Nutrient and salt depletion synergistically boosts glucose metabolism in

individual bacteria

Georgina Glover[1,2], Margaritis Voliotis[1,3], Urszula Łapińska[1,4], Brandon M. Invergo[5], Darren

Soanes[4], Paul O'Neill[4], Karen Moore[4], Nela Nikolic[1,6], Peter G. Petrov[2], David S. Milner[7],

Sumita Roy[1,4], Kate Heesom[8], Thomas A. Richards[7], Krasimira Tsaneva-Atanasova[1,3,9] &

Stefano Pagliara[1,4]*.

1. Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK.

2. Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter

EX4 4QL, UK.

3. Department of Mathematics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter, UK.

4. Biosciences, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4Q, UK.

5. Translational Research Exchange at Exeter, University of Exeter, Exeter, United

Kingdom

6. Institute of Science and Technology Austria, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria.

7. Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, 11a Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1

3SZ, UK

8. University of Bristol Proteomics Facility, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TD, UK

9. Dept. of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling, Institute of Biophysics and

Biomedical Engineering, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 105 Acad. G. Bonchev

Str., 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

Correspondence: email: S.Pagliara@exeter.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The interaction between a cell and its environment shapes fundamental intracellular processes

such as cellular metabolism. In most cases growth rate is treated as a proximal metric for

understanding the cellular metabolic status. However, changes in growth rate might not reflect

metabolic variations in individuals responding to environmental fluctuations. Here we use

single-cell microfluidics-microscopy combined with transcriptomics, proteomics and

mathematical modelling to quantify the accumulation of glucose within *Escherichia coli* cells.

In contrast to the current consensus, we reveal that environmental conditions which are

comparatively unfavourable for growth, where both nutrients and salinity are depleted, increase

glucose accumulation rates in individual bacteria and population subsets. We find that these

changes in metabolic function are underpinned by variations at the translational and

posttranslational level but not at the transcriptional level and are not dictated by changes in cell

size. The metabolic response-characteristics identified greatly advance our fundamental

understanding of the interactions between bacteria and their environment and have important

ramifications when investigating cellular processes where salinity plays an important role.

INTRODUCTION

All core metabolic networks require the uptake and utilization of carbon sources. Such function is variant, adaptable and in the process of being precisely shaped by natural selection as many heterotrophic organisms need to adapt their metabolic capabilities to dwell in scarce nutrient environments[1–4]. In the case of bacteria, sugars often represent the primary driving force for growth; they are used to power replication, to make storage compounds, and/or for the production of secondary metabolites that further dictate metabolic function[5,6]. Glucose is commonly employed to investigate the regulation of sugar uptake and metabolism in bacteria[7]. In fact, many bacterial species primarily use glucose when exposed to nutrient mixtures[8,9] and have evolved several independent ways of acquiring glucose from the environment, as characterised by hundreds of variant glucose transport systems[8].

In gram-negative bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, glucose passively diffuses through outer membrane porins whose expression is regulated both at the transcriptional and translational level[10–12]. Glucose then crosses the *E. coli* inner membrane via five different permeases including the glucose and mannose phosphotransferase systems (PTS)[8],[13]. Once in the cytoplasm glucose is phosphorylated to glucose-6-phosphate that is broken down to pyruvate, this in turn is metabolised to acetyl-CoA which then enters the citric acid cycle[14] generating ATP. At micromolar extracellular concentrations, glucose and its fluorescent analogue 2-(N-(7-Nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)Amino)-2-Deoxyglucose (2-NBDG) transiently accumulate intracellularly as a result of concomitant uptake and degradation processes[15]. Seminal experiments carried out in chemostats suggest that glucose uptake increases with cell surface area[5,15–17] and it is heterogeneous within clonal *E. coli* populations.

These insights were obtained by employing bacteria growing in optimal conditions or at low nutrient levels[15]. In contrast, in natural environments changes in other parameters are

common place[18–24]. For example, the salinity of aquatic ecosystems is changing

worldwide[25], it has been rated as one of the most important environmental changes[26] and

can have a profound impact on microbial growth and infectivity[27,28], thus affecting

biodiversity[29]. Salinity is decreasing in naturally saline ecosystems due to increased

agricultural drainages and unusual wind patterns[30], whereas the salinity of freshwater

ecosystems is increasing due to de-icing or salt mining (up to 97% increase[29]), along with

climatic aridification and rising sea levels[25]. Furthermore, salinity can dramatically change

across different bodily environments with a ~7-fold decrease in NaCl content from the colon

to the ileum (i.e from 6.1 to 0.9 g/L)[31–33] or across different humans with a 40% increase in

the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients[34]. These salinity variations often occur concomitantly

other environmental variations, such as changes in temperature, pH or nutrient levels, which

can result in additive, antagonistic or synergistic effects on microbes including *Escherichia*

coli[35,36]. Variations in temperature or content of metals have frequently been investigated

in combination with salinity, whereas changes in the nutrient-salinity pair has received less

attention[35]. Understanding and predicting the effects of multiple environmental variations

on the phenotypic diversity in microbial traits, such as metabolic rates, is critical for unravelling

how microbes function in their environment.

Here we study the diversity in glucose metabolism within clonal *E. coli* populations

and show that simultaneous extracellular nutrient and salt depletion synergistically enhance

glucose metabolism. These single-cell traits are not displayed when bacteria are exposed to

nutritional or salinity depletion alone, demonstrating that the effect of these environmental

changes on glucose metabolism is not additive. These changes in metabolic function are

underpinned by variations at the translational and posttranslational level but not at the

transcriptional level and are not dictated by changes in cell size. These findings offer unique

understanding of the interaction between a cell and its environment and will inform modelling

metabolic flux across bacterial populations[6], adjusting process parameters in biotechnology,

food preservation or metabolic engineering[37] and optimizing treatment with antibiotics that

utilize sugar uptake pathways to reach their intracellular target[38–40].

RESULTS

Experimental assessment of glucose accumulation and degradation in individual bacteria

In order to quantify glucose uptake and accumulation in individual bacteria, we introduced a

clonal *E. coli* population into a microfluidic mother machine device[41]. This device is

equipped with a large microfluidic chamber for bacteria loading and media delivery via

pressure-driven microfluidics, connected with thousands of bacteria hosting channels with

cross section comparable to individual bacteria (inset in Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Experimental assessment of glucose accumulation and degradation in
individual bacteria. Temporal dependence of the intracellular fluorescence of the glucose
analogue 2-NBDG in five representative *E. coli* cells confined in the bacterial hosting channels
of the microfluidic mother machine. The dashed line represents the fluorescence of the
extracellular 2-NBDG in the bacterial hosting channels. Noteworthy, we measured 2-NBDG
fluorescence as the mean fluorescent values of each pixel constituting each bacterium, thus
normalizing by cell size. Insets from left to right: brightfield image of the five bacteria at *t*=0 s
when the fluorescent glucose analogue is added to the mother machine; corresponding image
in the green fluorescent channel; saturation of 2-NBDG intracellular fluorescence levels at
t=900 s followed by removal of extracellular 2-NBDG at *t*=1200 s; complete degradation of

intracellular 2-NBDG leading to intracellular fluorescence down to background levels by
t=2000 s. Scale bar: 5 μm.
112
We then added 30 μM 2-NBDG (i.e. a fluorescent glucose analogue) dissolved in glucose-free

M9 medium[5,15] in the microfluidic chamber while measuring its diffusion in the bacteria

hosting channels over time (dashed line in Fig. 1). We measured a progressive increase in the

fluorescence of individual bacteria that quickly became brighter than the channel fluorescence

(solid lines in Fig. 1 and insets) demonstrating higher intracellular compared to extracellular 2-

NBDG concentration. This was due to the uptake of 2-NBDG and subsequent accumulation in

single bacteria up to a steady-state[5,15,42,43]. This hyperbolic accumulation kinetics

indicated the presence of a sink term, namely intracellular phosphorylation of 2-NBDG and its

degradation by *E. coli*, processes that are comparable between glucose and 2-NBDG[5,42].

To gain further insight of the dynamics of this degradation process, the extracellular 2-

NBDG was washed away from the microfluidic chamber at t=1200 s and replaced with fresh

LB medium. Consequently, we measured an exponential decrease in the fluorescence of both

the bacteria hosting channels and of each bacterium (dashed and solid lines, respectively, in

Fig. 1 and insets). This rapid decrease could not be accounted for by dilution due to cell

growth[5,44].

In order to quantify phenotypic heterogeneity in glucose accumulation, we evaluated

the coefficient of variation (CV, the ratio between the standard deviation and the mean) of

single-bacterium 2-NBDG fluorescence values across the clonal population at each time point.

We verified that this heterogeneity could not be attributed to anisotropy in 2-NBDG

concentration within the bacteria hosting channels (Fig. S1 and Table S1)[41].

Glucose accumulation is maximal under simultaneous nutritional and salinity depletion

We then used the experimental approach above to determine the impact of nutritional and

salinity depletion on glucose accumulation. We pre-cultured *E. coli* in three environments with

three different salt contents broadly recapitulating the salinity encountered by bacteria in

mesohaline (10 g/L NaCl), oligohaline (5 g/L NaCl) or fresh (0.5 g/L NaCl) water[29]. These

salinity variations also approximate the NaCl concentration faced by bacteria in the colonic or

the ileal environment (6.1 and 0.9 g/L NaCl, respectively)[32]. Moreover, at the lowest salinity,

ion availability can become a rate-limiting factor[45,46]. In each environment, *E. coli* were

firstly pre-cultured overnight in LB (at the appropriate salinity) and then for either 3 or 17 h in

fresh LB (or M9 medium at the same salinity, see below) for optimal growth or nutrient

depletion[47], respectively (see Methods).

Exposing *E. coli* to nutrient depletion alone (i.e. 17 h growth in 10 g/L NaCl LB),

favoured a steeper increase in intracellular 2-NBDG, compared to optimal growth conditions

(i.e. 3 h growth in 10 g/L NaCl LB) with a mean fluorescence of 417 and 250 a.u. after 300 s

incubation in 2-NBDG (Fig. 2b and 2a, respectively, ****, Table S2 and S3). However,

nutrient depletion alone did not significantly affect 2-NBDG accumulation at steady state with

a mean fluorescence of 740 and 733 a.u., respectively, at t=900 s (n.s., Table S2 and S3).

Exposing *E. coli* to salinity depletion alone (i.e. 3 h growth in 0.5 g/L NaCl LB), caused

a less steep intracellular 2-NBDG increase, compared to optimal growth conditions, with a

mean fluorescence of 148 and 250 a.u. after 300 s incubation in 2-NBDG (Fig. 2c and 2a,

respectively, ****, Table S2 and S3). Moreover, salt depletion also significantly reduced 2-

NBDG accumulation at steady state with a mean fluorescence of 373 and 733 a.u., respectively,

at t=900 s (****).

In striking contrast with the findings above, simultaneous exposure to nutritional and

salinity depletion (i.e. 17 h growth in 0.5 g/L NaCl LB) caused a steeper intracellular 2-NBDG

increase, compared to optimal growth conditions, with a mean fluorescence of 720 and 250

a.u. after 300 s incubation in 2-NBDG (Fig. 2d and 2a, respectively, ****, Table S2 and S3).

Moreover, combined nutrient and salt depletion also significantly enhanced 2-NBDG

accumulation at steady state with a mean fluorescence of 1714 and 733 a.u. at t=900 s,

respectively (****, Table S2 and S3). These findings were further confirmed via separate flow

cytometry measurements (red and black bars in Fig. S2, ****).

Figure 2. Glucose accumulation is greatest under combined nutritional and salinity depletion. Temporal dependence of the mean intracellular fluorescence of the glucose analogue 2-NBDG in individual *E. coli* under **a)** optimal growth conditions, **b)** nutrient depletion, **c)** salt depletion or **d)** combined nutrient and salt depletion. Lines are temporal dependences of the intracellular fluorescence of individual bacteria collated from biological triplicate. Symbols and error bars are the corresponding means and standard error of the means of such single-cell measurements. Noteworthy, we measured the 2-NBDG fluorescence as the mean fluorescent values of each pixel constituting each bacterium, thus normalizing by cell size. Coefficient of variations of these single-cell values and statistical tests of quantitative comparisons of values between different environments are reported in Table S2 and S3, respectively. Corresponding 2-NBDG intracellular fluorescence values during the removal of

extracellular 2-NBDG are reported in Fig. S5. Insets: corresponding fluorescence images at
t=900s when the intracellular 2-NBDG accumulation has reached saturation levels in
individual bacteria. Measurements were carried out on N=76, 38, 90 and 46 individual bacteria,
in a)-d), respectively. Salinity-dependent distribution of single-cell fluorescence after 900 s
incubation in 2-NBDG in **e)** nutrient-rich or **f)** nutrient-depleted environments. Dashed and
dotted lines indicate the median and quartiles of each distribution, respectively. **g)** Temporal
dependence of the extracellular glucose concentration for *E. coli* under nutrient (green
diamonds) or nutrient and salt depletion (red squares). N=3 biological replicates for each
environment. The dashed dotted and dashed lines are one phase exponential decay fittings to
the data yielding a significantly smaller time constant *Tau* for *E. coli* under combined nutrient
and salt depletion compared to nutrient depletion alone (*Tau* = (9±1) s vs (17±1) s, respectively,
*). *: p-value<0.05, **: p-value<0.01, ***: p-value<0.001, ****: p-value<0.0001.
189

Moreover, we found that in nutrient-rich conditions 2-NBDG accumulation increased

with salinity (Fig. 2e); on the other hand, under nutrient depletion 2-NBDG accumulation

decreased with salinity (Fig. 2f). In fact, a 50% reduction in salinity (from 10 g/L down to 5

g/L NaCl) led to a 145% increase in 2-NBDG accumulation with a mean fluorescence of 740

and 1072 a.u. at t=900 s, respectively (***); a further 90% reduction in salinity (from 5 g/L

down to 0.5 g/L NaCl, resembling the salinity change encountered during transition from the

colon to the ileum[32]) led to a further 160% increase in 2-NBDG accumulation with a mean

fluorescence of 1072 and 1714 a.u. at t=900 s, respectively (****). Taken together these data

demonstrate that reducing the salinity content of the environment favours 2-NBDG

accumulation in nutrient-poor but not in nutrient-rich environments.

