**Title:** A comparison of fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentration and gut microbiota diversity in bonobos (*Pan paniscus*)

**Authors:** Alexana J. Hickmott[1,2], Klaree J. Boose[1], Monica L. Wakefield[3], Colin M. Brand[4,5], J. Josh Snodgrass[1,6], Nelson Ting[1,7], Frances J. White[1]

**Affiliations:**[1]Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon, [2]Population Health, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, [3]Sociology, Anthropology, and Philosophy, Northern Kentucky University, [4]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, [5]Bakar Computational Health Sciences Institute, University of California, San Francisco, [6]Global Health Biomarker Lab, University of Oregon, [7] Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Oregon

**Corresponding Author:** Alexana J. Hickmott, ahickmott@txbiomed.org

GenBank information, SUBID = SUB10902613, BioProject = PRJNA794787, BioSample = SAMN24657338; Accession = KFUK00000000, and Organism = gut metagenome to find the data.

**Acknowledgments**

We want to thank the following people without whom this work would not be possible: Jef Dupain, Hugues Akpona, and the African Wildlife Foundation, Dipon Bomposo, Beken Bompoma, Teddy Bofaso, Christian Djambo, Mathieu Esaola, Bellevie Iyambe, Augustin Lofili, Isaac Lokoli, Gedeon Lokofo, Thomas Lokuli, Joel Bontambe, Abdulay Bokela, Papa Siri, Paco Bertolani, Ian Takaoka, and many others who generously provided both logistical support and friendship in the field. Additionally, we want to thank Diana Christie and the Molecular Anthropology laboratory group members for their helpful discussions through all stages of this research.

**Funding Sources**

We would like to acknowledge the many funding agencies that have supported this research. The 2017 field season was funded thanks to a grant from the Leakey Foundation to MLW, grants, and fellowships from Northern Kentucky University to MLW, a Professional Development grant from a National Geographic grant to CMB (WW-099ER-17), and a Global Oregon Award to CMB. Grants and fellowships funded the lab work from Northern Kentucky University to MLW, a University of Oregon Gary Smith Summer Development grant, a University of Oregon Department of Anthropology Research grant to AJH, and a University of Oregon Faculty Research Award to FJW.

**Graphical Abstract:** See PDF

*Legend:* We compared the bonobo gut microbiota to fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations (FGMC). FGMC did not explain alpha diversity, but FGMC explained ~1.5% of the variation in beta diversity.

**Abstract**

Sex, age, diet, stress, and social environment have all been shown to influence the gut microbiota. In several mammals, including humans, increased stress is related to decreasing gut microbial diversity and may differentially impact specific taxa. Recent evidence from gorillas shows fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentration (FGMC) did not significantly explain gut microbial diversity, but it was significantly associated with the abundance of the family Anaerolineaceae. These patterns have yet to be examined in other primates, like bonobos (*Pan paniscus)*. We compared FGMC to 16S rRNA amplicons for 201 bonobo fecal samples collected

across five months to evaluate the impact of stress, measured with FGMC, on the gut microbiota. Alpha diversity measures (Chao's and Shannon's indexes) were not significantly related to FGMC. FGMC explained 0.08% of the variation in beta diversity for Jensen-Shannon and 1.27% for weighted UniFrac but was not significant for unweighted UniFrac. We found that genus *SHD-231*, a member of the family Anaerolinaceae had a significant positive relationship with FGMC. These results suggest that bonobos are relatively similar to gorillas in alpha diversity and family Anaerolinaceae responses to FGMC, but different from gorillas in beta diversity. Members of the family Anaerolinaceae may be differentially affected by FGMC across great apes. FGMC appears to be context dependent and may be species-specific for alpha and beta diversity but this study provides an example of consistent change in two African apes. Thus, the relationship between physiological stress and the gut microbiome may be difficult to predict, even among closely related species.

**Keywords:** microbiota, stress, bonobo, fecal glucocorticoid metabolites, cortisol

**Introduction**

The gastrointestinal tract (gut) microbiota play essential roles in host nutrition and health

across mammals [1]–[3]. Perturbations and dysbiosis to gut microbial communities have been

linked to diseases like obesity, diabetes, irritable bowel disorders, and certain cancers in humans

[4]–[8]. At the same time, physiological stress, hereafter referred to as stress, has been found to

have a negative effect on the gastrointestinal tract and associated microbiota [9]. The definition

of stress is a threat to homeostasis, which can be acute or chronic [10]. Chronic stress is stress

that is experienced over a longer time frame (e.g., days to years), while acute stress often only

lasts for several minutes to hours [11], [12]. As used in the human and non-human primate

(NHP) literature, stress often refers to psychosocial stress or stress caused by a response to social

stimuli that disrupts the normal physiological equilibrium. We will be using stress to refer to

chronic stress, including psychosocial stress and other types of stress like dietary stress.

However, determining the cause of stress can be difficult, and a very fine level of both

behavioral and biomarker data is needed to determine the source of stress. Therefore, we will be

only referring to chronic stress broadly when saying stress. Stress has been linked to dysbiosis,

or a disruption to the homeostasis of the microbial community, in the gut microbiota in several

mammalian taxa, including humans [13]–[17], and has also been implicated in mediating the

communication between a host and their commensal gut microbiota [18], [19]. The

gastrointestinal tract and its associated microbiota play essential roles in host nutrition and health

across mammals [1]–[3].

Perturbations and dysbiosis to gut microbial communities have been linked to diseases

like obesity, diabetes, irritable bowel disorders, and certain cancers in humans [4]–[8]. At the

same time, stress has been found to have a negative effect on the gastrointestinal tract and

associated microbiota for humans in the clinical setting [9]. Stress hormones have been

proposed as a mechanism for communication along the gut-brain axis [15]. The gut-brain axis is

a bidirectional signaling pathway between the brain and the gut that is potentially mediated by

gut microbiota [20]. For example, in humans, stress has been linked to a decrease in the number

of species found in the gut microbiota [9]. Additionally, evidence in humans suggests a link

between stress, the gut microbiota, and immune system function [21]. This evidence from

humans is also recapitulated in laboratory models [11], [22]–[25]. In germ-free rats, the lack of a

gut microbial community increases a rat's behavioral and endocrine stress response [22]. Other

lab studies in mice have linked depression symptoms, anorexia, and cancer to increased stress

levels and disruptions in the murine gut microbiota [24], [26], [27].

Stress and the gut microbiota has primarily been examined in laboratory models, with

very few studies looking at wild living mammals [15], [25]. In wild-living eastern grey squirrels,

physiological indicators of stress were measured in conjunction with gut microbial composition,

and physiological stress better explained gut microbiota diversity, or metrics that summarize how

abundant and the types of bacterial and archaeal species that are in a microbial community,

compared to environmental factors [28], [29]. A recent study on pangolins found those raised in

captivity had higher microbial diversity associated with lower stress than those rescued from the

wildlife trade [30]. In elephants, a stressful event such as translocation appears to induce

alterations to the microbiome in taxa Planococcacea. Clostridiaceae, Spirochaetaceae, and

Bacteroidia increased after the elephants shifted to living in a captive environment [31].

Research into glucocorticoid concentration in rhinos found that about 10% of taxa were related

to glucocorticoid concentrations with Aerococcaceae, Atopostipes, Carnobacteriaceae, and

Solobacterium differentially increased [32]. Therefore, there seems to be a general mammalian

pattern that suggests higher stress is associated with increases in specific taxa. However, this

pattern has not been well-studied across mammals, and the specific taxa that increase seem to

vary widely across mammalian groups.

Amongst NHPs, great apes exhibit similar psychosocial and ecological pressures making

them an excellent model to understand how stress and the gut microbiome co-vary [33]–[35].

