1

New insights into tomato CLE peptide repertoire and perception mechanisms

Samy Carbonnel[1], Laurent Falquet[1,2] and Ora Hazak[1*]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musee 10, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

[2] Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

[*] Corresponding author: ora.hazak@unifr.ch

Abstract

Precision in sensing the environmental cues and adjusting the growth and the physiology of the root

system are necessary for plant robustness. Plants achieve their phenotypic plasticity by tightly

controlling and buffering developmental decisions. In addition to the classical plant hormones that

mediate plant development and stress responses, the CLE peptides constitute an additional crucial

level of regulation. While the CLV3-CLV1 module appears to be highly conserved to control the

proliferation of the shoot apical meristem stem cells, we do not yet fully understand the function of

the additional *CLE* genes and whether they act in a similar way across the plant species, including

tomato. Due to the small gene size and high sequence variability, it is extremely difficult to precisely

annotate *CLE* genes in plant genomes. Here we present our analysis of the *CLE* family in tomato,

based on a combination of iterative tBLASTn and Hidden-Markov-Model (HMM), which allowed us

to identify thirty-seven new *SlCLE*s in addition to the fifteen reported previously. We could confirm

the biological activities of selected SlCLEs in suppressing root meristematic cell divisions. We show

that root response is mediated by *SlCLAVATA2*, indicating the conservation of CLE perception

mechanism.

One-sentence summary

Using a combination of iterative tBLASTn and Hidden-Markov-Model approaches, we uncovered 37

new tomato *CLE* genes predominantly expressed in roots, and we showed a conserved effect on root

meristem arrest, that was *SlCLAVATA2*-dependent.

Highlights

• We applied a combined approach of iterative tBLASTn and Hidden-Markov-Model to identify
fifty-two tomato *SlCLE* genes, including thirty-seven new genes

• All identified genes encode for pre-propeptides with a single CLE-domain containing conserved
residues similar to Arabidopsis

• Analyzing the publicly available RNAseq datasets, we could confirm the expression of *SlCLE*
genes that was often associated specifically with root or shoot, a certain developmental stage of
the fruit, or with drought stress conditions

• Remarkably, the majority of *SlCLE* genes are predominantly expressed in the root tissues

• We showed the conserved inhibitory effect on the root meristem and columella cells division for
the selected SlCLE peptides that were *SlCLAVATA2*-dependent.

1.   Introduction

Plant roots explore the soil for water and minerals that are distributed irregularly in space and time.

They grow towards the resources and limit the growth towards the unfavorable substances [1].

Remarkably, roots integrate the inputs from the soil environment, to adjust the growth and to

maximize the nutrient and water uptake. The biology of the root growth and responses to the

environment is an emerging topic [2] and therefore, finding new regulators and pathways that underlie

the root growth under stressful conditions is extremely important. In the last decades, the Arabidopsis

research yielded many key players that shape the root system, including plant hormones, small RNAs,

transcription factors, and small signaling peptides [3, 4]. At the same time, we still do not know

whether the mechanisms observed in Arabidopsis are fully conserved in the eudicot and the monocot

crop species.

One of the most studied groups of hormone-like peptides that have been shown to mediate root

vascular development, root stem cell niche maintenance, and responses to the availability of water,

phosphate, nitrate in the soil, sugar levels, and root-to-shoot communication is the

CLAVATA3/EMBRYO-SURROUNDING REGION-RELATED (CLE) family [5-12]. The CLE

genes are relatively small and encode for non-functional pre-propeptides of about 100 amino acids.

To become active peptides, additional processing, including cleavage by subtilases [13], and, often

prolines hydroxylation and glycosylation are necessary[13-16]. CLE peptides are secreted to the

apoplast, where they are perceived by the Class XI of the leucine-rich repeats receptor-like kinases

(LRR-RLKs) [9, 17]. In Arabidopsis, in addition to the CLAVATA1 receptor, three BARELY ANY

MERISTEM (BAM) receptors have been shown to bind CLE peptides. These receptors have three

domains: an extracellular domain, which is responsible for the binding of the ligand, a transmembrane

domain, which anchors the receptor in the plasma membrane, and a cytoplasmic kinase domain, that

triggers the intracellular signaling by phosphorylating downstream targets. Moreover, the receptor-

like kinases CLV3 INSENSITIVE KINASES (CIKs) act as co-receptors both in perceiving the root-

active CLE peptides and in the CLV3 signaling in the shoot apical meristem [18, 19]. In addition to

these cognate receptors, it has been shown, that also LRR receptor-like protein (LRR-RLP) named

CLAVATA2 (CLV2) creates a dimer with the pseudo-kinase CORYNE (CRN) to perceive the full

range of CLE peptides in the Arabidopsis root [9].

The unique roles of CLE peptides in mediating shoot and root growth and adaptation to

environmental stresses underlies the special interest of the scientific community to study this group of

ancient and highly conserved plant peptide hormones not only in model plants but also in crop

species. The genome-wide analyses of *CLE* genes have been performed in many plant genomes,

including tomato, rice, wheat, maize, soybean, grape, potato and cucumber [20-23]. Due to the small

gene size and high sequence variability, the annotation is challenging.   It has been previously

reported, that in the tomato genome there are only 15 CLE genes [23]. Among them, *SlCLV3* and

SlCLE9 encode for signaling peptides that need to be arabinosylated to control the stem cell

proliferation and shoot apical meristem size. Remarkably, the tomato domestication mutation

fascinated (fas) that led to the increased fruit size, is a result of disruption of the *SlCLV3* promoter

that led to the reduction in the gene expression [24]. The *SlCLE9* is the closest paralog of *SlCLV3* and

can actively compensate for the absence of *SlCLV3* to buffer the impact on the stem cell niche [24,

25]. The unraveling of additional tomato *CLE* genes and more careful phylogenetic analysis is

necessary to fully understand the role of these conserved ligands in tomato development and

adaptation to the changing environment.

