TITLE

Interspecies transfer of syntenic *RAMOSA1* orthologs and promoter *cis* sequences impacts maize

inflorescence architecture

AUTHOR LIST

Josh Strable[1,2,†], Erica Unger-Wallace[1,†], Alejandro Aragón Raygoza[2], Sarah Briggs[1] and Erik

Vollbrecht[1,‡]

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

1. Department of Genetics, Development and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

50011

2. Department of Molecular and Structural Biochemistry, North Carolina State University,

Raleigh, NC, USA 27695

†. These authors contributed equally to this work.

CORRESPONDENCE

‡. Corresponding author: Erik Vollbrecht (vollbrec@iastate.edu)

ORCID ID

0000-0002-0260-8285 (J.S.); 0000-0002-2248-9877 (E.U.-W.); 0000-0001-7682-8718 (A.A.R.);

0000-0003-4919-1365 (E.V.)

KEYWORDS

Maize, *Zea mays*, Sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Setaria viridis*, Inflorescence, Interspecies Gene

Transfer

ABSTRACT

Grass inflorescences support floral structures that each bear a single grain, where variation in

branch architecture directly impacts yield. The maize RAMOSA1 (*Zm*RA1) transcription factor

acts as a key regulator of inflorescence development by imposing branch meristem determinacy.

Here, we show *RA1* transcripts accumulate in boundary domains adjacent to spikelet meristems

in *Sorghum bicolor* (*Sb*) and *Setaria viridis* (*Sv*) inflorescences similar as in the developing

maize tassel and ear. To evaluate functional conservation of syntenic *RA1* orthologs and

promoter *cis* sequences in maize, sorghum and setaria, we utilized interspecies gene transfer and

assayed genetic complementation in a common inbred background by quantifying recovery of

normal branching in highly ramified *ra1-R* mutants. A *ZmRA1* transgene that includes

endogenous upstream and downstream flanking sequences recovered normal tassel and ear

branching in *ra1-R*. Interspecies expression of two transgene variants of the *SbRA1* locus,

modeled as the entire endogenous tandem duplication or just the non-frameshifted downstream

copy, complemented *ra1-R* branching defects and induced novel fasciation and branch patterns.

The *SvRA1* locus lacks conserved, upstream noncoding *cis* sequences found in maize and

sorghum; interspecies expression of an *SvRA1* transgene did not or only partially recovered

normal inflorescence forms. Driving expression of the *SvRA1* coding region by the *ZmRA1*

upstream region, however, recovered normal inflorescence morphology in *ra1-R*. These data

leveraging interspecies gene transfer suggest that *cis*-encoded temporal regulation of *RA1*

expression is a key factor in modulating branch meristem determinacy that ultimately impacts

grass inflorescence architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the genetic basis of morphological diversity between and within species is a key objective in biology (Carroll, 2008). Grass (Poaceae) inflorescences display tremendous intra- and interspecific variation (ref. Kellogg, 2015) and are an effective model for studying genetic mechanisms that underly evolutionary change in morphology. Inflorescence diversity is well-documented in the cereal crops rice (*Oryza* spp.) (Yamaki et al., 2010; Crowell et al., 2016), millet (*Setaria* spp.) (Doust and Kellogg, 2002; Doust et al., 2005; Huang and Feldman, 2017), sorghum (*Sorghum* spp.) (Harlan and de Wet, 1972; Brown et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020) and maize (*Zea mays* sspp.) (Upadyayula et al., 2006a; Upadyayula et al., 2006b; Brown et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017). As inflorescences in the Poaceae ultimately support reproduction and the floral structures that bear a single grain, variation in inflorescence morphology directly impacts yield in cereal crops and weedy grass species. Despite such agronomical and ecological significance, the genes that underlie diverse inflorescence forms in the grasses have not been fully elucidated, and tests of functional conservation of syntenic orthologous genes are limited.

Mature inflorescence traits are patterned early in development through variation in size, identity, and the timing and duration of maturation schedules of active pluripotent stem cell tissues called meristems. These variations impact the number, arrangement and elaboration of lateral organs that arise from meristems (Doust and Kellogg, 2002; Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Prusinkiewicz et al., 2007; Whipple et al., 2010; Kellogg et al., 2013; Lemmon et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018; Leiboff and Hake, 2019). A general framework for ontogeny of grass inflorescences (Kellogg et al., 2013) follows: When internal and external cues signal the reproductive transition, inflorescence development ensues as a vegetative shoot apical meristem, which elaborates leaf primordia at its flanks, converts to a reproductive inflorescence meristem (IM) that elaborates lateral meristems at its flanks. The IM is indeterminate, i.e. capable of producing an unspecified number of lateral primordia, and the lateral meristems can be either relatively indeterminate in which case they may also initiate additional lateral meristems, or relatively determinate (producing a specified number of lateral primordia). Indeterminate grass inflorescence meristems are called branch meristems (BMs) and show diverse indeterminacy across and even within grass species, while all grass inflorescences ultimately produce determinate meristems called spikelet meristems (SMs). Thus, in the general framework IMs initiate BMs, and both IMs and BMs

initiate SMs at their flanks. A SM gives rise to two glume (bract) primordia, followed by one or

multiple florets which altogether comprise the spikelet, the central unit of a grass inflorescence

(Clifford, 1987). SMs in some grass species are more determinate in that they terminate by

converting to a floral meristem that is consumed in the production of floral organs, whereas in

other species SMs are somewhat indeterminate and produce multiple floral meristems, and

therefore multiple florets, before terminating. Diverse morphological complexity among grass

inflorescences arises though variation in type, activity and determinacy of IMs, BMs and SMs.

85   The family Poaceae consists of over 11500 species (Kellogg, 2015) distributed about

equally among two major lineages known as the PACMAD and BOP clades. In the PACMAD

clade, the largest subfamily Panicoideae has over 3300 species that include global staple cereal

crops maize (*Zea mays* ssp *mays*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* [L.] Moench), and foxtail millet

(*Setaria italica*) (Kellogg, 2015). Maize and sorghum are among the ~1200 species in tribe

Andropogoneae; *Setaria* is in the tribe Paniceae (Kellogg, 2015). Unlike most of the Panicoideae

where spikelets are unpaired, the Andropogoneae are distinguished by producing their spikelets

in pairs; specialized, determinate BMs called spikelet pair meristems (SPMs) each produce two

SMs. Thus, spikelet pairs (SPs) and long branches (LBs), which commonly coexist in the same

inflorescence, are branches that differ by length (short vs. long, respectively) and meristem

determinacy at origin (SPMs vs. BMs, respectively). By contrast, within the tribe Paniceae or the

'bristle clade' are a few hundred grass species including the foxtail millet progenitor *Setaria*

viridis where adjacent meristems differentiate into either single spikelets or sterile branches

called bristles (Doust and Kellogg, 2002, Hodge and Doust, 2017). Developmental and

morphological studies in *Setaria* lend support to the ontogenetic pairing of a single spikelet with

a bristle, but spikelets are not paired (Doust and Kellogg, 2002).

101   Maize and sorghum are estimated to have diverged from a common ancestor

approximately 12 million years ago (MYA) (Swigonová et al., 2014); *Setaria* diverged from

maize and sorghum approximately 26-27 MYA (Bennetzen et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012).

Sorghum and *Setaria* genomes show extensive synteny (Bennetzen et al., 2012; Zhang et al.,

2012). Likewise, approximately 60% of annotated genes are syntenically conserved between

maize and sorghum, and this gene set accounts for 90% of all genes characterized by forward

genetics in maize (Schnable and Freeling, 2011; Schnable, 2015). Syntenic orthologs are more

likely to retain consistent patterns of gene regulation and expression across related species

(Davidson et al., 2012), and may be more likely to retain ancestral functional roles than non-

syntenic gene copies (Dewey, 2011). However, to date, functional conservation between syntenic

orthologs in related grass species remains widely untested.

The maize *RAMOSA1* (*ZmRA1*) locus is a key regulator of tassel and ear development

and morphology (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). *ZmRA1* was a target of selection during maize

domestication (Sigmon and Vollbrecht, 2010), co-localizes with nucleotide polymorphisms for

inflorescence branching traits in genome wide association studies of diverse maize breeding lines

(Brown et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017) and is a candidate quantitative trait locus

for tassel branch number in the Mexican highland maize landrace Palomero Toluqueño (Perez-

Limón et al., 2021). Strong maize *ra1* mutants were recognized over a century ago as resembling

inflorescences of other grasses (Collins, 1917), and more recently, comparisons to the complexly

branched sorghum panicle have been drawn at developmental and molecular levels (Vollbrecht

et al., 2005; Leiboff and Hake, 2019). Whereas normal inflorescence branching in maize

produces only SPs or LBs bearing SPs, mutations in *ZmRA1* relax the determinacy normally

imposed on SPMs such that SPs are replaced by LBs bearing several unpaired, single spikelets

("spikelet multimers"), or by LBs bearing a mix of single and/or paired spikelets (Vollbrecht et

al., 2005). The graded, multiple orders of inflorescence branching in ra1 mutants reveal a general

determinacy function of *ZmRA1* in addition to or that includes a specific role for *ZmRA1* activity

in producing the canonical SP. *RA1* encodes a C_2H_2 zinc-finger transcription factor with EAR

repression motifs (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). Mutations in the maize C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain or

C-terminal EAR motif result in severe *ra1* mutants that display highly ramified tassels and ears

(Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Gallavotti et al., 2010). One mechanism by which RA1 imposes SPM

determinacy in maize is through genetic and physical interactions with the orthologous

TOPLESS co-repressor encoded by *RA1 ENHANCER LOCUS2* (*REL2*) (Gallavotti et al., 2010).

ZmRA1 transcripts and *Zm*RA1 protein accumulate in a boundary domain between the

inflorescence or branch axis and the determinate meristems it regulates (Vollbrecht et al., 2005;

Eveland et al., 2014). The non-cell-autonomous nature of *ZmRA1* suggests that it regulates a

trafficable signal for meristem determinacy, or its gene product is capable of trafficking to the

adjacent meristem (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). Genetic and molecular data support that *RA1*

expression in maize impacts branch complexity through regulating SPM determinacy (Vollbrecht

et al., 2005). Variation in timing of *RA1* expression, presumably imposed by variation in

promoter *cis* sequences, in *Miscanthus* (Vollbrecht et al., 2005), sorghum (Vollbrecht et al.,

2005; Leiboff and Hake, 2019) and *S. viridis* (Zhu et al., 2018) correlates with degree of branch

activity and distinct inflorescence morphologies. Thus, heterochronic *RA1* expression and

regulation of RA1 activity are hypothesized to impact inflorescence branching directly by

modulating meristem determinacy. To date, *ra1* mutants have not been reported outside of

maize, leaving open the question of *RA1* function in the Panicoideae with respect to

evolutionarily and agronomically important characters such as meristem determinacy, branch

length and pairing of spikelets.

Here, we report on genetic tests for functional conservation of syntenic orthologous *RA1*

genes in maize, sorghum and setaria. We show that *RA1* expression marks boundary domains

adjacent to meristems in sorghum and setaria inflorescences in concordance with *RA1* transcript

accumulation in maize. We generated *RA1* transgenes from maize (*Zm*), sorghum (*Sb*) and

setaria (*Sv*) loci and utilized the strong maize *ra1-R* mutant to investigate the impact of

expressing *ZmRA1*, *SbRA1* and *SvRA1* transgenes on the regulation of branching in maize tassels

and ears. Expression as a transgene of *ZmRA1* including flanking upstream and downstream

sequences recovered normal inflorescence morphologies in *ra1-R* mutants. Interspecies

expression of two transgene variants of the *SbRA1* locus, one modeled as the entire endogenous

tandem duplication and the other as only the non-frameshifted downstream gene copy, yielded a

range of *ra1-R* inflorescence architectures, showing partial recovery with or without novel

branch patterns and fasciation. We found that interspecies expression of an *SvRA1* transgene,

which lacks *cis*-promoter sequences conserved in maize, sorghum and other Andropogoneae

species, either not at all or only partially recovered normal inflorescence forms in *ra1-R* mutants,

whereas fusing the *SvRA1* coding region to the *ZmRA1* upstream region recovered normal

inflorescence morphology in *ra1-R* mutants. Our functional tests of *RA1* sufficiency indicate that

heterochronic modulation of meristem determinacy that results from *cis*-regulatory differences

impacts ear and tassel morphology, and is a likely driver of inflorescence diversity throughout

the grasses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inflorescence architectures and *RA1* alleles in PACMAD and Panicoid grasses

Mature maize inflorescences are spatially and morphologically distinct and produce dimorphic,

unisexual florets: a terminal tassel bearing staminate florets and a lateral ear with pistillate florets

(**Fig. 1A, B**). Mutations in the *ZmRA1* gene, typified by the strong *ra1-R* allele (Vollbrecht et al.,

2005), result in multiple orders of branching in the tassel and the ear (**Fig. 1C, D**) that resemble

complexly branched inflorescences of other grasses, such as terminal panicles of sorghum (**Fig.**

1E) and setaria (**Fig. 1F**) which have unimorphic, bisexual florets. The conspicuous diversity of

mature inflorescence morphologies in maize, sorghum and setaria, largely attributed to variation

in degree of branching, manifests early in development (**Fig. S1**). Maize, sorghum and setaria

belong to the subfamily Panicoideae, and within this large clade of grasses, maize and sorghum

are members of tribe Andropogoneae, whereas setaria is a member of tribe Paniceae (ref.

Kellogg, 2015). Maize and sorghum inflorescences produce a multitude of spikelets in pairs as is

characteristic of related species in the Andropogoneae, whereas the setaria inflorescence is dense

with single spikelets that each develop in close association with a bristle (Doust and Kellogg,

2002; Kellogg, 2015).

