mGBP2 engages Galectin-9 and Cytoskeleton-associated Protein 4

for immunity against *Toxoplasma gondii*

Elisabeth Kravets[1], Gereon Poschmann[2], Sebastian Hänsch[3], Stefanie Weidtkamp-Peters[3], Daniel Degrandi[1], Kai Stühler[2,4], Klaus Pfeffer[1*].

[1] Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Hygiene, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

[2] Institute of Molecular Medicine, Proteome Research, Medical Faculty and University Hospital, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Germany

[3] Center of Advanced Imaging, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

[4] Molecular Proteomics Laboratory, Biological and Medical Research Centre (BMFZ), Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. Klaus Pfeffer
Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Hygiene
Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf
Universitätsstr. 1
D-40225 Düsseldorf
Phone: +49 211 81 12459
e-mail: klaus.pfeffer@hhu.de

Author Contributions

EK designed and performed most experiments; GP and KS performed and analyzed the mass spectrometry data; SH and SWP performed STED microscopy and analysis. DD and KP designed and supervised the study. EK, DD and KP wrote the paper. All authors contributed in writing, discussing and editing of the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement:

None of the authors has a financial interest related to this work

Classification

Biological Sciences, Immunology and Inflammation

Keywords:

Guanylate-binding-proteins, Toxoplasma gondii, Host-Pathogen interaction

This PDF file includes

Main Text

Figures 1 to 6

Supplementary Figures S1 to S10

Abstract

Guanylate binding proteins (GBPs) are large interferon-inducible GTPases, executing essential host defense activities

against *Toxoplasma gondii,* an invasive intracellular apicomplexan protozoan parasite of global importance. *T. gondii*

establishes a parasitophorous vacuole (PV) which shields the parasite from the host's intracellular defense

mechanisms. Murine GBPs (mGBPs) recognize *T. gondii* PVs and assemble into supramolecular mGBP homo- and

heterocomplexes that are required for the disruption of the membrane of PVs eventually resulting in the cell-autonomous

immune control of vacuole-resident pathogens. We have previously shown that mGBP2 plays an important role in *T.*

gondii immune control. Here, in order to unravel mGBP2 functions, we report Galectin-9 (Gal9) and cytoskeleton-

associated protein 4 (Ckap4) as critical mGBP2 interaction partners engaged for immunity to *T. gondii*. Interestingly,

Gal9 and Ckap4 also accumulate and colocalize with mGBP2 at the *T. gondii* PV. Furthermore, we could prove the

requirement of Gal9 and Ckap4 for growth control of *T. gondii* by CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene editing. These

discoveries clearly indicate that mGBP2 engages Gal9 and Ckap4 and that Gal9 and Ckap4 are critical factors for the

mGBP2 coordinated cell autonomous host defense mechanism against *T. gondii.*

Significance Statement

We have previously shown that mGBP2 is an essential IFNγ induced protein for defense against *Toxoplasma gondii*

infection. mGBP2 is rapidly recruited to the parasitophorous vacuole (PV) of intracellular *T. gondii* and is required for

the rupture and/or permeabilization of the PV membrane. Subsequently mGBP2 attacks the parasite membrane. Up to

now, no data about other mGBP2 interaction partners and their molecular requirement for *T. gondii* control has been

made available.

Here, we have identified Gal9 and Ckap4 as interacting proteins of mGBP2. Gal9 and Ckap4 are essential in the mGBP2

mediated attack of the *T. gondii* PV. Both proteins localize at the *T. gondii* PV in close association with mGBP2, as

shown by state-of-the-art super resolution microscopy. In addition, *T. gondii* growth cannot be controlled anymore in

Gal9 and Ckap4 deficient cell lines, comparable to mGBP2 deficient cells,.

Thus, we have identified novel effector proteins in the intricate cell autonomous immune machinery against intracellular

pathogens.

Introduction

Subsequent to innate immune sensing of pathogens via pattern recognition receptors, production of interferons (IFN) is a key prerequisite for the activation of cell-autonomous immunity, which is essential for the immediate restriction of microbial replication (1, 2). IFN-γ signaling induces the expression of hundreds of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (3-5), which essentially contribute to innate and adaptive immune responses in immune and nonimmune cells (6, 7). Very prominent among IFN-γ ISGs are the members of several families of interferon-inducible GTPases such as the 65- to 73-kDa dynamin-related guanylate-binding proteins (GBPs) (8, 9) and the 45-kDa immunity-related GTPases (IRGs) (10, 11). Human (hGBPs) and murine GBPs (mGBPs) families consist of seven (hGBP1 to hGBP7) and eleven (mGBP1 to mGBP11) members, respectively, which are strongly upregulated in response to IFN-γ (12-14). GBPs characteristically exhibit various cellular functions encompassing antiparasitic, antiviral, and antibacterial activity, as well as inhibitory effects on cell proliferation and tumor suppression (15-26).

GBPs display a characteristic multidomain architecture including a large catalytic GTPase (G) domain and a C-terminal, helical domain (8, 27-33). Various mGBPs such as mGBP1, mGBP2, and mGBP7 are especially important effector molecules for the resistance against *Toxoplasma gondii*, an intracellular apicomplexan protozoan parasite of global importance (16, 25, 34-39). After the active invasion of host cells, *T. gondii* forms a nonfusogenic cytoplasmic membranous structure called the parasitophorous vacuole (PV) in which the parasite efficiently replicates (37, 40, 41). mGBPs are intricately involved in IFN-γ induced growth restriction and killing of the *T. gondii* in murine macrophages, fibroblasts and astrocytes (16, 20, 25, 36, 38, 42). In order to execute their antimicrobial function, mGBPs can specifically associate with pathogen containing compartments of intracellular microbes which encompass pathogen-containing vacuoles (PCVs) as well as viral replication compartments (20, 25, 36, 43-45), resulting in the innate immune detection and cell-autonomous clearing or of PCV-resident pathogens (22, 25, 38, 46). After IFN-γ induction, mGBP2 molecules assemble in vesicle like structures (VLS) in the cytoplasm and, after *T. gondii* entry into the host cell, mGBP2 attacks the PV membrane (PVM) in orchestrated, supramolecular complexes, ultimately promoting the destruction of PVMs (36, 38). This stage is followed by recruitment of mGBP2 to the plasma membrane of the intracellular parasite, which in turn likely leads to its destruction (38). Multiparameter fluorescence image spectroscopy (MFIS) revealed that

mGBP2, on a molecular level, can form homomultimers or heteromultimers with mGBP1, mGBP3 and, to a lesser

extent, with mGBP5 within VLS and at the PVM (38). For PV membrane interactions, isoprenylation / farnesylation

and/or hydrophobic moieties in the C-terminus of the GBPs are essential (25, 31, 32, 38, 47). Eventually, especially

mGBP2 and mGBP7, can be found localized at the parasite cell membrane and inside the targeted parasite (25, 38)

probably also interfering with the integrity of *T. gondii* membranes. Importantly, inactivation of mGBP1, mGBP2, or

mGBP7 in mice has been shown to result in a vastly impaired resistance to infections with type II *T. gondii* (25, 36).

Galectins are a family of lectins characterized by a carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) with β-galactoside-binding

ability (48). Galectins are nucleocytoplasmic, can also be exported from cells through a poorly defined unconventional

secretion pathway (49) and can bind directly to bacteria thereby mediating antibacterial effects and modifying

inflammatory signaling events (50). Presently, 15 galectin members have been identified in mammals (51).

Immunomodulatory effects of galectins include recruitment of immune cells to the site of infection, promotion of

neutrophil function, and stimulation of the bactericidal activity of infected neutrophils and macrophages (48). Previously

it has been shown that Gal3 can bind *T. gondii* glycophosphatidylinositols and might be a co-receptor presenting GPIs

to TLRs on macrophages (52). Cytoplasmic galectins fulfill functions in several cellular programs including cell growth

and apoptosis (53). Through binding to multivalent carbohydrates, galectins can multimerize and thereby cluster these

surface glycoconjugates resulting in changes in cell migration, receptor endocytosis and various other cellular events

(54, 55). Glycans are largely restricted to the luminal face but absent from the cytosolic face of intracellular vesicles. If

vesicular damage occurs, luminal glycans are exposed to the cytosolic milieu, where they can be recognized by

galectins (56, 57) . Furthermore, vacuolar instability of bacterial PCVs is recognized by host β-galactoside-binding

proteins of the galectin family (50, 58). Intracellular galectins can serve as danger receptors and can promote autophagy

of the invading pathogen (59).

The aim of this study was to explore the molecular interaction(s) which enable mGBP2 to control *T. gondii* replication.

By employing immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis, we have identified novel

interaction partners of mGBP2 such as galectin-9 (Gal9) and cytoskeleton-associated protein 4 (Ckap4). Furthermore,

we demonstrate that the inactivation of either Gal9 or Ckap4 impairs the cell autonomous IFN-γ induced immune control

of *T. gondii* replication.

