Effector-mediated subversion of proteasome activator (PA)28αβ enhances host defense

against *Legionella pneumophila* under inflammatory and oxidative stress conditions

Tshegofatso Ngwaga[1], Deepika Chauhan[1,#,¶], Abigail G. Salberg[1,¶], and Stephanie R.

Shames[1,2]*

[1]Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

[2]Department of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing,

Michigan, USA

[#]Current address: Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, University of Missouri, Columbia,
Missouri, USA
13

*Corresponding author:

Email: shames@msu.edu

Phone: (517) 884-5364

Short title: PA28αβ- and effector-mediated host defense

Keywords: PA28, proteasome, phagolysosomal fusion, cytokines, cell-autonomous immunity, *Le-*

gionella pneumophila, LegC4, proteostasis, oxidative stress

[¶]these authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Legionella pneumophila is a natural pathogen of amoebae that causes Legionnaires' Disease in immunocompromised individuals via replication within macrophages. *L. pneumophila* virulence and intracellular replication hinges on hundreds of Dot/Icm-translocated effector proteins, which are essential for biogenesis of the replication permissive *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV). However, effector activity can also enhance mammalian host defense via effector-triggered immunity. The *L. pneumophila* effector LegC4 is important for virulence in amoebae but enhances host defense against *L. pneumophila* in the mouse lung and, uniquely, within macrophages activated with either tumor necrosis factor (TNF) or interferon (IFN)-γ. The mechanism by which LegC4 potentiates cytokine-mediated host defense in macrophages is unknown. Here, we found that LegC4 enhances cytokine-mediated phagolysosomal fusion with *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) and binds host proteasome activator (PA)28α, which forms a heterooligomer with PA28β to facilitate ubiquitin-independent proteasomal degradation of oxidant-damaged (carbonylated) proteins. We found that oxidative stress was sustained in the presence of LegC4 and that the LegC4 restriction phenotype was relieved in PA28$\alpha\beta$-deficient macrophages and in the lungs of mice *in vivo*. Our data also show that oxidative stress is sufficient for LegC4-mediated restriction in macrophages producing PA28$\alpha\beta$. PA28$\alpha\beta$ has been traditionally associated with antigen presentation; however, our data support a novel mechanism whereby effector-mediated subversion of PA28$\alpha\beta$ enhances cell-autonomous host defense against *L. pneumophila* under inflammatory and oxidative stress conditions. This work provides a solid foundation to evaluate induced proteasome regulators as mediators of innate immunity.

Author Summary

Pro-inflammatory cytokines induce antimicrobial host defense pathways within macrophages to

control intracellular pathogens. We discovered that the *Legionella pneumophila* effector protein

LegC4 potentiates pathogen clearance within cytokine-activated macrophages. Here, we show a

central role for host proteasome activator (PA)28αβ in LegC4 restriction. PA28αβ is upregulated

by cytokine signaling and under oxidative stress conditions to facilitate proteasomal degradation

of oxidant-damaged proteins. We found that LegC4 binds PA28αβ and that LegC4 restriction was

lost in PA28αβ-deficient (*Psme1/2*[-/-]) macrophages and a mouse model of Legionnaires' Disease

in vivo. Furthermore, oxidative stress was sustained in the presence of LegC4 and was sufficient

for LegC4 restriction in PA28αβ-producing cells macrophages. Finally, we found that *L. pneu-*

mophila replication was attenuated within PA28αβ-deficient macrophages irrespective of LegC4.

These findings support a model whereby subversion of host proteostasis machinery triggers cell-

autonomous host defense within macrophages under inflammatory and oxidative stress condi-

tions.

Introduction

Many intracellular bacterial pathogens cause disease by replicating within host macrophages [1]. However, macrophages activated by T_H1 proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interferon (IFN)-γ, are potently and broadly microbicidal. However, activated macrophages and inflamed tissues are in a state of altered protein homeostasis (proteostasis), owing in part to robust production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which cause collateral damage to cellular proteins. To cope with this oxidative stress, cytokine signaling and ROS upregulate proteasome activator (PA)28$\alpha\beta$, which engages proteolytic 20S proteasome core particles (CPs) to facilitate rapid ubiquitin-independent degradation of oxidant-damaged proteins [2]. Impaired proteasome activity and proteotoxic stress trigger compensatory upregulation of lysosomal degradation pathways, which are central to cell-autonomous immunity within activated macrophages [3]. PA28$\alpha\beta$ has been traditionally associated with antigen presentation and adaptive immunity [4,5]; however, a role for PA28$\alpha\beta$ in cell-autonomous antimicrobial innate host defense has not been established.

Legionella pneumophila is a natural pathogen of amoebae and accidental human pathogen that causes Legionnaires' Disease pneumonia in immunocompromised individuals that results from bacterial replication within alveolar macrophages [6]. *L. pneumophila* replication within macrophages is a direct consequence of extensive co-evolution with natural host amoebae [7–9]; however, *L. pneumophila* rarely transmits person-to-person and is rapidly cleared by acute pathogen-centric innate immune responses in healthy hosts [10–12]. To establish its intracellular niche, the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), *L. pneumophila* translocates hundreds of virulence factors, called effector proteins, into infected host cells by a Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (T4SS) [6,13,14]. Effectors are essential for virulence, but detection of their activity within host cells can induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production via effector-triggered immunity (ETI) [10,15–17].

Pro-inflammatory cytokines activate and potentiate the antimicrobial capacity of infected macro-

phages and are central to host defense against *L. pneumophila* [18,19]. Thus, *L. pneumophila* is

a well-established model pathogen to study mechanisms of pathogen-centric and effector-medi-

ated antimicrobial innate immunity.

We discovered that the *L. pneumophila* effector LegC4 induces a unique mechanism of effector-

mediated host defense by potentiating the antimicrobial activity of activated macrophages [20].

LegC4 host defense by confers a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* in a mouse model of

Legionnaires' Disease, likely resulting from elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the

lung since a growth defect is only observed within cultured macrophages when activated with

exogenous TNF or IFN-γ [20,21]. The evolutionary basis for retention of LegC4 by *L. pneumophila*

stems from its importance for replication within its natural host, *Acanthamoeba castellanii* [21].

Thus, LegC4 confers quantifiable opposing phenotypes in natural (protozoa) and 'accidental'

(mammalian) hosts, which is noteworthy since the majority of *L. pneumophila* effectors are func-

tionally redundant in laboratory models and have no obvious growth phenotypes [21,22]. The

specific augmentation of cytokine-mediated restriction by LegC4 represents a potentially novel

mechanism of ETI, but how LegC4 functions and potentiates host defense against *L. pneumophila*

is unknown.

Here, we investigated the mechanism of LegC4 restriction within macrophages and found a cen-

tral role for PA28$\alpha\beta$ and oxidative stress. We found a central role for PA28$\alpha\beta$, phagolysosomal

fusion, and oxidative stress in LegC4-mediated restriction. LegC4 enhanced cytokine-dependent

phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs and perpetuated oxidative stress. LegC4 bound host PA28α *in*

vitro and changed the subcellular localization of PA28α within infected and transfected cells.

LegC4 restriction phenotypes were relieved in PA28$\alpha\beta$-deficient (*Psme1/2$^{-/-}$*) BMDMs and the

fitness advantage associated with Δ*legC4* mutant bacteria *in vivo* was abolished in *Psme1/2*[-/-]

mice. The abundance of oxidant-damaged proteins was increased in the presence of LegC4 and

oxidative stress was sufficient to impair replication of LegC4-producing of *L. pneumophila* in

BMDMs producing PA28αβ. Importantly, *L. pneumophila* replication was attenuated within

Psme1/2[-/-] BMDMs irrespective LegC4, suggesting that impaired PA28αβ activity is sufficient to

augment host defense in macrophages and is the mechanism driving LegC4 restriction. Overall,

our data support a model whereby PA28α targeting by a translocated effector protein perturbs

proteostasis and enhances cell-autonomous restriction of *L. pneumophila* within activated mac-

rophages. These data are the first to uncover a role for PA28αβ in innate host defense against

an intracellular bacterial pathogen and reveal a novel mechanism by which the antimicrobial ac-

tivity of macrophages can be augmented under inflammatory and oxidative stress conditions.

Results

LegC4 confers a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* in cytokine-activated macro-

phages and in a mouse model of Legionnaires' Disease. We previously reported that LegC4

confers a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* in intranasal C57Bl/6 mouse models of Legion-

naires' Disease (LD) and within cytokine-activated macrophages [20,21]. C57Bl/6 mice are re-

strictive to *L. pneumophila* due to flagellin (FlaA)-mediated activation of the NAIP5/NLRC4 inflam-

masome [23,24]. Thus, to study FlaA-independent host responses within C57Bl/6 mice, we lev-

erage FlaA-deficient *L. pneumophila* (Δ*flaA*) strains. We found that *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*

(herein called ΔΔ) mutant strains outcompeted parental Δ*flaA* strains in the lungs of C57Bl/6 wild-

type (WT) mice [20] but a growth phenotype for *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ was only observed within

cultured mouse primary bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) activated with exogenous

recombinant (r)TNF or rIFN-γ [20]. However, autocrine and paracrine TNF produced by cultured

BMDMs is sufficient to attenuate *L. pneumophila* strains induced to overexpress *legC4* from a

complementing multicopy plasmid in naïve WT BMDMs [20]. Importantly, this phenotype was

specific to intracellularly growing bacteria since *L. pneumophila* growth in broth *in vitro* is unaf-

fected by overexpression of *legC4* [20]. Thus, LegC4 confers a growth defect on *L. pneumophila*

in a dose-dependent manner within cytokine-activated macrophages.

We confirmed our previous results and found that overexpression of *legC4* was sufficient

to impair *L. pneumophila* replication within WT BMDMs since *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) repli-

cation was significantly attenuated compared to both the *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* parental strain and

L. pneumophila ΔΔ harboring an empty plasmid vector (pEV) (**Fig 1A**). Impaired replication was

dependent on TNF signaling since overexpression of *legC4* did not impair growth within BMDMs

unable to produce TNF (*Myd88*$^{-/-}$; **Fig 1B**) or signal through TNFR1 (*Tnfr1*$^{-/-}$; **Fig 1C**). IFN-γ sig-

naling is sufficient for LegC4 restriction [20]; however, the LegC4 restriction phenotype was re-

tained within *Ifngr1*$^{-/-}$ BMDMs (**Fig 1D**), indicating that the relatively low levels of IFN-γ secreted

by macrophages are not sufficient for restriction. Importantly, we found that LegC4 restriction is

not specific to mouse macrophages since both *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ (p*legC4*) were im-

paired for replication compared to the ΔΔ (pEV) strain in PMA-differentiated human THP-1 mac-

rophages (**Fig 1E**). Thus, LegC4 confers a dose-dependent virulence defect on *L. pneumophila*

within mouse and human macrophages.

