# 1 Genome assembly, transcriptome and SNP database for chum salmon

# 2 (Oncorhynchus keta)

- 3
- 4 Eric B. Rondeau<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Kris A. Christensen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Dionne Sakhrani<sup>1</sup>, Carlo A. Biagi<sup>1</sup>, Mike
- 5 Wetklo<sup>3</sup>, Hollie A. Johnson<sup>2</sup>, Cody A. Despins<sup>2</sup>, Rosalind A. Leggatt<sup>1</sup>, David R. Minkley<sup>2</sup>,

6 Ruth E. Withler<sup>3</sup>, Terry D. Beacham<sup>3</sup>, Ben F. Koop<sup>2</sup>, Robert H. Devlin<sup>1§</sup>

- 7 8
- 9 <sup>1</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 4160 Marine Dr., West Vancouver, British Columbia, V7V 1N6,
- 10 Canada
- 11 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3N5, Canada
- 12 <sup>3</sup>Pacific Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Nanaimo, British Columbia, V9T 6N7,
- 13 Canada
- 14
- 15 <sup>§</sup>Corresponding author
- 16 \*Authors contributed equally to results of manuscript
- 17
- 18 Email addresses:
- 19 EBR: eric.rondeau@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
- 20 KAC: kris.christensen@wsu.edu
- 21 DS: Dionne.Sakhrani@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
- 22 CAB: <u>Carlo.Biagi@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>
- 23 MW: mike.wetklo@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
- 24 HAJ: holliej@uvic.ca
- 25 CAD: cdespins@uvic.ca
- 26 RAL: <u>Rosalind.Leggatt@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>
- 27 DRM: <u>dminkley@uvic.ca</u>
- 28 REW: <u>RWithler@shaw.ca</u>
- 29 TDB: Terry.Beacham@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
- 30 BFK: <u>bkoop@uvic.ca</u>

31 RHD: <u>Robert.Devlin@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>

# 32 Abstract

Chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta) is the species with the widest geographic range of 33 34 the anadromous Pacific salmonids,. Chum salmon is the second largest of the Pacific salmon, 35 behind Chinook salmon, and considered the most plentiful Pacific salmon by overall biomass. This species is of significant commercial and economic importance: on average the commercial 36 chum salmon fishery has the second highest processed value of the Pacific salmon within British 37 38 Columbia. The aim of this work was to establish genomic baseline resources for this species. 39 Our first step to accomplish this goal was to generate a chum salmon reference genome assembly from a doubled-haploid chum salmon. Gene annotation of this genome was 40 41 facilitated by an extensive RNA-seq database we were able to create from multiple tissues. Range-wide resequencing of chum salmon genomes allowed us to categorize genome-wide 42 geographic variation, which in turn reinforced the idea that genetic differentiation was best 43 44 described on a regional, rather than at a stock-specific, level. Within British Columbia, chum 45 salmon regional groupings were described at the conservation unit (CU) level, and there may be 46 substructure within particular CUs. Genome wide associations of phenotypic sex to SNP genetic markers identified two clear peaks, a very strong peak on Linkage Group 15, and another on 47 Linkage Group 3. With these new resources, we were better able to characterize the sex-48 determining region and gain further insights into sex determination in chum salmon and the 49 50 general biology of this species.

51

# 52 Keywords

53 Chum salmon, Genome assembly, Oncorhynchus keta, RNA-seq, Resequencing, SNP database.

- 54
- 55

# 57 Background

58 Pacific salmon of the genus Oncorhynchus are iconic, culturally important keystone 59 species spawning across freshwater watersheds that feed the Northern Pacific Ocean. 60 Predominately anadromous, members of most species spend years at sea, consuming marine nutrients that are eventually deposited into coastal ecosystems where they provide a valuable 61 source of food to numerous marine and terrestrial species as the salmon spawn and then die 62 63 [1]. 64 Chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta) are the second largest of the Pacific salmonids and may have historically represented up to 50% of the salmonid biomass in the Pacific Ocean [2]. It 65 66 is the most widely distributed of the Pacific salmonid species [3, 4], with spawning grounds 67 ranging from Japan and the eastern coast of the Korean Peninsula through to Northern Russia, and from the Mackenzie River south through Central California in North America [5]. Among 68 69 the most significant species of Pacific salmon in commercial fisheries – in an analysis of British 70 Columbian commercial fisheries 2012-2015, chum salmon was the most plentiful species by 71 weight in 3 out of 4 years analyzed, and second most valuable by processed value when 72 averaged across the four year period (\$31 million per year) [6]. A key and fascinating biological feature in salmonids is homing, whereby adults 73 demonstrate an ability to return to the same riverine sites where they were spawned, although 74 75 not all species show the same degree of site fidelity (reviewed in [7]). Some species, such as Sockeye, have been observed to return to within metres of where they were hatched (e.g., [8]), 76 77 but other species vary in their fidelity to site of return and stray rate. Reasons for straying are 78 likely varied (reviewed in [7]), but significant factors are thought to be juvenile freshwater residence time and freshwater migration distance, both of which lead to reduced imprinting. 79 80 With chum salmon having relatively short freshwater residence (they migrate to sea as fry) and 81 short migration distances (on average), it is perhaps not surprising that chum tend to have 82 higher than average stray rates among the Pacific salmonids [7]. The consequences of such straying are that while regional-level differentiation (e.g., [9, 10]) and run-timing differentiation 83 84 between summer and fall runs (e.g., [11–13]) can be observed, population-level genetic 85 differentiation is not often seen within chum salmon.

The genomes of salmonids, including chum salmon, possess a key feature shared by all 86 salmonid genomes, a salmon-lineage specific whole-genome duplications (WGD). WGDs very 87 88 likely play one of the more significant roles in evolutionary innovation [14–17] and are found in 89 plants (reviewed in [18]), fungi [19, 20], arthropods [21, 22], basal vertebrates ~500 million years ago (mya) [15, 23, 24], fishes ~300 mya [25–27], and more recently in ancestral salmonids 90 91 ~90 mya [28, 29] . These major genome expansions have been proposed to allow for adaptations to new niches or conditions, particularly in times of major environmental change 92 93 (reviewed in [30]). The occurrence of over 70 different salmonid species lineages stemming 94 from the relatively recent ancestral WGD [29] offers a valuable system to i) observe 95 evolutionary consequences of a relatively recent autopolyploid WGD, ii) identify ensuing 96 mechanisms for regaining stable meiosis and cell division by regaining a functional diploid state 97 through re-diploidization, and iii) draw associations between mechanisms of re-diploidization to potential genetic specialization that allow for species adaptation such as disease resistance. 98 99 Additionally, each species has evolved unique morphology, life history strategies, and responses 100 to common salmon pathogens (e.g., varied resistance to salmon aquaculture from pathogens 101 such as the sea louse [31, 32]). This phenotypic variety provides future opportunities for 102 exploring the biology and genetics behind the genomic architecture of whole-genome 103 duplication have shaped these unique species. 104 The presence of these duplications, however, can present major technological 105 challenges to genome assembly, due to limited differentiation between duplicated portions of 106 the genome. Salmonids offer additional hurdles in that a significant portion of the genome still remains in a tetraploid-like state [33, 34], and may show lineage-specific re-diploidization 107 108 patterns [35], or chromosome architecture through species-specific fusions [36]. While many 109 challenges remain, the technological barriers to assembly of salmonid genomes are beginning to fall, as evidenced by the relatively rapid recent release of salmonid genomes [37-44]. A 110 111 fully-annotated reference chum salmon genome will enhance development of genomics-based 112 technologies to improve the effectiveness of fisheries management of the wild chum salmon fishery. This has already been performed for other Pacific salmon species in British Columbia 113

(e,g., [45, 46]), and a genome assembly for chum would provide the ability to adopt similar 114 management tools based on emerging high-throughput sequencing technologies. 115 116 Genetic resources in chum salmon have, as in many other species, been in a state of 117 transition as genetic tools have advanced and become more widespread. Early work on 118 population genetic structure in chum salmon utilized allozymes [47, 48] and microsatellite 119 markers [9, 49] and provided the first range-wide studies on genetic diversity [10]. Recently, 120 genetic stock identification tools have been shifting from microsatellites to single-nucleotide 121 polymorphisms (SNPs), providing increased accuracy of genetic discrimination with increasing 122 marker numbers [50]. Early identification of SNPs in chum salmon [51–55] led to the 123 development of a SNP panel for assessing genetic diversity and population structures in chum 124 salmon [13]; development of expanded SNP panels for fisheries management continues to 125 occur with increased marker density and improving genetic baselines allow for increased power ([56]: Beacham T.D. and Sutherland B.J.G. Personal Communication). Restriction-site Associated 126 127 DNA sequencing (RADseq) has recently enabled a much more rapid throughput for SNP 128 discovery [57, 58], and studies in chum have utilized this technique to enable researchers to 129 develop linkage maps to explore regions of residual inheritance associated with the 130 aforementioned genome duplication event [34]. This advance in technology has further allowed 131 for the identification of extended patterns of linkage disequilibrium, demonstrating the power 132 of increased marker density on the identification of genomic features of large effect [59]. 133 Despite this significant effort, unlike in other Oncorhynchus species (e.g., Rainbow trout [60]; 134 Chinook salmon [41], sockeye salmon [61]), neither a whole-genome catalog of SNP markers 135 nor whole-genome resequencing data has been available as a resource for chum salmon to 136 date. The development of such a resource will further allow genetic resources, such as SNP 137 panels, to be placed in context relative to genes or other annotated genomic features. 138 In this work, we have sequenced and assembled the genome of a mitotic gynogen 139 doubled haploid chum salmon to eliminate allelic variation but retain paralog differences. 140 Extensive multi-tissue RNA-seq was generated to provide the base for annotation of the 141 genome as well as a tissue-specific expression atlas for future comparative studies. Finally, 142 whole-genome resequencing was performed across 59 individual chum salmon from a select

