In Vitro and In Vivo Pathogenicity of *A. alternata* to Sugar beet and Assessment of Sensitivity to Fungicides

Md Ehsanul Haque[1], Most Shanaj Parvin[2*]

[1]Plant Pathology, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58108-6050, USA

[2]Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

*Correspondence: shanaj.p@bari.gov.bd; Tel.: 0118801304881954

Abstract

A. alternata is a weak and opportunistic pathogen, but recently this pathogen has been reported in major sugar beet growing regions in the US. Therefore, we were interested to investigate the in-vitro and in-vivo pathogenesis of *A. alternata* to sugar beet seed, seedlings, tissue slices, stecklings, and roots. The in-vitro study demonstrated that *A. alternata* reduces seed germination, causes damping-off of seedlings, and decomposes beet tissue. The in-vivo study showed the same inoculum responsible for Alternaria leaf spots, and black circular spots on beetroot. Furthermore, we did a preliminary study to determine in vitro sensitivities of some fungicides to determine the effective concentration that inhibited 50% of radial mycelial growth (EC50 values) of *A. alternata*. The results indicated that EC50 values ranged from 0.0023 to 0.0058 for PROLINE, from 0.0004 to 0.0021 for OMEGA, and from 0.0027 to 0.0053 for Super TIN. Among the potential fungicides, the efficiency of quinone outside inhibitors (QoI- PRIAXOR) was relatively higher to inhibit the radial growth of *A. alternata*, irrespective of concentrations.

Keywords: A. alternate, radial growth, EC50, sugar beet

1. Introduction

A. alternata (Fr.) Keissler (anamorph) is regarded as an important saprophyte, but also a

necrotrophic plant pathogen on multiple hosts over 380 including sugar beet [19,18]. It can be

found in plants, soil, food, and indoor air in temperate and tropical regions. It causes leaf

spots, rot, and blight in many plant parts. Macroscopically, it is a fast-growing colony,

whitish at the border and light greenish to olivaceous brown at the young stage, grey to black,

and powdery to floccose at maturity. Conidiophore septate and hold chain of conidia (4-8

conidia), conidia obclavate shaped with a short beak and contains several transverse and

longitudinal septa [20, 21, 22, 4].

A. alternata favors a moist warm environment for sporulation and a cool and humid

environment for new infection. Conidia and conidiophores carry over in plant debris, seed,

and seedlings and can spread through wind and rain splash. *A. alternata* infects plants

through wounds, lenticels, stem ends, pedicels, and cuticle using its appressorium [14, 10, 9].

It also can cause disease when mechanical infection happens due to virus infection [17],

drought damage, and lack of nutrients in the host plant.

An Alternaria Leaf Spot of the Sugar Beet caused by *A. brassicae* was first reported in

California [1]. It was of little importance in the sugar beet crop in the US but nowadays, it has

drawn the attention of the growers and researchers due to the increased incidence and severity

of this pathogen since 2010. Recently, *A. tenuissima* and *A. alternata* were reported to cause

leaf spots on sugar beet in Minnesota and North Dakota, respectively [4, 23]. The most

common method for controlling Alternaria diseases is the use of fungicides both in fields and

in storages of various economic plants [15, 13, 16, 2]. The development of resistance in

pathogenic fungi to common fungicides and increasing residual hazardous effects on human

health and environmental pollution has given a thrust to search for new derivatives that can

obstruct the fungal pathogenicity [12]. Fungicide-resistant to *A. alternata* impedes the

practical control of the Alternaria diseases in crop fields [3]. The effectiveness of several

groups of fungicides varied significantly. Therefore, the present work aimed to study the in-vitro assay of some selected fungicides against *A. alternata*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Spore Suspension

Clones of *A. alternata* (Genbank accession: MK439485.1), was maintained on 50% Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MK439485.1). The colony showed grey to olive green morphology and microscopically it indicated a long chain of conidia. A single spore (conidium) of *A. alternata* was isolated and sub-cultured. Genomic DNA was extracted from these pure cultures, and molecular assay using ITS 4 and ITS5 confirmed these cultures as *A. alternata* which were used for in vitro and in vivo study.

Sterile distilled water was added to plates containing 10 days old *A. alternata* culture and then the surface of the agar was scraped with a sterilized spatula to remove hyphae and spores. The contents of several plates were poured through cheesecloth into a beaker to remove agar and large fragments of mycelium. The spore concentration was determined with a hemocytometer and adjusted to approximately 5×10^5 spores per ml of *A. alternata*.

