1 Enrichment of specific GABAergic neuronal types in the mouse perirhinal cortex

3 Authors
Maximiliano José Nigro[1]*, Kasper Kjelsberg[1], Laura Convertino[1,2], Rajeevkumar Raveendran Nair[1],
Menno P. Witter[1]
[1]Kavli Institute for Systems Neuroscience, Center for Neural Computation, Egil and Pauline Braathen and Fred Kavli Center
for Cortical Microcircuits, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway
[2] Current address: Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, University College
London, London, United Kingdom

11 *Corresponding author
12 Maximiliano José Nigro
13 Maximiliano.j.nigro@ntnu.no

15 Abstract
GABAergic neurons represent 10-15% of the neuronal population of the cortex but exert a powerful
control over information flow in cortical circuits. GABAergic neurons show an extraordinary diversity in
their morphology, physiology, molecular markers and connectivity. This diversity allows GABAergic
neurons to participate in a wide variety of microcircuit motifs. The diversity of GABAergic neurons has
been shown to be conserved across cortical regions. The GABAergic population can be broadly divided
in three major classes parvalbumin, somatostatin and 5HT3aR groups. The largest GABAergic class in
the cortex is represented by the parvalbumin-expressing fast-spiking neurons, which provide powerful
somatic inhibition to their postsynaptic targets. Recently, the density of parvalbumin-expressing neurons
has been shown to be lower in associative areas of the mouse cortex, including the perirhinal cortex, as
compared to sensory and motor areas. In the present study we investigated whether this reduction in
parvalbumin-expressing neurons leads to a decreased GABAergic population, or to an enrichment of
other GABAergic cell-types. We found that the GABAergic population of the perirhinal cortex is
comparable to that of a primary sensory area, and it is enriched of neurons belonging to the 5HT3aR
group. We also demonstrate that, despite the low density of parvalbumin-expressing neurons, the
perirhinal cortex contains a comparable population of fast-spiking neurons, most of which do not express
parvalbumin. Our results demonstrate a yet uncharacterized diversity within the fast-spiking population
across cortical regions.

34 Introduction
The wide neuronal diversity of the cerebral
cortex is thought to endow it with a variety of
circuit motifs that shape information processing
(Harris and Shepherd 2015; Luo 2021). The
cerebral cortex can be parcellated in functional
areas that show specific cytoarchitectonic
features and molecular marker expression (van
Essen and Glasser, 2018). The relationship
between cortical parcellation and distribution of
neuron types in the cortex is poorly understood.
GABAergic neurons represent 10-15% of the
neuronal population and their diversity allows
them to participate in different cortical
microcircuits (Fishell and Kepecs, 2014;
Tremblay et al., 2021). GABAergic neurons are
divided in two major groups according to
embryonal origin: those derived from the medial
ganglionic eminence (MGE) and those derived
from the caudal ganglionic eminence (CGE)
(Rudy et al 2011). MGE-derived GABAergic
neurons are further divided according to
molecular marker expression into parvalbumin
(PV)-expressing and somatostatin (SST)-
expressing interneurons (INs). CGE-derived
interneurons (CGE-INs) are labeled by GFP in
the 5HT3aR-GFP mouse line (Lee et al 2010).
Transcriptomic analysis has confirmed this
classification and further divided the CGE group
into three major classes: vasoactive intestinal
peptide (VIP)-expressing, Lamp5, and Sncg
groups (Tasic et al 2018). Molecularly defined
GABAergic neurons have been shown to be
homogeneously distributed across sensory-
motor areas of the neocortex (Xu and Callaway
2010). However, a recent cortex-wide
examination of the distribution of three major
GABAergic groups (PV, SST, VIP) revealed
regional specializations (Whissell et al 2015;
Kim et al 2017). In particular, the density of PV-
INs decreases in association areas, including
the perirhinal cortex (PER). PV-INs are the most

abundant GABAergic type in the neocortex and
provide powerful perisomatic inhibition to their
postsynaptic targets (Tremblay et al., 2016).
PER is part of the parahippocampal region and

Figure 1. A. Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (turquoise) and PV (orange) in the wS1 of a GAD67-GFP mouse. **B.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP and PV in PER of a GAD67-GFP mouse. **C.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (turquoise) and SST (orange) in the wS1 of a GAD67-GFP mouse. **D.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP and SST in PER of a GAD67-GFP mouse. **E.** Graph plotting the samples in the principal component space using PC1 and PC2. **F.** Bar plot showing the statistical comparison of the density of GABAergic neurons across the layers of A35 (red), A36 (yellow) and wS1 (purple) (n= 5 mice): L1 (F= 1.33, df=2, p= 0.29, One-Way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 37.4, df= 2, p= 6.9E-6, One-Way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 7.6E-6, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.0002, Bonferroni correction); L5 (F= 2.8, df= 2, p= 0.1, One-way ANOVA); L6 (F= 11.5, df= 2, p= 0.002, One-Way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.008, Bonferroni correction). **G.** Cumulative percentage of SST-IR (red), PV-IR (black) and GFP-IR/-PV-SST neurons in the total GABAergic population of A35. **H.** Cumulative percentage of SST-IR (red), PV-IR (black) and GFP-IR/PV-SST- neurons in the total GABAergic population of A36. **I.** Cumulative percentage of SST-IR (red), PV-IR (black) and GFP-IR/PV-SST- neurons in the total GABAergic population of wS1.

represents a gateway for sensory information
entering the hippocampal formation (Witter et
al., 2000), by excerting an inhibitory control on
the information travelling into the hippocampal
network (DeCurtis and Paré, 2004). The
mechanisms underlying this inhibitory control
are still poorly understood. One possibility is that
this inhibitory gating relies on strong perisomatic
feedforward inhibition mediated by PV-INs
(Willems et al 2018). This is in striking contrast
with the low density of PV-INs in PER. The low
density of PV-INs in PER suggests that either
the GABAergic population of PER is smaller
than that of the neocortex, or that other
GABAergic cell-types are enriched. In the
present study we aimed to explore these two
scenarios by combining mouse genetics,
molecular marker expression, enhancer
mediated transgene expression and
electrophysiological characterization of
GABAergic neurons in PER. We compared the
GABAergic population of PER to that of the area
of whisker representation of the primary
somatosensory cortex (wS1), whose
GABAergic diversity has been extensively
described (Lee et al., 2010; Feldmeyer et al.,
2018). We found that indeed the GABAergic
population is not smaller in PER and contains a
higher density of 5HT3aR-expressing
interneurons. Characterization of the firing
patterns of GABAergic neurons in PER shows
that this is partially due to an enrichment of late-
spiking neurogliaform cells. Most strikingly, we
also found that the percentage of fast-spiking
neurons was much higher than expected from
the density of PV-INs. Using an enhancer-driven
viral approach, we demonstrate that the
population of fast-spiking neurons in PER