Next, we verified that nutrient and salt depletion also synergistically boosts glucose

accumulation (as a result of uptake and degradation), and not only the glucose analogue 2-

NBDG. We performed plate reader based colorimetric assays on *E. coli* populations exposed

to either nutrient, or simultaneous nutrient and salt depletion. We found that after 30 s

incubation, the extracellular glucose concentration became significantly lower in *E. coli* that

had been exposed to simultaneous nutrient and salt depletion compared to *E. coli* that had

experienced nutrient depletion alone with a decay time constant *Tau* of (9.5±0.4) s and

(16.7±1.2) s, respectively (*, red squares and green diamonds, respectively, in Fig. 2g). These

data therefore confirm that *E. coli* accumulate glucose significantly faster and to higher levels

after exposure to simultaneous nutrient and salt depletion compared to exposure to nutrient

depletion alone.

We further confirmed that the findings above were not affected by molecular leakage

through the cell membrane, cell integrity being essential for 2-NBDG uptake[5], by performing

separate experiments using thioflavin T (ThT). This compound stains intracellular

macromolecules[48,49] and is of comparable size to 2-NBDG. We found that, in contrast to 2-

NBDG, ThT accumulated to a significantly lesser extent in *E. coli* exposed to combined

nutritional and salinity depletion compared to optimal growth conditions (Fig. S3b and S3a,

red and black bars in Fig. S3c, respectively, and Table S4). Furthermore, *E. coli* exhibited

similar growth curves in both LB formulations (i.e. 0.5 g/L or 10 g/L NaCl). This data

confirmed that increased 2-NBDG accumulation under combined nutritional and salinity

depletion was not due to molecular leakage through compromised bacterial membranes and

that growth rate alone does not necessarily reflect important changes in bacterial

metabolism[50]. Finally, we sought to rule out the possibility that increased glucose

accumulation under combined nutritional and salinity depletion was a result of i) a nutritional

shift from LB to M9 (used for growth and 2-NBDG measurements, respectively), ii) low

abundance of divalent cations in LB medium or iii) changes in extracellular pH[45,51]. In order

to do so, we performed flow cytometry experiments on *E. coli* grown for 17 h in M9 with

limited (i.e. 0.1 g/L) glucose or ammonium (i.e. carbon or nitrogen limitation, respectively,[52]

see Methods) and either 0.5 or 10 g/L NaCl. Consistently with the data in Fig. 2, we found that

E. coli exposed to combined nutritional and salinity depletion in M9 accumulated 2-NBDG to

a significantly larger extent than *E. coli* exposed to nutritional depletion alone in M9 both with

glucose (mean fluorescence of 3007 and 1045 a.u. at t=900 s, red and green violins,

respectively, in Fig. S4a, ****) or ammonium as limiting factor (mean fluorescence of 3249

and 1776 a.u. at t=900 s, red and green violins, respectively, in Fig. S4b, ****). Furthermore,

the measured extracellular pH values were the same (8.0±0.1) for the nutrient depleted and

nutrient and salt depleted environments. Finally, *E. coli* displayed similarly large cell-to-cell

differences in the accumulation of 2-NBDG after pre-culturing in LB or M9 (coefficient of

variations of 38% and 60% after pre-culturing in 0.5 g/L or 10 g/L LB; coefficient of variations

of 66% and 69% after pre-culturing in 0.5 g/L or 10 g/L glucose-limited M9; coefficient of

variations of 43% and 64% after pre-culturing in 0.5 g/L or 10 g/L ammonia-limited M9).

Therefore, the observed heterogeneity in 2-NBDG accumulation was not driven by the

nutritional shift from LB to M9. Taken together this data demonstrate that when these two

environmental changes come together, they manifest a synergistic effect on intracellular

processes, such as glucose metabolism, that is greater than the effect of each environmental

change alone.

Glucose accumulation is heterogeneous under different environmental variations in a cell
size-independent fashion

Besides the above described different 2-NBDG accumulation traits across variant

environments, we also found substantial phenotypic heterogeneity within *E. coli* populations

in the same environment. This heterogeneity increased in the presence of nutrient or salt

depletion compared to optimal growth conditions (CV values of 2-NBDG intracellular

fluorescence in Table S2), suggesting specialization in metabolic functions to endure

environmental variations.

In order to gain a mechanistic understanding of these glucose accumulation traits in

variant environments, we firstly investigated the role played by cell area in 2-NBDG

accumulation. We studied the correlation of the total fluorescence of each bacterium at steady-

state (t=900 s) with the area of each bacterium. We found strong positive correlation between

single-cell area and total intracellular 2-NBDG fluorescence both via flow cytometry and via

microfluidics-microscopy (Fig. 3a and 3b, respectively, Pearson correlation coefficient larger

than 0.7 in all tested environments).

Figure 3. Glucose accumulation is heterogeneous across variant environments in a cell
size-independent fashion. Size dependence of the total intracellular fluorescence of the
glucose analogue 2-NBDG in individual *E. coli* under optimal growth conditions (circles),
nutrient depletion (diamonds), salt depletion (triangles) or combined nutrient and salt depletion
(squares) measured after 900s incubation in 2-NBDG via **a)** flow cytometry and **b)** microscopy
in the mother machine. Pearson correlation coefficients of a) 0.35, 0.40, 0.42 and 0.32 and b)
0.71, 0.76, 0.69 and 0.71, respectively (all ****). **c)** Corresponding size dependence of the
mean intracellular fluorescence normalized by cell size. Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.18
(ns), 0.57 (***), 0.16 (ns) and -0.01 (ns), respectively. ns=non significant, p-value>0.05; ***,
p-value<0.001; ****, p-value<0.0001. N=100 representative measurements on individual
bacteria in a) out of a total N=50000 measurements performed in biological triplicates. N>30
for each experimental condition collated from biological triplicates in b) and c).

However, when we calculated the mean 2-NBDG fluorescence for each bacterium, after

normalizing by cell size[53], we found that, under combined nutritional and salinity depletion,

E. coli exhibited significantly higher intracellular 2-NBDG fluorescence despite displaying

significantly lower cell area compared to optimal growth conditions (squares and circles,

respectively, in Fig. 3c). Moreover, we found a remarkable lack of correlation between the

mean 2-NBDG fluorescence and cell size under optimal growth, salt depleted or nutrient and

salt depleted conditions (Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.18 (ns), 0.16 (ns) and -0.01 (ns),

respectively); we found instead a significantly positive correlation between 2-NBDG

fluorescence and cell size under nutrient depletion (Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.57,

***). Finally, we still found substantial levels of heterogeneity in the mean 2-NBDG

fluorescence values across the different environments (Fig. 3c). Taken together these data

demonstrate that changes in cell size alone cannot account for the measured glucose

accumulation traits and heterogeneities in variant environments in contrast to the current

consensus[5,15].

Combined nutritional and salinity depletion boosts both glucose uptake and degradation

We then sought to decouple the contribution of uptake and degradation mechanisms on the

measured 2-NBDG accumulation. To do this, we used a mathematical model describing 2-

NBDG accumulation in single bacteria using ordinary differential equation 1 (see Methods).

We inferred the uptake rate from the accumulation data in the presence of extracellular 2-

NBDG ($0 < t < 900s$, Fig. 2) and obtained an independent estimate of the degradation rate from

both the accumulation data in the presence of extracellular 2-NBDG ($0 < t < 900s$, Fig. 2) and the

degradation data in the absence of extracellular 2-NBDG ($t > 1200s$, Fig. S5).

Nutritional depletion caused a significant decrease in 2-NBDG uptake rate but also a

significant increase in degradation rate compared to optimal growth conditions (Fig. 4a, 4d and

4e, Table S5). Moreover, both 2-NBDG uptake and degradation were more heterogeneous

under nutritional depletion (Table S6), further suggesting specialisation in metabolic functions

in stressed bacteria[44]. Finally, we did not find a significant correlation between uptake and

degradation rates at the single-bacterium level under nutritional depletion (Pearson correlation

coefficient of 0.27 compared to 0.42 for optimal growth conditions). This suggests that under

nutritional depletion, some bacteria specialize in fast uptake at the expense of reduced

degradation rates.

Figure 4. Combined nutritional and salinity depletion boosts both glucose uptake and degradation. a)-c) Single-cell correlation between the natural logarithm of 2-NBDG uptake rate and the natural logarithm of 2-NBDG degradation rate as predicted by Equation 1 for optimal growth conditions (circles), nutrient depletion (diamonds), salt depletion (triangles) or combined nutrient and salt depletion (squares), with Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.42 (***), 0.27 (ns), 0.80 (****) and 0.36 (*), respectively. N>30 for each experimental condition collated from biological triplicates, the large symbols are the medians of each set of single-cell values. Coefficient of variations of these single-cell values and statistical tests of quantitative comparisons of values between different environments are reported in Table S5 and S6, respectively. Corresponding distributions of **d)** natural logarithm of 2-NBDG uptake rate values and **e)** natural logarithm of 2-NBDG degradation rate values. The bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles, the band inside the box is the median, the bottom and top whiskers represent the 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively. **f)** Temporal dependence of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG when *E. coli* populations were incubated in 30 µM 2-NBDG alone (red squares), in the presence of 30 mM 3-O-methylglucose (cyan upward triangles), or in the presence of 30 mM glucose (brown downward triangles). N=3 biological replicates for each condition. The lines are one phase exponential decay fittings to the data yielding time constant *Tau* of (16.3 ± 1.1) min, (16.3 ± 1.2) min and (10.9 ± 0.5) min, respectively. *, p-value<0.05; ***, p-value<0.001; ****, p-value<0.0001.

Similarly, salinity depletion caused a significant decrease in 2-NBDG uptake rate but also a significant increase in degradation rate (Fig. 4b, 4d and 4e, Table S5). Furthermore, the CV of both uptake and degradation rate was higher under salinity depletion (Table S6). However, differently from nutritional depletion, salinity depletion favoured a strong positive correlation between uptake and degradation rates at the single bacterium level (Pearson

correlation coefficient of 0.80). This suggests that salt depletion favours the emergence of a

subset of the population specialising in both taking up and degrading 2-NBDG.

In contrast with the findings above, the combined presence of nutritional and salinity

depletion significantly increased both the uptake and degradation rate as well as intra-

population phenotypic heterogeneities in both parameters (Fig. 4c, 4d and 4e, Table S5 and

S6). This environment yielded a significant correlation between uptake and degradation rate

(Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.36). However, such correlation was weaker with respect

to that measured in the presence of salt depletion alone. This suggests that the additional

nutritional depletion favoured specialization in fast 2-NBDG uptake at the cost of reduced

degradation rates compared to champion degraders exposed to salinity depletion alone.

Next, we set out to confirm that the degradation of 2-NBDG by *E. coli* is linked to

activity of the bacterial glycolytic pathway, responsible for the degradation of glucose. We

investigated the degradation of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG in the presence of a competitive

and non-metabolizable inhibitor (i.e. 3-O-methyl glucose) or a competitive and metabolizable

inhibitor (i.e. glucose). The presence of a metabolizable inhibitor would stimulate bacterial

glycolytic activity and thereby enhance the 2-NBDG degradation, while the presence of a non-

metabolizable inhibitor would have no effect[5]. Accordingly, we found that the degradation

of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG in the presence of a competitive and metabolizable inhibitor

was significantly faster than the degradation of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG in the absence of

this inhibitor (brown downward triangles and red squares in Fig. 4f, respectively, time constant

Tau of (10.9 ± 0.5) min and (16.3 ± 1.1) min, respectively) since such inhibitor promotes

glycolytic activity. In contrast, we found that the degradation of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG

in the presence of a competitive and non-metabolizable inhibitor was comparable to the

degradation of the fluorescence of 2-NBDG in the absence of this inhibitor (cyan upward

triangles and red squares in Fig. 4f, respectively, time constant *Tau* of (16.3 ± 1.2) min and

(16.3 ± 1.1) min, respectively) since such inhibitor cannot be metabolized, thereby not

promoting the bacterial glycolytic activity. These data therefore demonstrate that 2-NBDG is

degraded as a consequence of the glycolytic pathway and can be used as a proxy for glucose

degradation.

