1	Isolated Grauer's gorilla populations differ in diet and gut
2	microbiome
3	Short running title: Gut microbiome and diet of Grauer's gorillas
4	
5	Alice Michel ^{1,2} , Riana Minocher ^{1,3} , Peter-Philip Niehoff ¹ , Yuhong Li ^{1,4} , Kevin Nota ⁵ , Maya A.
6	Gadhvi ¹ , Jiancheng Su ¹ , Neetha Iyer ² , Amy Porter ² , Urbain Ngobobo-As-Ibungu ⁶ , Escobar Binyinyi ⁶ ,
7	Radar Nishuli Pekeyake ⁷ , Laura Parducci ^{3,8} , Damien Caillaud ² , Katerina Guschanski ^{1,9}
8	
9	¹ Animal Ecology, Department of Ecology and Genetics, Evolutionary Biology Centrum, Uppsala
10	University, Sweden
11	² Department of Anthropology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
12	³ Department of Human behavior, Ecology and Culture, Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary
13	Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany
14	⁴ Conservation Ecology Group, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of
15	Groningen, The Netherlands
16	⁵ Plant Ecology, Department of Ecology and Genetics, Evolutionary Biology Centrum, Uppsala
17	University, Sweden
18	⁶ The Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International, Kinshasa, DRC
19	⁷ Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature, Kinshasa, DRC
20	⁸ Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University of Rome, 00185 Rome, Italy
21	⁹ Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, UK
22	
23	Corresponding authors:
24	Alice Michel: aljmichel@ucdavis.edu
25	Katerina Guschanski: katerina.guschanski@ed.ac.uk
26	

27 Abstract

28 The animal gut microbiome has been implicated in a number of key biological processes, ranging 29 from digestion to behavior, and has also been suggested to facilitate local adaptation. However, studies 30 in wild animals rarely compare multiple populations that differ ecologically, which is the level at 31 which local adaptation may occur. Further, few studies simultaneously characterize diet and the gut 32 microbiome from the same sample, despite the likely presence of co-dependencies. Here, we 33 investigate the interplay between diet and gut microbiome in three geographically isolated populations 34 of the critically endangered Grauer's gorilla, which we show to be genetically differentiated. We find 35 population- and social group-specific dietary and gut microbial profiles and co-variation between diet 36 and gut microbiome, despite the presence of core microbial taxa. There was no detectable effect of 37 age, sex, or genetic relatedness on the microbiome. Diet differed considerably across populations, with 38 the high-altitude population consuming a lower diversity of plants compared to low-altitude 39 populations, consistent with food plant availability constraining diet. The observed pattern of 40 covariation between diet and gut microbiome is likely a result of long-term social and ecological 41 factors. Our study suggests that the gut microbiome is sufficiently plastic to support flexible food 42 selection and hence contribute to local adaptation.

- 43
- 44
- 45

⁴⁶ Keywords: Metabarcoding, 16s rRNA, trnL, critically endangered, genetic diversity, fecal DNA

47 Introduction

48 The ranges of many species span ecologically diverse habitats that differ in abiotic and biotic 49 factors, leading to some degree of adaptation to the predominant local condition. Our view of how 50 organisms adapt has recently expanded beyond natural selection acting on morphological, 51 physiological, and behavioral traits, to also include the contribution of associated microorganisms, the 52 microbiome (Rosenberg & Zilber-Rosenberg, 2016). In animals, the microbiome plays a critical role 53 in key biological processes such as digestion, health, behavior (Agranyoni et al., 2021; Colston & 54 Jackson, 2016; Davidson et al., 2020; Ley et al., 2008; Moran et al., 2019), and has even been 55 implicated in influencing host genomic evolution (Rudman et al., 2019).

56 The gut microbiome is shaped by numerous factors including host evolutionary relationships, 57 social interactions, habitat, and diet (Archie & Tung, 2015; Rojas et al., 2021; Youngblut et al., 2019). 58 In wild animals, distinct populations living under different ecological conditions have frequently been 59 shown to possess unique gut microbiomes (Bueno de Mesquita et al., 2021; Couch et al., 2020; Uren 60 Webster et al., 2018). Along with spatial differences, studies often show shifts in the gut microbiome 61 concordant with seasonal dietary changes (Baniel et al., 2021; Bergmann et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2021; 62 Hicks et al., 2018). Such differences are expected, as microorganisms, with their large population 63 sizes, rapid evolution, and flexible community structure, are able to react quickly to changes in 64 environmental conditions (Koskella et al., 2017), supporting their role in local adaptation of the host 65 (Alberdi et al., 2016). Experimental studies have used dietary and gut microbial manipulations to 66 dissect the directionality of the diet-microbiome link. They suggest a two-way connection. On the one 67 hand, dietary manipulations alter the composition of the gut microbiome, permitting hosts to rapidly 68 utilize new dietary sources (Reese et al., 2021). On the other hand, the gut microbiome itself can drive 69 dietary choice (Trevelline & Kohl, 2022). In the wild, it is possible that the microbiome may impact 70 dietary choices by modulating host behavior, for example, by constraining the selection to similar 71 foods even in different habitats or by promoting dispersal decisions that reduce environmental change 72 ('natal habitat-biased dispersal').

73 Here, we investigate spatial variation of the gut microbiome and its potential role in local 74 dietary adaptation by jointly analyzing dietary and gut microbial diversity and composition in several 75 isolated populations of the critically endangered Grauer's gorilla (Gorilla beringei graueri) (Plumptre 76 et al., 2016). This gorilla subspecies is endemic to the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). 77 Our study populations occupy the ecological extremes of the species' range, approximated here by 78 altitude (600 m above sea level [asl] and 2500 m asl). Grauer's gorillas are herbivores, consuming a 79 large diversity of plants and plant parts (Yamagiwa et al., 2005). However, due to the political 80 instability throughout their range, very little is known about ecology and diet of different populations 81 (but see van der Hoek, Binyinyi, et al., 2021; van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021).

82 Using fecal DNA metabarcoding combined with host genotyping, we first investigated 83 whether isolated and genetically differentiated gorilla populations show dietary similarities. As plant 84 communities differ considerably by altitude throughout the region (Imani et al., 2016), the presence of 85 shared food taxa across populations would be indicative of restrictive dietary selection (a core 86 Grauer's gorilla diet). If such a pattern of food selection occurs at least in part via gut microbial 87 influence over host foraging, we also expect to find a conserved set of gut microbial taxa (a core 88 microbiome). In contrast, if plasticity of the gut microbiome confers dietary flexibility, potentially 89 facilitating local adaptation, we expect diet and the microbiome to differ significantly among 90 populations, with strong covariation between them and little evidence for conserved dietary and 91 microbial taxa.

93 Materials & Methods

94

95 Ethics Statement

96 This study was conducted in compliance with legal requirements of the DRC and the animal use 97 policies of UC Davis. Data collection protocols were approved by Institut Congolais pour la 98 Conservation de la Nature. Samples were collected non-invasively, without disturbing the animals.

99

100 Sample collection

101 Fecal samples (n=220) were opportunistically collected from Grauer's gorillas in eastern DRC 102 between 2015 and 2018 at three sites: Kahuzi-Biega National Park (KBNP, 2.32°S, 28.72°E; KBNP; 103 2500 m asl), Nkuba Conservation Area in Walikale territory, North Kivu (NCA, 1.38°S, 27.47°E; 104 NCA; 600 m asl), and Maiko National Park (MNP, 0.87°S, 27.35°E; MNP; 830 m asl; Figure 1). In 105 KBNP, gorillas in the Chimanuka group were habituated to human presence and samples were 106 collected from identified individuals after observing defecation. All other samples were collected from 107 night nests without knowledge of individual identity following the two-step collection method 108 (Nsubuga et al., 2004). Geographic location and altitude were recorded using handheld GPS for all 109 sampling sites except for the Mankoto group in KBNP, for which this information is missing. We 110 assigned age classes in the field based on dung diameter, as follows: infant <4cm, sharing a nest with 111 an adult; juvenile/subadult <5cm, own nest; and adults >5cm (McNeilage et al., 2006; Schaller, 1963). 112 For the Chimanuka group, age classes of identified individuals were known from observations.

113

114 DNA extraction

Fecal samples were exported to Uppsala University, Sweden, for molecular analysis. DNA was extracted from 50 mg of dried material using the DNeasy PowerSoil DNA Extraction Kit (Qiagen) in a dedicated primate fecal extraction laboratory. We implemented the following modifications to the manufacturer's protocol: fecal samples were incubated under shaking (500 RPM) in the C1 solution overnight at 23°C. They were then transferred into a heating block and incubated at

120 65°C for 10 minutes, followed by bead beating on a vortex at maximum speed for 1 hour at room 121 temperature. Incubation in C2 and C3 solution was on ice. We incubated the samples in C6 solution at 122 room temperature for 5 minutes before elution.

123

124 Gorilla genotyping, individual identification, relatedness and population differentiation analyses

125 We genotyped all 220 samples at 12 microsatellite loci (vWF, D1s550, D4s1627, D5s1457, 126 D5s1470, D6s474, D6s1056, D7s817, D8s1106, D10s1432, D14s306, and D16s2624) following the 127 two-step multiplex protocol (Arandjelovic et al., 2009) and sexed them with the amelogenin assay 128 (Bradley et al., 2001). PCR products were run on an agarose gel to confirm amplification success and 129 absence of contamination in blanks. Up to four loci were pooled, based on fluorophores and product 130 sizes, and run on the ABI GeneAnalyzer (ThermoFisher Scientific). We scored genotypes manually in 131 GeneMapper v5.0 (Chatterii & Pachter, 2006) and used Cervus v3.0.7 (Kalinowski et al., 2007) to 132 identify individuals. Samples were considered to originate from the same individual if their genotypes 133 matched at five or more loci without mismatches, with the probability of identity assuming full-sibling 134 relationship (PIDsib) less than 0.05. We manually generated consensus individual genotypes from 135 matching samples, taking into account the time and place of sample collection, and evidence about the 136 presence of other individuals from the same group.

137 We tested for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, heterozygote deficiency, and 138 linkage disequilibrium at each locus in GenePop v4.7.5 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995; Rousset, 2008). 139 Genetic population structure was assessed using STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Porras-Hurtado et al., 2013) 140 with 20 independent runs for K = 1-11 (corresponding to the eleven social groups), an 100,000-141 iteration burn-in, and data collection for 1,000,000 runs, assuming population admixture and 142 correlated allele frequencies (Falush et al., 2003). Results from different runs of K were merged in 143 CLUMPP (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007; Kopelman et al., 2015), and analyzed and visualized in 144 'pophelper' in R (Francis, 2017; R Core Team, 2021). The most likely value of K was determined 145 using ΔK (Evanno et al., 2005). We used the 'adegenet' R package for Principle Component Analysis 146 (PCA) based on individual genotypes (Jombart, 2008). Population differentiation statistics F_{ST} and F'_{ST}

(Meirmans & Hedrick, 2011) were calculated in GenoDive v3.04 (Meirmans, 2020), and significance
assessed with 9999 permutations. We compared genetic relatedness between populations and social
groups using an AMOVA in the R package 'poppr' (Kamvar et al., 2014) and calculated pairwise
relatedness (*r*) between all individuals within KBNP and NCA separately in ML-Relate (Kalinowski et
al., 2006).

152

153 Characterization of gorilla diet

154 We characterized the diet of 92 unique individuals identified by genotyping (see Results), 155 from nine social groups and two lone silverbacks (Table S1, S2). We aimed to analyze a single nest 156 site per group, but have also included individuals from additional nest sites of the same group if they 157 were collected during the same year and season to maximize the number of studied individuals (Table 158 S2). A single sample per individual was studied. The majority of our samples were collected during 159 the dry season, but we also included some samples, social groups (Chimanuka) and one population 160 (MNP) that were collected during the rainy season (Table S2). We present our analyses with and 161 without these samples.

162 We amplified the P6 loop of the trnL chloroplast intron (Taberlet et al., 2007), a locus that has 163 been successfully used for dietary metabarcoding in primates, and for which a large database of 164 tropical plants is available (Mallott et al., 2018). We used the standard trnL g and h primers (Table 165 S3), tagged with 96 eight-base-pair (bp) barcodes. Each barcode differed from all others at a minimum 166 of three positions. DNA amplifications were carried out in 20 µl reactions containing 2 µl fecal DNA 167 extract, 1 U Platinum II Tag Hot-Start DNA polymerase, 1x Platinum II Buffer, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 2 168 mM MgCl₂, and 1 µM each primer. Each DNA sample was amplified twice. The duplicates were 169 placed randomly on different PCR plates to avoid potential batch effects and biases due to cross-170 contamination of sample and/or barcoded primer (Table S1). We included one PCR negative and two 171 to three empty wells per plate, to check for contamination during PCR (Taberlet et al., 2018). In 172 addition, we included five DNA extraction blanks. PCR conditions consisted of 2 minutes 173 denaturation at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 51°C for 30 seconds, and 68°C for

174 15 seconds, without final extension. PCR products were checked on a 2% agarose gel to confirm175 amplification without contamination.

