36-year study reveals stability of a wild wheat population

across microhabitats

Tal Dahan-Meir[1], Thomas James Ellis[2], Fabrizio Mafessoni[1], Hanan Sela[3,4], Ori

Rudich[1], Jacob Manisterski[4], Naomi Avivi-Ragolsky[1], Amir Raz[1,5], Moshe Feldman[1],

Yehoshua Anikster[4], Magnus Nordborg[2*], Avraham A. Levy[1*]

[1]Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science;

Rehovot, Israel.

[2]Gregor Mendel Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna BioCenter; Vienna,

Austria.

[3]Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa; Haifa, Israel.

[4]The Institute for Cereal Crops Improvement, Tel-Aviv University; Tel Aviv, Israel.

[5]Migal, Galilee Technology Center; Kiryat Shmona, Israel.

*Corresponding authors: Avraham A. Levy, Magnus Nordborg.

Emails: avi.levy@weizmann.ac.il; magnus.nordborg@gmi.oeaw.ac.at

Abstract

Long-term genetic studies of wild populations are very scarce, but are essential for connecting ecological and population genetics models, and for understanding the dynamics of biodiversity. We present a study of a wild wheat population sampled over a 36-year period at high spatial resolution. We genotyped 832 individuals from regular sampling along transects during the course of the experiment. Genotypes were clustered into ecological microhabitats over scales of tens of metres, and this clustering was remarkably stable over the 36 generations of the study. Simulations show that it is difficult to explain this spatial and temporal stability using only limited dispersal, suggesting a role for fine-scale local adaptation to ecological parameters. Using a common-garden experiment, we showed that the genotypes found in distinct microhabitats differ phenotypically, further supporting the hypothesis of local adaptation. Our results provide a rare insight into the population genetics of a natural population over a long monitoring period.

Introduction

The spatial and temporal scale of population genetic dynamics are key to understanding questions of local adaptation and the response to selection. For example, how does the scale of genetic variation correspond to that of environmental variation, and how quickly can populations respond to natural selection? To address these questions, we need to describe the spatial structure of genetic variation, and track how this changes over many generations.

Numerous studies have examined the spatio-temporal dynamics of wild plant populations over multiple years (Horovitz & Feldman 1991; Volis *et al.* 2004; Franks *et al.* 2007; Ozbek *et al.* 2007; Hendrick *et al.* 2016; Monnahan & Kelly 2017; Frachon *et al.* 2017; Troth *et al.* 2018; Fu *et al.* 2019; Todesco *et al.* 2020; Kolis *et al.* 2022). A few studies have examined the temporal scale of allele frequency change over decades, especially in long-lived vertebrates (Aguillon *et al.* 2017; Chen *et al.* 2019; Ashraf *et al.* 2021; Stoffel *et al.* 2021; Kelly 2022). However, there are essentially no genetic studies examining both spatial and temporal dynamics of wild plants' populations over decades.

We examined the genetic diversity of a population of wild emmer wheat (*Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides*) in Ammiad, Israel, which has been monitored for 36 years (Figure 1A). Wild emmer wheat is an annual, self-pollinating, allotetraploid cereal (genome BBAA). It is the progenitor of durum wheat (*T. turgidum ssp. durum*) and the maternal donor of the A and B sub-genomes of bread wheat (*T. aestivum*, genome BBAADD) (Levy & Feldman 2022). Its biodiversity is an invaluable resource for food

security, due to the fact that major effect loci from wild emmer wheat can be

transferred to the background of domesticated wheat. The Ammiad population

shows high genetic diversity compared to other wild wheat populations (Levy &

Feldman 1988; Felsenburg *et al.* 1991), together with variable topography (Figure 1,

Movie S1) and a diversity of ecological niches within the scale of tens of metres

(Anikster & Noy-Meir 1991; Noy-Meir *et al.* 1991b). The site is within a protected

nature reserve, allowing repeated sampling of the population along transects that

encompass considerable environmental variation. In this study we genotyped

samples from nine harvests of these transects between 1984 and 2020, which

allowed us to characterise the spatial and temporal dynamics of genetic change at

Ammiad. Previous works suggesting that plants in Ammiad are adapted to

microhabitats (Anikster *et al.* 1991; Noy-Meir *et al.* 1991b) were later disputed by Volis

(Volis *et al.* 2015, 2016). Our dataset provides an almost unique insight into genetic

change in a natural population of a predominantly selfing plant over time and space.

This is especially relevant, because wild progenitors of modern crops such as wild

emmer wheat serve as an immense reservoir of biotic and abiotic stress-resistance

genes (Khoury *et al.* 2021) that could be utilised to improve commercial varieties.

Sustained studies of progenitor species in their natural ecological niches can provide

a better understanding of their survival in different ecological niches, and inform

strategies for conservation of such resources *in-situ*, as well as in gene banks (Wintle

et al. 2019).

Here, we describe patterns of genetic variation at Ammiad in time and space. We

then investigate whether an apparent association with microhabitat might be

biologically meaningful by comparing the observed pattern of variation to an explicit

model accounting for the limited seed and pollen dispersal of a predominantly self-

fertilising plant. Finally, we discuss the implications of these results for local

adaptation and conservation.

Figure 1. Ammiad wild-wheat population study. Location of Ammiad near the lake of

Galilee (star, right and geographic coordinates, left). Sampling points of transects A, B, C, D

collected in the years 1984, 1988, 1992, 1996, 2002, 2014, 2016, 2018 and 2020. Sampling

points are shown on-site for transects A, B, D, but transect C, shown in the dashed rectangle,

is located ~500 metres north-west of A, B, D. Colours correspond to the different

microhabitats labelled in the legend. The map on the left is taken from gov.map.co.il, and the

map on the right is taken from Google Maps.

Results

The population is composed of groups of near-identical genotypes

We catalogued the genetic diversity at Ammiad by repeated sampling over 36 years. We marked 100 sampling locations with pegs and recorded GPS coordinates along four transects traversing seven ecologically distinct microhabitats (Anikster & Noy-Meir 1991); Figure 1, Dataset S1, Movie S1). We sampled seeds from the closest plant to each sampling location at each of nine time points, and sowed them in the greenhouse for genotyping. In total, we genotyped 832 individuals using a genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) protocol (Poland *et al.* 2012), resulting in 5196 single copy, single-nucleotide-polymorphism (SNP) markers that were unambiguously positioned on the wild emmer wheat reference genome (Avni *et al.* 2017).

As expected for a highly selfing species (The 1001 Genomes Consortium 2016), the population is almost entirely composed of genetically distinct groups of nearly identical, highly homozygous individuals, clustered in space. Pairwise genetic identity follows a multimodal distribution that is characterised by pairs of plants that are either effectively identical, or share 70-90% of SNP alleles (Figure 2A). We unambiguously assigned all individuals to distinct genotype groups (DGGs) using a cut-off of >98% allele-sharing. This resulted in 118 DGGs over all nine time points, including 61 singletons (DGGs with a single member). The 10 largest DGGs encompass 65% of the samples, while the number of DGGs per year varied between 32 in 2014 to 49 in 1988 (Dataset S1). Rarefaction curves indicate that the sampling scheme was sufficient to detect almost all of the DGGs present close to the transects (Figure S1).

