Expanded FLP toolbox for spatiotemporal protein degradation and transcriptomic
profiling in *C. elegans*

Adrián Fragoso-Luna[1,4], Cristina Ayuso[1,4], Michael Eibl[1,4], Celia Muñoz-Jiménez[1],
Vladimir Benes[2], Ildefonso Cases[1], Peter Askjaer[3]

[1] Andalusian Centre for Developmental Biology, Consejo Superior de
Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Junta de
Andalucía, Carretera de Utrera, km 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain
[2] EMBL GeneCore, Meyerhofstrasse 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
[3] Corresponding author (pask@upo.es)
[4] These authors contributed equally

Keywords: FLP/FRT recombination; GFPdeg; SLAM-ITseq; spatiotemporal gene
expression control; targeted protein degradation

Abstract

Control of gene expression in specific tissues and/or at certain stages of
development allows the study and manipulation of gene function with high precision.
Site-specific genome recombination by the Flippase (FLP) and Cre enzymes has
proven particularly relevant. Joint efforts of many research groups have led to the
creation of efficient FLP and Cre drivers to regulate gene expression in a variety of
tissues in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Here, we extend this toolkit by the addition of FLP
lines that drive recombination specifically in distal tip cells, the somatic gonad,
coelomocytes and the epithelial P lineage. In some cases, recombination-mediated
gene knockouts do not completely deplete protein levels due to persistence of long-
lived proteins. To overcome this, we developed a spatiotemporally regulated
degradation system for GFP fusion proteins (GFPdeg) based on FLP-mediated
recombination. Using two stable nuclear pore proteins, MEL-28/ELYS and NPP-
2/NUP85 as examples, we report the benefit of combining tissue-specific gene
knockout and protein degradation to achieve complete protein depletion. We also
demonstrate that FLP-mediated recombination can be utilized to identify nascent
transcripts in a tissue of interest. We have adapted thiol(SH)-linked alkylation for the
metabolic sequencing of RNA in tissue (SLAM-ITseq) for *C. elegans*. By focusing on
a well-characterized tissue, the hypodermis, we show that the vast majority of genes
identified by SLAM-ITseq are known to be expressed in this tissue, but with the
added value of temporal resolution. These tools allow combining FLP activity for
simultaneous gene inactivation and transcriptomic profiling, thus enabling the inquiry
of gene function in various complex biological processes.

Introduction

The nematode *C. elegans* is a popular model organism for genetic and biomedical research. A variety of methods have been developed to perturb gene expression and protein abundance in specific tissues and/or at particular developmental stages of *C. elegans*. These include tissue-specific RNA interference (RNAi) [1], conditional protein degradation [2-4] and site-specific recombinases [5,6]. Especially Cyclization recombination (Cre) and Flippase (FLP) enzymes are popular tools for the control of gene expression in a spatiotemporal manner [7,5]. While Cre was derived from the P1 bacteriophage [8], FLP originates from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [9]. The Cre and FLP recombinases recognize 34 bp sequences, that are called loxP (locus of crossing-over in P1) and FRT (FLP recognition target), respectively. DNA located between two target sequences is either excised or inverted depending on their orientation. Both systems have been used efficiently in *C. elegans*, for example to induce gene knockout (KO) [10,11], control ectopic expression [12], ablation of neurotransmission [13], determination of gene expression [14], mapping of chromatin organization [15,16] and transgenesis [17]. Moreover, by utilizing both Cre and FLP, further versatility and cell specificity can be achieved [18,17]. Thanks to community efforts, a growing number of characterized and stable Cre and FLP drivers are available, which facilitates the development and implementation of recombination-based tools [19,11,20-23]. Most recently, a light-inducible Cre recombinase has been added to the toolbox [24].

In some cases, the study of gene function at specific developmental time points can be compromised by remaining protein levels after gene perturbation. At a time point of interest, remaining protein might occlude the true phenotype associated with the gene's loss of function by RNAi or conditional KO. This may be particularly relevant for long-lived proteins, such as histones and nuclear pore complex (NPC) proteins, that sometimes show lifelong persistence [25,26]. Besides, re-initiation of translation in the case of frameshift-mediated KO appears to be more prevalent than previously anticipated [27]. The combination of gene KO methods with conditional protein degradation may help to overcome this hurdle and completely deplete protein levels.

Tools for targeted protein degradation are constantly being developed and adapted for *C. elegans* and other model organisms [6]. Auxin-inducible degradation (AID) of proteins tagged with a 44 amino acid AID degron offers both temporal and spatial control through exposure to auxin and expression of *Arabidobsis thaliana* TIR1 protein, respectively [2]. Wang et al. [3] developed a system for the degradation of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged proteins in *C. elegans* building on previous protein depletion systems [28,29]. In this GFP degradation (GFPdeg) system, a camelid single-domain antibody fragment that specifically binds GFP ("GFP nanobody") is fused to the SOCS-box adaptor protein ZIF-1, that recruits CUL-2/Cullin-2, an E3 ligase. This leads to ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the GFP-tagged protein. An advantage of GFPdeg is that it is immediately applicable to any existing line expressing a GFP-tagged protein, but it offers only spatial control in its current version. Degradation kinetics in the AID or GFPdeg systems depend on the synthesis and turnover rates of the target protein, which sometimes renders protein depletion incomplete. Therefore, using AID or GFPdeg on its own may also not be sufficient for the inquiry of some biological processes and could benefit from the combination with genetic tools, such as site-specific recombination.

Here, we report an extension of the FLP-based toolbox for *C. elegans* by four
means. Firstly, we describe novel integrated single-copy FLP drivers that efficiently
induce recombination in the somatic gonad, distal tip cells, the P lineage or
coelomocytes. We also report an improved FLP driver for intestinal cells. These
drivers increase the number of cell types amenable for easy FLP-mediated
spatiotemporal control of gene expression. Secondly, we adapt the GFPdeg system
for FLP-based regulation, thereby enabling spatiotemporal control of GFP fusion
protein degradation. We show that inducible GFPdeg is equally efficient as the
original constitutive version. Thirdly, using two endogenously GFP-tagged nuclear
pore proteins, MEL-28/ELYS and NPP-2/NUP85, as test cases, we provide evidence
that combined FLP-mediated gene KO and protein degradation is rapid and highly
efficient to deplete stable proteins from a specific tissue of interest. The possibility of
using a single FLP driver to control both conditional gene KO and protein
degradation will facilitate the inquiry of tissue-specific gene function in complex
biological processes. Lastly, we present the application of thiol(SH)-linked alkylation
for the metabolic sequencing of RNA in tissue (SLAM-ITseq) in *C. elegans*. This
powerful method for identification of nascent transcripts in a spatiotemporal specific
manner was recently developed for gene expression analysis in mice [30]. We show
here that SLAM-ITseq can identify nascent transcripts in the hypodermis of L4 larvae
without the need of physical isolation of cells. We propose that combined with the
growing list of tissue-specific FLP drivers, SLAM-ITseq can be used to explore
transcription profiles across tissues and developmental progression.

Material and Methods

Plasmids

Promoter fragments for FLP expression constructs were PCR-amplified with *Pfu*
polymerase using wild type *C. elegans* genomic DNA as template and inserted as
*Not*I/*Kpn*I fragments into *Not*I/*Kpn*I of plasmid pBN338 [20]. Details are in
Supplementary Tables S2 and S3. Exceptions were (1) pBN527 *gpa-*
14p::FLP::SL2::mNG that was generated by first combining a 2.4 kb *Not*I/*Cla*I
restriction fragment from pNP259 [12] with a 0.6 kb *Cla*I/*Kpn*I PCR fragment followed
by insertion into *Not*I/*Kpn*I of pBN338 and (2) pBN487 *unc-122p::FLP::SL2::mNG*
that was generated by inserting a *Not*I/*BsrG*I PCR fragment into *Not*I/*Acc65*I of
pBN338. For generation of pBN532 *hlh-12p::FLP::SL2::mTagBFP2*, an intergenic
gpd-2/-3 sequence was amplified with primers B1012 and B1364 (see
Supplementary Table S1) using pBN338 as template. A sequence encoding
mTag2BFP2 was amplified with B1365 and B1366 using pMINIT mTagBFP2 [31] as
template. The two PCR fragments were PCR-stitched with primers B1012 and
B1366 and inserted by NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs) into
*Mlu*I of pBN523.

Targeting plasmids for SapTrap CRISPR/Cas9 gene modification were obtained as
described [32]. Details for construction of plasmids pBN309 *mCh::baf-1*, pBN433
g>f>p::npp-2 (">" denotes an FRT site) and pBN493 *gf>p::baf-1* are provided in
Supplementary Tables S1 and S3. Plasmid pBN351 for insertion of *g>f>p* in the 5'-
end of the endogenous *mel-28* open reading frame was generated from plasmids
pMLS256, pMLS288 and pBN312 [32,20], sgRNA oligonucleotides B1050 and
B1051, 5' homology arm (499 bp; amplified with B1042 and B1043 using plasmid
#1397 [33] as template) and 3' homology arm (258 bp; amplified with B1044 and

B1045 using plasmid #1397 as template) (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S3).
Donor plasmid pBN477 containing FRT in the second *gfp* intron was constructed by
inserting a 574 bp *Xho*I/*Hin*dIII fragment from pBN312 into *Xho*I/*Hin*dIII of pMLS252
[32].

Plasmid pBN452 *hsp16.41p>mCh::his-58>HA::UPRT* for SLAM-ITseq was
generated by Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs) using gBlocks B1275 and
B1276 (Supplementary Table S1) and plasmid pBN209 *hsp16.41p>mCh::his-
58>dam::emr-1* [15] digested with *Xho*I and *Nhe*I to replace *dam::emr-1*. The
sequence of HA::UPRT was codon optimized for *C. elegans* [34] and gBlocks were
purchased from IDT.

Plasmid pBN488 *hsp16.41p>mCh::his-58>vhhGFP4::zif-1* for targeted degradation
of GFP fusion proteins was constructed by amplifying *vhhGFP4::zif-1* with primers
B1333 and B1334 using plasmid pNH102 (a kind gift from Ngang Heok Tang and
Andrew Chisholm) as template. The PCR product was digested with *Xho*I and *Nhe*I
and inserted into pBN452 digested with the same enzymes to replace *HA::UPRT*.

Nematode culture, strains and genome engineering

Worm strains were maintained on Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) petri dishes
seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50 [35]. Unless otherwise noted, strains were
maintained at 16°C. The N2 strain was used as wild type reference. Other strains
are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Single-copy insertion of FLP expression constructs into the *cxTi10882* locus on chrIV
was done by microinjection into the gonads of EG6700 young adult hermaphrodites
[36,37]. Plasmids carrying FLP and *unc-119(+)* as selection marker were injected at
50 ng/µl together with pCFJ601 *eft-3p::Mos1* ([38], 50 ng/µl), pBN1 *lmn-
1p::mCh::his-58* ([39], 10 ng/µl), pCFJ90 *myo-2p::mCh* ([36], 2.5 ng/µl) and pCFJ104
myo-3p::mCh ([36], 5 ng/µl). Integration of the FLP expression constructs was
confirmed by detection of fluorescent mNeonGreen (mNG) or mTagBFP2 in the
target tissue of wild-type moving animals without ectopic mCherry expression from
the co-injection markers.