The barcoded PCR products were pooled column-wise (16 μ l for each sample, duplicates in separate pools), mixed with 640 μ l PB Buffer, and purified using MinElute columns (Qiagen, The Netherlands), eluting in 50 μ l EB buffer. Double-indexed next-generation sequencing libraries (Kircher et al., 2012) were prepared as detailed (Brealey et al., 2020; Rohland et al., 2015) but using not-barcoded incomplete adapters after blunt-end repair. Two library preparation blanks were carried through all steps. Each pool was quantified using qPCR with PreHyb primers (**Table S3**; Rohland et al., 2015) and amplification settings as in Brealey et al. (2020).

183 Each sample pool and both library blanks received a unique combination of indices (Table 184 S1). For indexing PCR, we used the same reaction mixture and cycling conditions as Brealey et al. 185 (2020). The number of cycles ranged from 8 to 10, depending on the copy number estimated from 186 qPCR (Table S1). Library preparation blanks were amplified for 10 cycles to maximize capture of 187 potential contaminants. We performed MinElute purification and quantified indexed pools with qPCR, 188 as above, using i7 and i5 primers (Rohland et al., 2015, Table S3). Indexed sample pools were 189 combined in equimolar amounts, except for library preparation blanks, of which we added 0.5 µl each 190 into the final pool, corresponding to the lowest amount added for any sample. The final sequencing 191 pool was cleaned using AmPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, USA) with two elutions (0.5x followed 192 by 1.8x), which remove very large fragments and fragments <100bp, respectively. This size selection 193 is optimized for the retention of trnL amplicons (~10-150bp in length + 148 bp of barcoded and 194 indexed adapters). Elution was performed in 30 µl of EB buffer. The cleaned library pool was 195 quantified using both a Qubit High Sensitivity fluorometer and 2200 TapeStation and sequenced at the 196 Uppsala Science for Life Laboratory on a single MiSeq lane with 150 bp paired-end sequencing with 197 version 2 chemistry.

Sequence processing and analysis was done in OBITools v1.2.13 (Boyer et al., 2016). Paired reads with quality scores >40 and overlap >10 bp were retained and merged. Sample of origin for each read was established through its index and barcode, requiring an exact sequence match. Sequences

were clustered into molecular operational taxonomic units (MOTUs), each representing a unique plant taxon (Valentini et al., 2009). A large number of MOTUs had fewer than 10 sequences across all samples and were removed as recommended (*e.g.*, Shehzad et al., 2012). We also removed sequences that differed by exactly one nucleotide from a more abundant sequence and had a total count less than 5% of the more abundant sequence, following Boyer et al. (2016).

Finally, taxonomic assignment used a custom-made reference database (below). Based on a frequency plot of identity to the reference database (**Figure S1**) and similar *trn*L-based studies of tropical primate diet (*e.g.*, Quéméré et al., 2013), we removed sequences below an identity threshold of 0.90. Below this, sequences were regarded as likely chimeric, enriched in sequencing or PCR errors. No singletons were present after this filtering step.

211

212 *Compiling plant* trn*L reference database*

213 We built a local DNA barcoding reference library by downloading all 324,502 available 214 sequences from NCBI GenBank using the search query: "(trnL[All Fields] OR complete genome[All 215 Fields]) AND (plants[filter] AND (chloroplast[filter] OR plastid[filter]))" (last accessed 2 December 216 2021). In OBITools v1.2.13, the sequence list was annotated with taxonomy information downloaded 217 from NCBI (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/taxdump.tar.gz, last accessed 3 December 2021). To 218 complete the database of *trnL* genes, we followed established protocol (Boyer et al., 2016), using the 219 same *trn*L g-h primers as in the wet laboratory to extract *trn*L variants *in silico* in the program ecoPCR 220 v2.1 (Ficetola et al., 2010). We kept sequences that were between 10 to 230 base pairs long with at 221 most three primer mismatches total (Taberlet et al., 2018). The final database contained 21,308 trnL in 222 *silico* amplicons, in 608 families and 5,662 genera.

To evaluate the resolution of our reference database with respect to local plant diversity, we compared plant taxa present in our database to a list of plants known to occur in the Kahuzi and Itebero regions of KBNP (Yumoto et al., 1994). To enable this comparison, we updated the taxonomic classification of the KBNP plant list (Yumoto et al., 1994) by searching for species names in the

Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF). The updated list contained 328 taxa, in 81 unique
families and 234 genera. Of these, all families and 77.4% of genera were present in our *trn*L database.

229

230 Characterization of gorilla gut microbiome

We characterized gut microbial composition in 70 individuals from KBNP and NCA populations using a single sample per individual (**Table S2**). We selected the same sample that was used for dietary analyses and only dry season samples from the Bansamba group in NCA. To quantify possible contamination, we also carried nine random extraction blanks through the entire data generation process.

236 The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with primers 515F/806R (Table S3) for 237 each sample in duplicate. The PCR reaction contained 2 µl of extracted DNA, 5 µM each of the 238 forward and reverse primer, 1x Phusion High-Fidelity Buffer, 0.02 units Phusion HF DNA polymerase 239 $(2U/\mu l)$, 0.012 mg DMSO and 0.05 μ M (each) dNTPs, with the volume made up to 20 μ l with 240 Ultrapure H2O. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 30 seconds at 98°C, 25 cycles of 98°C 241 for 10 seconds, 52°C for 20 seconds and 72°C for 20 seconds, and 10 minutes at 72°C. PCR cycles 242 were limited to 25 to minimize the risk of unspecified products and chimeras. Duplicate reactions were 243 pooled and cleaned with AmPure beads (Qiagen).

244 Next-generation sequencing libraries were prepared from PCR products following the double-245 barcoding, double-indexing strategy (Kircher et al., 2012; Meyer & Kircher, 2010; Rohland et al., 246 2015; van der Valk et al., 2017). As a result, each sample had a unique combination of two barcodes 247 and two indices, which enabled bioinformatic filtering of potential chimeric molecules and 248 misassigned reads resulting from index hopping (van der Valk et al., 2017, 2020). For indexing, we 249 determined the suitable number of PCR cycles (8-11) based on qPCR of barcoded libraries, as above. 250 Indexed libraries were quantified by qPCR and pooled in equimolar amounts for sequencing on a 251 single MiSeq lane, using version 2 chemistry and 250 bp paired end sequencing at the Uppsala 252 Science for Life Laboratory sequencing facility.

Sequencing reads were demultiplexed and adapters removed using a Python script (Brealey et al., 2021). We followed established protocol to estimate microbial amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016), rather than clustering sequences, which avoids biases due to arbitrary similarity thresholds (Edgar, 2018). Forward and reverse reads were truncated to 200 and 150 bp, respectively, at which point read quality scores dropped below 35. We merged paired-end reads, requiring an overlap of at least 12 bp, and removed sequences outside the 250-256 bp range and those with any barcode mismatch, as recommended (Callahan et al., 2016).

Taxonomy was assigned using the SILVA 132 reference database, released in December 2017 (Quast et al., 2012). Species-level assignment required a strict 100% match (Edgar, 2018). We removed singletons and ASVs labeled 'Unassigned', 'Eukaryota', "mitochondria", or "chloroplast". We retained Archaea, although archaeal amplification from the V4 region of the *16S rRNA* is limited (Raymann et al., 2017), because within-dataset comparisons are nonetheless informative. We built a bacterial phylogenetic tree by aligning sequences to the Greengenes 13_5 mega-phylogeny (203,452 99% OTUs; DeSantis et al., 2006) in SEPP using default parameters (Mirarab et al., 2012).

267

268 Statistical analyses of trnL and 16S datasets

269 To examine dietary and microbiome diversity, we analyzed the trnL and 16S rRNA 270 metabarcoding datasets, after first filtering out rare sequence variants below 0.5% relative abundance 271 in at least one sample, as suggested (Deagle et al., 2019). We evaluated sampling effort and 272 sequencing depth accumulation curves in the R packages 'vegan' (Oksanen et al., 2020) and 273 'ranacapa' (Kandlikar et al., 2018), respectively. We checked whether the predicted number of taxa 274 (asymptote of the sequencing accumulation curve) minus actual number of taxa (richness) related to 275 any of the considered biological variables or sequencing depth (read count) using a generalized linear 276 model (GLM) with quasi-Poisson error distribution in the R package 'lme4' (Bates et al., 2015).

We calculated two alpha diversity metrics for each dataset: richness, or the number of taxa, and Shannon's diversity index, or evenness (Chao et al., 2014). As recommended by McMurdie & Holmes (2013), we did not rarefy to minimum sequencing depth. To test the effects of population,

social group, altitude, sex, and age class on diversity metrics, we fitted a GLM with quasi-Poisson (for richness) or gamma (for evenness) error distribution with logit link function, followed by Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) *post-hoc* comparisons between levels of categorical variables that were overall significant (χ^2 test with Bonferroni correction) (Lenth et al., 2021).

284 To assess trends in diet and microbiome beta diversity, or composition, we followed a strategy 285 designed for the compositional nature of metabarcoding data (Gloor et al., 2017; Weiss et al., 2017). 286 We used Bayesian multiplicative zero replacement and then centered and log-ratio (CLR) transformed 287 each dataset using the R packages 'zcompositions' (Palarea-Albaladejo & Martín-Fernández, 2015) 288 and 'compositions' (van den Boogaart & Tolosana-Delgado, 2008). For the microbiome dataset, we 289 secondarily used Phylogenetic Isometric Log-Ratio Transform (phILR) to compute compositional 290 abundance at phylogenetic balances (Silverman et al., 2017). To evaluate variation in composition of 291 diet and microbiome, we computed Aitchison's dissimilarity (Euclidean distance between CLR 292 values) (Aitchison et al., 2000). To quantitatively estimate which factors best predict variation in diet 293 and gut microbiome, we modeled the composition in CLR (or phILR) transformed space as a function 294 of ecological and biological variables using PERMANOVA, via function adonis2 in 'vegan' 295 (Anderson & Walsh, 2013). The predictor variables were population, social group, sex, age class, and 296 altitude. Sequencing read count was kept as the first predictor, even if p > 0.05. Post-hoc comparisons 297 between levels of overall significant variables were done with Bonferroni correction using 298 'pairwiseAdonis' (Arbizu, 2020). The influence of genetic distance (1 - genetic relatedness) was 299 modeled separately within each population using Mantel and partial Mantel tests (controlling for 300 social group identity).

We estimated the covariance between diet and microbiome using a co-inertia analysis between the two matrices in the package 'omicade4' and calculated the RV coefficient (Escoufier, 1973; Robert & Escoufier, 1976) and its significance using a Monte Carlo test with 999 permutations (Meng et al., 2014). To compare the effects of diet and other variables on the gut microbiome, we fit a Multiple Regression on Matrices (MRM) model (Lichstein, 2007), an extension of the partial Mantel test, in 'ecodist' (Goslee & Urban, 2007). The explanatory variables were straight-line geographic

distance, altitude difference, diet composition (Aitchison distance), and social group and population as
binary (same, 0, or different, 1). Significance was assessed using 999 permutations of the response
variable, the Aitchison distance matrix of gut microbiome composition.

Differences in beta diversity can be due to differential abundance of a few key organisms, or subtle differences across an entire community. To identify dietary and microbial taxa that may have driven compositional differences, we used the R package 'ALDEx2' and focused on significant differences (Wilcoxon rank sum test with correction for false discovery rate (FDR) p < 0.05) with effect sizes >1, as recommended (Gloor et al., 2017).




Figure 1. (A) Map of Grauer's gorilla fecal sampling locations from Maiko National Park (MNP; designated with cyan on the left-hand side of the map), Nkuba Conservation Area (NCA; green) and Kahuzi-Biega National Park (KBNP; brown), with (B) inset zooming in on different social groups in KBNP. Circle colors designate social groups, coded as in (C). Note that multiple circles are present for the Chimanuka group, consistent with opportunistic sampling of identified individuals. Geographic coordinates were not available for the Mankoto group. The table in (C) shows the sample size (N=number of unique individuals, f=number of females, m=number of males) used for dietary and gut microbiome characterization of each social group. Only diet but not gut microbiome data is available for samples shaded in gray. Bansamba group (NCA) was sampled repeatedly, but only few samples were included in dietary analyses in later years (three from 2016 and three from 2018). Also shown for each social group are: collection year and season, altitude, and N_{geneque}, the total number of successfully genotyped samples.

