1

Clinically Relevant Pathogens on Surfaces Display Differences in Survival and

Transcriptomic Response in Relation to Probiotic and Traditional Cleaning Strategies

Jinglin Hu[1], Weitao Shuai[1], Jack T. Sumner[1], Anahid A. Moghadam[1], Erica M. Hartmann[*,1]

[1]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

Abstract

Microorganisms in chemically harsh environments - including those generated by humans, such as indoor surfaces - are presumed to be subject to the same ecological and evolutionary pressures of those in richer environments. In these resource-poor, dry environments, competition and decay are thought to be important drivers of microbial community composition. However, the relative contributions of these two processes have not been specifically evaluated. To bridge this knowledge gap, we combined transcriptomics and traditional microbiology techniques to investigate whether competition occurred between two clinically important pathogens, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and a probiotic cleaner containing a consortium of *Bacillus* species. Probiotic cleaning seeks to take advantage of ecological principles such as competitive exclusion, thus using benign microorganisms to inhibit viable pathogens, but there is limited evidence that competitive exclusion in fact occurs in environments of interest (e.g., human habitations). Our results indicate that competition in this setting has a negligible impact on community composition but may influence the functions

expressed by active organisms. Although *Bacillus* spp. remained viable on surfaces for an

extended period of time after application, viable colony forming units (CFUs) of *A. baumannii*

recovered following exposure to a chemical-based detergent with and without Bacillus spp.

showed no statistical difference. Similarly, for *K. pneumoniae*, there were small statistical

differences in CFUs between probiotic and detergent cleaning scenarios. The transcriptome of *A.*

baumannii with and without *Bacillus* spp. exposure shared a high degree of similarity in overall

gene expression, but the transcriptome of *K. pneumoniae* differed in overall gene expression,

including reduced response in genes related to antimicrobial resistance. Together, these results

highlight the need to fully understand the underlying biological and ecological mechanisms for

community assembly and function on indoor surfaces, as well as having practical implications

for cleaning and disinfection strategies for infection prevention.

Keywords: inter-species interactions; *Bacillus*; *Acinetobacter baumannii*; *Klebsiella pneumoniae*;

transcriptomic response; indoor surface cleaning; infection prevention

1. *Introduction*

Acinetobacter baumannii and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* have been recognized as a major source of healthcare-associated infections associated with high morbidity and mortality rates due to their resistance to many antibiotics including carbapenems[1] [2]. *Acinetobacter* and *Klebsiella* species are capable of contaminating and persisting on inanimate surfaces for up to several months [3], significantly increasing the risk of acquisition for hospitalized patients who are immunocompromised. Given the concern with transmission of infectious microorganisms including *Acinetobacter* and *Klebsiella*, many cleaning products contain added antimicrobials as disinfectants. However, questions remain about the extent to which chemicals, particularly antimicrobial products, reduce nosocomial infections and whether misuse of these products leads to antimicrobial resistance[4–6]. Several recent studies have demonstrated that cleaning using antimicrobials can effectively reduce microbial load on contaminated surfaces in health care facilities[7]. However, their effects on preventing disease transmission and reducing healthcare-acquired infections remain limited[8]. In addition to concerns about antimicrobial resistance, exposure to cleaning and disinfection agents can cause both acute and chronic health impacts. Healthcare workers with pre-existing respiratory illness, such as asthma, are particularly vulnerable[9]. As a result, an alternative strategy using microbiome manipulation draws increasing attention, seeking to promote inter-species competition between pathogens and probiotic microorganisms, namely *Bacillus*[10–14] , rather than using chemicals to combat pathogens in the built environment.

Falagas and Makris first proposed to use environmental probiotic microorganisms and/or

biosurfactants to suppress the colonization of nosocomial pathogens and subsequently reduce

nosocomial infections in healthcare facilities in 2009[13]. Since then, much work on the probiotic

cleaning potential of *Bacillus* has been performed[10–12,14]. *Bacillus* is a genus of bacteria

commonly found indoors[15]. Species of *Bacillus* are generally recognized as safe[16]   , with a few

exceptions (e.g., *Bacillus anthracis*). In addition to its prevailing presence, *Bacillus* bacteria are

capable of forming spores[17] , which can remain viable for an extended period of time, making

them ideal for storing in detergents.

Probiotic cleaning seeks to take advantage of competitive exclusion and other ecological

principles to inhibit viable pathogens on surfaces[4,18], but there is limited direct evidence

documenting these phenomena, along with stress response, or microbe-microbe interactions

between probiotic and healthcare-associated pathogens. One major challenge is the technical

limitations of many techniques, e.g., transcriptomic sequencing of low biomass samples

collected from the built environment often suffers from a low signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, to

obtain a fundamental mechanistic understanding of indoor cleaning strategies and their impacts

on microbial survival and interactions, we utilized microcosm chambers to simulate a simplified

built environment with greater than usual microbial load. We seek to quantify the effects of

probiotic cleaning on viability reduction of healthcare-associated pathogens (e.g., *A. baumannii*

and *K. pneumoniae*) and evaluate inter-species interactions between *Bacillus* contained in a

probiotic surface cleaner and healthcare-associated pathogens under ambient conditions and

elevated temperature and humidity. Cleaning and probiotic products represent a multi-billion-

dollar market and a critical tool in our arsenal against microbial infections. Being able to fully

understand microbe-chemical and microbe-microbe interactions will not only help determine the

most successful contexts for probiotic cleaning, but also lead to better future product

formulation.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Microcosm set-up

A commercially available All-Purpose Probiotic Cleaner (APPC) was obtained from Graz,

Austria. Clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* (ABBL18) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

(CRE231) were provided by Dr. Alan Hauser, Northwestern University Feinberg School of

Medicine. To simulate a typical indoor surface environment, 24G stainless steel sheets were cut

into 2"x2" squares. *A. baumannii* (ABBL18) and *K. pneumonia*e (CRE231) isolates were grown

in 40 mL tryptic soy broth (TSB) for 23 hours at 30°C with continuous shaking to its mid log

phase. The APPC was filtered through a 0.22 μm syringe filter to create an All-Purpose Cleaner

(APC) without probiotic materials as a control. Vegetative *Bacillus spp.* (VB) culture was

prepared by mixing 0.1% APPC with TSB. This culture was incubated at 25°C for 23 hours with

continuous shaking. Cells (*Bacillus spp.*, *A. baumannii and K. pneumoniae*) were harvested and

washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before inoculation.

