GBP2 engages Galectin-9

for immunity against *Toxoplasma gondii*

Elisabeth Kravets[1], Gereon Poschmann[2], Sebastian Hänsch[3], Veronica Raba[1], Stefanie Weidtkamp-Peters[3], Daniel Degrandi[1], Kai Stühler[2,4], Klaus Pfeffer[1*].

[1] Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Hygiene, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

[2] Institute of Molecular Medicine, Proteome Research, Medical Faculty and University Hospital, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Germany

[3] Center of Advanced Imaging, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

[4] Molecular Proteomics Laboratory, Biological and Medical Research Centre (BMFZ), Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

*klaus.pfeffer@uni-duesseldorf.de

Running title: mGBP2 engages Gal9 against *T. gondii*

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. Klaus Pfeffer
Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Hygiene
Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf
Universitätsstr. 1
D-40225 Düsseldorf
Phone: +49 211 81 12459
e-mail: klaus.pfeffer@hhu.de

Abstract

Guanylate binding proteins (GBPs) are large interferon-inducible GTPases, executing essential host defense activities

against *Toxoplasma gondii,* an invasive intracellular apicomplexan protozoan parasite of global importance. *T. gondii*

establishes a parasitophorous vacuole (PV) which shields the parasite from the host's intracellular defense

mechanisms. Murine GBPs (mGBPs) recognize *T. gondii* PVs and assemble into supramolecular mGBP homo- and

heterocomplexes that are required for the disruption of the membrane of PVs eventually resulting in the cell-autonomous

immune control of vacuole-resident pathogens. We have previously shown that mGBP2 plays an important role in *T.*

gondii immune control. Here, to unravel mGBP2 functions, we report Galectin-9 (Gal9) as a critical mGBP2 interaction

partner engaged for immunity to *T. gondii*. Interestingly, Gal9 also accumulates and colocalizes with mGBP2 at the *T.*

gondii PV. Furthermore, we could prove the requirement of Gal9 for growth control of *T. gondii* by CRISPR/Cas9

mediated gene editing. These discoveries clearly indicate that Gal9 is a crucial factor for the mGBP2 coordinated cell

autonomous host defense mechanism against *T. gondii.*

Introduction

After innate immune sensing of pathogens via pattern recognition receptors, production of interferons (IFN) is a key prerequisite for the activation of cell-autonomous immunity, which is essential for the immediate restriction of microbial replication (1, 2). IFN-γ signaling induces the expression of hundreds of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (3-5), which essentially contribute to innate and adaptive immune responses in immune and nonimmune cells (6, 7). Very prominent among IFN-γ ISGs are the members of several families of interferon-inducible GTPases such as the 65- to 73-kDa dynamin-related guanylate-binding proteins (GBPs) (8, 9) and the 45-kDa immunity-related GTPases (IRGs) (10, 11). Human (hGBPs) and murine GBPs (mGBPs) families consist of seven (hGBP1 to hGBP7) and eleven (mGBP1 to mGBP11) members, respectively, which are strongly upregulated in response to IFN-γ (12-14). GBPs characteristically exhibit various cellular functions encompassing antiparasitic, antiviral, and antibacterial activity, as well as inhibitory effects on cell proliferation and tumor suppression (15-26).

GBPs display a characteristic multidomain architecture including a large catalytic GTPase (G) domain and a C-terminal, helical domain (8, 27-33). Various mGBPs such as mGBP1, mGBP2, and mGBP7 are especially important effector molecules for the resistance against *Toxoplasma gondii*, an intracellular apicomplexan protozoan parasite of global relevance (16, 25, 34-39). After the active invasion of host cells, *T. gondii* forms a nonfusogenic cytoplasmic membranous structure called the parasitophorous vacuole (PV) in which the parasite efficiently replicates (37, 40, 41). mGBPs are intricately involved in IFN-γ induced growth restriction and killing of the *T. gondii* in murine macrophages, fibroblasts and astrocytes (16, 20, 25, 36, 38, 42). In order to execute their antimicrobial function, mGBPs can specifically associate with pathogen containing compartments of intracellular microbes which encompass pathogen-containing vacuoles (PCVs) as well as viral replication compartments (20, 25, 36, 43-45), resulting in the innate immune detection and cell-autonomous clearing or of PCV-resident pathogens (22, 25, 38, 46). After IFN-γ induction, mGBP2 molecules assemble in vesicle like structures (VLS) in the cytoplasm and, after *T. gondii* entry into the host cell, mGBP2 attacks the PV membrane (PVM) in orchestrated, supramolecular complexes, ultimately promoting the destruction of PVMs (36, 38). This stage is followed by recruitment of mGBP2 to the plasma membrane of the intracellular parasite, which in turn likely leads to its destruction (38). Multiparameter fluorescence image spectroscopy (MFIS) revealed that

mGBP2, on a molecular level, can form homo- or heteromultimers with mGBP1, mGBP3 and, to a lesser extent, with

mGBP5 within VLS and at the PVM (38). For PV membrane interactions, isoprenylation / farnesylation and/or

hydrophobic moieties in the C-terminus of the GBPs are essential (25, 31, 32, 38, 47). Eventually, especially mGBP2

and mGBP7, can be found localized at the parasite cell membrane and inside the targeted parasite (25, 38) probably

also interfering with the integrity of *T. gondii* membranes. Importantly, inactivation of mGBP1, mGBP2, or mGBP7 in

mice has been shown to result in a vastly impaired resistance to infections with type II *T. gondii* (25, 36).

Galectins are a family of lectins characterized by a carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) with β-galactoside-binding

ability (48). Galectins are nucleocytoplasmic proteins, can be exported from cells through a poorly defined

unconventional secretion pathway (49) and are able to directly bind to bacteria thereby mediating antibacterial effects

and modifying inflammatory signaling events (50). Presently, 15 galectin members have been identified in mammals

(51). Immunomodulatory effects of galectins include recruitment of immune cells to the site of infection, promotion of

neutrophil function, and stimulation of the bactericidal activity of infected neutrophils and macrophages (48). Previously

it has been shown that Gal3 can bind *T. gondii* glycophosphatidylinositols and might be a co-receptor presenting GPIs

to TLRs on macrophages (52). Cytoplasmic galectins fulfill functions in several cellular programs including cell growth

and apoptosis (53). Through binding to multivalent carbohydrates, galectins can multimerize and thereby cluster these

surface glycoconjugates resulting in changes in cell migration, receptor endocytosis and various other cellular events

(54, 55). Glycans are largely restricted to the luminal face but absent from the cytosolic face of intracellular vesicles. If

vesicular damage occurs, luminal glycans are exposed to the cytosolic milieu, where they can be recognized by

galectins (56, 57) . Furthermore, vacuolar instability of bacterial PCVs is recognized by host β-galactoside-binding

proteins of the galectin family (50, 58). Intracellular galectins can serve as danger receptors and promote autophagy of

the invading pathogen (59).

The aim of this study was to explore the molecular interaction(s) that enable mGBP2 to control *T. gondii* replication. By

employing immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis, we have identified galectin-9 (Gal9)

as a novel interaction partner of mGBP2. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the inactivation of Gal9 impairs the cell

autonomous IFN-γ induced immune control of *T. gondii* replication.