Comparative genomic data indicate the *RA1* locus is specific to the PACMAD clade,

whose largest subfamilies are the Panicoideae and Chloridoideae, where the intronless structure

and unique QGLGGH motif within the C_2H_2 zinc finger present in maize (Vollbrecht et al.,

2005) appear conserved. For example, a syntenic copy of *RA1* is absent from the genomes of

BOP clade members rice (*Oryza sativa*), *Brachypodium distachyon* and wheat (*Triticum*

aestivum) (**Fig. S2A**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Sigmon, 2010), but is present in the genome

assemblies of Chloridoideae species teff (*Eragrostis tef*) and *Oropetium thomaeum* (Schnable,

2019), and of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*). Within the Panicoideae *RA1* resides as a single

copy gene in maize and setaria and as a single-locus tandem duplication in sorghum (*SbRA1^{TAN}*

comprised of *SbRA1* upstream [*SbRA1^{US}*] and *SbRA1* downstream [*SbRA1^{DS}*] copies); however, a

frameshift mutation in *SbRA1^{US}* introduces a stop codon after the C_2H_2 zinc finger domain,

rendering it presumably nonfunctional (**Fig. 1G**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Sigmon, 2010).

Previously published RT-PCR and transcript profiling data indicate that *SbRA1^{US}* is not

expressed in inflorescences of sorghum BTx623, while *SbRA1^{DS}* is (Vollbrecht et al., 2005;

Wang et al., 2018; Leiboff and Hake, 2019). Broad sampling of diverse cultivated and wild

sorghums found that, in all cultivated accessions, 1) *SbRA1^{US}* contains the same frameshift and

that the *SbRA1^{DS}* open reading frame (ORF) encodes a predicted full length RA1 protein; 2) the

SbRA1 tandem duplication likely originated relatively recently with the *Sorghum* genus and may

not be present in other grass species (Sigmon, 2010). Two *RA1* loci are present in miscanthus

(**Fig. 1H**), but these are segmental duplicates in this paleotetraploid species (Sigmon, 2010;

Mitros et al., 2020). The encoded *Sb*RA1^{DS} protein of cultivated sorghums, hereafter referred to

as *Sb*RA1, is ~69% identical to the *Zm*RA1 protein and ~56% identical to the *Sv*RA1 protein.

*Zm*RA1 and *Sv*RA1 proteins are ~65% identical. *Zm*RA1, *Sb*RA1 and *Sv*RA1 proteins share a

highly conserved C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain and a conserved C-terminal EAR motif (**Figs. 1G and**

S2B). Biochemical experiments have demonstrated the C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain binds DNA

(Dathan et al., 2002), and the EAR motif acts as a potent transcriptional repressor (Hiratsu et al.,

2004; Tiwari et al., 2004). The motifs and their positioning are highly conserved between

*Zm*RA1, *Sb*RA1 and *Sv*RA1 proteins. The C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain between *Zm*RA1 and *Sb*RA1

differs by one conservative amino acid variant (I67V, position relative to *Zm*RA1) that is

identical (V) between *Sb*RA1 and *Sv*RA1. Relative to *Zm*RA1 and *Sb*RA1, the *Sv*RA1 zinc-

finger domain differs at three positions, none of them among invariant core C_2H_2 residues

(Vollbrecht et al., 2005). The C-terminal EAR motif is conserved between *Zm*RA1 and *Sb*RA1

and varies by one residue (Q169E) in *Sv*RA1. A second EAR motif adjacent to the C_2H_2 zinc-

finger domain (Sigmon 2010, Gallavotti et al., 2010) is highly conserved between *Zm*RA1 and

*Sb*RA1 but absent from *Sv*RA1 (**Figs. 1G and S2B**). Physical interaction between *Zm*RA1 and

REL2 involves both EAR motifs (Gallavotti et al., 2010); however, functional sufficiency of the

maize C-terminal EAR motif has not been demonstrated.

By mining two kilobases of the *RA1* promoter region from eight Panicoideae taxa across

the Chasmanthieae, Paniceae, Paspaleae and Andropogoneae tribes, we identified several blocks

of highly conserved, noncoding *cis* sequence restricted to the Andropogoneae, where spikelets

are paired (**Figs. 1H and S3**). These conserved *cis* sequences located in the promoter region of

ZmRA1 and *SbRA1*^{DS} (Sigmon, 2010), were absent from the ~0.7 kb promoter region included in

our *SbRA1*^{US} transgene construct and were largely absent or not well conserved outside the

Andropogoneae, including in *SvRA1* (**Figs. 1H and S3A, S3B**). Within the four Andropogoneae

tribe taxa, where there are six promoter regions due to gene duplications, the conserved non-

coding *cis* sequences harbored 48 putative transcription factor binding sites present among at

least five of six sequences queried (**Supplemental dataset**). In maize, some of the conserved *cis*

sequence overlaps with accessible chromatin profiled from developing ears but not accessible in

leaves (**Fig. S3C**; Ricci et al., 2019). Indeed, coinciding with the region of accessible chromatin

we found that DNA affinity purification (DAP) sequencing of maize AUXIN RESPONSE

FACTOR (ARF) transcription factors identified binding peaks (**Fig. S3C**; Galli et al., 2018)

centered on a putative ARF binding motif, providing a possible additional link between auxin

signaling and response and branch development (Gallavotti et al., 2008; Eveland et al., 2014).

Also within the region of accessible chromatin and within a conserved non-coding *cis* sequence

we identified a putative LEAFY (LFY) transcription factor binding motif (Winter et al., 2011) in

all six Andropogoneae sequences queried (**Figs. 1H, S3B, S3C and Supplemental dataset**).

LFY is bifunctional as an activator and repressor in Arabidopsis (William et al., 2004; Winter et

al., 2011). Within the Andropogoneae the protein-coding regions of the *LFY*-like genes are

highly conserved suggesting purifying selection and constraint on amino acid sequence

(Bomblies and Doebley, 2005). Interestingly, in maize, transcripts of the *LFY* homologs *Zea*

FLORICAULA/LEAFY1 (*ZFL1*) and *ZFL2* (Bomblies et al., 2003) accumulate in SPMs in a

pattern that would likely border *ZmRA1* transcript accumulation (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). Tassel

branch number is decreased in *zfl1; zfl2* double mutants, and positively correlates with *ZFL2*

copy number (Bomblies et al., 2003; Bomblies and Doebley, 2006). These *ZFL* data are

consistent with negative regulation of *ZmRA1* activity by *ZFL* gene activity, making it tempting

to speculate that ZFL could repress *ZmRA1* where their expression domains abut in boundary

cells at the margin of SPMs.

***RA1* marks boundary domains adjacent to meristems in sorghum and setaria panicles**

To determine the accumulation of *RA1* transcripts in sorghum and setaria inflorescences, we

performed RNA *in situ* hybridization with an antisense probe for *ZmRA1*, along with the

meristem marker gene *KNOTTED1* (*KN1*; Jackson et al., 1994). In sorghum, *RA1* transcripts

accumulated in a boundary domain directly adjacent to the SPM, as marked by accumulation of

KN1 transcripts (**Figs. 2A, B** and **S4A, B**). *RA1* transcripts were not detected in early-staged

setaria inflorescences initiating branch meristems, as shown by accumulation of *KN1* (**Fig. 2C,**

D), consistent with transcriptomic profiling of setaria inflorescence development (Zhu et al.,

2018). In later-staged setaria inflorescences marked by SMs and bristles, we detected *RA1*

transcripts in accordance with transcriptomic data (Zhu et al., 2018), which showed boundary

domain accumulation adjacent to the SM (**Figs. 2E, F** and **S4C-F**). We consistently did not

detect *RA1* transcript accumulation in or adjacent to bristles, further distinguishing them from the

spikelets they are paired with. In maize, *RA1* transcripts accumulate between recently-initiated

SPMs and the inflorescence or branch axis (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). These results demonstrate 1)

a conserved spatial pattern of *RA1* transcript accumulation that marks boundary domains

adjacent to spikelet-associated short branch meristems in sorghum, setaria and maize

inflorescences, whether SMs (setaria) or SPMs (maize and sorghum), 2) a conserved lack of

expression associated with BMs and LBs and other branch types (i.e. the bristle in setaria) and 3)

distinct temporal patterns consistent with discrete branching ontogenies.

Expression of a *ZmRA1* transgene largely recovers normal inflorescence architectures in

***ra1-R* mutants**

To study the function of promoter *cis* and coding sequence diversity of *RA1* loci in shaping the

inflorescences of maize, sorghum and setaria, we generated a suite of transgenic experiments

using interspecies gene transfer (Nikolov and Tsiantis, 2015). Maize, sorghum and setaria *RA1*

genes and one chimeric maize-setaria *RA1* gene were introduced into maize and backcrossed into

the B73 inbred genetic background containing the *ra1-R* mutant allele. During backcrosses the

events were scored for evidence of a heritable, single-locus, herbicide resistance phenotype as an

indicator of stable expression of the 35S::BAR component of the transgene cassette. In total 17

independent transgenic events satisfied these genetic segregation criteria (**Table S2**) and these

were also scored qualitatively for their capacity to complement the *ra1-R* mutant phenotype;

from among them, we selected nine events for detailed analysis (**Table S1 and Methods**).

To examine maize *RA1* gene function, we first asked if normal tassel and ear

morphologies could be recovered in severe *ra1-R* mutants expressing a reintroduced *ZmRA1*

genomic fragment containing 2.95 kb of the promoter region including the conserved *cis*

sequences as well as 2.35 kb of sequence downstream of the CDS. We refer to this transgenic

cassette as '*198*' (**Fig. 3A; Table S1**). Five independent, stable, single-locus transgene events

were generated for 198. Four of them showed similar effects on the *ra1-R* phenotype and

minimal pleiotropy while the fifth was markedly pleiotropic (**Supplemental Table 1**), conferring

a dwarfed plant stature and severely reduced tassels and ears. We studied the effects of *198* in a

single, non-pleiotropic insertion event (**Table S2**). Gross tassel and ear morphology of *ra1-R*

mutants expressing *198* appeared normal relative to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**cf. Figs. 3B-**

E to 1A). Notably, *ra1-R* ears expressing *198* were fully unbranched, and kernels were in

straight parallel rows along the ear axis; in contrast, kernel rowing was crooked in highly

ramified *ra1-R* ears (**Fig. 3D, E**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005).

297   We quantified degree of branching, including branch type, lengths and spikelet pair

density (**Fig. S5**) among inflorescences of segregating normal, *ra1-R* mutants expressing *198* and

non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings to evaluate the degree of normal phenotype recovery. Along the

primary axis of the tassel, normal maize produces LBs at the base with an immediate shift to

short branches of SPs on the central spike (**Fig. 1A**). *ra1-R* mutants produce LBs at the tassel

base, then a variable number of transformed, mixed-fate branches bearing both SPs and single

spikelets, followed by transformed branches ("spikelet multimers") with multiple, single

spikelets and finally an abbreviated central spike predominantly of short branches of SPs (**Fig.**

3B) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). The length of the central spike (CS) between normal and *ra1-R*

expressing *198* were nearly equivalent (mean difference +0.95 cm); CS was significantly longer

in *ra1-R* with the transgene compared to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (mean difference -17.68

cm) (**Fig. 3F**). The length of the long branch zone (LBZ) was slightly shorter in *ra1-R* expressing

198 relative to normal (mean difference -1.68 cm), whereas LBZ was significantly shorter in

transgene positive *ra1-R* compared to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (mean difference -11.84 cm)

(**Fig. 3G**). Normal tassels produced on average 4.9 more LBs compared with *ra1-R* tassels

expressing *198*, whereas non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings produced on average 17.4 more LBs than

ra1-R expressing *198* (**Fig. 3H**). We observed a negligible difference in spikelet multimers

(referred to as 'multimers' throughout) between normal and *ra1-R* transgene-expressing tassels,

but non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings produced on average 14 more multimers than *ra1-R* expressing

198 (**Fig. 3I**). Spikelet pair density (SPD) taken from a circumference of 1 cm at the CS

midpoint was lower in *ra1-R* transgene positive plants compared with both normal and non-

transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (-3.2 and -2.67 SPs, respectively) (**Fig. S6B**). The three most-basal

tassel LBs were longer in *ra1-R* expressing *198* compared with both normal and non-transgenic

ra1-R siblings (**Fig. S6C**). Collectively, these results indicate that the *ZmRA1* transgene is

sufficient to recover normal inflorescence architectures in the *ra1-R* mutant background.

Interspecies expression of a tandem duplicated *SbRA1* modeled transgene produces novel

***ra1-R* inflorescence architectures**

325   We next asked if interspecies expression of the canonical tandem duplicated *SbRA1* locus

326 could recover normal tassel and ear morphologies in *ra1-R* mutants. We modeled the tandem

327 duplicated *SbRA1* transgenic cassette *SbRA1^{TAN}* as a 6 kb genomic DNA fragment that includes

328 ~0.7 kb promoter region of *SbRA1^{US}*, the *SbRA1^{US}* paralogous coding region followed by the

329 contiguous 2.03 kb (including the conserved *cis* sequences) between the *SbRA1^{US}* paralogous

330 stop codon and the beginning of the *SbRA1^{DS}* predicted ORF, the predicted ORF and 2.17 kb

331 downstream of the *SbRA1^{DS}* stop codon. We refer to this construct as '*195*' (**Fig. 4A; Table S1**).

332 Three independent, stable, single-locus transgene events were generated for *195* and backcrossed

333 into the B73 background; we studied its effects on the *ra1-R* mutant in all three (**Table S2**).

334   Overall, tassels of *ra1-R* mutants that expressed *195* were much less branched and ranged

335 from normal (events 195.8.3 and 195.20.4) to compact (event 195.46.1) relative to highly

336 branched non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. 4B-E**). Similarly, *195*-expressing *ra1-R* ears

337 displayed a range in gross phenotype (**Fig. 4F-I, N**), but were overall much less branched than

338 *ra1-R* sibling ears. For event 195.8.3, ear branching was reminiscent of weak *ra1* mutant alleles

339 (**Fig. 4G**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Gallavotti et al., 2010). Ears from event 195.20.4 and

340 195.46.1 were occasionally fasciated and branched, and frequently had crooked kernel rows (**Fig.**

341 **4H, I, N**). Ears from event 195.46.1 were consistently short and compact (**Fig. 4I**).