2.   Materials and methods

2.1 *SlCLE*s identification

2.1.1 Iterative tBLASTn

All previously described *Arabidopsis thaliana* CLE full-length protein (pre-propeptide) sequences

were used as queries to search by tBLASTn in *Solanum lycopersicum* genome SL3.0 and SL4.0 in the

plant section of the EnsemblGenome database [26]. The hits were then used to search by BLASTp in

closely related species of the Solanaceae family (*Nicotiana attenuata*, *Solanum tuberosum,* and

Capsicum annuum). The newly identified CLE proteins were exploited to identify by tBLASTn

additional similar sequences in tomato's genome, which were then used to search again in the above

Solanaceae-species genomes. Between each iteration, candidate loci were individually confirmed

based on the CLE domain sequence and the presence of a signal peptide sequence in 5'.

2.1.2 Hidden-Markov-Model approach

A list of 256 CLE proteins obtained in multiple species (*A. thaliana, N. attenuata*, *S. tuberosum*,

Populus trichocarpa, Medicago truncatula, Brachypodium distachyon, and tomato sequences found

in 2.1.1) was aligned with MEGA X [27] and used to build an HMM with HMMER3 [28]. The

HMM was used to search *S. lycopersicum* SL4.0 genome with Genewise [29] (the genome was split

in chunks of 9 million bp with EMBOSS splitter & seqretsplit [30]). This led to a list of 61 CLE

candidates that was concatenated with the 40 CLE of 2.1.1 above. After manual cleaning and

removing duplicates, we confirmed a clean list of 57 *CLE* candidates.

2.1.3 Candidate verification

The gene structure of the 57 candidate *CLE* was verified by tBLASTn and BLAT [31] against the

SL3.0 genome as in 2.1.1 and by manual evaluation of the resulting hits for the correctness of their

exon-intron structure. Five pseudogenes could be identified (with in-frame stop codons or no initiator

methionine), leaving a final list of 52 *CLE* genes.

2.2 Transcriptomic analysis

We selected four publicly available RNAseq and TRAPseq datasets to search for expression clues of

the CLE genes in various tissue types of *S.lycopersicum* M82: RNAseqA [32], RNAseqD [33],

RNAseqF1 and RNAseqF2 [34], TRAPseq [35].

The selected samples of all the four datasets were remapped to the SL4.0 genome assembly with bwa

[36] and samtools [37] to obtain sorted bam files. A Bed file containing the CLE gene positions was

created (**CLEgene.bed**) and used to count the reads per gene with bedtools multicov [38]. A heatmap

of the logTPM (transcripts per million) for CLE genes counts over all genes was created with a

custom-made R script (**script**) for each dataset.

2.3 Phylogenetic analysis

Alignments of the CLE proteins sequences were performed in MEGA X [27], using ClustalW (Figure

2) or MUSCLE (Figure S1-S2), and manually corrected. The phylogenetic trees were generated by

IQTREE with 1000 bootstrap replicates [39], and visualized with iTOL [40].

2.4 Plant material and treatments

2.4.1 Mutants and seed sterilization

Seeds of *Solanum lycopersicum* M82 were surface-sterilized with a sterilization solution (2.5%

sodium hypochloride, 0.1% Tween-20) for 20 minutes. Seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 were

surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol and 0.05% Triton-X100 solution for 3 minutes. Immediately after,

the seeds were washed with sterile distilled water five times. Tomato (*Slclv1*-a2, *Slbam1*-a1, *Slbam4*-

a2 and *Slclv2-5*) and Arabidopsis (*Atcrn-10*) mutants are CRISPR-mediated mutants previously

described [25, 41].

2.4.2 Root assays

S. lycopersicum sterilized seeds were placed on 24 cm square plates containing 1µM of the indicated

SlCLE peptide. After 2 days in the dark, plates were placed vertically in 16h light / 26 ˚C – 8h dark /

24 ˚C cycles for a week. *A. thaliana* sterilized seeds were grown onto 12cm square plates containing

50nM of indicated AtCLE peptides. After 2 days in the dark at 4 ˚C, plates were placed vertically in

16h light– 8h dark cycles at 22 ˚C for a week. The plates were scanned at high resolution, and primary

root length was measured with the "simple neurite tracer" tool on Fiji (www.imagej.net). All CLE

peptides are synthetic un-modified peptides at >75% purity (www.genscript.com) solubilized in water

at 10mM stock concentration.

2.4.3 Tomato drought stress assay

The assay was modified from a published protocol of hydroponically grown tomato [42]. In brief,

sterilized tomato seeds were placed on moistened blotting paper and kept in dark at 26°C for 3 days.

