Human immunodeficiency virus type 2 capsid protein mutagenesis defines the determinants for Gag – Gag interactions

Huixin Yang[1,4], Nathaniel Talledge[1,2,3], William G. Arndt[1,2,5], Wei Zhang[1,2,6]* and Louis M. Mansky[1,2,3,4,5,6]*

[1] Institute for Molecular Virology, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

[2] Division of Basic Sciences, School of Dentistry, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

[3] Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

[4] Comparative Molecular Biosciences Graduate Program, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

[5] Biochemistry, Molecular Biology & Biophysics Graduate Program, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

[6] Characterization Facility, College of Sciences and Engineering, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

* Corresponding authors: mansky@umn.edu; zhangwei@umn.edu

Keywords: retrovirus; lentivirus; virus-like particle; maturation; morphology

Running title: HIV-2 capsid protein mutagenesis

Word count: 3780

Abstract

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) Gag drives virus particle assembly. The capsid (CA) domain is critical for Gag multimerization, and encodes key residues that dictate Gag-Gag interactions and particle morphology. Previous studies have demonstrated that the immature particle morphology of HIV-2 is intriguingly different relative to that of HIV-1. Based upon this observation, we sought to define the critical determinants for Gag-Gag interactions and investigate the comparative differences between HIV-1 and HIV-2 by conducting site-directed mutagenesis of targeted locations in HIV-2 CA. In particular, a panel of 31 site-directed mutants of residues that reside at the HIV-2 CA inter-hexamer interface, intra-hexamer interface and CA inter-domain linker were created and analyzed for their impact on the efficiency of particle production, particle morphology, particle infectivity, Gag subcellular distribution and *in vitro* protein assembly. Seven conserved residues (L19, A41, I152, K153, K157, N194, D196) and two non-conserved residues (G38, N127) were found to significantly impact Gag multimerization and particle assembly. Taken together, these observations complement structural analyses of immature HIV-2 particle morphology and Gag lattice organization as well as provide important comparative insights into the determinants responsible for the observed morphological differences between HIV immature particle morphology and its association with virus replication and particle infectivity.

Word count = 202

Importance

The retroviral Gag polyprotein is known to drive particle assembly, and the capsid (CA) domain is a critical determinant for Gag multimerization and immature particle morphology. Intriguingly, the immature particle morphology of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 (HIV-2) is distinctly different relative to that of HIV type 1 (HIV-1). In order to aid in the identification of the critical determinants responsible for the observed morphological differences, we conducted site-directed mutagenesis in targeted locations of the HIV-2 CA. Our results have identified conserved and non-conserved amino acid residues that impact Gag multimerization, particle assembly, virus replication, and infectivity.

Word count = 97

Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) belongs to the lentivirus genus of the *Retroviridae*. To date, HIV has infected more than 70 million people worldwide (1). HIV comprises two main types, HIV type 1 (HIV-1) and HIV type 2 (HIV-2), which clearly have similar modes of replication, transmission, and clinical symptomatology (2). Despite these similarities, there are notable differences in the general prevalence, rate of transmission, and relative particle infectivity between these viruses. For example, relative to HIV-1, HIV-2 has attenuated prevalence and is mainly found in West Central Africa, with reduced infectivity and transmissibility (2, 3). HIV-2 is known to have lower viral loads during the course of the infection, slower rates of $CD4^+$ T-cell decline, and delayed clinical progression to AIDS (4). Individuals infected with HIV-2 are typically less infectious in the early stages of infection and can more readily lead to long-term nonprogressors (5, 6). Different steps in the HIV life cycle have been successfully targeted by combined antiretroviral therapy (ART), leading to a remarkable reduction in overall morbidity and mortality (7).

The HIV assembly pathway represents a promising and generally underdeveloped target for antiretroviral intervention. While intensive efforts have been made to date to target HIV assembly (8-12), approved antiretroviral drugs to date have been elusive. The HIV capsid (CA) has been viewed as a particularly promising antiviral drug target due to the fact that its stability and integrity are critical for virus replication and particle infectivity (13). For example, lenacapavir (LEN, GS-6207, GS-CA2), an investigational first-in-class long-acting HIV-1 CA inhibitor, is currently in phase 2/3 clinical trials and is seeking regulatory approval (14). LEN binds to the hydrophobic pocket formed by two adjoining CA subunits within the CA hexamer. Since HIV-2 is not susceptible to many anti-HIV-1 drugs (15, 16), insights into the molecular basis for antiretroviral drug susceptibility can inform the development of effective, next-generation treatments for both HIV types.

The HIV Gag polyprotein is the major structural protein responsible for driving retrovirus assembly (12). Comparatively, the HIV-1 (NL4-3) and HIV-2 (ROD) Gag proteins have a moderate level of amino acid sequence conservation (i.e., 59% identity, 72% similarity). HIV assembly engages three key Gag domains: the matrix (MA) domain is responsible for Gag-membrane binding (17, 18); the nucleocapsid (NC) domain interacts with the viral RNA packaging signal and encapsidates the viral genome (19); and

the CA domain is critical for Gag multimerization during virus assembly and core formation during virus

maturation. The CA domain has two structurally distinct subdomains, i.e., the CA amino-terminal

subdomain (CA_{NTD}) and the CA carboxy-terminal subdomain (CA_{CTD}) (20), which are connected by a

flexible inter-domain linker. The major homology region (MHR), a 20-residue segment (amino acid

residues 153-172 in HIV-1 CA) toward the amino-terminus of the CA_{CTD}, is highly conserved. During

particle assembly, Gag (Pr55[Gag]) oligomerizes at the plasma membrane, forming a radially arranged

lattice that curves and deforms the plasma membrane (17). Formation of the immature Gag lattice drives

budding and release of immature particles. The virally-encoded protease (PR) processes the Gag

polyprotein to create mature MA, CA and NC proteins that are needed to form a mature virion (21). The

MA protein remains associated with the inner leaflet of the viral membrane, the CA protein reassembles

into a cone-shaped core that contains a dimeric viral genomic RNA coated with NC protein (17).

The morphologies of HIV-1 immature and mature particles have been intensively studied (22, 23).

Previous observations indicate that the overall mature HIV-1 particle is made from a lattice of ~250 CA

hexamers closed by the insertion of 12 CA pentamers (24). However, there are no pentamers in the

immature HIV-1 Gag lattice since the pentamers mediate areas of high curvature of the mature conical

core that are not present in the immature lattice. The CA hexamer contains an inner ring of six CA_{NTD}

subdomains held together by contacts between adjacent CA molecules (25, 26). The interactions

between CA monomers are related by six-fold symmetry within a hexamer (i.e., intra-hexamer) and by

three-fold and two-fold symmetry between neighboring hexamers (i.e., inter-hexamer). The hexamers are

stabilized by interactions at these interfaces (24).

To date, intriguing and surprising differences have been discovered between the immature Gag lattice

structure of HIV-1 and other retroviruses, although the HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA domains have a moderate

level of amino acid sequence conservation. Moreover, many immature Gag lattice structures have been

published, including Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) (27), Murine leukemia virus (MLV) (28), and HIV-1 (29).

It is well recognized that key amino acid residues in CA can dictate virus particle morphology.