342   To understand the impact of *195* on *ra1-R* inflorescences, we quantified branch

343 phenotypes for the three events. When compared to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings, mean CS

344 lengths were significantly longer (range of differences from +5.61 to +12.15 cm) and mean LBZ

345 lengths were significantly shorter in *ra1-R* carrying the *195* transgene (range of differences from

346 -12.13 to -18.36 cm) (**Fig. 4J, K**). Non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings produced on average 29.11 LBs

347 and 18 multimers, which was significantly more compared to the mean range of 5.17 to 10.73

348 LBs and 2.33 to 7.09 multimers in *195* expressing *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. 4L, M**). SPD had a mean

349 range of differences from -0.25 to +5.5 SPs between *ra1-R* expressing the *195* transgene and

350 non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. S7B**). The three most basal LBs were significantly shorter in

351 *ra1-R* tassels that expressed the *195* transgene compared with non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings

352 (**Fig. S7C**).

353   Long branches are completely suppressed in normal ears (**Fig. 1B**); LBs are de-repressed

354 by mutations in *ZmRA1* (**Fig. 1D**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). Ears of strong *ra1* mutant alleles,

355 such as *ra1-RSd*, produce over 200 branches (Weeks, 2013). *ra1-R* ears expressing the *195*

transgene were significantly less branched compared to highly branched ears of non-transgenic

ra1-R siblings (**Fig. 4N**). Event 195.8.3 had a mean ear branch number of 9.3, similar to

previously reported mean ear branch totals for weak alleles, *ra1-63.3359* (11.2 branches) or *ra1-*

RS (12.1 branches) (Weeks, 2013). Events 195.20.4 and 195.46.1 had a mean of <1 branch (**Fig.**

4N). Transcripts of the *195* transgene accumulated in developing tassels beyond the stages when

the endogenous *ZmRA1* transcript accumulation are highest (**Fig. S8A**), supporting heterochronic

expression of the transgene in the tassel.

Taken together, expression of the *195* transgene reduced the order of branching in *ra1-R*

mutant inflorescences, but curiously also produced novel *ra1-R* phenotypes that included

compact tassels and ears, and ear fasciation (**Fig. 4D, E, H, I**). Pleiotropic fasciation and

stubbiness in the main axis suggest effects on the main inflorescence meristem, where *ra1*

expression was not detected in normal maize or sorghum. Strong, likely null, maize *ra1* alleles

have genetic lesions in the C_2H_2 zinc finger domain (Vollbrecht et al., 2005), a putative DNA

binding domain (Dathan et al., 2002). Indeed, *Zm*RA1 is suggested to bind and modulate the

expression of hundreds of genes during tassel and ear development, which includes the putative

direct targeting and repression of *COMPACT PLANT2* (*CT2*; Bommert et al., 2013; Eveland et

al., 2014). Loss-of-function *ct2* mutants have compact inflorescences and fasciated ears

(Bommert et al., 2013), similar to what was observed to be conditioned by the *195* transgene

(**Fig. 4B-I**). To explain the novel *ra1-R* phenotypes, we hypothesize that the *195* transgene may

function ectopically and affect expression of target genes like *CT2* outside of the spatio-

temporally normal expression domain for *RA1*. Misregulation of *RA1* could occur if the

upstream copy competes with the downstream copy for binding of regulatory factors, or if the

gene duplication itself alters regulation, for example, by changing the distance between *cis-*

regulatory elements or by creating novel ones. Another potential mechanism for the novel

phenotypes could be at the level of the gene product. For example, given that the truncated

upstream *RA1* copy encodes a C_2H_2 zinc finger domain (**Figs. 1G and 4A**), expression from both

copies could lead to binding interference between *Sb*RA^{US} (truncated) and *Sb*RA^{DS} (complete)

proteins, where *Sb*RA^{DS} is required at sufficient levels to impose meristem determinacy. Similar

interference mechanisms for dominant negative alleles have been reported to influence flowering

in Arabidopsis (Ahn et al., 2006) and sunflower (Blackman et al., 2010). Although *SbRA^{US}*

expression is barely detectable in sorghum inflorescences (Vollbrecht et al., 2005), we did not

assay its expression in the transgenic lines.

Interspecies expression of the downstream *SbRA1* modeled transgene partially recovers

normal inflorescence architectures in *ra1-R* mutants

Because *195* conditioned novel phenotypic changes in addition to complementation, we asked if

normal tassel and ear morphologies in *ra1-R* mutants could be recovered by interspecies

expression of only the downstream *SbRA1* locus, which does not contain frameshifts or apparent

deleterious mutations. The downstream *SbRA1* transgenic cassette *SbRA1^{DS}* was modeled to

include its predicted ORF and 1.68 kb upstream including the conserved *cis* sequences plus 2.17

kb downstream of the stop codon, and we refer to this construct as '*196*' (**Fig. 5A; Table S1**).

Three independent, stable, single-locus transgene events were generated for *196* and backcrossed

to the *ra1-R* mutant in B73, and we studied its effects in all three (**Table S2**).

Overall, tassels from *ra1-R* mutants that expressed *196* were less branched and ranged

from normal (events 196.19.2 and 196.7.3) to moderately compact (event 196.14.5) architectures

relative to highly ramified architecture of non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. 5B-E**). Similarly,

ra1-R ears expressing the *196* transgene displayed a range in gross phenotype (**Fig. 5F-I, N**).

Events 196.19.2 and 196.7.3 produced unbranched ears with straight rows of kernels along the

ear axis (**Fig. 5G, H**), whereas event 196.14.5 showed ear branching reminiscent of weak *ra1*

mutant alleles (**Fig. 5I**) (Vollbrecht et al., 2005; Gallavotti et al., 2010).

To characterize the impact of *196* on *ra1-R* inflorescences in detail, we quantified tassel

branch phenotypes for the three events. When compared to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings, mean

CS lengths were significantly longer (range of differences from +2.75 to +8.95 cm) and mean

LBZ lengths were significantly shorter in *ra1-R* that carried the *196* transgene (range of

differences from -12.71 to -14.15 cm) (**Fig. 5J, K**). Non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings produced on

average 26.8 LBs and 17 multimers, which was significantly more compared to the mean range

of 4.58 to 8 LBs and 2.83 to 4.2 multimers in *ra1-R* expressing the *196* transgene (**Fig. 5L, M**).

SPD had a mean range of differences from +1.53 to +7.33 SPs between *ra1-R* with the *196*

transgene and transgene-free *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. S9B**). The three most basal LBs were

significantly shorter in *ra1-R* tassels with the *196* transgene compared with non-transgenic *ra1-R*

siblings (**Fig. S9C**). Interspecies expression of *196* was sufficient to impose SPM determinacy in

ra1-R ears for events 196.19.2 and 196.7.3, where branch suppression was fully penetrant. Event

196.14.5 had on average 2 branches (**Fig. 5N**), which was significantly less than average ear

branch number for weak *ra1* alleles (Weeks, 2013). Transcripts of the *196* transgene

accumulated in developing tassels beyond the stages when the endogenous *ZmRA1* transcript

accumulation are highest (**Fig. S8B**), supporting heterochronic expression of the transgene in the

tassel.

Collectively, interspecies expression of *196* restored more normal ear inflorescences with

less branching and straighter rows, and less pleiotropy with respect to fasciation and shortened

axes, relative to the *195* cassette. Furthermore, both the *195* and *196* constructs substantially

remediated *ra1-R* tassel branching. Given that the *196* transgene eliminates the *SbRA1*^{US} locus

present in the *195* construct, these results suggest functional *cis*-regulatory element(s) that reside

in the 1.68 kb sequence promoter region of the *SbRA1*^{DS} locus are affected by their proximity to

SbRA1^{US} in the tandem duplication, especially in the maize ear. Our data on *ra1-R* mutants

expressing either *195* or *196* cassettes are consistent with a hypothesis raised previously

(Vollbrecht et al., 2005): variation in inflorescence architecture, and thus degrees of determinacy,

is attributed to the developmental timing of *RA1* expression and its activity, as reflected in the

range of branch types observed among maize mutant alleles, transgene versions, or genetic

diversity of *RA1* in maize and other grasses. Furthermore, these results suggest that

developmental context of *RA1* activity in the tassel and ear is crucial in regulating determinacy

(cf., ear and tassel phenotypes in **Figs. 4 and 5**). Indeed, quantification of ear and tassel branch

number in the F$_1$ hybrid generation of B73 x Mo17 introgressions homozygous for the weak

allele *ra1-63.3359* showed additive effects on ear branching and over-dominance effects on

tassel branching (Weeks, 2013).

Interspecies expression of *SvRA1* only recovers near normal inflorescence branching in

***ra1-R* mutants when chimeric with the *ZmRA1* promoter region**

Given the complex genetic nature of the *SbRA1* locus, we sought to explore the impact of the

single copy *SvRA1* on inflorescence morphology. We were also interested in testing the impact

of the *cis* sequences found in promoter regions of *ZmRA1* and *SbRA1*^{DS} and conserved among

Andropogoneae grasses, as well as sufficiency of the single EAR motif in *Sv*RA1. We therefore

compared and contrasted interspecies expression of the *SvRA1* coding region with its endogenous

promoter region that largely lacks the conserved *cis* sequences with expression of the *SvRA1*

gene body in *cis* with the maize promoter region (*pZmRA1*). We modeled the *SvRA1* transgene

cassette to include 1.53 kb of the predicted *SvRA1* promoter region, the coding region and 1.97

kb downstream of the stop codon and we refer to it as '*162*' hereafter (**Fig. 6A; Table S1**).

Additionally, we generated a chimeric gene cassette termed *pZmRA1::SvRA1* where 2.95 kb of

ZmRA1 promoter region and five-prime untranslated region was fused upstream of the *SvRA1*

coding sequence and 1.97 kb of downstream *SvRA1* sequence and we refer to the construct as

'*175*' hereafter (**Fig. 6B; Table S1**). Four independent, stably herbicide-resistant and single-

locus transgene events were identified for *162* during backcrossing to the B73 tester line (**Table**

S2). Of those events, three were unique among all stable, herbicide-resistant transgenics we

propagated in this study, across all five constructs, in that they showed no notable effect on the

strong *ra1-R* mutant phenotype or any other plant phenotypes examined. Thus, quantitative

phenotyping was not performed for these three events, which strongly suggests the *SvRA1*

transgene has little or no functional activity in maize. The fourth event for *162* showed some

reduction of vegetative shoot stature and effects on inflorescence branching and was therefore

examined for ear and tassel phenotype, although we consider it an outlier or novel event among

the four *162* transgenic lines. One stable, single-locus transgene event was generated for *175*

and backcrossed to B73 and it affected inflorescences but was non-pleiotropic for vegetative

plant characteristics. Thus, we studied the effects of *162* and *175* in single-locus events

backcrossed in the *ra1-R* mutant background (**Table S2**).

Overall, tassels from *ra1-R* mutants that expressed the novel *162* event or expressed the

175 transgene were less branched and had normal architectures relative to the highly branched

architecture of non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. 6C-E**). Similarly, *ra1-R* ears expressing *162*

displayed a range in gross phenotype from unbranched ears with straight rows of kernels along

the ear axis and no branches to those with crooked rows and a low degree of branching (**Fig. 6F,**

G, M). In contrast, *ra1-R* ears expressing *175* were fully unbranched with kernels in straight

parallel rows along the ear axis (**Fig. 6H, M**).

To understand the impact of the novel *162* event or of *175* on *ra1-R* tassels and ears, we

quantified branch phenotypes. When compared to non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings, mean CS

lengths were significantly longer (difference +13.67 cm for both *162* and *175*) and mean LBZ

lengths were significantly shorter in *ra1-R* tassels expressing either *162* or *175* transgenes

(difference -11 cm for *162* and -12.1 cm for *175*) (**Fig. 6I, J**). Relative to normal tassels, mean

CS lengths were shorter (difference -5.0 cm for both *162* and *175*) and mean LBZ lengths were

marginally longer in *ra1-R* tassels with either *162* or *175* (difference +1.27 cm for *162* and +0.17

cm for *175*) (**cf. Figs. 3F, G to 6I, J**). Non-transgenic *ra1-R* sibling tassels produced on average

35.1 LBs and 25.1 multimers, which were significantly more compared to averages of 12.7 LBs

and 3.1 multimers for *162*, and 7.5 LBs and 1.7 multimers for *175* expressing *ra1-R* siblings

(**Fig. 6K, L**). Compared to a mean of 9.6 LBs and 2 multimers for normal tassels, *162* expressing

ra1-R tassels produced on average 3.1 more LBs and 0.9 more multimers, whereas *175*

expressing *ra1-R* tassels had 2.1 fewer LBs and 0.3 fewer multimers (**cf. Figs. 3H, I to 6K, L**).

For SPD, *ra1-R* tassels with *162* had on average 3.2 more SPs along the CS compared to non-

transgenic *ra1-R* siblings, and similarly, *ra1-R* tassels with *175* had 2.2 more SPs (**Fig. S10B**).

Relative to SPD for normal tassels, *ra1-R* expressing *162* had on average 0.7 fewer SPs and *ra1-*

R expressing *175* had 1.7 fewer SPs along the CS (**cf. Figs. S6B to S10B**). The three most basal

LBs were consistently shorter in *ra1-R* tassels that carried the *162* transgene compared with non-

transgenic *ra1-R* siblings; LBs were of similar length between *ra1-R* expressing the *175*

transgene and non-transgenic *ra1-R* siblings (**Fig. S10C**). Compared to normal tassels, the three

most basal LBs of *ra1-R* tassels expressing either *162* or *175* were shorter (**cf. Figs. S6C to**

S10C).

