Shrimp draft genome contains independent clusters of ancient and current endogenous viral elements (EVE) of the parvovirus IHHNV

Suparat Taengchaiyaphum[1], Prapatsorn Wongkhaluang[1], Timothy W. Flegel[2,3] and Kallaya Sritunyalucksana[1*]

[1]Aquatic Animal Health Research Team (AQHT), Integrative Aquaculture Biotechnology Research Group, National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (BIOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), Yothi office, Rama VI Rd., Ratchathewi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

[2]Center for Excellence in Shrimp Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (Centex Shrimp), Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Rama VI Rd., Ratchathewi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

[3]National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (BIOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), 113 Paholyothin Rd., Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani, 12120 Thailand

*Corresponding author:
Dr. Kallaya Sritunyalucksana (Email: kallaya@biotec.or.th)

Abbreviations:
IHHNV: Infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus, **IHHNV-A:** non-infectious IHHNV Type A, **cvcDNA**: circular viral copy DNA, **RT-PCR**: reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, **EVE**: endogenous viral element(s), **piRNA**: PIWI-interacting RNA(s), **RNAi**: RNA interference

ABSTRACT

Background

Shrimp have the ability to accommodate viruses in long term, persistent infections without signs of disease. Endogenous viral elements (EVE) play a role in this process probably via production

of negative-sense Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA)-like fragments. These bind with Piwi proteins

to dampen viral replication via the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway. We searched a draft

genome of the giant tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*)(GenBank record JABERT000000000) for

the presence of EVE related to a shrimp parvovirus originally named infectious hypodermal and

hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV).

Results

The shrimp draft genome contained 3 piRNA-like gene clusters containing scrambled IHHNV

EVE. Two clusters were located distant from one another in linkage group 35 (LG35). Both LG35

clusters contained multiple DNA fragments with high homology (99%) to GenBank records

DQ228358 and EU675312 that were both called "non-infectious IHHNV Type A" (IHHNV-A)

when originally discovered. However, our results and those from a recent Australian *P. monodon*

genome assembly indicate that the relevant GenBank records for IHHNV-A are sequence-

assembly artifacts derived from scrambled and fragmental IHHNV-EVE. Although the EVE in the

two LG35 clusters showed high homology only to IHHNV-A, the clusters were separate and

distinct with respect to the arrangement (i.e., order and reading direction) and proportional content

of the IHHNV-A GenBank records. We conjecture that these 2 clusters may constitute independent

allele-like clusters on a pair of homologous chromosomes. The third EVE cluster was found in

linkage group 7 (LG7). It contained EVE with high homology (99%) only to GenBank record

AF218266 with the potential to protect shrimp against infectious IHHNV.

Conclusions

Our results suggested the possibility of viral-type specificity in EVE clusters. Specificity is

important because whole EVE clusters for one viral type would be transmitted to offspring as

collective hereditary units. This would be advantageous if one or more of the EVE within the

cluster were protective against disease caused by the cognate virus. It would also facilitate gene

editing for removal of non-protective EVE clusters or for transfer of protective EVE clusters to

genetically improve existing shrimp breeding stocks that might lack them.

Key words: Viral accommodation, Endogenous viral element(s) (EVE), Infectious hypodermal

and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV), *Penaeus monodon* draft whole genome

BACKGROUND

Non-retroviral viral gene sequences inserted into host genomes during the course of evolution began to be called endogenous viral elements (EVE) upon their discovery in vertebrate genomes [1]. However, evidence for EVE in animals was first reported for insects in 1999 [2], but the significance of the paper was not fully appreciated at the time of its publication. For shrimp, an EVE was described much later [3] but it was named "non-infectious IHHNV".

In 2009, it was hypothesized that EVE (at the time called non-retroviral viral genome inserts) resulted from a mechanism that provided for heritable immunity in shrimp and insects [4, 5]. In brief, the mechanism was proposed to involve host recognition of invading viral mRNA followed by its use as a substrate for host reverse transcriptase (RT) to produce variable fragments of viral copy DNA (vcDNA). The vcDNA fragments would subsequently be inserted into the shrimp genome where they would produce antisense RNA that could induce an RNA interference (RNAi) response. This would lead to inhibition of viral replication and allow the host to accommodate one or more viruses (i.e., allow viral accommodation) in persistent infections without signs of disease. Tests for predictions of the viral accommodation hypothesis proceeded much faster with insects than shrimp. By 2020, the basic predictions regarding EVE were proven for mosquitoes [6-8]. The studies in both *Drosophila* and mosquitoes revealed additional detailed mechanisms for an unpredicted, specific adaptive response involving the ability of vcDNA to produce siRNA resulting in an immediate, adaptive cellular and systemic antiviral RNAi response [7, 9, 10]. For details see a recent review [5].

One of the insect publications [10] revealed that the vcDNA produced by host RT in response to RNA virus infection came in two forms, one linear (lvcDNA) and one circular (cvcDNA). The latter could be specifically isolated relatively easily and was shown, when injected, to protect insect hosts againt the homologous virus. Following the protocols described for cvcDNA isolation in insects, it was shown [11] that IHHNV-cvcDNA could be extracted from IHHNV-infected *P. monodon* and that it could inhibit IHHNV replication in whiteleg shrimp *P. vannamei* challenged with IHHNV prepared from the infected *P. monodon*. During sequencing of the cvcDNA extract, it was also found that some of the cvcDNA constructs had high homology (98-99%) to the GenBank records DQ228358 and EU675312 known to arise from the host *P. monodon* genome

(i.e., from EVE) originally called "non-infectious IHHNV Type-A" (IHHNV-A) [3, 12]. Thus,

the 2021 report [11] revealed that EVE can also give rise to cvcDNA, and it was hypothesized that

the cvcDNA arose via EVE-produced RNA interacting with host reverse transcriptase.

