Title: Cross-kingdom analysis of microbial communities in Cystic Fibrosis and

Bronchiectasis

Short title: Cross-kingdom analysis of airway microbial communities

L. Cuthbertson*[1], J. Ish-Horowicz*[4], I.C. Felton[2], P. James[1,3], E. Turek[1], M.J. Cox[1],

M.R. Loebinger[1,2], N.J. Simmonds[1,2], S. Filippi [4], M.F. Moffatt**[1], W.O.C.

Cookson**[1,2]

[1]National Heart and Lung Institute Imperial College London, Genomic Medicine,

London, United Kingdom, [2]Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Trust, London, United

Kingdom, [3]Oxford Nanopore Technologies Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom,

[4]Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

* Authors contributed equally to this manuscript

** Joint senior authors

Corresponding author: L. Cuthbertson, National Heart and Lung Institute,

Imperial College London, London SW3 6LY, UK.

E-mail: l.cuthbertson@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

Cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-CF bronchiectasis (BX) are characterised by severe

chronic infections. Fungal and bacterial components of infection are both

recognized. Little however is known about how fungal and bacterial organisms

interact and whether these interactions impact on disease outcomes.

Methods

Quantitative PCR and next-generation sequencing of ITS2 and 16S rRNA gene

was carried out on 107 patients with CF or BX with clinically defined fungal

infection status for all patients. The relationship between fungal and bacterial

community composition was extensively explored using: random forest

modelling, correlation network analysis, multi-omics factor analysis, and sample-

wise clustering, to understand associations both within and between the

microbial communities and their relationship to respiratory disease.

Results

Random forest modelling demonstrated distinct fungal and bacterial

communities within CF and BX patients. The inclusion of both kingdoms in the

models did not improve discrimination between the two diseases. Within the CF

patients, bacterial community composition was independent of clinical fungal

disease status. Bacterial and fungal communities did not relate to the presence of

CF pulmonary exacerbations (CFPE). Correlation network analysis found intra-

kingdom interactions were predominant in the data. Multi-omics factor analysis

(MOFA) revealed latent factors corresponding to single kingdoms. Thus, in the

bacterial community we identified two distinct clusters characterised by the

presence or absence of *Pseudomonas*-domination. This was independent of

fungal community which was characterised by a second set of independent

clusters dominated by *Saccharomycetes*.

Conclusions

In this study we were unable to detect clear evidence of clinically significant

inter-kingdom interactions between the bacterial and fungal communities. While

further work is required to fully understand microbial interaction within the

lung, our data suggests that interkingdom interactions may not be the primary

driver of patient outcomes, particularly in the context of fungal infection.

Keywords: (10 words)

Mycobiome, microbiome, machine learning, random forest, cystic fibrosis,

bronchiectasis

Introduction

Chronic respiratory infections are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality for the chronic suppurative lung diseases (CSLD) cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-CF bronchiectasis (BX) (1, 2). Bacterial infections are a major pathophysiological factor in disease progression in these patients (3, 4). The impact of fungal infections is increasingly being recognised and fungal infections have been associated with higher disease burdens, increased exacerbation rates, and accelerated clinical decline (5, 6).

The development of robust microbial sequencing protocols has revealed complex fungal communities within the lungs of patients with CF and BX, exhibiting a range of clinical disease manifestations (7, 8). The recognition of these fungal communities has led to the investigation of bacterial and fungal interactions and their roles in disease progression. Insights into these complex associations and interactions are helped through evolving statistical methodology (8).

Machine learning techniques have proven to be effective for host phenotype prediction from microbiome profiles with random forests exhibiting the strongest predictive performance in host-trait prediction tasks (9). This superior performance is driven in part by their ability to model non-linear interactions between variables. This property is especially useful in microbiome studies, in which the covariates represent a dynamic and interacting ecological system of microbes. Random forests are therefore often preferred over more interpretable linear models, with a recent systematic review finding that random forest was the most popular machine learning model for differential abundance testing in microbiome studies (10).

In this study we aimed to explore fungal and bacterial interactions within the

lung of patients with chronic respiratory diseases (CF and BX) and understand

their relationship to underlying disease, fungal disease diagnosis and CF

pulmonary exacerbations (CFPE). Using random forest analysis we explored the

predictive power of bacterial and fungal community composition and the

presence of CF (n=83) or BX (n=24). This pipeline was subsequently applied to

two fungal disease sub-groups within the CF disease group (see Table 1), defined

by the presence (n=20) or absence (n=39) of clinical diagnoses of fungal

bronchitis (FB). Due to the small number of patients with BX (n=24), we

confined this sub-group analysis to CF patients only. Motivated by recent work

by Soret *et al.* (8), we also investigated CFPEs.

The random forest analyses were complemented by unsupervised approaches

investigating both intra- and inter-kingdom associations between taxa

(correlation network analysis and multi-omics factor analysis (11)) and samples

(Dirichlet multinomial mixtures (12)). The focus of both sets of the analyses was

to find evidence of interactions between the two kingdoms.

Table 1. Patient demographics. Data for all samples included in this

manuscript. Only samples with paired fungal and bacterial sequencing that

passed all quality control steps (see Supplementary Material) were taken

forward for analysis. Differences in continuous variables were calculated using a

one-way t-test, while a chi-square test was used for categorical variables.