329 **Results**

330 Study populations of Grauer's gorillas are genetically differentiated

We identified 92 unique individuals in the three study populations by microsatellite genotyping: 59 in KBNP, 28 in NCA, and 5 in MNP (**Figure 1, Table S2**). Individuals belonged to six different social groups and two solitary adult males (lone silverbacks) in KBNP, two social groups in NCA, and one group in MNP. Genotyping revealed that each individual was sampled 1-13 times, with 4-17 individuals per social group.

None of the 12 microsatellite loci deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (p > 0.1). On average, there were 6.1 alleles per locus (**Table S2**). The average observed and expected heterozygosities were 0.66 and 0.68, respectively. The test for global heterozygote deficiency was not significant overall (p = 0.6) or in any population (p > 0.4). The test for genotypic linkage disequilibrium using log likelihood ratio statistic with 66 pairwise comparisons between the 12 loci was not significant for any pair (p > 0.1). Thus, we assumed linkage equilibrium and considered all loci in further analyses.

343 Analysis of the three populations using STRUCTURE (Porras-Hurtado et al., 2013) revealed 344 two distinct genetic groups (optimal K=2 according to ΔK ; Evanno et al., 2005; Figure S2). The 345 clusters differentiated gorillas in high-altitude KBNP (2500 m asl) from those in low-altitude NCA 346 and MNP (600-830 m asl) (Figure S3), consistent with the PCA (Figure 2A). All three populations 347 were significantly differentiated from one another ($F'_{ST} = 0.26-0.45$; p < 0.001; Table S4A), with 348 largest differences between MNP and KBNP, which are furthest apart geographically (215km). 349 Individuals within social groups were more closely related than individuals in different groups in the 350 same population (AMOVA $\phi = 0.12$, p < 0.001; Table S4B), consistent with gorilla social structure 351 (Harcourt & Stewart, 2013).




Figure 2. (A) PCA of genetic distances among individuals based on microsatellites. NMDS of (B) dietary composition and (C) gut microbiome composition, both in Aitchison distances. Individual samples are coloured by population of origin, with 95% confidence interval ellipses for each population (brown = KBNP, green = NCA, cyan = MNP, as in Figure 1).

359 Negative controls in trnL and 16S rRNA metabarcoding

360 To quantify contamination in the diet (trnL) and the gut microbiome (16S rRNA) dataset, we 361 analyzed DNA extraction blanks, PCR negative controls, unused barcode combinations and library 362 preparation negative controls (for diet) (Table S2; Table S5; Table S6). In the diet dataset, the 363 extraction and PCR negative controls contained 16 trnL reads in total, identified to 12 different plant 364 taxa. Each taxon had one to three reads summed across all negative controls, yet up to 3,620-154,357 365 reads per sample (Table S5). There were no reads with unused barcode combinations, suggesting that 366 cross-contamination of barcodes during PCR and library preparation was negligible. In the 367 microbiome dataset, four extraction blanks had 90 reads in total, whereas the remaining five had none 368 (Table S6). These mapped to eight different 16S taxa, with three to 26 reads each. As with the diet 369 data, these taxa were among the most abundant in the samples (up to 2,244-14,307 reads per sample). 370 This pattern is consistent with low-level cross-contamination from high quantity into low quantity 371 samples typical for large-scale sequencing studies (Eisenhofer et al., 2019).

372

373 Diet of Grauer's gorillas

We characterized the diet of 92 Grauer's gorilla individuals (**Table 1C**) using the chloroplast *trnL P6 loop* locus. After data filtering, we retained 5,367,160 *trnL* sequencing reads (corresponding to 45% of raw reads) belonging to 120 unique taxa (**Table S7A**). PCR replicates were more similar to

377 each other than to other samples in alpha and beta diversity (p < 0.001, Figure S4), and hence their 378 sequencing data were pooled. Sample size and sequencing depth were sufficient to capture dietary 379 diversity in KBNP and NCA, but not in MNP, where only five individuals were sampled 380 (Supplemental Text, Figure S5).

Of the 120 detected dietary plant taxa, 115 could be identified to at least the order level (in 29 different orders), 110 to family (in 49 families), and 44 to genus (in 35 genera) level (**Table S8**). All but 21 taxa have previously been recorded in the Grauer's gorilla diet in KBNP, NCA, and Mt. Tshiaberimu (Kambale, 2018; van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021; Yamagiwa et al., 1994, 2005; Yumoto et al., 1994; **Table S8, columns S-T; Figure S6**). These 21 taxa are, however, present in the region (Spira et al., 2018).

Each Grauer's gorilla fecal sample contained 36 - 80 trnL taxa (mean 58.52 ± 10.83) (Figure 388 3A), with each population showing a different set of most abundant and prevalent plants (Table 1; 389 Table S8). Five plant taxa were found in each sample collected during the dry season in KBNP and 390 NCA, even though they showed very low abundance in some samples (0.1%). Only two plant taxa had 391 abundances over 1% in all three populations (Table 1).

ID	NCBI- based finest taxonomic identity	Distribution-refined probable identity	Mean abundanc e in KBNP	Mean abund in NCA	Mean abund in MNP	KBNP Rank§	NCA Rank§	MNP Rank§
1	Urera sp.	Urera hypselodendron	35.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1	14	19
2	Apocynaceae sp.	Taccazea apiculata	21.0%	0.2%	0.2%	2	16	17
6	Urticaceae sp.	Urticaceae sp.	6.0%	12.9%	0.06%	3	13	22
8	Myristicaceae sp.	Pycnanthus, Staudtia, or Afradisia sp.	0.05%	14.5%	8.1%	13	1	5
5†	Apocynoideae sp.	Baissea, Funtumia, or Motandra sp.	4.6%	8.9%	14.7%	4	2	3
25	Megaphrynium macrostachyum	Megaphrynium macrostachyum	0.01%	3.4%	0.05%	25	3	26
31	Phyllanthaceae sp.	Phyllanthaceae sp.	0.01%	0.01%	18.8%	46	63	1
32	Alafinae sp.	Strophanthus sp.	0.02%	0.2%	12.9%	29	26	2
14†	Ficus sp.	Ficus sp.	2.7%	5.6%	1.9%	8	6	14
13‡	Moraceae sp.	Moraceae sp.	1.8%	3.9%	0.07%	10	4	21

393	Table 1. To	p three most abundant	plant taxa by	y population and	taxa shared across	populations.
575	1 4010 10 10	p intee most acandant	plant tana 0 j	population and	tunta bilarea aerobb	populations

†Taxon greater than 1% relative abundance in all three populations (identifiable also by similar, high rank).

394 395 396 397 398 399 Shaded rows highlight taxa present in every sample collected during the dry season. With the exception of Moraceae sp. (ID 13[‡]), these taxa were also present in each rainy season sample and hence the abundances are shown including samples collected during the rainy season. Moraceae sp. (ID 13[‡]) was missing from one individual in the Chimanuka group (KBNP) collected during the rainy season.

\$Rank is calculated by ranking each taxon by its relative abundance in a sample and calculating its mean rank across all 400 samples in a population. It thus reflects the average abundance rank of a given taxon across all samples in a population.

401

402 Geography, altitude, and social group identity influence dietary diversity and composition in

403 Grauer's gorillas

404 Dietary richness and evenness differed significantly by population and social group identity (p 405 < 0.001, Table S9). Both richness and evenness were significantly higher in low altitude populations 406 (NCA and MNP) than in high-altitude KBNP (mean richness: 66.8±7.5 taxa in MNP, 65.6±6.1 in 407 NCA vs. 54.5 ± 10.4 in KBNP, p < 0.001; evenness: 10.0 ± 1.3 in MNP, 8.4 ± 2.5 in NCA, vs. 5.4 ± 2.6 in 408 KBNP, p < 0.001; Figure S7). Altitude was also a significant predictor of dietary richness and 409 evenness in KBNP (p < 0.001; Figure S8). In contrast, neither individual's sex nor age (age class 410 available for 70 individuals) had an effect on dietary richness or evenness (p > 0.3; Table S9). We 411 obtained qualitatively similar results when analyzing only samples collected during the dry season

412 (excluding Chimanuka group, three individuals from Bansamba group, and MNP; **Table S9**), with the 413 exception that dietary richness did not significantly change with altitude in KBNP (p = 0.2).

414 Hierarchical clustering of dietary composition first separated high altitude (KBNP) from low 415 altitude (NCA and MNP) locations (Figure 3B), even though MNP samples were collected during the 416 rainy season. Within populations, individuals clustered by social group. NMDS ordination showed a 417 similar pattern (Figure 2B). After accounting for sequencing depth, dietary composition was 418 significantly influenced by population (p < 0.001, explaining 27.9% of the variance) and social group 419 (p < 0.001, explaining an additional 21.6%) but not by sex (p = 0.7) or age (p = 0.2; Table 2). All 420 social groups differed significantly from each other (p < 0.05; Table 2), except for some comparisons 421 involving the Mufanzala2 group, which had a small sample size (n=4). Restricting the analysis to two 422 similarly sized social groups in NCA and KBNP collected during the dry season, we confirmed the 423 presence of significant between-group and between-population diet differences (Table S10), 424 supporting the notion that populations and social groups have distinct diets and that our results are not 425 driven by differences in sample size or season.

Using ALDEx2, we identified differentially abundant dietary items across populations. The drivers of the observed population dietary differences were among the most abundant taxa in each population (**Table 1**), most of which were absent or present at very low abundance in other populations (**Figure 3C**; **Table S11**). Out of the 21 previously undescribed food items (see above), 13 were significantly more abundant in low-altitude populations compared to the high-altitude population KBNP (**Table S8, S9; Figure S6**). Within populations, each social group consumed between two and 32 differentially abundant taxa (mean = 11.3 ± 11.6).

- 433
- 434


Variable	Df	B ²	F		Post-hoc tests			
variable		R-		p	Significant pairwise comparisons	p _{Bonferroni}		
Read Count	1	0.01	1.73	0.05	-	-		
Population	2	0.279	17.80	<0.001	NCA – KBNP KBNP – MNP‡ MNP – NCA	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001		
Social Group	6	0.216	4.56	<0.001	KBNPChimanuka‡ – Nouvelle FamilleChimanuka‡ – MankotoChimanuka‡ – MpungweChimanuka‡ - Mufanzala2Chimanuka‡ – NamadiririNouvelle Famille – MankotoNouvelle Famille – MpungweNouvelle Famille – NamadiririMankoto – MpungweMankoto – MpungweMankoto – Nufanzala2Mankoto – NamadiririMpungwe – NamadiririMufanzala2 – NamadiririMufanzala2 – NamadiririMufanzala2 – NamadiririMembe1 – Bansamba(‡)	0.02 0.005 0.005 0.02 0.005 0.02 0.02 0.		
Sex	1	0.005	0.82	0.6	-			
Age class†	2	0.022	1.28	0.1	_			

435 Table 2. PERMANOVA model of factors influencing dietary composition

†Age class (Infants (N=7), Juveniles/subadults (N=21), Adults (N=42)) was modeled separately using a reduced dataset, since only 70 of the 92 samples had age estimates. In this model the other predictor variables had estimates similar to those of the complete dataset. \$Samples from MNP, Chimanuka group in KBNP, and three out of 17 individuals from Bansamba group in NCA were collected during the rainy season, whereas all other samples were collected during the dry season. Removal of these individuals did not affect results (Table

441

S10).



442

Figure 3. (A) Plants consumed by Grauer's gorillas in KBNP, NCA, and MNP. The 15 most abundant taxa across all samples are shown. Populations are designated with coloured bars below (MNP cyan, NCA green, KBNP brown). (B) 445 Hierarchical cluster dendrogram of Ward's sum of squares based on minimum variance of squared dissimilarities (Murtagh 446 & Legendre, 2014) of centered-log-ratio (CLR) transformed taxon abundance. Branches are colored by social group, 447 following the code in Figure 1. (C) Plant taxa in Grauer's gorilla diet, coloured by the population in which they are 448 significantly more abundant (ALDEx2 Wilcoxon test p < 0.05). For taxa that differ between two or more population pairs, 449 the color corresponds to the population with greatest effect size. Gray taxa do not differ significantly in abundance between 450 populations. Branch lengths do not reflect phylogenetic distance. Diagram generated with the 'metacoder' package in R 451 (Foster et al., 2017). 452

453 Gut microbiome of Grauer's gorillas in Kahuzi-Biega National Park and Nkuba Conservation Area

We characterized *16S rRNA* diversity in 70 individuals for which we also had dietary data (Figure 1C; Table S2), using the same samples as for diet. Two samples had low read counts (5 and 348, compared to the mean of $43,611 \pm 11,357$ in other samples) and were excluded. Our final dataset consisted of 68 unique individuals and contained 2,965,516 reads in 417 unique microbial taxa (Table S12).