includes PV-expressing neurons and a
population of neurons not expressing PV.
120
121 **Results**
122
Comparison of the GABAergic population of
wS1 and PER
To compare the whole GABAergic population of
PER and wS1 we used the GAD67-EGFP
mouse line and performed immunofluorescence
staining for PV, SST and GFP (Figure 1A-D).
We quantified the number of PV-IR, SST-IR and
GFP-IR/PV-SST- (neurons labeled by the
GAD67-EGFP mouse line but not expressing
either PV or SST) neurons across layers of the
two cortical areas and calculated their densities.
We performed a PCA on the densities of these
markers across the layers of wS1 and PER (A35
and A36) to test how these cell-types describe
these two cortical regions (Figure 1E). The first
two principal components accounted for 93.8%
of the variance and the data was well
segregated in the principal component space
(Figure 1E and Figure 1 supplement 2A). The
variables that best explained the variance were
the density of PV-INs in layers 2/3-6, which was
lower in PER, and the density of GFP-IR/PV-
SST- in layers 2/3-6, which was higher in PER
(Figure 1E and Figure 1 – figure supplement
2B). The PCA results were confirmed by a
statistical comparison of the three molecularly
defined GABAergic populations (Figure 1 –
Figure supplement 3). Indeed, the density of PV-
INs was significantly lower in layer 2/3-6 of PER,
whereas the density of GFP/-PV-SST neurons
was significantly higher in L2/3-6 as compared
to wS1 (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3). The
PCA analysis showed a slight contribution of
SST-INs in L2/3, and indeed their density was

Figure 2. A. Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (green) in wS1 of the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse line. **B.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (green) in PER of the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse line. **C.** Bar plot showing the statistical comparison of the density of GFP-IR neurons in the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse line (n= 10 slices in each area): L1 (F= 0.78, df= 2, p= 0.47, One-way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 10.81, df= 2, p= 0.0004, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.0003, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.009, Bonferroni correction); L5 (F= 13.24, df= 2, p= 9.84E-5, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.0005, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.001, Bonferroni correction); L6 (F= 10.02, df= 2, p= 0.0006, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.002, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.0009, Bonferroni correction).

significantly higher in L2/3 and 6 of PER (Figure
1E and Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3). Our
data show that A35 and A36 are very similar in
their GABAergic population. However, the
densities of these GABAergic types in A36 are
between that of A35 and wS1 (Figure 1E and F,
Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3). These results
confirmed previous reports of low density of PV-
INs in PER (Whissel et al., 2015; Kim et al.,
2017), and further show that PER is enriched in
a population of GABAergic neurons that does
not express either PV or SST.
Finally, we show that PER has a higher density
of GABAergic neurons, particularly in L2/3 and
L6 (Figure 1F). Our results suggest that in PER
the low density of PV-INs is compensated by an
enrichment of other classes of GABAergic
neurons. A comparison of the percentage of
marker-IR neurons in the GABAergic population
further confirmed the reduction of PV-INs and an
increased representation of GFP-IR/-PV-SST
neurons across the layers of A35 and A36
(Figure 1G-I).
180

181 PER is enriched in GABAergic cell-types of
182 the 5HT3aR group
In sensory and motor areas of the dorsal cortex,
PV-INs represent the largest group of
GABAergic neurons (Tremblay et al., 2016). Our
results show that in PER the largest population

across layers does not express either PV or SST
(Figure 1). We reasoned that this population
might be in part composed of neurons
expressing GFP in the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse
line, which do not express either PV or SST (Lee
et al., 2010). We tested this hypothesis by
quantifying the density of GFP labeled neurons
in the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse line (Gong et al.,
2003) (Figure 2). We found that PER contains a
significantly higher density of GFP labeled
neurons as compared to wS1, particularly in
L2/3, 5 and 6 (Figure 2C). We then tested
whether VIP-INs contributed to this difference
by performing immunolabelling for VIP in the
GAD67-EGFP mouse line (Figure 2 – Figure
supplement 1). The density of VIP-INs in PER
tended to be higher than in wS1, but the
difference was not significant, other than in L5
(Figure 2 – Figure supplement 1). We conclude
that the VIP-INs are not the major source of the
enrichment of 5HT3AR-INs in PER.
208

209 L5 of PER is enriched of late-spiking
210 neurogliaform neurons
The 5HT3AR-INs group includes VIP-INs,
Lamp5-INs (neurogliaform), and sncg-INs
(CCK-basket cells) (Tasic et al., 2018). Our
results show that VIP-INs provide only a small
contribution to the enrichment in 5HT3AR-INs in
PER (Figure 2 – Figure supplement 1). The

Figure 3. A. Firing patterns recorded from GABAergic neurons in L5 of A35 (upper row) and wS1 (lower row). **B.** Confocal stacks showing of a neurogliaform cell in PER (left) and wS1 (right). **C.** Comparison of the percentage of firing patterns (left) and molecularly defined populations (right) in L5 of A35. Note the high percentage of fast-spiking neurons as compared to the low percentage of PV-INs in PER.

other two groups lack specific mouse lines or
unique molecular markers. We used instead in
vitro electrophysiology to characterize the firing
patterns of GABAergic interneurons in L5 of
PER. We focused on L5 because it is where we
found the largest difference in the percentage of
GABAergic neurons not expressing PV and SST
between PER and wS1 (Figure 1G-I).
Neurogliaform cells in the cortex, hippocampus
and amygdala show a "late-spiking" phenotype
characterized by a long latency to the first spike,
broad AHPs and no adaptation (Overstreet-
Wadiche and McBain, 2015). Sncg-INs include
CCK-basket cells, and show a regular firing
pattern with little or no adaptation (Kawaguchi
and Kubota, 1998). We obtained brain slices
containing PER from GAD67-GFP mice and
performed whole-cell patch clamp experiments
in current clamp to measure voltage responses
of GABAergic neurons. We found four types of
firing patterns in PER (n= 33 cells): the fast-

spiking phenotype (51.5%), associated to PV-
INs, the irregular firing pattern (3.03%),
associated to some VIP-INs, the late-spiking
phenotype (39.4%), associated to neurogliaform
cells, and the adapting firing pattern (6.07%),
associated to some SST-INs. In L5 of wS1 we
found five firing patterns (n= 20 cells): fast-
spiking (55%), irregular (5%), late-spiking
(10%), adapting (5%), quasi-fast spiking (25%),
associated to layer 4 targeting non-Martinotti
SST-INs (Ma et al., 2006; Nigro et al., 2018)
(Figure 3A). Our electrophysiological
characterization of the GABAergic population in
PER and wS1 showed that late-spiking
neurogliaform cells are enriched in L5 of PER.
The late-spiking neurons in PER had short
dendrites and a dense local axonal arborization,
typical of neurogliaform cells in neocortical L1
and hippocampus (Overstreet-Wadiche and
McBain, 2015) (Figure 3B). We conclude that
neurogliaform cells might account for most of

Figure 4. A. Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (turquoise) SST (orange) and dTomato (lilla) in PER of the GAD67-EGFP mouse line. **B.** Cumulative percentage of E2-dTom/SST- (black), SST-INs (red), GFP/PV-;SST- (green) neurons in the total GABAergic population of PER in the GAD67-EGFP mouse line (n= 2 mice). **C.** Pie charts comparing the percentage of marker defined populations in L5 A35 (left), electrophysiological characterization in L5 A35 (middle) and marker defined populations in L5 wS1. Note how the E2/SST- percentage is very similar to that of fast-spiking neurons in PER and PV-INs in wS1.

