Genomic surveillance of *Bacillus cereus sensu lato* strains isolated from meat and poultry

products in South Africa enables inter- and intra-national surveillance and source tracking

Laura M. Carroll[a], Rian Pierneef[b], Aletta Mathole[c], Abimbola Atanda[c] , Itumeleng Matle[c]#

[a]Structural and Computational Biology Unit, EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany

[b]Biotechnology Platform, Agricultural Research Council, Onderstepoort Veterinary Research,

Onderstepoort, South Africa

[c]Bacteriology Division, Agricultural Research Council: Onderstepoort Veterinary Research,

Onderstepoort, South Africa

#Corresponding author: Itumeleng Matle, matlei@arc.agric.za

Running Title: South African *Bacillus cereus* genomic sequencing

Abstract Word Count: 250 words (Abstract) + 147 words (Importance)

Text Word Count: 4,628 words

Abstract

Members of the *Bacillus cereus sensu lato* (*s.l.*) species complex, also known as the *B. cereus*

group, vary in their ability to cause illness, but are frequently isolated from foods, including meat

products; however, food safety surveillance efforts that employ whole-genome sequencing (WGS)

often neglect these potential pathogens. Here, WGS was used to characterize *B. cereus s.l.* strains

(*n* = 25) isolated during surveillance of meat products in South Africa. Strains were collected from

beef, poultry, and mixed meat products obtained from (i) retail outlets, processing plants, and

butcheries across six South African provinces (*n* = 15, 7, and 1, respectively), and (ii) imports in

cold stores (*n* = 2). Strains were assigned to *panC* Groups IV, III, II, and V (*n* = 18, 5, 1, and 1,

respectively) and spanned multiple genomospecies, regardless of the taxonomy used. All strains

possessed diarrheal toxin-encoding genes, while one strain possessed cereulide (emetic)

synthetase-encoding genes. No strains harbored anthrax toxin- or capsule-encoding genes,

including two strains assigned to the Genome Taxonomy Database's (GTDB) *B. anthracis*

genomospecies. The 25 strains were partitioned into 15 lineages via *in silico* seven-gene multi-

locus sequence typing (MLST), six of which contained multiple strains sequenced in this study,

which were identical or nearly identical at the whole-genome scale. Five MLST lineages contained

(nearly) identical genomes collected from two or three South African provinces; one MLST

lineage contained identical genomes from two countries (South Africa and the Netherlands),

indicating that *B. cereus s.l.* can spread intra- and inter-nationally via foodstuffs.

Importance

Nation-wide foodborne pathogen surveillance programs that employ high-resolution genomic

methods have been shown to provide vast public health and economic benefits. However, *B. cereus*

s.l. are often overlooked during large-scale, routine WGS efforts. Thus, to our knowledge, no

studies to date have evaluated the potential utility of WGS for *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance and source

tracking in foodstuffs. In this proof-of-concept study, we applied WGS to *B. cereus s.l.* strains

collected via South Africa's national surveillance program of domestic and imported meat

products, and we provide strong evidence that *B. cereus s.l.* can be transmitted intra- and inter-

nationally via the agro-food supply chain. Our results showcase that WGS can be used for source

tracking of *B. cereus s.l.* in foods, although future WGS and isolate metadata collection efforts are

needed to ensure that *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance initiatives are on par with those of other foodborne

pathogens.

56
57

INTRODUCTION

Bacillus cereus sensu lato (*s.l.*), also known as the *B. cereus* group, is a complex of closely related, Gram-positive, spore-forming species, which are widespread throughout the environment (1). While some members of *B. cereus s.l.* have important industrial applications or roles (e.g., as biocontrol agents in agricultural settings, as food spoilage organisms) (2-6), others are capable of causing illnesses or death in humans and/or animals (1, 7-9). Illnesses caused by members of *B. cereus s.l.* can range in severity from mild to severe/fatal and include anthrax and anthrax-like illness (8, 10, 11), foodborne emetic intoxication (1, 7, 12-15), foodborne diarrheal toxicoinfection (1, 7, 14, 15), and non-gastrointestinal infections (16, 17). As a foodborne pathogen, "*B. cereus*" is estimated to be responsible for more than 256,000 illnesses globally each year (18), although this is likely an underestimate, due to the relatively mild and self-limiting nature of the symptoms that often accompany foodborne illness caused by *B. cereus s.l.* (1).

Food safety surveillance efforts around the world have identified *B. cereus s.l.* strains in a wide variety of foodstuffs (1, 7), including raw intact, processed, and ready-to-eat (RTE) meat and poultry products (19-27). In South Africa specifically, previous surveillance efforts have identified *B. cereus s.l.* in (i) retail meats sold at supermarkets in the Pretoria area (i.e., Vienna sausages, salami, and poultry) (27) and (ii) biltong (a spiced intermediate moisture RTE meat product) sold at supermarkets, stalls, kiosks, and butcheries in Bloemfontein, Free State (28). Most recently, in a study of over two thousand meat product samples collected from butcheries, processing plants, abattoirs, and retail outlets across all nine South African provinces, *B. cereus s.l.* was present in 4.5 and 2.7% of domestic and imported meat products, respectively (26).

Ongoing surveillance efforts in South Africa have indicated that meat and poultry products can harbor *B. cereus s.l.* and may pose a potential food safety risk to South African consumers.

However, it is unclear which *B. cereus s.l.* lineages are present in South African meat and poultry

products on a genomic scale. Here, we used whole-genome sequencing (WGS) to characterize 25

B. cereus s.l. strains isolated from raw intact, processed, and RTE meat and poultry products

collected from processing plants, butcheries, and retail outlets across South Africa, as well as

imported meat products. By comparing South African strains sequenced here to all publicly

available *B. cereus s.l.* genomes ($n = 2,887$), we identified multiple *B. cereus s.l.* species present

among South African meat and poultry products, and we detected multiple potential inter-national

and inter-provincial *B. cereus s.l.* transmission events. Overall, our study serves as the first

genome-scale study of South African *B. cereus s.l.* in foodstuffs and showcases the utility of WGS

for *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance and source tracking.

RESULTS

***B. cereus s.l.* are present among domestic and imported meat and poultry products in South**

Africa. A total of 25 *B. cereus s.l.* strains were isolated from meat and poultry products, which

had been collected across South Africa in 2015 and 2016 (Figure 1, Table 1, and Supplemental

Table S1). Overall, 19 strains (76.0%) originated from beef products, including: beef wors, a

processed South African sausage ($n = 7$; 28.0%); beef biltong, a South African spiced intermediate

moisture RTE meat product ($n = 5$; 20.0%); processed beef mince ($n = 3$; 12.0%); RTE beef

sausage emulsion ($n = 2$; 8.0%); and processed beef patties ($n = 2$; 8.0%, Table 1 and Supplemental

Table S1). Five strains (20.0%) were isolated from poultry products, including raw chicken thighs

($n = 2$; 8.0%), raw chicken quarter-legs ($n = 2$; 8.0%), and a frankfurter ($n = 1$; 4.0%, Table 1 and

Supplemental Table S1). One strain (4.0%) was isolated from wors, which had been made from a

mix of beef, pork, and lamb (Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1).

The majority of strains (23 of 25, 92.0%) were obtained from domestic meat and poultry

products acquired across six South African provinces (n = 5, 5, 4, 4, 3, and 2 strains from Gauteng,

Limpopo, Free State, North West, Mpumalanga, and Western Cape, respectively; Figure 1, Table

1, and Supplemental Table S1). The remaining two strains (8.0%) were isolated from raw, intact

chicken quarter-legs, which had been imported into South Africa from the Netherlands (Figure 1,

Table 1, and Supplemental Table S1). Fifteen of the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* strains (60.0%) were isolated

from meat or poultry products acquired from retail outlets, while seven (28.0%) were acquired

from meat or poultry products obtained from processing plants (Table 1 and Supplemental Table

S1). Two strains (from chicken quarter-legs imported from the Netherlands; 8.0%) were derived

from poultry in cold stores, while one strain (4.0%) was isolated from the mixed beef-pork-lamb

wors acquired from a butchery (Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1).

Multiple species are present among *B. cereus s.l.* from South African meat and poultry

products. Species-level taxonomic classification of *B. cereus s.l.* is notoriously challenging (1,

29, 30); to avoid taxonomic ambiguities and maximize interpretability, we applied multiple

taxonomic assignment and sequence typing methods to the 25 strains sequenced here (Table 1).

One such sequence typing framework relies on the pantoate-β-alanine ligase gene (*panC*) to assign

B. cereus s.l. strains to one of seven or more major phylogenetic groups, which have been proposed

to conceptually serve as "species" (31, 32). Using the adjusted eight-group *panC* typing approach

implemented in BTyper3 (33), the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced here encompassed four *panC*

phylogenetic groups (i.e., "species"; Figure 2 and Table 1). The majority (n = 18 of 25, 72.0%) of

the strains sequenced here were assigned to *panC* Group IV (Figure 2 and Table 1). The remaining

strains were assigned to *panC* Groups III, II, and V (n = 5, 1, and 1 strains, representing 20.0%,

4.0%, and 4.0% of isolates sequenced here, respectively; Figure 2 and Table 1).

Using the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) taxonomy, the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* strains

sequenced here encompassed eight genomospecies (Figure 2 and Table 1). The 18 *panC* Group

IV strains sequenced here encompassed four GTDB genomospecies: "*B. bombysepticus*" ($n = 7$),

B. cereus ($n = 6$), "*B. thuringiensis*_S" ($n = 4$)*,* and *B. thuringiensis* ($n = 1$; Figure 2 and Table

1). The five *panC* Group III strains sequenced here were assigned to two GTDB genomospecies

($n = 3$ and 2 strains assigned to *B. paranthracis* and *B. anthracis*, respectively), while the *panC*

Group II and Group V strains ($n = 1$ each) were assigned to the *B. wiedmannii* and *B. toyonensis*

genomospecies, respectively (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Using a standardized genomospecies-subspecies-biovar (GSB) nomenclatural framework

proposed for *B. cereus s.l.* in 2020 (34) (referred to hereafter as the "2020 GSB" framework), the

25 strains sequenced here encompassed three genomospecies (Figure 2 and Tables 1 and 2). All

18 *panC* Group IV strains were assigned to the *B. cereus sensu stricto* (*s.s.*) genomospecies (Figure

2 and Tables 1 and 2); genes encoding insecticidal toxins (referred to hereafter as "Bt toxin-

encoding genes") were detected within all Group IV *B. cereus s.s.* genomes (using

BtToxin_scanner2's "old" gene detection approach), meaning that these 18 strains were predicted

to belong to the Thuringiensis biovar (i.e., *B. cereus s.s.* bv. Thuringiensis; Table 2). All five *panC*

Group III and the single *panC* Group II strain(s) were assigned to genomospecies *B. mosaicus*

within the 2020 GSB framework (Figure 2 and Tables 1 and 2). One *panC* Group III *B. mosaicus*

strain (i.e., strain S66) was assigned to PubMLST sequence type 26 (ST26) and possessed

cereulide (emetic toxin) synthetase-encoding *cesABCD* and was thus assigned to the *cereus*

subspecies and biovar Emeticus (i.e., *B. mosaicus* subsp. *cereus* bv. Emeticus; Table 2). The lone

panC Group V strain sequenced here was assigned to the *B. toyonensis* genomospecies; Bt toxin-

encoding genes were detected in this genome (via BtToxin_scanner2's "old" gene detection

approach), and thus this strain was predicted to belong to biovar Thuringiensis (i.e., *B. toyonensis*

bv. Thuringiensis; Figure 2 and Tables 1 and 2).