A total of six testing scenarios for each pathogen (twelve groups in total) are specified as

follows:

Table 1 Testing scenarios for each pathogen (*A. baumannii* and *K. pneumoniae)* consisting of

four cleaning conditions, and two temperature and humidity conditions.

Cleaning Scenarios	Temperature and humidity conditions	
	25°C and 20% RH	37°C and 90% RH
Pathogen	Pathogen (A)	Pathogen (W)
Pathogen + APC	Pathogen + APC (A)	NA
Pathogen + APPC	Pathogen + APPC (A)	Pathogen + APPC (W)
Pathogen + VB	Pathogen + VB (A)	NA

Each 2"x2" stainless steel surface was first inoculated with 50 μL *A. baumannii* (corresponding

to 8.5×10^7 CFU) or 50 μL *K. pneumoniae* (corresponding to 1.0×10^8 CFU). 50 μL sterilized PBS

buffer, APC, APPC, or vegetative *Bacillus spp*. inoculum was added to create no-cleaning

control samples (ABBL18 or CRE231), chemical cleaning samples (ABBL18 + APC or CRE231

+ APC), probiotic cleaning samples (ABBL18 + APPC or CRE231 + APPC), or vegetative

cleaning samples (ABBL18 + VB or CRE231 + VB), respectively. Following the introduction of

bacterial inoculum, all surfaces were transferred into autoclaved mason jars under two treatment

conditions: 1) ambient temperature (25°C) and relative humidity (20%), and 2) elevated

temperature (37°C) and relative humidity (>90%) with 10 mL of autoclaved milliQ water. All

microcosm chambers were stored in incubators maintained at 25°C or 37°C. Swab samples were

collected at three specific timepoints: 3 hours, 24 hours, and 72 hours following the inoculation

and cleaning. Triplicate samples were prepared for each combination of cleaning, timepoint, and

incubation conditions (Figure 1).

2.2. Swab sample collection

Swab samples were collected through a combination of dry and wet swabbing as performed

previously[19]. Each surface was first dry swabbed from left to right for seven times and the swab

was swirled into an aliquoted PBS buffer or RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen), for CFU

enumeration and RNA extraction, respectively. Surfaces were then wet swabbed for a total of 20

s, including 5 seconds of rinse and rewet. Finally, the swabs were left in 15 mL conical tubes and

vortexed for 10 seconds. 100 μL swab suspension along with its dilutions were spread onto

tryptic soy agar (TSA) and CHROM *Acinetobacter baumannii* selective agar and on MacConkey

agar as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* selective media[20] and incubated at 37°C. Numbers of colony

forming units (CFUs) were counted after three days.

Figure 1. Microcosm experimental design (A) with four types of inoculums (B) containing

pathogen (*A. baumannii* or *K. pneumoniae*) and *Bacillus spp.* and sealed sterile

microcosm chamber maintained under ambient temperature and relative humidity (C).

The elevated temperature and humidity condition was maintained by adding 10 mL of

sterilized miliQ water into the sealed chamber.

2.3. *DNA extraction, sequencing, and construction of reference genomes*

To obtain draft genomes for RNA read mapping, 5 mL *A. baumannii* or *K. pneumoniae* and 15

mL *Bacillus spp*. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil Pro Kit (Qiagen) following

the manufacturer's instructions. DNA samples were submitted to the Microbial Genome

Sequencing Center (MiGS) for library construction and sequencing. KneadData v0.7.10

(https://bitbucket.org/biobakery/kneaddata/) was then used for raw sequence quality control and

contaminant removal with default parameters. Short reads were assembled into contigs using

SPAdes v3.14.1[21] and each reference genome was annotated using Prokka v1.14.6[22]. Additional

annotation of antibiotic and antimicrobial resistance genes were conducted using the

Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD)[23]. Assembled contigs from All Purpose

Probiotic Cleaner were also binned into five metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) using

metaWRAP[24]. CheckM[25] was used to assess the quality of microbial genomes. Taxonomic

classification of each draft genome was determined by GTDB-Tk[26].

2.4. RNA Extraction, Sequencing, and Data Processing

Swab samples were stored in RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent under -80°C until extraction. RNA

was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following its recommended "Protocol 2:

Enzymatic lysis and mechanical disruption of bacteria", consisting of a 10 min treatment with 15

mg/mL lysozyme and 10 min beadbeating. Libraries were constructed at Northwestern NUSeq

using the Illumina Total RNA Prep with Ribo-Zero Plus, according to manufacturers' protocol. A

total of 56 samples (54 samples collected at various time points and cleaning scenarios, one kit

control, and one sample prepared for future between-batch normalization). Samples were

sequenced using a HiSeq4000 with 50 bp single end reads.

Reference genomes constructed from whole genome sequencing were used for RNA mapping.

Raw sequence files were processed using trim_galore v0.6.6

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) and Kneaddata v0.7.10

(https://bitbucket.org/biobakery/kneaddata/) to remove sequence adapters, low quality reads, and

contaminants. Sortmerna v4.2.0[27] was used to filter rRNA reads against the silva-bac-16s-id90

and silva-bac-23s-id98 databases. HISAT2 v2.1.0[28] was used to build local indices and map

short reads onto reference draft genomes. Transcript abundances were quantified using

featureCounts v2.0.1[29]. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified by DESeq2[30] at a

significance level of 0.05 (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value). BlastKOALA (KEGG

Orthology And Links Annotation) was used for K number assignment followed by Prokka

annotation[31]. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was further conducted using ClusterProfiler[32].

Statistical analysis, including Welch's paired two sample *t*-test, principal component analysis

(PCA), and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, 9,999

permutations) were conducted in R (version 4.1.2, 2021-11-01).

