative to the full-length -3.9 kb enhancer.
975

976

977
978
Fig S11. The broadly-expressed transcription factors *tbx-37* and *tbx-38* are required for the
anterior-biased expression of *ref-2* in the ABa lineage.
(A) Model of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with proximal fragment (base pairs 320-449) highlighted.
Predicted TBX-37/38 and POP-1 sites are indicated as in Fig 6. (B) Expression patterns driven by
WT proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer, with each 15 base-pair segment of the
fragment scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, with *TBX_{418}* mutated, and with

the two predicted POP-1 sites mutated. (C-D) Box plots displaying the anteriority scores (C) and
Spearman's ρ (D) for the WT proximal fragment, with each 15 base-pair segment of the fragment
scrambled, with all predicted TBX-37/38 sites mutated, with TBX_{418} mutated, and with the two
predicted POP-1 sites mutated. Lineages used to determine anteriority scores are the same as in
Fig 5J. Spearman's ρ analysis uses the full ABa lineage and was calculated relative to the WT
proximal fragment. (E) Models of the proximal fragment of the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer with the
WT sequence of TBX_{418} and the mutated sequence used in the TBX_{418} mutant reporters. (F)
Expression pattern driven by the seven-copy POP-1 site concatemer POPTOP [13,51].
993

| ref-2 -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment | ref-2 -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment sc410-424 | ref-2 -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment all TBX-37/38 sites mutant | ref-2 -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment TBX-37/38 site 418-427 mutant |

Expression

None   Low   High

Fig S12. *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer WT proximal fragment, proximal fragment with all TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and proximal fragment with *TBX$_{418}$* mutated exhibit variation in their expression patterns.

Displayed are partial lineages for all analyzed embryos expressing reporters for the -3.9 kb enhancer WT proximal fragment, the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment with base pairs 410-424 scrambled, the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment with all TBX-37/38 sites mutated, and the *ref-2* -3.9 kb enhancer proximal fragment with *TBX$_{418}$* mutated to show the variation in the expression pattern for each of these reporters.

1005   Table S1:
1006   *Caenorhabditis elegans* strain list
1007
1008   Table S2:
1009   *Escherichia coli* strain list
1010
1011   Table S3:
1012   Quantitative analyses raw data
1013
1014   Table S4:
1015   Anterior gene summary expression data
1016
1017   Table S5:
1018   Recombinant DNA list
1019

Acknowledgements:
We thank J. Archibald Millar for help with data analysis, Julia Richards for collecting and
lineaging the PHA-4 movie, and Shaili Patel for her contributions as a laboratory technician. We
thank Meera Sundaram for kindly permitting us to use her injection equipment. We thank Jonathan
Rumley's PhD thesis committee members for helpful discussions, including committee chair
Christopher Brown and committee members Michael Atchison, Peter Klein, Mary Mullins, and
Meera Sundaram. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of
Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). We also thank the Waterston lab, the Maduro
lab, and the Cochella lab for kindly providing us with *C.elegans* strains. We thank the *C. elegans*
Reverse Genetics Core Facility at the University of British Columbia, which is part of the
international *C. elegans* Gene Knockout Consortium, which produced the *ref-2* mutant allele (*ref-*
2(gk178)) used in this study. This work was funded by T32GM008216, F31GM123737, and
R35GM130357.

1033
1034

References Cited

1.   Hikasa H, Sokol SY. Wnt signaling in vertebrate axis specification. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol. 2013;5: a007955. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a007955

2.   Archbold HC, Yang YX, Chen L, Cadigan KM. How do they do Wnt they do?: regulation
of transcription by the Wnt/β-catenin pathway. Acta Physiol Oxf Engl. 2012;204: 74–109.
doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.2011.02293.x

3.   Martin BL, Kimelman D. Wnt signaling and the evolution of embryonic posterior
development. Curr Biol CB. 2009;19: R215–R219. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.01.052

4.   Zacharias AL, Murray JI. Combinatorial decoding of the invariant C. elegans embryonic
lineage in space and time. genesis. 2016;54: 182–197. doi:10.1002/dvg.22928

5.   Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN. The embryonic cell lineage of the
nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 1983;100: 64–119. doi:10.1016/0012-
1606(83)90201-4