2.2. Inoculation of Sugar beet Seed with *A. alternata*

Fungicidal coats were removed from the seed, surface sterilized with 10% bleach solution with a drop of Tween-20, washed in autoclaved water, removed excess moisture, and dried inside the laminar airflow cabinet. Fifty seeds were treated or soaked overnight with a spore suspension of *A. alternata* (5×10^5 spores per ml/Petri dish) and kept at 24° C and 70-80% relative humidity. Mock treatment was performed with autoclaved water with the same number of seeds. Germination records were collected 7 days after post-inoculation.

Alternatively, to check seed germination under *A. alternata* inoculation seeds were washed

and surface sterilized with a bleach solution: 10%, subsequently, blotter paper technique was

applied following International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) guideline. Autoclaved

blotter paper was, folded four times, each fold contained 25 seeds and inoculated with *A.*

alternata spore suspension. A hundred seeds for both non-inoculated and inoculated were

taken for quantifying the germination percentage. Data were recorded at 7 days after

inoculation.

2.3. In-vitro Inoculation of A. alternata on Seedlings Grown in Falcon Tube

84 Surface sterilized seeds were gown in 50 ml falcon tube containing 50% PDA media, and

85 allowed to grow for 5 days. After 5 days of seedlings' age, each seedling was inoculated with

86 *A. alternata* spore suspension (5×10^5 spores per ml) @ 100 µl/plant except the control plant.

87 Data were recorded nine days after inoculation.

2.4. In-vitro Inoculation of A. alternata on Fresh Healthy Tissue

Fresh healthy tissue of sugar beet was sliced into pieces, surface sterilized with 10% bleach

solution, washed in autoclaved water, removed excess moisture with autoclaved Whatman

paper. Spore suspension (5×10^5 spores per ml) conidia of *A. alternata* was inoculated onto

beet tissues. Data was recorded three times such as 7, 10, and 15 days after inoculation. It

indicated considerable changes in beet tissue color and texture at different days after

inoculation due to infection and resulted in decomposition and discoloration of tissue except

for the control plate.

2.5. Greenhouse Evaluation of A. alternata to Sugar beet

98   *A. alternata* spore suspension (5 x 10^5 spores per ml) was sprayed twice to sugar beet leaves

99   and root zone first at four weeks and second at six weeks of plant age at 25° C and 80%

100   relative humidity. Eight plants were inoculated except control plants. Data were recorded at

101   16 weeks of post-inoculation.

102   *2.6. Fungal Growth Inhibition Study via Fungicides*

Preparation of media: 15 g Agar powder, 12 g potato dextrose broth, and 1000ml water used for

preparing 50% potato dextrose agar (PDA) media, autoclaved and amended with antibiotics, and the

desired concentration of fungicides included 1 ppm, 0.1 ppm, 0.01 ppm, 0.001 ppm, and 0 ppm as

control. Media was poured in a 90 mm Petri dish with three replications for each concentration and

allowed to solidify (Table 1). The relative efficacy of four (04) different fungicides was tested against

A. alternata in the laboratory. Fungal plugs were cut out from the fungal culture using a 5-mm-

diameter corker borer and placed on 50% PDA supplemented Petri dishes with 1ppm, 0.1ppm,

0.01ppm, 0.001ppm in triplicates. *A. alternata* inoculum at least 8-10 days old culture was used and

placed in the center of each Petri dish. The medium without any fungicide poured and inoculated

similarly served as control. The Petri dishes were incubated at 28±1°C for 12 days. The efficiency of

fungicides was assessed by measuring the radial growth of the fungal colony in cm.

115   **Table 1. Fungicides tested for in-vitro evaluation against *A. alternate***

Fungicides	Active ingredient	Rate/Dose
Super Tin	Triphenyl Tin Hydroxide	1ppm, 0.1ppm, 0.01ppm, 0.001ppm
Omega	Fluazinam	
Priaxor	Fluxapyroxad and pyraclostrobin	
Proline	Prothioconazole	

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis consisted of one-way ANOVA with Tukey's or

Sidak's multiple comparisons testing performed by GraphPad PRISM 9.3.1. All experiments

were done in triplicates and the data is plotted as the mean ±SE of triplicate determinations.

EC50 calculation was also performed by GraphPad PRISM 9.3.1 via normalization and

nonlinear regression curve fit.