Cytokine signaling drives LegC4 growth defects in cultured BMDMs, but the role of cyto-

kine signaling *in vivo* is unclear. We evaluated bacterial fitness by calculating competitive index

values for mice infected with a 1:1 mixture of *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* and either *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ

(pEV) or ΔΔ (p*legC4*) for 48 h (see *Materials & Methods*). We confirmed our previous results

showing that LegC4 confers a dose-dependent fitness defect on *L. pneumophila* in the lungs of

WT mice since *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* was outcompeted by *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pEV) but was able

to outcompete *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pJB*legC4*; **Fig 1F**) [20]. We also confirmed that loss of TNFR1

is insufficient to abolish the *legC4* mutant fitness advantage (**Fig 1F**) and obtained similar results

in the lungs of IFNGR1- and MyD88-deficient mice (**Fig 1F**). However, the fitness phenotype

associated with loss LegC4 was less pronounced in the lungs of *Myd88^-/-* mice compared to WT,

Tnfr1^-/- and *Ifngr1^-/-* mice (**Fig 1F**), which is likely due to the relatively low levels of MyD88-

indpendent IFN-γ produced in the *L. pneumophila*-infected lung [25]. Furthermore, the fitness dis-

advantage associated with overexpression of *legC4* was less pronounced in the lungs of MyD88-

, IFNGR1- and TNFR1-deficient mice compared to WT mice (**Fig 1F**). These data support our

previous work showing that LegC4 augments redundant cytokine-mediated pathogen restriction

mechanisms [20]. Overexpression of *legC4* is clearly not necessary to observe a phenotype; how-

ever, based on the narrow phenotypic window in BMDMs activated with exogenous cytokine, this

infection model is useful for our goal to define how LegC4 potentiates cytokine-mediated re-

striction within macrophages

LegC4 induces robust phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs BMDMs. LegC4 attenuates *L.*

pneumophila replication within cytokine-activated BMDMs; however, the mechanism of bacterial

restriction is unknown. Cytokine signaling enhances phagolysosomal fusion with intracellular

pathogens [26–29]; thus, we tested the hypothesis that LegC4 restriction involves lysosomal tar-

geting of *L. pneumophila*. Virulent *L. pneumophila* establish a replication-permissive compartment

called the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) by blocking endocytic maturation of their phago-

some [30,31]. Avirulent Dot/Icm-deficient *L. pneumophila* (ΔdotA) are unable to establish a repli-

cative LCV and phagosomes rapidly mature to endolysosomes, as evidenced by presence of

lysosomal membrane protein LAMP1 [30]. We initially tested the hypothesis that LegC4 induces

phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs by blinded immunofluorescence scoring of LAMP1+ LCVs

within BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* strains for 9h. Using our *legC4* overexpression

model, we observed robust LegC4-dependent phagolysosomal fusion with significantly more

LAMP1+ *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) LCVs were noted relative to both *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* or

ΔΔ (pEV) control strains (**Fig 2A**). Strikingly, the abundance of LAMP1+ LCVs within WT BMDMs

infected with *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) did not differ from cells infected with the avirulent Δ*dotA*

control strain (**Fig 2A**). Moreover, robust LegC4-mediated phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs was

significantly suppressed within TNFR1-deficient BMDMs (**Fig 2A**) since *Tnfr1*$^{-/-}$ BMDMs infected

with *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) harbored significantly fewer LAMP1$^+$ LCVs than those infected

with the Δ*dotA* control strain (**Fig 2A**). Interestingly, loss of TNFR1-mediated signaling did not

fully abolish phagolysosomal fusion with *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) LCVs, but our growth curve

data suggest that this is not sufficient for detectable differences in intracellular replication (**Fig**

1C).

Since endogenous LegC4 suppresses *L. pneumophila* replication within BMDMs activated

with exogenous rTNF [20], we hypothesized that endogenous LegC4 would also be sufficient to

induce phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs in rTNF-treated BMDMs. Indeed, more LAMP1$^+$ LCVs

were present in rTNF-treated BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* compared to *L. pneu-*

mophila ΔΔ (**Fig 2B**). Together, these data show that LegC4 augments phagolysosomal fusion

with LCVs in cytokine-activated BMDMs.

Pharmacological inhibition of the H$^+$-type vacuolar (v)ATPase abolishes LegC4 restriction

in BMDMs. We subsequently tested whether lysosomal acidification contributes to LegC4-medi-

ated restriction by quantifying *L. pneumophila* replication within BMDMs treated with Bafilomycin

A1 (BAF). BAF is a pharmacological inhibitor of the H$^+$-type vacuolar (v)-ATPase, which drives

vacuolar acidification and phagolysosomal fusion [32]. Thus, we tested a role for vATPase activity

in LegC4 restriction by quantifying *L. pneumophila* replication within BAF-treated BMDMs. LCV

acidification is important for late stages of the *L. pneumophila* lifecycle [33]; however, intracellular

replication is minimally impacted within BMDMs treated with a low concentration (≤12.5 nM) of

BAF [34]. We found that *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) replication did not differ from *L. pneumophila*

Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ (pEV) strains within BMDMs treated with 10 nM BAF (**Fig 2C, left**). However, as

expected, *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) replication was significantly attenuated compared to Δ*flaA*

and ΔΔ (pEV) within vehicle (DMSO)-treated BMDMs (**Fig 2C, right**). These data further support

a role for lysosomes in LegC4-mediated restriction.

LegC4 interacts with host proteasome activator (PA)28α. To gain insight into the mechanism

of LegC4 function, we identified LegC4 binding partners by yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) using LegC4

as bait and 30,000 clones of fragmented genomic (g)DNA from mouse splenocytes as prey (Hy-

brigenics, Inc., see *Materials & Methods*). Our screen revealed interactions between LegC4 and

several regulators of eukaryotic proteasomes, including proteasome activator (PA)28α, PA28γ,

and Ecm29 (**Table S1**). The highest-confidence interactor was PA28α with 28 individual clones,

all of which encoded a minimal overlapping region in the PA28α C-terminal domain (amino acid

residues 105-249) (**Table S1**; **Fig S1**). These data suggest a direct interaction between LegC4

and PA28α, which is conserved in amoebae and upregulated by cytokine signaling in macro-

phages.

We sought to validate our Y2H data using reciprocal affinity purification, co-immunopre-

cipitation (IP), and confocal microscopy. We evaluated direct binding between recombinant

PA28α and LegC4 by reciprocal affinity chromatography on GST- or His$_6$-Myc-tagged fusion pro-

teins produced in *E. coli.* Using glutathione agarose beads, we found that GST-LegC4 retained

Myc-PA28α (**Fig 3A**) and GST-PA28α retained Myc-LegC4 (**Fig 3B**). PA28α did not interact with

either GST alone or the unrelated *L. pneumophila* effector Lgt1 (**Fig 3A-B**), known to target eu-

karyotic elongation factor 1A [35,36], which indicates that PA28α does not bind non-specifically

to *L. pneumophila* effectors. To determine if PA28α and LegC4 interact in mammalian cells, we

co-transfected HEK293T cells to transiently produce epitope-tagged 3xFLAG-LegC4 and either

PA28α-Myc or GFP-PA28α fusion proteins. Ectopic expression of PA28α was necessary in these

experiments since it is expressed only in response to cytokine signaling [37,38]. Using α-FLAG

and α-GFP pull-downs, we found that PA28α-Myc co-immunoprecipitated with 3xFLAG-LegC4

(**Fig 3C**) and that 3xFLAG-LegC4 co-immunoprecipitated with GFP-PA28α (**Fig 3D**), respectively.

Together, these data show that LegC4 binds PA28α.

We further evaluated the biological relevance of LegC4-PA28α binding by evaluating pro-

tein localization in cells by confocal microscopy. Ectopically produced 3xFLAG-LegC4 co-local-

ized with GFP-PA28α within transiently transfected HeLa cells since calculated Pearson Correla-

tion Coefficients (PCC) indicated a significant positive correlation between 3xFLAG-LegC4 and

GFP-PA28α (Mean PCC = 0.798 ± 0.054) compared to 3xFLAG-LegC4 and GFP (Mean PCC =

0.546 ± 0.196) (**Fig 3E-F**). Interestingly, LegC4 modulated PA28α subcellular localization since

GFP-PA28α localized to the nucleus in the absence of LegC4 (**Fig 3E**; **Fig 3G, arrowheads**) but

was cytosolically localized within cells co-expressing LegC4 **(Fig 3E; Fig 3G, arrows**) [39]. We

subsequently tested whether PA28α localization is influenced by Dot/Icm-translocated LegC4

within *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs. WT BMDMs were infected with *L. pneumophila ΔflaA*,

ΔΔ, or Δ*dotA* for 7.5 h, at which time a replication-competent LCV is established. Fixed cells were

permeabilized and stained with α-*L. pneumophila* and α-PA28α antibodies and Hoechst (DNA)

and imaged by confocal microscopy. Endogenous PA28α is constitutively produced in immune

cells so can be imaged in BMDMs. We found that PA28α was primarily cytosolic within BMDMs

infected with *L. pneumophila ΔflaA*, but localized robustly to the nucleus of BMDMs infected with

either *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ or Δ*dotA* (**Fig 3H, white arrowheads**). Interestingly, we PA28α was

present on LCVs harboring *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ but not Δ*flaA* or Δ*dotA* control strains (**Fig 3H,**

blue arrowheads and inset). Although altered PA28α localization mediated by translocated

LegC4 in naïve infected BMDMs was not sufficient for LegC4 restriction or phagolysosomal fusion

in naïve WT BMDMs (Fig 1A, Fig 2B), these data further support a biologically relevant interaction

between LegC4 and PA28α, which has not previously identified as a target of bacterial effectors.

LegC4 restriction phenotypes are suppressed in PA28α-deficient BMDMs. Based on the interaction between PA28α and LegC4, we tested the hypothesis that PA28α contributes to LegC4-mediated restriction of *L. pneumophila*. PA28α-deficient (*Psme1*^{-/-}) mice are not commercially available, so we evaluated the role of PA28α using BMDMs derived from commercially-available PA28αβ-deficient (*Psme1/2*[-/-]) mice [5]. PA28α associates with PA28β to form the PA28αβ (11S) proteasome regulator [40]. PA28β is homologous to PA28α but is dependent on PA28α for activity and is hypothesized to enhance the interaction of PA28α with 20S proteolytic proteasome core particles (CPs) [41]. Thus, we evaluated the role of PA28α in LegC4 restriction in BMDMs and *in vivo* using *Psme1/2*[-/-] mice. We first tested whether loss of PA28αβ relieves the LegC4-mediated growth attenuation within TNF-activated BMDMs. In agreement with our previous work, *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* growth was significantly impaired relative to *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ in rTNF-activated WT BMDMs (*P*=0.015); however, growth of *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ did not differ significantly within *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs (*P*=0.10; **Fig 4A)**. Moreover, overexpression of *legC4* was insufficient to significantly impair *L. pneumophila* replication within naïve *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs (*P*=0.15) whereas replication was substantially diminished within WT BMDMs (*P*=0.006; **Fig 4B**). Although replication of LegC4-producing bacteria was modestly attenuated in naïve and rTNF *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs, the lack of statistical significance and differences relative to WT BMDMs provides evidence that PA28αβ activity contributes to LegC4 growth restriction in cultured macrophages.

We subsequently tested the hypothesis that PA28αβ contributes to LegC4-mediated phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs (Fig 2). Indeed, there were no differences in the abundance of LAMP1^{+} LCVs within *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* (p*legC4*) compared to *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* or ΔΔ (pEV) (**Fig 4C**). PA28ab did not affect phagolysosomal fusion with avirulent *L. pneumophila* Δ*dotA* since significantly more LAMP1+ LCVs were present compared to all

other strains (**Fig 4C**). Thus, LegC4-mediated phagolysosomal fusion is abolished in the absence

of PA28$\alpha\beta$.

LegC4 does not confer a fitness disadvantage in the lungs of *Psme1/2*[-/-] mice. Since loss of

PA28$\alpha\beta$ was sufficient to suppress LegC4-mediated growth attenuation and phagolysosomal fu-

sion in BMDMs, we hypothesized that LegC4 would not confer a fitness disadvantage on *L. pneu-*

mophila in the lungs of *Psme1/2*[-/-] mice. We infected *Psme1/2*[-/-] mice with a 1:1 mixture of *L.*

pneumophila $\Delta\Delta$ (pEV) and $\Delta flaA$ calculated competitive index values from lung homogenates at

48 h post-infection. Strikingly, *L. pneumophila* fitness was unaffected by LegC4 in the lungs of

Psme1/2[-/-] mice (CI=1.09 ± 0.793); **Fig 4D**). In aggregate, our data suggest that PA28$\alpha\beta$ is im-

portant for LegC4-mediated restriction in cytokine activated BMDMs and the mouse lung *in vivo.*

The abundance of carbonylated proteins in macrophages is increased in the presence of

LegC4 under oxidative stress conditions. PA28$\alpha\beta$ is expressed constitutively in cells of the

innate immune system and upregulated to maintain the integrity of the proteome under oxidative

stress conditions [2,38,40,42]. PA28$\alpha\beta$ engages proteolytic proteasome 20S core particles (CPs)

to promote rapid ubiquitin-independent proteolysis of oxidant-damaged (carbonylated) proteins

[4,43], the abundance of which increases commensurately with the abundance reactive oxygen

species (ROS) [44,45]. 20S CPs can degrade carbonylated proteins, but their efficiency is sub-

stantially increased when in complex PA28$\alpha\beta$ [2,43]. Concomitantly, there is a strong negative

correlation between PA28$\alpha\beta$ activity and carbonylated protein abundance under oxidative stress

conditions [43]. Our Y2H data suggest that LegC4 binds the C-terminal domain of PA28α, which

is important for PA28$\alpha\beta$ docking on proteasome CPs [46–48]. Thus, we rationalized that carbonyl-

ated proteins would be more abundant in the presence of LegC4 under oxidative stress condi-

tions. To directly evaluate LegC4, we generated RAW 264.7 cells stably transfected with 3xFLAG-

LegC4 (RAW-LegC4) or empty plasmid vector (RAW-Cntrl). We confirmed 3xFLAG-LegC4 pro-

duction by confocal microscopy (**Fig 5A**) and Western blot (**Fig 5B**). Immunoprecipitation was

necessary to visualize LegC4 since it is produced by macrophages at low abundance. However,

the abundance of ectopically produced LegC4 was sufficient to attenuate replication of *L. pneu-*

mophila ∆flaA (**Fig 5C**). Attenuated growth was observed at 48 h post-infection, similar to the

kinetics of LegC4 restriction within BMDMs (see Fig 1A), which corroborates our microscopy data

suggesting that ectopically-produced LegC4 is functional (see Fig 3G-H) and demonstrates that

RAW-LegC4 cells are suitable to evaluate LegC4 activity. RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl cells were in-

cubated in the presence or absence of 10 µM H_2O_2 for 24 h and protein carbonyls in cell lysates

were quantified by ELISA. We used H_2O_2 since it is membrane permeable, stable in solution, and

biologically relevant since *L. pneumophila*-infected macrophages in the lung would also be ex-

posed to neutrophil-generated extracellular ROS [26,49]. Indeed, H_2O_2-treated RAW-LegC4 cells

contained significantly more protein carbonyls than RAW-Cntrl cells (**Fig 5D**). Under native con-

ditions, the concentration of carbonylated proteins was unaffected by LegC4 (**Fig 5D**), which sug-

gests that LegC4 does not itself induce oxidative stress. The abundance of protein carbonyls was

unaffected by H_2O_2 in RAW-Cntrl cells, likely because upregulation of PA28$\alpha\beta$ over the duration

of this experiment was sufficient for turnover of damaged proteins.