Results

Identification of Gal9 and Ckap4 as mGBP2 interaction partners by IP and MS

Previously, we could show that mGBP2 interacts with itself and other mGBP family members forming homo- and heteromultimers, respectively, in VLS and at the *T. gondii* PVM (38). For a profound understanding of the functions of mGBP2 in *T. gondii* infection, we searched for other interaction partners of mGBP2. To this end, mGBP2^{-/-} murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)(36) expressing HA-tagged mGBP2 were constructed, stimulated with IFN-γ, and either infected with the type II strain *T. gondii* ME49 or left uninfected. An HA-tag specific IP was performed (Fig.S1) followed by MS based quantitative protein analysis (Fig.1). mGBP2^{-/-} MEFs transduced with an empty expression vector served as negative controls.

Statistical analysis revealed that 148 proteins were co-purified with HA-mGBP2 from the uninfected and 294 proteins from the *T. gondii* infected cells. Besides the bait protein mGBP2, further members of the mGBP family were enriched as prey proteins. Among them, a clear co-purification of mGBP1 and mGBP5 which previously were independently identified by MFIS as mGBP2 interacting members, could be observed in uninfected and infected HA-mGBP2 expressing MEFs (mGBP1 enrichment factor > 185 in both experimental conditions, mGBP5 enrichment factor 4.8 from uninfected and 15.1 from infected cells) (Fig.1), verifying the reliability of the co-IP and MS analysis. Furthermore, Ckap4 showed up to be specifically enriched in mGBP2 immunoprecipitation experiments.

Members of the galectin family were significantly enriched in IPs from *T. gondii* infected cells. From the list of potential mGBP2 interactors, Gal9 was analyzed further since Gal9 was found significantly enriched in IP samples from type II *T. gondii* ME49 infected cells (Fig.1) and interestingly a role for several other galectin family members had already been proposed in microbial infections (51). Furthermore, Ckap4 showed a high enrichment factor (uninfected: 9.2 / *T. gondii* infected: 14) comparable to the known mGBP2 interacting proteins mGBP1 and mGBP5 (Fig.1).

To validate and characterize the interactions of Gal9 and Ckap4 with mGBP2 in detail, mCherry-fused proteins of Gal9 or Ckap4 were co-expressed with full-length mGBP2 or with mGBP2 truncation mutants containing the GTP-binding domain only (G), the G-domain and the middle domain (GM) or the C-terminal effector domain (E) (31, 36). These cell lines were infected with *T. gondii* followed by co-IP. Using this approach, we could prove the interaction of mGBP2 with

Gal9 and Ckap4 and could determine a crucial function of the E-domain of mGBP2 for the interaction with Gal9 and

Ckap4 (Fig.2).

Subcellular localization of Gal9 and Ckap4 and recruitment to the T. gondii PV

In a next step, the colocalization of mGBP2 and Gal9 or Ckap4 at the PVM of *T. gondii* was determined by confocal

(Fig.S2) and Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy (Fig.3). Doubly transduced mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs

coexpressing GFP-mGBP2 with either mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4 were prestimulated with IFN-γ and infected

with *T. gondii* ME49 (Fig.3, Fig.S2). GFP-mGBP2 and mCherry-mGBP2 coexpressing MEFs served as a positive

control in STED microscopy colocalization experiments (Fig.S3). A distinctive colocalization or close association

between mGBP2 and Gal9 and between mGBP2 and Ckap4 could be observed at the PVM already 2 h after infection.

Interestingly, mCherry-Gal9 partly colocalized in GFP-mGBP2 containing VLS in uninfected MEFs, whereas a

colocalization of mCherry-Ckap4 and GFP-GBP2 containing VLS could hardly be visualized by confocal microscopy

(Fig.S4). Furthermore, 5-6 h after infection, different stages of recruitment and accumulation of mGBP2 and its

interaction partners at the PVM were observed (Fig.S2). Eventually, Gal9 and mGBP2 could be found to be located

together within the intermembranous space of the PV and eventually within the cytosol of the parasite (Fig.S2). These

results indicate that Gal9 and Ckap4 are interacting and acting together with mGBP2 and suggest that Gal9 and Ckap4

are intricately involved into the cell autonomous immunity mediated by mGBP2.

Recruitment of mGBP2 to the T. gondii PV in Gal9 or Ckap4 deficient cells.

Next, the roles of Gal9 and Ckap4 for the recruitment of mGBP2 to the *T. gondii* PV was analyzed. For this purpose,

the *gal9* gene as well as the *ckap4* gene were inactivated applying CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. The inactivation of Gal9

and Ckap4 in different clonal NIH 3T3 fibroblast cell lines was confirmed by sequencing of the targeted gene loci and,

additionally, either by Surveyor nuclease assay and WB for Gal9 (Fig.S5) or by WB analysis with a specific antibody

against Ckap4 (Fig.S6). No difference in *T. gondii* infection rates could be detected between WT or Gal9- or Ckap4

inactivated fibroblast cell lines (Fig.S7). Interestingly, a significant reduction in the recruitment efficiency of mGBP2 2 h

after infection was observed in the absence of Gal9 or Ckap4 in the targeted fibroblast cell lines (Fig.4). Recruitment of

mGBP2 could be restored after reconstitution of the fibroblasts with either mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4 (Fig.4).

Interestingly, the recruitment of Gal9 was also reduced in mGBP2 deficient MEFs, whereas the co-localization of Ckap4

at the *T. gondii* PVM was not affected by the lack of mGBP2 (Fig.4, Suppl. Fig.8). These data indicate that the

recruitment of mGBP2 to the *T. gondii* PVM is more efficient in the presence of Gal9 and Ckap4.

T. gondii replication control by Gal9 and Ckap4

The course of *T. gondii* infection in cells deficient for Gal9 and Ckap4 was investigated in the next step. To address the

question whether Gal9 and / or Ckap4 contribute to cell autonomous immunity against *T. gondii*, Gal9- and Ckap4-

deficient fibroblast cell lines were stimulated with IFN-γ and infected with *T. gondii* (ME49) and the replication of the

parasite was monitored. First, it was determined whether the inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 leads to increased or

decreased infection rates in the targeted cell lines compared to wildtype cells. However, the number of *T. gondii*

parasites detected in the gene edited cell lines compared to wildtype fibroblasts did not differ 2 h post infection (Fig.S7).

Interestingly, a significant increase in *T. gondii* replication could be observed in fibroblasts harboring an inactivation of

Gal9 or Ckap4 as determined by quantification of the number of *T. gondii* rosettes and single parasites 22 h after

infection (Fig.5, Fig.S9). As a specific control and to verify the specificity of the CRISPR/Cas9 gene inactivation of Gal9

and Ckap4, Gal9- and Ckap4- deficient cell clones were reconstituted by transduction with mCherry-Gal9 and mCherry-

Ckap4 expression constructs, respectively. Importantly, Toxoplasma replication in the reconstituted fibroblasts was

inhibited comparably to WT cells (Fig.6, Fig.S9). These results clearly indicate an important role for Gal9 and Ckap4 in

controlling the intracellular replication of the parasite and suggest that mGBP2, Gal9 and Ckap4 function as

supramolecular complexes in the defense against intracellular pathogens.

Discussion

mGBP2 provides cell-autonomous immunity to the intracellular PV resident parasite *T. gondii* (31, 36, 38, 44, 60).

Although it has been shown that mGBPs translocate to PVs, form supramolecular complexes, attack the membrane of

the parasite itself, and, consecutively, restrict replication of the intracellular pathogen, the molecular mechanisms by

which mGBPs control the parasite inside the host cell remain largely elusive. Here we propose two novel interaction

partners of mGBP2, Gal9 and Ckap4, which play a critical role with respect to the recruitment and the antiparasitic

function of mGBP2 in the context of cell-autonomous defense against *T. gondii* (for a schematic overview see Fig.S10).

Previously, it was shown that Gal8 colocalizes with mGBP2 positive *Salmonella spp.* in unprimed bone marrow derived

macrophages (BMDMs) (61). It was proposed that Gal8 monitors endosomal and lysosomal integrity and detects

bacterial invasion of the cytosol by *Listeria* spp. or *Shigella spp.*, serving as a versatile receptor for vesicle-damaging

pathogens (61). Interestingly, it was also reported that Gal3, as a member of the Galectin family, and mGBP2 form

protein complexes, which associate with vacuoles harboring secretion system-competent *Legionella pneumophila* and

Yersinia pseudotuberculosis bacteria in response to vacuolar damage (62). In this study we show that Gal9 interacts

and colocalizes with mGBP2 in IFN-γ stimulated MEFs and NIH 3T3 cells in VLS. Based on its structural organization,

Gal9 belongs to the tandem-repeat type galectins containing two distinct but homologous CRDs (N-CRD and C-CRD)

covalently connected by a linker peptide (48, 59). Recruitment of Gal9 to the *T. gondii* PV has not been described

previously. Furthermore, Gal9 could not only be found at the PVM but also within the intermembranous space between

the PVM and the *T. gondii* plasma membrane as well as in the cytosol of the parasites together with mGBP2 approx.