143 distribution of the species' range to catalogue genome-wide diversity in this species. The utility

144 of the dataset is further demonstrated by the genetic association of the sex phenotype onto the

expected chromosome in a narrow window of elevated linkage disequilibrium. 145

146

**Methods** 147

148

#### Data availability 149

150	All raw sequencing reads and the assembled genome described in this project have
151	been submitted to NCBI under BioProject PRJNA556729. SNP variant sets described below are
152	available through Dryad repository.
153	

#### 154 Animal care and sample collection

155 All animals were reared in compliance with Canadian Council on Animal Care Guidelines, under 156 oversight from the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Pacific Region Animal Care Committee 157 (PRACC). Chum salmon for genome sequencing and assembly and for transcriptome assembly were from Chehalis River Hatchery parents and reared at Fisheries and Oceans Canada in West 158 159 Vancouver. Chum salmon mitotic gynogen doubled haploids were produced following 160 procedures described by [62]. Briefly, eggs were fertilized with UV-irradiated sperm and 161 pressure shocked (10,000 psi for 5 minutes) in batches at 30 min intervals between 4 and 7 hours post-fertilization. One individual from the 7h pressure shock group (Oke142-1, NCBI 162 163 BioSample: SAMN12367893; Supplementary Table 1) was confirmed to be homozygous for maternal alleles using a panel of 14 microsatellites [49], and was used for genome sequencing 164 165 and assembly (see below). The individual was euthanized in a bath of 200 mg/L tricaine methanesulfonate (TMS) buffered in 400 mg/L sodium bicarbonate prior to first feeding stage, 166 167 and stored in ethanol before DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing. 168

Comment [RE1]: TBD on acceptance

169 For transcriptomic data, control Chehalis River Hatchery chum salmon produced from the same parents as Oke142-1 but without UV milt treatment or pressure shock were grown in 170 171 aerated fresh well water in 200–3700 L tanks and fed hourly as fry and to satiation 3 times daily 172 as parr with stage-appropriate manufactured salmon feed (Skretting Canada Ltd.). At 173 approximately 7 months post-ponding, a single selected chum female (86.9g with a 19.3cm fork 174 length) was euthanized with TMS as above, then rapidly (< three min, PRACC management 175 procedure 3.7) team dissected to harvest 18 tissues (see Supplementary Table 2) for RNA 176 extraction, with an additional tissue (testes) sampled from an juvenile male. All tissues were 177 stored in RNAlater at -20°C until extraction. RNA extractions were performed using the Qiagen 178 RNeasy Mini Kit following the manufacturer's protocol. 179 For individuals used in resequencing, samples were obtained primarily through non-180 lethal sampling of fin clips or operculum punches from Fisheries and Oceans Canada hatchery brood programs. Additional samples were obtained from archived tissue sets used for genetic 181 182 stock ID baseline development to supplement the dataset. In total, 59 individuals were utilized 183 in this assessment, with DNA obtained via Qiagen DNeasy Animal tissue kit's following 184 manufacturer's protocol) or phenol/chloroform extractions (following Thermo Fisher Scientific's 185 protocol for genomic DNA preparation [63]. Tissue types, sex, collection dates and locations are 186 summarized in Supplementary Table 3. 187

188 Genome sequencing and Assembly

189 DNA was isolated from RNAlater or ethanol preserved tissues using a 190 phenol/chloroform extraction as per Thermo Fisher Scientific's protocol for genomic DNA preparation [63]. Extracted DNA was submitted for genome sequencing across multiple library 191 192 types, using both Illumina and PacBio sequencing instruments (summarized in Supplementary Table 1: SRA chum Gynogen). Extracted DNA was submitted to the McGill University and 193 194 Génome Québec Innovation Centre (now the Centre d'expertise et de services Génome 195 Québec) for construction of overlapping (library size estimate = 497 base pairs (bp) and non-196 overlapping (library size estimate = 620bp) IDT dual-indexed Illumina Shotgun libraries. Each 197 library was sequenced twice on an Illumina HiSeq2500 on RAPID mode PE250. Extracted DNA

198 was also submitted to the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre for construction of a single library of 10X Chromium linked-reads. Following library construction, 199 200 the library was sequenced across three lanes of Illumina HiSegX PE150. Extracted DNA was also 201 submitted to the National Research Council Plant Biotechnology Institute Genome Core for 202 Illumina mate-pair library construction and sequencing. Mate-pair libraries targeting 2-3kb, 4-203 6kb and 7-12kb were constructed, and sequenced on a lane each of Illumina HiSeq2500 PE125. 204 Finally, extracted DNA was submitted to McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation 205 Centre for construction of a Pacific Biosciences SMRT library using a sheared large insert library 206 type, and the MagBead OneCellPerWell v1 collection protocol. The library was ultimately 207 sequenced across 16 total SMRT cells. 208 Assembly protocols followed successful strategies utilized for Northern Pike e.g., [40, 61, 209 64, 65]. See Supplementary Table 4 for specific parameters to assembly and trimming that were 210 tested. Reads were first trimmed for quality, adapters and minimum length using Trimmomatic 211 [66], and BBmap's FilterByTile was utilized to remove poorly performing portions of the 212 Illumina reads (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-guide/bbmap-guide/; 213 [67]). Allpaths-LG v52488 [68] was utilized with overlapping Illumina overlapping PE250 and 214 Illumina mate-pair libraries using a 3.0 TB memory node on the Compute Canada cluster Cedar. 215 Non-overlapping libraries were also included in two assembly attempts, but ultimately 216 exceeded the memory availability on the node in the MergeNeighbourhoods2 module and 217 were dropped in successful assemblies. Assembly parameters were primarily adjusted for 218 coverage of each of the library types as had been performed in other species; additional 219 modifications were made to read filtering to improve the assemblies. 220 Following Allpaths-LG assembly, scaffolds were passed into PB Jelly 2 v 15.8.24 [69] 221 along with all subreads produced in PacBio sequencing. Nodes on Compute Canada's Cedar 222 cluster were used for all stages, with on-node temp directory and 48 cores used in all steps 223 where allowed. Blasr parameters were `-minMatch 8 -sdpTupleSize 8 -minPctIdentity 75 -bestn 224 1 -nCandidates 10 -nproc 48 -maxScore -500 –noSplitSubreads'. Extraction.py was modified to 225 `MAXGAPHOLD= 1000000` to take advantage of memory available. Collection.py was run with 226 `-m 3`. All other parameters remained default. Finally, the assembly was polished with Pilon

[70] using the trimmed paired-end data, aligned to the genome utilizing `bwa mem –M` anddefault parameters.

229 Scaffolds were ordered and oriented into chromosome representations (i.e.,

230 Pseudomolecules) predominately following the methods described in Christensen et al. (2018)

[40]. The sequences underlying the markers for the published chum linkage map from Waples

et al. (2016) [34] were aligned to the scaffold assembly utilizing BLAST (-outfmt 6, -word\_size

48, perc\_identity 94, -max\_hsps 100, -max\_target\_seqs 10 -evalue 1E-16). All scaffolds with a

link to at least one marker on the map were retained for subsequent pseudomolecule inclusion.

235 Scaffolds were ordered and oriented to the extent allowed by the linkage map, although

regions of low recombination limited the effectiveness of the maps alone at this task.

237 Therefore, the sequences underlying the markers for the linkage map were also aligned to a

238 higher contiguity genome of a related species (coho; GCF\_002021735.2), and ordering and

239 orientation was further refined based on the conserved synteny between the two species via

240 manual review. Where discrepancies were observed, the chum linkage map was taken as

241 correct to ensure major species-specific rearrangements were captured. Finally,

242 pseudomolecules were aligned to genomes of additional salmonids rainbow trout

243 GCF\_002163495.1 [39], Atlantic salmon (GCF\_000233375.1) [38], Chinook salmon

244 (GCF\_002872995.1) [40] and the non-duplicated outgroup to the salmonids, northern pike

245 (GCF\_000721915.3) [71] using Symap v4.2 [72] to ensure linearity was generally conserved, and

246 where it was not, was supported by rearrangements observed in the linkage map.

