Effector-mediated subversion of proteasome activator (PA)28αβ enhances lysoso-

mal targeting of *Legionella pneumophila* within cytokine-activated macrophages

Tshegofatso Ngwaga[1], Deepika Chauhan[1], Abigail G. Salberg[1], and Stephanie R. Shames[1]*

[1]Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, 66506, USA

*Correspondence: sshames@ksu.edu

Address: 347 Ackert Hall, 1717 Claflin Road, Manhattan, Kansas, 66506 USA

Phone: (785) 532-0110

Keywords: PA28, proteasome, phagolysosomal fusion, cytokines, cell-autonomous immunity, *Le-*

gionella pneumophila, LegC4, proteostasis, oxidative stress

<u>Abstract</u>

Legionella pneumophila is a natural pathogen of protozoa that causes Legionnaires' Disease

pneumonia via replication within macrophages using hundreds of translocated effector proteins.

In metazoans, effectors can also enhance pathogen clearance via effector-triggered immunity. The

effector LegC4 confers a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* uniquely within cytokine-acti-

vated macrophages, but the mechanism is unknown. Here, we demonstrate that LegC4 restriction

occurs via subversion of proteasome activator (PA)28αβ, which is induced by cytokines and func-

tions to resolve oxidative stress. LegC4 impaired resolution of oxidative stress and LegC4-medi-

ated restriction was abolished within PA28αβ-deficient macrophages. Impaired proteasome ac-

tivity upregulates lysosomal degradation pathways and, indeed, subversion PA28αβ by LegC4 en-

hanced lysosomal fusion with the *Legionella*-containing vacuole. PA28αβ has been traditionally

associated with antigen presentation; however, our data support a new model whereby subversion

of PA28αβ enhances macrophage cell-autonomous immunity against *L. pneumophila*. This work

provides a solid foundation to evaluate induced proteasome regulators as mediators of innate im-

munity.

Introduction

Many intracellular bacterial pathogens cause disease by replicating within host macrophages (Mitchell et al., 2016). However, macrophages activated by T_H1 proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interferon (IFN)-γ, are potently and broadly microbicidal. Activated macrophages are in a state of altered protein homeostasis (proteostasis), owing in part to robust production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which cause collateral damage to cellular proteins. To cope with oxidative stress, cytokine signaling induces expression of proteasome activator (PA)28$\alpha\beta$, which engages proteolytic 20S proteasome core particles (CPs) and facilitates degradation of oxidant-damaged proteins (Pickering et al., 2010). Impaired proteasome activity causes compensatory upregulation of lysosomal degradation pathways, which are central to cell-autonomous immunity within activated macrophages (Dikic, 2016). PA28$\alpha\beta$ has been traditionally associated with antigen presentation and adaptive immunity (Graaf et al., 2011; Preckel et al., 1999); however, the contribution of PA28$\alpha\beta$ to antimicrobial innate host defense has not been investigated.

Legionella pneumophila is a natural pathogen of amoebae and the etiological agent of Legionnaires' Disease, a severe inflammatory pneumonia of the elderly and immunocompromised that results from uncontrolled bacterial replication within alveolar macrophages. *L. pneumophila* replication within macrophages has resulted from extensive co-evolution with their protozoan hosts (Gomez-Valero et al., 2019; Hugoson et al., 2022; Park et al., 2020). To establish its intracellular niche, the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), *L. pneumophila* employs hundreds of virulence factors, called effector proteins, that are translocated into infected host cells by a Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (T4SS) (Chauhan and Shames, 2021). Effectors are essential for virulence, but their activity can also trigger upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines by infected macrophages via effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Asrat et al., 2014; Fischer et al., 2019; Fontana et al., 2011; Ngwaga et al., 2021). Pro-inflammatory cytokines are central to clearance of *L.*

pneumophila by healthy hosts, since they activate and potentiate the antimicrobial capacity of

infected macrophages. Inability to thwart innate host defenses is likely due to the rarity of *L. pneu-*

mophila transmission from person-to-person and consequent lack of selective pressure from the

mammalian immune system. Thus, *L. pneumophila* serves as a well-established model pathogen

to study mechanisms of antimicrobial innate immunity.

We discovered that the Dot/Icm-translocated effector LegC4 confers a fitness disadvantage on *L.*

pneumophila in a mouse model of Legionnaires' Disease (Shames et al., 2017). The *in vivo* LegC4

restriction phenotype is likely due to elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the *L. pneu-*

mophila-infected lung since LegC4 impairs *L. pneumophila* replication within cultured macro-

phages when activated with exogenous tumor necrosis factor (TNF) or interferon (IFN)-γ

(Ngwaga et al., 2019; Shames et al., 2017). We also found that overexpression of *legC4* from a

complementing plasmid is sufficient to attenuate *L. pneumophila* replication within BMDMs that

produce TNF and signal through TNFR1 (Ngwaga et al., 2019). The evolutionary basis for reten-

tion of LegC4 by *L. pneumophila* stems from its importance for replication within its natural host,

Acanthamoeba castellanii (Shames et al., 2017). Thus, LegC4 confers quantifiable opposing phe-

notypes in natural (protozoa) and 'accidental' (mammalian) hosts, which is noteworthy since the

majority of *L. pneumophila* effectors are functionally redundant in laboratory models and have

no obvious growth phenotypes (Ghosh and O'Connor, 2017; Shames et al., 2017). The specific

augmentation of cytokine-mediated restriction by LegC4 represents a potentially novel mode of

ETI; however, the mechanism by which LegC4 functions and enhances cytokine-mediated re-

striction of *L. pneumophila* is unknown.

Here, we investigated the mechanism of LegC4 function within macrophages. We found that

LegC4 interacts with host PA28α and that the LegC4 restriction phenotype is abolished in PA28αβ

complex deficient (*Psme1/2*[-/-]) bone marrow-derived macrophages. LegC4 also suppressed

resolution of oxidative stress and enhanced lysosomal fusion with *Legionella*-containing vacuoles

(LCVs) in a cytokine- and PA28αβ-dependent manner. Overall, our data support a model whereby

PA28α targeting by a translocated effector protein perturbs proteostasis and enhances cell-au-

tonomous restriction of *L. pneumophila* within cytokine-activated macrophages. These data are

the first to define a role for PA28αβ in cell-autonomous host defense against an intracellular bac-

terial pathogen and reveal a mechanism by which to enhance the antimicrobial activity of acti-

vated macrophages.

Results

**LegC4 enhances restriction of *L. pneumophila* within cytokine-activated macro-
phages and *in vivo*.** We previously reported that LegC4 confers a fitness disadvantage on *L.
pneumophila* in intranasal C57Bl/6 mouse models of Legionnaires' Disease (LD) and within cy-
tokine-activated macrophages (Ngwaga et al., 2019; Shames et al., 2017). C57Bl/6 mice are re-
strictive to *L. pneumophila* due to flagellin (FlaA)-mediated activation of the NAIP5/NLRC4 in-
flammasome (Molofsky et al., 2006; Ren et al., 2006). Thus, to study FlaA-independent host re-
sponses within C57Bl/6 mice, we leverage FlaA-deficient *L. pneumophila* (Δ*flaA*) strains. Using
competitive index experiments, we found that *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4* (herein called ΔΔ)
mutant strains outcompeted parental Δ*flaA* strains in the lungs of C57Bl/6 wild-type (WT) mice
(Ngwaga et al., 2019). The fitness advantage associated with loss of legC4 is likely due to enhanced
levels of cytokines seen in the *L. pneumophila*-infected lung compared to cultured macrophages
(Liu et al., 2020) since the LegC4-mediated fitness defect was phenocopied within macrophages
activated with exogenous recombinant (r)TNF or rIFN-γ (Ngwaga et al., 2019). We also found that
LegC4-mediated restriction can be observed in non-cytokine activated (naïve) BMDMs by over-
expressing *legC4* from an inducible promoter on a complementing plasmid (Ngwaga et al., 2019).
Thus, LegC4 is deleterious to *L. pneumophila* in a dose-dependent manner within cytokine-acti-
vated macrophages. The LegC4 restriction phenotype is not exclusive to mouse macrophages

since LegC4 confers a comparable fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* within human THP-1 macrophages (**Fig 1A-B**). Further support and utility of the LegC4 overexpression model was evidenced by loss of LegC4-mediated restriction within BMDMs unable to produce or respond to endogenous TNF (*Myd88*^{-/-} and *Tnfr1*^{-/-}, respectively) (**Fig 1C, 1D**) (Ngwaga et al., 2019). IFN-γ signaling is sufficient for LegC4 restriction (Ngwaga et al., 2019); however, the LegC4 restriction phenotype was retained within *Ifngr1*^{-/-} BMDMs (**Fig 1E**), indicating that the relatively low levels of IFN-γ secreted by macrophages are not sufficient for restriction.

