Pachytene karyotypes of 17 species of birds

Anastasia Slobodchikova[1,2,†], Lyubov Malinovskaya[1,2,†], Ekaterina Grishko[1], Inna Pristyazhnyuk[1], Anna

Torgasheva[1,2,*,] Pavel Borodin[1]

[1]Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Department,

Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia.

[2]Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

Corresponding Author: Pavel Borodin. Email: borodin@bionet.nsc.ru

[†]These authors share first authorship

Anastasia Slobodchikova: slobodchikovaAY@bionet.nsc.ru

Lyubov Malinovskaya: l.malinovskaia@g.nsu.ru

Ekaterina Grishko: e.grishko@alumni.nsu.ru

Inna Pristyazhnyuk: iprist@bionet.nsc.ru

Anna Torgasheva: torgasheva@bionet.nsc.ru

Pavel Borodin: borodin@bionet.nsc.ru

**Abstract**

**Background**

To date less than 10% of bird species have been karyotyped. They are rather conservative with

diploid chromosome numbers about 78-80 in most species examined. Immunostaining of meiotic

chromosomes at pachytene stage enables more precise estimates of the number, morphology and

variability of macro- and microchromosomes than conventional analysis of mitotic metaphase

chromosomes does. Analysis of pachytene chromosomes led to discovery of germline-restricted

chromosome (GRC) that was present in germline cells and absent in somatic cells in all 16 species of

passerine birds examined. GRC has not been found in any non-passerine bird.

**Results**

In this study, using immunolocalization of SYCP3, the main protein of the lateral elements of the

synaptonemal complex (SC) and centromere proteins we examined male pachytene karyotypes of sixteen

passerine species and one outgroup species the Common cuckoo *Cuculus canorus* and provided their

idiograms and precise estimates of their diploid chromosome numbers and the numbers of chromosome

arms. We provided the first description of the karyotypes of three species, corrected the published data on

the karyotypes of ten species and confirmed them for four species. The pachytene cells of the Gouldian

finch, Brambling and Common linnet contained heteromorphic bivalents indicating heterozygosity for

inversions or centromere shifts. The European pied flycatcher, Gouldian finch and Domestic canary have

extended centromeres in several macro- and microchromosomes. GRCs of various sizes and shapes were

detected in all passerine species examined. Their chromatin was heavily labeled by anticentromere

antibodies. The lateral elements of the GRC SC varied in their size from the largest to the smallest element

of the pachytene karyotype. They also varied in shape from continuous to fragmented.

Conclusions

All songbirds examined, except the Eurasian skylark, have highly conservative karyotypes, 2n=80-

82+GRC with seven pairs of macrochromosomes and 33-34 pairs of microchromosomes. The interspecies

differences concern the sizes of the macrochromosomes, morphology of the microchromosomes and sizes

of the centromeres. GRC is present in all songbird species examined, varying in size, morphology and SC

structure even between closely related species. This indicates its fast evolution.

**Keywords**

Avian chromosomes, synaptonemal complex, GRC, SYCP3, karyotyping, germline-restricted

chromosome, extended centromeres, chromosome rearrangements

## Background

Birds provide an interesting model to study chromosome evolution. They have undergone rapid speciation and evolved various adaptations to a wide variety of habitats. Yet, their karyotypes (chromosome sets) are very conservative. They are bimodal, i.e. composed of macro- and microchromosomes, the feature inherited from their reptilian ancestors (Romanov et al. 2014; Damas et al. 2018). Diploid chromosome number (2n) of most karyotypes of bird species is about 78–82 (Degrandi et al. 2021). The number of chromosome arms, so called fundamental number (FN), also vary in narrow limits: 90-110. Unfortunately, less than 10% of bird species have been karyotyped. In passerines, the most speciose and diverse order of birds, the portion of karyotyped species is even smaller – 7% (Degrandi et al. 2020). Paradoxically, the karyotype is unknown even in the species whose genomes have been sequenced, annotated and studied in detail, such as the European pied flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca* (Kawakami et al. 2017)*.

Most avian karyotypes have been described in 1960-1970s with the use of conventional methods of chromosome preparation and staining available at that time (Degrandi et al. 2021). Development of the methods of chromosome analysis at the pachytene stage of meiosis provided a rather efficient karyotyping tool (Moses et al. 1977). These methods are based on visualization of synaptonemal complex (SC), the structure that mediates synapsis and recombination of homologous chromosomes. SC is composed of two lateral elements, to which the chromatin loops are attached, and the central element that holds homologous chromosomes together. At the pachytene stage of meiotic prophase, the chromosomes are less compacted than at metaphase (Pigozzi 2016). Therefore, analysis of SC provides higher resolution than the conventional analyses of metaphase chromosomes. This is especially important in the cytogenetics studies of the bimodal karyotypes, because a morphology of the microchromosomes and even their number are rather difficult to assess at the conventional chromosome spreads (Lisachov and Borodin 2016; Kichigin et al. 2018). The SC analysis is particularly efficient in detection of heterozygosity for all types of chromosome rearrangements: inversions, translocations, deletions and duplications. The heterozygotes for

the structural variants and heterogametic organisms produce characteristic heteromorphic SCs (Poorman

et al. 1981; del Priore et al. 2015; Lisachov et al. 2017).

Analysis of pachytene chromosomes led to discovery of germline-restricted chromosome (GRC)

(Pigozzi and Solari 1998). The GRC was present in germline cells and absent in somatic cells in all 16 species

of passerine birds examined. It has not been found in any non-passerine bird (Torgasheva et al. 2019). In

female germ cells GRC usually present in two copies, which synapse and recombine with each other. At the

meiotic prophase, the GRC bivalents are practically indistinguishable from normal autosomal bivalents.

They can only be revealed by a comparative subtractive analysis of pachytene and somatic karyotype. In

male germ cells, GRC is usually present one copy, which forms a univalent at pachytene, easily

distinguishable from the bivalents of the autosomes and ZZ by its thin, coiled and often fragmented SC. The

GRC univalents in male pachytene cells are always surrounded by dense chromatin clouds heavily labeled

by anticentromere antibodies. Among the Passeriformes the GRC varies in size and morphology (Pigozzi

and Solari 1998; Torgasheva et al. 2019, 2021a; Malinovskaya et al. 2020)

In this study, using immunolocalization of SYCP3, main protein of the lateral elements of

synaptonemal complex (SC) and centromere proteins we examined male pachytene karyotypes of sixteen

passerine species with special attention to the GRC and one outgroup species the Common cuckoo *Cuculus*

*canorus*. The source of the specimens is shown in Supplementary Table 1. In each specimen we

photographed, thoroughly examined and measured at least 20 well spread pachytene nuclei containing

complete chromosome sets. The measurements were used to generate idiograms. We compared the

pachytene karyotypes with the karyotypes described earlier and mostly obtained by conventional methods

of chromosome preparation and staining.