3. Results:

3.1. In vitro Inoculation- A. alternata Reduced Sugar beet Seed Germination

In vitro inoculation via conidia suspension of sugar beet seeds demonstrated below 50%

germination, and sprouted seeds were rotten. In mock inoculation, above 90% of seeds were

sprouted and normal growth was observed (Fig. 1 A & B). Likewise, blotter paper technique

in non-inoculated check-showed 90% germination while paper contained seed and conidial

suspension of *A. altarnata* showed only 20% germination (Fig. 2 C & D).

Fig. 1 In vitro conidial-inoculation and mock-inoculation of sugar beet seed on Petri plate and

Blotter paper at 7 dpi- A. plate contained only seed (non-inoculated check)- shows 90%

germination, B. plate contained seed and conidial suspension of *A. altarnata*- shows below

50% germination, C. paper contained only seed (non-inoculated check)-shows 90%

germination, D. paper contained seed and conidial suspension of *A. alternata* -shows 20%

germination.

3.2. In-vitro Inoculation of A. alternata in Seedlings Demonstrated Damping-off Like

Symptoms

In order to understand the pathogenesis of *A. alternate*, the seedling was inoculated ambient

condition in a falcon tube. Nine days after inoculation all plants were infected and died off

except non-inoculated control (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2 In-vitro inoculation of *A. alternata* in seedlings grown in falcon tube A. non-inoculated check-sprouted seedling shows normal growth, B. seedling death occurred in *A. alternata* inoculated tubes at 9 days after post-inoculation.

3.3. In-Vitro Inoculation of A. alternata on Fresh Sugar beet Tissue

In order to understand the pathogenesis of *A. alternate*, sugar beet slices were inoculated at the inoculation chamber. This shows infection like tissue rotten in inoculated sugar beet slices. The infection resulted in the discoloration of tissue which is followed by decomposition (Fig. 3 B). There was no tissue decomposition in the control plate (Fig. 3 A).

Fig. 3 In-vitro inoculation of *A. alternata* on sugar beet slices A. non-inoculated check-sugar beet slice shows zero rotten, B. inoculated slices shows black dark rotten signs at 3 weeks after post-inoculation.

3.4. In Vivo Study Showed Pathogenicity of A. alternata

A greenhouse study was performed to understand the pathogenesis of Alternaria to sugar beet plants. 16 weeks after post-inoculation, characteristics circular brown lesions appeared on the older leaves (Fig. 4 A & B), while the non-inoculated plants did not show any symptoms. Subsequently, sugar beet roots were harvested and the dark brown circular

symptoms found on the surface of beet appeared on 30% of the harvested beet (Fig. 4 D).

No symptoms were observed on control beets. The experiment was conducted twice.

Fig. 4 *A. alternata* symptoms appeared on leaf, stem, and sugar beet root after 16 weeks of

post-inoculation in the greenhouse. The red arrow indicates typical signs of infection.

3.5. Radial Growth and EC50

In vitro efficacy of quinone outside inhibitors (QoI- PRIAXOR) was relatively higher to inhibit the

radial growth of *A. alternata* compared to the other three fungicides, irrespective of concentrations

(Fig. 4 B). Triphenyl Tin Hydroxide (Super TIN) and Omega showed moderate efficiency in

controlling the radial growth (Fig. 4 A & D). Triazole (PROLINE) showed minimum efficiency while

compared to the non-treated check (Fig. 4 C).

Fig. 5 Radial growth (cm) of *A. alternata* compared to non-treated mock with four fungicides

with four concentrations at 0.001 ppm, 0.01 ppm, 0.1 ppm, and 1.0 ppm. Radial growth of

(A) OMEGA, (B) PRIAXOR, (C) PROLINE, and (D) Super TIN. ****; ***; **; * indicates

significant differences in radial growth compared to the control 0 ppm concentration at p-

value of ≤ 0.0001; ≤ 0.001; ≤ 0.01; ≤ 0.05 respectively.

EC50 (the effective concentrations to cause inhibitions by 50) were used to understand the fungicide

potency. Among the four fungicides, three fungicides showed the sigmoid curve or S-shaped curve.

This shows a growth pattern of A. alternate where the radial growth slowly reduced with the

increasing concentration of fungicides on the culture plates. Super TIN EC 50 was 0.00385, with an R

squared value 0.97, which is followed by PROLINE (EC50 = 0.003798), with an R squared value

0.95. The Goodness of Fit was very close to 1 for Super TIN and PROLINE. The EC50 of OMEGA

was 0.0011, with an R squared value 0.91 (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6 EC50 values for four fungicides and log transformation value four concentrations at

0.001 ppm (-3), 0.01 ppm (-2), 0.1 ppm (-1), and 1.0 ppm (0) in *A. alternata*. EC50 of (A)

OMEGA = 0.001117, (B) PRIAXOR = infinity, (C) PROLINE = 0.003798, and (D) Super

TIN = 0.003854.