We subsequently evaluated how cytokine signaling impacts the fitness of LegC4-deficient bacteria *in vivo*. We confirmed our previous results showing that LegC4 is deleterious to *L. pneumophila* within the lungs of WT and TNFR1-deficient mice (**Fig 1F**). We also found that LegC4 still conferred a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* within the lungs of MyD88- and IFNGR1-deficient mice (**Fig 1F**). However, the fitness advantage associated with loss of LegC4 was less pronounced in the lungs of *Myd88*^{-/-} mice compared to WT, *Tnfr1*^{-/-} and *Ifngr1*^{-/-} (**Fig 1F**), which is mainly due to the relatively low levels of MyD88-indpendent IFN-γ produced in the *L. pneumophila*-infected lung (Archer and Roy, 2006). These data support our previous work showing that LegC4 augments redundant cytokine-mediated pathogen restriction mechanisms (Ngwaga et al., 2019) and demonstrate relevance to human cells. While overexpression of *legC4* is not necessary to observe a phenotype (Ngwaga et al., 2019), this infection model is robust and useful for our goal to define LegC4 function and mechanisms of cytokine-mediated restriction within macrophages.

LegC4 interacts with host proteasome activator (PA)28α. To gain insight into the mechanism of LegC4 function, we conducted a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) interactomics screen using LegC4 as bait and genomic (g)DNA from mouse splenocytes as prey. This screen revealed interactions between LegC4 and several regulators of eukaryotic proteasomes, including proteasome activator (PA)28α, PA28γ, and Ecm29 (**Table S1**). The highest-confidence interactor was PA28α

with 28 individual clones, all of which encoded a minimal overlapping region in the PA28α C-

terminal domain (amino acid residues 105-249) (**Table S1**). These data suggest a direct interac-

tion between LegC4 and host PA28α, which is conserved in amoebae and induced by cytokines in

macrophages.

We initially validated the PA28α-LegC4 interaction by reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation

and immunofluorescence microscopy on ectopically produced epitope tagged fusion proteins. Ec-

topic expression of PA28α was necessary in these experiments since it is expressed only in re-

sponse to cytokine signaling (Fabunmi et al., 2001; Seifert et al., 2010). We found that PA28α-

Myc co-immunoprecipitated with 3xFLAG-LegC4 (**Fig 2A**) and that 3xFLAG-LegC4 co-immuno-

precipitated with GFP-PA28α (**Fig 2B**). We also found that ectopically produced 3xFLAG-LegC4

co-localized with GFP-PA28α within transfected HeLa cells (**Fig 2C**). Furthermore, LegC4 influ-

enced GFP-PA28α localization since GFP-PA28α localized to the cell nucleus in the absence of

LegC4 (**Fig 2C-D**) (Soza et al., 1997). Finally, we quantified co-localization by calculating Pearson

Correlation Coefficients (PCC). PCC values indicated a significant positive correlation between

LegC4 and PA28α (PCC = 0.768 ± 0.028) compared to the GFP control (PCC = 0.468 ± 0.172)

(**Fig 2E**). Together, these data show that LegC4 interacts with host PA28α, which has not been

previously identified as a target of bacterial effectors.

LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila* is abolished in PA28αβ-deficient

BMDMs. Based on the interaction between PA28α and LegC4, we tested the hypothesis that

PA28α is important for LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila* within BMDMs. PA28α-

deficient (*Psme1*^{-/-}) mice are not commercially available, so we evaluated the role of PA28α using

BMDMs derived from PA28αβ-deficient (*Psme1/2*[-/-]) mice (Preckel et al., 1999). PA28α associates

with PA28β to form the PA28αβ (11S) proteasome regulator (Mott et al., 1994). PA28β is homol-

ogous to PA28α but is dependent on PA28α for activity and is hypothesized to enhance the

interaction of PA28α with 20S proteolytic proteasome core particles (CPs) (Wilk et al., 2000). We

found that PA28αβ was necessary for LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila* since the no

growth attenuation was associated with *legC4* overexpression compared to control strains within

Psme1/2[-/-] BMDMs (**Fig 2F**). Thus, our data suggest that PA28αβ activity is important for LegC4-

mediated restriction and support a model whereby LegC4 binds and regulates the function of

PA28α.

LegC4 impairs resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress. In cells of the innate immune

system, PA28αβ is produced in response to oxidative stress, TNF, or IFN-γ (Fabunmi et al., 2001;

Hallermalm et al., 2001; Mott et al., 1994; Pickering et al., 2010), which provides an explanation

as to why LegC4 confers a growth phenotype within cytokine-activated cells. Induced PA28ab

associates with proteasome core particles (CPs) to generate antigenic peptides and resolve oxida-

tive stress by facilitating ubiquitin-independent proteasomal degradation of oxidant-damaged

(carbonylated) proteins (Graaf et al., 2011; Li et al., 2010). Since LegC4 binds PA28α and the

LegC4 restriction phenotype was dependent on PA28αβ (see **Fig 2F**), we hypothesized that LegC4

regulates PA28αβ activity.

Our yeast two-hybrid data suggest that LegC4 binds the CTD of PA28α, which is involved

in PA28αβ docking on proteolytic proteasome core particles and consequent proteasomal degra-

dation of oxidant damaged (carbonylated) proteins. We rationalized that LegC4-meditated inhi-

bition of PA28αβ activity would impair degradation of carbonylated proteins and tested this by

quantifying protein carbonyls within macrophages. We first tested this within uninfected RAW

264.7 cells stably producing 3xFLAG-LegC4 (RAW-LegC4). Stable production of 3xFLAG-LegC4

was confirmed by confocal microscopy (**Fig 3A**) and Western blot (**Fig 3B**). Immunoprecipita-

tion was necessary to visualize LegC4 since it is produced by macrophages at low abundance.

However, this amount of ectopically produced LegC4 was sufficient to attenuate *L. pneumophila*

Δ*flaA* replication when compared to vector-transfected control (RAW-Cntrl) cells (**Fig 3C**). At-

tenuated growth was observed at 48 h post-infection, similar to the kinetics of LegC4 restriction

within BMDMs (**Fig 1A**), which suggests that RAW-LegC4 cells are suitable to evaluate whether

LegC4 impacts the concentration of carbonylated proteins within macrophages under oxidative

stress conditions.

We quantified the abundance of carbonylated proteins within lysates of uninfected RAW-

LegC4 and -Cntrl cells in the presence or absence H_2O_2 to induce oxidative stress. Under native

conditions, the concentration of carbonylated proteins within RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl cells did

not differ (**Fig 3D**). However, under oxidative stress conditions, the concentration of protein car-

bonyls in RAW-LegC4 cells was significantly greater than control cells (**Fig 3D**). These data sug-

gest that cells producing LegC4 are impaired for resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress and

support a model whereby LegC4 impairs PA28α activity.

Oxidative stress is sufficient for LegC4-mediated restriction. We subsequently evalu-

ated how LegC4 impacts the abundance of protein carbonyls within *L. pneumophila*-infected

cells. To control for differences in bacterial replication within WT BMDMs, we leveraged *Tnfr1*^{-/-}

BMDMs, in which LegC4 is not restrictive (see **Fig 1C**). *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs were infected for 4 h in

the presence or absence of H_2O_2 and protein carbonyls were visualized by Western blot. We found

that the abundance of carbonylated proteins was increased within H_2O_2-treated BMDMs infected

with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4* (p*legC4*) relative to Δ*flaA* and empty vector control strains

(**Fig 3E**). Since no LegC4-mediated differences were observed within untreated *Tnfr1*^{-/-}, it is un-

likely that the increase in protein carbonyls observed is due to a LegC4-mediated upregulation

global ROS production by infected BMDMs. Together, these data support our hypothesis that

LegC4 impairs resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress within macrophages.

Based on our data showing that LegC4 impairs resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress,

we hypothesized that oxidative stress is sufficient for LegC4-mediated restriction within BMDMs.

To test this hypothesis, we quantified *L. pneumophila* replication within *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs in the

presence or absence of H_2O_2 to induce oxidative stress. We found that replication of the *L. pneu-*

mophila ΔflaAΔlegC4 (p*legC4*) strain was significantly attenuated within H_2O_2-treated *Tnfr1*^{-/-}

BMDMs compared to *L. pneumophila ΔflaA* and *ΔflaAΔlegC4* empty vector (pEV) control strains

(**Fig 3F**). These differences represent a 78% decrease in colony forming units (CFU), which is

striking since experimental limitations necessitated a low concentration (10 μM) of H_2O_2. It is

unlikely that growth attenuation was due to direct *L. pneumophila* killing by H_2O_2 since (1) repli-

cation of control *L. pneumophila* strains did not differ between H2O2-treated and untreated

BMDMs; and (2) *L. pneumophila* has evolved multiple complimentary strategies to protect

against oxidative stress and can withstand up to 2 mM H_2O_2 *in vitro* (orders of magnitude greater

than intracellular concentrations) (Bandyopadhyay and Steinman, 2000; Bandyopadhyay et al.,

2003; Flynn and Swanson, 2014; Harada et al., 2007). These data suggest that oxidative stress is

sufficient for the LegC4 restriction phenotype.