3. ***Results and Discussion***

3.1. *Survival of* A. baumannii *and* K. pneumoniae *under four cleaning scenarios and two*

temperature/humidity conditions

We quantified the colony forming units (CFUs) of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae (using CHROM selective agar for *Acinetobacter baumannii* and MacConkey agar

for *Klebsiella pneumoniae*) to examine their survival under ambient and wet conditions in combination with four cleaning strategies: 1) no cleaning (ABBL18 or CRE231), 2) chemical surface cleaning with All-Purpose Cleaning (ABBL18 + APC or CRE231 + APC), 3) probiotic surface cleaning with All-Purpose Probiotic Cleaner (ABBL18 + APPC or CRE231 + APPC), 4) and probiotic surface cleaning with vegetative *Bacillus spp*. (ABBL18 + VB or CRE231 + VB). Under the circumstances where *Bacillus* were introduced through APPC and VB cleaning, total CFUs were quantified using TSA.

Over the course of 3 days, we observed a maximum $\log_{10}$ reduction of 8.75 for *A. baumannii* (ABBL18 + APC and ABBL18 + APPC under ambient condition) and 7.42 for *K. pneumoniae* (CRE231, CRE231 + APC and CRE231 +APPC). A minimum $\log_{10}$ reduction of 3.75 for *A. baumannii* (ABBL18 under elevated temperature and humidity) and 2.26 for *K. pneumoniae* (CRE231 under elevated temperature and humidity). Under ambient conditions, both APC and APPC demonstrated a near-complete inactivation of *A. baumannii* within 72 hours (<LOD) and complete inactivation for *K. pneumoniae*. Although the survival of *A. baumannii* between APPC and APC are not statistically significant (p>0.05, Figure S1), APPC cleaning at 24 hours showed 1.43 greater $\log_{10}$ reduction compared to APC (Figure 2A). The reduction of *A. baumannii* was largely attributed to the chemical component; however, APPC might be able to achieve a near-complete removal of *A. baumannii* within a shorter time frame compared to the use of chemical-based APC alone. Differences in the survival of *K. pneumoniae* between APPC, APC and no-cleaning scenarios was not statistically significant (p>0.05, Figure S1), with only 0.77 greater $\log_{10}$ reduction in APPC compared to APC at 24 hours. The fact that *K. pneumoniae* was unable to persist on the surface without any cleaning product under ambient conditions indicated that

chemical components in the cleaning product only had marginal contribution to *K. pneumoniae*

inactivation.

Surfaces cleaned with APPC were also gradually dominated by *Bacillus spp.* due to the

decreasing absolute abundance of the pathogen population. This persistence of *Bacillus spp.* on

surfaces was observed under both ambient and elevated humidity and temperature (Figure 2). In

contrast to the spores included in APPC, *Bacillus spp.* introduced as vegetative cells (ABBL18 +

VB) were gradually taken over by the *A. baumannii* population. In contrast, for CRE231 + VB

the cleaned surface was still dominated by *Bacillus spp* over *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 2A).

Without the use of chemical-based surface cleaner (ABBL18 and ABBL18 + VB), *A. baumannii*

showed comparable CFUs at all time points (p > 0.05). Samples with and without APPC

cleaning under elevated temperature and humidity showed significantly greater viable

populations of *A. baumannii* (p<0.01, Figure S1A), compared to ambient pairs. The same trend

was observed for *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 2B), although paired *t*-tests showed low or no

significance (Figure S1B). This result suggests greater potential health risks and infectious

disease burdens in developing regions with a humid and hot climate and limited climate

control[33].

Figure 2. Colony forming units (CFU) of pathogens and composition of surface microbial community under four cleaning

scenarios and two temperature and humidity conditions. CFUs of pathogens were counted on day 3 of incubation (37°C)

on their selective media while total CFUs (pathogen and *Bacillus spp.*) were obtained from TSA. The proportions of *A.*

baumannii and *K. pneumoiae* were calculated as the ratio between CFU from their selective media and CFU from TSA.

The proportion of *Bacillus spp.* was calculated as $1 - \frac{CFU(CHROM)}{CFU(TSA)}$. Dashed lines represent a limit of detection (LOD) of 10

CFU.

* Due to possible technical variation, the proportion of *A. baumannii* exceeded 1 at T24 for ABBL18 + VB.

3.2. Overview of whole genome sequencing and metatranscriptomic data

Sequencing of the *A. baumannii* (ABBL18) isolate generated 3.72 million reads with an average

read length of 136 bp. Reads were assembled into contigs with a N50 of 141,238 bp. Sequencing

of *K. pneumoniae* (CRE231) generated 4.4 million reads with an average rate of 145 bp. Reads

were assembled into contigs with a N50 of 113,698 bp. Sequencing of the All-Purpose Probiotic

Cleaner resulted in 16.49 million reads with an average read length of 139 bp, after quality

trimming and contaminant removal. Reads were assembled into contigs with a N50 of 143,313

bp. Open reading frame (ORF) identification recognized 4,039, 5,823, and 22,429 coding regions

for *A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae,* and APPC, respectively, 2,253, 4052, and 13,339 of which

were functionally annotated (not as "hypothetical protein") via Prokka. Among these annotated

regions for *A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae,* and APPC assemblies, 2,150, 3,675, and 11,594 were

classified into one or more KEGG Orthologs respectively. Contigs from APPC reads were

binned into five groups with completeness > 90%, and contamination < 5%. All five bins were

classified as *Bacillus* species as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. *Bacillus* genome binning and taxonomic assignment.

Bin	Completeness (%)	Contamination (%)	GC (%)	Size (Mbp)	Taxonomic Classification
1	90.58	1.883	0.351	4.74	*Bacillus cereus*
2	96.43	1.489	0.466	3.60	*Bacillus velezensis*
3	99.11	0.148	0.436	4.11	*Bacillus subtilis*

| 4 | 98.21 | 0.087 | 0.461 | 4.28 | *Bacillus licheniformis* |
| 5 | 99.08 | 0.207 | 0.416 | 3.57 | *Bacillus safensis* |

RNA samples containing *A. baumannii* or *K. pneumoniae* were collected for up to 72 hours of

surface inoculation. Biological triplicates were collected for each condition and an average of