Taken together these data demonstrate that simultaneous nutritional and salinity

depletion, that is the least favourable growth conditions investigated, has profound effects on

glucose metabolism, increasing both uptake and degradation rates at the level of the individual

bacterium, a synergistic effect that neither environmental changes alone can elicit.

Molecular mechanisms underpinning glucose accumulation traits under nutrient or salt
depletion
367
We then performed comparative transcriptomic and proteomic analysis between bulk

E. coli cultures in the four different environments investigated and measured the $\log_2$ fold

change in transcript or protein levels under nutrient or salt depletion compared to those

measured in optimal growth conditions. We then restricted our combined transcriptomic and

proteomic analysis to genes and proteins whose differential expression had a *p*-value adjusted

for false discovery rate smaller than 0.05[54]. We found a significant correlation between gene

and protein expression under salt depletion but no significant correlation under either nutrient

or nutrient and salt depletion (Fig. 5a, Pearson coefficient R = 0.13, 0.06 and 0.07, **, ns, ns,

respectively). We also found a stronger correlation between differential gene and protein

regulation under nutrient and nutrient and salt depletion compared to salt and nutrient and salt

depletion (Pearson coefficient R = 0.92 and 0.34, at the transcriptomic level and 0.89 and 0.45,

at the proteomic level, all ****).

To determine biological processes underlying acclimation of sugar metabolism to

changes in nutritional availability and environmental salinity, we clustered these combined

transcriptomic and proteomic data, identifying 13 distinct patterns of gene and protein

regulation (Fig. 5b and 5c, respectively, and Supplementary file 1).

Cellular biosynthetic processes (e.g. dicarboxylic acid, amide, organonitrogen and

peptide biosynthesis), gene expression and translation were significantly downregulated at the

transcriptomic and proteomic levels in both the nutrient depleted and the nutrient and salt

depleted environments compared to salt depletion alone (Clusters 5 and 9 in Fig. 5b and 5c,

****).

Cell homeostasis biological processes including chemical, ion and cation homeostasis

were significantly upregulated under both nutrient and nutrient and salt depletion compared to

salt depletion alone or optimal growth conditions both at the transcriptomic and proteomic level

(Cluster 1 in Fig. 5b and 5c, **). Anaerobic respiration and polyol metabolic processes were

significantly upregulated under both nutrient and nutrient and salt depletion at the proteomic

level compared to salt depletion alone or optimal growth conditions (Cluster 13 in Fig. 5c, *)

in accordance with a previous report[55].

Crucially for this study, phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar phosphotransferase

systems (PTS) were significantly upregulated at the proteomic level under both nutrient and

nutrient and salt depletion compared to salt depletion alone (Cluster 12 in Fig. 5c, **). These

proteins included the PTS system galacticol- and mannose-specific EIID components GatA

and ManZ, respectively, the latter transporting both mannose and glucose across the *E. coli*

inner membrane[13]. Furthermore, glucose metabolic processes were upregulated at the

proteomic level under nutrient or nutrient and salt depletion compared to salt depletion alone

(Cluster 12 in Figure 5c, **). These proteins included the formate acetyltransferase 1 PflB that

is involved in pyruvate fermentation (following glucose conversion to pyruvate) and formate

synthesis; the L-lactate dehydrogenase LldD which converts pyruvate to lactate; the glucose-

1-phosphatase Agp which converts glucose to glucose-1-phosphate; the putative glucose-6-

phosphate epimerase YeaD; as well as catabolic processes of other sugars such as galacticol

and deoxyribose.

Figure 5. Molecular mechanisms underpinning glucose accumulation traits under
nutrient or salt depletion. a) Correlation between the log_2 fold change in gene and protein
expression under nutrient, salt or nutrient and salt depletion. Each dot is the log_2 fold change
in the number of copies for a single gene or protein, blue lines are linear regressions to the data
returning a Pearson correlation coefficient R=0.06, 0.13 and 0.07, (ns, ** and ns, respectively).
Transcript and protein reads were measured via RNA-sequencing and proteomics on samples
in biological triplicate and are reported in Supplementary files 2 and 3, respectively. Cluster

analysis of the combined transcriptomic and proteomic data above returned 13 clusters with distinct patterns of **b)** gene and **c)** protein regulation under nutrient, salt or nutrient and salt depletion. Each dot represents the $\log_2$ fold change in the number of copies for a single gene or protein, dashed and dotted lines indicate the median and quartiles of each distribution, respectively, the grey solid lines indicate a $\log_2$ fold change of zero. The lists of genes belonging to each cluster are reported in Supplementary file 1. **d)** Biological processes significantly over-represented in each of the clusters above. For clarity, only clusters displaying significantly over-represented biological processes are reported in figure, data for the remaining clusters are reported in Supplementary file 1.

To further validate these data, we performed clustering analysis on transcriptomic data alone and found that carbohydrate transport and metabolic processes were significantly downregulated under nutrient or nutrient and salt depletion compared to salt depletion alone or optimal growth conditions (Clusters 8 and 12 in Supplementary file 2, ****). In striking contrast, in our clustering analysis performed on proteomic data alone we found that carbohydrate metabolic processes were significantly upregulated under nutrient or nutrient and salt depletion compared to salt depletion alone or optimal growth conditions (Cluster 8 in Supplementary file 3, ****). Besides the proteins found in Cluster 12 of our combined transcriptomic-proteomic clustering analysis, Cluster 8 of the proteomic analysis included the PTS system glucose-specific EIIA component Crr; the phosphocarrier protein PtsH; the glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase GlgC; the aconitate hydratase A AcnA; the enolase Eno; the mannose-6-phosphate isomerase ManA; the phosphoglycerate kinase Pgk; the pyruvate kinase PykF; the galactitol 1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase GatD; the phosphoglucomutase Pgm that is a highly conserved enzyme which functions at a key point in glucose metabolism (the interconversion of glucose-1-phosphate and glucose-6-phosphate,[56]), it is involved in several processes including bacterial pathogenesis[57] and was previously found to be upregulated under nutrient depletion in minimal medium[55]. Here we found that Pgm was further upregulated under combined nutrient and salt depletion compared to nutrient or salt depletion alone ($\log_2$ fold change of 0.7, 0.4 and 0.3, respectively, Supplementary file 3) in accordance with our data on glucose degradation rates (Fig. 4e). Taken

together these data demonstrate that under nutrient or combined nutrient and salt depletion

glucose uptake and degradation are regulated at translation rather than transcription level.

Moreover, when we carried out phosphoproteomics on *E. coli* cultured in the four

different environments above, we found that the phosphoglucomutase Pgm was one of the 13

proteins for which a phosphorylation event was detected (rows 8-9 in Supplementary file 4).

Other phosphorylated proteins included PpsA and GpmM involved in gluconeogenesis and

PpC and Icd (i.e. the first example of a protein phosphorylated on a serine or a threonine residue

in bacteria[55]) involved in the tricarboxylic acid cycle. We measured phosphorylation at two

different sites for the phosphopeptide GGPLADGIVITPSHNPPEDGGI that aligns with high

confidence to the Pgm amino acid sequence. The first phosphorylation event was recorded on

serine 13 of the phosphopeptide above with a site probability of 88% as defined by the

phosphoRS node of Proteome Discoverer software (i.e. serine 146 in the full protein sequence

in accordance with previous studies[55,58]). The amino acid residues surrounding this serine

are highly conserved in the phosphohexomutase superfamily to which Pgm belongs[59]. This

stretch of residues is known as the phosphoserine loop and is involved in the transfer of a

phosphoryl group from the serine residue above to glucose 6-phosphate to form a

biphosphorylated sugar intermediate. A high level of phosphorylation of this serine residue

increases Pgm flexibility and its enzymatic activity[59]. In accordance with our glucose

degradation data (Fig. 4e), we measured higher Pgm phosphorylation levels at serine 13 under

combined nutrient and salt depletion compared to optimal growth conditions (*, row 8 in

Supplementary file 4). This indicates that the combined nutrient and salt depletion drives a 12-

fold post-translational change as well as a 1.6-fold translational change in Pgm levels, thus

explaining the higher glucose degradation rate reported in Fig. 4. Moreover, we measured

significantly higher Pgm phosphorylation levels under combined nutrient and salt depletion

compared to optimal growth conditions (*, row 9 in Supplementary file 4) at a second site

within the phosphoserine loop. However, this site could not be defined with any degree of

confidence (i.e. site probability < 70%). Finally, a significantly higher degree of

phosphorylation under nutrient and salt depletion compared to optimal growth conditions was

also measured for the phosphoenolpyruvate synthase PpsA and the isocitrate dehydrogenase

Icd (* and **, rows 6 and 17 in Supplementary file 4) involved in gluconeogenesis and the

tricarboxylic acid cycle, respectively.

Considering the significant variations in Pgm both at the translational and post-

translational level, we set out to investigate the role played by Pgm in 2-NBDG accumulation.

To do this, we performed single-cell 2-NBDG accumulation measurements on both a Δ*pgm*

deletion mutant and the parental strain (PS). We found that under nutrient and salt depletion

the Δ*pgm* deletion mutant displayed significantly lower 2-NBDG accumulation compared to

the parental strain (purple hexagons and red squares in Fig. S6, respectively, ***). In contrast,

under optimal growth conditions the Δ*pgm* deletion mutant displayed 2-NBDG accumulation

comparable to the parental strain (magenta diamonds and black circles in Fig. S6, respectively,

ns). These data further confirm that modifications of Pgm at the translational and post-

translational level allow *E. coli* to enhance glucose accumulation.

Taken together these data demonstrate that simultaneous exposure to nutrient and salt

depletion decreases gene expression, translation and biosynthetic processes, while increasing

E. coli capability to take up and use glucose (and possibly other sugars) via variations at the

translational and post-translational level but not at the transcriptional level, thus corroborating

our glucose and 2-NBDG accumulation data presented in Fig. 2-4.

Glucose accumulation is not regulated by molecules secreted in the environment

Finally, we also investigated the possibility that the combined nutritional and salinity depletion

caused bacterial secretion of molecules that affect intracellular 2-NBDG accumulation. These

molecules could include signalling secondary metabolites, such as putrescine and cadaverine,

that affect the functioning of membrane transporters[54]. In order to test this hypothesis, we

exposed *E. coli* grown in optimal growth conditions to the supernatant collected from *E. coli*

exposed to combined nutritional and salinity depletion. After 1h exposure to such supernatant,

we washed the microfluidic environment, introduced 30 μM 2-NBDG dissolved in glucose-

free M9 medium and measured 2-NBDG accumulation in individual bacteria. We then

compared these measurements to those performed without exposing bacteria to such

supernatant (squares and circles, respectively, in Fig. S7). In contrast to the hypothesis above,

we found that exposure to the supernatant collected from nutrient and salt depleted *E. coli* did

not enhance 2-NBDG accumulation. Taken together these data suggest that the metabolic

changes observed in the simultaneous nutritional and salinity depletion are not due to bacterial

secretion of compounds that can alter glucose metabolism.

DISCUSSION

Glucose uptake and utilization in bacteria have been previously linked to cell size, suggesting

that both glucose uptake and intracellular conversion are maximal in favourable growth

conditions, an idea that has led to the consensus that bacteria dwelling in stressful environments

reduce their metabolic capabilities[15–17,60]. However, these findings were obtained either in

optimal growth conditions or in the presence of only nutritional depletion[15]. In contrast, in

natural environments such as soil, aquatic systems or the human body, microbial communities

face multiple environmental changes[18,35]. Therefore, it is crucial to predict the effects of

multiple simultaneous environmental variations on the phenotypic diversity in microbial traits.

Here we demonstrate a hitherto unrecognised phenomenon in bacterial glucose

metabolism by finding enhanced glucose accumulation traits when *E. coli* are simultaneously

exposed to nutritional and salinity depletion. These traits are not displayed when bacteria are

exposed to either depletion alone, suggesting that the effect of these changes on glucose

metabolism is not additive[35]. In contrast with the general consensus, we show that these

differences in glucose accumulation traits cannot be explained by differences in cell size or

gene expression, a finding that needs to be taken into account when modelling metabolic fluxes

and when designing bioproduction in *E. coli*[14] since we show that increasing cell size does

not accelerate glucose metabolism.

We show instead that the measured metabolic changes are underpinned by variations

in glucose transport and metabolism at the translational and post-translational level. Protein

phosphorylation, especially on serine, threonine, or tyrosine, is one of the most common post-

translational modifications in bacteria[55]. Protein phosphorylation controls cell metabolism

and enhances cellular fitness under growth limiting conditions; for example, enzymes such as

phosphoglycerate mutase, phosphoglucomutase and adenosine 5′-phosphosulfate kinase

catalyse the turnover of phosphorylated sugars or metabolite phosphorylation by going through

a phosphorylated intermediate state during catalysis[61]. A previous study found a global

increase of protein phosphorylation levels under nutrient depletion[55]. Here we complement

this understanding by demonstrating elevated protein phosphorylation levels under nutrient

depletion, salt depletion or combined nutrient and salt depletion. Taken together these findings

point to a likely role of protein phosphorylation in variant environments. Indeed, we found

significantly higher phosphorylation levels of the phosphoglucomutase Pgm (at serine 146), a

highly conserved enzyme[59], under nutrient and salt depletion compared to optimal growth

conditions offering a mechanistic explanation of the measured glucose degradation rates.