Establishment of SPM determinacy during ear development differed conspicuously

between *ra1-R* expressing the *175* transgene and expressing the novel *162* event. *ra1-R* with the

162 transgene produced an average of 4 branches, whereas *ra1-R* ears carrying the *175* transgene

were unbranched (**Fig. 6M**). Overall, the *175* transgene behaved most similarly to the *198*

endogenous maize construct.

Collectively, the transgene constructs containing *SvRA1* conferred degrees of

complementation from non- to partial to nearly complete, all without inducing the novel

inflorescence phenotypes of sorghum transgenes. Whereas in most *SvRA1* (*162*) lines the intact

SvRA1 gene did not complement the *ra1-R* mutant phenotype, we saw some effects in one line.

Similarly, the *ZmRa1* and *SbRa1* events were not all identical in their phenotypic effects, as is

not unusual among transgene events integrated into different chromosomal regions. We speculate

that the novel *SvRA1* (*162*) event may be integrated in a genomic context that results in

effectively ectopic expression, and therefore suggesting a lack of appropriate *cis* regulatory

components in the *SvRA1* promoter region while revealing some functional potential of the

SvRA1 gene product.

512   In the encoded polypeptides, *Zm*RA1 and *Sv*RA1 C_2H_2 zinc-finger domains vary by three

amino acid residues, and the C-terminal EAR motif in *Sv*RA1 differs by one residue. However, a

conserved EAR motif adjacent to the C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain in *Zm*RA1 is absent in *Sv*RA1

(**Figs. 1G and S2B**). In maize, RA1 physically interacts with REL2 via EAR motifs in a large

transcriptional repressor complex to impose SPM determinacy (Gallavotti et al., 2010; Liu et al.,

2019). Functional importance of the EAR motif adjacent to the C_2H_2 zinc-finger domain has not

been tested genetically. Our data from the *175* chimeric gene cassette suggest the C_2H_2 -proximal

EAR motif, which is by definition dispensable for RA1 function in setaria, is likewise to a

significant degree nonessential in maize. Whereas complementation was only partial for novel

162 event, it was more complete for the chimeric *175* construct. The promoter region swap data

clearly indicate that *cis*-encoded regulation of *RA1* expression is a key functional component in

promoting SPM determinacy, especially during ear development. In an evolutionary context it is

interesting to note that while spikelets are normally unpaired in setaria and the *SvRA1* gene is

insufficient to complement the maize *ra1-R* mutant with its many unpaired spikelets, under the

proper expression conditions the SvRA1 gene product does confer sufficient determinacy

activity to restore SPs to *ra1-R* maize. These results suggest that within the Panicoideae

subfamily of the PACMAD grasses *RA1* has an evolutionarily conserved determinacy function

that contributes to specifying short branch meristems: SMs in setaria and SPMs in maize and

sorghum. Our data are all consistent with a hypothesis wherein within the paired-spikelet

Andropogoneae tribe, *RA1* has been adopted a key role in producing the SP by imposing

determinacy in the proper developmental context rather than by specifying any strict SPM

identity. It would be interesting to test whether the *RA1* genes from other Panicoid species as

well as from Chloridoid subfamily and/or other PACMAD grasses show similar functions.

535   The developmental context in which genes and networks operate within meristems and

flanking organ boundary domains is critical in determining inflorescence form. Elegant genetic

studies on the spatiotemporal regulation and function of transcription factors have shed important

light on the mechanisms governing inflorescence branching patterns. Genetic variation in distal

regulatory elements (Clark et al., 2006; Studer et al., 2011), proximal or intronic *cis* regulatory

elements (Arnaud et al., 2011; Wills et al., 2013; Kusters et al., 2015), coding sequences that

alter protein function (Wang et al., 2005; Whipple et al., 2010), protein-protein interactions

(Bartlett et al., 2016; Abraham-Juarez et al., 2020) or protein-DNA interactions (Maizel et al.,

2005; Sayou et al., 2014) are critical drivers of inflorescence branching. Our data leveraging

interspecies gene transfer and chimeric transgene expression suggest that *cis*-encoded regulation

of *RA1* expression is a key factor in modulating meristem determinacy that ultimately impacts

grass inflorescence architecture. With the ability to map hundreds of regulatory regions and

transcription factor binding sites across diverse plant genomes (Lu et al., 2019; Galli et al.,

2020), it will be important to understand the regulatory context of the conserved *cis* sequences

that reside in *RA1* promoters.

Branch determinacy in the grasses is controlled by gene networks that function in

boundary domains adjacent to the meristem they positionally regulate. Since their discovery,

such 'signaling centers' have emerged as a major theme in regulating meristem determinacy, not

meristem identity, and are key drivers of complex branching patterns seen in grass inflorescences

(Whipple, 2017). Maize *RAMOSA* genes—*RA1* (Vollbrecht et al., 2005), *RA2* that encodes a

LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain transcription factor (Bortiri et al., 2006) and the

TREHALOSE PHOSPHATE PHOSPHATASE-encoding *RA3* (Satoh-Nagasawa et al., 2006)—

constitute a 'signaling center' as these genes are co-expressed in overlapping boundary domains

(Vollbrecht and Schmidt, 2009) and likely regulate a mobile signal that promotes determinacy of

adjacent BMs. Similarly, BM determinacy is controlled by the GATA domain zinc-finger and

SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN transcription factors encoded by *TASSEL*

SHEATH1 (*TSH1*) and *TSH4* (Whipple et al., 2010; Chuck et al., 2010), and SM identity and

determinacy are regulated by boundary expression of *BRANCHED SILKLESS1* and

INDETERMINANT SPIKELET1 that encode APETALA2 domain transcription factors (Chuck et

al., 1998; 2007). BMs, SPMs and SMs are not meristem types found in eudicot inflorescences,

where variation and complexity are largely governed by shifts in meristem identity

(Prusinkiewicz et al., 2007; Lemmon et al., 2016). Given that *RA1* transcripts accumulate in

meristem boundary regions during development of sorghum and setaria inflorescences, it will be

interesting to test the functional consequences of mutating *RA1* in these grasses. Meristem

identity genes in eudicots are expressed in meristems; genes that regulate inflorescence variation

and complexity in the grasses are expressed in adjacent boundary domains to regulate meristem

determinacy. Our work on the expression and functional conservation of syntenic *RA1* orthologs

provides comparative insight into the genetic basis of grass inflorescence diversity, and opens the

door for future reverse engineering of grass inflorescence evolution for crop improvement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic Stocks

This study utilized the *ra1-R* allele (Vollbrecht et al., 2005) backcrossed seven generations to the

B73 background to generate the "recurrent B73 parent;" either *ra1-R* homozygotes or *ra1-R/ra1-*

B73 heterozygotes were used in crossing schemes.

Generation of *RA1* transgenes

The 35SBAR fragment from pTF101.1 was modified by PCR to introduce a *Hin*dIII site at the 3'

end of the terminator. This allowed a 2.0 kb *Hin*dIII restriction fragment containing 35SBAR-

terminator to be isolated, treated with DNA polymerase I (Klenow) and dNTPs to generate blunt

ends, and ligated into the *Sma*I site of pSB11 (Komari et al., 2006), creating a vector called

pSB11_BAR. This vector, which contains the 35SBAR gene adjacent to and transcribed towards

the T-DNA left border, was the precursor to all of the complementation vectors containing the

genomic regions described below.

For construct *198*, *ZmRA1* and flanking regulatory regions were PCR amplified from *Z.*

mays B73 genomic DNA and ligated with pSB11_BAR at *Hin*dIII. To distinguish the *198_RA1*

allele from endogenous allele in subsequent generations after plant transformation, we

introduced an *Acc*I restriction site in the *RA1* coding DNA sequence. This synonymous SNP

(B73_v5 7: 114959005 C>T) is a natural, low frequency variant found in the maize inbred P39

haplotype (Vollbrecht et al., 2005). For the Sorghum construct *196*, a 6.0 kb *Xba*I fragment

obtained by screening a BTx623-derived BAC library with a *ZmRA1* probe was cloned into

pBluescript II KS (Agilent) and the *Hin*dIII site in the polylinker was used for ligation into

pSB11_BAR. Sorghum construct *195* was generated from *196* following introduction of a

*Hin*dIII site at the 3' end of the upstream *SbRA1* frameshift copy (2: 58699332; **Table S1**)

thereby removing a 1.6 kb fragment containing the upstream copy. For the *Setaria viridis*

construct *162*, in-fusion cloning methods (Clontech/Takara) were employed to PCR-amplify and

clone from *S.viridis* A10 genomic DNA a 4 kb fragment containing the *SvRA1* transcribed region

and regulatory sequences into pSB11-BAR as a *Hin*dIII-*Bam*HI insertion. The maize/setaria

chimeric construct *175* was generated as a translational fusion at the start codon by replacing the

Setaria promoter-containing fragment in *162* with the 2.9 kb maize fragment. The reference

genome coordinates of the *RA1* genes and regulatory regions are listed in **Table S1**, and all

primers used for vector construction are listed in **Table S3**.

Constructs except for 175 were recombined into the pSB1 superbinary vector in

Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA4404 via triparental mating (Komari et al., 2006). These strains

were used for Agrobacterium-mediated maize transformation of Hi-II embryos by the Iowa State

University Plant Transformation Facility. Transgenic maize plants containing the *175* cassette

were generated in Erik Vollbrecht's lab at Iowa State University using particle bombardment of

immature Hi-II embryos with the SB11_BAR-derived vector directly (Frame et al., 2000).

Tests for recovery in *ra1-R*

T0 transgenic plants were crossed three times (construct *198*) or four times (constructs *195*, *196*,

162 and *175*) to the recurrent B73 parent line before phenotyping. During the introgression

generations, plants were treated with a 2.5% Liberty solution applied to a single leaf to assay for

35SBAR gene-mediated resistance to Liberty herbicide (source, BASF). We also used transgene-

specific genotype analyses to track integration events and determine transgene locus number by

segregation analysis. DNA was made from leaf punches as previously described (Strable et al.,

2017) and PCR-based genotype assays were performed using standard conditions with the

primers described (**Table S3**). To genotype alleles at the endogenous *ZmRA1* locus in the

presence of all but the *198* transgene, a CAPS assay was utilized to detect a SNP within with the

ra1-R allele which results in the introduction of an *Acc*I restriction site. The 765 bp amplicon

generated by primers RA8 and RA11 is digested by *Acc*I in *ra1-R* to generate two fragments,

334 bp and 431 bp. The *198* transgene contains the same *Acc*I SNP as *ra1-R*. Thus, in crosses

with the *198* transgene, an additional *Msc*I dCAPS assay that detects the lesion in the *ra1-R*

mutant allele was employed to distinguish the *198*-derived amplicons (i.e., without *Msc*I site to

yield 190 bp) from the *ra1-R* derived amplicons (with the *Msc*I site to yield 155 bp and 35 bp

following digestion).

Transgene events that segregated as single locus integrations and showed a stable

herbicide-resistance phenotype were selected for qualitative or quantitative phenotyping analysis.

To produce the segregating populations used for phenotyping *198*, *195* and *196*, plants

heterozygous *ra1-R*/+ and hemizygous for the transgene of interest were crossed as females by

ra1-R/*ra1-R* pollen of the recurrent B73 parent. To produce the *162* and *175* material for

phenotyping we crossed females homozygous *ra1-R/ra1-R* and hemizygous for the transgene of

interest by *ra1-R/ra1-R* pollen.

Phenotypic analysis

All maize plant phenotyping was performed on field-grown plants in the summers of 2014

(constructs 195, 196 and 198) and 2018 (constructs 162 and 175), at the same location on the

Woodruff Farm in Ames, Iowa. Tassel phenotype characters are summarized in **Fig. S5** and

described here. Long branch zone was measured from the basal-most to the apical-most long

branches. Central spike length was taken from the apical-most long branch to the tip of the tassel

and comprised spikelet pairs. A long branch was defined as the typical basal long branches in

maize, i.e. bearing only spikelet pairs, or as bearing a mix of spikelet pairs and single spikelets.

Spikelet multimers were any branches bearing three or more single spikelets. Spikelet pair

density was taken from a 1 cm band in circumference at the central spike midpoint.

RNA *in situ* hybridization and expression analysis

Field-grown *S. bicolor* and growth chamber-grown *S. viridis* panicles were fixed overnight at 4˚

C in FAA. Samples were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series (50%, 70, 85, 95, 100) each

one hour, with three changes in 100% ethanol. Samples were then passed through a graded

Histo-Clear (National Diagnostics) series (3:1, 1:1, 1:3 ethanol: Histo-Clear) with 3 changes in

100% Histo-Clear; all changes were one hour each at room temperature. Samples were then

embedded in Paraplast®Plus (McCormick Scientific), sectioned, and hybridized as described

previously (Strable and Vollbrecht, 2019). Hybridizations were performed using antisense

digoxygenin-labeled RNA probes to *ZmRA1* (**Table S3**) and *ZmKN1* (Jackson et al., 1994).

Field-grown, developmentally staged maize tassels were dissected away from leaf

primordia and placed individually in 100 µL Trizol (Thermo-Fisher) and stored at -80˚C in a 1.5

mL Eppendorf tube until processing. To process, 400 µL Trizol was added and tassel tissue was

thawed and ground in the presence of Trizol using a plastic drill mount pestle. Total RNA was

extracted as per the Trizol manufacturer and treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega) following the

protocol outlined by the manufacturer, and converted to cDNA using RNA to cDNA EcoDry™

Premix (Double Primed) reagents (Takara Bio USA). The cDNA was diluted 1:1 with water,

and 1.0 µL was used for PCR. PCR followed standard conditions using GoTaq®Green Master

Mix (Promega corp.), Ta= 58˚C, 1 min. extension at 72˚C for 33 cycles. Primers are listed in

Table S3.