The sample accumulation analyses suggested that additional sampling of feces from more individuals could uncover novel gut commensals at the population level (**Figure S9A**). However, per sample sequencing depth was sufficient to obtain a good representation of host microbiome diversity (**Figure S9B**). We detected 16 different phyla and 48 different families of microorganisms in the gut microbiome of Grauer's gorillas. All taxa were identified at least to the family level, 309 taxa to the genus and 17 to the species level (**Table S12**). None were closely related to dominant soil

465 microorganisms (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018). There were seven Archaea in our dataset, belonging 466 to the Methanomethylophilaceae and Methanobacteriaceae families. Each fecal sample contained on 467 average 200.29 ± 19.6 taxa (min = 160, max = 237), each with average abundance of $0.2\% \pm 0.4\%$. 468 Eleven taxa were present in every individual gorilla fecal sample from both populations (the core gut 469 microbiome), however, populations differed in the most abundant taxa (Table 3).

470


471 Table 3. Top three most abundant gut microbiome taxa by population and taxa shared across 472 populations.

ASV	NCBI-based finest taxonomic identity	Mean abundance in KBNP	Mean abund NCA	Rank KBNP§	Rank NCA§
3†	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Family XIII; AD3011 group	2.40%	2.49%	1	3
6†	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Erysipelotrichia; Erysipelotrichales; Erysipelotrichaceae; UCG-004	2.32%	1.16%	2	17
4	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Faecalibacterium	2.78%	0.84%	3	39
5†	Bacteria; Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; Rikenellaceae; RC9 gut group	1.61%	6.36%	7	1
2†	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Christensenellaceae; R-7 group	2.90%	3.97%	4	2
1†	Bacteria; Chloroflexi; Anaerolineae; Anaerolineales; Anaerolineaceae; Flexilinea	2.72%	4.27%	6	4
22	Bacteria; Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; Prevotellaceae; Prevotella 7	1.09%	0.18%	10	85
21	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; UCG-005	0.84%	0.99%	13	18
31	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Oribacterium	0.96%	0.27%	19	61
30	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Betaproteobacteriales; Burkholderiaceae; Sutterella	0.95%	0.14%	16	86
33	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Ruminiclostridium 9	0.73%	0.76%	17	35
59	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; UCG-002	0.39%	0.51%	43	31
70	Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Coriobacteriia; Coriobacteriales; Eggerthellaceae; Senegalimassilia	0.32%	0.48%	67	40
152	Bacteria; Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; Prevotellaceae	0.15%	0.11%	100	123
128 [‡]	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Flavonifractor	0.18%	0.22%	82	67
51‡	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Erysipelotrichia; Erysipelotrichales; Erysipelotrichaceae; Solobacterium	0.46%	0.16%	48	98
8‡	Bacteria; Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Oribacterium	2.11%	0.49%	14	37

† Taxon greater than 1% relative abundance in both populations (identifiable also by similar, high rank).

473 474 475 476 477 Shaded rows highlight taxa present in every sample collected during the dry season. With the exceptions of Flavonifractor sp. (ASV128^{\ddagger}), Solobacterium sp., (ASV51^{\ddagger}), and Oribacterium sp. (ASV8^{\ddagger}), these taxa were also present in each rainy season sample and hence the abundances are shown including samples collected during the rainy season. Flavonifractor sp. (ASV128[‡]), Solobacterium sp., (ASV51[‡]), and Oribacterium sp. (ASV8[‡]) were missing from one, one, and two individual(s), 478 respectively, in the Chimanuka group (KBNP) collected during the rainy season.

479 § Rank is calculated by ranking each taxon by its relative abundance in a sample and calculating its mean rank across all 480 samples in a population. It thus reflects the average abundance rank of a given taxon across all samples in a population.






Figure 4. Gut microbiome composition (A) at the family level and (B) showing population clustering in composition, using CLR Aitchison distances dendrogram based on Ward's clustering criterion (Murtagh and Legendre 2014).

- 102
- 493

```
494 Diversity and composition of the gut microbiome in Grauer's gorillas correlates with population
495 and social group identity
```

496 Gut microbiome richness and evenness were significantly higher in the high-altitude 497 population (richness: mean KBNP = 202.2 ± 20.0 taxa vs. NCA = 190.2 ± 14.0 ; p = 0.02; evenness: 498 83.7±17.8 vs. 74.2±12.8, p = 0.01), the opposite trend to diet, although neither microbiome richness 499 nor evenness were related to altitude within KBNP (p = 0.07, 0.9; **Table S13**; **Figure S11**). While 500 richness of the microbiome did not differ by sex (p = 0.2), females had more even microbiomes than 501 males (85.7 vs. 78.5, p = 0.002). There were no differences by age (richness p = 0.3; evenness p =502 0.1). The gut microbiome alpha diversity differed significantly by population even after removing 503 rainy season samples (excluding Chimanuka group; richness p = 0.001, evenness p = 0.008; **Table 504 S13**).

505 Gut microbiome composition differed between the two populations (KBNP and NCA) 506 (Figure 2C) and among social groups (Figure 4A-B), with population explaining 10.5% of the total 507 variance, and social group in KBNP explaining an additional 17.8% (p < 0.001; Table 4). Intergroup 508 differences were significant, including among groups collected during the dry season (Table 4). 509 Overall, gut microbiome dissimilarity was largest between individuals of different populations, 510 followed by individuals from different social groups, and smallest between individuals from the same 511 social group (Figure 5C). While altitude explained 12.7% of the variance across populations (N=56, p 512 < 0.001) it only accounted for 3.8% in KBNP (N=45, p = 0.01). Genetic distance among gorillas was 513 not a significant predictor of gut microbiome composition in NCA (N=11, $\rho = -0.080$, p = 0.7) or 514 KBNP when social group was also considered ($\rho = 0.015$, p = 0.3). Microbiome composition did not 515 differ by sex or age (p > 0.05, Table 4). Results using only dry season samples (Table S14A) and 516 phylogeny-informed (phILR) distances were qualitatively similar (Table S15).

517 We identified 42 taxa that significantly differed in abundance between NCA and KBNP (p <518 0.05, effect size > 1) (**Table S16**). At the family-level, gorilla gut microbiomes in KBNP had a higher 519 abundance of Muribaculaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae, whereas the gut microbiomes in NCA had 520 more Spirochaetaceae and Christensenellaceae. At a finer phylogenetic level, populations differed in 521 abundance of specific ASVs belonging to common, shared families like Rikenellaceae, 522 Lachnospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae.

Verieble	Df	R ²	F	_	Post-hoc tests			
Variable	Dī	H²	F	p	Significant pairwise comparisons	P Bonferroni		
Read Count	1	0.019	1.68	0.05	_			
Population	1	0.105	7.97	<0.001	KBNP – NCA	< 0.001		
Social Group	5	0.178	2.18	<0.001	Chimanuka‡ – Nouvelle Famille Chimanuka‡ – Mankoto Chimanuka‡ – Mpungwe Chimanuka‡ – Namadiriri Nouvelle Famille – Mankoto Nouvelle Famille – Namadiriri Mankoto – Mpungwe Mankoto – Namadiriri	0.004 0.01 0.007 0.004 0.02 0.01 0.007 0.004		
Sex	1	0.014	1.18	0.2	_	•		
Age class†	2	0.031	1.22	0.2	_			

524 **Table 4.** PERMANOVA model of factors influencing microbiome composition

525

†Age class (Infants (N=3), Juveniles/subadults (N=21), Adults (N=38)) was modeled separately using a reduced dataset, since only 62 of the 68 samples had age estimates. In this model the other predictor variables had similar estimates as in the complete dataset. ‡Samples from Chimanuka group in KBNP were collected during the rainy season, whereas all other samples were collected during the dry season. Results were similar when removing Chimanuka (**Table S14A**).

529 530

5<u>2</u>8


531 Diet and gut microbiome co-vary across studied populations

532 Compositional differences in dietary and gut microbial profiles showed significant co-inertia (RV = 0.557, p < 0.001; Figure 5B) and were correlated ($\rho = 0.32$, p < 0.001; Figure 5C), even after 533 534 removing the rainy season samples from Chimanuka (RV = 0.599, p < 0.001; $\rho = 0.35$, p < 0.001). We 535 detected no correspondence between dietary and gut microbial richness (p = 0.2; Figure 5A) or 536 evenness (p = 0.1). Microbiome composition is known to change with diet in individuals and also 537 differs between populations with different dietary preferences (e.g., Reese et al., 2021; Youngblut et 538 al., 2019). However, in our dataset, only population and social group were significantly correlated 539 with gut microbiome composition, whereas dietary composition, geography, and genetic relatedness 540 had no effect after accounting for social group and population of origin (Table 5; Table S14B). As 541 with other analyses, dataset subsampling indicated that results were robust to sample size differences between populations (Table S17). 542

544 **Table 5.** MRM model comparing the effects of geography, diet, and sociodemographic factors on Grauer's gorilla gut microbiome composition:

MRM Model of Gu	It Microbiome Comp	MRM Model Statistics			
Explanatory Variable	Spearman's ρ	p	N	R ²	F-statistic
Geographic distance	0.07	0.4	56	0.278	98.35
Altitudinal difference	-0.08	0.6			
Diet composition†	-0.19	0.07			
Population	0.64	< 0.001			
Social group	0.45	< 0.001			

546 547 † Microbiome and diet composition in Aitchison distances. ‡Model results without Chimanuka are shown in Table S14B.



Dietary Aitchison dissimilarity

549 550 551 552

Figure 5. Relationship between diet and gut microbiome. (A) Microbiome and dietary richness, assessed as per-sample total sequence count, are not correlated (p = 0.2). (B) High multiple co-inertia (MCIA) between microbiome and diet composition in CLR-transformed space with Aitchison distance (RV = 55.7%, MC p < 0.001 based on 999 permutations). (C) Compositional differences (Aitchison distances) in diet and microbiome between samples (i.e., individual gorillas) are correlated in matrix regression.

555 Discussion

556 In this study, we applied fecal genotyping and DNA metabarcoding to identify Grauer's 557 gorilla individuals and characterize their diet and gut microbiome in three populations from across the 558 species' range. We were able to include a so far unstudied population from MNP and show that it is 559 genetically distinct from two previously assessed populations KBNP and NCA (Baas et al., 2018). 560 Grauer's gorillas occur across the greatest altitudinal range of all gorilla taxa (Plumptre et al., 2016) 561 and our study sites include the low and high altitude extremes. This provided us with the opportunity 562 to test for dietary and gut microbial co-differentiation among the isolated populations of this critically 563 endangered great ape. In particular, we set out to investigate if the gut microbiome may facilitate local 564 adaptation by supporting digestion of diverse foods. Alternatively, the presence of conserved dietary 565 patterns across populations along with a core gut microbiome would be indicative of a stabilizing role 566 of gut microorganisms, which may limit ecological adaptation. Gorillas consume a wide variety of 567 herbaceous vegetation, and fruits, when available, and their diet shows seasonal variation (Harcourt & 568 Stewart, 2013; Rogers et al., 2004; Rothman et al., 2008; Yamagiwa et al., 1994). In Grauer's gorillas, 569 studies that rely on different methodologies suggested some differences in diet between populations 570 (van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021; Yamagiwa et al., 2005). However, previous studies did not assess 571 the gut microbiome, and hence could not characterize its contribution to these differences.

572 Our joint diet and gut microbiome analyses provide little evidence for dietary conservation 573 across populations but uncover a stable set of gut microorganisms that are shared among 574 geographically, genetically, and ecologically distinct populations of Grauer's gorillas. We detect co-575 variation in diet and microbiome, likely as a result of habitat differences and social factors among 576 populations and social groups. Our results are thus consistent with the notion that the gut microbiome, 577 although being conserved to some degree, provides sufficient flexibility to allow exploitation of 578 diverse dietary resources and hence could contribute to local adaptation. In addition, we obtain 579 evidence that dietary choice in Grauer's gorillas is at least partially determined by plant availability, 580 with a larger dietary repertoire at lower elevations.