6.   Bürglin TR, Finney M, Coulson A, Ruvkun G. Caenorhabditis elegans has scores of
homoeobox-containing genes. Nature. 1989;341: 239–243. doi:10.1038/341239a0

7.   Chen W, Lim HH, Lim L. A new member of the ras superfamily, the rac1 homologue from
Caenorhabditis elegans. Cloning and sequence analysis of cDNA, pattern of developmental
expression, and biochemical characterization of the protein. J Biol Chem. 1993;268: 320–
324. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(18)54152-1

8.   van den Heuvel S. Cell-cycle regulation. WormBook. 2005. pp. 1–16.
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.28.1

9.   Shaye DD, Greenwald I. OrthoList: A Compendium of C. elegans Genes with Human
Orthologs. PLOS ONE. 2011;6: e20085. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020085

10. Kim W, Underwood RS, Greenwald I, Shaye DD. OrthoList 2: A New Comparative
Genomic Analysis of Human and Caenorhabditis elegans Genes. Genetics. 2018;210: 445–
461. doi:10.1534/genetics.118.301307

11. Herman M. C. elegans POP-1/TCF functions in a canonical Wnt pathway that controls cell
migration and in a noncanonical Wnt pathway that controls cell polarity. Development.
2001;128: 581–590. doi:10.1242/dev.128.4.581

12. Mizumoto K, Sawa H. Two βs or not two βs: regulation of asymmetric division by β-
catenin. Trends Cell Biol. 2007;17: 465–473. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2007.08.004

13. Zacharias AL, Walton T, Preston E, Murray JI. Quantitative Differences in Nuclear β-
catenin and TCF Pattern Embryonic Cells in C. elegans. Sternberg PW, editor. PLOS
Genet. 2015;11: e1005585. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005585

14.   Lin R, Hill RJ, Priess JR. POP-1 and anterior-posterior fate decisions in C. elegans
embryos. Cell. 1998;92: 229–239.

15.   Maduro MF, Lin R, Rothman JH. Dynamics of a developmental switch: recursive
intracellular and intranuclear redistribution of Caenorhabditis elegans POP-1 parallels Wnt-
inhibited transcriptional repression. Dev Biol. 2002;248: 128–142.
doi:10.1006/dbio.2002.0721

16.   Huang S, Shetty P, Robertson SM, Lin R. Binary cell fate specification during C. elegans
embryogenesis driven by reiterated reciprocal asymmetry of TCF POP-1 and its
coactivatorβ-catenin SYS-1. Development. 2007;134: 2685–2695. doi:10.1242/dev.008268

17.   Calvo D, Victor M, Gay F, Sui G, Po-Shan Luke M, Dufourcq P, et al. A POP-1 repressor
complex restricts inappropriate cell type-specific gene transcription during Caenorhabditis
elegans embryogenesis. EMBO J. 2001;20: 7197–7208. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.24.7197

18.   Maduro MF, Kasmir JJ, Zhu J, Rothman JH. The Wnt effector POP-1 and the PAL-
1/Caudal homeoprotein collaborate with SKN-1 to activate C. elegans endoderm
development. Dev Biol. 2005;285: 510–523. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.06.022

19.   Owraghi M, Broitman-Maduro G, Luu T, Roberson H, Maduro MF. Roles of the Wnt
effector POP-1/TCF in the C. elegans endomesoderm specification gene network. Dev Biol.
2010;340: 209–221. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.09.042

20.   Murgan S, Kari W, Rothbächer U, Iché-Torres M, Mélénec P, Hobert O, et al. Atypical
Transcriptional Activation by TCF via a Zic Transcription Factor in C. elegans Neuronal
Precursors. Dev Cell. 2015;33: 737–745. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.04.018

21.   Murgan S, Bertrand V. How targets select activation or repression in response to Wnt.
Worm. 2015;4: e1086869. doi:10.1080/21624054.2015.1086869

22.   Zhang CU, Blauwkamp TA, Burby PE, Cadigan KM. Wnt-Mediated Repression via
Bipartite DNA Recognition by TCF in the Drosophila Hematopoietic System. Desplan C,
editor. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004509. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004509

23.   Sulston JE, Horvitz HR. Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode, Caenorhabditis
elegans. Dev Biol. 1977;56: 110–156. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(77)90158-0