Figure 2. Ammiad population structure (A) Distribution of pairwise identity values between the plants in the collection. (B) Population structure of Ammiad in the first year of collection (1984). Heatmap colours represent identity value for each pairwise comparison, annotation colours (on the left) correspond to the list of microhabitats in Figure 1.

We also identified 15 (1.8%) highly heterozygous plants, which is consistent with outcrossing in recent ancestors. Using SNPMatch (Pisupati *et al.* 2017), we were able to identify their parental DGGs (Figure S2B), suggesting that outcrossing happens between plants that are nearby. We estimated an average outcrossing rate of 3.7% across years in Ammiad, with detectable variation between the different habitats (1.8-8.8%, Table S1). These values are compatible with estimates in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) (Enjalbert & David 2000).

Genotypes are clustered by microhabitats and are stable through time

The spatio-temporal distribution of DGGs indicates two clear patterns (Figure 3). First, DDGs tend to cluster in space, which is expected for a self-compatible organism with limited dispersal. However, the clustering (Figure 3) and a principal component analysis of molecular variation (Figures S3A and S3B) show a striking concordance

with the boundaries of ecological microhabitats, which were defined based on topographic and floristic data without knowledge of the genetic structure of the population (Noy-Meir *et al.* 1991b), Figure 3). For example, in transect A, there is a sharp transition between DGGs between the upper and middle north-facing slope across the 36 years of study (Figure 3A). Another example is the genotype at collection point A59 in a unique location under the shade of a *Ziziphus spina-christi* tree (Figure 3A). In contrast, in transect D, where there is negligible microhabitat differentiation, there is little spatial clustering of DGGs (Figure 3D). We found little evidence for a decay of relatedness that would be expected under a model of simple isolation by distance (Figure S3C and S4). Population differentiation measured by F_{st} was consistently higher between microhabitats than within, even when taking distance into account (Figures 4A, B), and genetic distances are strongly correlated with microhabitat. We also found greater differentiation among DGGs between microhabitats than would be expected by chance with the same level of spatial autocorrelation using a test based on Moran-spectral-randomization Mantel statistics (MSR-Mantel) that explicitly account for spatial distance (Figure S5). In summary, DGGs are associated with ecological microhabitats at the scale of tens of metres.

Second, the spatial clustering of DGGs was stable through time. For example, 33% of plants sampled in 1984 belonged to the same DGG as plants sampled at the same position in 2020. An analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) showed that 28% of the genetic variation between samples is explained by differences between microhabitats, and that the habitat effect is highly significant (Table S2A, B and C). Conversely, time did not explain a significant proportion of variance (variance explained<1%), suggesting a strong stability of the genetic structure over time. These

results suggest that space, and particularly microhabitats, might constrain the

genetic structure of Ammiad to be stable over the scale of tens of generations.

Figure 3. Spatio-temporal distribution of genotypes. (A-D) Distribution of samples

coloured by distinct genotype group (DGG) in transects A, B, C and D. Y-axes indicate year

of collection (left) and altitude for 1984 (right). Singleton samples are marked in small black

dots, while doubleton groups are marked with "2" in the middle with the colour of their closest

DGG. Doubleton groups that are closest to the same DGG were marked with coloured

asterisks. (E) Dendrogram of DGGs with ≥ 3 samples, hierarchically clustered by UPGMA.

Figure 4. Spatial clustering at Ammiad. (A) Genetic differentiation between and within

habitats. Plants within each habitat were grouped in 30m demes. Pairwise F_{st} was calculated

among all demes, within and between habitats and plotted as a function of distance,

measured as the average distance of plants in the two demes. Credibility intervals of a loess

fit with degree 1 are shown in grey. Nm values (i.e., the theoretical number of migrants

between parcels in an infinite island model) calculated are shown on the right axis. (B) Plants

within each habitat were grouped in 30m demes. Pairwise F_{st} was calculated among all

demes with average distance <50m between each other, within and between habitats.

Average observed values are shown as a black dot. Uncertainty was estimated with 1000

bootstraps of the parcels considered for the comparisons. Average within-habitat Fst was

higher than between-habitat F_{st} in 3% of the bootstraps. Nm values (i.e., the theoretical

number of migrants between parcels in an infinite island model) calculated are shown on the

right axis.

Neutral processes are unlikely to explain spatio-temporal stability

Our findings indicate that the genetic structure at Ammiad is more clustered into environmental microhabitats than would be expected under a null hypothesis of no clustering. However, it is clear that such a strict null hypothesis is not a good reference because it ignores the underlying biology of pollen and seed dispersal. To generate a more biologically realistic alternative hypothesis we tested whether the patterns at Ammiad can be explained using explicit demographic models that incorporate self-fertilisation and limited dispersal. The question is whether these neutral demographic processes - on their own - could generate the clustering into microhabitats observed at Ammiad.

We performed individual-based simulations of populations of plants that initially reflect the genetic structure at Ammiad, and subsequently evolve by seed dispersal and outcrossing only (see *Materials and Methods*). We simulated populations in a two-dimensional continuous space using the genetic structure at Ammiad in 1984 along one of those dimensions. We also recorded the microhabitat structure of those genotypes but did not model selection for those microhabitats. At each of 40 subsequent generations seeds dispersed, with some proportion of seeds being drawn from a two-year seed bank. In addition, some proportion of those seeds were assigned to be outcrossed and given a new genotype. At each generation we sampled a transect through the population and sample plants closest to 30 evenly spaced sampling points five metres apart. In this way we aimed to simulate a population evolving in the absence of selection and to sample it in a way that mimics the sampling of the study site at Ammiad.

We ran simulations using different values of outcrossing rate, plant density, seed

dormancy and mean seed dispersal distance that approximate a range of biologically

realistic values. Empirical values of outcrossing rates in wild wheat have been

estimated to be as low as 0.5% (Golenberg 1988), although our estimates point to

outcrossing rates of between 2% and 8% (mean = 3.7%; table S1). This species has

a substantial seed bank with up to 30% of germinating seedlings coming from the

previous year, but very few from previous years (Horovitz 1998). Average plant

density in the Ammiad population was measured in 1984 as 4 plants/m^2 (Noy-Meir *et*

al. 1991a). We repeated this measurement in 2020 for transect A and found a similar

density of 3.8 plants/m^2 (Dataset S1). Seed dispersal occurs through a combination

of gravity, ants, birds, grazing mammals and rodents, enabling both short and long-

distance dispersal. We lack direct estimates of seed dispersal distances, but average

gene flow, a composite of seed and pollen dispersal, was estimated to be 1.25 m per

year (Golenberg 1987); since most dispersal is via seeds, the average seed dispersal

distance is likely to be around 1 m per year. We aimed to simulate values above and

below these estimates to allow for a range of biologically plausible values.

We compared the change in genetic differentiation among microhabitats in observed

and simulated populations by tracking the change in F_{st} through time (Figure 5). At

Ammiad F_{st} fluctuated between 0.08 in 1988 and 0.26 in 1996, but remained stable

at around 0.15 on average throughout the period of study. When outcrossing rates in

simulations were at their lowest value (0.5% per generation) F_{st} was similarly stable

across time, especially when dispersal is also very limited (Figure 5, top row).