Using a rapid, average nucleotide identity (ANI)-based pseudo-gene flow unit assignment

scheme, which attempts to assign *B. cereus s.l.* genomes to taxonomic units that mimic *B. cereus*

s.l. "species" previously delineated using recent gene flow (33), the 25 strains sequenced here were

assigned to six pseudo-gene flow units (pseudo-GFUs; Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S1). The

18 *panC* Group IV strains sequenced here were assigned to two pseudo-GFUs: *frankland* and

berliner (*n* = 13 and 5, respectively; Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S1). The five *panC* Group

III strains sequenced here also encompassed two pseudo-GFUs (*n* = 3 and 2 strains assigned to

pseudo-GFUs *cereus* and *anthracis*, respectively; Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S1). The *panC*

Group II and Group V strains (*n* = 1 each) were assigned to the *wiedmannii* and *toyonensis* pseudo-

GFUs, respectively (Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S1).

As mentioned above, considerable phenotypic diversity was predicted among the stains

sequenced here, as one strain harbored cereulide synthetase-encoding genes, and 19 strains

possessed Bt toxin-encoding genes (detected using BtToxin_scanner2's "old" gene detection

approach; Table 2). Notably, no anthrax toxin- or capsule-encoding genes were identified within

the genomes of the isolates sequenced here, including among two genomes that most closely

resembled the *B. anthracis* species representative genome (via ANI-based methods; Table 2).

Overall, regardless of whether the *panC*, GTDB, 2020 GSB, or pseudo-gene flow unit

assignment frameworks were used, *B. cereus s.l.* strains isolated from meat and poultry products

in South Africa were considerably diverse and represented multiple genomospecies (Figure 2,

Tables 1 and 2, and Supplemental Table S1). Additionally, using PubMLST's seven-gene MLST

scheme for *B. cereus*, 17 of 25 strains (68.0%) encompassed 11 STs, with eight strains (32.0%)

assigned to unknown STs (Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1). Due to the considerable genomic

diversity observed among isolates sequenced here, major lineages represented by strains

sequenced in this study are discussed individually in detail below, largely within the context of

panC Groups and/or MLST STs, as these frameworks are well-established (31, 32, 35, 36) and

likely the most interpretable to readers.

Several *B. cereus s.l.* lineages within *panC* Group IV are distributed across multiple South

African provinces. Within *panC* Group IV, the 18 strains sequenced here were partitioned into

ten lineages using MLST (referred to hereafter as "MLST-based lineages"; Table 3). Based on (i)

the whole-genome phylogeny, (ii) pairwise core single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

identified within MLST-based lineages, and (iii) ANI values calculated within and between

MLST-based lineages, four of ten *panC* Group IV MLST-based lineages contained South African

strains sequenced in this study, which were highly similar to at least one other strain at the whole-

genome level (Figures 3 and 4 and Table 3). One of these lineages (denoted in Table 3 as Lineage

IVA) was composed of three South African strains sequenced in this study (S65, S85, and S87),

which were assigned to GTDB's "*B. bombysepticus*" genomospecies and belonged to an unknown

ST (Figures 3 and 4 and Table 3). Despite all three genomes being nearly identical (pairwise core

SNP distance = 0, pairwise ANI > 99.99; Figure 3 and Table 3), the three strains were isolated

from (i) three different establishments and provinces (a processing plant in Limpopo, a retail outlet

in Gauteng, and a processing plant in Free State), and (ii) two different types of meat products

(two strains from beef wors and one from a poultry frankfurter; Figure 4 and Table 1).

Similar results were observed for *panC* Group IV ST2721 (Lineage IVB in Table 3), which

was also assigned to GTDB's "*B. bombysepticus*" genomospecies and contained three strains

sequenced in this study (S56, S79, and New_S84; Figures 3 and 4 and Table 3). The three ST2721

genomes were nearly identical (pairwise core SNP distance = 0, pairwise ANI > 99.99; Table 3),

despite the fact that the strains originated from three different meat products (one from each of

beef wors, beef biltong, and processed beef patties) obtained from three different establishments

(from a processing plant in North West province, a retail outlet in North West province, and a retail

outlet in Free State, respectively; Figure 4 and Table 1).

A third *panC* Group IV lineage of unknown ST, which was assigned to GTDB's *B. cereus*

species (Lineage IVD), contained two isolates sequenced in this study (S81 and S88; Figures 3

and 4 and Table 3). One strain (S81) had been isolated from beef wors in a processing plant in the

North West province; the other (S88) from RTE beef biltong in a retail outlet in Limpopo (Figure

4 and Table 1). Both strains were highly similar on a genomic scale (>99.99 ANI) and differed by

three core SNPs (Figure 3 and Table 3). For reference, in a previous point source foodborne

outbreak caused by *B. cereus s.l.* (37), outbreak isolates could differ by up to seven core SNPs

(using the same SNP calling methodology used here) (38).

A fourth *panC* Group IV lineage, ST2668, contained three strains sequenced in this study

(S53, S63, and S70), which were assigned to GTDB's "*B. thuringiensis_S*" genomospecies

(Lineage IVH; Figures 3 and 4 and Table 3). The three strains sequenced here differed by, at most,

a single core SNP (Table 3), even though all had been isolated from different meat products

(processed beef patties, beef biltong, and processed beef mince) obtained from different

establishments (i.e., from retail outlets in each of Gauteng, Free State, and Limpopo, respectively;

Figure 4 and Table 1). One publicly available genome associated with a *B. cereus s.l.* strain isolated

from grass in KarieDeshe, Israel (39) was additionally assigned to ST2668 (NCBI RefSeq

Accession GCF_005217245.1); however, this strain was not closely related to the three nearly

identical South African ST2668 strains sequenced in this study (Table 3).

The remaining six MLST-based lineages (i.e., Lineages IVC, IVE, IVF, IVG, IVI, and IVJ,

corresponding to an unknown ST, ST177, ST2289, ST24, ST1697, and ST1578, respectively;

Table 3) contained isolates sequenced in this study, which were not closely related to any other

strains at the whole-genome level (Figure 3 and Table 3). Lineages IVC, IVF, and IVI were

singleton lineages, which each contained one genome sequenced in this study (S67, S86, and S78,

respectively), shared 98.90-99.35 ANI with their closest publicly available neighbors (Figure 3

and Table 3). Two lineages (IVG and IVJ) each contained multiple genomes, but only one genome

sequenced in this study (i.e., S77 and S51, respectively; Figure 3 and Table 3); for both lineages,

the South African genome sequenced here was not identical to any publicly available genomes.

The remaining lineage, Lineage IVE (ST177), contained multiple genomes, as well as multiple

genomes sequenced in this study (Figure 3 and Table 3). Notably, the two ST177 strains sequenced

in this study (S55 and S80), which were assigned to this lineage, were not identical, and were

isolated from beef wors from processing plants in the Limpopo and North West provinces,

respectively (Table 1), indicating that WGS can be useful for differentiating closely related *B.*

cereus s.l. genomes within STs.

A *panC* Group III *B. cereus s.l.* lineage most closely related to *B. anthracis* was detected in

beef and poultry products from two South African provinces. Two *panC* Group III *B. cereus*

s.l. strains of an unknown ST that had been sequenced here were assigned to GTDB's *B. anthracis*

genomospecies (S58 and S64; Figure 5 and Table 1); however, these genomes did not possess

anthrax toxin- or capsule-encoding genes and were not members of the closely related *B. anthracis*

lineage most commonly associated with anthrax illness (i.e., the "*B. anthracis*" subspecies within

the 2020 GSB framework; Figure 5 and Tables 1 and 2). Despite having been isolated from

different products (S58 from a raw chicken thigh, S64 from RTE sausage emulsion) from different

establishments (retail outlets in Mpumalanga and Western Cape, respectively), the strains were

nearly identical (pairwise core SNP distance = 0, pairwise ANI > 99.84; Figures 4 and 5 and Table

3), indicating that these two strains share a common source.

A *panC* Group III *B. cereus s.l.* strain with cereulide synthetase-encoding genes belongs to

the "high-risk" ST26 lineage. One *panC* Group III *B. cereus s.l.* strain (S66) was assigned to

GTDB's *B. paranthracis* genomospecies and possessed cereulide synthetase-encoding genes

(Figure 5 and Table 2). This strain, which had been isolated from processed beef mince from a

processing plant in Mpumalanga, was a member of ST26 (Figure 5 and Table 2), the ST to which

most cereulide-producing *B. cereus s.l.* strains belong (although it should be noted that ST26

strains may be capable of producing enterotoxins and causing diarrheal illness, regardless of

whether they produce cereulide or not) (38, 40, 41). While members of ST26 are comparatively

closely related (>99.52 pairwise ANI), WGS was able to distinguish the South African strain

sequenced here from closely related ST26 strains (pairwise core SNP distance >913 relative to

publicly available genomes; Figure 5 and Table 3).

A *panC* Group III *B. cereus s.l.* lineage assigned to ST2413 shows evidence of intercontinental

transmission. Two *panC* Group III *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced in this study (S59 and S62)

were assigned to ST2413 within GTDB's *B. paranthracis* species (Figure 5 and Table 3). Unlike

the ST26 strain, which was also assigned to GTDB's *B. paranthracis* genomospecies, neither

ST2413 strain possessed cereulide synthetase-encoding genes (Figure 5 and Table 2). Both strains

were isolated from raw chicken; however, S59 was isolated from a chicken quarter-leg that had

been imported from the Netherlands, and S62 from a chicken thigh sold at a retail outlet in

Mpumalanga (Figure 4 and Table 1). Notably, these strains were nearly identical on a genomic

scale (pairwise core SNP distance = 1, pairwise ANI = 100.0; Figure 5 and Table 3), despite

originating from different continents (i.e., Europe and Africa; Figure 4 and Table 1).