	BX (n = 24)	**CF** (n = 83)	*P*
Age/yrs (mean [SD])	59.79 (11.06)	32.00 (11.90)	<0.001
Male (%)	10 (41.7)	47 (56.6)	0.288

BMI (mean [SD])	26.51 (8.73)	22.51 (6.50)	0.029
FEV^1 % predicted (mean [SD])	58.24 (25.23)	48.81 (17.79)	0.047
Disease Group (%)			<0.001
ABPA	8 (33.3)	14 (16.9)	
CNPA	5 (20.8)	0 (0.0)	
FB	0 (0.0)	20 (24.1)	
NAFD	8 (33.3)	39 (47.0)	
NTM	3 (12.5)	10 (12.0)	
Exacerbation* (%)	1 (4.2)	36 (43.4)	0.001

CF: Cystic fibrosis, BX: Non-CF bronchiectasis, BMI: Body mass index, FEV^1:

Forced expiratory volume in 1 second, ABPA: Allergic bronchopulmonary

aspergillosis, CNPA: Chronic necrotising pulmonary aspergillosis, FB: Fungal

bronchitis, NAFD: No active fungal disease, NTM: Non-tuberculosis mycobacteria.

* Patients were defined as exacerbating by a change in clinical status from

baseline that resulted in a new pulmonary antibiotic treatment.

Methods

Study Design

A prospective, cross-sectional study of spontaneously expectorated sputum from

adults with CF and BX was carried out at the Royal Brompton NHS trust between

April 2013 and July 2014 (7). Ethical approval was obtained from the Royal

Brompton and Harefield Hospital Biomedical Research Unit Ethics Committee

(Advanced Lung Disease Biobank study number: 10/H0504/9). Written

informed consent was obtained from all study participants prior to sample

collection. This study was conducted in accordance with the International

Conference for Harmonisation of Good Clinical Practice and the guiding

principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Research Governance

Framework for Health and Social Care.

Patient details, including treatments, were collected and subjects were

partitioned into defined clinical subgroups according to the diagnostic criteria

defined in Cuthbertson *et al.* 2020 (7) (Table 1). Participants within the study

cohort were classified into four clinically defined fungal disease groups (see

Table 1): (i) fungal bronchitis (FB), (ii) Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis

(ABPA), (iii) chronic necrotising pulmonary aspergillosis (CNPA, BX only); and

(iv) non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM).

Sputum samples were collected and processed as previously described, with half

the sample sent for routine clinical microbiological culture and the other half

stored at -80°C for DNA analysis (7). DNA extraction was performed using the

DNA fast spin kit for soil (MPBio, California, USA) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Extraction controls were blinded and processed

along with patient samples (7).

Quantitative PCR

Bacterial biomass was quantified by SYBR green quantitative PCR (qPCR) (13).

Fungal biomass was estimated using a modified Taqman based qPCR assay as

previously described (7). All qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate.

DNA sequencing

16S rRNA gene and ITS2 sequencing were performed on the Illumina MiSeq

platform using dual barcode fusion primers. Bacterial sequencing was performed

on the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene as previously described (13). ITS2

sequencing was performed using the primers, ITS2F (5'-CAR CAA YGG ATC TCT

TGG-3') and ITS2R (5'-GAT ATG CTT AAG TTC AGC GGG T-3') with ligated

adaptors (7). Extraction controls, PCR negative and mock communities were

included on all sequencing runs.

Sequence processing of 16S rRNA gene and ITS2 data was carried out using

QIIME 1.9 as described previously in Cuthbertson *et al.* 2017 (13) and

Cuthbertson *et al.* 2020 (7) respectively.

All sequences were submitted to the European nucleotide database. Bacterial

data can be accessed under project number PRJEB33064 with the fungal data

accessible under project number PRJEB33434.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out in R version 3.5.1. Data was analysed in

phyloseq version 1.24.2 (14). Decontamination of the data was carried out using

decontam version 1.1.2 (15); full details are available in Supplementary Material.

Differences between categorical variables were calculated using Wilcoxon rank

sum test and Kruskal-Wallis. Pearson correlation was used for tests between

continuous variables. Differences in microbial community composition were

tested with PERMANOVA using the Adonis function from vegan version 2.5-6

[23]. Random forests models were fitted using the caret (version 6.0) and ranger

(version 0.12) packages 4. The DirichletMultinomial package (version 1.36) was

used for sample-wise clustering (16). MOFA analysis used the MOFA2 package

(version 1.7) (11). *P*-values were adjusted for multiple corrections using false

discovery rate (FDR) throughout.

Random forest-based two-sample testing

Random forest (RF) binary classifiers were used to investigate associations

between microbial community composition and the three groups described in

Table 2. We agglomerated the OTU counts to each rank in Class, Order, Family,

Genus and Species. For each rank we trained three models with the following

covariates:

1. the agglomerated counts of the bacterial OTUs;

2. the agglomerated counts of the fungal OTUs; or

3. the agglomerated counts of both the bacterial and fungal OTUs.

The five different agglomeration ranks were used in order to investigate the

differences between groups at different levels of the taxonomic hierarchy. For all

models, any agglomerated taxa present in fewer than 20% of samples were

removed. Removal of rare samples has been shown to improve stability and

reproducibility of random forest analyses while still retaining discriminative taxa

and leaving predictive performance unchanged (17).

Table 2. **Patient groups investigated using random forest**. These groups

were chosen to maximise sample sizes and power of the analyses. The Group

phenotype was limited to the FB (n = 20) and NAFD (n = 39) groups within the

CF population. BX samples were removed from the group analysis due to the low

numbers.

Group	Description	Classes	n
Disease	Whether a patient has CF or BX	CF or BX	83 CF, 24 BX (107 total)
Fungal disease (CF only)	Whether a CF patient has been diagnosed with FB or NAFD	FB or NAFD	20 FB, 39 NAFD (59 total)

CFPE (CF only)	Whether a CF patient was experiencing pulmonary exacerbation when the sample was collected (clinician defined).	Yes or No	36 Yes, 47 No (83 total)

CF: cystic fibrosis, BX: non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis, FB: fungal bronchitis,

NAFD: no active fungal disease.