Germinated seeds were placed on Eppendorf-type tubes with cut end filled with 0.6% water-agar in

16h light / 26 °C – 8h dark / 24 °C cycles and high humidity environment for one week. Then, the

seedlings were transferred to hydroponics containers, in which the roots grow in an oxygenated

Hoagland solution in darkness. The nutritive solution was renewed every week. After 3 weeks, one

day after replacing the nutrient solution, drought stress was induced with a fresh solution

supplemented with 15% PEG-6000. Three different containers were used for the experiments

generating each 2 biological replicates. Each biological replicate is a pool of 2 to 3 plants from the

same container. The root samples contain all the root system coming out of the Eppendorf. The shoot

samples contain all the leaves and around 5 cm of stem harboring the shoot apical meristem, thus

these samples do not contain the main stem which has been strongly lignified.

2.5 Quantitative RT-PCR of tomato CLE genes

Plant tissues were rapidly shock frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were grinded using mortar

and pestle. Total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma). The remaining

DNA was eliminated by DNAse I treatment (Jena-Bioscience) and with a 2M LiCl precipitation. The

absence of the genomic DNA in the RNA samples was tested by PCR. cDNA synthesis was

performed using the SensiFAST cDNA synthesis kit (meridian). Quantitative PCRs were performed

using Fast Start Universal SYBR-green Master (Roche), with primers indicated in Supplemental

Table 1. The thermal cycler (Mic qPCR Cycler, biomolecular systems) conditions were: 95°C 2 min,

45 cycles of 95°C 15s, 58 °C 10s, 60 °C 50s, followed by a dissociation curve analysis. The

expression level was normalized to Actin on 6 biological replicates.

2.6 Microscopy

About 1 cm of the primary root tips of one-week-old tomato seedlings were fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde in a 1xPBS solution for a minimum of 6 hours. After 2 washes in 1xPBS, the

samples were cleared in a ClearSee solution [43] for one week. Subsequently, to visualize the cell

walls, the calcofluor white staining was performed with 0,02% calcofluor-white dissolved in the

ClearSee solution for 2 days, followed by two washing steps with ClearSee. Samples were incubated

in ClearSee solution for a minimum of 2 weeks before imaging. Images were taken with a confocal

laser scanning microscope (Leica SP5). The calcofluor-white stained cell walls were excited at 405

nm and emitted light detected at 415-500nm. These images were used to quantify root width in the

differentiation zone, columella length and cell number in Fiji (www.imagej.net).

2. 7 Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using Rstudio (www.rstudio.com) after log transformation of the

data. Statistical significance was analyzed by ANOVA, and followed by a post-hoc Tukey test to

determine the different statistical groups.

3.   Results

3.1 Identification of 37 new *SlCLE* genes

Motivated by uncovering the role of CLE peptides in tomato roots, we performed a re-analysis of the

CLE peptide-encoding genes. The first genome-wide analysis in the tomato genome revealed only

fifteen *SlCLE* genes [23] and further attempts failed to uncover additional genes [21, 22]. In our

study, we applied a combined bioinformatic approach to identify additional *SlCLE* genes using the

most recent versions of the tomato reference genome SL3.0 and SL4.0 [44]. Firstly, we performed an

iterative tBLASTn search on the full genome which revealed forty *CLE* genes (Figure 1B). Secondly,

we applied a Hidden-Markov-Model, that resulted in forty-seven *CLE* genes. Remarkably, for a total

of 52 *CLE* genes in tomato, fifteen were uncovered by only one of the two approaches. This indicates

that the combination of these two methods can be instrumental to find short genes like *CLEs* in plant

genomes.

We mapped the *SlCLE* genes on tomato's chromosomes (Figure 1D). We numbered the identified

SlCLE genes as follows: the previously reported fifteen genes are numbered *SlCLE1-SlCLE15*. The

newly identified genes (*SlCLE16* to *SlCLE52*) are numbered according to their chromosomal location,

starting from chromosome 1 (Figure 1D). *SlCLE* are diversely present on all 12 chromosomes in

tomato, from a single gene on chromosome 6 to up to nine genes on chromosome 5. The fact that

several *SlCLE* genes are located in high proximity with each other's, forming gene clusters, and

showing high sequence similarity, suggest that they arise from tandem gene duplication events. To

investigate the gene structure diversity of tomato *CLE*s, the exon-intron composition was predicted

based on sequence homologies (Figure 1A). In addition, we used publicly available RNAseq datasets

[32-34, 45, 46], from root, shoot and fruit samples, to support these gene structure predictions. Reads

were mapped on the anticipated coding region of 28 *CLE* genes out of the 37 newly uncovered loci

(Figure 1A). Overall, the tomato *SlCLE*s have a single CLE domain in the 3' of the coding region and

do not include any intron (Figure 1A). In the case of *SlCLE31*, an insertion of a single nucleotide in

the tomato genome SL4.0, which is not present in the version SL3.0, creates a frameshift in the CDS

suggesting that it is a pseudogene. However, Sanger sequencing of this particular locus confirmed the

correctness of the sequence in the SL3.0 genome. Furthermore, to evaluate to what extend the CLE

motif is conserved between Arabidopsis and tomato, we created sequence logos (Figure 1C). The

CLE domain is extremely well conserved, including the prolines at positions 4, 6, and 9, as well as the

arginine at position 1, glycine at positions 6, and histidine-asparagine/histidine at positions 11-12.

In parallel, we searched for the CLE receptor genes, namely *CLV1*, *BAM1*, *BAM2*, *BAM3,* and *PXY*.

As previously reported in tomato [25], we found one copy of *SlCLV1*, four *SlBAM* homologs, two

SlPXY-like genes, one *SlPXL1,* and one *SlPXL2* (Supplemental Figure 2).