However, for all other parameter combinations we observed a steady decrease in F_{st}

in simulated populations over the 40 generations. The rate of decay was markedly

faster as outcrossing rates increased, and depended surprisingly on dispersal

distance, seed dormancy or plant density. This indicates that in the absence of

selection, limited outcrossing of a few percent combined should be sufficient to erase

any apparent sorting into microhabitats within the period of study examined here.

Unless the outcrossing rate is much lower than we estimated, we cannot explain the

observed microhabitat differentiation via neutral demographic processes.

Figure 5. Genetic differentiation between microhabitats at Ammiad and in simulated populations. Grey lines show F_{st} between microhabitats across all transects at Ammiad. Coloured lines show average F_{st} over 100 replicate simulations that begin with the genetic structure at Ammiad in 1984 but evolve neutrally after that. Curves indicate different combinations of mean dispersal distance (grey boxes, panels left to right), outcrossing rate (grey boxes, panels top to bottom), proportion of seeds coming from the seed bank (dashed lines) and plant density per square metre (colours).

Phenotypes measured in a common garden predict phenotypic differentiation between habitats

If there is a functional link between population structure and microhabitat differentiation, there is a prediction that habitats should be associated with differences in plant phenotypes. Owing to the challenging terrain and the status of

the site as a protected conservation area it is not possible to estimate phenotypes

with replication in a common-garden experiment under field conditions. We instead

estimated phenotypes in a controlled net house experiment and used these data to

predict phenotypic differentiation in the field.

To characterise the phenotypic variation between DGGs we grew six replicates of 95

DGGs in fully randomised blocks in a net house at the Weizmann Institute in

conditions at Ammiad as closely as possible. We measured 14 phenotypes related to

growth and reproduction (Figure S6) and estimated genetic values for each DGG

using Bayesian generalised-linear models, accounting for differences between

blocks. With the exceptions of germination date, number of spikes and number of

tillers, broad-sense heritabilities (on the scale of the link functions; (de Villemereuil *et*

al. 2016) were moderate to high (Figure 6A), indicating that substantial genetic

variation exists for these traits.

We then used phenotype estimates from the net house to predict phenotypic

differentiation in the field. We assigned the 832 field samples a phenotype value for

each trait from each of 1000 posterior draws of the corresponding linear models to

generate 1000 sets of predicted phenotypes across time and space. A linear

discriminant analysis that accounts for all traits jointly revealed clear clustering by

microhabitat, with seed traits explaining the most separation (Figure S7; Table S3).

For each trait separately, we then calculated the variance in each trait explained by

habitat and year. Differences in habitat explained a very small proportion of the

variation in the three traits that showed low heritability (tiller number, spikelet number

and germination time; Figure 6B). For other traits, differences between habitats

explained 19-33% of the phenotypic variation for all traits (based on posterior means;

Figure 6B), whereas variation between years explained less than 10% of the overall

phenotypic variance in the population. There thus seems to be differentiation in

heritable traits between habitats which remains stable through time, consistent with

the spatial and temporal patterns observed among DGGs.

We next asked whether spatial structure in phenotypes corresponds to the structure

of microhabitats. We compared the predicted phenotypes to a 'rotated' dataset

where the vector of phenotypes is offset by a random number of positions relative to

the vector of habitat labels. This preserves the structure of phenotypes and habitat

labels, but breaks any covariation between phenotype and habitat. In rotated

datasets habitat typically explained less than 20% of the variation in phenotype. This

is similar to that explained by the three predicted phenotypes with low heritability, but

less than that explained by the eleven remaining phenotypes for more than 99% of

predicted datasets (Figure 6B). Nevertheless, our results indicate that the genetic

clustering by microhabitat is matched by phenotypic differentiation between

microhabitats.

Figure 6. Heritability and variance explained by habitat for in predicted phenotypes at Ammiad. (A) Posterior mean and 95% credible intervals for broad-sense heritability of 14 phenotypes measured on six replicates each of 95 homozygous DGGs in a common-garden net house experiment. Because phenotypes display a range of error distributions, heritability is calculated on the scale of the corresponding link functions for comparison. (B) Plots show the posterior mean and 95% credible intervals for 801 field samples predicted (blue) from phenotypes measured in the net house experiment. Variance components are shown for the raw predictions based on real microhabitat positions, and for 'rotated' data (red) where microhabitat labels were offset by a random number of positions to break any correlation between phenotypes and microhabitats.

Discussion

Studies of natural populations rarely have fine-scale geographic resolution or temporal resolution beyond the duration of a PhD or postdoc (Siepielski *et al.* 2009). Our study, spanning over 36 years of dense sampling, has both, and thus provides a unique dataset that could improve our understanding of gene flow and local adaptation on an ecological scale.

Our analysis revealed that the Ammiad population varies more in space than in time. We found unexpectedly strong long-term genetic stability, demonstrating that gene flow can effectively be highly limited (unless it is via pollen dispersal) in a wild cereal despite its wide distribution throughout the fertile crescent. Remarkably, the stable genetic clusters observed in Ammiad matched ecological microhabitats previously identified at the site, suggesting that both natural selection and limited dispersal have contributed to shaping population structure. Previous studies of wild populations have demonstrated local adaptation (Schemske 1984; Volis *et al.* 2015, 2016; Abebe

et al. 2015; Sedlacek *et al.* 2016; Hendrick *et al.* 2016; Frachon *et al.* 2017; Gardiner

et al. 2018) over large distances, but not at this spatial scale nor using long-term

datasets. We also showed that the differences in genotypic compositions between

habitats correspond to heritable differences in phenotypes, and that some of these

phenotypes consistently differ among habitats. This further supports the hypothesis

of local adaptation at the micro-environment level. Although we tried to replicate

environmental conditions as closely as possibly, it is important to keep in mind that

phenotypes were measured in a common garden rather than field conditions, and as

such we cannot capture the precise environmental conditions at Ammiad, nor

account for ways in which the phenotypes of individuals may vary when grown in

different across microhabitats.

Our simulations showed that while the highly stable and structured population at

Ammiad could be explained by very low outcrossing and seed migration, it is

incompatible with the realistic parameters we estimated. This, together with the

correlation between phenotypes-genotypes and microhabitats over a long

experimental period, suggest that the population structure reflects adaptation to

microhabitats, at least in part. The possibility that local adaptation occurs at such a

fine spatial scale as in our study is intriguing and invites further experimental

confirmation.

Although climate change was not yet an established fact in 1984, it became part of

the motivation of Ammiad experiment. In retrospect, the increase in local ambient

temperatures by ~1.5 degrees Celsius (Figure S8A) and in atmospheric CO_2

concentration by 70 ppm (Figure S8B) (Keeling *et al.* 2001) during the course of the

experiment, could have led to selection that affected the population structure.

However, only minor temporal changes were seen. This genetic temporal stability

could be interpreted as the population not having had enough time to adapt due to

the rapid pace of climatic changes, in which case we would expect a reduction in

fitness of the population. However, plant density was similar in 1984 and in 2020,

suggesting that, so far, this has not occurred. Wild emmer wheat might have evolved

to be resilient to temperature fluctuations since its speciation 0.8 MYA ago (Levy &

Feldman 2022) and that the recent climate changes might not have yet reached the

threshold that endangers the species.