A *panC* Group II *B. cereus s.l.* strain assigned to ST794 is most closely related to a food-

associated strain responsible for diarrheal illness in Norway. One *panC* Group II *B. cereus s.l.*

strain was sequenced in this study (S57) and was assigned to ST794 within GTDB's *B. wiedmannii*

species (Figure 5 and Table 1). Strain S57 had been isolated from RTE beef biltong sold at a retail

outlet in Free State (Table 1). When compared to the two publicly available ST794 genomes, S57

shared > 99.9 ANI with both publicly available genomes and differed by 31 and 100 core SNPs

(relative to genomes with NCBI RefSeq Assembly Accessions GCF_900094845.1 and

GCF_007671965.1, respectively; Figure 5 and Table 3). Notably, beef biltong-associated strain

S57 sequenced here was most closely related to strain NVH 0674-98, a psychrotolerant strain that

had been isolated in Norway from mashed swedes, which were reportedly responsible for diarrheal

foodborne illness (42). The other ST794 strain, DE0555, was an environmental isolate collected

in 2018 from Durham, North Carolina in the United States (NCBI BioSample Accession

SAMN11792715).

A *panC* Group V *B. cereus s.l.* strain from South African mixed-meat wors most closely

resembles a plant-associated strain from the United States. One *panC* Group V *B. cereus s.l.*

strain was sequenced in this study (S72) and was assigned to ST223 within GTDB's *B. toyonensis*

species (Figure 6 and Tables 1-3). S72 had been isolated in a butchery in Gauteng, from a

processed wors composed of a mix of beef, pork, and lamb (Table 1). Strain S72 was most closely

related to a publicly available genome, strain AFS092321 (NCBI RefSeq Accession

GCF_002552615.1), which had been isolated in 2014 from a tree leaf in North Carolina, United

States (NCBI BioSample Accession SAMN07598612) (43); the two strains shared >99.9 ANI and

differed by 33 core SNPs (Figure 6 and Table 3).

DISCUSSION

***B. cereus s.l.* lineages can be disseminated inter- and intra-nationally via the food supply**

chain. The movement of commodities (e.g., foods, animals, animal products, agricultural products,

consumer products) through inter- and intra-national trade can contribute to the global, regional,

and local dissemination of microorganisms, including pathogens (44-48). The international agro-

food trade specifically plays an increasingly pivotal role in providing food supplies to communities

around the globe but can contribute to the dissemination of foodborne pathogens (47, 48).

Consequently, high-resolution technologies such as WGS are being employed increasingly to

monitor the spread of pathogens along the food supply chain (49, 50).

Using WGS, we identified six South African *B. cereus s.l.* lineages, which showcased

evidence of inter-regional dissemination via the trade and transport of meat products (Figure 4 and

Table 3). Notably, one *B. cereus s.l.* lineage showed evidence of intercontinental spread between

Europe and Africa: a *B. cereus s.l.* ST2413 strain isolated from raw chicken sold in retail outlets

in Mpumalanga, South Africa was identical to a ST2413 strain isolated from chicken imported

from the Netherlands. We may hypothesize that the raw chicken sold in Mpumalanga originated

from the Netherlands, as the Netherlands was the second-largest exporter of chicken meat products

to South Africa in 2014-2016 (i.e., the timeframe in which the strains sequenced here were

collected) (51); however, this is merely a hypothesis, as we were unable to confirm this with the

retail outlet.

We additionally identified five *B. cereus s.l.* lineages, which showed evidence of inter-

provincial spread within South Africa: four *panC* Group IV lineages and one *panC* Group III

lineage were each composed of (nearly) identical strains, which were isolated from meat products

in two or more South African provinces (Figure 4 and Table 3). Thus, it is likely that strains within

each lineage shared a common source; however, a lack of additional metadata and genomes

prevents confirmation of this. Overall, these results showcase the utility of WGS for *B. cereus s.l.*

source tracking and surveillance, although future studies relying on additional genomes with

detailed metadata are needed.

Nomenclatural frameworks that incorporate both genomic and phenotypic data can improve

strain-level *B. cereus s.l.* risk assessment. *B. cereus s.l.* species delineation is notoriously

challenging, and numerous *B. cereus s.l.* taxonomic frameworks have been proposed (29).

Phenotypic traits historically used for *B. cereus s.l.* species assignment (e.g., motility, colony

morphology, ability to cause illness) have long been known to be inconsistent with genome

evolution (29, 31, 32). Taxonomies that rely solely on genomic data, however, may lead to

incorrect assumptions of a strain's pathogenic potential, particularly when taxonomic labels have

deep roots in medicine or industry (29, 34).

Within ANI-based taxonomic frameworks, such as GTDB, the *B. anthracis* genomospecies

encompasses *B. cereus s.l.* strains that resemble "*B. cereus*" phenotypically (e.g., they are motile,

hemolytic), but are incapable of causing anthrax (34). These non-anthrax-causing "*B. cereus*"

strains have been isolated from diverse environments, including food (e.g., milk, egg, whites,

spices), consumer products (e.g., baby wipes), and soil, indicating that these organisms are not

uncommon in environmental and industrial settings (34). Thus, as WGS becomes more popular in

clinical and industrial settings, it is possible that professionals who rely solely on increasingly

popular genomic methods for taxonomic delineation (e.g., GTDB, ANI-based comparisons to

species type strains) may incorrectly assume that these strains can cause anthrax, due to their "*B.*

anthracis" species labels (29, 34). Here, we showed that this is not just a hypothetical scenario:

during routine surveillance of meat products in South Africa, we identified two *B. cereus s.l.* strains

from beef and poultry products sold at retail outlets, which belong to the "*B. anthracis*"

genomospecies using GTDB and similar ANI-based methods (Table 1). However, these "*B.*

anthracis" strains do not possess anthrax toxin- or capsule-encoding genes, nor do they belong to

the "*B. anthracis*" lineage most commonly linked to anthrax disease (Table 2). Thus, referring to

these isolates as "*B. anthracis*" would be misleading and could potentially have disastrous public

health and industrial consequences.

Similarly, we isolated three *B. cereus s.l.* strains from beef and poultry products, which

were assigned to the "*B. paranthracis*" genomospecies via GTDB and similar ANI-based methods

(Table 1). As noted previously, "*B. paranthracis*" was proposed as a "novel" species in 2017 (52)

but was later found to encompass the well-known foodborne pathogen "emetic *B. cereus*" within

its genomospecies boundary (29, 37, 38). One of the "*B. paranthracis*" strains isolated here indeed

possessed cereulide synthetase-encoding genes and belonged to ST26 (Table 2), the ST that

encompasses most *B. cereus s.l.* strains capable of producing emetic toxin (38). This strain thus

likely poses a food safety threat and would most likely be referred to as "emetic *B. cereus*" in

clinical or industrial settings. Referring to this strain as "*B. paranthracis*" could be misleading to

researchers, clinicians, and other professionals who are not well-versed and up to date in *B. cereus*

s.l. taxonomy (29, 34, 38). However, not all "*B. paranthracis*" strains are capable of producing

emetic toxin: here, two ST2413 strains isolated from poultry were assigned to the "*B.*

paranthracis" genomospecies but did not possess cereulide synthetase-encoding genes (Table 2),

indicating that these strains cannot cause emetic intoxication. Thus, differentiating potentially

emetic from non-emetic strains is critical for informing public health and food safety efforts.

Recently, we proposed a standardized nomenclatural framework for *B. cereus s.l.* (i.e., the

2020 GSB framework), which can utilize genomic, genetic, and/or phenotypic information for

taxonomic classification (33, 34). Importantly, the 2020 GSB framework relies on a standardized

collection of biovars (i.e., biovar Anthracis, Emeticus, and Thuringiensis), which can be applied

to individual *B. cereus s.l.* strains to convey phenotypes of clinical and/or industrial importance

(i.e., ability to produce anthrax, emetic, and insecticidal toxins, respectively) (33, 34). Within this

framework, the absence of the Anthracis biovar terms denotes that the two "*B. anthracis*" strains

sequenced here cannot produce anthrax toxin, while the presence/absence of the Emeticus biovar

term differentiates cereulide-producing "*B. paranthracis*" from non-cereulide-producing strains

(Table 2). While the 2020 GSB framework provides a standardized set of *B. cereus s.l.*

genomospecies (Tables 1 and 2) (33, 34), researchers and other professionals may prefer to use

more established names for lineages (e.g., obtained via MLST, *panC* group assignment); biovar

terms can thus be appended to *B. cereus s.l.* lineage names (e.g., the ST26 strain sequenced here,

which possesses cereulide synthetase, can be referred to as "*B. cereus s.l.* ST26 biovar Emeticus").

Overall, standardized taxonomic frameworks that can incorporate both genomic/genetic and

phenotypic information may improve strain-level risk evaluation of *B. cereus s.l.*

WGS may improve *B. cereus s.l.* genomic surveillance, traceback investigations, and source

tracking. WGS has been shown to improve surveillance and source tracking efforts for numerous

foodborne pathogens, including *Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica*, and *Listeria*

monocytogenes (50, 53). While the amount of publicly available WGS data derived from members

of *B. cereus s.l.* is increasing (34), efforts to sequence the genomes of food-associated *B. cereus*

s.l. strains are lagging relative to other foodborne pathogens. Here, we showed that WGS can

conceptually be used for *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance and source tracking; however, our study is

limited by a lack of publicly available (i) genomic data and (ii) corresponding metadata associated

with *B. cereus s.l.* strains. For example, we identified two identical *B. cereus s.l.* ST2413 strains,

which were present in both Dutch and South African raw poultry. However, due to a lack of

additional publicly available ST2413 *B. cereus s.l.* genomes, we were unable to gain additional

insights into exactly where this lineage originated. Thus, future *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance and

WGS initiatives in clinical, industrial, and environmental settings are needed to improve *B. cereus*

s.l. source tracking and traceback efforts. Furthermore, it is essential that data and metadata

obtained in such future initiatives are made publicly available, as international sharing of WGS

data can decrease both the amount of time required to solve foodborne outbreaks and the public

health burden caused by foodborne pathogens (54). Overall, the proof-of-concept study detailed

here highlights the benefits of WGS for *B. cereus s.l.* surveillance and source tracking, even among

closely related lineages, and future studies will benefit from increasingly available publicly

available WGS data and metadata.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolate selection and whole-genome sequencing. A subset of 34 isolates were selected from a

total of 79 *B. cereus s.l.* isolates from our previous study (26) using simple random sampling

without replacement (55) via random numbers generated in Microsoft Excel. Culturing and

genomic DNA extraction was performed as described previously (26), using the High Pure PCR

Template preparation kit (Roche, Germany). WGS of selected isolates was performed at the

Biotechnology Platform, Agricultural Research Council, Onderstepoort, South Africa. DNA

libraries were prepared using TruSeq and Nextera DNA library preparation kits (Illumina, San

Diego, CA, USA), followed by sequencing on HiSeq and MiSeq instruments (Illumina, San Diego,

CA, USA).