Similarly, single-copy insertion of HA::UPRT and vhhGFP4::ZIF-1 expression
constructs into the *ttTi5605* locus on chrII was done by microinjection into the
gonads of EG4322 young adult hermaphrodites. Injection markers and transposase
plasmid were injected at same concentrations as above. Integrity of the integrated
transgenes was confirmed by PCR, and, after crossing to FLP drivers, functional
assays (degradation of GFP fusion proteins for the GFPdeg system; see Confocal
Microscopy below) and western blotting (for HA::UPRT). For Western blotting,
nematodes were lysed in SDS sample buffer and extracts were loaded on BioRad
TGX Stain-Free 12% polyacrylamide gels followed by visualization on a
ChemiDocTM MP Imaging System. Proteins were then transferred to membranes
and probed with Covance #MMS-101R Anti-HA.11 Epitope Tag Antibody.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome modification to generate strains BN581 *baf-
1(bq13[mCh::baf-1])* III, BN1164 *baf-1(bq47[gf>p::baf-1])* III, BN902 *mel-
28(bq17[g>f>p::mel-28])* III and BN1044 *npp-2(bq38[g>f>p::npp-2])* I was performed
by microinjection of targeting plasmids pBN309, pBN493, pBN351 and pBN433,
respectively, into the gonads of HT1593 *unc-119(ed3)* III young adult
hermaphrodites [32]. Targeting plasmids were injected at 65 ng/µl together with
plasmids #1286 *eft-3p::Cas9* ([40], 25 ng/µl), pBN1 (10 ng/µl), pCFJ90 (2.5 ng/µl)

and pCFJ104 (5 ng/µl). Successful modification of the target loci was confirmed by detection of fluorescent fusion proteins in the nuclear envelope throughout the body of wild-type moving animals without ectopic mCherry expression from the co-injection markers. The *unc-119(+)* selection marker was next excised by microinjection with Cre expression plasmid pMLS328 [32]. Finally, uncoordinated hermaphrodites were outcrossed to N2 males to remove the *unc-119(ed3)* allele.

Insertion of an FRT in the *baf-1* 3'-UTR was performed by microinjection of BN1164 *baf-1(bq47[gf>p::baf-1])* young adult hermaphrodites with a mixture containing 10 µM *baf-1* crRNA:trRNA duplex (B1312+B1067), 2.5 µM *dpy-10* crRNA:trRNA duplex (B1068+B1067), 3 µM recombinant Cas9, 4 µM *baf-1* ssDNA repair template (B1313) and 1 µM *dpy-10* ssDNA repair template (B725). Recombinant Cas9 was produced by the CABD Proteomics and Biochemistry Facility. All DNA and RNA oligonucleotides were purchased from IDT.

Confocal microscopy

To induce expression of either the dual-color FRT reporter or the GFPdeg system, NGM plates with nematodes were incubated for 15 min floating in a 34°C water bath. For evaluation of recombination efficiency and specificity, nematodes were left to recover at 20°C for 2-3 hours prior to imaging. For GFP degradation experiments, recovery was at 25°C for 1-24 hours as indicated for each experiment. For imaging, nematodes were anesthetized in 5 µl 10 mM levamisole HCl on 2% agarose pads and covered by a cover slip. Images were acquired with a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with Plan Fluor 40×/1.3 and Plan Apo VC 60×/1.4 objectives and an A1R scanner using a pinhole of 1.2 airy units [20]. Cells with GFP signal as an indication of a recombination event in the dual-color reporter as well as mNG co-expression with FLP were evaluated from z-stacks acquired with the Nikon NIS software. To analyze the reduction of protein levels of GFP fusion proteins after FLP recombination and/or the induction of the GFP degradation system, specific regions and focal planes were localized to acquire reproducible sets of images. These are indicated in the text.

Fluorescence intensity was quantified in Fiji/ImageJ 2.1.0/1.53c [41] by tracing and measuring the signal at the nuclear envelope with a freehand line of width 3. After subtracting the background measured in animals without expression of GFP, the data were analyzed in R Studio (1.3.1093 [42]; running R 4.0.2 [43]). Data were visualized either with base plotting or using ggplot2 [44], mainly within the ggpubr framework (version 0.4.0 [45]). For statistical analysis, Wilcoxon rank sum tests were performed within the ggpubr framework and adjusted for multiple comparison (Benjamini & Hochberg method).

Brood size experiments

BN580 *g>f>p::baf-1*, BN1182 *mCh::baf-1/g>f>p::baf-1; nhx-2p::FLP* and BN1223 *mCh::baf-1/gf>p::baf-1>; nhx-2p::FLP* worms were cultured at 16°C until L4 larval stage. For BN1182 and BN1223, homozygous *mCh::baf-1*, *g>f>p::baf-1* and *gf>p::baf-1>* animals were selected under a fluorescence stereoscope. In each replica, 8-10 L4 larvae per strain were transferred to individual NGM plates and incubated at 20°C. During the reproductive period, adults were transferred to new plates each day and the number of progenies were recorded. Adults were censored if they desiccated on the walls of the plates or were damaged during transfer.

Statistical analysis was done in R using Wilcoxon rank sum tests and adjusted for
multiple comparison (Benjamini & Hochberg method).

Lifespan experiments

Worms were cultured at 16°C until L4 larval stage. In each replica, 20 L4 larvae per
strain were transferred to newly seeded NGM plates and incubated for 15 min
floating in a 34°C water bath to induce the GFPdeg system. They were then
continuously incubated at 25°C. During the reproductive period, the live adults were
transferred to new plates each day to not confuse founders with their progeny.
Throughout the experiment, the number of live and dead animals were recorded.
Animals were censored if they disappeared, were damaged during transfer or died
due to internal hatching. Statistical analysis was done in R using the survival
package (version 3.2-7 [46]), which calculates Chi-square statistics based on a log-
rank test.

SLAM-ITseq

Eggs were obtained by sodium hypochlorite treatment [35] and incubated in M9
containing 0.01% Tween-20 (M9-T) overnight at 18°C without food. For each strain
and replica, three 60 mm NGM plates with a lawn of OP50 *E. coli* were seeded each
with approximately 350 synchronized L1 larvae and incubated at 20°C for 28 h until
reaching L3 stage. At this point, 4-thiouracil (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved at 200 mg/ml
in DMSO was diluted 1:100 in H_2O and 1 ml was added on top of each NGM plate.
Plates were left to dry in a laminar flow hood (~1 h) and incubated at 20°C for a total
of 17 h. L4 larvae were washed off the plates with M9-T, collected in 15 ml tubes and
washed three times in M9-T. Finally, worms were pelleted in 1.5 ml tubes (~1000
L4s per tube). The supernatant was aspired to leave ~50 µl and 500 µl Trizol was
added. Tubes were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until used.

Samples were lysed in 5 freeze/thaw cycles (1 min in liquid nitrogen; 3 min in 37°C
shaker) and left for 3 min at RT. Then, 100 µl chloroform was added, tubes were
shaken vigorously for 15 seconds and left for 3 min at RT. After centrifugation at
12000 g, 4ºC for 15 min, the aqueous phases (~300 µl) were transferred to new
tubes containing 0.5 µl 0.1 M DTT and 0.5 µl 20 mg/ml glycogen. RNA was
precipitated by adding 250 µl isopropanol and centrifugation at 12000 g, 4ºC for 10
min. Supernatants were removed and pellets were washed in 500 µl EtOH 75%.
After centrifugation and removal of the supernatants, the pellets were left to dry for 5
min and resuspended in 20 µl 1 mM DTT. After incubation at 55ºC for 10 min,
residual DNA was digested by treatment with DNase I (New England Biolabs) in a
total volume of 50 µl at 37ºC for 10 min. DNase I was inactivated by addition of 0.5 µl
0.5 M EDTA. RNA was purified with Monach spin columns (New England Biolabs),
eluted in 20 µl 1 mM DTT and evaluated on a Nanodrop.

Alkylation of RNA was performed by incubating 1.5 µg RNA with 25 µl DMSO, 5 µl
0.1 M iodoacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5 µl 0.5 M sodium phosphate (pH 8.0) in a
total volume of 50 µl at 50ºC for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 1 µl 1M
DTT. RNA was precipitated by adding 0.5 µl 20 mg/ml glycogen, 5 µl 3 M sodium
acetate (pH 5.2) and 180 µl 96% ethanol followed by incubation at -20ºC for 20 min
and centrifugation at 15000 g, 4ºC for 20 min. The supernatants were removed and
the pellets were washed in 180 µl 75% ethanol followed by centrifugation at 15000 g,
4ºC for 5 min. Again, supernatants were removed and pellets were left to dry for 5
min. RNA was resuspended in 15 µl H_2O, incubated at 55ºC for 8 min and evaluated

on a Nanodrop. NGS libraries were prepared and sequenced at EMBL GeneCore
using a QuantSeq 3′ mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Lexogen) and a
NextSeq 500 (Illumina).

Bioinformatics analysis was performed as outlined in [47] using SLAM-DUNK [48]
(http://t-neumann.github.io/slamdunk/) with default parameters. The *tcount* output file
was then loaded into R and Spearman correlation coefficients between genotypes
and replicas were calculated for the cumulative coverage on all Ts in the 3'-UTR
(*coverageOnTs*; an indicator for transcript abundance in the sample). The DESeq2
package [49] was used to test if *coverageOnTs* were different between BN1158 (-
FLP) and BN1190 (+ FLP) samples. Next, the countdata package [50] was used to
perform a beta-binomial test on *conversionsOnTs* (number of T>C conversions) and
coverageOnTs values to calculate p values for conversion rates
(*conversionsOnTs*/*coverageOnTs*) of individual transcripts comparing BN1158 (-
FLP) and BN1190 (+ FLP) samples. To increase stringency, transcripts with <18
T>C reads (*conversionsOnTs*) were excluded and for genes represented by several
transcripts, only the transcript with the lowest p value was retained. Finally, p values
were adjusted for multiple comparison (Benjamini & Hochberg method).