259 the difference in the density of 5HT3aR-INs
260 between PER and wS1.
261
A fast-spiking population that do not
express PV in PER
Unexpectedly, the fast-spiking phenotype
accounted for the largest population in PER and
wS1, which is at odds with the low density of PV-
INs in PER (Figure 1 and Figure 3C). We
hypothesized that some fast-spiking neurons in
PER do not express detectable levels of PV. To
test this hypothesis, we labelled fast-spiking
neurons with a viral approach that exploits
enhancer driven expression of tdTomato in PV-
INs throughout the brain (Vormstein-Schneider

et al., 2020). We injected the S5E2-dTom virus
in PER of GAD67-EGFP mice and stained for
SST to subtract the population of virus
transfected neurons that co-expressed SST
(Figure 4 – Figure supplement 1 and Figure 4 –
Figure supplement 2). We found that the E2-
dTom/SST- represented the largest GABAergic
population in the deep layers of PER (Figure 4A-
B). The percentage of E2-dTom/SST- was 47.1
± 11.8% of the total GABAergic population in L5
of A35, which was very similar to the percentage
of PV-INs in L5 wS1 (48.3 ± 8.3%) and to the
percentage of fast-spiking neurons in L5 of PER
(51.5%) (Figure 4C).

Figure 5. A. Confocal stack showing the location in L5 of A35 of a E2-dTom neuron filled with biocytin (green). Neurons labeled by the E2-dTom virus are shown in red. Dashed line shows the border with LEC. **B.** Pie chart showing the percent of LTS (orange), adapting (red) and fast-spiking (FS) (black) among E2-dTom neurons. **C-E.** Confocal stacks of a E2-dTom neuron (D, red) filled with biocytin (C, green) and expressing PV (E, white, white arrow). **F.** Voltage response of the cell shown in C-D to hyper- and depolarizing current steps. **G-I.** Confocal stacks of a E2-dTom neuron (H, red) filled with biocytin (G, green) and not expressing PV (I, white, white arrow). **J.** Voltage response of the cell shown in C-D to hyper- and depolarizing current steps.

This result further suggests that PER is
populated by a population of GABAergic
neurons expressing a fast-spiking phenotype
but not expressing PV. To directly test this
hypothesis, we performed in vitro patch clamp
recordings from brain slices of wild type C57
mice injected with the S5E2-dTom virus. We
found that 88.9% (16 out of 18 neurons) of
dTomato labelled neurons showed a fast-
spiking phenotype and 11.2% showed an
adapting (1/18) or low-threshold firing pattern
(1/18), typical of SST neurons (Figure 5A-B, and
Figure 5 – Figure supplement 1). We performed
immunofluorescence for PV on 15 of the
recorded fast-spiking neurons and found that
40% (6/15) did not express PV (E2-PV-) (Figure
5C).

Our results show that the fast-spiking population
of PER contains neurons that do not express
PV, highlighting a novel diversity within this
GABAergic type that is area specific.

Figure 6. A. Dendrogram obtained from a hierarchical cluster analysis of 44 fast-spiking neurons (11 in wS1 and 33 in PER). The dashed line represents the level at which the clusters were identified by using the inconsistency index (see Methods). Numbers on the x-axis represent the cell's IDs color-coded according to the cortical area (yellow, PER; purple, wS1). Filled diamonds indicate E2-dTom neurons expressing PV. Open diamonds indicate E2-dTom neurons not expressing PV. **B.** Representative voltage response of fast-spiking neurons to a -100 pA current step in wS1 (purple) in PER (yellow) neurons. The dashed line indicates the peak of the response. The plot on the right shows the IR of all neurons (circles) and the population mean (black line) (wS1, 145.4 ± 46.4 MΩ; PER, 310.7 ± 114.4 MΩ; p= 3.6E-8, T-test). **C.** Representative voltage response to a hyperpolarizing current step of fast-spiking neurons in wS1 (purple) and in PER (yellow). All responses used to calculate the sag ratio reached -90 ± 2 mV. Note the difference in the steady-state response (dashed line). The plot on the right shows the sag ratio of all neurons (circles) and the population average (black line) (wS1, 0.78 ± 0.1; PER 0.94 ± 0.02; p= 0.0001, T-test). **D.** Representative action potentials of fast-spiking neurons in wS1 (purple) and PER (yellow). The plot on the right shows the AP-HW of all neurons (circles) and the population mean (black line) (wS1, 0.28 ± 0.03 ms; PER, 0.42 ± 0.07 ms; p= 2.9E-12, T-test). **E.** Representative AHPs following single APs in wS1 (purple) and PER (yellow). The AHPdur is indicated by the two dashed lines. The plot on the right shows the AHPdur of all neurons (circles) and the population mean (black line) (wS1, 29.1 ± 6.2 ms; PER, 51.8 ± 21.3 ms; p= 2.4E-6, T-test). **F.** Representative responses of fast-spiking neurons in wS1 (purple) and PER (yellow) to depolarizing current steps eliciting maximal firing frequency. The plot on the right shows the Fmax in all neurons (circles) and the population mean (black line) (wS1, 194.8 ± 60.7 APs/s; PER, 109.5 ± 29.6 APs/s; p= 0.0008, T-test). **G.** Plot of the average number of APs evoked with current steps of increasing amplitude in wS1 (purple) and PER (yellow).

Fast-spiking interneurons in PER show
different electrophysiological properties
than in wS1
To test whether the firing patterns of fast-spiking
neurons not-expressing PV in PER are different
from PV-INs in PER and wS1, we performed a
hierarchical cluster analysis on the
electrophysiological properties (Figure 6A). The
dendrogram shows that the population analyzed
can be divided in two clusters, one containing all
wS1 neurons, and a second containing all but 4
PER neurons (Figure 6A). The group containing
perirhinal fast-spiking neurons included PV-INs
and neurons not expressing PV, suggesting that
these neurons show similar electrophysiological
responses (Figure 6A). We further compared
the electrophysiological parameters included in
the cluster analysis (see Methods) and found
that fast-spiking interneurons in PER had a
significantly higher IR and as a consequence
they fired action potentials in response to
smaller current steps (Figure 6B and G). Fast-
spiking neurons in PER had a less pronounced
sag, which suggests a lower expression of HCN
channels (Figure 6C). A hallmark of PV-INs are
their fast APs and high firing rate, interestingly
fast-spiking neurons in PER had wider APs,
longer AHP and reached lower maximal firing
rates than their wS1 counterparts (Figure 6D-
G). We did not find any difference between E2-
PV+ and E2-PV- neurons in PER, suggesting
that these neurons might belong to the same
type and differ only in expression of PV.
343