582 New insights into Grauer's gorilla diet and feeding behavior

583 Grauer's gorillas in the three study populations consumed 120 different plant taxa (Table S8), 584 which is similar to the dietary composition and diversity reported in observational studies (116 and 585 100 different plants; van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021; Yamagiwa et al., 2005; respectively). Low 586 altitude populations consumed a greater diversity of plants than high altitude populations (Figure 3; 587 Figure S7; Table S9), consistent with higher biodiversity (including plant diversity) at lower altitudes 588 (Imani et al., 2016; Rahbek, 1995). We documented an average of 54-66 different plant taxa in each 589 fecal sample, which is considerably more than reported daily diversity of consumed plants per 590 individual based on behavioral observations (17 plant taxa per day on average in KBNP; Yamagiwa et 591 al., 2005). In captivity, gorilla gut retention time was estimated to be 24 to 60 hours (Remis, 2000). 592 Therefore, each fecal sample could represent plants consumed over the period of up to three days, with 593 some items digested faster than others. Alternatively, our method could capture taxa that are missed in 594 observational studies because they are consumed infrequently or in small quantities, at times of the 595 day that are rarely observed (early in the morning or late in the evening), or which may be 596 contaminants, parts of nest building material or involved in play or display and unrelated to diet. We 597 discuss other potential limitations of molecular dietary analyses in detail below.

We detected 21 plant taxa that have, to our knowledge, not been reported as Grauer's gorilla foods (**Table S8**; **Figure S6**). Some of these plants grow in KBNP (Spira et al., 2018) and are consumed by mountain gorillas (*e.g.*, Solanoideae; Rothman et al., 2014; Watts, 1984) or western lowland gorillas (*e.g.*, Laruales; Remis et al., 2001). Other plants, such as *Gnetum* and Humiriaceae, have not been documented in KBNP but are western lowland gorilla foods (Rogers et al., 2004; Takenoshita & Yamagiwa, 2008), which is consistent with their significantly higher abundance in the low-altitude sites of MNP and NCA.

605 Grauer's gorillas in different populations consumed distinct diets (Figure 2, 3; Table 1, 2), 606 with only two taxa shared across all three populations at an average abundance >1% per sample: *Ficus* 607 *sp.* and Apocynoideae sp. (likely *Baissea sp., Funtumia sp.*, or *Motandra sp.* based on plant 608 distribution; Spira et al., 2018). At broader taxonomic scales, all individuals consumed four plant

609 families (Urticaceae, Apocynaceae, Moraceae, and Vitaceae), but the relative abundances varied 610 considerably across populations, from less than 1% to up to 42%. The detection of shared taxa 611 suggests that the same plants or their close relatives are present in the habitat of all three populations. 612 However, the pronounced differences in their relative abundance suggest either that (1) their 613 availability differs across study sites, and gorilla dietary choice is essentially passive and primarily 614 based on food availability, or (2) that gorilla dietary choice is strongly determined by social factors, 615 and food selection is a result of variation in culturally-transmitted feeding preference that differ across 616 populations and social groups. Higher dietary diversity of low-altitude populations supports the first 617 notion of rather opportunistic consumption of available plants. However, we also uncover distinct 618 dietary signatures of social groups from the same population, which is consistent with social factors 619 playing a role. Since gorilla groups show extensive range overlap, they would be well-suited for future 620 investigations into the role of cultural versus ecological factors affecting dietary choices by evaluating 621 if group-specific dietary patterns persist even when different social groups use the same habitat.

622

623 The role of Grauer's gorilla gut microbiome in ecological adaptation

624 In accordance with previous studies (Amato et al., 2019; Campbell et al., 2020; Gomez et al., 625 2016b; Moeller et al., 2014), we detect evidence for the presence of a Grauer's gorilla core gut 626 microbiome (Table 3, Figure 4). We identified eleven taxa belonging to cellulose- and other 627 carbohydrate-degrading clades that were present in all study samples. Many of the microbial phyla, 628 families, genera that are conserved across Grauer's gorilla samples are also common in other great ape 629 gut microbiomes, including western lowland gorillas, chimpanzees, and humans (Campbell et al., 630 2020; Fontsere et al., 2021; Gomez et al., 2015, 2016b; Hicks et al., 2018; Nishida & Ochman, 2019). 631 Despite shared taxa, the gut microbiome composition in Grauer's gorillas differed by population and 632 to a lesser extent by social group, but considerably less so than dietary composition. This could be 633 explained by the functional constraints placed on the gut microbiome, with key taxa required to 634 perform essential functions in digestion. Other taxa may be allowed to vary and co-diversify with the 635 host. Indeed, inter-species studies in primates find a strong effect of host evolutionary relationships on

636 gut microbiome structure and composition (Amato et al., 2019) and we expect to detect similar, albeit637 less pronounced differences across isolated populations.

638 Our results indicate that dietary choice is not constrained by the gut microbiome. This is in 639 line with many studies showing that animals, including all subspecies of gorillas (Harcourt & Stewart, 640 2013), experience seasonal dietary changes, which are also accompanied by gut microbiome changes 641 (Baniel et al., 2021; Gomez et al., 2016a; Hicks et al., 2018; Orkin et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2020). 642 Here we detect differences between isolated populations, sampled during the same season, which are 643 likely the joint result of gut microbiome-host co-diversification and plasticity of the gut microbial 644 community that may facilitate local adaptation to different environmental conditions. Inter-population 645 differences tended to derive from differential abundance of specific taxa within common bacterial 646 families, like Lachnospiraceae and Rikellenaceae, which is consistent with the presence of the core 647 microbiome in our study populations. There were, however, several differences at the family level that 648 exemplify microbiome plasticity. For example, compared to KBNP, Treponema (ASV322, 649 Spirochaetaceae) was significantly more abundant in NCA, where the plant taxa Marantaceae and 650 Zingiberaceae were more abundant. Hicks et al. (2018) found the same correspondence between these 651 gut microbial and dietary taxa in western lowland gorillas and suggested that it was due to the high 652 fiber content of these fallback foods, which are also important for Grauer's gorillas at low-elevation 653 (van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021).

654 We detect no effects of genetic relatedness or geographic distance on gut microbiome 655 composition, despite clear group-specific microbiome patterns. Our findings thus support previous 656 studies that show the influence of sociality on gut microbiome composition in primates (chimpanzees, 657 Degnan et al., 2012; Moeller et al., 2016; baboons, Tung et al., 2015; colobus monkeys, Wikberg et 658 al., 2020; black howler monkeys, Amato et al., 2017; sifakas, Perofsky et al., 2017, 2021; Rudolph et 659 al., 2022; humans, Dill-McFarland et al., 2019) and other group-living animals (e.g. bighorn sheep, 660 Couch et al., 2020). Members of the same social group travel together and experience the same 661 environments over extended periods of time, which could synchronize their diet and also their 662 microbiome. The gut microbiome may in addition be directly influenced by social interactions, such as

grooming and coprophagy (Amato et al., 2016; Archie & Tung, 2015; Graczyk & Cranfield, 2003).
However, this does not mean that host genetics are unimportant, as longitudinal studies in Amboseli
baboons have shown that the primate gut microbiome is highly heritable, which cannot easily be
detected in shorter-term studies (Grieneisen et al., 2021).

667 The plasticity of the gut microbiome supports its potential role in facilitating adaptation to 668 different ecological conditions, which has important consequences for species evolution, dispersal and 669 conservation. Adaptation to changes in ecological conditions as a result of climate change, range 670 expansion, or population dispersal into novel habitats may be supported by the ability to digest diverse 671 foods. Several studies have reported habitat-biased dispersal in mammals, including in mountain 672 gorillas (Guschanski et al., 2008), where individual dispersal decisions appear to be driven by the 673 availability of familiar foods. If the microbiome was implicated in restricting dietary choice, we would 674 expect much greater conservation of dietary items across populations than what we observe here, 675 particularly as similar food plants appear to be available in different regions. This means that gut 676 microbiome flexibility may provide the necessary support for translocations of individuals or 677 populations into different habitats, which is an open question in conservation management (West et 678 al., 2019). Nevertheless, the gut microbiome may impose constraints on the diet by driving selection 679 of foods of similar nutrient content, even if they differ taxonomically. For example, giant pandas have 680 typical carnivore gut microbiomes despite being bamboo specialists because the nutritional value of 681 consumed bamboo is similar to that of meat (Nie et al., 2019). Similarly, the gut microbiome of wild 682 rhesus macaques is strongly correlated to seasonal patterns of macronutrient intake, but not food type 683 (e.g., fruit, leaves, etc.) (Cui et al., 2021). Metabolic analyses of gorilla diet, as performed for different 684 social groups and seasons in other gorilla species (e.g., Gomez et al., 2015; Rothman et al., 2008), will 685 enable investigating whether nutritional values are conserved in different populations.

686

687 Understanding diet and ecology of wild animals requires a combination of approaches

688 As every method, the metabarcoding approach to diet and microbiome faces limitations, 689 specifically in the form of marker gene selection, reference database bias, threshold decisions, and

690 interpretation of abundance. While Grauer's gorillas predominantly feed on vegetative plants, they 691 occasionally consume insects and fungi (van der Hoek, Pazo, et al., 2021; Yamagiwa et al., 1991). By 692 choosing a chloroplast gene, trnL, we restricted dietary characterization in this study to plants only. 693 For dietary analysis of species with omnivorous diets, expanding to multiple loci that are able to 694 characterize the diversity of consumed foods would be necessary (Taberlet et al., 2018). Further, 695 metabarcoding relies on a reference database for taxonomic identification, making it limited by the 696 content of these databases, which may be incomplete for biodiversity-rich or extreme habitats and 697 unstudied microbiomes (Hird, 2017; Taberlet et al., 2018). Similarly, chosen thresholds for sequence 698 identity and relative abundance could remove genuine dietary or microbial constituents. We used 699 conservative sequence identity and relative abundance thresholds similar to those employed in other 700 studies of diet and gut microbiome (Deagle et al., 2019; Hibert et al., 2013; Quéméré et al., 2013; 701 Srivathsan et al., 2016). However, this does not completely guard against removal of genuine taxa, 702 particularly for dietary characterization, due to the small size and high variability of the *trnL* locus. 703 Additionally, estimated abundances of the different plant and microbial taxa may not accurately reflect 704 their abundances (Deagle et al., 2019; Gloor et al., 2017). DNA copy number can be biased by plant 705 tissue type (*i.e.*, fruit, pith, leaves, the latter of which contain more chloroplasts; Egea et al., 2010), the 706 copy number of the rRNA locus, relative digestibility (*i.e.*, amount of fiber), and PCR amplification 707 success (reviewed by Deagle et al., 2019). However, other methods for dietary characterization also 708 face biases. For example, accuracy of macroscopic fecal analysis depends on the types of tissues 709 consumed and the extent of digestion (King & Schoenecker, 2019). Observational studies can 710 overestimate the dietary importance of foods with longer handling times (Matthews et al., 2020) and 711 require habituating study animals, which may make them more vulnerable to poaching and increase 712 exposure to human-transmitted diseases (Green & Gabriel, 2020). Hence, understanding ecological 713 and particularly dietary diversity of different animal species would benefit from a combination of 714 approaches. Molecular methods are particularly suited for the study of unhabituated animals, in 715 regions where tracking over a long time period is not feasible or desirable.

716 The use of shotgun metagenomics will ameliorate many of the limitations described above and 717 allow for more complete interpretation by also enabling functional characterization of gut microbial 718 communities. It would thus be feasible to test if the gut microbiome differs in functional profiles as a 719 result of dietary differences across populations, or if functions remain conserved, suggesting that 720 nutritional values of different diets are indeed similar. With the decrease in sequencing costs and 721 massive growth of whole genome reference databases that become available as a result of genome 722 sequencing initiatives (Formenti et al., 2022; Lewin et al., 2018), the use of shotgun metagenomics 723 will increase in the coming years, fueling the application of the hologenomic framework to wild 724 animal populations.

725

726 Conclusions

727 Our results suggest that the animal gut microbiome may contribute to adaptation to new 728 environments, while retaining a core set of potentially essential constituents. We provide evidence that 729 this microbial plasticity is associated with dietary flexibility, and as such the gut microbiome may 730 enable the host to exploit new resources, a precursor to local adaptation. If so, the microbiome may 731 indirectly encourage subsequent cultural adaptation to feeding on new dietary items. We emphasize 732 the utility of fecal sampling for minimally-invasive population monitoring of different aspects of 733 endangered species biology, from genetics to ecology and foraging behavior. Despite its limitations, a 734 molecular approach can reveal otherwise clandestine insights into the biology of elusive animals and 735 is particularly powerful when combined with traditional observational methods. Our results highlight 736 the importance of incorporating multiple axes of population differentiation into studies of endangered 737 animals, since safeguarding ecological and genetic biodiversity is the primary objective of species 738 conservation.