Around the time that the work with IHHNV cvcDNA [11] was being done, a draft whole genome

sequence (WGS) of a Thai *P. monodon* specimen was published [13], and we became interested

to determine whether the WGS might contain EVE related to IHHNV. We considered this possible

because the specimes we used for our cvcDNA work and the specimen used for the WGS project

originated from the same domesticated shrimp breeding stock.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Clusters of IHHNV-EVE were found in linkage groups 35 and 7

A general BlastN search of the Thai *P. monodon* WGS project (GenBank accession no.

JABERT000000000) [13] using the queries GenBank record DQ228358 for non-infectious

IHHNV-A and GenBank record AF218266 for infectious IHHNV confirmed the presence of 3

clusters of EVE derived from IHHNV (**Fig. 1**). Two of these IHHNV clusters (**Fig. 1A**) were

located in linkage group 35 (LG35) and showed high sequence homology (98-99%) to IHHNV in

GenBank accession numbers DQ228358 and EU675312. The other cluster (**Fig. 1B**) was located

in linkage group 7 (LG7) and showed high sequence homology (98-99%) to GenBank accession

number AF218266 for an extant type of infectious IHHNV. All three EVE clusters were clearly

demarked by bracketing, direct host repeat sequences marked by red arrows in Fig. 1.

Characteristics of EVE homologous to non-infectious IHHNV-A in LG35

The GenBank records for DQ228358 (in *P. monodon* from East Africa) and EU675312 (in *P.

monodon* from Australia) were initially referred to as "non-infectious IHHNV Type A [3, 12] even

though the authors knew that the sequences originated from the host shrimp genomes (*P.

monodon*). The IHHNV-related portions of the records for DQ228358 and EU675312 share 99%

sequence identity, indicating that they arose from a similar, ancient type(s) of IHHNV that is

distantly related to the types of IHHNV currently reported to cause disease in cultivated shrimp

[14]. We now refer to the two GenBank records DQ228358 and EU675312 as EVE of non-

infectious IHHNV-A. Here we use the DQ228358 sequence for or comparative analysis but focus

primarily on the portion of the sequence (1 to 3025 bp) that has homology to IHHNV. The
remainder of the record (3026-4655 bp) constitutes shrimp host repeat and transposable element
sequences.

(A) LG35 EVE Cluster 1 homologous to non-infectious IHHNV-A

142 **(B) LG35 EVE Cluster 2 homologous to non-infectious IHHNV-A**

(C) LG7 EVE Cluster homologous to infectious IHHNV

Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of IHHNV-EVE clusters in the draft *Penaeus monodon* WGS.
(A) and **(B)** Sequence diagrams for Cluster 1 and 2, respectively, in LG35 with high homology to
the non-infectious IHHNV-A query portion (1-3025 bp) of the GenBank record DQ228358. Some
of the EVE sequences in the 2 clusters correspond to the same region of DQ228358 (i.e., the same
color) but may differ in length and in reading direction indicated by arrowheads. Others are unique
to each cluster. A zoom-in expansion of each EVE cluster is shown beneath. Colored numbers
below indicate the nucleotide positions corresponding to GenBank record DQ228358. The portion
of the record related to the host transposable-element portion of DQ228358 is indicated by a dark
brown arrow. (**C**) Diagram of the IHHNV-EVE cluster in LG7 (GenBank accession no.
JABERT010000007.1) with EVE showing high sequence identity (99%) to GenBank record
AF218266 for infectious IHHNV. The numbers below the arrows represent the matching positions
AF218266.

We were not surprised to find EVE of IHHNV-A in the Thai *P. monodon* WGS database. This
was because we had already reported the occurrence of a variety of cvcDNA sequences with high
sequence identity (98-99%) to non-infectious IHHNV-A in *P. monodon* specimens obtained from
a different generation of the same breeding stock from which the Thai genome specimen was
obtained [11]. Those cvcDNA sequences covered 68% (779 – 3930 nt) of the matching portion of
the DQ228358 record (including some transposable element portion) and could be assembled into
a single linear construct. However, at the time of that publication, we did not know the actual
composition of the genome region(s) from which the cvcDNA sequences arose. All we could

conclude at the time was that a variety of IHHNV-cvcDNA types were produced, some linked
with host transposable element sequences and some not.

Our search of the Thai WGS revealed that LG35 did not contain a single continuous sequence with
high sequence identity to IHHNV-A. Instead, it contained many high-identity EVE fragments of
variable length that were scrambled with respect to proportion, position and reading direction in
the non-infectious IHHNV-A reference sequences. These scrambled EVE were arranged in LG35
in two distinct clusters separated from one another by more than 80,000 bp (**Figs. 1A and 1B**).
The individual EVE are shown in different colors to allow easy visual comparison of the EVE to
matching regions of the IHHNV portion of the DQ228358 reference sequence. The positions of
the EVE in LG35 are shown below each cluster diagram in black typeface while the positions
relative to the DQ228358 record are shown above in colors to match those of each EVE. The
colored vertical lines indicate the boundaries of the individual EVE. The number and lengths of
the individual EVE and the gaps between them in the 2 clusters in LG35 are shown in **Table 1**.
The EVE in the two LG35 clusters contain some common DQ228358 coverage, but they are
distinct from one another in terms of the EVE types, lengths and reading directions when compared
to the DQ228358 reference sequence (Figs. 1A and 1B). The lengths of the EVE clusters bracketed
by their respective repeat sequences were 6,744 bp for Cluster 1 is and 14,993 bp for Cluster 2.