PA28αβ-deficient BMDMs are restrictive to *L. pneumophila*. Our current data suggest

that LegC4 binding impairs PA28αβ activity. Thus, we hypothesized that LegC4-mediated re-

striction of *L. pneumophila* would be phenocopied in PA28αβ-deficient BMDMs. To test this hy-

pothesis, we infected WT or *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs with *L. pneumophila ΔflaA* in the presence or

absence of rTNF or rIFN-γ. We found that replication of *L. pneumophila ΔflaA* was significantly

attenuated within *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs compared to WT BMDMs (**Fig 3G**). However, when

BMDMs were treated with either rTNF or rIFN-g, impaired bacterial replication was observed

within 24 h of infection whereas restriction was observed after 48 h in untreated BMDMs (**Fig**

3H-I). These data show that loss of PA28αβ enhances macrophage restriction of *L. pneumophila,*

which is more pronounced under inflammatory conditions and suggest a novel role for PA28αβ

in antimicrobial innate immunity.

Neither PA28αβ nor LegC4 increase TNF secretion from *L. pneumophila*-infected

BMDMs or induce cell death. We then evaluated whether differences in cytokine secretion or

cell death account for differences in PA28αβ- or LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila*

within *Psme1/2⁻/⁻* compared to WT BMDMs. Autocrine and paracrine TNF signaling within *L.*

pneumophila-infected BMDMs is sufficient for the LegC4 restriction phenotype (Ngwaga et al.,

2019) (see **Fig 1D**); thus, we tested whether growth differences were due to increased TNF secre-

tion by infected BMDMs. At 8h post-infection, there were no differences in TNF secretion from

Psme1/2⁻/⁻ BMDMs compared WT BMDMs infected with either *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* or ΔΔ

(pEV); however, there was less TNF secreted from *Psme1/2⁻/⁻* BMDMs infected with *L. pneu-*

mophila ΔΔ (p*legC4*) compared to WT BMDMs (**Fig S1A**). However, at 24 h post-infection, there

was significantly less TNF secreted from *Psme1/2⁻/⁻* BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*

or ΔΔ (pEV) compared to WT BMDMs but no differences in secretion from BMDMs infected with

L. pneumophila ΔΔ (p*legC4*) (**Fig S1B**). These data suggest that LegC4- and PA28αβ-mediated

growth phenotypes are not due to enhanced TNF production by infected BMDMs.

We subsequently evaluated whether LegC4 or PA28αβ affect viability of *L. pneumophila*-

infected BMDMs using lactate dehydrogenase release (LDH) assay. We found no LegC4- or

PA28αβ-mediated differences in LDH release from *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs (**Fig S1C**).

These data suggest neither LegC4 nor PA28αβ restriction phenotypes are a consequence of host

cell death.

Phagolysosomal fusion contributes to LegC4-mediated restriction within BMDMs.

Our current data suggest that LegC4 may impair PA28αβ activity, but how this leads to bacterial

restriction is unknown. Loss of cellular proteasome activity causes compensatory upregulation of

lysosomal degradation pathways to cope with proteotoxic stress (Dikic, 2016; Pandey et al., 2007).

Based on our data showing that oxidative proteotoxic stress is sustained in LegC4-producing cells,

we tested the hypothesis that LegC4 restriction involves lysosomal targeting of *L. pneumophila*.

Virulent *L. pneumophila* establish a replicative *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) by blocking

endocytic maturation of their phagosome (Roy et al., 1998; Swanson and Isberg, 1995). However,

avirulent Dot/Icm-deficient *L. pneumophila* (Δ*dotA*) are unable to establish a replicative LCV and

phagosomes rapidly undergo endocytic maturation, as evidenced by localization of the lysosomal

membrane marker LAMP1 to *L. pneumophila*-containing phagosomes (Roy et al., 1998) (**Fig S2**).

We initially tested the hypothesis that LegC4 increases LAMP1 localization to LCVs by immuno-

fluorescence microscopy and blinded quantification of LAMP1$^+$ LCVs. As expected, there were

significantly fewer LAMP1$^+$ LCVs harboring Δ*flaA* and empty vector control strains compared to

the avirulent control within WT BMDMs (**Fig 4A**). However, there were no differences in the

percentage of LAMP1$^+$ LCVs harboring the ΔΔ (p*legC4*) strain compared to the Δ*dotA* control (**Fig**

4A), which was surprising since plasmid expression of *legC4* does not usually impair *L. pneu-*

mophila intracellular replication until after 24 h of infection. We found that LCVs harboring *L.*

pneumophila ΔΔ (p*legC4*) had significantly less LAMP1 staining compared to the Δ*dotA* strain

within both TNFR1- and PA28αβ-deficient BMDMs (**Fig 4B-C**). These data suggest that LegC4

upregulates lysosomal fusion with LCVs in a cytokine- and PA28αβ-dependent manner.

We subsequently tested the hypothesis that phagolysosomal fusion is important for

LegC4-mediated restriction by quantifying *L. pneumophila* replication within BMDMs treated

with Bafilomycin A1 (BAF). BAF is a pharmacological inhibitor of the H$^+$-type vacuolar (v)-ATPase

that blocks vacuolar acidification and impairs phagolysosomal fusion (Matsumoto and Nakanishi-

Matsui, 2019). Thus, we evaluated the contribution of phagolysosomal fusion to the LegC4 re-

striction phenotype by quantifying *L. pneumophila* replication within BAF-treated BMDMs. LCV

acidification is important for late stages of the *L. pneumophila* lifecycle (Sturgill-Koszycki and

Swanson, 2000); however, intracellular replication is minimally impacted within BMDMs treated

with a low concentration (≤12.5 nM) of BAF (Khweek et al., 2013). We found that replication of

L. pneumophila ΔΔ (p*legC4*) was not attenuated compared to *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ

(pEV) strains within BAF-treated BMDMs (**Fig 4D, left**). As expected, *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ

(p*legC4*) replication was significantly attenuated compared to Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ (pEV) within vehicle

(DMSO)-treated BMDMs (**Fig 4D, right**). These data suggest a role for phagolysosomal fusion

and/or lysosomal acidification contribute to LegC4-mediated restriction. Together, our data sup-

port a model whereby LegC4-mediated subversion of PA28αβ enhances lysosomal pathogen tar-

geting within activated macrophages.

Inflammasome activation is dispensable for LegC4-mediated restriction. Our current

data suggest that LegC4-mediated restriction occurs via lysosomal fusion with LCVs under oxida-

tive proteotoxic stress conditions. However, sustained oxidative stress and proteostasis perturba-

tions can activate the NLRP3 inflammasome, which culminates in activation of caspase-1, an ef-

fector caspase responsible for pyroptotic cell death and inflammatory cytokine secretion. How-

ever, pharmacological inhibition of the NLRP3 inflammasome with MCC950 did not affect LegC4-

mediated restriction since *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) was still attenuated for replication com-

pared to *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pEV) and Δ*flaA* within WT BMDMs (**Fig S3A**). We also found that

LegC4-mediated restriction was preserved within BMDMs derived from caspase-1-deficient

(*Casp1*^{-/-}) mice (**Fig S3B**). Within cultured macrophages, pyroptotic cell death is responsible for

L. pneumophila restriction downstream of inflammasome activation. Our data suggest that LegC4

does not enhance LDH release from infected BMDMs (**Fig S3C**); however, pyroptotic cell death

is more pronounced within BMDMs primed with toll-like (TLR) receptor agonists (Bergsbaken et

al., 2009; Feuvre et al., 2002). Since TLR2 is important for host defense against *L. pneumophila*

(Archer and Roy, 2006), we evaluated LDH release from *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs pre-

treated with the TLR2 agonist PAM3CSK4. Consistent with our previous observation (**Fig S1C**),

LDH release from BMDMs primed with PAM3CSK4 was unaffected by LegC4 (**Fig S3C**). These

data suggest that LegC4-mediated restriction occurs independently of inflammasome activation

and pyroptotic cell death.

Discussion

This study supports a novel role for proteasome activator (PA)PA28αβ in effector-mediated host

defense against an intracellular bacterial pathogen. Our data show that LegC4 binds PA28αβ,

subverts resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress and promotes lysosomal restriction of *L. pneu-*

mophila. Thus, we propose a model whereby prolonged oxidative proteotoxic stress resulting

from LegC4 subversion of PA28αβ enhances lysosomal targeting of *L. pneumophila* within cyto-

kine-activated macrophages (**Fig S4**). This model is supported by our data showing that (1) LegC4

binds PA28α; (2) PA28αβ is required for the effects of LegC4 on host cells; (3) LegC4 impairs

resolution of oxidative proteotoxic stress; and (4) that oxidative stress and phagolysosomal fusion

contribute to LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila*. This work is the first describe

PA28α as a target for a bacterial effector protein and a role for PA28αβ in effector-mediated host

defense against an intracellular bacterial pathogen.

