The histone code of the fungal genus *Aspergillus* uncovered by evolutionary and

proteomic analyses

Xin Zhang[1,2], Roberta Noberini[3], Tiziana Bonaldi[3,4], Jérôme Collemare[2,*,+], Michael F. Seidl[1,*,+]

Author affiliations: [1]Theoretical Biology & Bioinformatics Group, Department of Biology,

Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, the Netherlands; [2]Westerdijk Fungal

Biodiversity Institute, Uppsalalaan 8, 3584 CT Utrecht, the Netherlands; [3]Department of

Experimental Oncology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Via Adamello 16, 20139

Milan, Italy; [4]Department of Oncology and Haematology-Oncology, University of Milano, Via Santa

Sofia 9/1, 20122 Milano, Italy

[+]These authors contributed equally

[*]Correspondence: J. Collemare (telephone: (+31) 030 21 22 600; email:

j.collemare@wi.knaw.nl) and M. F. Seidl (telephone: (+31) 030 253 36 94; email:

m.f.seidl@uu.nl)

Abstract

Chemical modifications of DNA and histone proteins impact the organization of chromatin

within the nucleus. Changes in these modifications, catalyzed by different chromatin-modifying

enzymes, influence chromatin organization, which in turn is thought to impact the spatial and

temporal regulation of gene expression. While combinations of different histone modifications,

the histone code, have been studied in several model species, we know very little about

histone modifications in the fungal genus *Aspergillus*, whose members are generally well-

studied due to their importance as models in cell and molecular biology as well as their medical

and biotechnological relevance. Here, we used phylogenetic analyses in 94 Aspergilli as well

as other fungi to uncover the occurrence and evolutionary trajectories of enzymes and protein

complexes with roles in chromatin modifications or regulation. We found that these enzymes

and complexes are highly conserved in Aspergilli, pointing towards a complex repertoire of

chromatin modifications. Nevertheless, we also observed few recent gene duplications or

losses, highlighting *Aspergillus* species to further study the roles of specific chromatin

modifications. SET7 and other components of PRC2 (Polycomb Repressive Complex 2),

which is responsible for trimethylation on histone H3 at lysine 27 in many eukaryotes including

fungi, are absent in Aspergilli as well as in closely related *Penicillium* species, suggesting that

these lost the capacity for this histone modification. We corroborated our computational

predictions by performing untargeted mass spectrometry analysis of histone post-translational

modifications in *Aspergillus nidulans*. This systematic analysis will pave the way for future

research into the complexity of the histone code and its functional implications on genome

architecture and gene regulation in fungi.

Keywords

Aspergilli, chromatin, DNA methylation, histone modification, H3K27methylation, mass

spectrometry

Data Summary

The predicted proteomes used in this study are publicly available at the JGI (Joint Genome

Institute) MycoCosm repository (1); the species names and abbreviations are listed in Table

S1. To evaluate the completeness of the predicted proteomes and to obtain a species

phylogeny, 758 fungal BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Ortholog) genes were

used, and their names are listed in Table S2. The fasta, trimmed alignment, and maximum-

likelihood phylogenetic tree files can be found in Supplementary Data 1 and 2 deposited at

Zenodo (doi:10.5281/zenodo.5907090), as well as the mass spectrometry results are

mentioned in Table S4.

Impact Statement

Uncovering how fungi regulate gene expression and genome organization is an important step

to understand how they control biological processes such as growth or biosynthesis of

bioactive molecules. Despite the known importance of chromatin modifications in controlling

a plethora of biological processes across eukaryotes, they remain particularly poorly

understood in filamentous fungi, even in model organisms like Aspergilli. Our systematic

evolutionary analysis provides a robust framework for the functional analysis of chromatin

modifications in *Aspergillus* species and other fungi. Our results do not only implicate

candidate enzymes to play a role in new chromatin modifications, but they also point at species

that have experienced duplications or losses of genes encoding enzymes for specific

chromatin modifications. We therefore expect that this work will set the stage for future

research into the complexity of the histone code and its functional implications on gene

regulation and genome organization in fungi.

Introduction

Inside eukaryotic cells, the membrane-bound nucleus facilitates the storage and replication of the genetic material as well as the regulation of gene expression (2). Within the nucleus, DNA is arranged as a chromatin fiber formed by repeated nucleosome units consisting of histone protein octamers (two copies of each H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 histones) that are wrapped by 145-147 bp of DNA (3–5). The chromatin structure is regulated by chemical modifications such as methylation of DNA on cytosines (5mC) and adenines (6mA), and different post-translational modifications (PTMs) on the histone proteins, including methylation and acetylation (6–8). The combination of these different modifications, the histone code, influences the recruitment of diverse nucleosome-associated proteins (e.g., DNA binding proteins), which can cause dynamic transitions between the condensed and transcriptionally silent heterochromatin and the accessible and transcriptionally active euchromatin (9–11). Chromatin dynamics is therefore key for organisms to adapt gene expression patterns in response to a variety of developmental and environmental clues.

In the past decades, several enzymes involved in orchestrating chromatin dynamics have been identified and functionally characterized in diverse eukaryotes (3,12,13). These enzymes can be broadly classified into three distinct types: (i) writers that place modifications on nucleosomes or linker DNA; (ii) erasers that remove these modifications; and (iii) readers that first recognize specific modifications and subsequently recruit writers, erasers, or other proteins to the correct genomic location (14–16). Chromatin modifiers that catalyze the same type of chemical modification often harbor functionally and evolutionarily conserved catalytic domains. For instance, DNA methylation (5mC) is catalyzed by different DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) which contain a DNA methyltransferase domain, while histone mono-, di-, and tri-methylation are nearly exclusively catalyzed by proteins containing a SET (Su (var) 3-9, E(z) and Trithorax) domain (13). Next to these catalytic domains, the enzymes often contain accessory domains, which can contribute to the overall stability of the enzyme or establish interactions with other proteins (17). Furthermore, these multi-domain proteins,

together with other accessory protein subunits, can form multi-protein complexes to find the

correct target region witin the genome and modify the exact amino acid residue, collectively

contributing to the specific functions of these complexes (18,19). For example, the histone

methyltransferase complex PRC2 (Polycomb Repressive Complex 2) is responsible for the

mono-, di-, and tri-methylation of histone protein H3 on the lysine at position 27 (abbreviated

as H3K27me1/2/3; the same abbreviation principle will be applied below for other histone

PTMs) (20–23). Evolutionary analyses have suggested that the key enzymes responsible for

chromatin modifications have evolved and siginificantly expanded early in eukaryotic evolution

(24). An analysis of 94 gene families with roles in chromatin modifications reveals that 87 out

of 94 gene families emerged more than one billion years ago, and 48 were already present in

the last eukaryotic common ancestor (24).

Among eukaryotes, the fungal kingdom is remarkably large and diverse, comprising

an estimated 1,5-5 million species with various morphologies, life cycles, and ecological

niches (25). The most well-studied model fungal species in respect of chromatin modifications

is the unicellular yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in which 75 histone PTMs on 43 distinct

amino acid positions have been reported with histone methylation and acetylation being most

abundant (16). In addition to ancient chromatin modifiers, also lineage-specific innovations

such as duplications and losses were found in fungi. For example, Dim-2 and RID (Repeat-

Induced Point Mutation (RIP)-Defective) are considered to be fungal-specific DNMTs, while

DNMT3 that is typically found in animals and plants seems to be absent in fungi (26–28).

However, *S. cerevisiae* is not representative of the complete fungal kingdom, and detailed

studies on PTMs are needed for other, less studied fungal species.

The fungal genus *Aspergillus* comprises approximately 350 species, including

pathogens of humans, animals, and crops, food contaminants, as well as important cell

factories for industrial and medical applications (29). Chromatin dynamics influences gene

expression in several Aspergilli and regulates growth, sexual development, secondary

metabolite biosynthesis, and virulence (16,30–32). Thus far, very few well-known chromatin modifications and their catalytic protein complexes have been identified and studied in few *Aspergillus* species (16). For example, gene knockout of the H3K9 methyltransferase *Clr4* homolog in *Aspergillus fumigatus* reduced histone H3K9me1/3 results in reduction of radial growth and conidia production, as well as delayed conidiation (31). Similarly, CclA, a subunit of the COMPASS (COMplex of Proteins ASsociated with SET1) complex, is essential for H3K4me2/3 in *A. fumigatus*, and the deletion strain showed increased secondary metabolite production and decreased growth (33). The SAGA (Spt-Ada-Gcn5 Acetyltransferase) complex, which is responsible for histone acetylation, has been functionally characterized in *Aspergillus nidulans* (34). Notably, this complex in *A. nidulans* lacks the Sgf11 and Sus1 subunits that have been described in *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting that this complexe evolves in distinct trajectories in different fungi (34). Besides these few examples, we so far lack a complete view of the occurrence and evolution of chromatin modifiers in the *Aspergillus* genus. Here, we report the phylogenetic analyses of core enzymes involved in the deposition and removal of histone PTMs, and assess the conservation of all the subunits belonging to chromatin regulator complexes in 94 diverse Aspergilli. We also performed proteomics analyses to corroborate our computational predictions and reveal a broader spectrum of histone modifications.

Material and Methods

Acquisition of predicted proteomes for 109 fungal species

The predicted proteomes of 109 fungal species were retrieved from JGI (Joint Genome Institute) MycoCosm (https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/mycocosm/home) (1) on 24th January 2020. These species comprise 94 different Aspergilli species, 13 Ascomycota species from other genera, as well as two Basidiomycota species (*Coprinopsis cinerea* and *Dendrothele bispora*) (Supplementary Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses of chromatin modifiers

Phylogenetic trees for five major chromatin modifiers were generated using their conserved catalytic domains. Catalytic domain HMMs (Hidden Markov Model) of DNA methyltransferase domain (PF00145), histone methylation SET domain (PF00856), acetyltransferase domain (PF00583), histone acetyltransferase MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain (PF01853), and histone deacetylase domain (PF00850) were downloaded from the Pfam database (https://pfam.xfam.org). Hmmsearch, which is part of the HMMER package (v3.1b2) (35), with the Gathering Cut-Off threshold (-cut_ga) was used to identify occurrences of these domains in the predicted proteomes of all 109 fungal species considered here. To filter out short and incomplete fragments, we applied a cutoff calculated by 50% length coverage of the query sequence (domain) or the hit. Subsequently, the identified domain sequences were aligned using MAFFT v7.271 (36). TrimAl v1.2 (-gt 0.1) was used to remove positions in the alignments with gaps in more than 90% of the sequences (37). Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were constructed using IQ-TREE v1.6.10 (38,39) using ultrafast bootstrap and Shimodaira–Hasegawa approximate likelihood ratio test (SH-aLRT) (40) with 1,000 pseudo-replicates, and standard model selection to automatically determine the best-fit model. We predicted additional domains in the analyzed proteins using Hmmsearch with all Pfam domain profiles that are present in the Pfam database (v28.0) and visualized them along the phylogenetic trees using iTOL (41).

