SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Spike recognition by plasma from individuals receiving

BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination with a 16-weeks interval between doses

Debashree Chatterjee[1], Alexandra Tauzin[1,2], Lorie Marchitto[1,2], Shang Yu Gong[1,3],

Marianne Boutin[1,2], Catherine Bourassa[1], Guillaume Beaudoin-Bussières[1,2], Yuxia Bo[4],

Shilei Ding[1,2], Annemarie Laumaea[1,2], Dani Vézina[1,2], Josée Perreault[5], Laurie Gokool[1],

Chantal Morrisseau[1], Pascale Arlotto[1], Éric Fournier[6], Aurélie Guilbault[6], Benjamin

Delisle[6], Inès Levade[6], Guillaume Goyette[1], Gabrielle Gendron-Lepage[1], Halima

Medjahed[1], Gaston De Serres[7], Cécile Tremblay[1,2], Valérie Martel-Laferrière[1,2], Daniel

E. Kaufmann[1,8], Renée Bazin[5], Jérémie Prévost[1,2], Sandrine Moreira[6], Jonathan

Richard[1,2,*], Marceline Côté[4,*], and Andrés Finzi[1,2,3,9,*]

12
[1]Centre de Recherche du CHUM, Montreal, QC, H2X 0A9 Canada
[2]Département de Microbiologie, Infectiologie et Immunologie, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, H2X
0A9, Canada
[3]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H3A 2B4, Canada
[4]Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, and Center for Infection, Immunity, and
Inflammation, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1H 8M5, Canada
[5]Héma-Québec, Affaires Médicales et Innovation, Quebec, QC G1V 5C3, Canada
[6]Laboratoire de Santé Publique du Québec, Institut Nationale de Santé Publique du Québec, Sainte-
Anne-de-Bellevue, QC H9X 3R5, Canada.
[7]Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, Quebec, QC, H2P 1E2, Canada
[8]Département de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, H3T 1J4, Canada
[9]Lead contact
25
26
27 *Correspondence:
28
29 jonathan.richard.1@umontreal.ca (J.R.)
30 marceline.cote@uottawa.ca (M.C.)
31 andres.finzi@umontreal.ca (A.F.)

33 Summary word count: **150**

34
Character count: **18816**

SUMMARY

Continuous emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOC) is fueling the COVID-

19 pandemic. Omicron (B.1.1.529), is rapidly spreading worldwide. The large number of

mutations in its Spike raised concerns about a major antigenic drift that could significantly

decrease vaccine efficacy and infection-induced immunity.   A long interval between

BNT162b2 mRNA doses was shown to elicit antibodies that efficiently recognize Spikes

from different VOCs. Here we evaluated the recognition of Omicron Spike by plasma

from a cohort of SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously-infected individuals that received their

BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine 16-weeks apart.   Omicron Spike was recognized less

efficiently than D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma and Delta Spikes. We compared to plasma

activity from participants receiving a short (4-weeks) interval regimen. Plasma from

individuals of the long interval cohort recognized and neutralized better the Omicron Spike

compared to those that received a short interval. Whether this difference confers any

clinical benefit against Omicron remains unknown.

KEYWORDS: Coronavirus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Spike glycoproteins, Delayed

mRNA vaccine regimen, Variants of concern, Humoral responses, Neutralization,

Omicron

INTRODUCTION

SARS-CoV-2 variants are constantly evolving under immune selective pressure. Ongoing mutational events in the viral genome leads to the emergence of variants with unique properties including increased transmission capabilities and resistance to antibodies elicited by both natural infection and vaccination. Based on transmission capabilities, virulence, and vaccine effectiveness, SARS-CoV-2 variants are classified as variants of concern (VOCs), variant of interest (VOIs) or variants under monitoring (VUMs)(WHO, 2021). In late 2020, the Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant emerged. The N501Y Spike mutation increased its affinity for the ACE2 receptor, leading to increased transmissibility (Davies et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Rambaut et al., 2020). The accumulation of E484K and K417N/T mutations along with N501Y in the receptor binding domain (RBD) led to the emergence of Beta (B.1.351) and Gamma (P.1) lineages, which rapidly spread worldwide (Amanat et al., 2021; ECDC, 2020; Tang et al., 2021). In April 2021, the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant emerged and quickly spread to most countries (Allen et al., 2022; Planas et al., 2021b), but is rapidly being replaced by Omicron (B.1.1.529). The World Health Organization designated Omicron as a VOC on November 26, 2021(WHO, 2021). Omicron accumulated more than 30 mutations in its Spike, raising concerns about a major antigenic drift that could significantly decrease vaccine efficacy.

Here we evaluated the recognition of the Omicron Spike by plasma from a cohort of SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously-infected individuals that received the two BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine doses 16-weeks apart. We compared these responses to those elicited in individuals receiving a short dose interval regimen (4-weeks). Plasma from vaccinated

previously-infected individuals recognized more efficiently all tested Spikes (D614G,

Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta and Omicron) than those from naïve vaccinated individuals.