Results

Identification of Gal9 as mGBP2 interaction partner by IP and MS

Previously, we could show that mGBP2 interacts with itself and other mGBP family members forming homo- and

heteromultimers, respectively, in VLS and at the *T. gondii* PVM (38). For a profound understanding of the functions of

mGBP2 in *T. gondii* infection, we searched for other interaction partners of mGBP2. To this end, mGBP2$^{-/-}$ murine

embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)(36) expressing HA-tagged mGBP2 were constructed, stimulated with IFN-γ, and either

infected with the type II strain *T. gondii* ME49 or left uninfected. An HA-tag specific IP was performed (Fig.S1A) followed

by MS based quantitative protein analysis (Fig.1). mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs transduced with an empty expression vector served

as negative controls.

Statistical analysis revealed that 148 proteins were co-purified with HA-mGBP2 from the uninfected and 294 proteins

from the *T. gondii* infected cells. Besides the bait protein mGBP2, further members of the mGBP family were enriched

as prey proteins. Among them, a clear co-purification of mGBP1 and mGBP5 which previously were independently

identified by MFIS as mGBP2 interacting members, could be observed in uninfected and infected HA-mGBP2

expressing MEFs (mGBP1 enrichment factor > 185 in both experimental conditions, mGBP5 enrichment factor 4.8 from

uninfected and 15.1 from infected cells) (Fig.1), verifying the reliability of the co-IP and MS analysis.

From the list of potential mGBP2 interactors, Gal9 was analyzed further since Gal9 was found significantly enriched in

IP samples from type II *T. gondii* ME49 infected cells (Fig.1) and interestingly a role for several other galectin family

members had already been proposed in microbial infections (51).

To validate and characterize the interactions of Gal9 with mGBP2 in detail, mCherry-fused proteins of Gal9 were co-

expressed with full-length mGBP2 or with mGBP2 truncation mutants containing the GTP-binding domain and the

middle domain (GM), the middle and the C-terminal effector domain (ME) or the C-terminal effector domain only (E)

(31, 36). These cell lines were infected with *T. gondii* followed by co-IP. Using this approach, we could prove the

interaction of mGBP2 with Gal9 and could determine a most prominent function of the E-domain of mGBP2 for the

interaction with Gal9 (Fig.2 and Fig. S1B).

Subcellular localization of Gal9 and recruitment to the T. gondii PV

In a next step, the colocalization of mGBP2 and Gal9 at the PVM of *T. gondii* was determined by confocal (Fig.S2) and

Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy (Fig.3). Doubly transduced mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs coexpressing GFP-

mGBP2 with mCherry-Gal9 were prestimulated with IFN-γ and infected with *T. gondii* ME49 (Fig.3, Fig.S2). GFP-

mGBP2 and mCherry-mGBP2 coexpressing MEFs served as a positive control in STED microscopy colocalization

experiments (Fig.S3). A distinctive colocalization or close vicinity between mGBP2 and Gal9 could be observed at the

PVM already 2 h after infection. Interestingly, mCherry-Gal9 partly colocalized in GFP-mGBP2 containing VLS in

uninfected MEFs (Fig.S4). Furthermore, 5-6 h after infection Gal9 and mGBP2 could be found to be located together

within the intermembranous space of the PV and eventually within the cytosol of the parasite (Fig.S2). These results

indicate that Gal9 is interacting and acting together with mGBP2 and suggest that Gal9 is intricately involved into the

cell autonomous immunity mediated by mGBP2.

T. gondii replication control by Gal9

Next, the contribution of Gal9 to cell autonomous immunity against *T. gondii* was investigated. For this purpose, the

lgal9 gene was inactivated applying CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. The inactivation of Gal9 in different clonal NIH 3T3

fibroblast cell lines was confirmed by sequencing of the targeted gene loci and, additionally, by Surveyor nuclease

assay and WB for Gal9 (Fig.S5). Gal9- deficient fibroblast cell lines were stimulated with IFN-γ and infected with *T.*

gondii (ME49) and the replication of the parasite was monitored. First, it was determined whether the inactivation of

Gal9 leads to increased or decreased infection rates in the targeted cell lines compared to wildtype cells. However, the

number of *T. gondii* parasites detected in the gene edited cell lines compared to wildtype fibroblasts did not differ 2 h

post infection (Fig.S6). Interestingly, a significant increase in *T. gondii* replication could be observed in fibroblasts

harboring an inactivation of Gal9 as determined by qPCR and by quantification of the number of *T. gondii* rosettes and

single parasites 24 h after infection (Fig.4, Fig.S7. To assess whether the differences in *T. gondii* control could be

attributed to altered cell death, we performed LDH release assays of WT and Gal9 deficient cells including cells

reconstituted with the appropriate construct. We could not observe any difference in cell death in Gal9-deficient cells

24h after infection (Fig. S8).

These results clearly indicate an important role for Gal9 in controlling the intracellular replication of the parasite and

suggest that mGBP2 and Gal9 function as supramolecular complexes in the defense against intracellular pathogens.

Discussion

mGBP2 provides cell-autonomous immunity to the intracellular PV resident parasite *T. gondii* (31, 36, 38, 44, 60). Although it has been shown that mGBPs translocate to PVs, form supramolecular complexes, attack the membrane of the parasite itself, and, consequently, restrict replication of the intracellular pathogen, the molecular mechanisms by which mGBPs control the parasite inside the host cell remain largely elusive. Here we identify a novel interaction partner of mGBP2, Gal9, which plays a critical role with respect to the recruitment and in the antiparasitic function of mGBP2 in the context of cell-autonomous defense against *T. gondii*.

Previously, it was shown that Gal8 colocalizes with mGBP2 positive *Salmonella spp.* in unprimed bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) (61). It was proposed that Gal8 monitors endosomal and lysosomal integrity and detects bacterial invasion of the cytosol by *Listeria* spp. or *Shigella spp.*, serving as a versatile receptor for vesicle-damaging pathogens (61). Interestingly, it was also reported that Gal3, as a member of the Galectin family, and mGBP2 form protein complexes, which associate with vacuoles harboring secretion system-competent *Legionella pneumophila* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* bacteria in response to vacuolar damage (62). In this study we show that, either directly or indirectly, Gal9 interacts and colocalizes with mGBP2 in IFN-γ stimulated MEFs and NIH 3T3 cells in VLS. Considering the methodology used in this study, the possibility exists that Gal9 does not physically interact with mGBP2, but that other proteins/molecules in a complex may be involved in bridging the interaction. However, this would not affect the overall significance of the study. Based on its structural organization, Gal9 belongs to the tandem-repeat type galectins containing two distinct but homologous CRDs (N-CRD and C-CRD) covalently connected by a linker peptide (48, 59). Recruitment of Gal9 to the *T. gondii* PV has not been described previously. Furthermore, Gal9 could not only be found at the PVM but also within the intermembranous space between the PVM and the *T. gondii* plasma membrane as well as in the cytosol of the parasites together with mGBP2 approx. 5-6 h post-infection. This indicates that Gal9 and mGBP2 might recruit to the PVM of *T. gondii* in preformed complexes. Mechanistically, a series of events involving mGBP/IRG mediated PVM indentation, PVM vesiculation/disruption, and parasite plasma membrane stripping, leading ultimately to degradation of the parasite, is proposed by us and others as hallmarks for intracellular *T. gondii* elimination (38, 63, 64). It can be suggested that pore forming or denudating activity of these IFN-γ induced GTPases allows Gal9 to transmigrate through the PVM and to recognize β-galactosides on the inner leaflets of the PVM and the parasite,

labeling the parasite for further degradation processes. Parasite glycoconjugates play important roles in host cell

invasion, and specific interactions between host galectins and parasite glycoconjugates are considered to be critical for

pathogen recognition (55). Interestingly, glycosylphosphatidylinositols (GPIs) of *T. gondii* (65) have been shown to be

ligands of human Gal3 whereby both glycan and lipid moieties participate in the association of *T. gondii* GPIs with Gal3

(52). In this context, Gal3, -8, and -9 bind to host glycans in the luminal side of lysed phagosomes or permeated

pathogen vacuoles (66). Intracellular Gal3 was characterized as a sensor of vacuolar rupture that occurs when bacteria

such as *Shigella flexneri* or *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium actively enter the host cell cytosol (58, 67). Gal3,

-8 and -9 were also shown to associate with Salmonella-containing vacuoles (SCV) (61, 68, 69). Here we show that

Gal9 is required for the control of the parasite *T. gondii*.