12.73 ± 3.83 and 11.03 ± 4.98 million reads with an average read length of 49 bp were recovered

for *A. baumannii* and *K. pneumoniae* experiments, respectively, after removing low-quality

reads, contaminated sequences, adapters, and rRNA. The average mapping rate using HISAT2

was $98.20\% \pm 1.06\%$ for experiments with *A. baumannii* and $96.40\% \pm 10.24\%$ for experiments

with *K. pneumoniae* (Figure S2). Percentages of reads mapped onto *A. baumannii* and *Bacillus*

genomes vary across four cleaning scenarios; VB samples containing vegetative *Bacillus spp.* on

average had $40.53\% \pm 6.07\%$ reads mapped onto *Bacillus* reference genomes, whereas this

number for APPC samples was only $0.56\% \pm 0.75\%$. A similar trend was observed in

experiments with *K. pneumoniae* and *Bacillus spp.* CRE231 + VB samples containing vegetative

Bacillus spp. on average had $40.52\% \pm 16.83\%$ reads mapped onto *Bacillus* reference genomes;

however, this number was only $1.00 \pm 1.08\%$ for APPC samples. Thus, these data suggest that the

majority of *Bacillus* in the probiotic product were likely to remain as spores throughout the

inoculation. Due to this insufficient coverage of *Bacillus* transcriptome in APPC samples, our

transcriptomic analysis is composed of quantitative comparisons for the *A. baumannii* and *K.*

pneumoniae transcriptomes and qualitative evaluations for *Bacillus spp.*

3.3. Transcriptomes of A. baumannii *and* K. pneumoniae *clustered into distinct groups*

determined by cleaning and physical conditions

Prior to conducting principal component analysis (PCA), read count data were transformed to

remove the dependence of the variance on the mean. PCA of the transformed (variance

stabilization) read counts belonging to *A. baumannii* indicated that the expression of *A.*

baumannii clustered into three distinct groups based on cleaning scenarios and physical

conditions (Figure 3A). Clustering of read counts from *K. pneumoniae* is more distinct for

different time points and physical conditions (Figure S2) compared to cleaning scenarios and

physical conditions (Figure 3C). PERMANOVA (9,999 permutations) revealed that temperature

and humidity ($p<0.001$), cleaning ($p<0.001$), and time ($p<0.001$) explained 12%, 30%, and 9%

of the variance for A. *baumannii* read counts, and 29%, 10%, and 12% for *K. pneumoniae* read

counts, respectively. Gene expression profiles of *A. baumannii* with detergent cleaning (APPC

and APC) are more similar to each other than they were to those without detergent (ABBL18 and

VB). Temperature and humidity conditions also affected the expression of *A. baumannii* under

the no-cleaning condition, but this impact was minimal when APPC was used. On the contrary,

the temperature and humidity strongly affected the gene expression profiles of *K. pneumoniae*

strongly, and the impact was more pronounced as the cleaning time extended from 3 hours to 24

and 72 hours.

Using no-cleaning samples (ABBL18 or CRE231) as the reference transcriptome, genes with

absolute $\log_2$ fold change values greater than or equal to 1 as calculated by DESeq2 were

considered as differentially expressed (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05). 414, 522,

and 634 genes of *A. baumannii* and 578, 145, and 57 genes of *K. pneumoniae* were found to be

differentially expressed in APC, APPC, and VB samples, respectively. The transcriptome of *A.*

baumannii with APC and APPC shared the highest level of similarity and consequently, the

smallest number of differentially expressed genes (APPC vs APC, Figure 3B). In the case of *K.*

pneumoniae, VB samples were the most similar to no-cleaning samples. Consistent with

previous PCA analysis, expression of *A. baumannii* under ambient and elevated temperature and

humidity conditions are drastically different from each other (1298 DEGs, Figure 3B) whereas

this difference in transcriptome diminished when APPC was used (133 DEGs). The high impact

of elevated temperature and humidity persisted for *K. pneumoniae* even when APPC was applied

(1828 and 1838 DEGs with or without APPC, Figure 3D). Since the transcriptome of *K.*

pneumoniae under APPC cleaning was fairly similar to the no-cleaning scenario, their similar

response to elevated temperature and humidity could be expected. Samples collected at various

time points throughout the microcosm inoculation also showed that much of the temporal

variation in gene expression occurred within 24 hours of surface cleaning and the number of

differentially expressed genes of the 72-hour samples decreased from 211 to 21 for A. *baumannii*

and from 876 to 19 for *K. pneumoniae* when compared to 3-hour and 24-hour samples. Similar

trends of differentially expressed gene numbers over time were observed in the read counts from

vegetative *Bacillus* (Figure S4), where no gene was considered to be differentially expressed

within 24 hours based on the criteria described above.

Figure 3. Principal component analysis on variance stabilized *Acinetobacter baumannii* (A) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (C)

read counts and number of differentially expressed genes of 11 pairwise comparisons for *Acinetobacter baumannii* (B)

and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (D) experiments. Samples were color-coded based on cleaning conditions; each point (dot or

triangle) represents its corresponding temperature and humidity condition.

3.4. KEGG pathway enrichment profiles revealed different metabolic response under different cleaning scenarios

26 KEGG pathways related to metabolism (pyruvate, pyrimidine, purine, propionate, histidine, fatty acids, etc.), translation (ribosome and aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis), energy production (oxidative phosphorylation), and membrane transport (ABC transporters, and bacterial secretion system) were positively enriched with a statistical significance in samples containing vegetative *Bacillus* (ABBL18 + VB), compared to *A. baumannii* alone (ABBL18). Ribosome was the most significant pathway, with 42 up-regulated genes. When vegetative *Bacillus spp.* were present, protein synthesis of *A. baumannii* was likely promoted to achieve a large demand for surface competitions. On the other hand, three pathways (geraniol degradation, fatty acid metabolism, and valine, leucine, and isoleucine degradation) were positively enriched in samples with chemical-based detergent cleaning (ABBL18 + APC and ABBL18 + APPC), indicating that the *A. baumannii* population overall repressed metabolic activities and adaptively reduced synthesis in response to environment constraints associated with detergent cleaning (Figure 4A).

11 KEGG pathways related to metabolism (citrate cycle, pyruvate, tyrosine, histidine, starch, etc.), antibiotic resistance (cationic antimicrobial peptide resistance), and biofilm formation were positively enriched with a statistical significance in samples containing vegetative *Bacillus* (CRE231 + VB), compared to *K. pneumoniae* alone (CRE231). The TCA cycle was the most significant pathway with 26 up-regulated genes (p-adjusted < 0.001, NES 2.36). Genes involved in two-component systems and membrane transport (ABC) were upregulated in samples treated with probiotic or detergent cleaners but not in samples containing vegetative *Bacillus* (CRE231).