The mammalian pattern of higher stress being associated with a more disrupted gut microbiome

[28], [30], [31], [36] was not found in a study of wild-living western lowland gorillas (*Gorilla*

*gorilla gorilla*) [10]. Vlčková et al. (2018) found no relationship between alpha and beta

diversity measures and proximate stress measures in this species. Vlčková and colleagues also

found a positive correlation between proximate measures of stress and relative abundance of

three different gut microbial taxa (family Anaerolineaceae, genus *Clostridium* cluster XIVb and

genus *Oscillibacter*), suggesting that stress is associated with increases in certain types of

bacteria within the gastrointestinal tract [10]. These results from western lowland gorillas

indicate stress may not have as significant an effect on NHP gut microbial diversity but follows

the mammalian trend of having specific taxa differentially abundant with increasing stress.

Bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) and gorillas face similar social stressors in that they both are group-

living great apes experiencing affiliative and aggressive interactions with conspecifics [37]. They

also tend to live in mixed age and mixed-sex groups, though in western lowland gorillas, there is

typically only one male [38]–[43]. Whether all NHPs have the same taxa that increase with

increasing stress and whether they show similar stability in how the diversity of the gut

microbiota remains stable has yet to be determined. Additionally, the amounts and effects of

stress hormones vary across different groups of mammals and NHPs and may be different in

closely related taxa, like gorillas and bonobos.

Stress hormones, specifically glucocorticoids such as cortisol, are considered the

principal chemical compounds involved in the stress system of mammals, including NHPs [18],

[44]. Glucocorticoids are a type of steroid hormone that fall into the class of corticosteroids, and

cortisol, the primary mammalian stress hormone, is one type of glucocorticoid involved in the

stress response [18]. Once the adrenal gland excretes cortisol, it is broken down and metabolized

[45]. These metabolites are then excreted through saliva, feces, and urine and can be

incorporated into tissues like nails and hair [46]–[49]. These metabolites in feces are referred to

as FGMC, and are known to be related to chronic stress rather than acute stress [50], [51].

Therefore, FGMC are capturing the stress an individual experienced in the preceding forty-eight

hours before a fecal sample was collected [52]. It is of note that sometimes low stress can be an

indicator of suppression of the stress response as well as low stress. The relationship between the

gut microbiota and hormonal systems has far-reaching implications for host physiology [53].

Nevertheless, how a host's gut microbiota responds to various stress-based fluctuations during

short-term variation in stress remains to be examined in many NHPs, like bonobos.

Stress has been studied in wild-living bonobos as it relates to sociality and socio-sexual

behavior [33], [46], [54], [55]. Bonobos are female bonded, male philopatric, and exhibit a

fission-fusion social system, and this social structure may contribute to the sex-based patterns in

FGMC [39], [40]. Sex differences in bonobo stress have been quantified in inter-group

encounters with both females and males exhibiting higher cortisol during intergroup encounters

but with males having overall higher levels of cortisol [56]. Additionally, captive bonobos

exhibited a similar pattern where the single male had overall higher cortisol levels than the five

other females [57]. These physiological patterns and social structure more likely emulate that of

the *Pan-Homo* common ancestor as compared to gorillas making bonobos an ideal model in

which to study stress and the microbiome.

We aim to use bonobos as a model to test patterns of NHP stress and gut microbiota. We

predict that bonobo gut microbiota will exhibit a pattern similar to what was found in western

lowland gorillas because gorillas and bonobos live in a similar social and ecological

environment. Gorillas and bonobos have been hypothesized to use similar resources, like

terrestrial herbaceous vegetation, perhaps buffering dietary stress for both great ape populations,

unlike chimpanzees [58], [59]. Bonobos and gorillas may have similar gut microbiota because

they are both great ape species or because of similar environments. Bonobos and gorillas

diverged 8-19 million years ago and, therefore, may exhibit differences due to phylogenetic

differences [60], [61]. However, the gut microbiota may alternatively have similar responses to

stress due to these ecological similarities between bonobos and gorillas. If they are very

different, then it suggests that ecological environments are not the important factor in a gut

microbiota's response to FGMC. We predict that alpha diversity, or within individual diversity,

will not be significantly related to FGMC. We predict that beta diversity, or between individual

diversity, will not be significantly related to FGMC. We expect to find several taxa's abundance,

specifically family Anaerolineaceae, genus *Clostridium* cluster XIVb and genus *Oscillibacter,* to

be significantly explained by FGMC.

**Methods**

*Study Site and Sample Collection*

The research site was the Iyema field camp, located in the Lomako-Yokokala Faunal

Reserve just north of the Lomako river at (00°55) North, 21°06) East) in the Democratic

Republic of Congo (DRC). The site was mainly covered by primary forest in *terra firma* soil

with swamps [55], [62].[55], [62]. We followed bonobos to their night nests for data collection

as part of the African Wildlife Foundation's habituation efforts from June 2017 to October 2017.

Night nest locations were marked, and each nesting site was revisited the following day. We

identified each bonobo as it exited the nest and collected approximately five grams of fecal

sample into 50 mL tubes with 10 ml of RNALater for each individual in the nesting party [63].

While there is some debate about the effectiveness of different sample preservation methods for

examining gut microbiomes [62]-[68], there is no clear present consensus. We used RNAlater

here due to field site remoteness and downstream host genetic analyses.

The samples were stored in a cool, dry place from June- October 2017 until shipped to

the Ting Laboratory at the University of Oregon. They were then stored in a minus 20°C freezer

until extraction. The remainder of each fecal sample not collected in RNALater was brought

back to camp, dried using a camp stove, and placed into bags with desiccant for FGMC analysis.

Thus, for each fecal sample, we can obtain data on gut microbiota composition and diversity and

FGMC. We collected 218 paired fecal samples.

*Data Collection*

*ELISA assays - FGMC*

To evaluate FGMC, we analyzed 218 dried fecal samples in the Global Health Biomarker

Laboratory at the University of Oregon using ELISA assays to quantify cortisol as a measure of

FGMC. We used the Arbor Assay's DetectX® Cortisol Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (Arbor Assay's

DetectX® cat. no. K003-H5W), as it is designed to be used on dried fecal samples and was

previously validated for bonobos  [71], [72]. We included known controls provided for

Cincinnati Zoo bonobos (N=5) for each plate run. Fecal samples were ground to a powder using

a mortar and pestle, weighed out to the protocol's recommended ≥ 0.2 g. of fecal material,

avoiding any plant or partially digested food material. Samples were then diluted (1:4) in assay

buffer. The kit manufacturer reported the detection limit for this assay as 45.4 pg/mL. To control

for shifts in circadian rhythm for FGMC, we used those samples collected at the same time of

day, specifically in the morning, under night nests, to ensure all bonobo samples were from

approximately the same time point. All plates were read using a BioTek microplate reader and

analyzed with Gen5 software version 2.0. For the FGMC controls, 100 µl aliquots of assay

buffer were divided into seven aliquots. We then spiked six of the aliquots with 100 µl of

standards such that each aliquot of the sample received one of the six concentrations of standard

(1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.2 pg/mL), and one aliquot was left neat following the kit

protocol to produce a standard curve. Both the spiked and neat aliquots were assayed according

to kit instructions.

*16S sequencing – gut microbiota composition*

We used the 218 RNALater preserved fecal samples to extract, amplify, and sequence

microbial DNA. Total genomic DNA was extracted from each fecal sample using the QIAamp

PowerFecal DNA kit (QIAGEN) in the Ting Lab at the University of Oregon. Negative controls

were included in extraction batches to test for contamination. DNA extracts were quantified

using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit protocol using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Samples containing at least 1.0 ng/µl were sent for amplification and sequencing of

the V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA using 515F/806R primers at the

Genomics and Cell Characterization Core Facility at the University of Oregon following

previously published methods [73], [74]. Barcoded amplicons were sequenced on a 150 PE V3

run on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The resulting sequences were

demultiplexed and denoised using DADA2, and amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were

assigned using the Green Genes database. Quality filtering and assembly were done using the

QIIME2 pipeline for microbial analyses [75, p. 2]. The ASVs table was created for samples

rarified to an average sampling depth of 79,058 reads per sample. We removed samples below

315 and above 100,000 reads per sample which accounted for 8.7% of the original dataset. We

retained 202 samples for a total of 26,010,213 reads. Negative control samples were sequenced

for each extraction, PCR, and library preparation. Any ASVs that appeared in these negative

controls were removed from the 202 samples in the R package 'decontam' using the prevalence

and frequency methods [76].