We subsequently tested whether LegC4 increases the abundance of protein carbonyls

within *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs. *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs were used to control for any LegC4-me-

diated differences in TNF secretion [20] and, consequently, intracellular ROS production [50].

Tnfr1^{-/-} BMDMs were infected with *L. pneumophila ∆flaA*, ∆∆ (pEV), or ∆∆ (p*legC4*) for 4 h in the

presence or absence of 50 µM H_2O_2 and protein carbonyls were quantified by Western blot and

densitometry. We found that carbonylated proteins were more abundant within BMDMs infected

with *L. pneumophila* ∆∆ (p*legC4*) compared to *L. pneumophila ∆flaA* and ∆∆ (pEV)-infected and

uninfected BMDMs when oxidative stress was induced with H_2O_2 (**Fig 5E-F**). The abundance of

protein carbonyls was not affected by LegC4 within naïve untreated BMDMs, which further

suggests that LegC4 itself does not induce oxidative stress. Protein carbonyl abundance was not

affected by either LegC4 or *L. pneumophila* infection within untreated (UT) BMDMs (**Fig 5E-F**),

likely because the amount ROS produced in response to *L. pneumophila* infection under these

conditions is insufficient for detectable differences in protein carbonyls by Western blot. Together,

these data support our hypothesis that LegC4 perturbs proteostasis in macrophages under oxi-

dative stress conditions and suggest that LegC4 may function by impairing PA28$\alpha\beta$ activity.

Oxidative stress is sufficient for LegC4 restriction in PA28$\alpha\beta$-producing BMDMs. Our cur-

rent data suggest role(s) for PA28$\alpha\beta$ and oxidative stress in LegC4 restriction; thus, we hypothe-

sized that oxidative stress would be sufficient for LegC4-mediated restriction within BMDMs pro-

ducing PA28$\alpha\beta$. To test this, we quantified replication of *L. pneumophila* $\Delta flaA$ and $\Delta\Delta$ within WT

and *Psme1/2$^{-/-}$* BMDMs treated with 20 µM H_2O_2 to induce oxidative stress. Indeed, oxidative

stress was sufficient for LegC4 restriction since *L. pneumophila* $\Delta\Delta$ replicated to significantly

higher levels than *L. pneumophila* $\Delta flaA$ in WT BMDMs (**Fig 6A**), showing that oxidative stress is

sufficient for LegC4 restriction. Importantly, the growth advantage associated with $\Delta legC4$ muta-

tion was abolished in PA28$\alpha\beta$-deficient BMDMs, but replication of LegC4-producing bacteria was

unaffected (**Fig 6A**). These data show that LegC4 impairs *L. pneumophila* replication under oxi-

dative stress conditions via a mechanism involving PA28$\alpha\beta$.

***L. pneumophila* replication is impaired in *Psme1/2$^{-/-}$* BMDMs irrespective of LegC4.** Our data

suggest that LegC4 restriction is mediated by subversion of PA28$\alpha\beta$. Thus, it's possible that the

dose-dependency of LegC4 in naïve BMDMs results from stoichiometric differences in its abun-

dance relative to PA28$\alpha\beta$. Thus, we rationalized that *L. pneumophila* replication would be sup-

pressed in naïve *Psme1/2$^{-/-}$* BMDMs irrespective of LegC4 and found that, indeed, both *L. pneu-*

mophila $\Delta flaA$ and $\Delta\Delta$ strains were attenuated for replication within *Psme1/2$^{-/-}$* BMDMs (**Fig 6B**).

Importantly, impaired replication in *Psme1/2-/-* BMDMs was independent of LegC4 since *L. pneu-*

mophila Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ were equally attenuated (**Fig 6B**). These data show that PA28αβ-deficient

macrophages are more restrictive restriction to *L. pneumophila* and suggest a novel role for

PA28αβ in host defense against intracellular pathogens in macrophages.

Neither TNF production nor cytotoxicity are potentiated by loss of PA82αβ in *L. pneumoph-*

***ila*-infected BMDMs.** Our data suggest that LegC4 enhances phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs

via subversion of PA28αβ activity and that loss of PA28αβ is sufficient to impair L. pneumophila

intracellular replication. However, impaired bacterial growth may also result from increased TNF

production or death of infected BMDMs. We quantified TNF in the supernatants of WT and

Psme1/2-/- BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*, ΔΔ (pEV), ΔΔ (p*legC4*) and Δ*dotA* (nega-

tive control). At 8h post-infection, there was a modest but statistically significant decrease in TNF

secretion from *Psme1/2-/-* BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) (*P*=0.049) but no

other PA28αβ-mediated differences were observed (**Fig S2A**). At 24 h post-infection, significantly

less TNF was present in supernatants of *Psme1/2-/-* BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA*

or ΔΔ (pEV) compared to WT BMDMs but no differences in secretion from BMDMs infected with

L. pneumophila ΔΔ (p*legC4*) (**Fig S2B**). Furthermore, viability of *L. pneumophila*-infected was not

affected by either LegC4 or PA28αβ since there were no differences in lactate dehydrogenase

(LDH) activity in culture supernatants (**Fig S3**). These data suggest neither LegC4 nor PA28αβ

restriction phenotypes result from increased TNF production or death of infected BMDMs.

Inflammasome activation is dispensable for LegC4-mediated restriction. Our data suggest

that LegC4 perturbs proteostasis and potentiates oxidative stress. Sustained oxidative and pro-

teotoxic stress and proteostasis perturbations can activate the NLRP3 inflammasome, which cul-

minates in activation of caspase-1, an effector caspase responsible for pyroptotic cell death and

inflammatory cytokine secretion. However, pharmacological inhibition of the NLRP3 inflam-

masome with MCC950 did not affect LegC4 restriction since *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*) was still

attenuated for replication compared to *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pEV) and Δ*flaA* within WT BMDMs

(**Fig S4A**). We also found that LegC4 restriction was preserved within BMDMs derived from

caspase-1-deficient (*Casp1$^{-/-}$*) mice (**Fig S4B**). Within cultured macrophages, pyroptotic cell death

is responsible for *L. pneumophila* restriction downstream of inflammasome activation. Our data

suggest that LegC4 does not enhance LDH release from infected BMDMs (**Fig S3**); however,

pyroptotic cell death is more pronounced within BMDMs primed with toll-like (TLR) receptor ago-

nists [51,52]. Since TLR2 is important for host defense against *L. pneumophila* [25], we evaluated

LDH release from *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs pretreated with the TLR2 agonist PAM$_3$CSK$_4$.

Similar to our previous results (**Fig S3**), LDH release from BMDMs primed with PAM$_3$CSK$_4$ was

unaffected by LegC4 (**Fig S4C**). These data suggest that LegC4-mediated restriction occurs in-

dependently of NLRP3- and caspase-1-dependent pyroptotic cell death and support an overarch-

ing model whereby subversion of PA28αβ by LegC4 augments lysosomal pathogen killing under

inflammatory and oxidative stress conditions.

<u>Discussion</u>

The Dot/Icm effector LegC4 is *bona fide L. pneumophila* virulence factor in a natural host amoeba

but confers a dose-dependent fitness disadvantage on *L. pneumophila* in cytokine-activated mac-

rophages and in a mouse model of Legionnaires' Disease [20,21]. LegC4 restriction represents a

unique mode of effector-mediated immunity since its activity augments existing inflammatory re-

sponses in macrophages. In this study, we have uncovered mechanistic insights into LegC4 re-

striction and a new role for PA28αβ in cell-autonomous host defense against *L. pneumophila*. We

propose a model whereby subversion of host PA28αβ by LegC4 perturbs proteostasis under ox-

idative stress conditions, resulting in increased lysosome biogenesis and phagolysosomal fusion

with LCVs (**Fig S5**). This model is supported by our data showing that (1) LegC4 enhances cyto-

kine-mediated phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs; (2) LegC4 binds and modulates the subcellular

localization of PA28α; (2) PA28αβ is required for the effects of LegC4 in BMDMs and *in vivo*; (3)

PA28αβ substrates are more abundant in the presence of LegC4; (4) oxidative stress is sufficient

for LegC4 restriction; and (5) loss of PA28αβ was restrictive to *L. pneumophila* irrespective of

LegC4. This work is the first to identify PA28α as a target for a bacterial effector protein and a

role for PA28αβ in innate and effector-mediated host defense against an intracellular bacterial

pathogen.

L. pneumophila is an accidental pathogen of humans that rarely transmits between infected indi-

viduals. Thus, an evolutionary basis for the LegC4-PA28α interaction can be explained by LegC4

targeting the PA28α homolog in amoebae. Indeed, the natural host *A. castellanii*, in which loss of

legC4 confers a growth defect [21], encodes a PA28α homolog (*Ac*PA28) that shares 34% identity

with mouse PA28α. Sequence identity between PA28α and *Ac*PA28 is primarily in the PA28α C-

terminal domain, which is important for engaging 20S CPs and was contained in all PA28α clones

identified in our Y2H screen (**Table S1**). Since PA28αβ substrates were more abundant in the

presence of LegC4 (**Fig 5**), we postulate that LegC4 binding impairs PA28-CP complex formation.

The function of *Ac*PA28 has not been described; however, it is likely a proteasome regulator

based on structural studies showing that mammalian PA28α and evolutionary distant PA28s are

similar and all associate with 20S CPs [47,48]. The benefit of inhibiting PA28 in the natural host

is unclear, but sequestering PA28 from CPs may preserve the integrity of the constitutive 26S

proteasome, a central player in *L. pneumophila*'s virulence strategy [53]. We are currently inves-

tigating the molecular mechanism by which LegC4 modulates PA28 activity and its role in *L.*

pneumophila virulence in natural host amoebae.

Virulent *L. pneumophila* establish a replicative LCV by avoiding endosomal maturation of their

phagosome. The current dogma dictates that lysosomal fusion with LCVs at early time points

during infection (≤ 16 h) and *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication are mutually exclusive

[33,54]. We found that plasmid expression of *legC4* increased the abundance of LAMP1 on LCVs

in within WT BMDMs, similar to what is seen for the avirulent Dot/Icm-deficient strain. LegC4-

mediated phagolysosomal fusion was suppressed in *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs, but significantly more

LAMP1+ LCVs were present relative to cells infected with *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* and ΔΔ (pEV)

control strains. This is intriguing since plasmid expression of *legC4* does not affect *L. pneumophila*

replication within *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs [20] (**Fig 1D**). Based on our model that phagolysosomal fusion

results from oxidative stress (**Fig S5**), we postulate that the TNFR1-independent increase in

LAMP1+ LCVs within in cells infected with the *legC4* overexpressing strain may result from ROS

produced in response to phagocytosis or pattern-recognition receptor signaling [55,56]. A poten-

tial explanation for this is that LegC4 may target other host proteins when PA28α is absent, such

Ecm29 or PA28γ, which were identified in our Y2H screen (**Table S1**). Ecm29 is a particularly

interesting candidate since it disassembles 26S proteasomes under oxidative stress conditions

to liberate free 20S CPs that can then associate with PA28αβ [57–59]. This may also explain the

modest and non-significant LegC4-mediated growth attenuation retained in *Psme1/2*[-/-] BMDMs

(**Fig 4A-B**). Interestingly, robust phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs was not observed in *Psme1/2*[-]

[/-] BMDMs although these cells are restrictive to *L. pneumophila* (**Fig 6B**). A potential explanation

for this observation is that less TNF is produced by *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (p*legC4*)-infected *Psme1/2*[-]

[/-] BMDMs compared to WT BMDMs (**Fig S2**), although this is unlikely to account for loss of LegC4

growth restriction since phenotypes are apparent in rTNF-treated BMDMs (**Fig 4A**). A mechanistic

connection between PA28αβ and phagolysosomal fusion can be explained by a compensatory

upregulation of lysosome biogenesis and degradation pathways resulting from impaired pro-

teasome activity and oxidative stress [3,60,61]. Our data suggest that lysosomes are central to

LegC4 restriction, but the underlying mechanism(s) of phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs and bac-

terial killing remain to be fully elucidated.