Furthermore, Pgm was upregulated at the translational level under nutrient depletion in

accordance with a previous study using minimal medium[55], whereas we use LB medium,

further confirming that this metabolic response is not dictated by the pre-culturing medium.

We also found that Pgm was further upregulated under combined nutrient and salt depletion

compared to nutrient depletion alone corroborating our data on glucose degradation rates.

Finally, it is conceivable that other previously identified post-translational modifications (e.g.

the acetylation of GapA and FbaA[58], both involved in carbohydrate degradation) could

further contribute to the observed variations in glucose uptake and degradation rates.

We also showed that exposing *E. coli* growing in optimal conditions to the supernatant

collected from cells under nutrient and salt depletion did not enhance glucose accumulation

traits although this data should be corroborated in future via LC-MS metabolomics[62]. This

finding demonstrates that enhanced glucose accumulation traits are not driven by the impact of

physico-chemical properties of the nutrient and salt depleted environment on molecular

transport, but rather by continuous cellular adaptation to such an environment.

These data corroborate previous work about the impact of salinity on carbon uptake in

Vibrio marinus and cyanobacteria in natural environments[63,64], and on the remodelling of

E. coli glucose metabolism in the presence of environmental challenges[54,65]. Furthermore,

NaCl is routinely used in food products as an antimicrobial agent[66], therefore our findings

that adding NaCl decreases glucose accumulation in stationary phase bacteria should be taken

into account in both food preservation and bioproduction.

Our data also demonstrate, for the first time, that heterogeneity in glucose accumulation

traits under combined nutrient and salt depletion cannot be ascribed to cell-to-cell differences

in surface area alone neither can be ascribed to recovery from stationary phase[67]. In fact, we

measured similar levels of heterogeneity in glucose uptake and degradation in exponentially

growing and stationary phase *E. coli*. These data therefore suggest that cellular or

environmental parameters other than cell size underpin heterogeneity in glucose metabolism

adding to our current understanding of the relationship between cell growth rate and cellular

processes[68–72], including those preparing a cell for surviving fluctuations in environmental

conditions[73]. In this respect, we found that exposure to nutritional or salinity depletion

increases heterogeneity in both glucose uptake and degradation. This could be explained by the

recently reported heterogeneity in the expression of sugar metabolism genes[74,75]. Taken

together these data add new knowledge to the current understanding on phenotypic noise[76],

corroborating the view that cell-to-cell differences are ubiquitous within microbial

populations[67,76–78] and that there is substantial heterogeneity in the accumulation of

metabolites[5,44,74,75,79–84] or antimicrobials[40,85,86].

This newly introduced experimental approach could be applied to other fields of

research including medical mycology and crop protection, considering that glucose and its

fluorescent analogue 2-NBDG is taken up by pathogenic fungi such as *Candida albicans*[87].

The newly discovered glucose accumulation traits should be considered when investigating

cellular processes where salinity plays an important role such as cystic fibrosis associated lung

infections[34] and the enteropathogens present in the ileum and colon[32] . If confirmed for

microbes sampled from the environment, our findings will also inform modelling ecological

and evolutionary dynamics considering the extensive impact of climate change on the salinity

of freshwater ecosystems and naturally saline environments[25,30,35] which could

consequently lead to a profound effect on the capabilities of some species to take up and use

carbon sources.

METHODS

Strains, media and cell culture

All chemicals were purchased from Merck unless otherwise specified. Lysogeny Broth (LB,

Melford) media made of 10 g/L Tryptone, 5 g/L Yeast extract and either 0.5, 5 or 10 g/L NaCl,

were used for culturing *E. coli*. Noteworthy, the 5 and 10 g/L NaCl LB formulation are

routinely used in microbiology, whereas the 0.5 g/L NaCl LB formulation is generally

employed only for selective cultivation with antibiotics that require low salt conditions. The

three formulations differ only in salt content, these do not differ in carbon and nitrogen source

content that it is known to affect glucose metabolism[62]. LB agar plates of respective NaCl

concentration with 15 g/L agar (Melford) were used for streak plates. Glucose-free M9-

minimal media, used to wash cells and dilute 2-(N-(7-Nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-

yl)Amino)-2-Deoxyglucose (2-NBDG) or Thioflavin T (ThT), was prepared using 5× M9

minimal salts (Merck), diluted as appropriate, with additional 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 3

µM thiamine HCl in milliQ water. Glucose or ammonia limited M9 media were prepared by

adding to this solution 0.1 g/L glucose or 0.1 g/L NH_4Cl, respectively[52], NaCl was added as

required at a final concentration of 0.5 g/L or 10 g/L, as appropriate. The parental strain *E. coli*

BW25113 and the single-gene knockout mutants Δ*pgm* were purchased from Dharmacon (GE

Healthcare) and stored in 50% glycerol stock at -80˚C. Streak plates for each strains were

produced by thawing a small aliquot of the corresponding glycerol stock every 2 weeks and

using LB agar containing either 0.5, 5 or 10 g/L NaCl. Exponentially growing cultures were

obtained by inoculating 100 mL of LB (or M9 medium) of 0.5, 5 or 10 g/L NaCl content with

100 µl of stationary phase liquid culture *E. coli* BW25113, then placed in a shaking incubator

at 200 rpm at 37˚C for 3 hours. Overnight cultures were prepared inoculating a single colony

of *E. coli* BW25113 in 200 mL of LB (or M9 medium) with 0.5, 5 or 10 g/L NaCl, then placed

in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm at 37˚C. Spent LB or M9 media used for resuspension of

prepared bacteria in microfluidic assays was prepared by centrifugation of overnight cultures

(4000 rpm, 20˚C, 10 min). The supernatant was then double filtered (Medical Millex-GS Filter,

0.22 µm, Millipore Corp) to remove bacterial debris from the solution as previously

reported[73]. 2-NBDG (Molecular weight=342 g/mol, ThermoFischer) was dissolved in

dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a stock concentration of 10mg/ml and stored at -20˚C.

ThT (Molecular weight=319 g/mol, Merck) was dissolved in milliQ water at a stock

concentration of 2 mM and stored at 4˚C.

Fabrication of microfluidic mother machine devices

Microfluidic mother machine devices were fabricated in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS,

Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer kit, Dow Corning) following previously reported

protocols[73,88]. A 10:1 (base:curing agent) PDMS mixture was cast on an epoxy mold of the

mother machine device provided by S. Jun[41]. Each mother machine device contains

approximately 6000 bacterial hosting channels with a width, height and length of 1, 1.5 and 25

μm, respectively. These channels are connected to a main microfluidic chamber with a width

and height of 25 and 100 μm, respectively. After degassing the PDMS mixture, this was

allowed to cure at 70˚C for 2 hours, before unmoulding the solid PDMS replica from the mother

machine epoxy mold. Using a 0.75 mm biopsy punch (RapidCore 0.75, Well-Tech) fluidic inlet

and outlet accesses were created at the two ends of the main chamber of the mother machine.

After ensuring accesses and surface of PDMS chip were completely clean using ethanol wash,

N_2 gas drying and removal of any small particles using adhesive tape (Scotch® Magic™ Tape,

3M), the PDMS chip, along with a glass coverslip (Borosilicate Glass No.1, Fisherbrand), were

further cleaned and surfaces hydrophilized using air plasma treatment (10 s exposure to 30 W

plasma power; Plasma etcher, Diener, Royal Oak, MI, USA) as previously reported[89]. Next,

PDMS and glass were brought in contact and irreversibly bonded. Upon bonding, the device

was placed for 5 min into an oven set at 70˚C to enhance the adhesion between the PDMS and

the glass surfaces. Finally, the device was filled with a 5 μL aliquot of 50 mg/ml bovine serum

albumin (BSA) in milliQ water and incubated at 37˚C for 1 hour; this step allowed to passivate

the charge within the channels post oxygen plasma treatment, thus screening any electrostatic

interaction between bacterial membranes and the glass and PDMS surfaces of the mother

machine.

Microfluidics-microscopy assay to measure 2-NBDG accumulation and degradation in

individual E. coli bacteria

The bacterial inoculum to be injected in the mother machine device was prepared via centrifugation (5 min, 4000 rpm, 20˚C) of a 50 ml aliquot of an exponentially growing or overnight culture (3 or 17 h after inoculation in LB or M9) and resuspended in spent LB (or M9) medium (both prepared as described above) to an OD_{600} of 75. 50 mg/mL BSA was added to the overnight culture before centrifugation to prevent bacterial cell aggregation[73]. The bacterial suspension was then injected into the mother machine device and incubated at 37˚C aiming to fill each bacterial hosting channel at an average density of one cell. Subsequently, fluorinated ethylene propylene tubing (1/32" x 0.0008") was inserted into both inlet and outlet accesses as previously reported[90]. A flow sensor unit (Flow Unit S, Fluigent, Paris, France) was connected to the inlet tubing. This flow sensor unit was also connected to a pressure control system (MFCS-4C, Fluigent), both being controlled via MAESFLO software (Fluigent) allowing for computerised, accurate regulation of fluid flow into the microfluidic device. The above described spent LB medium was used to clear the main channel of excess bacteria that had not entered the bacterial hosting channels, initially flowing at 300 μL/h for 8 min and then set to a flow rate of 100 μL/h. The loaded microfluidic device was mounted onto an inverted microscope (IX73 Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) following the flushing of the main channel with spent media and centred on one area of the mother machine containing 46 of the 6000 bacteria hosting channels; after visual inspection of the device, using automated stages for both coarse and fine movements (M-545.USC and P-545.3C7, Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany), this area was selected on account of it containing the highest number of channels filled with one bacterium. Initial bright-field and fluorescence images were acquired using a 60×, 1.2 N.A. objective (UPLSAPO60XW, Olympus) and a sCMOS camera (Zyla 4.2, Andor, Belfast, UK), and, for the fluorescence image only, a FITC filter and exposure to blue LED illumination at

15% of its maximum power (200mW, CoolLED pE-300white, Andover, UK) for 0.1 s.

Following this, 30 µM 2-NBDG in M9-minimal medium was introduced into the microfluidic

device at an initial flow rate of 300 µL/h for 8 min, then 100 µL/h thereafter. Simultaneously,

a fluorescence image was acquired every 20 s for a period of 900 s using the settings described

above, a custom built LabView software and a 7-way Multi I/O timing cable (Andor, Belfast,

UK) ensuring the LED only illuminated during image acquisition, thus reducing

photobleaching. This same protocol was also employed to investigate the accumulation of 50

µM ThT in M9-minimal medium in individual *E. coli* bacteria.

At t=1200 s, the 2-NBDG solution was flushed away and exchanged to fresh LB or M9 medium

(of appropriate NaCl concentration) flowing at an initial flow rate of 300 µl/h for 8 min, then

100 µl/h thereafter. This step allowed monitoring the degradation of 2-NBDG that had

accumulated in each individual bacterium by acquiring a fluorescence image every 20 s until

t=2100 s.

Flow cytometry measurements

E. coli cultures were prepared as described above and 1 mL aliquoted into an Eppendorf at t=3

or 17 h and spun down at 13,400 rpm for 5 min using a microcentrifuge (SLS Lab Basics, UK).

For uptake experiments, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of fluorescent substrate

solution; either 30 µM 2-NBDG or 50 µM ThT and incubated for 15 or 45 min, respectively.

After incubation, cells were pelleted at 13,400 rpm for 5 min using a table top centrifuge and

resuspended in 1 ml of phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and further diluted as necessary.

Measurements were then performed using a Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX S (Beckman Coulter,

United States) and cell fluorescence quantified using the fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)

channel (488 nm excitation and 525/40 nm band-pass filter), with PMT voltages of FSC 1000,

SSC 500, FITC 250 and a threshold value of 10000 for SSC-A to exclude any background

noise. These measurements were not normalized by cell size. Data were initially collected using

CytExpert software, exported and later processed using GraphPad Prism 9. For degradation

assays in the presence of competitive inhibitors, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in 30 µM

2-NBDG and incubated for 15 min as for the uptake experiments above. Following this 15-min

incubation, 1 mL of D-glucose (metabolizable inhibitor) or 3-O-methylglucose (non-

metabolizable inhibitor) was added to the sample at a final concentration of 30 mM. Samples

were then diluted as necessary in PBS and measured via flow cytometry at intervals over 20

min as described above.

Glucose colorimetric assay

17-hour stationary phase cultures grown in 0.5 g/L (nutrient and salt depletion) or 10 g/L NaCl

LB (nutrient depletion) were prepared (as described above) in biological triplicate, reaching an

optical density at 600 nm of 5 in both conditions. 1 mL of each culture was centrifuged at

13,400 rpm for 5 min using a microcentrifuge. Each pellet was subsequently resuspended in 1

mL 30 µM D-glucose and incubated for different time intervals. Following incubation,

centrifugation was carried out as above but at 0°C, to reduce any further glucose uptake by

cells. The supernatant of each sample was collected while the pellet was discarded. Using a

glucose assay kit (Sigma Aldrich, Montana, United States), 50 µL of each supernatant sample

was added to 50 µL master reaction mix (46 µL glucose assay buffer, 2 µL glucose probe and

2 µL glucose enzyme mix) and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 min.