Omicron Spike was recognized less efficiently than D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma and

Delta Spikes. However, plasma from individuals receiving a long interval recognized and

neutralized better the Omicron Spike compared to those that received a short interval.

RESULTS

Recognition of Spike variants by plasma from vaccinated individuals

The antigenic profile of D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta and Omicron Spikes was

assessed with plasma collected three weeks (V3) and 4 months (V4) after the second

dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine administered with a 16-weeks interval between

doses (Figure 1A) (Tauzin et al., 2021a). Briefly, 293T cells were transfected with

plasmids coding for full-length Spike variants. Two days post-transfection, cells were

incubated with the indicated plasmas followed by flow cytometry analysis, as described

(Anand et al., 2021; Beaudoin-Bussieres et al., 2020; Gasser et al., 2021; Prevost et al.,

2020; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al., 2021b). VOCs Spike expression levels were

normalized to the signal obtained with the conformationally independent anti-S2

neutralizing CV3-25 antibody (Li et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2021) that

efficiently recognized and neutralized all VOCs Spike, including Omicron (Supplemental

Figure 1). Using plasma from previously-infected individuals or from naïve double

vaccinated individuals we observed a significant increase of recognition of all tested

Spikes upon vaccination (Figure 1B-G), in agreement with previous observations

(Stamatatos et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al., 2021b). In all cases, the

Omicron Spike was significantly less recognized than all other Spikes, with the exception

of the Beta variant (Figure 1D, H-J). At V3 and V4, levels of plasma binding against

Omicron Spike in previously-infected individuals were similar to those against Delta Spike

in naïve individuals (Figure 1 I and J). In agreement with previous observations (Tauzin

et al., 2021a), Spike recognition declined more rapidly in the naïve group compared to

previously-infected individuals.

Impact of the interval between mRNA vaccine doses on Omicron Spike recognition

and neutralization

Recent reports suggested that vaccine regimens with a delayed boost elicits stronger

humoral responses than the approved, short interval, regimen (Grunau et al., 2021;

Tauzin et al., 2021a). The long regimen interval has been associated with good vaccine

efficacy against different VOCs (Skowronski et al., 2021). We therefore compared the

capacity of plasma from naïve vaccinated individuals that received the second dose with

an interval of sixteen weeks (median [range]: 111 days [76–120 days]) to those obtained

from 19 SARS-CoV-2 naïve donors who received their two doses four weeks apart

(median [range]: 29 days [22–34 days]) (Table 1 and Figure 2A). As shown in Figure 2B,

plasma from naïve individuals that received a 16-weeks interval between the two doses,

recognized significantly better all tested Spike, including Omicron, than plasma from

individuals who received a short interval between doses (4 weeks). The 16-weeks interval

regimen elicited significantly better neutralization activity against pseudoviral particles

bearing the D614G, Beta, Delta and Omicron Spike (Figure 2C). Strikingly, this increased

neutralization was more pronounced for the Omicron Spike (8.9 fold increase)

comparatively to the others emerging variant Spikes (D614G, Beta and Delta) (2.2 - 4.2

fold increase). This suggests that the delayed boosting in naïve individuals facilitates

antibody maturation resulting in enhanced breadth able to provide detectable levels of

recognition and neutralization against Omicron.

DISCUSSION

In the province of Québec, Canada, like in other jurisdictions worldwide, the prevalence of Omicron increased dramatically from the first case detected on November 23 to being the dominant variant less than one month later. Compared to the reference Wuhan-Hu-1 strain, the Omicron variant carries over 50 non-synonymous mutations within its genome, more than 30 of which are located in the gene coding for the Spike glycoprotein. Several of these mutations affecting the RBD, the N-terminal domain (NTD) and the furin cleavage domain were observed in other VOCs (Viana R., 2021), which is consistent with positive selection of favorable mutations. Previous *in vitro* studies already showed the association of some of these mutations with increased infectivity, ACE2 interaction (N501Y, P681H) (Gong et al., 2021; Saito et al., 2021) or immune evasion (K417N, N440K, G446S, S477N, E484A/K, Q493R) (Baum et al., 2020; Clark et al., 2021; Greaney et al., 2021a; Greaney et al., 2021b; Greaney et al., 2021c; Liu et al., 2020; Rappazzo et al., 2021; Starr et al., 2021; Weisblum et al., 2020) . This unprecedented accumulation of Spike mutations raised concern about a major antigenic drift that could significantly decrease the efficacy of current vaccines (Andrews et al., 2021; Khoury et al., 2021; Schmidt et al., 2021b).

To get a better understanding of the antigenic profile, we compared the antigenicity of the Omicron Spike to those from D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma and Delta VOCs. We used plasma from naïve and previously-infected individuals who received their two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine 16 weeks apart. In agreement with previous observations, we found that previously-infected vaccinated individuals recognized more efficiently all

Spikes than naïve individuals at the two timepoints analyzed (3 weeks and 4 months post

second dose) (Stamatatos et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al., 2021b).