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) belong to the same family and the

CLE genes in both share high gene sequence similarities. At the time our bioinformatics analysis was

fully accomplished and the functional analysis of selected new *SlCLE* genes was still ongoing, a study

reporting about 41 *CLE* genes in potato was published [20]. This study came up with a similar

strategy for searching short genes in the potato genome. We used the advantage of this list of potato

CLE genes to perform a phylogenetic analysis with tomato genes identified in present study

(Supplemental Figure 1). Except for *StCLE2* and *StCLE5*, we found orthologous for all the other CLE

genes in the potato genome, which indicates that both studies identified most of the CLE genes.

3.2. Expression analysis of *SlCLE*s and their diversification

Next, we wanted to confirm, that the identified genes are expressed in tomato based on the publicly

available RNAseq datasets [32-34, 45, 46]. Remarkably, the majority of *SlCLEs* shows predominant

expression in root tissues, while some are shoot-specific or evenly expressed in both (Figure 3A). We

could observe, that *SlCLE20*, *SlCLE2, SlCLE40* and *SlCLE41*, for example, are root-specific genes

and their expression increases with the age of the plant. Using qPCR, we could detect that *SlCLE5*,

SlCLE21, *SlCLE40* have higher expression in the root tissues, while *SlCLE13*, *SlCLE32*, *SlCLE45,*

and *SlCLE52* are more expressed in the shoot tissues (Figure 3B).

To explore the diversification of the tomato *CLE* genes, we created a phylogenetic tree of the full-

length proteins from tomato and Arabidopsis (Figure 2). This analysis revealed gene sub-groups that

are conserved in both plant species as well as showed unique genes, which could pinpoint *CLE*

diversifications in tomato or losses in Arabidopsis, and define orthology. Interestingly, in tomato, we

found nine homologs of Arabidopsis CLE8 (Figure 2). In Arabidopsis, this peptide is expressed and

acts specifically during embryo and endosperm development [47], but the roles of the nine orthologs

in tomato are yet to be uncovered. For phloem CLE peptides in Arabidopsis, which includes CLE25,

CLE26, CLE45 we found seven orthologs in tomato, which also suggests the diversification of the

phloem genes.

3.3 The expression of *SlCLEs* in the developing fruit and in water deficit stress

To test whether *SlCLE* genes are expressed during fruit development, we analyzed the dataset from

[33]. In this study, wild type M82 and yellow-fruited *yft1* mutant fruits were sampled at different

developmental time points, from 35 to 60 days-post-antherisation. Our analysis shows, that *SlCLE12*,

SlCLE30, *SlCLE31*, *SlCLE34*, and *SlCLE38* are the most expressed in tomato fruits independently of

the genotype, whereas *SlCLE5, SlCLE11, SLCLE51* expression is impaired in the yellow-fruited *yft1*

mutant (Supplemental Figure 3A). These results suggest, that *SlCLE* genes could play a role during

tomato fruit ripening.

Numerous studies showed that CLE peptides mediate abiotic stress signals, for example, CLE25

peptide in Arabidopsis was shown to be induced during dehydration, moving from root to shoot as a

mobile signal, triggering ABA biosynthesis and stomatal closure [5]. Therefore, we wanted to test,

whether some *SlCLE* genes are up-regulated under drought stress conditions. First, we analyzed the

dataset published previously and could find several genes that are specifically expressed under

drought in the tomato leaves (Supplemental Figure 3B) [32]. *SlCLE1*, *SlCLE12*, *SlCLE32, SlCLE45*

and *SlCLE52* showed an increased expression (Supplemental Figure 3B), suggesting that they could

be involved in adaptive responses to water deficit We wanted to test, whether these genes are quickly

up-regulated, also following short osmotic stress. To this end, hydroponically grown tomato plants

were treated with a 15% PEG6000 solution for one hour, and roots and shoots samples were collected

separately. Since Dehydrins (DHN) play a key role in plant response and adaptation to water deficit

conditions and are accumulated during drought stress, we used the *SlDehydrin (SlDHN)*

(*Solyc02g084850*) expression as a control to monitor the effect of water deficit in our experiment.

After one hour, *SlDHN* was strongly upregulated both in root and shoot tissues of treated tomato

plants (Supplemental Figure 3C). However, we could not detect a significant induction for those

SlCLE genes (Supplemental Figure 3C). Next, we wanted to test, whether similarly to Arabidopsis,

the tomato orthologs of *AtCLE25* are upregulated in roots to mediate a dehydration response like it

has been demonstrated in Takahashi et al 2018 [5]. We could not detect any significant induction in

AtCLE25 orthologs in tomato under this short osmotic stress (Supplemental Figure 3D). One

possibility is that our experimental settings were not enough to trigger similar osmotic stress like

reported in [5] and [32]. Another possibility is that in tomato, none of the *AtCLE25* orthologs are

involved in mediating drought responses.

3.4 A conserved effect of *SlCLE* peptides on root apical meristem.

To test whether the predicted CLE peptides are biologically active, we performed root growth assays

with commercially synthesized unmodified peptides. It has been shown, that in Arabidopsis 20 out of

32 peptides affect the primary root growth, leading to root meristem arrest [9, 48]. To study the

activity of orthologous CLE peptides, we tested their capacity to inhibit root growth. For this purpose,

we selected CLE peptides from different subgroups and well-supported orthologous genes in tomato

and Arabidopsis, which were known to have different potency in arresting the root meristem in

Arabidopsis. For example, the treatment with AtCLV3, AtCLE25, and AtCLE45 peptides at 50nM

triggers a strong reduction of the primary root length (Figure 4A right side), and this response

depends on the pseudo-kinase CORYNE and the receptor-like protein CLAVATA2 [9]. However, the

AtCLE9/10 and AtCLE22 peptides had a much smaller root growth inhibition effect in the wild-type.