Our results are relevant to conservation strategies as well as to the assessment of

biodiversity resilience under climate change. First, our study shows that *in-situ*

conservation can be quite effective in preserving diversity, without the need to

frequently sample seeds and store them in seed banks (*ex-situ*). Second, our results

highlight that effective *in-situ* and *ex-situ* conservation strategies of wild plants

biodiversity, particularly for wild grasses and crop progenitors such as wild emmer

wheat, should consider habitat diversity as a potential reservoir of adaptive diversity.

In fact, our long-term study would not have been possible if the site had not been

declared a natural reserve for wild-wheat conservation by the Israel nature and parks

authority. Indeed, this population is fenced and undergoes moderate grazing.

Unrestricted grazing, or urbanisation, as seen in other parts of the Levant can reduce

and sometimes eliminate wild grasses. The Ammiad reserve is relatively small (~10

hectares) but contains much diversity. Establishing natural reserves of crop

progenitors, partially protected from anthropogenic activities, does not necessarily

require large areas and is a feasible goal that is critical for biodiversity conservation.

In conclusion, we provide a unique example of strong temporal stability, a

counterpoint to recent studies demonstrating dramatic changes in local populations

even between seasons (Bergland *et al.* 2014; Wittmann *et al.* 2017; Machado *et al.*

2021; Rudman *et al.* 2022). Fully explaining this stability will require further work, but,

whatever the causes, such robustness in a rapidly changing world demonstrates the

importance of conservation of wild relatives of crops in nature, as gene pools for

breeding (Zsögön *et al.* 2021).

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and DNA extraction

Wild wheat spikes were collected in four different linear transects every 3 to 5 metres

from sampling points marked with pegs and GPS coordinates (Dataset S1). Plants

were assigned to a sampling point when collected in successive years in a radius of

~ 1m from the peg. A single seed was selected for each plant and DNA was extracted

from young seedlings. Tissue was collected and ground using mortar and pestle with

the addition of Merck sea-sand in liquid nitrogen. DNA extraction was done using

Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin® Plant II extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's

protocol, eluting in 50 ul EB total. Concentrations and DNA quality were estimated

using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer.

Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) and variant calling

Plant genotyping was done according to the Genotyping-By-Sequencing method

(Elshire *et al.* 2011; Poland *et al.* 2012), using *MspI* and *PstI-HF* restriction enzyme,

and 96 barcodes of plate 384A (Poland *et al.* 2012). Samples were sequenced using

Illumina NextSeq 550 mid-output 150 base-pairs single-end kits. A reference sample,

Zavitan accession (Avni *et al.* 2017), was added to each run, undergoing the same

plate GBS library preparation and sequencing. Samples were divided by barcodes

using a python 2.7 code. Mapping to the WEW_v2.0 genome assembly (Zhu *et al.*

2019) was done using *bwa-mem (Li 2013)*. Conversion to binary alignment map (BAM)

format, filtering for uniquely mapped reads with minimum QUAL score of 30, sorting

and indexing was done using SAMtools (Li *et al.* 2009). Variant calling was done in

parallel running on all 845 (832 Ammiad samples and 13 Zavitan controls) samples

using GATK HaplotypeCaller 3.8 (Poplin *et al.* 2018). Filtering for quality (>30), read

depth (>6), genotype quality (>15), maximum missing sites (10%) and no-indels was

done using VCFtools (Danecek *et al.* 2011). Filtering for up to 10% heterozygous

SNPs per plant was done using TASSEL5 (Bradbury *et al.* 2007). Filtered VCF was

used for all further analyses (see Data and code availability).

Pairwise genetic identity

We measured genetic identity using R (see Data and code availability) by calculating

the pairwise identity values between all samples, divided by the number of

comparisons. The pipeline also divides the samples into distinct genotype groups

(DGGs) by setting a threshold of 98.1% identity of the variant sites, which is the lowest

threshold where no sample is assigned to two or more DGGs, and constructs the

dendrogram describing the relatedness between the DGGs. We performed

hierarchical clustering R by UPGMA (method "average" in *hclust* function). All samples of the reference accession, Zavitan, were grouped together into one DGG, indicating that we can reliably group identical genotypes. The heatmap of samples from 1984 was done using *pheatmap* (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) with default hierarchical clustering.

Temperature and CO_2 concentration data

We obtained data on daily minimum and maximum temperatures from 1984 to 2020 from the Israeli Meteorological Service at the Israel government portal (https://ims.data.gov.il/he/ims/2). We obtained data on weekly atmospheric CO_2 concentrations from The Scripps Institution of Oceanography UC San Diego (Keeling et al., 2001).

Rarefaction, principal-component and identity=by-distance analyses

We performed a rarefaction analysis using R (see *Data and code availability*) by taking successively small subsamples of the DGGs present in each year, and fitting a polynomial curve to the number of unique DGGs sampled across subsamples. We repeated this 100 times for each year.

We performed principal component analysis on the VCF file of the entire Ammiad collection using *SNPRelate* R Package (Zheng *et al.* 2012). We calculated identity by distance for each transect separately. We calculated pairwise Euclidean distances using the *distHaversine* function from the *Geosphere* R package (Hijmans 2022). We performed Mantel tests with 1000 permutations to calculate the correlation between

434 genetic identity and Euclidean distance matrices, using *mantel.test* in the *Ape* R

435 package (Paradis *et al.* 2004).

Outcrossing estimation and parental lines detection

To estimate outcrossing we calculated F_{IS} using the R package *hierfstat* (Goudet

2005). We calculated confidence intervals as the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles of 100

bootstraps using the function boot.ppfis. We estimated outcrossing rates (*f*) using the

relationship $F_{IS} = (1-f)/(1+f)$ *(Wright 1969)*. To detect the parental DGGs of

heterozygous plants we used SNPMatch (Pisupati *et al.* 2017) by comparing each

plant to a database of all DGGs.

Microhabitat clustering analysis

We tested whether population differentiation, measured by F_{st}, is higher when

measured between plants occurring in different microhabitats than in the same

microhabitat. To this goal we subdivided plants into demes with a maximum distance

between plants of 30m. We then computed the pairwise F_{st} between demes using the

R package *pegas (Paradis 2010)*. To correct for the effects of isolation by distance

and spatial structure we stratified comparisons by distance, measured as the

distance between the average locations of plants in the two demes.

To account for spatial autocorrelation we first performed a partial Mantel test using

the function *multi.mantel* from the R package *phytools (Revell 2012)*. Specifically, we

considered as a response variable the pairwise genetic distances used to define

DGGs. We tested for the effect of habitat, represented as a distance matrix with value

"0" for plants found in the same microhabitat and "1" for plants found in two different

microhabitats. In addition, we included in the test a matrices of spatial and temporal

distance We estimated spatial distances based on latitude and longitude using the

function *earth.dist* from the R package *fossil* ([https://palaeo-](https://palaeo-electronica.org/2011_1/238/index.html)

[electronica.org/2011_1/238/index.html](https://palaeo-electronica.org/2011_1/238/index.html)). We detected a significant effect of space

and microhabitat (p-values <0.005 for both matrices) and a non-significant effect of

time (p-value = 0.863).

Mantel and partial Mantel tests have been severely criticised for their inaccuracy in

presence of high spatial autocorrelation (Legendre *et al.* 2015; Crabot *et al.* 2019). To

account for these known biases, we used the approach proposed by (Crabot *et al.*

2019), which uses a Moran spectral randomization procedure to generate random

samples which maintain the same autocorrelation properties of the original dataset.