*Data analysis*

We tested sex, age, whether a female had an infant, and fecal glucocorticoid metabolite

concentration (FGMC) as our predictor variables with bonobo gut microbiota composition and

diversity as the response variable. We included these variables because they are associated with

differences in FGMC and gut microbiota [77]–[81]. Statistics were run in R version 4.0.2 [82].

We included sample ID as a random effect in our models [83]. We estimate 26-38 individuals

sampled with an estimated resampling rate of 2-11 times during the data collection period based

on observations of individuals and previously published estimates for Iyema [84]. We calculated

two measures of alpha diversity, Shannon's and Chao's diversity indices, using the 'vegan'

package [85]. We ran two-way ANOVAs against the predictor variables, against sex (N = 55)

and age (N=59), sex (N = 55) and whether a female had an infant (N=59), and age (N=59) and

whether a female had an infant (N=59). We ran linear regressions for FGMC (N=202) against

Shannon's index and Chao's index to study alpha diversity or within individual diversity (Table

1). To examine the relationship between FGMC and bonobo gut microbiota beta diversity, we

ran a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with 999 permutations

using the 'adonis2' function in the R package [85]. PERMANOVAs use the calculated beta

diversity for the Jensen-Shannon Distance, weighted UniFrac, and unweighted UniFrac

dissimilarity matrices taking the model predictors FGMC, sex, age, and whether or not a female

had an infant sequentially (n=202) (Table 1). We used Jensen-Shannon's Distance because it is

useful for examining compositional differences [86], [87]. Weighted UniFrac is a metric used to

detect differences based on commonly abundant taxa. At the same time, unweighted UniFrac is

better at detecting differences in rare or non-abundant taxa in a community. [88]. It is of note that

PERMANOVAs factor in the order in which variables are entered into the model, therefore we

ran this with the factors in different orders and found that the pattern of significance stayed

consistent despite the order of the predictor variables. We also ran Mantel tests on the log

transformed FGMC values and the three beta dissimilarity matrices. We also ran abundance

models on our filtered data, and ran 302 taxa using the ANCOM R package to test whether a

member of the gut microbiota varies with high (21,540 – 7,115 ng/pl and low concentrations

(1,073 – 7,115 ng/pl) of FGMC (N=202) [55], [89]. The cut-off was used because ANCOM

requires a categorical variable of about equal sample sizes to run. All models were run with

FGMC as a continuous variable except the ANCOM results which required the FGMC values to

be coded as high or low. We then subsetted out the significant ASVs and verified with general

linear model that those significant taxa had a linear relationship with FGMC.

*Table 1. Predictor variables included in analysis and collection method.*
*Samples sizes are in parentheses.*

| Predictor variable | Factor levels | Collection method | Lab analysis |
|---|---|---|---|
| FGMC | Continuous value (202) | Non-invasive fecal sample collection | ELISA assays to quantify cortisol |
| Sex | Male (24), female (31) | Behavioral observations corroborated with genetic sexing assay | Sexing assay |
| Age | Adult (48), sub-adult (4), juvenile (5), infant (2) | Behavioral observations | -- |
| Infant (Whether or not a female had an infant) | Yes (10), no (49) | Behavioral observations | -- |

**Results**

*Alpha diversity*

Bonobo's fecal microbiome had similar alpha diversity regardless of FGMC levels, their

sex, age or whether a female had an infant. Shannon's and Chao's indexes were not significantly

related to FGMC (Figure 1). The ANOVA results were all not significant for Shannon's and

Chao's diversity indices against sex (Shannon: p-value = 0.919; Chao's: p-value = 0.488), age

(Shannon: p-value = 0.955; Chao's: p-value = 0.699), and whether or not a female had an infant

(Shannon: p-value = 0.912; Chao's: p-value = 0.521) (Figure 2).

Bonobo's FGMC did not change due to sex, age, and whether or not a female had an

infant. We found no significant interaction between FGMC and sex (Shannon: p-value = 0.131;

Chao's: p-value = 0.510), age (Shannon: p-value = 0.143; Chao's: p-value = 0.459), and whether

or not a female had an infant (Shannon: p-value = 0.131; Chao's: p-value = 0.487) for both alpha

diversity metrics when run in two-way ANOVA with an interaction effect. FGMC values had

mean 7529 ng/pl ± 266.55 ng/pl and did not significantly differ by sex (p-value = 0.387), age (p-

value = 0.17), and whether or not a female had an infant (p-value = 0.144).

*Beta diversity*

Bonobo FGMC significantly explained a small amount of variation in beta diversity for

two of the three beta diversity metrics, Jensen-Shannon's Distance and weighted UniFrac. Beta

diversity did not significantly relate to FGMC for unweighted UniFrac. The PERMANOVA

results for FGMC showed that it explained 0.08% of the variation in beta diversity for the

Jensen-Shannon Distance (Table 2). The PERMAOVA for the weighted UniFrac dissimilarity

matrix found FGMC explained 1.27% (Figure 3; Table 2). The PERMANOVA results for

FGMC showed that the unweighted UniFrac dissimilarity matrix did not significantly explain

variation in beta diversity, but it was suggestive of being significant at a p-value = 0.06 (Table

2).

Mantel test showed that there was a significant agreement between the FGMC Euclidian

distance matrix and the Jensen-Shannon Distance matrix showing that large difference in FGMC

value were associated with high values of dissimilarity in Jensen-Shannon Distance (Observed

value: 0.09, p-value = 0.02) (Figure 4).  The Mantel test for weighted UniFrac (p-value = 0.28)

and unweighted UniFrac (p-value =0.17).

240
241    *Table 2. PERMANOVA results for Jensen-Shannon Distance (JSD), weighted UniFrac, and*

242    *unweighted UniFrac against FGMC, sex, age, and whether or not a female had an infant.*

| | *df* | Sums of Squares | Mean Squares | *F-value* | $R^2$ | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **JSD** | | | | | | |
| FGMC | 1, 195 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 1.77 | 0.01 | 0.003** |
| Sex | 2, 195 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.54 |
| Age | 3, 195 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.56 |
| Infant | 2, 195 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.95 | 0.004 | 0.56 |
| **weighted UniFrac** | | | | | | |
| FGMC | 1, 195 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 2.57 | 0.01 | 0.03 * |
| Sex | 2, 195 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.52 |
| Age | 3, 195 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.72 |
| Infant | 2, 195 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.91 | 0.004 | 0.40 |
| **unweighted UniFrac** | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FGMC | 1, 195 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 1.98 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| Sex | 2, 195 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.65 |
| Age | 3, 195 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.50 |
| Infant | 2, 195 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.87 | 0.004 | 0.47 |

*Analysis of community variance (ANCOM) results*

Bonobos have 17 taxa whose abundance was explained by FGMC after Bonferroni

correction out of 302 taxa tested (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). We found 15 of the

significant ASVs had a positive linear relation with FGMC while two significant ASVs *RFN20*

*and Butyrivibrio*, had a negative linear relation with FGMC.