L. pneumophila is an accidental pathogen of humans that rarely transmits between infected indi-

viduals. Thus, an evolutionary basis for the LegC4- PA28α interaction can be explained by LegC4

targeting the PA28α homolog in amoebae. Indeed, the natural host *A. castellanii*, in which LegC4

is a *bona fide* virulence factor (Shames et al., 2017), encodes a PA28α homolog (*Ac*PA28) that

shares 34% identity with mouse PA28α. Sequence identity between PA28αβ and *Ac*PA28 is pri-

marily in the C-terminal domain, which is important for engaging 20S CPs and was contained in

all PA28α clones identified in our yeast two-hybrid screen (see **Table S1**). These data have led us

to speculate that LegC4 binding prevents PA28-CP complex formation. The function of *Ac*PA28

has not been described; however, structural studies have shown that mammalian PA28α and

evolutionary distant PA28s are similar and all associate with proteolytic proteasome core parti-

cles, suggesting that it likely also functions as a proteasome regulator (Lesne et al., 2020; Xie et

al., 2019). The constitutive 26S proteasome system is central to *L. pneumophila*'s virulence strat-

egy and its integrity may be preserved by sequestering PA28 from CPs. We are currently investi-

gating the molecular mechanism by which LegC4 regulates PA28 activity within macrophages and

amoebae and how this activity is advantageous to *L. pneumophila* in the natural host.

We found that inducing oxidative stress with hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was sufficient for the

LegC4 restriction phenotype. H_2O_2 is routinely used to induce oxidative stress in laboratory mod-

els since both superoxide ($O_2^{\cdot-}$) and hydroxyl ($OH^{\cdot}$) radicals are highly reactive and unstable in

solution. Interestingly, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are important for *L. pneumophila* re-

striction by neutrophils but play a negligible role in macrophages (Harada et al., 2007; Price et

al., 2021; Ziltener et al., 2016). This difference has been attributed to suppression of global intra-

cellular ROS production and NADPH oxidase (NOX2) trafficking to the LCV by virulent *L. pneu-*

mophila within macrophages (Harada et al., 2007; Price et al., 2021). Direct oxidative killing of

L. pneumophila within activated macrophages is unlikely since *L. pneumophila* has evolved mul-

tiple complimentary strategies to protect against oxidative stress and can withstand up to 2 mM

H_2O_2 *in vitro* (orders of magnitude greater than intracellular concentrations) (Bandyopadhyay

and Steinman, 2000; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2003; Flynn and Swanson, 2014; Harada et al.,

2007). Moreover, *Tnfr1^{-/-}* BMDMs treated with H_2O_2 did not suppress replication of *L. pneu-*

mophila control strains compared to untreated BMDMs (see Fig 3F). This suggests that the con-

centration of H_2O_2 used in our study is insufficient for direct *L. pneumophila* killing. Future stud-

ies will reveal whether LegC4 or PA28αβ impact the abundance or source of ROS within *L. pneu-*

mophila-infected macrophages.

Phagolysosomal fusion and autophagy are cytoprotective under inflammatory conditions and

central to cytokine-mediated cell-autonomous pathogen restriction. Lysosomal restriction within

IFN-γ-activated macrophages involves immunity-related GTPases (IRGs), which localize to and

facilitate lysosomal trafficking to pathogen-containing phagosomes (MacMicking, 2012). Alt-

hough IRGs may contribute to restriction of LegC4-producing *L. pneumophila* strains within IFN-

γ-activated macrophages (Naujoks et al., 2016), we found that IFNGR1 signaling is dispensable

for LegC4 restriction (Fig 1E), which suggests that IRGs are not central drivers of LegC4-mediated

L. pneumophila restriction. A role for TNFR1-mediated signaling in lysosomal pathogen re-

striction has not been well established. Canonically, TNF signaling activates extrinsic cell death

pathways; however, TNFR1-mediated signaling induces lysosomal fusion with LCVs within 3 h of

L. pneumophila infection within primary mouse BMDMs, which is more rapid compared to

BMDMs activated with IFN-γ (Ziltener et al., 2016).

The mechanism by which TNF signaling enhances phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs is poorly

understood; however, upregulation of autophagy genes is cytoprotective against TNF-induced

death in myeloid cells (Orvedahl et al., 2019). Autophagy is also upregulated to prevent aberrant

inflammasome-mediated pyroptotic cell death below a certain threshold of inflammasome ago-

nist (Byrne et al., 2013). The NLRC4 inflammasome is dispensable for LegC4-mediated restriction

(Ngwaga et al., 2019; Shames et al., 2017) and we also found no role for the NLRP3 inflam-

masome, which is activated by both oxidative and proteotoxic stress (Shin et al., 2013). Whether

autophagy contributes to LegC4-mediated restriction is unknown, but this possibility is intriguing

since several *L. pneumophila* effectors impair global autophagy in host cells (Choy et al., 2012;

Rolando et al., 2016b, 2016a).

Virulent *L. pneumophila* establish a replicative LCV by avoiding endosomal maturation of their

phagosome. The current dogma dictates that lysosomal fusion with LCVs at early time points

during infection (≤ 16 h) prevents *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication (Marra et al., 1992;

Sturgill-Koszycki and Swanson, 2000). We found that plasmid expression of *legC4* induced ro-

bust LAMP1 localization to LCVs within WT BMDMs, similar to what is seen for an avirulent a

Dot/Icm-deficient strain. This is intriguing since plasmid expression of *legC4* does not completely

abolish *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication (Ngwaga et al., 2019). Loss of TNFR1 did not fully

abolish LegC4-mediated LAMP1 localization to LCVs; however, this relatively modest level of

LAMP1 localization may result from ROS produced in response to pattern recognition receptors

(West et al., 2011). The abrogation of LegC4-mediated LAMP1-LCV localization within *Psme1/2*-

$^{/-}$ supports our model that subversion of PA28αβ by LegC4 enhances lysosomal fusion with LCVs.

Here, we show a new role for PA28αβ and oxidative proteotoxic stress in cell-autonomous path-

ogen restriction. This work is the first to show bacterial pathogen targeting of PA28α and suggest

that suppression of PA28αβ activity and aberrant proteostasis may be a pathogen-triggered host

defense mechanism and mode of potentiating existing inflammatory responses. The ability en-

hance antimicrobial potential of activated macrophages has exciting implications for develop-

ment of host-centric innate immunological therapeutics and future studies will provide further

insight into the ubiquity and mechanism(s) of pathogen restriction induced by proteotoxic stress

and suppression of PA28αβ activity.

Materials & Methods

<u>Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Culture conditions</u>

Legionella pneumophila strains used in this study (**Table S2**) were cultured on supplemented

charcoal N-(2-Acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES)-buffered yeast extract (CYE) and

grown at 37˚C as described (Feeley et al., 1979). Liquid cultures were grown overnight with shak-

ing at 37˚C in supplemented ACES-buffered yeast extract (AYE) medium, as described (Ngwaga

et al., 2019; Saito et al., 1981). For plasmid maintenance, CYE was supplemented with 10 µg mL^{-1}

chloramphenicol and *legC4* expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyra-

noside (IPTG) where indicated. Plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table S3**. Oligonucleo-

tide primers are listed in **Table S4.**

<u>Mice and bone marrow-derived macrophages</u>

Wild-type, *Tnfr1*^{-/-}, *Ifngr1*^{-/-}, *Myd88*^{-/-}, and *Psme1/2*[-/-] on a C57BL/6 background were purchased

from the Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine) and in-house colonies were maintained in

specific pathogen-free conditions at Kansas State University. All experiments involving animals

were approved by the Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Pro-

tocols 4022, 4501, and 4386) and performed in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act and NIH

guidelines.

Bone marrow was harvested from seven- to twelve-week-old mice as described (Case and

Roy, 2013). BMDMs were generated by differentiation in RPMI supplemented with 20% heat-

inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI-FBS) (Biowest, Riverside, MO) and 15% L929 cell supernatant

for 6 days prior to seeding for infection. Femurs from C57Bl/6 *Casp1*^{-/-} mice were a gift from Dr.

Russell Vance (University of California, Berkeley).

<u>Competitive Index</u>

Six- to twelve-week-old sex- and age-matched mice were infected as previously described. Mixed

bacterial inoculums (1:1) containing a total of 5×10^6 bacteria were diluted and plated on selective

medium (10 μg mL^{-1} chloramphenicol for plasmid selection). At 48 h post-infection, mice were

euthanized, and lung homogenates were plated on selective media as described (Ngwaga et al.,

2019).   CFU   were   enumerated   and   used   to   calculate   CI   values

$[(CFUcm^R_{48h}/CFUwt_{48h})/(CFUcm^R_{IN})/CFUwt_{IN})]$.