Because tomato roots are much thicker, with a diameter of about 320 μm at the tip instead of

approximately 120 μm in Arabidopsis, tomato root tip is less sensitive to external application of CLE

peptides. Therefore, we applied SlCLE peptides at a concentration of 1 micromolar. We could

observe, that in tomato roots, SlCLE15, SlCLE6/19 and SlCLE24 peptides (orthologous of AtCLV3,

AtCLE25, and AtCLE45, respectively) led to a strong reduction of the primary root growth (Figure

4A left side). In contrast, SlCLE30/31 and SlCLE45 peptides (orthologous of AtCLE9/10 and

AtCLE22, respectively) treatment did not trigger a significant reduction of the primary root length.

This result indicates that the amino-acid composition of CLE peptides is important for their biological

activity in the root; but, more importantly, that there is a conservation of the biological activity of

these CLE peptides between Arabidopsis and tomato, two evolutionary separated species.

To have more insight into the effect of SlCLEs on tomato roots, we analyzed the morphology of the

root tips (Figure 4B). We observed in three treatments (SlCLE15, SlCLE19, SlCLE24) not only the

decreased root length but also the reduction in the root diameter and the columella length. We also

looked at the number of columella layers and the columella cell length to understand whether the

treatment affects cell division or cell elongation. Root-active SlCLE peptides treatment led to a

reduction of columella layers, but not their average cell length, suggesting that cell division is

primarily affected (Figure 4C-F). We can conclude, that the identified CLE peptides have a root

inhibitory effect by restricting the meristematic cell divisions.

Next, we asked whether this inhibitory effect on the root is mediated by orthologous receptor-like

kinases? To answer this question, we tested the loss-of-function mutants *clv1 bam1 bam4* and *clv2*

[25] for their root sensitivity to SlCLE peptides. The mutant *clv1 bam1 bam4* showed a strong

sensitivity to SlCLE24 peptide (Supplemental Figure 4). However, *clv2* roots were absolutely blind to

the high concentrations of the peptide in the media, strongly suggesting that this response is *SlCLV2*-

dependent. This result reinforces the claim, that CLE peptides have a conserved root activity across

plant species and that the perception mechanism is similar.

4.   Discussion

Signaling mediated by CLE peptides evolved gradually in all land plant lineages [49]. The precise

control of the shoot apical meristem stem cell niche by CLV3-CLV1 module is the most ancient

pathway, whereas additional CLE genes and additional receptor complex components evolved later,

with establishing vascular plants [49]. It seems, that the possible ancestral function of CLV3-like

peptides was to suppress the proliferation of the shoot apical meristem in early land plants

(bryophytes). Pseudo-kinase CRN and receptor-like protein CLV2 create a complex and localize to

the plasma membrane to mediate CLE signals. CRN, CLV2 and additional CLE genes appeared later

in the vascular plants. To uncover the full repertoire and to understand the function of these "later"

CLE genes in tomato, we performed a re-analysis. We have discovered thirty-seven new *SlCLE* genes

and we could show that they are expressed and likely result in active peptides.

Strikingly, the perception of SlCLEs in the tomato root meristem is highly conserved and requires

SlCLV2 receptor-like protein. The loss-of-function *Slclv2* mutant is fully insensitive to the peptide

treatment. In tomato wild type roots, the root-active synthetic peptides (orthologs of Arabidopsis

CLV3, CLE25, and CLE45) inhibited the cell division rate in the columella and vascular tissues. It

has to be carefully analyzed whether such orthologs share the tissue-specific pattern and the

endogenous biological function.

It has been shown, that SlCLV3 and SlCLE9 undergo arabynosylation and are active at 60 nM

concentration while glycosylated and are not active at this concentration if the peptide is unmodified

[24]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the biological activity of Arabidopsis CLV3 gradually

increases in mono-, di- and triarabinosylated CLV3 glycopeptides, becoming equally active with non-

modified peptide at 1 μM concentration [50]. The synthesizing of the complex arabinose chain is

technically difficult and only a few laboratories in the world established such synthesis [50]. It is

plausible, that the effect of glycosylated SlCLEs on the root meristem will be visible at a much lower

concentration.

Our study showed that the root sensitivity to SlCLE treatment was not dependent on *SlBAM1*,

SlBAM4 or *SlCLV1*. This result suggests that in tomato roots additional *SlBAM*s can act redundantly

in perceiving the SlCLEs. It will be interesting to test the combinations of *SlBAM1* and *SlBAM3* loss-

of-function, and *SlBAM1* and *SlBAM2* loss-of-function, for example. The receptor-like kinase

SlBAM4 is present in tomato, but not in Arabidopsis genome and the *Slbam4* loss-of-function mutant

remains sensitive to the peptide treatment. This receptor-like kinase-encoding gene was lost in the

Arabidopsis genome during the evolution and the function of this gene in tomato has not yet been

studied.

The phylogenetic analysis and the clustering of the *SlCLE* genes with the Arabidopsis orthologs

allowed us to detect very interesting diversification events. For example, we found nine orthologs of

AtCLE8 that was shown to control the embryo development [47]. The role of these genes remains to

be uncovered. In conclusion, our work draws a more precise picture of the components of CLE

signaling in this fleshy fruit crop plant paving a path for new discoveries.