To this goal, we used the R packages *sdpep* to process the spatial matrices and the

msr function of the package *adespatial (Guénard & Legendre 2022)*. The distances

above were transformed into Euclidean distances with function *cailliez* from the R

package *adegenet (Jombart & Ahmed 2011)*. Using this approach, we tested the

effect of habitat on both DGGs and pairwise genetic distances, using 1000

randomizations. In both cases the effect of microhabitat was significant (p-

value<0.05).

We computed an analysis of molecular variance using the R package *pegas (Paradis*

2010), using plants sampled across all years. We included terms for microhabitat and

year of collection (nested within microhabitat) in the model.

Individual-based simulations

To investigate whether neutral demographic processes could maintain the degree of

clustering observed at Ammiad in 1984 over the period of study we performed

individual-based simulations of populations of plants evolving via seed dispersal and

outcrossing only. An R package, *simmiad*, is provided to perform these simulations

(DOI:10.5281/zenodo.8116105).

We simulate populations of N plants evolving in a uniform two-dimensional habitat

beginning with a structure that mimics that found at Ammiad with densities of 1, 2, 3

or 5 plants/m^2. One challenge is that the real population is sampled along a one-

dimensional transect, but simulations need to be seeded in two dimensions. To do

this we first concatenate the vector of genotypes from all transects in 1984, arrange

them along sampling points five metres apart along one axis of the habitat, then

copied this structure in the perpendicular direction to create bands of identical

genotypes in a grid. So as not to bias the measurement of the structure to any single

region of the populations we 'rotated' the concatenated transect by a random number

of positions in each simulation replicate. Sampling points are also assigned the same

microhabitat label as they had at Ammiad, but we do not model selection for

microhabitat. To ensure the population is at the correct density we then draw a

sample with replacement of size N of plants on the grid to generate a population at

generation 1.

At 40 subsequent generations, N plants are sampled with replication to produce N

seeds. Wild emmer wheat has a two-year seed bank, so from generation 3 onwards,

seeds are drawn from the previous generation with probability 1-p, and from two

generations previous with probability p, for values of p of 0.5, 0.3, 0.1 or 0. Seeds are

randomly assigned as outcrossed with probabilities 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%, or 2%. Since

we have no information about seed dispersal distance and are only concerned with

tracking unique genotypes, outcrossed seeds are assigned a new unique genotype,

just as outcrossed seeds in the real sample would appear as a unique DGG. Offspring

disperse from the mother in a random direction at a distance drawn from an

exponential distribution with a mean of 0.5, 0.75, 1 or 2m. To eliminate edge effects,

the habitat is modelled as a torus, such that if dispersal takes a seed over the edge

of the habitat it is reflected back to the opposite side. At each generation we then

draw a transect of 30 sampling points 5 metres apart through the middle of the

population, and sampled the plant closest to each sampling point, replicating the

sampling procedure in the field.

We measure microhabitat differentiation on each sampled transect as

$$F_{st} = (f_0 - \underline{f})/(1 - \underline{f})$$

where f_0 is the is the probability that two individuals in the same subpopulation are

the same DGG, and $\underline{f}$ is the probability that two individuals from the total population

are the same DGG. For each combination of plant densities, outcrossing rates, seed

bank parameter p, and seed dispersal distances we performed 100 replicate

simulations.

Common-garden experiment

We established a common garden experiment in a net-house in the Weizmann

Institute of Science. We grew plants from 95 distinct homozygous DGGs from DGGs

represented by at least two samples, as well as the reference genotype 'Zavitan', in

six fully randomised blocks in a net house. In order to keep conditions as similar as

possible to the conditions in Ammiad site, we sowed seeds in mid-November in Terra

Rossa soil collected at Ammiad. Plants were subject to natural winter rainfall, and we

provided additional irrigation on two occasions when plants were close to

desiccation.

We measured 4 phenotypes related to vegetative growth: (germination date;

presence/absence of coleoptile anthocyanin pigmentation; erect growth habit,

scored from 1 to 5; plant-base pigmentation during tillering, scored from 1 to 3), six

phenotypes related to anthesis (anthesis date; main tiller length (cm) from the soil to

the base of the spike; log main-tiller flag-leaf width (cm); main tiller number of

spikelets; main tiller spike angle, scored from 1 to 3; number of tillers) After seed

collection we measured four additional phenotypes based on 10 dry seeds per plant:

seed area (mm^2), seed length (mm), seed width (mm) and the weight of 10 seeds

(grams) using VIBE QM3 seed analyser. Raw phenotypic data are provided in Dataset

S2.

We estimated the posterior distribution of genetic values for each trait using a

generalised linear mixed model in the R package *brms (Bürkner 2017)*. We model the

phenotype z_{ijk} with link function *f(z)* (with inverse function $f`(z)$) of a plant *i* of genotype

j in block *k* as

$$z_{ijk} = f'(\eta_{ijk})$$

where

$$\eta_{ijk} = \alpha + \gamma_j + \beta_k + \epsilon_i$$

554   α is an intercept, γ_j is the mean phenotype of DGG j, β_{km} is the mean phenotype for

555   experimental block k, ϵ_i is a residual error term (for phenotypes with normally-

556   distributed errors), and:

$$\alpha \sim t_3(\mu, \sigma_\alpha)$$

$$\gamma \sim t_3(0, \sigma_{DGG})$$

$$\beta \sim t_3(0, \sigma_{block})$$

We used the default priors in *brms* for the mean and variance components. The 14

traits measured were a mixture of normally-distributed, Poisson, binary and ordinal

variables, so we used identity, log, logit, and cumulative-logit link functions

respectively, as appropriate. We standardised normally-distributed traits by mean-

centering and dividing by the standard deviation. We calculated broad-sense

heritability on the scale of the link function following (de Villemereuil *et al.* 2016) as

$$\frac{\sigma_{DGG}}{\sigma_{DGG} + \sigma_{block} + \sigma_\epsilon}$$

Note that for models with non-identity link functions σ_ϵ is only defined on the scale of

the data, and does not contribute to heritability estimates on the scale of the link

function (McCullagh & Nelder 1989; de Villemereuil *et al.* 2016).

We used the linear models to predict phenotypes in the field. We drew 1000 values

from the posterior distribution of each phenotype for each of the 832 samples in the

field data set (excluding 117 empty sampling plots) using the *brms* function

'posterior_predict'. This function simulates phenotype values based on the genetic

values for each DGG plus inter-individual error, accounting for uncertainty in both.

For the 26 samples that were not included in the net-house experiment, we used

brms to draw a phenotype value from the posterior distribution of genetic values of

phenotyped DGGs. Since these values vary across posterior draws this allows us to

assign phenotypes to the missing genotypes without biasing the distribution of

phenotypes, and renders tests of association with microhabitat conservative.

We performed a linear discriminant analysis of the predicted phenotypes in the field

as a function of microhabitat using the *MASS* library in R (Venables & Ripley 2002).

For this analysis we used posterior mean phenotypes for each DGG, using the 806

plants from DGGs that were included in the net-house experiment.

We then estimated the variance in each phenotype explained by habitat and year in

each predicted dataset using *lme4* (Bates *et al.* 2015). To generate a null distribution

for the association between phenotype and habitats we used a 'rotation' approach

similar to that used to test sorting by microhabitat. We concatenated transects within

each year into a single circular transect and rotated the vector of predicted

phenotypes by a random number of positions. This preserves spatial structure in

phenotypes and microhabitats but breaks any association between them. We

performed a single rotation for each of 1000 realisations of phenotype predictions.