Discussion
The present study offers a comprehensive
description of the GABAergic diversity in the
mouse PER, and demonstrates several
differences compared to a sensory region
(wS1). First, we demonstrate that PER contains
a comparable density of GABAergic neurons as
other cortical areas despite the low density of
PV-INs. Second, PER is enriched in
neurogliaform cells in layer 5. Since our
molecular characterization showed no other
candidate for the increased density of 5HT3aR-
INs in other layers, we hypothesize that
neurogliaform cells are more numerous
throughout the layers of PER. Third, we
corroborated previous observations of an
increased SST-INs/PV-INs ratio in L2/3 (Kim et
al., 2017). We extend this observation by
showing that the density of SST-INs is
significantly higher in PER as compared to wS1.
Finally, we demonstrate that the fast-spiking
population of PER is comparable to that of wS1
and most of these neurons do not express PV.
We then show that the fast-spiking population of
PER shows several electrophysiological
differences with that in wS1 (and other
telencephalic areas), highlighting a novel
diversity within this electrophysiological cell-
type.
373

Enrichment of 5HT3aR-INs in PER
We found that, although the density of PV-INs is
lower in PER than in wS1, the GABAergic
population is of comparable size, or even larger
(e.g., in L2/3 and 6). This difference is partially

due to an enrichment of GABAergic neurons
belonging to the neurogliaform type, and to a
lesser extent to the VIP-expressing group.
These GABAergic types belong to the CGE-
derived class (Tremblay et al., 2016).
Interestingly, transcriptomic analysis of the
human temporal cortex showed that the human
cortex is enriched of CGE-INs and
intratelencephalic projecting excitatory cells (IT)
(Hodge et al., 2019). CGE-INs and IT-projection
neurons show a preferential connectivity that is
established during development (Wester et al.,
2019). The PER shows very sparse projections
outside of the telencephalon, except for the
thalamus, which suggests that a developmental
program might be responsible for the
enrichment of CGE-INs (McIntyre et al., 1996;
Benavidez et al., 2021).
397

Most fast-spiking GABAergic neurons in
PER do not express PV
The low expression of PV in PER has been used
by neuroanatomists to delineate PER for
several decades in different species (Pitcänen
and Amaral, 1993; Burwell et al., 1995; Uva et
al., 2004; Beaudin et al., 2013). However, this
observation has only recently been examined in
the context of GABAergic cell-types and
inhibitory circuits using specific mouse lines
targeting PV-INs (Whissel et al., 2015; Kim et
al., 2017). We have recently described that the
labelling in the PV-IRES-Cre mouse line is very
inefficient and quantifications of the number of
PV-INs would be dramatically underestimated
(Nigro et al., 2021). The present study
corroborates the low density of PV-INs in PER
by quantifying PV-IR neurons in PER and wS1.
However, our electrophysiological
characterization demonstrates that fast-spiking
interneurons represent half of the GABAergic
population in PER, similarly to wS1. Taking
advantage of a newly developed viral approach
we further demonstrate that most fast-spiking
neurons in PER do not express PV. The
electrophysiological properties of fast-spiking
interneurons in PER differ from those in wS1.
Our results suggest that the fast-spiking
population of the cortex shows a yet
unappreciated diversity. Recent transcriptomic
examination of the GABAergic cell-types of the
whole cortex did not identify transcriptomic
types with signatures of fast-spiking neurons but
not expressing PV (Yao et al., 2021). This is
likely due to the use of specific mouse lines
targeting different GABAergic types, which
would prevent the identification of a population
that do not express any of the main known
GABAergic molecular markers.
437

Ideas and hypotheses
The expression of PV is the hallmark of fast-
spiking GABAergic neurons throughout the
telencephalon (Yao et al., 2021). Our results
raise the hypothesis that PV expression per se
might not be enough to define this GABAergic
class in all cortical areas. We have previously
shown that the PV-IRES-Cre mouse line shows
a low efficiency in several associative areas of
the cortex (Nigro et al., 2021). The low density
of PV-INs seems also to be a common feature
of associative areas (Whissel et al., 2015; Kim
et al., 2017). We hypothesize that our results
might extend beyond PER to other associative
areas of the cortex. Transcriptomic analysis of
neurons labeled by the S5E2-dTom virus might
reveal the taxonomic relationship between
these different types of fast-spiking neurons in
the cortex.
Until now we have assumed that fast-spiking
neurons not expressing PV belong to the PV
GABAergic class based on our
electrophysiological characterization. The lack
of PV expression might be due to plasticity of the
circuit in which these cells are embedded.
Indeed, PV expression is activity dependent and
has been shown to be modulated by learning
(Donato et al., 2013).
An alternative scenario could be that these
neurons belong to a different class (e.g., Lamp5,
scng, SST, or VIP), and simply lost their
characteristic marker. Interestingly, the S5E2-
dTom virus labels all PV-INs and about 60% of
the SST population. The fast-spiking phenotype
described here in perirhinal neurons is
reminiscent of the quasi-fast spiking phenotype
of non-Martinotti neurons described in L4 and
L5b of wS1 (Ma et al., 2006; Nigro et al. 2018;
Naka et al. 2019). However, our
characterization of the firing pattern of SST
neurons in L5 of PER shows that these neurons
express either an adapting or a low-threshold
spiking phenotype. Moreover, non-Martinotti
neurons are extremely rare outside of wS1 (Ma
et al., 2006; Scala et al., 2019). Finally, some
chandelier cells do not express PV, and express
a firing pattern similar to that described here in

PER (Taniguchi et al., 2013). For these reasons
we believe that the fast-spiking population in
PER belongs to the PV transcriptomic class but
lacks PV expression.
489

Methods
Animal models
The research described here was performed on
adult mice (>8 weeks old) of both sexes. We
used the following mouse lines: C57BL/6J line
from Jackson Laboratories (stock 000664),
GAD67-eGFP obtained as gift from Dr.
Yanagawa (Tamamaki et al., 2003), the PV-
IRES-Cre line (B6;129P2-Pvalbtm1(cre)arbr/J,
stock 008069); R26R-EYFP (129X1-
Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos/J stock
006148) and the SST-Cre line
(Ssttm2.1(cre)Zjh/J, stock 013044). We used
two brains of the 5HT3aR-EGFP mouse line
(MMRRC: Tg(Htr3a-EGFP)DH30Gsat/Mmnc
stock: 000273-UNC) kindly donated by Dr.
Ramesh Chittajallu. The PV-IRES-Cre line was
crossed with the R26R-EYFP to obtain EYFP
expression in PV-INs. The PV-IRES-Cre was
bred as homozygous, whereas the GAD67-
eGFP and the R26R-EYFP were bred as
heterozygous. Animals were bred in house,
group housed in enriched cages with water and
food ad libitum. Animals were kept with an
inverted dark/light cycle of 12h/12h.
515

