Electrophysiological identification of daily rhythms in the prefrontal cortex

Brandon L. Roberts[1], Jiexin Wang[1] and Ilia N. Karatsoreos[1]

[1] Neuroscience and Behavior Program, and Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences,

University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

Running Head: Daily rhythms in PFC

Correspondence should be sent to:

Ilia N. Karatsoreos, Ph.D.

Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Tobin Hall, 135 Hicks Way

Amherst, MA 01003

E-mail: ikaratsoreos@umass.edu

Word count: Abstract (199), Introduction (756), Results (1,436), Discussion (2,237), Methods (1,027)

Figures: (6)

Figure supplements: (2)

Figure data files: (7)

Abstract

Circadian rhythms are ubiquitous in biology, from the molecular to behavioral levels. There is growing

interest in understanding the functional implications of circadian oscillations in different cells and

systems, including the brain. The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is heavily involved in myriad processes,

including working memory, cognition, stress responses, and fear associated behaviors. Many PFC

associated behaviors are time-of-day dependent, yet how time-of-day impacts the basic function of

neurons in the PFC is not known. Here we use patch-clamp electrophysiology to record from layer 2/3

pyramidal neurons in the prelimbic (pl) PFC of male and female C57BL/6J mice at 4 separate bins of

zeitgeber time (ZT): 0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22. We measured changes in membrane properties,

inhibitory and excitatory inputs, ion channel function, and action potential kinetics. We demonstrate that

the activity of plPFC neurons, their inhibitory inputs, and action potential dynamics are regulated by

time-of-day. Further, we show that in males postsynaptic K^+ channels play a central role in mediating

these rhythms, suggesting the potential for an intrinsic gating mechanism mediating information

throughput. These key discoveries in PFC physiology demonstrate the importance of understanding

how daily rhythms contribute to the mechanisms underlying the basic functions of PFC circuitry.

Introduction

Rhythms in life are found at many different time scales in nearly all phyla. From annual rhythms in hibernation and reproduction, to daily rhythms in sleep-wake cycles, to ultradian rhythms such as variations in heart rate, to rhythms in coordinated brain activity (Helm et al. 2013; Körtner and Geiser 2000; Yaniv and Lakatta 2015; M. H. Hastings, Reddy, and Maywood 2003). They are also present at nearly all levels of organization, from the behavior of groups of organisms to gene and protein expression at the cellular level (Jagannath et al. 2017; Landgraf et al. 2016; J. W. Hastings 2007). Given their ubiquity in nature and involvement in countless biological processes, understanding the functional significance of these rhythms is critical. However, while major strides have been made in understanding how these rhythms impact cellular function in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), as well as in some peripheral organs such as the liver, there remains a paucity of information about the functional impact of circadian clocks in other brain regions, beyond identifying that circadian rhythms are present (Abe et al. 2002; Albrecht and Stork 2017; Sato et al. 2020; Weaver 1998). This is a major gap in our knowledge, considering that circadian rhythms in behaviors are well documented, and shown to be critical in both health and disease.

The PFC serves as a critical component in cognition, emotional systems involved in fear learning and extinction, stress responses, and learning and memory, all of which are impacted by daily rhythms (Woodruff et al. 2018; McCarthy and Welsh 2012; Popoli et al. 2012; Sotres-Bayon, Cain, and LeDoux 2006; Miller and Cohen 2001). In addition, clock gene expression has been documented in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Chun et al. 2015). The prelimbic area (pl) of the PFC is divided into six distinct layers, each with distinct inputs and projections. Specifically, layer 2/3 plays a major role in working memory and behavioral plasticity and is involved in stress and depressive behaviors (Yuen et al. 2009; Zaitsev et al. 2012; Radnikow and Feldmeyer 2018; Moorman et al. 2015). The PFC is comprised of a wide array of cell types, including excitatory pyramidal neurons, which impact behavior by relaying information to other brain regions that are under clear circadian control, such as the amygdala and hippocampus, and inhibitory interneurons, such as the parvalbumin (PV+) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) containing neurons (Kawaguchi and Kubota 1997; Radnikow and Feldmeyer 2018; Vertes 2006; Saffari

et al. 2016). On whole, the function, synaptic inputs and intrinsic physiological characterizations of

these neurons are heterogeneous throughout the PFC.

plPFC pyramidal neurons receive excitatory glutamatergic and inhibitory GABAergic presynaptic

input, with findings suggesting these are differentially regulated in male and female mice (Popoli et al.

2012; Saffari et al. 2016; Andrade et al. 2012; de Velasco et al. 2015; Pena-Bravo et al. 2019).

Excitatory inputs onto these neurons are plastic and environmental factors such as stress, learning and

memory, can lead to long-lasting potentiation of glutamatergic inputs onto these neurons through

increased NMDAR and AMPAR mediated currents (Yuen et al. 2009; Laroche, Jay, and Thierry 1990).

Over activation of plPFC neurons is detrimental to normal behavioral function, and inhibitory inputs,

which arise from the numerous inhibitory interneurons throughout the PFC, serve to mitigate the

excitability of PFC neurons (Ferguson and Gao 2018). The majority of PFC pyramidal neurons are

intrinsically quiescent at rest and regulate information throughput via a wide array of ion channels,

including cyclic-nucleotide-gate non-selective cation (HCN) channels, and calcium (Ca^{2+}) and

potassium (K^+) channels known to mediate postsynaptic throughput of excitatory and inhibitory currents

(Kalmbach and Brager 2020; Zaitsev et al. 2012; Deng et al. 2019; Workman et al. 2015). In the SCN,

changes in sodium (Na^+), K^+, and Ca^{2+} ion channel function mediate daily rhythms in the spontaneous

activity, and action potential dynamics of neurons (Bano-Otalora et al. 2021). How these channels

might impact daily rhythms in PFC function and the gating of information throughput is unknown.

Given the importance of understanding how daily rhythms impact PFC function, and our

previously documented effects of circadian desynchronization on PFC structure (Karatsoreos et al.

2011), here we rigorously tested how time-of-day alters a wide range of neurophysiological properties

in plPFC pyramidal neurons. The data presented here thoroughly demonstrate that time-of-day clearly

impacts the basal activity of these neurons. Second, we show that inhibitory and excitatory synaptic

inputs fluctuate throughout the day in a sex dependent manner. Lastly, we identify that K^+ channels

may serve, in part, as a mechanism to regulate daily changes in information throughput in plPFC

pyramidal neurons.

Results

Resting membrane potential of prelimbic layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons is rhythmic in male mice.

The regional and cell specific heterogeneity in electrophysiological properties of PFC pyramidal neurons has been described in multiple species (Zaitsev et al. 2012; van Aerde and Feldmeyer 2015; Piette et al. 2021). Layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of the plPFC were identified visually by anatomical location (**Figure 1A;** *left*). Pyramidal neurons were identified by shape and lucifer yellow (LY; 0.2%) was added to the patch pipette for confirmation of an apical dendrite (**Figure 1A;** *right*).

To test our hypothesis that time-of-day impacts the basal electrophysiological properties of pyramidal neurons, we performed whole-cell patch clamp techniques and measured RMP, membrane capacitance (Cm), and membrane resistance (Rm) at ZT bins: 0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 in male and female mice (**Figure 1B-E**). For RMP there was a main effect of time (no effect of sex) and interestingly, within group post-hoc analysis revealed that plPFC pyramidal neurons in male mice are more depolarized at ZT6-10 (light period), when compared to 12-16 and 18-22 (dark period). Post-hoc analysis did not reveal a time-of-day effect on RMP in female mice (**Figure 1C**). We did not observe an interaction between sex and time in any of our measures; however, there was a main effect of sex on Rm (**Figure 1E**). Together, these data demonstrate that the RMP of plPFC pyramidal neurons in male mice changes throughout the light/dark (LD) cycle.