5-6 h post-infection. This indicates that Gal9 and mGBP2 might recruit to the PVM of *T. gondii* in preformed complexes.

Mechanistically, a series of events involving mGBP/IRG mediated PVM indentation, PVM vesiculation/disruption, and

parasite plasma membrane stripping and denudation, leading ultimately to degradation of the parasite, is proposed by

us and others as hallmarks for intracellular *T. gondii* elimination (38, 63, 64). It can be suggested that poreforming or

denudating activity of these IFN-γ induced GTPases allows Gal9 to transmigrate through the PVM and to recognize β-

galactosides on the inner leaflets of the PVM and the parasite, labeling the parasite for further degradation processes.

Parasite glycoconjugates play important roles in host cell invasion, and specific interactions between host galectins and

parasite glycoconjugates are considered to be critical for pathogen recognition (55). Interestingly,

glycosylphosphatidylinositols (GPIs) of *T. gondii* (65) have been shown to be ligands of human Gal3 whereby both

glycan and lipid moieties participate in the association of *T. gondii* GPIs with Gal3 (52). In this context, Gal3, -8, and -9

bind to host glycans in the luminal side of lysed phagosomes or permeated pathogen vacuoles (66). Intracellular Gal3

was characterized as a sensor of vacuolar rupture that occurs when bacteria such as *Shigella flexneri* or *Salmonella*

enterica serovar Typhimurium actively enter the host cell cytosol (58, 67). Moreover, *S. flexneri* IpaH9.8 labels mGBP2

for degradation (68). Gal3, -8 and -9 were also shown to associate with Salmonella-containing vacuoles (SCV) (61, 69,

70). Here we show that Gal9 is required for the control of the parasite *T. gondii*.

Functionally, the recruitment of mGBP2 to the PVM was reduced in Gal9- inactivated cells and vice versa; the

recruitment of Gal9 to the PVM was reduced in mGBP2 deficient cells. In accordance, significantly fewer Salmonella

were targeted by Gal8 in Gbp^{chr3} KO BMDMs than in wild-type BMDMs (71). Also, the delivery of mGBP1 and mGBP2

to Legionella-containing vacuoles (LCV) or Yersinia-containing vacuoles is substantially diminished in Gal3- and, to a

lesser extent, Gal8-deficient IFN-γ–primed iBMDM (62). In Salmonella-infected cells, SCV rupture and Gal3 recruitment

was followed by a rapid and massive recruitment of hGBP1 (67). Interestingly, a specific polybasic protein motif (PBM:

KMRRRK) was identified for hGBP1 which is sufficient to drive significant targeting of hGBP1 to *S. flexneri* recognizing

exposed glycans from disrupted, bacteria containing, endosomes. Deletion of the PBM-motive leads to substantially

reduced colocalization between Gal3-marked vacuoles and hGBP1 (72). mGBP2 lacks such a C-terminal PBM.

Nevertheless, the interaction with Gal9 appears to be mostly dependent on the C-terminal domain of mGBP2. Rab GDP

dissociation inhibitor α (RabGDIα) acts as a suppressor of the mGBP2-Irga6 axis, hereby the lipid-binding activity of

RabGDIα is critical for IFN-γ–induced mGBP2-dependent cell-autonomous immunity against ME49 *T. gondii*. RabGDIα

deficiency is accompanied by increased recruitment of mGBP2 and Irga6 to the parasite in MEFs and macrophages

and results in enhanced IFN-γ–mediated *T. gondii* clearance *in vitro* and *in vivo* (73). These studies suggest on the

one hand GBPs as escorts for distinct anti-pathogenic factors en route to PVs, on the other hand that the recruitment

of galectins and GBPs to the PVs might be mutually dependent as part of a coordinated host defense program. This is

supported by the significantly increased *T. gondii* proliferation in IFN-γ stimulated Gal9 knock out 3T3 fibroblasts 22h

post-infection indicating an important role of Gal9 in cell-autonomous *T. gondii* elimination. In contrast, IFN-γ–primed

Gal3$^{-/-}$ BMDMs restricted Yersinia and Legionella bacterial growth, similar to WT BMDMs (62).

Thus, it might be speculated that the recognition of the lysed PV by Gal9 initiates the uptake of the parasite into

autophagosomes or other degradation pathways as it was described for *Salmonella*, where GBPs promote LC3

recruitment via Gal8 (71). Interestingly, *T. gondii* infected Gal3$^{-/-}$ mice develop reduced inflammatory responses in

many organs (74) and succumb to an intraperitoneal infection with *T. gondii* associated with a deficient influx of

neutrophils and macrophages into the peritoneal cavity (75). The function of Gal9 might be the non-redundant to Gal3

and Gal8 in parasitic degradation processes which will be addressed in the future in a Gal9-gene deficient mouse line.

Ckap4 and mGBP2 can colocalize at the PVM of *T. gondii* where Ckap4 binds to the C-terminal part of mGBP2. Ckap4,

also known as p63, CLIMP-63, or ERGIC-63, is a 63 kDa, reversibly palmitoylated and phosphorylated, type II integral

transmembrane (TM) protein. Ckap4 was originally identified as a resident of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/Golgi

intermediate compartment (ERGIC) (76) linking the ER to microtubules (77). There is also steadily accumulating

evidence for diverse roles for Ckap4 localized outside the ER, including data demonstrating functionality of Ckap4 as a

cell surface receptor for Dickkopf1-cytoskeleton-associated protein 4 (DKK1) (78) and other ligands (79, 80) resulting

in increased nuclear abundance of Ckap4 in response to the antiproliferative factor (APF) (81). Ckap4 must be

palmitoylated to traffic to the cell surface (82).

After active invasion and sequestration in PVs, intracellular *T. gondii* parasites initiate the reorganization of the ER and

recruit the microtubule organizing center and other factors of the host cell cytoskeleton to PVs (83) to obtain nutrition

sources (84). Microtubules of the host, which are loaded with LDL cholesterol (85) form a connection with the PV and

are anchored via the "Dense Granule" protein GRA7 of *T. gondii*, organizing a tubulo-vesicular network (TVN) (86).

When localized to the ER, a major function of Ckap4 is to anchor rough ER to microtubules, organizing the overall

structure of ER with respect to the microtubule network. Vacuole formation and trafficking within the cytoplasm of

eukaryotic cells is dependent upon the cytoskeleton and cytoskeletal motors (87-89). Therefore, it might not to be

surprising that PCV are tightly associated with cytoskeletal components and that modulation of the cytoskeleton is a

key process required for vacuole formation. Intimate interactions between *T. gondii* and host microtubules were shown

to result in suppression of cell division and/or cause a mitotic defect, thus providing a larger space for parasite

duplication (90). Also, interference with the cytoskeleton has been shown to be required for expansion of Salmonella

and Chlamydia PCVs (91, 92). The regulation of the cytoskeleton by IFN-γ is of relevance in physiological and

pathophysiological processes, including cell migration, invasion, proliferation, defense against pathogens, and barrier

function (93-98) and hGBP1 has been shown to be involved into the regulation of these cellular processes in response

to IFN-γ (15, 17, 98-100). Interestingly, hGBP1 has been proposed to be involved in rearrangements of the cytoskeleton

specifically mediating IFN-γ induced defense mechanisms, where actin-remodeling was dependent on the

oligomerization and the GTPase activity of hGBP1 (101). Salmonella and Chlamydia PCVs are surrounded by a network

of F-actin and other cytoskeletal components that provide structural support for the PCVs of both these pathogens as

treatment with F-actin polymerisation inhibitors leads to the loss of integrity (92, 102, 103). Thus, Ckap4 might inhibit

the establishment of the intracellular parasitic survival niche and this is involved into the replication control of *T. gondii*.

The molecular function of Ckap4 in the mGBP2 complex and the putative ability of Ckap4 to associate with other

members of the GBP family have to be addressed in the future. The findings of this study indicate that mGBP2 acts as

a scaffold organizing the cell autonomous immunity in concert with Gal9 and Ckap4 and thus extends the understanding

of molecular host responses in the control of intracellular parasites.

Materials and Methods

Expression Constructs.