740 Acknowledgements

741 We thank L'Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature (ICCN) and local landowners and 742 community members for permitting us to work in the Kahuzi-Biega National Park and the Nkuba 743 Conservation Area. We are indebted to numerous rangers and field assistants in Kahuzi-Biega 744 National Park as well as field assistants, gorilla trackers, and local community members in Nkuba 745 Conservation Area who, through their effort and commitment, support this project and ensure the 746 survival of the Grauer's gorillas. This research was supported by the Royal Physiographic Society of 747 Lund Jan Löfqvist and Nilsson-Ehle Endowments to KG, the Erasmus Mundus Master Programme in 748 Evolutionary Biology Consortium Scholarship to AM, and the Swedish Phytogeographical Society 749 and the Extensus Foundation Grants to LP. The Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund's work in DRC was 750 supported by individual donations and grants from the Great Ape Conservation Fund of the US Fish 751 and Wildlife Service, the Arcus Foundation, the Daniel L. Thorne Foundation, and the Turner 752 Foundation. Sequencing was performed by the SNP&SEQ Technology Platform in Uppsala. The 753 SNP&SEQ Technology Platform is part of the National Genomics Infrastructure Sweden and Science 754 of Life Laboratory. The SNP&SEO Platform is also supported by the Swedish Research Council and 755 the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. We also acknowledge the National Bioinformatics 756 Infrastructure for providing computational resources to this project.

758 References

- Agranyoni, O., Meninger-Mordechay, S., Uzan, A., Ziv, O., Salmon-Divon, M., Rodin, D., Raz, O., Koman, I.,
 Koren, O., Pinhasov, A., & Navon-Venezia, S. (2021). Gut microbiota determines the social behavior of
 mice and induces metabolic and inflammatory changes in their adipose tissue. *Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes*, 7(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00193-9
- Aitchison, J., Barceló-Vidal, C., Martín-Fernández, J. A., & Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. (2000). Logratio analysis and
 compositional distance. *Mathematical Geology*, 32(3), 271–275.
- Alberdi, A., Aizpurua, O., Bohmann, K., Zepeda-Mendoza, M. L., & Gilbert, M. T. P. (2016). Do vertebrate gut
 metagenomes confer rapid ecological adaptation? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *31*(9), 689–699.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.06.008
- Amato, K. R., G. Sanders, J., Song, S. J., Nute, M., Metcalf, J. L., Thompson, L. R., Morton, J. T., Amir, A., J.
 McKenzie, V., Humphrey, G., Gogul, G., Gaffney, J., L. Baden, A., A.O. Britton, G., P. Cuozzo, F., Di
 Fiore, A., J. Dominy, N., L. Goldberg, T., Gomez, A., ... R. Leigh, S. (2019). Evolutionary trends in host
 physiology outweigh dietary niche in structuring primate gut microbiomes. *ISME Journal*, *13*(3), 576–587.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0175-0
- Amato, K. R., Martinez-Mota, R., Righini, N., Raguet-Schofield, M., Corcione, F. P., Marini, E., Humphrey, G.,
 Gogul, G., Gaffney, J., Lovelace, E., Williams, L. S., Luong, A., Dominguez-Bello, M. G., Stumpf, R. M.,
 White, B., Nelson, K. E., Knight, R., & Leigh, S. R. (2016). Phylogenetic and ecological factors impact the
 gut microbiota of two Neotropical primate species. *Oecologia*, *180*(3), 717–733.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3507-z
- Amato, K. R., Van Belle, S., Di Fiore, A., Estrada, A., Stumpf, R., White, B., Nelson, K. E., Knight, R., &
 Leigh, S. R. (2017). Patterns in gut microbiota similarity associated with degree of sociality among sex
 classes of a neotropical primate. *Microbial Ecology*, 74(1), 250–258. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-0170938-6
- Anderson, M. J., & Walsh, D. C. I. (2013). PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the face of
 heterogeneous dispersions: what null hypothesis are you testing? *Ecological Monographs*, 83(4), 557–574.
- Arandjelovic, M., Guschanski, K., Schubert, G., Harris, T. R., Thalmann, O., Siedel, H., & Vigilant, L. (2009).
 Two-step multiplex polymerase chain reaction improves the speed and accuracy of genotyping using DNA
 from noninvasive and museum samples. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 9(1), 28–36.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02387.x
- Arbizu, P. M. (2020). *pairwiseAdonis: pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis*. https://github.
 com/pmartinezarbizu/pairwiseAdonis.
- Archie, E. A., & Tung, J. (2015). Social behavior and the microbiome. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*,
 6, 28–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.07.008
- Baas, P., van der Valk, T., Vigilant, L., Ngobobo, U., Binyinyi, E., Nishuli, R., Caillaud, D., & Guschanski, K.
 (2018). Population-level assessment of genetic diversity and habitat fragmentation in critically endangered
 Grauer's gorillas. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 165(3), 565–575.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23393
- Baniel, A., Amato, K. R., Beehner, J. C., Bergman, T. J., Mercer, A., Perlman, R. F., Petrullo, L., Reitsema, L.,
 Sams, S., Lu, A., & Snyder-Mackler, N. (2021). Seasonal shifts in the gut microbiome indicate plastic
 responses to diet in wild geladas. *Microbiome*, 9(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00977-9
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4.
 Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1–48.
- Bergmann, G. T., Craine, J. M., Robeson, M. S., & Fierer, N. (2015). Seasonal shifts in diet and gut microbiota
 of the American bison (Bison bison). *PLoS ONE*, 10(11), 1–14.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0142409
- Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Bonin, A., Le Bras, Y., Taberlet, P., & Coissac, E. (2016). obitools: A unix-inspired
 software package for DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 16(1), 176–182.
- Bradley, B. J., Chambers, K. E., & Vigilant, L. (2001). Accurate DNA-based sex identification of apes using
 non-invasive samples. *Conservation Genetics*, 2(2), 179–181. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011847528045
- Brealey, J. C., Leitão, H. G., Hofstede, T., Kalthoff, D. C., & Guschanski, K. (2021). The oral microbiota of wild
 bears in Sweden reflects the history of antibiotic use by humans. *Current Biology*, *31*(20), 4650-4658.e6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.010
- Brealey, J. C., Leitão, H. G., Van-Der-Valk, T., Xu, W., Bougiouri, K., Dalén, L., & Guschanski, K. (2020).
 Dental calculus as a tool to study the evolution of the mammalian oral microbiome. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 37(10), 3003–3022. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa135
- 815 Bueno de Mesquita, C. P., Nichols, L. M., Gebert, M. J., Vanderburgh, C., Bocksberger, G., Lester, J. D., Kalan,

- 816 A. K., Dieguez, P., McCarthy, M. S., Agbor, A., Álvarez Varona, P., Ayimisin, A. E., Bessone, M.,
- 817 Chancellor, R., Cohen, H., Coupland, C., Deschner, T., Egbe, V. E., Goedmakers, A., ... Dunn, R. R.
 - (2021). Structure of chimpanzee gut microbiomes across tropical Africa. *MSystems*, 6(3). https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.01269-20

818

- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2:
 High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, *13*(7), 581–583.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Campbell, T. P., Sun, X., Patel, V. H., Sanz, C., Morgan, D., & Dantas, G. (2020). The microbiome and
 resistome of chimpanzees, gorillas, and humans across host lifestyle and geography. *ISME Journal*, 14(6),
 1584–1599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0634-2
- Chao, A., Gotelli, N. J., Hsieh, T. C., Sander, E. L., Ma, K. H., Colwell, R. K., & Ellison, A. M. (2014).
 Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. *Ecological Monographs*, 84(1), 45–67.
- 829 Chatterji, S., & Pachter, L. (2006). Reference based annotation with GeneMapper. *Genome Biology*, 7(4), 1–10.
- Colston, T. J., & Jackson, C. R. (2016). Microbiome evolution along divergent branches of the vertebrate tree of
 life: what is known and unknown. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(16), 3776–3800.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13730
 Couch, C. E., Arnold, H. K., Crowhurst, R. S., Jolles, A. E., Sharpton, T. J., Witczak, M. F., Epps, C. W., &
- Couch, C. E., Arnold, H. K., Crowhurst, R. S., Jolles, A. E., Sharpton, T. J., Witczak, M. F., Epps, C. W., &
 Beechler, B. R. (2020). Bighorn sheep gut microbiomes associate with genetic and spatial structure across
 a metapopulation. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63401-0
- Cui, Z., Holmes, A. J., Zhang, W., Dalong, H. U., Shao, Q., Wang, Z., Jiqi, L. U., & Raubenheimer, D. (2021).
 Seasonal diet and microbiome shifts in wild rhesus macaques are better correlated at the level of nutrient components than food items. *Integrative Zoology*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12601
- Bavidson, G. L., Raulo, A., & Knowles, S. C. L. (2020). Identifying microbiome-mediated behaviour in wild
 vertebrates. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 35(11), 972–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.06.014
- Beagle, B. E., Thomas, A. C., McInnes, J. C., Clarke, L. J., Vesterinen, E. J., Clare, E. L., Kartzinel, T. R., &
 Eveson, J. P. (2019). Counting with DNA in metabarcoding studies: How should we convert sequence
 reads to dietary data? *Molecular Ecology*, 28(2), 391–406. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14734
- Begnan, P. H., Pusey, A. E., Lonsdorf, E. V., Goodall, J., Wroblewski, E. E., Wilson, M. L., Rudicell, R. S.,
 Hahn, B. H., & Ochman, H. (2012). Factors associated with the diversification of the gut microbial
 communities within chimpanzees from Gombe National Park. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(32), 13034–13039. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1110994109
- Belgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A. M., Brewer, T. E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D. J., Bardgett, R. D.,
 Maestre, F. T., Singh, B. K., & Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science*, 359(6373), 320–325. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9516
- BeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu, P., &
 Andersen, G. L. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench
 compatible with ARB. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(7), 5069–5072.
- Dill-McFarland, K. A., Tang, Z. Z., Kemis, J. H., Kerby, R. L., Chen, G., Palloni, A., Sorenson, T., Rey, F. E., &
 Herd, P. (2019). Close social relationships correlate with human gut microbiota composition. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37298-9
- Edgar, R. C. (2018). Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs. *Bioinformatics*, 34(14), 2371–2375. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty113
- Egea, I., Barsan, C., Bian, W., Purgatto, E., Latché, A., Chervin, C., Bouzayen, M., & Pech, J. C. (2010).
 Chromoplast differentiation: Current status and perspectives. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *51*(10), 1601–
 1611. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcq136
- Eisenhofer, R., Minich, J. J., Marotz, C., Cooper, A., Knight, R., & Weyrich, L. S. (2019). Contamination in low
 microbial biomass microbiome studies: issues and recommendations. *Trends in Microbiology*, 27(2), 105–
 117.
- 865 Escoufier, Y. (1973). Le traitement des variables vectorielles. *Biometrics*, 29(4), 751.
 https://doi.org/10.2307/2529140
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software
 STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(8), 2611–2620.
- Falush, D., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2003). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype
 data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*, 164(4), 1567–1587.
- Ficetola, G. F., Coissac, E., Zundel, S., Riaz, T., Shehzad, W., Bessière, J., Taberlet, P., & Pompanon, F. (2010).
 An in silico approach for the evaluation of DNA barcodes. *BMC Genomics*, 11(1), 1–10.
- 873 Fontsere, C., Frandsen, P., Hernandez-Rodriguez, J., Niemann, J., Scharff-Olsen, C. H., Vallet, D., Le Gouar, P.,