Figure 1. *Time-of-day changes in membrane potential of layer 2/3 mPFC pyramidal neurons.*

(A) Image of mPFC slice (*left;* scale 1mM) and layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron backfilled with lucifer yellow (LY, *right;* scale 10 μM). **(B)** Representative traces of current clamp recordings from male mice at each ZT bin. **(C)** Mean and individual data points for membrane potential (RMP) at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 in male (*bluish green circles*) and female (*vermillian diamonds*) mice **(D)** Mean membrane capacitance (Cm) and **(E)** resistance (Rm) binned by ZT. Error bars represent ± 95% CI. N-values for number of cells inset on bars. Two-way ANOVA for main effects and interaction with a within group Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin, * $p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.001$. Exact p-values, mouse N-values, and analysis in **Figure 1 – source data 1**.

109
sEPSC activity on plPFC pyramidal neurons is time-of-day dependent

Glutamatergic pyramidal neurons are the predominant cell-type in the plPFC, project to extra-

PFC cortical, subcortical and limbic regions, and interconnect within the PFC (Le Merre, Ährlund-

Richter, and Carlén 2021). We hypothesized that basal excitatory glutamatergic release contributes to

daily changes in RMP and predicted that the number and/or strength of excitatory inputs are highest

during the light period, when plPFC pyramidal neurons are depolarized (**Figure 1B, C**). To test whether

time-of-day alters excitatory inputs we used the whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration (V_H = -70mV) to

record sEPSCs in plPFC pyramidal neurons from male and female mice at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-

22 (**Figure 2A-E**). There was a main effect of ZT time on sEPSC frequency, but not amplitude, and

post-hoc analysis demonstrated that in male mice the frequency of excitatory inputs was increased

during the dark period, when RMP is hyperpolarized, an effect counter to our hypothesis (**Figure 1B**

and 2A-C). Further, we observed clear sex differences on both sEPSC frequency and amplitude

(**Figure 2D, E**). These data suggest that time-of-day impacts the number of excitatory inputs

(frequency), but not their strength (amplitude) in male and female mice.

Figure 2. *Excitatory synaptic inputs onto plPFC pyramidal neurons are time-of-day dependent and differ by sex.* **(A)** Representative traces of sEPSC voltage clamp recordings from male mice at each ZT bin. **(B, D)** Mean and individual data points for sEPSC frequency and **(C, E)** amplitude at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 or combined (*respectively*) in male (*bluish green circles*) and female (*vermillian diamonds*). **(F)** Representative traces of sIPSC voltage clamp recordings from male mice at each ZT bin. **(G, I)** Mean and individual data points for sIPSC frequency and **(H, J)** amplitude at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 or combined (*respectively*) in male and female. Error bars represent ± 95%CI. N-values for number of cells inset on bars. Two-way ANOVA for main effects (including ***D, E, I and J***) and interaction, with a within group Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin, *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. Exact p-values and analysis in **Figure 2 – source data 1**.

Time-of-day does not alter sIPSCs in male or female mice

Changes in RMP can be a consequence of intrinsic and/or synaptic properties. Our results on sEPSC frequency and amplitude (**Figure 2A-E**) in the plPFC suggest that excitatory synaptic inputs are not the primary driver of the daily changes in RMP illustrated in **Figure 1** and required further investigation to identify the mechanism underlying time-of-day changes in resting state. To investigate the contrast between daily changes in RMP and excitatory inputs, we predicted that if synaptic signaling is a primary mediator of time-of-day changes in RMP then inhibitory inputs should be robust and highest during the dark period ZT bins. GABAergic interneurons make up a small portion of PFC neurons, but are highly involved in the regulation of pyramidal neurons and relay information between different regions within the PFC (Saffari et al. 2016). To determine if inhibitory inputs contribute to the diurnal tone of pyramidal neurons, we recorded (s) inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs; V_{Hold} = -70mV) using a cesium chloride internal solution at ZT 0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 (**Figure 2F-H**). We did not observe a significant difference in sIPSC frequency or amplitude in male or female mice (**Figure 2F-J**), but noted a trend in increased amplitude during the dark period in male mice (**Figure 2F, H**). Overall, these data do not support the notion that spontaneous synaptic inputs are the primary regulator of daily rhythms in neuronal resting state in plPFC pyramidal neurons, suggesting that a postsynaptic mechanism may regulate daily rhythms in these neurons.

mIPSCs onto plPFC pyramidal neurons are time-of-day dependent

Our central goal was to identify the mechanism(s) by which daily rhythms impact information throughput of plPFC pyramidal neurons. Given that we did not observe daily changes of RMP in female mice, and there was a main effect of sex on membrane resistance (**Figure 1C, E**), sEPSC frequency, and amplitude (**Figure 2D, E**) – all without an interaction between sex and ZT bin – we proceeded with our mechanistic investigation by separating male and females into independent groups.

Although we did not observe an effect of ZT bin on sIPSC frequency or amplitude in male mice, when ZT bins were combined into light period and dark period, we uncovered a significant impact of the LD cycle on sIPSC amplitude (**Figure 3B**). To further investigate whether time-of-day impacts the

presynaptic or postsynaptic components of inhibitory inputs, we measured mIPSCs by bath applying

the voltage-gated sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin, which isolates the synapse from upstream

activity by inhibiting action potential firing. We observed no effect on mIPSC frequency, which is

typically associated with presynaptic neurotransmitter release, in male mice (**Figure 3A, C**). Although

we did not observe changes in decay tau or holding current, the time-of-day effect on IPSC amplitude

persisted in this configuration, and this increase in amplitude of inhibitory inputs is consistent with the

hyperpolarized RMP we observed during the dark period in male mice (**Figure 1C** and **3A, D-F**).

Notably, in female mice there was no LD effect on sIPSC amplitude (**Figure 3H**), but we did observe an

increase in mIPSC frequency and amplitude during the latter part of the light period (ZT 6-10; **Figure**

3G, I-J) although there was no effect on other potential postsynaptic measures, such as decay tau and

holding current (**Figure 3K, L**).

Figure 3. *Strength of inhibitory synaptic inputs onto plPFC pyramidal neurons are time-of-day dependent in male and female mice.* **(A)** Representative traces of mIPSC voltage clamp recordings from male and **(G)** female mice at each ZT bin. **(B, H)** Mean sIPSC amplitude in LD cycle (unpaired student t-test; yellow fill = light period, charcoal fill = dark period) and **(C, I)** mIPSC frequency, **(D, J)** amplitude, **(E, K)** decay tau, and **(F, L)** holding current (I_{Hold}) at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 in male (*circles*) and female (*diamonds*) mice. Error bars represent ± 95%CI. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin, *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$. N-values for number of cells inset on bars, exact p-values, mouse N-values, and analysis in **Figure 3 – source data 1**.

Time-of-day impact on current voltage relationship in plPFC pyramidal neurons of female mice

Although mIPSCs were enhanced during the latter portion of the light period (ZT6-10) in female mice, we had not observed any convincing evidence of cell endogenous daily rhythms in the physiology of plPFC pyramidal neurons in these mice. To investigate any potential daily changes in postsynaptic properties we used a potassium (K^+) gluconate internal solution and measured the current-voltage (I-V) relationship in these neurons by performing a voltage-step inactivation protocol in which neurons held at -70mV were depolarized to 30mV and hyperpolarized in 10mV steps to a final MP of -120mV (**Figure 4A, B**). We analyzed the delayed steady-state current density (current density = $(I_{Total})/(Cm)$) during the hyperpolarized (from -120 mV to -70mV (*K1*); **Figure 4A-C**) and depolarized state (0 mV to 30mV (*K2*); **Figure 4A, B, E**), as well as daily changes in normalized conductance (g) calculated as the slope of the K1 and K2 steady-state current normalized to cell capacitance: $g_{Normalized} = ((I_{VH2} - I_{VH1}) / (V_H2 - V_H1))/(Cm)$, at different ZT bins (**Figure 4D, F**). We observed a main time-of-day effect on current density and normalized conductance for the K1 hyperpolarized voltage steps, although there was no main effect at the K2 depolarized voltage steps (**Figure 4B-F**). Together, these data demonstrate that female mice do display daily rhythms in postsynaptic membrane properties, but they are not robust enough to alter resting state (**Figure 1C-E**).