Mutagenesis studies have been used to confirm the importance of the interface residues for core

morphology and stability and virion infectivity (24, 25, 30, 31). Mutations in the CA domain of Gag have

been shown to affect particle assembly and virion infectivity in different retroviruses, including HIV-1 (32),

Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) (33), Mason-Pfizer monkey virus (M-PMV) (34), and RSV (35).

Several key mutants, for example the HIV-1 CA W184A and M185A cause Gag assembly defects,

produce non-infectious virus particles, reduce CA dimerization and intermolecular Gag–Gag interactions

in vitro, diminish immature particle production *in vivo*, and abolish CA dimerization (30, 36); similar

studies have been done with SIV (33). In addition to site-directed mutagenesis, residue swaps between

closely related viruses have been used to assess mutant effects on particle production and infectivity.

For example, a previous study of HIV-1 and SIVmac residues swaps in HIV-1 CA Helix 7, such as E128N,

I141C, were found to cause severe reductions in particle production and infectivity when swapped to the

corresponding SIVmac residue (37). This indicated that some non-conserved amino acids in HIV-1 CA

Helix 7 have important roles in assembly and particle infectivity.

Given these observations, we hypothesized that differences between HIV-1 and HIV-2 Gag CA

interactions could help explain the distinct morphological differences observed, and provide insights into

the role of particle morphology on particle infectivity. To investigate this, a panel of site-directed mutants

were analyzed for their impact on the efficiency of immature particle production. Selected mutants were

additionally analyzed for mature particle production, infectivity, Gag subcellular distribution and *in vitro*

protein assembly. This integrative analyses discovered critical residues and novel CA-CA interactions

involved in HIV-2 CA that are crucial for virus particle assembly and infectivity. Taken together, our

studies provide mechanistic detail regarding the CA residues that mediate viral particle assembly,

highlight fundamental differences between HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA, and provide further insight into the

fundamental aspects of HIV particle assembly that can inform antiretroviral intervention therapies.

Results

Identification of conserved HIV-2 CA residues that impact immature particle production. Previous

observations have indicated that specific amino acid residues in the Gag CA domain encode the key

determinants that dictate CA-CA interactions and immature and mature virus particle morphology for a

number of retroviruses, including HIV-1, MLV, and RSV (27-29, 38, 39). To date, limited data regarding

HIV-2 Gag CA residues that impact particle assembly have been described. To identify critical residues

in HIV-2 Gag CA that mediate HIV particle assembly, we used previous studies in HIV-1 and HIV-2 to

guide our studies (30, 36, 40) (**Fig. 1**). Next, we conducted alanine-scanning mutagenesis in targeted

locations of the CA protein that were implicated in encoding critical amino acid residues important for CA-

CA interactions that impact virus particle assembly. A panel of twenty-one mutants were created and

analyzed for their influence on the efficiency of particle production by using immunoblot analysis with a

tractable HIV-2-like particle system that mimics authentic, immature virus particle assembly and release

(**Fig. 2**). In particular, we conducted ten site-directed mutants at the HIV-2 CA_{NTD}-CA_{CTD} linker domain

(**Fig. 2A**). Two mutations I152A and K153A were discovered to reduce immature particle production

relative to WT by 3.9-fold, and 4-fold, respectively (**Fig. 2A**). These residues are located in the MHR

region. Notably, some conserved residues in HIV-1 MHR (e.g., HIV-1 Q155N) led to a dramatic reduction

in HIV-1 immature particle production (41). Notably, the I152A mutant of HIV-2 CA has not been

analyzed with the appropriate corresponding mutant in HIV-1 CA (i.e., I153A).

Five site-directed mutants of residues at the intra-hexamer interface were analyzed, with four of them

(i.e., L19A, K157A, N194A, and D196A) resulting in a 2-fold or greater reduction in immature particle

production relative to that of WT (**Fig. 2B**). The HIV-2 CA L19A and N194A mutants reduced particle

production by 4.5-fold and 3.5-fold, respectively, compared to WT. The comparable residues have not

been characterized to date in the HIV-1 CA domain. The HIV-2 CA K157A and D196A mutants resulted

in 2.1-fold and 2.8-fold reductions in particle production, respectively, compared to WT. This observation

is similar to that reported with comparable mutations in the HIV-1 CA domain on particle production (30,

36, 42). Four site-directed mutants at the two-fold inter-hexamer interface and two mutants at the three-

fold inter-hexamer interface were analyzed for their influence on particle production (**Fig. 2C**). The two-

fold inter-hexamer interface mutants had particle production efficiencies comparable to that of WT except

for the N20A mutant, which had a minor reduction in particle production (~92% of WT). One of the two

mutants at the three-fold inter-hexamer interface, i.e., A41D, had a 4.6-fold reduction in particle

production (**Fig. 2C**).

Identification of non-conserved HIV-2 CA residues and their impact on immature particle

production. Previous studies have demonstrated striking morphological differences between HIV-1 and

HIV-2 immature particles, which helps support a model in which relatively minor changes in protein-

protein interaction geometry can profoundly impact overall particle morphology (39). To further

investigate HIV-2 CA-CA interactions, a panel of 10 site-directed mutations at non-conserved CA

residues were analyzed by mutating each HIV-2 CA residue to the corresponding HIV-1 amino acid

residue (**Fig. 3A**). Two HIV-2 CA mutants (i.e., G38M and N127E) reduced particle production by greater

than 50% compared to that of WT. These amino acid residues are both located at the three-fold inter-

hexamer interface in the CA$_{NTD}$, based upon structural comparison to the HIV-1 lattice structure. The

HIV-2 G38M mutation reduced both immature particle production (**Fig. 3A**) and mature particle

production (**Fig. 3C**). HIV-1 M39G mutation was found to also reduce particle production relative to that

WT (**Fig. 3E**).

It was previously observed that mutation of the HIV-1 CA E128 residue to the corresponding HIV-2

residue (i.e., HIV-1 E128N) reduced viral replication (37). We observed the HIV-2 N127E mutant reduced

immature particle production by 4.3-fold compared to that of WT (**Fig. 3A**), and resulted in a 6.7-fold

reduction of mature particle production compared to WT (**Fig. 3C**). The HIV-1 E128N mutant led to a 2.6-

fold reduction of mature particle production compared to WT. Analysis of the position of E128 in the HIV-

1 immature CA cryo-EM structure (22) reveals that E128 is within interaction distance (<4 Å) with the

E45 residue. Based upon the HIV-2 sequence, two single mutations (i.e., HIV-2 E44N, N127E) were

generated to compare to that of WT (E44/N127). Production of immature particles for the E44N and

N127E mutants were about 4-fold less than the WT (**Fig. 3B**). To assess if these residue positions in

HIV-2 may interact with one another, we created the HIV-2 E44N/N127E double mutant, which led to a

doubling of immature particle production compared to the single mutants, but was 2-fold less than WT.

Perturbation of Gag subcellular distribution. Gag subcellular distribution can alter Gag multimerization and productive particle assembly and release (17). To determine if the subcellular distribution of HIV-2 Gag mutants was associated with reductions in immature particle production, selected mutants were engineered to contain a YFP tag at the carboxy-terminus of the Gag protein. The mutants analyzed included L19A (intra-hexamer interface), A42D (inter-hexamer interface), I152A (located at the CA_{NTD}-CA_{CTD} linker domain), and the non-conserved G38M and N127E mutants. HIV-2 WT and mutant Gag-YFP constructs were transfected into Hela cells, and analyzed for their ability to multimerize and form Gag puncta, particularly at the plasma membrane (39). Among the five mutants analyzed, the HIV-2 G38M and N127E mutants revealed a diffuse fluorescence in the cytoplasm along with enrichment within or immediately surrounding the nucleus (**Fig. 4**). These observations indicated that the G38M and N127E mutations (which are non-conserved residues in the three-fold interface) perturb Gag subcellular localization.