**Results**

Figure 1 shows microphotographs of the SC spreads after immunolocalization of SYCP3 (red) and

centromere proteins (blue) of all species examined. Figure 2 shows idiograms of pachytene karyotypes of

the studied species. The haploid chromosome number equal to the number of the SCs (n), the haploid

number of the chromosome arms equal to one for each acrocentric chromosome and to two for each meta- and submetacentric chromosome (Fn), the total SC length and brief description of GRC are shown in the Table 1. The descriptions of the chromosome morphologies are based on the estimates of their centromeric indices. Those with the centromeric index close to 0 is scored as the acrocentrics, between 0 and 0.4 as the submetacentrics, and between 0.4 and 0.5 as the metacentrics. In all species, we identified the seven largest SCs as macro-SC, the others – as micro-SCs. We estimated the size of GRC based on the size of GRC chromatin labeled by anticentromere antibodies and the length of lateral element of GRC SC if it was completely formed. We classified GRCs of size comparable to macrochromosomes of the basic set as macro-GRCs, others – as micro-GRCs. In most species, we found a variation in SC appearance of macro- and micro-GRCs between the cells. It could form complete, fragmented, dot-like lateral element of SC or do not form it at all.

**Table 1.** Haploid chromosome numbers (n), haploid numbers of the chromosome arms (Fn), total SC length of the basic chromosome set and characteristics of GRC of 17 bird species.

| Common name | N | Fn | SC length (µm) | GRC |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Common cuckoo | 40 | 48 | 387±68 | Absent |
| Rook | 40 | 57 | 226±29 | Micro |
| Blyth's reed warbler | 40 | 53 | 297±51 | Macro |
| Eurasian skylark | 40 | 43 | 241±75 | Macro |
| European pied flycatcher | 40 | 55 | 309±47 | Micro |
| Bengalese finch | 40 | 46 | 208±24 | Macro |
| Gouldian finch | 40 | 46 | 238±34 | Micro |
| Pine bunting | 40 | 48 | 216±33 | Micro |
| Common chaffinch | 40 | 48 | 301±38 | Micro |
| Brambling | 40 | 50 | 237±19 | Micro |
| Eurasian bullfinch | 41 | 57 | 209±47 | Macro |
| European greenfinch | 41 | 58 | 209±17 | Micro |
| Common redpoll | 41 | 70 | 287±31 | Macro |
| Domestic canary | 41 | 56 | 302±41 | Micro |
| European goldfinch | 41 | 55 | 220±29 | Micro |
| Common linnet | 41 | 53 | 246±29 | Macro |
| Eurasian siskin | 41 | 50 | 245±66 | Macro |

111 **Figure 1.** Microphotographs of the SC spreads after immunolocalization of SYCP3 (red) and

112 centromere proteins (blue) of all species examined. The inserts show close-up of the GRC. Numbers

113 indicate SCs of the macrochromosomes. Bar—5 µm.

**Figure 2.** Idiograms of pachytene karyotypes without GRCs of the studied species. The *y*-axes show average SC length in μm. The x-axes SCs in decreasing size order. Black circles indicate centromeres. Arrows indicate additional centromeres in the heteromorphic bivalents

**Common cuckoo** *Cuculus canorus*

Somatic karyotype of the Common cuckoo has been described by Bian and Li (1989). They indicated

2n=78. Pachytene karyotype of this species comprises 40 chromosome pairs (2n = 80). Total length of its SC

set is one of the largest among the bird species examined so far. The excess of the SC length is mainly due

to very large metacentric SCs 1 and 2 and submetacentric SC3. Macro-SC4 is metacentric. Macro-SCs 5 and

6 and micro-SCs 8 and 9 are submetacentrics, Macro-SC7 and all other 30 micro-SCs are acrocentrics. The

Common cuckoo as well as all other non-passerine birds studied so far does not have GRC in its pachytene

karyotype.

**Rook** *Corvus frugilegus*

Somatic karyotype of the Rook has not been studied yet. The diploid number of the closely related

species *Corvus corax* is 80 (Roslik and Kryukov 2001). Our results confirm that basic diploid chromosome set

of the Rook is 80. Pachytene karyotype of Rook comprises 40 bivalents and one univalent of a GRC

surrounded by a small cloud of anticentromere antibodies. All of the Rook's macro-SCs are

submetacentrics. Among the micro-SCs, there are ten submetacentrics and twenty-three acrocentrics. In

most pachytene cells, GRC forms dot-like SC while in some cells SC is not formed.

**Blyth's reed warbler** *Acrocephalus dumetorum*

Somatic karyotype of the Blyth's reed warbler remains unknown. The diploid number of the closely

related species *Acrocephalus agricola* is 78 (Bulatova 1981). Pachytene karyotype of the Blyth's reed

warbler comprises 40 chromosome pairs (2n = 80). Its macro-SCs 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 are submetacentrics, SC4

is metacentric, SC5 is acrocentric. Most micro-SCs are acrocentrics. There are one metacentric and six

submetacentric micro-SCs.

GRC in the Blyth's reed warbler occurs as a large acrocentric univalent with fragmented SC

surrounded by a chromatin cloud labeled by anticentromere antibodies. The level of fragmentation varies

between the cells from evenly labeled SC to a dispersed series of the short fragments.

**Eurasian skylark *Alauda arvensis***

The first description of the Eurasian skylark somatic karyotype was provided by Udagawa (1952) on

the basis of histological sections of embryonic testes and ovaries. The spermatogonial 2n was estimated as

78, oogonial as 77. Li and Bian (1988) estimated 2n the Oriental skylark *Alauda gulgula* as 76±. We found

that the pachytene karyotype of the Eurasian skylark contains 40 chromosome pairs (2n =80). In terms of

SC sizes, it is extremely asymmetric. Metacentric SCs 1 and 2 comprise 36% of the total SC length. This is in

agreement with the description of the Alaudidae karyotype by Li and Bian (1988). They described the Z- and

W-chromosomes as the largest elements, metacentric and submetacentric respectively. The 1$^{st}$

macrochromosome was the second largest metacentric.