The unexpanded diagrams for Clusters 1 and 2 in Fig. 1A and 1B shared some structural features.
For example, both of the 2 EVE clusters (Fig. 1A and 1B) were bracketed by a pair of host, direct-
repeat sequences (red arrows) of 2 x 561 bp in Cluster 1 Fig. 1A) and 2 x 519 bp in Cluster 2 (Fig.
1B). In Cluster 1, the two repeats shared 98% sequence identity (547/561 bp) (Supplementary Fig.
S2) while those in Cluster 2 shared only 77% sequence identity (400/519 bp)(Supplementary Fig.
S3). These bracketing direct repeat sequences (red arrows) demarked the boundaries of the non-
infectious IHHNV-A EVE clusters. They matched many other regions in several linkage groups
of the Thai WGS database but at relatively low sequence identities (around 80% or less).

Table 1. Lengths of the EVE and intervening sequences in the 3 clusters found in the draft WGS of Thai *P. monodon*.

LG35 Cluster 1 related to DQ228358			LG35 Cluster 2 related to DQ228358		
EVE no.	Size (bp)	Gap (bp)	EVE no.	Size (bp)	Gap (bp)
1	48	8	1	945	2
2	118	3	2	67	8
3	576	0	3	202	234
4	319	348	4	729	189
5	125	128	5	215	14
6	204	2923	6	80	194
7	267		7	360	8125
Mean	**237**	**568**	8	498	0
SD	**176**	**1161**	9	319	1169
			10	125	521
LG7 Cluster 3 related to AF218266			11	128	43
EVE no.	Size (bp)	Gap (bp)	12	206	15
1	257	0	13	576	
2	1243	101	**Mean**	342	876
3	1995	2	**SD**	272	2308
4	340	0			
5	3326	0			
6	80				
Mean	**1207**	**21**			
SD	**1268**	**45**			

Within the boundaries of Cluster 1 there was a host retrotransposon element (dark brown arrow) of 561 bp (Fig. 1A) that had 98% sequence identity to a matching portion of the 1395 bp host retrotransposon sequence that is part of GenBank record DQ228358. However, there was also a hit for 1354/1395 bases (98% identity) for the same retrotransposon portion of the DQ228358 sequence beginning very distantly from Cluster 1 at position 23,321,662 in LG35. All other spaces that separated the EVE fragments in Cluster 1 showed homology to various other shrimp host retrotransposon elements or host repeat sequences (not shown).

In contrast to Cluster 1, the pattern for Cluster 2 in LG35 (Fig. 2B) did not include any retrotransposon sequence with high homology to that in GenBank record DQ228358 within the

bracketing 519 bp direct repeat sequences (red arrows). Like Cluster 1, all sequences separating the EVE showed homology to a variety of other shrimp host retrotransposon and repeat sequences (not shown). The bracketing sequences for EVE Clusters 1 and 2, matched many other regions in several linkage groups of the Thai WGS database but at lower sequence identities of around 80% or less (not shown).

It is not known whether these two clusters in LG35 are linked on one chromosome of a diploid pair or whether they are matching "allele-like clusters" ("cluster-alleles") located one each in the chromosome pair represented by LG35. Now that we have the sequences of the two clusters in LG35, it will be possible to design specific primers to screen for each type in the current generation of the original *P. monodon* stock. By identifying one specimen positive for both clusters and mating it with one negative for both, obtaining about half the offspring each carrying only one or the other cluster would confirm whether or not they are cluster-alleles. Finally, the forms of these 2 clusters resemble those described from mosquitoes as piRNA-like gene clusters containing fragmented sequences from mosquito RNA viruses [6, 7].

A preprint [15] using DNA extracted from an Australian *P. monodon* specimen for genome analysis revealed one EVE cluster in their Scaffold group 97 (SG97) with high identity to GenBank EU675312 (i.e., the Australian version of IHHNV-A with 99% sequence identity to DQ228358). Given the information from our earlier publication and from the work reported herein and from Australia, it is likely that the continuous sequence records for DQ228358 and EU675312 at GenBank are assembly artifacts, and that they were obtained from fragmented and scrambled target sequences that had sufficient overlap to result in their assembly into single linear sequences when using the sequence of infectious IHHNV (GenBank record AF218266) as a reference [3, 12].

The preprint of Huerlimann et al. [15] gives detailed analyses of the EVE cluster found on SG97 of their Australian *P. monodon* specimen plus detailed comparison of it to the two EVE clusters that they (like us) discovered in LG35 of the Thai *P. monodon* WGS. Thus, those interested the detailed comparison should consult the Australian preprint.

The IHHNV-EVE in LG7 showed high sequence homology to infectious IHHNV

Of greatest interest to us was the EVE cluster located in LG7 **(Fig. 1 C)** that showed high sequence identity (95-99%) only to GenBank accession number AF218266 from an extant form of infectious IHHNV [17]. In other words, this cluster contained no EVE with high sequence identity to GenBank records DQ228358 and EU675312 (i.e., "non-infectious IHHNV Type A) that were found in EVE Clusters 1 and 2 in LG35. However, the general, overall pattern for EVE Cluster 3 was similar to those of the two for IHHNV-A EVE clusters located on LG35. For example, the LG7 EVE were scrambled in terms of fragment location, portion and reading direction with respect to the AF218266 reference genome. They were also bracketed by two host direct-repeat sequences (red arrows) of 1,758 bp (98% sequence identity). The EVE were either contiguous or separated by host retrotransposon or repeat sequences. Again, the overall arrangement resembled the Pi-RNA-like gene clusters reported for EVE of RNA viruses in mosquitoes [6, 7].