<u>Molecular Cloning</u>

Plasmids were generated for stable and transient ectopic production in mammalian cells. For pro-

duction of 3xFLAG-LegC4, *legC4* was amplified from *L. pneumophila* genomic (g)DNA using

LegC4BamHI-F/LegC4NotI-R primer pairs and cloned as a BamHI/NotI fragment into 3xFLAG

4/TO (Ingmundson et al., 2007). To produce GFP-PA28α, *psme1* was amplified from pCMV-

3Tag-4a::*psme1* (purchased from Genscript, Piscataway, New Jersey) using Psme1Sal1-

F/Psme1BamHI-R primer pairs and cloned as a Sal1/BamH1 fragment into pEGFPC1 (Clontech).

Plasmid DNA was transfected into mammalian cells as described below.

<u>Cell Culture and Transfections</u>

HEK 293T, HeLa, RAW 264.7 cells, and MH-S cells (gifts from Dr. Craig Roy, Yale University)

were maintained at 37˚C and 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supple-

mented with 10% HI-FBS. THP-1 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection

(ATCC) and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% HIFBS and differentiated with 100 nM

phorbal 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) for 4 days prior to infection with *L. pneumophila* (see be-

low). All cell lines were used between passage 4-20.

HEK 293T cells were transfected with purified plasmid DNA (**Table S2**) using calcium

phosphate as described (Shames et al., 2010). Lysates were generated from transfected cells after

48 h and used for immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis (see below). HeLa cells were

seeded one day prior to transfection with jetPRIME transfection reagent according to manufac-

turer's guidelines. Two hours before transfection, HeLa cells were washed and incubated in low-

serum media (DMEM 4% HIFBS. Media were replaced with DMEM 10% HIFBS four hours post-

transfection and assayed 24 h post-transfection.

To generate RAW 264.7 cells with stable plasmid integrations, cells were transfected with

pcDNA::*3xflag-legC4* or pcDNA::*3xflag* vector using a Nucleofector 2b electroporator (Lonza,

Basel, Switzerland) and cultured over 14 days with Zeocin selection for 14 days (200 -1000 µg mL-

¹). Stable RAW 264.7 cells were maintained in culture in the presence of 200 µg mL⁻¹ Zeocin. For

L. pneumophila infections, cells were seeded one day prior to infection in the absence of Zeocin.

Production of ectopic 3xFLAG-LegC4 was confirmed by Western blot and confocal microscopy

using α-FLAG antibodies (see below).

Western blot

Boiled protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride

(PVDF) membrane using a BioRad TransBlot semidry transfer apparatus. Membranes were incu-

bated in blocking buffer [5% non-fat milk powder dissolved in Tris-buffered saline-0.1% Tween-

20 (TBST)]. Primary antibodies [rabbit α-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804), rabbit α-Myc (Cell Sig-

naling, 2278S), rabbit α-GFP (Abcam, ab6556)] were used at 1:1,000 in blocking buffer and de-

tected with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000; Ther-

moFisher). Membranes were washed in TBST followed by addition of enhanced chemilumines-

cent (ECL) reagent (GE Amersham) and visualization using an Azure c300 Darkroom Replacer.

Immunoprecipitation

Transiently transfected HEK 293T cells or RAW 264.7 cells were washed with phosphate-buffered

saline and lysed in ice-cold NP-40 buffer [1% non-iodet P40 (v/v), 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 10 mM $Na_4P_2O_7$, 50 mM NaF, complete protease inhibitor (Roche)]. Lysates were clarified

and added to magnetic Protein G-conjugated Dynabeads that had been pre-incubated with either

mouse a-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma Aldrich) or rabbit a-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab6556) accord-

ing to manufacturer's instructions. Input samples were collected from cell lysates prior to incuba-

tion with beads. Beads and input samples were resuspended in 3x Laemmli sample buffer for

Western blot analysis.

Protein carbonyl assays

Protein carbonyls were quantified using the OxiSelect™ Protein Carbonyl ELISA Kit (Cell Biolabs)

following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, RAW 264.7 cells were seeded at $5x10^5$ in a 6-well

plate in DMEM 10% HIFBS. The next day, media were aspirated, and cells were incubated in

DMEM/2.5% HIFBS in the presence or absence of 10 μM H_2O_2. After 24 h, cells were washed

twice in PBS and lysed in ice-cold 500 μL of detergent-free lysis buffer [25 mM HEPES pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EDTA, 2% glycerol (v/v)] supplemented with complete pro-

tease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cell lysates were scraped into a pre-chilled microcentrifuge tube

and incubated with agitation for 30 min at 4˚C followed by sonication at 40% intensity three times

in 10 second intervals. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 4˚C for 20 min at 12,000 rpm.

Supernatants were transferred to a fresh tube, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for 2 min and stored

at -80˚C until use. Protein concentrations were quantified using a Coomassie Plus (Bradford)

Protein Assay (Pierce) and diluted to 10 μg mL^{-1}. Samples and standards were adsorbed to a 96-

well protein binding plate and ELISA was performed according to manufacturer's instructions.

Absorbance at 450 nm was quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader.

Protein carbonyls were visualized using the OxiSelect™ Protein Carbonyl Immunoblot Kit

(Cell Biolabs). *Tnrf1*$^{-/-}$ BMDMs were seeded in 24-well plates at $2.5x10^5$ one day prior to infection

with indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 50 in the presence or absence of 10 μM H_2O_2.

One hour after infection, cells were washed 3x with PBS$^{-/-}$, media were replaced and cells were

incubated for an additional 3 h in the presence or absence of H_2O_2. Cells were washed in ice-cold

PBS-/- and lysed in 120 μL ice-cold NP-40 lysis buffer (see above). Lysates were diluted in 3x

Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 10 min. Proteins were separated on a 4-20% gradient SDS-

PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membrane using a BioRad TransBlot semi-dry transfer appa-

ratus. Membranes were processed according to manufacturer's instructions using 10 min wash

steps. Blots were stripped and re-probed with rabbit a-b-actin antibody (1:1000; Cell Signaling

Technology) followed by goat-a-rabbit-HRP (1:5000; ThermoFisher). Blots were visualized as de-

scribed above and densitometry was performed using ImageJ.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis

Yeast two-hybrid screening was performed by Hybrigenics Services, S.A.S., Evry, France

(http://www.hybrigenics-services.com). The *legC4* coding sequence was PCR-amplified from

pSN85::*legC4* (Ngwaga et al., 2019)and cloned into pB66 as a C-terminal fusion to Gal4 DNA-

binding domain (Gal4-C4). The construct was checked by sequencing and used as a bait to screen

a random-primed Mouse Spleen library constructed into pP6. pB66 derives from the original

pAS2ΔΔ vector (Fromont-Racine et al., 1997) and pP6 is based on the pGADGH plasmid (Bartel

and Fields, 1995).

Sixty million clones (6-fold the complexity of the library) were screened using a mating

approach with YHGX13 (Y187 ade2-101::loxP-kanMX-loxP, mat-α) and CG1945 (mat-a) yeast

strains as previously described (Fromont-Racine et al., 1997). 303 His+ colonies were selected on

a medium lacking tryptophan, leucine and histidine, and supplemented with 2mM 3-aminotria-

zole to handle bait autoactivation. The prey fragments of the positive clones were amplified by

PCR and sequenced at their 5' and 3' junctions. The resulting sequences were used to identify the

corresponding interacting proteins in the GenBank database (NCBI) using a fully automated pro-

cedure. A confidence score (PBS, for Predicted Biological Score) was attributed to each interaction

as previously described (Formstecher et al., 2005).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

To quantify LAMP1-LCV co-localization and the number of *L. pneumophila* per LCV, $1x10^5$

BMDMs were seeded on poly-L-lysine-(PLL)coated glass coverslips in 24-well plates and infected

with *L. pneumophila* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 30 in triplicates. Coverslips were fixed

with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; ThermoFisher) and permeabilized in ice cold methanol. Co-

verslips were incubated with 1:1,000 rabbit α-*L. pneumophila* (Invitrogen, PA17227), rat α-

LAMP1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) primary antibodies and 1:500 Alexa 488-

conjugated goat α-rabbit and Alexa594-conjugated goat α-rat secondary antibodies (Ther-

moFisher) in blocking buffer [0.1% saponin (w/v), 1% HIFBS (v/v), 0.5% bovine serum albumin

(BSA; w/v)] in PBS. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (ThermoFisher) at 1:2,000. Coverslips were

mounted on glass slides with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher). LAMP1 localiza-

tion to LCVs were scored blind on a Leica DMiL LED inverted epifluorescence microscope (n=300

cells/strain).

For confocal microscopy, HeLa cells were seeded on PLL-coated coverslips and trans-

fected as described above. Coverslips were fixed in 4% PFA and processed as described above

using mouse α-FLAG M2 (Sigma; 1:1,000) and rabbit α-GFP (Abcam; ab6556; 1:5,000) primary

antibodies and Alexa546-conjugated goat α-mouse and Alexa488-conjugated goat α-rabbit anti-

bodies (ThermoFisher; 1:500). Images were captured on a Zeiss LSM-5 PASCAL laser scanning

confocal microscope. Pearson Correlation Coefficients were calculated (n=4) with Fiji software

and images were processed using Adobe Photoshop and Fiji software.