One of the two largest SCs in the pachytene karyotype of the Eurasian skylark is probably the neo-Z

chromosome. Genomic analysis indicates that Z chromosome of several skylark species resulted from

fusions between parts of the chromosomes Z, 3, 4A and 5. It is the largest sex chromosome found in birds

(about 200 Mb) (Sigeman et al. 2019, 2020). Another exceptionally large chromosome has probably also

evolved via several chromosome fusions. Surprisingly, the chromosome number of the Eurasian skylark is

the same as in most songbirds. This means that the fusions leading to the formation of the two largest

macrochromosomes had been preceded or followed by a series of chromosome fissions. Besides the two

largest macrochromosomes, the karyotype of the Eurasian skylark contains one submetacentric

macrochromosome. All other macrochromosomes and microchromosomes are acrocentrics.

GRC of the Eurasian skylark occurs as a large chromatin cloud heavily labeled with anticentromere

antibodies. It forms thin, pale and fragmented SC.

**European pied flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca***

Genome of the European pied flycatcher has been sequenced, annotated and studied in detail
(Kawakami et al. 2017), yet its karyotype remains unknown. Its close relative *Ficedula parva* contains 40
chromosome pairs (2n=80) (Bian et al. 1991). The pachytene karyotype of the European pied flycatcher
contains 40 bivalents (2n=80). Almost all its macro-SCs are submetacentrics, SC4 is acrocentric, SC5 is
metacentric. We are sure that SC4 is ZZ because analysis of pachytene oocytes of this species revealed
heteromorphic ZW bivalent with acrocentric Z axis (Torgasheva et al. 2021b). Among micro-SCs there are
five metacentrics and four submetacentrics.

**Figure 3.** Extended centromeres of European pied flycatcher (a, b) and Domestic canary males (c, d).
(a, c) Pachytene spermatocytes immunolabeled with antibodies against SYCP3 (red) and H3K9me2/3
(green). (b, d) Somatic metaphase chromosomes stained with DAPI. The arrowheads indicate the six largest
macro-SCs and GRCs. The inserts show close-up of the GRCs. Bar—5 μm.

We observed extended pericentromeric regions in all meta- and submetacentric macro-SCs (but

not in the acrocentric ZZ) and in several largest micro-SCs. They appeared as beads of several dots, which

cover from 9% of SC1 to 41% of SC11/13 (Supplementary Table 2). The antibodies against the histone H3,

di- and trimethylated at lysine 9 (H3K9me2/3), marking transcriptionally inactive chromatin, produced

strong signals at the standard centromeres of the pachytene chromosomes, but not at the extended ones

(Fig. 3a). In the corresponding somatic metaphase chromosomes of this species, we observed extended

primary constrictions (Fig 3b).

GRC in the European pied flycatcher usually appears as a chromatin cloud heavily labeled with

anticentromere and H3K9me2/3 antibodies containing several SC fragments.

**Bengalese finch *Lonchura striata domestica***

Pachytene karyotype of the Bengalese finch comprises 40 chromosome pairs (2n=80). This

coincides with the description given by del Priore and Pigozzi (2014) and differs from the earlier description

of its somatic karyotype as 2n =78 (Takagi 1972; Ray-Chaudhuri 1976; Christidis 1986a). Its SCs 1 and 4 are

metacentrics, SCs 2, 3, 5 and 6 are submetacentrics. All other SCs are acrocentrics.

The Bengalese finch GRC has been first described by del Priore and Pigozzi (2014) and then by

Torgasheva *et al.* (2019). It appears as the largest acrocentric univalent in the pachytene nucleus. We found

a variation in appearance of GRC SC between the cells: from complete lateral element to dot-like SC.

Despite variation in the degree of SC polymerization, the cloud of anticentromere antibodies labeled GRC

was similarly large in all cells, which allowed us to classify GRC of Bengalese finch as macro-GRC in

accordance with previous (del Priore and Pigozzi 2014; Torgasheva et al. 2019)

**Gouldian finch *Erythrura gouldiae***

Christidis (1986a) described 2n of the Gouldian finch as 78. We found 40 chromosome pairs (2n=80)

in pachytene cells of this species. SCs 1 and 2 are metacentrics, SCs 3 to 6 are submetacentrics. Macro-SC7

and all micro-SCs are acrocentrics. SCs 1, 2 and 3 have extended centromeres, similar to those described in

the European pied flycatcher. They occupy around 13-20% of SC length (Supplementary Table 2). In a half

of cells analyzed, these regions are asynapsed whereas all other SCs are completely synapsed (Fig. 1). The

delayed synapsis could be caused by an unusually extended pericentromeric heterochromatin.

We found heteromorphic SCs 4, 5 and 25. SC4 has two centromeres in metacentric and

submetacentric positions. SC5 has two centromeres in different submetacentric positions. SC25 has

centromeres in acrocentric and metacentric positions. GRC appears as a moderately sized chromatin cloud

comparable to the largest microchromosomes labeled with anticentromere antibodies surrounding from

one to three SC fragments.

**Pine bunting *Emberiza leucocephalos***

In the Pine bunting pachytene spermatocytes we observed 40 bivalents (2n=80) while Radzhabli et

al. (1970) and Bulatova (1973) described diploid number of somatic karyotype of this species as 2n=78. The

pachytene karyotype of this species is rather similar to that of the Bengalese finch described above. There

are three differences. SC4 is submetacentric, SC7 is submetacentric and one of the micro-SCs is

metacentric. GRC of the Pine bunting is labeled by a small cloud of anticentromere antibodies. In most

cells, GRC does not form lateral element of SC, in some cells we detected dot-like signal of anti-SYCP3

antibodies.

**Common chaffinch *Fringilla coelebs***

The Common chaffinch is an important model for evolutionary genetic studies. Its high-quality

genome assembly has recently been published (Recuerda et al. 2021). Our estimate of the Common

chaffinch karyotype coincides with earlier published one (2n= 80) (Piccinni and Stella 1070). Its pachytene

karyotype comprises 40 chromosome pairs. Total SC length and the lengths of the macro-SCs in the

Common chaffinch are much longer than in the closely related Pine bunting (Table 1). However, the

morphology of their chromosomes is rather similar except SC1, which is submetacentric in the Common

chaffinch.