The WT ORF of mGBP2 (NCBI accession numbers: mGBP-2, NM_010260.1) was cloned either into the pWPXL

plasmid (Trono lab) as N-terminal GFP-fusion constructs or into the pWPI Plasmid (Trono lab) in frame with a N-terminal

HA-tag. For the cloning of mCherry constructs (36), the pWPXL plasmid was modified by exchanging of the gene for

GFP by the gene for mCherry (38). mRNA was isolated from freshly prepared WT MEFs prestimulated with IFN-γ and

infected with *T. gondii*. The ORFs of Galectin 9 (NM_010708.2) and Ckap4 (NM_175451.1) were amplified by PCR and

then cloned into the modified pWPXL plasmid as N-terminal mCherry-fusion constructs (38). The lentiviral envelope

vector pLP/VSVG (Invitrogen) and the packaging vector psPAX2 (Trono lab) were used for the lentiviral genetic transfer.

Cell culture and transduction.

NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and MEFs were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Invitrogen/Gibco)

supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated low endotoxin fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cambrex), 100 U/ml penicillin,

100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine (Biochrom) and 0.05 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen/Gibco). Human

foreskin fibroblasts (HS27, ATCC CRL-1634) were hold in culture in Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM,

Invitrogen/Gibco) with the same supplementations. 293FT cells were cultivated in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS,

100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. All recombinant lentiviruses were produced by transient transfection

of 293FT cells according to jetPRIME® transfection protocol (Polyplus, New York City) (38). NIH 3T3 cells and MEFs

were seeded in 24 well plates (Corning Incorporated, Germany) and transduced with 600 µl of lentivirus with 25 µg

Polybrene (Merck Millipore, Germany). After 5 h of incubation medium was changed. The transduction efficacy was

analyzed by flowcytometry. Subsequently, only GFP or double GFP and mCherry positive cells were sorted and

cultivated.

In Vitro Passage of Toxoplasma gondii.

Tachyzoites from *T. gondii* strain ME49 were maintained by serial passage in confluent monolayers of HS27 cells. After

infection of fibroblasts, parasites were harvested and passaged as described previously (16).

Infection of murine MEFs with T. gondii.

Cells were stimulated with 200 U/mL IFN-γ (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) 16 h before infection. For

immunofluorescence, NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and MEFs were cultured in 24-well plates (Falcon, BD

Biosciences, Germany) on cover slips (ø 13 mm, VWR International, Germany) and inoculated with freshly harvested

T. gondii at a ratio of 50:1. To remove extracellular parasites, cells were washed with PBS.

Pull-Down and co-immunoprecipitation analysis of mGBP2

For pull down experiments, mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted with HA-mGBP2 were used. mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs transduced with

the empty pWPI vector were used as control cells. Cells were then stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h, infected with the *T.*

gondii strain ME49 for 2 h or left uninfected, subsequently lysed and postnuclear supernatants were incubated o/n with

monoclonal mouse anti-HA- antibody coupled to agarose beads (clone HA-7, Sigma-Aldich, USA) at 4°C. IP probes

were subjected to silver staining and to Western Blotting. Blots were stained with the mGBP2-specific antiserum

(Eurogentec), mouse α-GFP (clones 7.1 and 13.1, Roche, Switzerland) and rabbit αHA (polyclonal, Sigma-Aldich,

USA) antibodies. For IP experiments, postnuclear supernatants of mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted either with WT GFP-

mGBP2 or GFP-fused truncated mutants mutants or just transduced with a GFP expressing vector, as an appropriate

control and coexpressing mCherry fused with Gal9 or Ckap4 or just the mCherry protein, were incubated o/n with RFP-

Trap® or GFP-Trap® beads (ChromoTek GmbH, Germany) at 4°C. IP probes were subjected to Western Blotting. Blots

were stained with the mouse α-GFP or α-mCherry (Clontech, EU) antibodies.

MS Analysis of interaction partners of mGBP2

A label-free mass spectrometry, based quantification approaches enabled by a highly reproducible and stable LC-

MS/MS system was chosen for a quantitative analysis of the mGBP2 interactome. Six individual replicates of co-

immunoprecipitation samples per group (HA-mGFP2, control-vector, HA-mGFP2 + ME49 and control-vector + ME49)

were prepared from transfected IFNγ stimulated mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs (see above). Samples were prepared for mass

spectrometric analysis essentially as described elsewhere (104). Briefly, proteins were stacked into an acrylamide gel

(about 4 mm running distance), subjected to silver staining, de-stained, reduced and alkylated and digested with trypsin.

Resulting peptides were extracted from the gel and approximately 500 ng peptides prepared in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid

for liquid chromatography and mass spectrometric analysis.

First, peptide samples were separated on an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano chromatography system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Peptides were initially trapped on a 2 cm long trap column (Acclaim PepMap100, 3 µm C18 particle size,

100 Å pore size, 75 µm inner diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 10 min at a flow rate of 6 µl/min with 0.1 % (v/v)

TFA as mobile phase. Subsequently, they were separated on a 25 cm long analytical column (Acclaim PepMapRSLC,

2 µm C18 particle size, 100 Å pore size, 75 µm inner diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 60°C using a 2 h gradient

from 4 to 40% solvent B (solvent A: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water, solvent B: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, 84% (v/v)

acetonitrile in water) at a flow rate of 300 nl / min.

Separated Peptides were injected with distal coated SilicaTip emitters (New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) into an

Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer via a nanosource electrospray interface. The mass spectrometer was operated in

positive, data dependent mode with a spray voltage of 1.4 kV and capillary temperature of 275°C. First, a full scan from

m/z 350 to 1700 was recorded with a resolution of 60000 (at 400 m/z) in the obitrap analyser with a target for automatic

gain control set to 1000000 and a maximal ion time of 200 ms. Then, up to 20 two- and threefold charged precursor

ions with a minimal signal of 500 were isolated (isolation width 2) in the linar ion trap part of the instrument. Here, ions

were collected for a maximum of 50 ms with an automatic gain control set to 30000, fragmented by collision induced

dissociation with a normalized collision energy of 35 and analysed at a resolution of 5,400 (at 400 m/z). Already

fragmented precursors were excluded from fragmentation for the next 45 seconds.

Quantitative analysis of MS1 based precursor ions was carried out with Progenesis QI for proteomics (version 2.0,

Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The software first detects and aligns precursor ion signals (features)

then associates information from MS2 based precursor identification and finally computes protein intensities on basis

of associated features. Automatic processing was used without manual alignment adjustment. Here, one run of the

control-vector + ME49 could not automatically aligned and was not further considered in the analysis. Searches were

triggered by Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4.1.14, Thermo Scientific) and the percolator node was used for

identification validation at 1% false discovery rate. Spectra were searched with the Mascot search engine (version

MASCOT 2.4.1, Matrix Science, London, UK) considering cysteine carbamidomethylation as fixed and protein N-

terminal acetylation and methionine oxidation as variable modification as well as tryptic cleavage specificity with a

maximum of two missed cleavage sites. Mass precision was set to 10 ppm for precursor and 0.4 Da for fragment

spectra. Database searches were carried out using the mus musculus (UP000000589) and toxoplasma gondii

(UP000002226) reference proteome data sets downloaded on 18th January 2018 from UniProtKB including 52548

respectively 8404 entries. Only "high confident" peptide spectrum matches were used for further processing and peptide

spectrum matches associated with proteins identified with at least two unique peptides. As we noticed a bias towards

higher protein intensities in the mGBP2 overexpressing cells (arising from mGBP2 and associated proteins), no global

normalization was performed but a normalization of protein intensities based on the sum of the Ig heavy chain proteins

P18525, P18524. Calculated protein intensities were further processed within the Perseus (version 1.6.2.2, MPI for

Biochemistry, Planegg, Germany) software environment. Here, Student's t-tests were calculated on log2 transformed

normalized intensities using the significance analysis of microarrays method for cutoff determination (S_0 set to 0.8, 5%

false discovery rate, a minimum of 4 valid values per group). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been

deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE(105) partner repository with the dataset identifier

PXD027029.

Immunofluorescence analysis.

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) permeabilized with 0.02% saponin

(Calbiochem-Merck) and blocked in 0.002% saponin with 2% goat serum (DaKoCytomation, Denmark). The outer

membrane of T. gondii was visualized by anti-SAG1 (Abcam, UK) at a concentration of 1/700. As secondary reagents,

1/200 concentrated Alexa Fluor™ 633-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific,

USA) and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG plus IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, UK) were used.

Nuclei were counterstained with 1/2500 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific,

USA). The cover slips were fixed in fluorescence mounting medium (Fluoromount-G, Southern Biotechnology

Associates, Birmingham, AL). Fluorescence was visualized using a LSM780 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

Image analysis and processing was performed with the ZEN (Zeiss, Switzerland) software.