G38M and N127E alter HIV-2 immature particle morphology. Information regarding the critical residues of HIV-2 CA that dictate particle morphology is limited in comparison to that of HIV-1 CA. Previous reports have identified surprising and intriguing differences between the immature Gag lattice structure of HIV-1 and other retroviruses (27-29, 38). The striking morphological differences between immature WT HIV-1 and HIV-2 particles was notable and implies that relatively minor changes in the geometry of protein-protein interactions can significantly impact overall particle morphology (39).

The HIV-2 CA G38M and N127E mutants were next examined for their influence on immature particle morphology, and to contrast them to HIV-2 WT immature particles, which have a uniform particle morphology (39) (**Fig. 5A**). In particular, HIV-2 WT immature particles have a tightly packed Gag lattice, implying a highly organized CA layer below the viral membrane. The majority of the HIV-2 WT immature particles were spherical, with a small population having other morphologies (**Fig. 5A**). In contrast, about 25% of HIV-2 G38M (**Fig. 5B**) and N127E (**Fig. 5C**) immature particles were observed to have non-spherical morphologies. Also, most G38M and N127E mutant immature particles did not have an organized CA lattice below the lipid bilayer, suggesting that the G38M and N127E mutations impose a defect in Gag multimerization to form a proper Gag lattice structure.

The G38M and N127E mutations severely diminish HIV-2 particle infectivity. Infectivity assays of CA point mutants help determine whether a single amino acid can alter virus replication. Here, a single-cycle infectivity system with a fluorescent reporter system was used as previously described (43). Vector virus particles (WT or mutant) were harvested from producer cells and used to infect permissive target cells and assessed by flow cytometry. Relative infectivity of mutants compared to WT was determined.

The HIV-2 G38M and N127E mutants exhibited severe defects in particle infectivity, 100-fold and 56-fold reductions compared to WT, respectively (**Fig. 3D**). To assess if the equivalent mutations in HIV-1 (see **Fig. 1A**) impact particle infectivity, the site-directed mutant HIV-1 CA M39G was analyzed and was found to have a 100-fold reduction in particle infectivity compared to WT (**Fig. 3F**). A previous analysis of the HIV-1 CA N128E found that this mutant reduced infectivity 50-fold compared to WT (37).

Mutations disrupt in vitro HIV-2 CA-NC assembly. The use of *in vitro* protein assembly assays have been very useful for analyzing Gag-Gag interactions due to this being an efficient system to perturb CA-CA interactions in the absence of other cellular effects (44). To assess the impact of selected HIV-2 CA mutations on CA-CA interactions, a HIV-2 CA-NC protein expression construct was used to make purified recombinant protein from *E. coli* for *in vitro* assembly assays. Purified protein was dialyzed against assembly buffer conditions to induce helical assemblies to form (for WT HIV-2 CA-NC protein), and quantified by reaction turbidity at A340 nm based on an established model where turbidity reflects the state of protein assembly (45, 46). Based upon the severe reduction of immature particles (**Fig. 3, 4A**), L19A, A42D, I152A, G38M and N127E were analyzed every 20 min for 2h (**Fig. 6**).

The normalized A340 nm reading for HIV-2 WT peaked at 20 min (i.e., 1.27), then slowly decreased and remained stable (i.e., 0.56) (**Fig. 6A**). HIV-2 L19A, G38M, and I152A had similar trends with WT, but with normalized A340 nm values 1.77, 0.34, and 0.65, respectively (**Fig. 6**). N127E reached a peak at 40 min, with an A340 nm value of 0.36, while A41D had low turbidity readings (i.e., < 0.36) during the experimental time course (**Fig. 6**). G38M, A41D, N127E and I152A had decreased assembly kinetics relative to that of WT.

At the final endpoint (120 minutes), G38M, A41D, N127E, and I152A had significant reductions in turbidity relative to WT, which were 7.0-fold (i.e., G38M, N127E, I152A) or 25-fold (A41D) lower than that

of WT (**Fig. 6G**). These observations support the conclusion that these mutants form unstable assembly

products that disrupt CA-NC helical assembly, except for L19A. These observations indicate these

residues play critical roles in the formation of CA-NC helical assemblies. Previous studies of HIV-1 A42D

CA-NC protein assembly were also shown to impair helical assembly formation (44), which is in good

agreement with our observations with HIV-2 A42D.

Visualization of these CA-NC helical assemblies by negative stain TEM reveals that HIV-2 WT CA-

NC assembled into stable, regularly ordered uniform tubular structures, while G38M, A41D, N127E, and

I152A did not (**Fig. 6H**). The L19A CA-NC mutant resulted in protein aggregations without uniform

tubular structures, suggesting that the observed turbidity was due to large protein aggregates versus

ordered helical tubes. Taken together, these results provide evidence for HIV-2 CA mutants had defects

in CA-CA interactions that prevented helical tube formation.

Discussion

Particle morphologies among retroviral genera are quite distinct, indicating a diversity of morphologies that are different from that of HIV-1(39). Intriguingly, HIV-2 also produces immature particles that are morphologically distinct from that of HIV-1 – *i.e.,* they possess a nearly complete immature Gag lattice, have a larger than average particle diameter, and have a relatively higher average copy number of incorporated Gag (39). However, to our knowledge, this is the first time a panel of HIV-2 mutants have been used to analyze HIV-2 Gag-Gag interactions.

The primary goals of our experiments were two-fold: first, to investigate the critical role of the HIV-2 CA in Gag-Gag interactions and virus particle assembly; and second, to identify differences between HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA domains that alter particle assembly. We created a panel of 31 site-directed mutants to achieve these goals (**Fig. 1**). This panel of 31 mutants encompassed 21 alanine-scanning mutants at highly conserved residues in HIV-2 CA, and 10 site-directed mutants at non-conserved residues (*i.e.,* swapping the native HIV-2 residue to the corresponding residue observed in HIV-1 CA).

This approach allowed for an interrogation of key selected residues in the HIV-2 CA domain of Gag, based upon their predicted location at the CA intra-hexamer interface, the inter-hexamer interface, and the linker domain. To aid in our selection of mutants, specific residues were chosen based upon a structural comparison between HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA, as well as previous on HIV-1 CA structure (30, 36, 37, 44, 47). Mutants that resulted in a disruption of productive Gag-Gag interactions (*i.e.,* a two-fold or greater decrease in particle production) were selected for subsequent analyses. The majority of the mutants analyzed had no overall effect on immature particle production. In particular, seven of the mutants at conserved amino acid residues (*i.e.,* L19A, A41D, I152A, K153A, K157A, N194A, D196A) (**Fig. 2**) and two mutants at non-conserved residues (*i.e.,* G38M, N127E) (**Fig. 3A**) had a significant impact on Gag-Gag interactions and efficient particle assembly.