**Figure 4** Pachytene spermatocytes of the Common chaffinch (a), Brambling (b) and Common linnet

(c) after immunostaining with antibodies against SYCP3 (red) and H3K9me2/3 (green). The arrowheads

indicate GRCs. The inserts show close-up of the GRCs. Bar—5 µm.

GRC of the Common chaffinch forms dot-like SC labelled by a small cloud of anticentromere and

H3K9me2/3 antibodies. To our surprise, in a half of pachytene spermatocytes (26 out of 49 examined) we

observed a univalent of a small microchromosome. Unlike the GRC, it was not labeled either with

anticentromere or with H3K9me2/3 antibodies (Fig. 4a). We suggest that this univalent was originated by a

premeiotic nondisjunction of one of the microchromosomes.

**Brambling *Fringilla montifringilla***

The somatic karyotype of the Brambling has been described as 2n=78 (Li and Bian 1987). We

observed 40 pairs of chromosomes (2n=80) which were morphologically similar to the pairs detected in the

Common chaffinch. There are few differences. SC6 is metacentric, and two of micro-SCs are metacentric

and one – is submetacentric.

The specimen examined here has heteromorphic SCs 7 and 9. Each of them contains two

centromeres in all cells examined. One homolog of chromosome 7 is submetacentric as in most fringillids

examined here. The other homolog of chromosome 7 is metacentric, which had probably resulted from

pericentric inversion, as well as the metacentric homolog of the acrocentric chromosome 9 typical for the

fringillids.

Similar to the GRC of the Pine bunting, the GRC of the Brambling is labeled by a small cloud of

anticentromere antibodies and does not form lateral element of SC in most analyzed cells. In some cells, we

detected dot-like signal of anti-SYCP3 antibodies.

**Eurasian bullfinch *Pyrrhula pyrrhula***

Li and Bian (1987) described the somatic karyotype of the Eurasian bullfinch as 2n=78. The

pachytene cells of this species contain 41 chromosome pairs (2n=82). All macro-SCs are submetacentric.

Among micro-SCs we observed four metacentric and five submetacentric micro-SCs.

GRC of this species has been described by Torgasheva *et al.* (2019). It forms long acrocentric

univalent evenly labelled by SYCP3 antibodies.

**European greenfinch *Chloris chloris***

Diploid chromosome number of the European greenfinch is 76 according to Hammar and Herlin

(1975) and 80 according to Christidis (1986b). We detected 41 bivalents (2n=82) in pachytene cells. The

morphology of the seven largest macro-SCs is very similar to that described for the Eurasian bullfinch

except the morphology of macro-SCs 3 and 4. They are metacentric in the European greenfinch. The three

smallest macro-SCs and eight largest micro-SCs are submetacentrics. There are also two metacentric micro-

SCs while all the others are acrocentrics.

GRC of the European greenfinch appears as partially formed lateral element of SC comparable in

size to the largest microchromosomes and labelled by anticentromere antibodies.

**Common redpoll *Acanthis flammea***

According to Li and Bian (1987) diploid chromosome number of the Common redpoll is 78.

According to our estimate, it is 82. All macro-SCs are submetacentric. Among micro-SCs, twenty-two are

submetacentrics and twelve are acrocentrics.

The pachytene karyotype of the Common redpoll also contains large acrocentric univalent of GRC

evenly labelled by antibodies to SYCP3. In some cells, SC of GRC was fragmented.

**Domestic canary *Serinus canaria* forma *domestica***

The karyotype of the Domestic canary has been first described by Ohno *et al.* (1964) as 2n=80± and

recently by Da Silva Dos Santos *et al.* (2017) and Kiazim *et al.* (2021) as 80. The Domestic canary genome

has been assembled and annotated but not yet to a chromosome level (Frankl-Vilches et al. 2015).

Pachytene cells of the Domestic canary contain 41 chromosome pairs (2n=82). Its macro-SCs are

larger than those in the fringillids described above, although their morphology is similar. Macro-SC4 is

metacentric, all other macro-SCs are submetacentric. Eight micro-SCs are submetacentrics. All other micro-

SCs of the Domestic canary are acrocentrics.

The Domestic canary shows unusually extended centromeres of macro-SCs and the largest micro-

SCs, similar to that detected in the European pied flycatcher and the Gouldian finch. They were about 2-3

µm long covering about 10% of the SCs 1, 2/3, 4, 6, and about 15% of the SCs 5 and 10/11/12 (Fig. 3c,

Supplementary Table 2). The antibodies against H3K9me2/3 label both the standard and extended

centromeres of the pachytene chromosomes (Fig. 3c). We also detected substantially extended primary

constrictions at the corresponding metaphase chromosomes (Fig. 3d).

GRC of this species has been described by Torgasheva et al. (2019). It appears as a small cloud of

anticentromere antibodies with dot-like lateral element of SC.

**European goldfinch *Carduelis carduelis***

Christidis (1986b) described the somatic karyotype of the European goldfinch as 2n=82. Our data

confirm this diploid chromosome number. We observed 41 bivalents in the pachytene cells of the European

goldfinch. Its seven largest macro-SCs were smaller than those of the Domestic canary, but similar in

morphology. We observed one metacentric and six submetacentric micro-SCs, all other micro-SCs are

acrocentric.

GRC of the European goldfinch has been described by Torgasheva et al. (2019) as micro-GRC. In

most cells, GRC was present as one or two dot-like lateral SC fragments labeled by a small cloud of

anticentromere antibodies. In some cells, GRC formed complete lateral SC element.

**Common linnet *Carduelis cannabina***

Bulatova (1973, 1981) found 82 chromosomes in bone marrow cells of the Common linnet. This is

in a good agreement with our observation. We found 41 bivalents. Pachytene karyotypes of the Common

linnet and the European goldfinch are almost the same. The difference concerns the morphology of the

micro-SCs. The Common linnet has only two homomorphic metacentric and two heteromorphic

metacentric/acrocentric micro-SCs. Micro-SC9 is submetacentric. All other micro-SCs are acrocentrics.

The heteromorphic SCs 12 and 15 contain two centromeres in all cells examined: one in acrocentric

and one in metacentric positions. The metacentric homologs had probably resulted from pericentric

inversions.

GRC of the Common linnet occurs as an acrocentric univalent with fragmented SC labeled by a large

cloud of anticentromere antibodies.

**Eurasian siskin *Spinus spinus***

The diploid chromosome number in the Eurasian siskin was estimated as 78 (Takagi 1972; Bulatova

1973; Li and Bian 1987; Christidis 1990). We found 41 chromosome pairs in the pachytene cells of this

species (2n=82). The morphology of the macro-SCs is rather similar to that described in two fringellid

species above. However, the karyotype of the Eurasian siskin contains only two submetacentric micro-SC,

all other micro-SCs are acrocentrics.