Gated Stimulated Emission Depletion measurement

Gated Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) measurements were performed using a TCS SP8 STED 3X (Leica,

Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with an HC PL APO CS2 93x glycerol objective (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany, NA 1.3) at a

scan speed of 1400 Hz. For the acquisition of the gated STED signal of the eGFPBoosterAtto488, 488 nm was used

as the excitation laser line, 592 nm as the corresponding depletion laser line and the hybrid detector range was set

from 498 nm to 580 nm with a 1 ns to 6 ns time gating. For the acquisition of the gated STED signal of the

mRFPBoosterAtto647N, 633 nm was used as the excitation laser line, 755 nm as the corresponding pulsed depletion

laser line and the hybrid detector range was set from 640 nm to 750 nm with a 0.8 ns to 6 ns time gating. Detection of

the different signals was carried out in a frame sequential measurement setup. Deconvolved data of gated STED

measurements were calculated using Huygens software (Huygens professional, Scientific Volume Imaging,

Netherlands) with reduced signal to noise factor of 5 for eGFPBoosterAtto488 and 6 for mRFPBoosterAtto647N and a

reduced iteration setting of 20 for both channels. Resulting deconvolved images were visually compared to the raw data

to ensure the quality of the calculation. To correct for channel shift, a reference double staining of nuclear pores was

acquired with similar settings and utilized as a reference for channel shift correction by the Fiji-plugin "multistackreg"

(106).

CRISPR/Cas9 knock out strategy.

The Galectin 9 gene (lectin, galactose binding, soluble 9, Lgls9) as well the Ckap4 gene (P63; CLIMP-63;

5630400A09Rik) were disrupted by applying the CRISPR/Cas9 technology in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts (107). The knock out

was performed by transfection of the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) plasmid (Addgene, USA), expressing a sgRNA

(Ckap4: for CAC CGA TCC GCG CCG CCC GAC GGG T, rev AAA CAC CCG TCG GGC GGC GCG GAT C, Gal9: for

CAC CGC GGG TTA ATG TAT GGA GAC T, rev AAA CAG TCT CCA TAC ATT AAC CCG C) corresponding to the

sequence contained in the first exon of either Gal9 or Ckap4 gene. The sgRNA encoding plasmids were transfected

into NIH 3T3 fibroblasts according to jetPRIME^R transfection protocol (Polyplus, New York City). The transfection

efficacy was analyzed by flow cytometry. Subsequently, GFP positive cells were sorted and single cell colonies were

cultivated. Mutated clones of the Gal9 gene were identified by Surveyor® Mutation Detection (Integrated DNA

Technologies, Inc., USA) (for AAC TAG ATT GGG CCT GCC TC, rev AGA GAT CCC CCT GAC TCT GT, expected

fragments, expected PCR fragment: 923 bp, expected digested fragments: 747, 176 bp). The knock out of both genes,

respectively, was confirmed by PCR amplification, subcloning and sequencing of the corresponding gene stretches

from different single cell colonies. Additionally, WB analysis was performed with a polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse antibody

against Ckap4 (STJ110088, St John's Laboratory Ltd, UK) and a polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse antibody against Gal9

(ARP54821_P050, Aviva Systems Biology).

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Mock, Nicole Küpper and Karin Buchholz for excellent technical assistance. We would like to

acknowledge the Center for Advanced Imaging (CAi) at Heinrich Heine University for providing access to the Leica TCS

SP8 gSTED 3X microscope system (DFG INST 208/665-1 FUGG). This work was funded by the Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 233613836 to KP and DD, and Project-ID

267205415 – SFB 1208, Z02 to SWP and SH., and by the Jürgen Manchot foundation to KP.

References

References

1.   McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, & O'Garra A (2015) Type I interferons in infectious
disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 15(2):87-103.
2.   Kak G, Raza M, & Tiwari BK (2018) Interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma): Exploring its implications in
infectious diseases. *Biomol Concepts* 9(1):64-79.
3.   Boehm U, Klamp T, Groot M, & Howard JC (1997) Cellular responses to interferon-gamma.
Annual Review of Immunology 15:749-795.
4.   Boehm U*, et al.* (1998) Two families of GTPases dominate the complex cellular response to IFN-
gamma. *J.Immunol.* 161(12):6715-6723.
5.   Martens S & Howard J (2006) The interferon-inducible GTPases. *Annual review of cell and
developmental biology* 22:559-589.
6.   Howard JC (2007) Introduction: cell-autonomous immunity. *Microbes Infect* 9(14-15):1633-1635.
7.   MacMicking JD (2012) Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cell-autonomous immunity.
Nature Reviews Immunology 12(5):367-382.
8.   Praefcke GJK*, et al.* (2004) Identification of residues in the human guanylate-binding protein 1
critical for nucleotide binding and cooperative GTP hydrolysis. *Journal of Molecular Biology*
344(1):257-269.
9.   Praefcke GJK (2018) Regulation of innate immune functions by guanylate-binding proteins. *Int J
Med Microbiol* 308(1):237-245.
10.   Zhao YO*, et al.* (2009) Toxoplasma gondii and the immunity-related GTPase (IRG) resistance
system in mice - A Review. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 104(2):234-240.
11.   Hunn JP, Feng CG, Sher A, & Howard JC (2011) The immunity-related GTPases in mammals: a
fast-evolving cell-autonomous resistance system against intracellular pathogens. *Mamm
Genome* 22(1-2):43-54.
12.   Cheng YS, Colonno RJ, & Yin FH (1983) Interferon induction of fibroblast proteins with guanylate
binding activity. *J.Biol.Chem.* 258(12):7746-7750.
13.   Olszewski MA, Gray J, & Vestal DJ (2006) In silico genomic analysis of the human and murine
guanylate-binding protein (GBP) gene clusters. *Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research*
26(5):328-352.
14.   Tripal P*, et al.* (2007) Unique features of different members of the human guanylate-binding
protein family. *Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research* 27(1):44-52.
15.   Guenzi E*, et al.* (2001) The helical domain of GBP-1 mediates the inhibition of endothelial cell
proliferation by inflammatory cytokines. *EMBO J* 20(20):5568-5577.
16.   Degrandi D*, et al.* (2007) Extensive characterization of IFN-induced GTPases mGBP1 to mGBP10
involved in host defense. *Journal of Immunology* 179(11):7729-7740.
17.   Capaldo CT*, et al.* (2012) IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha-induced GBP-1 inhibits epithelial cell
proliferation through suppression of beta-catenin/TCF signaling. *Mucosal Immunol* 5(6):681-690.
18.   Kim BH, Shenoy AR, Kumar P, Bradfield CJ, & MacMicking JD (2012) IFN-Inducible GTPases in
Host Cell Defense. *Cell Host & Microbe* 12(4):432-444.
19.   Nordmann A, Wixler L, Boergeling Y, Wixler V, & Ludwig S (2012) A new splice variant of the
human guanylate-binding protein 3 mediates anti-influenza activity through inhibition of viral
transcription and replication. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American
Societies for Experimental Biology* 26(3):1290-1300.
20.   Pilla-Moffett D, Barber MF, Taylor GA, & Coers J (2016) Interferon-Inducible GTPases in Host
Resistance, Inflammation and Disease. *J Mol Biol* 428(17):3495-3513.
21.   Britzen-Laurent N, Herrmann C, Naschberger E, Croner RS, & Sturzl M (2016) Pathophysiological
role of guanylate-binding proteins in gastrointestinal diseases. *World J Gastroenterol*
22(28):6434-6443.

