Effects of clozapine-N-oxide and compound 21

on sleep in laboratory mice

Janine Traut[1,2,3*], Jose Prius Mengual[1,2*], Elise J. Meijer[1,2],

Laura E. McKillop[1,2], Hannah Alfonsa[4], Anna Hoerder-Suabedissen[1], Seoho Michael Song[5],

Zoltán Molnár[1], Colin J. Akerman[4], Vladyslav V. Vyazovskiy[1,2], Lukas B. Krone[1,2,6,7†]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[2] Sleep and Circadian Neuroscience Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[3] Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Center - University of Freiburg,

Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

[4] Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[5] Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

[6] Centre for Experimental Neurology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[7] University Hospital of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Key words: CNO, compound 21, sleep, chemogenetics, DREADD

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†Corresponding author

Lukas B. Krone

Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics,

Sherrington Building, Sherrington Road, Oxford, OX1 3PT

Phone: +44 (0) 7397 291184

E-mail: lukas.krone@dpag.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs) are chemogenetic tools for remote control of targeted cell populations using chemical actuators that bind to modified receptors. Despite the popularity of DREADDs in neuroscience and sleep research, potential effects of the DREADD actuator clozapine-N-oxide (CNO) on sleep have never been systematically tested. Here we show that intraperitoneal injections of commonly used CNO doses (1, 5, and 10 mg/kg) alter sleep in wild-type male laboratory mice. Using electroencephalography (EEG) and electromyography (EMG) to analyse sleep, we found a dose-dependent suppression of rapid eye-movement (REM) sleep, changes in EEG spectral power during non-REM (NREM) sleep, and altered sleep architecture in a pattern previously reported for clozapine. Effects of CNO on sleep could arise from back-metabolism to clozapine or binding to endogenous neurotransmitter receptors. Interestingly, we found that the novel DREADD actuator, compound 21 (C21, 3 mg/kg), similarly modulates sleep despite a lack of back-metabolism to clozapine. Our results demonstrate that both CNO and C21 can modulate sleep of mice not expressing DREADD receptors. This implies that back-metabolism to clozapine is not the sole mechanism underlying side effects of chemogenetic actuators. Therefore, any chemogenetic experiment should include a DREADD-free control group injected with the same CNO, C21 or newly developed actuator. We suggest that electrophysiological sleep assessment could serve as a sensitive tool to test the biological inertness of novel chemogenetic actuators.

Introduction

Chemogenetics is an important and widely used experimental approach in sleep research (Weber and Dan 2016; Varin and Bonnavion 2019) and could serve as a novel therapeutic strategy in sleep medicine (Venner et al. 2019). Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs) enable non-invasive and cell-type specific remote control of neuronal activity in freely moving animals on a time scale of minutes to hours (Roth 2016). In the context of sleep research, these characteristics make DREADD technology a powerful tool with which to probe the contribution of selected neuronal populations in controlling vigilance states (Hayashi et al. 2015; Varin, Luppi, and Fort 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Mondino et al. 2021), sleep-state specific network oscillations (Funk et al. 2017; Vaidyanathan et al. 2021), as well as sleep-related physiology (Harding et al. 2018; Fleury Curado et al. 2018) and behaviour (Eban-Rothschild et al. 2016; Tossell et al. 2020).

Typically, intraperitoneal injections of clozapine-N-oxide (CNO) are used to activate excitatory hM3Dq or inhibitory hM4Di DREADDs (Campbell and Marchant 2018). Early work suggested that CNO is pharmacologically inert (Armbruster et al. 2007) and not back metabolized to its parent drug clozapine in mice (Guettier et al. 2009). However, more recent studies demonstrated relevant conversion of CNO to pharmacologically active metabolites including clozapine (Gomez et al. 2017; Manvich et al. 2018; Jendryka et al. 2019). Clozapine is an atypical antipsychotic drug used in the treatment of schizophrenia with a high affinity for dopamine D2 and serotonin 5-HT2A coupled with a broad binding profile to cholinergic, adrenergic, histaminergic and serotonergic receptors (Wenthur and Lindsley 2013), which may account for its high efficacy compared to other antipsychotics (Kane et al. 1988). In addition, CNO itself was found to present off-target binding at a broad range of

neurotransmitter receptors (Gomez et al. 2017; Jendryka et al. 2019) and to elicit behavioural

effects (Gomez et al. 2017; Manvich et al. 2018; MacLaren et al. 2016) at doses commonly

used for DREADD experiments.

It is widely thought that CNO does not affect sleep (Harding et al. 2018; Mondino et al. 2021;

Naganuma et al. 2018; Erickson et al. 2019) and many chemogenetic sleep studies include

convincing control experiments with DREADD-free animals that demonstrate the absence of

relevant effects of the chosen CNO preparations and doses on the assessed sleep parameters

(Erickson et al. 2019; Mondino et al. 2021; Takata et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Anaclet et al.

2015; Venner et al. 2016). However, a comprehensive assessment of putative dose-

dependent effects of CNO on sleep in wild-type mice has never been systematically

conducted, although a recent study reported that high CNO doses affected sleep in DREADD-

free control animals (Varin, Luppi, and Fort 2018). This is an important omission, given that

clozapine is known to modulate sleep in humans (Hinze-Selch et al. 1997; Monti, Torterolo,

and Pandi Perumal 2017; Riemann and Nissen 2012) and laboratory rodents (Spierings,

Dzoljic, and Godschalk 1977; Sorge, Pollmächer, and Lancel 2004; Grønli et al. 2016; Coward

et al. 1989). Many of the endogenous neurotransmitter receptors, which are drug targets of

clozapine (Wenthur and Lindsley 2013) and to which CNO presents off-target binding affinity

(Jendryka et al. 2019; Gomez et al. 2017), are also involved in the regulation of arousal and

sleep (Saper and Fuller 2017).

Initially intended as a control experiment, we here tested whether commonly used doses of

CNO (1, 5, and 10 mg/kg) and the novel DREADD agonist compound 21 (C21), which does not

convert to clozapine (Thompson et al. 2018) but has an off-target binding profile similar to

CNO (Jendryka et al. 2019), affect sleep in wild-type C57BL/6J mice under laboratory

conditions. We find dose-dependent clozapine-like effects of CNO on the duration of rapid

eye movement (REM) sleep, sleep architecture parameters, and frontal EEG power spectra of

non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep. In addition, we observed a similar pattern of sleep

modulation after injections of a 3 mg/kg dose of C21 resulting in effect sizes comparable to

those of the 5 mg/kg CNO condition.