Torgasheva et al. (2019) classified its GRC as macro-GRC. In our spreads, it occurs as a large cloud of

anticentromere antibodies with fragmented SC.

**Discussion**

The main results of this study are:

1) the first description of the karyotypes of three species (the Rook, Blyth's reed warbler and European pied flycatcher),

2) the correction of the published data on the karyotypes of ten songbird species,

3) the first detection of the extended centromeres in three model species (the European pied flycatcher, Gouldian finch and Domestic canary),

4) the first detection of chromosomal polymorphism in three species (the Gouldian finch, Brambling and Common linnet),

5) and detailed characterization of the GRCs of all songbird species examined

Why are we sure that our descriptions of the karyotypes are more precise and reliable than the published data based on conventionally prepared and stained somatic metaphase spreads?

There are at least four reasons for this. First, pachytene chromosomes of birds are about 2-3 times longer than somatic metaphase chromosomes (see Fig.3). Second, each object, which we identified at the microphotography as the bivalent of the basic chromosome set, was simultaneously labeled with two different antibodies: against SYCP3, the main protein of the lateral elements of the SC and against the centromere proteins. Univalents were distinguished from the bivalents by less intense SYCP3 labeling. Therefore a misidentification of the microchromosome as cell debris and the cell debris as a microchromosome was unlikely. Third, these three criteria were applied to at least 30 well spread pachytene cells containing complete chromosome sets. This made our estimates statistically sound. Forth, the bivalents were not just counted, they were measured. This made possible objective estimate of the morphology of the macrochromosomes and, what is more important and almost impossible at the somatic metaphases, the morphology of the microchromosomes.

A comparison between our and previously published chromosome numbers of the examined species shows that the earlier researchers always undercounted one or two chromosome pairs (Table 1).

These errors were due to very small sizes of the smallest microchromosomes and relatively low specificity

of the chromosome dyes. For these reasons, it was almost impossible to estimate the morphology of the

small microchromosomes at the mitotic metaphase spreads. It was believed that of all them were

acrocentric (Christidis 1990). Our data show that this is true for the Common cuckoo, the Eurasian skylark,

and Bengalese finch. Other songbirds have at least one meta- or submetacentric microchromosome, and

most of species have many of them.

Using anticentromere antibodies, we revealed unusual extended centromeres in almost all

macrochromosomes and the largest microchromosomes of three model species (the European pied

flycatcher, Gouldian finch and Domestic canary). They are visible both at the pachytene and mitotic

metaphase spreads of these species. They are also visible at the published images of the Domestic canary

metaphase chromosomes and shown at the idiograms (Da Silva Dos Santos et al. 2017; Kiazim et al. 2021)

but did not attract much attention.

Such long centromeres are rare. They have been detected in few species of legumes (Neumann et

al. 2015, 2016), fire ants (Huang et al. 2016), Indian muntjac (Brinkley et al. 1984) and marsupial hybrids

(Metcalfe et al. 2007). However, they have not yet been described in birds. Robertsonian translocations

and centromere drive have been suggested among the possible causes of the centromere

extension(Brinkley et al. 1984; Huang et al. 2016). The results of H3K9me2/3 immunolocalization indicate a

variation in epigenetic status of the extended centromeres. In the Domestic canary, both extended and

standard centromeres are H3K9me2/3-positive indicating their heterochromatic state. In the European

pied flycatcher, the standard centromeres are H3K9me2/3-positive, while the extended centromeres are

H3K9me2/3-negative. Genetic composition or the extended centromeres deserve special attention.

One more advantage of the SC analysis is its high efficiency in detection of the structural

heterozygosity. In this study, we detected heteromorphic SC in the Gouldian finch, Brambling and Common

linnet. In all cases, the bivalents displayed two misaligned centromeres. This may indicate heterozygosity

either for pericentric inversions or for centromere repositions (centromere shift) (Schubert and Lysak

2011). Both types of chromosome rearrangements are implicated for karyotypic macroevolution of birds

(Zhou et al. 2014; Kiazim et al. 2021). The inversions play an especially important role in restriction of the

gene flow between sympatric and parapatric species (Hooper and Price 2017). However, the intraspecific

polymorphism for inversion is poorly studied in birds. Our findings indicate the targets for the future

studies.

Our study revealed a wide variation in GRC size and appearance. Torgasheva et al. (2019)

suggested to classify them as macro- and micro-GRCs to fit the criteria for macro- and microchromosomes

of the basic set. Indeed, all analyzed chromosomes fell into one of these categories. However, some micro-

GRCs were apparently much smaller than the smallest microchromosomes of the basic set that can be

inferred from the size of the chromatin cloud labelled by anticentromere and H3K9me2/3 antibodies. This

variation and the lack of phylogenetic clustering by size is in accordance with the highly dynamic nature of

GRC (Torgasheva et al. 2019). It was shown that GRCs of different species contain different multiply

repeated sequences, which probably can be accumulated and/or be lost rather quickly (Kinsella et al.

2019).

The SC of GRCs in pachytene spermatocytes of most species examined here appeared

fragmented, however, the degree of fragmentation varied between cells. It is unclear if this feature is

related to the different properties of GRCs in different species (its genetic content, the degree of

heterochromatinization) or to the intercellular and interindividual variation in effectiveness of cohesin

loading and SC polymerization since for most birds studied to date, only one sample was analyzed. Thus,

interindividual variation in GRC appearance was observed in pachytene spermatocytes of the Great tit

(Torgasheva et al. 2021a).The intense chromatin labeling with antibodies to centromere proteins and H3K9-

modified histone allowed us to distinguish between GRC and accidental autosomal univalents.

The results of our study indicate several lines of future research. In order to minimize sacrificing

birds we described the karyotype of most species by single specimen examined. The sample size might be

increased at least for the most interesting species by targeted examination of the somatic karyotypes,

which can be obtained from short-term fibroblast cultures derived from blood or feather pulp.

It seems important to estimate the frequency, geographic distribution and probable adaptive

significance of the inversion polymorphism detected in the Gouldian finch, Brambling and Common linnet,

the species with wide breeding and residence areas.