Our work with IHHNV-cvcDNA [11] and the work with mosquitoes [7, 8], suggest that negative sense RNA transcripts of the IHHNV-EVE in this cluster would have the potential capability of inducing a host RNAi response against infection by homologous types of infectious IHHNV. If our conjecture above that the 2 IHHNV-EVE in LG35 are alleles turns out to be correct, the fact that there is only one IHHNV-EVE cluster in LG7 suggests that it might be a single cluster/allele with no matching IHHNV-EVE on the homologous chromosome. If this is so, it would provide an opportunity to prove whether or not the IHHNV-EVE in LG7 is protective against infectious IHHNV.

For example, primers could be designed to screen for the IHHNV-EVE cluster in LG7 in the current generation of the *P. monodon* stock. Several cluster-positive individuals could be mated with several cluster-negative individuals. If the cluster behaves in an allele-like manner, subsequent screening of the offspring from these crosses would reveal that at least one of the positive parents carried a single copy of the LG7 cluster/allele and would yield half the offspring positive for the allele and half negative for it. The offspring could then be challenged with infectious IHHNV followed by qPCR to determine infectious IHHNV loads in shrimp with and without the EVE cluster. A significantly lower mean IHHNV load in the cluster-positive offspring compared to the cluster-negative offspring would indicate that the cluster was protective. If so, the

stock owner would then be able to use PCR to select crosses to maintain the protective cluster in

subsequent stock generations.

Detailed analysis of the IHHNV-EVE in LG7

Altogether, the IHHNV-EVE cluster in LG7 (**Fig. 1B**) is 7,267 bp in length and contains 6 EVE

having high sequence identity with a current type of infectious IHHNV (GenBank record

AF218266. The EVE range from 80 to 3326 bp in length (**Table 1**) and are scrambled with respect

to portion, position and reading direction when compared to the IHHNV reference genome

AF218266. In comparison to the two EVE clusters for non-infectious IHHNV in LG35, 3 of the

EVE in Cluster 3 are much longer and the gaps between the EVE are shorter or do not exist. The

significance of these differences is currently unknown. However, the general pattern of EVE

scrambling, bracketing by host repeat sequences and separation or not by host transposable

element or repeat sequences is similar that in the other 2 EVE clusters in LG35.

Trade consequences from shrimp stocks carrying an LG7 protective IHHNV-EVE

We carried out a Blastn analysis of the IHHNV-EVE cluster in LG7 against the target sequences

for the PCR detection method (''309'') recommended for specific detection of infectious IHHNV

in the OIE diagnostic manual [18]. The 309 method was designed to be specific for detection of

infectious IHHNV [12]. Our analysis of the EVE cluster in LG7 revealed that 3 of the EVE (2, 3

and 5) contained sequences with 95% identity and 100% coverage for the target of the 309 method

based on the AF218266 reference sequence (**Table 2**). However, despite the less than 100% overall

sequence identities of the potential EVE targets, the primer sequences for the 309 method matched

100% with the relevant target sequences in all 3 of the potential EVE targets (**Supplementary**

information 1). Thus, the potential amplicons would be equal length (indistinguishable) from

those that would arise from infectious IHHNV and for the specimen used for the genome project

would constitute a false positive test results for infectious IHHNV.

Table 2. Potential targets in the IHHNV-EVE cluster of LG7. See the supplementary information for detailed information on the BlastN results.

Detection Primer	Primer sequences	Hit positions on LG 7	Target size /identity	Primer Mismatch
OIE [18]	IHHNV309F/R	Location 1: 40,036,120 - 40,036,428 nt Location 2: 40,037,386 - 40,037,694 nt Location 3: 40,040,184 - 40,040,492 nt	309 bp/95% 309 bp/95% 309 bp/95%	0/22 0/22 0/22
Jaroenram and Owens, 2014 [19]	RPA1F	Location 1: 40,041,559 – 40,041,588 nt	121/97%	1/30
	RPA1R	Location 1: 40,041,679 – 40,041,650 nt	121/97%	1/30
Cowley et al., 2018 [20]	IHHNV-q309F1	Location 1: 40,036,089 - 40,036,115 nt Location 2: 40,037,355 – 40,037,381 nt Location 3: 40,040,153 – 40,040,179 nt	98/100% 98/100% 98/100%	0/27 0/27 0/27
	IHHNV-q309R1	Location 1: 40,036,186 – 40,036,161 nt Location 2: 40,037,452 – 40,037,427 nt Location 3: 40,040,250 – 40,040,225 nt	98/100% 98/100% 98/100%	0/26 0/26 0/26
	IHHNV-q309Pr1 (probe)	Location 1: 40,036,119 – 40,036,136 nt Location 2: 40,037,385 – 40,037,402 nt Location 3: 40,040,183 – 40,040,200 nt	NA NA NA	0/18 0/18 0/18

In addition to the recommended method in the OIE manual, there are two published methods proposed to aid in the detection of infectious IHHNV while avoiding false positives arising from IHHNV-EVE. One of these [19] is an isothermal PCR detection method focused on a part of the infectious IHHNV genome that appeared to be of low prevalence or absent from IHHNV-EVE revealed by screening *P. monodon* from Australia. Our analysis of the target sequence for the primers from this method in the LG7 EVE for infections IHHNV (Table 2) revealed only one target sequence in the cluster in which there was a single base mismatch for each primer. There was a low calculated effect on the binding efficiency of the primers, so it is hard to predict whether or not the method would give a false positive test for infectious IHHNV with the potential LG7 target. In contrast, a more recent qPCR method designed to avoid false positive test results for infectious IHHNV that arise from IHHNV-EVE [20] would not be effective with the LG7 EVE cluster because there are 3 target sequences with 100% match to the primer and probe sequences.