A

ZT 0-4   ZT 6-10

ZT 12-16   ZT 18-22

1 nA

200 ms

50 mV

200 ms

200 ms

B

K1   K2

pA/pF

○ 0-4
▲ 18-22

-120   -90   -60   -30   30

Voltage (mV)

C   **K1**

Current Density (pA/pF)

-2

-4

○ 0-4
△ 6-10
▲ 12-16
▼ 18-22

ZT effect $p < 0.01$

-120   -100   -80

Voltage (mV)

D

Normalized Conduct. (pS/pF)

0.20

0.15

0.10

0.05

0.00

**

9   11   10   8

0-4   6-10   12-16   18-22

E   **K2**

Current Density (pA/pF)

ZT effect $p = 0.051$

0   10   20   30

Voltage (mV)

F

Normalized Conduct. (pS/pF)

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

9   11   10   8

0-4   6-10   12-16   18-22

Figure 4. *Time-of-day impact on membrane conductance and currents in female mice.* **(A)** Current-voltage trace (*top; dashed line represents steady state current averaged for analysis*) after voltage-step protocol (*bottom*). **(B)** Averaged I-V voltage-step relationship from -120mV to 30mV for ZT0-4 and 18-22 (*normalized to cell capacitance*) with a K^+ internal solution. **(C, E)** Current density and **(D, F)** conductance of K1 and K2 currents (*respectively*) at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 in female mice. K1 and K2 represent hyperpolarized and depolarized currents (*respectively*). Error bars represent ± 95%CI. Two-way **(C, E)** or One-way **(D, F)** ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin. **$p < 0.01$. N-values for number of cells inset on bars, exact p-values and analysis in **Figure 4 – source data 1**.

Time-of-day impact on current voltage relationship in plPFC pyramidal neurons of male mice

Given that synaptic inputs are rhythmic in male and female mice, but only male mice display

daily rhythms in RMP, we hypothesized that changes in postsynaptic ionic currents, as measured by

the I-V relationship, may play a role in setting the functional tone of plPFC pyramidal neurons in male

mice. As in **Figure 4**, we analyzed the I-V relationship by running a voltage-step protocol (**Figure 5A,**

B). In male mice the I-V relationship demonstrated a clear inward rectifying current at lower holding

voltages and a delayed rectifying current at depolarizing voltages, resulting in a larger current density

early in the dark period (ZT12-16) in both the hyperpolarized (**Figure 5A-C**) and depolarized state

(**Figure 5A, B, D**). This effect translated into higher normalized cell conductance late in the light period

and early in the dark period (**Figure 5I, J**).

Since ionic conductance was highest at ZT12-16, the same ZT bin that RMP was most

hyperpolarized, we predicted that this increase involved ion channel activity that results in a net

negative current. Further, in the hyperpolarized state, the current density at each ZT began to converge

near our calculated reversal potential for K^+. To determine if daily changes in current density and

normalized cell conductance was dependent on K^+ channel activity, we utilized a K^+ free Cs^+-based

internal recording solution to block outward K^+ currents. This preparation completely abolished the time-

of-day effect on current density at lower holding voltages, but not in the depolarized state (**Figure 5E-**

H). Further, the time-of-day effect on normalized cell conductance was blocked when calculated at the

presented voltages with a main effect of Cs^+ on overall conductance in the depolarized state (**Figure**

5I,J). Of particular note, blockade of outward K^+ currents via internal Cs^+ appeared to have little effect

at ZT0-4, suggesting minimal K^+ channel activity at this ZT bin (**Figure 5C,G**). Together, these data

demonstrate that ion channel activity is time-of-day dependent in plPFC pyramidal neurons and K^+

channels contribute to daily rhythms in their cellular conductance.

Figure 5. *Membrane conductances are mediated by K+ channels in males*

(A) Voltage-step protocol (*top left*) and representative voltage-step traces of I-V relationship at ZT 0-4 (*left*) and 12-16 (*right*) in male mice. **(B)** Averaged I-V relationship for ZT0-4 and 12-16 (*normalized to cell capacitance*) with a K^+ internal solution. **(C)** Current density of K1 and **(D)** K2 I-V relationships at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22. **(E)** Representative voltage-step traces of **(F)** I-V relationship at ZT 0-4 (*left*) and 12-16 (*right*) with a Cs+ internal solution. **(G)** Current density of Cs1 and **(H)** Cs2 I-V relationships at each ZT bin. **(I)** Comparison each ZT bin for K1 and Cs1, and **(J)** K2 and Cs2 normalized cell conductance. Two-way ANOVA for main effects and interaction, with a within group Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin, voltage, and internal solution. Error bars represent ± 95%CI and N-values for recorded cells are inset in bars. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. Exact p-values, mouse N-values, and analysis in **Figure 5 – source data 1**.

Time-of-day alters excitability of plPFC pyramidal neurons

To understand the functional implications of daily rhythms in RMP and postsynaptic ion channel function for information throughput, we tested how time-of-day impacts action potential dynamics. We utilized a 10 pA current injection protocol to evoke action potentials at ZT0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 and observed a main effect of time for membrane potential threshold of action potential firing, with post-hoc analysis revealing an increased threshold for firing late in the dark period (ZT18-22) when compared to ZT6-10 in male mice (**Figure 6A-C**). Consistent with the null effect of time on RMP in female mice, there was no effect of time on action potential threshold (**Figure 6C**). Further, although there was no effect on amount of current needed to elicit an action potential (rheobase), once rheobase was reached, subsequent current injections evoked action potential firing at a lower frequency during the dark period (**Figure 6D, E**). Although we did not observe a time-of-day effect on action potential amplitude or half-width in male mice (**Figure 6F-G**), decay tau was reduced at ZT18-22, a component of action potential firing that is modulated to a large extent by K^+ channels (**Figure 6E**). These data suggest that plPFC pyramidal neurons are not only more hyperpolarized during the light period, but are

226   functionally more difficult activate, requiring larger depolarizations to elicit action potentials and relay

227   information downstream.

Figure 6. *Time-of-day differences in action potential dynamics.* **(A)** Representative trace of current step recording with maximal AP firing highlighted at ZT6-10 (*top; yellow*) and 18-22 (*bottom; black*) and **(B)** individual evoked APs in male mice. **(C)** Mean AP threshold **(D)** Rheobase, **(E)** evoked firing rate (from rheobase; male mice), **(F)** amplitude, **(G)** half width, and **(H)** decay tau at each ZT bin in male and female mice. Error bars represent ± 95%CI. Two-way ANOVA for main effects and interaction, with a within group Tukey post-hoc analysis for ZT bin and/or current injection. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$. Number of cells included in for each bar. Exact p-values, mouse N-values, and analysis in **Figure 6 – source data 1**.

Discussion

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) is the central circadian clock in mammals and regulates

daily changes in gene expression and activity throughout the brain (M. H. Hastings, Reddy, and

Maywood 2003). However, it has become clear that numerous brain regions also display endogenous

daily rhythms. A major hypothesis in the field is that these extra-SCN clocks and the SCN operate

synergistically to drive daily rhythms in nearly all components of physiology and behavior, which is why

their disruption has numerous physiological and psychological consequences, including exacerbated

metabolic and mood disorders (Otsuka et al. 2020; McCarthy and Welsh 2012; Morris et al. 2015;

Bechtold, Gibbs, and Loudon 2010; Karatsoreos 2012). While there have been several well executed

studies that demonstrate the importance of circadian rhythms on neurophysiological function in the

hippocampus and brainstem, none have included the PFC, and all have largely focused on extracellular

field recordings (Chaudhury, Wang, and Colwell 2005; Loh et al. 2015; Paul et al. 2020; Chrobok et al.

2021; McMartin et al. 2021). Thus, previous studies have not shed light on the fundamental

electrophysiological processes at the cellular level that are affected by time-of-day.

In this study we present four main findings. First, layer 2/3 plPFC pyramidal neurons in male

mice are hyperpolarized during the early portion of the dark period when compared to the latter portion

of the light period. Second, time-of-day impacts excitatory and inhibitory inputs onto plPFC pyramidal

neurons, with clear sex differences in excitatory inputs. Third, we demonstrate that male mice display

distinct changes in ion channel activity and action potential kinetics, with male mice having an

increased action potential firing threshold and decreased decay tau during portions of the dark period.