L. pneumophila intracellular growth curves

To quantify _L. pneumophila_ intracellular replication, macrophages were seeded in 24-well tissue

culture plates and infected in triplicates at an MOI of 1 the next day. BMDMs were seeded at

$2.5x10^5$ in seeding media (RPMI, 10% HIFBS, 7.5% L929 cell supernatant), THP-1 cells were

seeded at $5x10^5$ and differentiated with 100 nM PMA in RPMI 10% HIFBS for three days. Cells

were washed with PBS and incubated in the absence of PMA for one day prior to infection. Stable

RAW 264.7 cells were seeded at $2.5x10^5$ in DMEM 2.5%HIFBS. MH-S cells were cultured in RPMI

10% HIFBS and seeded at $2.5x10^5$. At 1 h post-infection, cells were washed 3x with PBS followed

by addition of fresh media. Macrophages were lysed in sterile water and colony forming units

(CFU) were enumerated at the indicated time points as described (Ngwaga et al., 2019). Where

indicated, 25 ng mL^{-1} rTNF (Gibco), 5 ng mL^{-1} rIFN-γ (Gibco), 10 μM H_2O_2 (VWR), 10 nM bafilo-

mycin A1 (BAF; ApexBio), or 1 μM MCC950 (ApexBio) were added at the time of infection and

maintained throughout. DMSO was used as a vehicle control where indicated. Fold replication

was quantified by normalizing colony forming units to internalized bacteria at 1h post-infection.

<u>Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)</u>

To quantify TNF secretion, 2.5 x 10^5 BMDMs were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates and

infected with the indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 10. After 1 h of infection, cells

were washed with PBS, and media were replaced. Supernatants were at 8 h post-infection and

used fresh or stored at -20˚C for up to 1 week and TNF was quantified using mouse TNF-

α ELISA MAX kit following manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance at 450 nm was quantified

on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader.

<u>Quantification of cell death and metabolic activity</u>

To quantify cell death, lactate dehydrogenase activity in cell supernatants was quantified. BMDMs

were seeded in triplicates at 2.5x10^5 in a 24-well tissue culture plate for 24 h and infected with the

indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 10 in 500 µL of seeding media (RPMP/10%

HIFBS/7.5% L929 cell supernatant) and incubated for the indicated times. Supernatants were

transferred to a 96-well plate and centrifuged at 200 r.c.f. for 10 min. LDH was quantified using

the CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega) according to manufacturer's in-

structions. Absorbance at 490 nm was quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader and per-

cent cytotoxicity was calculated by normalizing absorbance values to cells treated with lysis buffer.

Where indicated, cells were primed with 1 µM PAM3CSK4 (Tocris) for 24 h prior to infection.

To quantify cellular metabolic activity, an MTT colorimetric assay was used. BMDMs were

seeded in a 96-well tissue culture plate at 1x10^5 and infected the next day with the indicated *L.*

pneumophila strains at an MOI of 10. Cells were treated with 10 ng mL-1 rTNF and/or 10 µM

staurosporine (Abcam), as indicated. Cells were assayed using the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay

Kit (Colorimetric; BioVision) at 2 h and 24 h post-infection according to manufacturer's

instructions. Absorbance at 590 nm was quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader and

percent viability was calculated by normalizing absorbance values to uninfected cells (100% via-

bility).

<u>Statistical analysis</u>

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 9 software using either Students' *t*-test,

or two-way ANOVA, as indicated, with a 95% confidence interval, as indicated. Unless otherwise

indicated, data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (s.d.) and statistical analyses was per-

formed on samples in triplicates.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Mary Weber, Kalyani Pyaram, and Philip Hardwidge for critical feedback on the

manuscript and Dr. Russell Vance for femurs from *Casp1*^{-/-} mice and helpful discussions. We also

thank Andrew Haskell for assistance within blinded microscopic scoring of *L. pneumophila*-in-

fected cells. This work was funded by NIH/NIGMS P20GM130448 (to S.R.S.); Kansas State Uni-

versity Johnson Cancer Research Center Summer Stipend Award (to T.N.) and Faculty Expansion

Award (to S.R.S.); a Kansas-INBRE Postdoctoral Fellowship (P20GM103418 to D.C.); Kansas-

INBRE Semester Scholar Award (P20GM103418 to A.G.S.); and startup funds from Kansas State

University (to S.R.S.).

REFERENCES

Archer, K.A., and Roy, C.R. (2006). MyD88-Dependent Responses Involving Toll-Like Receptor 2 Are Important for Protection and Clearance of Legionella pneumophila in a Mouse Model of Legionnaires' Disease. Infect Immun *74*, 3325 3333. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.02049-05.

Asrat, S., Dugan, A.S., and Isberg, R.R. (2014). The frustrated host response to Legionella pneumophila is bypassed by MyD88-dependent translation of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Plos Pathog *10*, e1004229. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004229.

Bandyopadhyay, P., and Steinman, H.M. (2000). Catalase-Peroxidases of Legionella pneumophila: Cloning of the katA Gene and Studies of KatA Function. J Bacteriol *182*, 6679–6686. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.182.23.6679-6686.2000.

Bandyopadhyay, P., Byrne, B., Chan, Y., Swanson, M.S., and Steinman, H.M. (2003). Legionella pneumophila Catalase-Peroxidases Are Required for Proper Trafficking and Growth in Primary Macrophages. Infect Immun *71*, 4526–4535. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.71.8.4526-4535.2003.

Bartel, P.L., and Fields, S. (1995). [16] Analyzing protein-protein interactions using two-hybrid system. Methods Enzymol *254*, 241–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(95)54018-0.

Bergsbaken, T., Fink, S.L., and Cookson, B.T. (2009). Pyroptosis: host cell death and inflammation. Nat Rev Microbiol *7*, 99 109. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2070.

Byrne, B.G., Dubuisson, J.-F., Joshi, A.D., Persson, J.J., and Swanson, M.S. (2013). Inflammasome Components Coordinate Autophagy and Pyroptosis as Macrophage Responses to Infection. Mbio *4*, e00620-12. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00620-12.

Case, C.L., and Roy, C.R. (2013). Analyzing caspase-1 activation during Legionella pneumophila infection in macrophages. Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, NJ) *954*, 479 491. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-161-5_29.

Chauhan, D., and Shames, S.R. (2021). Pathogenicity and Virulence of Legionella: Intracellular replication and host response. Virulence *12*, 1122–1144. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.1903199.

Choy, A., Dancourt, J., Mugo, B., O'Connor, T.J., Isberg, R.R., Melia, T.J., and Roy, C.R. (2012). The Legionella effector RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible Atg8 deconjugation. Science *338*, 1072 1076. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227026.

Dikic, I. (2016). Proteasomal and Autophagy Degradation Systems. Annu Rev Biochem *86*, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044908.

Fabunmi, R.P., Wigley, W.C., Thomas, P.J., and DeMartino, G.N. (2001). Interferon gamma regulates accumulation of the proteasome activator PA28 and immunoproteasomes at nuclear PML bodies. J Cell Sci *114*, 29–36. .

Feeley, J.C., Gibson, R.J., Gorman, G.W., Langford, N.C., Rasheed, J.K., Mackel, D.C., and
Baine, W.B. (1979). Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneu-
mophila. Journal of Clinical Microbiology *10*, 437 441. .

Feuvre, R.A.L., Brough, D., Iwakura, Y., Takeda, K., and Rothwell, N.J. (2002). Priming of Mac-
rophages with Lipopolysaccharide Potentiates P2X7-mediated Cell Death via a Caspase-1-de-
pendent Mechanism, Independently of Cytokine Production*. J Biol Chem *277*, 3210–3218.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m104388200.

Fischer, N.L., Naseer, N., Shin, S., and Brodsky, I.E. (2019). Effector-triggered immunity and
pathogen sensing in metazoans. Nat Microbiol *5*, 14–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-
0623-2.

Flynn, K.J., and Swanson, M.S. (2014). Integrative Conjugative Element ICE-βox Confers Oxida-
tive Stress Resistance to Legionella pneumophila In Vitro and in Macrophages. Mbio *5*, e01091-
14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01091-14.

Fontana, M.F., Banga, S., Barry, K.C., Shen, X., Tan, Y., Luo, Z.-Q., and Vance, R.E. (2011). Se-
creted bacterial effectors that inhibit host protein synthesis are critical for induction of the in-
nate immune response to virulent Legionella pneumophila. Plos Pathog *7*, e1001289.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001289.

Formstecher, E., Aresta, S., Collura, V., Hamburger, A., Meil, A., Trehin, A., Reverdy, C., Betin,
V., Maire, S., Brun, C., et al. (2005). Protein interaction mapping: A Drosophila case study. Ge-
nome Res *15*, 376–384. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2659105.