Data availability

See Table S1, Table S2, Table S3 and Table S4 for complete lists of primers,
promoters, plasmids and strains, respectively. Representative strains will be made
immediately available through the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center; plasmids and
other strains can be requested from the authors. Table S5 contains list of
hypodermal genes identified by SLAM-ITseq. Raw and processed SLAM-ITseq files
are deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

Results

Characterization of new FLP lines

Previously, a series of efficient tissue-specific FLP-lines were generated by Mos1-
mediated Single Copy Insertion (MosSCI) [20,22,23,51]. To expand the existing
toolkit, we created additional MosSCI lines that express FLP in specific cell types
and evaluated recombination efficiency with the heat-inducible dual color reporter
bqSi294 that produces green nuclei (GFP::HIS-58) upon successful recombination
and red nuclei (mCherry::HIS-58) elsewhere (Figure 1A) [20]. In most constructs
(see below), an SL2 trans-splicing sequence followed by the gene encoding the
fluorescent protein mNeonGreen (mNG) was inserted downstream of FLP
(FLP::SL2::mNG), enabling visualization of FLP expression by co-expression of
mNG [20].

We first generated a line that expresses FLP under control of the *ckb-3* promoter,
which is active in the Z1 and Z4 cells that compose the somatic gonadal primordium
of the hermaphrodite in early L1 larvae [52]. When crossed with the dual color
reporter, we detected recombination in all 36 L1 larvae analyzed; in 35 larvae both
Z1 and Z4 expressed GFP::HIS-58, and one larva expressed GFP::HIS-58 in a
single cell (Figure 1B; Table 1). The majority of Z1/Z4 cells expressed GFP::HIS-58
only, indicating that recombination had taken place at both alleles (67/72 cells =
93%), whereas both green and red histones were observed in 4 cells. Of note, there
were never more than the expected two green nuclei at this developmental stage
(early L1), which highlights the tissue specificity of the *ckb-3p::FLP* line. The high

efficiency of the line in the somatic gonadal primordium implies that it is very suitable
for manipulation of all five tissues of the reproductive system: distal tip cells, gonadal
sheath, spermatheca, spermatheca-uterine valve and the uterus (Figure 1B) [53].

The distal tip cells (DTCs) play important roles during development as the leading
cells that guide migration of the gonad arms in hermaphrodites and also in control of
germ cell proliferation [53]. To express FLP specifically in DTCs, we used the *hlh-12*
promoter which is expressed from L2 stage [54]. We observed recombination in both
DTCs in all hermaphrodites analyzed (*n*=24, L2-adult stage; Figure 1B; Table 1).
Except for one DTC in a young L2 larva, recombination had taken place at both
alleles (47/48 cells = 98%) and was not observed in other cell types, demonstrating
the high efficiency and specificity of the *hlh-12p::FLP* line.

We then generated a *lin-31p::FLP* line to enable recombination in the vulval
precursor cells (VPCs). LIN-31 is expressed in P1.p-P11.p cells from the middle of
L1 stage, when these cells are born [55]. We focused our attention on the central
VPCs in L2 larvae and on VPC descendants during vulval morphogenesis in L3 and
L4 larvae. Importantly, recombination of both alleles was observed in all VPCs and
VPC descendants in all animals (*n*=30, L2-adult stage; Figure 1B; Table 1). We also
observed GFP::HIS-58 expression in a few head neurons, which is in agreement
with a previous report on *lin-31* expression (Supplementary Figure S1A)[56]. Finally,
we noted weak GFP::HIS-58 signal in hyp7 nuclei in late L3-L4 larvae, which we
assume originates from fusion of descendants of P3.p, P4.p and P8.p with the hyp7
syncytium (Supplementary Figure S1A).

In the first version of our FLP toolkit, we used the *elt-2* promoter to drive
recombination in the intestine with ~100% efficiency [20]. However, because
recombination was also detected in the somatic gonad, we generated an alternative
line based on the *nhx-2* promoter [57]. In young L1 larvae, the number of intestinal
nuclei ranges from 19 to 22 and increases to 30-34 in L2 larvae [58]. Recombination
efficiency reached 98% based on green-only nuclei and 100% when also including
nuclei with expression of both green and red histones (*n*=27, Figure 1B; Table 1).
This demonstrates high recombination efficiency, comparable to the *elt-2p::FLP* line.
Importantly, we did not detect recombination outside the intestine with the *nhx-*
2p::FLP line, so we recommend to use this line.

We next generated an *unc-122p::FLP* line to enable recombination in coelomocytes
(CCs), which are specialized cells thought to be involved in the innate immune
response and scavenging [59,60]. Two anterior CC pairs are born during
embryogenesis whereas a posterior pair is born right before the L1/L2 molt.
Consistently, in 8 of 8 L1s we observed 4 GFP::HIS-58 expressing CCs, and in 23 of
23 L3-L4 larvae 6 GFP::HIS-58 expressing CCs (Figure 1B; Table 1). Expression of
mCh::HIS-58 was not detected in the CCs, indicating that both alleles had
recombined, whereas GFP::HIS-58 expression was restricted to the CCs.

We also attempted to generate additional lines to target specific neuronal subtypes,
including cholinergic neurons (using the *unc-17* promoter) and *gpa-14*-expressing
neurons. However, although both lines expressed the marker mNG in the expected
tissues, they also showed either recombination in unexpected cell types (for *unc-*
17p::FLP) or inefficient recombination (for *gpa-14p::FLP*) and were not characterized
in detail (Supplementary Figure S1B-C; see Discussion).

In the strains described above, co-expression of mNG serves to visualize when and where FLP is expressed. However, in some experiments, the signal from mNG might interfere with other fluorescent proteins. To circumvent this, we replaced mNG with mTagBFP2 that emits blue light. When placed under control of the *hlh-12* promoter, we observed mTagBFP2 expression and FLP-mediated recombination in both DTCs of all animals (*n*=12; Supplementary Figure S1D). The sequences encoding mNG and mTagBFP2 in the MosSCI plasmids are flanked by *Mlu*I restriction sites, which facilitates the exchange of the former for the latter in the creation of new strains.

Conditional gene knockout by GFP exon removal

We previously designed a conditional knockout (KO) cassette based on GFP containing FRT sites in introns 1 and 2 (Figure 2A) [20]. Excision of GFP exon 2 by FLP causes a frame shift and a premature termination codon, which most likely destabilizes the mRNA [61]. The premature termination codon precedes three short open reading frames that are out of frame with respect to the gene downstream of GFP. Together, this should completely prevent expression of the tagged protein. To test this experimentally, we compared the consequence of either complete removal of the *baf-1* gene (*gf>p::baf-1>*; ">" denotes the position of FRT; see Figure 2A) or removal of GFP exon 2 in an endogenously tagged *gfp::baf-1* line (*g>f>p::baf-1*). BAF-1 is an essential and ubiquitously expressed nuclear envelope protein [62]. In both cases, we used the *nhx-2p::FLP* line described above to induce *baf-1* KO specifically in intestinal cells, which negatively affects health [20]. As controls we included (i) the *g>f>p::baf-1* line without FLP expression and (ii) an endogenously tagged *mCh::baf-1* line without FRT sequences but with FLP expression. While hermaphrodites of the two control lines produced an identical number of progeny (*g>f>p::baf-1* 299±25 [mean±SD], n=10; *mCh::baf-1* 301±55, n=15), fertility was reduced equally by 31% in the two conditional knockout lines (*g>f>p::baf-1; nhx-2p::FLP* 207±71, n=15; *gf>p::baf-1>; nhx-2p::FLP* 206±68, n=12)(Figure 2B). Based on this, we conclude that excision of the second exon of the GFP KO cassette causes an equally strong loss of function phenotype as complete gene removal. Moreover, it provides the advantage that insertion of the GFP KO cassette in the 5'-end of a target gene in a single step is easier than inserting both a GFP tag (with a single FRT) and a second FRT inside or downstream of the gene.

While conditional gene KO prevents synthesis of new transcripts, mRNA and protein molecules already existing in the cell might persist for days, weeks or even months [25]. This may obscure both investigation of gene function and potential therapeutic interventions. To address this, we evaluated the kinetics of GFP::MEL-28 depletion from hypodermal cells of *g>f>p::mel-28; dpy-7p::FLP* hermaphrodites. MEL-28 is a component of the long-lived NUP107 nuclear pore subcomplex and is required for DNA segregation and post-mitotic nuclear assembly [63,64,25]. Although the *dpy-7* promoter is active already during embryogenesis to drive FLP expression, ~95% of GFP::MEL-28 was still present in L1 larvae as compared to the control *g>f>p::mel-28* line without FLP expression (Figure 3; compare Control and KO in 3B). In contrast, in L2 larvae and young adults a dramatic reduction of the median GFP::MEL-28 signal to ~12% and ~3%, respectively, was observed specifically in the hypodermis (Figure 3). This demonstrates the potential of conditional KO methods to evaluate the function of long-lived proteins in late larvae and adults (see also below), whereas they might be less suitable in early larvae.

FLP-mediated protein degradation

To enable analysis of long-lived proteins during development, we developed a system for FLP-mediated, inducible and rapid protein degradation from specific cells. A potent system for constitutive tissue-specific degradation of GFP-tagged proteins based on expression of vhhGFP4::ZIF-1, a GFP-binding nanobody fused to ZIF-1, was recently developed in *C. elegans* [3]. To achieve both temporal and spatial control of degradation of GFP-tagged proteins (hereafter termed GFPdeg), we replaced the *gfp::his-58* sequence of the dual color reporter with a sequence encoding vhhGFP4::ZIF-1. In this design, tissue-specificity is controlled by the choice of a particular FLP-expressing line, whereas temporal control is provided by the heat-inducible *hsp-16.41* promoter (Figure 4A).

We first determined that basal expression from the *hsp-16.41* promoter in the absence of heat induction does not cause depletion of GFP::MEL-28 in the hypodermis (Figure 4B). This implies that the system can be used to evaluate the function of essential proteins late in development by raising the animals at 16°C until induction. We next investigated whether the heat-induced GFPdeg system is equally efficient as the original constitutive variant [3]. We focused on degradation of GFP::MEL-28 in intestinal cells. GFP intensity was quantified in one or two intestinal cells closest to the pharynx and to the microscope lens to ensure consistent measurements. Other intestinal cells often lie below the gonad, impeding reproducible quantification. Three hours after a short heat pulse (15 min; 34°C) the median GFP::MEL-28 intensity was reduced to ~27% in the inducible GFPdeg strain, whereas slightly less protein remained in the constitutive GFPdeg strain (~18%; Figure 4C, left graph). By exposing the animals to two heat pulses, one 24h and another 3h prior to imaging, the efficiencies of the inducible and constitutive GFPdeg systems were equal (p=0.91; Figure 4C, right graph; Figure 4D). This demonstrates, that additional temporal control of the tissue-specific GFPdeg module can be achieved without compromising degradation efficiency. Depending on the protein of interest, induction conditions may have to be optimized. For instance, the efficiency of endogenous GFP::MEL-28 degradation in the intestine by either the constitutive or the inducible system (Figure 4C-D) is lower than the depletion reported for endogenous GFP::MDF-1 based on the identical constitutive GFPdeg strain [3]. To evaluate the degradation dynamics in more details, we measured GFP::MEL-28 levels in hypodermal cells at different time frames after a single induction of GFPdeg (15 min; 34°C). Hypodermis-specific expression of vhhGFP4::zif-1 was ensured by excision of *mCh::his-58* with FLP under the control of the *dpy-7* promoter. All images were taken from a region of the hypodermis closest to the lens and just posterior to the pharynx. One hour after induction, the median level of GFP::MEL-28 was reduced to ~55% and further down to ~19-20% after 2-4 h (Figure 5). A possible explanation for the remaining GFP::MEL-28 even at the optimal time points (2-4 h) could be novel protein synthesis since the degradation system will only be active for a finite time after induction. Indeed, substantial protein re-synthesis was observed 24 h after heat shock (Figure 5). The time frame of optimal degradation efficiency allows some flexibility in protocol design. However, these protocols, especially number and timing of heat inductions, presumably need to be tailored to the protein of interest and its turnover.