Interestingly, we observed that recognition of all Spikes, including Omicron, decreased

more rapidly in naïve than previously-infected individuals, as reported (Tauzin et al.,

2021a). The three antigenic exposures (infection + 2 doses) of previously-infected

individuals compared to the two exposures in double-vaccinated naïve individuals

possibly explain their more sustained humoral response, suggesting that an additional

exposition to the Spike antigen in the form of a third vaccine dose could elicit similar

responses. Alternatively, if infection elicits a qualitatively broader humoral response linked

to epitopes located outside the Spike glycoprotein, the effect of a third dose in naïve

individuals may remain qualitatively different.   Independently of their infection history, all

plasma recognized significantly less efficiently the Omicron Spike compared to Spikes

from other VOCs (Figure 1).

Since recent studies have shown that recognition of full-length Spikes at the surface of

transfected 293T cells strongly correlates with recognition of primary airway epithelial

cells infected with authentic viruses as well as with antibody-dependent cellular

cytotoxicity (Ding et al., 2022), these results suggest that Fc-mediated effector functions

against Omicron could also be affected.   Of note, low Spike recognition translated into

increased Omicron neutralization resistance (Figure 2C).   In agreement with previous

observations (Stamatatos et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al., 2021b), plasma

from vaccinated previously-infected individuals recognized more efficiently Omicron and

all other VOCs than vaccinated naïve individuals (Figure 1). As naïve double-vaccinated

individuals have been well protected against the delta variant, the observation of similar

levels of plasma binding against Delta Spike in naïve individuals and those against

Omicron Spike in previously-infected individuals may be important. This suggests that the

benefits of hybrid immunity also apply to Omicron but this hypothesis will need

confirmation through vaccine effectiveness studies.

Several reports (Cele et al., 2021; Garcia-Beltran et al., 2021; Planas et al., 2021a;

Schmidt et al., 2021a; Zhang et al., 2021) have shown neutralization resistance using

plasma from naïve donors who received the approved regimen of the BNT162b2 mRNA

vaccine (3-4 weeks vaccine interval). Strikingly, we observed that plasma from naïve

vaccinated donors who received their two doses according to the approved short three-

four-week interval, recognized and neutralized significantly less efficiently Omicron

compared to the long (16-weeks interval). For all individuals, the level of Omicron Spike

recognition remains dramatically lower than for the ancestral Spike, the antigen used in

the current vaccines and these levels decrease over time.   Therefore, it will be important

to determine in epidemiological studies if the vaccine interval advantage, as measured by

these *in vitro* parameters, confers any clinical benefit against Omicron.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the donors who participated in this study. The authors thank the CRCHUM BSL3 and Flow Cytometry Platforms for technical assistance. We thank Dr. Stefan Pöhlmann (Georg-August University, Germany) for the plasmid coding for SARS-CoV-2 Spike Wuhan-Hu-1 strain. We also thank Amélie Boivin and Yves Grégoire at Héma-Québec for helping to access the samples from the PLASCOV Biobank and all the plasma donors who participate in this biobank. This work was supported by le Ministère de l'Économie et de l'Innovation du Québec, Programme de soutien aux organismes de recherche et d'innovation to A.F. and by the Fondation du CHUM. This work was also supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) foundation grant #352417, by a CIHR operating Pandemic and Health Emergencies Research grant #177958, a CIHR stream 1 and 2 for SARS-CoV-2 Variant Research to A.F., and by an Exceptional Fund COVID-19 from the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) #41027 to A.F. and D.E.K. Work on variants presented was also supported by the Sentinelle COVID Quebec network led by the LSPQ in collaboration with Fonds de Recherche du Québec Santé (FRQS) to A.F. This work was also partially supported by a CIHR COVID-19 rapid response grant (OV3 170632) and CIHR stream 1 SARS-CoV-2 Variant Research to MC. A.F. is the recipient of Canada Research Chair on Retroviral Entry no. RCHS0235 950-232424. MC is a Tier II Canada Research Chair in Molecular Virology and Antiviral Therapeutics. V.M.L. is supported by a FRQS Junior 1 salary award. C,T. is the recipient of the Pfizer/Université de Montréal Chair on HIV translational research. D.E.K. is a FRQS Merit Research Scholar. G.B.B. is the recipient of a FRQS PhD fellowship and J.P. is the recipient of a CIHR PhD fellowship. A.L. was supported by MITACS Accélération

postdoctoral fellowships. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We declare no competing

interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.C., J.R., S.M., M.C., and A.F. conceived the study. D.C., A.T., J.R., M.C. and A.F.

designed experimental approaches. D.C., A.T., L.M., S.Y.G., M.B., C.B., G.B.-B., Y.B.,

S.D., A.L., D.V., E.F., A.G., B.D., I.L., J.Prévost, J.R. and A.F. performed, analyzed, and

interpreted the experiments. J.Perreault, L.G., C.M., P.A., C.T., V.M.-L., D.E.K. and R.B.

collected and provided clinical samples. G.D.S., C.T., V.M.-L., and D.E.K. provided

scientific input related to VOCs and vaccine efficacy. D.C., J.R., and A.F. wrote the

manuscript with inputs from others. Every author has read, edited, and approved the final

manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

A.F. has filed a provisional patent application on the anti-Spike monoclonal antibody CV3-

25.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1. Binding of vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants

 (**A**) SARS-CoV-2 vaccine cohort design. (**B-G**) 293T cells were transfected with the

 indicated full-length Spike from different SARS-CoV-2 variants (D614G, Alpha, Beta,

 Gamma, Delta and Omicron) and stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with plasma collected 3

 weeks (V3) or 4 months (V4) after a second dose administered with a 16 week interval.

 Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry. The values represent the median fluorescence

 intensities (MFI) normalized by CV3-25 Ab binding and presented as percentages of CV3-

 25 binding (**B-G, Left panels**). Each curve represents the normalized MFIs obtained with

 the plasma of one donor at every time point. The mean of each group is represented by

 a bold line. (**Right panels**) Plasma samples were grouped in different time points (V0, V3

 and V4). (**H-J**) Comparison of Spike recognition by plasma from naïve and previously-

 infected donors, represented by red and black points respectively. Error bars indicate

 means ± SEM. For naïve donors, n=20 at V3 and V4. For previously infected donors

 vaccinated with two doses, n=15 at V0, V3 and V4. Statistical significance was tested

 using (**B-G Left panels, H, I, J**) a Wilcoxon test or (**B-G Right panels**) a Mann-Whitney

 test. (* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001; ns, non-significant)

 Figure 2. Omicron Spike recognition and neutralization with plasma from naïve

 individuals that received a short versus a long mRNA vaccine dose interval.

 (**A**) SARS-CoV-2 vaccine cohort design. (**B**) 293T cells were transfected with the full-

 length Spike from different SARS-CoV-2 variants (D614G, Beta, Delta and Omicron) and

stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with plasma from naïve donors who received a short (4

weeks, yellow) or long (16 weeks, red) interval between doses collected three weeks after

the second dose (V3) and analyzed by flow cytometry. The values represent the MFI

normalized by CV3-25 Ab binding and presented as percentages of CV3-25 binding. (**C**)

Neutralizing activity was measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing indicated SARS-

CoV-2 Spikes (D614G, Beta, Delta and Omicron), with serial dilutions of plasma for 1 h

at 37°C before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells. Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum

dilution (ID_{50}) values were determined using a normalized non-linear regression using

GraphPad Prism software. Undetectable measures are represented as white symbols,

and limits of detection are plotted. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (**** $P < 0.0001$).

For naïve donors vaccinated with the short interval, n=19. For naïve donors vaccinated

with the long interval, n=25 Statistical significance was tested using a Mann-Whitney test

(* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$;**** $P < 0.0001$).

Table 1. Characteristics of the vaccinated SARS-CoV-2 cohorts

		SARS-CoV-2 Naïve		SARS-CoV-2 Previously infected
		Two doses Short interval (n=19)	Two doses Long interval (n=25)	Two doses Long interval (n=15)
Age		39 (20-74)	50 (21-62)	47 (29-65)
Sex	Male (n)	12	11	10
	Female (n)	7	14	5
Days between symptom onset and V0 [a]		N/A	N/A	191 (85-234)
Days between symptom onset and the 1st dose [a]		N/A	N/A	274 (166-321)
Days between the 1st and 2nd dose [a]		29 (22-34)	111 (76-120)	110 (90-134)
Days between the 2nd dose and V3 [a]		22 (12-53)	21 (14-34)	22 (13-51)
Days between the 2nd dose and V4 [a]		N/A	112 (103-125)	113 (90-127)

[a] Values displayed are medians, with ranges in parentheses.

293
294
295

STAR METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Andrés Finzi (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca).

Materials availability

All unique reagents generated during this study are available from the Lead contact without restriction.

Data and code availability

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca) upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca) upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ethics Statement

All work was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki in terms of informed consent and approval by an appropriate institutional board. Blood samples were obtained from donors who consented to participate in this research project at CHUM (19.381) and from plasma donors who consented to participate in the Plasma Donor Biobank at Hema-Quebec (PLASCOV; REB-B-6-002-2021-003). Plasma was isolated by centrifugation, and samples stored at -80°C and in liquid nitrogen, respectively, until use.

Human subjects

The study was conducted in 25 SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals (11 males and 14 females; age range: 21-62 years) vaccinated with a long interval, 19 SARS-CoV-2 naïve individuals (12 males and 7 females ; age range : 20-74 years) vaccinated with a short interval and 15 SARS-CoV-2 previously-infected individuals (10 males and 5 females ; age range : 29-65 years) vaccinated with a long interval. All this information is summarized in Table 1. No specific criteria such as number of patients (sample size), gender, clinical or demographic were used for inclusion, beyond PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults.

Plasma and antibodies

Plasma from SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously-infected donors were collected, heat-inactivated for 1 hour at 56°C and stored at -80°C until ready to use in subsequent

experiments. The conformationally independent S2-specific monoclonal antibody CV3-25

(Gong et al., 2021; Jennewein et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Ullah et

al., 2021) was used as a positive control and to normalize Spike expression in our flow

cytometry assays, as described (Gong et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al.,

2021b).   Alexa Fluor-647-conjugated goat anti-human Abs (Invitrogen) were used as

secondary antibodies to detect plasma binding in flow cytometry experiments.