For mutants at conserved residues and for the mutants at the HIV-2 CA_{NTD}-CA_{CTD} linker domain, there was no significant reduction in immature particle production unless they were at the MHR domain (**Fig. 2A**). Mutants at the intra-hexamer interface were observed to reduce particle production (**Fig. 2B**). Furthermore, mutants at the three-fold interface, but not at the two-fold interface, significantly reduced

particle production (**Fig. 2C**), indicating that the residues at the intra-hexamer interface and at the three-

fold inter-hexamer interface are critical for immature particle production and CA-CA interactions.

Importantly, several key residues, including L19, I152 and N194, had not been previously reported for

either HIV-2 or HIV-1.

A particularly notable discovery was two key mutants (G38M and N127E) at non-conserved residues.

Intriguingly, the key features of the HIV-2 CA G38M and N127E Gag variants included a significant

reduction of immature and mature particle production (**Fig. 3A, C**). This observation indicates that these

mutations impact one or more steps in the particle assembly pathway. Furthermore, these mutants

demonstrate a complete loss of particle infectivity (**Fig. 3D**). Gag subcellular distribution revealed an

increase in nuclear and perinuclear localization for the G38M and N127E mutants relative to that of WT

(**Fig. 4**). Direct visualization of immature particles by using cryo-EM revealed an absence of electron

density below the viral membrane (**Fig. 5**). The ability of CA to form oligomers in a cell-free assay

demonstrated that these two CA variants significantly disrupt HIV-2 CA-NC protein assembly, given that

no ordered assemblies were observed (**Fig. 6**). To assess the structural basis of these results, we

analyzed the molecular interfaces in HIV-1 lattice structures from both immature and mature virus

particles. The HIV-1 E128 residue on helix 7 is within interaction distance (< 4Å) to E45 on helix 2 in the

immature CA lattice three-fold interface. Using this structural comparison, we chose to further probe the

homologous HIV-2 residue E44 and its potential interaction partner (*i.e.,* N127). Two mutations in HIV-2,

E44N and N127E, resulted in significant particle reduction individually, but the double mutant (*i.e.,*

E44N/N127E) had a partial rescue in particle production, suggesting that these residues are involved in a

direct interaction in the immature HIV-2 Gag lattice (**Fig. 3B**). This provides evidence for an

undiscovered HIV Gag-Gag interaction in HIV-2 between residues E44 and N127.

Our results demonstrate that relatively minor changes in CA residues could profoundly impact overall

particle assembly. Non-conserved residues such as G38 and N127 likely play a critically important role in

HIV-2 CA structure and Gag-Gag interactions. Intriguingly, the G38 and N127 residues are critical

residues in the HIV-2 CA three-fold inter-hexamer interface, implying that the three-fold interface likely

plays a critical role in Gag-Gag interactions.

One potential limitation of our study is that not all residues in the HIV-2 CA domain of Gag were

analyzed. Residues were identified for site-directed mutagenesis based on a variety of parameters

including mutants (i) at the CA: inter-domain linker, intra-hexamer interface, and inter-hexamer interface

(two-fold interface & three-fold interface); (ii) at conserved and non-conserved residues; (iii) at residues

in helices and loops; (iv) and previously reported and not reported. While not exhaustive, the panel of

mutants have revealed novel residues not previously described as important for HIV particle assembly.

Future studies may likely identify other important residues. Finally, analysis of novel mutants and their

impact on viral infectivity through the identification of second-site reversion mutations would further aid in

gaining a deeper understanding of CA-CA and Gag-Gag interactions important for virus particle

assemble and infectivity.

The observations in this current study provide an important complement to structural analyses of

HIV-2 immature and mature particle morphologies, and help provide important insights into the structure-

function relationship between HIV particle morphology and virion infectivity. Furthermore, these studies

highlight the importance of comparative virology in providing important insight into differences that can

advance knowledge in our fundamental understanding of HIV assembly and replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids, cell lines, and reagents. The HIV-2 Gag expression plasmids, pN3-Gag and pEYFP-N3-Gag, as well as the HIV-2 Env expression plasmid have been previously described (39). HeLa and HEK293T/17 cells lines were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal clone III (FC3; GE Healthcare Lifesciences, UT) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Pen Strep; Invitrogen, CA) at 37 °C in 5% CO_2. The HIV-1 and HIV-2 single-cycle vectors, *i.e.,* pNL4-3 MIG (48) and pROD-MIG (49) have been previously described, respectively. Vector viruses were pseudotyped with the VSV-G expression construct, pHCMV-G (J. Burns, UCSD, San Diego, CA). U373-MAGI-CXCR4$_{CEM}$ cells (NIH AIDS Reagent Program, NIAID, NIH) were maintained similarly to HEK293T/17 cells, supplemented with 1.0 μg/mL puromycin, 0.1 mg/mL hygromycin B, and 0.2 mg/mL neomycin to the medium. All cells used in this study were certified as being mycoplasma-free.

Site-directed mutagenesis of Gag expression plasmids. A panel of 31 alanine-scanning mutants (**Fig. 1A**) were created in the HIV-2 CA domain of Gag. Among this panel, 21 alanine-scanning HIV-2 CA mutants at conserved residues were in the pN3-Gag plasmid of HIV-2 Gag (except A41D) by using the Gibson assembly method as previously described (50). A panel of 10 non-conserved HIV-2 CA mutants were generated by changing the codons in HIV-2 to HIV-1 NL4-3 residues in the HIV-2 Gag pN3-Gag expression plasmid. Selected mutants of interest were also introduced into the pEYFP-N3-Gag, pROD-MIG, and pET28a plasmids for cellular localization, infectivity, and in vitro assembly studies, respectively. All mutants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Virus particle production. The efficiency of immature particle production was analyzed by quantifying Gag proteins in released particles by harvesting cell culture supernatants by using immunoblot analysis with a mouse monoclonal anti-HIV-1 p24 antibody (Catalogue #: sc-69728; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX). Briefly, the pN3-HIV-2-Gag plasmid and the HIV-2 Env expression plasmid were co-transfected into HEK293T/17 cells by using GenJet, ver II (SignaGen, Gaithersburg, MD) at a 10:1 ratio, respectively. After 48-h post-transfection, the viral supernatants were harvested, clarified by centrifugation (1,800 × g

for 10 min), and filtered through 0.2 μm filters. Next, the filtered cell culture supernatants were

concentrated by ultracentrifugation in a 50.2 Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, CA) at 211,400 × g for 90 min

through an 8% Opti-prep (Sigma-Aldrich, MO) cushion. Particle pellets were resuspended in 1× STE

buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA) (G-Biosciences, MO). The 293T/17 cells

were collected and lysed with RIPA lysis buffer and clarified via centrifugation (1800 x g for 10 min). The

protein concentrations were measured by using the BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL) before the samples

were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The Gag proteins were

detected with a 1:1,500 dilution of anti-HIV p24 antibody in 5% milk TBST (Tris-buffered saline plus

Tween-20). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was detected by using a 1:1,000

anti-GAPDH hFAB™ Rhodamine antibody (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) in 5% milk TBST (Tris buffer saline

plus Tween-20). Membranes were washed before incubation with a goat anti-mouse StarBright™ Blue

700 secondary. Gag expression levels in cells were normalized relative to GAPDH levels, and the mutant

Gag expression levels were determined relative to that of WT HIV-2 Gag.