Data pre-processing and quality control. Paired-end reads associated with each of the 34 isolates

were supplied as input to Trimmomatic v0.38 (56), which was used to remove Illumina adapters

and leading and trailing low-quality/ambiguous bases (LEADING:3 and TRAILING:3,

respectively); reads with average per-base quality scores <15 within a 4 bp sliding window

(SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15) were additionally cut, and reads with length < 36 bp were removed.

FastQC v0.11.5 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was used to assess

the quality of the resulting trimmed paired-end reads.

SKESA v2.4.0 (57) was used to assemble each genome, using the trimmed paired-end

reads as input and default settings. SPAdes v3.13.1 (58) was additionally used to assemble each

genome in "careful" mode, using trimmed paired-end reads as input. QUAST v4.5 (59) was used

to assess the quality of each resulting assembly, and CheckM v1.1.3 (60) was used to evaluate

genome contamination/completeness. MultiQC v1.8 (61) was used to assess genome quality in

aggregate. Assemblies produced using SKESA were used in all subsequent steps, as they were of

higher quality based on metrics produced by QUAST (e.g., N50, number of contigs). Genomes

with (i) < 95% completeness (via CheckM), (ii) > 5% contamination (via CheckM), and/or (iii)

N50 < 20 Kbp were considered to be of low quality and were excluded ($n = 4$), yielding a

preliminary set of 30 genomes used in subsequent analyses.

***In silico* typing and taxonomic characterization.** BTyper3 v3.1.1 (33) was used to characterize

each assembled genome (see section "Data pre-processing and quality control" above) using: (i)

ANI-based genomospecies, (ii) ANI-based subspecies, and (iii) biovar assignment, using a

standardized nomenclatural framework for *B. cereus s.l.* (34) and dependencies FastANI v1.31

(62) and BLAST v2.9.0 (63); (iv) ANI-based pseudo-gene flow unit assignment (33) (also via

FastANI); (v) *in silico* seven-gene MLST using the PubMLST *B. cereus* database (accessed 25

October 2020); (vi) *panC* phylogenetic group assignment, using an adjusted eight-group (Group

I-VIII) framework (33). All aforementioned analyses were performed using default settings, as

well as with virulence factor minimum coverage thresholds lowered to 0% (--virulence_coverage

0) to confirm virulence factor absence. Because BTyper3 uses a conservative approach for Bt toxin

gene   detection,   the   command-line   implementation   of   BtToxin_scanner   v1.0

(BtToxin_scanner2.pl) was used to identify Bt toxin genes in each genome using default settings

(64).

433   Taxonomic classification of assembled genomes was additionally performed using GTDB

Release 05-RS95 (17 July 2020) and GTDB-Tk v. 1.3.0 (i.e., "GTDB R95"), using GTDB-Tk's

"classify_wf" workflow (65-67). Notably, five genomes were assigned to species outside of *B.*

cereus s.l. (i.e., three genomes classified as *Escherichia flexneri,* one as *Escherichia dysenteriae*,

and one as *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* via GTDB-Tk) and were thus excluded, yielding a final

set of 25 *B. cereus s.l.* genomes used in subsequent analyses (Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1).

Phylogenomic comparison of South African *B. cereus s.l.* genomes to *B. cereus s.l.* species

type strains. Prokka v1.14.6 (68) was used to annotate each of the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* genomes

sequenced in this study. Protein coding sequences derived from the type strain genomes of each

of the 23 validly published and effective *B. cereus s.l.* species (accessed 28 August 2021) were

downloaded from NCBI's RefSeq Assembly database (see Table 1 of Méndez Acevedo, et al. for

all type strain accessions) (69). OrthoFinder v2.5.2 (70, 71) was used to identify orthologues

among protein coding sequences associated with all 47 genomes (25 *B. cereus s.l.* genomes

sequenced in this study, plus 23 *B. cereus s.l.* species type strain genomes), using MAFFT v7.475

(72, 73) for sequence alignment and RAxML-NG v1.0.2 (74) for phylogeny construction.

The resulting amino acid sequence alignment was supplied as input to IQ-TREE v1.5.4

(75), which was used to construct a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny, using 1,000 replicates

of the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (76), plus the optimal amino acid substitution model

selected using ModelFinder (i.e., the general matrix model with empirical amino acid frequencies

and the FreeRate model with six categories; JTT+F+R6) (77-80). The resulting phylogeny was

rooted using effective species "*B. manliponensis*" (i.e., the most distant recognized member of *B.*

cereus s.l.) (81) and annotated using the bactaxR package (34) in R v4.1.2 (82).

Acquisition of publicly available *B. cereus s.l.* genomes. All assembled genomes submitted to

the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) RefSeq database (83, 84) as one of 23

validly published or effective *B. cereus s.l.* species (i.e., *albus, anthracis,* "*bingmayongensis*",

cereus, "*clarus*"*, cytotoxicus, fungorum,* "*gaemokensis*"*, luti,* "*manliponensis*"*, mobilis, mycoides,*

nitratireducens, pacificus, paramycoides, paranthracis, proteolyticus, pseudomycoides,

thuringiensis, toyonensis, tropicus, weihenstephanensis, wiedmannii) (1, 29, 52, 69, 81, 85-97)

were downloaded (n = 2,733; accessed 20 March 2021). QUAST and CheckM were used to assess

the quality of each assembled genome (see section "Data pre-processing and quality control"

above), and BTyper3 (using default settings) and GTDB-Tk were used for typing and/or taxonomic

assignment as described above (see section "*In silico* typing and taxonomic characterization"). The

rentrez package (v1.2.3) was used to download metadata associated with each genome's

BioSample in R v3.6.1 (82, 98, 99). Publicly available genomes meeting all of the following

quality thresholds were used in subsequent analyses (n = 2,664; Supplemental Table S2): (i) > 95

% completeness (via CheckM), (ii) < 5% contamination (via CheckM), (iii) N50 > 20 Kbp (via

QUAST), (iv) composed of < 1,000 contigs (via QUAST).

Acquisition of genomes from a study of *B. thuringiensis* outbreaks. All sequencing reads

associated with isolates from a previous study of outbreaks caused by *B. thuringiensis* in France

(100) were downloaded from NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database using the SRA

Toolkit v 2.8.2 (101, 102). Genomic data for all 171 isolates were pre-processed, assembled, and

taxonomically classified as described above, with genomes assembled using SKESA used in

subsequent steps (see sections "Data pre-processing and quality control" and "*In silico* typing and

taxonomic characterization" above). Four genomes did not meet the quality thresholds employed

in this study (see section "Acquisition of publicly available *B. cereus s.l.* genomes" above) and

were thus excluded, yielding 167 genomes from the study, which were used in subsequent analyses

(Supplemental Table S3).

Acquisition of genomes derived from strains isolated in conjunction with a previous outbreak

caused by emetic *B. cereus s.l.* The genomes of 33 *B. cereus s.l.* strains isolated in conjunction

with a 2016 emetic outbreak in New York State (United States) were downloaded, preprocessed,

and assembled as described previously (37). The quality of each of the 33 genomes was assessed

as described above (see section "Data pre-processing and quality control" above), and all genomes

underwent taxonomic classification and typing as described above (see section and "*In silico*

typing and taxonomic characterization" above). Two genomes did not meet the quality thresholds

employed in this study (see section "Acquisition of publicly available *B. cereus s.l.* genomes"

above) and were thus excluded, yielding 31 genomes from the study, which were used in

subsequent analyses (Supplemental Table S4).

Within-group phylogeny construction. The 25 *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced here spanned four

major phylogenetic groups, based on their *panC* sequence (i.e., *panC* Groups II, III, IV, and V;

Table 1). Thus, phylogenies were constructed using all genomes assigned to each of the following

major lineages: (i) *panC* Group IV (Figure 3), (ii) *panC* Groups II and III (Figure 5), and (iii) *panC*

Group V (Figure 6), which are equivalent to the (i) *B. cereus s.s.*, (ii) *B. mosaicus*, and (iii) *B.*

toyonensis genomospecies within the 2020 GSB taxonomic framework (33), respectively (*panC*

Group II and III genomes were aggregated, due to the fact that these lineages are closely related

and polyphyletic; Figure 5).

For each of the three major lineages, Prokka was used to annotate each genome; the

resulting GFF files associated with each genome were supplied as input to Panaroo v1.2.8 (103),

which was used to partition genes into core- and pan-genome orthologous gene clusters, using the

following parameters (all other parameters were set to their default values): (i) "strict" mode (--

clean-mode strict), (ii) core genome alignment using MAFFT (-a core --aligner mafft), (iii) a core

genome sample threshold of 95% (--core_threshold 0.95). The resulting core genome (nucleotide)

alignment was queried using snp-sites v2.5.1 (104), which was used to identify (i) core SNPs and

(ii) constant sites among all genomes in the major lineage. The resulting core SNP alignment was

supplied as input to IQ-TREE v1.5.4, which was used to construct a ML phylogeny using the

General Time-Reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model (105), one thousand replicates of

the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (76), and an ascertainment bias correction obtained using

constant sites output by snp-sites.

For each of the three major lineages, all aforementioned steps were repeated, with the

addition of an outgroup genome: for the *panC* Group IV phylogeny, *panC* Group III *B. anthracis*

str. Ames Ancestor was used as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_000008445.1); for

the *panC* Groups II/III and *panC* Group V phylogenies, *panC* Group IV *B. cereus* str. ATCC 14579

was used as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_006094295.1). Additionally, only

genomes with detailed metadata (i.e., a reported year of isolation, isolation source, and geographic

location) were included in this analysis (Supplemental Tables S1-S4). Each of the three resulting

phylogenies were rooted and scaled using LSD2 v1.4.2.2 (106) and the following parameters: (i)

tip dates corresponding to the year of isolation associated with each genome; (ii) constrained mode

(-c), with the root estimated using constraints on all branches (-r as); (iii) variances calculated

using input branch lengths (-v 1); (iv) 1,000 samples for calculating confidence intervals for

estimated dates (-f 1000); (v) a sequence length of 5,500,000 (-s 5500000); (vi) rooting along the

outgroup genome (-g -k). The resulting phylogenies were annotated using the bactaxR package in

R.

Delineation of MLST lineages and identification of closely related and "identical" genomes.

FastANI v1.31 was used to calculate ANI values between each of the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* genomes

sequenced in this study (i.e., as a query genome), and all genomes assigned to the *panC* Group of

the query genome (*panC* Groups II and III were aggregated); genomes were then grouped into

lineages based on STs assigned using seven-gene MLST (see section "*In silico* typing and

taxonomic characterization" above). For each of the resulting MLST lineages, FastANI was used

to calculate pairwise ANI values between all genomes within the MLST lineage (Table 3).