*Table 3. Taxa from ANCOM results were significantly related to FGMC after Bonferroni correction. W is equal to the number of times the log-ratio of a particular taxon compared to every other taxon being tested was detected to be significantly different across groups. For all the listed taxa, we accepted the alterative hypothesis that the FGMC does significantly explain the abundance of the listed taxa. The taxa are listed by: Kingdom, Phylum, Class, Order, Family, Genus.*

| Classification of Amplicon Sequence Variant (ASV) | W | Linear regression results (FGMC ~ ASV) |
|---|---|---|
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales | 272 | $4.706 \times 10^{-05} \pm 9.766 \times 10^{-07}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 2) |
| Archaea, Euryarchaeota, Methanobacteria, Methanobacteriales, Methanobacteriaceae, *Methanobrevibacter* | 268 | $4.909 \times 10^{-05} \pm 6.995 \times 10^{-07}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 3) |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales | 266 | $5.811 \times 10^{-05} \pm 1.052 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 4) |
| Bacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales | 266 | $1.223 \times 10^{-04} \pm 1.206 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 5) |
| Archaea, Euryarchaeota, Thermoplasmata, E2, Methanomassiliicoccaceae, *vadinCA11* | 260 | $1.107 \times 10^{-04} \pm 1.148 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 6) |
| Bacteria, Proteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Burkholderiales | 255 | $5.640 \times 10^{-04} \pm 6.092 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 7) |
| Bacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, RF16 | 253 | $2.829 \times 10^{-04} \pm 2.420 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 8) |
| Bacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, Prevotellaceae, *Prevotella* | 249 | $1.615 \times 10^{-05} \pm 1.452 \times 10^{-07}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 9) |
| Bacteria, Chloroflexi, Anaerolineae, Anaerolineales, Anaerolinaceae, *SHD-231* | 247 | $6.985 \times 10^{-04} \pm 1.244 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 10) |
| Bacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, Paraprevotellaceae | 247 | $2.020 \times 10^{-04} \pm 2.521 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 11) |
| Bacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, S24-7 | 247 | $2.393 \times 10^{-04} \pm 3.147 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 12) |
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales, Ruminococcaceae | 246 | $4.715 \times 10^{-05} \pm 6.250 \times 10^{-07}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 13) |
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Erysipelotrichi, Erysipelotrichales, Erysipelotrichaceae, *RFN20* | 241 | $-5.490 \times 10^{-04} \pm 1.261 \times 10^{-05}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 14) |
| Bacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Verruco-5, WCHB1-41, RFP12 | 241 | $5.358 \times 10^{-05} \pm 3.110 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 15) |
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales, | 239 | $1.713 \times 10^{-04} \pm 1.950 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ |

| Ruminococcaceae | | *** (Supplementary Figure 16) |
|---|---|---|
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales, Mogibacteriaceae | 235 | $2.899 \times 10^{-04} \pm 5.295 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 17) |
| Bacteria, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Clostridiales, Lachnospiraceae, *Butyrivibrio* | 229 | $-1.289 \times 10^{-04} \pm 2.256 \times 10^{-06}$, p-value = $<2 \times 10^{-16}$ *** (Supplementary Figure 18) |

**Discussion**

We aimed to test the relationship between FGMC and the gut microbiota for bonobos and

compare the results to those reported for western lowland gorillas, humans, and other mammals

[10]. We predicted that the bonobo gut microbiota would exhibit patterns similar to what was

found in western lowland gorillas, where alpha diversity (or within individual diversity) and beta

diversity (or between individual diversity) were not significantly explained by FGMC due to the

similar social environment, ecology, and phylogenetic relationship between western lowland

gorillas and bonobos. The abundance model results for the western lowland gorillas found three

taxa significantly correlated with FGMC [10].

Consistent with Vlčková et al. (2018), our alpha diversity measures were not significant.

This suggests that number of bacterial taxa within the gut microbial community stays constant.

Additionally, it suggests there is stability in the number of taxa in the guts of great apes even

when the gut microbiome is disrupted by stress. This is constant with several other finding

outside of great apes, where despite potentially stressful habitat fragmentation, red colobus

(*Procolobus rufomitratus*), black and white colobus (*Colobus guerza*), and red-tailed guenon

(*Cercopithecus ascanius*) gut microbiomes remained stable [90]. This stability in alpha diversity

may be a wild primate feature as other mammals like elephants [31], pangolins [30], and

squirrels [91] have been found to exhibit changes in alpha diversity linked to FGMC. This may

point to the ability of primates to buffer stressful events due to their behavioral flexibility and

social relationships [92], [93].

Our beta diversity results found that FGMC significantly explains a small amount of

between individual variation in the bonobo gut microbiota. The amount of variation explained in

our PERMANOVA by FGMC was very small and could be due noise in the data, but because we

also detected a difference with the mantel tests for the JSD dissimilarity metric this result is

likely an actual pattern. The small amount of variation explained implies that there is only a

small number of taxa whose abundance is affected by FGMC. In other primates, beta diversity

has been found to significantly change with degraded habitats in howler monkeys (*Alouatta*

*pigra*), potentially due to the stress of inhabiting and eating much lower quality food items [94].

In contrast, beta diversity for red colobus (*Procolobus rufomitratus*), black and white colobus

(*Colobus guerza*), and red-tailed guenon  in degraded habitats remained stable [90]. In primates,

there doesn't seem to be a clear pattern of how stress and beta diversity relate to each other and

may depend on the context that a wild primate is living in or may depend on the specific taxa

found in the gut of a wild-living primate.  In mammals, elephants [31]and pangolins [30], beta

diversity significantly changes with a stress. Beta diversity changes in response to stress appear

to be context and species specific. The fact that beta diversity was significant for bonobos and

not gorillas implies that bonobos gut microbiomes may be more susceptible to stress or that

bonobo gut microbiomes are home to bacteria whose abundance is more susceptible to

fluctuations in stress. Our abundance model results support the idea that bonobos house more

taxa whose abundance changes with fluctuations in stress.

There were fourteen more taxa in the bonobo gut microbiome whose abundance was

significantly related to FGMC, for both our ANCOM and linear model results. Vlčková et al.

(2018) found only three microbial taxa were shown to be significant with FGMC in western

lowland gorillas (family Anaerolineaceae; genus *Clostridium* cluster XIVb; genus *Oscillibacter)*

[10]. We found members of the family Anaerolineaceae in bonobo samples, and interestingly

one taxon (genus SHD-231) was significant in our differential abundance model and linear

model results, similar to gorillas [10]. We did find one genus of *Clostridium* in the 201 bonobos

that we sampled; however, this genus of *Clostridium* was not a part of cluster XIVb, found in

western lowland gorillas to be significant [10]. We found no genus *Oscillibacter,* a genus found

to be significant gorillas, in our bonobo samples, nor did we detect any of the higher family level

Oscillospiraceae. Therefore, bonobos and western lowland gorillas appear to be similar in that

members of the family Anaerolineaceae may be differentially affected during periods of high

stress but differ in the sixteen other taxa that are differentially abundant based on FGMC in

bonobos.

Other taxa that we found specific to the bonobo abundance results are two different

unknown bacteria in the order Clostridiales that are thought to be linked to early life stress in

mice [95]. Additionally, two members of the order Bacteroidales, including family S24-7, which

has been associated with changes in circadian rhythm disruption in murine models [96]. We also

found Ruminococcaceae and Mogibacteriaceae, which have been found in the human gut

microbiota [97], [98]. Other notable genus level associations included *Prevotella* sp., associated

with chronic inflammatory conditions [99]. These different patterns between the bonobo and

western lowland gorillas suggest that there may be species-specific or temporal-specific effects

of FGMC on the abundance of specific taxa in primate gut microbiota, and several of these taxa

have been linked to early life stress, circadian rhythm stress, and chronic inflammation [27],

[95], [96]. However, it does appear that the family Anaerolinaceae may be particularly affected

by stress in great apes.

In other mammals, like squirrels, pangolins, elephants, and rhinos, stress was associated

with changes, in specific taxa [30]–[32], [91]. Additionally, specific taxa changed in abundance

due to stress or stressful events [28], [30], [32]. The specific taxa are differentially expressed in

elephants (Planococcaceae, Clostridiaceae, Spirochaetaceae, and Bacteroidia) and rhinos

(Aerococcaceae, Atopostipes, Carnobacteriaceae, and Solobacterium) were not found to be

related explicitly to FGMC in bonobos [31]. However, there may be high order similarities

between bonobos and other mammals in the specific taxa that are differential abundant due to

stress. Clostridiaceae is a family belonging to the class Clostridia that was found to be

differentially expressed in elephants [31]. While we did not find this specific family differential

expressed in bonobos, we found six Clostridia members to be differentially expressed in

bonobos. This result may indicate that stress may affect specific taxa in the gut microbiota across

mammalian lineages, including humans. In humans, the proposed mechanism for a host and its

associated microbes to communicate is through hormones [15], [81]. We did not see an overall

decrease in diversity as has been seen in humans, but we did see certain taxa whose abundance

seems to be differentially affected by stress [9].  Indicating that there may be similarities between

humans and one of their closest evolutionary relatives, bonobos.