AAV2/1-S5E2-dTomato (S5E2-dTom)
purification
The plasmid construct for the S5E2-dTom was
purchased from Addgene (#135630)
(Vormstein-Schneider et al., 2020). We
packaged the construct in AAV 2/1 serotype (a
mosaic of capsid 1 and 2) and generated
injection-grade viral vector using Heparin
column affinity purification method (Nair et al
iScience 2020). All the plasmids for AAV vector
preparations were made using endotoxin free
plasmid maxiprep kit (#12663, Qiagen). AAV
293 cells (#CVCL_6871, Agilent, USA) used for
vector productions were maintained in DMEM (#
41965062, Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing
10% fetal bovine serum (#16000-044,
ThermoFisher, USA) and penicillin/streptomycin
antibiotics (#15140122, Thermo Fisher
Scientific). The day before transfection, we
seeded approximately 7x 106 AAV 293 cells on
150 mm cell culture plates and maintained at
37°C, 5% CO_2. For the calcium chloride

mediated co-transfection we used 22.5 µg
pAAV-containing the transgene, 22.5 µg
pHelper (#240071, Agilent, USA), 11.3 µg pRC
(#240071, Agilent, USA) and 11.3 µg pXR1
(NGVB, IU, USA) capsid plasmids. The culture
medium was replaced after 7 hours with fresh
10% FBS containing DMEM. Transfected cells
were scrapped out after 72 hours and
centrifuged at 200xg. The pellet was subjected
to lysis using a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl-
20 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 10% sodium deoxy
cholate. The lysate was then treated with
Benzonase nuclease HC (#71206-3, Millipore)
for 45 minutes at 37 oC. Subsequently, the
benzonase treated lysate was centrifuged at
3000xg for 15 mins. The clear supernatant was
then subjected to HiTrap® Heparin High
Performance (#17-0406-01, GE) affinity column
chromatography using a peristaltic pump. The
elute from the Heparin column was then
concentrated using Amicon Ultra centrifugal
filters (#Z648043, Millipore). We performed
quantitative PCR on the viral stock and the titer
was determined as approximately 1011
infectious particles/ml.
563

Injections of S5E2-dTomato viral vector
The AAVs were injected into the brains of C57
(n= 6), GAD67-EGFP (n= 2) and on PV-EYFP
(n= 2) mice. Mice were anaesthetized with
isoflurane (4% Nycomed, airflow 1 l/min) in an
induction chamber. The mice were placed in a
stereotactic frame on a heated pad (37 °C)
throughout the procedure and head-fixed with
ear bars. Eye ointment was applied to protect
the cornea. The following analgesic were
applied subcutaneously: buprenorphine
hydrochloride (0.1 mg/Kg, Temgesic, Invidior),
meloxicam 1 mg/Kg, Metacam Boerringer
Ingelheim Vetmedica), bupivacaine
hydrochloride (1 mg/Kg locally, Marcain, Astra
Zeneca). The skin on the incision site was
shaved and disinfected with Pyrisept. An
incision was made to access the skull. The skull
was thinned with a dental drill at the desired
location, and a hole was punched with the tip of
a glass pipette. We used the following
coordinates from bregma: for wS1 -0.7 mm AP,
+3.1 mm ML, -0.15 and 0.55 DV; for PER -3 mm
AP, -1.5 DV, for the ML the pipette was moved
to the edge of the skull and then retracted 0.4
mm. Injections of 100-200 nl (50 nl/minute) in
each location were made with a glass pipette

(20-30 μm tip size) attached to an injector 592 (Nanoliter 2010, World Precision Instruments) 593 controlled by a microsyringe pump controller 594 (Micro4 pump, World Precision Instruments). 595 After retracting the pipette, the wound was 596 rinsed with saline and sutured. The mice were 597 allowed to recover in a heated chamber (37 °C) 598 before returning to the homecage. 599 Postoperative analgesic was applied 6-8 h after 600 the procedure (Temgesic) and 24 h after the 601 procedure (Metacam). The survival time for 602 transduction and expression of the genetic 603 material was 10-15 days.
604
605 In vitro electrophysiology
606 Mice of either sex (>8-week-old) were 607 euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital 608 (i.p. 100 mg/Kg, Apotekerforeninger), before 609 intracardial perfusion with cutting solution (RT) 610 of the following composition (in mM): 93 choline 611 chloride, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 30 NaHCO3, 20 612 HEPES, 10 glucose, 5 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 5 N-613 acetylcysteine, saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% 614 CO_2. The brain was extracted and a section 615 containing either PER or wS1 was glued onto 616 the stage of a vibratome (VT1000, Leica) filled 617 with cold (4°C) cutting solution. Slices (300 μm) 618 were transferred to a chamber filled with warm 619 (34°C) cutting solution and incubated for 15 620 minutes. The slices were stored in a different 621 chamber filled with the following solution (in mM) 622 (RT): 92 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 30 623 NaHCO3, 20 HEPES, 10 glucose, 5 MgCl2, 0.5 624 CaCl2, 5 N-acetylcysteine, saturated with 95% 625 O_2 and 5% CO_2.
626 The slices were placed in a recording chamber 627 of an upright microscope (BW51, Olympus) 628 filled with warm (35°C) recording solution of the 629 following composition: 124 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 630 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 1 MgCl2, 631 1.6 CaCl2, saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. 632 Characterization of the electrophysiological 633 properties was performed in presence of 634 synaptic blockers: 10 μM DNQXNa2, 25 μM 635 APV and 10 μM gabazine. wS1 was identified 636 under DIC optics by the presence of 637 characteristic barrels in layer 4. To identify A35 638 under DIC optics, we first identified the lateral 639 entorhinal cortex (LEC) by its characteristic 640 large neurons in layer 2a, and by the clear 641 separation between layer 2a and 2b. A35 was 642 defined as the cortex dorsally located to LEC. 643 Only healthy, fluorophore-expressing neurons

644 were selected for recordings and no other 645 criterion was applied. Whole-cell patch clamp 646 recordings were performed with borosilicate 647 pipettes (Sutter Instruments) with a resistance 648 of 3-7 MΩ filled with the following solution (in 649 mM): 130 K-gluconate, 10 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 650 EGTA, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Na, 5 651 phosphocreatine-Na2, pH 7.3. In all recordings 652 biocytin was added to the pipette solution to 653 recover the layer location of the neuron. 654 Membrane potentials reported were not 655 corrected for a junction potential of -14 mV. 656 Current clamp recordings were performed with 657 a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular 658 Devices), digitized with a 1550A Digidata 659 (Molecular Devices), interfaced with a personal 660 computer with pClamp 11 (Molecular Devices). 661 Data were sampled at 40 kHz and low-passed 662 filtered at 10 kHz. All recordings were performed 663 from a holding potential of -65 mV. The 664 electrophysiological parameters analyzed were 665 defined as follows:
666 Input resistance (IR, MΩ): resistance measured 667 from Ohm's law from the peak of voltage 668 responses to -25 pA hyperpolarizing current 669 steps.
670 Sag ratio (dimensionless): measured from 671 voltage responses peaking at -90 ± 2 mV to 672 hyperpolarizing current steps. It is defined as the 673 ratio between the voltage at the steady-state 674 response and the peak.
675 Action potential threshold (APthr, mV): 676 measured from action potentials (AP) evoked 677 near rheobase, and defined as the voltage 678 where the rise of the AP was 20 mV/ms.
679 AP half width (HW, ms): duration of the AP at 680 half amplitude from APthr, measured from 681 action potentials (AP) evoked near rheobase.
682 Amplitude of the fast afterhyperpolarization 683 (fAHP, mV): measured from APthr, from action 684 potentials (AP) evoked near rheobase.
685 AHP duration (AHPdur, ms): measure from 686 action potentials (AP) evoked near rheobase, 687 and defined as the time difference between the 688 fAHP and the most depolarized voltage in a 200 689 ms window.
690 Maximal firing frequency (Fmax, AP/s): maximal 691 firing frequency evoked with 1-s-long 692 depolarizing current steps.
693 Rheobase (Rheo, pA): current level that evoked 694 the first spike during a 1-s-long depolarizing 695 ramp (500 pA/s).
696