Results

Clozapine-N-oxide suppresses REM sleep

We first assessed the time spent in wakefulness, non-rapid eye movement (NREM) and rapid eye-movement (REM) sleep in hourly intervals over the first six hours of the light period following injections of CNO or saline at light onset (Figure 1a). There was no significant main effect of the treatment condition on the proportion of time spent awake or in NREM and no interaction effect between treatment condition and time point. However, we observed a significant main effect of the treatment condition on the percentage of REM sleep ($F_{(2, 30)}$ = 5.601, p = 0.009), but no interaction between the time point and the treatment condition (Figure 1a).

CNO concentrations in blood plasma, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and brain tissue of mice peak within the first 15-30 minutes after both intraperitoneal and subcutaneous injections (Jendryka et al. 2019; Manvich et al. 2018), and behavioural side effects are typically tested within the first two hours following drug administration (MacLaren et al. 2016; Manvich et al. 2018; Gomez et al. 2017). Yet, CNO effects might persist for much longer. To investigate this possibility more closely, we next analysed the effect of CNO on vigilance states in an acute (0-2 hours) and prolonged (0-6 hours) time window (Figure 1b). There was a significant effect of CNO treatment on REM sleep both in the acute ($F_{(2, 22)}$ =8.951, p = 0.002) and prolonged time window ($F_{(2, 23)}$ = 7.525, p = 0.004). Effect size calculations for the post-hoc comparisons between the individual CNO conditions and the saline condition indicated medium to large effects of CNO on REM sleep (Supplementary Table 1).

Figure 1: Suppression of REM sleep following CNO injection

a) Time course of wakefulness, NREM, and REM sleep in the six hours following
subcutaneous injections of CNO or saline at light onset (ZT 0). b) Percentage of time spent
in the three vigilance states during the first two hours (left column) and over the entire six-
hour observation period after saline and CNO injections. Note that there is no main effect
of the treatment condition on NREM sleep in the acute time window ($F_{(2,24)}$ = 3.054, p =
0.068). Therefore, the significant post-hoc contrast for the 10 mg/kg condition has to be
interpreted with caution. Percentage of REM sleep expressed as proportion of total sleep
time. n=16 for saline, n=11 for 1 mg/kg, n=15 for 5 mg/kg, n=14 for 10 mg/kg for vigilance
state analysis. Asterisks indicate post-hoc contrasts with significant differences (*P < 0.05,
**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). CNO: clozapine-N-oxide. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM:
non-rapid eye movement sleep. REM: rapid eye movement sleep. ZT: Zeitgeber time.

Clozapine-N-oxide alters sleep architecture

Physiological sleep in mammals is typically entered through NREM sleep and characterised by

the alternation between NREM and REM sleep episodes. The average timing, duration, and

frequency of NREM and REM episodes in mice vary slightly depending on the genetic

background but are kept within tight limits for individual strains (Franken, Malafosse, and

Tafti 1999; Huber, Deboer, and Tobler 2000). The effects of psychotropic drugs on sleep are

often most prominent in sleep architecture parameters (Riemann and Nissen 2012). For

example, clozapine evokes characteristic changes in sleep architecture in humans (Monti,

Torterolo, and Pandi Perumal 2017), rats (Sorge, Pollmächer, and Lancel 2004; Spierings,

Dzoljic, and Godschalk 1977), and mice (Grønli et al. 2016). Hence, the analysis of sleep

architectural parameters is of paramount importance in assessing whether a pharmacological

compound modulates sleep.

Characteristics of both NREM and REM episodes were altered by CNO injections (Figure 2a).

CNO injections were followed by longer (main effect NREM episode duration: $F_{(2, 30)}$ = 11.64,

$p < 0.001$) but fewer (main effect NREM episode number: $F_{(2, 31)}$ = 7.796, $p = 0.001$) NREM

sleep episodes. These effects appeared to be dose-dependent, with higher doses eliciting

stronger effects (Figure 2b). The latency between the injection and the onset of NREM sleep

was also significantly affected by CNO injections ($F_{(2,26)}$ = 3.380, $p = 0.046$, Figure 2b).

However, the reduction of the NREM sleep latency was only statistically significant in the

1 mg/kg condition. REM sleep episodes were significantly shorter following CNO injections

($F_{(2, 40)}$ = 4.509, $p = 0.013$) and the latency between sleep onset and the first transition to REM

sleep was significantly changed ($F_{(2, 28)}$ = 6.985, $p = 0.002$) with delayed REM onset in the

1 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg condition (Figure 2b). In contrast to the effects on sleep states, neither

the duration nor the number of wake episodes was modulated by CNO injections

(Supplementary Table 2). In summary, we observed longer but fewer NREM episodes

following CNO injections in a dose-dependent fashion, and a similar but less pronounced

change in the duration and frequency of REM episodes. Furthermore, CNO injections

accelerated sleep onset, but delayed the transition to REM sleep, particularly at low doses.

Figure 2: Altered sleep architecture following CNO injections

a) Representative hypnograms and EEG slow wave activity (0.5- 4.0 Hz, 4-s epochs) from
one individual mouse after injection of saline (left panel) and 5 mg/kg CNO (right panel).
Note the reduced latency to NREM sleep, the suppression of REM sleep, and the increased
duration of individual NREM sleep episodes. b) NREM sleep architecture following saline
and CNO injections. c) REM sleep architecture following saline and CNO injections. Note
that there is no main effect of CNO treatment on the number of REM sleep episodes ($F_{(3,33)}$
= 2.680, p = 0.069). Therefore, the significant post-hoc contrast for the 10 mg/kg condition
has to be interpreted with caution. n=16 for saline, n=11 for 1 mg/kg, n=15 for 5 mg/kg,
n=14 for 10 mg/kg for vigilance state analysis in panels b and c. Asterisks indicate post-hoc
contrasts with significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). CNO: clozapine-
N-oxide. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM: non-rapid eye movement sleep. REM: rapid
eye movement sleep.

Clozapine-N-oxide affects the NREM spectrogram and sleep consolidation

In addition to sleep time and architecture, EEG spectra are typically analysed in sleep studies.