In the past, without knowledge of EVE, shrimp specimens giving positive PCR test results with the methods above would have been considered IHHNV- infected and the positive shrimp

specimens would have been discarded during the screening process to develop specific pathogen

free (SPF) breeding stocks. In this way, it is possible that potentially protective EVE were

discarded during stock development. This problem of false positive test results for infectious

IHHNV arising from EVE has been raised previously [14, 21].

In addition, it is likely that shrimp products carrying the LG7 cluster would give false positive test

results for the presence of infectious IHHNV, and this might result in the rejection of exported

shrimp broodstock, shrimp PL and frozen shrimp products by countries that use the target of the

OIE-recommended PCR method to screen imports for the presence of infectious IHHNV. It might

be argued that use of a PCR method to detect such EVE followed by breeding selection to eliminate

them from breeding stocks would be the simplest way to avoid this problem. However, such an

approach might also remove naturally evolved, heritable resistance to IHHNV infection and lead

to production problems for shrimp farmers.

In addition to the above potential for false positive results, another possibility has previously been

raised [21]. This might occur in an SPF stock that had been developed by use of an internationally

standardized PCR method to confirm absence of a particular virus based on a mutually agreed,

small fragment of the target virus genome. This process would result not only in the discard of

infected shrimp but also shrimp that carry EVE containing the PCR target sequence. Since some

EVE may be protective and others not, it would be wise to choose the target region of any standard

method to be in a genome sequence known to have no or low potential as a protective EVE.

However, this may not solve all the problems because individual shrimp in the stock population

would carry a variety of difference EVE cluster/alleles for a particular virus. Thus, it is possible

that 2 individuals in a stock population might each carry one of 2 EVE in different cluster/alleles

but in the same reading direction and have the potential to re-establish the PCR detection target by

crossover. Specifically, the separated EVE fragments in the two shrimp would have to have

sequence overlap but with the EVE in one individual containing the forward 5' primer target

sequence for the chosen standard method but lacking the 3' primer sequence, while the other

individual would contain the 3' primer sequence but lack the 5' sequence. These EVE would

escape the screening process to remove stock individuals with EVE that carry the target for the

standard PCR detection method chosen. However, at some unpredictable time in many crosses and

generations, these to sequences might end up as cluter/alleles in a single individual by random

assortment of chromosomes from its parents. If so, crossover events might occur in the overlap

region to re-establish the PCR target sequence and give rise to a small portion of PCR positive

individuals (i.e., "pop-up" positive individuals) in the offspring of such individuals. This could

happen even in a population of shrimp with a good history of freedom from the target virus [21].

For these reasons, it is essential that development of standard PCR detection methods involve a

process of investigation and consultation among regulatory agencies and the companies or

agencies that develop and maintain SPF shrimp breeding stocks for shrimp farmers.

The evolutionary advantage of jumbled contents of EVE clusters

We believe that the characteristic scrambling of EVE in PiRNA-like clusters when compared to

their arrangements in the originating genome in both insects and shrimp is worthy of some

contemplation. We propose that this phenomenon may have evolved because it prevents the easy

re-establishment of complete, infectious viral genome sequences in the host genome by the process

of recombination between EVE-cluster alleles. On the other hand, the ability of the EVE to produce

RNA transcripts and give rise to vcDNA [11] would seem to open the possibility that rare

recombination events might occur between infecting viruses and EVE products (RNA or DNA)

and be an additional potential source viral variation. As far as we know, this possibility has not

been explored in shrimp or insects. Is it possible, for example, that the origin of viruses in the

"hybrid" family *Bidnaviridae* [22-24] might have originated by a fortuitous recombination event

between distinct EVE cluster/alleles that brought together EVE fragments from 2 different virus

families into a novel, functional combination that led to the emergence of flacherie disease in the

silkworm?

CONCLUSIONS

Revelation of piRNA-gene-like clusters of EVE from specific viral types is worth further

investigation. This may exemplify an advantageous evolutionary development that arose because

collections of EVE from specific viral types into single linkage clusters would assure their

transmission to offspring as potential "protective antiviral EVE packages" (PEVEP). It would also

an evolutionary advantage to have these PEVEP located on different chromosomes to assure that

maximum variation in PEVEP combinations would occur simply by random assortment of

chromosomes during the production of gametes. If such PEVEP operated like alleles, additional

variation would be possible via crossover during meiosis. Without viral genome fragmentation

and scrambling (including reading direction) during the formation of these piRNA gene‑like

clusters, it might be possible that crossover between two suitable APP would occasionally re‑

establish a full, infectious viral genome or even give rise to new virulent types. Fracture and

jumbling may have evolved to circumvent this possibility. However, it does not eliminate the

possibly of pop‑up, false‑positive PCR amplicons that might arise from crossover between EVE

that re‑establish the sequence of a PCR target for a standard viral detection method. Nor does it

eliminate the possibility of RNA and vcDNA arising from EVE might on very rare occasions be

incorporated into novel functional entities (e.g., *Bidnaviridae*) or contribute to the evolution of

infectious viruses via recombination events.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatics analysis of a draft *P. monodon* genome for IHHNV

The sequences of non‑infectious IHHNV (GenBank record DQ228358) and infectious IHHNV

(GenBank record AF218266) were used as the subject reference sequences for BlastN analysis

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) of a recently released whole draft genome (WDG) of the

giant tiger shrimp *P. monodon* (GenBank accession no. JABERT000000000) [13]. Prediction of

conserved DNA repeat sequences was determined using the Dfam database of repetitive DNA

families (https://www.dfam.org/home) [25].

Conflict of interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this article.

Acknowledgements

This research project is supported by Mahidol University (Fundamental Fund: Basic Research

Fund: fiscal year 2022) (Grant no. BRF1-054/2565) and National Center for Genetic Engineering

and Biotechnology (BIOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency

(NSTDA).