Species tree construction

To construct a species phylogeny of the here analyzed fungi, we used BUSCO v4.0.1 (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Ortholog assessment tool) (42) to identify the occurrence of 758 fungal single-copy genes (Supplementary Table 2) within the 109 predicted proteomes (Supplementary Table 1). The identified homologs of single-copy BUSCO genes were subsequently concatenated into a single super-alignment. Then, the maximum-likelihood species phylogeny was estimated using IQ-TREE v1.6.10 (38,39) by employing partitioned model selection, which allows selecting a substitution model for each BUSCO gene. Branch supports were obtained using ultrafast bootstrap and SH-aLRT (40) with 1,000 pseudo-replicates separately.

Chromatin modifier subunits homology search and gene tree construction

We obtained previously studied chromatin modifier complexes from literature, and the associated protein sequences were downloaded from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Supplementary Table 3). For each subunit of the chromatin modifier complexes, homologs in the analyzed 109 predicted proteomes were detected based on sequence similarity searches. First, BLASTP (v2.2.31+) (43) searches were performed, and an e-value threshold of 10^{-2} was applied. Multiple BLAST matches (High-Scoring Pairs: HSPs) of the same query were concatenated if they were aligned with different non-overlapping regions. Only the non-redundant region was retained when HSPs of the same query were overlapping. For these two conditions, the highest e-value of the different matches was used to represent the merged match. Only matches with the e-value lower than 10^{-5} and covering more than 50% of query or subject were retained for the following analyses. If we observed less than ten hits for the BLASTP search after filtering in Aspergilli, we used PSI-BLAST (Position-Specific Initiated BLAST v2.2.31+) (43) with five iterations (-num_iterations 5) using the best Aspergilli hit from the BLASTP as the query; if no Aspergilli match was retained, we used the same query as for the BLASTP searches. The same filter criterion as for the BLASTP selection was applied to

the PSI-BLAST hits, and the results of these two homology search strategies were

subsequently jointed.

To account for apparent protein absences due to erroneous gene annotation, we

tested these potential losses by using TBLASTN (BLAST v2.2.31+) (43) to query protein

sequences against the genome assemblies. Matches with e-value lower than 10^{-5} together

with 4,500 nucleotides upstream and downstream were extracted, and Exonerate v2.2.0 (44)

was used to predict the gene structure (options: protein2genome, extensive search,

showtargetgff, showcigar, and showquerygff options were set as true, and ">%ti(%tcb

- %tce)\n%tcs\n" option was used to output the complete aligned coding sequence). Transeq

v6.6.0.0 (45) was used to translate the coding sequence into protein sequences.

Together with the protein sequences identified from BLASTP and PSI-BLAST, all

protein sequences from each subunit were retrieved to build a phylogenetic tree (MAFFT,

TrimAl, and IQ-TREE settings were the same as for the tree reconstruction using the catalytic

domains above).

For each sequence included in the phylogenic trees, the domain organization was

identified and visualized as described above. When the domain organization of a protein

differed from its orthologues, the corresponding gene model was manually checked in the

MycoCosm genome browser (1) and corrected. After manual curation, the presence and

absence patterns of 86 subunits from 16 different chromatin modifier complexes were

visualized using the Seaborn library in Python (46,47).

Histone enrichment and mass spectrometry

Spores of 4- or 5-day old sporulating *A. nidulans* (AnWT pabaA1 veA1, kindly provided by

Prof. Joseph Strauss) growing on ME (Malt Extract) agar medium were harvested with 2 mL

sterile water and cultured in 50 mL ME liquid medium at 28 ℃, 200 rpm for 16 hours. The

sample was centrifuged at 8,000 g for 10 minutes to discard supernatant, and germinating

spores were resuspended in 25 mL 0.8 M NaCl and centrifuged again. Ten mL protoplasting

solution (20 mg/mL *Trichoderma* lysing enzyme and 5 mg/mL driselease in 0.8 M NaCl) were

used to resuspend spores, which were then incubated at 30 ℃, 100 rpm for 2-3 hours.

Protoplasts were retrieved by filtering through sterile Miracloth and were concentrated by

centrifugation at 3,000 rpm, 4 ℃ for 5 minutes. Histone proteins were extracted according to

an adapted histone protein extraction protocol (48). Briefly, 10^8 protoplasts were resuspended

in 1 mL Nuclei Isolation Buffer (1×PBS, 0.5 mM PMSF, 5 µM Leupeptin, 5 µM Aprotinin, and

5mM Na-butyrate) with 1% Triton X-100, followed by vigorous pipetting through a 200 µL

pipette several times. Then, centrifuged at 2,300 g for 15 minutes at 4 ℃, discarded the

supernatant, and resuspended the nuclear pellet in 200 µL Nuclei Isolation Buffer with 0.1%

SDS and 250 U Pierce™ Universal Nuclease and incubated at 37 ℃ for 30 minutes to digest

nucleic acids. The protein concentration was measured using the Bradford Protein Assay (49).

The samples were separated on a 17% SDS-PAGE gel and histone bands were

excised, chemically acylated with propionic anhydride and in-gel digested with trypsin,

followed by peptide N-terminal derivatization with phenyl isocyanate (PIC) (50). The samples

were then desalted on handmade StageTips columns (51). Peptide mixtures were separated

by reversed-phase chromatography on an EASY-Spray column (Thermo Fisher Scientic), 25-

cm long (inner diameter 75 µm, PepMap C18, 2 µm particles), which was connected online to

a Q Exactive Plus instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) through an EASY-Spray™ Ion Source

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), as described (50).

The acquired RAW data were analyzed using the integrated MaxQuant software

v.1.6.10. The Uniprot UP000000560 database was used for identification of *A. nidulans*

histone peptides. Enzyme specificity was set to Arg-C. The estimated false discovery rate

(FDR) was set at a maximum of 1%. The mass tolerance was set to 6 ppm for precursor and

fragment ions. Two missed cleavages were allowed, and the minimum peptide length was set

to 4 amino acids. Min. score for modified peptides and min. delta score for modified peptides

were set to 1. Variable modifications included lysine propionylation, monomethylation +

propionylation, dimethylation, trimethylation and acetylation. N-terminal PIC labeling was set

as a fixed modification (50). To reduce the search time and the rate of false positives, with

increasing the number of variable modifications included in the database search, the raw data

were analyzed through multiple parallel MaxQuant jobs (52), setting different combinations of

variable modifications. Peptides identified by MaxQuant with Andromeda score higher than 50

and localization probability score higher than 0.75 were quantitated, either manually or by

using a version of the EpiProfile 2.0 software (53) adapted to the analysis of histones from *A.*

nidulans. Identifications and retention times were used to guide the manual quantification of

each modified peptide using QualBrowser version 2.0.7 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Site

assignment was evaluated from MS2 spectra using QualBrowser and MaxQuant Viewer.

Extracted ion chromatograms (XICs) were constructed for each doubly charged precursor,

based on its *m/z* value, using a mass tolerance of 10 ppm. For each histone modified peptide,

the % relative abundance (%RA) was estimated by dividing the area under the curve (AUC)

of each modified peptide for the sum of the areas corresponding to all the observed forms of

that peptide (54). The AUC values are reported (Supplementary Table 4) and visualized using

GraphPad Prism.

Results and discussion

DNA methylation, histone methylation, and histone acetylation are among the most studied

chromatin PTMs in animals, plants, and fungi (55–57). Protein complexes involved in writing,

reading, and erasing these modifications have been reported in very few model fungal species

to date (16,22), and the evolution and conservation of these complexes in diverse fungi has

not been systematically explored. To start uncovering the occurrence and evolutionary

histories of chromatin modifiers involved in these major modifications in the fungal genus

Aspergillus, we first focused on five conserved catalytic domains reported to be involved in

histone PTMs: DNA methyltransferase domain for DNMTs, SET domain for histone

methyltransferases (HMTs), acetyltransferase domain and MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain for

histone acetyltransferases (HATs), and histone deacetylase domain for histone deacetylases

(HDACs). We identified homologs harboring these conserved domains in 109 predicted

proteomes; the completeness of the predicted proteomes was generally high as 97 had more

than 97% complete BUSCO predicted proteins (Supplementary Table 1). The 94 Aspergilli

species cover 18 recognized sections: *Polypaecilum*, *Cremei*, *Restricti*, *Aspergillus*, *Cervini*,

Clavati, *Fumigati*, *Candidi*, *Circumdati*, *Janorum*, *Terrei*, *Flavi*, *Ochraceorosei*, *Bispori*,

Cavernicolarum, *Usti*, *Nidulantes*, and *Nigri* (58–64), and 15 other species spanning the

Dikarya were used as outgroups to the *Aspergillus* genus (Figure S1). We used the identified

homologs for each catalytic domain to determine their evolutionary history using maximum-

likelihood phylogenies (Figure 1-4).

Aspergilli harbor conserved DNA methyltransferases for genome defense and

methylation maintenance

The conserved DNA methyltransferase domain is the only domain known to catalyze the

addition of methyl groups to DNA specifically at cytosines (5mC) (26). Based on previous

domain architecture analyses, phylogeny reconstructions, and functional experiments, five

distinct protein families containing a DNA methyltransferase domain have been recognized in

fungi: DNMT1, DNMT2, and DNMT5 are commonly found in eukaryotes, while Dim-2 and RID

Figure 1. Conservation of DNA methyltransferase families in the Aspergillus genus. The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of DNA methyltransferase domains (PF00145) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (37,38). The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles. Additional conserved domains in DNA methyltransferase domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

are considered to be fungal specific (26). Among these five families, DNMT2 functions as a

tRNA methyltransferase rather than DNA methyltransferase (65), and it only occurs in our

study in two Basidiomycota and one Ascomycota (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*), which is in

line with previous observation suggesting that it has been lost in most Ascomycota (26,66).