The efficiency of mature particle production was analyzed by quantifying Gag by detection of the CA

(p24) band from cell culture supernatants. Briefly, the HIV-2 pROD-MIG and the VSV-G expression

plasmids were co-transfected into HEK293T/17 cells by using GenJet ver II at a 3:1 molar ratio to

produce both WT and mutant virus particles. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cell culture

supernatants were harvested, clarified by centrifugation (1,800 × g for 10 min), and filtered through 0.2

μm filters. The supernatants were then concentrated by ultracentrifugation in a 50.2 Ti rotor (Beckman

Coulter, CA) at 211,400 × g for 90 min through an 8% Opti-prep (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) cushion.

Capsid proteins were detected with a 1:1,500 anti-HIV p24 antibody. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate

dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was detected with 1:1,000 anti-GAPDH hFAB™ Rhodamine antibody (Bio-

Rad). The CA levels from cells were normalized relative to GAPDH levels, and the CA mutant expression

levels were determined relative to that of WT HIV-2 Gag. Membranes were washed before incubation

with 1:2,500 goat anti-mouse StarBright™ Blue 700 (Bio-Rad).

The membranes from immunoblot analyses were imaged by using a ChemiDoc Touch system (Bio-

Rad, CA) and analyzed with ImageJ. Results were analyzed by using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad

Software, Inc., CA). Relative significance between a mutant and WT was determined by using an

unpaired t-test. Immunoblot analyses were done by conducting three independent replicates.

Gag subcellular distribution analysis. Gag subcellular distribution was analyzed by quantifying the

degree of Gag puncta formation in cells by using confocal laser scanning microscopy to localize Gag-

eYFP as previously described (51). Briefly, HeLa cells were cultured in six-well plates on 1.5 standard

glass coverslips coated with Poly-L-lysine as previously described (51). HeLa cells were transiently

transfected with EYFP-tagged Gag; untagged Gag expression plasmids at a 1:4 molar ratio by using

GenJet, ver II (SignaGen, Gaithersburg, MD). At 48-h post-transfection, cells were stained by using DAPI

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA) and ActinRed 555 (Invitrogen, CA) prior to before fixation with 4%

paraformaldehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA). Cells were imaged by using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal

laser scanning microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40-numeric-aperture (NA) oil objective at 1.2×

zoom (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). At least 5 individual cells were imaged from three

independent experimental replicates, for a total of 15 cells for each Gag mutant and WT Gag.

Cryo-EM analysis of particle morphology. The pN3-HIV-2-Gag plasmid and the HIV-2 Env expression

plasmids were co-transfected into HEK293T/17 cells by using GenJet, ver II at a 10:1 molar ratio as

previously described (39). At 48-h post-transfection, cell culture supernatants were harvested and

centrifuged at 1,800 × g for 5 min and followed by passing through a 0.2 µm filter. Particles were then

concentrated by ultracentrifugation in a 50.2 Ti rotor at 211,400 × g for 90 min through an 8% Opti-prep

cushion. Particle pellets were resuspended in about 200 µl of STE buffer prior to ultracentrifugation

through a 10% to 30% Opti-Prep step gradient at 301,090 x g for 3 h. The particle band was removed

from the gradient by puncturing the side of the centrifuge tube with a hypodermic syringe needle and

pelleted in STE buffer at 267,636 × g for 1 h by using an SW55 Ti rotor. The resulting particle pellet was

then resuspended in approximately 10 µl STE buffer and frozen at -80°C until analyzed by cyro-EM.

Experiments were done in triplicate. Samples were initially screened by negative staining TEM with 0.75 %

(w/v) uranyl formate by using a 120 kV Tecnai Spirit TEM (52).

Cryo-EM analysis of particles were done as previously described (39, 51, 53). Briefly, particle

samples were thawed on ice, and approximately 3.5 µL of purified virus particles were applied to freshly

glow-discharged (10 mA for 30 sec using a Leica Ace600 glow-discharger) Quantifoil R2/1 300-mesh

holey carbon-coated copper grids. The grids were then blotted for 4-10 sec with filter paper at 19°C with

85% relative humidity and plunge-frozen in liquid ethane using a FEI Mark III Vitrobot or Leica GP-2 grid

plunger. The frozen grids were stored in liquid nitrogen until imaging analysis.

Cryo-EM analysis was done by using a Tecnai FEI G2 F30 FEG transmission electron microscope

(FEI, Hillsboro, OR) at liquid nitrogen temperature operating at 300 kV. Images were recorded at a

nominal magnification of 39,000x and 59,000x magnification under ~25 electrons/$Å^2$ conditions at 1 to 5

µm under-focus by using a Gatan Slow Scan 4k by 4k charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera or a Gatan

K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA).

Virus infectivity assay. The HIV-2 pROD-MIG and VSV-G expression plasmids were co-transfected

into HEK293T/17 cells by using GenJet, ver II at a 3:1 molar ratio to produce WT and mutant particles.

Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cell culture supernatants were harvested, clarified by centrifugation

(1,800 × g for 10 min), and filtered through a 0.2 µm filter. U373-MAGI-CXCR4 cells were plated in a 12-

well plate and 1 ml of concentrated cell culture supernatant along with 1 ml fresh medium were added

per well. Each mutant was analyzed in a quadruplicate. The cells were collected to detect virus-infected

cells via flow cytometry by using a BD LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA)) at 48-h

post-infection (43). Data were analyzed by using FlowJo v.7 (FloJo Inc, Ashland, OR). Infected cells

were determined by identification of all quadrants expressing either or both fluorescence markers (i.e.,

mCherry, GFP, and both mCherry/GFP) relative to that of WT vector virus. Relative infectivity was

normalized to particle production as determined by immunoblot analysis of cell culture supernatants.

Experiments were conducted in triplicate

Cell-free HIV-2 CA-NC assembly analysis. The HIV-2 CA-NC (Gag amino acids 136-431) was cloned

into the pET28a bacterial expression plasmid, with mutants created by using the Gibson assembly

procedure. Protein is expressed in *E. coli* by using as previously described (45, 46). Briefly, the CA-NC

protein was expressed in BL21 (DE3) RIP pLysS *E. coli* grown in 200 mL of ZY-auto induction media in a

platform shakers for 16 h at 37C (54). Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 50 mL lysis buffer per 200

mL culture (500 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 µM $ZnCl_2$, 10 mM β-Mercaptoethanol (BME)) and then

exposed to flash freezing to aid in cell lysis. After thawing, cells were lysed by adding 10 mg lysozyme,

0.1% Triton (v/v), and sonicated for 10 sec on/off cycles at 40% amplitude for three, 5 min treatments to

reduce viscosity. The cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation 12,000 × g 40 min. Nucleic acids were

removed by using 0.11 (v/v) 2M ammonium sulfate and 0.11 (v/v) polyethyleneimine (PEI), and protein

subsequently precipitated by using saturated ammonium sulfate with 1/3 (v/v) of the total supernatant

volume. The precipitated protein was pelleted by centrifugation at 7,500 × g spin for 15 min. The

precipitate was then resuspended in 50 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris, 5 µM $ZnCl_2$, 10 mM BME, pH 7.5, and

further dialyzed against 1L for 1 h at 4C. The protein was concentrated using an Amicon ultra-15

centrifugal filter unit concentrator (Millipore, Burlington, MA) and loaded onto an ion-exchange column

(HiTrap SP FF, Cytiva, Global Life Sciences Solutions USA LLC, Marlborough, MA). Protein was eluted

by using a linear NaCl gradient from 50-700 mM. Fractions containing the protein were pooled,

concentrated to ~10 mg/mL, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Only samples below a 0.6 A260/A280

ratio were used for further analysis to ensure no significant nucleic acid contamination was affecting the

assembly kinetics or products.