Conservation analysis of promoter *cis* sequences

For mVISTA analysis, genomic sequences (0.5 kb) upstream of the predicted 5'UTR regions of

RA1 in *Zea mays*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Setaria viridis* were downloaded from

https://ensembl.gramene.org and aligned using mVISTA LAGAN alignment

(https://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml). The plots depict 100 bp alignment windows

at a similarity threshold 70% shaded in red.

675   To identify conserved non-coding sequences and binding motifs, the coding sequence of

Zea mays RA1 (Zm00001eb312340 – B73-REFERENCE-NAM-5.0) was used to find likely

orthologs in other Panicoideae grasses. Sequences from *Chasmanthium laxum*

(Chala.06G030500 – v1.1), *Miscanthus sinensis* (Misin03G169300 & MisinT268200 – v7.1),

Panicum halli (Pahal.2G260300 – v3.2), *Paspalum vaginatum* (Pavag06G030400 – v.3.1),

Setaria viridis (Sevir.2G209800 – v2.1) and *Sorghum bicolor* (Sobic.002G197700 and

Sobic.002G197800 – v3.1.1) were identified using the BLAST tool in Phytozome v13. Sequence

from *Coix lacryma-jobi* (Adlay0592-017T1) was selected from its own genome site.

(http://phyzen.iptime.org/adlay/index.php). From all accessions, we took 2 kb upstream of the

translation initiation site. First, conserved non-coding sequences from *RA1* promoter region

sequence from Andropogoneae was determined using MEME (Bailey and Elkan, 1994). Then,

the resulting motifs were searched in the other Panicoideae non-Andropogoneae grasses using

FIMO (Grant et al., 2011). All sequences were compared against the non-redundant JASPAR

CORE (2018) database from plants while using SEA to observe any possible well-known

binding sites present internally (Bailey and Grant, 2021). The position of motifs from JASPAR

were compared with the position of conserved non-coding sequences to check for overlap.

Motifs from Clade 'A' ARFs were searched on the different sequences by using FIMO (Galli et

al, 2018). Finally, these binding sites from the SEA analysis were used to search again in the

Andropogoneae grasses using FIMO to obtain the relative coordinates in the *ZmRA1* promoter

region (Grant et al., 2011).

Accession numbers

ZmRA1, Zm00001eb312340; *ZmKN1*, Zm00001eb055920; *SbRA1^{DS}*, Sobic.002G197700;

SbRA1^{US}, Sobic.002G197800; *SvRA1*, Sevir.2G209800; *ClRA1*, Chala.06G030500; *MsRA1*,

Misin03G169300 & MisinT268200; *PhRA1*, Pahal.2G260300; *PvRA1*, Pavag06G030400; *Cl-j*,

Adlay0592-017T1, *Eleusine coracana RA1* ELECO.r07.6AG0534810.1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Brandi Sigmon for insightful discussion on *RA1* in sorghum and for comments

on the manuscript. Additionally, we thank Pete Lelonek for assisting with greenhouse

management and plant care. Many thanks to former undergraduate students, especially Emery

Peyton, Charlie Beeler, Tryggve Rogers, Raven Saunders-Duckett, Matt Hirsch, Nicole Essner

and Jack Schwickerath for their help with summer genetics nurseries and phenotypic analysis.

We appreciate the insightful comments on the manuscript from Jack Satterlee. This work was

supported by the National Science Foundation (IOS number 1238202 to E.V.). J.S. and A.A.R.

are supported by North Carolina State University startup funds and USDA Hatch project

1026392.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

714   J.S., E.U.-W. and E.V. designed research; J.S., E.U.-W., S.B., and E.V. performed experiments;

715   J.S., E.U.-W., A.A.R. and E.V. analyzed data; J.S., E.U.-W. and E.V. wrote the paper.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Architecture of maize, maize *ra1-R* mutant, sorghum and *S. viridis* inflorescences and genomic relationship of *RA1*. Normal inbred B73 maize tassel (A) and ear (B). Maize *ra1-R* mutant tassel (C) and ear (D). (E) *S. bicolor* panicle. (F) *S. viridis* panicle. (A-F) Inflorescences not to scale. (G) Annotated gene structure for *RA1* homologs. Tandem duplication of *SbRA1* locus is shown with indicated frameshift mutation (fs) in upstream copy of *SbRA1*. Predicted promoter regions are indicated by color lines. Gray box, conserved non-coding *cis* sequences (see 1H). Open box, UTR sequences. Magenta box, encoded C_2H_2 zinc finger domain. Blue box, encoded EAR motif. (H) Conserved non-coding *cis* sequences in the *RA1* promoters of Panicoid grasses. Among species in the tribe Andropogoneae, the promoter regions of *RA1* display different motifs conserved in sequence and arrangement (correspondingly colored boxes are conserved; Fig. S3C) compared to other tribes in the Panicoideae family. Upstream (US) and downstream (DS) tandem duplicate *SbRA1* copies and duplicate *MsRA1* copies A and B are indicated. Dashed lines underscore promoter regions incorporated into transgene cassettes. Some conserved sequences contained binding motifs for well-known transcriptional regulators, such as LEAFY and Clade A ARFs (Fig. S3B). Solid squares, *P*-values $\leq 1^{-20}$; cross-hatched squares, *P*-values $\leq 1^{-05}$; arrowhead – LEAFY-binding motifs; asterisks – Clade A ARF-binding motifs. Character state of spikelets (paired, single or with a bristle) is indicated on the phylogeny.

Figure 2. RNA *in situ* hybridization in sorghum and *S. viridis* inflorescences. Antisense RNA probes to *ZmRA1* (A, C, E) or *ZmKN1* (B, D, F) were hybridized to longitudinal sections of developing inflorescences from sorghum, *Sb* (A, B) or *S. viridis, Sv* (C-F). Arrowheads denote *RA1* transcript accumulation in boundary domains. Scale bars, 100 µm.

Figure 3. Expression of the *ZmRA1* locus as a transgene in *ra1-R* mutant background. (A) *198* cassette for expression of *ZmRA1* containing 2.9 kb of upstream sequence including conserved non-coding *cis* regions. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C) *ra1-R* tassel expressing 198.7.3. (D) *ra1-R* ear. (E) *ra1-R* ear expressing 198.7.3. Scale bars, 2 cm. (F) Central spike length. (G) Branch zone length. (H) Number of long branches. (I) Number of spikelet multimers. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile, respectively, the

middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, outlier

data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test for transgene vs. *ra1-R*

***P<0.001; normal, n = 20; *ra1-R*, n = 10; 198.7.3, n = 8.

Figure 4. Interspecies expression of the tandem duplicated *SbRA1* modeled transgene in the

***ra1-R* mutant background.** (A) *195* cassette for interspecies expression of the tandem

duplicated *SbRA1* locus. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C-E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing 195.8.3 (C), 195.20.4

(D) and 195.46.1 (E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G-I) *ra1-R* ears expressing 195.8.3 (G),

195.20.4 (H) and 195.46.1 (I) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (J) Central spike length. (K) Branch

zone length. (L) Number of long branches. (M) Number of spikelet multimers. (N) Number of

ear branches. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third

quartile, respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and

maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test

for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***P<0.001; *ra1-R*, n = 18; 195.8.3, n = 11; 195.20.4, n = 12; 195.46.1,

n = 11.

Figure 5. Interspecies expression of the downstream *SbRA1* modeled transgene in the *ra1-R*

mutant background. (A) *196* cassette for interspecies expression of the downstream *SbRA1*

locus. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C-E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing 196.19.2 (C), 196.7.3 (D) and 196.14.5

(E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G-I) *ra1-R* ears expressing 196.19.2 (G), 196.7.3 (H) and

196.14.5 (I) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (J) Central spike length. (K) Branch zone length. (L)

Number of long branches. (M) Number of spikelet multimers. (N) Number of ear branches. For

all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile,

respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and

maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test

for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***P<0.001, *P<0.05; *ra1-R*, n = 15; 196.19.2, n = 10; 196.7.3, n = 12;

196.14.5, n = 10.

Figure 6. Interspecies expression of *SvRA1* or chimeric *SvRA1* as a transgene in the *ra1-R*

mutant background. (A) *162* cassette for interspecies expression of the *SvRA1* locus. (B) *175*

cassette for expression of the *SvRA1* coding region fused to the 2.9 kb *Zm* upstream region

including conserved non-coding *cis* sequences. (C) *ra1-R* tassel. (D, E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing

162.26.1 (D) and 175.7 (E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G, H) *ra1-R* ears expressing 162.26.1 (G)

and 175.7 (H) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (I) Central spike length. (J) Branch zone length. (K)

Number of long branches. (L) Number of spikelet multimers. (M) Number of ear branches. For

all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile,

respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and

maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test

for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***$P<0.001$; *ra1-R*, n = 15; 162.26.1, n = 12; 175.7, n = 9.

REFERENCES

Abraham-Juarez, M.J., Schrager-Lavelle, A., Man, J., Whipple, C., Handakumbura, P., Babbitt, C. and Bartlett, M. (2020). Evolutionary variation in MADS-box dimerization affects floral development and protein degredation dynamics. bioRxiv. doi:10.1101/2020.03.09.984260.

Ahn, J.H., Miller, D., Winter, V.J., Banfield, M.J., Lee, J.H., Yoo, S.Y., Henz, S.R., Brady, R.L. and Weigel, D. (2006). A divergent external loop confers antagonistic activity on floral regulators FT and TFL1. EMBO J. **25:** 605-614.

Arnaud, N., Lawrenson, T., Østergaard, L. and Sablowski, R. (2011). The same regulatory point mutation changed seed-dispersal structures in evolution and domestication. Curr. Biol **21:** 1215-1219.

Bailey, T.L. and Elkan, C. (1994). Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. In Altman,R., Brutlag,D., Karp,P., Lathrop,R. and Searls,D. (eds), Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA, pp. 28–36.

Bailey, T.L. and Grant, C.E. (2021). SEA: Simple Enrichment Analysis of motifs. *bioRxiv* **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.23.457422.

Bartlett, M., Thompson, B., Brabazon, H., Del Gizzi, R., Zhang, T. and Whipple, C. (2016). Evolutionary dynamics of floral homeotic transcription factor protein-protein interactions. Mol. Biol. Evol. **33:** 1486-1501.

Bennetzen, J.L., Schmutz, J., Wang, H., Percifield, R., Hawkins, J., Pontraoli, A.C., Estep, M., Feng, L., Vaughn, J.N., Grimwood, J, *et al.* (2012). Reference genome sequence of the model plant Setaria. Nat Biotechnol. **30:** 555-561.

Blackman, B.K., Strasburg, J.L., Raduski, A.R., Michaels, S.D. and Riesberg, L.H. (2010). The role of recently derived FT paralogs in sunflower domestication. Curr. Biol. **20:** 629-635.

Bomblies, K., Wang, R.L., Ambrose, B.A., Schmidt, R.J., Meeley, R.J. and Doebley, J. (2003). Duplicate *FLORICAULA/LEAFY* homologs *zfl1* and *zfl2* control inflorescence architecture and flower patterning in maize. Development **130:** 2385-2395.

Bomblies, K. and Doebley, J.F. (2005). Molecular evolution of *FLORICAULA/LEAFY* orthologs in the Andropogoneae (Poaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. **22:** 1082-1094.

Bomblies, K. and Doebley, J.F. (2006). Pleiotropic effects on the duplicate maize *FLORICAULA/LEAFY* genes *zfl1* and *zfl2* on traits under selection during maize domestication. Genetics **172:** 519-531.

Bommert, P., Je, B.I., Goldschmidt, A. and Jackson, D. (2013). The maize Gα gene *COMPACT PLANT2* functions in CLAVATA signaling to control shoot meristem size. Nature **502:** 555-558.

Bortiri, E., Chuck, G., Vollbrecht, E., Rocheford, T., Martienssen, R. and Hake, S. (2006).
ramosa2 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the fate
of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell **18:** 574-585.

Brown, P.J., Klein, P.E., Bortiri, E., Acharay, C.B., Rooney, W.L. and Kresovich, S. (2006).
Inheritance of inflorescence architecture in sorghum. **113:** 931-942.

Brown, P.J., Upadyayula, N., Mahone, G.S., Tian, F., Bradbury, P.J., Myles, S., Holland,
J.B., Flint-Garcia, S., McMullen, M.D., Buckler, E.S. and Rocheford, T.R. (2011). Distinct
genetic architectures for male and female infloresence traits of maize. PLoS Genet. **11:** 1002383.

Carroll, S.B. (2008). Evo-devo and an expanding evolutionary synthesis: a genetic theory of
morphological evolution. Cell **134:** 25-36.

Chuck, G., Meeley, R.B. and Hake, S. (1998). The control of maize spikelet meristem fate by
the *APETALA2*-like gene *indeterminant spikelet1*. Gene Dev. **12:** 1145-1154.

Chuck, G., Muszynski, M., Kellogg, E., Hake, S. and Schmidt, R.J. (2002). The control of
spikelet meristem identity by the *branched silkless1* gene in maize. Science. **298:** 1238-1241.

Chuck, G., Whipple, C, Jackson D. and Hake, S. (2010). The maize SBP-box transcription
factor encoded by *tasselsheath4* regulates bract development and the establishment of meristem
boundaries. Development **137:** 1243-1250.

Clark, R.M. Wagler, T.N. Quijada, P. and Doebley, J. (2006) A distant upstream enhancer at
the maize domestication gene *tb1* has pleiotropic effects on plant and inflorescent architecture.
Nat Genet. **38:** 594-597.

Clifford, H.T. (1987). Spikelet and floral morphology. In *Grass Systematics and Evolution* (ed.
T.R. Soderstrom, K.W. Hilu, C.S. Campbell and M.E. Barkworth), pp. 21-30. Washington, DC:
Smithsonian Institution Press.

Collins, G. (1917). Hybrids of *Zea tunicate* and *Zea ramosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **3:** 345-
349.

Crowell, S., Korniliev, P., Falcão, A., Ismail, A., Gregorio, G., Mezey, J. and McCouch, S.
(2016). Genome-wide association and high-resolution phenotyping link *Oryza sativa* panicle
traits to numerous trait-specific QTL clusters. Nat Comm **7:** 10527.