There are several differences in methods that could be influencing stress and the gut

microbiota between bonobos and gorillas. One of the significant differences between our study

and the gorilla study is the sample size. Our larger sample size may be why we picked a small

effect of beta diversity and stress, but more studies across wild living primates examining stress

and the gut microbiota will help to elucidate how sample size plays into picking up relationships

between proximate measures of stress and the gut microbiota. Other differences include,

potentially different FGMC measures and differences in the method of sample preservation for

FGMC analysis [10], [100]. There are also species-specific patterns in the production,

metabolism, and excretion of FGMCs [78]. Therefore, comparing FGMC values between

bonobos and gorillas must be done with extreme care. However, since we are not directly

comparing our FGMC values to those obtained for the western lowland gorillas. Instead, we are

comparing the broad results from comparing those FGMCs to the gut microbiota. Additionally,

the gut microbiota may be responding to FGMCs in non-linear ways, and there may be more

nuanced changes to consider when comparing stress and the NHP gut microbiota.

Other limitations include the metabolism of FGMCs can also be dependent on sex and

time of day [79], [101]. At the same time, we attempted to control for this variation by only

selecting samples collected around the same time of day and including sex and age as factors in

our analyses. This method of controlling for time of day is like the western lowland gorilla paper,

where morning fecal samples were analyzed for the unhabituated groups [10]. In addition to

variation in FGMCs, there are several other factors that influence the composition and diversity

of gut microbiota among NHP.

There are other variables that we did not examine in this paper that have been thought to

influence the composition and diversity of the gut microbiota in NHP. Disease status could be

influencing the gut microbiota [14]. Rank and other social factors like rates of affiliation and

aggression both within and between communities could also be affecting the gut microbiota [74],

[102], [103]. Diet and seasonality can also be significant factors in changing how nutritionally

stressed an individual is and can directly affect the gut microbiota composition [104]–[106]. We

aim to examine these factors in future analyses.

Future directions for this work include adding metagenomic sequencing and metabolomic

data to our dataset to incorporate more functional results. Additionally, we aim to incorporate

diet, food availability, and social variables in future analyses of the bonobo gut microbiota.

Compared to humans, in bonobos, beta diversity and some taxa change in abundance instead of

broadly changing gut microbial diversity in response to stress, but we did find similarities in

bonobos and gorillas in the family Anaerolinaceae. Thus, future studies should examine how

Anaerolinaceae changes in response to stress in other great apes like humans, chimpanzees, and

orangutans. Incorporating FGMC and gut microbiota data can provide a more robust

understanding of how stress impacts the gut microbiota of primates.

**Statement of Ethics**

This research was completed with the approval of the University of Oregon's Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (AUP-17-10), abided by the American Society of

Primatologist's Principles for the Ethical Treatment of Human Primates, and was approved by

the L'Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature (ICCN) (permit # 3058) the

department withing the Democratic Republic of the Congo that oversees the Lomako-Yokokala

Faunal Reserve where the Iyema field site is located. Permissions were obtained through the

African Wildlife Foundation Mission Order (No. 033/2017).

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Author Statements**

| Contributor Role | Role Definition |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

| Contributor Role | Role Definition |
| --- | --- |
| AJH, MLW, CMB, FJW | Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims. |
| AJH, MLW, CMB, FJW | Development or design of methodology; creation of models. |
| AJH | Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyze or synthesize study data. |
| AJH, CBM, MLW, KJB | Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection. |
| JJS, NT | Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools. |
| AJH | Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later reuse. |
| AJH | Creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation). |
| AJH, KJB, MLW, CMB, FJW, JJS, NT | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages. |
| AJH | Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/data presentation. |
| FJW | Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning |

| Contributor Role | Role Definition |
|---|---|
| | and execution, including mentorship external to the core team. |
| FJW, MLW, NT | Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution. |
| AJH, FJW, MLW, CMB | Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication. |

**Figure Captions**

*Figure 1. Scatterplots of FGMC against A. Shannon's diversity and B. Chao's diversity index*

*were not significant*

*Figure 2. The predictor variables, sex (column 1), age (column 2), and infant (column 3) were*

*all not significant for A. Shannon's and B. Chao's.*

*Figure 3. PCoA plot of weighted UniFrac dissimilarity matrix. The PERMANOVA results*

*suggest that FGMC explained 1.275% of the variation in beta diversity. While, weighted*

*UniFrac explained the most of the variation in beta diversity, this is very small amount of the*

*variation in beta diversity.*

*Figure 4. Frequency distribution from the Mantel test showing the results of the 999*

*randomizations for Jensen Shannon Distance matrix and the FGMC Euclidian distance matrix.*

*The observed value is marked with the line and black diamond.*

*Supplementary Figure 1. Volcano plot of W and F statistic for each ASV. 17 taxa (circled in*

*blue) are significantly related to FGMC level.*

*Supplementary Figure 2. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Firmicutes,*

*Clostridia, Clostridiales and FGMC.*

*Supplementary Figure 3*. General linear model regression on the ASV Archaea, Euryarchaeota,

Methanobacteria, Methanobacteriales, Methanobacteriaceae, *Methanobrevibacter* and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 4*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Firmicutes,

Clostridia, Clostridiales and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 5*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 6*. General linear model regression on the ASV Archaea, Euryarchaeota,

Thermoplasmata, E2, Methanomassiliicoccaceae, *vadinCA11* and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 7*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Proteobacteria,

Betaproteobacteria, Burkholderiales and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 8*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, RF16 and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 9*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, Prevotellaceae, *Prevotella* and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 10*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Chloroflexi,

Anaerolineae, Anaerolineales, Anaerolinaceae, *SHD-231* and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 11*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, Paraprevotellaceae and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 12*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Bacteroidia, Bacteroidales, S24-7 and FGMC.

*Supplementary Figure 13*. General linear model regression on the ASV Bacteria, Firmicutes,

Clostridia, Clostridiales, Ruminococcaceae and FGMC.

## References

[1]   C. Allaband *et al.*, "Microbiome 101: studying, analyzing, and interpreting gut microbiome
data for clinicians," *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 218–230, 2019.
[2]   K. R. Amato, "Incorporating the gut microbiota into models of human and non-human
primate ecology and evolution: GUT MICROBIOTA INFLUENCES HOST NUTRITION,
HEALTH, AND BEHAVIOR," *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.*, vol. 159, pp. 196–215, Jan. 2016,
doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22908.
[3]   G. Dantas, M. O. A. Sommer, P. H. Degnan, and A. L. Goodman, "Experimental
Approaches for Defining Functional Roles of Microbes in the Human Gut," *Annu. Rev.*
*Microbiol.*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 459–475, 2013, doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155642.
[4]   G. M. Barlow, A. Yu, and R. Mathur, "Role of the Gut Microbiome in Obesity and
Diabetes Mellitus," *Nutr. Clin. Pract.*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 787–797, 2015, doi:
10.1177/0884533615609896.
[5]   I. Chattopadhyay *et al.*, "Exploring the Role of Gut Microbiome in Colon Cancer," *Appl.*
*Biochem. Biotechnol.*, vol. 193, no. 6, pp. 1780–1799, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12010-021-
03498-9.
[6]   E. A. Franzosa *et al.*, "Gut microbiome structure and metabolic activity in inflammatory
bowel disease," *Nat. Microbiol.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 293–305, Feb. 2019, doi:
10.1038/s41564-018-0306-4.

[7]   Y. S. Kim and J. A. Milner, "Dietary Modulation of Colon Cancer Risk," *J. Nutr.*, vol. 137, no. 11, pp. 2576S-2579S, Nov. 2007, doi: 10.1093/jn/137.11.2576S.

[8]   P. J. Turnbaugh *et al.*, "A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins," *Nature*, vol. 457, no. 7228, pp. 480–484, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.1038/nature07540.