This suggests that chemical detergents, rather than *Bacillus*, induce environmental sensing and

response mechanisms in *K. pneumoniae*.

Differential expression of metabolic genes by *K. pneumoniae* was observed in each treatment

group relative to no-cleaning controls. Carbon metabolism genes were up-regulated in each

treatment group; however, the exact carbon processing pathways differed between groups. TCA

cycle, pyruvate, starch and sucrose metabolism genes were up-regulated in samples containing

vegetative *Bacillus* (CRE231 + VB) or detergent cleaner (CRE231 + APC) but not in samples

treated with probiotic cleaner (CRE231 + APPC), suggesting a non-specific stress response. In

contrast, no pathways were mutually and specifically enriched in samples containing vegetative

Bacillus (CRE231 + VB) or probiotic cleaner (CRE231 + APPC), which is likely influenced by

the diminished transcriptional landscape of *Bacillus* in probiotic cleaner (Figure S2). This

suggests that vegetative *Bacillus* and cleaning products induce alternative though overlapping

carbon metabolism networks in *K. pneumoniae*. Indeed, increased expression of biosynthetic

pathways (glyoxylate cycle, carbon fixation) suggests vegetative *Bacillus* may promote anabolic

competency in *K. pneumonia*. More research is needed to determine the role of metabolic

manipulation with probiotics.

3.5. Different cleaning scenarios induced differential expression of genes associated with stress

response and competition

Iron acquisition through siderophores is believed to be one of the key mechanisms of inter-

species competitive exclusion[34]. Several genes responsible for siderophore synthesis, export, and

reception (e.g., aerobactin, anguibactin, and enterobactin) were slightly up-regulated in samples

with detergent cleaning (Figure S5A) but down-regulated in samples containing vegetative

Bacillus spp. in *A. baumannii* experiments. Although bacteria with multiple siderophore

receptors can gain competitive advantages in social competition through siderophore cheating[35],

the down-regulation of siderophore-related genes in these samples was likely due to utilization of

iron originating from lysed cell debris, especially from *Bacillus spp.*, rather than active

competition through siderophore cheating, given the inability of vegetative *Bacillus spp.* to

persist on surfaces (Figure 2A). For *K. pneumoniae* experiments, no siderophore-related gene

was differentially expressed under the three cleaning scenarios (Figure S6A, *iutA*, *fepA*, and *fepC*

$\log_2$ fold change absolute values < 1). The fact that *Bacillus* took over the surface in the presence

of *K. pneumoniae* is likely related to *K. pneumoniae*'s inability to persist on the surface even

without any cleaning (Figure 2B). Additionally, transformed *Bacillus spp.* read counts unveiled

the predominant involvement of petrobactin as the primary siderophore in *Bacillus spp.* iron

acquisition. Genes related to the synthesis, and export of *Acinetobacter-* and *Klebsiella-*

associated siderophores (e.g., aerobactin, anguibactin, and enterobactin) were only occasionally

expressed (Figure S7), further reducing the likelihood of having siderophore cheating on

surfaces.

In addition to iron acquisition, genes related to Type VI Secretion Systems (T6SS), Type IV or

Type 1 Pili, and biofilm formation were shown to have important implications in inter-species

competition, virulence expression, and starvation response in *A. baumannii* or *K. pneumoniae*

[34,36,37]. Genes associated with T6SS and Type IV Pili were slightly upregulated in APPC, APC,

and VB samples for *A. baumannii* with varying statistical significance (Figure S5). Compared to

APC and APPC, a series of genes related to poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (PGA)

production, a biofilm adhesin polysaccharide[38,39], were up-regulated in the presence of vegetative

Bacillus spp. The increased expression of the *pgaABCD* operon with roles in surface binding and

maintaining biofilm structure stability[38,39] suggested greater potential for PGA production and

subsequent biofilm activities in samples containing both *A*. *baumannii* and *Bacillus spp*. In

contrast, genes related to T6SS and Type 1 Pili had negligible differences under different

cleaning scenarios for *K*. *pneumoniae* (Figure S6B,D). Additionally, only a few biofilm

formation related genes were differentially expressed under different cleaning scenarios

compared to non-cleaning samples for *K*. *pneumoniae*. Using absolute $\log_2$ fold change value ≥ 1

as threshold, eight genes were differentially expressed (four up-regulated, four down-regulated)

in chemical cleaner (APC) samples, two genes were differentially expressed (one up-regulated,

one down-regulated) in probiotic cleaning (APPC) samples, and five genes were differentially

expressed (one up-regulated and four down-regulated) in vegetative *Bacillus* (VB) samples

(Figure S7C). Lack of shared differentially expressed genes across cleaning scenarios with either

chemical substances nor *Bacillus* indicated nonspecific response of *K*. *pneumoniae* biofilm

formation towards different stressors. As biofilm formation is a complex process with an

important role in pathogenesis, more detailed studies in light of biofilm formation prevention for

various pathogenic species through different cleaning strategies are needed.

Meanwhile, several genes encoding efflux pumps were differentially expressed in APC and

APPC samples with *A*. *baumannii*, including up-regulated *mexJ* and *mexK*, which encode the

membrane fusion protein and the inner membrane resistance-nodulation-division (RND)

transporter of the MexJK multidrug efflux protein[40] , as well as down-regulated transcriptional

repressors of RND-type efflux pumps (e.g., *nalD*[41], and *adeN*[42]). In samples containing *A.*

baumannii and vegetative *Bacillus spp.*, we observed an increased expression of *abaF*, a gene

encoding fosfomycin resistance. The effects of probiotic cleaner on *K. pneumoniae* antibiotic

resistance gene expression were much less pronounced, as only the *kpnF* gene ($\log_2$ fold change