A BUSCO v4.0.2 [73] analysis utilizing the actinopterygii odb10 dataset and `-m geno -c

248 10 –sp zebrafish` was used to analyze the gene representation within the assembly utilizing the

249 RefSeq maintained assembly: GCF\_012931545.1.

250

#### 251 Gene Annotation

252 Raw reads for RNA-seq libraries were uploaded into NCBI under BioProject

253 PRJNA556729 for inclusion in the Eukaryotic Genome Annotation pipeline. NEBNext dual-

254 indexed mRNA stranded libraries were constructed from tissues described above by the McGill

255 University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, and sequenced on a half lane of NovaSeq

256 6000 S4 PE150 (additional libraries in the lane consisted primarily of RNA-seq of Pink and

257 Chinook salmon from related projects). Sequences were uploaded under: SRP216443, with

258 individual accessions: SRR9841162 (Adipose), SRR9841163 (Brain), SRR9841160 (Gill),

259 SRR9841161 (Head Kidney), SRR9841166 (Heart), SRR9841167 (Hindgut), SRR9841164 (Left

260 Eye), SRR9841165 (Liver), SRR9841168 (Lower Jaw), SRR9841169 (Midgut), SRR9841171

261 (Ovary), SRR9841172 (Pituitary), SRR9841170 (Pyloric Caeca), SRR9841174 (Red Muscle Skin),

262 SRR9841176 (Spleen), SRR9841177 (Stomach), SRR9841173 (Testes), SRR9841175 (Upper Jaw

263 Nares), and SRR9841178 (White Muscle).

264

#### 265 Variant Calling

All individuals sequenced for variant calling (Supplementary Table 3) used Shotgun PCR Free IDT dual-indexed Illumina libraries, produced on a quarter lane of Illumina HiSeqX – library construction and sequencing were performed at the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre. Raw reads were uploaded to the NCBI BioProject PRJNA556729, with individual accessions listed in the supplementary table.

271 Variant calling followed the best practices pipeline of GATK 3.8 [74–76], and generally 272 followed the methods previously outlined in Christensen et al. (2020) [61]. Raw paired-end 273 reads were aligned to the scaffold-version of the genome (pre-pseudomolecule construction) 274 using bwa (v0.7.17) mem [77] and the `-M` option. Samtools (v1.9) [78] was used to sort and 275 index the alignment files, while Picard (v2.18.9) [79] was utilized with the MarkDuplicates 276 option to identify likely PCR duplicates, and with ReplaceSamHeader to add read group 277 information to the alignment files. GATK's HaplotypeCaller was then used `--genotyping mode 278 DISCOVERY -emitRefConfidence GVCF` to generate gvcf files, GenotypeGVCFs was used to 279 generate vcf on intervals, and CatVariants was used to concatenate interval files into a single 280 vcf. A training variant set was generated using a hard-filtered subset of the first round of 281 genotyping, utilizing VariantFiltration and the parameters -- filterExpression "QD < 2.0 || FS > 282 60.0 || MQ < 40.0 || MQRankSum < -12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < -8.0"` as well as the VCFtools 283 (v0.1.14) [80] parameters `--maf0.1 –hwe0.01`. A truth set was generated by overlapping the 284 linkage map SNPs with the hard-filtered training set to obtain SNPs found in both methods.

285 VariantRecalibrator was applied using these sets `-mode SNP -an QD -an MQ -an MQRankSum an ReadPosRankSum -an FS -an SOR -an InbreedingCoeff', and ApplyRecalibration run to 286 287 generate a final SNP set ` --ts filter level 99.0`. Finally, as SNP calling began pre-288 pseudomolecule construction, vcfChromTransfer in the Genomics General repository 289 (https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics\_general; commit: 9d12505) was used to lift over 290 the VCF file based on the NCBI submission AGP file. This lifted-over VCF is included in the 291 accompanying dataset as the "raw SNP" set (referred to as set 1 below). 292 VCFtools v1.14 [80] `--maf 0.05 --max-alleles 2 -- min-alleles 2 --max-missing 0.9 --293 remove-filtered-all --remove-indels` was used to retain only bi-allelic markers with little missing 294 data and remove the rarest variants (referred to as set 2). The next filter utilized the 295 VCF.Filter.v1.0.py script [61] to remove variants with allelic imbalance `-ab 0.2`, followed by VCFtools to select only the 37 pseudomolecules `--chr` (referred to as set 3). The final filter 296 297 utilized BCFtools v.1.9 to filter variants for LD in a 20kb window `+prune, -w 20kb, -l 0.4, -n 2` 298 (referred to as set 4). Finally, VCFtools `-relatedness2` was run to detect closely related 299 individuals. In light of the results, individuals `Oke180104-Fert164` and `Oke171107-D` are 300 recommended to be used cautiously in further analysis as they were deemed most likely to be 301 haploid progeny (expected) and sibling (unexpected) respectively of other individuals in the 302 analysis (can be applied to all sets prior to further analysis using `vcftools -remove`; removed 303 for Figure 3 below, not removed in Figure 2). 304 305 SNP dataset analyses 306 SNPhylo [81] was run on the "set 4" dataset, using additional options `-m 0.05 -P 307 Oket\_chroms\_37\_Id0.2 -b -B 1000 -a 37` in order to generate a bootstrapped phylogenetic tree 308 of the chum salmon. Visualization was performed using the Figtree V1.4.4 package

309 (<u>http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/</u>). PCA analyses were performed on the same dataset
310 using the R package `SNPrelate`, with full and Canadian-only sample sets plotted– the set 3 is
311 visualized in this work, with all visualization performed using the ggplot2 package [82].
312 The sex phenotypes associated with re-sequencing samples (Supplementary Table 3)

313 were utilized as the basis for a genome-wide association analysis for sex. Utilizing the allele

314 balanced SNP set ("set 2" above), VCFtools v1.14 was used to generate input for plink 315 (chromosomes only). An association test was run in PLINK 1.9 [83] using the formatted output 316 data, and resulting Manhattan plot visualized in R [84] using the gqman package [85]. Further 317 visualization of identified SNPs were performed using the Adegenet package [86]. Counts of 318 coverage utilized samtools v1.9 depth, using default parameters to calculate genome wide 319 coverage over each individual \*.bam alignment file, and using the `-b` option to restrict the 320 calculation to only the region of the growth hormone 2 gene (GH2) demonstrating elevated 321 coverage in the males following a manual review of the alignments using IGV viewer 2.9.4 [87]. 322 Duplicated regions, presumably from the Salmon specific 4R duplication event, were 323 identified by alignments using the default settings of SyMap v4.2 [72], using a repeat-masked 324 version of the genome following prior methods [61], by masking WindowMasker-based 325 repetitive regions using `sed -e '/^>/! s/[[:lower:]]/N/g` from the RefSeq genome. Summary 326 tracks were predominately generated using scripts from [40]: Orientation of the blocks were 327 generated using Analyze Symap Block Orientation.py; percent identity was determined using 328 Analyze Symap Linear Alignments.py; percent identify of repetitive regions identified using 329 Percent Repeat Genome Fasta.py. Linkage map markers from "Map 1" in [34] were aligned to 330 the genome as previously described above using BLAST. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was 331 interpreted using the `--geno-r2` option in VCFtools [80], and outputting only for those 332 comparisons exceeding `--min-r2 0.5` in order to identify the most highly linked SNPs --333 summaries were further limited to single chromosomes using the `--chr` option. LD calculations 334 utilized the allele balanced set (set 3) described above. LD track utilized counts of markers in linkage disequilibrium across at least 100kb, and summarized as a log sum per 1 million base 335 336 pairs. Circos v0.69.9 [88] was utilized to visualize the data tracks described. 337 Heterozygosity analyses followed the same parameters and method as in [61]. Runs of 338 homozygosity were identified from the variants that had been filtered for allele balance using 339 PLINK v1.9 (parameters:—homozyg) [83]. The number of heterozygous genotypes and 340 alternative homozygous genotypes per individual were counted using the same custom script 341 described in the supplementary data of the sockeye genome [61]. Heterozygotes per kbp was 342 calculated as the number of heterozygous genotypes divided by the total nucleotides in the

343 genome (1,853,104,330) multiplied by 1 kbp. The heterozygosity ratio was calculated as the

number of heterozygous genotypes divided by the number of alternative homozygous

345 genotypes.

346

## 347 Results and Discussion

#### 348 Genome Assembly and Annotation

349 From a raw data set consisting of 59X coverage (110 billion bp) of overlapping 250bp 350 Illumina reads and 60X coverage (114 billion bp) of total mate-pair Illumina reads of three insert 351 sizes (2, 5 and 8kb mean), multiple assembly attempts were performed varying the parameters 352 on read depth as well as read-trimming. Ultimately, three of the attempts resulted in a 353 completed assembly (see Table 1), with the final attempt being the most successful, with a 354 contig N50 of 13.1 kb and a scaffold N50 of 653 kbp. Following AllPaths-LG assembly, contig 355 gaps were filled utilizing PB Suite and 53 billion bp of Pacific Biosciences Sequel long-reads. 356 Following Pilon polishing, utilizing the short insert Illumina libraries, scaffolds were organized 357 into pseudomolecules representing the 37 chromosomes in chum salmon, predominately 358 guided by the publicly available linkage map [34]; ultimately, the linkage map allowed for 70% 359 of the genome assembly to be assigned to a linkage group, slightly lower but approximately 360 equivalent to prior attempts in salmonids using equivalent techniques (e.g., [40, 61]). The final assembly was uploaded to NCBI under BioProject PRJNA556729 and ultimately was included in 361 362 the RefSeq database as GCF 012931545.1. 363 Busco scores indicate that most of the genome is represented within the family, with 364 results similar to what has been seen in Sockeye, with 85.0% complete (25.1% duplicated), 3.2% 365 fragmented and 11.8% missing. This likely reflects the slightly more fragmented nature of the 366 genome as compared to prior attempts using the same technology in other species. We believe 367 this is most likely due to some minor shearing observed in the DNA utilized for library