Three (DNMT1, DNMT5, and Dim-2) of the four remaining families catalyze the

maintenance of DNA methylation pattern from parental DNA strand onto synthesized daughter

DNA strands (67,68). Based on our species selection and phylogenetic analyses, we here

found *DNMT1* and *Dim-2* to be absent in all Aspergilli and only present in four or five out of 15

outgroup species, respectively, while *DNMT5* is found in most Aspergilli and outgroup species

(Figure 1). Only four outgroup species harboring *DNMT1*, the two Basidiomycota species,

Botrytis cinerea, and *Plectania melastoma*, which corroborates previous findings (26,69); and

Dim-2 was identified in only five Ascomycota outgroup species. Recently, it was found that

Dim-2 is inactivated in some *Zymoseptoria tritici* isolates only, which uncovered that Dim-2

plays a role in genome defense and genome evolution (70). In contrast to the *DNMT1* and

Dim-2 losses in Aspergilli, *DNMT5* is identified in 88 out of 94 Aspergilli and 10 out of 15

outgroup species (Figure 1), with a conserved domain architecture consisting of a DNA

methyltransferase domain, an SNF2 family domain (PF00176), and a Helicase conserved C-

terminal domain (PF00271), in which the latter two domains are involved in transcription

regulation (71). The five outgroup species without DNMT5 are *S. cerevisiae*, *S pombe*,

Neurospora crassa, *Fusarium graminearum*, and *Cladonia grayi*, among which the two yeast

species also lack the other two DNA methylation maintaining enzymes, and thus accordingly

are considered to be incapable of DNA methylation (72–74). Five out of the six *Aspergillus*

species that lost *DNMT5* belong to a single clade within the *Nidulantes* section (*A. undulatus*,

A. olivicola, *A. filifera*, *A. venezuelensis*, and *A. stella-maris*), and the sixth one is *A. robustus*

which is assigned to the *Circumdati* section (Supplementary Figure 1). In the Basidiomycota

fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*, DNMT5 maintains DNA methylation using the same

cofactors as DNMT1 (73,75). Thus, it is most likely that DNMT5 is similarly involved in DNA

methylation maintenance in Aspergilli as in *C. neoformans*. Moreover, DNA methylation

maintenance does not appear to be required for the survival of fungi as shown with the

absence of all three *DNMT1*, *DNMT5*, and *Dim-2* not only within the *Nidulantes* section but

also in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* (Figure 1). However, the absence of these genes could

have an impact on the regulation of certain genes like secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene

clusters and it would be interesting to compare species within the *Nidulantes* section in more

detail to identify how such loss may have affected gene expression and genome organization.

RID is a more recently acquired single domain DNMT that specifically occurs in fungi

and is involved in a fungal-specific genome defense mechanism called RIP (Repeat-Induced

Point mutation) (26). RID is required for *de novo* DNA methylation of transposable elements

(TEs) in both CG and non-CG context relating to RIP during the sexual stage in *N. crassa*, but

its *de novo* DNA methylation capacity during the vegetative growth has not been reported (76).

Here, we identified RID in all analyzed Aspergilli species as well as in ten outgroup species.

A RID-like homolog knock-out mutant in the model filamentous Ascomycota *Podospora*

anserina shows blocked sexual development, as gametes formation and fertilization can

happen but dikaryotic cells cannot develop normally (77). Interestingly, the knock-out mutant

of *DmtA* (homolog of *RID* in *A. flavus*) shows altered conidiation, sclerotial production,

aflatoxin biosynthesis, and virulence (32), suggesting that RID might have additional functions

next to genome defense. Additionally, fungi lost the *de novo* DNA methyltransferase *DNMT3*

around 50–150 million years ago (75,78), and only *DNMT5* and *RID* were consistently found

in Aspergilli (Figure 1). Thus, we hypothesize that Aspergilli can only maintain DNA

methylation *via* DNMT5 and may generate *de novo* DNA methylation *via* RID during the

transient sexual stages, but do not spur genome-wide *de novo* DNA methylation. This

hypothesis seems to be corroborated as bisulfite sequencing in *A. flavus* has uncovered very

little genome-wide DNA methylation (79). However, systematic analyses of DNA methylation

patterns and experimental evidence for the roles of DNMTs in Aspergilli are still largely missing.

Aspergilli lack *SET7*, but SET-domain proteins are abundant and histone

methyltransferases are conserved

Histone methylation is typically catalyzed by SET domain-containing proteins (80). We

identified a total of 1,548 SET domain-containing proteins and classified them into 15 groups

based on their domain architecture and phylogenetic support that contain species both from

Figure 2. Conservation of histone methyltransferase families in the Aspergillus genus. The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of SET domains (PF00856) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (37,38). The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles and leaves with similar protein architecture with unknown functions covering both Aspergilli and outgroup species are collapsed and shown as grey triangles. Additional conserved domains in SET domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

Aspergilli as well as from the outgroup. Among these groups, 107, 111, 109, 102, and 108

sequences represent homologs of the known histone methyltransferases (HMTs) SET9, Dim-

5, SET1, Ash1, and SET2, respectively (Figure 2). The remaining homologs were divided into

nine groups that we broadly classified as SET domain-containing proteins. Among them, eight

groups contain a SET domain but lack experimentally determined functions, and 117

sequences could be grouped as Ribosomal lysine N-methyltransferases (Figure 2). Enzymes

in this group contain a SET domain together with a Rubisco LSMT substrate-binding domain

(PF09273). While the homolog in plant transfers a methyl group to the large subunit of the

Rubisco holoenzyme complex (81,82), the homolog in *S. cerevisiae* has been characterized

as a ribosomal lysine N-methyltransferase (83).

The well-described HMTs SET1, Dim-5, SET2, Ash1, and SET9 all contain distinct

accessory domains and catalyze methylation on lysine residues at different positions of H3 or

H4 tails (22). SET1 is composed of the SET domain, the N-SET domain (PF11764), as well

as the SET_assoc domain (PF11767), and is responsible for H3K4me1/2/3 in *S. cerevisiae*

(84). SET1 occurs in a single copy in all species (Figure 2), indicating that this enzyme and its

catalyzed PTM play fundamental roles in fungal biology. Dim-5 contains a SET domain and

Pre-SET domain (PF05033) and acts as an H3K9 methyltransferase inducing heterochromatin

formation in *N. crassa* (85). Dim-5 was found in all species included in this study except *S.*

cerevisiae and *A. calidoustus*, among which *S. cerevisiae* is reported to lack H3K9me (85,86).

How such a loss has impacted gene expression in *A. calidoustus* in comparison to its closest

relative *A. carlsbadensis* deserves further investigation. Both SET2 and Ash1 catalyze

H3K36me in *N. crassa* and share the SET domain and the AWS domain (PF17907) (Figure

2), yet SET2 harbor one additional SRI domain (PF08236) that interacts with RNA polymerase

II and links H3K36me with transcript elongation (87–89). In *N. crassa,* Ash1 was shown to

contribute to H3K36me at sub-telomeric regions, while SET2 acts primarily at euchromatic

regions (90,91). Considering the common origin of SET2 and Ash1 as well as their similar

activities, an ancient duplication followed by the loss or gain of the SRI domain is likely to have

contributed to their functional diversification (Figure 2). SET2 was found in all outgroup

species, while *Ash1* was lost in both Basidiomycota, both yeasts, *B. cinerea*, and *F.*

graminearum. Both enzymes are conserved in all *Aspergillus* species except in *A. carbonarius*

from the *Nigri* section, suggesting that either other SET domain-containing proteins exhibit

functional redundancy or that H3K36 methylation is not fully required in this species. In

Fusarium fujikuroi, deletion of *SET2* and *ASH1* strongly affected vegetative growth and

conidiation (91). SET9 homologs harbor only a SET domain, and were shown to catalyze

H4K20me in *S. pombe* (92). This group is well conserved in most of the species except in *S.*

cerevisiae (93) and in the two closely related Aspergilli, *A. novoparasiticus* and *A. arachidicola*

that both belong to section *Flavi* (Figure 2 and Figure S1).

SET7 is the catalytic subunit of PRC2, and is highly conserved in mammals, plants,

and *N. crassa*, in which it has been well-characterized to catalyze methylation on H3K27, a

PTM that is deposited at facultative heterochromatin (21). Even though PRC2 is considered

to be a key chromatin modifier complex, its catalytic subunit *SET7* was lost in many fungal

species (22). *SET7* has previously been reported to be absent in *A. nidulans* and *A. fumigatus*

(20–22), and we here demonstrate that *SET7* is absent from all analyzed Aspergilli as well as

from *Penicillium* (Figure 2), suggesting that this enzyme was most likely lost at or before the

divergence of the last common ancestor of these two fungal genera. Thus, in line with previous

reports (22,30,94,95), our results indicate that methylation on H3K4, H3K9, H3K36, and

H4K20 are likely catalyzed by conserved enzymes in all Aspergilli, while H3K27 methylation

is absent. Considering that loss of function of these enzymes are usually reported to trigger

deleterious phenotypes (32,77), investigating how some species are able to cope with such

losses will likely provide new insights on the histone code and its conservation in fungi.

Importantly, the occurrence of a high number of uncharacterized SET domain-containing

proteins opens the possibility that these contribute to not yet characterized histone

modifications. Especially, four uncharacterized SET domain-containing groups with 144, 195,

113, and 113 members are conserved in Aspergilli and thus likely play important roles in

different cellular processes.

Histone acetyltransferases are conserved in Aspergilli

Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) have been classified into five families: MYST ('MOZ,

Ybf2/Sas3, Sas2, and Tip60)-related HATs, Gcn5-related acetyltransferases (GNATs),

p300/CBP HATs, general transcription factor HATs, and nuclear hormone-related HATs (96–

98). We here only focused on the first two HAT families because these are known to be

involved in the regulation of histone proteins and appear largely conserved in fungi (96).

The MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain is the catalytic domain of MYST-related HATs (99). As

previously reported (100), the three characterized MYST-related HATs Sas3, Sas2, and Esa1

belong to distinct monophyletic clades, and these paralogues are nearly fully conserved in the

here analyzed fungi (Figure 3). MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain and zf-MYST domain (PF17772)

are present in Sas3, which can on its own acetylate histone H3 and H4, and H2A weakly *in*

vitro (101). Within the NuA3 (Nucleosomal Acetyltransferase of histone H3) complex, Sas3

histone acetylation activity is *in vitro* restricted on H3K14 and to a less extent on H3K23, while

in *S. cerevisiae*, only H3K14ac can be detected (102). *Sas3* occurs in most of the species but

is lost in *S. pombe*, as previously observed (103,104), and is duplicated in *A. carbonarius*

(Figure 3). In addition to the two domains found in Sas3, Sas2 and Esa1 carry a PHD_4

domain (PF16866) or Tudor-knot domain (PF11717), respectively (Figure 3). *Esa*1 is present

as a single copy in all species included in this study, and its functions is known to contribute

to the acetylation of K5, K8, K12, K16 on histone H4, as well as on H2AZK14 in *S. cerevisiae*

(105–108). *Sas2* is similarly found in most of the species except for two Basidiomycota as well

as for *A. carbonarius*. Sas2 is involved in chromatin-mediated gene regulation and H4K16

acetylation in both *S. cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans* (105,109,110). Although Esa1 and

Sas2 catalyze H4K16ac, it was shown in *C. albicans* that they are differentially recruited at

different stages of development (105). Because both Esa1 and Sas2 are well-conserved in

Figure 3. Conservation of MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain-containing histone acetyltransferases in the Aspergillus genus. The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of MOZ/SAS FAMILY domains (PF01853) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (37,38). The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles. Additional conserved domains in MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

the *Aspergillus* genus, we hypothesize that these two HATs may also have distinct roles during development.