24.   Murray JI, Boyle TJ, Preston E, Vafeados D, Mericle B, Weisdepp P, et al.
Multidimensional regulation of gene expression in the C. elegans embryo. Genome Res.
2012;22: 1282–1294. doi:10.1101/gr.131920.111

25.   Ma X, Zhao Z, Xiao L, Xu W, Kou Y, Zhang Y, et al. A 4D single-cell protein atlas of
transcription factors delineates spatiotemporal patterning during embryogenesis. Nat
Methods. 2021;18: 893–902. doi:10.1038/s41592-021-01216-1

26.   Murray JI, Bao Z, Boyle TJ, Boeck ME, Mericle BL, Nicholas TJ, et al. Automated
analysis of embryonic gene expression with cellular resolution in C. elegans. Nat Methods.

2008;5: 703–709. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1228

27. Packer Jonathan S., Zhu Qin, Huynh Chau, Sivaramakrishnan Priya, Preston Elicia, Dueck
Hannah, et al. A lineage-resolved molecular atlas of C. elegans embryogenesis at single-cell
resolution. Science. 2019;365: eaax1971. doi:10.1126/science.aax1971

28. Bao Z, Murray JI, Boyle T, Ooi SL, Sandel MJ, Waterston RH. Automated cell lineage
tracing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103: 2707.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0511111103

29. Santella A, Du Z, Nowotschin S, Hadjantonakis A-K, Bao Z. A hybrid blob-slice model for
accurate and efficient detection of fluorescence labeled nuclei in 3D. BMC Bioinformatics.
2010;11: 580. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-580

30. Boyle TJ, Bao Z, Murray JI, Araya CL, Waterston RH. AceTree: a tool for visual analysis
of Caenorhabditis elegans embryogenesis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7: 275.
doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-275

31. Katzman B, Tang D, Santella A, Bao Z. AceTree: a major update and case study in the long
term maintenance of open-source scientific software. BMC Bioinformatics. 2018;19: 121.
doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2127-0

32. Yanai I, Baugh LR, Smith JJ, Roehrig C, Shen-Orr SS, Claggett JM, et al. Pairing of
competitive and topologically distinct regulatory modules enhances patterned gene
expression. Mol Syst Biol. 2008;4. doi:10.1038/msb.2008.6

33. Lin R, Thompson S, Priess JR. pop-1 encodes an HMG box protein required for the
specification of a mesoderm precursor in early C. elegans embryos. Cell. 1995;83: 599–
609. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(95)90100-0

34. Kidd AR, Miskowski JA, Siegfried KR, Sawa H, Kimble J. A β-Catenin Identified by
Functional Rather Than Sequence Criteria and Its Role in Wnt/MAPK Signaling. Cell.
2005;121: 761–772. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.029

35. Phillips BT, Kidd AR, King R, Hardin J, Kimble J. Reciprocal asymmetry of SYS-1/beta-
catenin and POP-1/TCF controls asymmetric divisions in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 2007;104: 3231–3236. doi:10.1073/pnas.0611507104

36. Broitman-Maduro G, Owraghi M, Hung WWK, Kuntz S, Sternberg PW, Maduro MF. The
NK-2 class homeodomain factor CEH-51 and the T-box factor TBX-35 have overlapping
function in C. elegans mesoderm development. Dev Camb Engl. 2009;136: 2735–2746.
doi:10.1242/dev.038307

37. Broitman-Maduro G, Lin KT-H, Hung WWK, Maduro MF. Specification of the C. elegans
MS blastomere by the T-box factor TBX-35. Dev Camb Engl. 2006;133: 3097–3106.
doi:10.1242/dev.02475

38. Alper S, Kenyon C. The zinc finger protein REF-2 functions with the Hox genes to inhibit

cell fusion in the ventral epidermis of C. elegans. Development. 2002;129: 3335–3348.
doi:10.1242/dev.129.14.3335

39.   Walton T, Preston E, Nair G, Zacharias AL, Raj A, Murray JI. The Bicoid Class
1144   Homeodomain Factors ceh-36/OTX and unc-30/PITX Cooperate in C. elegans Embryonic
1145   Progenitor Cells to Regulate Robust Development. PLOS Genet. 2015;11: e1005003.
1146   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005003

1147   40.   Richards JL, Zacharias AL, Walton T, Burdick JT, Murray JI. A quantitative model of
1148   normal Caenorhabditis elegans embryogenesis and its disruption after stress. Dev Biol.
1149   2013;374: 12–23. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.11.034