368 preparation. We did attempt to use 10X chromium data as part of this assembly process, but,

369 our scaffolding power was negligible – after review, it is likely that DNA shearing noted in the

370 bioanalyzer trace prior to library construction limited the size of the fragments from which to

371 generate the linked reads, thus limiting scaffolding power. The raw data from this attempt is 372 included under the BioProject (see Supplementary Table 1), but further attempts would need to 373 use a separate individual in order to increase length of the starting material. Given that 374 sequencing and assembly technology has advanced rapidly since we began this project, it is 375 likely further efforts to improve the genome may benefit from the use of long-read 376 technologies, where incredible advances in contiguity have already been demonstrated in 377 salmonids [44, 89]. Indeed, a long-read assembly for chum salmon is planned by the authors, 378 and will eventually replace this reference, in due course. 379 Following inclusion in the RefSeq database, the genome was annotated utilizing the 380 NCBI Eukaryotic Annotation pipeline, ultimately yielding Annotation Release 100 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation euk/Oncorhynchus keta/100/) - see 381 382 Table 2 for a summary. Gene annotation, via chum-specific reads, primarily utilized the 19 383 tissue RNA-seq dataset sequenced as part of this work (see Supplementary Table 2), with 384 additional contribution of sequences from two additional datasets with publicly accessible RNA-385 seq data [90, 91]. Overall, gene numbers are comparable to other salmonid genomes, and thus 386 likely reflect a relatively complete representation of the coding sequence. It is likely that a 387 future genome that utilized long-reads would result in a slightly increased number of genes (as 388 observed between Oncorhynchus kisutch Annotation releases 100 and 101, for example). 389 The resulting assembly is summarized visually in Figure 1. Duplicated regions, as 390 identified via self-alignment using Mummer [92] reflect re-diploidized segments of the genome 391 from the salmon-specific 4R duplication event. There are observations of elevated percent 392 identity on the ends of some chromosomes (Figure 1) that demonstrate partial re-diplodization 393 as in Waples et al. (2016) [34] (e.g., LG05 and LG32), but the effect is not nearly as extensive as 394 that observed in other species. The repetitive elements identified by Window Masker were 395 elevated in regions likely overlapping with centromeres based on synteny with other species for 396 which chromosome arms have been described (Figure 1). Figure 1 also shows Map 1 from 397 Waples et al. (2016) [34] (which can be further visualized in more detail in Supplementary 398 Figure 1 and map 2 in Supplementary Figure 2), and demonstrates the co-linearity of the map 399 with the pseudomolecules. As the maps do contain regions of low-recombination, much of the

401 assignment to the pseudomolecule itself) relies heavily in some positions on the long-read and 402 Hi-C based assembly of coho salmon (GCF\_002021735.2). Given the extensive conserved 403 synteny and co-linearity between orthologous salmonid chromosome arms demonstrated 404 elsewhere (e.g., [36, 40]), this would appear to be a reasonable approach, and has been part of 405 the development of pseudomolecules for short-read assemblies in salmonids previously (eg. 406 [40]). Regions of the genome with high LD generally overlap with regions of reduced 407 recombination as observed in the linkage map (Figure 1). Further exploration of regions of high 408 LD can be observed in Supplementary Figures 3 and 4. 409 As a final clarification on the assembly presented, we note that pseudomolecules have 410 been named within the publicly available assembly based on the linkage group naming 411 mechanism in Waples et al. (2016), [34] to allow for direct comparison between the two works. However, the authors also note, and are enthusiastic about, the naming convention suggested 412 413 by Sutherland et. al., [36] to describe chromosomal arms, and indeed the adoption of the 414 system into the grayling genome assembly [42]. We provide here in Table 3 the naming for the 415 pseudomolecules that could be suggested by such a system. While the pattern of fusions do 416 make this system less than ideal, and the resulting chromosome names are somewhat 417 unwieldy, we provide them here as a quick reference and potential guide to re-naming of the 418 linkage groups should such a system continue to prove popular as future assemblies are 419 released. Presenting both names here will hopefully ease future reference, whichever naming 420 scheme ends up being formally adopted in future works.

ordering and orientation of the scaffolds into pseudomolecules (but crucially, not the

### 421

400

#### 422 Population level variation

Given the extensive distribution of chum salmon, attempts were made to maximize
geographic distribution of the samples selected within the study. We were able to take
advantage of an extensive collection of samples [10] in the archive of the Molecular Genetics
Lab (Pacific Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada), combined with more recent
contributions from various Fisheries and Oceans Canada hatchery staff for recent brood. While
the collection is focused on British Columbia, the addition of the Japanese samples originating

429 from the Tokushibetsu River on the Island of Hokkaido give a glance at the degree of variation 430 expected across the Pacific. Samples and available metadata are summarized in Supplementary 431 Table 3. In total, 15,372,999 nucleotide variants have been described with this data in the raw 432 dataset, with described filters leaving 8,868,081 in set 2, 2,135,295 in set 3, and 94,080 in set 4. 433 A summary of statistics by individual is given in supplementary table 5 [61]. On average (and 434 ignoring the haploid individual), total lengths of runs-of-homozygosity (ROH) averaged 12.4 435 Mbp [0 - 40.8 Mbp as determined using default parameters]. Heterozygous SNPs per 1kbp 436 averaged 1.47 (Standard Deviation = 0.15), while the heterozygosity ratio averaged 2.23 437 (Standard Deviation = 0.45). Overall, results are relatively similar to what was observed utilizing 438 a parallel analysis in Sockeye salmon, although the overall length of ROH is lower (12.4 Mbp in 439 chum salmon vs. 35.5 Mbp in Sockeye salmon), whereas heterozygous SNPs per 1kbp are 440 increased (1.47 in chum salmon vs. 0.67 in Sockeye salmon), and the heterozygous ratio was approximately equivalent (2.23 in chum salmon vs. 2.21 in sockeye salmon after removing 441 442 outliers). Deviations below the mean for both heterozygosity calculations were predominately 443 associated with average coverage, implying that depth of sequencing likely impacted to some 444 extent these calculations. Regardless, we demonstrate in chum salmon that there is a general 445 increase in heterozygosity as compared to sockeye salmon, and establishes a comparative 446 metric to be carried through to future comparative analyses in other Pacific salmonids. 447 Analyses of the SNP set resulting from whole genome resequencing (targeted coverage 448 of 15X) should be considered exploratory, as collections were focused on geographic coverage 449 to maximize variants within the catalogues rather than addressing additional questions. 450 Nevertheless, the geographic variation explored allowed us to better understand differentiation 451 among British Columbia locations. To this end, a bootstrapped maximum likelihood tree was 452 constructed using a linkage-disequilibrium thinned SNP-set using SNPhylo [81]. As can be seen 453 in Figure 2, the dendrogram clusters samples by regions similar to past analyses with more 454 comprehensive sampling but using older marker technologies (see above). Samples can be 455 resolved into regions corresponding to descriptions from the comprehensive sampling of 456 Beacham et al. (2009) [10], with individual samples resolvable into Japan – Hokkaido; BC 457 Central Coast (Snootli and Kitimat); BC- Haida Gwaii (Deena Creek); BC - West Coast Vancouver

458 Island (Nitinat); BC – Strait of Georgia (Tenderfoot, Big Qualicum, Puntledge); and BC – Lower 459 Fraser (Chilliwack, Inch, Chehalis). However, within clusters from multiple regions, we see a 460 relative lack of resolution to the riverine level. Such observations are supported by Principal 461 Component Analysis (PCA) as well (Figure 3); however, we do begin to see stronger 462 delineation, possibly from the increased number of variant and dimensions in the PCA analysis. 463 In Figure 3A, we observe differentiation across the Pacific Ocean (best described along PC1), 464 and to a lesser degree geographically across the British Columbia coastline (along PC2). When 465 described regionally, individuals from most populations can easily be resolved when focusing 466 on the British Columbia coastline (Figure 3B), and we are able to see delineation among all 467 collections, except those in the Fraser River Basin. Focusing on the Fraser River Basin sites 468 alone, the pattern is less clustered (Figure 3C), although we do see some separation from 469 salmon collected in different river systems of the Fraser River drainage. 470 Clustering techniques show that river-level resolution is not always observed. Such 471 results have been noted in the past when considering fishery mixture resolution and describing 472 assignments to region only (for example [9, 93]), but it is worth emphasizing that incomplete 473 resolution among collected populations remains true when considering a relatively 474 comprehensive genome-wide representation of variation. As part of the thinning procedure for 475 SNPhylo, however, by default a significant number of SNPs are removed to increase the speed 476 of the calculation. Alternatively, in the analysis of principal components, with just an LD 477 threshold applied (0.2), a much greater number of SNPs were input into the resulting analysis, 478 and it is likely that the number of SNPs in the end analysis played at least a partial role in the 479 reduced delineation observed in the dendrogram relative to the PCA. While collection level 480 differentiation does emerge in the PCA result, observations on reduced datasets (e.g., by 481 chromosome) greatly inhibited the resolving power of the analysis (supplementary figure 5). 482 Based on the results presented here, is is likely that collection level-specific SNPs could be 483 identified in this dataset that maximize the population differentiation observed genome-wide, 484 and that would further drive differentiation observed in the PCA. However, with such a small

