**Title:** Bulk and spatially resolved extracellular metabolomics of free-living nitrogen fixation

**Author names and affiliations:**

Darian N Smercina [a], Young-Mo Kim [a], Mary S Lipton [b], Dusan Velickovic [b], Kirsten S

Hofmockel [a, c]

[a] Biological Sciences Division, Earth and Biological Sciences Directorate, Pacific Northwest

National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

[b] Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,

Richland, WA 99354, USA

[c] Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50010

**Corresponding author:** Darian Smercina, Battelle for the US DOE, 3335 Innovation Blvd.,

Richland, WA 99354, (509) 375-2950, darian.smercina@pnnl.gov

**ORCID IDs:**

Darian Smercina: 0000-0002-8484-3827

Young-Mo Kim: 0000-0002-8972-7593

Mary Lipton: 0000-0001-7749-0077

Dusan Velickovic: 0000-0001-7945-9620

Kirsten Hofmockel: 0000-0003-1586-2167

**Keywords:** Soil microbial ecology, multi-scale, spatially resolved, nitrogen fixation, microbial metabolomics, GC-MS, MALDI MSI

**Competing interests:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Funding:** D.N.S. is also grateful for the support of the Linus Pauling Distinguished Postdoctoral Fellowship program through Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is a multiprogram national laboratory operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-76RL01830. This research was performed using resources at the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL; grid.436923.9), a DOE Office of Science User Facility sponsored by the Biological and Environmental Research program.

**Abstract**

Soil microorganisms drive ecosystem function, but challenges of scale between microbe

and ecosystem hinder our ability to accurately quantify and predictively model the soil microbe-

ecosystem function relationship. Quantifying this relationship necessitates studies that

systematically characterize multi-omics of soil microorganisms and their activity across

sampling scales from spatially resolved to bulk measures, and structural complexity, from liquid

pure culture to *in situ*. To address this need, we cultured two diazotrophic bacteria in liquid and

solid media, with and without nitrogen (N) to quantify differences in extracellular metabolites

associated with nitrogen fixation under increasing environmental structural complexity. We also

quantified extracellular metabolites across sampling scales including bulk sampling via GC-MS

analysis and spatially resolved analysis via MALDI mass spectrometry imaging. We found

extracellular production of inorganic and organic N during free-living nitrogen fixation activity,

highlighting a key mechanism of terrestrial N contributions from this process. Additionally, our

results emphasize the need to consider the structural complexity of the environment and spatial

scale when quantifying microbial activity. We found differences in metabolite profiles between

culture conditions, supporting previous work indicating environmental structure influences

microbial function, and across scales, underscoring the need to quantify microbial scale

conditions to accurately interpret microbial function.

**Importance:** Studying soil microorganisms, both who is present and what they are doing, is a

challenge because of vast differences in scale between microorganism and ecosystem and

because of inherent complexities of the soil system (e.g., opacity, chemical complexity). This

makes measuring and predicting important ecosystem processes driven by soil microorganisms,

like free-living nitrogen fixation, difficult. Free-living nitrogen fixing bacteria play a key role in

terrestrial nitrogen contributions and may represent a significant, yet overlooked, nitrogen source

in agricultural systems like bioenergy crops. However, we still know very little about how free-

living nitrogen fixation contributes nitrogen to terrestrial systems. Our work provides key insight

by hierarchically increasing structural complexity (liquid vs. solid culture) and scale (spatially

resolved vs. bulk) to address the impact of environmental structure and sampling scale on

detection of free-living nitrogen fixation and to identify the forms of nitrogen contributed to

terrestrial systems by free-living nitrogen bacteria.

**Introduction**

Soil microorganisms are a key link between above and belowground ecosystem function,

driving energy and nutrient transfer between the atmosphere, biosphere, and pedosphere (1-3).

Multi-omic analysis aimed at understanding the structure and function of these microorganisms

has become a routine tool for environmental samples. Despite generating large amounts of data,

even quantitatively linking 'omics of a specific function (e.g. functional genes and proteins) to

measures of that function is often unsuccessful (2-6) because of inherent challenges of studying

soils (5-7) and soil microorganisms. Given these challenges, the importance of linking microbial

community structure to function has been questioned (8, 9), however without clearer

understanding of how microorganisms relate to observed functions we cannot yet rule out the

importance of individual microbial community members. Our limited ability to quantitively link

soil microbial communities to ecosystem function hinders our understanding of ecosystem

processes, leaving us vulnerable to losing vital ecosystem services provided by our soils,

particularly in the face of climate change (10).

Quantifying the link between soil microorganisms and ecosystem function requires

systematic studies that characterize multi-omics of soil microorganisms and their functions

hierarchically across scales of space and complexity (Fig. 1; (4, 11). *In vitro* studies using pure

cultures or limited species are an appealing option and have the potential to provide fundamental

microbial and ecological knowledge (10, 12, 13). However, culturing conditions are often quite

different from those experienced by microorganisms in soil and attachment to surfaces has been

shown to impact microbial growth and function (14, 15). Thus, physical structure influences

microbial function, and it is therefore essential for studies to systematically characterize multi-

omics and function *in vitro* under growth conditions of increasing complexity (e.g.

environmental structure) in order to determine how culture work may better inform *in situ*

processes (Fig. 1).

In this study, we explored two questions surrounding the microbe-ecosystem function

relationship: (1) Are there microbial metabolomic signatures associated with target ecosystem

processes? (2) How do growth conditions and sampling scale influence metabolomic signatures?

We used free-living nitrogen fixation (FLNF), biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) carried out by

heterotrophic bacteria (diazotrophs), as a model microbial process and ecosystem function to

address these questions. FLNF is carried out by a wide diversity of soil bacteria and occurs in all

terrestrial biomes, contributing significantly to terrestrial N (16, 17). These contributions are

thought to occur predominately through release of ammonium and organic N sources, like amino

acids, as occurs during symbiotic BNF (18-21). Thus, extracellular metabolites may be valuable

to understanding FLNF and identifying biosignatures.

We examined extracellular metabolites from two diazotrophic bacteria cultured under

conditions that promote (N-free) or inhibit (N-rich) FLNF. Additionally, cultures were grown in

liquid or on solid media to examine the impact of physical structure on detected metabolites.