For each realisation we recalculated the variance in rotated phenotype explained by

microhabitat and year, and compared the distribution of these variance estimates to

those for the corresponding predicted dataset.

Acknowledgements

We thank T. Azulay, T. Grinevich, C. Melamed-Bessudo, O. Gross, R. Epstein, Z. Meir and members from the Institute for Cereal Crops Improvement at Tel-Aviv University for plant material collection. We thank A. Distelfeld and members of the Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, for using their VIBE QR3 seed analyzer, and J. Müller for the drone movie. We also thank N. Barkai, Y. Eshed, D. Filiault, K. Swarts, S. Nowoshilow, N. De-Malach, R. Pisupati, R. Burns, Y. Voichek, P. Clauw, E. Kosman and the Levy and Nordborg labs for fruitful discussions.

References

Abebe TD, Naz AA, Léon J (2015) Landscape genomics reveal signatures of local
adaptation in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). *Frontiers in plant science*, **6**, 813.

Aguillon SM, Fitzpatrick JW, Bowman R *et al.* (2017) Deconstructing isolation-by-distance:
The genomic consequences of limited dispersal. *PLoS genetics*, **13**, e1006911.

Anikster Y, Amram E, Smadar E, Auguste H (1991) Patterns of phenotypic variation in wild
tetraploid wheat at Ammiad. *Israel Journal of Botany*, **40**, 397–418.

Anikster Y, Noy-Meir I (1991) The wild-wheat field laboratory at Ammiad. *Israel Journal of
Botany*, **40**, 351–362.

Ashraf B, Hunter DC, Bérénos C *et al.* (2021) Genomic prediction in the wild: A case study
in Soay sheep. *Molecular ecology*.

Avni R, Nave M, Barad O *et al.* (2017) Wild emmer genome architecture and diversity
elucidate wheat evolution and domestication. *Science* , **357**, 93–97.

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
Using lme4. *Journal of statistical software*, **67**, 1–48.

Bergland AO, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA (2014) Genomic evidence
of rapid and stable adaptive oscillations over seasonal time scales in Drosophila. *PLoS
genetics*, **10**, e1004775.

Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE *et al.* (2007) TASSEL: software for association mapping of
complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics* , **23**, 2633–2635.

Bürkner PC (2017) brms: An R Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using Stan. *Journal
of statistical software*, **80**, 1–28.

Chen N, Juric I, Cosgrove EJ *et al.* (2019) Allele frequency dynamics in a pedigreed natural
population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America*, **116**, 2158–2164.

Crabot J, Clappe S, Dray S, Datry T (2019) Testing the Mantel statistic with a spatially-

constrained permutation procedure. *Methods in ecology and evolution / British*

Ecological Society, **10**, 532–540.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G *et al.* (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools.

Bioinformatics , **27**, 2156–2158.

Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q *et al.* (2011) A Robust, Simple Genotyping-by-Sequencing

(GBS) Approach for High Diversity Species (L Orban, Ed,). *PloS one*, **6**, e19379.

Enjalbert J, David JL (2000) Inferring recent outcrossing rates using multilocus individual

heterozygosity: application to evolving wheat populations. *Genetics*, **156**, 1973–1982.

Felsenburg T, Levy AA, Galili G, Feldman M (1991) Polymorphism of high-molecular-weight

glutenins in wild tetraploid wheat: spatial and temporal variation in a native site. *Israel*

Journal of Botany, **40**, 451–479.

Frachon L, Libourel C, Villoutreix R *et al.* (2017) Intermediate degrees of synergistic

pleiotropy drive adaptive evolution in ecological time. *Nature Ecology & Evolution 2017*

1:10, **1**, 1551–1561.

Franks SJ, Sim S, Weis AE (2007) Rapid evolution of flowering time by an annual plant in

response to a climate fluctuation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

104, 1278–1282.

Fu Y-B, Peterson GW, Horbach C *et al.* (2019) Elevated mutation and selection in wild

emmer wheat in response to 28 years of global warming. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences, **116**, 20002–20008.

Gardiner LJ, Joynson R, Omony J *et al.* (2018) Hidden variation in polyploid wheat drives

local adaptation. *Genome research*, **28**, 1319–1332.

Golenberg EM (1987) Estimation of gene flow and genetic neighborhood size by indirect

methods in a selfing annual, Triticum dicoccoides. *Evolution; international journal of*

organic evolution, **41**, 1326–1334.

Golenberg EM (1988) Outcrossing rates and their relationship to phenology in Triticum

dicoccoides. *TAG. Theoretical and applied genetics. Theoretische und angewandte*

Genetik, **75**, 937–944.

Goudet J (2005) hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics.

Molecular ecology notes, **5**, 184–186.

Guénard G, Legendre P (2022) Hierarchical Clustering with Contiguity Constraint in R.

Journal of statistical software, **103**, 1–26.

Hendrick MF, Finseth FR, Mathiasson ME *et al.* (2016) The genetics of extreme

microgeographic adaptation: an integrated approach identifies a major gene underlying

leaf trichome divergence in Yellowstone Mimulus guttatus. *Molecular ecology*, **25**,

5647–5662.

Horovitz A (1998) The soil seed bank in wild emmer. In: *The Proceedings of International*

Symposium of In Situ Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity, pp. 185–188.

Horovitz A, Feldman M (1991) Evaluation of the wild-wheat study at Ammiad. *Israel Journal*

of Botany, **40**, 501–508.

Jombart T, Ahmed I (2011) adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP

data. *Bioinformatics* , **27**, 3070–3071.

Keeling CD, Piper SC, Bacastow RB *et al.* (2001) Exchanges of Atmospheric CO_2 and

$13CO_2$ with the Terrestrial Biosphere and Oceans from 1978 to 2000. I. Global

Aspects. *I. Global Aspects, SIO Reference Series*, **Scripps In**, 88.

Kelly JK (2022) The genomic scale of fluctuating selection in a natural plant population.

Evolution letters, **6**, 506–521.

Khoury CK, Carver D, Greene SL *et al.* (2021) Crop wild relatives of the United States

require urgent conservation action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

of the United States of America, **117**, 33351–33357.

Kolis KM, Berg CS, Nelson TC, Fishman L (2022) Population genomic consequences of life-

history and mating system adaptation to a geothermal soil mosaic in yellow

monkeyflowers. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*, **76**, 765–781.

Legendre P, Fortin MJ, Borcard D (2015) Should the Mantel test be used in spatial

analysis? *Methods in ecology and evolution / British Ecological Society*, **6**, 1239–1247.

Levy AA, Feldman M (1988) Ecogeographical distribution of HMW glutenin alleles in

populations of the wild tetraploid wheat Triticum turgidum var. dicoccoides. *TAG.*

Theoretical and applied genetics. Theoretische und angewandte Genetik, **75**, 651–658.

Levy AA, Feldman M (2022) Evolution and origin of bread wheat. *The Plant cell*.

Li H (2013) Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-

MEM.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A *et al.* (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and

SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* , **25**, 2078–2079.

Machado HE, Bergland AO, Taylor R *et al.* (2021) Broad geographic sampling reveals the

shared basis and environmental correlates of seasonal adaptation in Drosophila. *eLife*,

10.