We therefore assessed whether CNO affects EEG spectral power. The focus of the EEG

spectral analysis was on the comparison between the medium dose (5 mg/kg) of CNO and

saline during NREM sleep. We observed that CNO injections were followed by a small but

significant increase in spectral power in the range between 0.5 and 1.25 Hz and suppression

of spectral power in nearly all frequency bins between 6 and 30 Hz during NREM sleep over

the first two hours (Figure 3a and Supplementary Figure 1). While the increase in slow

frequency bins during NREM sleep appeared to be temporary, the suppression of power

above 6 Hz was significant for the entire six-hour observation period. Spectral analysis of

wakefulness and REM sleep did not reveal any systematic effects of CNO (Supplementary

Figure 1). A comparison of all three CNO conditions with saline injection indicated similar

effects of CNO on the NREM sleep spectrogram in the high dose (10 mg/kg CNO), but not in

the low dose (1 mg/kg CNO) condition (Figure 1).

Elevated spectral power in slow frequencies, longer but fewer NREM episodes, and less REM

sleep are typically observed during the initial recovery sleep following sleep deprivation,

when sleep is more consolidated (Huber, Deboer, and Tobler 2000). To explore whether the

stability of sleep is affected by CNO, we assessed the cumulative amount of NREM sleep

before the first REM episode (1 min or longer of REM sleep), as well as the frequency of brief

awakenings (4-16 second intrusions of wake-like EMG and EEG during sleep), which is a

behavioural marker of sleep continuity (Franken et al. 1991). Both measures were significantly

changed after CNO injection (NREM before REM: $F_{(3, 33)}$ = 5.071, p = 0.007; brief awakenings:

$F_{(2, 24)}$ = 10.38, $p < 0.001$). Effect size calculations indicated a medium to strong increase in

NREM sleep before REM onset and reduction in brief awakenings for all CNO doses

(Supplementary Table 2).

Figure 3: EEG spectral changes and increased sleep continuity following CNO injections

a) Frontal EEG spectra during NREM sleep relative to saline injections for the acute (first 2
hours) and prolonged (6 hours) observation period. b) Cumulative amount of NREM sleep
before the first REM sleep episode. c) Frequency of brief awakenings (4-16 sec) per hour of
sleep for the first 2 hours after injections. n=10 for saline, n=6 for 1 mg/kg, n=10 for 5 mg/kg,
n=8 for 10 mg/kg for spectral analysis. n=16 for saline, n=11 for 1 mg/kg, n=15 for 5 mg/kg,
n=14 for 10 mg/kg for vigilance state analysis. n=15 for saline, n=11 for 1 mg/kg, n=15 for 5
mg/kg, n=13 for 10 mg/kg for analysis of brief awakenings. Asterisks in panels b and c
indicate post-hoc contrasts with significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
Asterisks in panel a indicate frequency bins with significant differences in post-hoc
comparison (P < 0.05). Data in a are presented as the mean ± s.e.m. (shaded areas). CNO:
clozapine-N-oxide. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM: non-rapid eye movement sleep.

Compound 21 has sleep-modulatory effects similar to clozapine-N-oxide

Based on recent reports indicating that back-metabolism of CNO to clozapine causes

behavioural effects in rodents (Ilg et al. 2018; Gomez et al. 2017; Manvich et al. 2018;

MacLaren et al. 2016) and might affect sleep (Varin, Luppi, and Fort 2018), we postulated that

the effects of CNO injections on sleep could be avoided by using alternative DREADD ligands.

However, another possibility, is that it is the off-target binding of CNO to endogenous

receptors that causes or contributes to the change in sleep patterns. In this scenario, the

effects of CNO on sleep would be, at least in part, mediated by direct action of the DREADD

actuator at neurotransmitter receptors, which are involved in the regulation of sleep and

could not be overcome by minimising the conversion to clozapine. To discriminate between

these two possibilities, we investigated whether the next generation DREADD actuator C21

(Chen et al. 2015) has sleep-modulatory effects similar to those observed after CNO

injections. C21 does not back-convert to clozapine *in vivo* (Thompson et al. 2018) but has an

almost identical profile of binding affinities to endogenous neurotransmitter receptors as

CNO (Jendryka et al. 2019).

Intraperitoneal injections of C21 at a dose of 3 mg/kg modulated sleep compared to saline

(Figure 4 and Figure 5). REM sleep was significantly reduced over the six-hour observation

period ($t_{(6)}$ = 3.234, *p* = 0.009, Cohen's d = -1.22). The numerical reduction of REM sleep in the

acute, two-hour, time window following C21 injections did not reach statistical significance in

this small sample of seven mice ($t_{(6)}$ = 1.829, *p* = 0.059, Cohen's d = -0.70; Figure 4b), but had

an effect size comparable to that of the statistically significant REM sleep reduction in the

same time window after 5 mg/kg CNO injections.

Figure 4: Suppression of REM sleep following C21 injections

a) Time course of wakefulness, NREM, and REM sleep in the six hours following injection of
C21 or saline at light onset (ZT 0). b) Percentage of time spent in the three vigilance states
during the first two hours (left column) and over the entire six-hour observation period
after saline and C21 injections. Percentage of REM sleep expressed as proportion of total
sleep time. n=7. Asterisks indicate post-hoc contrasts with significant differences (*P < 0.05,
**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). C21: compound 21. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM: non-
rapid eye movement sleep. REM: rapid eye movement sleep. ZT: Zeitgeber time.

In addition to the suppression of REM sleep, C21 elicited significant changes in sleep

architecture (Figure 5a,b). The maximum and average duration of NREM sleep episodes was

increased (maximum duration: $t_{(6)}$ = 2.551, p = 0.022, Cohen's d = 0.96; average duration: $t_{(6)}$

= 2.462, p = 0.025, Cohen's d = 0.93) while the number of NREM sleep episodes in the first six

hours following C21 injection was reduced ($t_{(6)}$ = 2.809, p = 0.015, Cohen's d = -1.06; Figure

5a). The average duration of REM episodes was also increased ($t_{(6)}$ = 2.229, p = 0.034, Cohen's

d = 0.84; Figure 5b). For all NREM and REM architecture parameters that were significantly

changed after CNO injections, effect sizes of 3 mg/kg C21 were similar to that of 5 mg/kg CNO

compared to saline, even if the post-hoc comparisons did not reach significance in this small

sample (*Supplementary Table 3*). NREM spectra showed an increase in the very slow

frequency bins and a suppression of frequencies above 6 Hz (Figure 5c) – a pattern which

resembled that of the medium and high dose CNO injections (Figure 3a). Markers of sleep

consolidation were also significantly altered as a result of C21 injections. The amount of NREM

sleep before the first occurrence of REM sleep was increased ($t_{(6)}$ = 2.252, *p* = 0.033, Cohen's

d = 0.85) and brief awakenings were reduced ($t_{(5)}$ = 2.164, *p* = 0.041, Cohen's d = -0.52; Figure

5d,e).