Histology
Mice used for histological analysis were
anaesthetized with isoflurane and then
euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital
(i.p. 100 mg/Kg, Apotekerforeninger). The mice
were intracardially perfused with Ringer solution
(0.85% NaCl, 0.025% KCl, 0.02% NaHCO3)
followed by 4% parafolmaldehyde (PFA) in
phosphate buffer (PB) (pH 7.4). The brain was
extracted from the skull and placed in 4% PFA
for 3 hours before moving it to a solution
containing 15% sucrose in PB overnight. The
brains were then stored in 30% sucrose for two
days before being sliced at 50 µm with a
freezing microtome. Slices were collected in six
equally spaced series and placed in tubes
containing a solution composed of 30% glycerol,
30% ethylene glycol and 40% phosphate buffer
saline (PBS). The tissue was stored at -20 °C
until used for histology.
The slices were washed in PB (3 X 10 minutes)
before incubation with blocking solution (0.1%
Triton-X, 10% NGS in PB). After blocking the
tissue was incubated with the primary antibody
for three days at 4 °C in a solution containing:
0.1% Triton-X, 1% NGS in PB. After being
washed (3 X 1 hour in PB), the tissue was
incubated with the secondary antibody
overnight at 4 °C. The slices were washed (3 X
10 minutes in PB) and mounted on SuperFrost
slides (Termo Fisher Scientific) and left to dry
overnight. The slides were coversliped with
entellan xylene (Merck Chemicals, Darmstadt,
Germany) after being washed in xylene for 15
minutes, or with Fluoromount after being
washed in distilled water for about 30 seconds.
We used the following primary antibodies:
Guinea Pig IgG anti-NeuN (1:1000, Sigma
Millipore, #ABN90P), Rabbit anti-parvalbumin
(1:1000, Swant, #PV-27), Mouse IgG1 anti-
parvalbumin (1:1000, Sigma, #P3088), Rat
IgG2a anti-RFP (1:1000, Proteintech, #5f8),
Chicken IgY anti-GFP (1:1000, Abcam,
#ab13970), Rabbit IgG anti-SST (1:1000, BMA
Biomedicals), Rabbit IgG anti-VIP (1:1000,
Immunostar). We used the following secondary
antibodies: Goat anti-guinea pig (IgG H+L) A647
(1:500, Invitrogen, #A-21450), Goat anti-Rat
(IgG H+L) A-546 (1:500, Invitrogen, #A11081),
Goat anti-Rabbit (IgG H+L) A488 (1:500,
Invitrogen, #A11008), Goat anti-chicken (IgY
H+L) A488 (1:500, Invitrogen, #A11039), Goat
anti-rabbit (IgG H+L) A546 (1:500, Invitrogen,
#A11010), Goat anti-rabbit (IgG H+L) A635
(1:500, Invitrogen, A31576).
752
Image acquisition and analysis
We used a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 880
AxioImager Z2) to image regions of interest
(ROIs) to count labeled neurons. Images of PER
and wS1 were taken with a x20 air objective with
1 Airy unit pinhole size and saved as czi files.
We selected 3 to 5 ROIs for each cortical region.
ROIs contained the whole cortical area of
interest in a given slice. Files containing the
ROIs were uploaded in Neurolucida (Micro
Bright Field Bioscience) for analysis. We
created contours to delineate the layers of the
ROIs using the NeuN signal. The PER was
divided in area 35 (A35) and area 36 (A36), and
delineated according to Beaudin et al. (2013).
Symbols for each signal were used to count
cells labeled by different markers. We counted
all cells contained within the contours. Since we
counted slices distanced 300 µm from each
other, overcounting in the z axis is not relevant
and we did not apply any correction.
Quantification of the number of markers in each
contour was done in Neurolucida Explorer
(Micro Bright Field Bioscience) and exported in
excel files. The density of neurons labeled by a
marker was measured as the number of labeled
neurons in a contour divided by the area of the
contour (cells/mm2). The GAD67-eGFP mouse
line labelled virtually all PV-INs and VIP-INs, but
showed a lower specificity for SST-INs (Figure
1 – Figure supplement 1). For this reason, the
number of PV-INs, SST-INs and VIP-INs was
measured from the immunolabelling for the
specific marker, independently of GFP
expression. Transcriptomic analysis of the
neuronal population of the cortex shows that
SST, VIP and PV are expressed exclusively in
GABAergic neurons. We excluded from the
counting a small population of PV-IR pyramidal
neurons in L5B of wS1 (van Brederode et al.,
1991). Values are reported as mean ± standard
deviation). All graphs were created in excel,
images of ROIs were created in Zen lite (Zeiss)
and figures in illustrator.
797
Statistics
Principal component analysis on the densities of
different GABAergic neurons across layers of
PER and wS1 was performed in Matlab 2021
(Mathworks) using the function "pca". We

obtained the explained variance for each
principal component, the principal component
coefficients, the principal component scores,
and the principal component variances.
Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed in
Matlab 2021 (Mathworks). After normalization
with the function "zscore", we calculated the
distance between pairs of objects with the
function "pdist" and the method "cityblock".
Objects were grouped according to the
calculated distances with the function "linkage"
and the method "average". After calculating the
inconsistency index ("inconsistent"), clusters
were obtained by using the function "cluster"
and the inconsistency index as cutoff. This
method identified two clusters.

We used one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni
correction for statistical comparison of different
layers of A35, A36 and wS1. We used the
number of animals (n= 5) as sample population
in all comparisons but for the 5HT3AR-EGFP
neurons, where the comparison was performed
on slices (n= 10), because the number of
available brains was too low (n= 2). We did not
use any statistical method to pre-determine
sample size. The number of mice, slices or
neurons used for statistical comparison are
consistent with other studies in the field (Ma et
al., 2006; Whissel et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2017;
Nigro et al., 2018). No blinding method was
used.

834
835
836

Acknowledgments
We thank Dr. Ramesh Chittajallu for the kind donation of the brains of the 5HT3aR-EGFP mice and Dr.
Timothy Petros for helping with obtaining the brain of the 5HT3aR-EGFP mice.

References

Beaudin, S. A., Singh, T., Agster, K. L., & Burwell, R. D. (2013). Borders and comparative
cytoarchitecture of the perirhinal and postrhinal cortices in an F1 hybrid mouse. *Cerebral cortex, 23*(2),
460-476.