22. Coers J, Brown HM, Hwang S, & Taylor GA (2018) Partners in anti-crime: how interferon-
inducible GTPases and autophagy proteins team up in cell-intrinsic host defense. *Current opinion*
in immunology 54:93-101.
23. Ghimire D, Rai M, & Gaur R (2018) Novel host restriction factors implicated in HIV-1 replication. *J*
Gen Virol 99(4):435-446.
24. Honkala AT, Tailor D, & Malhotra SV (2019) Guanylate-Binding Protein 1: An Emerging Target in
Inflammation and Cancer. *Front Immunol* 10:3139.
25. Steffens N*, et al.* (2020) Essential Role of mGBP7 for Survival of Toxoplasma gondii Infection.
mBio 11(1).
26. Yu P*, et al.* (2020) Guanylate-binding protein 2 orchestrates innate immune responses against
murine norovirus and is antagonized by the viral protein NS7. *J Biol Chem* 295(23):8036-8047.
27. Praefcke GJK & McMahon HT (2004) The dynamin superfamily: Universal membrane tubulation
and fission molecules? *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 5(2):133-147.
28. Prakash B, Praefcke GJ, Renault L, Wittinghofer A, & Herrmann C (2000) Structure of human
guanylate-binding protein 1 representing a unique class of GTP-binding proteins. *Nature*
403(6769):567-571.
29. Prakash B, Renault L, Praefcke GJK, Herrmann C, & Wittinghofer A (2000) Triphosphate structure
of guanylate-binding protein 1 and implications for nucleotide binding and GTPase mechanism.
Embo Journal 19(17):4555-4564.
30. Ghosh A, Praefcke GJK, Renault L, Wittinghofer A, & Herrmann C (2006) How guanylate-binding
proteins achieve assembly-stimulated processive cleavage of GTP to GMP. *Nature*
440(7080):101-104.
31. Kravets E*, et al.* (2012) The GTPase Activity of Murine Guanylate-binding Protein 2 (mGBP2)
Controls the Intracellular Localization and Recruitment to the Parasitophorous Vacuole of
Toxoplasma gondii. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287(33):27452-27466.
32. Shydlovskyi S*, et al.* (2017) Nucleotide-dependent farnesyl switch orchestrates polymerization
and membrane binding of human guanylate-binding protein 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
114(28):E5559-E5568.
33. Sistemich L*, et al.* (2020) The Molecular Mechanism of Polymer Formation of Farnesylated
Human Guanylate-binding Protein 1. *J Mol Biol* 432(7):2164-2185.
34. Virreira Winter S*, et al.* (2011) Determinants of GBP Recruitment to Toxoplasma gondii Vacuoles
and the Parasitic Factors That Control It. *Plos One* 6(9).
35. Yamamoto M*, et al.* (2012) A Cluster of Interferon-gamma-Inducible p65 GTPases Plays a Critical
Role in Host Defense against Toxoplasma gondii. *Immunity* 37(2):302-313.
36. Degrandi D*, et al.* (2013) Murine Guanylate Binding Protein 2 (mGBP2) controls Toxoplasma
gondii replication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America 110(1):294-299.
37. Selleck EM*, et al.* (2013) Guanylate-binding Protein 1 (Gbp1) Contributes to Cell-autonomous
Immunity against Toxoplasma gondii. *Plos Pathogens* 9(4).
38. Kravets E*, et al.* (2016) Guanylate binding proteins directly attack Toxoplasma gondii via
supramolecular complexes. *Elife* 5.
39. Saeij JP & Frickel EM (2017) Exposing Toxoplasma gondii hiding inside the vacuole: a role for
GBPs, autophagy and host cell death. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 40:72-80.
40. Boothroyd JC (2009) Toxoplasma gondii: 25 years and 25 major advances for the field. *Int J*
Parasitol 39(8):935-946.
41. Sibley LD (2011) Invasion and intracellular survival by protozoan parasites. *Immunological*
reviews 240(1):72-91.

42. Lubitz FP, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, & Mausberg AK (2013) In Astrocytes the Accumulation of the
Immunity-Related GTPases Irga6 and Irgb6 at the Vacuole of Toxoplasma gondii Is Dependent on
the Parasite Virulence. *Scientific World Journal*.

43. Haldar AK*, et al.* (2013) IRG and GBP host resistance factors target aberrant, "non-self" vacuoles
characterized by the missing of "self" IRGM proteins. *PLoS Pathog* 9(6):e1003414.

44. Lindenberg V*, et al.* (2017) Broad recruitment of mGBP family members to Chlamydia
trachomatis inclusions. *PLoS One* 12(9):e0185273.

45. Coers J (2013) Self and non-self discrimination of intracellular membranes by the innate immune
system. *PLoS Pathog* 9(9):e1003538.

46. Haldar AK*, et al.* (2015) Ubiquitin systems mark pathogen-containing vacuoles as targets for host
defense by guanylate binding proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America* 112(41):E5628-E5637.

47. Fres JM, Muller S, & Praefcke GJK (2010) Purification of the CaaX-modified, dynamin-related
large GTPase hGBP1 by coexpression with farnesyltransferase. *Journal of Lipid Research*
51(8):2454-2459.

48. Liu FT & Rabinovich GA (2010) Galectins: regulators of acute and chronic inflammation. *Annals of
the New York Academy of Sciences* 1183:158-182.

49. Haudek KC*, et al.* (2010) Dynamics of galectin-3 in the nucleus and cytoplasm. *Biochim Biophys
Acta* 1800(2):181-189.

50. Chen HY, Weng IC, Hong MH, & Liu FT (2014) Galectins as bacterial sensors in the host innate
response. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 17:75-81.

51. Shi W, Xue C, Su XZ, & Lu F (2018) The roles of galectins in parasitic infections. *Acta Trop* 177:97-
104.

52. Debierre-Grockiego F*, et al.* (2010) Binding of Toxoplasma gondii glycosylphosphatidylinositols to
galectin-3 is required for their recognition by macrophages. *J Biol Chem* 285(43):32744-32750.

53. Liu FT, Patterson RJ, & Wang JL (2002) Intracellular functions of galectins. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
1572(2-3):263-273.

54. Cerliani JP, Blidner AG, Toscano MA, Croci DO, & Rabinovich GA (2017) Translating the 'Sugar
Code' into Immune and Vascular Signaling Programs. *Trends Biochem Sci* 42(4):255-273.

55. Vasta GR (2009) Roles of galectins in infection. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7(6):424-438.

56. Coers J (2017) Sweet host revenge: Galectins and GBPs join forces at broken membranes. *Cell
Microbiol* 19(12).

57. Boyle KB & Randow F (2013) The role of 'eat-me' signals and autophagy cargo receptors in innate
immunity. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 16(3):339-348.

58. Paz I*, et al.* (2010) Galectin-3, a marker for vacuole lysis by invasive pathogens. *Cell Microbiol*
12(4):530-544.

59. Casals C, Campanero-Rhodes MA, Garcia-Fojeda B, & Solis D (2018) The Role of Collectins and
Galectins in Lung Innate Immune Defense. *Front Immunol* 9:1998.

60. Haldar AK, Piro AS, Pilla DM, Yamamoto M, & Coers J (2014) The E2-Like Conjugation Enzyme
Atg3 Promotes Binding of IRG and Gbp Proteins to Chlamydia- and Toxoplasma-Containing
Vacuoles and Host Resistance. *Plos One* 9(1).

61. Thurston TL, Wandel MP, von Muhlinen N, Foeglein A, & Randow F (2012) Galectin 8 targets
damaged vesicles for autophagy to defend cells against bacterial invasion. *Nature*
482(7385):414-418.

62. Feeley EM*, et al.* (2017) Galectin-3 directs antimicrobial guanylate binding proteins to vacuoles
furnished with bacterial secretion systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(9):E1698-E1706.

63. Ling YM*, et al.* (2006) Vacuolar and plasma membrane stripping and autophagic elimination of
Toxoplasma gondii in primed effector macrophages. *J.Exp.Med.* 203(9):2063-2071.

64. Martens S, et al. (2005) Disruption of Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuoles by the mouse
p47-resistance GTPases. *Plos Pathogens* 1(3):187-201.
65. Lekutis C, Ferguson DJ, Grigg ME, Camps M, & Boothroyd JC (2001) Surface antigens of
Toxoplasma gondii: variations on a theme. *Int J Parasitol* 31(12):1285-1292.
66. Mansilla Pareja ME, Bongiovanni A, Lafont F, & Colombo MI (2017) Alterations of the Coxiella
burnetii Replicative Vacuole Membrane Integrity and Interplay with the Autophagy Pathway.
Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology 7:112.
67. Santos JC, et al. (2020) Human GBP1 binds LPS to initiate assembly of a caspase-4 activating
platform on cytosolic bacteria. *Nat Commun* 11(1):3276.
68. Li P, et al. (2017) Ubiquitination and degradation of GBPs by a Shigella effector to suppress host
defence. *Nature* 551(7680):378-383.
69. Pilla DM, et al. (2014) Guanylate binding proteins promote caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis in
response to cytoplasmic LPS. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America* 111(16):6046-6051.
70. Creasey EA & Isberg RR (2012) The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the Legionella-
containing vacuole. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(9):3481-3486.
71. Meunier E, et al. (2014) Caspase-11 activation requires lysis of pathogen-containing vacuoles by
IFN-induced GTPases. *Nature* 509(7500):366-+.
72. Piro AS, et al. (2017) Detection of Cytosolic Shigella flexneri via a C-Terminal Triple-Arginine
Motif of GBP1 Inhibits Actin-Based Motility. *mBio* 8(6).
73. Ohshima J, et al. (2014) Role of Mouse and Human Autophagy Proteins in IFN-gamma-Induced
Cell-Autonomous Responses against Toxoplasma gondii. *Journal of Immunology* 192(7):3328-
3335.
74. Bernardes ES, et al. (2006) Toxoplasma gondii infection reveals a novel regulatory role for
galectin-3 in the interface of innate and adaptive immunity. *Am J Pathol* 168(6):1910-1920.
75. Alves CM, et al. (2010) Galectin-3 plays a modulatory role in the life span and activation of
murine neutrophils during early Toxoplasma gondii infection. *Immunobiology* 215(6):475-485.
76. Schweizer A, Ericsson M, Bachi T, Griffiths G, & Hauri HP (1993) Characterization of a novel 63
kDa membrane protein. Implications for the organization of the ER-to-Golgi pathway. *J Cell Sci*
104 (Pt 3):671-683.
77. Vedrenne C, Klopfenstein DR, & Hauri HP (2005) Phosphorylation controls CLIMP-63-mediated
anchoring of the endoplasmic reticulum to microtubules. *Mol Biol Cell* 16(4):1928-1937.
78. Kikuchi A, Fumoto K, & Kimura H (2017) The Dickkopf1-cytoskeleton-associated protein 4 axis
creates a novel signalling pathway and may represent a molecular target for cancer therapy. *Br J
Pharmacol* 174(24):4651-4665.
79. Gupta N, et al. (2006) Identification and characterization of p63 (CKAP4/ERGIC-63/CLIMP-63), a
surfactant protein A binding protein, on type II pneumocytes. *American Journal of Physiology-
Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 291(3):L436-L446.
80. Razzaq TM, et al. (2003) Functional regulation of tissue plasminogen activator on the surface of
vascular smooth muscle cells by the type-II transmembrane protein p63 (CKAP4). *J Biol Chem*
278(43):42679-42685.
81. Tuffy KM & Lobo Planey S (2012) Cytoskeleton-Associated Protein 4: Functions Beyond the
Endoplasmic Reticulum in Physiology and Disease. *International Scholarly Research Notices*
10.5402(142313):11.
82. Zhang J, et al. (2008) Identification of CKAP4/p63 as a major substrate of the palmitoyl
acyltransferase DHHC2, a putative tumor suppressor, using a novel proteomics method.
Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP 7(7):1378-1388.
83. Martin AM, Liu T, Lynn BC, & Sinai AP (2007) The Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuole
membrane: transactions across the border. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 54(1):25-28.