1150   41.   Maduro MF, Meneghini MD, Bowerman B, Broitman-Maduro G, Rothman JH. Restriction
1151   of Mesendoderm to a Single Blastomere by the Combined Action of SKN-1 and a GSK-3β
1152   Homolog Is Mediated by MED-1 and -2 in C. elegans. Mol Cell. 2001;7: 475–485.
1153   doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(01)00195-2

1154   42.   Maduro MF, Hill RJ, Heid PJ, Newman-Smith ED, Zhu J, Priess JR, et al. Genetic
1155   redundancy in endoderm specification within the genus Caenorhabditis. Dev Biol.
1156   2005;284: 509–522. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.05.016

1157   43.   Boeck ME, Boyle T, Bao Z, Murray J, Mericle B, Waterston R. Specific roles for the
1158   GATA transcription factors end-1 and end-3 during C. elegans E-lineage development.
1159   Spec Sect Hist Dev Biol. 2011;358: 345–355. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.08.002

1160   44.   Good K, Ciosk R, Nance J, Neves A, Hill RJ, Priess JR. The T-box transcription factors
1161   TBX-37 and TBX-38 link GLP-1/Notch signaling to mesoderm induction in C. elegans
1162   embryos. Development. 2004;131: 1967–1978. doi:10.1242/dev.01088

1163   45.   Andachi Y. Caenorhabditis elegans T-box genes tbx-9 and tbx-8 are required for formation
1164   of hypodermis and body-wall muscle in embryogenesis. Genes Cells. 2004;9: 331–344.
1165   doi:10.1111/j.1356-9597.2004.00725.x

1166   46.   Neves A, Priess JR. The REF-1 Family of bHLH Transcription Factors Pattern C. elegans
1167   Embryos through Notch-Dependent and Notch-Independent Pathways. Dev Cell. 2005;8:
1168   867–879. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2005.03.012

1169   47.   Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, et al.
1170   Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. Genome
1171   Res. 2005;15: 1034–1050. doi:10.1101/gr.3715005

1172   48.   Pollard KS, Hubisz MJ, Rosenbloom KR, Siepel A. Detection of nonneutral substitution
1173   rates on mammalian phylogenies. Genome Res. 2010;20: 110–121.
1174   doi:10.1101/gr.097857.109

1175   49.   Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, et al. The Human
1176   Genome Browser at UCSC. Genome Res. 2002;12: 996–1006. doi:10.1101/gr.229102

50. Charest J, Daniele T, Wang J, Bykov A, Mandlbauer A, Asparuhova M, et al.
Combinatorial Action of Temporally Segregated Transcription Factors. Dev Cell. 2020;55:
483-499.e7. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.09.002

51. LaBonty M, Szmygiel C, Byrnes LE, Hughes S, Woollard A, Cram EJ. CACN-1/Cactin
plays a role in Wnt signaling in C. elegans. PloS One. 2014;9.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101945

52. Murray JI, Preston E, Crawford JP, Rumley JD, Amom P, Anderson BD, et al. The anterior
Hox gene ceh-13 and elt-1/GATA activate the posterior Hox genes
nob-1 and php-3 to specify posterior lineages in the C.
elegans embryo. bioRxiv. 2021; 2021.02.09.430385. doi:10.1101/2021.02.09.430385

53. Poole RJ, Hobert O. Early Embryonic Programming of Neuronal Left/Right Asymmetry in
C. elegans. Curr Biol. 2006;16: 2279–2292. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.041

54. Fukushige T, Brodigan TM, Schriefer LA, Waterston RH, Krause M. Defining the
transcriptional redundancy of early bodywall muscle development in C. elegans: evidence
for a unified theory of animal muscle development. Genes Dev. 2006;20: 3395–3406.
doi:10.1101/gad.1481706

55. Fukushige T, Krause M. The myogenic potency of HLH-1 reveals wide-spread
developmental plasticity in early C. elegans embryos. Dev Camb Engl. 2005;132: 1795–
1805. doi:10.1242/dev.01774

56. Simonis N, Rual J-F, Carvunis A-R, Tasan M, Lemmens I, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, et al.
Empirically controlled mapping of the Caenorhabditis elegans protein-protein interactome
network. Nat Methods. 2009;6.