Functionally, the recruitment of mGBP2 to the PVM was reduced in Gal9- inactivated cells and vice versa; the

recruitment of Gal9 to the PVM was reduced in mGBP2 deficient cells. In accordance, significantly fewer Salmonella

were targeted by Gal8 in Gbp[chr3] KO BMDMs than in wild-type BMDMs (70). Also, the delivery of mGBP1 and mGBP2

to Legionella-containing vacuoles (LCV) or Yersinia-containing vacuoles is substantially diminished in Gal3- and, to a

lesser extent, Gal8-deficient IFN-γ–primed iBMDM (62). In Salmonella-infected cells, SCV rupture and Gal3 recruitment

was followed by a rapid and massive recruitment of hGBP1 (67). Interestingly, a specific polybasic protein motif (PBM:

KMRRRK) was identified for hGBP1 which is sufficient to drive significant targeting of hGBP1 to *S. flexneri* recognizing

exposed glycans from disrupted, bacteria containing, endosomes. Deletion of the PBM-motive leads to substantially

reduced colocalization between Gal3-marked vacuoles and hGBP1 (71). mGBP2 lacks such a C-terminal PBM.

Nevertheless, the interaction with Gal9 appears to be mostly dependent on the C-terminal domain of mGBP2. Rab GDP

dissociation inhibitor α (RabGDIα) acts as a suppressor of the mGBP2-Irga6 axis, hereby the lipid-binding activity of

RabGDIα is critical for IFN-γ–induced mGBP2-dependent cell-autonomous immunity against ME49 *T. gondii*. RabGDIα

deficiency is accompanied by increased recruitment of mGBP2 and Irga6 to the parasite in MEFs and macrophages

and results in enhanced IFN-γ–mediated *T. gondii* clearance *in vitro* and *in vivo* (72). These studies suggest on the

one hand GBPs as escorts for distinct anti-pathogenic factors en route to PVs, on the other hand that the recruitment

of galectins and GBPs to the PVs might be mutually dependent as part of a coordinated host defense program. This is

supported by the significantly increased *T. gondii* proliferation in IFN-γ stimulated Gal9 knock out 3T3 fibroblasts 22h

post-infection indicating an important role of Gal9 in cell-autonomous *T. gondii* elimination. In contrast, IFN-γ–primed

Gal3$^{-/-}$ BMDMs restricted Yersinia and Legionella bacterial growth, similar to WT BMDMs (62).

Thus, it might be speculated that the recognition of the lysed PV by Gal9 initiates the uptake of the parasite into

autophagosomes or other degradation pathways as it was described for *Salmonella*, where GBPs promote LC3

recruitment via Gal8 (70). Interestingly, *T. gondii* infected Gal3$^{-/-}$ mice develop reduced inflammatory responses in

many organs (73) and succumb to an intraperitoneal infection with *T. gondii* associated with a deficient influx of

neutrophils and macrophages into the peritoneal cavity (74). The function of Gal9 might be the non-redundant to Gal3

and Gal8 in parasitic degradation processes which will be addressed in the future in a Gal9-gene deficient mouse line.

The findings of this study indicate that mGBP2 acts as a scaffold organizing the cell autonomous immunity in concert

with Gal9 and thus extends the understanding of molecular host responses in the control of intracellular parasites.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethics statement

Not applicable.

Author Contribution statement

EK designed and performed most experiments; GP and KS performed and analyzed the mass spectrometry data; SH

and SWP performed STED microscopy and analysis; VR performed LDH-release assays. DD and KP designed and

supervised the study. EK, DD and KP wrote the paper. All authors contributed in writing, discussing and editing of the

manuscript.

Data availability statement

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE

(107) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD027029.

Funding statement

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID

233613836 to KP and DD, and Project-ID 267205415 – SFB 1208, Z02 to SWP and SH., and by the Jürgen Manchot

Foundation to KP.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Mock, Nicole Küpper and Karin Buchholz for excellent technical assistance. We would like to

acknowledge the Center for Advanced Imaging (CAi) at Heinrich Heine University for providing access to the Leica TCS

SP8 gSTED 3X microscope system (DFG INST 208/665-1 FUGG).

1.   McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A. Type I interferons in infectious disease.
Nature reviews Immunology. 2015;15(2):87-103.
2.   Kak G, Raza M, Tiwari BK. Interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma): Exploring its implications in infectious
diseases. Biomolecular concepts. 2018;9(1):64-79.
3.   Boehm U, Klamp T, Groot M, Howard JC. Cellular responses to interferon-gamma. Annual Review
of Immunology. 1997;15:749-95.
4.   Boehm U, Guethlein L, Klamp T, Ozbek K, Schaub A, Futterer A, et al. Two families of GTPases
dominate the complex cellular response to IFN-gamma. JImmunol. 1998;161(12):6715-23.
5.   Martens S, Howard J. The interferon-inducible GTPases. Annual review of cell and developmental
biology. 2006;22:559-89.
6.   Howard JC. Introduction: cell-autonomous immunity. Microbes and infection. 2007;9(14-
15):1633-5.
7.   MacMicking JD. Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cell-autonomous immunity. Nature
Reviews Immunology. 2012;12(5):367-82.
8.   Praefcke GJK, Kloep S, Benscheid U, Lilie H, Prakash B, Herrmann C. Identification of residues in
the human guanylate-binding protein 1 critical for nucleotide binding and cooperative GTP hydrolysis.
Journal of Molecular Biology. 2004;344(1):257-69.
9.   Praefcke GJK. Regulation of innate immune functions by guanylate-binding proteins. Int J Med
Microbiol. 2018;308(1):237-45.
10.   Zhao YO, Rohde C, Lilue JT, Konen-Waisman S, Khaminets A, Hunn JP, et al. Toxoplasma gondii
and the immunity-related GTPase (IRG) resistance system in mice - A Review. Memorias do Instituto
Oswaldo Cruz. 2009;104(2):234-40.
11.   Hunn JP, Feng CG, Sher A, Howard JC. The immunity-related GTPases in mammals: a fast-evolving
cell-autonomous resistance system against intracellular pathogens. Mamm Genome. 2011;22(1-2):43-54.
12.   Cheng YS, Colonno RJ, Yin FH. Interferon induction of fibroblast proteins with guanylate binding
activity. JBiolChem. 1983;258(12):7746-50.
13.   Olszewski MA, Gray J, Vestal DJ. In silico genomic analysis of the human and murine guanylate-
binding protein (GBP) gene clusters. Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research. 2006;26(5):328-52.
14.   Tripal P, Bauer M, Naschberger E, Mortinger T, Hohenadl C, Cornali E, et al. Unique features of
different members of the human guanylate-binding protein family. Journal of Interferon and Cytokine
Research. 2007;27(1):44-52.
15.   Guenzi E, Topolt K, Cornali E, Lubeseder-Martellato C, Jorg A, Matzen K, et al. The helical domain
of GBP-1 mediates the inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation by inflammatory cytokines. The EMBO
journal. 2001;20(20):5568-77.
16.   Degrandi D, Konermann C, Beuter-Gunia C, Kresse A, Wurthner J, Kurig S, et al. Extensive
characterization of IFN-induced GTPases mGBP1 to mGBP10 involved in host defense. Journal of
Immunology. 2007;179(11):7729-40.
17.   Capaldo CT, Beeman N, Hilgarth RS, Nava P, Louis NA, Naschberger E, et al. IFN-gamma and TNF-
alpha-induced GBP-1 inhibits epithelial cell proliferation through suppression of beta-catenin/TCF
signaling. Mucosal immunology. 2012;5(6):681-90.
18.   Kim BH, Shenoy AR, Kumar P, Bradfield CJ, MacMicking JD. IFN-Inducible GTPases in Host Cell
Defense. Cell Host & Microbe. 2012;12(4):432-44.
19.   Nordmann A, Wixler L, Boergeling Y, Wixler V, Ludwig S. A new splice variant of the human
guanylate-binding protein 3 mediates anti-influenza activity through inhibition of viral transcription and
replication. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental
Biology. 2012;26(3):1290-300.
20.   Pilla-Moffett D, Barber MF, Taylor GA, Coers J. Interferon-Inducible GTPases in Host Resistance,
Inflammation and Disease. J Mol Biol. 2016;428(17):3495-513.