Benavidez, N. L., Bienkowski, M. S., Zhu, M., Garcia, L. H., Fayzullina, M., Gao, L., . . . Cotter, K. R.
(2021). Organization of the inputs and outputs of the mouse superior colliculus. *Nature Communications,*
12(1), 1-20.

Burwell, R. D., Witter, M. P., & Amaral, D. G. (1995). Perirhinal and postrhinal cortices of the rat: a
review of the neuroanatomical literature and comparison with findings from the monkey brain.
Hippocampus, 5(5), 390-408.

De Curtis, M., & Paré, D. (2004). The rhinal cortices: a wall of inhibition between the neocortex and the
hippocampus. *Progress in neurobiology, 74*(2), 101-110.

Donato, F., Rompani, S. B., & Caroni, P. (2013). Parvalbumin-expressing basket-cell network plasticity
induced by experience regulates adult learning. *Nature, 504*(7479), 272-276.

Feldmeyer, D., Qi, G., Emmenegger, V., Staiger, J.F. (2018) Inhibitory interneurons and their circuit
motifs in the many layers of the barrel cortex. Neuroscience, 368:132-151.

Gong, S., Zheng, C., Doughty, M. L., Losos, K., Didkovsky, N., Schambra, U. B., . . . Hatten, M. E.
(2003). A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial
chromosomes. *Nature, 425*(6961), 917-925.

Harris, K. D., & Shepherd, G. M. (2015). The neocortical circuit: themes and variations. *Nature*
neuroscience, 18(2), 170-181.

Hodge, R. D., Bakken, T. E., Miller, J. A., Smith, K. A., Barkan, E. R., Graybuck, L. T., . . . Penn, O.
(2019). Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex. *Nature, 573*(7772),
61-68.

Kawaguchi, Y., & Kubota, Y. (1998). Neurochemical features and synaptic connections of large
physiologically-identified GABAergic cells in the rat frontal cortex. *Neuroscience, 85*(3), 677-701.

Kepecs, A., & Fishell, G. (2014). Interneuron cell types are fit to function. *Nature, 505*(7483), 318-326.

Kim, Y., Yang, G. R., Pradhan, K., Venkataraju, K. U., Bota, M., Del Molino, L. C. G., . . . Levine, J. M.
(2017). Brain-wide maps reveal stereotyped cell-type-based cortical architecture and subcortical sexual
dimorphism. *Cell, 171*(2), 456-469. e422.

Lee, S., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Zagha, E., Fishell, G., & Rudy, B. (2010). The largest group of superficial
neocortical GABAergic interneurons expresses ionotropic serotonin receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience,*
30(50), 16796-16808.

Luo, L. (2021). Architectures of neuronal circuits. *Science, 373*(6559), eabg7285.

Ma, Y., Hu, H., Berrebi, A. S., Mathers, P. H., & Agmon, A. (2006). Distinct subtypes of somatostatin-
containing neocortical interneurons revealed in transgenic mice. *Journal of Neuroscience, 26*(19), 5069-
5082.

McIntyre, D. C., Kelly, M. E., & Staines, W. A. (1996). Efferent projections of the anterior perirhinal cortex
in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 369*(2), 302-318.

Naka, A., Veit, J., Shababo, B., Chance, R. K., Risso, D., Stafford, D., . . . Sridharan, S. (2019).
Complementary networks of cortical somatostatin interneurons enforce layer specific control. *Elife, 8*,
e43696.

Nigro, M. J., Hashikawa-Yamasaki, Y., & Rudy, B. (2018). Diversity and connectivity of layer 5
somatostatin-expressing interneurons in the mouse barrel cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience, 38*(7), 1622-
1633.

Nigro, M. J., Kirikae, H., Kjelsberg, K., Nair, R. R., & Witter, M. P. (2021). Not all that is gold glitters: PV-
IRES-Cre mouse line shows low efficiency of labeling of parvalbumin interneurons in the perirhinal
cortex. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits, 15*.

Overstreet-Wadiche, L., & McBain, C. J. (2015). Neurogliaform cells in cortical circuits. *Nature Reviews*
Neuroscience, 16(8), 458-468.

Pitkänen, A., & Amaral, D. G. (1993). Distribution of parvalbumin-immunoreactive cells and fibers in the
monkey temporal lobe: the hippocampal formation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 331*(1), 37-74.

Rudy, B., Fishell, G., Lee, S., & Hjerling-Leffler, J. (2011). Three groups of interneurons account for
nearly 100% of neocortical GABAergic neurons. *Developmental neurobiology, 71*(1), 45-61.

Scala, F., Kobak, D., Shan, S., Bernaerts, Y., Laturnus, S., Cadwell, C. R., . . . Tan, Z. H. (2019). Layer
4 of mouse neocortex differs in cell types and circuit organization between sensory areas. *Nature*
Communications, 10(1), 1-12.

Tamamaki, M., Yanagawa, Y., Tomioka, R., Miyazaki, J., Obata, K., Kaneko, T. (2003) Green
fluorescent protein expression and colocalization with calretinin, parvalbumin and somatostatin in the
GAD67-GFP knock-in mouse. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 467:60-79.

Taniguchi, H., Lu, J., Huang, Z.J. The spatial and temporal origin of chandelier cells in mouse cortex.
Science, 339(6115):70-74.

Tasic, B., Yao, Z., Graybuck, L. T., Smith, K. A., Nguyen, T. N., Bertagnolli, D., . . . Viswanathan, S.
(2018). Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature, 563*(7729), 72-
78.

Tremblay, R., Lee, S., & Rudy, B. (2016). GABAergic interneurons in the neocortex: from cellular
properties to circuits. *Neuron, 91*(2), 260-292.

Uva, L., Grüschke, S., Biella, G., De Curtis, M., & Witter, M. P. (2004). Cytoarchitectonic characterization
of the parahippocampal region of the guinea pig. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 474*(2), 289-303.

Van Brederode, J., Helliesen, M., & Hendrickson, A. (1991). Distribution of the calcium-binding proteins
parvalbumin and calbindin-D28k in the sensorimotor cortex of the rat. *Neuroscience, 44*(1), 157-171.

Van Essen, D. C., & Glasser, M. F. (2018). Parcellating cerebral cortex: how invasive animal studies
inform noninvasive mapmaking in humans. *Neuron, 99*(4), 640-663.

Vormstein-Schneider, D., Lin, J. D., Pelkey, K. A., Chittajallu, R., Guo, B., Arias-Garcia, M. A., . . .
Stevenson, O. (2020). Viral manipulation of functionally distinct interneurons in mice, non-human
primates and humans. *Nature neuroscience, 23*(12), 1629-1636.

Wester, J. C., Mahadevan, V., Rhodes, C. T., Calvigioni, D., Venkatesh, S., Maric, D., . . . Petros, T. J.
(2019). Neocortical projection neurons instruct inhibitory interneuron circuit development in a lineage-
dependent manner. *Neuron, 102*(5), 960-975. e966.

Whissell, P. D., Cajanding, J. D., Fogel, N., & Kim, J. C. (2015). Comparative density of CCK-and PV-
GABA cells within the cortex and hippocampus. *Frontiers in neuroanatomy, 9*, 124.