Figure 5: Changes in sleep architecture, NREM sleep spectra, and sleep continuity measures following C21 injections

a) NREM sleep architecture. b) REM sleep architecture. c) Frontal EEG spectra during NREM sleep relative to saline injections for the acute (2 hour) and full (6 hour) observation period following C21 injections. d) Cumulative amount of NREM sleep before the first REM sleep episode. e) Frequency of brief awakenings (4-16 sec) per hour of sleep for the first 2 hours after injections. Number of animals n=7 mice for vigilance state analysis in panels a, b and d. For spectral analysis in panel c: n=3. For analysis of brief awakenings in panel e: n=6 mice. Asterisks in panels a, b, d and e indicate post-hoc contrasts with significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Asterisks in panel c indicate frequency bins with significant differences in post-hoc comparison (P < 0.05). Data in c are presented as the mean ± s.e.m. (shaded areas). C21: compound 21. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM: non-rapid eye movement sleep. REM: rapid eye movement sleep.

Discussion

CNO and C21 injections have clozapine-like effects on sleep

Our study demonstrates that the chemogenetic actuators CNO and C21 can modulate sleep in wild-type laboratory mice which do not express DREADD receptors. Both substances led to a suppression of REM sleep and affected sleep architecture and NREM sleep spectra in a pattern consistent with more consolidated sleep. The sleep changes following CNO and C21 injections in wild-type mice bore striking similarities with those previously reported for clozapine in rats (Sorge, Pollmächer, and Lancel 2004; Spierings, Dzoljic, and Godschalk 1977), and humans (Hinze-Selch et al. 1997). In particular, the initial suppression of REM sleep and the occurrence of longer but fewer NREM episodes were the most consistent dose-dependent effects of clozapine on sleep in male Wistar rats, leading the authors to conclude that clozapine has sedative effects, suppresses REM initiation and increases sleep maintenance in rats (Sorge, Pollmächer, and Lancel 2004). Interestingly, in rats low doses of clozapine (2.5 mg/kg) had immediate sleep-promoting effects while high doses of clozapine (7.5 mg/kg) initially promoted wakefulness before a sustained increase NREM sleep after the first two hours (Sorge, Pollmächer, and Lancel 2004). This might explain why in our study NREM and REM sleep latency were most strongly affected by the lowest dose (1 mg/kg) of CNO. Our findings of sleep modulatory effects of CNO are in line with previous reports of behavioural side effects of CNO resulting from back-metabolism to clozapine (Gomez et al. 2017; Manvich et al. 2018). However, the fact that we observed similar changes in sleep after injections with C21, a DREADD actuator that does not convert to clozapine (Thompson et al. 2018), suggests that *in vivo* metabolism to clozapine conversion is not the sole mechanism through which DREADD actuators can elicit unwanted effects.

Possible mechanisms underlying sleep-modulatory effects of CNO and C21

In our view, the most parsimonious explanation for the observed sleep-modulatory effects of CNO and C21 is off-target binding at endogenous neurotransmitter receptors. It has been shown that CNO is a competitive inhibitor of several neurotransmitter receptors, including histaminergic H1, serotoninergic 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2B, muscarinic M1, M2, M3, M4, adrenergic α1A and α2A, and dopaminergic D1 and D2 receptors (Gomez et al. 2017; Jendryka et al. 2019). C21 has an off-target binding profile similar to CNO (Jendryka et al. 2019). In addition, a recent study reported increased firing rates of nigral dopaminergic neurons in wild-type rats, indicating that C21 can elicit direct neuromodulatory effects in rodents (Goutaudier et al. 2020). Among several endogenous receptors relevant for sleep regulation, CNO and C21 induce a strong competitive inhibition at histamine H1-receptors (Jendryka et al. 2019). Tested against a panel of g-protein coupled receptors (GPRCs), C21 had a greater affinity for histamine H1-receptors than for muscarinic DREADDs (Thompson et al. 2018). H1-receptor knockout and pharmacological antagonism of the H1 receptor in mice both result in a reduced number of brief awakenings, fewer but longer NREM sleep episodes, and a reduced latency to NREM sleep (Huang et al. 2006). In addition, antihistamines which induce drowsiness, are known to strongly suppress REM sleep, but can be acutely NREM-promoting at low doses and wake-promoting at high doses, respectively (Ikeda-Sagara et al. 2012). Therefore, we speculate that the shared sleep-modulatory effects of CNO and C21 might be due to direct antihistaminergic action. However, a contribution of other shared off-target sites of CNO and C21 such as the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors, which are thought to mediate the locomotor suppression after high doses of clozapine (McOmish et al. 2012), should also be taken into consideration.

Previous indications for sleep-modulatory effects of CNO

Many chemogenetic sleep studies provide adequate control data, which excludes relevant

effects of CNO on sleep in the given experimental paradigms (Erickson et al. 2019; Mondino

et al. 2021; Takata et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Anaclet et al. 2015; Venner et al. 2016). Many

other studies do not present or analyse data of CNO-injected controls but instead only

compare CNO versus saline conditions in DREADD-expressing animals. However, occasionally

authors have mentioned that the use of CNO injections of up to 10 mg/kg may have affected

the sleep of animals in DREADD-free control groups (Funk et al. 2017) and recent work

focussing on REM sleep regulation presented statistically significant effects of CNO doses

higher than 5 mg/kg in the supplementary data (Varin, Luppi, and Fort 2018). Another well-

controlled study found a slight increase in NREM sleep bout duration of control mice injected

with 0.3 mg/kg CNO, while all other analysed parameters were unaffected by this small CNO

dose (Liu et al. 2021). This finding supports our effect size analysis indicating that NREM sleep

bout duration is the sleep architectural parameter most strongly affected by CNO and C21

and that CNO can cause sleep-modulating effects at doses of 1 mg/kg CNO, and possibly

below.