Oxidisation of any glucose present in the sample occurred during incubation, thus generating

a colorimetric product, the absorbance of which was measured at 570 nm using a CLARIOstar

PLUS plate reader (BMG Labtech, UK). For each experimental repeat, glucose standards were

obtained by performing a serial dilution between 0 and 10 µL of a 1 nmole/µL glucose standard

solution added to 50 µl of the master reaction mix above, brought to 100 µL per well with

glucose assay buffer as needed. Absorbances collected for glucose standard wells were then

used as a standard curve by which sample absorbances were compared and interpolated.

To calculate the concentration of glucose present in each sample, background absorbance

(assay blank of standard curve where 0 µl of glucose standard solution was present in the

sample) was first subtracted and then the following equation was used:

726
$$C = \frac{S_a}{S_v}$$

Where S_a is the amount of glucose in the unknown sample (in nmole) from standard curve, S_v

is the sample volume (µL) added into the well and C describes the concentration of glucose in

the sample.

Image and data analysis

Images were processed using ImageJ software as previously described[88,91] tracking each

individual bacterium throughout their incubation in and removal of 2-NBDG. A rectangle was

drawn around each bacterium at every time point, obtaining its width, length and mean

fluorescence intensity. The mean fluorescence intensity for each bacterium was normalised by

cell size, to account for cell cycle related variations in glucose accumulation[53]. The

background fluorescence (i.e. the fluorescence of extracellular 2-NBDG) at each time point

was measured for each bacterium as follow as follows: a rectangle, of similar dimensions to

those drawn around the bacteria, was drawn and positioned, at the same distance from the main

channel, in the nearest channel that did not contain bacteria and the mean fluorescence value

within this rectangle was extracted via ImageJ. This background fluorescence was subtracted

from the corresponding bacterium's fluorescence value at every time point as previously

reported[73].

These fluorescence data were then analysed and plotted using GraphPad Prism 9. Statistical

significance was tested using unpaired, two-tailed, Welch's *t*-test unless specified otherwise.

Error bars displayed in all graphs represent the standard error of the mean (SEM), however,

due to the large sample sizes, error bars in some figures are small compared to the

corresponding mean values and thus hidden behind data points. Pearson correlation, medians

and coefficient of variations were also calculated using GraphPad Prism 9.

Transcriptomic analysis

RNA isolation, library preparation, sequencing, and transcriptomic data processing was

performed as previously reported[54,92]. Briefly, *E. coli* cultures in high or low salt

exponential (optimal growth conditions or salt depletion, respectively) and stationary phase

(nutrient depletion or nutrient and salt depletion, respectively) were prepared as described

above and 500 μL aliquots were taken from each culture after t=3 and 17 h after inoculation in

LB, respectively, in biological triplicate for each of the four environmental conditions.

RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen) was added to each aliquot. Extractions were performed

using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) for exponential phase aliquots and RNeasy Micro Kit

(Qiagen) for stationary phase aliquots following manufacturer instructions and previously

reported protocols[54,92]. DNA removal during extraction was carried out by using RNase-

Free DNase I (Qiagen). RNA concentration and quality were measured using Qubit 1.0

fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 2200 TapeStation (Agilent), respectively, and only

samples with an RNA integrity number larger than 8 were taken forward. Transcript abundance

was quantified using Salmon for each gene in all samples. Subsequent differential analysis was

performed using DEseq2 in R software to quantify the $\log_2$ fold change in transcript reads[93]

for each gene in aliquots under nutritional, salinity or combined nutritional and salinity

depletion each compared to growth in optimal conditions. Significantly differentially expressed

genes were defined as having a $\log_2$ fold change with respect to optimal growth conditions

greater than 1 and a *p*-value adjusted for false discovery rate of <0.05[24].

Proteomic Analysis

E. coli whole cell preparation was performed using 1.5 L cultures as described above. At 3 and 17 h, for exponential and stationary phase respectively, each culture was centrifuged at 4700 rpm ThermoFisher, USA) at 4°C for 20 min. Pellets were then resuspended in 5 mL of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 M NaCl). Subsequently, one cOmplete™, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Sigma Aldrich) tablet and one phosSTOP™ (Roche, Sigma Aldrich) tablet were added to each sample. Sonication cycles for complete cell lysis were performed at 70% amplitude in a Sonics Vibracell VC-505 instrument (Sonics and Materials Inc., Newton, CT, USA) on ice. Lysate was then centrifuged to remove cell debris at 14400 rpm 4°C for 30 min and pellet was discarded. Aliquots of 80 µg of each sample were digested with trypsin (2.5 µg trypsin per 100 µg protein; 37°C, overnight), labelled with Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) eleven plex reagents according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the labelled samples pooled.

For the Total proteome analysis, an aliquot of 50 µg of the pooled sample was desalted using a SepPak cartridge according to the manufacturer's instructions (Waters, Milford, Massachusetts, USA). Eluate from the SepPak cartridge was evaporated to dryness and resuspended in buffer A (20 mM ammonium hydroxide, pH 10) prior to fractionation by high pH reversed-phase chromatography using an Ultimate 3000 liquid chromatography system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In brief, the sample was loaded onto an XBridge BEH C18 Column (130 Å, 3.5 µm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm, Waters, UK) in buffer A and peptides eluted with an increasing gradient of buffer B (20 mM Ammonium Hydroxide in acetonitrile, pH 10) from 0-95% over 60 min. The resulting fractions (5 in total) were evaporated to dryness and resuspended in 1% formic acid prior to analysis by nano-liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC MSMS) using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific).

For the Phospho proteome analysis, the remainder of the TMT-labelled pooled sample was also desalted using a SepPak cartridge (Waters, Milford, Massachusetts, USA). Eluate from the SepPak cartridge was evaporated to dryness and subjected to TiO_2-based phosphopeptide enrichment according to the manufacturer's instructions (Pierce). The flow-through and washes from the TiO_2-based enrichment were then subjected to FeNTA-based phosphopeptide enrichment according to the manufacturer's instructions (Pierce). The phospho-enriched samples were again evaporated to dryness and then resuspended in 1% formic acid prior to analysis by nano-LC MSMS using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific).

High pH RP fractions (Total proteome analysis) or the phospho-enriched fractions (Phospho-proteome analysis) were further fractionated using an Ultimate 3000 nano-LC system in line with an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). In brief, peptides in 1% (vol/vol) formic acid were injected onto an Acclaim PepMap C18 nano-trap column (Thermo Scientific). After washing with 0.5% (vol/vol) acetonitrile 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid, peptides were resolved on a 250 mm × 75 μm Acclaim PepMap C18 reverse phase analytical column (Thermo Scientific) over a 150 min organic gradient, using 7 gradient segments (1-6% solvent B over 1 min, 6-15% B over 58 min, 15-32%B over 58 min, 32-40%B over 5 min, 40-90%B over 1 min, held at 90%B for 6 min and then reduced to 1%B over 1 min) with a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Solvent A was 0.1% formic acid and Solvent B was aqueous 80% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid. Peptides were ionized by nano-electrospray ionization at 2.0 kV using a stainless-steel emitter with an internal diameter of 30 μm (Thermo Scientific) and a capillary temperature of 300°C.

All spectra were acquired using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer controlled by Xcalibur 3.0 software (Thermo Scientific) and operated in data-dependent acquisition mode using an SPS-MS3 workflow. Fourier-transformed mass-spectrometry 1 (FTMS1) spectra were

collected at a resolution of 120,000, with an automatic gain control (AGC) target of 200,000

and a maximum injection time of 50 ms. Precursors were filtered with an intensity threshold

of 5,000 according to charge state (to include charge states 2-7) and with monoisotopic peak

determination set to Peptide. Previously interrogated precursors were excluded using a

dynamic window (60 s +/-10 ppm). The MS2 precursors were isolated with a quadrupole

isolation window of 0.7m/z. Ion trap mass-spectrometry (ITMS2) spectra were collected with

an AGC target of 10 000, max injection time of 70ms and Collision induced dissociation (CID)

energy of 35%.

For FTMS3 analysis, the Orbitrap was operated at 50,000 resolution with an AGC target of

50,000 and a maximum injection time of 105 ms. Precursors were fragmented by high energy

collision dissociation (HCD) at a normalised collision energy of 60% to ensure maximal TMT

reporter ion yield. Synchronous Precursor Selection (SPS) was enabled to include up to 10

MS2 fragment ions in the FTMS3 scan.

The raw data files were processed and quantified using Proteome Discoverer software v2.1

(Thermo Scientific) and searched against the UniProt *Escherichia coli* (strain K12) [83333]

database (downloaded November 2020: 8061 entries) using the SEQUEST HT algorithm.

Peptide precursor mass tolerance was set at 10 ppm, and MS/MS tolerance was set at 0.6 Da.

Search criteria included oxidation of methionine (+15.9949) as a variable modification and

carbamidomethylation of cysteine (+57.0214) and the addition of the TMT mass tag

(+229.163) to peptide N-termini and lysine as fixed modifications. For the Phospho-proteome

analysis, phosphorylation of serine, threonine, tyrosine, histidine and aspartic acid (+79.966)

was also included as a variable modification. Searches were performed with full tryptic

digestion and a maximum of 2 missed cleavages were allowed. The reverse database search

option was enabled and all data was filtered to satisfy false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%.

Protein differential abundance analysis was carried out using *limma*[94], which has been shown

to be appropriate for proteomics data[95]. *p*-values were adjusted for false discovery rate using

the method of Benjamini and Hochberg[96].

Cluster and gene ontology analysis

Clustering analysis was performed using the mclust package (version 5.4.7) for R[97].

Clustering was performed on the transcriptomic and proteomic $\log_2$ fold-change data separately

and then simultaneously on both data sets. Hyperspherical models of equal and unequal volume

were tested for a range of 2 to 20 clusters and the minimal, best-fitting model was identified

by the Bayes information criterion. We observed generally larger fold-change magnitudes in

the transcriptomic data, which may dominate in simultaneous clustering on both data sets.

Therefore, prior to simultaneous clustering of proteomic and transcriptomic data, the fold-

changes for each condition within each 'omics data set were standardized by dividing by the

sample standard deviations.

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis was performed using the clusterProfiler package (version

3.16.1) for R[98]. Enrichment in terms belonging to the "Biological Process" ontology was

calculated for each gene cluster, relative to the set of all genes quantified in the experiment, via

a one-sided Fisher exact test (hypergeometric test). *P* values were adjusted for false discovery

by using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg[96]. Finally, the lists of significantly enriched

terms were simplified to remove redundant terms, as assessed via their semantic similarity to

other enriched terms, using clusterProfiler's simplify function.

Mathematical model and parameter inference

In order to rationalise the data acquired via our microfluidics-microscopy assay, we described

the dynamics of intracellular 2-NBDG concentration in single cells using the following

ordinary differential equation (ODE):

871
$$\frac{d[gluc]_{in}}{dt} = -d_{gluc}[gluc]_{in} + \frac{u_{gluc}}{V_c}[gluc]_{ex}.$$

This equation describes the change of intracellular 2-NBDG concentration, $[gluc]_{in}$, over time

(left hand-side term) as the sum of two processes: intracellular 2-NBDG degradation (negative

term in the right hand-side) occurring at rate $d_{gluc}[gluc]_{in}$, and 2-NBDG uptake (positive

term in the right hand-side) that we model as a first-order kinetics occurring at rate

$\frac{u_{gluc}}{V_c}[gluc]_{ex}$, similarly to previous models[5]. Furthermore, $[gluc]_{ex}$ denotes the time-varying,

extracellular 2-NBDG concentration. Here, since we measure the fluorescent intensity in the

bacterial hosting channels (dashed line in Fig. 1) we chose to solve the ODE numerically

approximating $[gluc]_{ex}$ at all times as a piecewise linear function. We note that with any

functional form of $[gluc]_{ex}$ the ODE admits to an analytical solution, however the complex

structure of the solution (involving time integration) defeats the purpose of using this directly

for inference. An alternative approach would have been to assume instantaneous changes of

external 2-NBDG at times $t = 0$ (addition) and $t = t_1 = 1,200s$ (removal) and use the

following simple analytical solution for inference purposes:

885
$$[gluc]_{in} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_{gluc}[gluc]_{ex}}{d_{gluc}V_c}\left(1 - e^{-d_{gluc}t}\right), & t_1 \geq t \geq 0 \\ \frac{u_{gluc}[gluc]_{ex}}{d_{gluc}V_c}\left(e^{-d_{gluc}(t-t_1)} - e^{-d_{gluc}t}\right), & t \geq t_1 \end{cases}$$