The CA-NC assembly reactions were done using purified protein diluted to 50 µM in 250 mM NaCl,

50 mM Tris, pH 8. A 50-mer oligonucleotide consisting of 25 (GT) repeats was added at a final

concentration of 5 µM. The protein was then dialyzed against assembly buffer (2M NaCl, 50 mM Tris,

150 µM IP6, 5 µM $ZnCl_2$, pH 8) at room temperature in Pierce^{TM} Slide A Lyzer® Mini Dialysis Units

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Turbidity measurements at A340 nm were collected in order to

quantify the reaction progress by using NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA). Spectrometry readings were collected every 20 min for 2 h, and corrected for any

changes in reaction volume due to dialysis.

After 2 hours of dialysis, 3 µl of each reaction were spotted onto EMS CF300-CU grids (Ted Pella,

Redding, CA) for 2 min. Samples were then blotted with filter paper, washed 3x in deionized water,

blotted, and stained in 0.75% (w/v) uranyl formate for 2 min. Samples were imaged by using a FEI

Technai Spirit Bio-Twin transmission electron microscope at 120 kV.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH grant R01 AI150468 (to L.M.M.). N.T. was supported by NIH grants T32 DA007097, F32 AI150351, and American Cancer Society Postdoctoral Fellowship PF-21-189-01-MPC. W.G.A. was supported by NIH grant NIH T32 AI083196. TEM was carried out in the Characterization Facility, University of Minnesota, which receives partial support from NSF through the MRSEC and NNCI program.

References

1. **Del Rio C.** 2017. The global HIV epidemic: What the pathologist needs to know. Semin Diagn Pathol **34:**314-317.
2. **Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT.** 2011. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. Clin Infect Dis **52:**780-787.
3. **Nyamweya S, Hegedus A, Jaye A, Rowland-Jones S, Flanagan KL, Macallan DC.** 2013. Comparing HIV-1 and HIV-2 infection: Lessons for viral immunopathogenesis. Rev Med Virol **23:**221-240.
4. **Andersson S, Norrgren H, da Silva Z, Biague A, Bamba S, Kwok S, Christopherson C, Biberfeld G, Albert J.** 2000. Plasma Viral Load in HIV-1 and HIV-2 Singly and Dually Infected Individuals in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. Arch Intern Med **160:**3286-3293.
5. **de Silva TI, Cotten M, Rowland-Jones SL.** 2008. HIV-2: the forgotten AIDS virus. Trends Microbiol **16:**588-595.
6. **van der Loeff MF, Larke N, Kaye S, Berry N, Ariyoshi K, Alabi A, van Tienen C, Leligdowicz A, Sarge-Njie R, da Silva Z, Jaye A, Ricard D, Vincent T, Jones SR, Aaby P, Jaffar S, Whittle H.** 2010. Undetectable plasma viral load predicts normal survival in HIV-2-infected people in a West African village. Retrovirology **7:**46.
7. **Collaboration H-C, Ray M, Logan R, Sterne JA, Hernandez-Diaz S, Robins JM, Sabin C, Bansi L, van Sighem A, de Wolf F, Costagliola D, Lanoy E, Bucher HC, von Wyl V, Esteve A, Casbona J, del Amo J, Moreno S, Justice A, Goulet J, Lodi S, Phillips A, Seng R, Meyer L, Perez-Hoyos S, Garcia de Olalla P, Hernan MA.** 2010. The effect of combined antiretroviral therapy on the overall mortality of HIV-infected individuals. AIDS **24:**123-137.
8. **Spearman P.** 2016. HIV-1 Gag as an Antiviral Target: Development of Assembly and Maturation Inhibitors. Curr Top Med Chem **16:**1154-1166.
9. **Freed EO.** 2015. HIV-1 assembly, release and maturation. Nat Rev Microbiol **13:**484-496.
10. **Briant L, Gay B, Devaux C, Chazal N.** 2011. HIV-1 Assembly, Release and Maturation. World Journal of AIDS **01:**111-130.
11. **Sundquist WI, Krausslich HG.** 2012. HIV-1 assembly, budding, and maturation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med **2:**a006924.
12. **Gheysen D, Jacobs E, de Foresta F, Thiriart C, Francotte M, Thines D, De Wilde M.** 1989. Assembly and Release of HIV-1 Precursor Pr5Wg Virus-like Particles from Recombinant Baculovirus-Infected Insect Cells. Cell **59:**103-112.
13. **Chen B.** 2016. HIV Capsid Assembly, Mechanism, and Structure. Biochemistry **55:**2539-2552.
14. **Molina JM, Segal-Maurer S, Stellbrink HJ, Castagna A, Berhe M, Richmond GJ, Ruane PJ, Sinclair GI, Siripassorn K, Wang H, Patel H, Margot N, Dvory-Sobol H, Hyland RH, Rhee MS, Baeten J, De Jesus E.** 2021. Efficacy and safety of long-acting subcutaneous lenacapavir in phase 2/3 in heavily treatment-experienced people with HIV: week 26 results (Capella study). Journal of the International AIDS Society **24:**75+.
15. **Gottlieb GS, Badiane NM, Hawes SE, Fortes L, Toure M, Ndour CT, Starling AK, Traore F, Sall F, Wong KG, Cherne SL, Anderson DJ, Dye SA, Smith RA, Mullins JI, Kiviat NB, Sow PS, University of Washington-Dakar HIVSG.** 2009. Emergence of multiclass drug-resistance in HIV-2 in antiretroviral-treated individuals in Senegal: implications for HIV-2 treatment in resouce-limited West Africa. Clin Infect Dis **48:**476-483.
16. **Smith RA, Anderson DJ, Pyrak CL, Preston BD, Gottlieb GS.** 2009. Antiretroviral drug resistance in HIV-2: three amino acid changes are sufficient for classwide nucleoside analogue resistance. J Infect Dis **199:**1323-1326.
17. **Maldonado JO, Martin JL, Mueller JD, Zhang W, Mansky LM.** 2014. New insights into retroviral Gag-Gag and Gag-membrane interactions. Front Microbiol **5:**302.
18. **Chukkapalli V, Hogue IB, Boyko V, Hu WS, Ono A.** 2008. Interaction between the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag matrix domain and phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate is essential for efficient gag membrane binding. J Virol **82:**2405-2417.
19. **Kafaie J, Song R, Abrahamyan L, Mouland AJ, Laughrea M.** 2008. Mapping of nucleocapsid residues important for HIV-1 genomic RNA dimerization and packaging. Virology **375:**592-610.

20. **Grime JMA, Dama JF, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Woodward CL, Jensen GJ, Yeager M, Voth GA.** 2016. Coarse-grained simulation reveals key features of HIV-1 capsid self-assembly. Nat Commun **7:**11568.

21. **Briggs JA, Krausslich HG.** 2011. The molecular architecture of HIV. J Mol Biol **410:**491-500.