 Combination of FLP-mediated gene knockout and protein degradation

Having available two FLP-controlled systems for inhibition of protein expression, we
decided to test the efficiency of combining them. In this setup, the same FLP driver
controls both conditional gene KO and protein degradation (Figure 6A). As a proof of
principle, we evaluated the combined action of the GFP KO cassette and GFPdeg on
the expression of endogenously GFP-tagged MEL-28 in the hypodermis.
Representative images of L1 and L2 larvae as well as young adults are shown in
Figure 6B whereas quantification is presented in Figure 3B. In contrast to the GFP
KO system which alone had insignificant effects in L1 larvae (Figure 3), the
combination of the two systems led to ~80% reduction of median GFP::MEL-28
signal. In L2 larvae, GFP::MEL-28 levels in the hypodermis were further decreased
to ~3% and undetectable in most young adults. Thus, in all three life stages tested,
the combination of conditional gene knockout and protein degradation provided the
most efficient inhibition of protein expression. We also compared the efficiency of
either conditional gene KO alone or in combination with targeted protein degradation
by inserting the GFP KO cassette in frame after the start codon of another stable
nuclear pore protein, NPP-2/NUP85. In young adults, the conditional KO caused a
reduction of ~97% of GFP::NPP-2 in the hypodermis, whereas only ~1.5% remained
when conditional KO was combined with the GFPdeg system (Figure 6C-D).

To test if these dramatic reductions of endogenous GFP::NPP-2 in the hypodermis
had consequences on the health of the animals, we determined their lifespan at
25°C. We followed >175 animals for each genotype and observed a 22-33%
reduction in median lifespan from 9 days post L4 in GFP::NPP-2 control animals
(95% confidence interval [CI] = 9-10 days) to 7 days in conditional KO animals (95%
CI = 6-7 days) and to 6 days in animals with combined conditional KO and GFPdeg
systems (95% CI = 6-7 days) (Figure 6E). Expression of FLP and GFPdeg in the
absence of the GFP KO cassette had no effect on the lifespan (p=0.3). The
statistically insignificant shift to the left of the lifespan curve for the animals with the
combined conditional KO and GFPdeg (red line) as compared to the animals with the
conditional KO only (dark red line; p=0.5) is in line with the unsubstantial (but
statistically significant) difference in GFP::NPP-2 signal intensity between the two
strains. We conclude that conditional NPP-2 KO in the hypodermis causes a
dramatic shortening of lifespan, illustrating the suitability of the combination of the
GFP KO cassette and the GFPdeg system for evaluation of physiological
consequences of protein depletion in specific tissues.

Tissue-specific profiling of nascent mRNA transcription by SLAM-ITseq

Various methods are available for analysis of gene expression profiles in specific cell
types in *C. elegans*, including physical isolation of cells or nuclei of interest [65-67],
affinity purification of RNAs from specific cells [68], trans-splicing-based RNA tagging
[69], and mapping of RNA polymerase occupancy [14,70]. While each of these
methods present advantages and limitations, none of them allows the specific
identification of nascent transcripts at a particular time point during development.
Recently, Matsushima and co-workers reported an *in vivo* labeling technique in
mouse that enables tissue-specific profiling of nascent mRNAs without cell sorting
[30]. The method is based on thiol(SH)-linked alkylation for the metabolic sequencing
of RNA in tissue (SLAM-ITseq) and relies on expression of the enzyme uracil
phosphoribosyltransferase (UPRT) from the protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii* in specific

cell types. UPRT encoded by the genomes of most multicellular organisms, including
C. elegans, lacks two amino acid residues involved in uracil binding and show no
UPRTase activity *in vitro* [71]. By providing 4-thiouracil (4-TU) at a given moment to
animals that express *T. gondii* UPRT in a specific tissue, 4-TU will be converted to 4-
thiouridine monophosphate (4-thio-UMP) in a spatiotemporal manner [30]. RNA
polymerases incorporate 4-thio-UMP during transcription to generate thiol-containing
RNA (thio-RNA), which subsequently is identified by alkylation and deep sequencing
(Figure 7A).

To adapt SLAM-ITseq for *C. elegans*, we inserted a codon-optimized version of the
T. gondii UPRT gene downstream of the FRT-flanked *mCh::his-58* cassette and
under control of the strong and ubiquitous *eft-3* (*eef-1A.1*) promoter (Figure 7A). We
introduced a single copy of this construct into the *C. elegans* genome and crossed
the resulting line with various FLP drivers. FLP-dependent expression of UPRT was
confirmed by Western blotting (Supplementary Figure S2A). As a proof of concept,
we exposed animals that express UPRT in the hypodermis using a *dpy-7p::FLP*
driver to 4-TU from L3 to L4 stage and purified total RNA. RNA from animals without
FLP expression was used to control for UPRT-independent labeling. RNA was
alkylated with iodoacetamide, which leads to a T>C conversion of 4-TU-labeled
positions during cDNA synthesis [72], and subjected to deep sequencing (see
Materials and Methods). We first tested if the presence of FLP and UPRT affected
gene expression, and concluded that this was not the case (Supplementary Figure
S2B-D). Comparing the T>C conversion rate per gene revealed a significant
increase in nematodes expressing UPRT in the hypodermis (Figure 7B). Next, we
performed a beta-binominal test to identify genes that were significantly labelled
across all replicas [30], which retrieved 197 genes (p<0.003; FDR<0.1 after
adjustment for multiple comparisons; Figure 7C; Supplementary Table S5). To
illustrate the reproducibility of the method, T>C fractions of 4 genes reported to be
expressed in the hypodermis are shown (*pars-1*, *mig-15*, *dpy-3* and *nhr-97*;
FDR<0.03; Figure 7D). Finally, to evaluate the specificity of SLAM-ITseq, we
compared the list of 197 nascent transcripts to three datasets of genes reported to
be expressed in the hypodermis [70,67,68] (Figure 7E). Our list overlapped
significantly with all three datasets (168/197 = 85%; p<1e-10 Fisher's exact test),
which represents the second highest overlap. Moreover, of the 29 genes not
overlapping with any of the three other datasets, at least 20 (69%) are reliably
detected in hypodermal cells in single cell RNAseq performed on embryos [73] or L2
larvae [74]. We conclude that SLAM-ITseq is an attractive and easily applicable
method to identify nascent transcripts with high confidence. We expect that the
method can be further optimized to increase the T>C fractions and thereby increase
the sensitivity.

Discussion

An increasing number of specific and efficient FLP and Cre drivers generated by the
C. elegans community are facilitating a variety of precise functional assays to
interrogate neural circuits [10,13,24,12], cell proliferation and differentiation [11],
gene expression programs [14,51] and chromatin organization [15,16]. In this study,
we report four new FLP lines that reliably induce recombination specifically in either
distal tip cells, the somatic gonad, coelomocytes or in epithelial Pn.p cells. The
efficiency of recombination was ~100% in all animals evaluated for the four lines. In

the case of the *ckb-3p::FLP* line, recombination was observed already in the earliest progenitors Z1 and Z4, which implies that the line is suitable to target all cell types of the somatic gonad, including distal tip cells, gonadal sheath, spermatheca, spermatheca-uterine valve and the uterus [53]. In contrast, the activity of the *hlh-12p::FLP* line is restricted to the distal tip cell and may thus be useful to investigate its stem cell niche function [75]. Similarly, biological processes in coelomocytes are amenable to manipulation by the *unc-122p::FLP* line, whereas the *lin-31p::FLP* line induces recombination in the cells producing the vulva. We previously created a FLP line to induce recombination in intestinal cells based on the *elt-2* promoter. However, because this promoter can also drive expression in other tissues [20,11], we developed here a novel line based on the *nhx-2* promoter. The new line is equally efficient to produce recombination in the intestine (Table 1) and with the advantage of not provoking recombination elsewhere. We also attempted to construct lines for specific neurons using the promoters of *gpa-14* and *unc-17*. In the case of *gpa-14*, we observed variable recombination rates in FLP-expressing cells (0, 1 or 2 alleles of the single-copy reporter). It seems that the behavior of the specific cells is reproducible between individuals, but we do not know if this is due to differences in FLP expression levels and/or the FRT sites being less accessible in certain cells. For the *unc-17p::FLP* line, we observed widespread recombination in cholinergic neurons as expected but also in the hypodermis. We speculate that this could be due to an inability of our construct to precisely reflect *unc-17* expression [76], although we also note that two cholinergic neurons, PVNL and PVNR, are sister cells to two hypodermal cells (TLappa and TRappa). Thus, if *unc-17p::FLP* is activated already in the progenitor cells TLapp and TRapp, GFP::HIS-58 expression would be expected in both cell types.

We previously proposed that inserting a GFP KO cassette (with FRT sites in *gfp* introns 1 and 2) immediately after the start codon of a gene provides an informative GFP fusion protein and a conditional KO allele in a single step [20]. In this study we have further validated this approach by comparing strains that either carry the GFP KO cassette in the endogenous *baf-1* locus or a GFP cassette with a single FRT and a second FRT downstream of *baf-1*. When combined with an *nhx-2p::FLP* driver to KO *baf-1* specifically in the intestine, identical reductions of fertility were detected in the two strains. Furthermore, using the GFP KO cassette, we determined that conditional KO of *npp-2*/NUP85 in the hypodermis caused a dramatic lifespan shortening. We therefore conclude that insertion of the GFP KO cassette into an endogenous locus by CRISPR provides an attractive dual tool to study protein dynamics and KO phenotypes in a single workflow.