GNATs have a acetyltransferase domain as its catalytic core, and 2,604

acetyltransferase domain-containing proteins were found in our search (Supplementary

Figure 2). The two well-characterized GNATs, *Gcn5* and *Elp3*, occur in a single copy in every

species included in our study (Supplementary Figure 2). Gcn5 harbors a Bromodomain

(PF00439) in addition to the Acetyltransferase domain and is a crucial subunit of distinct

histone acetyltransferase complexes such as the HAT-A2 complex, the SAGA complex, and

the ADA complex in *S. cerevisiae* (96,98). All these complexes contain two coactivator

proteins, Ada2 and Ada3, that directly bind to Gcn5 (111) and the recruitment of different other

subunits determines the location where they catalyze acetylation (96). Elp3 is characterized

by the Acetyltransferase domain, Radical SAM (PF04055), and Radical SAM C-terminal

domain (PF16199), and has been shown to catalyze H3K14ac, H4K8ac, and H3K56ac in *S.*

cerevisiae (112–114). Elp3 also exhibits a dual enzymatic activity as it catalyzes

demethylation in mouse zygotes (115), which is due to the Radical SAM domain that catalyzes

the demethylation of methylated lysyl residues on histones (116).

Next to Gcn5 and Elp3, we have identified 2,386 additional acetyltransferase domain-

containing proteins. Because their functions remain unknown due to the lack of homology to

sequences with characterized functions, they are valuable candidates that may acetylate

histones or other proteins. Interestingly, it has been shown that Gcn5 also exhibits

succinyltransferase activity in humans (117) and can also function as histone

crotonyltransferase to regulate gene transcription *in vitro* (118). It is expected that other less

common histone modifications, *i.e.* histone lysine butyrylation, propionylation, or malonylation,

may also be catalyzed by histone acetyltransferases because these histone modifications all

use acyl-Coenzyme A to complete the reactions (119–121). Further research is needed to

determine whether these less common histone modifications are catalyzed by the known

histone acetyltransferases Gcn5 and Elp3, or as of yet unstudied HATs. In conclusion, all five

characterized fungal HATs described above are highly conserved in the *Aspergillus* genus,

showing their importance in fungal biology. Many other acetyl-transferase domain-containing

proteins are encoded in *Aspergillus* genomes and may be responsible for histone acetylation

or additional less common histone modifications like butyrylation, succinylation, and

malonylation.

Duplication of *RpdA* histone deacetylase gene in the *Flavi* and *Janorum* sections

Five families of histone deacetylases (HDACs) can be grouped into two classes based on

sequence similarity: class I (HOS1, HOS2, and Rpd3/RpdA) and class II (HdaA and HOS3)

(122). They all harbor the Histone deacetylase catalytic domain, and our phylogenetic tree

corroborates the HDAC classification into two distinct classes (Figure 4). *HdaA* is found as a

single copy in each species included in this study (Figure 4). It harbors the Histone

deacetylase domain and C-terminal Arb2 domain (PF09757) that serves as an anchor to target

centromeric heterochromatin region (123,124). HdaA deacetylates lysine in histones H3 and

H2B, but not H4 nor H2A in *S. cerevisiae* (125,126), and the Δ*hdaA* knock-out strains show

increased or reduced production of different secondary metabolites in *A. nidulans* (127), *A.*

niger (127), and *A. fumigatus* (128). By contrast, *HOS3* is found in only 80 *Aspergillus* species,

as it is lost in nine species (*A. nomiae*, *A. pseudocaelatus*, *A. tamarii*, *A. pseudotamarii*, *A.*

caelatus, *A. sergii*, *A. novoparasiticus*, *A. minisclerotigenes*, *A. arachidicola*) in the *Flavi*

section, three species (*A. desertorum*, *A. stercorarius*, and *A. multicolor*) in the *Nidulantes*

section, and two species (*A. heteromorphus* and *A. carbonarius*) in the *Nigri* section. *HOS3* is

well conserved in all outgroup species except *S. pombe* (Figure 4) (129). HOS3 has been

shown to catalyze deacetylation with distinct specificity for histone H2AK7, H2BK11, H3K14

and H3K23, as well as H4K5 and H4K8 in *S. cerevisiae* cell extracts (130). Notably, *HOS1*

was only detected in three outgroup species, *S. cerevisiae* and both Basidiomycota (Figure

4). The specificity of Hos1 in catalyzing deacetylation on histone proteins is not known yet

(131), but it can catalyze the deacetylation of the Smc3 subunit of Cohesin and influence the

regulation of chromosome segregation during mitosis in *S. cerevisiae* (132). *HOS2* is found in

15 outgroup species and most of Aspergilli except *A. oryzae* (Figure 4). It is known to

deacetylate lysine residues in H3 and H4 histone tails (133). The conservation of *HOS2* in

Figure 4. Conservation of histone deacetylase domain-containing proteins in the Aspergillus genus. The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of Histone deacetylase domains (PF00850) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (37,38). The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles. Additional conserved domains in histone deacetylase domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

Aspergilli suggests that it plays a key role, which is supported by the deletion of *HOS2* in *A.*

niger where the deletion strains displays severe reduction in growth, sporulation, SM

biosynthesis, and stress resistance (127).

Within class I HDACs, the Rpd3/RpdA clade is divided into two sub-clades (Figure 4).

One is nearly fully conserved (except in *A. oryzae*) and comprises the well-characterized

Rpd3/RpdA HDACs, while the other one only comprises sequences from the *Flavi* and

Janorum sections (Figure 4). Our phylogenetic reconstruction suggests a duplication event

that has occurred in the ancestor of all Aspergilli giving rise to a paralog that was retained in

two sections only and could explain the *A. oryzae* loss in the conserved sub-clade. Rpd3 is a

crucial deacetylase in eukaryotes, as it is required for deacetylation in most locations on core

histone proteins, except H4K16 *in vitro* (134) that is catalyzed by HOS2 (133). *S. cerevisiae*

lacking *Rpd3* is sensitive to high osmolarity and shows compromised expression of osmotic

stress genes (135). Deletion of *RpdA* in *A. nidulans* leads to the reduction of its growth and

sporulation (136), while only heterokaryon disruptants could be obtained in *A. oryzae* (137),

indicating its crucial role in the fungal genus *Aspergillus*. In summary, class I and class II

deacetylases are well conserved in Aspergilli, and duplication events like the one observed

for *RpdA* may confer new activities. While only one paralog was retained in *A. oryzae*, the

presence of two paralogs in sections *Flavi* and *Janorum* may provide functional redundancy

or diversification, which remains to be determined.

Presence-absence patterns of subunits that compose histone modifier complexes

Histone PTMs are catalyzed by the conserved domains found in DNMTs, HMTs, HATs, and

HDACs (Figure 1-4), but these chromatin modifying enzymes are often not acting alone.

Instead, they are part of protein complexes that comprise accessory subunits, which are

involved in the recognition and induction of specific histone modifications at the correct

location (18,19). To further investigate the presence-absence patterns (PAPs) of known

chromatin modifier complexes in Aspergilli, we focused on 16 chromatin modifier complexes

with 86 subunits previously identified and studied in *S. cerevisiae* or *N. crassa* (Supplementary

Table 3). Apart from the Velvet complex, whenever a catalytic subunit is absent in one species,

there seems to be a trend for the absence of additional accessory subunits, which is

particularly observed in outgroup species (Figure 5). Only a few PAPs are observed, and most

accessory subunits are either fully conserved or absent in Aspergilli. Nine subunits appear

specific to *S. cerevisiae* as they are not found in any other species and are likely to play a

specific role in this species. Seven subunits are absent in Aspergilli and show PAP in the

outgroup species.

Five histone methyltransferase complexes, COMPASS, DCDC (Dim-5/-7/-

9/CUL4/DDB1 Complex), RNAP2, PRC2, and Dot1 (disruptor of telomeric silencing 1), as well

as the putative VelB/VeA/LaeA methyltransferase complex (Velvet), were included in our

analyses (Figure 5). Five COMPASS subunits (*SET1*, *CclA*, *Swd1*, *Swd2*, and *Swd3*) are fully

conserved, suggesting an important function for H3K4 methylation. Consistent with a PAP in

most outgroup species and the loss in Aspergilli, Spp1p is not essential for H3K4 methylation

(138). As *Sdc1* shows a PAP in Aspergilli and outgroup species, it is likely that this subunit

also does not play a key role in H3K4 methylation. In the DCDC complex, while Dim-7 and

Dim-9 seem to be dispensable for H3K9 methylation, *Dim-5*, *Cul4*, and *Dim-8* are highly

conserved, and we thus expect these enzymes to play key roles in the activity of this complex

(Figure 5 and Table S3). However, it was shown that Dim-7 and Dim-9 are responsible for

heterochromatin recognition, which leads to subsequent recruitment of the complete DCDC

to induce H3K9 methylation in *N. crassa* (139). Thus, it is very likely that other accessory

subunits are involved in recruiting DCDC at specific chromatin locations in Aspergilli.

Furthermore, the unique absence of *Dim-8* in *A. indicus* may suggest altered H3K9

methylation in this species. Consistent with the absence of *SET7* (Figure 2), all components

of the PRC2 complex are lost in Aspergilli (Figure 5). *PRC2* is found in different filamentous

fungi such as *N. crassa* (21), *Z. tritici*, *B. cinerea*, and *F. graminearum* (22). The apparent loss

Figure 5. Presence-absence pattern of subunits that constitute characterized histone modification complexes. The top row with distinct color boxes indicates outgroup species and Aspergilli sections, the order of the species from right to left is the same as in the species tree from top to bottom (Supplementary Figure 1) without Fusarium oxysporum and Aspergillus kawachii since they were excluded in the later analysis. Each row represents a subunit in the histone modification complexes, the order agrees with the summary list (Supplementary Table 3). Each box in the matrix indicates the presence (dark color) or absence (light color) of a subunit (Supplementary Table 3). Each row shows the presence/absence of a specific complex subunit. For each complex, the first row represents the catalytic subunit.

of *SET7* in *B. cinerea* and *Z. tritici* in our study (Figure 5) is due to their length that was too

short to pass the inclusion threshold compared to the *N. crassa SET7* query.