Implications for future use of chemogenetics in sleep research

Previous work has highlighted that several factors such as age, sex and strain of the

experimental animals (Manvich et al. 2018), as well as differences in the activity of the

cytochrome P450 enzymes converting CNO to clozapine (Mahler and Aston-Jones 2018),

might contribute to the variability of side effects of DREADD actuators. In addition, the galenic

formulation and use of solvents can alter the pharmacokinetic properties of DREADD

actuators (Campbell and Marchant 2018). The hydrochloride salt preparations of CNO used

here and in other recent sleep studies (Fernandez et al. 2018; Stucynski et al. 2021) have

reduced back-metabolism to clozapine and an improved water solubility and bioavailability

compared to equivalent doses of CNO-DMSO preparations (D. C. Allen et al. 2019). The

increased bioavailability and thereby supposedly amplified off-target receptor binding might

explain that we find sleep-modulating effects of CNO already at doses where other studies

have shown no effects. Considering the difficulty to predict behavioural effects of DREADD

actuators in a specific experimental paradigm, our study supports the proposal that it is vital

to minimise the injected doses of DREADD actuators and to include CNO-injected non-

DREADD-expressing control animals in each individual experiment (MacLaren et al. 2016;

Mahler and Aston-Jones 2018; Campbell and Marchant 2018). More importantly, our work

shows that avoiding clozapine back-metabolism of CNO by using novel DREADD actuators

such as C21 does not prevent behavioural side effects. Interestingly, another next generation

DREADD agonist, perlapine, which is structurally similar to C21 (Chen et al. 2015; Thompson

et al. 2018), is a REM-suppressing sedative and muscle relaxant used in the treatment of

insomnia (Ando et al. 1970; S. R. Allen and Oswald 1973; Stille et al. 1973). Chemogenetic

approaches that do not require actuators with high chemical similarity to clozapine might

provide an alternative for sleep research (Magnus et al. 2019). Considering the complexity of

neurotransmitter systems regulating sleep (Saper and Fuller 2017) and the sensitivity of sleep

architecture to pharmacological intervention (Riemann and Nissen 2012), testing the effects

of new chemogenetic actuators, such as deschloroclozapine (Nagai et al. 2020) or JHU37152

and JHU37160 (Bonaventura et al. 2019), on sleep might prove not only useful for sleep

research but could become a valuable tool in evaluating the biological inertness of newly

developed chemogenetic actuators.

Limitations

We would like to highlight that this study was initiated as a control experiment and was not designed to assess dose-dependent effects of CNO. Instead of counterbalancing all experimental conditions, we counterbalanced the saline and the 5 mg/kg CNO condition for the first two sessions to enable a direct comparison between saline and a medium dose of CNO avoiding potential adaptation effects resulting from repeated CNO injections. The decision to conduct a full study and to include C21 injections as an additional condition was only made once visual inspection of pilot data from four animals had indicated relevant effects of CNO on sleep. Because injections of 1 and 10 mg/kg CNO and 3 mg/kg C21 were always performed after the initial two injections, sequence effects for those conditions cannot be excluded. This should particularly be considered in the interpretation of the results on NREM and REM sleep latency, which do not show a dose-dependency. In order to reduce the number of animals used in laboratory research, most of the animals (13 out of 16) were used for combined experiments including other procedures such as light presentation with light emitting diodes (LEDs) or local intracortical microinfusions. The type of experiments, the duration of the rest interval, and the within-subject design of our study make it unlikely that our findings were confounded by previous experiences but this cannot be fully excluded. It should further be noted that the sample size of C21-injected animals was very small (n=7) and all analyses concerning C21 effects should be considered exploratory. To avoid misinterpretation of one sided null-hypothesis testing we provided effect sizes for all pairwise comparisons (*Supplementary Table 3*).

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study suggests that the DREADD actuators, CNO and C21, have sleep-

modulatory effects, which cannot be explained by back-metabolism to clozapine alone but

might result from off-target binding to endogenous receptors. While our results require

replication in an optimised experimental design, our findings have important implications for

the future application of chemogenetics in sleep research and neuroscience. Our study

highlights the need to use non-DREADD-expressing controls, even when novel actuators are

used that cannot convert to clozapine. Considering the sensitivity of sleep architectural

parameters to CNO and C21 demonstrated here, our work reveals a new opportunity of using

simple EEG/EMG sleep screening to assess the pharmacological inertness of novel

chemogenetic actuators *in vivo*. We are confident that these experimental refinements of the

DREADD approach, plus novel technological improvements, will unleash the full potential of

this powerful tool in behavioural neuroscience and help pave the way for its clinical

application.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Sixteen young adult male C57BL/6J mice (age: 113±6 days, weight: 25.2±0.5 g) were used in this study. All animals were sourced internally from the Biomedical Services at the University of Oxford. As this study was originally designed as a control experiment, we did not intend to implant animals exclusively for this project. In order to reduce the number of animals used in laboratory research, 13 animals were implanted for combined sleep experiments involving procedures for this project and related work. All 16 animals used in this study were implanted with a right frontal EEG screw, a reference EEG screw above the cerebellum, and EMG wires in the neck muscles as described previously (Fisher et al. 2016). In addition to this EEG/EMG configuration, which provided the electrophysiological signals analysed in this manuscript, five of the animals were implanted with a frontal left and bilateral occipital EEG screws as well as with an anchor screw in the midline anterior to the frontal EEG screws, which served as a socket for a detachable light-emitting diode (LED) device (Figure 6a); four animals were implanted with a right occipital EEG screw and a cannula (C315I; PlasticsOne) targeted to layer 5 of the primary somatosensory cortex (Figure 6b); four animals received an additional frontal and occipital EEG screw over the left hemisphere as well as a left cerebellar ground screw and a right occipital 16-channel laminar probe as well as a midline frontal anchor screw for the detachable LED device (Figure 6c); three animals received a left frontal and occipital EEG screw (Figure 6d). All animals had a rest interval of at least three days between the previous experiment and this study.

Figure 6: Implant configurations

a) LED anchor screw, bilateral frontal and occipital EEG screws, and cerebellar reference screw. Implant configuration of n=5 mice. b) microinfusion cannula, right frontal and occipital EEG screws, and a cerebellar reference screw. Implant configuration of n=4 mice. c) LED anchor screw, bilateral frontal and left occipital EEG screws, right occipital laminar probe, and cerebellar ground and reference screws. Implant configuration of n=4 mice. d) bilateral frontal and occipital EEG screws, and cerebellar reference screw. Implant configuration of n=3 mice. LED: light-emitting diode. EEG: electroencephalogram.