Lastly, we examined extracellular metabolites across sampling scale from spatially resolved

measures using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI–

MSI) to bulk sampling via gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. We

hypothesized: (1) bulk metabolite profiles differ between N-rich and N-free conditions and also

between liquid and solid cultures, (2) there are extracellular metabolites associated with FLNF

activity and only observed under N-free conditions, (3) these extracellular metabolites are

detectable with bulk and spatially resolved sampling, and (4) N-containing compounds are

produced during FLNF and are readily detectable in bulk and spatially resolved extracellular

metabolites under N-free conditions.

**Results**

*Microbial biomass – total biomass, biomass C, and biomass N*

Total microbial biomass, including cells and associated debris such as EPS, was collected

from all treatments except for the AV N-rich solid treatment. In this case, microbial colonies had

grown into and below the agar surface and it was not possible to collect biomass. Total biomass

was highly variable across all treatments (CV across treatments ranged from 4.6% to 100.4%)

and there were no significant differences observed with N treatment, culture type, or organism

(Fig. 2D). Both biomass C and N differed significantly across treatments (Fig. 2A and 2B,

respectively). In general, C and N content were greater in N-rich treatments where N was readily

available compared to N-free treatments. There was also a trend towards greater biomass C and

N from solid media, but this was mostly observed in the N-rich treatments. These C and N values

translated to C:N ratios that predominately differed only between N treatments with the N-free

treatment resulting in greater biomass C:N ratios than N-rich conditions, regardless of culture

type or organism (Fig. 2C).

*Extracellular ammonium availability*

Extracellular ammonium availability was measured supernatant and rinsate samples and

was detected in all treatments regardless of culture type, N treatment, or organism. On a per unit

biomass basis, ammonium concentrations differed significantly by organism ($F = 16.390$, $p =$

$0.0012$) and by the interaction between culture type and N treatment ($F = 35.411$, $p < 0.0001$).

Extracellular ammonium availability per unit biomass was over 8x greater in PP than AV

cultures (Fig. 3A). Under N-free conditions, ammonium availability was greater in liquid than in

solid culture while in N-rich conditions the opposite was observed (Fig. 3B). Extracellular

ammonium availability is of particular interest in N-free treatments as ammonium is

hypothesized to be released from cells actively fixing N and thus represents a major form of N

contributed by FLNF to terrestrial systems (18, 33). Therefore, we also calculated the percent of

fixed N available as extracellular ammonium (Fig. 4). Total fixed N was estimated as total

biomass N measured in N-free treatment samples. We find only upwards of 7.5% ($\pm 2.3$) of fixed

N is readily available as extracellular ammonium. This did not differ significantly between

culture types ($F = 2.171$, $p = 0.184$), though ammonium concentrations in solid culture tended to

be lower than those in liquid culture.

*Bulk extracellular metabolites*

Across all treatments, 307 metabolites were detected with bulk sampling and of these 93

were successfully annotated (>80% confidence). The total number of detected metabolites

differed between treatment groups (Supp. Fig. 2) with generally more metabolites detected in N-

free treatments, the majority of which were within the unannotated portion of detected

metabolites. Distinct metabolite profiles, represented by Bray-Curtis and Jaccard distance based

on all detected metabolites, were observed between N treatment, culture type, and their

interaction (Fig. 5; Table 1). N treatment and culture type, together with their interaction, explain

over 50% of the variance in metabolite profiles based on peak intensity (Fig. 5A) and based on

presence-absence (Fig. 5B). Metabolite profiles based on abundance separated predominantly by

culture type and then by N treatment (Fig. 5A). Metabolite profiles based on presence-absence

show clear separation between culture types for N-rich treatments but have little separation under

N-free conditions (Fig. 5B).

Because FLNF activity is hypothesized to result in the release of N-containing

metabolites (33), we focused on N-containing extracellular metabolites. Of the 93 annotated

metabolites detected through bulk sampling, 35 were N-containing. We found significant

differences in N-containing compounds across treatments, after correcting for background

metabolites, with significant interactions between N treatment, culture type, and organism (F =

10.695, p = 0.0048). N-free treatments were richer in N-containing metabolites (Supp. Fig. 3) but

had similar or significantly lower total abundances of N-containing metabolites compared to N-

rich treatments (Fig. 6). Examining the specific composition of these N-containing compounds,

we found a variety of amino acids in N-free samples not well represented in N-rich samples

(Supp. Fig. 3), but only a few N-containing metabolites were unique to N-free conditions

including pantothenic acid, L-pyroglutamic acid, L-glutamic acid, and 4-pyridoxic acid.

*Spatially resolved extracellular metabolites*

Across all treatments, METASPACE analysis identified 69 metabolites in spatially

resolved samples of which 41 were N-containing. However, only a few potential amino acids

were detected at this resolved microbial scale including L-leucine and L-valine. These were only

at detectable concentrations within the N-rich treatment (Fig. 7) unlike the diversity of amino

acids detected in bulk samples predominately in association with N-free treatments.

Observationally, N-free treatments seemed to be characterized by unique presence of organic

acids rather than N-containing compounds. However, we did identify a few N-containing

compounds unique to N-free treatments at the microbial scale including inosine and 4-pydroxic

acid (Fig. 7). Inosine was detected in N-free treatments of both AV and PP and was not at

detectable levels in N-rich treatments. Also, much like bulk sampling scale detection, 4-

pyridoxic acid was exclusively detected in AV N-free treatment samples.

**Discussion**

We explored the impact of N availability and physical structure on the extracellular

metabolomics of diazotrophic bacteria across sampling scales from the spatially resolved to bulk.

We find evidence of extracellular organic and inorganic N contributions from FLNF

underscoring a key mechanism of terrestrial N contributions from FLNF. In general, we find

physical structure and microbial function (e.g. FLNF) alter extracellular metabolite profiles and

influence the detection of metabolites at bulk and spatially resolved scales.