Fourth, we identify that changes in K^+ channel activity serves as a potential mechanism underlying

time-of-day changes in the RMP and action potential firing rates of plPFC pyramidal neurons. By

identifying the intrinsic properties and synaptic inputs of plPFC pyramidal neurons, these findings allow

us to better understand the relationship between circadian rhythms, PFC circuitry and its associated

behaviors.

Changes in PFC function underly numerous psychiatric disorders including bipolar, post-

traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), attention deficit disorder, and deficits in learning and memory (Popoli

et al. 2012; Sotres-Bayon, Cain, and LeDoux 2006; Miller and Cohen 2001; Xu et al. 2019). There is

growing evidence of links between circadian rhythms and PFC function (Otsuka et al. 2020; Woodruff et

al. 2018; Hou et al. 2022; Harkness et al. 2021). Previous work from our group has demonstrated that

extracellular lactate (a functional output of neural metabolism) shows circadian rhythms in the medial

(m) PFC, and that environmental circadian disruption alters the morphology of medial mPFC neurons

and affects PFC mediated behaviors (Wallace et al. 2020; Karatsoreos et al. 2011). However, the

studies presented here are the first to explore whether cell autonomous activity and synaptic inputs

onto PFC neurons are rhythmic.

Our finding that the resting state of plPFC neurons is more hyperpolarized during the dark period,

when the animals are awake and active, suggests that these neurons are less active and require a

higher degree of information input before eliciting a response and sending downstream signals to other

brain regions. On the surface, it seems counter-intuitive that plPFC pyramidal neurons would be more

inactive during the dark period, when these animals are active and engaging with their environment,

than the light (inactive) period. A functional hypothesis for this finding is that stronger gating during the

wake period serves as a necessary mechanism for selective informational throughput in response to

environmental stimuli. Information filtering is paramount to having a proper behavioral output, and too

low of a threshold may result in overactivation as the animal engages with its environment. For

example, pharmacological studies have demonstrated that activation of the plPFC with neurotensin

agonists or the sodium channel activator veratrine lead to anxiogenic behaviors, likely through

increased glutamate release (Li, Chang, and Xi 2021; Petrie et al. 2004; Saitoh et al. 2014). Notably,

we discovered a large increase in excitatory inputs onto these neurons during the active period. This is

in line with other studies demonstrating that in layer 2/3 cortical neurons, excitatory inputs are

increased during spontaneous wakefulness and sleep deprivation occurring during the light (inactive)

period (Liu et al. 2010). While it seems contradictory that these neurons simultaneously receive more

excitatory inputs and become more hyperpolarized, it is aligned with the proposal that these neurons

require stronger gating mechanisms during the active period, as more information is being sent to these

neurons and it is critical that these incoming stimuli are somewhat filtered so only the strongest signals

are relayed further downstream.

Neurophysiological sex differences in the PFC, and their respective behavioral outputs, are well

documented and partly attributed to differences in synaptic signaling (Andrade et al. 2012; de Velasco

et al. 2015). While exploring the effects of time-of-day on these fundamental properties of PFC cells, we

fully embraced inclusion of both males and females, given the significant work demonstrating that

inclusion of both sexes (particularly inclusion of females) can reveal important new concepts and

understanding about brain function (Shansky and Murphy 2021). While not designed explicitly as a sex-

differences study, our results demonstrate that female mice had less excitatory inputs than males, yet

these inputs resulted in much larger postsynaptic currents, likely due to sex differences in glutamate

receptor expression and basal release (Perry et al. 2021). There are also sex differences in response to

environmental and pharmacological stressors, which are due in part to circulating sex hormones (Yuen,

Wei, and Yan 2016). For example, when compared to male rats, females in proestrus display a lower

threshold for impaired working memory after PFC injections of benzodiazepine inverse agonists that

activate the stress system, but this effect does not persist during estrus, when circulating estrogen

levels are lower (Shansky et al. 2004). Further, gonadal hormones underly sex differences in mPFC

dendritic growth, microglia activity, and astrocyte morphology in response to stress (Bollinger et al.

2019). It should be noted that there is a report that basal PFC glutamate release is higher in females,

which seems opposite to our findings, but is likely due to experimental differences, as these studies

differ in species, PFC layers, electrophysiological solutions, and time-of-day (Pena-Bravo et al. 2019).

We speculate that if the underlying mechanisms that mediate information throughput and plasticity are

fundamentally different in males and females, and the basal tone of excitatory inputs is relatively low in

females, then time-of-day changes in information filtering may not be as crucial to optimal plPFC

function in female mice.

GABAergic interneurons are highly involved in PFC function and relay information between

multiple regions of the PFC (Saffari et al. 2016; Hu, Gan, and Jonas 2014; Anderson et al. 2021). In the

hippocampus, GABAergic inputs onto CA1 pyramidal neurons regulate action potential firing frequency

in response to current injections, with a higher inhibitory tone during the light cycle (Fusilier et al. 2021;

Albers et al. 2017). Significantly, we found that in the plPFC there was no effect of sex or time-of-day

on spontaneous inhibitory inputs. This suggests that presynaptic inhibitory and excitatory inputs are not

the primary regulator of resting state or information throughput in layer 2/3 plPFC pyramidal neurons.

Instead, this supports the notion that basal inhibitory tone remains relatively constant throughout the

24h day and, in male mice, a postsynaptic cell endogenous mechanism is responsible for maintaining

proper information filtering when these neurons are challenged by the large increase of excitatory

signals that come in during the active period.

Although the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous inhibitory inputs did not change when

probed by individual ZT bins, further investigation revealed that when grouped by the light/dark cycle,

the strength (amplitude) of inhibitory inputs was stronger during the dark (active) period, specifically in

male mice. GABA receptors interact with postsynaptic ion channels and there are sex differences in the

expression of GABA receptor subunits, as well as how they interact with ion channels. For example, the

steroid hormone progesterone increases the expression of the $GABA_A$ receptor subunit α1 in the PFC

of rodents, and in humans, alcoholism is suggested to result in larger decreases of cortical GABA(A)

receptor subunits in females than males (Andrade et al. 2012; Janeczek et al. 2020). After investigating

GABAergic signaling localized at the synapse and isolated from upstream activity, we confirmed that

inhibitory postsynaptic currents are stronger early in the dark period in male mice. Interestingly, female

mice displayed a large increase in inhibitory inputs and strength late in the light period, a finding that

requires future studies to fully understand its functional implications.

In total, our findings point toward a postsynaptic mechanism underlying daily changes in the

physiology of layer 2/3 plPFC pyramidal neurons and previous work has shown that sleep deprivation

can alter the intrinsic excitability of layer 5 PFC pyramidal neurons (Yan et al. 2011). This prompted us

to explore how time-of-day impacts intrinsic postsynaptic properties such as ionic currents and overall

conductance. At hyperpolarized voltage steps below the reversal potential of K^+, time-of-day did have a

modest impact the conductance and current density of plPFC pyramidal neurons in female mice

between the transition from the dark to light period. However, at depolarizing voltages greater than the

mean RMP and action potential threshold there was no time-of-day effect. This further supports the

notion that while physiological daily rhythms do exist in plPFC pyramidal neurons of female mice, they

have little impact on overall resting state and information throughput in response to electrical activity

and synaptic inputs. In contrast, there was a large effect on the current density and conductance of

pyramidal neurons in male mice. Specifically, when these neurons were hyperpolarized below the

equilibrium potential for K^+, we discovered that current density increased throughout the light period,

peaking between the late period and early dark period. This effect translated into an overall increase in

conductance, and given that conductance was highest around the beginning of the active period, when

these neurons are most hyperpolarized, we presumed that this was due to an increased number of

open K^+ channels and the outflow of K^+ cations (outward current). Consistent with this prediction, when

we replaced K^+ with Cs^+ in our internal recording solution (to block K^+ channel mediated outward

currents), the time-of-day effect on current density and conductance was completely abolished at

voltages near or below the K^+ equilibrium potential. Although internal Cs^+ was not sufficient to block the

time-of-day effect on current density at depolarized voltage greater than the K^+ equilibrium potential, it

greatly reduced overall current density and conductance. Moreover, previous studies demonstrate that

internal Cs^+ is not sufficient to block the inward K^+ currents expected at voltages above the K^+

equilibrium potential (Adelman and Senft 1966).