Cell lines

293T human embryonic kidney cells (obtained from ATCC) were maintained at 37°C

under 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Wisent) containing 5%

fetal bovine serum (FBS) (VWR) and 100 µg/ml of penicillin-streptomycin (Wisent). The

293T-ACE2 cell line was previously reported (Prévost et al., 2020).

METHOD DETAILS

Plasmids

The plasmids encoding the SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants; D614G, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1

and B.1.617.2 were previously described (Beaudoin-Bussieres et al., 2020; Gong et al.,

2021; Li et al., 2021; Tauzin et al., 2021a; Tauzin et al., 2021b). The plasmids encoding

the B.1.1.529 Spike was generated by overlapping PCR using a codon-optimized wild-

type SARS-CoV-2 Spike gene (GeneArt, ThermoFisher) that was synthesized (Biobasic)

and cloned in pCAGGS as a template. The B.1.1.529 Spike coding sequence was derived

from the sequence ID EPI_ISL_6640919. This sequence initially contained the Q493K

substitution, as previously reported (Cameroni et al., 2021; Schmidt et al., 2021a; Shah

and Woo, 2021). The ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control) later

informed that Omicron spike actually have an R mutation at position 493. We therefore

generated and used an Omicron Spike bearing the Q493R mutation for the full manuscript

(Figure 1-2 and Supplemental Figure 1). Nevertheless, we compared whether the nature

of the residue (either K or R) at this position impacted plasma recognition and/or

neutralization activity; no significant differences were observed (Supplemental Figure 2).

Cell surface staining and flow cytometry analysis

293T were transfected with full-length SARS-CoV-2 Spikes and a green fluorescent

protein (GFP) expressor (pIRES2-eGFP; Clontech) using the calcium-phosphate method.

Two days post-transfection, Spike-expressing 293T cells were stained with the CV3-25

Ab (5 µg/mL) as control or plasma from vaccinated individuals (1:250 dilution) for 45 min

at 37ºC. AlexaFluor-647-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (1/1000 dilution) were used as

secondary Abs. The percentage of Spike-expressing cells (GFP+ cells) was determined

by gating the living cell population based on viability dye staining (Aqua Vivid, Invitrogen).

Samples were acquired on a LSR II cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data analysis was

performed using FlowJo v10.7.1 (Tree Star). The conformationally-independent anti-S2

antibody CV3-25 was used to normalize Spike expression, as reported (Gong et al., 2021;

Li et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2021). CV3-25 was shown to be effective

against all Spike variants (Gong et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Ullah et

al., 2021) and (Supplemental figure 1). The Median Fluorescence intensities (MFI)

obtained with plasma were normalized to the MFI obtained with CV3-25 (Gong et al.,

2021; Li et al., 2021; Prevost et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2021) and presented as percentage

of CV3-25 binding.

Virus neutralization assay

To produce SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses, 293T cells were transfected with the lentiviral

vector pNL4.3 R-E- Luc (NIH AIDS Reagent Program) and a plasmid encoding for the

indicated S glycoprotein (D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta or Omicron) at a ratio of

10:1. Two days post-transfection, cell supernatants were harvested and stored at -80°C

until use. For the neutralization assay, 293T-ACE2 target cells were seeded at a density

of 1×10^4 cells/well in 96-well luminometer-compatible tissue culture plates (Perkin Elmer)

24h before infection. Pseudoviral particles were incubated with several plasma dilutions

(1/50; 1/250; 1/1250; 1/6250; 1/31250) for 1h at 37°C and were then added to the target

cells followed by incubation for 48h at 37°C. For CV3-25 neutralization, pseudoviral

particles were incubated with increasing concentrations of CV3-25 (0.01, 0.0316, 0.1,

0.316, 1 and 3.16 μg/mL) for 1h at 37°C and were then added to the target cells followed

by incubation for 48h at 37°C. Cells were lysed by the addition of 30 μL of passive lysis

buffer (Promega) followed by one freeze-thaw cycle. An LB942 TriStar luminometer

(Berthold Technologies) was used to measure the luciferase activity of each well after the

addition of 100 μL of luciferin buffer (15mM $MgSO_4$, 15mM KH_2PO_4 [pH 7.8], 1mM ATP,

and 1mM dithiothreitol) and 50 μL of 1mM d-luciferin potassium salt (Prolume). The

neutralization half-maximal inhibitory dilution (ID_{50}) represents the plasma dilution to

inhibit 50% of the infection of 293T-ACE2 cells by pseudoviruses.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis

Symbols represent biologically independent samples from SARS-CoV-2 naïve or PI individuals. Lines connect data from the same donor. Statistics were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.1 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Every dataset was tested for statistical normality and this information was used to apply the appropriate (parametric or nonparametric) statistical test. P values <0.05 were considered significant; significance values are indicated as * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$, ns, non-significant.