*Nitrogen contributions from FLNF*

Products of BNF by symbiotic diazotrophs are well-studied and typically observed as

ammonia and ammonium with contested evidence for production of amino acids (18-21). This

knowledge of symbiotic BNF is thought to translate directly to FLNF leading to the assumption

that free-living diazotrophs also excrete ammonia/ammonium into the surrounding environment

during BNF. However, ammonia produced during FLNF is rapidly assimilated through

conversion to glutamine or glutamate via the glutamine synthetase (GS) and glutamate

synthetase (GOGAT) pathways (34). Thus, excreted ammonium would necessarily be in excess

of these assimilation pathways (34). Ammonium excretion has been observed in wild-type

*Azotobacter vinelandii* DJ, at concentrations between ~2 and ~25 µM (35, 36), values within

range of those measured in this study (Supp. Fig. 4). However, in many cases measurable

ammonium excretion was only observed from *Azotobacter vinelandii* cultures genetically altered

to disrupt the GS-GOGAT pathways or facilitate constitutive nitrogenase synthesis (37-40).

An alternative hypothesis to ammonium excretion is that N contributions occur as organic

N, either through direct release of N-rich compounds like amino acids (18, 21) or through

turnover of dead biomass (41). Our bulk metabolomics data support this hypothesis with many

N-containing organic compounds, including amino acids detected in N-free treatments. In fact,

N-free treatments were richer in N-containing compounds than N-rich conditions, particularly

when comparing against N-rich solid media which had few N-containing metabolites (Supp. Fig.

3). The structure of our study did not allow us to determine whether these organic molecules

were directly excreted by active, N-fixing cells or released during cell turnover. However, other

metabolites detected in the system suggest cell turnover contributed at least partially to this N

release. For example, we detected inosine in both bulk and spatially resolved analysis, and it was

unique to N-free treatments in spatially resolved samples. Inosine, a metabolic product of

adenine degradation likely indicates salvage activities by the bacterial populations (42, 43) and

could indicate freely available nucleotides from cell lysis and turnover. FLNF may therefore

contribute available N through increasing microbial biomass and turnover, but this needs to be

verified in future studies. Regardless of whether these N-containing compounds are actively

excreted or released after cell death, this metabolic exchange with the surrounding environment

highlights a key mechanisms of terrestrial N contributions from FLNF.

*In vitro vs in situ analysis – identification of biosignatures*

Through bulk and spatially resolved analysis, we found few N-containing metabolites

exclusive to N-free treatments. At bulk scale, these include pantothenic acid, L-pyroglutamic

acid, L-glutamic acid, and 4-pyridoxic acid. We similarly find 4-pyridoxic acid at the spatially

resolved microbial scale as well as nine other metabolites including inosine. 4-pyridoxic acid

was unique to AV N-free treatments at the microbial scale. However, despite being uniquely

associated with N-free treatments and therefore microbial populations actively fixing N, it may

be difficult to assign these as a signature of FLNF function. Of these compounds, only L-

glutamic acid has a direct association with the FLNF pathway. Other metabolites seem more

indicative of microbial nutrient needs and function. For example, pantothenic acid, vitamin $B_5$, is

involved in the synthesis of coenzyme A and is a coenzyme for many reactions involved in

protein and lipid metabolism (44-46). This is particularly important for the processing of organic

acids like malate, the main C source provided in this study. Thus, the detection of vitamin $B_5$ is

likely indicative of malate metabolism via the TCA cycle and its unique detection in the N-free

treatment suggests a higher respiration rate in these N-fixing populations than in the N-rich

populations. Increased respiration is a common response among diazotrophs in oxygenated

environments as a protection mechanism to prevent or reduce denaturation of nitrogenase via

oxygen (17, 47, 48). We also identified 4-pyridoxic acid, a derivative of pyridoxine (vitamin $B_6$).

Pyridoxine is a key cofactor in amino acid, fatty acid, and carbohydrate metabolisms, but can

also act an oxygen protectant (46). During this redox reaction, pyridoxine degrades and can

result in 4-pyridoxic acid. *A. vinelandii* has been observed to produce B vitamins while under

diazotrophic conditions and this seems to be a hallmark of FLNF for this organism (46, 49, 50).

Though not directly associated with the N-fixation pathway, these vitamins may tangentially

indicate bacteria functions surrounding FLNF such as oxygen regulation and highlight the need

to analyze bacterial function holistically rather than focusing on single reactions or pathways.

Additionally, the limited number of unique extracellular metabolites detected in N-free

treatments suggests some microbial functions may not have detectable or unique biosignatures,

in the form of extracellular metabolites. This is an important consideration when applying

metabolomics to the study of complex soil systems. Soil metabolomics are increasingly being

used to study soil microbial ecology and biogeochemical function and have been successfully

applied to soil carbon cycling (51-54). However, metabolites are by definition the by-products of

and substrates for metabolic function, and turnover rapidly in soils (55, 56). Therefore, typical

soil extractions to collect extracellular components (e.g. $K_2SO_4$ extracts, leachate; (57, 58) only

capture what is not consumed by the microbial community. This includes metabolites available

in dissolved organic matter pools at the time of sampling and metabolites readily exchangeable

from mineral surfaces (59). In both cases, metabolites could be temporally separated from their

originating processes making it difficult to trace back the associated metabolic pathway. It could

be even more challenging to capture metabolic biosignatures from nutrient-limited communities,

such as those in bulk soil. Under nutrient-limited conditions, resulting metabolic products are

likely to be rapidly assimilated or, in the case of processes like FLNF, not released to the

surrounding environment. The potential signature compounds of FLNF found here (e.g. amino

acids and B-vitamins) are also not uniquely produced by FLNF processes and would be difficult

to directly link to FLNF *in situ*. We acknowledge our study system may provide a biased view on

this issue, being a closed incubation system unlike soils where metabolites may diffuse away

from microbes and persist in the environment. However, these findings highlight a key need to

understand the soil microhabitat (11).