To understand the functional relevance of these postsynaptic changes in ion channel function

and resting state, it was necessary to determine how time-of-day impacts action potential dynamics as

action potential firing is a functional measure for information throughput. Notably, this measure changes

with time-of-day in the hippocampus, and in response to sleep deprivation in the PFC (Fusilier et al.

2021; Yan et al. 2011). Action potentials are dependent on voltage-gated ion channels, and changes in

K^+ channel activity can alter action potential firing threshold and kinetics. Consistent with our

interpretation that, in male mice, there is a stronger gating mechanism to filter incoming signals during

the active period, we discovered that the threshold for action potential firing was increased during the

active period. These data suggest that layer 2/3 plPFC pyramidal neurons are not only more

hyperpolarized during the light period, but are functionally more difficult to activate, requiring much

larger depolarizations to elicit action potentials and relay information downstream. Though somewhat

speculative, this could affect a wide range of behaviors, including emotionality, a notion supported by

work demonstrating that pharmacological activation of plPFC neurons induces anxiogenic activity in

mice, and acute stress enhances glutamatergic transmission in the PFC (Yuen et al. 2009; Li, Chang,

and Xi 2021; Saitoh et al. 2014). Further, alcohol is a common drug of abuse in those suffering from

PFC associated affective pathologies, and in vivo electrophysiology studies show that alcohol preferring

rats have higher baseline neural firing in the PFC (Linsenbardt and Lapish 2015).

Combined, we believe this work demonstrates the importance of understanding how daily

rhythms impact neural function, which is necessary to fully grasp the relationships between brain and

behavior. It is critical to recognize that the mPFC is heterogeneous at the anatomical and physiological

levels, with consequences for behavior (Moorman et al. 2015). Our work suggests that even when

looking at the fundamental properties of cellular function in the mPFC, perhaps we need to add

heterogeneity at the temporal level as well. To fully appreciate the relationship between brain, behavior,

and daily rhythms, future studies are required to determine how these rhythms impact communication

with extra- (such as the hippocampus and amygdala) and intra- (such as the infralimbic) PFC regions.

Additionally, future studies are necessary to determine exactly which ion channels are mediating daily

changes in PFC function and how environmental factors that alter whole-animal physiology and

behavior, such as circadian disruption, may impact these circuits. Given the impact of time-of-day on

neuronal function in the PFC, the work presented here also has significant implications for incorporating

time-of-day into the application of pharmacological and behavioral interventions for mental health

disorders, and opens the door to similar questions in brain regions outside the PFC.

Methods

Animals

All animal procedures and experiments were approved by the University of Massachusetts

Amherst Institutional Care and Use Committee in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service Policy

on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the National Institutes of Health *Guide for the*

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Male and female wild-type mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA,

USA) on a C57BL/6J background were used for these studies. All mice were group-housed in light

boxes at 25°C, under a 12/12-hr light/dark (LD) cycle, with food and water available *ad libitum*. Light

box LD cycles were offset so that experiments from each ZT bin occurred at the same time each day.

Mice ages 10-16 weeks were used for these studies. For electrophysiology studies mice were

anesthetized in a chamber with isoflurane before euthanasia by decapitation.

Brain slice electrophysiology

Two mice were simultaneously euthanized 1-hr prior to their ZT bin (i.e., mice were euthanized at

ZT23 for recording bin ZT0-4). After euthanasia, brains were immediately removed and the forebrain

was blocked while bathing in a 0-4°C oxygenated N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG) - 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-

1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) cutting solution composed of (mM): 92 NMDG, 2.5 KCl, 1.25

NaH_2PO_4, 30 $NaHCO_3$, 3 sodium pyruvate, 2 thiourea, 20 HEPES, 10 $MgSO_4$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 25 glucose,

20 sucrose. Cutting solution was brought to pH 7.4 with ~17mL of 5M HCl (Ting et al. 2018). The

forebrains were mounted adjacent to each other and sectioned simultaneously on a vibratome

(VT1200S, Leica Biosciences, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) with a sapphire knife (Delaware Diamond

Knives, Wilmington, DE, USA) yielding roughly three slices containing the PFC from each (250-μm) per

mouse. Slices were transferred and allowed to recover for 30-45 min in room temperature recording

artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) solution composed of (mM): 124 NaCl, 3.7 KCl, 2.6 NaH_2PO_4, 26

$NaHCO_3$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgSO_4$, 10 glucose. aCSF had a final pH of 7.3-7.4, osmolarity of 307-310

mOsmos, and was continuously bubbled using 95% 0_2/5% $C0_2$. For recordings, brain slices were

transferred to a perfusion chamber containing aCSF maintained at 34-37°C with a flow rate of 1mL/min.

Neurons were visualized using an upright microscope (Zeiss Axoskop 2, Oberkochen, Germany).

Recording electrodes were back-filled with experiment-specific internal solutions as follows (mM):

Current-clamp and spontaneous (s) excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs); 125 K-gluconate, 10

KCl, 10 NaCl, 5 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 1 $MgCl_2$, 3 NaATP and 0.25 NaGTP (liquid-junction potential (LJP)

= ~14.5 mV). Voltage-clamp spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs); 140 CsCl, 5 $MgCl_2$,

1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 NaATP, and 0.25 NaGTP (LJP = ~4.2 mV). All internal solutions were brought to

pH 7.3 using KOH (current-clamp and EPSC) or CsOH (IPSC) at 301-304 mOsm. EPSCs were

recorded in the presence of the GABA receptor antagonist bicuculline (30 µM). sIPSCs were recorded

in the presence the competitive α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)/kainate

receptor antagonist 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX; 10 µM), the selective N-Methyl-d-

aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist (2R)-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV; 50 µM), and the

glycine antagonist strychnine (2 µM). Miniature (m) IPSCs had the addition of the voltage-gated sodium

channel (VGSC) blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX; 1 µM). Patch electrodes with a resistance of 3-5MΩ were

guided to neurons with an MPC-200-ROE controller and MP285 mechanical manipulator (Sutter

Instruments, Novato, CA, USA). Patch-clamp recordings were collected through a UPC-10 USB dual

digital amplifier and Patchmaster NEXT recording software (HEKA Elektronik GmbH, Reutlingen,

Germany). All voltage-clamp recordings were obtained at V_H= -70mV. Current clamp voltage-step

protocols were performed from the cell endogenous resting membrane potential, and used 500ms 10pA

steps from -100pA to +190pA. Voltage clamp current-step protocols were performed from V_H= -70mV,

and used 10mV steps from -120mV to +30mV. All compounds were obtained from Tocris Cookson,

Cayman Chemical, and Sigma Aldrich.

Individual recording locations were plotted (with neurons outside of the target area excluded

from analysis) as well as to qualitatively confirm an equal distribution of recording sites between

Zeitgeber (ZT) bins 0-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-22 (**Figure 1 – figure supplement 1A-D**). A small

percentage (~20%) of all recorded neurons had unique characteristics in resting membrane properties,

spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs), and action potential dynamics that were

independent of time-of-day (hereafter Type II neurons; **Figure 1 - figure supplement 2A-I**). Most

notably, compared to Type I (most abundant) neurons, Type II neurons (less abundant) displayed a

much higher action potential velocity and hyperpolarizing decay current (**Figure 1 - figure supplement**

2A, B). They also had a more depolarized resting membrane potential (RMP) and decreased action

potential firing threshold (**Figure 1 - figure supplement 2E, F**). Due to these clear qualitative and

quantitative differences independent of ZT bin, and that they represented a small proportion of recorded

neurons, we excluded the far less abundant Type II neurons from analysis in our following experiments.