[9]   P. C. Konturek, T. Brzozowski, and S. J. Konturek, "STRESS AND THE GUT: PATHOPHYSIOLOGY, CLINICAL CONSEQUENCES, DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH AND TREATMENT OPTIONS," p. 9.

[10]  K. Vlčková *et al.*, "Impact of stress on the gut microbiome of free-ranging western lowland gorillas," *Microbiology,* vol. 164, no. 1, pp. 40–44, 2018, doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000587.

[11]  A. Bharwani, M. F. Mian, J. A. Foster, M. G. Surette, J. Bienenstock, and P. Forsythe, "Structural & functional consequences of chronic psychosocial stress on the microbiome & host," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, vol. 63, pp. 217–227, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.001.

[12]  V. Bhatia and R. K. Tandon, "Stress and the gastrointestinal tract," *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 332–339, 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2004.03508.x.

[13]  M. T. Bailey, "The Effects of Psychological Stressors on the Intestinal Microbiota," *Biosci. Microflora*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 125–134, 2009, doi: 10.12938/bifidus.28.125.

[14]  R. D. Moloney, L. Desbonnet, G. Clarke, T. G. Dinan, and J. F. Cryan, "The microbiome: stress, health and disease," *Mamm. Genome*, vol. 25, no. 1–2, pp. 49–74, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s00335-013-9488-5.

[15]  M. J. Tetel, G. J. de Vries, R. C. Melcangi, G. Panzica, and S. M. O'Mahony, "Steroids, stress and the gut microbiome-brain axis," *J. Neuroendocrinol.*, vol. 30, no. 2, p. e12548, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jne.12548.

[16]  J. D. Söderholm and M. H. Perdue, "II. Stress and intestinal barrier function," *Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.*, vol. 280, no. 1, pp. G7–G13, Jan. 2001, doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.2001.280.1.G7.

[17]  S. M. Collins, "IV. Modulation of intestinal inflammation by stress: basic mechanisms and clinical relevance," *Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.*, vol. 280, no. 3, pp. G315–G318, Mar. 2001, doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.2001.280.3.G315.

[18]  J. M. Keay, J. Singh, M. C. Gaunt, and T. Kaur, "FECAL GLUCOCORTICOIDS AND THEIR METABOLITES AS INDICATORS OF STRESS IN VARIOUS MAMMALIAN SPECIES: A LITERATURE REVIEW," *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 234–244, Sep. 2006, doi: 10.1638/05-050.1.

[19]  S. Sandrini, M. Aldriwesh, M. Alruways, and P. Freestone, "Microbial endocrinology: host–bacteria communication within the gut microbiome," *J. Endocrinol.*, vol. 225, no. 2, pp. R21–R34, May 2015, doi: 10.1530/JOE-14-0615.

[20]  J. A. Foster, L. Rinaman, and J. F. Cryan, "Stress & the gut-brain axis: Regulation by the microbiome," *Neurobiol. Stress*, vol. 7, pp. 124–136, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2017.03.001.

[21]  V. Moser, "The Human Microbiome: The Brain-Gut Axis and its Role in Immunity," p. 53.

[22]  M. Crumeyrolle-Arias *et al.*, "Absence of the gut microbiota enhances anxiety-like behavior and neuroendocrine response to acute stress in rats," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, vol. 42, pp. 207–217, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.01.014.

[23]  T. Hata *et al.*, "The Gut Microbiome Derived from Anorexia Nervosa Patients Impairs Weight Gain and Behavioral Performance in Mice," *Available SSRN 3155625*, 2018.

[24] J. Li *et al.*, "Probiotics modulated gut microbiota suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma growth in mice," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 113, no. 9, Art. no. 9, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1518189113.

[25] J. A. Bravo *et al.*, "Ingestion of Lactobacillus strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 108, no. 38, Art. no. 38, Sep. 2011, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1102999108.

[26] T. Hata *et al.*, "The Gut Microbiome Derived from Anorexia Nervosa Patients Impairs Weight Gain and Behavioral Performance in Mice," *Available SSRN 3155625*, 2018.

[27] A. Bharwani, M. F. Mian, J. A. Foster, M. G. Surette, J. Bienenstock, and P. Forsythe, "Structural & functional consequences of chronic psychosocial stress on the microbiome & host," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, vol. 63, pp. 217–227, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.001.

[28] M. R. Stothart, R. Palme, and A. E. M. Newman, "It's what's on the inside that counts: stress physiology and the bacterial microbiome of a wild urban mammal," *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.*, vol. 286, no. 1913, p. 20192111, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2019.2111.

[29] A. D. Willis, "Rarefaction, Alpha Diversity, and Statistics," *Front. Microbiol.*, vol. 10, 2019, Accessed: Jun. 09, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02407

[30] D. Yan *et al.*, "Effects of Chronic Stress on the Fecal Microbiome of Malayan Pangolins (Manis javanica) Rescued from the Illegal Wildlife Trade," *Curr. Microbiol.*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 1017–1025, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00284-021-02357-4.

[31] M. A. M. Moustafa *et al.*, "Anthropogenic interferences lead to gut microbiome dysbiosis in Asian elephants and may alter adaptation processes to surrounding environments," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-80537-1.

[32] R. E. Antwis, K. L. Edwards, B. Unwin, S. L. Walker, and S. Shultz, "Rare gut microbiota associated with breeding success, hormone metabolites and ovarian cycle phase in the critically endangered eastern black rhino," *Microbiome*, vol. 7, p. 27, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0639-0.

[33] M. Surbeck, T. Deschner, A. Weltring, and G. Hohmann, "Social correlates of variation in urinary cortisol in wild male bonobos (Pan paniscus)," *Horm. Behav.*, vol. 62, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Jun. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.04.013.

[34] L. A. Isbell and T. P. Young, "Ecological Models of Female Social Relationships in Primates: Similarities, Disparities, and Some Directions for Future Clarity," *Behaviour*, vol. 139, no. 2/3, pp. 177–202, 2002.

[35] K. R. Amato, "Incorporating the gut microbiota into models of human and non-human primate ecology and evolution," *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.*, vol. 159, no. S61, pp. 196–215, 2016, doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22908.

[36] M. T. Bailey, "The contributing role of the intestinal microbiota in stressor-induced increases in susceptibility to enteric infection and systemic immunomodulation," *Horm. Behav.*, vol. 62, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.006.

[37] W. C. McGrew, W. C. McGrew, L. F. Marchant, and T. Nishida, *Great Ape Societies*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[38] J. Tan, D. Ariely, and B. Hare, "Bonobos respond prosocially toward members of other groups," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2017.