References

Allen, H., Vusirikala, A., Flannagan, J., Twohig, K.A., Zaidi, A., Chudasama, D., Lamagni, T., Groves, N., Turner, C., Rawlinson, C.*, et al.* (2022). Household transmission of COVID-19 cases associated with SARS-CoV-2 delta variant (B.1.617.2): national case-control study. Lancet Reg Health Eur *12*, 100252.

Amanat, F., Thapa, M., Lei, T., Ahmed, S.M.S., Adelsberg, D.C., Carreño, J.M., Strohmeier, S., Schmitz, A.J., Zafar, S., Zhou, J.Q.*, et al.* (2021). SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination induces functionally diverse antibodies to NTD, RBD, and S2. Cell.

Anand, S.P., Prevost, J., Nayrac, M., Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Benlarbi, M., Gasser, R., Brassard, N., Laumaea, A., Gong, S.Y., Bourassa, C.*, et al.* (2021). Longitudinal analysis of humoral immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in convalescent individuals up to 8 months post-symptom onset. Cell Rep Med *2*, 100290.

Andrews, N., Stowe, J., Kirsebom, F., Toffa, S., Rickeard, T., Gallagher, E., Gower, C., Kall, M., Groves, N., O'Connell, A.-M.*, et al.* (2021). Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. 2021.2012.2014.21267615.

Baum, A., Fulton, B.O., Wloga, E., Copin, R., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Lanza, K., Negron, N., Ni, M.*, et al.* (2020). Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. Science *369*, 1014-1018.

Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Laumaea, A., Anand, S.P., Prevost, J., Gasser, R., Goyette, G., Medjahed, H., Perreault, J., Tremblay, T., Lewin, A.*, et al.* (2020). Decline of Humoral Responses against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in Convalescent Individuals. mBio *11*.

Cameroni, E., Saliba, C., Bowen, J.E., Rosen, L.E., Culap, K., Pinto, D., De Marco, A., Zepeda, S.K., di Iulio, J., Zatta, F.*, et al.* (2021). Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. 2021.2012.2012.472269.

Cele, S., Jackson, L., Khan, K., Khoury, D.S., Moyo-Gwete, T., Tegally, H., Scheepers, C., Amoako, D., Karim, F., Bernstein, M.*, et al.* (2021). SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. medRxiv.

Clark, S.A., Clark, L.E., Pan, J., Coscia, A., McKay, L.G.A., Shankar, S., Johnson, R.I., Brusic, V., Choudhary, M.C., Regan, J.*, et al.* (2021). SARS-CoV-2 evolution in an immunocompromised host reveals shared neutralization escape mechanisms. Cell *184*, 2605-2617 e2618.

Davies, N.G., Abbott, S., Barnard, R.C., Jarvis, C.I., Kucharski, A.J., Munday, J.D., Pearson, C.A.B., Russell, T.W., Tully, D.C., Washburne, A.D.*, et al.* (2021). Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. Science *372*.

Ding, S., Adam, D., Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Tauzin, A., Gong, S.Y., Gasser, R., Laumaea, A.,
Anand, S.P., Privé, A., Bourassa, C., *et al.* (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Spike Expression at the Surface
of Infected Primary Human Airway Epithelial Cells. Viruses *14*, 5.

ECDC (2020). Rapid increase of a SARS-CoV-2 variant with multiple spike protein mutations
observed in the United Kingdom. In Threat Assessment Brief.

Garcia-Beltran, W.F., St. Denis, K.J., Hoelzemer, A., Lam, E.C., Nitido, A.D., Sheehan, M.L.,
Berrios, C., Ofoman, O., Chang, C.C., Hauser, B.M.*, et al.* (2021). mRNA-based COVID-19
vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant.
2021.2012.2014.21267755.

Gasser, R., Cloutier, M., Prevost, J., Fink, C., Ducas, E., Ding, S., Dussault, N., Landry, P.,
Tremblay, T., Laforce-Lavoie, A.*, et al.* (2021). Major role of IgM in the neutralizing activity of
convalescent plasma against SARS-CoV-2. Cell reports, 108790.

Gong, S.Y., Chatterjee, D., Richard, J., Prévost, J., Tauzin, A., Gasser, R., Bo, Y., Vézina, D.,
Goyette, G., Gendron-Lepage, G.*, et al.* (2021). Contribution of single mutations to selected
SARS-CoV-2 emerging variants Spike antigenicity. 2021.2008.2004.455140.

Greaney, A.J., Loes, A.N., Crawford, K.H.D., Starr, T.N., Malone, K.D., Chu, H.Y., and Bloom,
J.D. (2021a). Comprehensive mapping of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain
that affect recognition by polyclonal human plasma antibodies. Cell Host Microbe *29*, 463-476
e466.

Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Barnes, C.O., Weisblum, Y., Schmidt, F., Caskey, M., Gaebler, C.,
Cho, A., Agudelo, M., Finkin, S.*, et al.* (2021b). Mapping mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD that
escape binding by different classes of antibodies. Nat Commun *12*, 4196.

Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Gilchuk, P., Zost, S.J., Binshtein, E., Loes, A.N., Hilton, S.K.,
Huddleston, J., Eguia, R., Crawford, K.H.D.*, et al.* (2021c). Complete Mapping of Mutations to the
SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain that Escape Antibody Recognition. Cell Host
Microbe *29*, 44-57 e49.

Grunau, B., Goldfarb, D.M., Asamoah-Boaheng, M., Golding, L., Kirkham, T.L., Demers, P.A.,
and Lavoie, P.M. (2021). Immunogenicity of Extended mRNA SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Dosing
Intervals. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association.

Jennewein, M.F., MacCamy, A.J., Akins, N.R., Feng, J., Homad, L.J., Hurlburt, N.K., Seydoux,
E., Wan, Y.H., Stuart, A.B., Edara, V.V.*, et al.* (2021). Isolation and characterization of cross-
neutralizing coronavirus antibodies from COVID-19+ subjects. Cell reports *36*, 109353.

Khoury, D.S., Steain, M., Triccas, J.A., Sigal, A., Davenport, M.P., and Cromer, D. (2021). A meta-
analysis of Early Results to predict Vaccine efficacy against Omicron. 2021.2012.2013.21267748.

Li, W., Chen, Y., Prevost, J., Ullah, I., Lu, M., Gong, S.Y., Tauzin, A., Gasser, R., Vezina, D.,
Anand, S.P.*, et al.* (2021). Structural Basis and Mode of Action for Two Broadly Neutralizing
Antibodies Against SARS-CoV-2 Emerging Variants of Concern. Cell reports *S2211-*
1247(21)01714-9.

Liu, L., Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Yu, J., Rapp, M., Wang, Q., Luo, Y., Chan, J.F., Sahi, V., Figueroa,
A.*, et al.* (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike.
Nature *584*, 450-456.

Planas, D., Saunders, N., Maes, P., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Planchais, C., Buchrieser, J., Bolland,
W.-H., Porrot, F., Staropoli, I., Lemoine, F.*, et al.* (2021a). Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2
variant Omicron to antibody neutralization. 2021.2012.2014.472630.

Planas, D., Veyer, D., Baidaliuk, A., Staropoli, I., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Rajah, M.M., Planchais,
C., Porrot, F., Robillard, N., Puech, J.*, et al.* (2021b). Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant
Delta to antibody neutralization. Nature.

Prevost, J., Gasser, R., Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Richard, J., Duerr, R., Laumaea, A., Anand, S.P.,
Goyette, G., Benlarbi, M., Ding, S.*, et al.* (2020). Cross-Sectional Evaluation of Humoral
Responses against SARS-CoV-2 Spike. Cell Rep Med *1*, 100126.

Prevost, J., Richard, J., Gasser, R., Ding, S., Fage, C., Anand, S.P., Adam, D., Gupta Vergara,
N., Tauzin, A., Benlarbi, M.*, et al.* (2021). Impact of temperature on the affinity of SARS-CoV-2
Spike glycoprotein for host ACE2. J Biol Chem *297*, 101151.

Rambaut, A., Holmes, E.C., O'Toole, A., Hill, V., McCrone, J.T., Ruis, C., du Plessis, L., and
Pybus, O.G. (2020). A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist
genomic epidemiology. Nat Microbiol *5*, 1403-1407.

Rappazzo, C.G., Tse, L.V., Kaku, C.I., Wrapp, D., Sakharkar, M., Huang, D., Deveau, L.M.,
Yockachonis, T.J., Herbert, A.S., Battles, M.B.*, et al.* (2021). Broad and potent activity against
SARS-like viruses by an engineered human monoclonal antibody. Science *371*, 823-829.

Saito, A., Irie, T., Suzuki, R., Maemura, T., Nasser, H., Uriu, K., Kosugi, Y., Shirakawa, K.,
Sadamasu, K., Kimura, I.*, et al.* (2021). SARS-CoV-2 spike P681R mutation, a hallmark of the
Delta variant, enhances viral fusogenicity and pathogenicity. 2021.2006.2017.448820.

Schmidt, F., Muecksch, F., Weisblum, Y., Silva, J.D., Bednarski, E., Cho, A., Wang, Z., Gaebler,
C., Caskey, M., Nussenzweig, M.C.*, et al.* (2021a). Plasma neutralization properties of the SARS-
CoV-2 Omicron variant. 2021.2012.2012.21267646.

Schmidt, F., Weisblum, Y., Rutkowska, M., Poston, D., DaSilva, J., Zhang, F., Bednarski, E., Cho,
A., Schaefer-Babajew, D.J., Gaebler, C.*, et al.* (2021b). High genetic barrier to SARS-CoV-2
polyclonal neutralizing antibody escape. Nature *600*, 512-516.

Shah, M., and Woo, H.G. (2021). Omicron: A heavily mutated SARS-CoV-2 variant exhibits
stronger binding to ACE2 and potently escape approved COVID-19 therapeutic antibodies.
2021.2012.2004.471200.