446
447

Statistical Analysis

For sEPSCs, only neurons with holding currents not exceeding 100pA at V_H= -70mV for the 10-

min control period (input resistance > 70 MΩ) were studied further. Neurons were not considered for

further analysis if series resistance exceeded 50MΩ or drifted >10% during baseline. Rheobase was

calculated as the first current step to elicit an action potential and action potential dynamics (threshold,

decay tau, and half-width) were obtained from the first evoked action potential to avoid variance in ion

channel function due to repeated action potential firing. G*Power 3.0 software (Franz Faul, Uni Kiel,

Germany) was used to conduct our power analysis, for a *p* value of <0.05 with 90% power. Adequate

sample sizes were based upon expected effect sizes from similar experiments. Raw data files were

analyzed in the Patchmaster NEXT software or converted using ABF Utility (Synaptosoft) for analysis in

Clampfit (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). N-values for analysis and presented in figures

represent individual cells. To control for biological variability between groups N = 4-8 mice per group

(see figure source data). Statistical comparison of effects between each time-period was made using a

full model two-way ANOVA (column, row, and interaction effects) for comparison of the current-voltage

relationships and comparing the interaction and main effect of time and sex or internal solution. For all

experiments, error bars are presented as mean ± 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistics were

calculated using Prism 9 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge and thank Dr. James Peters at Washington State University for his

invaluable input and discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

Grants

This work was supported by a CAREER grant 1553067 by the National Science Foundation, and R01

DK119811 from the National Institutes of Health to INK.

Competing Interests

BLR, JW and INK declare no competing financial interests.

References

Abe, Michikazu, Erik D. Herzog, Shin Yamazaki, Marty Straume, Hajime Tei, Yoshiyuki Sakaki,

Michael Menaker, and Gene D. Block. 2002. "Circadian Rhythms in Isolated Brain Regions."

The Journal of Neuroscience 22 (1): 350–56. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-01-

00350.2002.

Adelman, W. J., and J. P. Senft. 1966. "Voltage Clamp Studies on the Effect of Internal Cesium Ion on

Sodium and Potassium Currents in the Squid Giant Axon." *The Journal of General Physiology*

50 (2): 279–93. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.50.2.279.

Aerde, Karlijn I. van, and Dirk Feldmeyer. 2015. "Morphological and Physiological Characterization of

Pyramidal Neuron Subtypes in Rat Medial Prefrontal Cortex." *Cerebral Cortex* 25 (3): 788–805.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht278.

Albers, Elliott H., James C. Walton, Karen L. Gamble, John K. McNeill, and Daniel L. Hummer. 2017.

"The Dynamics of GABA Signaling: Revelations from the Circadian Pacemaker in the

Suprachiasmatic Nucleus." *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* 44 (January): 35–82.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2016.11.003.

Albrecht, Anne, and Oliver Stork. 2017. "Circadian Rhythms in Fear Conditioning: An Overview of

Behavioral, Brain System, and Molecular Interactions." *Neural Plasticity* 2017: 3750307.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3750307.

Anderson, Eden M., Skyler Demis, Hunter D'Acquisto, Annabel Engelhardt, and Matthew Hearing.

2021. "The Role of Parvalbumin Interneuron GIRK Signaling in the Regulation of Affect and

Cognition in Male and Female Mice." *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* 15 (March): 621751.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.621751.

Andrade, Susie, Bruno D. Arbo, Bruna A. M. Batista, Alice M. Neves, Gisele Branchini, Ilma S. Brum,

Helena M. T. Barros, Rosane Gomez, and Maria Flavia M. Ribeiro. 2012. "Effect of

Progesterone on the Expression of GABA(A) Receptor Subunits in the Prefrontal Cortex of

Rats: Implications of Sex Differences and Brain Hemisphere." *Cell Biochemistry and Function*

30 (8): 696–700. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbf.2854.

Bano-Otalora, Beatriz, Matthew J. Moye, Timothy Brown, Robert J. Lucas, Casey O. Diekman, and

Mino Dc Belle. 2021. "Daily Electrical Activity in the Master Circadian Clock of a Diurnal

Mammal." *ELife* 10 (November): e68179. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.68179.

Bechtold, D. A., J. E. Gibbs, and A. S. Loudon. 2010. "Circadian Dysfunction in Disease." *Trends*

Pharmacol Sci 31 (5): 191–98. https://doi.org/S0165-6147(10)00003-9 [pii]

10.1016/j.tips.2010.01.002.

Bollinger, Justin L., Isabella Salinas, Emily Fender, Dale R. Sengelaub, and Cara L. Wellman. 2019.

"Gonadal Hormones Differentially Regulate Sex-Specific Stress Effects on Glia in the Medial

Prefrontal Cortex." *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* 31 (8): e12762.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12762.

Chaudhury, D., L. M. Wang, and C. S. Colwell. 2005. "Circadian Regulation of Hippocampal Long-Term

Potentiation." *J Biol Rhythms* 20 (3): 225–36. https://doi.org/20/3/225 [pii]

10.1177/0748730405276352.

Chrobok, Lukasz, Jasmin D. Klich, Jagoda S. Jeczmien-Lazur, Kamil Pradel, Katarzyna Palus-

Chramiec, Anna M. Sanetra, Hugh D. Piggins, and Marian H. Lewandowski. 2021. "Daily

Changes in Neuronal Activities of the Dorsal Motor Nucleus of the Vagus under Standard and

High-Fat Diet." *The Journal of Physiology*, May. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP281596.

Chun, Lauren E., Elizabeth R. Woodruff, Sarah Morton, Laura R. Hinds, and Robert L. Spencer. 2015.

"Variations in Phase and Amplitude of Rhythmic Clock Gene Expression across Prefrontal

Cortex, Hippocampus, Amygdala, and Hypothalamic Paraventricular and Suprachiasmatic

Nuclei of Male and Female Rats." *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 30 (5): 417–36.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0748730415598608.

Deng, Wei-Ke, Xing Wang, Hou-Cheng Zhou, and Fei Luo. 2019. "L-Type Ca2+ Channels and

Charybdotoxin-Sensitive Ca2+-Activated K+ Channels Are Required for Reduction of

GABAergic Activity Induced by B2-Adrenoceptor in the Prefrontal Cortex." *Molecular and*

Cellular Neurosciences 101 (December): 103410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2019.103410.

Ferguson, Brielle R., and Wen-Jun Gao. 2018. "PV Interneurons: Critical Regulators of E/I Balance for

Prefrontal Cortex-Dependent Behavior and Psychiatric Disorders." *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*

12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2018.00037.

Fusilier, Allison R., Jennifer A. Davis, Jodi R. Paul, Stefani D. Yates, Laura J. McMeekin, Lacy K.

Goode, Mugdha V. Mokashi, et al. 2021. "Dysregulated Clock Gene Expression and Abnormal

Diurnal Regulation of Hippocampal Inhibitory Transmission and Spatial Memory in Amyloid

Precursor Protein Transgenic Mice." *Neurobiology of Disease* 158 (October): 105454.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105454.

Harkness, John H., Angela E. Gonzalez, Priyanka N. Bushana, Emily T. Jorgensen, Deborah M.

Hegarty, Ariel A. Di Nardo, Alain Prochiantz, et al. 2021. "Diurnal Changes in Perineuronal Nets

and Parvalbumin Neurons in the Rat Medial Prefrontal Cortex." *Brain Structure & Function* 226

(4): 1135–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-021-02229-4.

Hastings, J. W. 2007. "The Gonyaulax Clock at 50: Translational Control of Circadian Expression." *Cold*

Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 72 (January): 141–44.

https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2007.72.026.

Hastings, Michael H., Akhilesh B. Reddy, and Elizabeth S. Maywood. 2003. "A Clockwork Web:

Circadian Timing in Brain and Periphery, in Health and Disease." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*

4 (8): 649–61. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1177.

Helm, Barbara, Rachel Ben-Shlomo, Michael J. Sheriff, Roelof A. Hut, Russell Foster, Brian M. Barnes,

and Davide Dominoni. 2013. "Annual Rhythms That Underlie Phenology: Biological Time-

Keeping Meets Environmental Change." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*

Sciences 280 (1765): 20130016. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0016.