485 sampling size, it is likely that any such discovery would be more a representation of sampling

depth, and the noise within a set would be high. However, this dataset is now available, should 486 future researchers need to draw on a pool of potential SNPs from which to develop such assays. 487 488 Within BC, chum salmon regional groupings are described at the conservation unit (CU) 489 level [94], and it is intriguing to note that there may be substructure to the results observed 490 along those lines in the present analysis. For example, the Tenderfoot hatchery samples in the 491 Howe Sound-Burrard Inlet CU do tend to cluster more strongly, relative to the other collection 492 sites in the adjacent Georgia Strait CU suggesting that a greater sample size may allow recovery 493 of further groupings. However, it is likely that straying, generally described as high in chum 494 salmon, is playing a role in limiting genetic distinctiveness to the level of the CU (or higher) 495 regional groupings. While sampling within the study focused primarily on large hatchery 496 operations, it is also possible we are simply revealing a high degree of variation within each 497 population due to a large effective population size, in which case sufficient additional sampling 498 may coalesce around a mean per population. Still, even within the dataset here, the 499 observation remains that individual population level resolution within a region may begin to be 500 demonstrated with genome-wide representation. 501

#### 502 Mapping the sex-determining region

503 Although limited metadata was collected for individuals sampled beyond geographic 504 locations sampled, we were able to collect phenotypic sex information on hatchery brood 505 samples. Thus, we were able to explore genome wide associations (GWAs) of phenotypic sex. 506 As demonstrated in Figure 4A, two clear peaks were observed with the GWAS: a very strong 507 peak on Linkage Group 15, and another, albeit somewhat weaker, association on Linkage Group 508 3. As shown in Figure 4B, the specific region overlaps with an area of increased linkage 509 disequilibrium on the distal end of LG15 . In Figure 4C, the genotypes for each individual is 510 displayed for the 20 SNPs seen as most associated with sex within the GWAS analysis. LG15 has 511 been previously identified by McKinney et al. (2020), [59] as linked to sex during a RAD-seq 512 based study of chum salmon populations within Alaska. In this prior work, linkage of sex to a 513 particular region of the genome was complicated by two potential factors – a lack of a 514 chromosome-level assembly for chum salmon, and the identification of a putative inversion

515 along the chromosome that resulted in significant patterns of linkage. We utilized the sexlinked RAD loci to position the markers onto the new genome assembly and observed that 516 517 while all were indeed placed along Oket LG15, they appeared to be more dispersed along the 518 chromosome, and were not strongly linked to sex within our geographically distinct sample set 519 (Supplementary Table 7). Within the present study, we observed sex linked to a very narrow 520 region along Oket LG15; while some noise is observed, the peak is approximately in the 30.8 521 Mbp to 31 Mbp region and encompasses four annotated genes: potassium/sodium 522 hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel 2-like; E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase 523 RNF126-like; SURP and G-patch domain-containing protein 1-like; and serine/threonine-protein 524 kinase STK11-like. While we do not suggest any of these are the sex-determination gene – as 525 with other Pacific salmonids it is presumed to be sdY [95] - given that the underlying genome 526 assembly is female, this likely represents the approximate region where sdY is inserted on the Y-chromosome, and limited recombination surrounding the region has led to sex-specific 527 528 markers extending to autosomal-like sequence flanking the insertion. This region (on 529 chromosome 3.2 based on the naming scheme in Sutherland et al., 2016 [36] and Table 3) 530 would appear to be a unique placement thus far in sdY mapping – however, the relatively 531 common observation of sdY on chromosome arm 3.1 (sockeye salmon, coho salmon, lake 532 whitefish; [96] and references therein) does suggest that inter-homeologue transfer between 533 chromosome arms arising from the most recent salmon-specific duplication could be a 534 mechanism for this transfer. 535 The strong secondary peak observed on Linkage group 3 is slightly more confounding 536 and intriguing, as it does not appear to be linked to a known sex-determination orthologue in

salmonids [96], and because potential sex-markers appear linked to those on LG-15. While it
could be linked to a misplaced contig within the assembly, comparative mapping between
additional species did not suggest anything was misplaced based on conserved synteny (data
not shown; performed within Symap using default parameters) – if this is the case, it is likely
that a future long-read based assembly will correct such a matter. It seems most likely in this
case that it represents a repetitive element or otherwise duplicated sequence that is prominent
in the Y-specific region but is not present in this female genome; thus, mis-mapping appears to

544 occur elsewhere in the genome. A manual review of the region does imply a highly repetitive region, with great differentiation in depths indicative of collapsed repeats. Such mismapping 545 546 based on collapsed repeats or a lack of sex-specific reference is not uncommon (e.g., as 547 demonstrated in Chinook salmon by mapping of the Y-specific growth hormone pseudogene to 548 the GH2 locus on a different chromosome [97]) and it may be that assembly of a male genome 549 will reveal repeat patterns underlying this unexpected result observed here. There may be 550 additional, more complex reasons based on the observance of multiple sdY regions seen in 551 other species (e.g., Atlantic salmon [98]), although other explanations may be equally likely 552 here. Observations have been made elsewhere that GH-Y, a commonly used proxy for genetic 553 sex in salmonids [99], was found to be missing in males or present in females in some chum 554 salmon populations [100]. While the presented genome is female-based (and thus not 555 predicted to contain GH-Y), observation of relative coverage at the most closely related gene in the genome – GH2 – indeed implied that between 0-5 copies of GH-Y are observed in male 556 557 individuals, with those males observed to be missing GH-Y being from Kitimat (2x), Snootli (1x) 558 and Tenderfoot (1x): see supplementary table 6. These data do not suggest the phenotypes are 559 mis-identified, however, as inclusion of a Rainbow trout sdY into the alignment phase 560 demonstrated that the presence of sdY matches the phenotype, as would be predicted [95]. No 561 copy-number differences could be interpreted from the sdY alignment unfortunately, as the 562 underlying sequence from trout appeared too differentiated to obtain a reliable estimate of 563 coverage; however, reads were observed aligned to the sequence in all male individuals and 564 not in female individuals in a manual review utilizing IGV viewer. Still, the GH-Y results do indicate that there is variability in the genomic architecture surrounding sdY, and perhaps may 565 566 indicate that alternate locations within the genome could be influential. Whatever the 567 underlying genomic architecture of the sex-determination region may be in chum salmon, the result presented here underlines the usefulness and ease of use of the presented SNP dataset 568 569 and reference genome in mapping a trait of interest to the appropriate chromosome and 570 chromosomal region within the genome.

571

# 572 Conclusions

573 The genome assembly for chum salmon represents a relatively complete representation 574 of the chum salmon genome: the first such resource for the species. Contiguity and 575 completeness is likely most affected in regions with high residual tetraploidy or incomplete re-576 diploidization. While long-read based assemblies (and future sequencing technologies) are 577 likely to generate a more complete picture, the current genome assembly represents a valuable 578 resource for chum salmon on par with those available for Chinook, sockeye, and longstanding 579 assemblies for Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout that allowed a transformation in genomic 580 understanding of these commercially and culturally specific species (e.g., [101]). 581 Complementing the presented genome is a pilot-scale catalogue of variation that provides a 582 genome-wide resource for British Columbian chum salmon populations, and allows for 583 contrasting variation in Western and Eastern Pacific lineages. Such a dataset will be explored 584 further as a resource for SNP genotyping panel expansion, structural variation discovery, or as 585 demonstrated here, in identification of the chromosome and position most likely to contain the 586 sex-determination gene in chum salmon. 587

### 588 Acknowledgements

589 We would like to thank the staff at McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation 590 Centre (now the Centre d'expertise et de services Génome Québec; https://cesgq.com/) in 591 Montreal, QC, Canada, and the NRC Plant Biotechnology Institute Sequencing Centre in 592 Saskatoon, SK, Canada, for their work on library construction and sequencing on this project. 593 Compute Canada (https://www.computecanada.ca/) provided much of the computing power 594 for genome assembly and SNP discovery, primarily on the Cedar cluster. Support for this 595 research from Fisheries and Oceans Canada, the Canadian Regulatory System for 596 Biotechnology.

# 598 References

599 1. Helfield JM, Naiman RJ. Keystone Interactions: Salmon and Bear in Riparian Forests of Alaska.600 Ecosystems. 2006;9:167–80.

2. Salo EO. Life History of Chum Salmon (Oncorhynchus keta). In: Groot C, Margolis L, editors.

602 Pacific salmon life histories. Vancouver: UBC Press; 1991.

3. Bakkala RG. Synopsis of Biological Data on the Chum Salmon, Oncorhynchus Keta (Walbaum)
1792. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 1970.

4. Fredin RA, Major RL, Bakkala RG, Tanonaka GK. Pacific salmon and the high seas salmonfisheries of Japan. 1977.

- 5. Behnke R. Trout and salmon of north america. Free Press; 2010.
- 608 6. Gislason G, Lam E, Knapp G, Guettabi M. Economic Impacts of Pacific Salmon Fisheries.
- 609 Pacific Salmon Commission. University of Alaska Anchorage Institute of Social & Economic
- 610 Research.