= -1.09) belonging to major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump and *uhpT* gene

with mutation conferring resistance to fosfomycin were slightly down-regulated ($\log_2$ fold

change = -1.01) in APPC samples (Figure 4E). However, no genetic determinants of fosfomycin

biosynthesis were identified from *Bacillus spp.* reference genomes. Although bacterial

multidrug resistance (MDR) efflux pumps are intensively studied for their profound impacts in

reducing antibiotic and antimicrobial susceptibilities, efflux pumps also alter transcriptomic

response related to central metabolism, pathogenicity, environmental sensing, and stress

response[43]. For example, the disruption of *abaF* in *A. baumannii* not only resulted in an increase

in fosfomycin susceptibility but also a decrease in biofilm formation and virulence[44]. *arnT* and

pmrF genes associated with peptide antibiotic resistance were up-regulated in APC samples for

K. pneumoniae, which could be induced by the chemical cleaning product.

Lastly, both APC and APPC showed pronounced downregulation of genes related to sulfur

metabolism, particularly those induced by sulfur starvation, but the expression of these genes

remained constant when no detergent was used for *A. baumannii* (Figure 4C). These genes

include a NADPH-dependent FMN reductase (*ssuE*) that was down-regulated by 35.13 fold in

APPC and 34.62 fold in APC, and upregulated by 1.30 fold in VB (adjusted p-value > 0.05), as

well as a *tauABCD* operon known to facilitate the utilization of taurine as an alternative sulfur

source under sulfate-deprived environment[45]. Similar down-regulation of sulfonate assimilation

genes (*ssuA*, *ssuB*, *ssuC*, *ssuD*, etc.) was observed under elevated temperature and humidity

conditions with APPC (Figure 5C). Owing to the presence of anionic surfactants in liquid

detergent products, such as sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLES)[46], these sulfate starvation genes

were likely suppressed in APC and APPC samples. This observation is consistent with studies

that illustrated the overexpression of *tauABCD* and *ssuEADCB* genes induced by sulfate

shortage[47]. Additionally, bacterial utilization of SLES facilitated by *Citrobacter braakii* [48] and a

consortium of *Acinetobacter calcoacetiacus*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, and *Serratia odorifera*[46] has

also been reported in previous studies and may contribute to the persistence of microbial

communities associated with hospital sinks[49]. The molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis operon

genes *moaABCDE*[50] were slightly down-regulated in APC and VB samples but not in APPC

samples for *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 4F). Most sulfur metabolism and antimicrobial resistance

related genes were not differentially expressed in APPC samples for *K. pneumoniae* but were

differentially expressed at different levels of significance in APC or VB samples. It is possible

that the dormant *Bacillus* cells in APPC were buffering the stress caused by chemical cleaner

while the vegetative *Bacillus* cells introduced competition pressure on the surfaces, as mentioned

previously. Further studies on the inter-species interaction between dormant or vegetative

Bacillus with different pathogens are needed to facilitate the development of probiotic cleaning

strategies.

Figure 4. KEGG pathway enrichment results for samples collected under ambient

temperature and humidity condition (A, D), $\log_2$ fold change of genes associated with

antibiotic/antimicrobial resistance (B, E), and sulfur metabolism (C, F), compared to no-

cleaning samples (ABBL18 or CRE231). KEGG pathways shown here were statistically

enriched in at least one of the cleaning conditions (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-

value ≤ 0.05). Normalized enrichment score (NES) accounts for differences in gene set

size and were used to compare enrichment results across KEGG pathways; a positive

NES suggests an overall positive up-regulation of genes belonging to its corresponding

pathway[32,51,52]. * p<0.05, ** p< 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

3.6. *Effects of elevated temperature and humidity on pathogen cell motility and biofilm formation*

Although over 1,000 differentially expressed genes were identified from *A. baumannii* or *K.*

pneumoniae transcriptomes between the two temperature and humidity conditions, the majority

of the KEGG pathways were composed of genes that were both up-regulated and down-

regulated, rendering non-significant results for most pathways. Several exceptions include xylene

degradation and oxidative phosphorylation, which were down-regulated in *A. baumannii* samples

(Figure 5A, ABBL18 Wet vs ABBL18 Ambient); pentose and glucuronate interconversions,

phenylalanine metabolism, quorum sensing, which were up-regulated; and histidine, inositol

phosphate, and porphyrin metabolism, which were down-regulated in *K. pneumoniae* samples

(Figure 5D, CRE231 Wet vs CRE231 Ambient).

Under the elevated temperature and humidity condition, the *A. baumannii* transcriptome with

APPC was positively enriched with four pathways related to energy production (oxidative

phosphorylation), biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (e.g., aerobactin) and metabolism (e.g.,

propanoate, glyoxylate, and dicarboxylate). Metabolic pathways, such as toluene, benzoate,

chlorocyclohexane, and chlorobenzene, were suppressed (Figure 5A, APPC Wet vs ABBL18

Wet). Under elevated temperature and humidity, 16 KEGG pathways related to metabolism (10),

membrane transport (3), biosynthesis (2) and translation (1) were enriched in samples with

probiotic cleaner (APPC) compared to no-cleaning *K. pneumoniae* samples. Pathways related to

valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis and sulfur metabolism were suppressed (Figure 5D,

APPC Wet vs CRE231 Wet). This corresponded to the PCA and PERMANOVA results where

environmental conditions (temperature and humidity) affected the transcriptome profiles of *K.*

pneumoniae more than *A. baumannii*.

In addition to the impact on sulfur metabolism (Figure 5C and Figure 4C) discussed in the

previous section, increased moisture availability likely resulted in an increase in *A. baumannii*

cell motility through Type IV Pili (Figure 5B) without APPC. Increased moisture availability

might also induce a downregulation of osmo-regulation genes such as *envZ* (osmolarity sensor

protein, $\log_2$ fold change = -1.38) and *betT2* (osmo-dependent choline transporter $\log_2$ fold

change = -2.14). Type 1 pili help mediate colonization by pathogens and promotes host-pathogen

interaction as an important virulence factor[53,54]. Some type 1 pilus genes (fimA_1, fim, and smf-

1) were expressed in *K. pneumoniae*, but none were found to be differentially expressed under

different cleaning scenarios or under elevated temperature and humidity (Figure S6D). Although

elevated temperature and humidity did not affect pilus-associated genes, 19 genes related to

biofilm formation were differentially expressed in *K. pneumoniae* samples (Figure 5D, CRE231

Wet vs CRE231 Ambient), with 14 down-regulated and 5 up-regulated genes. In addition, the

quorum sensing pathway, which affects biofilm formation of *K. pneumoniae*[55] , was enriched

under elevated temperature and humidity (Figure 5E, CRE231 Wet vs CRE231 Ambient). This

indicated that elevated temperature and humidity could assist the persistence of *K. pneumoniae*

on surfaces (Figure 2B) by altering the biofilm formation related gene expression. The effects of

APPC on *K. pneumoniae* were likely to be masked by the changes due to higher temperature and

humidity (Figure 5E), meaning the benefits of probiotic cleaning products could be more limited

in tropical areas.