Hou, YuanYuan, YunLei Wang, ShaoFei Song, Yao Zuo, HaoJie Zhang, Chen Bai, HaiTao Zhao, and

Tong Zhang. 2022. "Long-Term Variable Photoperiod Exposure Impairs the MPFC and Induces

Anxiety and Depression-like Behavior in Male Wistar Rats." *Experimental Neurology* 347

(January): 113908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2021.113908.

Hu, H., J. Gan, and P. Jonas. 2014. "Fast-Spiking, Parvalbumin+ GABAergic Interneurons: From

Cellular Design to Microcircuit Function." *Science* 345 (6196): 1255263–1255263.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255263.

Jagannath, Aarti, Lewis Taylor, Zeinab Wakaf, Sridhar R. Vasudevan, and Russell G. Foster. 2017.

"The Genetics of Circadian Rhythms, Sleep and Health." *Human Molecular Genetics* 26 (R2):

R128–38. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx240.

Janeczek, Paulina, Natalie Colson, Peter R. Dodd, and Joanne M. Lewohl. 2020. "Sex Differences in

the Expression of the A5 Subunit of the GABAA Receptor in Alcoholics with and without

Cirrhosis of the Liver." *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 44 (2): 423–34.

https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14266.

Kalmbach, Brian E., and Darrin H. Brager. 2020. "Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein Modulates

Somatic D-Type K+ Channels and Action Potential Threshold in the Mouse Prefrontal Cortex."

Journal of Neurophysiology 124 (6): 1766–73. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00494.2020.

Karatsoreos, Ilia N. 2012. "Effects of Circadian Disruption on Mental and Physical Health." *Curr Neurol*

Neurosci Rep 12 (2): 218–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-012-0252-0.

Karatsoreos, Ilia N., Sarah Bhagat, Erik B. Bloss, John H. Morrison, and Bruce S. McEwen. 2011.

"Disruption of Circadian Clocks Has Ramifications for Metabolism, Brain, and Behavior."

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108 (4): 1657–62.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018375108.

Kawaguchi, Y., and Y. Kubota. 1997. "GABAergic Cell Subtypes and Their Synaptic Connections in Rat

Frontal Cortex." *Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991)* 7 (6): 476–86.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/7.6.476.

Körtner, Gerhard, and Fritz Geiser. 2000. "The Temporal Organization of Daily Torpor and Hibernation:

Circadian and Circannual Rhythms." *Chronobiology International* 17 (2): 103–28.

https://doi.org/10.1081/cbi-100101036.

Landgraf, Dominic, Jaimie E. Long, Christophe D. Proulx, Rita Barandas, Roberto Malinow, and David

K. Welsh. 2016. "Genetic Disruption of Circadian Rhythms in the Suprachiasmatic Nucleus

Causes Helplessness, Behavioral Despair, and Anxiety-like Behavior in Mice." *Biological*

Psychiatry 80 (11): 827–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.03.1050.

Laroche, Serge, Therese M. Jay, and Anne-Marie Thierry. 1990. "Long-Term Potentiation in the

Prefrontal Cortex Following Stimulation of the Hippocampal CA1/Subicular Region."

Neuroscience Letters 114 (2): 184–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(90)90069-l.

Le Merre, Pierre, Sofie Ährlund-Richter, and Marie Carlén. 2021. "The Mouse Prefrontal Cortex: Unity

in Diversity." *Neuron* 109 (12): 1925–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.03.035.

Li, Bin, Lei-Lei Chang, and Kang Xi. 2021. "Neurotensin 1 Receptor in the Prelimbic Cortex Regulates

Anxiety-like Behavior in Rats." *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological*

Psychiatry 104 (January): 110011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110011.

Linsenbardt, David N., and Christopher C. Lapish. 2015. "Neural Firing in the Prefrontal Cortex During

Alcohol Intake in Alcohol-Preferring 'P' Versus Wistar Rats." *Alcoholism, Clinical and*

Experimental Research 39 (9): 1642–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12804.

Liu, Zhong-Wu, Ugo Faraguna, Chiara Cirelli, Giulio Tononi, and Xiao-Bing Gao. 2010. "Direct

Evidence for Wake-Related Increases and Sleep-Related Decreases in Synaptic Strength in

Rodent Cortex." *Journal of Neuroscience* 30 (25): 8671–75.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1409-10.2010.

Loh, Dawn H, Shekib A Jami, Richard E Flores, Danny Truong, Cristina A Ghiani, Thomas J O'Dell,

and Christopher S Colwell. 2015. "Misaligned Feeding Impairs Memories." Edited by Joseph S

Takahashi. *ELife* 4 (December): e09460. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.09460.

McCarthy, Michael J., and David K. Welsh. 2012. "Cellular Circadian Clocks in Mood Disorders:"

Journal of Biological Rhythms, September. https://doi.org/10.1177/0748730412456367.

McMartin, Laura, Marianna Kiraly, H. Craig Heller, Daniel V. Madison, and Norman F. Ruby. 2021.

"Disruption of Circadian Timing Increases Synaptic Inhibition and Reduces Cholinergic

Responsiveness in the Dentate Gyrus." *Hippocampus* 31 (4): 422–34.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.23301.

Miller, Earl K., and Jonathan D. Cohen. 2001. "An Integrative Theory of Prefrontal Cortex Function."
Annual Review of Neuroscience 24 (1): 167–202.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167.

Moorman, David E., Morgan H. James, Ellen M. McGlinchey, and Gary Aston-Jones. 2015. "Differential
Roles of Medial Prefrontal Subregions in the Regulation of Drug Seeking." *Brain Research*, Role
of corticostriatal circuits in addiction, 1628 (December): 130–46.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2014.12.024.

Morris, C. J., J. N. Yang, J. I. Garcia, S. Myers, I. Bozzi, W. Wang, O. M. Buxton, S. A. Shea, and F. A.
Scheer. 2015. "Endogenous Circadian System and Circadian Misalignment Impact Glucose
Tolerance via Separate Mechanisms in Humans." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112 (17): E2225-
34. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418955112.

Otsuka, Tsuyoshi, Hue Thi Le, Akira Kohsaka, Fuyuki Sato, Hayato Ihara, Tomomi Nakao, and
Masanobu Maeda. 2020. "Adverse Effects of Circadian Disorganization on Mood and Molecular
Rhythms in the Prefrontal Cortex of Mice." *Neuroscience* 432 (April): 44–54.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.02.013.

Paul, Jodi R., Jennifer A. Davis, Lacy K. Goode, Bryan K. Becker, Allison Fusilier, Aidan Meador-
Woodruff, and Karen L. Gamble. 2020. "Circadian Regulation of Membrane Physiology in
Neural Oscillators throughout the Brain." *European Journal of Neuroscience* 51 (1): 109–38.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14343.

Pena-Bravo, Jose Ignacio, Rachel Penrod, Carmela M. Reichel, and Antonieta Lavin. 2019.
"Methamphetamine Self-Administration Elicits Sex-Related Changes in Postsynaptic Glutamate
Transmission in the Prefrontal Cortex." *ENeuro* 6 (1): ENEURO.0401-18.2018.
https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0401-18.2018.

Perry, Christina J., Erin J. Campbell, Katherine D. Drummond, Jeremy S. Lum, and Jee Hyun Kim.
2021. "Sex Differences in the Neurochemistry of Frontal Cortex: Impact of Early Life Stress."
Journal of Neurochemistry 157 (4): 963–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15208.

Petrie, Kimberly A., Michael Bubser, Cheryl D. Casey, M. Duff Davis, Bryan L. Roth, and Ariel Y.
Deutch. 2004. "The Neurotensin Agonist PD149163 Increases Fos Expression in the Prefrontal
Cortex of the Rat." *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29 (10): 1878–88.
https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300494.

Piette, Charlotte, Marie Vandecasteele, Clémentine Bosch-Bouju, Valérie Goubard, Vincent Paillé,
Yihui Cui, Alexandre Mendes, et al. 2021. "Intracellular Properties of Deep-Layer Pyramidal
Neurons in Frontal Eye Field of Macaque Monkeys." *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience* 13:
725880. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsyn.2021.725880.