Lastly, our work highlights the importance of considering culture conditions and their

association to *in situ* conditions as we observed clear differences in metabolite profiles between

liquid and solid culture. Interestingly, though culture type strongly influenced metabolite

profiles, it played a secondary role to N treatment in influencing the number of detected

metabolites. This was particularly notable when N was readily available, where presence-

absence based profiles were distinct between liquid and solid culture, but only under N-rich

conditions. These differences in metabolite profiles were likely not driven by differences in

biomass production as culture type had small and non-significant impacts on microbial metrics,

like total biomass, and biomass C and N content. Thus, these responses seem specifically

associated with the presence or absence of physical structure in the environment. Additionally,

these findings suggest nutrient limitation, as experienced in the N-free treatments, may be a

stronger driver of microbial activity than physical structure and simplified liquid culture may be

somewhat informative to nutrient-limited *in situ* conditions.

*Implications scaling from microbial scale to bulk sampling*

The combination of techniques in this study allowed us to explore detection of

metabolites across scales from spatially resolved, relevant to microorganisms, to bulk, relevant

for soil microbial ecology analysis. MALDI MSI allowed us to resolve abundances of

extracellular metabolites on solid media at a microbial scale. Using GC-MS, we were able to

evaluate detection of extracellular metabolites at the bulk scale. While the detection ranges of

these two techniques do not fully overlap (50 – 500 m/z for GC-MS and 92 – 700 m/z for

MALDI), many metabolites of interest to this study are measurable with both techniques

providing valuable information about metabolite detection and sampling scale. It is also

important to note that a lack of detection is not equivalent to metabolite absence but only

indicates metabolite concentrations were below detection.

Through bulk sampling, we found a wide variety of N-containing compounds in N-free

samples, but generally lower abundances of N-containing compounds than in the N-rich

treatment. While N-containing compounds are characteristic of N-free samples at a bulk scale,

these treatments had fewer N-containing metabolites when spatially resolved at the microbial

scale. Interestingly, there was a shift in amino acid detection between spatially resolved and bulk

scales where amino acids were commonly detected in N-free samples at bulk scale, but in N-rich

samples when spatially resolved. This somewhat counterintuitive result highlights differences in

N competition at the microbial scale and its influence on bulk measurements.

First, detection of a diverse array of amino acids in the N-free treatment in bulk sample,

but not in spatially resolved samples suggests N competition at the microbial scale resulted in

rapid uptake of amino acids, while extraction of the bulk metabolite pool likely captured the

cumulative low abundance signal of the entire system. Amino acids are shown to have short

residence times in soils and experience rapid uptake and turnover (60, 61). In the case of

microbial vs. bulk scale, it is likely the spatially resolved pool of extracellular amino acids

collected from microbial colonies (~200 μm spatial resolution) was small and often below

detection. However, in bulk sampling of millions of cells, a larger pool of amino acids coupled to

our sampling method could have allowed amino acids to diffuse away and accumulate to

detectable levels.

Second, biofilm formation is likely to influence diffusion of metabolites into the

surrounding environment (62, 63). Bacteria tend to live in biofilms in their natural environments

rather than as individually dispersed cells (64). However, the impact of surrounding

environmental conditions, including nutrient availability, on biofilm production is unclear. For

example, some studies suggest nutrient limiting conditions may promote greater biofilm

formation (65), while others suggest biofilm formation is greater under more favorable growth

conditions (66, 67). This is particularly notable for diazotrophs as biofilms can play a role in

oxygen protection (47), thus investment in biofilm could be beneficial to FLNF activity. Yet,

under severe N limitation imposed by an N-free environment the high energy demands of FLNF

may limit investment in biofilm. While not directly measured in this study, we noted solid agar

plates of *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* cultures had visually greater

biofilm production under N-rich than N-free conditions. Thus, diffusion of amino acids away

from populations would have been more easily achieved in the N-free treatment. This is

evidenced by the similarity between metabolite chemistry in liquid and solid culture under N-

free treatments (Fig. 5B). Similarly, a small number of amino acids were detected in spatially

resolved samples from N-rich treatments, but not in bulk samples for the similar N-rich solid

media treatment. This could have resulted from greater biofilm formation under N-rich

conditions and limited diffusion of small molecules away from cell populations.

The detection of small molecules across scales has important implications for the

influence of soil microbial communities on their surrounding environment. In general, our results

indicate microbial scale processes drive bulk metabolite availability. The N-rich treatment in this

experiment is an optimal environment and most representative of carbon and nutrient rich soil

environments like the rhizosphere or detritusphere. Our findings suggest these conditions would

result in production of valuable small molecules, like N-rich amino acids, potentially

exchangeable with the immediate environment, but biofilm formation may limit diffusion far

into the soil environment. Under limiting conditions of the N-free treatment, similar those of

bulk soil, microbial activity produces valuable metabolites, like amino acids, but competition

between microbes reduces the exchange of these molecules. Understanding how these

differences in microbial scale conditions influence microbial activity and detectability of

function is crucial to accurately linking microbe and ecosystem.

**Conclusions**

We demonstrate extracellular production of inorganic and organic N during FLNF and

reveal the importance of habitat conditions and sampling scale when quantifying microbial

activity. Across bulk and spatially resolved sampling scales, we identified metabolites uniquely

associated with FLNF activity including several B-vitamins, which may play roles in mitigating

oxygen damage to nitrogenase. Despite finding unique metabolites and potential biosignatures,

many detected metabolites are not exclusively produced through FLNF related pathways, thus

would be difficult to assign to FLNF for *in situ* soil samples. This would likely hold true for

other processes under nutrient limited conditions where metabolic products are rapidly

assimilated and not captured during sampling. Our findings highlight the need to carefully

consider both structural complexity and sampling scale when quantifying microbial function. We

found culture conditions to be a key driver of metabolite chemistry under N-rich and N-free

conditions, indicating physical structure influences microbial processes. Across scales, our

results indicate high N competition at the microbial scale under N-free conditions, while at the

bulk scale N appeared readily available within the microbial environment. These differences in

environmental conditions across scales could lead to incorrect interpretations of microbial

function as immediate conditions surrounding microorganisms will drive their activity and may

not necessarily match what is measured through bulk or composite sampling.