For each MLST lineage, Snippy v4.6.0 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy) was used to

identify core SNPs among all genomes assigned to the respective MLST lineage, using (i) a

genome sequenced in this study as a reference genome (Table 3); (ii) paired-end reads associated

with each genome as input (for the genomes sequenced in this study, as well as the genomes from

the Bonis, et al. study and the New York State outbreak study) (37, 100) and/or assembled genomes

as input (for NCBI genomes); (iii) default settings. For MLST lineages with more than four

genomes (i.e., ST24, ST26, ST177, ST223, ST1578), Gubbins v3.1.3 (107) was used to remove

recombination, and core SNPs were identified within the resulting filtered alignment using snp-

sites. For all MLST lineages, pairwise core SNP distances were calculated within the MLST

lineage (i) among all genomes, (ii) among genomes sequenced in this study, and (iii) between

genomes sequenced in this study and publicly available genomes (Table 3), using the dist.gene

function in the ape package (108, 109) in R.

Data availability. Paired-end Illumina reads associated with the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* isolates

sequenced in this study have been deposited in NCBI's SRA database under BioProject

Accession PRJNA798224. Metadata and quality information for all genomes queried in this

study are available in Supplemental Table S1 (the 25 isolates sequenced in this study) and

Supplemental Tables S2-S4 (all publicly available genomes).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following organisations are acknowledged for their contributions:

• Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (GDRAD) for project
funding and the use of data for this study.
• The authors are grateful to the Agricultural Research Council: Onderstepoort Veterinary
Research for providing all research facilities.
• Our collaborator at EMBL
• Figures 1 and 4 were created with BioRender.com.

FUNDING

Funding for this project was provided by the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural

Development (GDRAD).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LC designed and carried out all computational analyses. IM and LC conceptualized the study. RP

and IM sourced the funding for the project. RP supervised the sequencing of the isolates, while

MM and AA performed all culturing work and DNA extractions. LC and IM co-wrote the

manuscript, with input from all authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the

submitted version.

REFERENCES

1. Stenfors Arnesen LP, Fagerlund A, Granum PE. 2008. From soil to gut: *Bacillus cereus* and its food poisoning toxins. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:579-606.

2. Jouzani GS, Valijanian E, Sharafi R. 2017. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: a successful insecticide with new environmental features and tidings. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:2691-2711.

3. Raymond B, Federici BA. 2017. In defence of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, the safest and most successful microbial insecticide available to humanity—a response to EFSA. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93.

4. Azizoglu U. 2019. *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a Biofertilizer and Biostimulator: a Mini-Review of the Little-Known Plant Growth-Promoting Properties of Bt. Curr Microbiol 76:1379-1385.

5. Elshaghabee FMF, Rokana N, Gulhane RD, Sharma C, Panwar H. 2017. *Bacillus* As Potential Probiotics: Status, Concerns, and Future Perspectives. Front Microbiol 8:1490.

6. Kantas D, Papatsiros VG, Tassis PD, Giavasis I, Bouki P, Tzika ED. 2015. A feed additive containing *Bacillus toyonensis* (Toyocerin((R))) protects against enteric pathogens in postweaning piglets. J Appl Microbiol 118:727-38.

7. Jovanovic J, Ornelis VFM, Madder A, Rajkovic A. 2021. *Bacillus cereus* food intoxication and toxicoinfection. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 20:3719-3761.

8. Baldwin VM. 2020. You Can't *B. cereus* - A Review of *Bacillus cereus* Strains That Cause Anthrax-Like Disease. Front Microbiol 11:1731.

9. Ehling-Schulz M, Lereclus D, Koehler TM. 2019. The *Bacillus cereus* Group: *Bacillus* Species with Pathogenic Potential. Microbiol Spectr 7.

10. Moayeri M, Leppla SH, Vrentas C, Pomerantsev AP, Liu S. 2015. Anthrax Pathogenesis. Annu Rev Microbiol 69:185-208.

11. Pilo P, Frey J. 2018. Pathogenicity, population genetics and dissemination of *Bacillus anthracis*. Infect Genet Evol 64:115-125.

12. Ehling-Schulz M, Frenzel E, Gohar M. 2015. Food-bacteria interplay: pathometabolism of emetic *Bacillus cereus*. Front Microbiol 6:704.

13. Rouzeau-Szynalski K, Stollewerk K, Messelhausser U, Ehling-Schulz M. 2020. Why be serious about emetic *Bacillus cereus*: Cereulide production and industrial challenges. Food Microbiol 85:103279.

14. Dietrich R, Jessberger N, Ehling-Schulz M, Martlbauer E, Granum PE. 2021. The Food Poisoning Toxins of *Bacillus cereus*. Toxins (Basel) 13.

15. Jessberger N, Dietrich R, Granum PE, Martlbauer E. 2020. The *Bacillus cereus* Food Infection as Multifactorial Process. Toxins (Basel) 12.

16. Bottone EJ. 2010. *Bacillus cereus*, a volatile human pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 23:382-98.

17. Glasset B, Herbin S, Granier SA, Cavalie L, Lafeuille E, Guerin C, Ruimy R,
Casagrande-Magne F, Levast M, Chautemps N, Decousser JW, Belotti L, Pelloux I,
Robert J, Brisabois A, Ramarao N. 2018. *Bacillus cereus*, a serious cause of nosocomial
infections: Epidemiologic and genetic survey. PLoS One 13:e0194346.

18. Kirk MD, Pires SM, Black RE, Caipo M, Crump JA, Devleesschauwer B, Dopfer D,
Fazil A, Fischer-Walker CL, Hald T, Hall AJ, Keddy KH, Lake RJ, Lanata CF,
Torgerson PR, Havelaar AH, Angulo FJ. 2015. World Health Organization Estimates of
the Global and Regional Disease Burden of 22 Foodborne Bacterial, Protozoal, and Viral
Diseases, 2010: A Data Synthesis. PLoS Med 12:e1001921.

19. Konuma H, Shinagawa K, Tokumaru M, Onoue Y, Konno S, Fujino N, Shigehisa T,
Kurata H, Kuwabara Y, Lopes CAM. 1988. Occurrence of *Bacillus cereus* in Meat
Products, Raw Meat and Meat Product Additives. J Food Prot 51:324-326.

20. Tewari A, Singh SP, Singh R. 2015. Incidence and enterotoxigenic profile of *Bacillus
cereus* in meat and meat products of Uttarakhand, India. J Food Sci Technol 52:1796-
801.

21. Kong L, Yu S, Yuan X, Li C, Yu P, Wang J, Guo H, Wu S, Ye Q, Lei T, Yang X, Zhang
Y, Wei X, Zeng H, Zhang J, Wu Q, Ding Y. 2021. An Investigation on the Occurrence
and Molecular Characterization of *Bacillus cereus* in Meat and Meat Products in China.
Foodborne Pathog Dis 18:306-314.

22. Smith DP, Berrang ME, Feldner PW, Phillips RW, Meinersmann RJ. 2004. Detection of
Bacillus cereus on selected retail chicken products. J Food Prot 67:1770-3.

23. Osman KM, Kappell AD, Orabi A, Al-Maary KS, Mubarak AS, Dawoud TM, Hemeg
HA, Moussa IMI, Hessain AM, Yousef HMY, Hristova KR. 2018. Poultry and beef meat
as potential seedbeds for antimicrobial resistant enterotoxigenic *Bacillus* species: a
materializing epidemiological and potential severe health hazard. Sci Rep 8:11600.

24. Zeighami H, Nejad-Dost G, Parsadanians A, Daneshamouz S, Haghi F. 2020. Frequency
of hemolysin BL and non-hemolytic enterotoxin complex genes of *Bacillus cereus* in raw
and cooked meat samples in Zanjan, Iran. Toxicol Rep 7:89-92.

25. Yu S, Yu P, Wang J, Li C, Guo H, Liu C, Kong L, Yu L, Wu S, Lei T, Chen M, Zeng H,
Pang R, Zhang Y, Wei X, Zhang J, Wu Q, Ding Y. 2020. A Study on Prevalence and
Characterization of *Bacillus cereus* in Ready-to-Eat Foods in China. Frontiers in
Microbiology 10.

26. Madoroba E, Magwedere K, Chaora NS, Matle I, Muchadeyi F, Mathole MA, Pierneef R.
2021. Microbial Communities of Meat and Meat Products: An Exploratory Analysis of
the Product Quality and Safety at Selected Enterprises in South Africa. Microorganisms
9.

27. Nortjé GL, Vorster SM, Greebe RP, Steyn PL. 1999. Occurrence of *Bacillus cereus* and
Yersinia enterocolitica in South African retail meats. Food Microbiology 16:213-217.

28. Shale K, Malebo NJ. 2011. QUANTIFICATION AND ANTIBIOTIC
SUSCEPTIBILITY PROFILES OF *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* AND *BACILLUS
CEREUS* STRAINS ISOLATED FROM BILTONG. Journal of Food Safety 31:559-569.

29. Carroll LM, Cheng RA, Wiedmann M, Kovac J. 2021. Keeping up with the *Bacillus*
cereus group: taxonomy through the genomics era and beyond. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr
doi:10.1080/10408398.2021.1916735:1-26.

30. Liu Y, Lai Q, Goker M, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Wang M, Sun Y, Wang L, Shao Z. 2015.
Genomic insights into the taxonomic status of the *Bacillus cereus* group. Sci Rep
5:14082.

31. Guinebretiere MH, Thompson FL, Sorokin A, Normand P, Dawyndt P, Ehling-Schulz M,
Svensson B, Sanchis V, Nguyen-The C, Heyndrickx M, De Vos P. 2008. Ecological
diversification in the *Bacillus cereus* Group. Environ Microbiol 10:851-65.

32. Guinebretiere MH, Velge P, Couvert O, Carlin F, Debuyser ML, Nguyen-The C. 2010.
Ability of *Bacillus cereus* group strains to cause food poisoning varies according to
phylogenetic affiliation (groups I to VII) rather than species affiliation. J Clin Microbiol
48:3388-91.

33. Carroll LM, Cheng RA, Kovac J. 2020. No Assembly Required: Using BTyper3 to
Assess the Congruency of a Proposed Taxonomic Framework for the *Bacillus cereus*
Group With Historical Typing Methods. Frontiers in Microbiology 11.

34. Carroll LM, Wiedmann M, Kovac J. 2020. Proposal of a Taxonomic Nomenclature for
the *Bacillus cereus* Group Which Reconciles Genomic Definitions of Bacterial Species
with Clinical and Industrial Phenotypes. mBio 11:e00034-20.

35. Jolley KA, Maiden MC. 2010. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation
at the population level. BMC Bioinformatics 11:595.

36. Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. 2018. Open-access bacterial population genomics:
BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res
3:124.