4. Discussion

This study provided in vitro evidence of *A. alternata* reduced sugar beet seed germination

and sprouting. In situ is relatively heterogeneous and often does reflect the true causal

organism that inhibits the seed germination. Our study demonstrated that *A. alternata* can

decrease sugar beet seed germination by 50%.

In-vitro inoculation of *A. alternata* in seedlings showed that *A. alternata* is involved in

damping-off-like symptoms in sugar beet. Previously we learned that damping-off symptoms

are mostly caused by *Aphanomyces cochlioides*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, and *Globisporangium*

ultimum [5,6,7]. Our study found that susceptible sugar beet cultivar might incline to

damping-off disease if the field sustains a previous story of *A. alternata*.

In-vitro inoculation of *A. alternata* on fresh sugar beet tissue demonstrated that *A. altarnata*

can be an emerging post-harvest pathogen. Sugar beet piled for a long period of time before

processing for sugar. Thus, it might be an issue with the storage of sugar beet. In this in-vitro

pathosystem, we noticed the potential of *A. alternata* to cause tissue discoloration and

decomposition of sugar beet. Recently, several new post-harvest pathogens have been

reported in sugar beet such as *Talaromyces pinophilus, Geotrichum candidum,* and

Clonostachys rosea [8, 24, 25]. Our study shows that Alternaria decomposes sugar beet

tissue, which can be a potential threat for storage beets.

Previously it was known that Alternaria causes Alternaria Leaf Spot of the Sugar Beet. Our in

vivo study showed the pathogenesis of *A. alternata* causes not only leaf spots but also causes

brown lesions on the collar region and dark brown circular spots on stecklings.

Emerging pathogen is always a concern for growers because of fungicide sensitivity issues

and fungal resistance via mutation to a particular group of fungicides. Moreover, biological

control often does not work managing new pathogens in field conditions. Therefore, there is

no feasible alternative management approach apart from chemical fungicides. Our

preliminary study on Alternaria-fungicide combination via radial growth measurement and

EC50 values estimation showed that Priaxor, Super Tin fungicides can be recommended to

effectively control this pathogen.

5. Conclusion: *A. alternata* becoming prevalent along with Cercospora leaf spot. *Alternaria* species

were reported from sugar beet in California, Michigan, Montana, North Dakota, Arizona, and

Minnesota. It might be a problem for the storage of sugar beet. Careful monitoring, clean cultivation,

and seed treatment are imperative for handling the disease. Priaxor, Omega, and Super Tin fungicides

can be recommended to effectively control this pathogen.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment: Sugar beet Research and Education Board and American Sugar beet
Growers Association.

References

1. Mcfarlane, J. S; ROY, B; William, C. S. An Alternaria Leaf Spot of the Sugar Beet.

American Society of Sugar beet Technologists. 1950. Pp 241-246. https://bsdf-

assbt.org/wpcontent/uploads/2018/01/ASSBTVol8p241to246AnAlternariaLeafSpotof

theSugarBeet.pdf.

2. Rupp, J; Jacobsen, B. Bacterial and fungal diseases of potato and their management.

Bozeman (MT): Montana State University. (Rapport EB0225). 2017.

3. Kim, E; Lee, H. M; Kim, Y. H. Morphogenetic Alterations of Alternaria alternata

Exposed to Dicarboximide Fungicide, Iprodione. The plant pathology journal, 2017.

33(1), 95–100. https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.NT.06.2016.0145

4. Haque, M. E; Parvin, M.S. First Report of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Leaf Spot on Beta

vulgaris in North Dakota, USA. Austin J Plant Biol. 2021. 7(1): 1026.

5. Haque, M.E; Parvin, M.S. First Report of Aphanomyces Root Rot Caused by Aphanomyces

cochlioides on Beta vulgaris in Arizona, USA. J Plant Physiol. Pathol. 2020. 8:4.

6. Haque, M.E; Parvin, M.S. First Report of *Globisporangium ultimum* Causing Constriction on

Hypocotyl of Beta vulgaris in North Dakota, USA. Austin J Plant Biol. 2021. 7(1): 1025.