7. Keefer ML, Caudill CC. Homing and straying by anadromous salmonids: a review of
mechanisms and rates. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries. 2014;24:333–68.

- 8. Quinn TP, Stewart IJ, Boatright CP. Experimental evidence of homing to site of incubation by
  mature sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka. Animal Behaviour. 2006;72:941–9.
- 9. Beacham T, Sato S, Urawa S, Le K, Wetklo M. Population structure and stock identification of
- 616 chum salmon *Oncorhynchus keta* from Japan determined by microsatellite DNA variation.
- 617 Fisheries Science. 2008;74:983–94.

618 10. Beacham TD, Candy JR, Le KD, Wetklo M. Population structure of chum salmon

- 619 (Oncorhynchus keta) across the Pacific Rim, determined from microsatellite analysis. Fishery620 Bulletin. 2009;107:244–60.
- 11. Olsen JB, Flannery BG, Beacham TD, Bromaghin JF, Crane PA, Lean CF, et al. The influence of
- hydrographic structure and seasonal run timing on genetic diversity and isolation-by-distance in
   chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta). Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2008;65:2026–42.
- 624 12. Small MP, Frye AE, Von Bargen JF, Young SF. Genetic Structure of Chum Salmon
- 625 (Oncorhynchus keta) Populations in the Lower Columbia River: Are Chum Salmon in Cascade
- 626 Tributaries Remnant Populations? Conservation Genetics. 2006;7:65–78.
- 13. Small MP, Rogers Olive SD, Seeb LW, Seeb JE, Pascal CE, Warheit KI, et al. Chum Salmon
- 628 Genetic Diversity in the Northeastern Pacific Ocean Assessed with Single Nucleotide
- 629 Polymorphisms (SNPs): Applications to Fishery Management. North American Journal of
- 630 Fisheries Management. 2015;35:974–87.

- 631 14. Crow KD. What Is the Role of Genome Duplication in the Evolution of Complexity and
- 632 Diversity? Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2006;23:887–92.
- 633 15. Ohno S. Evolution by Gene Duplication. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1970.
- 16. Otto SP, Whitton J. Polyploid Incidence and Evolution. Annu Rev Genet. 2000;34:401–37.
- 17. Taylor JS, Raes J. Duplication and Divergence: The Evolution of New Genes and Old Ideas.
  Annu Rev Genet. 2004;38:615–43.
- 18. Sankoff D, Zheng C. Whole Genome Duplication in Plants: Implications for Evolutionary
- 638 Analysis. In: Setubal JC, Stoye J, Stadler PF, editors. Comparative Genomics. New York, NY:
- 639 Springer New York; 2018. p. 291–315.
- 19. Wolfe KH, Shields DC. Molecular evidence for an ancient duplication of the entire yeastgenome. Nature. 1997;387:708–13.
- 642 20. Kellis M, Birren BW, Lander ES. Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome
  643 duplication in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature. 2004;428:617–24.
- 644 21. Kenny NJ, Chan KW, Nong W, Qu Z, Maeso I, Yip HY, et al. Ancestral whole-genome
  645 duplication in the marine chelicerate horseshoe crabs. Heredity. 2016;116:190–9.
- 646 22. Schwager EE, Sharma PP, Clarke T, Leite DJ, Wierschin T, Pechmann M, et al. The house
- spider genome reveals an ancient whole-genome duplication during arachnid evolution. BMCBiol. 2017;15:62.
- 23. Putnam NH, Butts T, Ferrier DEK, Furlong RF, Hellsten U, Kawashima T, et al. The amphioxus
  genome and the evolution of the chordate karyotype. Nature. 2008;453:1064–71.
- 24. Dehal P, Boore JL. Two Rounds of Whole Genome Duplication in the Ancestral Vertebrate.PLoS Biol. 2005;3:e314.
- 25. Taylor JS, Van de Peer Y, Braasch I, Meyer A. Comparative genomics provides evidence for
  an ancient genome duplication event in fish. Phil Trans R Soc Lond B. 2001;356:1661–79.
- 26. Taylor JS. Genome Duplication, a Trait Shared by 22,000 Species of Ray-Finned Fish.Genome Research. 2003;13:382–90.
- 27. Hoegg S, Brinkmann H, Taylor JS, Meyer A. Phylogenetic Timing of the Fish-Specific Genome
  Duplication Correlates with the Diversification of Teleost Fish. J Mol Evol. 2004;59:190–203.
- 28. Allendorf FW, Thorgaard GH. Tetraploidy and the Evolution of Salmonid Fishes. In: Turner
  BJ, editor. Evolutionary Genetics of Fishes. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1984. p. 1–53.

- 661 29. Macqueen DJ, Johnston IA. A well-constrained estimate for the timing of the salmonid
- whole genome duplication reveals major decoupling from species diversification. Proc R Soc B.2014;281:20132881.
- 664 30. Van de Peer Y, Mizrachi E, Marchal K. The evolutionary significance of polyploidy. Nature 665 Reviews Genetics. 2017;18:411–24.
- 31. Jones SR, Fast MD, Johnson SC, Groman DB. Differential rejection of salmon lice by pink and
  chum salmon: disease consequences and expression of proinflammatory genes. Dis Aquat
  Organ. 2007;75:229–38.
- 669 32. Sutherland BJ, Koczka KW, Yasuike M, Jantzen SG, Yazawa R, Koop BF, et al. Comparative
   670 transcriptomics of Atlantic Salmo salar, chum Oncorhynchus keta and pink salmon O. gorbuscha
- 671 during infections with salmon lice Lepeophtheirus salmonis. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:200.
- 33. Allendorf FW, Bassham S, Cresko WA, Limborg MT, Seeb LW, Seeb JE. Effects of Crossovers
   Between Homeologs on Inheritance and Population Genomics in Polyploid-Derived Salmonid
- 674 Fishes. Journal of Heredity. 2015;106:217–27.
- 34. Waples RK, Seeb LW, Seeb JE. Linkage mapping with paralogs exposes regions of residual
  tetrasomic inheritance in chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*). Mol Ecol Resour. 2016;16:17–28.
- 35. Robertson FM, Gundappa MK, Grammes F, Hvidsten TR, Redmond AK, Lien S, et al. Lineage specific rediploidization is a mechanism to explain time-lags between genome duplication and
   evolutionary diversification. Genome Biology. 2017;18:111.
- 36. Sutherland BJG, Gosselin T, Normandeau E, Lamothe M, Isabel N, Audet C, et al. Salmonid
  Chromosome Evolution as Revealed by a Novel Method for Comparing RADseq Linkage Maps.
  Genome Biology and Evolution. 2016;8:3600–17.
- 37. Berthelot C, Brunet F, Chalopin D, Juanchich A, Bernard M, Noël B, et al. The rainbow trout
  genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates.
  Nat Commun. 2014;5:3657.
- 38. Lien S, Koop BF, Sandve SR, Miller JR, Kent MP, Nome T, et al. The Atlantic salmon genome
  provides insights into rediploidization. Nature. 2016;533:200–5.
- 688 39. Pearse DE, Barson NJ, Nome T, Gao G, Campbell MA, Abadía-Cardoso A, et al. Sex-
- dependent dominance maintains migration supergene in rainbow trout. Nat Ecol Evol.2019;3:1731–42.
- 691 40. Christensen KA, Leong JS, Sakhrani D, Biagi CA, Minkley DR, Withler RE, et al. Chinook
- 692 salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) genome and transcriptome. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0195461.

- 693 41. Narum SR, Di Genova A, Micheletti SJ, Maass A. Genomic variation underlying complex life-
- 694 history traits revealed by genome sequencing in Chinook salmon. Proc R Soc B.
- 695 2018;285:20180935.
- 42. Sävilammi T, Primmer CR, Varadharajan S, Guyomard R, Guiguen Y, Sandve SR, et al. The
- 697 Chromosome-Level Genome Assembly of European Grayling Reveals Aspects of a Unique
- 698 Genome Evolution Process Within Salmonids. G3. 2019;9:1283–94.
- 699 43. Varadharajan S, Sandve SR, Gillard GB, Tørresen OK, Mulugeta TD, Hvidsten TR, et al. The
- 700 Grayling Genome Reveals Selection on Gene Expression Regulation after Whole-Genome
- 701 Duplication. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2018;10:2785–800.
- 702 44. De-Kayne R, Zoller S, Feulner PGD. A *de novo* chromosome-level genome assembly of
- 703 *Coregonus sp. "Balchen"* : one representative of the Swiss Alpine whitefish radiation. preprint.704 Genomics; 2019.
- 705 45. Beacham TD, Wallace CG, Jonsen K, Sutherland BJG, Gummer C, Rondeau EB. Estimation of
- 706 Conservation Unit and population contribution to Chinook salmon mixed-stock fisheries in
- 707 British Columbia, Canada using direct DNA sequencing for single nucleotide polymorphisms.
- 708 Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2020-0462.
- 709 46. Beacham TD, Wallace C, Jonsen K, McIntosh B, Candy JR, Rondeau EB, et al. Accurate
- 710 estimation of conservation unit contribution to coho salmon mixed-stock fisheries in British
- 711 Columbia, Canada, using direct DNA sequencing for single nucleotide polymorphisms. Can J Fish
- 712 Aquat Sci. 2020;77:1302–15.
- 47. Phelps SR, LeClair LL, Young S, Blankenship HL. Genetic Diversity Patterns of Chum Salmon in
   the Pacific Northwest. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 1994;51:65–83.
- 715 48. Seeb LW, Crane PA. High Genetic Heterogeneity in Chum Salmon in Western Alaska, the
- Contact Zone between Northern and Southern Lineages. Transactions of the American FisheriesSociety. 1999;128:58–87.
- 718 49. Beacham TD, Spilsted B, Le KD, Wetklo M. Population structure and stock identification of
- chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta) from British Columbia determined with microsatellite DNA
   variation. Can J Zool. 2008;86:1002–14.
- 721 50. Smith CT, Seeb LW. Number of Alleles as a Predictor of the Relative Assignment Accuracy of
- 722 Short Tandem Repeat (STR) and Single-Nucleotide-Polymorphism (SNP) Baselines for Chum
- 723 Salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 2008;137:751–62.
- 51. Smith CT, Baker J, Park L, Seeb LW, Elfstrom C, Abe S, et al. Characterization of 13 single
- nucleotide polymorphism markers for chum salmon: PRIMER NOTE. Molecular Ecology Notes.
   2005;5:259–62.