**Materials and Methods**

*Culture conditions*

Two diazotrophic bacteria with distinct growth strategies (e.g. gram-negative vs gram-

positive) and fully sequenced genomes (22, 23)were chosen for this study, *Azotobacter*

*vinelandii* DJ (ATCC BAA 1303; hereafter AV) and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* (ATCC 842;

hereafter PP). Bacteria were cultured under N-free and N-rich conditions, respectively promoting

or inhibiting FLNF. Nfb media, commonly used to isolate diazotrophs (24), was used for N-free

treatments, and was supplemented with tryptone for N-rich treatments. Both treatments

contained 1.79 g C $L^{-1}$ as malic acid and N-rich media contained ~1.33 g N $L^{-1}$ as tryptone.

Cultures were grown in liquid or solid agar media and all media was autoclave sterilized prior to

inoculation.

Thirty samples were cultured (2 organisms x 2 N treatments x 2 media types x 3

replicates, plus controls) for bulk analysis with an additional set of 14 samples (2 organisms x 2

N treatments x 3 replicates, plus controls) on solid media for spatially resolved analysis. Cultures

were grown in a temperature-controlled incubator at 25°C to $10^7$ CFU $mL^{-1}$, based on liquid

cultures, and then harvested for analysis of extracellular metabolites at two scales – bulk

sampling via MPLEx extraction and GC-MS (25) and spatially-resolved sampling via colony

analysis with MALDI MSI. Extracellular ammonium availability and microbial biomass,

including total biomass, biomass carbon (C) and biomass N, were also measured. Because FLNF

activity is necessary for microbial growth under N-free conditions, measures of total biomass and

biomass N are used as estimates of FLNF (24).

*Sample collection*

Extracellular metabolites were collected from liquid culture by centrifuging culture tubes

to pellet cells and collecting the resulting supernatant for bulk analysis as described below. Cell

pellets were resuspended in autoclave sterilized nanopure water, immediately flash frozen on

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80˚C until further analysis. Extracellular metabolites were

collected from solid media for bulk and spatially resolved analysis. Bulk samples were collected

by washing culture plate surfaces with autoclave sterilized nanopure water and collecting the

resulting rinsate. Samples were collected for spatially resolved analysis as described below.

Lastly, microbial colonies from rinsate plates were collected from the surface by gentle scraping,

transferred to autoclave sterilized nanopure water, flash frozen on liquid nitrogen, and stored at -

80˚C until further processing.

*Microbial biomass – total biomass, biomass C, and biomass N*

Frozen cell pellets and colonies were lyophilized until completely dry and weighed to

obtain total biomass, including cells and associated debris such as exopolysaccharides (EPS).

Dried biomass was ground using sterile steel beads and then analyzed for C and N content on a

VarioTOC Cube (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany).

*Extracellular ammonium availability*

We measured extracellular ammonium concentrations in supernatant and rinsate samples

using a high-throughput colorimetric ammonium assay (26). Briefly, samples were pipetted in

triplicate into clear 96-well plates and incubated with ammonium salicylate and ammonium

cyanurate reagents to facilitate color change via the Berthelot reaction. Plates were read for

absorbance at 610 nm on a Synergy H1 plate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT,

USA).

*Bulk metabolomics – GC-MS*

Bulk metabolomics analysis was conducted on 1 ml subsamples of undiluted, supernatant

and rinsate samples. Supernatant and rinsate samples were prepared for metabolite analysis via

GC-MS following the MPLEx protocol for simultaneous metabolite, protein, and lipid extraction

(25). Additionally, 1 ml of supernatant and rinsate from sterile liquid culture and solid culture

plates were also extracted via MPLEx as background controls. This extraction method allows

simultaneously collection of metabolites, lipids, and proteins; however, lipid fractions were not

analyzed in this study. Additionally, protein yields were too low for downstream analysis.

Metabolite samples were completely dried under speed-vacuum concentrator and chemically

derivatized prior to analysis by GC-MS as reported previously (27). The m/z range of derivatized

metabolites scanned was 50 – 550 m/z which can detect organic acids, amino acids, and mono to

tri-saccharides. Raw GC-MS data were processed using the PNNL in-house metabolomics

database, which can identify metabolites using two dimensional matching factors (fragmented

spectrum + retention index (28), and with cross-checking against commercially available NIST

20/Wiley 11th GC-MS spectral databases (25, 29).

*Spatially resolved metabolomics – MALDI MSI*

Samples were prepared for spatially resolved analysis via MALDI-MSI using a

previously described workflow (30). Briefly, areas of agar were excised from Petri dishes and

placed onto double-sided adhesive copper tape adhered to indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass

slides (Bruker Daltonics; Supp. Fig 1.). This approach enhanced our sensitivity for analysis in

negative ionization mode and improved adhesion of agar onto the MALDI target. Samples were

dried at room temperature overnight, then treated with MALDI matrix using a HTX TM-Sprayer

(HTX Technologies). For analysis in negative-ion mode, 7 mg mL$^{-1}$ of N-(1-naphthyl)

ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NEDC) in 70% MeOH was sprayed with eight passes at 1,200

μL min$^{-1}$, 75°C, a spray spacing of 3 mm, and a spray velocity of 1,200 mm min$^{-1}$. MALDI-MSI

was performed on a 15-Tesla Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR)-MS (Bruker

Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with SmartBeam II laser source (355 nm) using 200

shots pixel$^{-1}$ with a frequency of 2 kHz and a step size of 200 μm. FTICR-MS was operated to

collect m/z 92–700, using a 209-ms transient, which translated to a mass resolution of R ~

70,000 at 400 m/z. Metabolites in this range can typically be detected to fmol concentrations.

*Data Analysis*

A factorial ANOVA with N treatment, culture type, organism and their interactions as

main effects followed by a Tukey's post hoc test was used to determine treatment differences for

measured variables. Prior to statistical analysis, bulk metabolite values were blank corrected by

subtracting peak intensities identified in background controls of the associated treatment.