However, such an approach misses out information (i.e. non-instantaneous 2-NBDG delivery)

that is crucial for providing an accurate description of the kinetics in 2-NBDG accumulation in

single cells. Rate constant u_{gluc} ($\mu m^3 s^{-1}$) and d_{gluc} (s^{-1}) dictate the rate of 2-NBDG uptake,

and degradation, respectively. Parameter V_c (μm^3) denotes the cellular volume, and its

presence in the denominator of the uptake rate term ensures that intracellular 2-NBDG

concentration is reduced in cells with larger volumes due to dilution (other parameters being the

same). We estimate V_c using the measured width and length of each cell and assuming a rod-

like shape. We use the model and Bayesian inference techniques to extract information

regarding rate parameters u_{gluc}, d_{gluc} from our single-cell measurements of intracellular 2-

NBDG accumulation and degradation. To capture cell-to-cell heterogeneity we employ a

hierarchical Bayes approach where parameters u_{gluc} and d_{gluc} vary between single cells

according to an underlying population distribution. To model this unknown population

distribution we use an infinite Gaussian mixture model (iGMM)[99], which being a non-

parametric Bayesian model offers greater modelling flexibility than standard parametric

distributional models. We note that the hierarchical Bayes approach also allow us to naturally

incorporate in the model uncertainty (or lack of information) regarding the prior distribution of

u_{gluc} and d_{gluc}, as we do not have to specify explicit priors for these parameters but instead

specify priors for the hyper-parameters of the iGMM model. Choices of priors for the iGMM

hyper-parameters are given in Table 1. To sample from the posterior distribution of the two

parameters, $u_{gluc,i}$ and $d_{gluc,i}$, for each cell i, we employed an iterative Gibbs sampling

scheme. Each iteration of the sampling scheme, indexed by k, consists of two steps. In the first

step, parameters $a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}$ and $d_{gluc,i}^{(k)}$ are sampled for each cell (indexed by i) given the data, $\boldsymbol{y}_i =$

$\{y_{i,t}: i = 1, ..., M, t = 1, ..., Z\}$, cellular volume $V_{c,i}$, external 2-NBDG profile $[gluc]_{ex,i}$,

coefficient of variation of the measurement error CV_{error}, and the iGMM parameterisation from

the previous iteration, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}$. In the second step, iGMM parameters, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k)}$, are sampled anew

given the current values of $a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}$ and $d_{gluc,i}^{(k)}$. In summary, the algorithm involves sampling

iteratively from the following target distributions:

a) $a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)} \sim P\left(\cdot \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}, \boldsymbol{y}_i, V_{c,i}, [gluc]_{ex,i}, CV_{error}\right)$ for each cell i;

b) $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k)} \sim P\left(\cdot \mid a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)}\right)$.

To sample parameters $u_{gluc,i}$ and $d_{gluc,i}$ in step a) we assume that the 2-NBDG accumulation

and degradation measurement, $y_{i,t}$, taken form cell i at time-point t obeys a gaussian

distribution, $y_{i,t} \sim \mathcal{N}([gluc]_{i,t}, \sigma_{i,t})$, with mean $[gluc]_{i,t}$ and standard deviation $\sigma_{i,t} =$

$CV_{error} \cdot [gluc]_{i,t}$. Here $[gluc]_{i,t}$ is obtained by solving the model numerically using the

corresponding rate parameters, cellular volume, and external 2-NBDG concertation profile.

This assumption allows us to use a single step of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to draw

samples from the target distribution since this is proportional to a product of densities

(gaussians and mixture of gaussians) all of which can be straightforwardly evaluated, i.e.,

$P\left(a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)} | \boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}, \boldsymbol{y}_i, V_{c,i}, [gluc]_{ex,i}, CV_{error}\right) \propto$

$P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_i | a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, V_{c,i}, [gluc]_{ex,i}, CV_{error}\right) P\left(a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}\right) =$

$\left[\prod_t P\left(y_{i,t} | a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, V_{c,i}, [gluc]_{ex,i}, CV_{error}\right)\right] P\left(a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}\right) =$

$\left[\prod_t \mathcal{N}([gluc]_{i,t}, \sigma_{i,t})\right] P\left(a_{gluc,i}^{(k)}, v_{gluc,i}^{(k)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{iGMM}^{(k-1)}\right).$

In step b) of the algorithm, we sampled from the target distribution using the algorithm as

proposed by Rasmussen[99]. In the first iteration of the scheme, $k = 1$, we initialise

$u_{gluc,i}^{(1)}, d_{gluc,i}^{(1)}$ to values obtained using maximum likelihood estimation (perfomed using

Matlab's in-built nonlinear least-squares solver lsqcurvefit; using the Levenberg-Marquardt

optimization algorithm and with the maximum number of iterations set to 15). The scheme was

implemented and run using Matlab R2018.

Hyper-parameters	Hyper parameter description	Prior
α	Concentration parameter of the Dirichlet prior.	$\alpha \sim Gamma(1,1)$
λ	Mean of the 2D-Guassian prior for component means	$\lambda \sim Normal(\mu_\vartheta, \Sigma_\vartheta)$

r^{-1}	St. deviation of the 2D-Guassian prior for component means.	$r \sim Wishart(\Sigma_\vartheta^{-1}/2,2)$
2β	Shape parameter of the 2D Wishart prior for component precisions (ie, inverse st. devs.)	$\beta - 1 \sim Gamma(1,1)$
$w^{-1}/2$	Scale matrix of the 2D Wishart prior for component precisions (ie, inverse st. devs.)	$w \sim Wishart(\Sigma_\vartheta /2,2)$

934 **Table 1. Hyperparameters of the infinite Gaussian mixture model and the associated**

935 **priors used in our analysis.** $\mu_\vartheta, \Sigma_\vartheta$ are obtained in the first step of our algorithm as the mean

936 and covariance matrix of the model parameters values, $(u_{gluc,i}^{(1)}, d_{gluc,i}^{(1)})$, obtained via maximum

937 likelihood estimation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.G. was supported by an EPSRC DTP PhD studentship (EP/M506527/1). M.V. and K.T.A. gratefully acknowledge financial support from the EPSRC (EP/N014391/1). U.L. was supported through a BBSRC grant (BB/V008021/1) and an MRC Proximity to Discovery EXCITEME2 grant (MCPC17189). This work was further supported by a Royal Society Research Grant (RG180007) awarded to S.P. and a QUEX Initiator grant awarded to S.P. and K.T.A.. D.S.M., T.A.R. and S.P.'s work in this area is also supported by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie project SINGEK (H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015-675752) and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation Marine Microbiology Initiative (GBMF5514). B.M.I. acknowledges support from a Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Award to the University of Exeter (204909/Z/16/Z). This project utilised equipment funded by the Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (WT097835MF), Wellcome Trust Multi User Equipment Award (WT101650MA) and BBSRC LOLA award (BB/K003240/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.P. designed the research and developed the project. G.G. and U.L. performed the experiments. M.V. and K.T.A. developed and implemented the mathematical model. B.M.I. performed the clustering and gene ontology analysis. D.S., P.O. and K.M performed the transcriptomics analysis. S.R. and D.S.M. assisted G.G. during protein extraction, flow cytometry and colorimetric assays. K.H. performed the proteomics and phosphoproteomics analysis. G.G., M.V., U.L., B.M.I., D.S., N.N., D.S.M., S.R., K.H., P.G.P. T.A.R. and S.P. analysed the data. G.G. and S.P. wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Delgado-Baquerizo M, Oliverio AM, Brewer TE, Benavent-González A, Eldridge DJ, Bardgett RD, et al. A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. Science (80-). 2018;359: 320–325. doi:10.1126/science.aap9516

2. Morita RY. Starvation survival. Bacteria in oligotrophic environments. New York: Chapman & Hall; 1997.

3. Cordero OX, Polz MF. Explaining microbial genomic diversity in light of evolutionary ecology. Nature Reviews Microbiology. Nature Publishing Group; 2014. pp. 263–273. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3218

4. Eisenreich W, Dandekar T, Heesemann J, Goebel W. Carbon metabolism of intracellular bacterial pathogens and possible links to virulence. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8: 401–412. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2351

5. Natarajan A, Srienc F. Dynamics of glucose uptake by single Escherichia coli cells. Metab Eng. 1999;1: 320–33. doi:10.1006/mben.1999.0125

6. Schaefer U, Boos W, Takors R, Weuster-Botz D. Automated sampling device for monitoring intracellular metabolite dynamics. Anal Biochem. 1999;270: 88–96. doi:10.1006/abio.1999.4048

7. Braissant O, Astasov-Frauenhoffer M, Waltimo T, Bonkat G. A Review of Methods to Determine Viability, Vitality, and Metabolic Rates in Microbiology. Front Microbiol. 2020;11: 1–25. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.547458

8. Jahreis K, Pimentel-Schmitt EF, Brückner R, Titgemeyer F. Ins and outs of glucose transport systems in eubacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32: 891–907.

doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00125.x

9.   Yang J-N, Wang C, Guo C, Peng X-X, Li H. Outer membrane proteome and its

regulation networks in response to glucose concentration changes in Escherichia coli.

Mol Biosyst. 2011;7: 3087–3093. doi:10.1039/c1mb05193h

10.   Nikaido H. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2003;67: 593–656. doi:10.1128/MMBR.67.4.593

11.   Pratt LA, Hsing W, Gibson KE, Silhavy TJ. From acids to osmZ: Multiple factors

influence synthesis of the OmpF and OmpC porins in Escherichia coli. Molecular

Microbiology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1996. pp. 911–917. doi:10.1111/j.1365-

2958.1996.tb02532.x

12.   Chubiz LM, Rao C V. Role of the mar-sox-rob regulon in regulating outer membrane

porin expression. J Bacteriol. 2011;193: 2252–2260. doi:10.1128/JB.01382-10

13.   Gutknecht R, Flükiger K, Lanz R, Erni B. Mechanism of phosphoryl transfer in the

dimeric IIAB(Man) subunit of the Escherichia coli mannose transporter. J Biol Chem.

1999;274: 6091–6096. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.10.6091

14.   Causey TB, Zhou S, Shanmugam KT, Ingram LO. Engineering the metabolism of

Escherichia coli W3110 for the conversion of sugar to redox-neutral and oxidized

products: Homoacetate production. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100: 825–832.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0337684100

15.   Natarajan A, Srienc F. Glucose uptake rates of single E. coli cells grown in glucose-

limited chemostat cultures. J Methods Microbiol J Microbiol Methods. 2000;42: 87–

96. doi:10.1016/S0167-7012(00)00180-9

16.   Lendenmann U, Egli T. Is Escherichia coli growing in glucose-limited chemostat

culture able to utilize other sugars without lag? Microbiology. 1995;141: 71–78.

doi:10.1099/00221287-141-1-71

17.   Herbert D, Kornberg HL. Glucose Transport as Rate-Limiting Step in the Growth of

Escherichia coli on Glucose. Biochem J. 1976.

18.   Rocca JD, Simonin M, Blaszczak JR, Ernakovich JG, Gibbons SM, Midani FS, et al.

The Microbiome Stress Project: Toward a global meta-analysis of environmental

stressors and their effects on microbial communities. Front Microbiol. 2019;10.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.03272

19.   McMahon MAS, Xu J, Moore JE, Blair IS, McDowell DA. Environmental stress and

antibiotic resistance in food-related pathogens. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:

211–217. doi:10.1128/AEM.00578-06

20.   Boor KJ. Bacterial stress responses: What doesn't kill them can make them stronger.

PLoS Biology. Public Library of Science; 2006. pp. 0018–0020.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040023

21.   Guan N, Li J, Shin H-D, Du G, Chen J, Liu L. Microbial response to environmental

stresses: from fundamental mechanisms to practical applications. doi:10.1007/s00253-

017-8264-y

22.   Roszak DB&, Colwell RR. Survival strategies of bacteria in the natural environment.

Clin Microbiol Rev. 1987;51: 365–379.

23.   Goldman JC, Dennett MR. Growth of marine bacteria in batch and continuous culture

under carbon and nitrogen limitation. Limnol Oceanogr. 2000;45: 789–800.

24.   Caglar MU, Houser JR, Barnhart CS, Boutz DR, Carroll SM, Dasgupta A, et al. The E.

coli molecular phenotype under different growth conditions. Sci Rep. 2017;7: 45303.

doi:10.1038/srep45303

25.   Canedo-Arguelles M, Hawkins CP, Kefford BJ, Schafer RB, Dyack BJ, Brucet S, et al.

Saving freshwater from salts. Science (80-). 2016;351: 914–916.

doi:10.1126/science.aad3488

26.   Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis.

1039   Isl Press Washington, DC. 2005. Available: http://www.bioquest.org/wp-

1040   content/blogs.dir/files/2009/06/ecosystems-and-health.pdf

1041   27.   Prayitno SB, Latchford JW. Experimental infections of crustaceans with luminous

1042   bacteria related to Photobacterium and Vibrio. Effect of salinity and pH on infectiosity.

1043   Aquaculture. 1995;132: 105–112.

1044   28.   Singleton FL, Attwell RW, Jangi MS, Colwell RR. Influence of salinity and organic

1045   nutrient concentration on survival and growth of Vibrio cholerae in aquatic

1046   microcosms. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1982;43: 1080–1085.

1047   29.   Cañedo-Argüelles M, Kefford BJ, Piscart C, Prat N, Schäfer RB, Schulz CJ.