The nine animals implanted with a socket for the placement of a detachable LED device received flickering light stimulation to one of the eyes combined with a 4-h sleep deprivation on up to four experimental days before being used for our study. All animals had at least three rest days without experimental interventions before the first injections of CNO or saline for the study presented here. The four animals implanted with a cannula in the left primary motor cortex received intracortical microinfusions of bumetanide for a transient and localised blockade of the Na-K-2Cl cotransporter NKCC1 (Kahle and Staley 2008), as well as of VU0436271 for a transient and localised blockade of the chloride potassium symporter KCC2 (Sivakumaran et al. 2015). Two of these animals underwent two intracortical microinfusions and the other two animals underwent three intracortical microinfusions before inclusion in this study. The last infusion took place 12 days before the start of the study presented here. Due to the limit of five injection or infusion procedures on the UK Home Office project license

under which our experiments were conducted, these animals could only be subjected to three

and two i.p. injections of different CNO doses and saline. The three animals implanted with

bilateral frontal and occipital EEG screws were not used for any additional experiments. Only

seven animals, the four animals implanted with laminar probes and the three animals used

exclusively for this study, were used for C21 injections as this condition was added to the

experimental protocol after the pilot data from CNO injections had been obtained.

466
Electrophysiological signal acquisition, data processing, and sleep scoring

EEG/EMG recordings were performed using the 128 Channel Neurophysiology Recording

System (Tucker-Davis Technologies Inc., Alachua, FL, USA) and the electrophysiological

recording software Synapse (Tucker-Davis Technologies Inc., Alachua, FL, USA). Raw data was

stored on a local computer in 24-h recording blocks. During the continuous recordings EEG

and EMG signals were filtered between 0.1 - 100 Hz, and stored at a sampling rate of 305 Hz.

After transfer to an analysis desktop computer, the raw signals were resampled at a sampling

rate of 256 Hz using custom-made code in Matlab (The MathWorks Inc, Natick,

Massachusetts, USA, version v2020a) and converted into the European Data Format (EDF) as

previously described (McKillop et al. 2018). The EDF files were visually scored in individual 4-s

epochs by blinded experimenters using the software package Sleep Sign for Animals

(SleepSign Kissei Comtec Co., Ltd., Nagano, Japan). If EEG signals contained temporary

artefacts due to electrical noise, movements, or chewing, the respective vigilance state was

assigned to the respective epoch but the EEG signals were not included in the spectral

analysis. Using the Sleep Sign for Animals software, fast Fourier transform routine (Hanning

window) with a 0.25 Hz resolution was computed in the frequency range between 0 and 30 Hz

for each individual 4-s epoch.

Clozapine-N-oxide and compound 21 products

To avoid the use of the toxic solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), which is typically used for the preparation of CNO products in concentrations of up to 15% (Campbell and Marchant 2018), we opted for the use of a water-soluble salt preparation of CNO, clozapine-N-oxide dihydrochloride (Tocris, Bio-Techne LTD, Abingdon, UK, catalog no.: 6329) dissolved in sterile saline. The dihydrochloride preparation of CNO undergoes less back-metabolism to clozapine but has a higher bioavailability compared to CNO-DMSO as indicated by pharmacokinetic work in rhesus macaques (D. C. Allen et al. 2019). This product has previously been used in sleep studies on mice at concentrations between 1 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg (Fernandez et al. 2018; Stucynski et al. 2021). For C21 injections we used the water-soluble version of DREADD agonist compound 21 (compound 21 dihydrochloride, Tocris, Bio-Techne LTD, Abingdon, UK, catalog no.: HB6124). We chose a dose of 3 mg/kg because a detailed pharmacokinetic assessment of this product at this specific concentration as well as behavioural testing in a 5-choice serial-reaction-time task, which did not reveal any behavioural effects at this dose (Jendryka et al. 2019).

Experimental design

All 16 animals received a medium dose of CNO (5 mg/kg, 0.25 mg/ml solution) and an equivalent volume of saline in a counterbalanced order with a 72-h rest interval between the first two experimental sessions. Of those 16 mice, fourteen also received a 10 mg/kg CNO injection (0.5 mg/ml solution) and twelve a 1 mg/kg CNO (0.05 mg/ml solution). These two injections were counterbalanced as third and fourth injections. Seven animals also received a 3 mg/kg (0.15 mg/ml) C21 injection in a fifth experimental session. This semi-counterbalanced design was chosen to ensure that at the medium dose condition is counterbalanced with

saline injections to circumvent putative habituation or adaptation effects following repeated

injection of CNO.

Animals were on a regular light-dark cycle (lights on at 9 am) and intraperitoneal (i.p.)

injections were performed within 15 minutes after light onset following a brief health check.

The delay between light onset and injection was (mean±s.e.m.): saline: 4.19±0.87 min,

1 mg/kg CNO: 4.58±0.59, 5 mg/kg CNO: 3.52±1.04 min, 10 mg/kg CNO: 4.75±0.59 min,

3 mg/kg C21: 6.01±0.96 min. Individual recording sessions were separated by a rest interval

of at least 72 hours. The recording chambers were kept open for approximately 10-15 minutes

after the injection to monitor for potential adverse effects. The chambers were then closed

and the animals checked remotely at regular intervals for the first 6-12 hours after injection.

For data analysis, all recordings were aligned to the time point of injections.

Sample size determination and power analysis

Sample size and power calculations were performed using G*Power 3.1, an open-source

statistical power analysis program (Faul et al. 2007). The sample size was chosen based on

previous experiments in our lab investigating the effects of the sedative diazepam on sleep

(McKillop et al. 2021), which indicated an effect size of Cohen's d = 0.90 for the key outcome

parameter NREM sleep time. We therefore decided that our study should be sufficiently

powered to detect effects of sizes of d=1 designed between saline and individual CNO

treatment conditions with a power of 0.9 at the given α-error probability of 0.05. The

estimated sample size from this calculation was 12-13 animals per group. Based on

experiences from previous EEG studies in mice, we aimed to account for an attrition rate of

approximately 20% and decided to include 16 animals in this study.