Lysosomal degradation pathways, including autophagy, are cytoprotective under inflammatory

and oxidative stress conditions and central to cytokine-mediated cell-autonomous pathogen re-

striction. Lysosomal restriction within IFN-γ-activated macrophages involves immunity-related

GTPases (IRGs), which localize to and facilitate lysosomal trafficking to pathogen-containing

phagosomes [62]. Although IRGs may contribute to restriction of LegC4-producing *L. pneumoph-*

ila strains within IFN-γ-activated macrophages [63], IFNGR1 signaling was dispensable for LegC4

restriction (Fig 1E-F), which suggests that IRGs are not central drivers of LegC4-mediated *L.*

pneumophila restriction. It's tempting to speculate that autophagy contributes to LegC4 restriction

since autophagy genes are upregulated to clear protein aggregates and protect against TNF-

induced death in myeloid cells [64]. Autophagy also prevents aberrant inflammasome-mediated

pyroptotic cell death below a certain threshold of inflammasome agonist [65]. The NLRC4 inflam-

masome is dispensable for LegC4-mediated restriction [20,21] and we also found no role for the

NLRP3 inflammasome (**Fig S4**), which is activated by both oxidative and proteotoxic stress [66].

Whether autophagy contributes to LegC4-mediated restriction is unknown and warrants investi-

gation since several *L. pneumophila* effectors impair global autophagy in host cells [67–69].

Using several complementary approaches, we found that LegC4 binds and PA28α and influences

its localization in transfected and infected cells. The change in PA28α localization supports an

interaction with LegC4, but the underlying mechanism by which it occurs is unclear. We were

unable to detect Dot/Icm-translocated LegC4 by confocal microscopy within infected BMDMs,

likely because the abundance is below the limit of detection, which is a common challenge in the

study of bacterial effectors that do not localize to organellar membranes [70,71]. Our data suggest

that the interaction between LegC4 and PA28α may drive localization changes in transfected

cells; however, the mechanism is likely more complex since presumed stoichiometric imbalances

between PA28α and Dot/Icm-translocated LegC4 make it unlikely that binding is sufficient. Thus,

in infected macrophages, LegC4-mediated changes in PA28α localization may result from a need

for additional nuclear PA28$\alpha\beta$ to clear proteins damaged by the oxidative burst in the presence

of LegC4. However, the extent of oxidative damage under these conditions is clearly insufficient

to impair *L. pneumophila* replication since endogenous LegC4 does not affect bacterial replication

within naïve BMDMs. Interestingly, we also found endogenous PA28α on LCVs harboring LegC4-

deficient *L. pneumophila*, which raises the possibilities that LegC4 binding or activity sequesters

PA28α in the cytosol away from proteasome complexes associated with the LCV [63,72]. Alt-

hough changes in PA28α localization support its functional modulation by LegC4, the molecular

underpinnings and consequences of LegC4-PA28α binding, especially based on stoichiometric

differences in protein abundance during infection, on PA28ab activity and pathogen restriction

require further investigation.

A role for PA28$\alpha\beta$ and oxidative stress in LegC4 restriction was also revealed by the sufficiency

of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) for impaired growth in WT BMDMs. H_2O_2 is routinely used to induce

oxidative stress in laboratory models since both superoxide ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) and hydroxyl ($OH^\bullet$) radicals are

unstable in solution. Within immune cells, phagocytosis, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and PRR

signaling increase production of ROS by phagocyte NADPH oxidase (NOX2) and mitochondria

[50,55,56,73–75]. Intracellular ROS contribute to cell-autonomous pathogen restriction but ROS

are also released into the extracellular space via plasma membrane-bound NOX2 or by passive

diffusion across membranes (H_2O_2) [49,76]. Interestingly, ROS play a negligible role in host de-

fense against *L. pneumophila* in cultured naïve macrophages, likely owing NOX2 exclusion from

LCVs [26,77,78]. However, in the lung, ROS are produced abundantly by neutrophils and

neutrophil ROS is important for host defense against *L. pneumophila* [26]. Thus, infected alveolar

macrophages are exposed to both extracellular ROS produced by lung neutrophils and infection-

and cytokine-induced intracellular ROS [49,79,80]. When we treated BMDMs with exogenous

H_2O_2 to recapitulate the *in vivo* environment, endogenous LegC4 was sufficient to impair *L. pneu-*

mophila replication (**Fig 6A**); thus, the fitness disadvantage associated with LegC4 *in vivo* may

be due to oxidative stress. Furthermore, it is tempting to speculate that the dose-dependency of

LegC4 restriction may correlate with the abundance of ROS. ROS are microbicidal, but this activ-

ity is unlikely to be involved in LegC4 restriction since (1) *L. pneumophila* has multiple compli-

mentary strategies to protect against oxidative stress and can withstand up to 2 mM H_2O_2 *in vitro*

(orders of magnitude greater than intracellular concentrations) [78,81–83]; and (2) replication of

LegC4-deficient *L. pneumophila* was unaffected by H_2O_2 treatment (**Fig 6A-B**). While our data do

not preclude roles for additional host factors or cytokine-driven cellular processes in LegC4 re-

striction of *L. pneumophila*, they support a central role for oxidative stress.

Our data suggest that oxidative stress is sustained in the presence of LegC4 since oxidatively

damaged (carbonylated) proteins were more abundant. Carbonylated proteins are used as a bi-

omarker for oxidative stress since proteins and nascent polypeptides are highly susceptible to

oxidative damage [44,45]. Importantly, increased protein carbonyl abundance is also indicative of

the inability of cellular proteasomes and chaperones to keep pace with the rate of damage [84].

Thus, it is conceivable that impaired PA28$\alpha\beta$ activity is indeed responsible for increased protein

carbonyl abundance in the presence of LegC4. Nascent polypeptides and misfolded proteins are

more susceptible to oxidative damage [85]; thus, impaired co-translational chaperone activity,

such as inactivation of Hsp70 by the effector LegK4 [86], may also contribute to carbonylated

protein abundance in *L. pneumophila*-infected macrophages. Accumulation of heavily oxidized

polypeptides results in formation of aggregates, which are impervious to proteasomal degradation

and must be cleared by lysosomal degradation pathways. We think that a global increase in

carbonylated proteins drives increased phagolysosomal activity in the presence of LegC4; how-

ever, all proteins are not equally susceptible to oxidative damage and individual oxidized species

may be involved. For example, the actin cytoskeleton, which plays a critical role in vesicular

transport, is deeply influenced by cellular redox status [87]. Filamentous (F)-actin is involved in

phagolysosomal fusion with intracellular *L. pneumophila* and pathogen restriction downstream of

the caspase-11 inflammasome [88–91]. Caspase-11 promotes phagolysosomal fusion with intra-

cellular pathogens by mediating phosphorylation and inactivation of the actin depolymerization

factor cofilin [88,91]. Phosphorylated cofilin is highly susceptible to oxidative damage, and its ox-

idation may therefore enhance phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs [92].

In summary, we have uncovered a novel mechanism of effector-mediated host defense and a

new role for PA28$\alpha\beta$ and oxidative stress in cell-autonomous pathogen restriction. Interestingly,

PA28$\alpha\beta$ is targeted by several viral pathogens, likely as a means to suppress antigen presentation

on MHC class I [93–95]. Impaired antigen presentation is unlikely to play a role in *L. pneumophila*

restriction since phenotypes are observed in cultured macrophages and during acute lung infec-

tion, prior to initiation of an adaptive immune response. This work is the first to show bacterial

pathogen targeting of PA28α and suggest that suppression of PA28$\alpha\beta$ activity and aberrant pro-

teostasis may be a pathogen-triggered host defense mechanism to potentiate existing inflamma-

tory responses. The ability to enhance the antimicrobial potential of activated macrophages has

exciting implications for development of host-centric immunotherapeutics and future studies will

provide further insight into the ubiquity and mechanism(s) of pathogen restriction induced by pro-

teotoxic stress and suppression of PA28$\alpha\beta$ activity.

Materials & Methods

<u>Bacterial Strains, plasmids, oligonucleotide primers, and reagents.</u>

L. pneumophila strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in **Table S2, Table S3,**

and **Table S4,** respectively. *L. pneumophila* strains were cultured on supplemented charcoal N-

(2-Acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES)-buffered yeast extract (CYE) and grown at

37˚C, as described [96]. Liquid cultures were grown overnight with shaking at 37˚C in supple-

mented ACES-buffered yeast extract (AYE) medium, as described [20,97]. CYE was supple-

mented with 10 µg mL^{-1} chloramphenicol for plasmid maintenance and *legC4* expression was

induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; GoldBio) where indicated.

Escherichia coli strains used for cloning (Top10; Invitrogen) and protein expression [BL21 (DE3);

New England Biolabs] were grown at 37 ˚C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with an-

tibiotics as appropriate for plasmid selection [50 µg mL^{-1} kanamycin (GoldBio), 25 µg mL^{-1} chlo-

ramphenicol (GoldBio), or 100 µg mL^{-1} ampicillin (GoldBio)]. Protein expression in BL21 (DE3)

was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Unless otherwise indicated, all chemicals were obtained from Mil-

lipore Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

<u>Mice and bone marrow-derived macrophages</u>

Mice on C57BL/6 background were purchased from the Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor,

Maine). Wild-type, *Tnfr1*$^{-/-}$, *Ifngr1*$^{-/-}$, and *Myd88*$^{-/-}$ mice were purchased from live repositories and

Psme1/2$^{-/-}$ mice were recovered from cryopreserved embryos and bred for homozygotes at the

Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME). In-house colonies were maintained in specific pathogen-

free conditions at Kansas State University and Michigan State University.

Bone marrow was harvested from seven- to twelve-week-old mice as described [98].

BMDMs were generated by differentiation in RPMI supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal

bovine serum (HI-FBS) (Biowest, Riverside, MO) and 15% L929 cell supernatant for 6 days prior

to seeding for infection. Femurs from C57Bl/6 *Casp1*$^{-/-}$ mice were a gift from Dr. Russell Vance

(University of California, Berkeley).

All experiments involving animals were approved by the Kansas State University and

Michigan State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (KSU Protocols: 4022,

4501, and 4386; MSU protocol: PROTO202200365) and performed in compliance with the Animal

Welfare Act and NIH guidelines. Animals were euthanized in accordance with American Veteri-

nary Medical Association Guidelines.

Competitive Index

Six- to twelve-week-old sex- and age-matched mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine

and infected via the intranasal route as previously described [20]. Mixed bacterial inoculums (1:1)

containing a total of 5×10^6 bacteria were diluted and plated on selective medium (10 µg mL^{-1}

chloramphenicol for plasmid selection). At 48 h post-infection, mice were euthanized and homog-

enates from extracted lung tissue were plated on selective media, as described [20]. CFU were

enumerated and used to calculate CI values [(CFUcm$^R_{48h}$/CFUwt$_{48h}$)/(CFUcm$^R_{IN}$)/CFUwt$_{IN}$)].