The predictive performance of each RF model was estimated using 5-fold nested

cross-validation with 5 folds in the inner loop. The inner loop performed model

selection using a random hyperparameter search of ten combinations of mtry

and splitrule. Both inner and outer folds were sampled in a stratified manner

meaning that the class proportions in each fold reflected the proportions of the

entire dataset. The predictive performance of the models was evaluated using

area under receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) and precision-recall (PR)

curves. Ninety-five % confidence intervals on the cross-validated area under the

curves (AUCs) were computed using the precrec package (18). The statistical

significance of AUC values were computed using a label permutation test, where

observed AUCs were compared to AUCs from random forest models trained on

permuted labels. The dependence of the random forest results to the number of

outer-loop folds was investigated by repeating all analyses using 10 outer folds

(Supplementary Table S1).

Similar results for this dataset showing the robustness of the predictive metrics

(area under ROC and PR curves) and the increased stability of variable

importance scores under different transformations are included in the

Supplementary Material (Table S1 and Figure S1-5). For the results in the main

text, taxa reads were converted to relative abundances by dividing by the total

per-sample reads. The random forest AUC and variable importance results were

robust under four additional transformations (identity, centre log-ratio (CLR),

log(x+1), and division by the sum of dataset reads). Please see Supplementary

Table S1 for AUC results for the random forest models under the different

transformations.

Random forest variable importance and differential abundance analysis

One of the primary benefits of random forest modelling is the ability to perform a

variable importance analysis. Here, we use both of the two most popular

variable importance measures for random forest - Mean Decrease Gini and Mean

Decrease Accuracy. In addition, we include the de-biased Mean Decrease Gini

scores proposed by Nembrini *et al.* (19).

Each random forest was grown using 1,000 trees to achieve stability in the

variable importance scores. The statistical significance of MDA and de-biased

MDG scores were assessed using *P*-values calculated using the permutation

method of Altmann *et al.* with 1,000 permutations (20). Random forest variable

importance scores are unsigned (do not give an effect direction), although an

approximate effect direction can be obtained using partial dependence plots.

Such plots visualise the marginal effect of a variable on the predictions of a

model and are used here to gain further insight into the dependence of model

prediction on the relative abundance of different taxa (21).

Correlation analysis, MOFA and sample-wise clustering

259 We analysed the correlation structure amongst the taxa included in the random

260 forest analysis. Samples were centred log-ratio (CLR) transformed prior to

261 calculating correlations to account for the compositional nature of 16S rRNA

262 gene and ITS2 sequencing data. The CLR transformation was applied separately

263 to the 16S rRNA gene and ITS2 samples, as has been previously applied to find

264 accurate cross-omic interactions (22). This pre-transformation requires that the

265 resulting correlations are interpreted as the log-ratios abundance relative to the

266 sample geometric mean rather than in absolute terms. MOFA was run using the

267 same pre-processing as Haak *et al.* (23) (agglomeration to Genus and

268 transformation using CLR prior to analysis). Sample-wise clustering was

269 performed using Dirichlet Multinomial mixtures (12) on the un-transformed

270 reads of each kingdom separately. The number of clusters was selected by

271 comparing the Akaike and Bayesian information criteria and the Laplace

272 approximation of the model evidence for 1 to 15 clusters.

275 **Results**

276 ***Description of data***

277 After decontamination and removal of samples with less than 2,000 reads (n =

278 2), 107 samples were retained for downstream analyses (for demographics see

279 Table 1). For the ecological analyses, bacterial reads were rarefied to 2,357 while

280 fungal reads were rarefied to 2,542. All other analyses used un-rarefied reads.

282 ***Ecological analysis***

283 *Differences between diseases*

We performed an ecological analysis on the rarefied data (full details are

available in Supplementary Material). In short, Wilcoxon rank sum tests revealed

both bacterial and fungal diversity were significantly higher in patients with BX

than CF (P < 0.001). Similarly, bacterial biomass was significantly higher in the

BX group (W = 1,100, effect size = 0.241, P = 0.018) but no significant difference

in fungal biomass was observed. PERMANOVA revealed significant but small

differences in community composition between CF and BX (bacterial, R^2 = 0.066,

P <0.001; fungal R^2 = 0.028, P = 0.004).

Differences between fungal disease groups in cystic fibrosis

Within the CF group, we compared the two largest fungal disease groups, FB

(n=20) and NAFD (n=39). We observed significant differences in fungal alpha

diversity between the NAFD and FB groups (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P < 0.05).

There were no significant differences, however, in bacterial biomass or diversity.

CFPE

There was no significant difference in bacterial or fungal, biomass or alpha

diversity measures (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P > 0.1) between CFPE subjects and

those that were stable.

Random forest analysis

Discriminative power of bacterial and fungal communities

We further investigated differences in fungal and bacterial community

composition between groups of patients using random forest modelling (Figure

1). For each set of group labels, we trained a random forest binary classifier with

covariates being OTU reads agglomerated to Class, Order, Family, Genus, or

Species level. Differences between groups were quantified using the area under

ROC or PR curve (AU-ROC and AU-PRC). The null hypothesis (no difference

between the groups) implies an AU-ROC=0.5 and an AU-PR equal to the

proportion of the positive class. The baseline AU-PRC therefore varies between

the different sets of group definitions.