[39] F. J. White, "Pan paniscus 1973 to 1996: Twenty-three years of field research," *Evol.*
*Anthropol. Issues News Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 1, Art. no. 1, 1996, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1520-
6505(1996)5:1<11::AID-EVAN5>3.0.CO;2-Z.
[40] T. Gruber and Z. Clay, "A Comparison Between Bonobos and Chimpanzees: A Review and
Update," *Evol. Anthropol. Issues News Rev.*, vol. 25, no. 5, Art. no. 5, 2016, doi:
10.1002/evan.21501.
[41] G. Forcina *et al.*, "From groups to communities in western lowland gorillas," *Proc. R. Soc.*
*B Biol. Sci.*, vol. 286, no. 1896, p. 20182019, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2018.2019.
[42] R. J. Parnell, "Group size and structure in western lowland gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla)
at Mbeli Bai, Republic of Congo," *Am. J. Primatol.*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 193–206, 2002, doi:
10.1002/ajp.1074.
[43] A. H. Harcourt and K. J. Stewart, "Gorilla society: What we know and don't know," *Evol.*
*Anthropol. Issues News Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 147–158, 2007, doi: 10.1002/evan.20142.
[44] I. Kyrou and C. Tsigos, "Stress hormones: physiological stress and regulation of
metabolism," *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 787–793, Dec. 2009, doi:
10.1016/j.coph.2009.08.007.
[45] L. Thau, J. Gandhi, and S. Sharma, *Physiology, Cortisol*. StatPearls Publishing, 2021.
Accessed: Apr. 22, 2022. [Online]. Available:
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK538239/
[46] P. J. Tkaczynski *et al.*, "Patterns of urinary cortisol levels during ontogeny appear
population specific rather than species specific in wild chimpanzees and bonobos," *J. Hum.*
*Evol.*, vol. 147, p. 102869, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2020.102869.
[47] N. H. Fourie, J. L. Brown, C. J. Jolly, J. E. Phillips-Conroy, J. Rogers, and R. M. Bernstein,
"Sources of variation in hair cortisol in wild and captive non-human primates," *Zoology*,
vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 119–125, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.zool.2016.01.001.
[48] K. B. Strier, T. E. Ziegler, and D. J. Wittwer, "Seasonal and Social Correlates of Fecal
Testosterone and Cortisol Levels in Wild Male Muriquis (Brachyteles arachnoides)," *Horm.*
*Behav.*, vol. 35, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Apr. 1999, doi: 10.1006/hbeh.1998.1505.
[49] G. Hohmann, R. Mundry, and T. Deschner, "The relationship between socio-sexual
behavior and salivary cortisol in bonobos: tests of the tension regulation hypothesis," *Am. J.*
*Primatol.*, vol. 71, no. 3, Art. no. 3, 2009, doi: 10.1002/ajp.20640.
[50] H. L. Mason, C. S. Myers, and E. C. Kendall, "Chemical studies of the suprarenal cortex II.
The identification of a substance which possesses the qualitative action of cortin; Its
conversion into a diketone closely related to androstenedione," *J. Biol. Chem.*, vol. 116, no.
1, pp. 267–276, 1936.
[51] H. L. Mason, W. M. Hoehn, and E. C. Kendall, "CHEMICAL STUDIES OF THE
SUPRARENAL CORTEX," *J. Biol. Chem.*, vol. 124, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Jul. 1938, doi:
10.1016/S0021-9258(18)74051-9.
[52] J. J. Millspaugh and B. E. Washburn, "Use of fecal glucocorticoid metabolite measures in
conservation biology research: considerations for application and interpretation," *Gen.*
*Comp. Endocrinol.*, vol. 138, no. 3, pp. 189–199, Sep. 2004, doi:
10.1016/j.ygcen.2004.07.002.
[53] E. R. Davenport, J. G. Sanders, S. J. Song, K. R. Amato, A. G. Clark, and R. Knight, "The
human microbiome in evolution," *BMC Biol.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2017.
[54] L. Cheng, S. Lucchesi, R. Mundry, L. Samuni, T. Deschner, and M. Surbeck, "Variation in
aggression rates and urinary cortisol levels indicates intergroup competition in wild

bonobos," *Horm. Behav.*, vol. 128, p. 104914, Feb. 2021, doi:
10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104914.

[55] A. K. Cobden, "Party Animals: Food, Sociality and Stress in Wild Bonobos (Pan paniscus)
of Iyema, Lomako Forest, Democratic Republic of Congo," PhD Thesis, Emory University,
2014.

[56] L. Cheng, S. Lucchesi, R. Mundry, L. Samuni, T. Deschner, and M. Surbeck, "Variation in
aggression rates and urinary cortisol levels indicates intergroup competition in wild
bonobos," *Horm. Behav.*, vol. 128, p. 104914, Feb. 2021, doi:
10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104914.

[57] G. Hohmann, R. Mundry, and T. Deschner, "The relationship between socio-sexual
behavior and salivary cortisol in bonobos: tests of the tension regulation hypothesis," *Am. J.*
*Primatol.*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 223–232, 2009, doi: 10.1002/ajp.20640.

[58] R. K. Malenky and R. W. Wrangham, "A quantitative comparison of terrestrial herbaceous
food consumption by Pan paniscus in the Lomako Forest, Zaire, and Pan troglodytes in the
Kibale Forest, Uganda," *Am. J. Primatol.*, vol. 32, no. 1, Art. no. 1, 1994, doi:
10.1002/ajp.1350320102.

[59] R. W. Wrangham and F. J. White, "Feeding Competition and Patch Size in the Chimpanzee
Species Pan Paniscus and Pan Troglodytes," *Behaviour*, vol. 105, no. 1–2, Art. no. 1–2, Jan.
1988, doi: 10.1163/156853988X00494.

[60] J. Prado-Martinez *et al.*, "Great ape genetic diversity and population history," *Nature*, vol.
499, no. 7459, Art. no. 7459, Jul. 2013, doi: 10.1038/nature12228.

[61] K. E. Langergraber *et al.*, "Generation times in wild chimpanzees and gorillas suggest
earlier divergence times in great ape and human evolution," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 109,
no. 39, pp. 15716–15721, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1211740109.

[62] J. Dupain, E. Van Krunkelsven, L. Van Elsacker, and R. F. Verheyen, "Current status of the
bonobo (Pan paniscus) in the proposed Lomako Reserve (Democratic Republic of Congo),"
*Biol. Conserv.*, vol. 94, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Jul. 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00004-5.

[63] C. M. Brand, F. J. White, M. L. Wakefield, M. T. Waller, M. J. Ruiz-Lopez, and N. Ting,
"Initiation of genetic demographic monitoring of bonobos (Pan paniscus) at Iyema, Lomako
Forest, DRC," *Primate Conserv. Newsl. J. IUCNSSC Primate Spec. Group*, vol. 30, p. 103.

[64] R. Blekhman *et al.*, "Common methods for fecal sample storage in field studies yield
consistent signatures of individual identity in microbiome sequencing data," *Sci. Rep.*, vol.
6, no. 1, p. 31519, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1038/srep31519.

[65] T. Hayakawa *et al.*, "Improving the standards for gut microbiome analysis of fecal samples:
insights from the field biology of Japanese macaques on Yakushima Island," *Primates*, vol.
59, no. 5, pp. 423–436, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10329-018-0671-x.

[66] K. Vlčková, J. Mrázek, J. Kopečný, and K. J. Petrželková, "Evaluation of different storage
methods to characterize the fecal bacterial communities of captive western lowland gorillas
(Gorilla gorilla gorilla)," *J. Microbiol. Methods*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 45–51, Oct. 2012, doi:
10.1016/j.mimet.2012.07.015.

[67] V. L. Hale, C. L. Tan, R. Knight, and K. R. Amato, "Effect of preservation method on
spider monkey (Ateles geoffroyi) fecal microbiota over 8weeks," *J. Microbiol. Methods*,
vol. 113, pp. 16–26, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2015.03.021.

[68] M. A. Gorzelak, S. K. Gill, N. Tasnim, Z. Ahmadi-Vand, M. Jay, and D. L. Gibson,
"Methods for Improving Human Gut Microbiome Data by Reducing Variability through

Sample Processing and Storage of Stool," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. e0134802, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134802.

[69] J. M. Choo, L. E. Leong, and G. B. Rogers, "Sample storage conditions significantly influence faecal microbiome profiles," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 5, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1038/srep16350.

[70] S. Menke, M. A. F. Gillingham, K. Wilhelm, and S. Sommer, "Home-Made Cost Effective Preservation Buffer Is a Better Alternative to Commercial Preservation Methods for Microbiome Research," *Front. Microbiol.*, vol. 8, 2017, Accessed: Mar. 28, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00102

[71] E. Midttveit, "Measuring Stress in Captive Bonobos: A Look to the Past and Future to Improve Methods," Oct. 2016, Accessed: Jan. 15, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/20464

[72] C. M. Brand *et al.*, "Hair plucking, stress, and urinary cortisol among captive bonobos (Pan paniscus)," *Zoo Biol.*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 415–422, 2016.

[73] C. K. Goodfellow, T. Whitney, D. M. Christie, P. Sicotte, E. C. Wikberg, and N. Ting, "Divergence in gut microbial communities mirrors a social group fission event in a black☐and☐white colobus monkey ( *Colobus vellerosus* )," *Am. J. Primatol.*, vol. 81, no. 10–11, Art. no. 10–11, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1002/ajp.22966.

[74] E. C. Wikberg, D. Christie, P. Sicotte, and N. Ting, "Interactions between social groups of colobus monkeys (Colobus vellerosus) explain similarities in their gut microbiomes," *Anim. Behav.*, vol. 163, pp. 17–31, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.02.011.