7. Haque, M.E; Parvin, M.S. Variations in Pathogenicity of Three Different Forms of

Rhizoctonia Inoculum and Assessment of Cultivar Resistance of Sugar Beet. J Pathol &

Microbiol. 2021. 3(1): 1019.

8. Haque, M.E; Parvin, M.S. First report of *Talaromyces pinophilus* causing postharvest rot of

sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) in Minnesota, USA. New Disease Reports. 2020. 42, 15.

9. Haque, M.E; Parvin, S. Dual Specificity of Alternaria to Cause Plant Disease and Allergic

Reactions. Nipp. J. Environ. Sci., 2021. 2(1), 001. https://doi.org/10.46266/2021.njes.001

10. Prusky, D; Amnon, L. Activation of quiescent infections by postharvest pathogens during

transition from the biotrophic to the necrotrophic stage, FEMS Microbiology Letters, 2007.

268: 1. Pages 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00603.x

11. Manoj, K; Vijai, B; Kaushlendra, S; Chandrajeet, S; Anil, K. Y. Evaluation of Fungicide

Efficacy for the Management of Alternaria Leaf Spot Disease on Chilli. Plant Pathology

Journal. 2013. 12: 32-35.

12. Nemat, M. H; Mohamed, I; Abu-Doubara, Mohamed, A; Waly, B; Mamdouh, M. N. A.

Efficacy of a pyrimidine derivative to control spot disease on Solanum melongena caused by

Alternaria alternata. J Adv Res. 2013; 4(4): 393–401.

13. Singh, P.J; Singh, P; Dhindsa, G.S; Kumar, R. Efficacy of systemic and non-systemic
fungicides against leaf spot (Alternaria tagetica) of marigold. Indian Phytopathol. 2006;
59:118–119.

14. Pearson, R.C; Hall, D.H. Factors affecting the occurrence and severity of blackmold of ripe
tomato fruit caused byAlternaria alternata. Phytopathology. 1975. 65:1352–1359.

15. Maude, R.B; Humpherson-Jones, F.M. The effect of iprodione on the seed-borne phase of
Alternaria brassicicola. Ann Appl Biol. 2008;95:321–327. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-
7348.1980.tb04753.x.

16. Tsedaley, B. Review on early blight (Alternaria spp.) on potato disease and its management
options. J Biol Agric Healthc. 2014; 4:191–198.

17. Russell, G. E. 1966. The control of Alternaria species on leaves of sugar beet infected with
yellowing viruses, Annals of Applied Biology.1966. 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1966.tb03835.x,
57, 3, (425),

18. Rotem, J. The genus Alternaria: biology, epidemiology, and pathogenicity. APS Press; St.
Paul, MN, USA: 1994.

19. Hutton, D.G. The appearance of dicarboximide resistance in Alternaria alternata in passion
fruit in south-east Queensland. Australas Plant Pathol. 1988;17:34–36. doi:
10.1071/APP9880034.

20. Simmons, E. G. Alternaria: an identification manual : fully illustrated and with catalogue
raisonné 1796-2007. CBS Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands. 2007

21. Woudenberg, J; Crous, P. W; Groenewald, J. Z. Multigene phylogeny reveals two new
species-groups within Alternaria. Phytopathology. 2012. 102:138-138.

22. Woudenberg, J. H. C; Seidl, M. F; Groenewald, J. Z; de Vries, M; Stielow, J. B; Thomma, B.
P. H. J; Crous, P. W. Alternaria section Alternaria: Species, formae speciales or pathotypes?
Studies in Mycology. 2015. 1-21.

23. M. F. R. Khan, M. E. Haque, M. Bloomquist, M. Z. R. Bhuiyan, R. Brueggeman, S. Zhong,
R. Sharma Poudel, et al. "First Report of Alternaria Leaf Spot Caused by Alternaria

tenuissima on Sugar Beet (Beta vulgaris) in Minnesota, U.S.A." Plant disease 104, no. 2

(2020): 580. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-03-19-0603-PDN

24. Haque ME, Parvin MS, 2020. First report of Clonostachys rosea causing root rot of Beta

vulgaris   in   North   Dakota,   USA.   New   Disease   Reports   42,   21.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2020.042.021]

25. Khan et al., 2019. First Report of Geotrichum candidum Causing Postharvest Rot of Sugar

Beet (Beta vulgaris) Roots in Minnesota and North Dakota

https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-19-1000-PDN