Differences in bulk metabolite chemistry were evaluated using distance matrices based on peak

intensities (Bray-Curtis) presence-absence (Jaccard) generated from all detected metabolites

using R *vegan* (31). Differences between culture type, N treatment, and organism were

determined via PERMANOVA using *adonis* in R *vegan*. Spatially resolved metabolite data was

acquired using FlexImaging (v 4.1, Bruker Daltonics), and image processing, segmentation, co-

localization analysis and visualization were performed using SCiLS (Bruker Daltonics). The list

of m/z values that colocalized with the colonies were uploaded to the METLIN

(https://metlin.scripps.edu) for putative molecular annotations based only on accurate m/z,

secured by using a 3-ppm window during the search. imzML files (created by SCiLS) of our

analyses were also uploaded to METASPACE (32) for metabolite annotation based on both

accurate m/z and a comprehensive bioinformatics framework that considers the relative

intensities and spatial colocalization of isotopic peaks as well as quantifies spatial information

with a measure of spatial chaos followed by the estimation of the False Discovery Rate. For this

purpose, we used KEGG-v1 and NPA-2019-08 (Natural Product Atlas) databases that are

available in METASPACE. METASPACE uses by default 3 ppm window in its annotation

engine.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Heather Olson, Carrie Nicora, and Jesse Trejo for

sampling processing work and for taking time to carefully train D.N.S. on the methods. D.N.S. is

also grateful for the support of the Linus Pauling Distinguished Postdoctoral Fellowship program

through Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is a

multiprogram national laboratory operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under

contract DE-AC05-76RL01830. This research was performed using resources at the

Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL; grid.436923.9), a DOE Office of Science

User Facility sponsored by the Biological and Environmental Research program.

**References**

1.    Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. The microbial engines that drive Earth's

biogeochemical cycles. science. 2008;320(5879):1034-9.

2.    Hall EK, Bernhardt ES, Bier RL, Bradford MA, Boot CM, Cotner JB, et al.

Understanding how microbiomes influence the systems they inhabit. Nature microbiology.

2018;3(9):977-82.

3.    Jansson JK, Hofmockel KS. The soil microbiome—from metagenomics to

metaphenomics. Current opinion in microbiology. 2018;43:162-8.

4.    Graham EB, Knelman JE, Schindlbacher A, Siciliano S, Breulmann M, Yannarell A, et

al. Microbes as engines of ecosystem function: when does community structure enhance

predictions of ecosystem processes? Frontiers in microbiology. 2016;7:214.

5.    Martiny JB, Jones SE, Lennon JT, Martiny AC. Microbiomes in light of traits: a

phylogenetic perspective. Science. 2015;350(6261).

6.    Carini P, Marsden PJ, Leff JW, Morgan EE, Strickland MS, Fierer N. Relic DNA is

abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial diversity. Nature microbiology.

2016;2(3):1-6.

7.    Kleiner M. Metaproteomics: much more than measuring gene expression in microbial

communities. Msystems. 2019;4(3):e00115-19.

8.    Prosser JI. Ecosystem processes and interactions in a morass of diversity. FEMS

Microbiology Ecology. 2012;81(3):507-19.

9.    Schimel J, Schaeffer SM. Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. Frontiers in

microbiology. 2012;3:348.

10.    Jansson JK, Hofmockel KS. Soil microbiomes and climate change. Nature Reviews

Microbiology. 2020;18(1):35-46.

11.    Baveye PC, Otten W, Kravchenko A. elucidating microbial processes in soils and

sediments: microscale measurements and modeling. Frontiers in Environmental Science.

2019;7:78.

12.    Jessup CM, Kassen R, Forde SE, Kerr B, Buckling A, Rainey PB, et al. Big questions,

small worlds: microbial model systems in ecology. Trends in ecology & evolution.

2004;19(4):189-97.

13.    Prosser JI, Bohannan BJ, Curtis TP, Ellis RJ, Firestone MK, Freckleton RP, et al. The

role of ecological theory in microbial ecology. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2007;5(5):384-92.

14.    Vandevivere P, Kirchman DL. Attachment stimulates exopolysaccharide synthesis by a

bacterium. Applied and environmental microbiology. 1993;59(10):3280-6.

15.    Prigent-Combaret C, Vidal O, Dorel C, Lejeune P. Abiotic surface sensing and biofilm-

dependent regulation of gene expression in Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology.

1999;181(19):5993-6002.

16.    Reed SC, Cleveland CC, Townsend AR. Functional ecology of free-living nitrogen

fixation: a contemporary perspective. Annual review of ecology, evolution, and systematics.

2011;42:489-512.

17.    Smercina DN, Evans SE, Friesen ML, Tiemann LK. To fix or not to fix: controls on free-

living nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere. Applied and Environmental Microbiology.

2019;85(6).

18.     Allaway D, Lodwig E, Crompton L, Wood M, Parsons R, Wheeler T, et al. Identification

of alanine dehydrogenase and its role in mixed secretion of ammonium and alanine by pea

bacteroids. Molecular microbiology. 2000;36(2):508-15.

19.     Li Y, Parsons R, Day DA, Bergersen FJ. Reassessment of major products of N2 fixation

by bacteroids from soybean root nodules. Microbiology. 2002;148(6):1959-66.

20.     Udvardi M, Poole PS. Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. Annual

review of plant biology. 2013;64:781-805.

21.     Waters JK, Mawhinney TP, Emerich DW. Nitrogen assimilation and transport by ex

planta nitrogen-fixing Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens bacteroids is modulated by oxygen,

bacteroid density and L-malate. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020;21(20):7542.

22.     Setubal JC, Dos Santos P, Goldman BS, Ertesvåg H, Espin G, Rubio LM, et al. Genome

sequence of Azotobacter vinelandii, an obligate aerobe specialized to support diverse anaerobic

metabolic processes. Journal of bacteriology. 2009;191(14):4534-45.

23.     Jeong H, Park S-Y, Chung W-H, Kim SH, Kim N, Park S-H, et al. Draft genome

sequence of the Paenibacillus polymyxa type strain (ATCC 842T), a plant growth-promoting

bacterium. Am Soc Microbiol; 2011.

24.     Baldani JI, Reis VM, Videira SS, Boddey LH, Baldani VLD. The art of isolating

nitrogen-fixing bacteria from non-leguminous plants using N-free semi-solid media: a practical

guide for microbiologists. Plant and soil. 2014;384(1):413-31.