1048   Salinisation of rivers: An urgent ecological issue. Environ Pollut. 2013;173: 157–167.

1049   doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.10.011

1050   30.   Holliday NP, Bersch M, Berx B, Chafik L, Cunningham S, Florindo-López C, et al.

1051   Ocean circulation causes the largest freshening event for 120 years in eastern subpolar

1052   North Atlantic. Nat Commun. 2020;11. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14474-y

1053   31.   Müsken A, Bielaszewska M, Greune L, Schweppe CH, Müthing J, Schmidt H, et al.

1054   Anaerobic conditions promote expression of Sfp fimbriae and adherence of sorbitol-

1055   fermenting enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:NM to human intestinal

1056   epithelial cells. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008;74: 1087–1093.

1057   doi:10.1128/AEM.02496-07

1058   32.   Polzin S, Huber C, Eylert E, Elsenhans I, Eisenreich W, Schmidt H. Growth media

1059   simulating ileal and colonic environments affect the intracellular proteome and carbon

1060   fluxes of enterohemorrhagic escherichia coli O157: H7 strain EDL933. Appl Environ

1061   Microbiol. 2013;79: 3703–3715. doi:10.1128/AEM.00062-13

33.   Beumer RR, de Vries J, Rombouts FM. Campylobacter jejuni non-culturable coccoid

cells. Contrib Microbiol. 2001;8: 150–165. doi:10.4324/9780203856871-3

34. Grandjean Lapierre S, Phelippeau M, Hakimi C, Didier Q, Reynaud-Gaubert M,

Dubus JC, et al. Cystic fibrosis respiratory tract salt concentration: An exploratory

cohort study. Med (United States). 2017;96: 1–4. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000008423

35. Velasco J, Gutiérrez-Cánovas C, Botella-Cruz M, Sánchez-Fernández D, Arribas P,

Carbonell JA, et al. Effects of salinity changes on aquatic organisms in a multiple

stressor context. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2019;374: 20180011.

doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0011

36. Jang J, Hur HG, Sadowsky MJ, Byappanahalli MN, Yan T, Ishii S. Environmental

Escherichia coli: ecology and public health implications—a review. J Appl Microbiol.

2017;123: 570–581. doi:10.1111/jam.13468

37. Chubukov V, Desmarais JJ, Wang G, Chan LJG, Baidoo EEK, Petzold CJ, et al.

Engineering glucose metabolism of escherichia coli under nitrogen starvation. npj Syst

Biol Appl. 2017;3: 1–7. doi:10.1038/npjsba.2016.35

38. Nestorovich EM, Danelon C, Winterhalter M, Bezrukov SM. Designed to penetrate:

Time-resolved interaction of single antibiotic molecules with bacterial pores. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99: 9789–9794. doi:10.1073/pnas.152206799

39. Cama J, Voliotis M, Metz J, Smith A, Iannucci J, Keyser UF, et al. Single-cell

microfluidics facilitates the rapid quantification of antibiotic accumulation in Gram-

negative bacteria. Lab Chip. 2020;20: 2765–2775. doi:10.1039/d0lc00242a

40. Łapińska U, Voliotis M, Lee KK, Campey A, Stone MRL, Phetsang W, et al. Fast

bacterial growth reduces antibiotic accumulation and efficacy. Biorxiv. 2021.

doi:10.1101/2021.10.18.464851v1

41. Wang P, Robert L, Pelletier J, Dang WL, Taddei F, Wright A, et al. Robust growth of

Escherichia coli. Curr Biol. 2010;20: 1099–1103. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.04.045

42.   Yoshioka K, Saito M, Oh K-B, Nemoto Y, Abe H, Matsuoka H, et al. Intracellular

1089   Fate of 2-NBDG, a Fluorescent Probe for Glucose Uptake Activity, in Escherichia coli

1090   Cells. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2009;60: 1899–1901. doi:10.1271/bbb.60.1899

1091   43.   Yoshioka K, Takahashi H, Homma T, Saito M, Oh KB, Nemoto Y, et al. A novel

1092   fluorescent derivative of glucose applicable to the assessment of glucose uptake

1093   activity of Escherichia coli. Biochim Biophys Acta - Gen Subj. 1996;1289: 5–9.

1094   doi:10.1016/0304-4165(95)00153-0

1095   44.   Şimşek E, Kim M. The emergence of metabolic heterogeneity and diverse growth

1096   responses in isogenic bacterial cells. ISME J. 2018. doi:10.1038/s41396-017-0036-2

1097   45.   Wee S, Wilkinson BJ. Increased outer membrane ornithine-containing lipid and

1098   lysozyme penetrability of Paracoccus denitrificans grown in a complex medium

1099   deficient in divalent cations. J Bacteriol. 1988;170: 3283–3286.

1100   doi:10.1128/jb.170.7.3283-3286.1988

1101   46.   Maguire ME, Papp-Wallace KM. Magnesium Transport and Magnesium Homeostasis.

1102   EcoSal Plus. 2008;3. doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.5.4.4.2

1103   47.   Battesti A, Majdalani N, Gottesman S. The RpoS-Mediated General Stress Response

1104   in *Escherichia coli*. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2011;65: 189–213. doi:10.1146/annurev-

1105   micro-090110-102946

1106   48.   Sugimoto S, Arita-Morioka KI, Mizunoe Y, Yamanaka K, Ogura T. Thioflavin T as a

1107   fluorescence probe for monitoring RNA metabolism at molecular and cellular levels.

1108   Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: 1–12. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv338

1109   49.   Bieler S, Estrada L, Lagos R, Baeza M, Castilla J, Soto C. Amyloid formation

1110   modulates the biological activity of a bacterial protein. J Biol Chem. 2005;280: 26880–

1111   26885. doi:10.1074/jbc.M502031200

50.   Metris A, George SM, Mulholland F, Carter AT, Baranyi J. Metabolic shift of

Escherichia coli under salt stress in the presence of glycine betaine. Appl Environ

Microbiol. 2014;80: 4745–4756. doi:10.1128/AEM.00599-14

51.   Nikaido H. The limitations of LB medium. In: Small things considered - American

Society of Microbiology. 2009.

52.   Li SHJ, Li Z, Park JO, King CG, Rabinowitz JD, Wingreen NS, et al. Escherichia coli

translation strategies differ across carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus limitation

conditions. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3: 939–947. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0199-2

53.   Taniguchi Y, Choi PJ, Li G-W, Chen H, Babu M, Hearn J, et al. Quantifying E. coli

proteome and transcritpome with single-molecule sensitivity in single cells. Science

(80-). 2010;329: 533–538.

54.   Smith A, Kaczmar A, Bamford RA, Smith C, Frustaci S, Kovacs-Simon A, et al. The

culture environment influences both gene regulation and phenotypic heterogeneity in

Escherichia coli. Front Microbiol. 2018;9: 1739. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01739

55.   Soares NC, Spät P, Krug K, MacEk B. Global dynamics of the Escherichia coli

proteome and phosphoproteome during growth in minimal medium. J Proteome Res.

2013;12: 2611–2621. doi:10.1021/pr3011843

56.   Fu L, Bounelis P, Dey N, Browne BL, Marchase RB, Bedwell DM. The

posttranslational modification of phosphoglucomutase is regulated by galactose

induction and glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Bacteriol. 1995;177:

3087–3094. doi:10.1128/jb.177.11.3087-3094.1995

57.   Lu M, Kleckner N. Molecular cloning and characterization of the pgm gene encoding

phosphoglucomutase of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 1994;176: 5847–5851.

doi:10.1128/jb.176.18.5847-5851.1994

58.   Brown CW, Sridhara V, Boutz DR, Person MD, Marcotte EM, Barrick JE, et al.

Large-scale analysis of post-translational modifications in E.coli under glucose-

limiting conditions. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 1–21. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3676-8

59. Stiers, K. M.; Muenks, A. G.; Beamer LJ. Biology, mechanism, and structure of

enzymes in the α-D-phosphohexomutase superfamily. Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol.

2017;176: 139–148. doi:10.1016/bs.apcsb.2017.04.005.Biology

60. Hunter IS, Kornberg HL. Glucose transport of Escherichia coli growing in glucose-

limited continuous culture. Biochem J. 1979;178: 97–101. doi:10.1042/bj1780097

61. Macek B, Forchhammer K, Hardouin J, Weber-Ban E, Grangeasse C, Mijakovic I.

Protein post-translational modifications in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17: 651–

664. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0243-0

62. Bren A, Park JO, Towbin BD, Dekel E, Rabinowitz JD, Alon U. Glucose becomes one

of the worst carbon sources for E.coli on poor nitrogen sources due to suboptimal

levels of cAMP. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 1–10. doi:10.1038/srep24834

63. Yannarell AC, Paerl HW. Effects of salinity and light on organic carbon and nitrogen

uptake in a hypersaline microbial mat. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2007;62: 345–353.

doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00384.x

64. Griffiths RP, Morita RY. Salinity effects on glucose uptake and catabolism in the

obligately psychrophilic marine bacterium Vibrio marinus. Mar Biol. 1973;23: 177–

182. doi:10.1007/BF00389482

65. Weber A, Kögl SA, Jung K. Time-dependent proteome alterations under osmotic stress

during aerobic and anaerobic growth in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2006;188: 7165–

7175. doi:10.1128/JB.00508-06

66. Abdulkarim SM, Fatimah AB, Anderson JG. Effect of salt concentrations on the

growth of heat-stressed and unstressed Escherichia coli. J Food, Agric Environ.

2009;7: 51–54.

67. Jõers A, Tenson T. Growth resumption from stationary phase reveals memory in

Escherichia coli cultures. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 1–11. doi:10.1038/srep24055

68.   Scott M, Gunderson CW, Mateescu EM, Zhang Z, Hwa T. Interdependence of cell

growth and gene expression: Origins and consequences. Science (80-). 2010;330:

1099–1102. doi:10.1126/science.1192588

69.   Taheri-Araghi S, Bradde S, Sauls JT, Hill NS, Levin PA, Paulsson J, et al. Cell-size

control and homeostasis in bacteria. Curr Biol. 2015;25: 385–391.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.009

70.   O'Brien EJ, Lerman JA, Chang RL, Hyduke DR, Palsson BØ. Genome-scale models

of metabolism and gene expression extend and refine growth phenotype prediction.

Mol Syst Biol. 2013;9: 693. doi:10.1038/msb.2013.52

71.   Wallden M, Fange D, Lundius EG, Baltekin Ö, Elf J. The Synchronization of

Replication and Division Cycles in Individual E. coli Cells. Cell. 2016;166: 729–739.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.052

72.   Deforet M, Van Ditmarsch D, Xavier JB. Cell-Size Homeostasis and the Incremental

Rule in a Bacterial Pathogen. Biophys J. 2015;109: 521–528.

doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.07.002

73.   Bamford RA, Smith A, Metz J, Glover G, Titball RW, Pagliara S. Investigating the

physiology of viable but non-culturable bacteria by microfluidics and time-lapse

microscopy. BMC Biol. 2017;15: 121. doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0465-4

74.   Nikolic N, Schreiber F, Dal Co A, Kiviet DJ, Bergmiller T, Littmann S, et al. Cell-to-

cell variation and specialization in sugar metabolism in clonal bacterial populations.

PLoS Genet. 2017;13: 1–24. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007122

75.   Nikolic N, Barner T, Ackermann M. Analysis of fluorescent reporters indicates

heterogeneity in glucose uptake and utilization in clonal bacterial populations. BMC

Microbiol. 2013;13: 258. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-258

76.   Ackermann M. A functional perspective on phenotypic heterogeneity in

1189   microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13: 497–508. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3491

1190   77.   Richards TA, Massana R, Pagliara S, Hall N. Single cell ecology. Philos Trans R Soc

1191   B Biol Sci. 2019;374: 20190076.

1192   78.   Stone MRL, Łapińska U, Pagliara S, Masi M, Blanchfield JT, Cooper MA, et al.

1193   Fluorescent macrolide probes – synthesis and use in evaluation of bacterial resistance.

1194   RSC Chem Biol. 2020;1: 395–404. doi:10.1039/d0cb00118j

1195   79.   Takhaveev V, Heinemann M. Metabolic heterogeneity in clonal microbial populations.

1196   Curr Opin Microbiol. 2018;45: 30–38. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2018.02.004

1197   80.   Zimmermann M, Escrig S, Hübschmann T, Kirf MK, Brand A, Inglis RF, et al.

1198   Phenotypic heterogeneity in metabolic traits among single cells of a rare bacterial

1199   species in its natural environment quantified with a combination of flow cell sorting

1200   and NanoSIMS. Front Microbiol. 2015;6: 1–11. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00243

1201   81.   Nielsen JL, Christensen D, Kloppenborg M, Halkjær Nielsen P. Quantification of cell-

1202   specific substrate uptake by probe-defined bacteria under in situ conditions by

1203   microautoradiography and fluorescence in situ hybridization. Environ Microbiol.

1204   2003;5: 202–211. doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00402.x

1205   82.   Sintes E, Herndl GJ. Quantifying substrate uptake by individual cells of marine

1206   bacterioplankton by catalyzed reporter deposition fluorescence in situ hybridization

1207   combined with microautoradiography. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006;72: 7022–7028.