We included eight histone acetyltransferase complexes that differ by their distinct

catalytic subunits (Figure 5). The HAT-A2, ADA, SAGA, and Elongator complexes use an

acetyltransferase domain-containing protein as catalytic subunit (34,140). The SAS

(Something About Silencing), NuA4 (Nucleosomal Acetyltransferase of histone H4), and NuA3

complexes use a MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain-containing protein (141). The NuB4 (Nuclear

Hat1p-containing type B histone acetyltransferase) complex uses the histone

acetyltransferase HAT1 domain-containing protein (142). Gcn5, Ada2, and Ada3 are fully

conserved in all fungi and constitute the core enzymatic module of the HAT-A2, ADA, and

SAGA complexes. This catalytic module contributes to catalyzing histone acetylation, and

other subunits in these complexes guide them to specific locations and link them to the

transcriptional machinery (143). Besides the catalytic module, the ADA complex also harbors

the Ahc1 subunit that is found in *S. cerevisiae* only (140,144). Twelve additional subunits of

the SAGA complex are well conserved in Aspergilli and outgroup species (Figure 5). The

SAGA complex also contains a deubiquitylase (DUB) module, for which the composition

differs between Aspergilli and other species. *Sgf11* is unique to *S. cerevisiae* and *Sus1* was

likely lost in the ancestor of Pezizomycotina because we can only identify *Sus1* orthologs in

both Basidiomycota and the yeasts (Figure 5). The Elongator complex appears more variable

as four subunits are mostly conserved, but *Elp6* is specific to *S. cerevisiae*, and *Hpa2* and

Hpa3 show PAPs in both Aspergilli and outgroup species.

The NuA4, SAS, and NuB4 complexes are well-conserved in Aspergilli as well as in

the outgroup species (Figure 5). These complexes appear slightly different in *S. cerevisiae*

with the presence of specific subunits (*Eaf5* in the NuA4 complex; *Sas4* and *Sas5* in the SAS

complex). Both SAS and NuA4 complexes can catalyze H4K16ac with opposite effects during

cell growth and morphogenesis in *C. albicans* (105). It would be interesting to assess whether

these two complexes exhibit similar activities in Aspergilli. For the NuA3 complex, two

accessory subunits are well conserved among Aspergilli while two others, *Pdp3*, and *Nto1*,

show different PAPs, and *Yng1* is specific to *S. cerevisiae*. *Pdp3* and *Nto1* seem to be lost in

a complementary manner, suggesting a possible functional redundancy (Figure 5). Finally,

two histone deacetylase complexes, Sin3-Rpd3 and HCHC, are fully conserved (Figure 5).

The Sin3-Rpd3 complex is slightly different in *S. cerevisiae* with the specific of the *Ume1*

subunit.

Overall, our analysis showed that the core catalytic modules of complexes involved in

histone PTMs are mostly conserved in all fungi, including Aspergilli, but variability in accessory

subunits could contribute to differences in the localization of histone PTMs along the genome.

Unbiased mass spectrometry captures the diverse histone modifications in *A. nidulans*

and verifies the absence of H3K27 methylation

Our genomic and phylogenetic analyses have identified the presence of multiple chromatin

modifiers in Aspergilli, suggesting that these species have the ability to establish a wide variety

of histone modifications. To assess the presence of histone PTMs predicted in our

evolutionary analyses (Fig 1-5), we extracted histone proteins from *A. nidulans* and performed

unbiased mass spectrometry analyses. H3 and H4 peptides with PTMs corresponding to

H3K4me, H3K9me, H3K36me, and H3K79me were detected (Figure 6), which we anticipate

being catalyzed by SET1, Dim-5, Ash1 and SET2, and Dot1, respectively. Interestingly,

H4K20me, which is catalyzed by SET9 in *S. pombe* (92), was not detected (Figure 6).

However, *SET9* is well conserved in Aspergilli (Figure 2), and thus it is possible that Aspergilli

lost subunits that are needed to induce this specific PTM or that H4K20me is not detectable

under the here tested conditions. Additionally, acetylation of H3K9, H3K14, H3K18, H4K5,

H4K8, H4K12, and H4K16 were detected (Figure 6). Acetylation on H3K23 and H3K56 were

not detected in this study even though the core catalytic enzymes of SAGA, NuA3, and

Elongator complexes involved in these modifications are conserved (Figure 5). These

modifications either do not occur in Aspergilli, maybe due to the absence of the necessary

accessory subunits or occur below our detection threshold. As expected, we did not observe

any peptide that would indicate the presence of H3K27me in *A nidulans* (Figure 6A;

Supplementary Table 4), thereby corroborating our computational analysis that the PRC2

complex is absent in *A. nidulans* as well as in all other Aspergilli. Thus, the mass spectrometry

results verify the occurrence of histone modifications predicted to be present based on our

comparative analyses in the fungal genus *Aspergillus*. Moreover, the differences between the

relative abundance of distinct histone modifications provide interesting information to

investigate these abundances and identify specific conditions under which these modifications

increase or decrease in abundance.

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the histone code in Aspergilli. (A) Relative abundance
of post-translational modifications detected on peptides of histone H3 and H4 from Aspergillus
nidulans using unbiased mass spectrometry. The term 'combinations' refers to multiple
modifications present on the same peptide (Supplementary Table 4). (B) Histone
modifications are predicted by genomic and phylogenetic analyses, and/or proved by mass
spectrometry in *A. nidulans*. Histone modifications verified by mass spectrometry are labeled
as solid dots, while the ones that are only predicted by phylogenic analysis are labeled as
solid dots with a red outline.
684

Conclusion

Changes in chromatin organization play an important role in regulating the expression of genes (129). The fungal genus *Aspergillus* is well known for its ability to thrive in a broad range of different environmental niches and the huge potential of secondary metabolite biosynthesis (16,145). However, a detailed and complete overview of occurrence and evolution of chromatin modifiers in Aspergilli is a prerequisite to be able to better understand how these fungi regulate gene expression. In the future, more research is needed to uncover how the absence of *de novo* DNA methylation impacts genome evolution in Aspergilli. We demonstrate that PRC2 is lost throughout Aspergilli, and the absence of PRC2 is responsible for the lack of H3K27me. Although histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases are overall conserved, our study also revealed specific duplication and loss events, which require further investigation to understand their impact on chromatin and gene regulation in certain *Aspergillus* species. Moreover, other less common histone modifications like histone lysine succinylation, crotonylation, butyrylation, propionylation, and malonylation, are all likely catalyzed by HATs and HDACs as they all use Coenzyme A (CoA) to complete the reactions (119–121), but may require specific yet to be discovered subunits. In conclusion, our study provides a detailed overview of the evolutionary routes of chromatin modifier complex in the fungal genus *Aspergillus*, and therefore generates the necessary framework to identify targets for functional studies to understand how chromatin regulates gene expression in Aspergilli.

Acknowledgment

The sequence data were produced by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute

(http://www.jgi.doe.gov/) in collaboration with the user community. Especially, we thank the

Scott Baker, Mikael Andersen, and Joseph Spatafora for their permission to use the sequence

data. We also like to thank Jos Houbraken for validating the *Aspergillus* species tree, Joseph

Strauss for providing *A. nidulans* strain, and Alessandro Vai for supporting the mass

spectrometry data analysis of histone PTMs.

Funding information

Xin Zhang is funded by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) (201907720028). This work

has been supported by EPIC-XS, project number 823839, funded by the Horizon 2020

programme of the European Union.

Authors and contribution

X.Z. formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – original draft; R.N.

formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization; T.B. funding acquisition,

supervision, resources; J.C. Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project

administrations, supervision, visualization, writing original draft; M.F.S. Conceptualization,

funding acquisition, methodology, project administrations, supervision, visualization, writing

original draft.

References

1. Grigoriev I V, Nikitin R, Haridas S, Kuo A, Ohm R, Otillar R, et al. MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(D1):D699–704.

2. Labrador M, Corces VG. Setting the boundaries of chromatin domains and nuclear organization. Cell. 2002;111(2):151–4.

3. Jenuwein T, Allis CD. Translating the histone code. Science. 2001;293(5532):1074–80.

4. Peterson CL, Laniel M-A. Histones and histone modifications. Curr Biol. 2004;14(14):R546–51.

5. Luger K, Mader AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. Crystal structure of the nucleosome resolution core particle at 2.8 Å. Nature. 1997;389:251–60.

6. Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. Genes Dev. 2002;16(1):6–21.

7. Strahl BD, Allis CD. The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature. 2000;403(6765):41–5.

8. Choy JS, Wei S, Lee JY, Tan S, Chu S, Lee TH. DNA methylation increases nucleosome compaction and rigidity. J Am Chem Soc. 2010;132(6):1782–3.

9. Santos-Rosa H, Schneider R, Bannister AJ, Sherriff J, Bernstein BE, Emre NCT, et al. Active genes are tri-methylated at K4 of histone H3. Nature. 2002;419(6905):407–11.

10. Sullivan BA, Karpen GH. Centromeric chromatin exhibits a histone modification pattern that is distinct from both euchromatin and heterochromatin. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2004;11(11):1076–83.

11. Grewal SIS, Jia S. Heterochromatin revisited. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8(1):35–46.

12. Berger SL. The complex language of chromatin regulation during transcription. Nature. 2007;447(7143):407–12.

13. Xiao B, Wilson JR, Gamblin SJ. SET domains and histone methylation. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2003;13(6):699–705.

14. Pfannenstiel BT, Keller NP. On top of biosynthetic gene clusters: How epigenetic

machinery influences secondary metabolism in fungi. Biotechnol Adv.

2019;37(6):107345.

15.   Hyun K, Jeon J, Park K, Kim J. Writing, erasing and reading histone lysine

methylations. Exp Mol Med. 2017;49(4).

16.   Collemare J, Seidl MF. Chromatin-dependent regulation of secondary metabolite

biosynthesis in fungi: is the picture complete? FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2019;43(6):591–

607.

17.   Helmlinger D, Papai G, Devys D, Tora L. What do the structures of GCN5-containing

complexes teach us about their function? Biochim Biophys Acta - Gene Regul Mech.

2021;1864(2).

18.   Hajheidari M, Koncz C, Bucher M. Chromatin evolution-key innovations underpinning

morphological complexity. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:1–12.

19.   Gates LA, Foulds CE, O'Malley BW. Histone marks in the 'drivers seat': functional

roles in steering the transcription cycle. 2018;42(12):977–89.

20.   Jamieson K, Wiles ET, McNaught KJ, Sidoli S, Leggett N, Shao Y, et al. Loss of HP1

causes depletion of H3K27me3 from facultative heterochromatin and gain of

H3K27me2 at constitutive heterochromatin. Genome Res. 2016;26(1):97–107.

21.   Jamieson K, Rountree MR, Lewis ZA, Stajich JE, Selker EU. Regional control of

histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Neurospora. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2013;110(15):6027–32.

22.   Freitag M. Histone Methylation by SET Domain Proteins in Fungi. Annu Rev

Microbiol. 2017;71:413–39.

23.   Kamei M, Ameri AJ, Ferraro AR, Bar-peled Y, Zhao F. IMITATION SWITCH is

required for normal chromatin structure and gene repression in PRC2 target domains.

2021;118(4).