Figure 1: Discriminative power (quantified using area under ROC and PR curve)

of random forest models predicting (a,b): disease status; (c,d): fungal disease

status (CF only); and (e,f) and CFPE (CF only). The expected values for a random

classifier (indicating no difference between groups) is denoted by a black dotted

line. For AU-ROC (plots a,c,e) this is 0.5, while for AU-PRC (plots b,d,f) while for

AU-PRC the value is the proportion of samples in the positive class. Error bars

are 95% confidence intervals. *: $P < 0.10$, **: $P < 0.05$ for 100 replicates of a label

permutation test. P-values adjusted using false discovery rate.

Statistically significant differences between the CF and BX groups were evident

at every taxonomic rank. These differences were detected using both the AU-ROC

(Figure 1a) or AU-PRC (Figure 1b) metrics. We also found that the differences

between CF and BX did not differ significantly between the two kingdoms (label

permutation test, $P > 0.10$), meaning that both kingdoms have equally distinct

communities between CF and BX. In addition, including both communities in a

random forest does not increase the predictive power (label permutation test, P

> 0.10).

Figures 1c and 1d show that fungal disease group within the CF patients is

independent of bacterial community composition. As expected, fungal

community composition is a good predictor of fungal disease status within the

CF group. Adding the bacteria to the random forest model decreased predictive

power at all ranks. The bacterial covariates represent additional noise in the

context of fungal disease group however, the models still have better than-

random performance due to the inclusion of the fungal taxa (label permutation

test, $P < 0.05$).

Finally, CFPE was found to be independent of both bacterial and fungal

community composition (Figures 1e and 1f), none of the random forest models

had predictive power significantly better than random (label permutation test, P

> 0.10).

Differential abundance analysis

Random forest models that detected a significant difference between their two

classes were then analysed using three variable importance measures: mean

decrease accuracy (MDA); mean decrease Gini (MDG); and de-biased mean

decrease Gini (corrected MDG, (19)). Statistical significance can only be assessed

for the MDA and de-biased MDG scores. Only Genus-level agglomeration was

included as it is the highest reliable taxonomic resolution for both 16S rRNA

gene and ITS2 sequencing (24). The four random forest models that detected a

difference between their respective groups were:

1. distinguishing CF/BX using bacterial and fungal genera;

2. distinguishing CF/BX using bacterial genera;

3. distinguishing CF/BX using fungal genera; and

4. distinguishing FB/NAFD using fungal genera (CF group only).

The most highly-ranked taxa for these four models are shown in Figure 2(a-d).

For Model 1, *Penicillium* (direction BX) is the most highly-ranked genus

according to all three of the variable importance methods. *Pseudomonas*

(direction CF), *Malassezia* and *Neisseria* (direction BX) are also highly-ranked. All

four of these associations are significant (p<0.05) when using the de-biased MDG

scores, but not for MDA scores.

Figure 2: Each row shows the four top-ranked taxa and partial dependence for a

random forest model distinguishing (a,b): BX/CF from bacterial and fungal

genera; (c,d): Bx/CF from bacterial genera; (e,f): Bx/CF from bacterial genera ;

and (g,h): FB/NAFD from fungal genera (CF group only). *: P < 0.10, **: P < 0.05*

for 100 replicates of a label permutation test. *P*-values adjusted using false

discovery rate.

Importance rankings can be augmented by partial dependence plots (Figure 2

b,d,f,h) which visualise the marginal effect of a single variable on the prediction

of the model. These provide effect directions for the unsigned variable

importance scores as well as insight into the type of dependence. For example,

the majority of the effect of the important taxa for Model 1 (Figure 2b) occurs

when the relative abundance increases from zero to non-zero relative

abundance. This is the case for *Pseudomonas*, where the likelihood of a BX

prediction quickly decreases as its relative abundance increases, before the rate

of decrease slows.

Model 2 ranks *Treponema*, *Neisseria* (direction BX), *Pseudomonas* and *Tanerella*

(direction CF) highly (Figure 2c). Overlap is observed with Model 1 as the two

models share bacterial covariates. The partial dependence (Figure 2d) shows

that increasing *Pseudomonas* relative abundance does not increase the likelihood

of CF until it increases beyond 50%.

Model 3 (Figure 2e), clearly indicates that *Penicillium* is the most important taxa

and is significantly associated with an increased probability of BX in this cohort.

Once again, there is overlap with Model 1 due to shared fungal covariates.

Compared to Model 1, however, this fungal-only model places higher importance

on *Penicillium*, which can be seen from the much steeper increase in the partial

dependence (Figure 2f) at small relative abundance.

Model 4 (Figure 2g) ranks *Candida* (direction NAFD) as the most important taxa,

followed by *Exophiala*, *Aspergillus* and *Scedosporium* (direction FB). *Candida* is an

opportunistic pathogen, but these partial dependence plots (Figure 1h) show a

lower likelihood of FB prediction from the model as a sample becomes

increasingly *Candida*-dominated.

Correlation analysis and clustering

Correlation network analysis is a useful tool to explore microbial associations (8,

25, 26). Strong correlations are commonly observed in microbiome studies and

correlations can be positive; associated with microbes inhabiting common

ecological niches, or negative; indicating competition. In this dataset we observe

clear structure at the genus level (Figure 3a), with blocks of positively correlated

bacterial (*Steptococcus*, *Veillonella*, *Rothia*, *Selemonas*, *Prevotella*, *Fusobacterium*,

Atopobium, *Neisseria*, *Haemophilus* and others) and fungal genera (*Serratia*,

Talaromyces, *Filobasildea*, *Fusarium* and *Trichosporon*). The genera in these

blocks come from a single kingdom and so do not indicate prominent cross-

kingdom dependencies in the community structure. In addition, there are no

significant correlations between members of these two blocks of taxa ($P > 0.01$),

suggesting that the two blocks are largely independent of one another. This may

be because they occupy separate niches in the respiratory tract or due to

sampling bias.