Author contributions: The manuscript was conceptualized by OH and SC. The bioinformatic

analysis was performed by SC and LF; the biological experiments and the preparation of the figures

were performed by SC. The first version of the manuscript was written by OH. All authors

contributed to the editing of the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We thank Prof. Yalovsky (Tel Aviv University, Israel) for sharing the tomato
wild type (M-82) seeds initially. We thank Prof. Lippman (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, US) for
sharing with us the tomato receptors mutants previously published in [25].

The research on tomato and Arabidopsis CLE signaling is funded by Ambizione SNSF grant
(PZ00P3_179745) to OH, COST SNSF Grant (IZCOZ0_189892) to OH, and additional funding
provided by the Department of Biology to OH.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. *CLE* genes identified in the tomato genome. A. The gene structure of the tomato *CLE*
genes. Gene structures in dashed lines indicate the *SlCLE* genes for which no proof of expression was
found in root, shoot and fruit tissues. **B.** Venn-diagramm showing the number of *CLE* genes identified
by each method. **C.** Sequence logo of the conserved CLE domain in tomato and Arabidopsis using
WebLogo (https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi) (reference). The height of the bars represents the
conservation value of each amino acid at the given position. **D.** The chromosomal location of the
tomato *CLE* genes.

Figure 2. The phylogenetic tree of the full-length CLE proteins from tomato and Arabidopsis.
The groups of proteins sharing high similarity (clusters) are highlighted by background colors. The
names in red indicate the new *CLE* genes uncovered in this study. Nodes supported by bootstrap
values superior to 50 are indicated by dots of size proportional to the bootstrap values.

Figure 3. Expression analysis of *SlCLE* genes in root and shoot tissues[34]. A. Heatmaps of
log(TPM) of tomato *CLE* genes in the root (left) and the shoot (right) at 6, 9, and 12 days after
plantation from tomato grown in pots. **B.** Expression of selected *SlCLE*s in root and shoot tissues by
qPCR from 3 weeks old tomato plant grown in hydroponic conditions.

Figure 4. Functional conservation of root active CLE peptides in tomato and Arabidopsis. A.
Effect of Arabidopsis and tomato orthologous CLE peptides on the primary root length (PRL). **B.**
Representative confocal images of tomato primary root tips grown on mock or indicated SlCLE
peptide containing medium. The cell walls are stained with calcofluor white. Red and green arrows
indicate root width columella length, respectively **C-F.** Quantification of the indicated root tip
morphology characteristics from images show in B. Letters indicate different statistical groups
(ANOVA, followed by post-hoc Tukey test). **G.** Primary root length of wild type and *Slclv2* mutant
grown in presence of SlCLE6/19p and SlCLE24p. **H.** Representative confocal images of wild type

and *Slclv2* primary root tips grown on mock or indicated SlCLE peptide containing medium. The

scale bars in **B** and **H** correspond to 100μm.

Supplemental Figures and Tables

Supplemental Figure 1. Sequence homology between tomato and potato CLE proteins.

Phylogenetic tree of full-length CLE proteins from tomato (red), potato (blue), *Arabidopsis thaliana*,

Medicago truncatula, *Brachypodium distachyon*. Nodes supported by bootstrap values superior to 50

are indicated by dots of size proportional to the bootstrap values.

Supplemental Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of CLE receptors. The receptor genes from

Arabidopsis thaliana and tomato are in bold black and red, respectively.

Supplemental Figure 3. Expression of tomato *CLE* genes in the developing fruit and following

drought stress. A. Heatmaps of log (TPM) of *SlCLE* genes in the developing fruit at 35, 47, 54, and

60 days post antherisation (DPA), in wilt-type and *yellow fruit tomato1* mutant. Genes in red indicate

SlCLE which are upregulated during fruit maturation in a *yft1* dependent fashion. **B.**Heatmaps of log

(TPM) of *SlCLE* genes in plant leaves (M82 or a drought tolerant introgression line IL9-1) after a 10

days drought stress in pots. Genes in red indicate *SlCLE* which are upregulated by the drought

treatment. **C.** Expression analysis by qPCR of selected *SlCLE* genes on root and shoot samples from 3

weeks old plants grown in hydroponic after 1 hour 15% PEG6000 treatment. **D.** Expression analysis

of the orthologs of *AtCLE25* in response to osmotic stress induced by the PEG treatment.

Supplemental Figure 4. Effect of SlCLE24 peptide on the primary root length (PRL) in wild type

(M82) and *clv1bam1bam4* mutant.

Supplemental Table 1. Primers used in this study.

Supplemental Table 2. Sequences and chromosome locations of the *SlCLE*s

References

1.   Dietrich, D., et al., *Root hydrotropism is controlled via a cortex-specific growth mechanism.*
Nat Plants, 2017. **3**: p. 17057.
2.   Karlova, R., et al., *Root plasticity under abiotic stress.* Plant Physiol, 2021. **187**(3): p. 1057-
1070.
3.   Petricka, J.J., C.M. Winter, and P.N. Benfey, *Control of Arabidopsis Root Development.*
Annual Review of Plant Biology, 2012. **63**(1): p. 563-590.
4.   Delay, C., N. Imin, and M. Djordjevic, *Regulation of Arabidopsis root development by small*
signaling peptides. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2013. **4**(352).
5.   Takahashi, F., et al., *A small peptide modulates stomatal control via abscisic acid in long-*
distance signalling. Nature, 2018. **556**(7700): p. 235-238.
6.   Gutiérrez-Alanís, D., et al., *Phosphate Starvation-Dependent Iron Mobilization Induces CLE14*
Expression to Trigger Root Meristem Differentiation through CLV2/PEPR2 Signaling. Dev Cell,
2017. **41**(5): p. 555-570.e3.