Our results demonstrated the persistence of probiotic *Bacillus* included in the All-Purpose

Probiotic Cleaner up to 72 hours after cleaning, owing to the ability of *Bacillus* spores to survive

unfavorable conditions. *A. baumannii* on surfaces cleaned using chemical-based detergent with

and without probiotic *Bacillus* contained a comparable amount of viable pathogens, possibly due

to the small percentage of germinated *Bacillus* cells and/or slow germination rate on surfaces.

The transcriptome of *A. baumannii* with and without probiotic addition shared a high degree of

similarity in overall gene expression, including reduced material synthesis and surface activities.

On the contrary, the transcriptome of *K. pneumoniae* with probiotic addition showed a high

degree of differences compared to samples with chemical cleaner or vegetative *Bacillus*,

including reduced response in genes related to antimicrobial resistance, sulfur metabolism and

biofilm formation. Longer persistence of viable pathogens on surfaces and reduced effects of

APPC on *K. pneumoniae* overall gene expressions with and without cleaners under elevated

temperature and humidity suggests that vigilant indoor climate control could contribute to

infection prevention in healthcare-associated scenarios. As the idea of using probiotic

microorganisms to promote health benefits becomes increasingly attractive in the field of

household cleaning, current understanding on the mechanism and implication of probiotic

cleaning remains limited.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported, in whole or in part, by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation INV-

004298. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that

might arise from this submission. JH is supported in part by a Terminal Year Fellowship from

the Northwestern University McCormick School of Engineering. The authors would like to thank

Marina Zelivyanskaya and Dr. Alan R. Hauser for providing clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter*

baumannii and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. We further thank Andreas Wagner for his assistance.

Figure 5. KEGG pathway enrichment results for no-cleaning and APPC samples collected under elevated temperature

and humidity condition (A, D), log$_2$ fold change of genes associated with *Acinitobacter baumannii* cell motility (B),

*Klebsiella pneumonia*e biofilm formation (E), and sulfur metabolism (C, F). KEGG pathways shown here were statistically

enriched in at least one of the cleaning conditions (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-value ≤ 0.05). * p<0.05, ** p< 0.01,

***p < 0.001.

References

1.   Navon-Venezia, S., Kondratyeva, K. & Carattoli, A. Klebsiella pneumoniae: a major worldwide

source and shuttle for antibiotic resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **013**, 252–275 (2017).

2.   Hussein, K. *et al.* Impact of carbapenem resistance on the outcome of patients' hospital-acquired

bacteraemia caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae. *J. Hosp. Infect.* **83**, 307–313 (2013).

3.   Kramer, A., Schwebke, I. & Kampf, G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate

surfaces? A systematic review. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **6**, 1–8 (2006).

4.   Velazquez, S. *et al.* From one species to another: A review on the interaction between chemistry

and microbiology in relation to cleaning in the built environment. *Indoor Air* **29**, 880–894 (2019).

5.   Barber, O. W. & Hartmann, E. M. Benzalkonium chloride: A systematic review of its

environmental entry through wastewater treatment, potential impact, and mitigation strategies.

Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. **0**, 1–30 (2021).

6.   Hora, P. I., Pati, S. G., McNamara, P. J. & Arnold, W. A. Increased Use of Quaternary Ammonium

Compounds during the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic and Beyond: Consideration of Environmental

Implications. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **7**, 622–631 (2020).

7.   Hayden, M. K. *et al.* Reduction in acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus after

enforcement of routine environmental cleaning measures. *Clin. Infect. Dis. an Off. Publ. Infect.*

Dis. Soc. Am. **42**, 1552–1560 (2006).

8.   Sitzlar, B. *et al.* An environmental disinfection odyssey: evaluation of sequential interventions to

improve disinfection of Clostridium difficile isolation rooms. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **34**,

459–465 (2013).

9.   Quinn, M. M. *et al.* Cleaning and disinfecting environmental surfaces in health care: Toward an

integrated framework for infection and occupational illness prevention. *Am. J. Infect. Control* **43**,

424–434 (2015).

10.   Al-Marzooq, F. *et al.* Can probiotic cleaning solutions replace chemical disinfectants in dental

clinics? *Eur. J. Dent.* **12**, 532–539 (2018).

11.   Caselli, E. *et al.* Impact of a probiotic-based hospital sanitation on antimicrobial resistance and

HAI-associated antimicrobial consumption and costs: a multicenter study. *Infect. Drug Resist.* **12**,

501–510 (2019).

12.   Caselli, E. *et al.* Impact of a Probiotic-Based Cleaning Intervention on the Microbiota Ecosystem

of the Hospital Surfaces: Focus on the Resistome Remodulation. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–19 (2016).

13.   Falagas, M. E. & Makris, G. C. Probiotic bacteria and biosurfactants for nosocomial infection

control: a hypothesis. *J. Hosp. Infect.* **71**, 301–306 (2009).

14.   Suez, J., Zmora, N., Segal, E. & Elinav, E. The pros, cons, and many unknowns of probiotics. *Nat.*

Med. **25**, 716–729 (2019).

15.   Andualem, Z., Gizaw, Z., Bogale, L. & Dagne, H. Indoor bacterial load and its correlation to

physical indoor air quality parameters in public primary schools. *Multidiscip. Respir. Med.* **14**, 2

(2019).

16.   Elshaghabee, F. M. F., Rokana, N., Gulhane, R. D., Sharma, C. & Panwar, H. Bacillus As Potential

Probiotics: Status, Concerns, and Future Perspectives. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 1490 (2017).

17.   Elisashvili, V., Kachlishvili, E. & Chikindas, M. L. Recent Advances in the Physiology of Spore

Formation for Bacillus Probiotic Production. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* **11**, 731–747 (2019).