[75] E. Bolyen *et al.*, "Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2," *Nat. Biotechnol.*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 852–857, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.

[76] N. M. Davis, D. M. Proctor, S. P. Holmes, D. A. Relman, and B. J. Callahan, "Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data," Jul. 2018. doi: 10.1101/221499.

[77] M. T. Bailey and C. L. Coe, "Maternal separation disrupts the integrity of the intestinal microflora in infant rhesus monkeys," *Dev. Psychobiol.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 146–155, 1999, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199909)35:2<146::AID-DEV7>3.0.CO;2-G.

[78] B. C. Wheeler, B. Tiddi, U. Kalbitzer, E. Visalberghi, and M. Heistermann, "Methodological Considerations in the Analysis of Fecal Glucocorticoid Metabolites in Tufted Capuchins (Cebus apella)," *Int. J. Primatol.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 879–898, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10764-013-9703-y.

[79] H. D. COLBY and J. I. KITAY, "Sex and Substrate Effects on Hepatic Corticosteroid Metabolism in the Rat," *Endocrinology*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 473–478, Feb. 1972, doi: 10.1210/endo-90-2-473.

[80] J. Adriansjach, S. T. Baum, E. J. Lefkowitz, W. J. Van Der Pol, T. W. Buford, and R. J. Colman, "Age-Related Differences in the Gut Microbiome of Rhesus Macaques," *J. Gerontol. Ser. A*, vol. 75, no. 7, pp. 1293–1298, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1093/gerona/glaa048.

[81] A.-K. Aatsinki *et al.*, "Maternal prenatal psychological distress and hair cortisol levels associate with infant fecal microbiota composition at 2.5 months of age," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, vol. 119, p. 104754, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104754.

[82] R. C. Team, "R: A language and environment for statistical computing," 2013.

[83] M. J. Anderson, "Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)," *Wiley*
*Statsref Stat. Ref. Online*, pp. 1–15, 2014.
[84] C. M. Brand, F. J. White, M. L. Wakefield, M. T. Waller, M. J. Ruiz-López, and N. Ting,
"Initiation of genetic demographic monitoring of bonobos (Pan paniscus) at Iyema, Lomako
Forest, DRC," *Primate Conserv.*, vol. 30, pp. 103–111, 2016.
[85] J. Oksanen *et al.*, "Package Vegan: Community ecology package, version 2.0 10." Cran,
2013.
[86] M. L. Menéndez, J. A. Pardo, L. Pardo, and M. C. Pardo, "The Jensen-Shannon
divergence," *J. Frankl. Inst.*, vol. 334, no. 2, pp. 307–318, Mar. 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0016-
0032(96)00063-4.
[87] L. J. Jensen *et al.*, "STRING 8—a global view on proteins and their functional interactions
in 630 organisms," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 37, no. suppl_1, pp. D412–D416, Jan. 2009,
doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn760.
[88] C. Lozupone and R. Knight, "UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing
Microbial Communities," *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 71, no. 12, Art. no. 12, Dec. 2005,
doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005.
[89] S. Mandal, W. Van Treuren, R. A. White, M. Eggesbø, R. Knight, and S. D. Peddada,
"Analysis of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial
composition," *Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.*, vol. 26, no. 1, p. 27663, Dec. 2015, doi:
10.3402/mehd.v26.27663.
[90] A. I. McCord *et al.*, "Fecal microbiomes of non-human primates in Western Uganda reveal
species-specific communities largely resistant to habitat perturbation," *Am. J. Primatol.*,
vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 347–354, 2014, doi: 10.1002/ajp.22238.
[91] M. R. Stothart *et al.*, "Stress and the microbiome: linking glucocorticoids to bacterial
community dynamics in wild red squirrels," *Biol. Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 20150875, Jan.
2016, doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0875.
[92] D. L. Cheney and R. M. Seyfarth, "Chapter 1 Stress and Coping Mechanisms in Female
Primates," in *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, vol. 39, Academic Press, 2009, pp. 1–44.
doi: 10.1016/S0065-3454(09)39001-4.
[93] C. Young, B. Majolo, M. Heistermann, O. Schülke, and J. Ostner, "Responses to social and
environmental stress are attenuated by strong male bonds in wild macaques," *Proc. Natl.*
*Acad. Sci.*, vol. 111, no. 51, pp. 18195–18200, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1411450111.
[94] K. R. Amato *et al.*, "Habitat degradation impacts black howler monkey (Alouatta pigra)
gastrointestinal microbiomes," *ISME J.*, vol. 7, no. 7, Art. no. 7, 2013.
[95] N. Usui, H. Matsuzaki, and S. Shimada, "Characterization of Early Life Stress-Affected
Gut Microbiota," *Brain Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 7, Art. no. 7, Jul. 2021, doi:
10.3390/brainsci11070913.
[96] Y.-M. Kim *et al.*, "Light-Stress Influences the Composition of the Murine Gut Microbiome,
Memory Function, and Plasma Metabolome," *Front. Mol. Biosci.*, vol. 6, 2019, Accessed:
Apr. 11, 2022. [Online]. Available:
https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmolb.2019.00108
[97] K. Oki, M. Toyama, T. Banno, O. Chonan, Y. Benno, and K. Watanabe, "Comprehensive
analysis of the fecal microbiota of healthy Japanese adults reveals a new bacterial lineage
associated with a phenotype characterized by a high frequency of bowel movements and a
lean body type," *BMC Microbiol.*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 284, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12866-
016-0898-x.

[98] M. Rajilić-Stojanović and W. M. de Vos, "The first 1000 cultured species of the human
gastrointestinal microbiota," *Fems Microbiol. Rev.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 996–1047, Sep. 2014,
doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12075.
[99] R. E. Ley, "Prevotella in the gut: choose carefully," *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, vol.
13, no. 2, pp. 69–70, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.4.
[100] K. Shutt, J. M. Setchell, and M. Heistermann, "Non-invasive monitoring of physiological
stress in the Western lowland gorilla (Gorilla gorilla gorilla): Validation of a fecal
glucocorticoid assay and methods for practical application in the field," *Gen. Comp.*
*Endocrinol.*, vol. 179, no. 2, pp. 167–177, Nov. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.08.008.
[101] C. Touma, N. Sachser, E. Möstl, and R. Palme, "Effects of sex and time of day on
metabolism and excretion of corticosterone in urine and feces of mice," *Gen. Comp.*
*Endocrinol.*, vol. 130, no. 3, pp. 267–278, Feb. 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0016-6480(02)00620-
2.
[102] G. Bennett *et al.*, "Host age, social group, and habitat type influence the gut microbiota of
wild ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta)," *Am. J. Primatol.*, vol. 78, no. 8, Art. no. 8, 2016,
doi: 10.1002/ajp.22555.
[103] J. Tung *et al.*, "Social networks predict gut microbiome composition in wild baboons,"
*eLife*, vol. 4, p. e05224, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.7554/eLife.05224.
[104] K. R. Amato *et al.*, "The gut microbiota appears to compensate for seasonal diet variation
in the wild black howler monkey (Alouatta pigra)," *Microb. Ecol.*, vol. 69, no. 2, Art. no. 2,
2015.
[105] M. R. Bruorton, C. L. Davis, and M. R. Perrin, "Gut microflora of vervet and samango
monkeys in relation to diet," *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 57, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Feb. 1991,
doi: 10.1128/aem.57.2.573-578.1991.
[106] A. Baniel *et al.*, "Seasonal shifts in the gut microbiome indicate plastic responses to diet
in wild geladas," *Microbiome*, vol. 9, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/s40168-
020-00977-9.
755

*Figure 1. Linear model results of FGMC against A. Shannon's diversity, B. Chao's, and C. Simpson's diversity index were not significant.*

Figure 2. The predictor variables, sex (column 1), age (column 2), and infant (column 3) were all not significant for A. Shannon's, B. Chao's, and C. Simpson's diversity indices.

**Figure 3:**

**Figure 4:**