Fromont-Racine, M., Rain, J.-C., and Legrain, P. (1997). Toward a functional analysis of the
yeast genome through exhaustive two-hybrid screens. Nat Genet *16*, 277–282.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0797-277.

Ghosh, S., and O'Connor, T.J. (2017). Beyond Paralogs: The Multiple Layers of Redundancy in
Bacterial Pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Mi *7*, 467. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00467.

Gomez-Valero, L., Rusniok, C., Carson, D., Mondino, S., Pérez-Cobas, A.E., Rolando, M.,
Pasricha, S., Reuter, S., Demirtas, J., Crumbach, J., et al. (2019). More than 18,000 effectors in
the Legionella genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in hu-
man cells. Proc National Acad Sci *116*, 2265 2273. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808016116.

Graaf, N. de, Helden, M.J.G. van, Textoris-Taube, K., Chiba, T., Topham, D.J., Kloetzel, P.-M.,
Zaiss, D.M.W., and Sijts, A.J.A.M. (2011). PA28 and the proteasome immunosubunits play a
central and independent role in the production of MHC class I-binding peptides in vivo. Eur J
Immunol *41*, 926–935. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201041040.

Hallermalm, K., Seki, K., Wei, C., Castelli, C., Rivoltini, L., Kiessling, R., and Levitskaya, J.
(2001). Tumor necrosis factor-α induces coordinated changes in major histocompatibility class I
presentation pathway, resulting in increased stability of class I complexes at the cell surface.
Blood *98*, 1108–1115. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.v98.4.1108.

Harada, T., Miyake, M., and Imai, Y. (2007). Evasion of Legionella pneumophila from the Bacte-
ricidal System by Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in Macrophages. Microbiol Immunol *51*, 1161–
1170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2007.tb04011.x.

Hugoson, E., Guliaev, A., Ammunét, T., and Guy, L. (2022). Host-adaptation in Legionellales is
1.9 Ga, coincident with eukaryogenesis. Mol Biol Evol https://doi.org/10.1093/mol-
bev/msac037.

Ingmundson, A., Delprato, A., Lambright, D.G., and Roy, C.R. (2007). Legionella pneumophila
proteins that regulate Rab1 membrane cycling. Nature *450*, 365–369.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06336.

Khweek, A.A., Caution, K., Akhter, A., Abdulrahman, B.A., Tazi, M., Hassan, H., Majumdar, N.,
Doran, A., Guirado, E., Schlesinger, L.S., et al. (2013). A bacterial protein promotes the recogni-
tion of the Legionella pneumophila vacuole by autophagy. Eur J Immunol *43*, 1333 1344.
https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201242835.

Lesne, J., Locard-Paulet, M., Parra, J., Zivković, D., Menneteau, T., Bousquet, M.-P., Burlet-
Schiltz, O., and Marcoux, J. (2020). Conformational maps of human 20S proteasomes reveal
PA28- and immuno-dependent inter-ring crosstalks. Nat Commun *11*, 6140.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19934-z.

Li, J., Powell, S.R., and Wang, X. (2010). Enhancement of proteasome function by PA28α
overexpression protects against oxidative stress. Faseb J Official Publ Fed Am Soc Exp Biology
25, 883–893. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.10-160895.

Liu, X., Boyer, M.A., Holmgren, A.M., and Shin, S. (2020). Legionella-Infected Macrophages
Engage the Alveolar Epithelium to Metabolically Reprogram Myeloid Cells and Promote Anti-
bacterial Inflammation. Cell Host Microbe https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.07.019.

MacMicking, J.D. (2012). Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cell-autonomous immun-
ity. Nat Rev Immunol *12*, 367 382. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3210.

Marra, A., Blander, S.J., Horwitz, M.A., and Shuman, H.A. (1992). Identification of a Legionella
pneumophila locus required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Proc Na-
tional Acad Sci *89*, 9607–9611. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.20.9607.

Matsumoto, N., and Nakanishi-Matsui, M. (2019). Proton pumping V-ATPase inhibitor bafilo-
mycin A1 affects Rab7 lysosomal localization and abolishes anterograde trafficking of osteoclast
secretory lysosomes. Biochem Bioph Res Co *510*, 421–426.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.01.118.

Mitchell*, G., Chen*, C., and Portnoy, D.A. (2016). Strategies Used by Bacteria to Grow in Mac-
rophages. Microbiol Spectr *4*. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.mchd-0012-2015.

Molofsky, A.B., Byrne, B.G., Whitfield, N.N., Madigan, C.A., Fuse, E.T., Tateda, K., and Swan-
son, M.S. (2006). Cytosolic recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts Legionella
pneumophila infection. J Exp Medicine *203*, 1093 1104. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20051659.

Mott, J.D., Pramanik, B.C., Moomaw, C.R., Afendis, S.J., DeMartino, G.N., and Slaughter, C.A.
(1994). PA28, an activator of the 20 S proteasome, is composed of two nonidentical but homolo-
gous subunits. J Biological Chem *269*, 31466–31471. .

Naujoks, J., Tabeling, C., Dill, B.D., Hoffmann, C., Brown, A.S., Kunze, M., Kempa, S., Peter, A.,
Mollenkopf, H.-J., Dorhoi, A., et al. (2016). IFNs Modify the Proteome of Legionella-Containing
Vacuoles and Restrict Infection Via IRG1-Derived Itaconic Acid. Plos Pathog *12*, e1005408.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005408.

Ngwaga, T., Hydock, A.J., Ganesan, S., and Shames, S.R. (2019). Potentiation of Cytokine-Medi-
ated Restriction of Legionella Intracellular Replication by a Dot/Icm-Translocated Effector. J
Bacteriol *201*, 13. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00755-18.

Ngwaga, T., Chauhan, D., and Shames, S.R. (2021). Mechanisms of Effector-Mediated Immunity
Revealed by the Accidental Human Pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Front Cell Infect Mi *10*,
593823. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.593823.

Orvedahl, A., McAllaster, M.R., Sansone, A., Dunlap, B.F., Desai, C., Wang, Y.-T., Balce, D.R.,
Luke, C.J., Lee, S., Orchard, R.C., et al. (2019). Autophagy genes in myeloid cells counteract
IFNγ-induced TNF-mediated cell death and fatal TNF-induced shock. Proc National Acad Sci
116, 16497–16506. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1822157116.

Pandey, U.B., Nie, Z., Batlevi, Y., McCray, B.A., Ritson, G.P., Nedelsky, N.B., Schwartz, S.L., Di-
Prospero, N.A., Knight, M.A., Schuldiner, O., et al. (2007). HDAC6 rescues neurodegeneration
and provides an essential link between autophagy and the UPS. Nature *447*, 860–864.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05853.

Park, J.M., Ghosh, S., and O'Connor, T.J. (2020). Combinatorial selection in amoebal hosts
drives the evolution of the human pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Nat Microbiol 1–11.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0663-7.

Pickering, A.M., Koop, A.L., Teoh, C.Y., Ermak, G., Grune, T., and Davies, K.J.A. (2010). The im-
munoproteasome, the 20S proteasome and the PA28αβ proteasome regulator are oxidative-
stress-adaptive proteolytic complexes. Biochem J *432*, 585–594.
https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20100878.

Preckel, T., Fung-Leung, W.-P., Cai, Z., Vitiello, A., Salter-Cid, L., Winqvist, O., Wolfe, T.G.,
Herrath, M.V., Angulo, A., Ghazal, P., et al. (1999). Impaired Immunoproteasome Assembly and
Immune Responses in PA28–/– Mice. Science *286*, 2162–2165. https://doi.org/10.1126/sci-
ence.286.5447.2162.

Price, C.T.D., Hanford, H.E., Vashishta, A., Ozanic, M., Santic, M., Uriarte, S., and Kwaik, Y.A.
(2021). Dot/Icm-Dependent Restriction of Legionella pneumophila within Neutrophils. Mbio
12, e01008-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01008-21.

Ren, T., Zamboni, D.S., Roy, C.R., Dietrich, W.F., and Vance, R.E. (2006). Flagellin-deficient Le-
gionella mutants evade caspase-1- and Naip5-mediated macrophage immunity. Plos Pathog *2*,
e18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0020018.

Rolando, M., Escoll, P., Nora, T., Botti, J., Boitez, V., Bedia, C., Daniels, C., Abraham, G., Sto-
gios, P.J., Skarina, T., et al. (2016a). Legionella pneumophila S1P-lyase targets host sphingolipid
metabolism and restrains autophagy. Proc National Acad Sci *113*, 1901 1906.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522067113.

Rolando, M., Escoll, P., and Buchrieser, C. (2016b). Legionella pneumophila restrains autophagy
by modulating the host's sphingolipid metabolism. Autophagy *12*, 1053–1054.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2016.1166325.