22. **Schur FK, Hagen WJ, Rumlova M, Ruml T, Muller B, Krausslich HG, Briggs JA.** 2015. Structure of the immature HIV-1 capsid in intact virus particles at 8.8 A resolution. Nature **517:**505-508.

23. **Zhao G, Perilla JR, Yufenyuy EL, Meng X, Chen B, Ning J, Ahn J, Gronenborn AM, Schulten K, Aiken C, Zhang P.** 2013. Mature HIV-1 capsid structure by cryo-electron microscopy and all-atom molecular dynamics. Nature **497:**643-646.

24. **Gres AT, Kirby KA, KewalRamani VN, Tanner JJ, Pornillos O, Sarafianos SG.** 2015. X-ray crystal structures of native HIV-1 capsid protein reveal conformational variability. Science **349:**99-103.

25. **Pornillos O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Kelly BN, Hua Y, Whitby FG, Stout CD, Sundquist WI, Hill CP, Yeager M.** 2009. X-ray structures of the hexameric building block of the HIV capsid. Cell **137:**1282-1292.

26. **Pornillos O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Yeager M.** 2011. Atomic-level modelling of the HIV capsid. Nature **469:**424-427.

27. **Briggs JA, Johnson MC, Simon MN, Fuller SD, Vogt VM.** 2006. Cryo-electron microscopy reveals conserved and divergent features of gag packing in immature particles of Rous sarcoma virus and human immunodeficiency virus. J Mol Biol **355:**157-168.

28. **Qu K, Glass B, Dolezal M, Schur FKM, Murciano B, Rein A, Rumlova M, Ruml T, Krausslich HG, Briggs JAG.** 2018. Structure and architecture of immature and mature murine leukemia virus capsids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **115:**E11751-E11760.

29. **Briggs JA, Riches JD, Glass B, Bartonova V, Zanetti G, Kräusslich HG.** 2009. Structure and assembly of immature HIV. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **106:**11090–11095.

30. **von Schwedler UK, Stray KM, Garrus JE, Sundquist WI.** 2003. Functional surfaces of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsid protein. J Virol **77:**5439-5450.

31. **Meng X, Zhao G, Yufenyuy E, Ke D, Ning J, Delucia M, Ahn J, Gronenborn AM, Aiken C, Zhang P.** 2012. Protease cleavage leads to formation of mature trimer interface in HIV-1 capsid. PLoS Pathog **8:**e1002886.

32. **Srinivasakumar N, Hammarskjöld ML, Rekosh D.** 1995. Characterization of deletion mutations in the capsid region of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 that affect particle formation and Gag-Pol precursor incorporation. J Virol **69:**6106-6114.

33. **Doi N, Koma T, Adachi A, Nomaguchi M.** 2019. Role for Gag-CA Interdomain Linker in Primate Lentiviral Replication. Front Microbiol **10:**1831.

34. **Strambio-de-Castillia C, Hunter E.** 1992. Mutational analysis of the major homology region of Mason-Pfizer Monkey Virus by use of saturation mutagenesis. J Virol **66:**7021-7032.

35. **Craven RC, Leure-duPree AE, Weldon RA, Wills JW.** 1995. Genetic analysis of the major homology region of the Rous Sarcoma Virus Gag protein. J Virol **69:**4213-4227.

36. **Ganser-Pornillos BK, von Schwedler UK, Stray KM, Aiken C, Sundquist WI.** 2004. Assembly properties of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 CA protein. J Virol **78:**2545-2552.

37. **Shoko Nakanishi SW, Naoya Doi, Takaaki Koma, Akio Adachi, Masako Nomaguchi.** 2018. Virological characterization of HIV-1 CA-NTD mutants constructed in a virus-lineage reflected manner. The Journal of Medical Investigation **65:**110-115.

38. **Zhang W, Cao S, Martin JL, Mueller JD, Mansky LM.** 2015. Morphology and ultrastructure of retrovirus particles. AIMS Biophys **2:**343-369.

39. **Martin JL, Cao S, Maldonado JO, Zhang W, Mansky LM.** 2016. Distinct Particle Morphologies Revealed through Comparative Parallel Analyses of Retrovirus-Like Particles. J Virol **90:**8074-8084.

40. **Miyazaki Y, Miyake A, Doi N, Koma T, Uchiyama T, Adachi A, Nomaguchi M.** 2017. Comparison of Biochemical Properties of HIV-1 and HIV-2 Capsid Proteins. Front Microbiol **8:**1082.

41. **Tanaka M, Robinson BA, Chutiraka K, Geary CD, Reed JC, Lingappa JR.** 2016. Mutations of
Conserved Residues in the Major Homology Region Arrest Assembling HIV-1 Gag as a
Membrane-Targeted Intermediate Containing Genomic RNA and Cellular Proteins. J Virol
90:1944-1963.
42. **Donna L. Mallery ABK, Nadine Renner, K. M. Rifat Faysal, Mariia Novikova, Leo Kiss,**
Miranda S. C. Wilson, Bilal Ahsan, Zunlong Ke, John A. G. Briggs, Adolfo Saiardi, Till
Böcking, Eric O. Freed, and Leo C. James. 2021. A stable immature lattice packages IP6 for
HIV capsid maturation. Sci Adv **7:**eabe4716.
43. **Rawson JM, Clouser CL, Mansky LM.** 2016. Rapid Determination of HIV-1 Mutant Frequencies
and Mutation Spectra Using an mCherry/EGFP Dual-Reporter Viral Vector. Methods Mol Biol
1354:71-88.
44. **Dostalkova A, Hadravova R, Kaufman F, Krizova I, Skach K, Flegel M, Hrabal R, Ruml T,**
Rumlova M. 2019. A simple, high-throughput stabilization assay to test HIV-1 uncoating inhibitors.
Sci Rep **9:**17076.
45. **Kucharska I, Ding P, Zadrozny KK, Dick RA, Summers MF, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Pornillos**
O. 2020. Biochemical Reconstitution of HIV-1 Assembly and Maturation. J Virol **94**.
46. **Barbie K. Ganser SL, Victor Y. Klishko, John T. Finch, Wesley I. Sundquist.** 1999. Assembly
and Analysis of Conical Models for the HIV-1 Core. Science **283:**80-83.
47. **Jiang J, Ablan SD, Derebail S, Hercik K, Soheilian F, Thomas JA, Tang S, Hewlett I,**
Nagashima K, Gorelick RJ, Freed EO, Levin JG. 2011. The interdomain linker region of HIV-1
capsid protein is a critical determinant of proper core assembly and stability. Virology **421:**253-
265.
48. **Clouser CL, Patterson SE, Mansky LM.** 2010. Exploiting drug repositioning for discovery of a
novel HIV combination therapy. J Virol **84:**9301-9309.
49. **Beach LB, Rawson JM, Kim B, Patterson SE, Mansky LM.** 2014. Novel inhibitors of human
immunodeficiency virus type 2 infectivity. J Gen Virol **95:**2778-2783.
50. **Heydenreich FM, Miljus T, Jaussi R, Benoit R, Milic D, Veprintsev DB.** 2017. High-throughput
mutagenesis using a two-fragment PCR approach. Sci Rep **7:**6787.
51. **Martin JL, Mendonca LM, Marusinec R, Zuczek J, Angert I, Blower RJ, Mueller JD, Perilla**
JR, Zhang W, Mansky LM. 2018. Critical Role of the Human T-Cell Leukemia Virus Type 1
Capsid N-Terminal Domain for Gag-Gag Interactions and Virus Particle Assembly. J Virol **92**.
52. **Thompson RF, Walker M, Siebert CA, Muench SP, Ranson NA.** 2016. An introduction to
sample preparation and imaging by cryo-electron microscopy for structural biology. Methods
100:3-15.
53. **Martin JL, Mendonca LM, Angert I, Mueller JD, Zhang W, Mansky LM.** 2017. Disparate
Contributions of Human Retrovirus Capsid Subdomains to Gag-Gag Oligomerization, Virus
Morphology, and Particle Biogenesis. J Virol **91**.
54. **Studier FW.** 2005. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. Protein
Expr Purif **41:**207-234.
55. **Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN,**
Potter SC, Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in
2019. Nucleic Acids Res **47:**W636-W641.
56. **Price AJ, Marzetta F, Lammers M, Ylinen LM, Schaller T, Wilson SJ, Towers GJ, James LC.**
2009. Active site remodeling switches HIV specificity of antiretroviral TRIMCyp. Nat Struct Mol
Biol **16:**1036-1042.
57. **Buchan DWA, Jones DT.** 2019. The PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench: 20 years on.
Nucleic Acids Res **47:**W402-W407.
58. **Jones DT.** 1999. Protein secondary structure prediction based on position-specific scoring
matrices. J Mol Biol **292:**195-202.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Sequence alignment and CA structure. (A) Alignment of the HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA sequences.