Figure 3: (a) Pearson correlation analysis shows that taxa form correlated

clusters with members of the same kingdom. Bacterial and fungal abundances

are agglomerated to Genus level and transformed (separately) using centred log-

ratio prior to calculating correlations. Genera accounting for more than 0.1% of

reads in their respective kingdom are shown. * indicates pairwise correlations

for which $P < 0.01$. (b): The P-values for the correlations in panel (a) are smaller

for correlations within each kingdom than between the two kingdoms (c): Multi-

omics factor analysis (MOFA) identifies latent factors that describe the variance

in the composition of both kingdoms. However, these latent factors each describe

variance in a single kingdom.

The relative importance of intra- and inter-kingdom correlations was further

explored by considering the P-values from the pairwise correlations (Figure 3b),

which indicated that significant correlations occur within each kingdom more

than between. Figure 3b also shows that, while the most extreme correlations

are between pairs of fungal genera, the correlation patterns within the bacterial

genera are overall more significant.

A dedicated multi-omics integration approach was used to further investigate

the underlying drivers of cross kingdom community structure by applying Multi-

omics factor analysis (MOFA, (11)). MOFA is an unsupervised method that finds

latent factors that explain the variance across different "views" of the same

samples: in this analysis, bacterial and fungal abundances only identify latent

factors that explain variance in a single kingdom (Figure 3c). This is true at five

different taxonomic levels of agglomeration (Class, Order, Family, Genus,

Species). This provides further evidence on the lack of detectable cross-kingdom

dependencies in this dataset.

Community structure within the microbial kingdoms across samples was further

analysed with Dirichlet mixture components, grouping samples into distinct

clusters with similar composition (12). This unsupervised approach provides

insight into community-level structure across samples, which may or may not

correspond to the pre-defined clinical labels.

All 107 samples were clustered using Dirichlet Multinomial Mixture models

using raw count values agglomerated to one of the taxonomic ranks. This was

performed separately on the two kingdoms and resulted in two sets of cluster

labels for each agglomeration rank. Using information-theoretic goodness of fit

measures (Figure S6), two distinct bacterial clusters were found at Genus level

and two fungal clusters were found at Class level. Both the bacterial (Figure 4a,

top) and fungal (Figure 4a, bottom) clusters are separable in Bray-Curtis

principal coordinate space.

The clusters for bacterial genera are defined by *Pseudomonas* domination (Figure

4d) while the fungal class clusters are defined by *Saccharomycetes* domination

(Figure 4e).

Figure 4: (a, left) Clustering of samples based on bacterial genera abundances

identifies two clusters that are separable in Bray-Curtis principle co-ordinate

analysis (PCoA) space. (a, right) Clustering using fungal class abundance also

finds two clusters that are separable in Bray-Curtis space. The two sets of cluster

labels do not correspond to one another nor to clinical labels (see Table 3). (b):

Random forest two-sample testing shows that the bacterial cluster assignments

are independent of fungal community composition. (c) The fungal cluster

assignments show a weak association with bacterial community composition,

with only the PR-curves suggesting an association. (d) The bacterial composition

of the samples when ordered by cluster clearly shows that they correspond to

presence or absence of domination by *Pseudomonas* species. (e) The two fungal

clusters are defined by presence or absence of *Saccharomycetes* domination. *: *P*

< 0.10, **: *P* < 0.05 for 100 replicates of a label permutation test. *P*-values

adjusted using false discovery rate.

Neither the bacterial nor the fungal clusters agree (Adjusted Rand index=-0.01).

There is also very low similarity between the cluster labels and clinical labels

(Table 3). The ARI values are close to zero, other than for fungal Class cluster and

fungal disease status within the CF group (ARI=0.26), however, values still show

low levels of agreement. The random forest two-sample testing procedure

showed that fungal class is independent of the bacterial community at all levels

of agglomeration (Figure 4b). A weak (ROC curves not significantly better than

random) association between *Saccharomycetes* domination and bacterial

Species, Genus and Family abundance was, however, observed (Figure 4c).

Table 3. Adjusted Rand Index (ARI). ARI between bacterial/fungal cluster

assignments and clinical labels show that there is low similarity between the

either set of cluster labels and other clinical labels used in this study. The ARI

between the fungal and bacterial clustering labels was -0.01.

	Disease	Group (CF only)	CFPE (CF only)
ARI(bacterial cluster, clinical label)	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01
ARI(fungal cluster, clinical label)	0.06	0.26	-0.01

Discussion

Identifying factors predicting the prevalence and severity of chronic respiratory infections may be crucial for improving clinical outcomes in CSLDs. To date the majority of research has been focused on bacterial pathogens. An increasing number of recent studies however, are showing that fungal infection plays a key role in chronic disease progression both independently of and in concert with the bacterial airway community (8, 27, 28). As such, understanding the inter-kingdom association present within the lungs is an essential step towards effective antimicrobial treatments.

A primary motivation of this study was to explore inter-kingdom interactions. Such interactions have been reported previously in both CF and BX (8, 28), as well as in many other settings (29-31). Despite using a range of statistical approaches, we did not however in this present study find strong evidence of such interactions in our dataset (either in general or in relation to CF or BX). Including both kingdoms in the random forest models did not increase the discriminative power of any of the random forest models, while fungal disease status of the CF group was independent of bacterial community composition. Both the correlation and MOFA analysis failed to find evidence of cross-kingdom interactions and instead identified sets of kingdom-specific features that were largely independent of one another. Finally, the sample-wise clustering found that a characteristic feature of fungal community composition (domination by *Saccharomycetes*) was distinct from *Pseudomonas* domination.