Although gene KO is often considered an ultimate test for gene function, slow mRNA and protein turnover can delay the appearance of loss-of-function phenotypes. This is particularly relevant for organisms with rapid development and relatively short lifespan, such as *C. elegans*. Targeted protein degradation is a relevant alternative and auxin-inducible degradation (AID) stands out as an attractive and popular option due to its fast kinetics and both inducible and reversible nature [2]. Concerns have been raised regarding auxin-independent depletion of AID-tagged proteins (see references in [6]) and potential effects of auxin on endoplasmic reticulum stress [77], but recent optimization of AID tools may have overcome this [4]. We show here that GFPdeg, an alternative protein degradation system based on a GFP-binding nanobody [3] and adapted for FLP-mediated spatiotemporal control, can efficiently deplete 80% of a long-lived nuclear pore protein, MEL-28/ELYS, fused to GFP. By

comparing the degradation of GFP::MEL-28 in intestinal cells using either the original, constitutive version of GFPdeg [3] or the heat inducible version of GFPdeg developed in this study, we conclude that they are equally efficient. Importantly, the GFPdeg system is immediately applicable to study the function of any GFP-tagged fusion protein. We chose to focus on stable nuclear pore proteins [26,25] in our study as they are probably more challenging to deplete but we assume that higher depletion efficiencies will be observed for proteins with shorter half-lives. The original GFPdeg version [3] has the advantage that it involves only two components (GFP-tagged fusion protein and constitutive, tissue-specific GFPdeg) and does not require induction. In contrast, our FLP-based GFPdeg system includes three components (GFP-tagged fusion protein, tissue-specific FLP driver and heat-inducible, FRT-flanked GFPdeg) and requires one or two short 15 min exposures to 34°C. On the other hand, our inducible system allows to evaluate processes at any stage in development or during aging by raising the nematodes at standard temperatures until induction at the desired time point. This cannot be achieved with the constitutive system where the animal's development potentially arrests once the concentration of the target protein is below a required threshold. We envision that the FLP-controlled GFPdeg system can be further modified to incorporate other inducers [78,79,5] in situations where short heat pulses are incompatible with the experimental design.

Depending on the experimental setup, either site-specific gene KO or targeted protein degradation may be sufficient to elucidate gene function. However, bimodal control of protein levels may be particularly valuable for the study of long-lived proteins during early developmental stages as highlighted in this study. By combining the GFP KO cassette and the GFPdeg system, we have generated a protocol that provides two-fold control of tissue-specific protein depletion. On one hand, a specific FLP driver induces gene KO in a tissue of interest and thus prevents synthesis of mRNA from the target gene. On the other hand, the same FLP driver excises tissue-specifically the FRT-flanked *mCh::his-58* cassette from the GFPdeg construct, thus enabling heat-induced and rapid degradation of existing GFP-tagged target protein. We show that the combined KO and GFPdeg systems can deplete GFP::MEL-28 and GFP::NPP-2 to non-detectable levels, thus offering a highly efficient approach for spatiotemporal control of protein levels in a multicellular organism.

One of the strengths of recombinase-based genome engineering is its versatility: the possibility of using collections of stable FLP and Cre drivers to control a variety of different effectors through straight-forward genetic crosses [7,6,5]. In this study we have widened the range of applications for transcriptomic profiling by introducing SLAM-ITseq for *C. elegans*. Cre/loxP was recently utilized to generate tissue-specific transcription footprints by RNA pol DamID (RAPID) [14]. The two methods are complementary with RAPID providing cumulative information on genes expressed throughout the life of the cell whereas SLAM-ITseq is designed for identification of nascent transcripts [30]. We have demonstrated the potential of SLAM-ITseq in *C. elegans* by expressing UPRT specifically in the hypodermis through the use of a *dpy-7p::FLP* driver and identified 197 genes. We could not compare our list from SLAM-ITseq to the RAPID method because different tissues were analyzed. Instead, we compared our list with hypodermal data from another recent DamID-based (TaDa) study [70] and found a significant overlap (p=1.6e-11). We also found significant overlaps when comparing our data with hypodermal lists from two other methods, PATseq [68] and FACS [67] (p<2.2e-16). We consider it relevant to note

that the percentage of genes found uniquely in SLAM-ITseq (i.e. not confirmed by
any of the three other methods) is quite low (15% vs. 19-30% for PATseq and
FACS). Moreover, most (20/29) of the genes in this category have been detected in
cells classified as hypodermal cells in single-cell RNAseq studies [74,73]. We
therefore conclude that genes identified by SLAM-ITseq can be considered high-
confidence hits.

The number of genes in our SLAM-ITseq hypodermal list is >10-fold lower than the
lists from the three other methods. We propose three explanations that are not
mutually exclusive. Firstly, SLAM-ITseq will only capture mRNAs synthesized during
the interval from when 4-thio-UMP becomes available in the cells until harvest of the
sample. In this proof-of-concept study, nematodes were incubated with 4-TU for 17h
from L3 to L4 stage but the kinetics of 4-TU uptake and conversion to 4-thio-UMP by
UPRT are unknown. Thus, the effective labeling time might be considerably shorter.
The other methods discussed here provide either the history of transcription during
the life of the cell (RAPID and TaDa) or a compilation of all mRNAs present in the
cell at the time of harvest (PATseq and FACS). Depending on the biological question
that needs to be addressed, a particular method might be most suitable. Secondly,
the two methods that report the largest gene sets have higher intrinsic risks of
including false positive hits. For instance, FACS isolation of a particular cell type
might include contaminants in the form of cells from other tissues. Similarly, in the
PATseq protocol, mRNA from unlabeled cells might potentially co-purify with the
mRNA from the target cells. Such false positives are difficult to control for in the
FACS and PATseq protocols. In contrast, the SLAM-ITseq method is based on
isolation and sequencing of total mRNA followed by a statistical analysis to identify
transcripts with a higher labelling ratio in the UPRT expressing cells. Thirdly, we
speculate that conversion of 4-TU to 4-thio-UMP in *E. coli* used as food for the
nematodes during the experiment might cause a relative high background labeling
[80,81]. The median ratio of T>C conversions in control mice without UPRT in the
original SLAM-ITseq study [30] was ~2 fold lower (~0.03%) than our observation in
the *C. elegans* control strain without UPRT expression (- FLP; 0.07%). In contrast,
the median T>C ratio in the *C. elegans* strain with UPRT expression (+ FLP; 0.10%)
was similar to the ratio in endothelial cells expressing UPRT in the mouse brain
(~0.10%). Considering that hypodermal nuclei constitute approximately 12% of the
total nuclei in L4 larvae (somatic and germ line) and assuming that background
labelling is uniform across tissues, we estimate that the UPRT-specific labelling is
~3.5 folder higher than the background labelling. The higher frequency of UPRT-
independent T>C conversions in our *C. elegans* sample implies that fewer genes
appear as significantly labeled in the strain with UPRT expression. Indeed, we even
identified 13 genes with higher T>C conversions in the strain without UPRT
expression. This is much fewer than the 197 hits identified in the strain with UPRT
expression, but it nevertheless calls for future studies to improve the sensitivity of
SLAM-ITseq in *C. elegans*. We propose to investigate the influence of *E. coli*
nucleotide metabolism, for instance by using dead bacteria as food source. In any
case, we conclude that SLAM-ITseq has the capacity to identify nascent transcripts
in a tissue of interest with high confidence. We have tested the method in the
hypodermis, but it is easily applied to other cell types for which an appropriate FLP
driver is available. In particular, we envision that SLAM-ITseq will be advantageous
for cell types that are hard to isolate in an intact state, such as neurons.

Table 1 Efficiency of FLP-mediated recombination

Promoter	Cell type	Stage[a]	Observed[b]	Expected	Efficiency
ckb-3	Somatic gonad	Early L1	2.0±0.2 (36)	2	99%
hlh-12	Distal tip cell	L2-adult	2.0±0.0 (24)	2	100%
lin-31	P1.p-P11.p (P5.p-P7p)	L2-L4	3.0±0.0 (14)	3[c]	100%
		L3	12.0±0.0 (13)	12[c]	100%
		L4	22.0±0.0 (3)	22[c]	100%
nhx-2	Intestine	L1	20.0±0.0 (10)	20 (19-22)	100%
		L2	31.9±0.6 (17)	32 (19-22)	100%
unc-122	Coelomocytes	L1	4.0±0.0 (8)	4	100%
		L3-L4	6.0±0.0 (23)	6	100%

[a] Developmental stage that was used for determination of recombination efficiency.

[b] Average number of GFP positive nuclei ± SD; number in brackets: *n*.

[c] Efficiencies were calculated based on recombination in central Pn.p cells and their descendants.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Andrew Chisholm, Carmen Ortiz González, Fernando Monje-Casas, Michael Krieg, Montserrat Porta, Ngang Heok Tang, Patricia Regina Rider García and Wayo Matsushima for reagents and advice and Raquel Romero-Bueno for critical reading of the manuscript. We also wish to acknowledge Ana Miñan Fernández from the CABD Functional Genomics Facility for microinjections, Laura Tomás from the CABD Proteomics and Biochemistry Facility for recombinant proteins, Katherina García from the CABD Imaging Facility for technical assistance; the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440) and WormBase. This project was funded by the Spanish State Research Agency and the European Regional Development Fund (BFU2016-79313-P, MDM-2016-0687 and PID2019-105069GB-I00).

Figure legends

Figure 1. Characterization of novel FLP lines. (A) Schematic representation of the dual color reporter used to evaluate recombination activity. Upon temperature induction of the *hsp-16.41* promoter, the reporter expresses red (mCh) or green

(GFP) histone HIS-58 in cell lineages without or with FLP-mediated recombination,
respectively. (B) Evaluation of FLP lines crossed with the dual color reporter.
Although the FLP lines co-express diffuse mNG in the cytoplasm, GFP::HIS-58 is
clearly visible in the expected nuclei. (Ba) *ckb-3p::FLP::SL2::mNG*: maximum
projections of 2-3 confocal sections of BN854 L1 larva (Ba') and larva at L3/L4 molt
(Ba''). The Z1 and Z4 somatic gonad precursor cells are indicated. (Bb) *hlh-
12p::FLP::SL2::mNG*: single confocal sections of BN1204 L2 larva (Bb') and young
adult (Bb''). The distal tip cells (DTCs) are indicated. (Bc) *lin-31p::FLP::SL2::mNG*:
maximum projections of three confocal sections of BN1023 L2 larva (Bc') and L4
larva (Bc''). The posterior daughters of P1-P7 and vulA-vulF forming the vulva are
indicated. (Bd) *nhx-2p::FLP::SL2::mNG*: maximum projection of five confocal
sections of BN999 L1 larva (Bd'; indicated area shown enlarged in Bd''). (Be) *unc-
122p::FLP::SL2::mNG*: maximum projections of four confocal sections of BN1029 L1
larva (Be') and L4 larva (Be''). The three pairs of coelomocytes are indicated. Scale
bars 10 µm.