Another interesting species is the Eurasian skylark with two giant chromosomes. The origin of its

Z/W chromosomes has been resolved (Sigeman et al. 2019, 2020). The genetic content of another giant

chromosome of the Eurasian skylark remains unknown. FISH with universal BAC-probes (Damas et al. 2017)

might shed a light on its origin. The microchromosomes of this species also deserve a close attention,

because despite the fusions of several macrochromosomes in the neo-Z and in another giant chromosome

its chromosome number remains the same as in most songbirds.

The nature, evolution and adaptive significance of the extended centromeres of Gouldian finch,

European pied flycatcher, and Domestic canary are of a special interest. Recent advances in sequencing and

bioinformatic analysis of the repetitive DNA of birds (Weissensteiner and Suh 2019) make possible to

address these questions.

**Methods**

**Specimens**

Two adult males of the European pied flycatcher, European bullfinch and Eurasian siskin and one

adult males of each other species were sampled at the beginning of the breeding season (April-May). The

sources of the material are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The birds were handled and euthanized in

accordance with the approved national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.

**Spermatocyte spreading and immunostaining**

Chromosome spreads were prepared by the drying down method (Peters et al. 1997). Testes were

dissected and placed in an extraction buffer for 30–60 min. Small pieces of testis were macerated in 40 µl

of 0.1M sucrose on a clean glass slide. A drop of fine suspension was dropped at the slide dipped in 1%

paraformaldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich, cat# 158127), pH 9.2. The slides were incubated for 2 h in a

humid chamber, washed in 0.4% Kodak Photo-Flo 200 (Kodak, cat# 742057), dried at room temperature

and kept in sealed containers at -20°C until use.

Immunostaining was performed according to Anderson et al. (Anderson et al. 1999) using rabbit

polyclonal anti-SYCP3 (1:500; Abcam, cat# ab15093), human anticentromere (1:100; Antibodies Inc., cat#

15–234) and mouse monoclonal anti-H3K9me2/3 (1:100, Cell Signaling, cat# 5327) primary antibodies. The

secondary antibodies used were Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch, cat#

111-165-144), AMCA-conjugated donkey anti-human (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch, cat# 709-155-149)

and FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch, cat# 115-095-003). The slides were

incubated overnight with primary antibodies and 1 h with secondary antibodies at 37°C in a humid

chamber. Slides were mounted in Vectashield antifade mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, cat# H-

1000-10, United States).

**Mitotic metaphase chromosome preparations**

The mitotic metaphase chromosomes were obtained from the cell culture of the gonads. The

primary cell cultures were established from the minced gonads, that were successively treated with

100ng/ml Collagenase I (Sigma, cat# SCR103) and 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Sigma, cat# T4174) during 20 min by

every component at 37 °C. The cell cultures were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium

(Gibco, cat# 41965039) supplemented with 10% Fetal bovine serum (Gibco, cat# 10270106), 2% Chicken

serum (Gibco, cat# 16110082), GlutaMAX supplement (TermoFisher, cat# 35050038) and

penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, cat# P0781). Preparation of metaphase chromosomes from the

bird's cell culture was performed at the 2d to 3d passage according modified protocol of chicken

spermatogonial and follicles cell culture (Volkova et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2018). Briefly, the cell culture

was incubated in culture medium with addition of 0.1 µg/ml colchicine for 3 h and collected by trypsine

treatment. The cells were treated with hypotonic solution (0.56% KCl) for 30 min and fixed in 3:1 Methanol:

Glacial Acetic Acid. Slides were mounted in Vectashield antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Vector

Laboratories, cat# H-1200, United States).

**Microscopic image analysis.**

The preparations were visualized with an Axioplan 2 imaging microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a CCD camera (CV M300, JAI), CHROMA filter sets, and the ISIS4 image-processing package (MetaSystems GmbH). In each specimen at least 30 well spread pachytene nuclei containing complete chromosome sets were photographed. Brightness and contrast of all images were enhanced using Corel PaintShop Photo Pro X6 (Corel Corp).

**Chromosome measurements and generation of idiograms.**

The centromeres were identified by anticentromere antibodies. The length of the SC of each chromosome arm was measured in micrometers and the positions of centromeres were recorded using MicroMeasure 3.3 (Reeves 2001). We plotted idiograms after measuring at least 30 cells for each species. In each cell, we sorted SCs by their length in μm, identified those that can be distinguished unambiguously by the length and centromere index (most macrochromosomes in most species) and measured the average of these parameters across all cells. We grouped SCs that could not be unambiguously distinguished by the length and/or centromere indices (most microchromosomes in most species), ranged them within the group and measured the average parameters for each rank. Statistica 6.0 software package (StatSoft) was used for descriptive statistics.

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval**

The birds were handled and euthanized in accordance with the approved national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. All experiments were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS (protocol # 114 of 17 December 2021).

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. These data can be found here:

https://meiosislab.com/projects/chromosomes/17caryo.xls.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Funding**

Data collection and analysis was funded by Russian Science Foundation, grant number 20-64-46021. Microscopy was carried out at the Core Facility for Microscopy of Biologic Objects, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia (regulation no. 3054) and funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, grant numbers 0324-2019-0042 and #2019-0546 (FSUS-2020-0040). The funding bodies play no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

**Authors' contributions**

AT and PB provided the research idea and designed the experiments. LM, AS, EG and IP conducted the experiments, collected the data, finished the data analysis and compiled the results. AT and PB supervised the research and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the Core Facility for Microscopy of Biologic Objects, SB RAS for granting access to microscopic equipment.

**References**

Anderson LK, Reeves A, Webb LM, Ashley T (1999) Distribution of crossing over on mouse synaptonemal

complexes using immunofluorescent localization of MLH1 protein. Genetics 151:1569–1579

Bian X-Z, Li Q-W (1989) Studies on the karyotypes of birds. V. The 20 species of climber birds (Aves). Zool.