Taken together, these results suggest no important cross-kingdom interactions present in this dataset. This is surprising given that both the fungal and bacterial communities are sharing the same niche and so must compete for resources, as

well as being affected by common environmental changes. Given the results of

previous studies, it is unclear whether such interactions biologically exist but are

simply not detectable in this dataset.

A recent study carried out by Hughes *et al.* established that using culture-based

methodologies, the known CF pathogens, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and

Aspergillus fumigatus are rarely cultured from the same sample (32). Despite

this, our culture independent techniques clearly show the common presence of

both *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* reads in the samples. It

is possible that microbial interactions within the lungs may not be detected by

DNA-based methods. Future work may require functional analyses to explore

relative microbial gene expression within the lung.

Despite many similarities in the symptoms and treatments of CF and BX, we

identified fundamental differences in their microbial communities. Using random

forest modelling we found that CF/BX status depends on both fungal and

bacterial community composition in this cohort. Furthermore, we found that

both communities are equally discriminative of CF/BX status, but the inclusion of

both communities in the models does not increase predictive power. This is

further evidence that the fungal and bacterial communities are independently

distinct between CF and BX and does not provide any evidence of clinically

relevant cross-kingdom interactions.

These observed differences between the CF and BX groups are likely to be driven

by the physiological differences underlying the individual diseases and their

effect on the host environment (33). These differences may also be influenced by

age, which is a perfect confounder for disease status in this cohort. It is not

possible to correct for this confounding effect as CF is a disease affecting

individuals from childhood and BX affects older age groups.

Variable importance analysis with these random forest models identified a set of

genera from both kingdoms that are associated with increased likelihood of CF

(*Pseudomonas* and *Scedosporium*) and BX (*Penicillium, Neisseria, Campylobacter,*

Trichocomaceae, Malassezia, Enterobacteriaceae and *Talaromyces*).

These results are consistent with the known role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as

one of the most common pathogens associated with CF lung disease. In non-CF

bronchiectasis, *Pseudomonas* infection may be associated with more severe

disease (34) but it was not a prominent factor in our BX patients.

Members of the *Neisseria* genus are commonly isolated in the upper respiratory

tract with some species being known pathogens (35). Our results may suggest

that a pathogenic role for *Neisseria* spp. could be considered for BX and warrants

further investigation. Fungal species associated with BX were primarily part of

the *Penicillium* genus. Symptomatic infections with *Penicillium* spp. are rare (36)

and *Penicillum* spp. are widely present in the air making it a logical part of the

normal respiratory flora.

The microbiota between patients with and without a clinical fungal infection

using the random forest pipeline found that the fungal disease status of the CF

group was independent of bacterial community composition, but not fungal

community composition. The analysis identified several drivers of fungal

bronchitis (*Trichocomaceae, Scedosporium, Exophiala* and *Aspergillus*) while also

finding that increasing *Candida* decreases the likelihood of a fungal bronchitis

diagnosis, consistent with previous findings (7).

Despite the association with the NAFD group, members of the *Candida* genus

(including *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis*) are well-known

opportunistic human pathogens, particularly in immunocompromised patients

(6). In adult CF patients, *Candida spp.* colonization has been shown to be

associated with use of inhaled steroids, diabetes mellitus and antibiotic

treatment. Despite these observations the virulence potential of *C. albicans* in CF

is still being explored (6). In the current study *Candida spp.* were present with a

lower relative abundance in the FB group suggesting that dominance of

filamentous fungi may out-compete *Candida spp.* in these patients. More work is

therefore needed to understand the role members of the *Candida* genus play in

CF disease progression.

Pulmonary exacerbations are major clinical events in patients with CF resulting

in lung function decline and clinical disease progression (37). The presence of

bacteria and viruses is commonly associated with poor outcomes during CFPE

but defining their exact role is challenging. Recent evidence has suggested fungal

infections are also associated with increased CFPE although to date few studies

have explored this area. A recent publication by Soret *et al.* investigated CFPE

using an adapted penalised linear model and cross-sectional data and identified

two fungal genera, *Aspergillus* and *Malassezia*, associated with CFPE (8). Our

analyses however found that CFPE status was independent of both bacterial and

fungal community composition.

The importance of viruses has been shown by the sharp reduction in the

incidence of CFPE during the COVID pandemic (38). Future studies should

include assays for respiratory viruses, and longitudinal measurements may be

used to test if intra-patient variation within the bacterial and fungal communities

is a contributing factor.

We further explored the bacterial and fungal communities and their cross-

kingdom dependencies using a series of unsupervised statistical analyses.

Correlation network analysis identified two blocks of positively co-correlated

genera, where each block contained taxa from a single kingdom. Positive

correlations are often interpreted to imply mutualistic relationships between

organisms and are often observed between phylogenetically related microbes

(39). Negative correlations may imply competition within a niche due to

competition for resources. These correlations have previously been observed in

multi-omic analyses of CFPE (8). Both positive and negative correlations

however are often due to unmeasured factors affecting the host environment

and so do not necessarily imply a direct relationship between taxa.

Multi-omics analysis using MOFA also found no evidence of cross-kingdom

interactions, as the analysis identified a set of kingdom-specific latent factors.

The lack of strong cross-kingdom correlation patterns and the results of the

MOFA analysis indicates a surprising degree of independence between the two

kingdoms although this is inconsistent with previous studies that have indicated

a number of inter-kingdom interactions existing within the lung (8, 28).