Molecular Cloning

Plasmids were generated for stable and transient ectopic production in mammalian cells and re-

combinant protein production in *E. coli*. For production of 3xFLAG-LegC4, *legC4* was amplified

from *L. pneumophila* genomic (g)DNA using LegC4BamHI-F/LegC4NotI-R primer pairs (**Table

S4**) and cloned as a BamHI/NotI fragment into 3xFLAG 4/TO (**Table S3**) [99]. To produce GFP-

PA28α, *psme1* was amplified from pCMV-3Tag-4a::*psme1* (purchased from Genscript, Pisca-

taway, New Jersey) using Psme1Sal1-F/Psme1BamHI-R primer pairs (**Table S4**) and cloned as

a Sal1/BamH1 fragment into pEGFPC1 (Clontech). For production of GST- and His$_6$-Myc-fusion

proteins, open reading frames were cloned into pGEX-6P-1 and pT7HMT vectors, respectively.

psme1 was PCR-amplified from pCMV-3Tag-4a::*psme1* and *legC4* PCR-amplified from *L. pneu-

mophila* genomic (g)DNA using primers Psme1-BamHI-F/Psme1-NotI-R and LegC4-BamHI-

F3/LegC4-NotI-R, respectively (**Table S4**). Each of the amplified fragments were cloned as a

BamHI/NotI fragment into either pGEX-GP-1 or pT7HMT. pGEX::*lgt1* and pT7HMT::*lgt1* were

constructed previously [100]. Confirmation of DNA sequences was done by Sanger sequencing

(Eton Biosciences Inc.). Plasmid DNA was transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* Top10

(Invitrogen) or BL21 (DE3) (New England Biolabs) for plasmid purification and recombinant pro-

tein production, respectively. Plasmid DNA was purified for transfection into mammalian cell lines

using a ZymoPURE II Plasmid Maxiprep Kit (Zymo Research).

Recombinant protein production and affinity chromatography

E. coli BL21 (DE3) overnight cultures were sub-cultured into fresh LB medium containing the

appropriate antibiotics at 1:100 and grown with shaking for 3h at 37˚C. Recombinant protein pro-

duction was induced with 1mM IPTG and cultures were grown overnight with shaking at 16˚C.

Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 1,400 r.c.f. for 10 min at 4˚C, washed with ice-cold

PBS and incubated in bacterial lysis buffer [50mM Tris pH 8.0, 100mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 200μg

mL^{-1} lysozyme, and complete protease inhibitor (Roche)] for 30 min on ice followed by addition of

2 mM DTT. Bacteria were sonicated and lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 21,000 r.c.f.

for 15 min at 4˚C, as described [100]. Clarified lysates harboring GST-fusion proteins were incu-

bated with magnetic glutathione agarose beads (Pierce) for 1hr at 4˚C with rotation and washed

twice in Glutathione wash buffer [125mM Tris pH 7.4, 250mM NaCl, 1mM DTT, 1mM EDTA].

Clarified lysates harboring His$_6$-Myc-fusion proteins were added to the beads and incubated at

4˚C with rotation for 1hr. Beads were washed twice with Glutathione wash buffer and proteins

were eluted by boiling in Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE followed

by Coomassie brilliant blue staining and Western blot (see below).

Cell Culture and Transfections

HEK 293T, HeLa, and RAW 264.7 cells (gifts from Dr. Craig Roy, Yale University) were main-

tained at 37˚C and 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with

10% HI-FBS. THP-1 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)

and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% HIFBS and differentiated with 100 nM phorbal

12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) for 4 days prior to infection with *L. pneumophila* (see below). All

cell lines were used between passage 4-20.

HEK 293T cells were transfected with purified plasmid DNA (**Table S2**) for 24 h using

calcium phosphate as described [101]. HeLa cells were transfected for 24 h using jetPRIME trans-

fection reagent according to manufacturer's guidelines. Two hours before transfection, HeLa cells

were washed and incubated in low-serum media (DMEM/4% HIFBS). Media were replaced with

DMEM 10% HIFBS four hours post-transfection and assayed 24 h post-transfection.

To generate RAW 264.7 cells with stable plasmid integrations, cells were transfected with

pcDNA::*3xflag-legC4* or pcDNA::*3xflag* vector (**Table S2**) using a Nucleofector 2b electroporator

(Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and cultured over 14 days with Zeocin selection (200 -1000 µg mL

$^{-1}$). 3xFLAG-LegC4 expression was confirmed by Western blot and confocal microscopy using α-

FLAG antibodies (see below) and stable RAW 264.7 cells were maintained in culture in the pres-

ence of 200 µg mL^{-1} Zeocin. For *L. pneumophila* infections, cells were seeded one day prior to

infection and infected in the absence of Zeocin.

<u>Western blot</u>

Boiled protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride

(PVDF) membrane using a BioRad TransBlot semidry transfer apparatus. Membranes were in-

cubated in blocking buffer [5% non-fat milk powder dissolved in Tris-buffered saline-0.1% Tween-

20 (TBST)]. Primary antibodies [rabbit α-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804), rabbit α-Myc (Cell Sig-

naling, 2278S), rabbit α-GFP (Abcam, ab6556)] were used at 1:1,000 in blocking buffer and de-

tected with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000; Ther-

moFisher). Membranes were washed in TBST followed by addition of enhanced

chemiluminescent (ECL) reagent (GE Amersham) and visualization using an Azure c300 Dark-

room Replacer or a GE Amersham Imager 600.

<u>Immunoprecipitation</u>

Transiently transfected HEK 293T cells or RAW 264.7 cells were washed with phosphate-buffered

saline and lysed in ice-cold NP-40 buffer [1% non-iodet P40 (v/v), 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 10 mM $Na_4P_2O_7$, 50 mM NaF, complete protease inhibitor (Roche)]. Lysates were clarified

and proteins were immunoprecipitated using α-FLAG M2 magnetic beads or protein G-conjugated

Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) pre-incubated with rabbit α-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab6556), as indi-

cated and according to manufacturer's instructions. Input samples were collected from cell lysates

prior to incubation with beads. Beads and input samples were resuspended in 3x Laemmli sample

buffer for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis.

<u>Protein carbonyl assays</u>

Protein carbonyls were quantified using the OxiSelect Protein Carbonyl ELISA Kit (Cell Biolabs)

following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, RAW 264.7 cells were seeded at $2x10^6$ in a 10 cm

tissue culture dishes in DMEM 10% HIFBS. The next day, media were aspirated, and cells were

incubated in DMEM/2.5% HIFBS in the presence or absence of 10 μM H_2O_2. After 24 h, cells

were washed twice in PBS and lysed in ice-cold 500 μL of detergent-free lysis buffer [25 mM

HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EDTA, 2% glycerol (v/v)] supplemented with

complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cell lysates were scraped into a pre-chilled micro-

centrifuge tube and incubated with agitation for 30 min at 4˚C followed by sonication at 40% in-

tensity three times in 10 second intervals. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 4˚C for 20

min at 13,000 r.c.f. Supernatants were transferred to a fresh tube, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen

for 2 min and stored at -80˚C until use. Protein concentrations were quantified using a Coomassie

Plus (Bradford) Protein Assay (Pierce) and diluted to 10 µg mL^{-1}. Samples and standards were

adsorbed to a 96-well protein binding plate and ELISA was performed according to manufacturer's

instructions. Absorbance at 450 nm was quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader.

Protein carbonyls were visualized using the OxiSelect Protein Carbonyl Immunoblot Kit

(Cell Biolabs). *Tnrf1$^{-/-}$* BMDMs were seeded in 24-well plates at 2.5x10^5 one day prior to infection

with indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 50 in the presence or absence of 10 µM H$_2$O$_2$.

One hour after infection, cells were washed 3x with PBS$^{-/-}$, media were replaced and cells were

incubated for an additional 3 h in the presence or absence of H$_2$O$_2$. Cells were washed in ice-cold

PBS and lysed in 120 µL ice-cold NP-40 lysis buffer (see above). Lysates were diluted in 3x

Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 10 min. Proteins were separated on a 4-20% gradient SDS-

PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membrane using a BioRad TransBlot semi-dry transfer appa-

ratus. Membranes were processed according to manufacturer's instructions using 10 min wash

steps. Blots were stripped and re-probed with rabbit α-β-actin antibody (1:1000; Cell Signaling

Technology) followed by goat-α-rabbit-HRP (1:5000; ThermoFisher). Blots were visualized as de-

scribed above and densitometry was performed using Fiji ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop software.

<u>Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis</u>

Yeast two-hybrid screening was performed by Hybrigenics Services, S.A.S., Evry, France

(http://www.hybrigenics-services.com). The *legC4* coding sequence was PCR-amplified from

pSN85::*legC4* [20] and cloned into pB66 as a C-terminal fusion to Gal4 DNA-binding domain

(Gal4-C4). The construct was checked by sequencing and used as a bait to screen a random-

primed Mouse Spleen library constructed into pP6. pB66 derives from the original pAS2ΔΔ vector

[102] and pP6 is based on the pGADGH plasmid [103].

Sixty million clones (6-fold the complexity of the library) were screened using a mating

approach with YHGX13 (Y187 ade2-101::loxP-kanMX-loxP, mat-α) and CG1945 (mat-a) yeast

strains as previously described [102]. 303 His+ colonies were selected on a medium lacking tryp-

tophan, leucine and histidine, and supplemented with 2mM 3-aminotriazole to handle bait auto-

activation. The prey fragments of the positive clones were amplified by PCR and sequenced at

their 5' and 3' junctions. The resulting sequences were used to identify the corresponding inter-

acting proteins in the GenBank database (NCBI) using a fully automated procedure. A confidence

score (PBS, for Predicted Biological Score) was attributed to each interaction as previously de-

scribed [104].

<u>Immunofluorescence Microscopy</u>

To quantify LAMP1+ LCVs in naïve macrophages, 1×10^5 BMDMs were seeded on poly-L-lysine

(PLL)-coated glass coverslips in 24-well plates and infected with *L. pneumophila* at a multiplicity

of infection (MOI) of 25 in triplicates. At 1h post-infection, cells were washed 3x in PBS to remove

extracellular bacteria and cells were incubated for an additional 8 h in fresh media was added.

Quantification of LAMP1+ LCVs in rTNF-treated BMDMs was performed as described but media

were supplemented with 25 ng mL^{-1} rTNF throughout the course of infection, which was allowed

to proceed for an additional 5 h after washing in PBS. Coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformal-

dehyde (PFA; Thermo Scientific), permeabilized in ice cold methanol, and blocked with blocking

buffer [0.1% saponin (w/v), 1% HIFBS (v/v), 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; w/v) in PBS].

Coverslips were stained with 1:1,000 rabbit α-*L. pneumophila* (Invitrogen, PA17227), 1:2,000 rat

α-LAMP1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) primary antibodies and 1:500 Alexa 488-

conjugated goat α-rabbit and Alexa594-conjugated goat α-rat secondary antibodies (Ther-

moFisher) in blocking buffer. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (ThermoFisher) at 1:2,000. Co-

verslips were mounted on glass slides with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher).

LAMP1+ LCVs were scored on a Leica DMiL LED inverted epifluorescence microscope (*n*=300

cells/strain) and the researcher scoring the samples were blind to their identity.

For confocal microscopy of transfected cells, HeLa cells were seeded on PLL-coated co-

verslips and transfected as described above. Coverslips were fixed in 4% PFA and processed as

described above using mouse α-FLAG M2 (Sigma; 1:1,000) and rabbit α-GFP (Abcam; ab6556;

1:5,000) primary antibodies and Alexa546-conjugated goat α-mouse and Alexa488-conjugated

goat α-rabbit antibodies (ThermoFisher; 1:500). Images were captured on a Zeiss LSM-5 PAS-

CAL laser scanning confocal microscope (KSU Division of Biology Microscopy Facility). Co-local-

ization was scored using the Pearsons algorithm for individual cells (*n*=10) using the colocalize

tool Fiji ImageJ software.

For confocal microscopy of infected macrophages, 1.5×10^5 cells were seeded on PLL-

coated coverslips and infected as described above. Coverslips were fixed with 4% PFA and pro-

cessed as above using rabbit α-*L. pneumophila* (Millipore Sigma; 1:1000) and mouse α-PA28α

(SantaCruz; 1:100) primary antibodies, Alexa488-conjugated goat α-rabbit and Alexa594-conju-

gated goat α-mouse secondary antibodies, and Hoechst (ThemoFisher; 1,2000). Images were

captured using an Olympus FluoView FV1000 confocal Laser scanning microscope (MSU Center

for Advanced Microscopy).

L. pneumophila intracellular growth curves

To quantify *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication, macrophages were seeded in 24-well tissue

culture plates and infected in triplicates at an MOI of 1 the next day. Differentiated BMDMs were

seeded at 2.5×10^5 in seeding media (RPMI, 10% HIFBS, 7.5% L929 cell supernatant), THP-1

cells were seeded at 5×10^5 and differentiated with 100 nM PMA in RPMI 10% HIFBS for three

days. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated in the absence of PMA for one day prior to

infection. Stable RAW 264.7 cells were seeded at 2.5×10^5 in DMEM 2.5%HIFBS. At 1 h post-

infection, cells were washed 3x with PBS followed by addition of fresh media. Macrophages were

lysed in sterile water and colony forming units (CFU) were enumerated at the indicated time points

as described [20]. Where indicated, 25 ng mL^{-1} rTNF (Gibco), 20-50 μM H$_2$O$_2$ (VWR), 10 nM

bafilomycin A1 (BAF; ApexBio), or 1 μM MCC950 (ApexBio) were added at the time of infection

and maintained throughout. DMSO was used as a vehicle control where indicated.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

To quantify TNF secretion, 2.5 x 10^5 BMDMs were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates and

infected with the indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 10. After 1 h of infection, cells

were washed with PBS, and media were replaced. Supernatants were at 8 h post-infection and

used fresh or stored at -20˚C for up to 1 week and TNF was quantified using mouse TNF-

α ELISA MAX kit (BioLegend) following manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance at 450 nm was

quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader.