Figure 2. Comparison of GFP KO cassette and complete gene KO. (A) Schematic
representation of the two KO strategies. In the first strategy (top), a GFP KO
cassette with FRTs in *gfp* introns 1 and 2 is inserted upstream of, and in frame with,
a gene of interest (here *baf-1*). FLP-mediated recombination leads to a frame shift
and a premature termination codon ("Stop"). In case the ribosome remains
associated with the mRNA, the three downstream open reading frames are all out-of-
frame with *baf-1* (purple lines). In the second strategy (bottom), the GFP cassette
contains a single FRT and a second FRT is inserted in the 3'-UTR of the gene of
interest. FLP-mediated recombination leads to complete gene removal. (B) Brood
sizes were determined for control animals (*g>f>p::baf-1* and *mCh::baf-1; nhx-
2p::FLP*) and for animals with conditional KO of *baf-1* in the intestine (*g>f>p::baf-1;
nhx-2p::FLP* [first strategy] and *gf>p::baf-1>; nhx-2p::FLP* [second strategy]; strains
BN580, BN1182 and BN1223). Each point represents the number of progenies
produced by an individual worm; *n* for each genotype is indicated in parenthesis. P
values from Wilcoxon rank sum tests and adjusted for multiple comparisons
(Benjamini & Hochberg method) are indicated. In these and subsequent boxplots,
the midline represents the median and the upper and lower limits of the box
correspond to the third and first quartile, respectively. The whiskers extend to the
lowest and higher observations but maximum up to 1.5 times the interquartile range.

Figure 3. KO of GFP::MEL-28 in hypodermal nuclei. (A) Confocal micrographs of
g>f>p::mel-28 L1 larvae, L2 larvae and young adults either not expressing FLP (top
panels; strain BN793) or expressing FLP in the hypodermis (bottom panels; *dpy-
7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1121). A single focal plane of the hypodermis is shown.
Scale bar 10 µm. (B) Quantification of GFP::MEL-28 at the nuclear envelope of
hypodermal nuclei. Each point represents a single nucleus. Data were acquired from
at least 10 animals in two independent experiments; *n* for each genotype is indicated
in parenthesis. P values from Wilcoxon rank sum tests and adjusted for multiple
comparisons (Benjamini & Hochberg method) are indicated. Examples of Control
(strain BN793) and KO (strain BN1121) animals are shown in (A); examples of
combined KO + GFPdeg are in Figure 6B (strain BN1122).

Figure 4. Degradation of GFP::MEL-28 in intestinal cells. (A) Schematic
representation of the GFPdeg strategy. The vhhGFP4::ZIF-1 fusion protein targets
GFP-tagged proteins for rapid degradation. Temporal and spatial control is provided
by the *hsp-16.41* promoter and tissue-specific FLP expression, respectively. (B)

Quantification of GFP::MEL-28 at the nuclear envelope of hypodermal nuclei of young adults either without the GFPdeg system (Control; strain BN452) or with the GFPdeg system but without induction (GFPdeg; strain BN1084). (C) Quantification of GFP::MEL-28 at the nuclear envelope of anterior intestinal nuclei of young adults after 1 or 2 rounds of GFPdeg induction in the intestine (*nhx-2p::FLP* driver; strain BN1118). Control (strain BN452) and constitutive degradation (*elt-2p::vhhGFP4::zif-1*; strain BN746) are included for comparison. Graph details are explained in Figure 3. (D) Confocal micrographs of *gfp::mel-28* young adults without GFPdeg (top panels; strain BN452), inducible intestinal GFPdeg (middle panels; strain BN1118) or constitutive intestinal GFPdeg (bottom panels; strain BN746). A single focal plane of the anterior pair of intestinal nuclei is shown. Scale bar 10 μm.

Figure 5. Kinetics of GFP::MEL-28 degradation in hypodermal cells. (A) Confocal micrographs of *gfp::mel-28* young adults either without induction or 1-24 h after induction of GFPdeg in the hypodermis (*dpy-7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1084). A single focal plane of the hypodermis is shown. Scale bar 10 μm. (B) Quantification of GFP::MEL-28 at the nuclear envelope of hypodermal nuclei. Graph details are explained in Figure 3.

Figure 6. Efficient protein depletion by combined gene KO and GFPdeg. (A) Schematic representation of the combined KO and GFPdeg strategy. A single FLP driver controls tissue-specifically both KO (left) and protein degradation (right) of a gene tagged with the GFP KO cassette. (B) Confocal micrographs of *g>f>p::mel-28* L1 larvae, L2 larvae and young adults either not expressing FLP (top panels; strain BN793) or expressing FLP and GFPdeg in the hypodermis (bottom panels; *dpy-7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1122). A single focal plane of the hypodermis is shown. Scale bar 10 μm. Quantification is shown in Figure 3B. (C) Confocal micrographs of *g>f>p::npp-2* L4 larvae either not expressing FLP (left panel; strain BN1082), expressing FLP in the hypodermis (middle panel; *dpy-7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1111) or expressing FLP and vhhGFP4::ZIF-1 in the hypodermis (right panel; *dpy-7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1086). A single focal plane of the hypodermis is shown. Scale bar 10 μm. (D) Quantification of GFP::NPP-2 at the nuclear envelope of hypodermal nuclei. Graph details are explained in Figure 3. (E) Survival curves for animals expressing GFP::NPP-2 alone (blue; strain BN1082) or combined with either FLP in the hypodermis (dark red; strain BN1111) or FLP and GFPdeg in the hypodermis (red; strain BN1086). A strain with hypodermal FLP and GFPdeg but without target was included as control (green; BN1097). The lifespan of the two strains with depletion of GFP::NPP-2 in the hypodermis was significantly shorter compared to the two control strains (*** indicates $p < 1e-08$). More than 175 animals were analysed for each genotype.

Figure 7. Tissue-specific identification of nascent transcripts by SLAM-ITseq. (A) Schematic representation of the SLAM-ITseq method. Temporal and spatial control is provided by exposure to 4-thio-uracil and tissue-specific FLP expression, respectively. In tissues that express UPRT, 4-thio-uracil is converted to 4-thio-UMP, which is incorporated into nascent transcripts by RNA polymerases. After purification, the total RNA is treated with an alkylating reagent, which leads to T>C conversion during cDNA synthesis. (B-E) Data based on four replicas of control L4s not expressing FLP (- FLP; strain BN1158) and three replicas of L4s expressing FLP in the hypodermis (+ FLP; *dpy-7p::FLP* driver; strain BN1190). The analysis was restricted to 20,767 poly-adenylated transcripts with at least one read in all replicas (*CoverageOnTs* > 0). (B) Distribution of T>C conversion rates for all 20,767

transcripts. (C) Representation of T>C conversion rates for individual transcripts.
Red points indicate 197 genes with a significantly higher T>C conversion rate in +
FLP animals (FDR<0.1). Blue points mark *unc-54* and *glh-2* which both appear as
significantly enriched. This is expected, because the *unc-54* and *glh-2* 3'-UTRs are
used in the UPRT and FLP transgenes, respectively. Black points indicate 13 genes
with a statistically higher T>C conversion rate in - FLP animals (FDR<0.1). (D)
Examples of T>C conversion rates for individual genes and individual replicas. Lines
represent the means. (E) Comparison of the 197 nascent transcripts identified by
SLAM-ITseq with three alternative methods for transcriptomic profiling (see main text
for details). Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of genes reported in each
study; percentages in parentheses indicate the fraction of genes reported uniquely in
each study.

Supplementary Figure S1. Characterization of novel FLP drivers. Upon temperature
induction of the *hsp-16.41* promoter, the reporter expresses red (mCh) or green
(GFP) histone HIS-58 in cell lineages without or with FLP-mediated recombination,
respectively. (A) Recombination activity by *lin-31p::FLP::SL2::mNG* is observed in
the head (left panel) and central region (right panel) of BN1023 animals. Bright
GFP::HIS-58 expression is observed in the nuclei of cells forming the vulva (filled
arrows), whereas faint expression is detected in the hypodermis (open arrows; right
panel). Presumably, the faint expression is derived from Pn.p cells that fuse with
hyp7. (B) Recombination activity by *gpa-14p::FLP::SL2::mNG* is observed in the
head (left panel) and tail (right panel) of BN1208 animals. Filled arrows point to
nuclei expressing GFP::HIS-58 but not mCh::HIS-58 (i.e. recombination of both
alleles); a double arrow points to a nucleus expressing both fluorescent proteins (i.e.
recombination of one allele) and open arrows indicate cells that express diffuse mNG
(and hence also FLP) but not GFP::HIS-58. (C) Expression of mNG from the *unc-*
17p::FLP::SL2::mNG transgene overlaps with mKate2 signal from endogenously
tagged *unc-17* (lower left panel) and recombination (GFP::HIS-58) is detected as
expected in multiple neurons in the head (upper left panel) and ventral nerve cord
(upper right panel) of BN1133 animals. Unexpectedly, GFP::HIS-58 is also observed
in hypodermal nuclei (lower right panel). (D) Recombination activity by *hlh-*
12p::FLP::SL2::mTagBFP2 is observed in the distal tip cell of BN1319 animals. The
distal tip cell is marked by co-expressed mTagBFP2. Scale bars 10 μm.

Supplementary Figure S2. Evaluation of UPRT expression and its effect on gene
expression. (A) Protein extracts from nematodes not expressing FLP (- FLP; strain
BN1158) or expressing FLP in the hypodermis (+ FLP; strain BN1190) were
analyzed by gel electrophoresis followed by protein visualization (left) or Western
blotting and probing with anti-HA antibodies (right). A band of the expected size is
observed specifically in the + FLP sample. (B) Spearman correlation coefficients for
pairwise comparisons of reads per transcript (*CoverageOnTs*) in four - FLP
(BN1158) and three + FLP (BN1190) samples. All values are >0.90. (C) Scatter plot
of reads per transcript in - FLP (average of 4 samples) versus + FLP (average of 3
samples). (D) Graphic representation of DESeq2 analysis of data from panel C
(restricted to 20,767 poly-adenylated transcripts with CoverageOnTs > 0 in all
replicas). All data points are displayed in grey, implying no statistically difference
between the two genotypes (FDR<0.1). Based on the results in panels B-D, we
conclude that expression of FLP and UPRT in the hypodermis does not alter gene
expression.