18.   Vandini, A. *et al.* Hard Surface Biocontrol in Hospitals Using Microbial-Based Cleaning Products.

PLoS One **9**, 1–13 (2014).

19.   Hu, J. *et al.* Impacts of indoor surface finishes on bacterial viability. *Indoor Air* **29**, 551–562

(2019).

20.   Song, W. *et al.* Modified CHROMagar Acinetobacter medium for direct detection of multidrug-

resistant Acinetobacter strains in nasal and rectal swab samples. *Ann. Lab. Med.* **33**, 193–195

(2013).

21.   Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell

sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).

22.   Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069 (2014).

23.   Jia, B. *et al.* CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic

resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D566–D573 (2017).

24.   Uritskiy, G. V, DiRuggiero, J. & Taylor, J. MetaWRAP—a flexible pipeline for genome-resolved

metagenomic data analysis. *Microbiome* **6**, 158 (2018).

25.   Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM: assessing the

quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome*

Res. **25**, 1043–1055 (2015).

26.   Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes

with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 1925–1927 (2020).

27.   Kopylova, E., Noé, L. & Touzet, H. SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in

metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3211–3217 (2012).

28.   Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory

requirements. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 357–360 (2015).

29.   Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning

sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

30.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-

seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

31.   Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for Functional

Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *J. Mol. Biol.* **428**, 726–731 (2016).

32.   Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y. & He, Q.-Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological

themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**, 284–287 (2012).

33.   Fenollar, F. & Mediannikov, O. Emerging infectious diseases in Africa in the 21st century. *New*

microbes new Infect. **26**, S10–S18 (2018).

34.   Stubbendieck, R. M. & Straight, P. D. Multifaceted Interfaces of Bacterial Competition. *J.*

Bacteriol. **198**, 2145–2155 (2016).

35.   Butaitė, E., Baumgartner, M., Wyder, S. & Kümmerli, R. Siderophore cheating and cheating

resistance shape competition for iron in soil and freshwater Pseudomonas communities. *Nat.*

Commun. **8**, 414 (2017).

36.   Hsieh, P.-F., Lu, Y.-R., Lin, T.-L., Lai, L.-Y. & Wang, J.-T. Klebsiella pneumoniae Type VI Secretion

System Contributes to Bacterial Competition, Cell Invasion, Type-1 Fimbriae Expression, and In

Vivo Colonization. *J. Infect. Dis.* **219**, 637–647 (2019).

37.   Struve, C., Bojer, M. & Krogfelt, K. A. Characterization of Klebsiella pneumoniae type 1 fimbriae

621   by detection of phase variation during colonization and infection and impact on virulence. *Infect.*

622   *Immun.* **76**, 4055–4065 (2008).

623   38.   Itoh, Y. *et al.* Roles of pgaABCD genes in synthesis, modification, and export of the Escherichia

624   coli biofilm adhesin poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 3670–3680 (2008).

625   39.   Wang, X., Preston, J. F. 3rd & Romeo, T. The pgaABCD locus of Escherichia coli promotes the

626   synthesis of a polysaccharide adhesin required for biofilm formation. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 2724–

627   2734 (2004).

628   40.   Chuanchuen, R., Narasaki, C. T. & Schweizer, H. P. The MexJK efflux pump of Pseudomonas

629   aeruginosa requires OprM for antibiotic efflux but not for efflux of triclosan. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**,

630   5036–5044 (2002).

631   41.   Morita, Y., Cao, L., Gould, V. C., Avison, M. B. & Poole, K. nalD encodes a second repressor of the

632   mexAB-oprM multidrug efflux operon of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 8649–8654

633   (2006).

634   42.   Rosenfeld, N., Bouchier, C., Courvalin, P. & Périchon, B. Expression of the resistance-nodulation-

635   cell division pump AdeIJK in Acinetobacter baumannii is regulated by AdeN, a TetR-type

636   regulator. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **56**, 2504–2510 (2012).

637   43.   C., B. M. M. *et al.* Beyond Antimicrobial Resistance: Evidence for a Distinct Role of the AcrD Efflux

638   Pump in Salmonella Biology. *MBio* **7**, e01916-16 (2021).

639   44.   Sharma, A., Sharma, R., Bhattacharyya, T., Bhando, T. & Pathania, R. Fosfomycin resistance in

640   Acinetobacter baumannii is mediated by efflux through a major facilitator superfamily (MFS)

641   transporter-AbaF. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **72**, 68–74 (2017).

45.   van der Ploeg, J. R. *et al.* Identification of sulfate starvation-regulated genes in Escherichia coli: a

gene cluster involved in the utilization of taurine as a sulfur source. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**, 5438–5446

(1996).

46.   Khleifat, K. M. Biodegradation of sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLES) by two different bacterial

consortia. *Curr. Microbiol.* **53**, 444–448 (2006).

47.   van der Ploeg, J. R., Eichhorn, E. & Leisinger, T. Sulfonate-sulfur metabolism and its regulation in

Escherichia coli. *Arch. Microbiol.* **176**, 1–8 (2001).

48.   Dhouib, A., Hamad, N., Hassaïri, I. & Sayadi, S. Degradation of anionic surfactants by Citrobacter

braakii. *Process Biochem.* **38**, 1245–1250 (2003).

49.   Hu, J. & Hartmann, E. M. Anthropogenic chemicals and their impacts on microbes living in

buildings. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **14**, 798–802 (2021).

50.   Eton & Lepore. 基因的改变NIH Public Access. *Bone* **23**, 1–7 (2008).

51.   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for

interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 15545–15550

(2005).

52.   Mootha, V. K. *et al.* PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are

coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat. Genet.* **34**, 267–273 (2003).

53.   Rosen, D. A. *et al.* Utilization of an intracellular bacterial community pathway in Klebsiella

pneumoniae urinary tract infection and the effects of FimK on type 1 pilus expression. *Infect.*

Immun. **76**, 3337–3345 (2008).

54.   Spaulding, C. N. *et al.* Functional role of the type 1 pilus rod structure in mediating host-pathogen

interactions. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

55.   De Araujo, C., Balestrino, D., Roth, L., Charbonnel, N. & Forestier, C. Quorum sensing affects

biofilm formation through lipopolysaccharide synthesis in Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Res. Microbiol.*

161, 595–603 (2010).