References

1. Feschotte C, Gilbert CJNRG: Endogenous viruses: insights into viral evolution and impact on host biology. 2012, 13(4):283-296.

2. Lin CL, Lee JC, Chen SS, Wood HA, Li ML, Li CF, Chao YC: Persistent Hz-1 virus infection in insect cells: evidence for insertion of viral DNA into host chromosomes and viral infection in a latent status. *J Virol* 1999, 73(1):128-139.

3. Tang KF, Lightner DV: Infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV)-related sequences in the genome of the black tiger prawn *Penaeus monodon* from Africa and Australia. *Virus Res* 2006, 118(1-2):185-191.

4. Flegel TW: Hypothesis for heritable, anti-viral immunity in crustaceans and insects. *Biology Direct* 2009, 4(1):32.

5. Flegel TW: Research progress on viral accommodation 2009 to 2019. *Dev Comp Immunol* 2020, 112:103771.

6. Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Kunitomi M, Tassetto M, Seetin MG, Oh S, Heiner C, Paxinos E, Andino R: The Diversity, Structure, and Function of Heritable Adaptive Immunity Sequences in the *Aedes aegypti* Genome. *Curr Biol* 2017, 27(22):3511-3519 e3517.

7. Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Sanchez-Vargas I, Garcia-Knight M, Ribiero I, Chen T, Olson KE, Andino R: Control of RNA viruses in mosquito cells through the acquisition of vDNA and endogenous viral elements. *Elife* 2019, 8.

8. Suzuki Y, Baidaliuk A, Miesen P, Frangeul L, Crist AB, Merkling SH, Fontaine A, Lequime S, Moltini-Conclois I, Blanc H *et al*: Non-retroviral Endogenous Viral Element Limits Cognate Virus Replication in Aedes aegypti Ovaries. *Curr Biol* 2020, 30(18):3495-3506 e3496.

9. Saleh MC, Tassetto M, van Rij RP, Goic B, Gausson V, Berry B, Jacquier C, Antoniewski C, Andino R: Antiviral immunity in Drosophila requires systemic RNA interference spread. *Nature* 2009, 458(7236):346-350.

10. Poirier EZ, Goic B, Tome-Poderti L, Frangeul L, Boussier J, Gausson V, Blanc H, Vallet T, Loyd H, Levi LI *et al*: Dicer-2-Dependent Generation of Viral DNA from Defective Genomes of RNA Viruses Modulates Antiviral Immunity in Insects. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018, 23(3):353-365 e358.

465   11.   Taengchaiyaphum S, Buathongkam P, Sukthaworn S, Wongkhaluang P, Sritunyalucksana
466   K, Flegel TW: Shrimp Parvovirus Circular DNA Fragments Arise From Both Endogenous
467   Viral Elements and the Infecting Virus. *Front Immunol* 2021, 12(3979).

468   12.   Tang KF, Navarro SA, Lightner DV: PCR assay for discriminating between infectious
469   hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) and virus-related sequences in the
470   genome of *Penaeus monodon*. *Dis Aquat Organ* 2007, 74(2):165-170.

471   13.   Uengwetwanit T, Pootakham W, Nookaew I, Sonthirod C, Angthong P, Sittikankaew K,
472   Rungrassamee W, Arayamethakorn S, Wongsurawat T, Jenjaroenpun P *et al*: A
473   chromosome‐ level assembly of the black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) genome
474   facilitates the identification of growth‐ associated genes. *Mol Ecol Resour* 2021,
475   21(5):1620-1640.

476   14.   Saksmerprome V, Jitrakorn S, Chayaburakul K, Laiphrom S, Boonsua K, Flegel TW:
477   Additional random, single to multiple genome fragments of *Penaeus stylirostris* densovirus
478   in the giant tiger shrimp genome have implications for viral disease diagnosis. *Virus Res*
479   2011, 160(1-2):180-190.

480   15.   Huerlimann R, Cowley JA, Wade NM, Wang Y, Kasinadhuni N, Chan C‐ KK, Jabbari J,
481   Siemering K, Gordon L, Tinning M *et al*: Genome assembly of the Australian black tiger
482   shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) reveals a fragmented IHHNV EVE sequence. *bioRxiv*
483   2021:2021.2011.2011.468259.

484   17.   Bonami JR, Trumper B, Mari J, Brehelin M, Lightner DV: Purification and
485   characterization of the infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus of penaeid
486   shrimps. *J Gen Virol* 1990, 71 (Pt 11):2657-2664.

487   18.   Infection with infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus. In: *Manual of*
488   *Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals.* 8 edn: OIE World Organisation for Animal Health;
489   2021: 138-153.

490   19.   Jaroenram, W., Owens, L., 2014. Recombinase polymerase amplification combined with
491   a lateral flow dipstick for discriminating between infectious *Penaeus stylirostris*
492   densovirus and virus‐ related sequences in shrimp genome. *J. Virol. Methods*. 208, 144-
493   151.

494   20.   Cowley, J. A., Rao, M., Coman, G. J., 2018. Real-time PCR tests to specifically detect
495   IHHNV lineages and an IHHNV EVE integrated in the genome of *Penaeus monodon*. *Dis.*
496   *Aquat. Organ.* 129, 145-158.
497   21.   Alday-Sanz V, Brock J, Flegel TW, McIntosh R, Bondad-Reantaso MG, Salazar M,
498   Subasinghe R: Facts, truths and myths about SPF shrimp in Aquaculture. *Rev Aquacult*
499   2020, 12(1):76-84.
500   22.   Hu ZY, Li GH, Li GT, Yao Q, Chen KP: *Bombyx mori* bidensovirus: The type species of
501   the new genus Bidensovirus in the new family Bidnaviridae. *Chinese Sci Bull* 2013,
502   58(36):4528-4532.
503   23.   Krupovic M, Koonin EV: Evolution of eukaryotic single-stranded DNA viruses of the
504   Bidnaviridae family from genes of four other groups of widely different viruses. *Sci Rep*
505   2014, 4.
506   24.   Tijssen P, Penzes JJ, Yu Q, Pham HT, Bergoin M: Diversity of small, single-stranded DNA
507   viruses of invertebrates and their chaotic evolutionary past. *J Invert Pathol* 2016, 140:83-
508   96.