References

1. Watts JS, Harrison HF, Omi S, Guenthers Q, Dalelio J, Pujol N, Watts JL (2020) New Strains for Tissue-Specific RNAi Studies in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3 (Bethesda) 10 (11):4167-4176. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401749

2. Zhang L, Ward JD, Cheng Z, Dernburg AF (2015) The auxin-inducible degradation (AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C. elegans. Development 142 (24):4374-4384. doi:10.1242/dev.129635

3. Wang S, Tang NH, Lara-Gonzalez P, Zhao Z, Cheerambathur DK, Prevo B, Chisholm AD, Desai A, Oegema K (2017) A toolkit for GFP-mediated tissue-specific protein degradation in C. elegans. Development 144 (14):2694-2701. doi:10.1242/dev.150094

4. Hills-Muckey K, Martinez MAQ, Stec N, Hebbar S, Saldanha J, Medwig-Kinney TN, Moore FEQ, Ivanova M, Morao A, Ward JD, Moss EG, Ercan S, Zinovyeva AY, Matus DQ, Hammell CM (2021) An engineered, orthogonal auxin analog/AtTIR1(F79G) pairing improves both specificity and efficacy of the auxin degradation system in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyab174

5. Driesschaert B, Mergan L, Temmerman L (2021) Conditional gene expression in invertebrate animal models. J Genet Genomics 48 (1):14-31. doi:10.1016/j.jgg.2021.01.005

6. Nance J, Frokjaer-Jensen C (2019) The Caenorhabditis elegans Transgenic Toolbox. Genetics 212 (4):959-990. doi:10.1534/genetics.119.301506

7. Hubbard EJ (2014) FLP/FRT and Cre/lox recombination technology in C. elegans. Methods 68 (3):417-424. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.05.007

8. Sauer B (1987) Functional expression of the cre-lox site-specific recombination system in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 7 (6):2087-2096. doi:10.1128/mcb.7.6.2087-2096.1987

9. Senecoff JF, Bruckner RC, Cox MM (1985) The FLP recombinase of the yeast 2-micron plasmid: characterization of its recombination site. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82 (21):7270-7274. doi:10.1073/pnas.82.21.7270

10. Konietzka J, Fritz M, Spiri S, McWhirter R, Leha A, Palumbos S, Costa WS, Oranth A, Gottschalk A, Miller DM, 3rd, Hajnal A, Bringmann H (2020) Epidermal Growth Factor Signaling Promotes Sleep through a Combined Series and Parallel Neural Circuit. Curr Biol 30 (1):1-16 e13. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.10.048

11. Ruijtenberg S, van den Heuvel S (2015) G1/S Inhibitors and the SWI/SNF Complex Control Cell-Cycle Exit during Muscle Differentiation. Cell 162 (2):300-313. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.06.013

12. Schmitt C, Schultheis C, Pokala N, Husson SJ, Liewald JF, Bargmann CI, Gottschalk A (2012) Specific expression of channelrhodopsin-2 in single neurons of Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS One 7 (8):e43164. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043164

13. Davis MW, Morton JJ, Carroll D, Jorgensen EM (2008) Gene activation using FLP recombinase in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 4 (3):e1000028. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000028

14. Gomez-Saldivar G, Osuna-Luque J, Semple JI, Glauser DA, Jarriault S, Meister P (2020) Tissue-Specific Transcription Footprinting Using RNA Pol DamID (RAPID) in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. doi:10.1534/genetics.120.303774

15. Cabianca DS, Munoz-Jimenez C, Kalck V, Gaidatzis D, Padeken J, Seeber A, Askjaer P, Gasser SM (2019) Active chromatin marks drive spatial sequestration of heterochromatin in C. elegans nuclei. Nature 569 (7758):734-739. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1243-y

16. Harr JC, Schmid CD, Munoz-Jimenez C, Romero-Bueno R, Kalck V, Gonzalez-
Sandoval A, Hauer MH, Padeken J, Askjaer P, Mattout A, Gasser SM (2020) Loss of
an H3K9me anchor rescues laminopathy-linked changes in nuclear organization and
muscle function in an Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy model. Genes Dev.
doi:10.1101/gad.332213.119
17. Nonet ML (2020) Efficient Transgenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans Using Flp
Recombinase-Mediated Cassette Exchange. Genetics 215 (4):903-921.
doi:10.1534/genetics.120.303388
18. Ge MH, Wang W, Wu TH, Wen X, Al-Sheikh U, Chen LL, Yin SW, Wu JJ, Huang
JH, He QQ, Liu H, Li R, Wang PZ, Wu ZX (2020) Dual Recombining-out System for
Spatiotemporal Gene Expression in C. elegans. iScience 23 (10):101567.
doi:10.1016/j.isci.2020.101567
19. Kage-Nakadai E, Imae R, Suehiro Y, Yoshina S, Hori S, Mitani S (2014) A
conditional knockout toolkit for Caenorhabditis elegans based on the Cre/loxP
recombination. PLoS One 9 (12):e114680. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114680
20. Munoz-Jimenez C, Ayuso C, Dobrzynska A, Torres-Mendez A, Ruiz PC, Askjaer
P (2017) An Efficient FLP-Based Toolkit for Spatiotemporal Control of Gene
Expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 206 (4):1763-1778.
doi:10.1534/genetics.117.201012
21. Voutev R, Hubbard EJ (2008) A "FLP-Out" system for controlled gene
expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 180 (1):103-119.
doi:10.1534/genetics.108.090274
22. Macias-Leon J, Askjaer P (2018) Efficient FLP-mediated germ-line recombination
in C. elegans. MicroPubl Biol 2018. doi:10.17912/W2G66S
23. Ayuso C, Askjaer P (2019) Spatiotemporal control of genome recombination
through combined FLP-Frt and GAL4-UAS technologies. MicroPubl Biol 2019.
doi:10.17912/micropub.biology.000089
24. Davis L, Radman I, Goutou A, Tynan A, Baxter K, Xi Z, O'Shea JM, Chin JW,
Greiss S (2021) Precise optical control of gene expression in C elegans using
improved genetic code expansion and Cre recombinase. Elife 10.
doi:10.7554/eLife.67075
25. Toyama BH, Savas JN, Park SK, Harris MS, Ingolia NT, Yates JR, 3rd, Hetzer
MW (2013) Identification of long-lived proteins reveals exceptional stability of
essential cellular structures. Cell 154 (5):971-982. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.037
26. Savas JN, Toyama BH, Xu T, Yates JR, 3rd, Hetzer MW (2012) Extremely long-
lived nuclear pore proteins in the rat brain. Science 335 (6071):942.
doi:10.1126/science.1217421
27. Cohen S, Kramarski L, Levi S, Deshe N, Ben David O, Arbely E (2019)
Nonsense mutation-dependent reinitiation of translation in mammalian cells. Nucleic
Acids Res 47 (12):6330-6338. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz319
28. Armenti ST, Lohmer LL, Sherwood DR, Nance J (2014) Repurposing an
endogenous degradation system for rapid and targeted depletion of C. elegans
proteins. Development 141 (23):4640-4647. doi:10.1242/dev.115048
29. Caussinus E, Kanca O, Affolter M (2011) Fluorescent fusion protein knockout
mediated by anti-GFP nanobody. Nat Struct Mol Biol 19 (1):117-121.
doi:10.1038/nsmb.2180
30. Matsushima W, Herzog VA, Neumann T, Gapp K, Zuber J, Ameres SL, Miska
EA (2018) SLAM-ITseq: sequencing cell type-specific transcriptomes without cell
sorting. Development 145 (13). doi:10.1242/dev.164640

31. Porta-de-la-Riva M, Gonzalez AC, Sanfeliu-Cerdán N, Karimi S, González-
Bolívar S, Morales-Curiel LF, Hurth C, Krieg M (2021) Deploying photons for
communication within neuronal networks. bioRxiv:2021.2008.2002.454613.
doi:10.1101/2021.08.02.454613
32. Schwartz ML, Jorgensen EM (2016) SapTrap, a Toolkit for High-Throughput
CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Modification in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 202 (4):1277-
1288. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.184275
33. Gomez-Saldivar G, Fernandez A, Hirano Y, Mauro M, Lai A, Ayuso C, Haraguchi
T, Hiraoka Y, Piano F, Askjaer P (2016) Identification of Conserved MEL-28/ELYS
Domains with Essential Roles in Nuclear Assembly and Chromosome Segregation.
PLoS Genet 12 (6):e1006131. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006131
34. Redemann S, Schloissnig S, Ernst S, Pozniakowsky A, Ayloo S, Hyman AA,
Bringmann H (2011) Codon adaptation-based control of protein expression in C.
elegans. Nat Methods 8 (3):250-252. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1565
35. Stiernagle T (2006) Maintenance of C. elegans. WormBook:1-11.
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1
36. Frokjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Hopkins CE, Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen
SP, Grunnet M, Jorgensen EM (2008) Single-copy insertion of transgenes in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Genet 40 (11):1375-1383. doi:ng.248 [pii]
10.1038/ng.248
37. Dobrzynska A, Askjaer P, Gruenbaum Y (2016) Lamin-Binding Proteins in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods in enzymology 569:455-483.
doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2015.08.036
38. Frokjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Ailion M, Jorgensen EM (2012) Improved Mos1-
mediated transgenesis in C. elegans. Nat Methods 9 (2):117-118.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.1865
39. Rodenas E, Gonzalez-Aguilera C, Ayuso C, Askjaer P (2012) Dissection of the
NUP107 nuclear pore subcomplex reveals a novel interaction with spindle assembly
checkpoint protein MAD1 in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Biol Cell 23 (5):930-944.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-11-0927
40. Friedland AE, Tzur YB, Esvelt KM, Colaiacovo MP, Church GM, Calarco JA
(2013) Heritable genome editing in C. elegans via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat
Methods 10 (8):741-743. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2532
41. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T,
Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V,
Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9 (7):676-682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019
42. Team R (2020) RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. 1.3.1093
edn.,
43. Team RC (2020) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
44. Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag
New York,
45. Kassambara A (2020) ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. R
package version 0.4.0 edn.,
46. Therneau T (2020) A Package for Survival Analysis in R. R package version 3.2-
7 edn.,
47. Matsushima W, Herzog VA, Neumann T, Gapp K, Zuber J, Ameres SL, Miska
EA (2019) Sequencing cell-type-specific transcriptomes with SLAM-ITseq. Nat
Protoc 14 (8):2261-2278. doi:10.1038/s41596-019-0179-x