Dathan, N., Zaccaro, L., Esposito, S., Isernia, C., Omichinski, J.G., Riccio, A., Pedone, C.,
Di Blasio, B., Fattorusso, R. and Pedone, P.V. (2002). The *Arabidopsis* SUPERMAN protein
is able to specifically bind DNA through is single Cys_2-His_2 zinc finger motif. Nucleic Acids Res
30: 4945-4951.

Davidson, R.M., Gowda, M., Moghe, G., Lin, H., Vaillancourt, B., Shiu, S.H., Jiang, N. and
Buell, C.R. (2012). Comparative transcriptomics of three Poaceae species reveals patterns of
gene expression evolution. Plant J. **71:** 492-502.
880
881 **Dewey, C.N.** (2011). Positional orthology: putting genomic evolutionary relationships into
882 context. Brief Bioinform. **12:** 401-12.
883
884 **Doust, A.N., Devos, K.M., Gadberry, M.D., Gale, M.D. and Kellogg, E.A.** (2005). The
885 genetic basis for inflorescence variation between foxtail and green millet (Poaceae). Genetics
886 **169:** 1659-1672.
887
888 **Doust, A.N. and Kellogg, E.A.** (2002). Inflorescence diversification in the panicoid "bristle
889 grass" clade (Paniceae, Poaceae): evidence from molecular phylogenies and developmental
890 morphology. Am. J. Bot. **89:** 1203-1222.
891
892 **Eveland, A.L., Goldschmidt, A., Pautler, M., Morohashi, K., Liseron-Monfils, C., Lewis,**
893 **M.W., Kumari, S., Hiraga, S., Yang, F., Unger-Wallace, E., Olson, A., Hake, S., Vollbrecht,**
894 **E., Grotewold, E., Ware, D. and Jackson, D.** (2014). Regulatory modules controlling maize
895 inflorescence architecture. Genome Res. **24:** 431-443.
896
897 **Frame, B.R., Zhang, H., Cocciolone, S.M., Sidorenko, L.V., Dietrich, C.R., Pegg, S.E.,**
898 **Zhen, S., Schnable, P.S., and Wang, K.** (2000) Production of transgenic maize from
899 bombarded type II callus: Effect of gold particle size and callus morphology on transformation
900 efficiency. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.-Plant* **36:** 21-29.
901
902 **Gallavotti, A. Long, J.A., Stanfield, S., Yang, X., Jackson, D., Vollbrecht, E., and Schmidt,**
903 **R.J.** (2010). The control of axillary meristem fate in the maize *ramosa* pathway. Development
904 **137:** 2849-2856.
905
906 **Gallavotti, A., Yang, Y., Schmidt, R.J. and Jackson D.** (2008). The relationship between
907 auxin transport and maize branching. Plant Physiol. **147:** 1913-1923.
908
909 **Galli, M., Feng, F. and Gallavotti, A.** (2020) Mapping regulatory determinants in plants. Front.
910 Genet. **11:** 591194.
911
912 **Galli, M., Khakhar, A., Lu, Z., Chen, Z., Sen, S., Joshi, T., Nemhauser, J.L., Schmitz, R.J.**
913 **and Gallavotti, A.** (2018). The DNA binding landscape of the maize AUXIN RESPONSE
914 FACTOR family. Nat. Commun. 9: 4526.
915
916 **Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L. and Noble, W.S.** (2011). FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given
917 motif. *Bioinformatics* **27:** 1017-1018.
918
919 **Harlan, J.R. and de Wet, J.M.J.** (1972). A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum.
920 Crop Sci. **12:** 172-176.
921

Hiratsu, K., Mitsuda, N., Matsui, K. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2004). Identificaiton of the
minimal repression domain of SUPERMAN shows that the DLELRL hexapeptide is both
necessary and sufficient for repression of transcription in Arabidopsis. Biochem Biophys Res
Commun. **321:** 172-178.

Hodge, J.G. and Doust, A.N. (2017). Morphological development of *Setaria viridis* from
germination to flowering. Plant Genet. Genomics **19:** 161-175.

Huang, P. and Feldman, M. (2017) *Genetic Diversity and Geographic Distribution of North*
American Setaria viridis *Populations,* in *Genetics and Genomics of Setaria*. Cham, Switz,:
Springer.

Jackson, D., Veit, B. and Hake, S. (1994). Expression of maize *KNOTTED1* related homeobox
genes in the shoot apical meristem predicts patterns of morphogenesis in the vegetative shoot.
Development **120:** 405-413.

Kellogg, E.A. (2015). *Flowering Plants, Monocots: Poaceae,* Vol 13. *Families and Genera of*
Vascular Plants. Cham, Switz,: Springer.

Kellogg, E.A., Camara, P.E.A.S, Rudall, P.J., Ladd, P., Malcomber, S.T., Whipple, C.J.
and Doust, A.N. (2013). Early inflorescence development in the grasses (Poaceae). Front Plant
Sci **4:** 250.

Komari T., Takakura Y., Ueki J., Kato N., Ishida Y. and Hiei Y. (2006) Binary Vectors
and Super-binary Vectors. In: Wang K. (eds) Agrobacterium Protocols. Methods in
Molecular Biology, vol 343. Humana Press.

Kusters, E., Della Pina, S., Castel, R., Souer, E. and Koes, R. (2015). Chances in *cis*-
regulatory elements of key floral regulators are associated with divergence of inflorescence
architectures. Development **142:** 2822-2831.

Leiboff, S. and Hake, S. (2019) Reconstructing the transcriptional ontogeny of maize and
sorghum supports an inverse hourglass model of inflorescence development. Curr Biol. **29:**
3410-3419.

Lemmon, Z.H., Park, S.J., Jiang, K., Van Eck, J., Schatz, M.C. and Lippman, Z.B. (2016)
The evolution of inflorescence diversity in the nightshades and heterochrony during meristem
maturation. Genome Res **26:** 1676-1686.

Li, M., Shao, M.R., Zeng, D., Ju, T., Kellogg, E.A. and Topp, C.N. (2020). Comprehensive
3D phenotyping reveals continuous morphological variation across genetically diverse sorghum
inflorescences. New Phytol. doi:10.1111/nph.16533.

Liu, X., Galli, M., Camehl, I. and Gallavotti, A. (2019). RAMOSA1 ENHANCER LOCUS2-
mediated transcriptional repression regulates vegetative and reproductive architecture. Plant
Physiol. **179:** 348-363.

Lu, Z., Marand, A.P., Ricci, W.A., Ethridge, C.L., Zhang, X. and Schmitz, R.J. (2019). The
prevalence, evolution and chromatin signatures of plant regulatory elements. Nat. Plants **5:** 1250-
1259.
972

973 **Maizel. A., Busch, M.A., Tanahashi, T., Perkovic, J., Kato, M., Hasebe, M. and Weigel, D.**
974 (2005). The floral regulator LEAFY evolves by substitutions in the DNA binding domain.
975 Science **308:** 260-263.
976

977 **Mitros, T., Session, A.M., James, B.T., Wu, G.A., Belaffif, M.B., Clark, L.V., Shu, S., Dong,**
978 **H., Barling, A., Holmes, J.R.,** *et al.* (2020). Genome biology of the paleotetraploid perennial
979 biomass crop *Miscanthus*. Nat. Commun. **11:** 5442.
980

981 **Nikolov, L.A. and Tsiantis, M.** (2015). Interspecies gene transfer as a method for understanding
982 the genetic basis for evolutionary change: progress, pitfalls and prospsects. Front Plant Sci **6:**
983 1135.
984

985 **Perez-Limón, S., Li, M., Cintora-Martinez, C., Aguilar-Rangel, M.R., Salazar-Vidal, M.N.,**
986 **González-Segovia, E., Blöcher-Juárez, K., Guerrero-Zavala, A., Barrales-Gamez, B.,**
987 **Caracaño-Macias, J.,** *et al.* (2021). A B73
988 x Palomero Toluqueño mapping population reveals local adaptation in Mexican highland maize.
989 bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.15.460568
990

991 **Prusinkiewicz, P., Erasmus, Y., Lane, B., Harder, L.D. and Coen, E.** (2007). Evolution and
992 development of inflorescence architectures. Science **316:** 1452-1456.
993

994 **Upadyayula, N., da Silva, H.S., Bohn, M.O. and Rocheford, T.R.** (2006a). Genetic and QTL
995 analysis of maize tassel and ear inflorescence architecture. Theor App Genet **112:** 592-606.
996

997 **Upadyayula, N., Wassom, J., Bohn, M.O. and Rocheford, T.R.** (2006b). Quantitative trait loci
998 analysis of phenotypic traits and principle components of maize tassel inflorescence architecture.
999 Theor App Genet **113:** 1395-1407.
1000

1001 **Ricci, W.A., Lu, Z., Ji, L., Marand, A.P., Ethridge, C.L., Murphy, N.G., Noshay, J.M.,**
1002 **Galli, M., Mejía-Guerra, M.K. Colomé-Tatché, M.,** *et al.* (2019). Widespread long-range *cis*-
1003 regulatory elements in the maize genome. Nat. Plants **5:** 1237-1249.
1004

1005 **Satoh-Nagasawa, N., Nagasawa, N., Malcomber, S., Sakai, H. and Jackson, D.** (2006). A
1006 trehalose metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. Nature. **441:** 227-230.
1007

1008 **Sayou, C., Monniaux, M., Nanao, M.H., Moyroud, E., Brockington, S.F., Thévenon, E.,**
1009 **Chahtane, H., Warthmann, N., Melkonian, M., Zhang, Y., Wong, G.K.S., Weigel, D.,**
1010 **Parcy, F. and Dumas, R.** (2014). A promiscuous intermediate underlies the evolution of
1011 LEAFY DNA binding specificity. **343:** 645-648.
1012

1013 **Schnable, J.C.** (2015). Genome evolution in maize: from genomes back to genes. Annu Rev
1014 Plant Biol. **66:** 329-343.
1015
1016 **Schnable, J.** (2019). Grass Syntenic Gene List sorghum v3 maize v3/4 with teff and oropetium
1017 v2. Figshare. Available at: https://figshare.com/ articles/Grass_-
1018 Syntenic_Gene_List_sor- ghum_v3_maize_v3_4_with_teff_and_oropetium_v2/7926674/1
1019
1020 **Schnable, J.C. and Freeling, M.** (2011). Genes identified by visible mutant phenotypes show
1021 increased bias toward one of two subgenomes of maize. PLoS ONE **6:** e17855.
1022
1023 **Sigmon, B.A.** (2010). *ramosa1* in the development and evolution of inflorescence architecture in
1024 grasses. PhD dissertation. Iowa State University, Ames, IA.
1025
1026 **Sigmon, B. and Vollbrecht, E.** (2010). Evidence of selection at the *ramosa1* locus during maize
1027 domestication. Mol Ecol **19:** 1296-1311.
1028
1029 **Strable, J. and Vollbrecht, E.** (2019). Maize *YABBY* genes *drooping leaf1* and *drooping leaf2*
1030 regulate floret development and floral meristem determinacy. Development **146:** dev171181.
1031
1032 **Strable, J., Wallace, J.G., Unger-Wallace, E., Briggs, S., Bradbury, P., Buckler, E.S. and**
1033 **Vollbrecht, E.** (2017). Maize *YABBY* Genes *drooping leaf1* and *drooping leaf2* regulating plant
1034 architecture. *Plant Cell* **29:** 1622-1641.
1035
1036 **Studer, A., Zhao, Q., Ross-Ibarra, J., Doebley, J.** (2011). Identification of a functional
1037 transposon insertion in the maize domestication gene tb1. Nat Genet **43:** 1160-1163.
1038
1039 **Swigoňová, Z., Lai, J., Ma, J., Ramakrishna, W., Llaca, V., Bennetzen, J.L. and Messing, J.**
1040 (2004). Close split of sorghum and maize genome progenitors. Genome Res. **14:** 1916-1923.
1041
1042 **Tiwari, S.B., Hagen, G. and Guilfoyle, T.J.** (2004). Aux/IAA proteins contain a potent
1043 transcriptional repression domain. Plant Cell **16:** 533-543.
1044
1045 **Vollbrecht, E. and Schmidt, R.J.** (2009). Development of the inflorescences. In: *Handbook of*
1046 *Maize: Its Biology*. Springer, N.Y. pp. 13-40.
1047
1048 **Vollbrecht, E., Springer, P.S., Goh, L., Buckler, E.S. and Martienssen, R.** (2005).
1049 Architecture of floral branch systems in maize and related grasses. Nature **436:** 1119-1126.
1050
1051 **Wang, B., Regulsky, M., Tseng, E., Olson, A., Goodwin, S., McCombie, W.R. and Ware, D.**
1052 (2018). A comparative transcriptional landscape of maize and sorghum obtained by single-
1053 molecule sequencing. Genome Res. **28:** 921-932.
1054
1055 **Wang, H., Nussbaum-Wagler, T., Li, B., Zhao, Q., Vigouroux, Y., Faller, M., Bomblies, K.,**
1056 **Lukens, L. and Doebley, J.F.** (2005). The origin of the naked grains of maize. Nature **436:** 714-
1057 719.
1058