509   25.   Hubley R, Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR, Jones TA, Bao W, Smit AFA, Wheeler TJ: The
510   Dfam database of repetitive DNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016, 44(D1):D81-D89.

Supplementary information

Supplementary figure S1. Multiple sequence alignment of potential 309 bp targets for the OIE-recommend IHHNV detection method from three repeats in IHHNV-EVE observed in LG 7 (R1-R3). The 309F/R primer positions are indicated by red rectangles. The primer sequences and potential amplicon sizes match 100% to those expected for infectious IHHNV.

Supplementary figure S2. Alignment of the 561 bp repetitive sequences flanking the non-infectious IHHNV‑EVE Cluster 1 located in LG35. The sequences are aligned in the same direction and share 98% identity (547/561 bp with 9/561 nucleotide gaps).

Supplementary figure S3. Alignment of the two 519 bp repetitive sequences flanking IHHNV‑EVE Cluster 2 located on LG35. The sequences are aligned in the same direction and share 77% identity (400/519 bp with 66/519 nucleotide gaps).

581
582
```
                10        20        30        40        50        60        70        80        90       100
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  GCTCAAGAAAATTACTGCAGATCTCCTTAGTGATCAAATAAATACCAAATTCAAATGCTATCTTGCCAGAATATGCATGTGGATGGTTGTTTGGGCCCTT 100
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  GCGCAAGAAAATTACTGCAGATCTCCTTAGTGATCAAATAAATACCAAATTCAAATGCTATCTTGCCAGAATATGCATGTGGATGTTTGTT----GGCCTT 97
```

583
```
               110       120       130       140       150       160       170       180       190       200
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  TTTCAGAGAGCAATTGGTGTGGAGACCCAAGGTAAGTACTTGATACTGTCATTGATATATTGAGAAACTTCTTGATTTGTACAGTCTGCAATGGATATGA 200
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  TGTCAGAGAGCAATTGGTGTGGAGACC-AAGGTAAGTACTTGATACTGTCATTGATATATTGAGAAACTTCTTGATTTGTACAGTCTGCAATGGATATGA 196
```

584
585
```
               210       220       230       240       250       260       270       280       290       300
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  AAAGTGTCTTAGAACTAGTATTTCTATTGACTTTCATGTACTACTTTGTTTATGGGTAATCATGGGTGCTTTGAAATTATCTCCTATAGGCCTACAGAGT 300
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  AAAGTGTCTTAGAACTAGTATTTCTATTGACTTACATGTACTACTTTGTTTATGGGTAATCATGGGTGCTTTGAAATTATCTCCTATAGGCCTACAGAGT 296
```

586
```
               310       320       330       340       350       360       370       380       390       400
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  ACAAGTTTTCCCTTTCCTATATTTTCTATCTCCCTTTCCTTCTTCCTTCCTGCCATTTATCTTCATCTATGTATTAGTATTCTATATCTATTCTATGTCT 400
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  ACAAGTTTTCCCTTTCCTATATTTTCTATCTCCCTTTCCTTCTTCCTTCCTGCCATTTATCTTCATCTATGTATTAGTATTCTATATCTATTCTATGTCT 396
```

587
```
               410       420       430       440       450       460       470       480       490       500
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  CTGTCTATGTCTGAGTCTGCGTCTGTGTCTGCATCTGCATCTCCATCTTTCTAGATTTTTTTCAATAACAGTATGCTCATGTTTGAGCAGCTGTGGACCT 500
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  CTGTCTATGTCTGAGTCTGCGTCTGTGTCTGCATCTGCATCTCCATCTTTCTAGATTTTTTTTCAATAACAGTATGCTCATGTTTGAGCAGCTGTGGACCT 496
```

588
589
```
               510       520       530       540       550       560       570       580       590       600
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  CTCCACCATCCTTCGCCACTCAACTCGATCTTGCGCTTTTCTTTCCACTTGTACCATCGTCAGCCCGCAAATATCTTTGATGTTGTCGCTCAGTCTTGTC 600
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  CTCCACCATCCTTCGCCACTCAACTCGATCTTGCGCTTTTCTTTCCACTTGTACCATCGTCAGCCCGCAAATATCTTTGATGTTGTCGCTCAGTCTTGTT 596
```

590
```
               610       620       630       640       650       660       670       680       690       700
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  TTCGGTTTGCCTCTTCCTCTGTTTCCCATCACCATCCCTGTCAGCAAGTTTTTCTCAATACTTTTACTTCTCTTCACATGACCAATAAACTTTAGTTTCC 700
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  TTCTGTTTGCCTCTTCCTCTGTTTCCTATCACCATCCCTGTCAGCAAGTTTTTCTCAATACTTTTACTTCTCTTCACATGACCAATAAACTTTAGTTTCC 696
```

591
592
```
               710       720       730       740       750       760       770       780       790       800
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  CTTTGTTCTTTCTAGATACATCTATCTAATTCCAGTATACAAATGGGAAATCAAATACATAATACTTTACTAGTACATGGCAGCAATAGTATACATGTGG 800
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  CTTTGTTCTTTCTAGATACATCTATCTAATTCCAGTATACAAACGGGAAATCAAATACATAATACTTTACTAGTACATGGCAGCAATAGTATATATGTGG 796
```