21.   Britzen-Laurent N, Herrmann C, Naschberger E, Croner RS, Sturzl M. Pathophysiological role of
guanylate-binding proteins in gastrointestinal diseases. World journal of gastroenterology.
2016;22(28):6434-43.
22.   Coers J, Brown HM, Hwang S, Taylor GA. Partners in anti-crime: how interferon-inducible
GTPases and autophagy proteins team up in cell-intrinsic host defense. Current opinion in immunology.
2018;54:93-101.
23.   Ghimire D, Rai M, Gaur R. Novel host restriction factors implicated in HIV-1 replication. The
Journal of general virology. 2018;99(4):435-46.
24.   Honkala AT, Tailor D, Malhotra SV. Guanylate-Binding Protein 1: An Emerging Target in
Inflammation and Cancer. Front Immunol. 2019;10:3139.
25.   Steffens N, Beuter-Gunia C, Kravets E, Reich A, Legewie L, Pfeffer K, et al. Essential Role of
mGBP7 for Survival of Toxoplasma gondii Infection. mBio. 2020;11(1).
26.   Yu P, Li Y, Li Y, Miao Z, Peppelenbosch MP, Pan Q. Guanylate-binding protein 2 orchestrates
innate immune responses against murine norovirus and is antagonized by the viral protein NS7. J Biol
Chem. 2020;295(23):8036-47.
27.   Praefcke GJK, McMahon HT. The dynamin superfamily: Universal membrane tubulation and
fission molecules? Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2004;5(2):133-47.
28.   Prakash B, Praefcke GJ, Renault L, Wittinghofer A, Herrmann C. Structure of human guanylate-
binding protein 1 representing a unique class of GTP-binding proteins. Nature. 2000;403(6769):567-71.
29.   Prakash B, Renault L, Praefcke GJK, Herrmann C, Wittinghofer A. Triphosphate structure of
guanylate-binding protein 1 and implications for nucleotide binding and GTPase mechanism. Embo
Journal. 2000;19(17):4555-64.
30.   Ghosh A, Praefcke GJK, Renault L, Wittinghofer A, Herrmann C. How guanylate-binding proteins
achieve assembly-stimulated processive cleavage of GTP to GMP. Nature. 2006;440(7080):101-4.
31.   Kravets E, Degrandi D, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Ries B, Konermann C, Felekyan S, et al. The GTPase
Activity of Murine Guanylate-binding Protein 2 (mGBP2) Controls the Intracellular Localization and
Recruitment to the Parasitophorous Vacuole of Toxoplasma gondii. Journal of Biological Chemistry.
2012;287(33):27452-66.
32.   Shydlovskyi S, Zienert AY, Ince S, Dovengerds C, Hohendahl A, Dargazanli JM, et al. Nucleotide-
dependent farnesyl switch orchestrates polymerization and membrane binding of human guanylate-
binding protein 1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
2017;114(28):E5559-E68.
33.   Sistemich L, Kutsch M, Hamisch B, Zhang P, Shydlovskyi S, Britzen-Laurent N, et al. The Molecular
Mechanism of Polymer Formation of Farnesylated Human Guanylate-binding Protein 1. J Mol Biol.
2020;432(7):2164-85.
34.   Virreira Winter S, Niedelman W, Jensen KD, Rosowski EE, Julien L, Spooner E, et al. Determinants
of GBP Recruitment to Toxoplasma gondii Vacuoles and the Parasitic Factors That Control It. Plos One.
2011;6(9).
35.   Yamamoto M, Okuyama M, Ma JS, Kimura T, Kamiyama N, Saiga H, et al. A Cluster of Interferon-
gamma-Inducible p65 GTPases Plays a Critical Role in Host Defense against Toxoplasma gondii.
Immunity. 2012;37(2):302-13.
36.   Degrandi D, Kravets E, Konermann C, Beuter-Gunia C, Klumpers V, Lahme S, et al. Murine
Guanylate Binding Protein 2 (mGBP2) controls Toxoplasma gondii replication. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013;110(1):294-9.
37.   Selleck EM, Fentress SJ, Beatty WL, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, Virgin HW, et al. Guanylate-binding
Protein 1 (Gbp1) Contributes to Cell-autonomous Immunity against Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS pathogens.
2013;9(4).
38.   Kravets E, Degrandi D, Ma Q, Peulen TO, Klumpers V, Felekyan S, et al. Guanylate binding
proteins directly attack Toxoplasma gondii via supramolecular complexes. Elife. 2016;5.