1059 **Weeks, B.L.** (2013). Inflorescence branching in maize: a quantitative genetics approach to
1060 identifying key players in the inflorescence development pathway. PhD dissertation. Iowa State
1061 University, Ames, IA
1062
1063 **Whipple, C.J.** (2017). Grass inflorescence architecture and evolution: the origin of novel
1064 signaling centers. New Phytol. **216:** 367-372.
1065
1066 **Whipple, C.J., Hall, D.H., DeBlasio, S., Taguchi-Shiobara, F., Schmidt, R.J. and Jackson,**
1067 **D.P.** (2010). A conserved mechanism of bract suppression in the grass family. Plant Cell **22:**
1068 565-578.
1069
1070 **William, D.A., Su, Y., Smith, M.R., Lu, M., Baldwin, D.A. and Wagner, D.** (2004). Genomic
1071 identification of direct target genes of LEAFY. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **101:** 1775-1780.
1072
1073 **Wills, D.M., Whipple, C.J., Takuno, S., Kursel, L.E., Shannon, L.M., Ross-Ibarra, J. and**
1074 **Doebley, J.F.** (2013). From many, one: genetic control of prolificacy during maize
1075 domestication. PLoS Genet. **9**: e1003604.
1076
1077 **Winter, C.M., Austin, R.S., Blanvillain-Baufumé, S., Reback, M.A., Monniaux, M., Wu,**
1078 **M.F., Sang, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Yamaguchi, N., Parker, J.E.,** *et al.* (2011). LEAFY target
1079 genes reveal floral regulatory logic, cis motifs, and a link to biotic stimulus response. Dev Cell
1080 **20:** 430-443.
1081
1082 **Wu, X., Li, Y., Shi, Y., Song, Y., Zhang, D., Li, C., Buckler, E.S., Li, Y., Zhang, Z. and**
1083 **Wang, T.** (2016). Joint linkage mapping and GWAS reveal extensive genetic loci that regulate
1084 male inflorescence size in maize. Plant Biotechnol J **14:** 1551-1562.
1085
1086 **Xu, G., Wang, X., Huang, C., Xu, D., Li, D., Tian, J., Chen, Q., Wang, C., Liang, Y., Wu,**
1087 **Y., Yang, X. and Tian, F.** (2017). Complex genetic architecture underlies maize tassel
1088 domestication. New Phytol. **214:** 852-864.
1089
1090 **Yamaki, S., Miyabayashi, T., Eiguchi, M., Kitano, H., Nonomura, K.I. and Kurata, N.**
1091 (2010). Diversity of panicle branching patterns of wild relatives of rice. Breeding Sci **60:** 586-
1092 596.
1093
1094 **Zhang, G., Liu, X., Quan, Z., Cheng, S., Xu, X., Pan, S., Xie, M., Zeng, P., Yue, Z., Wang,**
1095 **W.,** *et al.* (2012). Genome sequence of foxtail millet (Setaria italica) provides insights into grass
1096 evolution and biofuel potential. Nat Biotechnol. **30:** 549-554.
1097
1098 **Zhou, Y., Srinivasan, S., Mirnezami, S.V., Kusmec, A., Fu, Q., Attigala, L., Salas**
1099 **Fernandes, M.G., Ganapathysubramanian, B. and Schnable, P.S.** (2019). Semiautomated
1100 feature extraction from RGB images for Sorghum panicle architecture GWAS. Plant Physiol.
1101 **179:** 24-37.
1102

1103   **Zhu, C., Yang, J., Box, M.S., Kellogg, E.A. and Eveland, A.L.** (2018). A dynamic co-
1104   expression map of early inflorescence development in *Setaria viridis* provides a resource for
1105   gene discovery and comparative genomics. Front Plant Sci **9:** 1309.

Figure 1. Architecture of maize, maize *ra1-R* mutant, sorghum and *S. viridis* inflorescences and genomic relationship of *RA1*. Normal inbred B73 maize tassel (A) and ear (B). Maize *ra1-R* mutant tassel (C) and ear (D). (E) *S. bicolor* panicle. (F) *S. viridis* panicle. (A-F) Inflorescences not to scale. (G) Annotated gene structure for *RA1* homologs. Tandem duplication of *SbRA1* locus is shown with indicated frameshift mutation (fs) in upstream copy of *SbRA1*. Predicted promoter regions are indicated by color lines. Gray box, conserved non-coding *cis* sequences (see 1H). Open box, UTR sequences. Magenta box, encoded C_2H_2 zinc finger domain. Blue box, encoded EAR motif. (H) Conserved non-coding *cis* sequences in the *RA1* promoters of Panicoid grasses. Among species in the tribe Andropogoneae, the promoter regions of *RA1* display different motifs conserved in sequence and arrangement (correspondingly colored boxes are conserved; Fig. S3C) compared to other tribes in the Panicoideae family. Upstream (US) and downstream (DS) tandem duplicate *SbRA1* copies and duplicate *MsRA1* copies A and B are indicated. Dashed lines underscore promoter regions incorporated into transgene cassettes. Some conserved sequences contained binding motifs for well-known transcriptional regulators, such as LEAFY and Clade A ARFs (Fig. S3B). Solid squares, *P*-values $\leq 1^{-20}$; cross-hatched squares, *P*-values $\leq 1^{-05}$; arrowhead – LEAFY-binding motifs; asterisks – Clade A ARF-binding motifs. Character state of spikelets (paired, single or with a bristle) is indicated on the phylogeny.

Figure 2. RNA *in situ* hybridization in sorghum and *S. viridis* inflorescences. Antisense RNA probes to *ZmRA1* (A, C, E) or *ZmKN1* (B, D, F) were hybridized to longitudinal sections of developing inflorescences from sorghum, *Sb* (A, B) or *S. viridis, Sv* (C-F). Arrowheads denote *RA1* transcript accumulation in boundary domains. Scale bars, 100 μm.

Figure 3. Expression of the *ZmRA1* locus as a transgene in *ra1-R* mutant background. (A) *198* cassette for expression of *ZmRA1* containing 2.9 kb of upstream sequence including conserved non-coding *cis* regions. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C) *ra1-R* tassel expressing 198.7.3. (D) *ra1-R* ear. (E) *ra1-R* ear expressing 198.7.3. Scale bars, 2 cm. (F) Central spike length. (G) Branch zone length. (H) Number of long branches. (I) Number of spikelet multimers. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile, respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ****P*<0.001; normal, n = 20; *ra1-R*, n = 10; 198.7.3, n = 8.

Figure 4. Interspecies expression of the tandem duplicated *SbRA1* modeled transgene in the *ra1-R* mutant background. (A) *195* cassette for interspecies expression of the tandem duplicated *SbRA1* locus. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C-E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing 195.8.3 (C), 195.20.4 (D) and 195.46.1 (E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G-I) *ra1-R* ears expressing 195.8.3 (G), 195.20.4 (H) and 195.46.1 (I) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (J) Central spike length. (K) Branch zone length. (L) Number of long branches. (M) Number of spikelet multimers. (N) Number of ear branches. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile, respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***$P<0.001$; *ra1-R*, n = 18; 195.8.3, n = 11; 195.20.4, n = 12; 195.46.1, n = 11.

Figure 5. Interspecies expression of the downstream *SbRA1* modeled transgene in the *ra1-R* mutant background. (A) *196* cassette for interspecies expression of the downstream *SbRA1* locus. (B) *ra1-R* tassel. (C-E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing 196.19.2 (C), 196.7.3 (D) and 196.14.5 (E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G-I) *ra1-R* ears expressing 196.19.2 (G), 196.7.3 (H) and 196.14.5 (I) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (J) Central spike length. (K) Branch zone length. (L) Number of long branches. (M) Number of spikelet multimers. (N) Number of ear branches. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile, respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***P<0.001, *P<0.05; *ra1-R*, n = 15; 196.19.2, n = 10; 196.7.3, n = 12; 196.14.5, n = 10.

Figure 6. Interspecies expression of *SvRA1* or chimeric *SvRA1* as a transgene in the *ra1-R* mutant background. (A) *162* cassette for interspecies expression of the *SvRA1* locus. (B) *175* cassette for expression of the *SvRA1* coding region fused to the 2.9 kb *Zm* upstream region including conserved non-coding *cis* sequences. (C) *ra1-R* tassel. (D, E) *ra1-R* tassels expressing 162.26.1 (D) and 175.7 (E) transgenes. (F) *ra1-R* ear. (G, H) *ra1-R* ears expressing 162.26.1 (G) and 175.7 (H) transgenes. Scale bars, 2 cm. (I) Central spike length. (J) Branch zone length. (K) Number of long branches. (L) Number of spikelet multimers. (M) Number of ear branches. For all box and whisker plots, the bottom and top boxes represent the first and third quartile, respectively, the middle line is the median, and the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, outlier data points are displayed as individual dots. Two-tailed Student's *t* test for transgene vs. *ra1-R* ***$P<0.001$; *ra1-R*, n = 15; 162.26.1, n = 12; 175.7, n = 9.

Parsed Citations

Abraham-Juarez, M.J., Schrager-Lavelle, A, Man, J., Whipple, C., Handakumbura, P., Babbitt, C. and Bartlett, M. (2020). Evolutionary variation in MADS-box dimerization affects floral development and protein degredation dynamics. bioRxiv. doi:10.1101/2020.03.09.984260.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ahn, J.H., Miller, D., Winter, V.J., Banfield, M.J., Lee, J.H., Yoo, S.Y., Henz, S.R., Brady, R.L. and Weigel, D. (2006). A divergent external loop confers antagonistic activity on floral regulators FT and TFL1. EMBO J. 25: 605-614.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Arnaud, N., Lawrenson, T., Østergaard, L. and Sablowski, R. (2011). The same regulatory point mutation changed seed-dispersal structures in evolution and domestication. Curr. Biol 21: 1215-1219.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bailey, T.L. and Elkan, C. (1994). Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. In Altman,R., Brutlag,D., Karp,P., Lathrop,R. and Searls,D. (eds), Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA, pp. 28–36.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bailey, T.L. and Grant, C.E. (2021). SEA: Simple Enrichment Analysis of motifs. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.23.457422.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bartlett, M., Thompson, B., Brabazon, H., Del Gizzi, R., Zhang, T. and Whipple, C. (2016). Evolutionary dynamics of floral homeotic transcription factor protein-protein interactions. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33: 1486-1501.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bennetzen, J.L., Schmutz, J., Wang, H., Percifield, R., Hawkins, J., Pontraoli, A.C., Estep, M., Feng, L., Vaughn, J.N., Grimwood, J, et al. (2012). Reference genome sequence of the model plant Setaria. Nat Biotechnol. 30: 555-561.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Blackman, B.K., Strasburg, J.L., Raduski, A.R., Michaels, S.D. and Riesberg, L.H. (2010). The role of recently derived FT paralogs in sunflower domestication. Curr. Biol. 20: 629-635.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bomblies, K., Wang, R.L., Ambrose, B.A, Schmidt, R.J., Meeley, R.J. and Doebley, J. (2003). Duplicate FLORICAULA/LEAFY homologs zfl1 and zfl2 control inflorescence architecture and flower patterning in maize. Development 130: 2385-2395.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bomblies, K. and Doebley, J.F. (2005). Molecular evolution of FLORICAULA/LEAFY orthologs in the Andropogoneae (Poaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 22: 1082-1094.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bomblies, K. and Doebley, J.F. (2006). Pleiotropic effects on the duplicate maize FLORICAULA/LEAFY genes zfl1 and zfl2 on traits under selection during maize domestication. Genetics 172: 519-531.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bommert, P., Je, B.I., Goldschmidt, A. and Jackson, D. (2013). The maize Gα gene COMPACT PLANT2 functions in CLAVATA signaling to control shoot meristem size. Nature 502: 555-558.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bortiri, E., Chuck, G., Vollbrecht, E., Rocheford, T., Martienssen, R. and Hake, S. (2006). ramosa2 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell 18: 574-585.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Brown, P.J., Klein, P.E., Bortiri, E., Acharay, C.B., Rooney, W.L. and Kresovich, S. (2006). Inheritance of inflorescence architecture in sorghum. 113: 931-942.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Brown, P.J., Upadyayula, N., Mahone, G.S., Tian, F., Bradbury, P.J., Myles, S., Holland, J.B., Flint-Garcia, S., McMullen, M.D., Buckler, E.S. and Rocheford, T.R. (2011). Distinct genetic architectures for male and female infloresence traits of maize. PLoS Genet. 11: 1002383.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Carroll, S.B. (2008). Evo-devo and an expanding evolutionary synthesis: a genetic theory of morphological evolution. Cell 134: 25-36.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chuck, G., Meeley, R.B. and Hake, S. (1998). The control of maize spikelet meristem fate by the APETALA2-like gene