25.     Nakayasu ES, Nicora CD, Sims AC, Burnum-Johnson KE, Kim Y-M, Kyle JE, et al.

MPLEx: a robust and universal protocol for single-sample integrative proteomic, metabolomic,

and lipidomic analyses. MSystems. 2016;1(3).

26. Rhine E, Mulvaney R, Pratt E, Sims G. Improving the Berthelot reaction for determining

ammonium in soil extracts and water. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1998;62(2):473-

80.

27. Kim Y-M, Nowack S, Olsen MT, Becraft ED, Wood JM, Thiel V, et al. Diel

metabolomics analysis of a hot spring chlorophototrophic microbial mat leads to new hypotheses

of community member metabolisms. Frontiers in microbiology. 2015;6:209.

28. Snijders AM, Langley SA, Kim Y-M, Brislawn CJ, Noecker C, Zink EM, et al. Influence

of early life exposure, host genetics and diet on the mouse gut microbiome and metabolome.

Nature microbiology. 2016;2(2):1-8.

29. Kim Y-M, Schmidt BJ, Kidwai AS, Jones MB, Kaiser BLD, Brewer HM, et al.

Salmonella modulates metabolism during growth under conditions that induce expression of

virulence genes. Molecular BioSystems. 2013;9(6):1522-34.

30. Nagy G, Veličković D, Chu RK, Carrell AA, Weston DJ, Ibrahim YM, et al. Towards

resolving the spatial metabolome with unambiguous molecular annotations in complex biological

systems by coupling mass spectrometry imaging with structures for lossless ion manipulations.

Chemical Communications. 2019;55(3):306-9.

31. Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of

Vegetation Science. 2003;14(6):927-30.

32. Palmer A, Phapale P, Chernyavsky I, Lavigne R, Fay D, Tarasov A, et al. FDR-

controlled metabolite annotation for high-resolution imaging mass spectrometry. Nature

methods. 2017;14(1):57-60.

33. Sprent JI. The biology of nitrogen-fixing organisms: McGraw-Hill Book Co.(UK) Ltd.;

1979.

34.    Colnaghi R, Green A, He L, Rudnick P, Kennedy C. Strategies for increased ammonium

production in free-living or plant associated nitrogen fixing bacteria. Plant and Soil.

1997;194(1):145-54.

35.    Ortiz-Marquez JCF, Do Nascimento M, Dublan MdlA, Curatti L. Association with an

ammonium-excreting bacterium allows diazotrophic culture of oil-rich eukaryotic microalgae.

Applied and environmental microbiology. 2012;78(7):2345-52.

36.    Barney BM, Eberhart LJ, Ohlert JM, Knutson CM, Plunkett MH. Gene deletions

resulting in increased nitrogen release by Azotobacter vinelandii: application of a novel nitrogen

biosensor. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2015;81(13):4316-28.

37.    Ambrosio R, Ortiz-Marquez JCF, Curatti L. Metabolic engineering of a diazotrophic

bacterium improves ammonium release and biofertilization of plants and microalgae. Metabolic

Engineering. 2017;40:59-68.

38.    Smith MJ, Francis MB. A designed A. vinelandii–S. elongatus coculture for chemical

photoproduction from air, water, phosphate, and trace metals. ACS synthetic biology.

2016;5(9):955-61.

39.    Ortiz-Marquez JCF, Do Nascimento M, Curatti L. Metabolic engineering of ammonium

release for nitrogen-fixing multispecies microbial cell-factories. Metabolic Engineering.

2014;23:154-64.

40.    Wu C, Herold RA, Knoshaug EP, Wang B, Xiong W, Laurens LM. Fluxomic analysis

reveals central carbon metabolism adaptation for diazotroph azotobacter vinelandii ammonium

excretion. Scientific reports. 2019;9(1):1-11.

41.    Vitousek PM, Cassman K, Cleveland C, Crews T, Field CB, Grimm NB, et al. Towards

an ecological understanding of biological nitrogen fixation.  The nitrogen cycle at regional to

global scales: Springer; 2002. p. 1-45.

42.    Kilstrup M, Hammer K, Ruhdal Jensen P, Martinussen J. Nucleotide metabolism and its

control in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2005;29(3):555-90.

43.    Beaman TC, Hitchins A, Ochi K, Vasantha N, Endo T, Freese E. Specificity and control

of uptake of purines and other compounds in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of bacteriology.

1983;156(3):1107-17.

44.    Yao C, Chou J, Wang T, Zhao H, Zhang B. Pantothenic acid, vitamin C, and biotin play

important roles in the growth of Lactobacillus helveticus. Frontiers in microbiology.

2018;9:1194.

45.    Peterson W, Peterson MS. Relation of bacteria to vitamins and other growth factors.

Bacteriological reviews. 1945;9(2):49-109.

46.    Palacios OA, Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE. Proven and potential involvement of vitamins in

interactions of plants with plant growth-promoting bacteria—an overview. Biology and fertility

of soils. 2014;50(3):415-32.

47.    Inomura K, Bragg J, Follows MJ. A quantitative analysis of the direct and indirect costs

of nitrogen fixation: a model based on Azotobacter vinelandii. The ISME journal.

2017;11(1):166-75.

48.    Dixon R, Kahn D. Genetic regulation of biological nitrogen fixation. Nature Reviews

Microbiology. 2004;2(8):621-31.

49. Gonzalez-Lopez J, Salmeron V, Moreno J, Ramos-Cormenzana A. Amino acids and

vitamins produced by Azotobacter vinelandii ATCC 12837 in chemically-defined media and

dialysed soil media. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 1983;15(6):711-3.

50. Martinez-Toledo MV, Rodelas B, Salmeron V, Pozo C, Gonzalez-Lopez J. Production of

pantothenic acid and thiamine by Azotobacter vinelandii in a chemically defined medium and a

dialysed soil medium. Biology and fertility of soils. 1996;22(1):131-5.

51. Danczak RE, Chu RK, Fansler SJ, Goldman AE, Graham EB, Tfaily MM, et al. Using

metacommunity ecology to understand environmental metabolomes. Nature communications.

2020;11(1):1-16.