Popoli, Maurizio, Zhen Yan, Bruce S. McEwen, and Gerard Sanacora. 2012. "The Stressed Synapse:
The Impact of Stress and Glucocorticoids on Glutamate Transmission." *Nature Reviews
Neuroscience* 13 (1): 22–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3138.

Radnikow, Gabriele, and Dirk Feldmeyer. 2018. "Layer- and Cell Type-Specific Modulation of Excitatory
Neuronal Activity in the Neocortex." *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* 12.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2018.00001.

Saffari, R., Z. Teng, M. Zhang, M. Kravchenko, C. Hohoff, O. Ambrée, and W. Zhang. 2016. "NPY+-,
but Not PV+- GABAergic Neurons Mediated Long-Range Inhibition from Infra- to Prelimbic
Cortex." *Translational Psychiatry* 6 (February): e736. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.7.

Saitoh, Akiyoshi, Masanori Ohashi, Satoshi Suzuki, Mai Tsukagoshi, Azusa Sugiyama, Misa Yamada,
Jun-Ichiro Oka, Masatoshi Inagaki, and Mitsuhiko Yamada. 2014. "Activation of the Prelimbic
Medial Prefrontal Cortex Induces Anxiety-like Behaviors via N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor-
Mediated Glutamatergic Neurotransmission in Mice." *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 92 (8):
1044–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23391.

Sato, Keisaku, Fanyin Meng, Heather Francis, Nan Wu, Lixian Chen, Lindsey Kennedy, Tianhao Zhou,
et al. 2020. "Melatonin and Circadian Rhythms in Liver Diseases: Functional Roles and
Potential Therapies." *Journal of Pineal Research* 68 (3): e12639.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12639.

Shansky, Rebecca M., C. Glavis-Bloom, D. Lerman, P. McRae, C. Benson, K. Miller, L. Cosand,

Thomas L. Horvath, and A. F. T. Arnsten. 2004. "Estrogen Mediates Sex Differences in Stress-

Induced Prefrontal Cortex Dysfunction." *Molecular Psychiatry* 9 (5): 531–38.

https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001435.

Shansky, Rebecca M., and Anne Z. Murphy. 2021. "Considering Sex as a Biological Variable Will

Require a Global Shift in Science Culture." *Nature Neuroscience* 24 (4): 457–64.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00806-8.

Sotres-Bayon, Francisco, Christopher K. Cain, and Joseph E. LeDoux. 2006. "Brain Mechanisms of

Fear Extinction: Historical Perspectives on the Contribution of Prefrontal Cortex." *Biological*

Psychiatry 60 (4): 329–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.10.012.

Ting, Jonathan T., Brian R. Lee, Peter Chong, Gilberto Soler-Llavina, Charles Cobbs, Christof Koch,

Hongkui Zeng, and Ed Lein. 2018. "Preparation of Acute Brain Slices Using an Optimized N-

Methyl-D-Glucamine Protective Recovery Method." *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)*,

no. 132 (February): e53825. https://doi.org/10.3791/53825.

Velasco, Ezequiel Marron Fernandez de, Matthew Hearing, Zhilian Xia, Nicole C. Victoria, Rafael

Luján, and Kevin Wickman. 2015. "Sex Differences in GABABR-GIRK Signaling in Layer 5/6

Pyramidal Neurons of the Mouse Prelimbic Cortex." *Neuropharmacology* 95 (August): 353–60.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.03.029.

Vertes, Robert P. 2006. "Interactions among the Medial Prefrontal Cortex, Hippocampus and Midline

Thalamus in Emotional and Cognitive Processing in the Rat." *Neuroscience* 142 (1): 1–20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.06.027.

Wallace, Naomi K., Felicity Pollard, Marina Savenkova, and Ilia N. Karatsoreos. 2020. "Effect of Aging

on Daily Rhythms of Lactate Metabolism in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex of Male Mice."

Neuroscience 448 (November): 300–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.07.032.

Weaver, David R. 1998. "The Suprachiasmatic Nucleus: A 25-Year Retrospective." *Journal of Biological*

Rhythms 13 (2): 100–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/074873098128999952.

Woodruff, Elizabeth R., Lauren E. Chun, Laura R. Hinds, Nicholas M. Varra, Daniel Tirado, Sarah J. Morton, Colleen A. McClung, and Robert L. Spencer. 2018. "Coordination between Prefrontal Cortex Clock Gene Expression and Corticosterone Contributes to Enhanced Conditioned Fear Extinction Recall." *ENeuro* 5 (6). https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0455-18.2018.

Workman, E. R., P. C. G. Haddick, K. Bush, G. A. Dilly, F. Niere, B. V. Zemelman, and K. F. Raab-Graham. 2015. "Rapid Antidepressants Stimulate the Decoupling of GABA(B) Receptors from GIRK/Kir3 Channels through Increased Protein Stability of 14-3-3η." *Molecular Psychiatry* 20 (3): 298–310. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2014.165.

Xu, Pan, Ai Chen, Yipeng Li, Xuezhi Xing, and Hui Lu. 2019. "Medial Prefrontal Cortex in Neurological Diseases." *Physiological Genomics* 51 (9): 432–42. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00006.2019.

Yan, Jie, Jing-Cheng Li, Mei-Lan Xie, Dan Zhang, Ai-Ping Qi, Bo Hu, Wei Huang, Jian-Xia Xia, and Zhi-An Hu. 2011. "Short-Term Sleep Deprivation Increases Intrinsic Excitability of Prefrontal Cortical Neurons." *Brain Research* 1401 (July): 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2011.05.032.

Yaniv, Yael, and Edward G. Lakatta. 2015. "The End Effector of Circadian Heart Rate Variation: The Sinoatrial Node Pacemaker Cell." *BMB Reports* 48 (12): 677–84. https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2015.48.12.061.

Yuen, Eunice Y., Wenhua Liu, Ilia N. Karatsoreos, Jian Feng, Bruce S. McEwen, and Zhen Yan. 2009. "Acute Stress Enhances Glutamatergic Transmission in Prefrontal Cortex and Facilitates Working Memory." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106 (33): 14075–79. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906791106.

Yuen, Eunice Y., Jing Wei, and Zhen Yan. 2016. "Estrogen in Prefrontal Cortex Blocks Stress-Induced Cognitive Impairments in Female Rats." *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 160 (June): 221–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.08.028.

Zaitsev, A. V., N. V. Povysheva, G. Gonzalez-Burgos, and D. A. Lewis. 2012. "Electrophysiological Classes of Layer 2/3 Pyramidal Cells in Monkey Prefrontal Cortex." *Journal of Neurophysiology* 108 (2): 595–609. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00859.2011.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. *Recording map for layer 2/3 plPFC pyramidal neurons.*
Coronal sections of forebrain showing individual recording sites from majority of neurons that
were imaged at **(A)** ZT0-4, **(B)** 6-10, **(C)** 12-16 and **(D)** 18-22 for basal membrane property,
sEPSC, and evoked action potential experiments in male (*bluish green outline*) and female
(*vermillian outline*) mice. Stars filled with black represent 'Type I' neurons included for analysis
and red stars represent Type II/III neurons excluded from analysis.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. *Categories and distinct physiological characteristics of plPFC neurons.* **(A)** Representative evoked action potential traces and phase plot diagram of first five action potentials (*bottom*) illustrating differences in velocity, trajectory, and amplitude in Type I and **(B)** Type II neurons. **(C)** Comparison of membrane resistance (Rm), **(D)** membrane capacitance (Cm), **(E)** resting membrane potential (RMP), **(F)** action potential (AP) threshold, **(G)** sEPSC frequency (Freq), and **(H)** sEPSC amplitude (Amp). **(I)** Percentage of recorded cells displaying Type I or Type II characteristics (combined among all ZT bins; calculated by *n* values from AP threshold). Error bars represent ± 95%CI. Unpaired student t-test, * *p< 0.05*, ** *p< 0.01*, ****p< 0.001.* Exact p-values and analysis in **Figure 1 – supplemental source data 1**.