indeterminant spikelet1. Gene Dev. 12: 1145-1154.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chuck, G., Muszynski, M., Kellogg, E., Hake, S. and Schmidt, R.J. (2002). The control of spikelet meristem identity by the branched silkless1 gene in maize. Science. 298: 1238-1241.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chuck, G., Whipple, C, Jackson D. and Hake, S. (2010). The maize SBP-box transcription factor encoded by tasselsheath4 regulates bract development and the establishment of meristem boundaries. Development 137: 1243-1250.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Clark, R.M. Wagler, T.N. Quijada, P. and Doebley, J. (2006) A distant upstream enhancer at the maize domestication gene tb1 has pleiotropic effects on plant and inflorescent architecture. Nat Genet. 38: 594-597.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Clifford, H.T. (1987). Spikelet and floral morphology. In Grass Systematics and Evolution (ed. T.R. Soderstrom, K.W. Hilu, C.S. Campbell and M.E. Barkworth), pp. 21-30. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Collins, G. (1917). Hybrids of Zea tunicate and Zea ramosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 3: 345-349.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Crowell, S., Korniliev, P., Falcão, A, Ismail, A, Gregorio, G., Mezey, J. and McCouch, S. (2016). Genome-wide association and high-resolution phenotyping link Oryza sativa panicle traits to numerous trait-specific QTL clusters. Nat Comm 7: 10527.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dathan, N., Zaccaro, L., Esposito, S., Isernia, C., Omichinski, J.G., Riccio, A, Pedone, C., Di Blasio, B., Fattorusso, R. and Pedone, P.V. (2002). The Arabidopsis SUPERMAN protein is able to specifically bind DNA through is single Cys2-His2 zinc finger motif. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 4945-4951.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Davidson, R.M., Gowda, M., Moghe, G., Lin, H., Vaillancourt, B., Shiu, S.H., Jiang, N. and Buell, C.R. (2012). Comparative transcriptomics of three Poaceae species reveals patterns of gene expression evolution. Plant J. 71: 492-502.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dewey, C.N. (2011). Positional orthology: putting genomic evolutionary relationships into context. Brief Bioinform. 12: 401-12.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Doust, A.N., Devos, K.M., Gadberry, M.D., Gale, M.D. and Kellogg, E.A. (2005). The genetic basis for inflorescence variation between foxtail and green millet (Poaceae). Genetics 169: 1659-1672.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Doust, A.N. and Kellogg, E.A. (2002). Inflorescence diversification in the panicoid "bristle grass" clade (Paniceae, Poaceae): evidence from molecular phylogenies and developmental morphology. Am. J. Bot. 89: 1203-1222.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Eveland, A.L., Goldschmidt, A, Pautler, M., Morohashi, K., Liseron-Monfils, C., Lewis, M.W., Kumari, S., Hiraga, S., Yang, F., Unger-Wallace, E., Olson, A, Hake, S., Vollbrecht, E., Grotewold, E., Ware, D. and Jackson, D. (2014). Regulatory modules controlling maize inflorescence architecture. Genome Res. 24: 431-443.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Frame, B.R., Zhang, H., Cocciolone, S.M., Sidorenko, L.V., Dietrich, C.R., Pegg, S.E., Zhen, S., Schnable, P.S., and Wang, K. (2000) Production of transgenic maize from bombarded type II callus: Effect of gold particle size and callus morphology on transformation efficiency. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.-Plant 36: 21-29.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gallavotti, A Long, J.A, Stanfield, S., Yang, X., Jackson, D., Vollbrecht, E., and Schmidt, R.J. (2010). The control of axillary meristem fate in the maize ramosa pathway. Development 137: 2849-2856.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gallavotti, A, Yang, Y., Schmidt, R.J. and Jackson D. (2008). The relationship between auxin transport and maize branching. Plant Physiol. 147: 1913-1923.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Galli, M., Feng, F. and Gallavotti, A (2020) Mapping regulatory determinants in plants. Front. Genet. 11: 591194.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Galli, M., Khakhar, A, Lu, Z, Chen, Z, Sen, S., Joshi, T., Nemhauser, J.L., Schmitz, R.J. and Gallavotti, A (2018). The DNA binding landscape of the maize AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR family. Nat. Commun. 9: 4526.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L. and Noble, W.S. (2011). FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27: 1017-1018.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Harlan, J.R. and de Wet, J.M.J. (1972). A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum. Crop Sci. 12: 172-176.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hiratsu, K., Mitsuda, N., Matsui, K. and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2004). Identificaiton of the minimal repression domain of SUPERMAN shows that the DLELRL hexapeptide is both necessary and sufficient for repression of transcription in Arabidopsis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 321: 172-178.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hodge, J.G. and Doust, A.N. (2017). Morphological development of Setaria viridis from germination to flowering. Plant Genet. Genomics 19: 161-175.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Huang, P. and Feldman, M. (2017) Genetic Diversity and Geographic Distribution of North American Setaria viridis Populations, in Genetics and Genomics of Setaria. Cham, Switz,: Springer.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jackson, D., Veit, B. and Hake, S. (1994). Expression of maize KNOTTED1 related homeobox genes in the shoot apical meristem predicts patterns of morphogenesis in the vegetative shoot. Development 120: 405-413.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kellogg, E.A. (2015). Flowering Plants, Monocots: Poaceae, Vol 13. Families and Genera of Vascular Plants. Cham, Switz,: Springer.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kellogg, E.A, Camara, P.E.A.S, Rudall, P.J., Ladd, P., Malcomber, S.T., Whipple, C.J. and Doust, A.N. (2013). Early inflorescence development in the grasses (Poaceae). Front Plant Sci 4: 250.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Komari T., Takakura Y., Ueki J., Kato N., Ishida Y. and Hiei Y. (2006) Binary Vectors and Super-binary Vectors. In: Wang K. (eds) Agrobacterium Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology, vol 343. Humana Press.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kusters, E., Della Pina, S., Castel, R., Souer, E. and Koes, R. (2015). Chances in cis-regulatory elements of key floral regulators are associated with divergence of inflorescence architectures. Development 142: 2822-2831.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Leiboff, S. and Hake, S. (2019) Reconstructing the transcriptional ontogeny of maize and sorghum supports an inverse hourglass model of inflorescence development. Curr Biol. 29: 3410-3419.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lemmon, Z.H., Park, S.J., Jiang, K., Van Eck, J., Schatz, M.C. and Lippman, Z.B. (2016) The evolution of inflorescence diversity in the nightshades and heterochrony during meristem maturation. Genome Res 26: 1676-1686.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li, M., Shao, M.R., Zeng, D., Ju, T., Kellogg, E.A and Topp, C.N. (2020). Comprehensive 3D phenotyping reveals continuous morphological variation across genetically diverse sorghum inflorescences. New Phytol. doi:10.1111/nph.16533.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu, X., Galli, M., Camehl, I. and Gallavotti, A. (2019). RAMOSA1 ENHANCER LOCUS2-mediated transcriptional repression regulates vegetative and reproductive architecture. Plant Physiol. 179: 348-363.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, Z, Marand, A.P., Ricci, W.A., Ethridge, C.L., Zhang, X. and Schmitz, R.J. (2019). The prevalence, evolution and chromatin signatures of plant regulatory elements. Nat. Plants 5: 1250-1259.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Maizel. A, Busch, M.A, Tanahashi, T., Perkovic, J., Kato, M., Hasebe, M. and Weigel, D. (2005). The floral regulator LEAFY evolves by substitutions in the DNA binding domain. Science 308: 260-263.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mitros, T., Session, A.M., James, B.T., Wu, G.A, Belaffif, M.B., Clark, L.V., Shu, S., Dong, H., Barling, A, Holmes, J.R., et al. (2020). Genome biology of the paleotetraploid perennial biomass crop Miscanthus. Nat. Commun. 11: 5442.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nikolov, L.A and Tsiantis, M. (2015). Interspecies gene transfer as a method for understanding the genetic basis for evolutionary change: progress, pitfalls and prospsects. Front Plant Sci 6: 1135.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Perez-Limón, S., Li, M., Cintora-Martinez, C., Aguilar-Rangel, M.R., Salazar-Vidal, M.N., González-Segovia, E., Blöcher-Juárez, K., Guerrero-Zavala, A, Barrales-Gamez, B., Caracaño-Macias, J., et al. (2021). A B73

x Palomero Toluqueño mapping population reveals local adaptation in Mexican highland maize. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.15.460568
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Prusinkiewicz, P., Erasmus, Y., Lane, B., Harder, L.D. and Coen, E. (2007). Evolution and development of inflorescence architectures. Science 316: 1452-1456.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Upadyayula, N., da Silva, H.S., Bohn, M.O. and Rocheford, T.R. (2006a). Genetic and QTL analysis of maize tassel and ear inflorescence architecture. Theor App Genet 112: 592-606.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Upadyayula, N., Wassom, J., Bohn, M.O. and Rocheford, T.R. (2006b). Quantitative trait loci analysis of phenotypic traits and principle components of maize tassel inflorescence architecture. Theor App Genet 113: 1395-1407.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ricci, W.A., Lu, Z., Ji, L., Marand, A.P., Ethridge, C.L., Murphy, N.G., Noshay, J.M., Galli, M., Mejía-Guerra, M.K. Colomé-Tatché, M., et al. (2019). Widespread long-range cis-regulatory elements in the maize genome. Nat. Plants 5: 1237-1249.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Satoh-Nagasawa, N., Nagasawa, N., Malcomber, S., Sakai, H. and Jackson, D. (2006). A trehalose metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. Nature. 441: 227-230.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sayou, C., Monniaux, M., Nanao, M.H., Moyroud, E., Brockington, S.F., Thévenon, E., Chahtane, H., Warthmann, N., Melkonian, M., Zhang, Y., Wong, G.K.S., Weigel, D., Parcy, F. and Dumas, R. (2014). A promiscuous intermediate underlies the evolution of LEAFY DNA binding specificity. 343: 645-648.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Schnable, J.C. (2015). Genome evolution in maize: from genomes back to genes. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 66: 329-343.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Schnable, J. (2019). Grass Syntenic Gene List sorghum v3 maize v3/4 with teff and oropetium v2. Figshare. Available at: https://figshare.com/ articles/Grass_-
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Syntenic_Gene_List_sor- ghum_v3_maize_v3_4_with_teff_and_oropetium_v2/7926674/1

Schnable, J.C. and Freeling, M. (2011). Genes identified by visible mutant phenotypes show increased bias toward one of two subgenomes of maize. PLoS ONE 6: e17855.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sigmon, B.A. (2010). ramosa1 in the development and evolution of inflorescence architecture in grasses. PhD dissertation. Iowa State University, Ames, IA.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sigmon, B. and Vollbrecht, E. (2010). Evidence of selection at the ramosa1 locus during maize domestication. Mol Ecol 19: 1296-1311.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Strable, J. and Vollbrecht, E. (2019). Maize YABBY genes drooping leaf1 and drooping leaf2 regulate floret development and floral meristem determinacy. Development 146: dev171181.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Strable, J., Wallace, J.G., Unger-Wallace, E., Briggs, S., Bradbury, P., Buckler, E.S. and Vollbrecht, E. (2017). Maize YABBY Genes drooping leaf1 and drooping leaf2 regulating plant architecture. Plant Cell 29: 1622-1641.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Studer, A, Zhao, Q., Ross-Ibarra, J., Doebley, J. (2011). Identification of a functional transposon insertion in the maize domestication gene tb1. Nat Genet 43: 1160-1163.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Swigoňová, Z, Lai, J., Ma, J., Ramakrishna, W., Llaca, V., Bennetzen, J.L. and Messing, J. (2004). Close split of sorghum and maize genome progenitors. Genome Res. 14: 1916-1923.
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tiwari, S.B., Hagen, G. and Guilfoyle, T.J. (2004). Aux/IAA proteins contain a potent transcriptional repression domain. Plant Cell 16: 533-543.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vollbrecht, E. and Schmidt, R.J. (2009). Development of the inflorescences. In: Handbook of Maize: Its Biology. Springer, N.Y. pp. 13-40.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vollbrecht, E., Springer, P.S., Goh, L., Buckler, E.S. and Martienssen, R. (2005). Architecture of floral branch systems in maize and related grasses. Nature 436: 1119-1126.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang, B., Regulsky, M., Tseng, E., Olson, A, Goodwin, S., McCombie, W.R. and Ware, D. (2018). A comparative transcriptional landscape of maize and sorghum obtained by single-molecule sequencing. Genome Res. 28: 921-932.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang, H., Nussbaum-Wagler, T., Li, B., Zhao, Q., Vigouroux, Y., Faller, M., Bomblies, K., Lukens, L. and Doebley, J.F. (2005). The origin of the naked grains of maize. Nature 436: 714-719.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Weeks, B.L. (2013). Inflorescence branching in maize: a quantitative genetics approach to identifying key players in the inflorescence development pathway. PhD dissertation. Iowa State University, Ames, IA
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Whipple, C.J. (2017). Grass inflorescence architecture and evolution: the origin of novel signaling centers. New Phytol. 216: 367-372.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Whipple, C.J., Hall, D.H., DeBlasio, S., Taguchi-Shiobara, F., Schmidt, R.J. and Jackson, D.P. (2010). A conserved mechanism of bract suppression in the grass family. Plant Cell 22: 565-578.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

William, D.A, Su, Y., Smith, M.R., Lu, M., Baldwin, D.A and Wagner, D. (2004). Genomic identification of direct target genes of LEAFY. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 1775-1780.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wills, D.M., Whipple, C.J., Takuno, S., Kursel, L.E., Shannon, L.M., Ross-Ibarra, J. and Doebley, J.F. (2013). From many, one: genetic control of prolificacy during maize domestication. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003604.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Winter, C.M., Austin, R.S., Blanvillain-Baufumé, S., Reback, M.A, Monniaux, M., Wu, M.F., Sang, Y., Yamaguchi, A, Yamaguchi, N., Parker, J.E., et al. (2011). LEAFY target genes reveal floral regulatory logic, cis motifs, and a link to biotic stimulus response. Dev Cell 20: 430-443.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wu, X., Li, Y., Shi, Y., Song, Y., Zhang, D., Li, C., Buckler, E.S., Li, Y., Zhang, Z. and Wang, T. (2016). Joint linkage mapping and GWAS reveal extensive genetic loci that regulate male inflorescence size in maize. Plant Biotechnol J 14: 1551-1562.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Xu, G., Wang, X., Huang, C., Xu, D., Li, D., Tian, J., Chen, Q., Wang, C., Liang, Y., Wu, Y., Yang, X. and Tian, F. (2017). Complex genetic architecture underlies maize tassel domestication. New Phytol. 214: 852-864.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yamaki, S., Miyabayashi, T., Eiguchi, M., Kitano, H., Nonomura, K.I. and Kurata, N. (2010). Diversity of panicle branching patterns of wild relatives of rice. Breeding Sci 60: 586-596.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, G., Liu, X., Quan, Z, Cheng, S., Xu, X., Pan, S., Xie, M., Zeng, P., Yue, Z, Wang, W., et al. (2012). Genome sequence of foxtail millet (Setaria italica) provides insights into grass evolution and biofuel potential. Nat Biotechnol. 30: 549-554.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhou, Y., Srinivasan, S., Mirnezami, S.V., Kusmec, A, Fu, Q., Attigala, L., Salas Fernandes, M.G., Ganapathysubramanian, B. and Schnable, P.S. (2019). Semiautomated feature extraction from RGB images for Sorghum panicle architecture GWAS. Plant Physiol. 179: 24-37.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhu, C., Yang, J., Box, M.S., Kellogg, E.A and Eveland, A.L. (2018). A dynamic co-expression map of early inflorescence development in Setaria viridis provides a resource for gene discovery and comparative genomics. Front Plant Sci 9: 1309.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title