- Ménard, N., Navarro, A., Siegismund, H. R., Hvilsom, C., Gilbert, M. T. P., Kuhlwilm, M., Hughes, D., &
 Marques-Bonet, T. (2021). The genetic impact of an Ebola outbreak on a wild gorilla population. *BMC Genomics*, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-08025-y
- Formenti, G., Theissinger, K., Fernandes, C., Bista, I., Bombarely, A., Bleidorn, C., Ciofi, C., Crottini, A.,
 Godoy, J. A., Höglund, J., Malukiewicz, J., Mouton, A., Oomen, R. A., Paez, S., Palsbøll, P. J.,
 Pampoulie, C., Ruiz-López, M. J., Svardal, H., Theofanopoulou, C., ... Zammit, G. (2022). The era of
 reference genomes in conservation genomics. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *37*(3), 197–202.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008
- Foster, Z. S. L., Sharpton, T. J., & Grünwald, N. J. (2017). Metacoder: An R package for visualization and
 manipulation of community taxonomic diversity data. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *13*(2), e1005404.
- Francis, R. M. (2017). pophelper: an R package and web app to analyse and visualize population structure.
 Molecular Ecology Resources, 17(1), 27–32.
- Gloor, G. B., Macklaim, J. M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., & Egozcue, J. J. (2017). Microbiome datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*, 2224.
- Gomez, A., Petrzelkova, K., Yeoman, C. J., Vlckova, K., Mrázek, J., Koppova, I., Carbonero, F., Ulanov, A.,
 Modry, D., Todd, A., Torralba, M., Nelson, K. E., Gaskins, H. R., Wilson, B., Stumpf, R. M., White, B.
 A., & Leigh, S. R. (2015). Gut microbiome composition and metabolomic profiles of wild western lowland
 gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) reflect host ecology. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(10), 2551–2565.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13181
- Gomez, A., Rothman, J. M., Petrzelkova, K., Yeoman, C. J., Vlckova, K., Umaña, J. D., Carr, M., Modry, D.,
 Todd, A., Torralba, M., Nelson, K. E., Stumpf, R. M., Wilson, B. A., Blekhman, R., White, B. A., &
 Leigh, S. R. (2016a). Temporal variation selects for diet-microbe co-metabolic traits in the gut of Gorilla
 spp. *ISME Journal*, 10(2), 514–526. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.146
- Gomez, A., Rothman, J. M., Petrzelkova, K., Yeoman, C. J., Vlckova, K., Umaña, J. D., Carr, M., Modry, D.,
 Todd, A., Torralba, M., Nelson, K. E., Stumpf, R. M., Wilson, B. A., Blekhman, R., White, B. A., &
 Leigh, S. R. (2016b). Temporal variation selects for diet-microbe co-metabolic traits in the gut of Gorilla
 spp. *ISME Journal*, 10(2), 514–526. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.146
- Goslee, S. C., & Urban, D. L. (2007). The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological data.
 Journal of Statistical Software, 22(1), 1–19.
- Graczyk, T. K., & Cranfield, M. R. (2003). Coprophagy and intestinal parasites: implications to human habituated mountain gorillas (Gorilla gorilla beringei) of the Virunga Mountains and Bwindi Impenetrable
 Forest. *Primate Conservation*, 9, 58–64.
- Green, V. M., & Gabriel, K. I. (2020). Researchers' ethical concerns regarding habituating wild-nonhuman
 primates and perceived ethical duties to their subjects: Results of an online survey. *American Journal of Primatology*, 82(9). https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23178
- Grieneisen, L., Dasari, M., Gould, T. J., Björk, J. R., Grenier, J. C., Yotova, V., Jansen, D., Gottel, N., Gordon,
 J. B., Learn, N. H., Gesquiere, L. R., Wango, T. L., Mututua, R. S., Warutere, J. K., Siodi, L., Gilbert, J.
 A., Barreiro, L. B., Alberts, S. C., Tung, J., ... Blekhman, R. (2021). Gut microbiome heritability is nearly
 universal but environmentally contingent. *Science*, *373*(6551), 181–186.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba5483
- Guo, N., Wu, Q., Shi, F., Niu, J., Zhang, T., Degen, A. A., Fang, Q., Ding, L., Shang, Z., Zhang, Z., & Long, R.
 (2021). Seasonal dynamics of diet-gut microbiota interaction in adaptation of yaks to life at high altitude. *Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes*, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00207-6
- 917Guschanski, K., Caillaud, D., Robbins, M. M., & Vigilant, L. (2008). Females shape the genetic structure of a
gorilla population. *Current Biology*, 18(22), 1809–1814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.031
- 919Harcourt, A. H., & Stewart, K. J. (2013). Gorilla Society: Conflict, Compromise, and Cooperation Between the920Sexes. The University of Chicago Press. https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226316048.001.0001
- Hibert, F., Taberlet, P., Chave, J., Scotti-Saintagne, C., Sabatier, D., & Richard-Hansen, C. (2013). Unveiling the
 diet of elusive rainforest herbivores in next generation sequencing era? The tapir as a case study. *PLoS ONE*, 8(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060799
- Hicks, A. L., Lee, K. J., Couto-Rodriguez, M., Patel, J., Sinha, R., Guo, C., Olson, S. H., Seimon, A., Seimon, T.
 A., Ondzie, A. U., Karesh, W. B., Reed, P., Cameron, K. N., Lipkin, W. I., & Williams, B. L. (2018). Gut
 microbiomes of wild great apes fluctuate seasonally in response to diet. *Nature Communications*, 9(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04204-w
- Hird, S. M. (2017). Evolutionary biology needs wild microbiomes. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8(APR), 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00725
- Imani, G., Zapfack, L., Kalume, J., Riera, B., Cirimwami, L., & Boyemba, F. (2016). Woody vegetation groups
 and diversity along the altitudinal gradient in mountain forest: case study of Kahuzi-Biega National Park

- and its surroundings, RD Congo. Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences, 8(6), 134–150.
 http://www.innspub.net
- Jakobsson, M., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2007). CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing
 with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics*, 23(14), 1801–
 1806.
- Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*, 24(11), 1403–1405.
- Kalinowski, S. T., Taper, M. L., & Marshall, T. C. (2007). Revising how the computer program CERVUS
 accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology*, 16(5), 1099–1106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x
- Kalinowski, S. T., Wagner, A. P., & Taper, M. L. (2006). ML-RELATE: A computer program for maximum
 likelihood estimation of relatedness and relationship. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6(2), 576–579.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01256.x
- Kambale, E. S. (2018). Diet selection strategies of Grauer's gorillas (Gorilla beringei graueri) in relation to
 nutritional benefits and exposure to heptotocix phytochemicals in Mount Tshiabirimu Forest, Virunga
 National Park, DRC. Makerere University.
- Kamvar, Z. N., Tabima, J. F., & Grünwald, N. J. (2014). Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ*, 2, e281.
- Kandlikar, G. S., Gold, Z. J., Cowen, M. C., Meyer, R. S., Freise, A. C., Kraft, N. J. B., Moberg-Parker, J.,
 Sprague, J., Kushner, D. J., & Curd, E. E. (2018). ranacapa: An R package and Shiny web app to explore environmental DNA data with exploratory statistics and interactive visualizations. *F1000Research*, 7.
- King, S. R. B., & Schoenecker, K. A. (2019). Comparison of methods to examine diet of feral horses from noninvasively collected fecal samples. *Rangeland Ecology and Management*, 72(4), 661–666.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2019.02.005
- Kircher, M., Sawyer, S., & Meyer, M. (2012). Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing
 on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(1), e3–e3.
- Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). CLUMPAK: a program
 for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(5), 1179–1191.
- Koskella, B., Hall, L. J., & Metcalf, C. J. E. (2017). The microbiome beyond the horizon of ecological and
 evolutionary theory. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 1(11), 1606–1615. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0340-2
- Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P., & Herve, M. (2021). Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka
 least-squares means. *R Package Version 1.7.0, 1*(1), 3.
- Lewin, H. A., Robinson, G. E., Kress, W. J., Baker, W. J., Coddington, J., Crandall, K. A., Durbin, R., Edwards, S. V., Forest, F., Gilbert, M. T. P., Goldstein, M. M., Grigoriev, I. V., Hackett, K. J., Haussler, D., Jarvis, E. D., Johnson, W. E., Patrinos, A., Richards, S., Castilla-Rubio, J. C., ... Zhang, G. (2018). Earth BioGenome Project: Sequencing life for the future of life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(17), 4325–4333. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720115115
- Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., Hamady, M., Knight, R., & Gordon, J. I. (2008). Worlds within worlds: Evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(10), 776–788.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1978
- 4 Lichstein, J. W. (2007). Multiple regression on distance matrices: a multivariate spatial analysis tool. *Plant* 5 *Ecology*, 188(2), 117–131.
- Mallott, E. K., Garber, P. A., & Malhi, R. S. (2018). Trnl outperforms rbcl as a DNA metabarcoding marker
 when compared with the observed plant component of the diet of wild white-faced capuchins (Cebus
 capucinus, Primates). *PLoS ONE*, *13*(6), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199556
- Matthews, J. K., Ridley, A., Kaplin, B. A., & Grueter, C. C. (2020). A comparison of fecal sampling and direct feeding observations for quantifying the diet of a frugivorous primate. *Current Zoology*, 66(4), 333–343.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/CZ/ZOZ058
- McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS One*, 8(4), e61217.
- McNeilage, A., Robbins, M. M., Gray, M., Olupot, W., Babaasa, D., Bitariho, R., Kasangaki, A., Rainer, H.,
 Asuma, S., Mugiri, G., & Baker, J. (2006). Census of the mountain gorilla Gorilla beringei beringei
 population in Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, Uganda. *ORYX*, 40(4), 419–427.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605306001311
- Meirmans, P. G. (2020). genodive version 3.0: Easy-to-use software for the analysis of genetic data of diploids and polyploids. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 20(4), 1126–1131.

- Meirmans, P. G., & Hedrick, P. W. (2011). Assessing population structure: FST and related measures. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 11(1), 5–18.
- Meng, C., Kuster, B., Culhane, A. C., & Gholami, A. M. (2014). A multivariate approach to the integration of
 multi-omics datasets. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 1–13.
- Meyer, M., & Kircher, M. (2010). Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture
 and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 5(6), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot5448
- Mirarab, S., Nguyen, N., & Warnow, T. (2012). SEPP: SATé-enabled phylogenetic placement. *Biocomputing*, 247–258.
- Moeller, A. H., Foerster, S., Wilson, M. L., Pusey, A. E., Hahn, B. H., & Ochman, H. (2016). Social behavior
 shapes the chimpanzee pan-microbiome. *Science Advances*, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500997
- Moeller, A. H., Li, Y., Ngole, E. M., Ahuka-Mundeke, S., Lonsdorf, E. V., Pusey, A. E., Peeters, M., Hahn, B.
 H., & Ochman, H. (2014). Rapid changes in the gut microbiome during human evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(46), 16431–16435.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419136111
- Moran, N. A., Ochman, H., & Hammer, T. J. (2019). Evolutionary and ecological consequences of gut microbial
 communities. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 50, 451–475.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062453
- 1007 Nie, Y., Wei, F., Zhou, W., Hu, Y., Senior, A. M., Wu, Q., Yan, L., & Raubenheimer, D. (2019). Giant pandas are macronutritional carnivores. *Current Biology*, 29(10), 1677-1682.e2.
 1009 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.067
- 1010 Nishida, A. H., & Ochman, H. (2019). A great-ape view of the gut microbiome. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 20(4), 195–206. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0085-z
- 1012 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos,
 1013 P., Henry, M., & Stevens, M. H. H. (2020). Vegan community ecology package: ordination methods,
 1014 diversity analysis and other functions for community and vegetation ecologists. *R Package Version 2.5-7.*
- 1015 Orkin, J. D., Campos, F. A., Myers, M. S., Cheves Hernandez, S. E., Guadamuz, A., & Melin, A. D. (2019).
 Seasonality of the gut microbiota of free-ranging white-faced capuchins in a tropical dry forest. *ISME Journal*, *13*(1), 183–196. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0256-0
- Palarea-Albaladejo, J., & Martín-Fernández, J. A. (2015). zCompositions—R package for multivariate
 imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 143, 85–96.
- Perofsky, A. C., Ancel Meyers, L., Abondano, L. A., Di Fiore, A., & Lewis, R. J. (2021). Social groups
 constrain the spatiotemporal dynamics of wild sifaka gut microbiomes. *Molecular Ecology, September*, 1–
 17. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16193
- Perofsky, A. C., Lewis, R. J., Abondano, L. A., Difiore, A., & Meyers, L. A. (2017). Hierarchical social
 networks shape gut microbial composition in wild Verreaux's sifaka. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284(1868). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2274
- Plumptre, A. J., Nixon, S., Caillaud, D., Hall, J. S., Hart, J. A., Nishuli, R., & Williamson, E. A. (2016). Gorilla
 beringei ssp. graueri. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016, e. T39995A102328430.*https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK. 2016-2. RLTS T39995A17989838 en.
- Porras-Hurtado, L., Ruiz, Y., Santos, C., Phillips, C., Carracedo, Á., & Lareu, M. (2013). An overview of
 STRUCTURE: applications, parameter settings, and supporting software. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 4, 98.
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., & Glöckner, F. O. (2012). The
 SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1), D590–D596.
- Quéméré, E., Hibert, F., Miquel, C., Lhuillier, E., Rasolondraibe, E., Champeau, J., Rabarivola, C., Nusbaumer,
 L., Chatelain, C., Gautier, L., Ranirison, P., Crouau-Roy, B., Taberlet, P., & Chikhi, L. (2013). A DNA
 metabarcoding study of a primate dietary diversity and plasticity across its entire fragmented range. *PLoS ONE*, 8(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058971
- 1039R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
Computing. https://www.r-project.org/
- 1041Rahbek, C. (1995). The elevational gradient of species richness: a uniform pattern? *Ecography*, 18(2), 200–205.1042https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1995.tb00341.x
- Raymann, K., Moeller, A. H., Goodman, A. L., & Ochman, H. (2017). Unexplored archaeal diversity in the great ape gut microbiome. *MSphere*, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00026-17
- Raymond, M., & Rousset, F. (1995). GENEPOP (Version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and
 ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, 86(3), 248–249. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111573
 Reese, A. T., Chadaideh, K. S., Diggins, C. E., Schell, L. D., Beckel, M., Callahan, P., Ryan, R., Thompson, M.
 - 39