52. Danczak RE, Goldman AE, Chu RK, Toyoda JG, Garayburu-Caruso VA, Tolić N, et al.

Ecological theory applied to environmental metabolomes reveals compositional divergence

despite conserved molecular properties. Science of The Total Environment. 2021;788:147409.

53. Wilson RM, Tfaily MM, Kolton M, Johnston ER, Petro C, Zalman CA, et al. Soil

metabolome response to whole-ecosystem warming at the Spruce and Peatland Responses under

Changing Environments experiment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2021;118(25).

54. Song Y, Li X, Yao S, Yang X, Jiang X. Correlations between soil metabolomics and

bacterial community structures in the pepper rhizosphere under plastic greenhouse cultivation.

Science of The Total Environment. 2020;728:138439.

55. Boddy E, Hill PW, Farrar J, Jones DL. Fast turnover of low molecular weight

components of the dissolved organic carbon pool of temperate grassland field soils. Soil Biology

and Biochemistry. 2007;39(4):827-35.

56.    Hill PW, Farrar JF, Jones DL. Decoupling of microbial glucose uptake and

mineralization in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2008;40(3):616-24.

57.    Pétriacq P, Williams A, Cotton A, McFarlane AE, Rolfe SA, Ton J. Metabolite profiling

of non-sterile rhizosphere soil. The Plant Journal. 2017;92(1):147-62.

58.    Swenson TL, Jenkins S, Bowen BP, Northen TR. Untargeted soil metabolomics methods

for analysis of extractable organic matter. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2015;80:189-98.

59.    Dungait JA, Hopkins DW, Gregory AS, Whitmore AP. Soil organic matter turnover is

governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. Global Change Biology. 2012;18(6):1781-96.

60.    Wilkinson A, Hill PW, Farrar JF, Jones DL, Bardgett RD. Rapid microbial uptake and

mineralization of amino acids and peptides along a grassland productivity gradient. Soil Biology

and Biochemistry. 2014;72:75-83.

61.    Hobbie JE, Hobbie EA. Amino acid cycling in plankton and soil microbes studied with

radioisotopes: measured amino acids in soil do not reflect bioavailability. Biogeochemistry.

2012;107(1):339-60.

62.    Drescher K, Nadell CD, Stone HA, Wingreen NS, Bassler BL. Solutions to the public

goods dilemma in bacterial biofilms. Current Biology. 2014;24(1):50-5.

63.    Stewart PS. Diffusion in biofilms. Journal of bacteriology. 2003;185(5):1485-91.

64.    Flemming H-C, Wingender J. The biofilm matrix. Nature reviews microbiology.

2010;8(9):623-33.

65.    Wang D, Xu A, Elmerich C, Ma LZ. Biofilm formation enables free-living nitrogen-

fixing rhizobacteria to fix nitrogen under aerobic conditions. The ISME journal.

2017;11(7):1602-13.

66.    Rinaudi L, Fujishige NA, Hirsch AM, Banchio E, Zorreguieta A, Giordano W. Effects of

nutritional and environmental conditions on Sinorhizobium meliloti biofilm formation. Research

in microbiology. 2006;157(9):867-75.

67.    Jung J-H, Choi N-Y, Lee S-Y. Biofilm formation and exopolysaccharide (EPS)

production by Cronobacter sakazakii depending on environmental conditions. Food

microbiology. 2013;34(1):70-80.

**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1**: Depiction of the systematic scaling of system complexity from liquid and solid culture to

synthetic soils (represented here as a microfluidic chip) to *in situ* soil conditions. In our study we

focus on the first two steps, relating metabolomics in liquid and solid media culture.

**Fig. 2:** Microbial (A) C content, (B) N content, (C) C:N ratio, and (D) total biomass. Bars

represent average values ± standard error and are colored by nitrogen treatment. Figures are

faceted by culture type. Lowercase letters represent significant difference at $p < 0.05$.

**Fig. 3:** Extracellular ammonium availability per unit biomass shown by (A) diazotrophic

organism and by (B) N treatment. Bars represent average values ± standard error. Bars in (B) are

colored by culture type. Lowercase letters indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$.

**Fig. 4:** Percent of fixed nitrogen available as extracellular ammonium in N-free treatments. Bars

represent average ± standard error. No significant difference was observed between culture

types.

**Fig. 5:** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of metabolite chemistry based on (A) Bray-Curtis

of peak intensity and (B) Jaccard of presence-absence including all detected metabolites. Each

point represents a single sample and are colored by treatment group (organism, N treatment,

culture type). 95% confidence ellipses are shown for culture type, represented by color, and N

treatment, represented by line type.

**Fig. 6:** Peak intensities of N-containing metabolites detected across treatment groups. Bars

represent average peak intensity ± standard error. Lowercase letters indicate significant

difference at $p < 0.05$.

**Fig. 7:** Examples of the N-containing metabolites detected at the microscale using MALDI MSI.

All ions are annotated as [M–H]- adducts. Ion images of individual m/z values were generated on

the same color bar scale for visual comparison in terms of relative ion abundance.

**Table 1**: PERMANOVA results for Bray-Curtis and Jaccard distance of macroscale metabolite abundance.

|  |  | Df | Sums of Squares | Mean Squares | F model | R squared | p–value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bray-Curtis | N treatment | 1 | 1.422 | 1.422 | 7.899 | 0.192 | 0.0001 |
|  | Culture type | 1 | 1.548 | 1.548 | 8.599 | 0.209 | 0.0001 |
|  | Interaction | 1 | 0.841 | 0.842 | 4.676 | 0.114 | 0.0001 |
|  | Residual | 20 | 3.601 | 0.180 |  | 0.486 |  |
|  | Total | 23 | 7.413 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Jaccard | N treatment | 1 | 1.350 | 1.350 | 11.547 | 0.279 | 0.0001 |
|  | Culture type | 1 | 0.639 | 0.639 | 5.460 | 0.132 | 0.0003 |
|  | Interaction | 1 | 0.515 | 0.515 | 4.406 | 0.106 | 0.0016 |
|  | Residual | 20 | 2.339 | 0.117 |  | 0.483 |  |
|  | Total | 23 | 4.843 |  |  | 1 |  |