Cytotoxicity assay

To quantify cell death, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in cell supernatants was quantified.

BMDMs were seeded in triplicates at 2.5x10^5 in a 24-well tissue culture plate for 24 h and infected

with the indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 10 in 500 μL of seeding media (RPMP/10%

HIFBS/7.5% L929 cell supernatant) and incubated for the indicated times. Supernatants were

transferred to a 96-well plate and centrifuged at 200 r.c.f. for 10 min. LDH was quantified using

the CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega) according to manufacturer's in-

structions. Absorbance at 490 nm was quantified on a BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader and

percent cytotoxicity was calculated by normalizing absorbance values to cells treated with lysis

buffer. Where indicated, cells were primed with 1 μM PAM$_3$CSK$_4$ (Tocris) for 24 h prior to infection

and plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 9 software using either Students' *t*-test,

or two-way ANOVA, as indicated, with a 95% confidence interval. Data are presented as mean ±

standard deviation (s.d.) or standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) and, unless otherwise indicated,

statistical analyses were performed on samples in triplicates.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Russell Vance for femurs from $Casp1^{-/-}$ mice and helpful discussions. We also thank

Andrew Haskell for assistance within blinded microscopic scoring of *L. pneumophila*-infected

cells. This work was funded by NIH/NIGMS P20GM130448 (to S.R.S.); Kansas-INBRE (K-IN-

BRE) Postdoctoral Fellowship P20GM103418 (to D.C.) and Semester Scholar Award (to A.G.S.);

Kansas State University Johnson Cancer Research Center Summer Stipend Award (to T.N.) and

Faculty Expansion Award (to S.R.S.); and startup funds from Kansas State University and Michi-

gan State University (to S.R.S.)

References

1. Mitchell* G, Chen* C, Portnoy DA. Strategies Used by Bacteria to Grow in Macrophages. Microbiol Spectr. 2016;4. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.mchd-0012-2015

2. Pickering AM, Koop AL, Teoh CY, Ermak G, Grune T, Davies KJA. The immunoproteasome, the 20S proteasome and the PA28αβ proteasome regulator are oxidative-stress-adaptive proteolytic complexes. Biochem J. 2010;432: 585–94. doi:10.1042/bj20100878

3. Dikic I. Proteasomal and Autophagy Degradation Systems. Annu Rev Biochem. 2016;86: 1–32. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044908

4. Graaf N de, Helden MJG van, Textoris-Taube K, Chiba T, Topham DJ, Kloetzel P-M, et al. PA28 and the proteasome immunosubunits play a central and independent role in the production of MHC class I-binding peptides in vivo. Eur J Immunol. 2011;41: 926–35. doi:10.1002/eji.201041040

5. Preckel T, Fung-Leung W-P, Cai Z, Vitiello A, Salter-Cid L, Winqvist O, et al. Impaired Immunoproteasome Assembly and Immune Responses in PA28−/− Mice. Science. 1999;286: 2162–2165. doi:10.1126/science.286.5447.2162

6. Mondino S, Schmidt S, Rolando M, Escoll P, Gomez-Valero L, Buchrieser C. Legionnaires' Disease: State of the Art Knowledge of Pathogenesis Mechanisms of Legionella. Annu Rev Pathology Mech Dis. 2019;15: 1–28. doi:10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032742

7. Hugoson E, Guliaev A, Ammunét T, Guy L. Host-adaptation in Legionellales is 1.9 Ga, coincident with eukaryogenesis. Mol Biol Evol. 2022;39: msac037. doi:10.1093/molbev/msac037

8. Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Carson D, Mondino S, Pérez-Cobas AE, Rolando M, et al. More than 18,000 effectors in the Legionella genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells. Proc National Acad Sci. 2019;116: 2265 2273. doi:10.1073/pnas.1808016116

9. Park JM, Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. Combinatorial selection in amoebal hosts drives the evolution of the human pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Nat Microbiol. 2020;4: 599–609. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0663-7

10. Ngwaga T, Chauhan D, Shames SR. Mechanisms of Effector-Mediated Immunity Revealed by the Accidental Human Pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Front Cell Infect Mi. 2021;10: 593823. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.593823

11. Massis LM, Zamboni DS. Innate immunity to legionella pneumophila. Front Microbiol. 2011;2: 109. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00109

12. Shin S. Innate Immunity to Intracellular Pathogens: Lessons Learned from Legionella pneumophila. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2012;79: 43 71. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-394318-7.00003-6

13. Chauhan D, Shames SR. Pathogenicity and Virulence of Legionella: Intracellular replication
and host response. Virulence. 2021;12: 1122–1144. doi:10.1080/21505594.2021.1903199

14. Lockwood DC, Amin H, Costa TRD, Schroeder GN. The Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm
type IV secretion system and its effectors: This article is part of the Bacterial Cell Envelopes col-
lection. Microbiology+. 2022;168. doi:10.1099/mic.0.001187

15. Fontana MF, Banga S, Barry KC, Shen X, Tan Y, Luo Z-Q, et al. Secreted bacterial effectors
that inhibit host protein synthesis are critical for induction of the innate immune response to viru-
lent Legionella pneumophila. Plos Pathog. 2011;7: e1001289. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001289

16. Asrat S, Dugan AS, Isberg RR. The frustrated host response to Legionella pneumophila is
bypassed by MyD88-dependent translation of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Roy CR, editor. Plos
Pathog. 2014;10: e1004229. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004229

17. Fischer NL, Naseer N, Shin S, Brodsky IE. Effector-triggered immunity and pathogen sens-
ing in metazoans. Nat Microbiol. 2019;5: 14–26. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0623-2

18. Naujoks J, Lippmann J, Suttorp N, Opitz B. Innate sensing and cell-autonomous resistance
pathways in Legionella pneumophila infection. Int J Med Microbiol. 2017;308: 161–167.
doi:10.1016/j.ijmm.2017.10.004

19. Misch EA. Legionella: virulence factors and host response. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2016;29:
280 286. doi:10.1097/qco.0000000000000268

20. Ngwaga T, Hydock AJ, Ganesan S, Shames SR. Potentiation of Cytokine-Mediated Re-
striction of Legionella Intracellular Replication by a Dot/Icm-Translocated Effector. J Bacteriol.
2019;201: e00853-19. doi:10.1128/jb.00755-18

21. Shames SR, Liu L, Havey JC, Schofield WB, Goodman AL, Roy CR. Multiple Legionella
pneumophila effector virulence phenotypes revealed through high-throughput analysis of tar-
geted mutant libraries. Proc National Acad Sci. 2017;63: E10446–E10454.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1708553114

22. Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. Beyond Paralogs: The Multiple Layers of Redundancy in Bacterial
Pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Mi. 2017;7: 467. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00467

23. Molofsky AB, Byrne BG, Whitfield NN, Madigan CA, Fuse ET, Tateda K, et al. Cytosolic
recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts Legionella pneumophila infection. J Exp
Medicine. 2006;203: 1093 1104. doi:10.1084/jem.20051659

24. Ren T, Zamboni DS, Roy CR, Dietrich WF, Vance RE. Flagellin-deficient Legionella mutants
evade caspase-1- and Naip5-mediated macrophage immunity. Plos Pathog. 2006;2: e18.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020018

25. Archer KA, Roy CR. MyD88-Dependent Responses Involving Toll-Like Receptor 2 Are Im-
portant for Protection and Clearance of Legionella pneumophila in a Mouse Model of Legion-
naires' Disease. Infect Immun. 2006;74: 3325 3333. doi:10.1128/iai.02049-05

26. Ziltener P, Reinheckel T, Oxenius A. Neutrophil and Alveolar Macrophage-Mediated Innate
Immune Control of Legionella pneumophila Lung Infection via TNF and ROS. Luo Z-Q, editor.
Plos Pathog. 2016;12: e1005591. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005591

27. Santic M, Molmeret M, Kwaik YA. Maturation of the Legionella pneumophila-containing
phagosome into a phagolysosome within gamma interferon-activated macrophages. Infect Im-
mun. 2005;73: 3166 3171. doi:10.1128/iai.73.5.3166-3171.2005

28. Coers J, Brown HM, Hwang S, Taylor GA. Partners in anti-crime: how interferon-inducible
GTPases and autophagy proteins team up in cell-intrinsic host defense. Curr Opin Immunol.
2018;54: 93–101. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2018.06.008

29. Meunier E, Broz P. Interferon-inducible GTPases in cell autonomous and innate immunity.
Cell Microbiol. 2016;18: 168–180. doi:10.1111/cmi.12546

30. Roy CR, Berger KH, Isberg RR. Legionella pneumophila DotA protein is required for early
phagosome trafficking decisions that occur within minutes of bacterial uptake. Mol Microbiol.
1998;28: 663–674. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00841.x

31. Swanson MS, Isberg RR. Association of Legionella pneumophila with the macrophage en-
doplasmic reticulum. Infection and immunity. 1995;63: 3609 3620.

32. Matsumoto N, Nakanishi-Matsui M. Proton pumping V-ATPase inhibitor bafilomycin A1 af-
fects Rab7 lysosomal localization and abolishes anterograde trafficking of osteoclast secretory
lysosomes. Biochem Bioph Res Co. 2019;510: 421–426. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.01.118

33. Sturgill-Koszycki S, Swanson MS. Legionella pneumophila Replication Vacuoles Mature into
Acidic, Endocytic Organelles. J Exp Medicine. 2000;192: 1261–1272.
doi:10.1084/jem.192.9.1261

34. Khweek AA, Caution K, Akhter A, Abdulrahman BA, Tazi M, Hassan H, et al. A bacterial
protein promotes the recognition of the Legionella pneumophila vacuole by autophagy. Eur J
Immunol. 2013;43: 1333 1344. doi:10.1002/eji.201242835

35. Belyi Y, Niggeweg R, Opitz B, Vogelsgesang M, Hippenstiel S, Wilm M, et al. Legionella
pneumophila glucosyltransferase inhibits host elongation factor 1A. Proc National Acad Sci.
2006;103: 16953 16958. doi:10.1073/pnas.0601562103

36. Belyi Y, Tartakovskaya D, Tais A, Fitzke E, Tzivelekidis T, Jank T, et al. Elongation Factor
1A Is the Target of Growth Inhibition in Yeast Caused by Legionella pneumophila Glucosyltrans-
ferase Lgt1. J Biol Chem. 2012;287: 26029 26037. doi:10.1074/jbc.m112.372672

37. Seifert U, Bialy LP, Ebstein F, Bech-Otschir D, Voigt A, Schröter F, et al. Immunopro-
teasomes Preserve Protein Homeostasis upon Interferon-Induced Oxidative Stress. Cell.
2010;142: 613–624. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.036

38. Fabunmi RP, Wigley WC, Thomas PJ, DeMartino GN. Interferon gamma regulates accumu-
lation of the proteasome activator PA28 and immunoproteasomes at nuclear PML bodies. J Cell
Sci. 2001;114: 29–36.

39. Soza A, Knuehl C, Groettrup M, Henklein P, Tanaka K, Kloetzel P-M. Expression and sub-
cellular localization of mouse 20S proteasome activator complex PA28. Febs Lett. 1997;413:
27–34. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(97)00864-8

40. Mott JD, Pramanik BC, Moomaw CR, Afendis SJ, DeMartino GN, Slaughter CA. PA28, an
activator of the 20 S proteasome, is composed of two nonidentical but homologous subunits. J
Biological Chem. 1994;269: 31466–71.