1048	E., & Carmody, R. N. (2021). Effects of domestication on the gut microbiota parallel those of human
1049	industrialization. ELife, 10, 1-27. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60197
1050	Remis, M. J. (2000). Initial studies on the contributions of body size and gastrointestinal passage rates to dietary
1051	flexibility among gorillas. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 112(2), 171-180.
1052	https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(2000)112:2<171::AID-AJPA4>3.0.CO;2-F
1053	Remis, M. J., Dierenfeld, E. S., Mowry, C. B., & Carroll, R. W. (2001). Nutritional aspects of western lowland
1055	gorilla (Gorilla gorilla) diet during seasons of fruit scarcity at Bai Hokou, Central African Republic.
1054	International Journal of Primatology, 22(5), 807–836. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012021617737
1056	Robert, P., & Escoufier, Y. (1976). A unifying tool for linear multivariate statistical methods: The RV-
1057	coefficient. Applied Statistics, 25(3), 257. https://doi.org/10.2307/2347233
1058	Rogers, M. E., Abernethy, K., Bermejo, M., Cipolletta, C., Doran, D., Mcfarland, K., Nishihara, T., Remis, M.,
1059	& Tutin, C. E. G. (2004). Western gorilla diet: A synthesis from six sites. American Journal of
1060	Primatology, 64(2), 173-192. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20071
1061	Rohland, N., Harney, E., Mallick, S., Nordenfelt, S., & Reich, D. (2015). Partial uracil – DNA – glycosylase
1062	treatment for screening of ancient DNA. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
1063	Sciences, 370(1660), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0624
1064	Rojas, C. A., Ramírez-Barahona, S., Holekamp, K. E., & Theis, K. R. (2021). Host phylogeny and host ecology
1065	structure the mammalian gut microbiota at different taxonomic scales. Animal Microbiome, 3(1).
1066	https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-021-00094-4
1067	Rosenberg, E., & Zilber-Rosenberg, I. (2016). Microbes drive evolution of animals and plants: The hologenome
1068	concept. <i>MBio</i> , 7(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01395-15
1069	Rothman, J. M., Dierenfeld, E. S., Hintz, H. F., & Pell, A. N. (2008). Nutritional quality of gorilla diets:
1070	Consequences of age, sex, and season. <i>Oecologia</i> , 155(1), 111–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-
1070	0901-1
1071	
	Rothman, J. M., Nkurunungi, J. B., Shannon, B. F., & Bryer, M. A. H. (2014). High altitude diets: Implications
1073	for the feeding and nutritional ecology of mountain gorillas. In <i>High Altitude Primates</i> (pp. 247–264).
1074	Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8175-1_14
1075	Rousset, F. (2008). GENEPOP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and
1076	Linux. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> , 8(1), 103–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x
1077	Rudman, S. M., Greenblum, S., Hughes, R. C., Rajpurohit, S., Kiratli, O., Lowder, D. B., Lemmon, S. G.,
1078	Petrov, D. A., Chaston, J. M., & Schmidt, P. (2019). Microbiome composition shapes rapid genomic
1079	adaptation of Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
1080	States of America, 116(40), 20025–20032. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1907787116
1081	Rudolph, K., Schneider, D., Fichtel, C., Daniel, R., Heistermann, M., & Kappeler, P. M. (2022). Drivers of gut
1082	microbiome variation within and between groups of a wild Malagasy primate. <i>Microbiome</i> , 10(1), 1–17.
1083	https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01223-6
1084	Schaller, G. E. (1963). The mountain gorilla: Ecology and behavior. University of Chicago Press.
1085	Sharma, A. K., Petrzelkova, K., Pafco, B., Jost Robinson, C. A., Fuh, T., Wilson, B. A., Stumpf, R. M., Torralba,
1086	M. G., Blekhman, R., White, B., Nelson, K. E., Leigh, S. R., & Gomez, A. (2020). Traditional human
1087	populations and nonhuman primates show parallel gut microbiome adaptations to analogous ecological
1088	conditions. <i>MSystems</i> , 5(6). https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00815-20
1089	Shehzad, W., Riaz, T., Nawaz, M. A., Miquel, C., Poillot, C., Shah, S. A., Pompanon, F., Coissac, E., &
1009	Taberlet, P. (2012). Carnivore diet analysis based on next-generation sequencing: Application to the
1090	
	leopard cat (Prionailurus bengalensis) in Pakistan. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 21(8), 1951–1965.
1092	Silverman, J. D., Washburne, A. D., Mukherjee, S., & David, L. A. (2017). A phylogenetic transform enhances
1093	analysis of compositional microbiota data. <i>Elife</i> , 6, e21887.
1094	Spira, C., Mitamba, G., Kirkby, A., Katembo, J., Kambale, C. K., Musikami, P., Dumbo, P., Byaombe, DD.,
1095	Plumptre, A. J., & Maisels, F. (2018). Inventaire de la biodiversite dans le Parc National de Kahuzi-
1096	Biega, Republique Democratique du Congo.
1097	Srivathsan, A., Ang, A., Vogler, A. P., & Meier, R. (2016). Fecal metagenomics for the simultaneous assessment
1098	of diet, parasites, and population genetics of an understudied primate. Frontiers in Zoology, 13(1), 1–13.
1099	https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-016-0150-4
1100	Taberlet, P., Bonin, A., Zinger, L., & Coissac, E. (2018). Environmental DNA: For biodiversity research and
1101	monitoring. Oxford University Press.
1102	Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Gielly, L., Miquel, C., Valentini, A., Vermat, T., Corthier, G.,
1103	Brochmann, C., & Willerslev, E. (2007). Power and limitations of the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron for
1104	plant DNA barcoding. Nucleic Acids Research, 35(3), e14–e14. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl938
1105	Takenoshita, Y., & Yamagiwa, J. (2008). Estimating gorilla abundance by dung count in the northern part of
1100	randomina, r., & ranagina, v. (2000). Estimating germa asturates of and sound in the horitorin part of

1106Moukalaba-Doudou National Park, Gabon. African Study Monographs, Suppl. 39(April), 41–54.1107http://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dspace/handle/2433/66239

- Trevelline, B. K., & Kohl, K. D. (2022). The gut microbiome influences host diet selection behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119(17), 1–8.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117537119
 Tung, J., Barreiro, L. B., Burns, M. B., Grenier, J. C., Lynch, J., Grieneisen, L. E., Altmann, J., Alberts
 - Tung, J., Barreiro, L. B., Burns, M. B., Grenier, J. C., Lynch, J., Grieneisen, L. E., Altmann, J., Alberts, S. C., Blekhman, R., & Archie, E. A. (2015). Social networks predict gut microbiome composition in wild baboons. *ELife*, 2015(4), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05224
 - Uren Webster, T. M., Consuegra, S., Hitchings, M., & de Leaniz, C. G. (2018). Interpopulation variation in the Atlantic salmon microbiome reflects environmental and genetic diversity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(16). https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.000691-18
 - Valentini, A., Pompanon, F., & Taberlet, P. (2009). DNA barcoding for ecologists. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 24(2), 110–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.09.011
 - van den Boogaart, K. G., & Tolosana-Delgado, R. (2008). "Compositions": a unified R package to analyze compositional data. *Computers & Geosciences*, *34*(4), 320–338.
 - van der Hoek, Y., Binyinyi, E., Ngobobo, U., Stoinski, T. S., & Caillaud, D. (2021). Daily travel distances of unhabituated Grauer's gorillas (Gorilla beringei graueri) in a low elevation forest. *Folia Primatologica*, *92*(2), 112–125. https://doi.org/10.1159/000514626
 - van der Hoek, Y., Pazo, W. D., Binyinyi, E., Ngobobo, U., Stoinski, T. S., & Caillaud, D. (2021). Diet of Grauer's gorillas (Gorilla beringei graueri) in a low-elevation forest. *Folia Primatologica*, 92(2), 126–138. https://doi.org/10.1159/000515377
 - van der Valk, T., Lona Durazo, F., Dalén, L., & Guschanski, K. (2017). Whole mitochondrial genome capture from faecal samples and museum-preserved specimens. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 17(6), e111–e121. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12699
 - van der Valk, T., Vezzi, F., Ormestad, M., Dalén, L., & Guschanski, K. (2020). Index hopping on the Illumina HiseqX platform and its consequences for ancient DNA studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 20(5), 1171–1181. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13009
 - Watts, D. P. (1984). Composition and variability of mountain gorilla diets in the Central Virungas. *American Journal of Primatology*, 7(4), 323–356. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350070403
 - Weiss, S., Xu, Z. Z., Peddada, S., Amir, A., Bittinger, K., Gonzalez, A., Lozupone, C., Zaneveld, J. R., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., & Birmingham, A. (2017). Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome*, 5(1), 1–18.
 - West, A. G., Waite, D. W., Deines, P., Bourne, D. G., Digby, A., McKenzie, V. J., & Taylor, M. W. (2019). The microbiome in threatened species conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 229(November 2018), 85–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.11.016
 - Wikberg, E. C., Christie, D., Sicotte, P., & Ting, N. (2020). Interactions between social groups of colobus monkeys (Colobus vellerosus) explain similarities in their gut microbiomes. *Animal Behaviour*, 163, 17– 31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.02.011
 - Yamagiwa, J., Basabose, A. K., Kaleme, K., & Yumoto, T. (2005). Diet of Grauer's gorillas in the montane forest of Kahuzi, Democratic Republic of Congo. *International Journal of Primatology*, 26(6), 1345–1373. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-005-8856-8
 - Yamagiwa, J., Mwanza, N., Yumoto, T., & Maruhashi, T. (1991). Ant eating by eastern lowland gorillas. *Primates*, 32(2), 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381183
 - Yamagiwa, J., Mwanza, N., Yumoto, T., & Maruhashi, T. (1994). Seasonal change in the composition of the diet of eastern lowland gorillas. *Primates*, *35*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381481
- Youngblut, N. D., Reischer, G. H., Walters, W., Schuster, N., Walzer, C., Stalder, G., Ley, R. E., & Farnleitner,
 A. H. (2019). Host diet and evolutionary history explain different aspects of gut microbiome diversity
 among vertebrate clades. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-01910191-3
- Yumoto, T., Yamagiwa, J., Mwanza, N., & Maruhashi, T. (1994). List of plant specles identified in Kahuzi Biega National Park, Zaïre. *Tropics*, 3(3/4), 295–308.
- 1157 1158

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1159 Data Accessibility & Benefit-Sharing Statement

1160

1161 Data Accessibility

1162 Sequences and associated metadata for the gut microbiome and diet generated in this project have

- 1163 been uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under Accession no.: PRJEB49814.
- 1164

1165 Benefit-Sharing

This research addresses a priority concern, the conservation of a critically endangered species. This was made possible by maintaining long-term collaborations with scientists in the DRC, and all collaborators are included as co-authors. Benefits of this research include the sharing of our data (above) and results with the broader scientific community as well as with conservation practitioners.

1170

1171 Author Contributions

AM, RM and KG planned the study design. AM generated dietary data and performed all analyses. RM generated gut microbial data. PN, YL, MAG, and JS generated gorilla genotyping data. KN and LP provided reagents and expertise for dietary analyses. NI, AP, UN, EB, RNP, DC, and KG collected fecal samples and provided support in the field. DC, LP and KG provided project supervision. KG supervised the experiments and data analyses. AM and KG wrote the manuscript, with contribution from all authors. All authors reviewed and approved of the final manuscript.