84. Coppens I*, et al.* (2006) Toxoplasma gondii sequesters lysosomes from mammalian hosts in the
vacuolar space. *Cell* 125(2):261-274.
85. Sehgal A*, et al.* (2005) Peculiarities of host cholesterol transport to the unique intracellular
vacuole containing Toxoplasma. *Traffic* 6(12):1125-1141.
86. Sibley LD, Niesman IR, Parmley SF, & Cesbron-Delauw MF (1995) Regulated secretion of multi-
lamellar vesicles leads to formation of a tubulo-vesicular network in host-cell vacuoles occupied
by Toxoplasma gondii. *J Cell Sci* 108 (Pt 4):1669-1677.
87. Ridley AJ (2001) Rho proteins: linking signaling with membrane trafficking. *Traffic* 2(5):303-310.
88. Kim H, Park M, Kim SJ, & Hwang I (2005) Actin filaments play a critical role in vacuolar trafficking
at the Golgi complex in plant cells. *Plant Cell* 17(3):888-902.
89. Huang JD*, et al.* (1999) Direct interaction of microtubule- and actin-based transport motors.
Nature 397(6716):267-270.
90. Walker ME*, et al.* (2008) Toxoplasma gondii actively remodels the microtubule network in host
cells. *Microbes Infect* 10(14-15):1440-1449.
91. Harrison RE*, et al.* (2004) Salmonella impairs RILP recruitment to Rab7 during maturation of
invasion vacuoles. *Mol Biol Cell* 15(7):3146-3154.
92. Kumar Y & Valdivia RH (2008) Actin and intermediate filaments stabilize the Chlamydia
trachomatis vacuole by forming dynamic structural scaffolds. *Cell Host Microbe* 4(2):159-169.
93. Youakim A & Ahdieh M (1999) Interferon-gamma decreases barrier function in T84 cells by
reducing ZO-1 levels and disrupting apical actin. *Am J Physiol* 276(5):G1279-1288.
94. Bromberg JF, Horvath CM, Wen Z, Schreiber RD, & Darnell JE, Jr. (1996) Transcriptionally active
Stat1 is required for the antiproliferative effects of both interferon alpha and interferon gamma.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93(15):7673-7678.
95. Shtrichman R & Samuel CE (2001) The role of gamma interferon in antimicrobial immunity. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol 4(3):251-259.
96. Tong Q*, et al.* (2005) Interferon-gamma inhibits T84 epithelial cell migration by redirecting
transcytosis of beta1 integrin from the migrating leading edge. *J Immunol* 175(6):4030-4038.
97. Ivanov AI, Parkos CA, & Nusrat A (2010) Cytoskeletal regulation of epithelial barrier function
during inflammation. *Am J Pathol* 177(2):512-524.
98. Britzen-Laurent N*, et al.* (2013) GBP-1 acts as a tumor suppressor in colorectal cancer cells.
Carcinogenesis 34(1):153-162.
99. Schnoor M, Betanzos A, Weber DA, & Parkos CA (2009) Guanylate-binding protein-1 is expressed
at tight junctions of intestinal epithelial cells in response to interferon-gamma and regulates
barrier function through effects on apoptosis. *Mucosal Immunol* 2(1):33-42.
100. Tietzel I, El-Haibi C, & Carabeo RA (2009) Human guanylate binding proteins potentiate the anti-
chlamydia effects of interferon-gamma. *PLoS One* 4(8):e6499.
101. Ostler N*, et al.* (2014) Gamma Interferon-Induced Guanylate Binding Protein 1 Is a Novel Actin
Cytoskeleton Remodeling Factor. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 34(2):196-209.
102. Meresse S*, et al.* (2001) Remodelling of the actin cytoskeleton is essential for replication of
intravacuolar Salmonella. *Cell Microbiol* 3(8):567-577.
103. Creasey EA & Isberg RR (2014) Maintenance of vacuole integrity by bacterial pathogens. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol 17:46-52.
104. Grube L*, et al.* (2018) Mining the Secretome of C2C12 Muscle Cells: Data Dependent
Experimental Approach To Analyze Protein Secretion Using Label-Free Quantification and
Peptide Based Analysis. *J Proteome Res* 17(2):879-890.
105. Perez-Riverol Y*, et al.* (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019:
improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic acids research* 47(D1):D442-D450.
106. Thevenaz P, Ruttimann UE, & Unser M (1998) A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based
on intensity. *IEEE Trans Image Process* 7(1):27-41.

107. Ran FA, *et al.* (2013) Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nature protocols*
8(11):2281-2308.
108. Bollineni RC, Koehler CJ, Gislefoss RE, Anonsen JH, & Thiede B (2018) Large-scale intact
glycopeptide identification by Mascot database search. *Sci Rep* 8(1):2117.
109. Stratmann B*, et al.* (2016) Glyoxalase 1-knockdown in human aortic endothelial cells - effect on
the proteome and endothelial function estimates. *Sci Rep* 6:37737.

Figures legends

FIGURE 1. Identification of mGBP2 interacting proteins using co-immunoprecipitation in combination with label-

free quantitative mass spectrometry. An HA-mGBP2 fusion protein was expressed in mGBP2^{-/-} MEFs. mGBP2^{-/-} MEFs

transduced with the empty expression vector were used as controls. MEFs were stimulated with IFN-γ (16h) and left

uninfected or were infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2h. IPs were performed with an anti-HA antibody from cell lysates.

Immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by label-free quantitative mass spectrometry. Five to six replicates were

analyzed per condition and putative mGBP2 interacting proteins were identified from uninfected and *T. gondii* ME49 infected

cells. Mean values of normalized intensities are shown in the bar plots ± standard deviations. In the table, details from the

protein identification are shown: the confidence scores from the MASCOT search engine (108, 109), the number of identified

unique peptides per protein as well as p-values from Student's t-tests. Furthermore, the enrichment ratios (intensity ratio

between mGBP2 expressing cells and vector control) is given (a correction for multiple testing has been performed using the

significance analysis of microarray approach, 5% false discovery rate, S_0 0.8, a minimum of 4 valid values per group).

FIGURE 2. Verification of Gal9 and Ckap4 as interaction partners of mGBP2 by IP analysis. mGBP2^{-/-} MEFs were

reconstituted with GFP-mGBP2 WT or one of the indicated mGBP2 truncation mutants as well as one of the N-terminal

mCherry fusion proteins mCherry-mGBP2, mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4. Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h

and infected with of *T. gondii* ME49 for 4h. Cells were lysed and postnuclear supernatants were incubated o/n with

either RFP-Trap® beads or with GFP-Trap® beads at 4°C. IP samples and appropriate cell lysate supernatants were

subjected to Western Blotting. Blots were stained with α-GFP or α-mCherry antibodies. GTP-binding domain only (G),

the G-domain and the middle domain (GM) or the C-terminal effector domain (E) (36).

FIGURE 3. Intracellular colocalization of mGBP2 interaction partners Gal9 and Ckap4 at the PVM of *T. gondii*.

Recruitment and colocalization of mGBP2, Gal9, and Ckpap4 was analyzed after transduction of a GFP-mGBP2 fusion

construct in mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs and additional transduction of either mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4. MEFs were seeded

and incubated on glass slides, stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2 h.