39.   Saeij JP, Frickel EM. Exposing Toxoplasma gondii hiding inside the vacuole: a role for GBPs,
autophagy and host cell death. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2017;40:72-80.
40.   Boothroyd JC. Toxoplasma gondii: 25 years and 25 major advances for the field. International
journal for parasitology. 2009;39(8):935-46.
41.   Sibley LD. Invasion and intracellular survival by protozoan parasites. Immunological reviews.
2011;240(1):72-91.
42.   Lubitz FP, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, Mausberg AK. In Astrocytes the Accumulation of the Immunity-
Related GTPases Irga6 and Irgb6 at the Vacuole of Toxoplasma gondii Is Dependent on the Parasite
Virulence. Scientific World Journal. 2013.
43.   Haldar AK, Saka HA, Piro AS, Dunn JD, Henry SC, Taylor GA, et al. IRG and GBP host resistance
factors target aberrant, "non-self" vacuoles characterized by the missing of "self" IRGM proteins. PLoS
pathogens. 2013;9(6):e1003414.
44.   Lindenberg V, Molleken K, Kravets E, Stallmann S, Hegemann JH, Degrandi D, et al. Broad
recruitment of mGBP family members to Chlamydia trachomatis inclusions. PLoS One.
2017;12(9):e0185273.
45.   Coers J. Self and non-self discrimination of intracellular membranes by the innate immune
system. PLoS pathogens. 2013;9(9):e1003538.
46.   Haldar AK, Foltz C, Finethy R, Piro AS, Feeley EM, Pilla-Moffett DM, et al. Ubiquitin systems mark
pathogen-containing vacuoles as targets for host defense by guanylate binding proteins. Proceedings of
the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015;112(41):E5628-E37.
47.   Fres JM, Muller S, Praefcke GJK. Purification of the CaaX-modified, dynamin-related large GTPase
hGBP1 by coexpression with farnesyltransferase. Journal of Lipid Research. 2010;51(8):2454-9.
48.   Liu FT, Rabinovich GA. Galectins: regulators of acute and chronic inflammation. Annals of the
New York Academy of Sciences. 2010;1183:158-82.
49.   Haudek KC, Spronk KJ, Voss PG, Patterson RJ, Wang JL, Arnoys EJ. Dynamics of galectin-3 in the
nucleus and cytoplasm. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1800(2):181-9.
50.   Chen HY, Weng IC, Hong MH, Liu FT. Galectins as bacterial sensors in the host innate response.
Curr Opin Microbiol. 2014;17:75-81.
51.   Shi W, Xue C, Su XZ, Lu F. The roles of galectins in parasitic infections. Acta tropica. 2018;177:97-
104.
52.   Debierre-Grockiego F, Niehus S, Coddeville B, Elass E, Poirier F, Weingart R, et al. Binding of
Toxoplasma gondii glycosylphosphatidylinositols to galectin-3 is required for their recognition by
macrophages. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2010;285(43):32744-50.
53.   Liu FT, Patterson RJ, Wang JL. Intracellular functions of galectins. Biochim Biophys Acta.
2002;1572(2-3):263-73.
54.   Cerliani JP, Blidner AG, Toscano MA, Croci DO, Rabinovich GA. Translating the 'Sugar Code' into
Immune and Vascular Signaling Programs. Trends Biochem Sci. 2017;42(4):255-73.
55.   Vasta GR. Roles of galectins in infection. Nature reviews Microbiology. 2009;7(6):424-38.
56.   Coers J. Sweet host revenge: Galectins and GBPs join forces at broken membranes. Cell
Microbiol. 2017;19(12).
57.   Boyle KB, Randow F. The role of 'eat-me' signals and autophagy cargo receptors in innate
immunity. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2013;16(3):339-48.
58.   Paz I, Sachse M, Dupont N, Mounier J, Cederfur C, Enninga J, et al. Galectin-3, a marker for
vacuole lysis by invasive pathogens. Cell Microbiol. 2010;12(4):530-44.
59.   Casals C, Campanero-Rhodes MA, Garcia-Fojeda B, Solis D. The Role of Collectins and Galectins in
Lung Innate Immune Defense. Frontiers in immunology. 2018;9:1998.
60.   Haldar AK, Piro AS, Pilla DM, Yamamoto M, Coers J. The E2-Like Conjugation Enzyme Atg3
Promotes Binding of IRG and Gbp Proteins to Chlamydia- and Toxoplasma-Containing Vacuoles and Host
Resistance. PloS one. 2014;9(1).

61.   Thurston TL, Wandel MP, von Muhlinen N, Foeglein A, Randow F. Galectin 8 targets damaged
vesicles for autophagy to defend cells against bacterial invasion. Nature. 2012;482(7385):414-8.
62.   Feeley EM, Pilla-Moffett DM, Zwack EE, Piro AS, Finethy R, Kolb JP, et al. Galectin-3 directs
antimicrobial guanylate binding proteins to vacuoles furnished with bacterial secretion systems. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(9):E1698-E706.
63.   Ling YM, Shaw MH, Ayala C, Coppens I, Taylor GA, Ferguson DJ, et al. Vacuolar and plasma
membrane stripping and autophagic elimination of Toxoplasma gondii in primed effector macrophages.
JExpMed. 2006;203(9):2063-71.
64.   Martens S, Parvanova I, Zerrahn J, Griffiths G, Schell G, Reichmann G, et al. Disruption of
Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuoles by the mouse p47-resistance GTPases. Plos Pathogens.
2005;1(3):187-201.
65.   Lekutis C, Ferguson DJ, Grigg ME, Camps M, Boothroyd JC. Surface antigens of Toxoplasma
gondii: variations on a theme. International journal for parasitology. 2001;31(12):1285-92.
66.   Mansilla Pareja ME, Bongiovanni A, Lafont F, Colombo MI. Alterations of the Coxiella burnetii
Replicative Vacuole Membrane Integrity and Interplay with the Autophagy Pathway. Frontiers in cellular
and infection microbiology. 2017;7:112.
67.   Santos JC, Boucher D, Schneider LK, Demarco B, Dilucca M, Shkarina K, et al. Human GBP1 binds
LPS to initiate assembly of a caspase-4 activating platform on cytosolic bacteria. Nat Commun.
2020;11(1):3276.
68.   Pilla DM, Hagar JA, Haldar AK, Mason AK, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, et al. Guanylate binding proteins
promote caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis in response to cytoplasmic LPS. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014;111(16):6046-51.
69.   Creasey EA, Isberg RR. The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the Legionella-containing
vacuole. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(9):3481-6.
70.   Meunier E, Dick MS, Dreier RF, Schurmann N, Broz DK, Warming S, et al. Caspase-11 activation
requires lysis of pathogen-containing vacuoles by IFN-induced GTPases. Nature. 2014;509(7500):366-+.
71.   Piro AS, Hernandez D, Luoma S, Feeley EM, Finethy R, Yirga A, et al. Detection of Cytosolic
Shigella flexneri via a C-Terminal Triple-Arginine Motif of GBP1 Inhibits Actin-Based Motility. mBio.
2017;8(6).
72.   Ohshima J, Lee Y, Sasai M, Saitoh T, Ma JS, Kamiyama N, et al. Role of Mouse and Human
Autophagy Proteins in IFN-gamma-Induced Cell-Autonomous Responses against Toxoplasma gondii.
Journal of Immunology. 2014;192(7):3328-35.
73.   Bernardes ES, Silva NM, Ruas LP, Mineo JR, Loyola AM, Hsu DK, et al. Toxoplasma gondii infection
reveals a novel regulatory role for galectin-3 in the interface of innate and adaptive immunity. The
American journal of pathology. 2006;168(6):1910-20.
74.   Alves CM, Silva DA, Azzolini AE, Marzocchi-Machado CM, Carvalho JV, Pajuaba AC, et al. Galectin-
3 plays a modulatory role in the life span and activation of murine neutrophils during early Toxoplasma
gondii infection. Immunobiology. 2010;215(6):475-85.
75.   Bollineni RC, Koehler CJ, Gislefoss RE, Anonsen JH, Thiede B. Large-scale intact glycopeptide
identification by Mascot database search. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):2117.
76.   Stratmann B, Engelbrecht B, Espelage BC, Klusmeier N, Tiemann J, Gawlowski T, et al. Glyoxalase
1-knockdown in human aortic endothelial cells - effect on the proteome and endothelial function
estimates. Sci Rep. 2016;6:37737.
77.   Grube L, Dellen R, Kruse F, Schwender H, Stuhler K, Poschmann G. Mining the Secretome of
C2C12 Muscle Cells: Data Dependent Experimental Approach To Analyze Protein Secretion Using Label-
Free Quantification and Peptide Based Analysis. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(2):879-90.
78.   Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et al. The PRIDE
database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic
acids research. 2019;47(D1):D442-D50.

79.   Thevenaz P, Ruttimann UE, Unser M. A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based on
intensity. IEEE Trans Image Process. 1998;7(1):27-41.
80.   Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-
Cas9 system. Nature protocols. 2013;8(11):2281-308.

Figure legends

FIGURE 1. Identification of mGBP2 interacting proteins using co-immunoprecipitation in combination with label-

free quantitative mass spectrometry. An HA-mGBP2 fusion protein was expressed in mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs. mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs

transduced with the empty expression vector were used as controls. MEFs were stimulated with IFN-γ (16h) and left

uninfected or were infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2h. IPs were performed with an anti-HA antibody from cell lysates.

Immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by label-free quantitative mass spectrometry. Five to six replicates were

analyzed per condition and putative mGBP2 interacting proteins were identified from uninfected and *T. gondii* ME49 infected

cells. Mean values of normalized intensities are shown in the bar plots ± standard deviations. In the table, details from the

protein identification are shown: the confidence scores from the MASCOT search engine (75, 76), the number of identified

unique peptides per protein as well as p-values from Student's t-tests. Furthermore, the enrichment ratios (intensity ratio

between mGBP2 expressing cells and vector control) are given (a correction for multiple testing has been performed using

the significance analysis of microarray approach, 5% false discovery rate, S_0 0.8, a minimum of 4 valid values per group).

FIGURE 2. Verification of Gal9 as interaction partner of mGBP2 by pulldown analysis. mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs were

reconstituted with GFP-mGBP2 WT or one of the indicated mGBP2 truncation mutants as well as one of the N-terminal

mCherry fusion proteins mCherry-mGBP2 or mCherry-Gal9. 10^6 Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and infected

with *T. gondii* ME49 for 4h, MOI 50. Cells were lysed and lysate supernatants were incubated o/n with either RFP-Trap®

beads (A, B) or with GFP-Trap® beads (C-F) at 4°C. Pull-down (PD) samples and appropriate cell lysate supernatants

were subjected to Western Blotting (WB). Blots were stained with α-GFP (A, D, F) or α-mCherry (B, C, E) antibodies.

GTP-binding domain (GM), the middle domain and the C-terminal effector domain (ME) or the C-terminal effector

domain only (E) (36).

FIGURE 3. Intracellular colocalization of mGBP2 interaction partner Gal9 at the PVM of *T. gondii*. Recruitment

and colocalization of mGBP2 and Gal9 was analyzed after transduction of a GFP-mGBP2 fusion construct in mGBP2-

/- MEFs and additional transduction of mCherry-Gal9. MEFs were seeded and incubated on glass slides, stimulated

with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 2 h. After fixation, infected cells were treated with

an α-RFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto647N and with an α-GFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to

eGFPBoosterAtto488 for enhancement of the immunofluorescence of mCherry and GFP, respectively. Glass slides

were analyzed by STED microscopy. Bars 2 μm. The graphs in the right panel depict a fluorescence intensity analysis

of STED images on the far right with the ImageJ software (Fiji) for Atto488 and Atto647 fluorescence signals along the

cross sections of PVMs as indicated. The colocalization thresholds were set to 7500-max for the Cherry and 5000-max

for GFP in mCherry-Gal9 and GFP-mGBP2 expressing cells.

FIGURE 4. Gal9 is required for the intracellular control of replication of *T. gondii*. (A) WT and NIH 3T3 cell line

clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 (see main text and Figs.S5/S6) were stimulated with

IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 MOI10 for 24 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with

an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy.

The amounts of rosettes (replicative units) and single parasites inside the PV were determined in WT, Gal9- deficient

NIH 3T3 fibroblasts 24 h after infection. In each cell line more than 100 PVs were counted in 3 independent experiments.

Mean values ± SD are shown. (B) WT and Gal9 deficient NIH3T3 cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and

subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 MOI10 for 24 and 48h. Genomic DNA from these samples was extracted

and a *T. gondii* specific qPCR was performed. Parasite equivalents per 500 ng DNA are depicted for at least 10

individual samples from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test.

***: p <= 0.001; **: p <= 0.01; *: p <= 0.05.

FIGURE S1. Identification and validation of mGBP2 interaction partners. (A) mGBP2-/- MEFs were reconstituted

with HA-mGBP2 or a control vector and stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h. 1x10^6 cells were infected for 2 h with *T. gondii*

ME49 (MOI 50) or left uninfected. Subsequently, cells were lysed and lysate supernatants were incubated o/n with α-

HA antibody coupled agarose beads at 4°C for IP. One part of IP samples was separated via 10% SDS-PAGE and

labelled using silver staining. Another part of the IP samples was subjected to Western Botting and immune staining

with an α-mGBP2 antiserum or an α-HA antibody. A third part of these IP samples was transferred to the MS analysis

(see main text).

(B) mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs were reconstituted with GFP-mGBP2 WT as well as one of the N-terminal mCherry fusion proteins

mCherry-mGBP2, or mCherry-Gal9. mCherry-Control 1, mCherry Control 2, and mCherry-Control 3 are interacting

proteins that will be published elsewhere and do not relate to this study. Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and

infected with of *T. gondii* ME49 for 4h. Cells were lysed, and lysate supernatants were incubated o/n with GFP-Trap®

beads at 4°C. Pulldown samples and appropriate cell lysate supernatants were subjected to Western Blotting. Blots

were stained with α-GFP or α-mCherry antibodies.

FIGURE S2. Localization of Gal9 and mGBP2 at *T. gondii*. Recruitment and colocalization of mGBP2 was analyzed

in GFP-mGBP2 expressing mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs with additional transduction of either mCherry-Gal9. MEFs were stimulated

with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 5 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an

α-SAG1 antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy. Bars

2 μm.

FIGURE S3. Colocalization of mCherry-mGBP2 and GFP-mGBP2 at the PV membrane of *T. gondii*. Recruitment

and colocalization of mGBP2 was analyzed in GFP-mGBP2 expressing mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs with additional transduction of

mCherry-mGBP2 as control. MEFs were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49

for 2 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with an α-SAG1 antibody. Infected cells were treated with anti-RFP V$_H$H

nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto647N and with anti-GFP V$_H$H nanobody conjugated to eGFPBoosterAtto488

for enhancement of immunofluorescence of mCherry and GFP respectively. Glass slides were analyzed by STED

microscopy. Bars 2 μm. The graphs depict a colocalization analysis of STED images with the ImageJ software (Fiji) for

GFP and mCherry fluorescence. The colocalization thresholds were set to 13000-max for the Cherry and 2000-max

for GFP in mCherry-mGBP2 and GFP-mGBP2 expressing cells.

FIGURE S4. Intracellular colocalization of mGBP2 with the interaction partners Gal9. Colocalization of GFP-

mGBP2 with mCherry-Gal9 was analyzed in mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted with the indicated fusion proteins. Cells were

stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h. After fixation, cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal

microscopy. Bars 5 µm. The right column depicts the results for a colocalization analysis using the Image Visualization

and Analysis Software (Imaris) for GFP and mCherry fluorescence.

FIGURE S5. CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of Gal9 and verification of Gal9 inactivation. (A) CRISPR/Cas9 and

sgRNA specific for Gal9 were expressed in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. Clones were picked and DNA from WT and three

CRIPR/Cas9 Gal9 targeted cell clones of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts was isolated, PCR amplified (923 bp). PCR products were

cloned into the pCR2.1 TA-cloning vector. The Gal9 mutations were verified by Sanger sequencing. Indels, AA

sequence and premature stop codons (*) are indicated. (B) For independent mutation analysis, the Surveyor® Mutation

Detection Kit for Standard Gel Electrophoresis was employed. Here, a mismatch-specific DNA endonuclease to scan

mutations and polymorphisms in heteroduplex DNA is used. PCR amplicons from Gal9 mutant NIH 3T3 clones (test)

and WT (reference) DNA were hybridized and the mixtures of hetero- and homo-duplexes were submitted to Surveyor

Nuclease digestion. The reference DNA alone, treated similarly, served as a negative control. DNA fragments were

analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The formation of new cleavage products, due to the presence of one or more

mismatches, is indicated by the presence of additional bands. The relative size of these cleavage products indicates

the location of the mismatch or mismatches. (C) Lysate supernatants of NIH 3T3 cells from different CRISPR/Cas9

Gal9 sgRNA targeted clones and from WT cells were analyzed by WB. Cells were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h. Blots

were stained with α-Gal9 antibodies.