57. Tintori SC, Osborne Nishimura E, Golden P, Lieb JD, Goldstein B. A Transcriptional
Lineage of the Early C. elegans Embryo. Dev Cell. 2016;38: 430–444.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.07.025

58. Whiting J, Marshall H, Cook M, Krumlauf R, Rigby PW, Stott D, et al. Multiple spatially
specific enhancers are required to reconstruct the pattern of Hox-2.6 gene expression.
Genes Dev. 1991;5: 2048–2059. doi:10.1101/gad.5.11.2048

59. Epstein DJ, McMahon AP, Joyner AL. Regionalization of Sonic hedgehog transcription
along the anteroposterior axis of the mouse central nervous system is regulated by Hnf3-
dependent and -independent mechanisms. Development. 1999;126: 281–292.
doi:10.1242/dev.126.2.281

60. Teng Y, Girard L, Ferreira HB, Sternberg PW, Emmons SW. Dissection of cis-regulatory
elements in the C. elegans Hox gene egl-5 promoter. Dev Biol. 2004;276: 476–492.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.09.012

61. Streit A, Kohler R, Marty T, Belfiore M, Takacs-Vellai K, Vigano M-A, et al. Conserved
Regulation of the Caenorhabditis elegans labial/Hox1 Gene ceh-13. Dev Biol. 2002;242:

96–108. doi:10.1006/dbio.2001.0544

62. Landmann F, Quintin S, Labouesse M. Multiple regulatory elements with spatially and
temporally distinct activities control the expression of the epithelial differentiation gene lin-
26 in C. elegans. Dev Biol. 2004;265: 478–490. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2003.09.009

63. Chen RA-J, Down TA, Stempor P, Chen QB, Egelhofer TA, Hillier LW, et al. The
landscape of RNA polymerase II transcription initiation in C. elegans reveals promoter and
enhancer architectures. Genome Res. 2013;23: 1339–1347. doi:10.1101/gr.153668.112

64. Serizay J, Dong Y, Jänes J, Chesney M, Cerrato C, Ahringer J. Distinctive regulatory
architectures of germline-active and somatic genes in C. elegans. Genome Res. 2020;30:
1752–1765. doi:10.1101/gr.265934.120

65. Bertrand V, Hobert O. Linking asymmetric cell division to the terminal differentiation
program of postmitotic neurons in C. elegans. Dev Cell. 2009;16: 563–575.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.02.011

66. Kuersten S, Segal SP, Verheyden J, LaMartina SM, Goodwin EB. NXF-2, REF-1, and
REF-2 Affect the Choice of Nuclear Export Pathway for tra-2 mRNA in C. elegans. Mol
Cell. 2004;14: 599–610. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2004.05.004

67. Clark E, Akam M. Odd-paired controls frequency doubling in Drosophila segmentation by
altering the pair-rule gene regulatory network. Wittkopp PJ, editor. eLife. 2016;5: e18215.
doi:10.7554/eLife.18215

68. Yoon Y, Klomp J, Martin-Martin I, Criscione F, Calvo E, Ribeiro J, et al. Embryo polarity
in moth flies and mosquitoes relies on distinct old genes with localized transcript isoforms.
Wittkopp PJ, Pick L, Popadic A, Akam M, editors. eLife. 2019;8: e46711.
doi:10.7554/eLife.46711

69. Kamath RS, Fraser AG, Dong Y, Poulin G, Durbin R, Gotta M, et al. Systematic functional
analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature. 2003;421: 231–237.
doi:10.1038/nature01278

70. Vora S, Phillips BT. Centrosome-Associated Degradation Limits β-Catenin Inheritance by
Daughter Cells after Asymmetric Division. Curr Biol. 2015;25: 1005–1016.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.02.020

71. Weirauch MT, Yang A, Albu M, Cote AG, Montenegro-Montero A, Drewe P, et al.
Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. Cell.
2014;158: 1431–1443. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.08.009

72. Narasimhan K, Lambert SA, Yang AWH, Riddell J, Mnaimneh S, Zheng H, et al. Mapping
and analysis of transcription factor sequence specificities. eLife. 2015;4.
doi:10.7554/eLife.06967

73. Bao Z, Murray JI. Mounting Caenorhabditis elegans Embryos for Live Imaging of

1250 Embryogenesis. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2011;2011: pdb.prot065599.
1251 doi:10.1101/pdb.prot065599