McCullagh P, Nelder J (1989) *Generalized Linear Models (2nd ed.)* (FL Boca Raton, Ed,).

Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Monnahan PJ, Kelly JK (2017) The Genomic Architecture of Flowering Time Varies Across

Space and Time in Mimulus guttatus. *Genetics*, **206**, 1621–1635.

Noy-Meir I, Agami M, Anikster Y (1991a) Changes in the population density of wild emmer

wheat (Triticum turgidum var. dicoccoides) in a mediterranean grassland. *Israel Journal*

of Botany, **40**, 385–395.

Noy-Meir I, Agami M, Cohen E, Anikster Y (1991b) Floristic and ecological differentiation of

habitats within a wild wheat population at Ammiad. *Israel Journal of Botany*, **40**, 363–

384.

Ozbek O, Millet E, Anikster Y, Arslan O, Feldman M (2007) Spatio-temporal genetic

variation in populations of wild emmer wheat, Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides, as

revealed by AFLP analysis. *TAG. Theoretical and applied genetics. Theoretische und*

angewandte Genetik, **115**, 19–26.

Paradis E (2010) pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular

approach. *Bioinformatics* , **26**, 419–420.

Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R

language. *Bioinformatics* , **20**, 289–290.

Pisupati R, Reichardt I, Seren Ü *et al.* (2017) Verification of Arabidopsis stock collections

using SNPmatch, a tool for genotyping high-plexed samples. *Scientific Data*, **4**,

170184.

Poland JA, Brown PJ, Sorrells ME, Jannink J-L (2012) Development of High-Density

Genetic Maps for Barley and Wheat Using a Novel Two-Enzyme Genotyping-by-

Sequencing Approach (T Yin, Ed,). *PloS one*, **7**, e32253.

Poplin R, Ruano-Rubio V, DePristo MA *et al.* (2018) Scaling accurate genetic variant

discovery to tens of thousands of samples. *bioRxiv*, 201178.

Revell LJ (2012) phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). *Methods in ecology and evolution / British Ecological Society*, **3**, 217–223.

Rudman SM, Greenblum SI, Rajpurohit S *et al.* (2022) Direct observation of adaptive

tracking on ecological time scales in Drosophila. *Science*, **375**, eabj7484.

Schemske DW (1984) Population structure and local selection in Impatiens pallida

(balsaminaceae), a selfing annual. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*,

38, 817–832.

Sedlacek J, Cortés AJ, Wheeler J *et al.* (2016) Evolutionary potential in the Alpine: trait

heritabilities and performance variation of the dwarf willow Salix herbacea from

different elevations and microhabitats. *Ecology and evolution*, **6**, 3940–3952.

Siepielski AM, Dibattista JD, Carlson SM (2009) It's about time: The temporal dynamics of

phenotypic selection in the wild. *Ecology letters*, **12**, 1261–1276.

Stoffel MA, Johnston SE, Pilkington JG, Pemberton JM (2021) Genetic architecture and

lifetime dynamics of inbreeding depression in a wild mammal. *Nature Communications*

2021 12:1, **12**, 1–10.

The 1001 Genomes Consortium (2016) 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of

Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell*, **166**, 481–491.

Todesco M, Owens GL, Bercovich N *et al.* (2020) Massive haplotypes underlie ecotypic

differentiation in sunflowers. *Nature*, **584**, 602–607.

Troth A, Puzey JR, Kim RS, Willis JH, Kelly JK (2018) Selective trade-offs maintain alleles

underpinning complex trait variation in plants. *Science*, **361**, 475–478.

Venables WN, Ripley BD (2002) *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Springer New York.

de Villemereuil P, Schielzeth H, Nakagawa S, Morrissey M (2016) General Methods for

Evolutionary Quantitative Genetic Inference from Generalized Mixed Models. *Genetics*,

204, 1281–1294.

Volis S, Anikster Y, Olsvig-Whittaker L, Mendlinger S (2004) The influence of space in

genetic-environmental relationships when environmental heterogeneity and seed

dispersal occur at similar scale. *The American naturalist*, **163**, 312–327.

Volis S, Ormanbekova D, Shulgina I (2016) Fine-scale spatial genetic structure in

predominantly selfing plants with limited seed dispersal: A rule or exception? *Plant*

Diversity, **38**, 59–64.

Volis S, Ormanbekova D, Yermekbayev K, Song M, Shulgina I (2015) Multi-Approaches

Analysis Reveals Local Adaptation in the Emmer Wheat (Triticum dicoccoides) at

Macro- but not Micro-Geographical Scale. *PloS one*, **10**, e0121153.

Wintle BA, Kujala H, Whitehead A *et al.* (2019) Global synthesis of conservation studies

reveals the importance of small habitat patches for biodiversity. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **116**, 909–914.

Wittmann MJ, Bergland AO, Feldman MW, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA (2017) Seasonally

fluctuating selection can maintain polymorphism at many loci via segregation lift.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,

114, E9932–E9941.

Wright S (1969) *Evolution and the Genetics of Populations. Vol. 2: The Theory of Gene*

Frequencies. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Zheng X, Levine D, Shen J *et al.* (2012) A high-performance computing toolset for

relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* , **28**, 3326.

Zhu T, Wang L, Rodriguez JC *et al.* (2019) Improved Genome Sequence of Wild Emmer

Wheat Zavitan with the Aid of Optical Maps. *G3* , **9**, 619–624.

Zsögön A, Peres LEP, Xiao Y, Yan J, Fernie AR (2021) Enhancing crop diversity for food

security in the face of climate uncertainty. *The Plant journal: for cell and molecular*

biology, **109**, 402–414.

Data and code availability

Sequencing data generated in this study are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession number PRJNA779576. All data processing and data analysis are publicly available on GitHub (https://github.com/TalDM/Ammiad) and Zenodo (DOI:10.5281/zenodo.8116655). An R package, *simmiad*, is provided to perform the individual-based simulations (DOI:10.5281/zenodo.8116105).

Author Contributions

T.D-M., M.F., Y.A. and A.A.L, conceived and designed the study. T.D-M. carried out the molecular work, SNP calling, genetic and phenotypic analyses with input from T.J.E., F.M., M.N. and A.A.L. T.J.E. performed the simulations and phenotypic analyses with input from T.D-M., M.N. and A.A.L. F.M. carried out the population differentiation analysis, with input from T.D-M. and A.A.L. T.D-M., O.R. and A.A.L, carried out the common-garden experiment. T.D-M., H.S., J.M., N.A-R., A.R., M.F., Y.A, and A.A.L carried out the collection. T.D-M., T.J.E., F.M, M.N. and A.A.L wrote the manuscript. M.N. and A.A.L supervised the project.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Yeda-Sela Centre and Sustainability and Alternative Energy Research Initiative of the Weizmann Institute of Science. T.D-M. acknowledges a European Molecular Biology Organization scientific exchange grant 8368.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Rarefaction curves. Adjusted 2nd degree polynomials of 100x backwards sample of unique genotype number and number of samples collected per year. Dashed horizontal line represents the observed number of unique genotypes per year, dashed vertical lines represent number of samples per year. Light blue line and red dot represent range and mean of inflection points over replicate subsamples respectively.