FIGURE S6. Inactivation of Gal9 does not influence infection rates of *T. gondii*. The infection rates of *T. gondii*

ME49 were analyzed in WT and independent NIH 3T3 cell line clones with verified Gal9 inactivation. Cells were

stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with

the α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy.

Bars, 5 µm. The amounts of parasites per cell were quantified 2 h after infection.

FIGURE S7. Gal9 is required for the intracellular control of replication of *T. gondii*. WT and independent NIH 3T3

cell line clones with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 (see main text and Figs.S5) were stimulated

with IFN-γ for 16 h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 24 h. After fixation, *T. gondii* were stained with

an α-SAGI antibody and the cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI. Glass slides were analyzed by confocal microscopy.

Bars, 5 µm.

FIGURE S8. Cell death of Gal9 deficient cells in *T. gondii* infection. WT and independent NIH 3T3 cell line clones

with verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 (see main text and Figs.S5) and NIH 3T3 cell line clones with

verified CRISPR/Cas9 mediated inactivation of Gal9 reconstituted with mCherry-Gal9 were stimulated with IFN-γ for 16

h and subsequently infected with *T. gondii* ME49 for 24 h. Subsequently, an LDH release assay was performed. Positive

controls were obtained by treating the cells with 1mM Staurosporine for 24h. Shown are three independent experiments,

which were respectively performed in technical triplicates to minimize pipetting errors. Plotted are means +/- SD.

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test.; *: $p <= 0.05$.

Only statistically significant comparisons were labelled.

Experimental Procedures

Expression Constructs.

The WT ORF of mGBP2 (NCBI accession numbers: mGBP-2, NM_010260.1) was cloned either into the pWPXL

plasmid (Trono lab) as N-terminal GFP-fusion constructs or into the pWPI Plasmid (Trono lab) in frame with a N-terminal

HA-tag. For the cloning of mCherry constructs (36), the pWPXL plasmid was modified by exchanging of the gene for

GFP by the gene for mCherry (38). mRNA was isolated from freshly prepared WT MEFs prestimulated with IFN-γ and

infected with *T. gondii*. The ORFs of Galectin 9 (NM_010708.2) was amplified by PCR and then cloned into the modified

pWPXL plasmid as N-terminal mCherry-fusion constructs (38). The lentiviral envelope vector pLP/VSVG (Invitrogen)

and the packaging vector psPAX2 (Trono lab) were used for the lentiviral genetic transfer.

Cell culture and transduction.

NIH 3T3 fibroblasts (Public Health England; AccNo 93061524) and MEFs were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's

medium (DMEM, Invitrogen/Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated low endotoxin fetal bovine serum

(FBS, Cambrex), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine (Biochrom) and 0.05 mM β-

mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen/Gibco). Human foreskin fibroblasts (HS27, ATCC CRL-1634) were hold in culture in

Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM, Invitrogen/Gibco) with the same supplementations. 293FT cells (Gibco,

ThermoFisher; R700-07) were cultivated in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml

streptomycin. All recombinant lentiviruses were produced by transient transfection of 293FT cells according to

jetPRIME® transfection protocol (Polyplus, New York City) (38). NIH 3T3 cells and MEFs were seeded in 24 well plates

(Corning Incorporated, Germany) and transduced with 600 µl of lentivirus with 25 µg Polybrene

(Merck Millipore, Germany). After 5 h of incubation medium was changed. The transduction efficacy was analyzed by

flowcytometry. Subsequently, only GFP or double GFP and mCherry positive cells were sorted and cultivated.

In Vitro Passage of Toxoplasma gondii.

Tachyzoites from *T. gondii* strain ME49 (ATCC 50611) were maintained by serial passage in confluent monolayers of

HS27 cells. After infection of fibroblasts, parasites were harvested and passaged as described previously (16).

Infection of murine MEFs with T. gondii.

Cells were stimulated with 200 U/mL IFN-γ (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) 16 h before infection. For

immunofluorescence, NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and MEFs were cultured in 24-well plates (Falcon, BD

Biosciences, Germany) on cover slips (ø 13 mm, VWR International, Germany) and inoculated with freshly harvested

T. gondii at a parasites/cell ratio of 10:1. To remove extracellular parasites, cells were washed with PBS.

Pull-Down and co-immunoprecipitation analysis of mGBP2

For co-immunoprecipitation experiments, mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted with HA-mGBP2 were used. mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs

transduced with the empty pWPI vector were used as control cells. 10^6 Cells were then stimulated with IFN-γ for 16 h,

infected with the *T. gondii* strain ME49 (MOI50) for 2 h or left uninfected, subsequently lysed and lysate supernatants

were incubated o/n with monoclonal mouse anti-HA- antibody coupled to agarose beads (clone HA-7, Sigma-Aldich,

USA) at 4°C. IP probes were subjected to silver staining and to Western Blotting. Blots were stained with the mGBP2-

specific antiserum (Eurogentec), mouse α-GFP (clones 7.1 and 13.1, Roche, Switzerland) and rabbit αHA (polyclonal,

Sigma-Aldrich, USA) antibodies. For pull-down experiments, lysate supernatants of mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs reconstituted either

with WT GFP-mGBP2 or GFP-fused truncated mutants mutants or just transduced with a GFP expressing vector, as

an appropriate control and coexpressing mCherry fused with Gal9 or just the mCherry protein, were incubated o/n with

RFP-Trap® or GFP-Trap® beads (ChromoTek GmbH, Germany) at 4°C. IP probes were subjected to Western Blotting.

Blots were stained with the mouse α-GFP or α-mCherry (Clontech, EU) antibodies.

MS Analysis of interaction partners of mGBP2

A label-free mass spectrometry, based quantification approaches enabled by a highly reproducible and stable LC-

MS/MS system was chosen for a quantitative analysis of the mGBP2 interactome. Six individual replicates of co-

immunoprecipitation samples per group (HA-mGFP2, control-vector, HA-mGFP2 + ME49 and control-vector + ME49)

were prepared from transfected IFNγ stimulated mGBP2$^{-/-}$ MEFs (see above). Samples were prepared for mass

spectrometric analysis essentially as described elsewhere (77). Briefly, proteins were stacked into an acrylamide gel

(about 4 mm running distance), subjected to silver staining, de-stained, reduced and alkylated and digested with trypsin.

Resulting peptides were extracted from the gel and approximately 500 ng peptides prepared in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid

for liquid chromatography and mass spectrometric analysis.

First, peptide samples were separated on an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano chromatography system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were initially trapped on a 2 cm long trap column (Acclaim PepMap100, 3 µm C18 particle size, 100 Å pore size, 75 µm inner diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 10 min at a flow rate of 6 µl/min with 0.1 % (v/v) TFA as mobile phase. Subsequently, they were separated on a 25 cm long analytical column (Acclaim PepMapRSLC, 2 µm C18 particle size, 100 Å pore size, 75 µm inner diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 60°C using a 2 h gradient from 4 to 40% solvent B (solvent A: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water, solvent B: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, 84% (v/v) acetonitrile in water) at a flow rate of 300 nl / min.