Amino acid sequences in CA of HIV-1 NL4-3 (GenBank accession no. AF324493; CA 1-231; Gag 133-

363) and HIV-2 ROD (GenBank accession no. M15390; CA 1- 230; Gag 136-365) were aligned by using

the Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence Alignment (55). Locations of the HIV-1 immature CA helices in the

alignment are based on previous studies (22) (PDB ID: 5L93) and are indicated by black boxes. The HIV-

2 immature CA_{NTD} (CA 1-144; Gag 136-279) helices were previously described (56) (PDB ID: 2WLV) and

are indicated with blue boxes. The CA_{CTD} (CA 145-230; Gag 280-365) helices are predicted by the

PSIPRED server (57, 58), and are indicated by green boxes. "*" indicates conserved amino acids; ":"

indicates amino acid substitution with high amino acid similarity; "." indicates amino acid substitutions

with low similarity. The blue, purple, magenta, orange, and gold-shaded amino acid residues highlight

mutagenesis at non-conserved residues, linker, intra-hexamer interface, two-fold interface, and three-fold

interface, respectively. (B) Ribbon diagram of the CA monomer structure. Shown are CA amino-terminal

domain (NTD), the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD), the spacer peptide 1 (SP1), and alpha-helices 1, 4, 6,

633   7, 8, 9, and 10 (i.e., H1, H4, H6, H7, H8, H9, and H10). The non-conserved, linker, intra-hexamer, two-

634   fold and three-fold residues analyzed on indicated on the ribbon structure.

635
636

Figure 2

Figure 2. Immature particle production of HIV-2 CA mutants at the CA$_{NTD}$-CA$_{CTD}$ linker (A), the intra-hexamer interface (B), and the inter-hexamer interface (C). 293T/17 cells were transfected with Plasmids expressing the HIV-2 Gag (WT or alanine-scanning mutants) were transfected into 293T/17 cells, and the cell culture supernatants were harvested 48h post transfection. Immunoblot analysis was conducted to determine the amount of immature particle production for the CA conserved mutants relative to that of WT. Histograms show the relative immature particle production for each HIV-2 CA mutant compared to WT (set to 100). Error bars represent standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. Significance relative to WT was determined by unpaired t test. ****, $P < 0.0001$; ***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$. MHR = Major homology region. Mutation locations are colored in the HIV CA monomer structure, using the same color-coding scheme as shown in Figure 1B.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Particle production and infectivity analysis for HIV CA mutants at non-conserved amino acid residues. (A) Immature particle production of HIV-2 CA mutants at non-conserved amino acid residues. Particle production of mutants from a Gag expression construct is relative to that of WT (set at 100). (B) Immature particle production of HIV-2 CA mutants. Shown is particle production of the HIV-2 CA E44N, N127E and E44N/N127E mutants relative to that of WT (set at 100). (C) Mature HIV-2 particle production from a HIV-1 single-cycle vector for selected mutants. Shown is particle production of the HIV-2 G38M and N127E CA mutants relative to that of WT (set at 100). (D) Infectivity of HIV-2 CA mutants. Shown is the infectivity relative to that of WT (set at 100) for the HIV-2 G38M and N127E mutants. (E) Mature particle production from a HIV-1 single-cycle vector of a HIV-1 CA mutant. Shown is mature particle production for the HIV-1 M39G CA mutant relative to that of WT (set at 100). (F) Infectivity analysis of HIV-1 CA mutant. Immunoblot analysis of CA p24 levels was conducted to determine the amount of particle production for the M39G mutant relative to that of the WT HIV-1 single-cycle vector. Virus particle infectivity was determined by flow cytometry analysis of infected cells that express a fluorescence reporter gene from the integrated HIV-1 provirus. Mutant particle infectivity was relative to that of the WT HIV-1 vector virus and was normalized to CA p24 levels. Error bars represent standard deviations from three independent experiments. Significance relative to WT was determined by an unpaired t test. ****, $P < 0.0001$; ***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Subcellular localization of HIV-2 WT Gag and selected CA domain mutants. HeLa cells

were transfected with either HIV-2 Gag-eYFP or a site-directed Gag CA domain mutant. Representative

images for WT HIV-2 Gag-eYFP and each Gag CA domain mutant (i.e., L19A, G38M, A41D, N127E and

I152A) are shown. At least 15 individual cells were imaged across three independent replicates for a total

of 15 cells. Scale bar, 20 µm.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Cryo-electron microscopy of immature HIV-2 WT or Gag CA domain mutant particles.
The HIV-2 Gag expression plasmid or selected mutant was transfected into 293T/17 cells, and the particles produced from the cells were concentrated and purified from cell culture supernatants prior to cryo-electron microscopy analysis. Representative images are shown for the WT and the G38M and N127E CA domain mutants at two magnifications. At least 200 particles were imaged per virus particle sample. Black scale bars, 1 μm; white scale bars, 100 nm.

691 **Figure 6**

Figure 6. Cell-free assembly of HIV-2 CA-NC protein multimers. (A-F) Assembly kinetics of HIV-2 WT

and the indicated mutants (i.e., L19A, G38M, A41D, N127E, and I152A) were monitored by measuring

light scattering at 340 nm while dialyzing the reaction from the sizing buffer into the assembly buffer at

the indicated times. Readings were taken every 20 min for 2 h and corrected for any changes in reaction

volume due to dialysis. The grey area represents average ± standard error of the mean from three

independent experiments. (G) Relative A340 nm absorbance of each HIV-2 CA mutant to WT, sampled

at 120 min. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean from three independent experiments.

Significance relative to WT was determined by using the unpaired t test. ****, $P < 0.0001$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

(H) Negative staining of representative electron microscopy images of HIV-2 CA-NC assembly products,

sampled at 120 min. Scale bar, 500 nm.