Res. 10:309–317

Bian X-Z, Li Q-W, Ning S-X (1991) Studies on the karyotypes of birds. III. 10 species of warblers and 4 species

of flycatchers (Aves). Zool Res 12:215–219

Brinkley BR, Valdivia MM, Tousson A, Brenner SL (1984) Compound kinetochores of the Indian muntjac -

Evolution by linear fusion of unit kinetochores. Chromosoma 91:1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00286479

Bulatova NS (1973) A cytotaxonomic study of three related families of birds: Fringillidae, Emberizidae,

Ploceidae. J Zool Syst Evol Res 11:233–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.1973.tb00144.x

Bulatova NS (1981) A comparative karyological study of Passerine birds. Acta Sci Nat Brno 15:1–44

Christidis L (1986a) Chromosomal evolution within the family Estrildidae (Aves) II. The Lonchurae. Genetica

71:99–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00058692

Christidis L (1986b) Chromosomal evolution in finches and their allies (families: Ploceidae, Fringillidae, and

Emberizidae). Can J Genet Cytol 28:762–769. https://doi.org/10.1139/g86-107

Christidis L (1990) Animal cytogenetics 4: Chordata 3; B, Aves. Animal Cytogenetics. Gebrüder Borntraeger

Da Silva Dos Santos M, Kretschmer R, Frankl-Vilches C, et al (2017) Comparative cytogenetics between two

important songbird, models: The zebra finch and the canary. PLoS One 12:1–13.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170997

Damas J, Kim J, Farré M, et al (2018) Reconstruction of avian ancestral karyotypes reveals differences in the

evolutionary history of macro- and microchromosomes. Genome Biol 19:155.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1544-8

Damas J, O'Connor R, Farré M, et al (2017) Upgrading short-read animal genome assemblies to

chromosome level using comparative genomics and a universal probe set. Genome Res 27:875–884.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.213660.116

Degrandi TM, Barcellos SA, Costa AL, et al (2020) Introducing the Bird Chromosome Database: An overview

of cytogenetic studies in birds. Cytogenet Genome Res 160:199–205.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000507768

Degrandi TM, Barcellos SA, Garnero ADV, et al (2021) Bird Chromosome Database.

https://sites.unipampa.edu.br/birdchromosomedatabase/

del Priore L, Pigozzi MI (2014) Histone modifications related to chromosome silencing and elimination

during male meiosis in Bengalese finch. Chromosoma 123:293–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-

014-0451-3

del Priore L, Pigozzi MI, Priore L, Pigozzi MI (2015) Heterologous synapsis and crossover suppression in

heterozygotes for a pericentric inversion in the Zebra Finch. Cytogenet Genome Res 147:154–160.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000442656

Frankl-Vilches C, Kuhl H, Werber M, et al (2015) Using the canary genome to decipher the evolution of

hormone-sensitive gene regulation in seasonal singing birds. Genome Biol 16:19.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0578-9

Hammar BO, Herlin M (1975) Karyotypes of four bird species of the order Passeriformes. Hereditas 80:177–

184. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1975.tb01517.x

Hooper DM, Price TD (2017) Chromosomal inversion differences correlate with range overlap in passerine

birds. Nat Ecol Evol 1:1526–1534. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0284-6

Huang Y-C, Lee C-C, Kao C-Y, et al (2016) Evolution of long centromeres in fire ants. BMC Evol Biol 16:189.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-016-0760-7

Kawakami T, Mugal CF, Suh A, et al (2017) Whole-genome patterns of linkage disequilibrium across

flycatcher populations clarify the causes and consequences of fine-scale recombination rate variation

in birds. Mol Ecol 26:4158–4172. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14197

Kiazim LG, O'Connor RE, Larkin DM, et al (2021) Comparative mapping of the macrochromosomes of eight

avian species provides further insight into their phylogenetic relationships and avian karyotype

evolution. Cells 10:362. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10020362

Kichigin IG, Lisachov AP, Giovannotti M, et al (2018) First report on B chromosome content in a reptilian

species: the case of Anolis carolinensis. Mol Genet Genomics 0:0. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-

018-1483-9

Kinsella CM, Ruiz-Ruano FJ, Dion-Côté A-M, et al (2019) Programmed DNA elimination of germline

development genes in songbirds. Nat Commun 10:5468. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13427-4

Li Q, Bian X (1987) The study of bird karyotypes I: Fringillidae (Aves). Zool Res 4:387–392

Li X, Bian Q (1988) Studies on the karyotypes of birds Ⅱ The 19 species of 12 families of Passerine

birds.(Passeriformes, Aves). Zool Res 9:321–326.

Lisachov AP, Borodin PM (2016) Microchromosome polymorphism in the sand lizard, Lacerta agilis

Linnaeus, 1758 (Reptilia, Squamata). Comp Cytogenet 10:387–399.

https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v10i3.7655

Lisachov AP, Trifonov VA, Giovannotti M, et al (2017) Heteromorphism of "homomorphic sex chromosomes

in two anole species (Squamata, Dactyloidae) revealed by synaptonemal complex analysis. Cytogenet

Genome Res 151:89–95. https://doi.org/10.1159/000460829

Malinovskaya LP, Zadesenets KS, Karamysheva T V, et al (2020) Germline-restricted chromosome (GRC) in

the sand martin and the pale martin (Hirundinidae, Aves): synapsis, recombination and copy number

variation. Sci Rep 10:1058. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58032-4

Metcalfe CJ, Bulazel K V., Ferreri GC, et al (2007) Genomic instability within centromeres of interspecific

marsupial hybrids. Genetics 177:2507–2517. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.082313

Moses MJ, Slatton GH, Gambling TM, Starmer CF (1977) Synaptonemal complex karyotyping in

spermatocytes of the Chinese hamster (Cricetulus griseus). III. Quantitative evaluation. Chromosoma

60:345–375

Neumann P, Pavlíková Z, Koblížková A, et al (2015) Centromeres off the hook: Massive changes in

centromere size and structure following duplication of cenh3 gene in fabeae species. Mol Biol Evol

32:1862–1879. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv070

Neumann P, Schubert V, Fuková I, et al (2016) Epigenetic histone marks of extended meta-polycentric

centromeres of lathyrus and pisum chromosomes. Front Plant Sci 7:234.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00234

Ohno S, Stenius C, Christian LC, et al (1964) Chromosomal uniformity in the avian subclass Carinatae.

Chromosoma 15:280–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00321513

Peters AH, Plug AW, van Vugt MJ, de Boer P (1997) A drying-down technique for the spreading of

mammalian meiocytes from the male and female germline. Chromosom Res 5:66–68.

https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1018445520117

Piccinni E, Stella M (1070) Some avian karyograms. Caryologia 23:189–202

Pigozzi MI (2016) The chromosomes of birds during meiosis. Cytogenet Genome Res 150:128–138.

575 https://doi.org/10.1159/000453541

576 Pigozzi MI, Solari AJ (1998) Germ cell restriction and regular transmission of an accessory chromosome that

577 mimics a sex body in the zebra finch, Taeniopygia guttata. Chromosom Res 6:105–113.