Roy, C.R., Berger, K.H., and Isberg, R.R. (1998). Legionella pneumophila DotA protein is re-
quired for early phagosome trafficking decisions that occur within minutes of bacterial uptake.
Mol Microbiol *28*, 663–674. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00841.x.

Saito, A., Rolfe, R.D., Edelstein, P.H., and Finegold, S.M. (1981). Comparison of liquid growth
media for Legionella pneumophila. Journal of Clinical Microbiology *14*, 623 627. .

Seifert, U., Bialy, L.P., Ebstein, F., Bech-Otschir, D., Voigt, A., Schröter, F., Prozorovski, T.,
Lange, N., Steffen, J., Rieger, M., et al. (2010). Immunoproteasomes Preserve Protein Homeo-
stasis upon Interferon-Induced Oxidative Stress. Cell *142*, 613–624.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.036.

Shames, S.R., Deng, W., Guttman, J.A., Hoog, C.L. de, Li, Y., Hardwidge, P.R., Sham, H.P., Val-
lance, B.A., Foster, L.J., and Finlay, B.B. (2010). The pathogenic E. coli type III effector EspZ in-
teracts with host CD98 and facilitates host cell prosurvival signalling. Cell Microbiol *12*, 1322
1339. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01470.x.

Shames, S.R., Liu, L., Havey, J.C., Schofield, W.B., Goodman, A.L., and Roy, C.R. (2017). Multi-
ple Legionella pneumophila effector virulence phenotypes revealed through high-throughput
analysis of targeted mutant libraries. Proc National Acad Sci *63*, 201708553.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708553114.

Shin, J.N., Fattah, E.A., Bhattacharya, A., Ko, S., and Eissa, N.T. (2013). Inflammasome Activa-
tion by Altered Proteostasis*. J Biol Chem *288*, 35886–35895.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m113.514919.

Soza, A., Knuehl, C., Groettrup, M., Henklein, P., Tanaka, K., and Kloetzel, P.-M. (1997). Expres-
sion and subcellular localization of mouse 20S proteasome activator complex PA28. Febs Lett
413, 27–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(97)00864-8.

Sturgill-Koszycki, S., and Swanson, M.S. (2000). Legionella pneumophila Replication Vacuoles
Mature into Acidic, Endocytic Organelles. J Exp Medicine *192*, 1261–1272.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.192.9.1261.

Swanson, M.S., and Isberg, R.R. (1995). Association of Legionella pneumophila with the macro-
phage endoplasmic reticulum. Infection and Immunity *63*, 3609 3620. .

West, A.P., Brodsky, I.E., Rahner, C., Woo, D.K., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., Walsh,
M.C., Choi, Y., Shadel, G.S., and Ghosh, S. (2011). TLR signalling augments macrophage bacteri-
cidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. Nature *472*, 476 480. https://doi.org/10.1038/na-
ture09973.

Wilk, S., Chen, W.-E., and Magnusson, R.P. (2000). Properties of the Beta Subunit of the Pro-
teasome Activator PA28 (11S REG). Arch Biochem Biophys *384*, 174–180.
https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.2000.2112.

Xie, S.C., Metcalfe, R.D., Hanssen, E., Yang, T., Gillett, D.L., Leis, A.P., Morton, C.J., Kuiper,
M.J., Parker, M.W., Spillman, N.J., et al. (2019). The structure of the PA28-20S proteasome
complex from Plasmodium falciparum and implications for proteostasis. Nat Microbiol *4*, 1990–
2000. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0524-4.

Ziltener, P., Reinheckel, T., and Oxenius, A. (2016). Neutrophil and Alveolar Macrophage-Medi-
ated Innate Immune Control of Legionella pneumophila Lung Infection via TNF and ROS. Plos
Pathog *12*, e1005591. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005591.

Figure 1. LegC4 attenuates *L. pneumophila* replication within cytokine-activated macrophages and the mouse lung. Growth of indicated *L. pneumophila* strains within **(A)** WT BMDMs, **(B)** THP-1 cells, **(C)** *Myd88*^{-/-} BMDMs, **(D)** *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs, or **(E)** *Ifngr1*^{-/-} BMDMs over 48 h. Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Macrophages were infected in triplicates and data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (s.d.). Asterisks denote statistical significance by Student's *t*-test (*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$) and data are representative of three independent experiments. ΔΔ: *ΔflaAΔlegC4*. **(F)** Competitive index (CI) of *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pJB*legC4*) or *ΔflaAΔlegC4* (pJB) versus *L. pneumophila ΔflaA* in the lungs of the indicated at 48 h post-infection. Mice were infected with a total of 5x10⁶ bacteria in a 1:1 mixture and CI was calculated as

826   described (see Materials and Methods). Each symbol represents an individual animal and aster-

827   isks denote statistical significance by Two-way ANOVA (**P<0.001, *P<0.05). Data are repre-

828   sentative of three independent experiments. ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*

Figure 2. LegC4 interacts with PA28α and PA28αβ is important for LegC4-mediated restriction.

(A) Western blot of co-immunoprecipitation of PA28α-Myc with 3xFLAG-LegC4 on a-FLAG con-

jugated magnetic beads (**A**) or 3xFLAG-LegC4 with GFP-PA28α on a-GFP-conjugated magnetic

beads **(B)** from lysates of transfected HEK 293T cells. Data are representative of two independent

experiments. **(C)** Confocal microscopy to image HeLa cells co-transfected to ectopically produce

3xFLAG-LegC4 (red) and either GFP-PA28α or GFP (green). Scale bar represents 10 μm. (D) Con-

focal micrograph of HeLa cells producing GFP-PA28α (arrowhead) or GFP-PA28α and 3xFLAG-

LegC4 (arrows). Scale bar represents 50 μm. **(E)** Pearson Correlation Coefficients for cells pro-

ducing 3xFLAG-LegC4 and either GFP-PA28α or GFP alone (n=4). PCC ≥ 0.7 (dashed line) indi-

cates a high confidence association. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' t-test

(**P<0.01). Data shown are representative of two independent experiments. **(F)** Fold replication

of indicated *L. pneumophila* strains within WT (left) or *Psme1/2*$^{-/-}$ (right) or BMDMs. Plasmid

expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Macrophages were infected in triplicates and

data are presented as mean ± s.d. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' t-test

(**P<0.01). Data shown are representative of three independent experiments. ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*

Figure 3. LegC4 impairs resolution of oxidative stress, which is sufficient for *L. pneumophila*

restriction. **(A)** Confocal microscopy to visualize 3xFLAG-LegC4 (red) production by stable

RAW 264.7 cells (RAW-LegC4). Mock transfected cells (RAW-Cntrl) were used as a control and

nuclei were imaged with Hoechst. Scale bar represents 10 μm. **(B)** Western blot analysis of

3xFLAG-LegC4 immunoprecipitated from lysates of RAW-LegC4, RAW-Cntrl or untransfected

(UT) RAW cells using α-FLAG-conjugated beads. Arrow indicates 3xFLAG-LegC4 (~84 kDa).

(C) Growth of *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* within RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl cells. Data shown repre-

sentative of three experiments. **(D)** ELISA quantification of protein carbonyls in lysates of

RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl cells incubated for 24 h in the presence or absence of 10 μM H_2O_2. Data

are representative of two independent experiments. **(E)** Western blot for protein carbonyls and

actin (loading control) in lysates of *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs infected for 4 h with the indicated strains at

an MOI of 50. ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*; plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG.

Data are representative of three independent experiments. **(F)** Fold replication of *L. pneumoph-*

ila strains at 48 h post-infection within *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs in the presence or absence of 10 μM

H_2O_2 as indicated. Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Data are repre-

sentative of three independent experiments. **(G-I)** Fold replication of *L. pneumophila* (*L.p.*)

Δ*flaA* within WT or *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs untreated **(G)** or treated with **(H)** 5 ng mL^{-1} rIFN-γ or

(I) 25 ng mL^{-1} rTNF. Macrophages were infected in triplicates and data are presented as mean ±

s.d. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01). All quantitative data

are presented as mean ± s.d. from samples in triplicates. Asterisks denote statistical significance

by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01; ns., not significant). Data are representative of two independent

experiments. ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*

Figure 4. LegC4 induces lysosomal fusion with LCVs. Blinded immunofluorescence scoring of LAMP1 localization to LCVs within BMDMs derived from WT **(A)**, *Tnfr1*$^{-/-}$ **(B)**, or *Psme1/2*$^{-/-}$ **(C)** mice after 9 h of infection. Macrophages were infected in triplicates (*n*=300 per strain; moi of 30) and LAMP1 localization to LCVs was quantified over two independent experiments. Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**$P<0.01$; ns, not significant). **(D)** Growth of *L. pneumophila* strains within WT BMDMs treated with 10 nM bafilomycin A1 (BAF) (left panel) or DMSO (vehicle control; right panel). Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**$P<0.01$). All data are presented as mean ± s.d. of samples in triplicates and are representative of three independent experiments. ΔΔ: *ΔflaAΔlegC4*