7.   Araya, T., et al., *CLE-CLAVATA1 peptide-receptor signaling module regulates the expansion of*
427   *plant root systems in a nitrogen-dependent manner.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2014. **111**(5):
428   p. 2029-34.
429   8.   Ma, D., et al., *CLE2 regulates light-dependent carbohydrate metabolism in Arabidopsis*
430   *shoots.* Plant Molecular Biology, 2020. **104**(6): p. 561-574.
431   9.   Hazak, O., et al., *Perception of root-active CLE peptides requires CORYNE function in the*
432   *phloem vasculature.* EMBO Rep, 2017. **18**(8): p. 1367-1381.
433   10.   Breda, A.S., et al., *A Cellular Insulator against CLE45 Peptide Signaling.* Curr Biol, 2019.
434   **29**(15): p. 2501-2508.e3.
435   11.   Ren, S.C., et al., *CLE25 peptide regulates phloem initiation in Arabidopsis through a CLERK-*
436   *CLV2 receptor complex.* J Integr Plant Biol, 2019. **61**(10): p. 1043-1061.
437   12.   Crook, A.D., et al., *BAM1/2 receptor kinase signaling drives CLE peptide-mediated formative*
438   *cell divisions in Arabidopsis roots.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2020. **117**(51): p. 32750-32756.
439   13.   Stührwohldt, N., et al., *Processing and Formation of Bioactive CLE40 Peptide Are Controlled*
440   *by Posttranslational Proline Hydroxylation.* Plant Physiol, 2020. **184**(3): p. 1573-1584.
441   14.   Hastwell, A.H., et al., *Triarabinosylation is required for nodulation-suppressive CLE peptides*
442   *to systemically inhibit nodulation in Pisum sativum.* Plant Cell Environ, 2019. **42**(1): p. 188-
443   197.
444   15.   Corcilius, L., et al., *Arabinosylation Modulates the Growth-Regulating Activity of the Peptide*
445   *Hormone CLE40a from Soybean.* Cell Chem Biol, 2017. **24**(11): p. 1347-1355.e7.
446   16.   Imin, N., et al., *CLE peptide tri-arabinosylation and peptide domain sequence composition*
447   *are essential for SUNN-dependent autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula.* New
448   Phytol, 2018. **218**(1): p. 73-80.
449   17.   Zhang, H., et al., *Crystal structure of PXY-TDIF complex reveals a conserved recognition*
450   *mechanism among CLE peptide-receptor pairs.* Cell Res, 2016. **26**(5): p. 543-55.
451   18.   Hu, C., et al., *A group of receptor kinases are essential for CLAVATA signalling to maintain*
452   *stem cell homeostasis.* Nature Plants, 2018. **4**(4): p. 205-211.
453   19.   Zhu, Y., et al., *Conserved and differentiated functions of CIK receptor kinases in modulating*
454   *stem cell signaling in Arabidopsis.* Mol Plant, 2021. **14**(7): p. 1119-1134.
455   20.   Gancheva, M., et al., *CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION (CLE) Gene Family in*
456   *Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.): Identification and Expression Analysis.* Agronomy, 2021.
457   **11**(5): p. 984.
458   21.   Goad, D.M., C. Zhu, and E.A. Kellogg, *Comprehensive identification and clustering of*
459   *CLV3/ESR-related (CLE) genes in plants finds groups with potentially shared function.* New
460   Phytologist, 2017. **216**(2): p. 605-616.
461   22.   Zhang, Z., et al., *Predicting and clustering plant CLE genes with a new method developed*
462   *specifically for short amino acid sequences.* BMC Genomics, 2020. **21**(1): p. 709.
463   23.   Zhang, Y., et al., *Genome-wide characterization, expression and functional analysis of*
464   *CLV3/ESR gene family in tomato.* BMC Genomics, 2014. **15**(1): p. 827.
465   24.   Xu, C., et al., *A cascade of arabinosyltransferases controls shoot meristem size in tomato.*
466   Nature Genetics, 2015. **47**(7): p. 784-792.
467   25.   Rodriguez-Leal, D., et al., *Evolution of buffering in a genetic circuit controlling plant stem cell*
468   *proliferation.* Nature Genetics, 2019. **51**(5): p. 786-792.
469   26.   Howe, K., et al., *Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation.*
470   bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.08.12.247734.
471   27.   Kumar, S., et al., *MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing*
472   *Platforms.* Molecular biology and evolution, 2018. **35**(6): p. 1547-1549.
473   28.   Eddy, S.R., *Accelerated Profile HMM Searches.* PLoS Comput Biol, 2011. **7**(10): p. e1002195.
474   29.   Birney, E., M. Clamp, and R. Durbin, *GeneWise and Genomewise.* Genome Res, 2004. **14**(5):
475   p. 988-95.