- 52. Smith CT, Elfstrom CM, Seeb LW, Seeb JE. Use of sequence data from rainbow trout and
- 728 Atlantic salmon for SNP detection in Pacific salmon: SNPs IN PACIFIC SALMON. Molecular
- 729 Ecology. 2005;14:4193–203.
- 53. Elfstrom CM, Smith CT, Seeb LW. Thirty-eight single nucleotide polymorphism markers for
  high-throughput genotyping of chum salmon. Mol Ecol Notes. 2007;7:1211–5.
- 732 54. Seeb JE, Pascal CE, Grau ED, Seeb LW, Templin WD, Harkins T, et al. Transcriptome
- 733 sequencing and high-resolution melt analysis advance single nucleotide polymorphism
- 734 discovery in duplicated salmonids: PERMANENT GENETIC RESOURCES ARTICLE. Molecular
- 735 Ecology Resources. 2011;11:335–48.
- 55. Petrou EL, Hauser L, Waples RS, Seeb JE, Templin WD, Gomez-Uchida D, et al. Secondary
   contact and changes in coastal habitat availability influence the nonequilibrium population
- 738 structure of a salmonid (*Oncorhynchus keta*). Mol Ecol. 2013;22:5848–60.
- 739 56. Small M, Warheit K, Pascal C, Seeb L, Ruff C, Zischke J, et al. Chum Salmon Southern Area
- 740 Genetic Baseline Enhancement Part 1 and Part 2: Amplicon Development, Expanded Baseline
- 741 Collections, and Genotyping.
- 57. Baird NA, Etter PD, Atwood TS, Currey MC, Shiver AL, Lewis ZA, et al. Rapid SNP Discovery
  and Genetic Mapping Using Sequenced RAD Markers. PLoS ONE. 2008;3:e3376.
- 58. Miller MR, Dunham JP, Amores A, Cresko WA, Johnson EA. Rapid and cost-effective
- polymorphism identification and genotyping using restriction site associated DNA (RAD)
   markers. Genome Research. 2007;17:240–8.
- 747 59. McKinney G, McPhee MV, Pascal C, Seeb JE, Seeb LW. Network Analysis of Linkage
- Disequilibrium Reveals Genome Architecture in Chum Salmon. G3: Genes | Genomes | Genetics.
   2020;10:1553.
- 60. Gao G, Nome T, Pearse DE, Moen T, Naish KA, Thorgaard GH, et al. A New Single Nucleotide
  Polymorphism Database for Rainbow Trout Generated Through Whole Genome Resequencing.
  Front Genet. 2018;9:147.
- 61. Christensen KA, Rondeau EB, Minkley DR, Sakhrani D, Biagi CA, Flores A-M, et al. The
  sockeye salmon genome, transcriptome, and analyses identifying population defining regions of
  the genome. PLOS ONE. 2020;15:e0240935.
- 62. Quillet E, Garcia P, Guyomard R. Analysis of the production of all homozygous lines ofrainbow trout by gynogenesis. J Exp Zool. 1991;257:367–74.
- 758 63. Genomic DNA Preparation from RNAlaterTM Preserved Tissues—CA [Internet].
- 759 https://www.thermofisher.com/ca/en/home/references/protocols/nucleic-acid-purification-
- 760 and-analysis/rna-protocol/genomic-dna-preparation-from-rnalater-preserved-tissues.html.
- 761 Accessed 18 Feb 2021.

- 762 64. Christensen KA, Rondeau EB, Minkley DR, Leong JS, Nugent CM, Danzmann RG, et al. The
- 763 Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus) genome and transcriptome assembly. PLoS ONE.
- 764 2018;13:e0204076.
- 765 65. Rondeau EB, Minkley DR, Leong JS, Messmer AM, Jantzen JR, von Schalburg KR, et al. The
- 766 Genome and Linkage Map of the Northern Pike (Esox lucius): Conserved Synteny Revealed
- 767 between the Salmonid Sister Group and the Neoteleostei. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e102089.
- 66. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
  Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114–20.
- 770 67. Marić J. Long Read RNA-seq Mapper. Master Thesis. University of Zagreb; 2015.
- 771 68. Gnerre S, MacCallum I, Przybylski D, Ribeiro FJ, Burton JN, Walker BJ, et al. High-quality
- draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. Proceedings of
- the National Academy of Sciences. 2011;108:1513–8.
- 69. English AC, Richards S, Han Y, Wang M, Vee V, Qu J, et al. Mind the Gap: Upgrading
  Genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS Long-Read Sequencing Technology. PLoS ONE.
- 776 2012;7:e47768.
- 777 70. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: An Integrated
- 778 Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement.
- 779 PLOS ONE. 2014;9:e112963.
- 780 71. Johnson HA, Rondeau EB, Minkley DR, Leong JS, Whitehead J, Despins CA, et al. Population
- 781 genomics of North American northern pike: variation and sex-specific signals from a
- chromosome-level, long read genome assembly. bioRxiv. 2020;:2020.06.18.157701.
- 72. Soderlund C, Bomhoff M, Nelson WM. SyMAP v3.4: a turnkey synteny system withapplication to plant genomes. Nucleic Acids Research. 2011;39:e68–e68.
- 785 73. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation
- Completeness. In: Kollmar M, editor. Gene Prediction: Methods and Protocols. New York, NY:Springer New York; 2019. p. 227–45.
- 788 74. Poplin R, Ruano-Rubio V, DePristo MA, Fennell TJ, Carneiro MO, Van der Auwera GA, et al.
- 789 Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples. bioRxiv.790 2018;:201178.
- 791 75. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A framework for
- variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet.
- 793 2011;43:491-8.

- 794 76. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, et al.
- From FastQ Data to High-Confidence Variant Calls: The Genome Analysis Toolkit Best Practices
   Pipeline. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics. 2013;43:11.10.1-11.10.33.
- 797 77. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. 2013.
- 798 78. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence
- Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2078–9.
- 800 79. Picard toolkit. Broad Institute; 2019.
- 801 80. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call 802 format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:2156–8.
- 803 81. Lee T-H, Guo H, Wang X, Kim C, Paterson AH. SNPhylo: a pipeline to construct a
  804 phylogenetic tree from huge SNP data. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:162.
- 805 82. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016.
- 83. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK:
  rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015;4.
- 808 84. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
  809 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020.
- 810 85. Turner SD. qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan811 plots. Journal of Open Source Software. 2018;3:731.
- 86. Jombart T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.813 Bioinformatics. 2008;24:1403–5.
- 87. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al.
  Integrative genomics viewer. Nature Biotechnology. 2011;29:24–6.
- 816 88. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol İ, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: An 817 information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Research. 2009;19:1639–45.
- 818 89. Gao G, Magadan S, Waldbieser GC, Youngblood RC, Wheeler PA, Scheffler BE, et al. A long
- 819 reads-based de-novo assembly of the genome of the Arlee homozygous line reveals
- 820 chromosomal rearrangements in rainbow trout. G3 Genes | Genetics. 2021.
- 821 https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkab052.
- 822 90. Palstra AP, Fukaya K, Chiba H, Dirks RP, Planas JV, Ueda H. The Olfactory Transcriptome and
- 823 Progression of Sexual Maturation in Homing Chum Salmon Oncorhynchus keta. PLOS ONE.
- 824 2015;10:e0137404.

- 91. Tatara Y, Kakizaki I, Kuroda Y, Suto S, Ishioka H, Endo M. Epiphycan from salmon nasal
  cartilage is a novel type of large leucine-rich proteoglycan. Glycobiology. 2013;23:993–1003.
- 827 92. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, et al. Versatile and
  828 open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biology. 2004;5:R12.