593
```
               810       820       830       840       850       860       870       880       890       900
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  AAAGGAAGAGTTACTATGTAAATAAATTTTAAGTTTTTTGATTTAAGTATCAGACAATGTTAACCCCTTGATCGCGGGTGGCATCTACTATTCTGCCATG 900
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  AAAGGAAGAGTTACTATGTAAATAAATTTTAAGTTTTTTGATTTAAGTATCAGACAATGTTAACCCCTTGATCGCGGGTGGCATCTACTATTCTGCCATG 896
```

594
```
               910       920       930       940       950       960       970       980       990      1000
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  GCGATGTAGCGCTGATGCCACGGGTGGCATCCCTACAGATGTAGCCCATACTGACATACCTGTTTGGCGGACCGCACAGCCGCCAAAAA-GCCCGCACAA 999
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  GCGGTGTAGCGCTGATGCCACGGGTGGCATCCCTACAGATGTAGCCCATACTGACATACCTGTTTGGCGGACCGCACAGCCGCCAAAAAAGCCCGCACAC 996
```

595
596
```
              1010      1020      1030      1040      1050      1060      1070      1080      1090      1100
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  AATTAGGTTAAATGGGTTAATTTTTAGCAAGACAGAGCTTGGACTGGGTCCTCATTTCATGATAAATCATCTTAGTAGTCTACATATGCAATCTCTCTCAA 1099
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  A-TTAGGTTAAATGGGT-AATTTTTAGCAAGACAGAGCTTGGACTGGGTCCTCATTTCATGATAAATCATCTTAGTAGTCTACATATGCAATCGCTCTCAA 1094
```

597
```
              1110      1120      1130      1140      1150      1160      1170      1180      1190      1200
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  CAATCATAGTAATCAGTAGCTACATAGAACTACAGAATCCTAAGAAATATTTCCACTTAGACATAGCCGAGGCGATACTATAAACAGAAATTACACAATG 1199
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  CAATCATAGTAATCAGTAGCTACATAGAACTACAGAATCCTAAGAAATATTTCCACTAAGACATAGCCGAGGCGATACTATAAACAGAAATTACACAATC 1194
```

598
599
```
              1210      1220      1230      1240      1250      1260      1270      1280      1290      1300
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  TAAATAATCCCAGTCACAGATGCATCAAGGAATCACCATGACCAGCATCTCTTCAACACTCCAGCAGAGGCTAAGAAATGTCTACTACATATATTCTGGC 1299
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  TAAATAATCCCAGTCACAGATGCATCAAGGAATCACCATGACCAGCATCTCTTCAACACTCCAGCAGAGGCTAAGAAATGTCTACTACATATATTCTGGC 1294
```

600
```
              1310      1320      1330      1340      1350      1360      1370      1380      1390      1400
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  AGGAAAAAGTTTAAATTTTTGATAAGTCACCAAGATGGTCTACAAATCTTCGAGGAGATCACAATGACAAAGAGCTTGTCCGGTTTTTAATTATTTTTTT 1399
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  AGGAAAAAGTTTAAATTTTTGATAAGTCACCAAGATGGTCTACAAATCTTCGAGGAGATCACAATGACAAAGAGCTTGTCCGGTTTTTAATTATTTTTT- 1393
```

601
602
```
              1410      1420      1430      1440      1450      1460      1470      1480      1490      1500
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  CCTTTTAATTATTTTTTCAGTAACTGAAAATCACATTTTATTTTCA-TATGCAACAACTGTTATGG-AAAATAGATTGATGACATTAACAATGAAATACG 1497
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  CCTTTTAATTATTTTTTCAGTAACTGAAAATCACATTTTATTTTCAATATGCAACAACTGTTATGGGAAAATAGATTGATGACATTAACAATGAAATACG 1493
```

603
```
              1510      1520      1530      1540      1550      1560      1570      1580      1590      1600
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  TCTAAGAATTTATTCAATCCATGTACCATTTACCTTTTAAAGTGTAATTATATTTCTAGACTTACATATCTTAAGGTTCACTTTCACTGTTATTTTAATAT 1597
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  TCTAAGAATTTATTCAATCCATGTACCATTTACCTTTTAACGTGTAATTATATTTCTAGACTTACATATCTTAAGGTTCACTTTCACTGTTATTTTAATAT 1593
```

604
605
```
              1610      1620      1630      1640      1650      1660      1670      1680      1690      1700
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  AATTTTTTTT--CCTGATATTCTGATACTGTAAA-TCAGTGAAGCAA-TGTCCTTTGTTGGAGGTCGTTCT----GCAATCCGCGGTAATCTAATTCAA-TC 1690
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  AATTTTTTTTTTCCTGATATTCTGATACTGTAAAATCAGTGAAGCAAATGTCCTTTGTTGGAGGTCGCTTCCTGACAATCCGCGGTAATCTAATTCAAATC 1693
```

606
```
              1710      1720      1730      1740      1750      1760
        ....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|
JABERT010000007_40026940-40028  ATTTTCACCA-----GAATACCCAATATCCTGATCGTATTTCTATGGCTAGAAGACGCTTCA 1747
JABERT010000007_40042323-40044  ATTTTCACCACTGAATATACCCAATATCCTGATCGTATTTCTATGGCTAGAAGACGTTTCA 1754
```

608 **Supplementary figure S4.** Alignment of the direct repetitive sequences flanking the infectious

609 IHHNV-EVE Cluster 3 located on LG7. A total 1,758 bp were aligned and share 98% identity

610 (1722/1758 bp with 21/1758 nucleotide gaps).