24.   Weiner AKM, Cerón-Romero MA, Yan Y, Katz LA. Phylogenomics of the epigenetic

toolkit reveals punctate retention of genes across eukaryotes. Genome Biol Evol.

2020;1–39.

25.   Choi J, Kim S-H. A genome tree of life for the fungi kingdom. Proc Natl Acad Sci.

783   2017;114(35):9391–6.

784   26.   Bewick AJ, Hofmeister BT, Powers RA, Mondo SJ, Grigoriev I V., James TY, et al.

785   Diversity of cytosine methylation across the fungal tree of life. Nat Ecol Evol.

786   2019;3(3):479–90.

787   27.   Kouzminova E, Selker EU. dim-2 encodes a DNA methyltransferase responsible for

788   all known cytosine methylation in Neurospora. EMBO J. 2001;20(15):4309–23.

789   28.   Nai YS, Huang YC, Yen MR, Chen PY. Diversity of Fungal DNA Methyltransferases

790   and Their Association With DNA Methylation Patterns. Front Microbiol. 2021;11.

791   29.   Samson RA, Visagie CM, Houbraken J, Hong SB, Hubka V, Klaassen CHW, et al.

792   Phylogeny, identification and nomenclature of the genus *Aspergillus*. Stud Mycol.

793   2014;78(1):141–73.

794   30.   Cánovas D, Marcos AT, Gacek A, Ramos MS, Gutiérrez G, Reyes-Domínguez Y, et

795   al. The histone acetyltransferase GcnE (GCN5) plays a central role in the regulation

796   of *Aspergillus* asexual development. Genetics. 2014;197(4):1175–89.

797   31.   Palmer JM, Perrin RM, Dagenais TRT, Keller NP. H3K9 methylation regulates growth

798   and development in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Eukaryot Cell. 2008;7(12):2052–60.

799   32.   Yang K, Liang L, Ran F, Liu Y, Li Z, Lan H, et al. The DmtA methyltransferase

800   contributes to Aspergillus flavus conidiation, sclerotial production, aflatoxin

801   biosynthesis and virulence. Sci Rep. 2016;6:1–13.

802   33.   Palmer JM, Bok JW, Lee S, Dagenais TRT, Andes DR, Kontoyiannis DP, et al. Loss

803   of CclA, required for histone 3 lysine 4 methylation, decreases growth but increases

804   secondary metabolite production in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. PeerJ. 2013;2013(1):1–18.

805   34.   Georgakopoulos P, Lockington RA, Kelly JM. The Spt-Ada-Gcn5 Acetyltransferase

806   (SAGA) Complex in *Aspergillus nidulans*. PLoS One. 2013;8(6).

807   35.   Eddy SR. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol.

808   2011;7(10):e1002195.

36.   Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772–80.

37.   Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics.

2009;25(15):1972–3.

38.   Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. Terrace aware data structure for

phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. Syst Biol. 2016;65(6):997–1008.

39.   Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective

stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol.

2015;32(1):268–74.

40.   Anisimova M, Gil M, Dufayard J-F, Dessimoz C, Gascuel O. Survey of branch support

methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based

approximation schemes. Syst Biol. 2011;60(5):685–99.

41.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new

developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(W1):W256–9.

42.   Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:

assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy

orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(19):3210–2.

43.   Boratyn GM, Camacho C, Cooper PS, Coulouris G, Fong A, Ma N, et al. BLAST: a

more efficient report with usability improvements. Nucleic Acids Res.

2013;41(W1):W29–33.

44.   Slater GSC, Birney E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence

comparison. BMC Bioinformatics. 2005;6(1):31.

45.   Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open

software suite. Elsevier current trends; 2000.

46.   Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput Sci Eng. 2007;9(03):90–5.

47.   Waskom ML. Seaborn: statistical data visualization. J Open Source Softw.

2021;6(60):3021.

48.   Noberini R, Restellini C, Savoia EO, Bonaldi T. Enrichment of histones from patient

samples for mass spectrometry-based analysis of post-translational modifications.

Methods. 2020;184:19–28.

49.   He F. Bradford protein assay. Bio-protocol. 2011;45.

50.   Noberini R, Savoia EO, Brandini S, Greco F, Marra F, Bertalot G, et al. Spatial epi-

proteomics enabled by histone post-translational modification analysis from low-

abundance clinical samples. Clin Epigenetics. 2021;13(1):1–16.

51.   Prudhomme N, Gianetto-Hill C, Pastora R, Cheung W-F, Allen-Vercoe E, McLean

MD, et al. Quantitative proteomic profiling of shake flask versus bioreactor growth

reveals distinct responses of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* for preparation in molecular

pharming. Can J Microbiol. 2021;67(1):75–84.

52.   Bremang M, Cuomo A, Agresta AM, Stugiewicz M, Spadotto V, Bonaldi T. Mass

spectrometry-based identification and characterisation of lysine and arginine

methylation in the human proteome. Mol Biosyst. 2013;9(9):2231–47.

53.   Yuan Z-F, Sidoli S, Marchione DM, Simithy J, Janssen KA, Szurgot MR, et al.

EpiProfile 2.0: a computational platform for processing epi-proteomics mass

spectrometry data. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(7):2533–41.

54.   Pesavento JJ, Mizzen CA, Kelleher NL. Quantitative analysis of modified proteins and

their positional isomers by tandem mass spectrometry: human histone H4. Anal

Chem. 2006;78(13):4271–80.

55.   Wade PA, Pruss D, Wolffe AP. Histone acetylation: Chromatin in action. Trends

Biochem Sci. 1997;22(4):128–32.

56.   Binda O. On your histone mark, SET, methylate! Epigenetics. 2013;8(5):457–63.

57.   Wolffe AP, Matzke MA. Epigenetics: Regulation through repression. Science (80-).

1999;286(5439):481–6.

58.   James TY, Stajich JE, Hittinger CT, Rokas A. Toward a Fully Resolved Fungal Tree of

Life. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2020;74(1):291–313.

59.   Sun B Da, Houbraken J, Frisvad JC, Jiang XZ, Chen AJ, Samson RA. New species in

Aspergillus section *Usti* and an overview of *Aspergillus* section *Cavernicolarum*. Int J

Syst Evol Microbiol. 2020;

60.   Chen AJ, Frisvad JC, Sun BD, Varga J, Kocsubé S, Dijksterhuis J, et al. *Aspergillus*

section *Nidulantes* (formerly *Emericella*): Polyphasic taxonomy, chemistry and

biology. Stud Mycol. 2016;84:1–118.

61.   Steenwyk JL, Shen XX, Lind AL, Goldman GH, Rokas A. A robust phylogenomic time

tree for biotechnologically and medically important fungi in the genera *Aspergillus* and

Penicillium. MBio. 2019;10(4):1–25.

62.   Vesth TC, Nybo JL, Theobald S, Frisvad JC, Larsen TO, Nielsen KF, et al.

Investigation of inter- and intraspecies variation through genome sequencing of

Aspergillus section *Nigri*. Nat Genet. 2018;50(12):1688–95.

63.   Kjærbølling I, Vesth T, Frisvad JC, Nybo JL, Theobald S, Kildgaard S, et al. A

comparative genomics study of 23 *Aspergillus* species from section *Flavi*. Nat

Commun. 2020;11(1).

64.   Souza SC, Pereira VM, Moreira SI, Costa SS, Moreira GM, Mendes WO, et al.

Aspergillus trisporus: A new *Jani* section species from Brazilian soil. Curr Res Environ

Appl Mycol. 2019;9(1):175–86.

65.   Ko YH, So KK, Chun J, Kim DH. Distinct roles of two dna methyltransferases from

cryphonectria parasitica in fungal virulence, responses to hypovirus infection, and viral

clearance. MBio. 2021;12(1):1–16.

66.   Jurkowski TP, Jeltsch A. On the evolutionary origin of eukaryotic DNA

methyltransferases and Dnmt2. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):1–9.

67.   Bestor T. Structure of mammalian DNA methyltransferase as deduced from the

inferred amino acid sequence and direct studies of the protein. Portland Press Ltd.;

1988.

68.   Okano M, Xie S, Li E. Cloning and characterization of a family of novel mammalian

DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferases. Nat Genet. 1998;19(3):219–20.

69.   Zhang Z, He C, Chen Y, Li B, Tian S. DNA methyltransferases regulate pathogenicity

of *Botrytis cinerea* to horticultural crops. J Fungi. 2021;7(8).

70. Moller M, Habig M, Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Haueisen J, Fagundes WC, et al. Recent

loss of the Dim2 DNA methyltransferase decreases mutation rate in repeats and

changes evolutionary trajectory in a fungal pathogen. PLoS Genet. 2021;17(3):1–27.

71. Delmas V, Stokes DG, Perry RP. A mammalian DNA-binding protein that contains a

chromodomain and an SNF2/SWI2-like helicase domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci.

1993;90(6):2414–8.

72. Capuano F, Mülleder M, Kok R, Blom HJ, Ralser M. Cytosine DNA methylation is

found in *Drosophila melanogaster* but absent in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and other yeast species. Anal Chem.

2014;86(8):3697–702.

73. Huff JT, Zilberman D. Dnmt1-independent CG methylation contributes to nucleosome

positioning in diverse eukaryotes. Cell. 2014;156(6):1286–97.

74. Proffitt JH, Davie JR, Swinton D, Hattman S. 5-Methylcytosine is not detectable in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA. Mol Cell Biol. 1984;4(5):985–8.

75. Catania S, Dumesic PA, Pimentel H, Nasif A, Stoddard CI, Burke JE, et al.

Evolutionary Persistence of DNA Methylation for Millions of Years after Ancient Loss

of a De Novo Methyltransferase. Cell. 2020;180(2):263-277.e20.

76. Rountree MR, Selker EU. DNA methylation and the formation of heterochromatin in

Neurospora crassa. Heredity (Edinb). 2010;105(1):38–44.

77. Grognet P, Timpano H, Carlier F, Aït-Benkhali J, Berteaux-Lecellier V, Debuchy R, et

al. A RID-like putative cytosine methyltransferase homologue controls sexual

development in the fungus *Podospora anserina*. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(8):e1008086.

78. Madhani HD. Unbelievable but True: Epigenetics and Chromatin in Fungi. Trends

Genet. 2020;

79. Liu S-Y, Lin J-Q, Wu H-L, Wang C-C, Huang S-J, Luo Y-F, et al. Bisulfite sequencing

reveals that *Aspergillus flavus* holds a hollow in DNA methylation. PLoS One.

2012;7(1):e30349.

80. Spellmon N, Holcomb J, Trescott L, Sirinupong N, Yang Z. Structure and function of

SET and MYND domain-containing proteins. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16(1):1406–8.

81.   Raunser S, Magnani R, Huang Z, Houtz RL, Trievel RC, Penczek PA, et al. Rubisco

in complex with Rubisco large subunit methyltransferase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2009;106(9):3160–5.