Unsupervised sample-wise clustering analysis identified characteristic features

of the dataset identifying two bacterial clusters at the Genus level and two fungal

clusters at the Class level. These two sets of cluster labels had low similarity with

one another and with the clinical labels from the random forest analyses,

suggesting that the relevant structure of the communities may be primarily due

to other (possibly environmental) factors. The bacterial clusters were driven by

dominance of *Pseudomonas* within individual samples. *Pseudomonas* was also

identified by the random forest analysis as being associated with CF, but these

clustering results suggest that *Pseudomonas*-dominance is not the only predictor

of CF in this cohort. The bacterial cluster label was independent from fungal

community composition, providing additional evidence of independence

between the bacterial and fungal communities.

Inter-kingdom correlations were generally weaker than those within either

kingdom (measured by proportion of significant correlations at different

significance thresholds). This further indicates that intra-kingdom interactions

may play a minor role in these subjects. In addition, correlation patterns

between bacterial genera were stronger than those between fungal genera.

Our analysis has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting

the results. Machine learning is a powerful tool for exploring microbial

interactions and drivers of disease, but understanding the limitations of the

models is vital for interpretation. Most importantly, associations identified by

machine learning models such as random forest do not imply causal links.

Furthermore, the importance scores from random forests should be interpreted

with care. Using multiple random forest variable importance scores and

transformations in the differential abundance analysis reduces the danger of

spurious associations but does not provide a framework that allows quantitative

statements to be made.

A further limitation of this study is the use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing and

ITS2 sequencing for the exploration of these communities. This technology

allows us to understand the microbial community present within the lung but

provides no information on their activity or function.

Conclusions

Our study suggests that the role the fungal microbiota play in chronic respiratory

disease is independent of that played by the bacterial microbiota. Longitudinal

studies are required to understand the full impact of fungal infection in CF and

BX. Importantly improvements in clinical diagnosis of fungal infections, whether

by sequence analysis, transcriptomics, or advanced cultures, could underpin the

improvement of patient outcomes. While further work is required to fully

understand microbial interaction within the lung, our data suggests that inter-

kingdom interactions may not be a major driver of patient outcomes particularly

those associated with fungal infection.

<u>Declarations</u>

Availability of data and material

Sequencing data is freely assessable through the European nucleotide database,

bacterial data can be accessed under project number PRJEB33064, while the

fungal data can be accessed under project number PRJEB33434.

Data analysis scripts are freely available on figshare, under the project "Machine

learning for exploring microbial inter-kingdom associations in Cystic Fibrosis

and Bronchiectasis" DOIs: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17897708 and

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17942543.

Funding

This project was supported by the Asmarley Trust, the Wellcome Trust

(WT097117 and WT096964) and the NIHR Respiratory Disease Biomedical

Research Unit at the Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust,

Imperial College London. JIH was supported by a Wellcome Trust PhD

studentship (215359/Z/19/Z).

IF was supported by an NIHR PhD studentship. The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript.

678   Authors' contributions

679   All authors listed provided substantial contributions to this manuscript. Study

680   concept and design; MFM, WOCC, SF, LC, NJS, MRL, MJC. Acquisition of data; IF,

681   PJ, LC, MJC. Statistical analysis and interpretation of the data; LC, JIH. Drafting of

682   the manuscript; LC, JIH, WOCC, SF. Critical revision of the manuscript was carried

683   out by all authors.

686   <u>References</u>

1.   O'Sullivan BP, Freedman SD. Cystic fibrosis. Lancet.
2009;373(9678):1891-904.
2.   King PT. The pathophysiology of bronchiectasis. Int J Chron Obstruct
Pulmon Dis. 2009;4:411-9.
3.   Zemanick ET, Hoffman LR. Cystic Fibrosis: Microbiology and Host
Response. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2016;63(4):617-36.
4.   Maselli DJ, Amalakuhan B, Keyt H, Diaz AA. Suspecting non-cystic fibrosis
bronchiectasis: What the busy primary care clinician needs to know. Int J Clin
Pract. 2017;71(2).
5.   Chotirmall SH, O'Donoghue E, Bennett K, Gunaratnam C, O'Neill SJ,
McElvaney NG. Sputum Candida albicans presages FEV(1) decline and hospital-
treated exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. Chest. 2010;138(5):1186-95.