30. Rice, P., I. Longden, and A. Bleasby, *EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software*
Suite. Trends Genet, 2000. **16**(6): p. 276-7.
31. Kent, W.J., *BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool.* Genome Res, 2002. **12**(4): p. 656-64.
32. Liu, M., et al., *Profiling of drought-responsive microRNA and mRNA in tomato using high-*
throughput sequencing. BMC Genomics, 2017. **18**(1): p. 481.
33. Zhao, W., et al., *Yellow-fruited phenotype is caused by 573 bp insertion at 5' UTR of YFT1*
allele in yft1 mutant tomato. Plant Science, 2020. **300**: p. 110637.
34. Gray, S.B., et al., *Translational regulation contributes to the elevated CO2 response in two*
Solanum species. The Plant Journal, 2020. **102**(2): p. 383-397.
35. Kajala, K., et al., *Innovation, conservation, and repurposing of gene function in root cell type*
development. Cell, 2021. **184**(12): p. 3333-3348.e19.
36. Li, H. and R. Durbin, *Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler*
transform. Bioinformatics, 2010. **26**(5): p. 589-95.
37. Li, H., *Tabix: fast retrieval of sequence features from generic TAB-delimited files.*
Bioinformatics, 2011. **27**(5): p. 718-9.
38. Quinlan, A.R., *BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis.* Curr Protoc
Bioinformatics, 2014. **47**: p. 11.12.1-34.
39. Trifinopoulos, J., et al., *W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood*
analysis. Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(W1): p. W232-5.
40. Letunic, I. and P. Bork, *Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree*
display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res, 2021. **49**(W1): p. W293-w296.
41. Nimchuk, Z.L., *CLAVATA1 controls distinct signaling outputs that buffer shoot stem cell*
proliferation through a two-step transcriptional compensation loop. PLOS Genetics, 2017.
13(3): p. e1006681.
42. Renau-Morata, B., et al., *Salinity Assay in Tomato.* Bio-protocol, 2014. **4**(16): p. e1215.
43. Ursache, R., et al., *A protocol for combining fluorescent proteins with histological stains for*
diverse cell wall components. Plant J, 2018. **93**(2): p. 399-412.
44. Hosmani, P.S., et al., *An improved de novo assembly and annotation of the tomato reference*
genome using single-molecule sequencing, Hi-C proximity ligation and optical maps. bioRxiv,
2019: p. 767764.
45. Dalal, V., et al., *Transcriptome analysis highlights nuclear control of chloroplast development*
in the shoot apex. Scientific Reports, 2018. **8**(1): p. 8881.
46. D'Esposito, D., et al., *Deciphering the biological processes underlying tomato biomass*
production and composition. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 2019. **143**: p. 50-60.
47. Fiume, E. and J.C. Fletcher, *Regulation of Arabidopsis embryo and endosperm development*
by the polypeptide signaling molecule CLE8. Plant Cell, 2012. **24**(3): p. 1000-12.
48. Meng, L., et al., *The Roles of Different CLE Domains in Arabidopsis CLE Polypeptide Activity*
and Functional Specificity. Molecular Plant, 2010. **3**(4): p. 760-772.
49. Whitewoods, C.D., *Evolution of CLE peptide signalling.* Seminars in Cell & Developmental
Biology, 2021. **109**: p. 12-19.
50. Shinohara, H. and Y. Matsubayashi, *Chemical synthesis of Arabidopsis CLV3 glycopeptide*
reveals the impact of hydroxyproline arabinosylation on peptide conformation and activity.
Plant & cell physiology, 2013. **54**(3): p. 369-374.

Figure 1. *CLE* genes identified in the tomato genome. A. The gene structure of the tomato *CLE* genes. Gene structures in dashed lines indicate the *SlCLE* genes for which no proof of expression was found in root, shoot and fruit tissues. **B.** Venn-diagramm showing the number of *CLE* genes identified by each method. **C.** Sequence logo of the conserved CLE domain in tomato and Arabidopsis using WebLogo (https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi) (reference). The height of the bars represents the conservation value of each amino acid at the given position. **D.** The chromosomal location of the tomato *CLE* genes.

Figure 2. **The phylogenetic tree of the full-length CLE proteins from tomato and Arabidopsis.** The groups of proteins sharing high similarity (clusters) are highlighted by background colors. The names in red indicate the new *CLE* genes uncovered in this study. Nodes supported by bootstrap values superior to 50 are indicated by dots of size proportional to the bootstrap values.

Figure 3. Expression analysis of *SlCLE* genes in root and shoot tissues [34]. A. Heatmaps of log(TPM) of tomato *CLE* genes in the root (left) and the shoot (right) at 6, 9, and 12 days after plantation from tomato grown in pots. **B.** Expression of selected *SlCLE*s in root and shoot tissues by qPCR from 3 weeks old tomato plant grown in hydroponic conditions.

Figure 4. Functional conservation of root active CLE peptides in tomato and Arabidopsis. A. Effect of Arabidopsis and tomato orthologous CLE peptides on the primary root length (PRL). **B.** Representative confocal images of tomato primary root tips grown on mock or indicated SlCLE peptide containing medium. The cell walls are stained with calcofluor white. Red and green arrows indicate root width columella length, respectively **C-F**. Quantification of the indicated root tip morphology characteristics from images show in B. Letters indicate different statistical groups (ANOVA, followed by post-hoc Tukey test). **G.** Primary root length of wild type and *Slclv2* mutant grown in presence of SlCLE6/19p and SlCLE24p. **H.** Representative confocal images of wild type and *Slclv2* primary root tips grown on mock or indicated SlCLE peptide containing medium. The scale bars in **B** and **H** correspond to 100μm.