82.   Trievel RC, Flynn EM, Houtz RL, Hurley JH. Mechanism of multiple lysine methylation

by the SET domain enzyme Rubisco LSMT. Nat Struct Biol. 2003;10(7):545–52.

83.   Porras-Yakushi TR, Whitelegge JP, Clarke S. Yeast ribosomal/cytochrome c SET

domain methyltransferase subfamily: Identification of Rpl23ab methylation sites and

recognition motifs. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(17):12368–76.

84.   Roguev A, Schaft D, Shevchenko A, Pijnappel WWMP, Wilm M, Aasland R, et al. The

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Set1 complex includes an Ash2 homologue and

methylates histone 3 lysine 4. EMBO J. 2001;20(24):7137–48.

85.   Zhang X, Tamaru H, Khan SI, Horton JR, Keefe LJ, Selker EU, et al. Structure of the

Neurospora SET Domain Protein DIM-5, a Histone H3 Lysine Methyltransferase

(Boggs et al methylation has been implicated in transcriptional silencing of eu. Cell.

2002;111:9.

86.   Marina DB, Shankar S, Natarajan P, Finn KJ, Madhani HD. A conserved ncRNA-

binding protein recruits silencing factors to heterochromatin through an RNAi-

independent mechanism. Genes Dev. 2013;27(17):1851–6.

87.   Strahl BD, Grant PA, Briggs SD, Sun Z-W, Bone JR, Caldwell JA, et al. Set2 is a

nucleosomal histone H3-selective methyltransferase that mediates transcriptional

repression. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22(5):1298–306.

88.   Xiao T, Hall H, Kizer KO, Shibata Y, Hall MC, Borchers CH, et al. Phosphorylation of

RNA polymerase II CTD regulates H3 methylation in yeast. Genes Dev.

2003;17(5):654–63.

89.   Li M, Phatnani HP, Guan Z, Sage H, Greenleaf AL, Zhou P. Solution structure of the

Set2–Rpb1 interacting domain of human Set2 and its interaction with the

hyperphosphorylated C-terminal domain of Rpb1. Proc Natl Acad Sci.

2005;102(49):17636–41.

90. Bicocca VT, Ormsby T, Adhvaryu KK, Honda S, Selker EU. ASH1-catalyzed H3K36

methylation drives gene repression and marks H3K27me2/3-competent chromatin.

Elife. 2018;7:1–19.

91. Janevska S, Baumann L, Sieber CMK, Münsterkötter M, Ulrich J, Kämper J, et al.

Elucidation of the Two H3K36me3 Histone Methyltransferases Set2 and Ash1 in

Fusarium fujikuroi Unravels Their Different Chromosomal Targets and a Major Impact

of Ash1 on Genome Stability. Genetics. 2018;208:153–71.

92. Wang Y, Reddy B, Thompson J, Wang H, Noma K, Yates III JR, et al. Regulation of

Set9-mediated H4K20 methylation by a PWWP domain protein. Mol Cell.

2009;33(4):428–37.

93. Veerappan CS, Avramova Z, Moriyama EN. Evolution of SET-domain protein families

in the unicellular and multicellular Ascomycota fungi. BMC Evol Biol. 2008;8(1):1–20.

94. Gacek-Matthews A, Noble LM, Gruber C, Berger H, Sulyok M, Marcos AT, et al.

KdmA, a histone H3 demethylase with bipartite function, differentially regulates

primary and secondary metabolism in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Mol Microbiol.

2015;96(4):839–60.

95. Bachleitner S, Sørensen JL, Gacek-Matthews A, Sulyok M, Studt L, Strauss J.

Evidence of a Demethylase-Independent Role for the H3K4-Specific Histone

Demethylases in Aspergillus nidulans and Fusarium graminearum Secondary

Metabolism. Front Microbiol. 2019;10.

96. Carrozza MJ, Utley RT, Workman JL, Côté J. The diverse functions of histone

acetyltransferase complexes. Trends Genet. 2003;19(6):321–9.

97. Torchia J, Glass C, Rosenfeld MG. Co-activators and co-repressors in the integration

of transcriptional responses. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 1998;10(3):373–83.

98. Sterner DE, Berger SL. Acetylation of histones and transcription-related factors.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2000;64(2):435–59.

99. Roth SY, Denu JM, Allis CD. Histone acetyltransferases. Annu Rev Biochem.

2001;70(1):81–120.

100. Kawahara T, Siegel TN, Ingram AK, Alsford S, Cross GAM, Horn D. Two essential

MYST-family proteins display distinct roles in histone H4K10 acetylation and telomeric

silencing in trypanosomes. Mol Microbiol. 2008;69(4):1054–68.

101. Takechi S, Nakayama T. Sas3 is a histone acetyltransferase and requires a zinc

finger motif. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;266(2):405–10.

102. Taverna SD, Ilin S, Rogers RS, Tanny JC, Lavender H, Li H, et al. Yng1 PHD Finger

Binding to H3 Trimethylated at K4 Promotes NuA3 HAT Activity at K14 of H3 and

Transcription at a Subset of Targeted ORFs. Mol Cell. 2006;24(5):785–96.

103. Gómez EB, Espinosa JM, Forsburg SL. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* mst2+

Encodes a MYST Family Histone Acetyltransferase That Negatively Regulates

Telomere Silencing. Mol Cell Biol. 2005;25(20):8887–903.

104. Nugent RL, Johnsson A, Fleharty B, Gogol M, Xue-Franzén Y, Seidel C, et al.

Expression profiling of *S. pombe* acetyltransferase mutants identifies redundant

pathways of gene regulation. BMC Genomics. 2010;11(1):59.

105. Kim J, Park S, Lee JS. Epigenetic control of oxidative stresses by histone

acetyltransferases in *Candida albicans*. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018;28(2):181–9.

106. Millar CB, Xu F, Zhang K, Grunstein M. Acetylation of H2AZ Lys 14 is associated with

genome-wide gene activity in yeast. Genes Dev. 2006;20(6):711–22.

107. Searle NE, Torres-Machorro AL, Pillus L. Chromatin regulation by the NuA4

acetyltransferase complex is mediated by essential interactions between enhancer of

polycomb (Epl1) and Esa1. Genetics. 2017;205(3):1125–37.

108. Allard S, Utley RT, Savard J, Clarke A, Grant P, Brandl CJ, et al. NuA4, an essential

transcription adaptor/histone H4 acetyltransferase complex containing Esa1p and the

ATM-related cofactor Tra1p. EMBO J. 1999;18(18):5108–19.

109. Wang X, Chang P, Ding J, Chen J. Distinct and redundant roles of the two MYST

histone acetyltransferases Esa1 and Sas2 in cell growth and morphogenesis of

Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell. 2013;12(3):438–49.

110. Meijsing SH, Ehrenhofer-Murray AE. The silencing complex SAS-I links histone

acetylation to the assembly of repressed chromatin by CAF-I and Asf1 in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 2001;15(23):3169–82.

111. Balasubramanian R, Pray-Grant MG, Selleck W, Grant PA, Tan S. Role of the Ada2

and Ada3 transcriptional coactivators in histone acetylation. J Biol Chem.

2002;277(10):7989–95.

112. Winkler GS, Kristjuhan A, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Svejstrup JQ. Elongator

is a histone H3 and H4 acetyltransferase important for normal histone acetylation

levels in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(6):3517–22.

113. Krogan NJ, Greenblatt JF. Characterization of a Six-Subunit Holo-Elongator Complex

Required for the Regulated Expression of a Group of Genes in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21(23):8203–12.

114. Wittschieben B, Otero G, De Bizemont T, Fellows J, Erdjument-Bromage H, Ohba R,

et al. A novel histone acetyltransferase is an integral subunit of elongating RNA

polymerase II holoenzyme. Mol Cell. 1999;4(1):123–8.

115. Okada Y, Yamagata K, Hong K, Wakayama T, Zhang Y. A role for the elongator

complex in zygotic paternal genome demethylation. Nature. 2010;463(7280):554–8.

116. Booker SJ, Grove TL. Mechanistic and functional versatility of radical SAM enzymes.

F1000 Biol Rep. 2010;2(1).

117. Yuan Y, Yuan H, Yang G, Yun H, Zhao M, Liu Z, et al. IFN-α confers epigenetic

regulation of HBV cccDNA minichromosome by modulating GCN5-mediated

succinylation of histone H3K79 to clear HBV cccDNA. Clin Epigenetics. 2020;12(1):1–

16.

118. Kollenstart L, De Groot AJL, Janssen GMC, Cheng X, Vreeken K, Martino F, et al.

Gcn5 and esa1 function as histone crotonyltransferases to regulate crotonylation-

dependent transcription. J Biol Chem. 2019;294(52):20122–34.

119. Jones R. Identification and Verification of Lysine Propionylation and Butyrylation in

Yeast Core Histones Using PTMap Software. Bone. 2014;23(1):1–7.

120. Liu B, Lin Y, Darwanto A, Song X, Xu G, Zhang K. Identification and characterization

of propionylation at histone H3 lysine 23 in mammalian cells. J Biol Chem.

2009;284(47):32288–95.

121. Papanicolaou K, O'Rourke B, Foster DB. Metabolism leaves its mark on the

powerhouse: Recent progress in post-translational modifications of lysine in

mitochondria. Front Physiol. 2014;5 JUL.

122. Ekwall K. Genome-wide analysis of HDAC function. Trends Genet. 2005;21(11):608–

15.

123. Honda S, Bicocca VT, Gessaman JD, Rountree MR, Yokoyama A, Yu EY, et al. Dual

chromatin recognition by the histone deacetylase complex HCHC is required for

proper DNA methylation in *Neurospora crassa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2016;113(41):E6135–44.

124. Job G, Brugger C, Xu T, Lowe BR, Pfister Y, Qu C, et al. SHREC silences

heterochromatin via distinct remodeling and deacetylation modules. Mol Cell.

2016;62(2):207–21.

125. Wu J, Suka N, Carlson M, Grunstein M. TUP1 utilizes histone H3/H2B-specific HDA1

deacetylase to repress gene activity in yeast. Mol Cell. 2001;7(1):117–26.

126. Kurdistani SK, Grunstein M. Histone acetylation and deacetylation in yeast. Nat Rev

Mol cell Biol. 2003;4(4):276–84.

127. Li X, Pan L, Wang B, Pan L. The histone deacetylases HosA and HdaA affect the

phenotype and transcriptomic and metabolic profiles of *Aspergillus Niger*. Toxins

(Basel). 2019;11(9).

128. Lee I, Oh JH, Keats Shwab E, Dagenais TRT, Andes D, Keller NP. HdaA, a class 2

histone deacetylase of Aspergillus fumigatus, affects germination and secondary

metabolite production. Fungal Genet Biol. 2009;46(10):782–90.