Figure S2. Outcrossing in Ammiad. (A) Outcrossing over time in Ammiad. The red continuous line represents the estimated average decrease of 0.06% every year, with confidence intervals shown as dashed lines. The highest rate of outcrossing is estimated for the middle north-facing slope, consistently with the identification of two highly heterozygous plants in this habitat from 1984 and 1988 (A17 and A20, respectively). Individual yearly estimates are shown as black dots. (B) Parental DGGs of 1984A17 (left panel) and 1988A47 (right panel) detected using SNPMatch. F1 plants are marked as a black dot.

Figure S3. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and isolation by distance of Ammiad collection. (A) PCA coloured by microhabitat. (B) PCA coloured by DGGs, black dots represent singleton DGGs. (B) Scatter plots of pairwise genetic identity and Euclidean distance (metres). Subplots show years of collection, and colours represent the different transects (A=blue, B=red, C=yellow, D=green).

Distance (m)

Figure S4. Correlation and significance of isolation by distance in Ammiad collection.

Pairwise genetic identity by Euclidean distance (metres). Subplots show years of collection

(rows) and transects (columns). The title of each plot indicates its number of samples and p-

value from a Mantel test with 1000 permutations.

Figure S5. Moran Spectral Randomization for Mantel test to test the effect of habitat

on genetic structure accounting for spatial autocorrelation. The histograms represent

1000 spatially constrained randomizations of Mantel statistics (MSR-Mantel). Each

randomization was constrained to have a spatial autocorrelation comparable to the observed

data, controlling for dispersal and other random factors. For each randomization the effect

of habitat on pairwise genetic distances (A) and the probability of two samples to belong to

the same DGG (B) was measured, and compared to the observed (black line and dot), in

order to, test for the role of habitats in determining the spatial autocorrelation in genetic

similarity in Ammiad. For both A and B, we observed a significant role of habitat in shaping

the autocorrelation and the genetic distances in Ammiad, both with p-value <0.01.

Figure S6. Distribution of phenotypes measured in the common-garden experiment.

Figure S7. Phenotype-habitat linear discriminant analysis. Projection of the first three dimensions of the linear discriminant analysis of the posterior-mean predicted phenotypes of 801 samples from the wild wheat Ammiad population.

849 **Figure S8: Climatic changes during the course of the Ammiad experiment.** (A) Average

850 yearly minimum (blue) and maximum (red) temperature measured in Ayelet Haschahar

851 weather station from 1984 to 2020. Collection years are marked on the respective linear

852 model regression line. (B) CO2 concentration 1983 to 2021. Data obtained from the Mauna

853 Loa record, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UC San Diego.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Bootstrap-based ranges of F_{IS} and estimated outcrossing rates per habitat.

	F_{IS}	F_{IS}	outcrossing	outcrossing
Habitat	2.5%	97.5%	2.5%	97.5%
Upper North facing slope	0.9074	0.9246	0.0392	0.0485
Middle north facing slope	0.8385	0.8654	0.0722	0.0878
Narrow valley	0.9548	0.9627	0.0190	0.0231
South facing slope	0.9274	0.9348	0.0337	0.0377
Plateau	0.9565	0.9640	0.0183	0.0222
East facing slope	0.9294	0.9405	0.0307	0.0366
Valley	0.9535	0.9614	0.0197	0.0238
Karst	0.9082	0.9317	0.0354	0.0481

Table S2A. Analysis of molecular variance of genotypes sampled in Ammiad across all
years, subdivided by habitat, using only polymorphic sites within Ammiad. Sum of
squared deviations (SSD) and mean square deviations (MSD), variance components (σ^2) and
corresponding p-values were computed using the R package *pegas*. The Φ statistic for
habitat was used as an estimate of the proportion of molecular variance explained by the
habitat structure.

Component	SSD	MSD	df	σ^2	p-value	Φ
Habitat	0.9946	0.1421	7	0.0014	$<10^{-12}$	0.2833
Error	2.9429	0.0036	824	0.0036	/	/
Total	3.9374	0.0047	831	/	/	/

Table S2B. Analysis of molecular variance of genotypes sampled in Ammiad across all
Habitats, subdivided by year, using only polymorphic sites within Ammiad. Sum of
squared deviations (SSD) and mean square deviations (MSD), variance components (σ^2) and
corresponding p-values were computed using the R package *pegas*. The Φ statistic for year
was used as an estimate of the proportion of molecular variance explained by the temporal
structure.

Component	SSD	MSD	df	σ^2	p-value	Φ
Year	0.1197	0.0150	8	2.8618e-06	0.4226	0.00019
Error	12.1003	0.0147	823	1.4703e-02	/	/
Total	12.2200	0.0047	831	/	/	/

Table S2C. Analysis of molecular variance of genotypes sampled in Ammiad, modelling both Habitat and time, using only polymorphic sites within Ammiad. Sum of squared deviations (SSD) and mean square deviations (MSD), variance components (σ^2) and corresponding p-values were computed using the R package *pegas*. Year was modelled as nested within habitat. Note that the low variance explained by time is not due to this (Table S2B). The Φ statistic for habitat and year was used as an estimate of the proportion of molecular variance explained by the year and habitat structure.

Component	SSD	MSD	df	σ^2	p-value	Φ
Habitat	3.1348	0.4478	7	4.3370e-03	$<10^{-12}$	0.2831
Year	0.7146	0.0112	64	1.3254e-05	0.7902	0.0012
Error	8.3706	0.0110	824	1.1014e-02	/	/
Total	12.2200	0.0147	831	/	/	/

Table S3. Linear discriminant analysis dimension values.

	LD1	LD2	LD3	LD4	LD5	LD6	LD7
Anthesis date	-0.005	-0.528	-0.346	0.177	-0.198	-0.053	0.124
Coleoptile colour	-0.576	-0.524	-0.194	-0.525	0.285	-0.904	-0.779
Erect growth habit	-0.822	0.32	0.746	-0.985	0.188	0.336	-1.242
Germination date	0.168	0.398	-0.067	-0.111	0.533	-0.148	0.24
Main tiller flag leaf width	0.739	-0.27	-0.828	-0.49	-0.28	-0.355	0.21
Main tiller length	0.759	-0.497	-0.328	0.675	0.144	-1.084	0.159
Main tiller number of spikelets	-0.036	0.054	0.002	0.106	0.08	0.16	0.02
Number of tillers	0.056	-0.096	-0.052	-0.044	0.105	-0.012	0.231
Plant base pigment during tillering	0.617	-0.299	-0.052	0.058	-0.049	0.752	-0.062
Seed area	-1.083	-1.866	-2.63	0.055	-2.035	-1.184	0.422
Seed length	1.344	0.816	2.349	-0.173	1.634	1.42	-0.05
Seed width	1.113	1.303	1.233	1.001	1.606	1.104	-0.937
Main tiller spike angle	-0.214	-0.147	-0.8	0.138	0.553	0.562	0.362
Seed weight	-0.217	0.239	0.024	-0.68	-0.724	-0.234	0.704

Supplementary Files

Movie S1 (separate file). Drone video of the different transects and microhabitats in Ammiad wild wheat reserve.

Dataset S1 (separate file). Dataset of Ammiad samples by genotype, coordinates and spike density measured at transect A in 2020.

Dataset S2 (separate file). Dataset of plant and seed phenotypes measured in the common garden experiment.