578 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009234912307

579 Poorman PA, Moses MJ, Davisson MT, Roderick TH (1981) Synaptonemal complex analysis of mouse

580 chromosomal rearrangements. III. Cytogenetic observations on two paracentric inversions.

581 Chromosoma 83:419–429

582 Radzhabli SI, Panov EN, N.S. B (1970) Comparative studies of the karyotype of two closely related species of

583 buntings (Emberiza citrinella and E. leucocephalos) hybridized in areas of overlap. Zool Zh 49:1857–

584 1863

585 Ray-Chaudhuri R (1976) Cytotaxonomy and chromosome evolution in Passeriformes (Ayes): A comparative

586 karyotype study of seventeen species. J Zool Syst Evol Res 14:299–320.

587 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.1976.tb00528.x

588 Recuerda M, Vizueta J, Cuevas-Caballé C, et al (2021) Chromosome-level genome assembly of the common

589 chaffinch (Aves: Fringilla coelebs): a valuable resource for evolutionary biology. Genome Biol Evol

590 13:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab034

591 Reeves A (2001) MicroMeasure: a new computer program for the collection and analysis of cytogenetic

592 data. Genome 44:439–443

593 Romanov MN, Farré M, Lithgow PE, et al (2014) Reconstruction of gross avian genome structure,

594 organization and evolution suggests that the chicken lineage most closely resembles the dinosaur

595 avian ancestor. BMC Genomics 15:1060. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-1060

596 Roslik G V., Kryukov AP (2001) A karyological study of some corvine birds (Corvidae, Aves). Russ J Genet

597 37:796–806. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016703127516

598 Schubert I, Lysak MA (2011) Interpretation of karyotype evolution should consider chromosome structural

constraints. Trends Genet. 27:207–216

Sigeman H, Ponnikas S, Chauhan P, et al (2019) Repeated sex chromosome evolution in vertebrates

supported by expanded avian sex chromosomes. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 286:.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2051

Sigeman H, Ponnikas S, Hansson B (2020) Whole-genome analysis across 10 songbird families within

Sylvioidea reveals a novel autosome–sex chromosome fusion. Biol Lett 16:20200082.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2020.0082

Takagi N (1972) A comparative study of the chromosome replication in 6 species of birds. Japanese J Genet

47:115–123. https://doi.org/10.1266/jjg.47.115

Torgasheva AA, Malinovskaya LP, Zadesenets KS, et al (2019) Germline-restricted chromosome (GRC) is

widespread among songbirds. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:11845–11650.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1817373116

Torgasheva A, Malinovskaya L, Zadesenets K, et al (2021a) Germline restricted chromosome (GRC) in

female and male meiosis of the great tit (Parus major, Linnaeus, 1758). Front Genet 12:2008.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.768056

Torgasheva A, Malinovskaya L, Zadesenets KS, et al (2021b) Highly conservative pattern of sex chromosome

synapsis and recombination in Neognathae Birds. Genes (Basel) 12:1358.

https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12091358

Udagawa T (1952) Karyogram Studies in Birds, I: Chromosomes of five passeres. Cytologia (Tokyo) 17:311–

316. https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.17.311

Volkova NA, Korzhikova S V, Kotova TO, et al (2016) Isolation and characterization of rooster (Gallus gallus)

spermatogonia. Sel'skokhozyaistvennaya Biol 51:450–458.

https://doi.org/10.15389/agrobiology.2016.4.450eng

Weissensteiner MH, Suh A (2019) Repetitive DNA: The dark matter of avian genomics. In: Avian Genomics

in Ecology and Evolution. pp 93–150

Zhao D, Leghari IH, Li J, et al (2018) Isolation and culture of chicken growing follicles in 2- and 3-dimensional

models. Theriogenology 111:43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2018.01.012

Zhou Q, Zhang J, Bachtrog D, et al (2014) Complex evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes across bird

taxa. Science (80- ) 346:1246338–1246338. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246338

**Supplementary materials**

632    Supplementary Table 1. Sources of the birds examined

| Common name | Source |
| --- | --- |
| Common cuckoo | Provided by Bird Rehabilitation Centre after fatal accident trauma |
| Rook | Provided by Bird Rehabilitation Centre after fatal accident trauma |
| Blyth's reed warbler | Trapped in Novosibirsk |
| Eurasian skylark | Trapped in Novosibirsk |
| European pied flycatcher | Trapped in Novosibirsk |
| Bengalese finch | Purchased a commercial breeder |
| Gouldian finch | Purchased a commercial breeder |
| Pine bunting | Trapped in Novosibirsk |
| Common chaffinch | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Brambling | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Eurasian bullfinch | Provided by Bird Rehabilitation Centre after fatal accident trauma |
| European greenfinch | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Common redpoll | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Domestic canary | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| European goldfinch | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Common linnet | Purchased from a commercial breeder |
| Eurasian siskin | Trapped in Novosibirsk |

**Supplementary Table 2** Size of expanded centromeres

| Species | SC rank | SC length, μm | Centromere length, μm | Centromere length, % |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Flycatcher | SC1 | 26.2±1.9 | 2.3±0.4 | 8.6 |
| | SC2 | 20.3±2.3 | 2.2±0.7 | 11.2 |
| | SC3 | 21.9±3.9 | 2.5±0.4 | 11.6 |
| | SC5 | 15.0±1.9 | 3.9±0.7 | 25.9 |
| | SC6/7 | 13.4±1.6 | 2.1±0.6 | 15.4 |
| | SC8/10 | 8.8±1.5 | 2.3±0.4 | 26.3 |
| | SC11/13 | 6.8±0.4 | 2.8±0.3 | 41.3 |
| Canary | SC1 | 34.7±5.2 | 3.5±0.8 | 10.1 |
| | SC2/3 | 27.3±5.7 | 3.2±0.8 | 11.7 |
| | SC4 | 24.0±3.7 | 2.3±0.4 | 9.4 |
| | SC5 | 19.1±4.6 | 3.0±0.8 | 15.6 |
| | SC6 | 17.1±5.5 | 1.4±0.3 | 8.2 |
| | SC10/11/12 | 9.5±1.8 | 1.7±0.3 | 17.8 |
| Gouldian finch | SC1 | 27.9±4.4 | 3.6±0.6 | 13.6 |
| | SC2 | 22.5±3.6 | 4.4±0.6 | 19.8 |
| | SC3 | 22.3±3.7 | 3.8±0.7 | 17.1 |