37. Carroll LM, Wiedmann M, Mukherjee M, Nicholas DC, Mingle LA, Dumas NB, Cole
JA, Kovac J. 2019. Characterization of Emetic and Diarrheal *Bacillus cereus* Strains
From a 2016 Foodborne Outbreak Using Whole-Genome Sequencing: Addressing the
Microbiological, Epidemiological, and Bioinformatic Challenges. Front Microbiol
10:144.

38. Carroll LM, Wiedmann M. 2020. Cereulide Synthetase Acquisition and Loss Events
within the Evolutionary History of Group III *Bacillus cereus Sensu Lato* Facilitate the
Transition between Emetic and Diarrheal Foodborne Pathogens. mBio 11.

39. Bucher T, Keren-Paz A, Hausser J, Olender T, Cytryn E, Kolodkin-Gal I. 2019. An
active beta-lactamase is a part of an orchestrated cell wall stress resistance network of
Bacillus subtilis and related rhizosphere species. Environ Microbiol 21:1068-1085.

40. Ehling-Schulz M, Svensson B, Guinebretiere MH, Lindback T, Andersson M, Schulz A,
Fricker M, Christiansson A, Granum PE, Martlbauer E, Nguyen-The C, Salkinoja-
Salonen M, Scherer S. 2005. Emetic toxin formation of *Bacillus cereus* is restricted to a
single evolutionary lineage of closely related strains. Microbiology 151:183-197.

41. Glasset B, Herbin S, Guillier L, Cadel-Six S, Vignaud ML, Grout J, Pairaud S, Michel V,
Hennekinne JA, Ramarao N, Brisabois A. 2016. *Bacillus cereus*-induced food-borne

outbreaks in France, 2007 to 2014: epidemiology and genetic characterisation. Euro
Surveill 21.

42. Guinebretiere MH, Loux V, Martin V, Nicolas P, Sanchis V, Broussolle V. 2017. Draft
Genome Sequences of 18 Psychrotolerant and 2 Thermotolerant Strains Representative of
Particular Ecotypes in the *Bacillus cereus* Group. Genome Announc 5.

43. Grubbs KJ, Bleich RM, Santa Maria KC, Allen SE, Farag S, AgBiome T, Shank EA,
Bowers AA. 2017. Large-Scale Bioinformatics Analysis of *Bacillus* Genomes Uncovers
Conserved Roles of Natural Products in Bacterial Physiology. mSystems 2.

44. Stein RA, Chirilă M. 2017. Chapter 3 - Routes of Transmission in the Food Chain, p 65-
103. *In* Dodd CER, Aldsworth T, Stein RA, Cliver DO, Riemann HP (ed), Foodborne
Diseases (Third Edition) doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385007-2.00003-6.
Academic Press.

45. Ahn J-W, Rhodes MT. 2021. Examining Pathogen-Based Import Refusals: Trends and
Analysis From 2002 to 2019. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research
Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture ERS,

46. Rohr JR, Barrett CB, Civitello DJ, Craft ME, Delius B, DeLeo GA, Hudson PJ, Jouanard
N, Nguyen KH, Ostfeld RS, Remais JV, Riveau G, Sokolow SH, Tilman D. 2019.
Emerging human infectious diseases and the links to global food production. Nat Sustain
2:445-456.

47. Ristaino JB, Anderson PK, Bebber DP, Brauman KA, Cunniffe NJ, Fedoroff NV,
Finegold C, Garrett KA, Gilligan CA, Jones CM, Martin MD, MacDonald GK, Neenan
P, Records A, Schmale DG, Tateosian L, Wei Q. 2021. The persistent threat of emerging
plant disease pandemics to global food security. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.

48. Ercsey-Ravasz M, Toroczkai Z, Lakner Z, Baranyi J. 2012. Complexity of the
international agro-food trade network and its impact on food safety. PLoS One 7:e37810.

49. Brown E, Dessai U, McGarry S, Gerner-Smidt P. 2019. Use of Whole-Genome
Sequencing for Food Safety and Public Health in the United States. Foodborne Pathog
Dis 16:441-450.

50. Brown B, Allard M, Bazaco MC, Blankenship J, Minor T. 2021. An economic evaluation
of the Whole Genome Sequencing source tracking program in the U.S. PLoS One
16:e0258262.

51. Makgopa M. 2020. South Africa Lifts Ban On Poultry Imports From The Netherlands.
United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Foreign Agricultural Service, Service
USDoAUFA,

52. Liu Y, Du J, Lai Q, Zeng R, Ye D, Xu J, Shao Z. 2017. Proposal of nine novel species of
the *Bacillus cereus* group. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67:2499-2508.

53. Rantsiou K, Kathariou S, Winkler A, Skandamis P, Saint-Cyr MJ, Rouzeau-Szynalski K,
Amezquita A. 2018. Next generation microbiological risk assessment: opportunities of
whole genome sequencing (WGS) for foodborne pathogen surveillance, source tracking
and risk assessment. Int J Food Microbiol 287:3-9.

54. Pettengill JB, Markell A, Conrad A, Carleton HA, Beal J, Rand H, Musser S, Brown EW,
Allard MW, Huffman J, Harris S, Wise M, Locas A. 2020. A multinational listeriosis
outbreak and the importance of sharing genomic data. The Lancet Microbe 1:e233-e234.

55. Rose S. 2015. Management research : applying the principles, First Edition. ed.
Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, New York.

56. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-20.

57. Souvorov A, Agarwala R, Lipman DJ. 2018. SKESA: strategic k-mer extension for
scrupulous assemblies. Genome Biology 19:153.

58. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM,
Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G,
Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its
applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455-77.

59. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072-5.

60. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM:
assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043-55.

61. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Kaller M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results
for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047-8.

62. Jain C, Rodriguez RL, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High throughput
ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nat Commun
9:5114.

63. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL.
2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.

64. Ye W, Zhu L, Liu Y, Crickmore N, Peng D, Ruan L, Sun M. 2012. Mining new crystal
protein genes from *Bacillus thuringiensis* on the basis of mixed plasmid-enriched genome
sequencing and a computational pipeline. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4795-801.

65. Chaumeil PA, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2019. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify
genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.

66. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil PA,
Hugenholtz P. 2018. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. Nat Biotechnol 36:996-1004.

67. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil PA, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P. 2020. A
complete domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. Nat Biotechnol
38:1079-1086.

68. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
30:2068-9.

69. Mendez Acevedo M, Carroll LM, Mukherjee M, Mills E, Xiaoli L, Dudley EG, Kovac J.
2020. Novel Effective *Bacillus cereus* Group Species "*Bacillus clarus*" Is Represented by
Antibiotic-Producing Strain ATCC 21929 Isolated from Soil. mSphere 5.

70. Emms DM, Kelly S. 2015. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome
comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome Biol 16:157.

71. Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for
comparative genomics. Genome Biol 20:238.

72. Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid
multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res
30:3059-66.

73. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772-80.

74. Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast,
scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.
Bioinformatics 35:4453-4455.

75. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and
effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol
Evol 32:268-74.

76. Minh BQ, Nguyen MA, von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic
bootstrap. Mol Biol Evol 30:1188-95.

77. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017.
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods
14:587-589.

78. Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data
matrices from protein sequences. Bioinformatics 8:275-282.

79. Soubrier J, Steel M, Lee MSY, Der Sarkissian C, Guindon S, Ho SYW, Cooper A. 2012.
The Influence of Rate Heterogeneity among Sites on the Time Dependence of Molecular
Rates. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29:3345-3358.

80. Yang Z. 1995. A space-time process model for the evolution of DNA sequences.
Genetics 139:993-1005.

81. Jung MY, Kim JS, Paek WK, Lim J, Lee H, Kim PI, Ma JY, Kim W, Chang YH. 2011.
Bacillus manliponensis sp. nov., a new member of the *Bacillus cereus* group isolated
from foreshore tidal flat sediment. J Microbiol 49:1027-32.

82. R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, v3.6.1.
R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

83. Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Maglott DR. 2007. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a curated
non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids
Res 35:D61-5.

84. Coordinators NR. 2018. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology
Information. Nucleic acids research 46:D8-D13.

85. Schoch CL, Ciufo S, Domrachev M, Hotton CL, Kannan S, Khovanskaya R, Leipe D,
McVeigh R, O'Neill K, Robbertse B, Sharma S, Soussov V, Sullivan JP, Sun L, Turner S,
Karsch-Mizrachi I. 2020. NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation,
resources and tools. Database (Oxford) 2020.

86. Sternbach G. 2003. The history of anthrax. The Journal of Emergency Medicine 24:463-
467.

87. Frankland GC, Frankland PF, Lankester ER. 1887. XI. Studies on some new micro-
organisms obtained from air. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London
(B) 178:257-287.

88. Liu B, Liu GH, Hu GP, Sengonca C, Lin NQ, Tang JY, Tang WQ, Lin YZ. 2014.
Bacillus bingmayongensis sp. nov., isolated from the pit soil of Emperor Qin's Terra-cotta
warriors in China. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 105:501-10.

89. Guinebretiere MH, Auger S, Galleron N, Contzen M, De Sarrau B, De Buyser ML,
Lamberet G, Fagerlund A, Granum PE, Lereclus D, De Vos P, Nguyen-The C, Sorokin
A. 2013. *Bacillus cytotoxicus* sp. nov. is a novel thermotolerant species of the *Bacillus*
cereus Group occasionally associated with food poisoning. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol
63:31-40.

90. Liu X, Wang L, Han M, Xue Q-h, Zhang G-s, Gao J, sun X. 2020. *Bacillus fungorum* sp.
nov., a bacterium isolated from spent mushroom substrate. International Journal of
Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 70:1457-1462.

91. Jung MY, Paek WK, Park IS, Han JR, Sin Y, Paek J, Rhee MS, Kim H, Song HS, Chang
YH. 2010. *Bacillus gaemokensis* sp. nov., isolated from foreshore tidal flat sediment from
the Yellow Sea. J Microbiol 48:867-71.

92. Laubach CA. 1916. Spore-Bearing Bacteria in Dust. Journal of bacteriology 1:493-505.

93. Nakamura LK. 1998. *Bacillus pseudomycoides* sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48 Pt 3:1031-
5.

94. Milner RJ. 1994. History of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
Environment 49:9-13.

95. Jimenez G, Urdiain M, Cifuentes A, Lopez-Lopez A, Blanch AR, Tamames J, Kampfer
P, Kolsto AB, Ramon D, Martinez JF, Codoner FM, Rossello-Mora R. 2013. Description
of *Bacillus toyonensis* sp. nov., a novel species of the *Bacillus cereus* group, and pairwise
genome comparisons of the species of the group by means of ANI calculations. Syst Appl
Microbiol 36:383-91.