129. Brosch G, Loidl P, Graessle S. Histone modifications and chromatin dynamics: A

focus on filamentous fungi. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(3):409–39.

130. Carmen AA, Griffin PR, Calaycay JR, Rundlett SE, Suka Y, Grunstein M. Yeast HOS3

forms a novel trichostatin A-insensitive homodimer with intrinsic histone deacetylase

activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96(22):12356–61.

131.   Pérez-Martínez ME, Benet M, Alepuz P, Tordera V. Nut1/Hos1 and Sas2/Rpd3

control the H3 acetylation of two different sets of osmotic stress-induced genes.

Epigenetics. 2020;15(3):251–71.

132.   Li S, Yue Z, Tanaka TU. Smc3 Deacetylation by Hos1 Facilitates Efficient Dissolution

of Sister Chromatid Cohesion during Early Anaphase. Mol Cell. 2017;68(3):605-

614.e4.

133.   Wang A, Kurdistani SK, Grunstein M. Requirement of Hos2 histone deacetylase for

gene activity in yeast. Science. 2002;298(5597):1412–4.

134.   Suka N, Suka Y, Carmen AA, Wu J, Grunstein M. Highly specific antibodies

determine histone acetylation site usage in yeast heterochromatin and euchromatin.

Mol Cell. 2001;8(2):473–9.

135.   De Nadal E, Zapater M, Alepuz PM, Sumoy L, Mas G, Posas F. The MAPK Hog1

recruits Rpd3 histone deacetylase to activate osmoresponsive genes. Nature.

2004;427(6972):370–4.

136.   Tribus M, Bauer I, Galehr J, Rieser G, Trojer P, Brosch G, et al. A novel motif in

fungal class 1 histone deacetylases is essential for growth and development of

Aspergillus. Mol Biol Cell. 2010;21(2):345–53.

137.   Kawauchi M, Nishiura M, Iwashita K. Fungus-specific sirtuin HstD coordinates

secondary metabolism and development through control of LaeA. Eukaryot Cell.

2013;12(8):1087–96.

138.   Dehé PM, Dichtl B, Schaft D, Roguev A, Pamblanco M, Lebrun R, et al. Protein

interactions within the Set1 complex and their roles in the regulation of histone 3

lysine 4 methylation. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(46):35404–12.

139.   Lewis ZA, Adhvaryu KK, Honda S, Shiver AL, Knip M, Sack R, et al. DNA methylation

and normal chromosome behavior in neurospora depend on five components of a

histone methyltransferase complex, DCDC. PLoS Genet. 2010;6(11):1–11.

140.   Eberharter A, Sterner DE, Schieltz D, Hassan A, Yates JR, Berger SL, et al. The ADA

1091   Complex Is a Distinct Histone Acetyltransferase Complex in *Saccharomyces*

1092   *cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol. 1999;19(10):6621–31.

1093   141.   Doyon Y, Côté J. The highly conserved and multifunctional NuA4 HAT complex. Curr

1094   Opin Genet Dev. 2004;14(2):147–54.

1095   142.   Verzijlbergen KF, van Welsem T, Sie D, Lenstra TL, Turner DJ, Holstege FCP, et al.

1096   A barcode screen for epigenetic regulators reveals a role for the NuB4/HAT-B histone

1097   acetyltransferase complex in histone turnover. PLoS Genet. 2011;7(10):18–24.

1098   143.   Sendra R, Tse C, Hansen JC. The yeast histone acetyltransferase A2 complex, but

1099   not free Gcn5p, binds stably to nucleosomal arrays. J Biol Chem.

1100   2000;275(32):24928–34.

1101   144.   Grant PA, Duggan L, Côté J, Roberts SM, Brownell JE, Candau R, et al. Yeast Gcn5

1102   functions in two multisubunit complexes to acetylate nucleosomal histones:

1103   Characterization of an ada complex and the saga (spt/ada) complex. Genes Dev.

1104   1997;11(13):1640–50.

1105   145.   Steenwyk JL, Mead ME, Knowles SL, Raja HA, Roberts CD, Bader O, et al. Variation

1106   among biosynthetic gene clusters, secondary metabolite profiles, and cards of

1107   virulence across *Aspergillus* species. Genetics. 2020;216(2):481–97.

1108

1109

 Figure captions

Figure 1. Conservation of DNA methyltransferase families in the *Aspergillus* genus. The

maximum-likelihood phylogeny of DNA methyltransferase domains (PF00145) found in 94

Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (38,39). The black dot on the

branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from

Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles. Additional conserved

domains in DNA methyltransferase domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM

database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

1118

Figure 2. Conservation of histone methyltransferase families in the *Aspergillus* genus.

The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of SET domains (PF00856) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15

outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (38,39). The black dot on the branch indicates

ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with

trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles and leaves with similar protein

architecture with unknown functions covering both Aspergilli and outgroup species are collapsed

and shown as grey triangles. Additional conserved domains in SET domain-containing proteins

were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

1127

Figure 3. Conservation of MOZ/SAS FAMILY domain-containing histone

acetyltransferases in the *Aspergillus* genus. The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of

MOZ/SAS FAMILY domains (PF01853) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was

determined using IQ-TREE (38,39). The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap

values over 95 and SH-aLRT values over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are

collapsed and shown as red triangles. Additional conserved domains in MOZ/SAS FAMILY

domain-containing proteins were identified using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

1135

Figure 4. Conservation of histone deacetylase domain-containing proteins in the

***Aspergillus* genus.** The maximum-likelihood phylogeny of Histone deacetylase domains

(PF00850) found in 94 Aspergilli and 15 outgroup species was determined using IQ-TREE (38,39).

The black dot on the branch indicates ultrafast bootstrap values over 95 and SH-aLRT values

over 80. Leaves from Aspergilli with trustworthy support are collapsed and shown as red triangles.

Additional conserved domains in histone deacetylase domain-containing proteins were identified

using the PFAM database (https://pfam.xfam.org).

1143

Figure 5. Presence-absence pattern of subunits that constitute characterized histone

modification complexes. The top row with distinct color boxes indicates outgroup species and

Aspergilli sections, the order of the species from right to left is the same as in the species tree

from top to bottom (Supplementary Figure 1) without *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Aspergillus*

kawachii since they were excluded in the later analysis. Each row represents a subunit in the

histone modification complexes, the order agrees with the summary list (Supplementary Table

3). Each box in the matrix indicates the presence (dark color) or absence (light color) of a

subunit (Supplementary Table 3). Each row shows the presence/absence of a specific

complex subunit. For each complex, the first row represents the catalytic subunit.

1153

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the histone code in Aspergilli. (A) Relative abundance

of post-translational modifications detected on peptides of histone H3 and H4 from *Aspergillus*

nidulans using unbiased mass spectrometry analysis. The term "combinations" refers to multiple

modifications occurring on the same peptide (Supplementary Table 4). **(B)** Histone modifications

are predicted by genomic and phylogenetic analyses, and/or proved by mass spectrometry in

A. nidulans. Histone modifications verified by mass spectrometry are labeled as solid dots,

while the ones that are only predicted by phylogenic analysis are labeled as solid dots with a

red outline.

1162

1163

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table S1. List of species included in this study.

Supplementary Table S2. List of BUSCO genes used to build the species tree.

Supplementary Table S3. List of chromatin modifier complexes reported in literature.

Supplementary Table S4. Mass spectrometry result for *A. nidulans*.

Supplementary Figure 1. A robust species phylogeny for the fungal genus *Aspergillus*.

Supplementary Figure 2. Two known histone acyltransferase-domain containing protein groups are conserved in the *Aspergillus* genus together with many unknown proteins.

Supplementary Data 1. Fasta, trimmed alignment, and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree files associated with Figure 1-4.

Supplementary Data 2. Fasta, trimmed alignment, and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree files associated with Figure 5.

	#homologs | #species	Protein architecture	Function
DNMT1	5 | 4		DNA methylation maintenance
Dim-2	6 | 5		DNA methylation maintenance
DNMT5	10 | 10		DNA methylation maintenance
	88 | 88		
RID	12 | 10		de novo DNA methylation during sexual stage
	94 | 94		
DNMT2	3 | 3		tRNA methylation

Tree scale: 1

DNA_methylase PF00145
Helicase_C PF00271
SNF2_N PF00176
DNMT1-RFD PF12047
BAH PF01426

#homologs | #species	Protein architecture	Function
113 | 87		Unknown
113 | 107		Unknown
85 | 68		Unknown
117 | 107		Ribosomal lysine N-methyltransferase
195 | 103		Unknown
53 | 53		Unknown
78 | 63		Unknown
72 | 37		Unknown
144 | 96		Unknown

SET9

| 15 \| 14 | | |
| 92 \| 92 | | H4K20me |

SET7
| 10 \| 9 | | H3K27me lost in Aspergilli |

Dim-5
| 18 \| 14 | | |
| 93 \| 93 | | H3K9me |

SET1
| 15 \| 15 | | |
| 94 \| 94 | | H3K4me |

Ash1
| 9 \| 9 | | |
| 93 \| 93 | | H3K36me at sub-telomeric region |

SET2
| 15 \| 15 | | |
| 93 \| 93 | | H3K36me at euchromatic region |

Tree scale: 1

- Ezh2_MCSS PF18600
- EZH2_N PF18601
- Rubisco LSMT PF09273
- zf-MYND PF01753
- SRI PF08236
- SET_assoc PF11767
- N-SET PF11764
- SET PF00856
- AWS PF17907
- Pre-SET PF05033

#homologs | #species	Protein architecture	Function

Esa1

15 | 15

94 | 94

H4K5ac
H4K8ac
H4K12ac
H4K16ac
H2AZK14

Sas3

14 | 14

95 | 94

H3
H4
H2B (weakly)
(*in vitro*)

Sas2

13 | 13

93 | 93

H4K16ac

Tree scale: 1

MOZ_SAS PF01853
zf-MYST PF17772
Tudor-knot PF11717
PHD_4 PF16866

#homologs | #species	Protein architecture	Function

HOS3

Class II

14 \| 14

80 \| 80

Deacetylation on H2AK7
H2BK11
H3K14/23
H4K5/K8
(*in vitro*)

HdaA

15 \| 15

94 \| 94

Deacetylation on
H2B
H3

HOS1

3 \| 3

Unknown

HOS2

Class I

15 \| 15

93 \| 93

Deacetylation on
H3
H4 (including K16)
Lost in *A. oryzae*

Rpd3/RpdA

4 \| 4

20 \| 20

13 \| 13

93 \| 93

Duplications in Janorum
and Flavi
(including *A. oryzae*) sections

Deacetylation on
H2A
H2B
H3
H4 except K16
(*in vitro*)
Lost in *A. oryzae*

Tree scale: 1
Histone deacetylase PF00850
Arb2 PF09757