93. SEEB LW, TEMPLIN WD, SATO S, ABE S, WARHEIT K, PARK JY, et al. Single nucleotide

- 830 polymorphisms across a species' range: implications for conservation studies of Pacific salmon.
- 831 Molecular Ecology Resources. 2011;11:195–217.
- 832 94. Fishery & Assessment Data Section, Pacific Biological Station. Chum Salmon (Oncorhynchus833 keta) Conservation Units, Sites & Status. 2017.
- 95. Yano A, Nicol B, Jouanno E, Quillet E, Fostier A, Guyomard R, et al. The sexually dimorphic
  on the Y-chromosome gene (sdY) is a conserved male-specific Y-chromosome sequence in many
  salmonids. Evol Appl. 2013;6:486–96.
- 96. Sutherland BJG, Rico C, Audet C, Bernatchez L. Sex Chromosome Evolution, Heterochiasmy,
  and Physiological QTL in the Salmonid Brook Charr Salvelinus fontinalis. G3 (Bethesda).
  2017;7:2749–62.
- 97. Micheletti SJ, Narum SR. Utility of pooled sequencing for association mapping in nonmodelorganisms. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2018;18:825–37.
- 98. Eisbrenner WD, Botwright N, Cook M, Davidson EA, Dominik S, Elliott NG, et al. Evidence for
  multiple sex-determining loci in Tasmanian Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Heredity.
- 844 2014;113:86–92.
- 99. Devlin RH, Biagi CA, Smailus DE. Genetic mapping of Y-chromosomal DNA markers in Pacificsalmon. Genetica. 2001;111:43–58.
- 847 100. Muttray AF, Sakhrani D, Smith JL, Nakayama I, Davidson WS, Park L, et al. Deletion and
- 848 Copy Number Variation of Y-Chromosomal Regions in Coho Salmon, Chum Salmon, and Pink
- 849 Salmon Populations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 2017;146:240–51.
- 101. Bobe J, Marandel L, Panserat S, Boudinot P, Berthelot C, Quillet E, et al. 2 The rainbow
- 851 trout genome, an important landmark for aquaculture and genome evolution. In: MacKenzie S,
- Jentoft S, editors. Genomics in Aquaculture. San Diego: Academic Press; 2016. p. 21–43.
- 853

#### 854 Tables

855

Table 1: Assembly results for Allpaths and PBSuite based assemblies performed.

8	5	7

858	Table 2: Summary of Annotation Release 100 from the NCBI Eukaryotic Annotation pipeline.
859	See <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation</a> euk/Oncorhynchus keta/100/ for
860	more details.
861	
862	Table 3: Pike-like chromosome naming for the chum salmon pseudomolecules described in this
863	work, based on Sutherland et al. (2016) [36]
864	
865	Figures
866	
867	Figure 1: Circos plot of the chum salmon genome GCF_012931545.1. Inner ribbons demonstrate
868	ohnologous regions (regions duplicated at the salmon-specific genome duplication event).
869	Working in to out, Track A describes the average percent identity between the duplicated
870	regions, in 1 Mbp bins. Track B describes the average percent identity in the chromosomes, in 1
871	Mbp bins. Track C describes the relationship to "Map 1" chum linkage map from Waples et al.
872	(2016) [34]. Track D describes SNPs demonstrating elevated LD (R-squared >= 0.5) and >= 100
873	kb apart, demonstrated as a log10 based count, in 1Mbp bins.
874	
875	Figure 2: Dendrogram produced by SNPhylo, utilizing set 4 SNP data described in the text.
876	Values at nodes indicate bootstrapping. Samples are coloured by geographic region.
877	
878	Figure 3: Principal component analyses performed on set 3 SNPs described in the text, using

880	legend. A) the full dataset (all samples) are presented. B), Japanese samples are removed from
881	the analysis. C), the collections are reduced solely to the collections within the Fraser River
882	drainage.
883	
884	Figure 4: Association of the phenotypic sex to the genome utilizing SNP variant set 1. A) the
885	results of the GWAS are presented, with Bonferroni-adjusted p-values shown at the 5% level
886	(blue line) and 1% (orange line) levels. B) The SNPs with R-squared greater than 0.5 are
887	counted, and plotted to show relationship of distance between SNPs being measured, for the
888	region flanking the signal on Oket_LG15. C) The genotypes for each individual is displayed for
889	the 20 SNPs seen as most associated within the GWAS analysis, with homozygous reference in
890	blue, heterozygous in purple, homozygous alternate in red, and missing genotypes in white.
891	Samples are sorted to group males, females and unknowns (Japanese samples—most likely
892	females).
893	
055	
894	Supplementary Data
895	
896	Supplementary Table 1: Biosample and SRA data for individual chum used in generating the
897	genome assembly.
898	
899	Supplementary Table 2: Biosample and SRA data for individual chum used in generating the
900	Illumina RNA-seq data.
901	

879 SNPrelate and plotted in ggplot2. Samples are coloured by collection and displayed in the

- 902 Supplementary Table 3: Biosample and SRA data for individual chum used in generating the Re-
- 903 sequencing data.
- 904
- 905 Supplementary Table 4: Allpaths-LG parameters explored in attempting to obtain the highest
- 906 contiguity assemblies.

- 908 Supplementary Table 5: Heterozygosity metrics by individual are described. Includes counts of
- 909 missing genotypes, Homozygous Reference and Alternate genotypes, Heterozygous genotypes,
- 910 average depth at called sites, the mean count of heterozygous SNPs per kbp, the ratio of
- 911 Heterozygous genotypes to Homozygous alternate, and the total length of runs-of
- 912 homozygosity as determined from PLINK using default parameters.
- 913
- 914 Supplementary Table 6: Depth of coverage across the alignments, and at the GH2 locus to
- 915 approximate the count of GH-Y copies in each individual. GH2 is used for this calculation due to
- 916 the lack of GH-Y in the reference genome, and therefore the alignment of GH-Y to the closest
- 917 homologue.
- 918
- 919 Supplementary Table 7: Placement of SNPs associated with phenotypic sex from McKinney et
- al. [59] in Alaskan chum populations onto the current reference genome.
- 921
- 922 Supplementary Figure 1: Plotting the association between Linkage groups in Waples et al.
- 923 (2016), [34] map 1, and the reference genome assembly presented in this work.
- 924
- 925 Supplementary Figure 2: Plotting the association between Linkage groups in Waples et al.
- 926 (2016), [34] map 2, and the reference genome assembly presented in this work.
- 927
- 928 Supplementary Figure 3: Plotting the linkage disequilibrium along each chromosome. SNPs are
- 929 only displayed if R-squared is greater than 0.5, and is plotted as a count of SNPs.
- 930

- 931 Supplementary Figure 4: Plotting the linkage disequilibrium along each chromosome. SNPs are
- only displayed if R-squared is greater than 0.5, and each SNP is plotted by R-squared value.
- 934 Supplementary Figure 5: Principal component analyses performed on set 3 SNPs described in
- 935 the text, using SNPrelate and plotted in ggplot2 and reduced to only query LG15. Samples are
- 936 coloured by collection, displayed in the legend. In panel A, the full dataset (all samples) are
- 937 presented. In panel B, Japanese samples are removed from the analysis. In panel C, the samples
- 938 are coloured by collection site rather than by region.

	Assembly size (Contigs)	Assembly size (Scaffolds)	Scaffold N50	Contig N50
Allpaths	1471097779	1813373414	653	13.1
PBSuite	1,766,907,823	1,852,809,593	665,581	52,191

Feature	Annotation Release 100
Genes and pseudogenes	45643
protein-coding	36325
non-coding	6205
transcribed pseudogenes	222
non-transcribed pseudogenes	2821
genes with variants	14102
immunoglobulin/T-cell receptor gene segments	70

Linkage Group	Accession	Alternate Naming
Oket_LG01	NC_050106	1.2-8.2
Oket_LG02	NC_050107	6.1-2.2
Oket_LG03	NC_050108	5.1
Oket_LG04	NC_050109	21.1-4.2
Oket_LG05	NC_050110	11.2
Oket_LG06	NC_050111	14.2
Oket_LG07	NC_050112	16.1
Oket_LG08	NC_050113	18.2
Oket_LG09	NC_050114	14.1
Oket_LG10	NC_050115	17.1-9.2
Oket_LG11	NC_050116	7.2
Oket_LG12	NC_050117	7.1
Oket_LG13	NC_050118	24.1-23.1
Oket_LG14	NC_050119	13.1-2.1
Oket_LG15	NC_050120	3.2
Oket_LG16	NC_050121	25.1
Oket_LG17	NC_050122	19.1
Oket_LG18	NC_050123	1.1
Oket_LG19	NC_050124	10.2-18.1
Oket_LG20	NC_050125	10.1
Oket_LG21	NC_050126	17.2
Oket_LG22	NC_050127	19.2
Oket_LG23	NC_050128	3.1
Oket_LG24	NC_050129	5.2
Oket_LG25	NC_050130	13.2
Oket_LG26	NC_050131	8.1
Oket_LG27	NC_050132	12.1
Oket_LG28	NC_050133	12.2-21.2
Oket_LG29	NC_050134	15.2-25.2
Oket_LG30	NC_050135	4.1-22.2
Oket_LG31	NC_050136	20.1
Oket_LG32	NC_050137	9.1-11.1
Oket_LG33	NC_050138	23.2
Oket_LG34	NC_050139	16.2-20.2
Oket_LG35	NC_050140	15.1-24.2
Oket_LG36	NC_050141	6.2
Oket_LG37	NC_050142	22.1