41. Wilk S, Chen W-E, Magnusson RP. Properties of the Beta Subunit of the Proteasome Acti-
vator PA28 (11S REG). Arch Biochem Biophys. 2000;384: 174–180.
doi:10.1006/abbi.2000.2112

42. Hallermalm K, Seki K, Wei C, Castelli C, Rivoltini L, Kiessling R, et al. Tumor necrosis fac-
tor-α induces coordinated changes in major histocompatibility class I presentation pathway, re-
sulting in increased stability of class I complexes at the cell surface. Blood. 2001;98: 1108–
1115. doi:10.1182/blood.v98.4.1108

43. Li J, Powell SR, Wang X. Enhancement of proteasome function by PA28α overex-
pression protects against oxidative stress. Faseb J Official Publ Fed Am Soc Exp Biology.
2010;25: 883–93. doi:10.1096/fj.10-160895

44. Fedorova M, Bollineni RC, Hoffmann R. Protein carbonylation as a major hallmark of oxida-
tive damage: Update of analytical strategies. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2014;33: 79–97.
doi:10.1002/mas.21381

45. Dalle-Donne I, Rossi R, Giustarini D, Milzani A, Colombo R. Protein carbonyl groups as bi-
omarkers of oxidative stress. Clin Chim Acta. 2003;329: 23–38. doi:10.1016/s0009-
8981(03)00003-2

46. Ma CP, Willy PJ, Slaughter CA, DeMartino GN. PA28, an activator of the 20 S proteasome,
is inactivated by proteolytic modification at its carboxyl terminus. J Biological Chem. 1993;268:
22514–9.

47. Lesne J, Locard-Paulet M, Parra J, Zivković D, Menneteau T, Bousquet M-P, et al. Confor-
mational maps of human 20S proteasomes reveal PA28- and immuno-dependent inter-ring
crosstalks. Nat Commun. 2020;11: 6140. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19934-z

48. Xie SC, Metcalfe RD, Hanssen E, Yang T, Gillett DL, Leis AP, et al. The structure of the
PA28-20S proteasome complex from Plasmodium falciparum and implications for proteostasis.
Nat Microbiol. 2019;4: 1990–2000. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0524-4

49. Nguyen GT, Green ER, Mecsas J. Neutrophils to the ROScue: Mechanisms of NADPH Oxi-
dase Activation and Bacterial Resistance. Front Cell Infect Mi. 2017;7: 373.
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00373

50. Blaser H, Dostert C, Mak TW, Brenner D. TNF and ROS Crosstalk in Inflammation. Trends
Cell Biol. 2016;26: 249–261. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2015.12.002

51. Feuvre RAL, Brough D, Iwakura Y, Takeda K, Rothwell NJ. Priming of Macrophages with
Lipopolysaccharide Potentiates P2X7-mediated Cell Death via a Caspase-1-dependent Mecha-
nism, Independently of Cytokine Production*. J Biol Chem. 2002;277: 3210–3218.
doi:10.1074/jbc.m104388200

52. Bergsbaken T, Fink SL, Cookson BT. Pyroptosis: host cell death and inflammation. Nat Rev
Microbiol. 2009;7: 99 109. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2070

53. Qiu J, Luo Z-Q. Hijacking of the Host Ubiquitin Network by Legionella pneumophila. Front
Cell Infect Mi. 2017;7: 487. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00487

54. Marra A, Blander SJ, Horwitz MA, Shuman HA. Identification of a Legionella pneumophila
locus required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Proc National Acad Sci.
1992;89: 9607–9611. doi:10.1073/pnas.89.20.9607

55. West AP, Brodsky IE, Rahner C, Woo DK, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, et al. TLR sig-
nalling augments macrophage bactericidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. Nature.
2011;472: 476 480. doi:10.1038/nature09973

56. Fang FC. Antimicrobial reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: concepts and controversies.
Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004;2: 820–832. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1004

57. Wang X, Chemmama IE, Yu C, Huszagh A, Xu Y, Viner R, et al. The proteasome-interacting
Ecm29 protein disassembles the 26S proteasome in response to oxidative stress. J Biol Chem.
2017;292: 16310–16320. doi:10.1074/jbc.m117.803619

58. Wang X, Yen J, Kaiser P, Huang L. Regulation of the 26S Proteasome Complex During Oxi-
dative Stress. Sci Signal. 2010;3: ra88–ra88. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2001232

59. Mota-Peynado ADL, Lee SY-C, Pierce BM, Wani P, Singh CR, Roelofs J. The Proteasome-
associated Protein Ecm29 Inhibits Proteasomal ATPase Activity and in Vivo Protein Degrada-
tion by the Proteasome. J Biol Chem. 2013;288: 29467–29481. doi:10.1074/jbc.m113.491662

60. Kiffin R, Bandyopadhyay U, Cuervo AM. Oxidative Stress and Autophagy. Antioxid Redox
Sign. 2006;8: 152–162. doi:10.1089/ars.2006.8.152

61. Li C, Wang X, Li X, Qiu K, Jiao F, Liu Y, et al. Proteasome Inhibition Activates Autophagy-
Lysosome Pathway Associated With TFEB Dephosphorylation and Nuclear Translocation. Fron-
tiers Cell Dev Biology. 2019;7: 170. doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00170

62. MacMicking JD. Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cell-autonomous immunity. Nat
Rev Immunol. 2012;12: 367 382. doi:10.1038/nri3210

63. Naujoks J, Tabeling C, Dill BD, Hoffmann C, Brown AS, Kunze M, et al. IFNs Modify the
Proteome of Legionella-Containing Vacuoles and Restrict Infection Via IRG1-Derived Itaconic
Acid. Zamboni DS, editor. Plos Pathog. 2016;12: e1005408. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005408

64. Orvedahl A, McAllaster MR, Sansone A, Dunlap BF, Desai C, Wang Y-T, et al. Autophagy
genes in myeloid cells counteract IFNγ-induced TNF-mediated cell death and fatal TNF-induced
shock. Proc National Acad Sci. 2019;116: 16497–16506. doi:10.1073/pnas.1822157116

65. Byrne BG, Dubuisson J-F, Joshi AD, Persson JJ, Swanson MS. Inflammasome Compo-
nents Coordinate Autophagy and Pyroptosis as Macrophage Responses to Infection. Mbio.
2013;4: e00620-12. doi:10.1128/mbio.00620-12

66. Shin JN, Fattah EA, Bhattacharya A, Ko S, Eissa NT. Inflammasome Activation by Altered
Proteostasis*. J Biol Chem. 2013;288: 35886–35895. doi:10.1074/jbc.m113.514919

67. Choy A, Dancourt J, Mugo B, O'Connor TJ, Isberg RR, Melia TJ, et al. The Legionella effec-
tor RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible Atg8 deconjugation. Science. 2012;338:
1072 1076. doi:10.1126/science.1227026

68. Rolando M, Escoll P, Nora T, Botti J, Boitez V, Bedia C, et al. Legionella pneumophila S1P-
lyase targets host sphingolipid metabolism and restrains autophagy. Proc National Acad Sci.
2016;113: 1901 1906. doi:10.1073/pnas.1522067113

69. Rolando M, Escoll P, Buchrieser C. Legionella pneumophila restrains autophagy by modu-
lating the host's sphingolipid metabolism. Autophagy. 2016;12: 1053–1054.
doi:10.1080/15548627.2016.1166325

70. Slater SL, Frankel G. Advances and Challenges in Studying Type III Secretion Effectors of
Attaching and Effacing Pathogens. Front Cell Infect Mi. 2020;10: 337.
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.00337

71. Fielden LF, Scott NE, Palmer CS, Khoo CA, Newton HJ, Stojanovski D. Proteomic Identifi-
cation of Coxiella burnetii Effector Proteins Targeted to the Host Cell Mitochondria During Infec-
tion. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2021;20: 100005. doi:10.1074/mcp.ra120.002370

72. Urwyler S, Nyfeler Y, Ragaz C, Lee H, Mueller LN, Aebersold R, et al. Proteome analysis of
Legionella vacuoles purified by magnetic immunoseparation reveals secretory and endosomal
GTPases. Traffic. 2009;10: 76 87. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00851.x

73. Herb M, Schramm M. Functions of ROS in Macrophages and Antimicrobial Immunity. Anti-
oxidants. 2021;10: 313. doi:10.3390/antiox10020313

74. Canton M, Sánchez-Rodríguez R, Spera I, Venegas FC, Favia M, Viola A, et al. Reactive
Oxygen Species in Macrophages: Sources and Targets. Front Immunol. 2021;12: 734229.
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.734229

75. Lam GY, Huang J, Brumell JH. The many roles of NOX2 NADPH oxidase-derived ROS in
immunity. Semin Immunopathol. 2010;32: 415–30. doi:10.1007/s00281-010-0221-0

76. Dupré-Crochet S, Erard M, Nüβe O. ROS production in phagocytes: why, when, and where?
J Leukocyte Biol. 2013;94: 657–670. doi:10.1189/jlb.1012544

77. Price CTD, Hanford HE, Vashishta A, Ozanic M, Santic M, Uriarte S, et al. Dot/Icm-Depend-
ent Restriction of Legionella pneumophila within Neutrophils. Mbio. 2021;12: e01008-21.
doi:10.1128/mbio.01008-21

78. Harada T, Miyake M, Imai Y. Evasion of Legionella pneumophila from the Bactericidal Sys-
tem by Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in Macrophages. Microbiol Immunol. 2007;51: 1161–
1170. doi:10.1111/j.1348-0421.2007.tb04011.x

79. Gwinn MR, Vallyathan V. Respiratory Burst: Role in Signal Transduction in Alveolar Macro-
phages. J Toxicol Environ Heal Part B. 2007;9: 27–39. doi:10.1080/15287390500196081

80. Forman HJ, Torres M. Reactive Oxygen Species and Cell Signaling. Am J Resp Crit Care.
2002;166: S4–S8. doi:10.1164/rccm.2206007

81. Flynn KJ, Swanson MS. Integrative Conjugative Element ICE-βox Confers Oxidative Stress
Resistance to Legionella pneumophila In Vitro and in Macrophages. Mbio. 2014;5: e01091-14.
doi:10.1128/mbio.01091-14

82. Bandyopadhyay P, Steinman HM. Catalase-Peroxidases of Legionella pneumophila: Clon-
ing of the katA Gene and Studies of KatA Function. J Bacteriol. 2000;182: 6679–6686.
doi:10.1128/jb.182.23.6679-6686.2000

83. Bandyopadhyay P, Byrne B, Chan Y, Swanson MS, Steinman HM. Legionella pneumophila
Catalase-Peroxidases Are Required for Proper Trafficking and Growth in Primary Macrophages.
Infect Immun. 2003;71: 4526–4535. doi:10.1128/iai.71.8.4526-4535.2003

84. Dalle-Donne I, Aldini G, Carini M, Colombo R, Rossi R, Milzani A. Protein carbonylation, cel-
lular dysfunction, and disease progression. J Cell Mol Med. 2006;10: 389–406.
doi:10.1111/j.1582-4934.2006.tb00407.x

85. Dukan S, Farewell A, Ballesteros M, Taddei F, Radman M, Nyström T. Protein oxidation in
response to increased transcriptional or translational errors. Proc National Acad Sci. 2000;97:
5746–5749. doi:10.1073/pnas.100422497

86. Moss SM, Taylor IR, Ruggero D, Gestwicki JE, Shokat KM, Mukherjee S. A Legionella
pneumophila Kinase Phosphorylates the Hsp70 Chaperone Family to Inhibit Eukaryotic Protein
Synthesis. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;25: 454 462.e6. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.006

87. Rouyère C, Serrano T, Frémont S, Echard A. Oxidation and reduction of actin: Origin, im-
pact in vitro and functional consequences in vivo. Eur J Cell Biol. 2022;101: 151249.
doi:10.1016/j.ejcb.2022.151249

88. Akhter A, Caution K, Abu Khweek A, Tazi M, Abdulrahman BA, Abdelaziz DHA, et al.
Caspase-11 Promotes the Fusion of Phagosomes Harboring Pathogenic Bacteria with Lyso-
somes by Modulating Actin Polymerization. Immunity. 2012;37: 35–47. doi:10.1016/j.im-
muni.2012.05.001

89. Michard C, Sperandio D, Baïlo N, Pizarro-Cerdá J, LeClaire L, Chadeau-Argaud E, et al.
The Legionella Kinase LegK2 Targets the ARP2/3 Complex To Inhibit Actin Nucleation on

Phagosomes and Allow Bacterial Evasion of the Late Endocytic Pathway. Mbio. 2015;6:
e00354-15. doi:10.1128/mbio.00354-15

90. Hervet E, Charpentier X, Vianney A, Lazzaroni J-C, Gilbert C, Atlan D, et al. Protein Kinase
LegK2 Is a Type IV Secretion System Effector Involved in Endoplasmic Reticulum Recruitment
and Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun. 2011;79: 1936–1950.
doi:10.1128/iai.00805-10

91. Caution K, Gavrilin MA, Tazi M, Kanneganti A, Layman D, Hoque S, et al. Caspase-11 and
caspase-1 differentially modulate actin polymerization via RhoA and Slingshot proteins to pro-
mote bacterial clearance. Sci Rep-uk. 2015;5: 18479. doi:10.1038/srep18479

92. Pignataro M, Rocco GD, Lancellotti L, Bernini F, Subramanian K, Castellini E, et al. Phos-
phorylated cofilin-2 is more prone to oxidative modifications on Cys39 and favors amyloid fibril
formation. Redox Biol. 2020;37: 101691. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2020.101691

93. Seeger M, Ferrell K, Frank R, Dubiel W. HIV-1 Tat Inhibits the 20 S Proteasome and Its 11
S Regulator-mediated Activation*. J Biol Chem. 1997;272: 8145–8148.
doi:10.1074/jbc.272.13.8145

94. Apcher GS, Heink S, Zantopf D, Kloetzel P-M, Schmid H-P, Mayer RJ, et al. Human immu-
nodeficiency virus-1 Tat protein interacts with distinct proteasomal α and β subunits. Febs Lett.
2003;553: 200–204. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(03)01025-1

95. Stohwasser R, Holzhütter H-G, Lehmann U, Henklein P, Kloetzel P-M. Hepatitis B Virus
HBx Peptide 116–138 and Proteasome Activator PA28 Compete for Binding to the Proteasome
α4/MC6 Subunit. Biol Chem. 2003;384: 39–49. doi:10.1515/bc.2003.005

96. Feeley JC, Gibson RJ, Gorman GW, Langford NC, Rasheed JK, Mackel DC, et al. Char-
coal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. Journal of clinical
microbiology. 1979;10: 437 441.