Willems, J. G., Wadman, W. J., & Cappaert, N. L. (2018). Parvalbumin interneuron mediated
feedforward inhibition controls signal output in the deep layers of the perirhinal-entorhinal cortex.
Hippocampus, 28(4), 281-296.

Witter, M. P., Naber, P. A., van Haeften, T., Machielsen, W. C., Rombouts, S. A., Barkhof, F., . . . Lopes
da Silva, F. H. (2000). Cortico-hippocampal communication by way of parallel parahippocampal-
subicular pathways. *Hippocampus, 10*(4), 398-410.

Xu, X., Roby, K. D., & Callaway, E. M. (2010). Immunochemical characterization of inhibitory mouse
cortical neurons: three chemically distinct classes of inhibitory cells. *Journal of Comparative Neurology,*
518(3), 389-404.

Yao, Z., van Velthoven, C. T., Nguyen, T. N., Goldy, J., Sedeno-Cortes, A. E., Baftizadeh, F., . . .
Crichton, K. (2021). A taxonomy of transcriptomic cell types across the isocortex and hippocampal
formation. *Cell, 184*(12), 3222-3241. e3226.

948
949
950
Figure 1 – Figure supplement 1. A. Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (turquoise),
PV (orange) and SST (purple) in the wS1 of a GAD67-EGFP mouse. **B-D.** Magnification of the area in the dotted
rectangle in A. **E.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (turquoise), PV (orange) and
SST (purple) in PER of a GAD67-EGFP mouse. **F-H.** Magnification of the area in the dotted rectangle in E. **I.** Bar
plots showing the efficiency of the GAD67-EGFP mouse line for PV (black, left) and SST (red, right) in wS1. **J.** Bar
plots showing the efficiency of thee GAD67-EGFP mouse line for PV (black, left) and SST (red, right) in PER.

962
963 **Figure 1 – Figure supplement 2. A.** Bar plot showing the variance explained by each principal component. **B.**
964 Bar plots showing the contribution of each variable (i.e., marker density in a given layer) to the first (left) and
965 second (right) principal component.

972
973
Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3. A. Bar plot showing the statistical comparison of the density of PV-IR neurons
in the GAD67-EGFP mouse line (n= 5 mice): L1 (F= 2.06, df= 2, p= 0.17, One-way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 28.54, df=
2, p= 2.75E-5, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 3.16E-5, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1= 0.0007, Bonferroni
correction); L5 (F= 45.5, df= 2, p= 2.5E-6, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 2.37E-5, Bonferroni correction; A36
vs wS1, p= 0.0001, Bonferroni correction); L6 (F= 8.17, df= 2, p= 0.006, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.011,
Bonferroni correction). **B.** Bar plot showing the statistical comparison of the density of SST-IR neurons in the
GAD67-EGFP mouse line: L1 (F= 0.21, df= 2, p= 0.82, One-way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 87.06, df= 2, p= 7.18E-8, One-
way ANOVA; A35 vs A36, p= 0.014, Bonferroni correction; A35 vs wS1, p= 6.85E-6, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs
wS1, p= 0.0003, Bonferroni correction); L5 (F= 0.53, df= 2, p= 0.6, One-way ANOVA); L6 (F=12.83, df= 2, p=
0.001, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs A36, p= 0.015, Bonferroni correction; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.004, Bonferroni
correction). **C.** Bar plot showing the statistical comparison of the density of GFP-IR/-PV-SST neurons in the
GAD67-EGFP mouse line: L1 (F= 1.61, df= 2, p= 0.24, One-way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 51.43, df= 2, p= 1.3E-6, One-
way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 6.04E-6, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.0007, Bonferroni correction); L5
(F= 56.88, df= 2, p= 7.55E-7, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 1.21E-5, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p=
4.16E-5, Bonferroni correction); L6 (F= 38.09, df= 2, p= 6.35E-6, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs A36, p= 0.014,
Bonferroni correction; A35 vs wS1, p= 1.99E-5, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.0007, Bonferroni
correction).

991
992
993
994

995
Figure 2 – Figure 2 supplement 1. A. Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence for GFP (green)
and VIP (red) in wS1 of the GAD67-EGFP mouse line. **B.** Representative confocal stack of immunofluorescence
for GFP (green) and VIP (red) in PER of the GAD-EGFP mouse line. **C.** Bar plot showing the statistical comparison
of the density of VIP-IR neurons across layers of A35 (red), A36 (yellow) and wS1 (purple) (n= 5 mice): L1 (F=
2.34, df= 2, p= 0.14, One-way ANOVA); L2/3 (F= 0.51, df= 2, p= 0.61, One-way ANOVA); L5 (F= 17.76, df= 2, p=
0.0003, One-way ANOVA; A35 vs wS1, p= 0.0005, Bonferroni correction; A36 vs wS1, p= 0.005, Bonferroni
correction); L6 (F= 5.22, df= 2, p= 0.02, One-way ANOVA).

1034
Figure 4 – Figure supplement 1. A-C. Representative confocal stacks of immunofluorescence for GFP (green),
PV (white) and dTom (red) in a PV-IRES-Cre mouse injected with the S5E2-dTom virus in wS1. **D.** Bar plot showing
the specificity (E2:PV/E2), and efficiency (E2:PV/PV and E2:GFP/GFP) of the S5E2-dTom virus in wS1 (n= 1
mouse). **E-G.** Representative confocal stacks of immunofluorescence for GFP (green), SST (white) and dTom
(red) in PV-IRES-Cre mice injected with the S5E2-dTom virus in wS1. **H.** Bar plot showing the percent of neurons
expressing dTomato (E2) that co-express SST (E2:SST/E2), and the percent of SST neurons labeled by the S5E2-
dTom virus (E2:SST/SST) (n= 1 mouse).

1042
1043
1044

1045
1046
Figure 4 – Figure supplement 2. A-C. Representative confocal stacks of immunofluorescence for GFP (green),
E2-dTom (red) and PV (white) in PV-IRES-Cre mice injected with the S5E2-dTom virus in PER. **D.** Bar plot showing
the specificity (E2:PV/E2), and efficiency (E2:PV/PV and E2:GFP/GFP) of the S5E2-dTom virus in PER (n= 2
mice). **E-G.** Representative confocal stacks of immunofluorescence for GFP (green), SST (white) and dTom (red)
in PV-IRES-Cre mice injected with the S5E2-dTom virus in PER. **H.** Bar plot showing the percent of neurons
expressing dTomato (E2) that co-express SST (E2:SST/E2), and the percent of SST neurons labeled by the S5E2-
dTom virus (E2:SST/SST) (n= 2 mice).

1054
1055
1056
1057

1058
1059
1060
1061 **Figure 5 – Figure supplement 1.** Representative voltage responses of an adapting (red) and a LTS (orange)
1062 neurons recorded in the SST-Cre mouse line and labeled with tdTomato. The pie chart shows the percentage of
1063 adapting (red) and LTS (orange) neurons in the SST-Cre line.
1064