Statistical procedures

Data were analysed using MATLAB (version R2020a; The MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA, USA), SAS JMP (version 7.0; SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA) and IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 25.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). Reported averages are mean±s.e.m. For all main effects and interactions an α-error probability of 0.05 was adopted. For the statistical comparison of CNO and saline injections mixed-effect models were calculated using GraphPad Prism (version 9.1.1 for Windows; GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA, www.graphpad.com). Significant main effects of treatment conditions or interaction terms were followed up with Dunnett's adjustment for post-hoc comparisons. In mixed-effect models with multiple time points we analysed acute (0-2 hours post injection) and prolonged (0-6 hours post injection) effects separately. For spectral analysis, EEG/LFP power spectra of individual animals were log-transformed before hypothesis testing. Individual spectral bins were compared between individual CNO treatments and saline used two-tailed t-tests. No correction was applied for post-hoc comparison of spectral data because ANOVAs consisting of 119 EEG spectral bins would be too conservative and reduce statistical power (Achermann and Borbély 1998); thus, α was kept at 0.05 in these cases. Comparisons between the C21 and saline condition were performed using one-tailed *t*-tests to assess whether sleep variables were changed in the same direction as after CNO treatment. For analysis of the percentage of time spent in the three vigilance states, wakefulness and NREM sleep were expressed as the percentage of time in the respective time window, REM was expressed as percentage of total sleep time in the respective time window as in previous work (Huber, Deboer, and Tobler 1999). For sleep architecture analysis, NREM and REM sleep episodes were defined as intervals of at least one minute with allowing an interruption of 4-16 seconds. Brief awakenings are defined as up to 16 second interruptions of sleep by wake-like EEG and EMG

patterns (Franken et al. 1991). In all figures, significance levels of post-hoc comparisons are

indicated with black asterisks: '*' for $0.05 \geq p > 0.01$; '**' for $0.01 \geq p > 0.001$; '***' for 0.001

$\geq p$. Effect sizes are reported as Cohen's d calculated using the MATLAB function

computeCohen_d (Ruggero G. Bettinardi (2020). computeCohen_d(x1, x2, varargin)

(https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/62957-computecohen_d-x1-x2-

varargin), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved October 4, 2020). Data from one animal

had to be partially excluded because of a defective EEG headstage. This animal had to be

excluded from the analysis of brief awakenings due to EMG artefacts, which made it difficult

to identify a sudden increase of muscle tone during sleep. The same animal also had to be

excluded from the analysis of the 1 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg CNO treatments because of technical

issues. Six animals had to be excluded from spectral analysis due to occasional artefacts in the

EEG signals.

Ethical approval

All experiments were performed in accordance with the United Kingdom Animal Scientific

Procedures Act 1986 under personal and project licences granted by the United Kingdom

Home Office. Ethical approval was provided by the Ethical Review Panel at the University of

Oxford. Animal holding and experimentation were located at the Biomedical Sciences Building

(BSB) and the Behavioural Neuroscience Unit (BNU), University of Oxford.

Data and code availability

577 We encourage readers to further explore the dataset acquired for this publication. Raw data,

578 custom written MATLAB code, and data tables for all figures will be made available on

579 Figshare upon acceptance of the manuscript.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary Figure 1: EEG power spectra of wakefulness, NREM, and REM sleep following injections of saline and 5 mg/kg CNO

Frontal EEG spectra in 0.25 Hz bins between 0.5 and 30 Hz arranged by vigilance state and time window. Frequency bins with significant differences in uncorrected post-hoc testing are indicated with grey asterisks. Note the systematic increase of slow frequencies (0-1.25 Hz) in the first 2 hours and the suppression of spectral power in higher frequencies (6.25-30 Hz) in the NREM sleep spectrogram both in the first 2 hours and over the entire observation period. n=10 for saline (grey) and for 5 mg/kg CNO (orange) in spectral analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± s.e.m. (shaded areas). CNO: clozapine-N-oxide. EEG: electroencephalogram. NREM: non-rapid eye movement sleep. REM: rapid eye movement sleep.

597 **Supplementary Table 1: Time spent in wakefulness, NREM, and REM sleep after CNO and**
598 **saline injections**

Vigilance state	Parameter	Treatment condition (mean values ± standard error)				Mixed-effect analysis		Effect size for post-hoc comparisons (Cohen's d)		
		Saline (n=16)	CNO 1 mg/kg (n=11)	CNO 5 mg/kg (n=15)	CNO 10 mg/kg (n=14)	F	p	low dose	medium dose	high dose
Wake										
	2-h time window (%)	38.7813 ±3.2743	38.3636 ±5.7692	33.5444 ±3.8399	29.6429 ±3.9910	$F_{(1.939, 23.91)} = 1.468$	0.2504	-0.0234	-0.3393	-0.4815
	6-h time window (%)	28.3530 ±1.3114	29.3232 ±2.5037	26.9321 ±1.5278	26.2553 ±1.7923	$F_{(1.730, 21.34)} = 0.6888$	0.4928	0.1467	-0.1715	-0.2633
NREM										
	2-h time window (%)	51.9896 ±2.6391	53.7020 ±4.6576	58.7741 ±3.2742	63.7103 ±3.7117	$F_{(1.911, 23.57)} = 3.054$	0.0682	0.1157	0.4941	0.6961
	6-h time window (%)	59.0799 ±1.1641	58.9074 ±1.9870	62.1914 ±1.2667	62.6111 ±1.6784	$F_{(1.531, 18.88)} = 2.028$	0.1661	-0.0361	0.4130	0.4630
REM										
	2-h time window (% of TST)	10.4160 ±0.5877	8.1286 ±1.0534	8.4071 ±0.8351	6.5371 ±0.5937	$F_{(1.783, 21.99)} = 8.951$	0.0019	-0.5802	-0.5594	-1.2743
	6-h time window (% of TST)	13.1740 ±0.3774	12.3119 ±0.4884	11.1629 ±0.5722	11.0742 ±0.4606	$F_{(1.839, 22.68)} = 7.525$	0.0038	-0.4697	-0.7724	-0.9333