97. Saito A, Rolfe RD, Edelstein PH, Finegold SM. Comparison of liquid growth media for Le-
gionella pneumophila. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1981;14: 623 627.

98. Case CL, Roy CR. Analyzing caspase-1 activation during Legionella pneumophila infection
in macrophages. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ). 2013;954: 479 491.
doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-161-5_29

99. Ingmundson A, Delprato A, Lambright DG, Roy CR. Legionella pneumophila proteins that
regulate Rab1 membrane cycling. Nature. 2007;450: 365–369. doi:10.1038/nature06336

100. Joseph AM, Pohl AE, Ball TJ, Abram TG, Johnson DK, Geisbrecht BV, et al. The Le-
gionella pneumophila metaeffector Lpg2505 (MesI) regulates SidI-mediated translation inhibition
and novel glycosyl hydrolase activity. Infect Immun. 2020;5: e00853-19. doi:10.1128/iai.00853-
19

101. Shames SR, Deng W, Guttman JA, Hoog CL de, Li Y, Hardwidge PR, et al. The patho-
genic E. coli type III effector EspZ interacts with host CD98 and facilitates host cell prosurvival
signalling. Cell Microbiol. 2010;12: 1322 1339. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01470.x

102. Fromont-Racine M, Rain J-C, Legrain P. Toward a functional analysis of the yeast genome
through exhaustive two-hybrid screens. Nat Genet. 1997;16: 277–282. doi:10.1038/ng0797-277

103. Bartel PL, Fields S. [16] Analyzing protein-protein interactions using two-hybrid system.
Methods Enzymol. 1995;254: 241–263. doi:10.1016/0076-6879(95)54018-0

104. Formstecher E, Aresta S, Collura V, Hamburger A, Meil A, Trehin A, et al. Protein interac-
tion mapping: A Drosophila case study. Genome Res. 2005;15: 376–384.
doi:10.1101/gr.2659105

1103

1104

 Figures

1106

Figure 1. LegC4 attenuates *L. pneumophila* replication within cytokine-activated macro-

phages and the mouse lung. Growth of indicated *L. pneumophila* strains within **(A)** WT

BMDMs, **(B)** *Myd88*^{-/-} BMDMs, **(C)** *Tnfr1*^{-/-} BMDMs, or **(D)** *Ifngr1*^{-/-} BMDMs, or **(E)** THP-1 cells

over 48 h. Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Data are shown as mean

± s.d. of pooled results of at least two independent experiments with triplicate wells per condi-

tion in each experiment. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (*$P<0.05$,

$P<0.01$). ΔΔ: *ΔflaAΔlegC4*. **(F) Competitive index (CI) values from the lungs of mice infected

1114   with a 1:1 mixture of *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pJB*legC4*) or Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4* (pJB) with *L. pneumophila*

1115   Δ*flaA* at 48 h post-infection. Each symbol represents an individual animal and data are pooled

1116   from at least two independent experiments and mean CI values are shown. Asterisks denote

1117   statistical significance by two-Way ANOVA (***$P<0.0001$, **$P<0.01$). ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*

1118

1119

1120

Figure 2. LegC4 enhances phagolysosomal fusion with LCVs and restriction is suppressed

by inhibition of the vacuolar (v)ATPase. Abundance of LAMP1+ LCVs within **(A)** naïve WT or

$Tnfr1^{-/-}$ BMDMs infected with *L. pneumophila* strains for 9h; or **(B)** rTNF-treated WT BMDMs (25

ng mL^{-1}) infected with *L. pneumophila* strains for 6 h. Infections were performed in triplicates and

LAMP1 abundance was scored blind (n=100 cells per coverslip) by immunofluorescence micros-

copy (n=300 per condition per experiment). Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM

IPTG. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m. of pooled results from two independent experiments (n=600

total per condition). Asterisks denote statistical significance by two-way ANOVA (*P<0.05;

P<0.01; ns, not significant). **(C) Growth of *L. pneumophila* strains within WT BMDMs treated

with 10 nM bafilomycin A1 (BAF) (left panel) or DMSO (vehicle control; right panel). Plasmid ex-

pression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Data shown are mean ± s.d. of pooled results

from three independent experiments with triplicate samples per experiment. Asterisks denote sta-

tistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01). ΔΔ: *ΔflaAΔlegC4.*

1135

Figure 3. LegC4 interacts with and alters the subcellular localization of PA28α. (A-B) Re-

ciprocal affinity chromatography of recombinant GST-fusion proteins from *E. coli* lysates bound

to glutathione agarose beads and incubated with lysates from *E. coli* producing His$_6$-Myc-fusion

proteins. Proteins retained on the beads were eluted and visualized by SDS-PAGE and Coo-

massie brilliant blue (CBB) stain and α-Myc Western blot. Arrows denote distinct GST-fusion pro-

teins (GST, 26 kDa; GST-LegC4, 113 kDa; GST-Lgt1, 85 kDa; GST-PA28α, 55 kDa; His$_6$-Myc-

LegC4, 89 kDa; His$_6$-Myc-Lgt1, 62 kDa; His$_6$-Myc-PA28α, 31 kDa). Data are representative of

three experiments. **(C)** Western blot of expression (input) and co-immunoprecipitation of PA28α-

Myc with 3xFLAG-LegC4 on a-FLAG conjugated magnetic beads from lysates of co-transfected

HEK293T cells. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (**D**) Western blot of

expression (input) and co-immunoprecipitation of 3xFLAG-LegC4 with GFP-PA28α on α-GFP-

conjugated magnetic beads from lysates of co-transfected HEK 293T cells. Data are representa-

tive of two experiments. **(E)** Representative confocal micrographs of transfected HeLa cells pro-

ducing ectopic 3xFLAG-LegC4 and either GFP-PA28α or GFP stained with α-FLAG and α-GFP

antibodies. Scale bar represents 10 µm. **(F)** Pearson Correlation Coefficients (PCC) were calcu-

lated for individual cells producing 3xFLAG-LegC4 and either GFP-PA28α or GFP alone (*n*=10)

using Fiji ImageJ software. PCC ≥ 0.7 (dashed line) indicates a high confidence association. As-

terisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01). **(G)** Confocal micrograph of

transfected HeLa cells producing GFP-PA28α (arrowhead) or GFP-PA28α and 3xFLAG-LegC4

(arrows). Scale bar represents 50 µm. **(H)** Confocal micrographs of WT BMDMs infected with *L.*

pneumophila strains (MOI of 25) for 7h and stained with α-PA28α (red) and α-*L. pneumophila*

(green) antibodies. Cellular nuclei were imaged with Hoechst (blue). White and blue arrowheads

indicate BMDM nuclei and intracellular bacteria, respectively. Scale bar represents 10 µm. Inset

images are magnified from regions indicated with white boxes. Scale bar represents 1 µm. Images

are representative of populations of infected BMDMs over two independent experiments. ΔΔ:

ΔflaAΔlegC4

1162

1163

1164

Figure 4. **PA28αβ is important for LegC4 restriction phenotypes within cultured BMDMs**

and the mouse lung *in vivo*. Growth of *L. pneumophila* strains within rTNF-treated **(A)** or naïve

(B) WT (top) or *Psme1/2⁻ᐟ⁻* (bottom) BMDMs. Where indicated, BMDMs were treated with 25 ng

mL⁻¹ rTNF and plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Data shown are mean

± s.d. of pooled results from three independent experiments with triplicate samples per condition

in each experiment. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01;

*P<0.05). ns, not significant. **(C)** Quantification of LAMP1⁺ LCVs within *Psme1/2⁻ᐟ⁻* BMDMs in-

fected with *L. pneumophila* strains for 9h. Infections were performed in triplicates and LAMP1⁺

LCVs were scored blind (*n*=50 infected cells per coverslip) by immunofluorescence microscopy

(*n*=150 per condition per experiment). Data shown are mean ± s.e.m. of pooled results of three

independent experiments (*n*=450 total per condition) and asterisks denote statistical significance

by two-way ANOVA (*$P<0.05$). ns, not significant. Plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with

1 mM IPTG. **(D)** Competitive index (CI) values from the lungs of *Psme1/2*$^{-/-}$ mice infected with a

1:1 mixture of *L. pneumophila* ΔΔ (pJB) and *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* at 48 h. Each symbol repre-

sents an individual animal and data are pooled from three independent experiments. The mean

CI value is shown. ΔΔ: Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4.*

1181

1182

Figure 5. The abundance of carbonylated proteins is increased in the presence of LegC4

under oxidative stress conditions. **(A)** Representative confocal micrographs of RAW-LegC4

and -Cntrl cells stained with α-FLAG antibodies and Hoechst to image nuclei. Scale bar repre-

sents 10 μm. **(B)** Western blot of α-FLAG immunoprecipitation from lysates of RAW-LegC4, RAW-

Cntrl or untransfected (UT) RAW cells with α-FLAG primary antibody. Arrow indicates 3xFLAG-

LegC4 (~84 kDa). **(C)** Growth of *L. pneumophila* Δ*flaA* within RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl cells. Data

shown are mean ± s.d. of pooled results of three independent experiments with triplicate samples

per condition in each experiment. Asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test

(****P<0.01). **(D)** Quantification of protein carbonyls by ELISA in lysates of RAW-LegC4 and -Cntrl

cells incubated in low-serum media for 24 h in the presence or absence of 10 µM H_2O_2. Data

shown are mean ± s.e.m. and representative of two independent experiments. Asterisks denote

statistical significance by two-way ANOVA (*P<0.05; ns, not significant). UT: untreated. **(E)** West-

ern blot for protein carbonyls and β-actin (loading control) in lysates of *Tnfr1$^{-/-}$* BMDMs infected

for 4 h with the indicated strains (MOI of 50) in the presence or absence of 50 µM H_2O_2. Uninfected

(UI) samples are from the same experiment but shown separately to remove irrelevant lanes. ΔΔ:

Δ*flaA*Δ*legC4*; plasmid expression of *legC4* was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Data are representative

of three independent experiments. **(F)** Densitometric quantification of carbonylated proteins from

Western blots. Signal intensity from protein carbonyl Western blots was normalized to the β-actin

loading control for each sample and averaged ratios were normalized to Δ*flaA*-infected cells. Data

shown are mean ± s.d. of values from three independent experiments. Asterisks denote statistical

significance by two-way ANOVA (**P<0.01; *P<0.05). ns, not significant.

1204

Figure 6. LegC4 restriction occurs under oxidative stress conditions and is phenocopied

by loss of PA28αβ. Normalized CFU recovered from **(A)** 20 μM H_2O_2-treated or **(B)** naïve WT

or $Psme1/2^{-/-}$ BMDMs infected for 48 h with either *L. pneumophila* $\Delta flaA$ or $\Delta\Delta$. CFU of each

strain recovered at 48 h was normalized to internalized bacteria at 1h post-infection for each

condition. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m. of pooled results from three independent experiments

with triplicate samples per condition in each experiment. Asterisks denote statistical significance

by two-way ANOVA (**$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$). ns, not significant.

1214

1215