After fixation, infected cells were treated with an α-RFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto647N and with

an α-GFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto488 for enhancement of the immunofluorescence of mCherry

and GFP, respectively. Glass slides were analyzed by STED microscopy. Bars 2 µm. The graphs in the right panel

depict a fluorescence intensity analysis of STED images on the far right with the ImageJ software (Fiji) for Atto488 and

Atto647 fluorescence signals along the cross sections of PVMs as indicated.

FIGURE 4. Gal9 and Ckap4 influence the recruitment of mGBP2 to the PVM of *T. gondii*. Recruitment of mGBP2

to the PVM was analyzed by transduction of a GFP-mGBP2 fusion construct in WT and independent NIH 3T3 cell line

clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 (see main text and Figs.S5/S6). Cells were

stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2 h. The recruitment rate of GFP-

mGBP2 in WT cells was set as 100% and the recruitment rate of mGBP2 in the respective knock-out cells was

calculated to this reference. The percentage values are plotted on the y-axis. Also, Gal9 and Ckap4 recruitment to the

T. gondii PV was analyzed in mGBP2$^{-/-}$ vs. WT MEFs transduced either with mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4. The

rate of either Gal9 positive or Ckap4 positive PVMs in WT cells was set as 100% and related to the respective rates in

mGBP2 knock out cells. The percentage values are plotted on the y-axis. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an

α-SAGI antibody and the nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy. In each

cell line more than 100 PVs were counted. Mean values ± SD are shown.

FIGURE 5. Gal9 and Ckap4 are required for the intracellular control of replication of *T. gondii*. WT and

independent NIH 3T3 cell line clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 (see main text

and Figs.S5/S6) were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49. After fixation, *T.*

gondii were stained with an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed

by confocal microscopy. Bars, 5 µm. The amounts of rosettes (replicative units) and single parasites inside the PV were

determined in WT, Gal9- and Ckap4- deficient NIH 3T3 fibroblasts 22 h after infection. In each cell line more than 100

PVs were counted. Mean values ± SD are shown (lower panel).

FIGURE 6. Gal9 and Ckap4 control the intracellular replication of *T. gondii*. WT and independent NIH 3T3 cell line

clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 (see main text and Figs.S5/S6) and NIH 3T3

cell line clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 reconstituted with mCherry-Gal9 or

mCherry-Ckap4 were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 22 h. After

fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were

analyzed by confocal microscopy. The amounts of rosettes (replicative units) and single parasites inside the PV were

determined in WT, Gal9- and Ckap4- deficient and Gal9 or Ckap4 reconstituted NIH 3T3 fibroblasts 22 h after infection.

In each cell line more than 100 PVs were counted. Mean values ± SD are shown.

FIGURE S1. Identification of mGBP2 interaction partners. mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs were reconstituted with HA-mGBP2 or a

control vector and stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h, infected for 2 h with *T. gondii* ME49 or left uninfected. Subsequently,

cells were lysed and postnuclear supernatants were incubated o/n with α-HA antibody coupled agarose beads at 4°C

for IP. One part of IP samples was separated via 10% SDS-PAGE and labelled using silver staining. Another part of

the IP samples was subjected to Western Botting and immune staining with an α-mGBP2 antiserum or an α-HA

antibody. A third part of these IP samples was transferred to the MS analysis (see main text).

FIGURE S2. Localization of Gal9 and mGBP2 at *T. gondii*. Recruitment and colocalization of mGBP2 was analyzed

in GFP-mGBP2 expressing mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs with additional transduction of either mCherry-Gal9. MEFs were stimulated

with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 5 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an

α-SAG1 antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy. Bars

2 μm.

FIGURE S3. Colocalization of mCherry-mGBP2 and GFP-mGBP2 at the PV membrane of *T. gondii*. Recruitment

and colocalization of mGBP2 was analyzed in GFP-mGBP2 expressing mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs with additional transduction of

mCherry-mGBP2 as control. MEFs were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49

for 2 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an α-SAG1 antibody. Infected cells were treated with anti-RFP V$_H$H

nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto647N and with anti-GFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto488

for enhancement of immunofluorescence of mCherry and GFP respectively. Glass slides were analyzed by STED

microscopy. Bars 2 µm. The graphs depict a colocalization analysis of STED images with the ImageJ software (Fiji) for

GFP and mCherry fluorescence.

FIGURE S4. Intracellular colocalization of mGBP2 with the interaction partners Gal9 and Ckap4. Colocalization

of GFP-mGBP2 with mCherry-Gal9 or mCherry-Ckap4 was analyzed in mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted with the indicated

fusion proteins. Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h. After fixation, cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides

were analyzed by confocal microscopy. Bars 5 µm. The right column depicts the results for a colocalization analysis

using the Image Visualization and Analysis Software (Imaris) for GFP and mCherry fluorescence.

FIGURE S5. CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of Gal9 and verification of Gal9 inactivation. (A) CRISPR/Cas9 and

sgRNA specific for Gal9 were expressed in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. Clones were picked and DNA from WT and three

CRIPR/Cas9 Gal9 targeted cell clones of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts was isolated, PCR amplified (923 bp). PCR products were

cloned into the pCR2.1 TA-cloning vector. The Gal9 mutations were verified by Sanger sequencing. Indels, AA

sequence and premature stop codons (*) are indicated. (B) For independent mutation analysis, the Surveyor® Mutation

Detection Kit for Standard Gel Electrophoresis was employed. Here, a mismatch-specific DNA endonuclease to scan

mutations and polymorphisms in heteroduplex DNA is used. PCR amplicons from Gal9 mutant NIH 3T3 clones (test)

and WT (reference) DNA were hybridized and the mixtures of hetero- and homo-duplexes were submitted to Surveyor

Nuclease digestion. The reference DNA alone, treated similarly, served as a negative control. DNA fragments were

analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The formation of new cleavage products, due to the presence of one or more

mismatches, is indicated by the presence of additional bands. The relative size of these cleavage products indicates

the location of the mismatch or mismatches. (C) Postnuclear supernantants of NIH 3T3 cells from different

CRISPR/Cas9 Gal9 sgRNA targeted clones and from WT cells were analyzed by WB. WT cells and one CRISPR/Cas9

Gal9 sgRNA targeted clone coexpressing GFP-mGBP2 and mCherry-Gal9 served as controls. Cells were stimulated

with IFN-γ for 16 h. Blots were stained with α-Gal9 or α-mCherry antibodies.

FIGURE S6: CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of Ckap4 and verification of Ckap4 inactivation. CRISPR/Cas9 and

sgRNA specific for Ckap4 were expressed in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. Postnuclear supernatants of NIH 3T3 cells from

different CRISPR/Cas9 Ckap4 sgRNA targeted clones versus WT and CRISPR/Cas9 Gal9 sgRNA targeted clones

were analyzed by WB. WT and one CRISPR/Cas9 Ckap4 sgRNA targeted clones coexpressing GFP-mGBP2 and

mCherry-Ckap4 served as controls. Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h. Blots were stained with α-Ckap4 or α-

mCherry antibodies.

FIGURE S7. Inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 does not influence infection rates of *T. gondii*. The infection rates of

T. gondii ME49 were analyzed in WT and independent NIH 3T3 cell line clones with verified Gal9 or Ckap4 inactivation.

Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49. After fixation, *T. gondii* were

stained with the α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal

microscopy. Bars, 5 µm. The amounts of parasites per cell were quantified 2 h after infection.

FIGURE S8. Influence of mGBP2 does on the recruitment of Gal9 and Ckap4 to the PVM of *T. gondii*. WT and

mGBP2 deficient MEFs additionally transduced with either with mCherry-Gal9 or mChrry-Ckap4 fusion construct were

stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were

stained with an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal

microscopy. Bars, 5 µm.

FIGURE S9. Gal9 and Ckap4 are required for the intracellular control of replication of *T. gondii*. WT and

independent NIH 3T3 cell line clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 or Ckap4 (see main text

and Figs.S5/S6) were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 22 h. After

fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were

analyzed by confocal microscopy. Bars, 5 µm.

FIGURE S10. Schematic view of mGBPs, Gal9, and Ckap4 dynamics and multimerization in *T. gondii* infected

cells. For details see Results and Discussion.

accession	gene names	unique peptides	confidence score	uninfected			*T. gondii*		
				p-value	ratio mGBP2/control	significance mGBP2/control	p-value	ratio mGBP2/control	significance mGBP2/control
Q01514	mGBP1	19	1702.6	3.3E-08	186	+	2.8E-06	199.7	+
Q9Z0E6	mGBP2	55	4193.6	1.3E-07	66.8	+	1.5E-06	125.4	+
Q8CFB4	mGBP5	6	199.1	9.1E-03	4.8	+	6.3E-05	15.1	+
Q8BMK4	Ckap4	13	747.1	1.2E-02	9.2	+	1.0E-02	14	+
O08573	Gal9	2	79.9	1.3E-01	1.5		5.6E-03	3.8	+

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6