1208   doi:10.1128/AEM.00763-06

1209   83.   Lapinska U, Glover G, Capilla-lasheras P, Young AJ, Pagliara S. Bacterial ageing in

1210   the absence of external stressors. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2019;374: 20180442.

1211   84.   Kopf SH, McGlynn SE, Green-Saxena A, Guan Y, Newman DK, Orphan VJ. Heavy

1212   water and 15N labelling with NanoSIMS analysis reveals growth rate-dependent

metabolic heterogeneity in chemostats. Environ Microbiol. 2015;17: 2542–2556. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12752

85. Kepiro IE, Marzuoli I, Hammond K, Ba X, Lewis H, Shaw M, et al. Engineering Chirally Blind Protein Pseudocapsids into Antibacterial Persisters. ACS Nano. 2020;14: 1609. doi:10.1021/acsnano.9b06814

86. Attrill EL, Claydon R, Łapińska U, Recker M, Meaden S, Brown AT, et al. Individual bacteria in structured environments rely on phenotypic resistance to phage. PLOS Biol. 2021;19: e3001406. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001406

87. Yoshioka K, Oh K-B, Saito • M, Nemoto • Y, Matsuoka H. Evaluation of 2-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-l,3-diazol-4-yl)amino]-2-deoxy-D. glucose, a new fluorescent derivative of glucose, for viability assessment of yeast Candida albicans. Appl Microbic Biotechnol. 1996.

88. Goode O, Smith A, Łapińska U, Attrill E, Carr A, Metz J, et al. Heterologous Protein Expression Favors the Formation of Protein Aggregates in Persister and Viable but Nonculturable Bacteria´. ACS Infect Dis. 2021;7: 1848. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00154

89. Dettmer SL, Keyser UF, Pagliara S. Local characterization of hindered Brownian motion by using digital video microscopy and 3D particle tracking. Rev Sci Instrum. 2014;85. doi:10.1063/1.4865552

90. Locatelli E, Pierno M, Baldovin F, Orlandini E, Tan Y, Pagliara S. Single-File Escape of Colloidal Particles from Microfluidic Channels. Phys Rev Lett. 2016;117: 038001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.038001

91. Smith A, Metz J, Pagliara S. MMHelper : An automated framework for the analysis of microscopy images acquired with the mother machine. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 10123. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46567-0

92. Goode O, Smith A, Zarkan A, Cama J, Invergo BM, Belgami D, et al. Persister

Escherichia coli Cells Have a Lower Intracellular pH than Susceptible Cells but

Maintain Their pH in Response to. MBio. 2021;12: e00909-21.

93. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-

0550-8

94. Phipson B, Lee S, Majewski IJ, Alexander WS, Smyth G. Robust Hyperparameter

Estimation Protects. Ann Appl Stat. 2016;10: 946–963. doi:10.1214/16-

AOAS920.ROBUST

95. Kammers K, Cole RN, Tiengwe C, Ruczinski I. Detecting significant changes in

protein abundance. EuPA Open Proteomics. 2015;7: 11–19.

doi:10.1016/j.euprot.2015.02.002

96. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and

Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc B. 1995;57: 289–300.

97. Scrucca L, Fop M, Murphy BT, Raftery AE. mclust 5: Clustering, Classification and

Density Estimation Using. R J. 2016;8: 289–317.

98. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. ClusterProfiler: An R package for comparing

biological themes among gene clusters. Omi A J Integr Biol. 2012;16: 284–287.

doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118

99. Rasmussen CE. The Infinite Gaussian Mixture Model.

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1264
Figure S1. Temporal dependence of the mean intracellular fluorescence of the glucose
analogue 2-NBDG averaged over 60 individual *E. coli* at the top of each dead-end bacteria-
hosting channel (red squares, position 1) or below such cells (black circles, position 2). Bacteria
at position 2 are closer to the main microfluidic chamber i.e. the 2-NBDG source, whereas
bacteria at position 1 are screened by one cell. Statistical comparisons are reported in Table S1.
Inset: bright-field microscopy image illustrating bacteria in positions 1 and 2, scale bar: 5 µm.
1271
1272

Time (s)	t ratio	df	*p*-value
0			
100	1,26	58	0,21
200	1,60	58	0,11
300	0,52	58	0,60
400	0,18	58	0,86
500	0,12	58	0,91
600	0,01	58	0,99
700	0,11	58	0,92
800	0,07	58	0,94
900	0,11	58	0,91

1273
1274 **Table S1.** Statistical comparisons of 2-NBDG accumulation in *E. coli* at the top of each dead-
1275 end bacteria-hosting channel or below such cells (positions 1 and 2 in Fig. S1, respectively).
1276

Time (s)	Optimal growth conditions		Nutrient depletion	
	Mean fluorescence (a.u.)	CV fluorescence (%)	Mean fluorescence (a.u.)	CV fluorescence (%)
0	0	0	0	0
100	17	48	22	61
200	37	90	40	49
300	250	46	417	58
400	435	35	546	58
500	533	33	647	55
600	613	32	692	57
700	685	32	762	55
800	699	33	769	58
900	733	32	740	60
1000	730	34	683	54
1100	761	32	663	59
1200	580	46	458	54
1300	350	47	293	61
1400	231	49	208	64
1500	156	52	150	67
1600	112	52	113	69
1700	83	55	91	67
1800	63	55	76	69
1900	53	53	64	64
2000	42	47	51	64

Time (s)	Salt depletion		Nutrient and salt depletion	
	Mean fluorescence (a.u.)	CV fluorescence (%)	Mean fluorescence (a.u.)	CV fluorescence (%)
0	0	0	0	0
100	12	86	12	86
200	13	84	16	90
300	148	70	722	43
400	262	45	1208	42
500	298	43	1507	40
600	332	39	1669	38
700	326	41	1648	39
800	317	43	1676	38
900	373	39	1714	38
1000	393	43	1583	39
1100	429	38	1670	38
1200	328	45	1146	46
1300	155	38	582	55
1400	83	50	341	64
1500	44	98	186	55
1600	34	118	124	60
1700	23	128	82	79
1800	25	143	53	70
1900	29	151	40	62
2000	18	169	27	71

Table S2. Temporal dependence of the mean and coefficient of variation of intracellular fluorescence of the glucose analogue 2-NBDG over at least 30 individual *E. coli* (collated from biological triplicate) per environmental condition as detailed in Figure 2.

Time (s)	Nutrient depletion		
	t ratio	df	*p*-value
0			
100	2,07	112,00	0,04
200	0,45	112,00	0,65
300	4,96	112,00	$<10^{-5}$
400	2,53	112,00	0,01
500	2,27	112,00	0,03
600	1,43	112,00	0,15
700	0,30	112,00	0,20
800	1,11	112,00	0,27
900	0,12	112,00	0,90

Time (s)	Salt depletion		
	t ratio	df	*p*-value
0			
100	3,46	166,00	$<10^{-3}$
200	6,43	166,00	$<10^{-6}$
300	5,89	166,00	$<10^{-6}$
400	7,94	166,00	$<10^{-6}$
500	9,47	166,00	$<10^{-6}$
600	10,74	166,00	$<10^{-6}$
700	12,05	146,00	$<10^{-6}$
800	12,35	146,00	$<10^{-6}$
900	11,11	146,00	$<10^{-6}$

Time (s)	Nutrient and salt depletion		
	t ratio	df	*p*-value
0			
100	3,39	120,00	$<10^{-3}$
200	3,99	120,00	$<10^{-4}$
300	11,91	120,00	$<10^{-6}$
400	12,33	120,00	$<10^{-6}$
500	13,14	120,00	$<10^{-6}$
600	13,55	120,00	$<10^{-6}$
700	11,89	110,00	$<10^{-6}$
800	11,96	110,00	$<10^{-6}$
900	11,60	110,00	$<10^{-6}$

1286
1287 **Table S3.** Statistical comparisons of 2-NBDG accumulation in *E. coli* under nutrient, salt or
1288 combined nutrient and salt depletion compared to optimal growth conditions.

1299
1300 **Figure S2.** Total intracellular fluorescence of the glucose analogue 2-NBDG in individual *E.*
1301 *coli* under optimal growth conditions and combined nutrient and salt depletion (black and red
1302 bars, respectively) measured by flow cytometry after 900s bulk incubation in 2-NBDG.
1303 Noteworthy, these measurements were not normalized by cell size.
1304

1305
Figure S3. Temporal dependence of the mean intracellular fluorescence of thioflavin T in
individual *E. coli* in **a)** optimal growth conditions and **b)** under combined nutrient and salt
depletion. Lines are temporal dependences of the intracellular fluorescence of individual
bacteria collated from biological triplicate. Noteworthy, we measured thioflavin T fluorescence
as the mean fluorescent values of each pixel constituting each bacterium, thus normalizing by
cell size. Insets: corresponding fluorescence images at t=45min when the intracellular ThT
accumulation has reached saturation levels in individual bacteria. **c)** Corresponding total
intracellular fluorescence of thioflavin T under optimal growth conditions or combined nutrient
and salt depletion (black and red bars, respectively) measured by flow cytometry after 45min
bulk incubation in thioflavin T. Noteworthy, these measurements were not normalized by cell
size. Statistical comparisons are reported in Table S4.
1317
1318

Time	Microfluidics-microscopy assay			Flow cytometry assay		
(min)	t ratio	df	*p*-value	t ratio	df	*p*-value
0	3,78	94	<10^{-6}			
12	7,98	94	<10^{-6}			
22	5,56	94	<10^{-6}			
37	6,01	94	<10^{-6}			
42	6,23	94	<10^{-6}	257,9	99578	<10^{-6}

1319
1320 **Table S4.** Statistical comparisons of thioflavin T accumulation in *E. coli* in optimal growth
1321 conditions and under combined nutrient and salt depletion as measured via single-cell
1322 microfluidics-microscopy and flow cytometry.

1323
Figure S4. Distribution of total intracellular fluorescence of 2-NBDG under nutrient depletion
alone or combined nutrient and salt depletion (green and red violins, respectively) using M9
minimal medium with limited (i.e. 0.1 g/L) **a)** glucose or **b)** ammonia. Measurements were
performed on 50,000 bacteria for each environmental condition using flow cytometry after
900s bulk incubation in 2-NBDG. These measurements were not normalized by cell size. ****:
p-value <0.0001.

1330
Figure S5. Temporal dependence of the mean intracellular fluorescence of the glucose
analogue 2-NBDG in individual *E. coli* under **a)** optimal growth conditions, **b)** nutrient
depletion, **c)** salt depletion or **d)** combined nutrient and salt depletion during removal of 2-
NBDG from the extracellular environment. Lines are temporal dependences of the intracellular
fluorescence of individual bacteria from biological triplicate. Symbols and error bars are the
corresponding means and standard error of the means of such single-cell measurements. Means
and coefficient of variations of these single-cell values are reported in Table S2. These
measurements were normalized by cell size. Measurements were carried out on N=76, 38, 90
and 46 individual bacteria, in a)-d), respectively.

1340

Comparisons with optimal growth	Uptake rate			Degradation rate		
	t ratio	df	p-value	t ratio	df	p-value
Nutrient depletion	3.88	62,0	0.0003	3.41	42	0.0015
Salt depletion	7.46	116,0	<0.0001	10.97	83	<0.0001
Nutrient and salt depletion	2.59	86,0	0.01	9.30	80	<0.0001

1341
1342 **Table S5.** Statistical comparisons of the predicted 2-NBDG uptake and degradation values
1343 under nutritional, salinity or combined nutritional and salinity depletion compared to optimal
1344 growth conditions.
1345

Environment	Uptake rate		Degradation rate	
	Median	CV (%)	Median	CV (%)
Optimal growth conditions	-1,73	13	-2,35	3
Nutrient depletion	-1,94	15	-2,31	9
Salt depletion	-2,05	15	-2,12	9
Nutrient and salt depletion	-1,52	19	-2,18	5

1346
1347 **Table S6.** Median and coefficient of variation (CV) of the predicted uptake and degradation
1348 rate values in optimal growth conditions, under nutritional, salinity or combined nutritional and
1349 salinity depletion.
1350

1351
Figure S6. Distribution of single-cell fluorescence after 900 s incubation in 2-NBDG for the
parental strain (PS) and Δ*pgm* deletion mutant under salt depletion or simultaneous nutrient
and salt depletion. Dashed and dotted lines indicate the median and quartiles of each
distribution, respectively. Under nutrient and salt depletion the Δ*pgm* deletion mutant displayed
significantly lower 2-NBDG accumulation compared to the parental strain (***). In contrast,
under optimal growth conditions the Δ*pgm* deletion mutant displayed 2-NBDG accumulation
comparable to the parental strain.

1364
Figure S7. Temporal dependence of the mean intracellular fluorescence of the glucose
analogue 2-NBDG in *E. coli* cultured in optimal growth conditions without (circles) or with an
additional 1h exposure to the supernatant collected from *E. coli* cultures under combined
nutritional and salinity depletion (squares) before 2-NBDG accumulation measurements.
Symbols and error bars are the means and standard error of the means over at least 20 single-
cell measurements.