Skowronski, D.M., Setayeshgar, S., Febriani, Y., Ouakki, M., Zou, M., Talbot, D., Prystajecky, N.,
Tyson, J.R., Gilca, R., Brousseau, N.*, et al.* (2021). Two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccine effectiveness
with mixed schedules and extended dosing intervals: test-negative design studies from British
Columbia and Quebec, Canada. 2021.2010.2026.21265397.

Stamatatos, L., Czartoski, J., Wan, Y.H., Homad, L.J., Rubin, V., Glantz, H., Neradilek, M.,
Seydoux, E., Jennewein, M.F., MacCamy, A.J.*, et al.* (2021). mRNA vaccination boosts cross-
variant neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Science.

Starr, T.N., Greaney, A.J., Addetia, A., Hannon, W.W., Choudhary, M.C., Dingens, A.S., Li, J.Z.,
and Bloom, J.D. (2021). Prospective mapping of viral mutations that escape antibodies used to
treat COVID-19. Science *371*, 850-854.

Tang, J.W., Toovey, O.T.R., Harvey, K.N., and Hui, D.D.S. (2021). Introduction of the South
African SARS-CoV-2 variant 501Y.V2 into the UK. J Infect *82*, e8-e10.

Tauzin, A., Gong, S.Y., Beaudoin-Bussières, G., Vézina, D., Gasser, R., Nault, L., Marchitto, L.,
Benlarbi, M., Chatterjee, D., Nayrac, M.*, et al.* (2021a). Strong humoral immune responses
against SARS-CoV-2 Spike after BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination with a 16-week interval between
doses. Cell Host Microbe *S1931-3128(21)00569-2*, 2021.2009.2017.21263532.

Tauzin, A., Nayrac, M., Benlarbi, M., Gong, S.Y., Gasser, R., Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Brassard,
N., Laumaea, A., Vezina, D., Prevost, J.*, et al.* (2021b). A single dose of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine
BNT162b2 elicits Fc-mediated antibody effector functions and T cell responses. Cell Host Microbe
29, 1137-1150 e1136.

Ullah, I., Prevost, J., Ladinsky, M.S., Stone, H., Lu, M., Anand, S.P., Beaudoin-Bussieres, G.,
Symmes, K., Benlarbi, M., Ding, S.*, et al.* (2021). Live imaging of SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice
reveals that neutralizing antibodies require Fc function for optimal efficacy. Immunity *54*, 2143-
2158 e2115.

Viana R., M.S., Amoako DG., Tegally H., Scheepers C., et al Gaseitsiwe S., von Gottberg AV.,
de Oliveira T. (2021). Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern
Africa. medRxiv *https://krisp.org.za/manuscripts/ZHTOWa-MEDRXIV-2021-268028v1-*
deOliveira.pdf.

Weisblum, Y., Schmidt, F., Zhang, F., DaSilva, J., Poston, D., Lorenzi, J.C., Muecksch, F.,
Rutkowska, M., Hoffmann, H.H., Michailidis, E.*, et al.* (2020). Escape from neutralizing antibodies
by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. eLife *9*.

WHO (2021). "Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants".

Zhang, L., Li, Q., Liang, Z., Li, T., Liu, S., Cui, Q., Nie, J., Wu, Q., Qu, X., Huang, W., *et al.* (2021).
The significant immune escape of pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 Variant Omicron. Emerg Microbes
Infect, 1-11.

A

○ Naïve (2 doses, short interval)　　　● Naïve (2 doses, long interval)

B

Full- Spike Plasma binding

Mean:　33　46　　17　31　　27　40　　14　28

C

Neutralization

Mean:　641　1544　　305　1273　　244　533　　105　935

Supplemental Figure 1. Recognition and neutralization of different VOCs Spikes by the anti-S2 neutralizing CV3-25 antibody.
(A) 293T cells were transfected with the full-length Spikes from different VOCs (D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta and Omicron), stained with the CV3-25 Ab and analyzed by flow cytometry. Shown are histograms showing a representative staining on Spike-expressing GFP+ cells. (B) CV3-25 neutralizing activity against pseudoviral particles bearing the different VOC Spikes.

Supplemental Figure 2. Recognition and neutralization of Omicron Spikes with Q493K or Q493R changes.
(A) 293T cells were transfected with the full-length Spikes of Omicron possessing either Q493K or Q493R mutation, stained with the CV3-25 Ab or with plasma collected 3 weeks (V3) after the second dose with a 16-week interval from naïve or previously-infected donors, represented by red and black points respectively and analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) Neutralizing activity was measured by incubating pseudoviruses bearing SARS-CoV-2 Spikes of the two different Omicron mutants as mentioned above, with serial dilutions of plasma collected 3 weeks (V3) after the second dose with a 16-week interval from naïve donors for 1 h at 37°C before infecting 293T-ACE2 cells. Neutralization half maximal inhibitory serum dilution (ID_{50}) values were determined using a normalized non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism software. Error bars indicate means $\pm$ SEM. Statistical significance was tested using a Wilcoxon test (ns, non-significant).