6. Chotirmall SH, Greene CM, McElvaney NG. Candida species in cystic
fibrosis: A road less travelled. Med Mycol. 2010;48 Suppl 1:S114-24.
7. Cuthbertson L, Felton I, James P, Cox MJ, Bilton D, Schelenz S, et al. The
fungal airway microbiome in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis
bronchiectasis. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2021;20(2):295-302.
8. Soret P, Vandenborght LE, Francis F, Coron N, Enaud R, Avalos M, et al.
Respiratory mycobiome and suggestion of inter-kingdom network during acute
pulmonary exacerbation in cystic fibrosis. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):3589.
9. Statnikov A, Henaff M, Narendra V, Konganti K, Li Z, Yang L, et al. A
comprehensive evaluation of multicategory classification methods for
microbiomic data. Microbiome. 2013;1(1):11.
10. Kleine Bardenhorst S, Berger T, Klawonn F, Vital M, Karch A, Rubsamen N.
Data Analysis Strategies for Microbiome Studies in Human Populations-a
Systematic Review of Current Practice. mSystems. 2021;6(1).
11. Argelaguet R, Velten B, Arnol D, Dietrich S, Zenz T, Marioni JC, et al. Multi-
Omics Factor Analysis-a framework for unsupervised integration of multi-omics
data sets. Mol Syst Biol. 2018;14(6):e8124.
12. Holmes I, Harris K, Quince C. Dirichlet Multinomial Mixtures: Generative
Models for Microbial Metagenomics. Plos One. 2012;7(2).
13. Cuthbertson L, Craven V, Bingle L, Cookson W, Everard ML, Moffatt MF.
The impact of persistent bacterial bronchitis on the pulmonary microbiome of
children. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):e0190075.
14. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible
interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One.
2013;8(4):e61217.
15. Davis NM, Proctor DM, Holmes SP, Relman DA, Callahan BJ. Simple
statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene
and metagenomics data. Microbiome. 2018;6(1):226.
16. Morgan M. DirichletMultinomial: Dirichlet-Multinomial Mixture Model
Machine Learning for Microbiome Data. R package version 1340. 2021.
17. Cao Q, Sun X, Rajesh K, Chalasani N, Gelow K, Katz B, et al. Effects of Rare
Microbiome Taxa Filtering on Statistical Analysis. Front Microbiol.
2020;11:607325.
18. Saito T, Rehmsmeier M. Precrec: fast and accurate precision-recall and
ROC curve calculations in R. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(1):145-7.
19. Nembrini S, Konig IR, Wright MN. The revival of the Gini importance ?
Bioinformatics. 2018;34(21):3711-8.
20. Altmann A, Tolosi L, Sander O, Lengauer T. Permutation importance: a
corrected feature importance measure. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(10):1340-7.
21. Friedman JH. Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting
machine. The Annals of Statistics. 2001;29(5):1189:232.
22. Quinn TP, Erb I. Another look at microbe–metabolite interactions: how
scale invariant correlations can outperform a neural network. bioRxiv. 2019.
23. Haak BW, Argelaguet R, Kinsella CM, Kullberg RFJ, Lankelma JM, Deijs M,
et al. Integrative Transkingdom Analysis of the Gut Microbiome in Antibiotic
Perturbation and Critical Illness. mSystems. 2021;6(2).
24. Johnson JS, Spakowicz DJ, Hong BY, Petersen LM, Demkowicz P, Chen L, et
al. Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level
microbiome analysis. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):5029.

25.   Matchado MS, Lauber M, Reitmeier S, Kacprowski T, Baumbach J, Haller D,
et al. Network analysis methods for studying microbial communities: A mini
review. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2021;19:2687-98.
26.   Gupta S, Hjelmso MH, Lehtimaki J, Li X, Mortensen MS, Russel J, et al.
Environmental shaping of the bacterial and fungal community in infant bed dust
and correlations with the airway microbiota. Microbiome. 2020;8(1):115.
27.   Nguyen LDN, Deschaght P, Merlin S, Loywick A, Audebert C, Van Daele S,
et al. Effects of Propidium Monoazide (PMA) Treatment on Mycobiome and
Bacteriome Analysis of Cystic Fibrosis Airways during Exacerbation. Plos One.
2016;11(12).
28.   Mac Aogain M, Narayana JK, Tiew PY, Ali N, Yong VFL, Jaggi TK, et al.
Integrative microbiomics in bronchiectasis exacerbations. Nat Med.
2021;27(4):688-99.
29.   Liu NN, Jiao N, Tan JC, Wang Z, Wu D, Wang AJ, et al. Multi-kingdom
microbiota analyses identify bacterial-fungal interactions and biomarkers of
colorectal cancer across cohorts. Nat Microbiol. 2022;7(2):238-50.
30.   Heisel T, Montassier E, Johnson A, Al-Ghalith G, Lin YW, Wei LN, et al.
High-Fat Diet Changes Fungal Microbiomes and Interkingdom Relationships in
the Murine Gut. mSphere. 2017;2(5).
31.   Sokol H, Leducq V, Aschard H, Pham HP, Jegou S, Landman C, et al. Fungal
microbiota dysbiosis in IBD. Gut. 2017;66(6):1039-48.
32.   Hughes DA, Rosenthal M, Cuthbertson L, Ramadan N, Felton I, Simmonds
NJ, et al. An invisible threat? Aspergillus positive cultures and co-infecting
bacteria in airway samples. J Cyst Fibros. 2022.
33.   Schafer J, Griese M, Chandrasekaran R, Chotirmall SH, Hartl D.
Pathogenesis, imaging and clinical characteristics of CF and non-CF
bronchiectasis. Bmc Pulmonary Medicine. 2018;18.
34.   Davies G, Wells AU, Doffman S, Watanabe S, Wilson R. The effect of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa on pulmonary function in patients with bronchiectasis.
Eur Respir J. 2006;28(5):974-9.
35.   Weyand NJ. Neisseria models of infection and persistence in the upper
respiratory tract. Pathog Dis. 2017;75(3).
36.   Lyratzopoulos G, Ellis M, Nerringer R, Denning DW. Invasive infection due
to penicillium species other than P. marneffei. J Infect. 2002;45(3):184-95.
37.   de Boer K, Vandemheen KL, Tullis E, Doucette S, Fergusson D, Freitag A, et
al. Exacerbation frequency and clinical outcomes in adult patients with cystic
fibrosis. Thorax. 2011;66(8):680-5.
38.   Cookson W, Moffatt M, Rapeport G, Quint J. A Pandemic Lesson for Global
Lung Diseases: Exacerbations Are Preventable. Am J Resp Crit Care.
2022;205(11):1271-80.
39.   Weiss S, Van Treuren W, Lozupone C, Faust K, Friedman J, Deng Y, et al.
Correlation detection strategies in microbial data sets vary widely in sensitivity
and precision. Isme J. 2016;10(7):1669-81.
791