48. Neumann T, Herzog VA, Muhar M, von Haeseler A, Zuber J, Ameres SL,
Rescheneder P (2019) Quantification of experimentally induced nucleotide
conversions in high-throughput sequencing datasets. BMC Bioinformatics 20 (1):258.
doi:10.1186/s12859-019-2849-7
49. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15 (12):550.
doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
50. Pham TV, Piersma SR, Warmoes M, Jimenez CR (2010) On the beta-binomial
model for analysis of spectral count data in label-free tandem mass spectrometry-
based proteomics. Bioinformatics 26 (3):363-369. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp677
51. Van de Walle P, Munoz-Jimenez C, Askjaer P, Schoofs L, Temmerman L (2020)
DamID identifies targets of CEH-60/PBX that are associated with neuron
development and muscle structure in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS One 15
(12):e0242939. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242939
52. Kroetz MB, Zarkower D (2015) Cell-Specific mRNA Profiling of the
Caenorhabditis elegans Somatic Gonadal Precursor Cells Identifies Suites of Sex-
Biased and Gonad-Enriched Transcripts. G3 (Bethesda) 5 (12):2831-2841.
doi:10.1534/g3.115.022517
53. Hubbard EJ, Greenstein D (2005) Introduction to the germ line. WormBook:1-4.
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.18.1
54. Tamai KK, Nishiwaki K (2007) bHLH transcription factors regulate organ
morphogenesis via activation of an ADAMTS protease in C. elegans. Dev Biol 308
(2):562-571. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.05.024
55. Tan PB, Lackner MR, Kim SK (1998) MAP kinase signaling specificity mediated
by the LIN-1 Ets/LIN-31 WH transcription factor complex during C. elegans vulval
induction. Cell 93 (4):569-580. doi:S0092-8674(00)81186-1 [pii]
56. Reece-Hoyes JS, Shingles J, Dupuy D, Grove CA, Walhout AJ, Vidal M, Hope IA
(2007) Insight into transcription factor gene duplication from Caenorhabditis elegans
Promoterome-driven expression patterns. BMC genomics 8:27. doi:10.1186/1471-
2164-8-27
57. Nehrke K, Melvin JE (2002) The NHX family of Na+-H+ exchangers in
Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biol Chem 277 (32):29036-29044.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M203200200
58. McGhee JD (2007) The C. elegans intestine. WormBook:1-36.
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.133.1
59. Altun ZF, Hall DH (2009) Coelomocyte system. WormAtlas.
doi:10.3908/wormatlas.1.11
60. Loria PM, Hodgkin J, Hobert O (2004) A conserved postsynaptic transmembrane
protein affecting neuromuscular signaling in Caenorhabditis elegans. The Journal of
neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 24 (9):2191-2201.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5462-03.2004
61. Arribere JA, Kuroyanagi H, Hundley HA (2020) mRNA Editing, Processing and
Quality Control in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 215 (3):531-568.
doi:10.1534/genetics.119.301807
62. Gorjanacz M, Klerkx EP, Galy V, Santarella R, Lopez-Iglesias C, Askjaer P,
Mattaj IW (2007) Caenorhabditis elegans BAF-1 and its kinase VRK-1 participate
directly in post-mitotic nuclear envelope assembly. EMBO J 26 (1):132-143
63. Galy V, Askjaer P, Franz C, Lopez-Iglesias C, Mattaj IW (2006) MEL-28, a novel
nuclear-envelope and kinetochore protein essential for zygotic nuclear-envelope
assembly in C. elegans. Curr Biol 16 (17):1748-1756

64. Fernandez AG, Piano F (2006) MEL-28 is downstream of the Ran cycle and is
required for nuclear-envelope function and chromatin maintenance. Curr Biol 16
(17):1757-1763
65. Haenni S, Ji Z, Hoque M, Rust N, Sharpe H, Eberhard R, Browne C, Hengartner
MO, Mellor J, Tian B, Furger A (2012) Analysis of C. elegans intestinal gene
expression and polyadenylation by fluorescence-activated nuclei sorting and 3'-end-
seq. Nucleic Acids Res 40 (13):6304-6318. doi:10.1093/nar/gks282
66. Steiner FA, Talbert PB, Kasinathan S, Deal RB, Henikoff S (2012) Cell-type-
specific nuclei purification from whole animals for genome-wide expression and
chromatin profiling. Genome Res 22 (4):766-777. doi:10.1101/gr.131748.111
67. Kaletsky R, Yao V, Williams A, Runnels AM, Tadych A, Zhou S, Troyanskaya
OG, Murphy CT (2018) Transcriptome analysis of adult Caenorhabditis elegans cells
reveals tissue-specific gene and isoform expression. PLoS Genet 14 (8):e1007559.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007559
68. Blazie SM, Geissel HC, Wilky H, Joshi R, Newbern J, Mangone M (2017)
Alternative Polyadenylation Directs Tissue-Specific miRNA Targeting in
Caenorhabditis elegans Somatic Tissues. Genetics 206 (2):757-774.
doi:10.1534/genetics.116.196774
69. Ma X, Zhan G, Sleumer MC, Chen S, Liu W, Zhang MQ, Liu X (2016) Analysis of
C. elegans muscle transcriptome using trans-splicing-based RNA tagging (SRT).
Nucleic Acids Res 44 (21):e156. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw734
70. Katsanos D, Ferrando-Marco M, Razzaq I, Aughey G, Southall TD, Barkoulas M
(2021) Gene expression profiling of epidermal cell types in C. elegans using
Targeted DamID. Development 148 (17). doi:10.1242/dev.199452
71. Li J, Huang S, Chen J, Yang Z, Fei X, Zheng M, Ji C, Xie Y, Mao Y (2007)
Identification and characterization of human uracil phosphoribosyltransferase
(UPRTase). J Hum Genet 52 (5):415-422. doi:10.1007/s10038-007-0129-2
72. Herzog VA, Reichholf B, Neumann T, Rescheneder P, Bhat P, Burkard TR,
Wlotzka W, von Haeseler A, Zuber J, Ameres SL (2017) Thiol-linked alkylation of
RNA to assess expression dynamics. Nat Methods 14 (12):1198-1204.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.4435
73. Packer JS, Zhu Q, Huynh C, Sivaramakrishnan P, Preston E, Dueck H, Stefanik
D, Tan K, Trapnell C, Kim J, Waterston RH, Murray JI (2019) A lineage-resolved
molecular atlas of C. elegans embryogenesis at single-cell resolution. Science.
doi:10.1126/science.aax1971
74. Cao J, Packer JS, Ramani V, Cusanovich DA, Huynh C, Daza R, Qiu X, Lee C,
Furlan SN, Steemers FJ, Adey A, Waterston RH, Trapnell C, Shendure J (2017)
Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism.
Science 357 (6352):661-667. doi:10.1126/science.aam8940
75. Kimble J, Seidel H (2008) C. elegans germline stem cells and their niche. In:
StemBook. Cambridge (MA). doi:10.3824/stembook.1.95.1
76. Pereira L, Kratsios P, Serrano-Saiz E, Sheftel H, Mayo AE, Hall DH, White JG,
LeBoeuf B, Garcia LR, Alon U, Hobert O (2015) A cellular and regulatory map of the
cholinergic nervous system of C. elegans. Elife 4. doi:10.7554/eLife.12432
77. Bhoi A, Palladino F, Fabrizio P (2021) Auxin confers protection against ER stress
in Caenorhabditis elegans. Biol Open 10 (2). doi:10.1242/bio.057992
78. Wurmthaler LA, Sack M, Gense K, Hartig JS, Gamerdinger M (2019) A
tetracycline-dependent ribozyme switch allows conditional induction of gene
expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature communications 10 (1):491.
doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08412-w

79. Monsalve GC, Yamamoto KR, Ward JD (2019) A New Tool for Inducible Gene
Expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 211 (2):419-430.
doi:10.1534/genetics.118.301705
80. Garcia-Gonzalez AP, Ritter AD, Shrestha S, Andersen EC, Yilmaz LS, Walhout
AJM (2017) Bacterial Metabolism Affects the C. elegans Response to Cancer
Chemotherapeutics. Cell 169 (3):431-441 e438. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.046
81. Andersen PS, Frees D, Fast R, Mygind B (1995) Uracil uptake in Escherichia coli
K-12: isolation of uraA mutants and cloning of the gene. J Bacteriol 177 (8):2008-
2013. doi:10.1128/jb.177.8.2008-2013.1995
1139

A

hsp-16.41p mCherry his-58 3'UTR GFP his-58 3'UTR → mCh::HIS-58

Frt Frt

+ FLP → GFP::HIS-58

B

a' *ckb-3p::FLP::SL2::mNG*   a'' *ckb-3p::FLP::SL2::mNG*

Z1 Z4

b' *hlh-12p::FLP::SL2::mNG*   b'' *hlh-12p::FLP::SL2::mNG*

DTC   DTC

c' *lin-31p::FLP::SL2::mNG*   c'' *lin-31p::FLP::SL2::mNG*

P1.p P2.p P3.p P4.p P5.p P6.p P7.p   P1.p P2.p P3.p P4.p vulA-F

d' *nhx-2p::FLP::SL2::mNG*   d'' *nhx-2p::FLP::SL2::mNG*

e' *unc-122p::FLP::SL2::mNG*   e'' *unc-122p::FLP::SL2::mNG*

ccPR+ccAR   ccDR ccDL

ccPL+ccAL

A

Frame shift and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay

Complete gene knockout

B

A

| | L1 | L2 | Young adult |

Control *g>f>p::mel-28*

Hypodermal KO *g>f>p::mel-28; dpy-7p::FLP*

B

L1   ● replica 1   ● replica 2

L2   ● replica 1   ● replica 2

Young adult   ● replica 1   ● replica 2

Fluorescence intensity [AU]

L1:
p=0.085 (Control vs KO)
p<2e−16 (KO vs KO + GFPdeg)

Control (77)   KO (84)   KO + GFPdeg (105)

L2:
p<2e−16 (Control vs KO)
p<2e−16 (KO vs KO + GFPdeg)

Control (179)   KO (200)   KO + GFPdeg (135)

Young adult:
p<2e−16 (Control vs KO)
p=4e−05 (KO vs KO + GFPdeg)

Control (96)   KO (98)   KO + GFPdeg (84)

A

hsp-16.41p mCherry *his-58* 3'UTR *vhhGFP4::zif-1* 3'UTR

Frt   Frt

+ FLP

vhhGFP4::ZIF-1

Tissue-specific, heat-inducible degradation of GFP-tagged proteins

D Intestinal GFPdeg
gfp::mel-28

Control

Inducible

Constitutive

B No induction

● replica 1 ● replica 2

Fluorescence intensity [AU]

p=0.5

Control
(39)

GFPdeg
(40)

C 1 induction

● replica 1 ● replica 2

Fluorescence intensity [AU]

p=7e−10

p=2e−10

p=0.0015

Control
(16)

Inducible
(19)

Constit.
(23)

2 inductions

● replica 1 ● replica 2

Fluorescence intensity [AU]

p=7e−11

p=5e−09

p=0.91

Control
(17)

Inducible
(23)

Constit.
(15)

A

Hypodermal GFPdeg *gfp::mel-28*

no induction

1h post induction

2h post induction

3h post induction

4h post induction

24h post induction

B

● replica 1   ● replica 2   ● replica 3

Figure 6

A

Tissue-specific, inducible labeling of nascent RNA

B

C

D

pars-1

FDR = 0.022

mig-15

FDR = 0.029

dpy-3

FDR = 0.021

nhr-97

FDR = 0.029

E

SLAM-ITseq
(197)

TaDa
(2657)

PATseq
(5984)

FACS
(7145)

342
(13%)

1125
(19%)

2872

1608

2117
(30%)

29
(15%)

scRNAseq:
20/29 (69%)
- 12/29 emb + L2
- 4/29 emb only
- 4/29 L2 only