96. Miller RA, Beno SM, Kent DJ, Carroll LM, Martin NH, Boor KJ, Kovac J. 2016.
Bacillus wiedmannii sp. nov., a psychrotolerant and cytotoxic *Bacillus cereus* group
species isolated from dairy foods and dairy environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol
66:4744-4753.

97. Lechner S, Mayr R, Francis KP, Pruss BM, Kaplan T, Wiessner-Gunkel E, Stewart GS,
Scherer S. 1998. *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* sp. nov. is a new psychrotolerant species of
the *Bacillus cereus* group. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48 Pt 4:1373-82.

98.   Winter DJ. 2017. rentrez: An R package for the NCBI eUtils API. The R Journal 9:520-
526.

99.   Barrett T, Clark K, Gevorgyan R, Gorelenkov V, Gribov E, Karsch-Mizrachi I,
Kimelman M, Pruitt KD, Resenchuk S, Tatusova T, Yaschenko E, Ostell J. 2012.
BioProject and BioSample databases at NCBI: facilitating capture and organization of
metadata. Nucleic Acids Res 40:D57-63.

100. Bonis M, Felten A, Pairaud S, Dijoux A, Maladen V, Mallet L, Radomski N, Duboisset
A, Arar C, Sarda X, Vial G, Mistou MY, Firmesse O, Hennekinne JA, Herbin S. 2021.
Comparative phenotypic, genotypic and genomic analyses of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
associated with foodborne outbreaks in France. PLoS One 16:e0246885.

101. Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M, International Nucleotide Sequence Database C.
2011. The sequence read archive. Nucleic Acids Res 39:D19-21.

102. Kodama Y, Shumway M, Leinonen R, International Nucleotide Sequence Database C.
2012. The Sequence Read Archive: explosive growth of sequencing data. Nucleic Acids
Res 40:D54-6.

103. Tonkin-Hill G, MacAlasdair N, Ruis C, Weimann A, Horesh G, Lees JA, Gladstone RA,
Lo S, Beaudoin C, Floto RA, Frost SDW, Corander J, Bentley SD, Parkhill J. 2020.
Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo pipeline. Genome Biol
21:180.

104. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T, Keane JA, Harris SR. 2016. SNP-
sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microb Genom
2:e000056.

105. Tavaré S. 1986. Some probabilistic and statistical problems in the analysis of DNA
sequences. Lectures on mathematics in the life sciences 17:57-86.

106. To T-H, Jung M, Lycett S, Gascuel O. 2015. Fast Dating Using Least-Squares Criteria
and Algorithms. Systematic Biology 65:82-97.

107. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, Parkhill J, Harris
SR. 2015. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole
genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res 43:e15.

108. Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in
R language. Bioinformatics 20:289-90.

109. Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35:526-528.

921   **TABLES**

922 **Table 1.** *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced in this study ($n = 25$).

Strain	Year[a]	Meat Sample Type	Meat Category[b]	Food Animal	Establishment	Province[c]	*panC*[d] Group	MLST ST[e]	GTDB Species[f]	2020 GSB Species[g]
S57	2015	Beef Biltong	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	Free State	II	794	*wiedmannii*	*mosaicus*
S58	2015	Chicken Thigh	Raw Intact	Poultry	Retail Outlets	Mpumalanga	III	NA	*anthracis*	*mosaicus*
S59	2016	Chicken 1/4 Leg	Raw Intact	Poultry	Cold Store	Import (NL)	III	2413	*paranthracis*	*mosaicus*
S62	2015	Chicken Thigh	Raw Intact	Poultry	Retail Outlets	Mpumalanga	III	2413	*paranthracis*	*mosaicus*
S64	2016	Sausage Emulsion	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	Western Cape	III	NA	*anthracis*	*mosaicus*
S66	2015	Beef Mince	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	Mpumalanga	III	26	*paranthracis*	*mosaicus* subsp. *cereus*
S51	2015	Beef Mince	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Limpopo	IV	1578	*thuringiensis*	*cereus s.s.*
S53	2015	Beef Patties	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Gauteng	IV	2668	*thuringiensis_S*	*cereus s.s.*
S55	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	Limpopo	IV	177	*cereus*	*cereus s.s.*
S56	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	North West	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S63	2015	Beef Biltong	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Free State	IV	2668	*thuringiensis_S*	*cereus s.s.*
S65	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	Limpopo	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S67	2016	Chicken 1/4 Leg	Raw Intact	Poultry	Cold Store	Import (NL)	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S70	2015	Beef Mince	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Limpopo	IV	2668	*thuringiensis_S*	*cereus s.s.*
S77	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Gauteng	IV	24	*cereus*	*cereus s.s.*
S78	2015	Beef Biltong	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	Gauteng	IV	1697	*thuringiensis_S*	*cereus s.s.*
S79	2015	Beef Biltong	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	North West	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S80	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	North West	IV	177	*cereus*	*cereus s.s*
S81	2016	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Processing Plant	North West	IV	NA	*cereus*	*cereus s.s.*
New_ S84	2015	Beef Patties	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Free State	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S85	2015	Beef Wors	Processed	Beef	Retail Outlets	Gauteng	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S86	2015	Sausage Emulsion	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	Western Cape	IV	2289	*cereus*	*cereus s.s.*
S87	2015	Frankfurter	Raw Intact	Poultry	Processing Plant	Free State	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	*cereus s.s.*
S88	2015	Beef Biltong	RTE	Beef	Retail Outlets	Limpopo	IV	NA	*cereus*	*cereus s.s.*
S72	2015	Beef-Pork-Lamb Wors	Processed	Mixed	Butchery	Gauteng	V	223	*toyonensis*	*toyonensis*

[a]Year of isolation

[b]RTE, Ready-to-Eat

[c]Two strains were isolated from meat products imported from the Netherlands (NL)

[d]*panC* phylogenetic group assigned using BTyper3

[e]Sequence type (ST) assigned using the PubMLST seven-gene multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme for *B. cereus* and

BTyper3

[f]Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) species assigned using GTDB-Tk

[g]Species and subspecies (where applicable), assigned using the 2020 Genomospecies-Subspecies-Biovar (GSB) nomenclatural

framework for *B. cereus s.l.* and BTyper3 (see Table 2 for predicted biovar/phenotypic information)

Table 2. Predicted phenotypes of *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced in this study (*n* = 25).

Strain	*panC*[a] Group	MLST ST[b]	GTDB Species[c]	Anthrax Toxin & Capsule Genes[d,e]	Emetic Toxin *cesABCD*[d]	Diarrheal Toxin *nheABC*[d]	Diarrheal Toxin *hblACD*[d]	Diarrheal Toxin *cytK-2*[d]	Bt Toxin Genes[f]	2020 GSB Taxonomy[g,h]
S57	II	794	*wiedmannii*	-	-	+	+	+	-/-/+	
S58	III	NA	*anthracis*	-	-	+	-	+	-/-/+	
S59	III	2413	*paranthracis*	-	-	+	-	-	-/-/-	*B. mosaicus*
S62	III	2413	*paranthracis*	-	-	+	-	-	-/-/+	
S64	III	NA	*anthracis*	-	-	+	-	+	-/-/+	
S66	III	26	*paranthracis*	-	+	+	-	-	-/-/+	*B. mosaicus* subsp. *cereus* bv. Emeticus; *B. cereus* bv. Emeticus; *B.* Emeticus
S51	IV	1578	*thuringiensis*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S53	IV	2668	*thuringiensis*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/-	
S55	IV	177	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S56	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/-	
S63	IV	2668	*thuringiensis*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S65	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	-	+/+/-	
S67	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S70	IV	2668	*thuringiensis*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/-	*B. cereus s.s.* bv. Thuringiensis; *B.* Thuringiensis
S77	IV	24	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S78	IV	1697	*thuringiensis*	-	-	+	+	-	+/+/+	
S79	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/-	
S80	IV	177	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S81	IV	NA	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/-	
New_ S84	IV	2721	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S85	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	-	+/+/+	
S86	IV	2289	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	- /+/+	
S87	IV	NA	*bombysepticus*	-	-	+	+	-	+/+/-	
S88	IV	NA	*cereus*	-	-	+	+	+	-/+/+	
S72	V	223	*toyonensis*	-	-	+	+	-*	-/+/+	*B. toyonensis* bv. Thuringiensis; *B.* Thuringiensis

[a]*panC* phylogenetic group assigned using BTyper3
[b]Sequence type (ST) assigned using the PubMLST seven-gene multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme for *B. cereus* and
BTyper3

[c]Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) species assigned using GTDB-Tk

[d]Viurlence factors detected in each genome using BTyper3 and default thresholds (70% amino acid identity and 80% coverage);

presence and absence of virulence factors are denoted by "+" and "-", respectively

[e]All of *cya, lef, pagA* (toxin), *capABCDE*, *hasABC*, and/or *bpsXABCDEFGH* (capsules)

[f]*B. thuringiensis* (Bt) insecticidal toxin-encoding genes detected using (i) BTyper3 (which uses a conservative BLAST-based approach

and minimum default amino acid and coverage thresholds of 50 and 70%, respectively), and BtToxin_scanner2's (ii) "old" and (iii)

"new" gene detection approaches (detected using default thresholds), each separated by a slash ("/")

[g]Species, subspecies (where applicable), and biovar (where applicable) assigned using the 2020 Genomospecies-Subspecies-Biovar

(GSB) nomenclatural framework for *B. cereus s.l.* and BTyper3; multiple taxonomic labels are listed for strains that can be referenced

using shorted subspecies and/or biovar notation (separated by a semi-colon)

[h]Biovar Thuringiensis was assigned to genomes in which Bt insecticidal toxin-encoding genes were detected using

BtToxin_scanner2's "old" gene detection approach and default settings (which is less conservative than BTyper3's Bt toxin gene

detection approach)

Table 3. Genomic distances within multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) lineages, which contain strains sequenced in this study.