Separated Peptides were injected with distal coated SilicaTip emitters (New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) into an Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer via a nanosource electrospray interface. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive, data dependent mode with a spray voltage of 1.4 kV and capillary temperature of 275°C. First, a full scan from m/z 350 to 1700 was recorded with a resolution of 60000 (at 400 m/z) in the obitrap analyser with a target for automatic gain control set to 1000000 and a maximal ion time of 200 ms. Then, up to 20 two- and threefold charged precursor ions with a minimal signal of 500 were isolated (isolation width 2) in the linar ion trap part of the instrument. Here, ions were collected for a maximum of 50 ms with an automatic gain control set to 30000, fragmented by collision induced dissociation with a normalized collision energy of 35 and analysed at a resolution of 5,400 (at 400 m/z). Already fragmented precursors were excluded from fragmentation for the next 45 seconds.

Quantitative analysis of MS1 based precursor ions was carried out with Progenesis QI for proteomics (version 2.0, Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The software first detects and aligns precursor ion signals (features) then associates information from MS2 based precursor identification and finally computes protein intensities on basis of associated features. Automatic processing was used without manual alignment adjustment. Here, one run of the control-vector + ME49 could not automatically aligned and was not further considered in the analysis. Searches were triggered by Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4.1.14, Thermo Scientific) and the percolator node was used for identification validation at 1% false discovery rate. Spectra were searched with the Mascot search engine (version MASCOT 2.4.1, Matrix Science, London, UK) considering cysteine carbamidomethylation as fixed and protein N-terminal acetylation and methionine oxidation as variable modification as well as tryptic cleavage specificity with a maximum of two missed cleavage sites. Mass precision was set to 10 ppm for precursor and 0.4 Da for fragment

spectra. Database searches were carried out using the mus musculus (UP000000589) and toxoplasma gondii

(UP000002226) reference proteome data sets downloaded on 18th January 2018 from UniProtKB including 52548

respectively 8404 entries. Only "high confident" peptide spectrum matches were used for further processing and peptide

spectrum matches associated with proteins identified with at least two unique peptides. As we noticed a bias towards

higher protein intensities in the mGBP2 overexpressing cells (arising from mGBP2 and associated proteins), no global

normalization was performed but a normalization of protein intensities based on the sum of the Ig heavy chain proteins

P18525, P18524. Calculated protein intensities were further processed within the Perseus (version 1.6.2.2, MPI for

Biochemistry, Planegg, Germany) software environment. Here, Student's t-tests were calculated on log2 transformed

normalized intensities using the significance analysis of microarrays method for cutoff determination (S_0 set to 0.8, 5%

false discovery rate, a minimum of 4 valid values per group). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been

deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE(78) partner repository with the dataset identifier

PXD027029.

Immunofluorescence analysis.

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) permeabilized with 0.02% saponin

(Calbiochem-Merck) and blocked in 0.002% saponin with 2% goat serum (DaKoCytomation, Denmark). The outer

membrane of T. gondii was visualized by anti-SAG1 (Abcam, UK) at a concentration of 1/700. As secondary reagents,

1/200 concentrated Alexa Fluor™ 633-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific,

USA) and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG plus IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, UK) were used.

Nuclei were counterstained with 1/2500 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific,

USA). The cover slips were fixed in fluorescence mounting medium (Fluoromount-G, Southern Biotechnology

Associates, Birmingham, AL). Fluorescence was visualized using a LSM780 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

Image analysis and processing was performed with the ZEN (Zeiss, Switzerland) software.

Gated Stimulated Emission Depletion measurement

Gated Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) measurements were performed using a TCS SP8 STED 3X (Leica,

Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with an HC PL APO CS2 93x glycerol objective (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany, NA 1.3) at a

scan speed of 1400 Hz. For the acquisition of the gated STED signal of the eGFPBoosterAtto488, 488 nm was used

as the excitation laser line, 592 nm as the corresponding depletion laser line and the hybrid detector range was set

from 498 nm to 580 nm with a 1 ns to 6 ns time gating. For the acquisition of the gated STED signal of the

mRFPBoosterAtto647N, 633 nm was used as the excitation laser line, 755 nm as the corresponding pulsed depletion

laser line and the hybrid detector range was set from 640 nm to 750 nm with a 0.8 ns to 6 ns time gating. Detection of

the different signals was carried out in a frame sequential measurement setup. Deconvolved data of gated STED

measurements were calculated using Huygens software (Huygens professional, Scientific Volume Imaging,

Netherlands) with reduced signal to noise factor of 5 for eGFPBoosterAtto488 and 6 for mRFPBoosterAtto647N and a

reduced iteration setting of 20 for both channels. Resulting deconvolved images were visually compared to the raw data

to ensure the quality of the calculation. To correct for channel shift, a reference double staining of nuclear pores was

acquired with similar settings and utilized as a reference for channel shift correction by the Fiji-plugin "multistackreg"

(79).

CRISPR/Cas9 knock out strategy.

The Galectin 9 gene (lectin, galactose binding, soluble 9, *lgals9*) was disrupted by applying the CRISPR/Cas9

technology in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts (80). The knock out was performed by transfection of the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP

(PX458) plasmid (Addgene, USA), expressing a sgRNA (Gal9: for CAC CGC GGG TTA ATG TAT GGA GAC T, rev

AAA CAG TCT CCA TAC ATT AAC CCG C) corresponding to the sequence contained in the first exon of the*lgals9*

gene. The sgRNA encoding plasmids were transfected into NIH 3T3 fibroblasts according to jetPRIME[R] transfection

protocol (Polyplus, New York City). The transfection efficacy was analyzed by flow cytometry. Subsequently, GFP

positive cells were sorted and single cell colonies were cultivated. Mutated clones of the *lgals9* gene were identified by

Surveyor® Mutation Detection (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., USA) (for AAC TAG ATT GGG CCT GCC TC, rev

AGA GAT CCC CCT GAC TCT GT, expected fragments, expected PCR fragment: 923 bp, expected digested

fragments: 747, 176 bp). The knockout of *lgals9*was confirmed by PCR amplification, subcloning and sequencing of the

corresponding gene stretches from different single cell colonies. Additionally, WB analysis was performed with a

polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse antibody against Gal9 (ARP54821_P050, Aviva Systems Biology).

LDH release assay.

Cell death was quantified by measuring LDH release, using the Invitrogen™ CyQUANT™ LDH Cytotoxicity Assay. For

this $3x10^4$ cells of the indicated cell lines were stimulated with 100U/ml IFNγ for 16h. Then cells were infected with *T.*

gondii ME40 with an MOI of 6.6 for 24h. As positive control, cells were treated with staurosporin for 24h as indicated.

% of LDH release was calculated as follows: ((experimental LDH activity- spontaneous LDH activity) x 100) / (maximum

LDH activity – spontaneous LDH activity). Each experiment was performed with three technical replicates.

accession	gene names	score		count	-				ME49			
					p-value	q-value mGBP2/control	ratio	significance mGBP2/control	p-value	q-value mGBP2/control	ratio	significance mGBP2/control
Q01514	mGBP1	1702.6	19	19	3.3E-08	0	186	+	2.8E-06	0	199.7	+
Q9Z0E6	mGBP2	4193.6	55	55	1.3E-07	0	66.8	+	1.5E-06	0	125.4	+
Q8CFB4	mGBP5	199.1	6	6	9.1E-03	0.0105263	4.8	+	6.3E-05	0	15.1	+
O08573	Gal9	79.9	2	2	1.3E-01	0.251424	1.5		5.6E-03	0.00446061	3.8	+

A

Rosettes Counting

PCR

B