		# of Genomes					Pairwise SNP Range within MLST Lineage		
MLST Lineage[a]	GTDB Species[b]	MLST ST[c]	Total	Study	ANI Range (Mean)[d]	Reference Genome[e]	Total (Mean)[f]	Within-Study (Mean)[g]	Study-Public (Mean)[h]
IVA*	*bombysepticus*	NA	3	3	99.99-100.0 (100.0)	S85	0-0 (0)	0-0 (0)	NA
IVB*	*bombysepticus*	2721	3	3	99.99-100.0 (100.0)	S79	0-0 (0)	0-0 (0)	NA
IVC	*bombysepticus*	NA	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IVD*	*cereus*	NA	2	2	100.0-100.0 (100.0)	S81	3	3	NA
IVE	*cereus*	177	11	2	99.70-100.0 (99.92)	S80	1-531 (239.2)	16	10-531 (258.11)
IVF	*cereus*	2289	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IVG	*cereus*	24[i,j]	12	1	99.75-100.0 (99.91)	S77	1-1,075 (328.6)	NA	94-1,054 (290.3)
IVH*	thuringiensis_S	2668	4	3	98.90-100.0 (99.49)	S53	0-23,098 (11,549)	0-1 (0.67)	23,097- 23,098 (23,097)
IVI	thuringiensis_S	1697	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IVJ	*thuringiensis*	1578[j]	6	1	98.49-99.92 (98.81)	S51	2,461- 40,845	NA	12,642- 36,103 (29,344)
IIA	*wiedmannii*	794	3	1	99.95-100.0 (99.97)	S57	31-105 (78.67)	NA	31-100 (65.50)
IIIA*	*anthracis*	NA	2	2	99.84-100.0 (99.92)	S64	0	0	NA
IIIB*	*paranthracis*	2413	2	2	100.0-100.0 (100.0)	S59	1	1	NA
IIIC	*paranthracis*	26	77	1	99.52-100.0 (99.84)	S66	0-12,716 (4,797)	NA	913-11,406 (3,494)
VA	*toyonensis*	223[j]	41	1	98.95-99.99 (99.51)	S72	14-10,967 (6,600)	NA	33-10,882 (4,971)

[a]Lineage identifiers (IDs) pertain to clusters displayed in Figures 3 (Group IV), 5 (Groups II and III), and 6 (Group V); IDs with an asterisk contain two or more strains sequenced in this study, which were highly similar on a genomic scale; NA, not available/applicable

[b]Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) species assigned using GTDB-Tk

[c]Sequence type (ST) assigned using the PubMLST seven-gene MLST scheme for *B. cereus* and BTyper3

[d]FastANI average nucleotide identity (ANI) range and mean values between all genomes in the lineage (excludes self-comparisons)

[e]Strain sequenced in this study, which was used as a reference genome for single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling among all genomes within the lineage via Snippy

[f]Pairwise SNP distances calculated among all genomes within the lineage (excludes self-comparisons)

[g]Pairwise SNP distances calculated among all genomes within the lineage that were sequenced in this study (excludes self-comparisons)

[h]Pairwise SNP distances calculated between genomes sequenced in this study and public genomes within the same lineage (excludes self-comparisons)

[i]For polyphyletic ST24, one outlier ST24 genome was excluded (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_010580595.1)

[j]ST was polyphyletic

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Geographic origins of *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced in this study (*n* = 25).

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny constructed using amino acid sequences derived

from the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* isolate genomes sequenced in this study (tip labels colored by

geographic origin), plus type strain/species representative genomes of 23 published and effective

B. cereus s.l. species (gray tip labels). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny showcases

species assignments obtained within the following taxonomic frameworks (from left to right): (i)

Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) Release 05-RS95 and GTDB-Tk (GTDB R95); (ii)

pseudo-gene flow units (GFUs) assigned using BTyper3 (Pseudo-GFU); (iii) genomospecies of

the 2020 standardized *B. cereus s.l.* genomospecies/subspecies/biovar (GSB) framework and

BTyper3 (2020 GSB); (iv) *panC* Group (I-VIII), assigned using BTyper3 (*panC* Group). The

phylogeny was constructed using IQ-TREE, using core orthologs identified among all genomes

via OrthoFinder as input. Branch lengths are reported in substitutions per site. Branch labels

correspond to branch support percentages obtained using 1,000 replicates of the ultrafast

bootstrap approximation (selected for readability). The type strain genome of effective *B. cereus*

s.l. species "*B. manliponensis*" (the most distant recognized member of *B. cereus s.l.*) was used

to root the phylogeny. Heatmap legends for all five taxonomies are colored by their order of

appearance in the heatmap, from top to bottom; white heatmap cells denote genomes that could

not be assigned to a taxonomic unit within a given taxonomic framework.

Figure 3. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among

orthologous gene clusters of 1,081 *panC* Group IV *B. cereus s.l.* genomes. The phylogeny was

rooted using *panC* Group III *B. anthracis* str. Ames Ancestor as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq

Accession GCF_000008445.1; omitted for readability). Tip label colors and clade labels denote

species assigned using GTDB-Tk ("GTDB Species"). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny

denotes (i) whether a strain was sequenced in this study (dark pink) or not (light pink; "Study"),

and (ii) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) associated with strains

sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray; "ST"). MLST lineages

discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap ("MLST Lineage"). MLST

lineages with numerical superscripts contain two or more strains sequenced in this study, which

were highly similar on a genomic scale; these lineages are depicted in Figure 4. The tree was

rooted and time-scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.

1002

1003 **Figure 4.** *B. cereus s.l.* multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) lineages that contained two or more

1004 strains sequenced in this study, which were identical or nearly identical at the whole-genome

1005 scale. Lineage names and sequence types (STs), where applicable, are shown in the top right

1006 corner of each panel. Geographic and source origins of each strain are displayed in the respective

1007 map.

1008

Figure 5. Maximum likelihood phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among

orthologous gene clusters of 597 *panC* Group II and III *B. cereus s.l.* genomes. The phylogeny

was rooted using *panC* Group IV *B. cereus* str. ATCC 14579 as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq

Accession GCF_006094295.1; omitted for readability). Tip label colors and clade labels denote

species assigned using GTDB-Tk ("GTDB Species"). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny

denotes (i) whether a strain possesses anthrax toxin-encoding genes *cya, lef,* and *pagA* (dark

orange) or not (light orange; "Anthrax"); (ii) whether a strain possesses cereulide synthetase-

encoding *cesABCD* (dark purple) or not (light purple; "Emetic"); (iii) whether a strain belongs to

panC Group II (blue) or III (yellow; *"panC"*)*;* (iv) whether a strain was sequenced in this study

(dark pink) or not (light pink; "Study"); (v) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types

(STs) associated with strains sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray;

"ST"). MLST lineages discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap ("MLST

Lineage"). MLST lineages with numerical superscripts contain two or more strains sequenced in

this study, which were highly similar on a genomic scale; these lineages are depicted in Figure 4.

The tree was rooted and time-scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.

1024

Figure 6. Maximum likelihood phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among

orthologous gene clusters of 219 *panC* Group V *B. toyonensis* genomes. The phylogeny was

rooted using *panC* Group IV *B. cereus* str. ATCC 14579 as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq

Accession GCF_006094295.1; omitted for readability). The heatmap to the right of the

phylogeny denotes (i) whether a strain was sequenced in this study (dark pink) or not (light pink;

"Study"); (ii) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) associated with strains

sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray; "ST"). MLST lineages

discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap. The tree was rooted and time-

scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.

Figure 1. Geographic origins of *B. cereus s.l.* strains sequenced in this study (*n* = 25).

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny constructed using amino acid sequences derived from the 25 *B. cereus s.l.* isolate genomes sequenced in this study (tip labels colored by geographic origin), plus type strain/species representative genomes of 23 published and effective *B. cereus s.l.* species (gray tip labels). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny showcases species assignments obtained within the following taxonomic frameworks (from left to right): (i) Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) Release 05-RS95 and GTDB-Tk (GTDB R95); (ii) pseudo-gene flow units (GFUs) assigned using BTyper3 (Pseudo-GFU); (iii) genomospecies of the 2020 standardized *B. cereus s.l.* genomospecies/subspecies/biovar (GSB) framework and BTyper3 (2020 GSB); (iv) *panC* Group (I-VIII), assigned using BTyper3 (*panC* Group). The phylogeny was constructed using IQ-TREE, using core orthologs identified among all genomes via OrthoFinder as input. Branch lengths are reported in substitutions per site. Branch labels correspond to branch support percentages obtained using 1,000 replicates of the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (selected for readability). The type strain genome of effective *B. cereus s.l.* species "*B. manliponensis*" (the most distant recognized member of *B. cereus s.l.*) was used to root the phylogeny. Heatmap legends for all five taxonomies are colored by their order of appearance in the heatmap, from top to bottom; white heatmap cells denote genomes that could not be assigned to a taxonomic unit within a given taxonomic framework.

Figure 3. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among orthologous gene clusters of 1,081 *panC* Group IV *B. cereus s.l.* genomes. The phylogeny was rooted using *panC* Group III *B. anthracis* str. Ames Ancestor as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_000008445.1; omitted for readability). Tip label colors and clade labels denote species assigned using GTDB-Tk ("GTDB Species"). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny denotes (i) whether a strain was sequenced in this study (dark pink) or not (light pink; "Study"), and (ii) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) associated with strains sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray; "ST"). MLST lineages discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap ("MLST Lineage"). MLST lineages with numerical superscripts contain two or more strains sequenced in this study, which were highly similar on a genomic scale; these lineages are depicted in Figure 4. The tree was rooted and time-scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.

Figure 4. *B. cereus s.l.* multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) lineages that contained two or more strains sequenced in this study, which were identical or nearly identical at the whole-genome scale. Lineage names and sequence types (STs), where applicable, are shown in the top right corner of each panel. Geographic and source origins of each strain are displayed in the respective map.

Figure 5. Maximum likelihood phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among orthologous gene clusters of 597 *panC* Group II and III *B. cereus s.l.* genomes. The phylogeny was rooted using *panC* Group IV *B. cereus* str. ATCC 14579 as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_006094295.1; omitted for readability). Tip label colors and clade labels denote species assigned using GTDB-Tk ("GTDB Species"). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny denotes (i) whether a strain possesses anthrax toxin-encoding genes *cya*, *lef*, and *pagA* (dark orange) or not (light orange; "Anthrax"); (ii) whether a strain possesses cereulide synthetase-encoding *cesABCD* (dark purple) or not (light purple; "Emetic"); (iii) whether a strain belongs to *panC* Group II (blue) or III (yellow; *"panC"*); (iv) whether a strain was sequenced in this study (dark pink) or not (light pink; "Study"); (v) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) associated with strains sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray; "ST"). MLST lineages discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap ("MLST Lineage"). MLST lineages with numerical superscripts contain two or more strains sequenced in this study, which were highly similar on a genomic scale; these lineages are depicted in Figure 4. The tree was rooted and time-scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.

Figure 6. Maximum likelihood phylogeny constructed using core SNPs identified among orthologous gene clusters of 219 *panC* Group V *B. toyonensis* genomes. The phylogeny was rooted using *panC* Group IV *B. cereus* str. ATCC 14579 as an outgroup (NCBI RefSeq Accession GCF_006094295.1; omitted for readability). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny denotes (i) whether a strain was sequenced in this study (dark pink) or not (light pink; "Study"); (ii) multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) associated with strains sequenced in this study, where applicable (colored), or not (gray; "ST"). MLST lineages discussed in Table 3 are annotated to the right of the heatmap. The tree was rooted and time-scaled using LSD2, with branch lengths reported in years.