599
600

 Supplementary Table 2: Sleep architecture after CNO and saline injections

Vigilance state	Parameter	Treatment condition (mean values ± standard error)				Mixed-effect analysis		Effect size for post-hoc comparisons (Cohen's d)		
		Saline (n=16)	CNO 1 mg/kg (n=11)	CNO 5 mg/kg (n=15)	CNO 10 mg/kg (n=14)	F	p	low dose	medium dose	high dose
Wake										
	Longest episode (min)	31.3292 ±2.3901	32.0000 ±3.9528	31.6089 ±3.2855	31.7952 ±3.5150	F (2.108, 26.00) = 0.02110	0.9825	0.0663	0.0189	0.0298
	Episode duration average (min)	11.6562 ±0.9793	9.6981 ±0.6636	11.0855 ±0.8599	11.0939 ±0.9275	F (2.578, 31.80) = 0.8177	0.4777	-0.4160	-0.1221	-0.1355
	Episode number (n/h)	1.5313 ±0.1429	1.7727 ±0.1107	1.4889 ±0.1254	1.4286 ±0.0984	F (2.338, 40.52) = 1.241	0.3036	0.3046	-0.0592	-0.1694
NREM										
	Longest episode (min)	16.3458 ±0.6994	18.8061 ±1.6012	22.1067 ±1.1553	26.0905 ±1.5547	F (2.881, 35.53) = 13.24	<0.0001	0.3562	0.9438	1.5129
	Episode duration average (min)	5.8746 ±0.2822	6.1381 ±0.2998	7.2894 ±0.3127	7.5503 ±0.4268	F (2.436, 30.04) = 11.64	<0.0001	0.1810	1.1430	1.1883
	Episode number (n/h)	6.3021 ±0.2318	5.9697 ±0.3113	5.3556 ±0.2444	5.2857 ±0.2915	F (2.476, 30.54) = 7.796	0.0010	-0.2938	-1.0628	-1.1989
	Latency (min)	30.4958 ±2.9506	14.6485 ±2.9015	26.1378 ±3.3676	25.4762 ±4.6781	F (2.146, 26.47) = 3.380	0.0463	-1.2021	-0.3744	-0.2424
REM										
	Longest episode (min)	3.4833 ±0.1631	3.2667 ±0.1585	3.4622 ±0.1445	3.6286 ±0.1480	F (2.720, 33.55) = 1.101	0.3583	-0.3224	-0.0272	0.1800
	Episode duration average (min)	1.9684 ±0.0396	1.9699 ±0.0574	2.0995 ±0.0662	2.2542 ±0.0835	F (2.330, 40.39) = 4.509	0.0132	0.0049	0.3941	1.0034
	Episode number (n/h)	2.0521 ±0.0922	1.8788 ±0.1441	1.8444 ±0.1167	1.6786 ±0.0664	F (2.665, 32.87) = 2.680	0.0690	-0.2975	-0.3630	-0.9286
	Latency (min)	28.1375 ±2.8814	54.8970 ±7.7841	36.1778 ±6.0069	43.3667 ±4.1811	F (2.308, 28.46) = 6.985	0.0024	0.9798	0.3517	0.8288
Sleep consoli-dation										
	NREM before REM onset (min)	20.9500 ±1.8146	31.4970 ±3.5462	30.0711 ±3.5065	35.9095± 3.5029	F (2.709, 33.41) = 5.071	0.0066	0.7346	0.5683	1.0475
	Brief awakenings (n/h)*	36.8033 ±3.7206	27.6169 ±3.2139	20.9897 ±2.7844	21.5082± 2.0680	F (1.968, 23.62) = 10.38	0.0006	-0.6271	-1.0691	-1.5760

602 *animal numbers for analysis of brief awakenings: n=15 for saline, n=11 for 1 mg/kg, n=15 for 5 mg/kg, n=13 for 10 mg/kg
603
604

605 **Supplementary Table 3: Sleep parameters after saline and compound 21 injections**

Vigilance state	Parameter	Saline (n=7)	C21 3 mg/kg (n=7)	t	p	Effect size (Cohen's d)
Wake						
	2-h time window (%)	31.4841 ±4.1581	29.3571 ±3.7073	t=0.2993, df=6	0.3874	-0.1131
	6-h time window (%)	26.4153 ±2.1399	23.5106 ±1.9486	t=0.9230, df=6	0.1958	-0.3489
	Longest episode (min)	27.6286 ±2.5082	30.3524 ±4.1173	t=0.8184, df=6	0.2222	0.3093
	Episode duration average (min)	10.4205 ±0.9875	10.6269 ±1.8085	t=0.1339, df=6	0.4489	0.0506
	Episode number (n/h)	1.5714 ±0.2463	1.4286 ±0.1776	t=0.4680, df=6	0.3281	-0.1769
NREM						
	2-h time window (%)	58.9444 ±2.9443	63.2302 ±3.0788	t=0.8081, df=6	0.2249	0.3054
	6-h time window (%)	61.4603 ± 1.8489	65.5873 ±1.6922	t=1.623, df=6	0.0779	0.6133
	Latency (min)	25.3619 ±3.2217	22.6571 ±4.8946	t=0.3844, df=6	0.3570	-0.1453
	Longest episode (min)	15.9143 ±0.7453	21.5429 ±1.6727	t=2.551, df=6	0.0217	0.9641
	Episode duration average (min)	6.0655 ±0.5040	8.0568 ±0.5341	t=2.462, df=6	0.0245	0.9307
	Episode number (n/h)	6.3571 ±0.2708	5.1190 ±0.3426	t=2.809, df=6	0.0154	-1.0617
REM						
	2-h time window (% of TST)	9.9182 ± 0.9511	7.5927 ±0.3919	t=1.829, df=6	0.0585	-0.6915
	6-h time window (% of TST)	12.6278 ±0.5749	10.8374 ±0.3969	t=3.234, df=6	0.0089	-1.2223
	Latency (min)	26.0095 ±5.0918	37.8952 ±5.8049	t=1.474, df=6	0.0954	0.3718
	Longest episode (min)	3.6952 ±0.1539	3.6476 ±0.2573	t=0.2047, df=6	0.4223	-0.0774
	Episode duration average (min)	2.0054 ±0.0678	2.2206 ±0.1093	t=2.229, df=6	0.0337	0.8425
	Episode number (n/h)	2.0000 ±0.1744	1.8333 ±0.0962	t=1.000, df=6	0.1780	-0.3780
Sleep consoli-dation						
	NREM before REM onset (min)	21.6762 ±3.8776	31.5714 ±3.9007	t=2.252, df=6	0.0326	0.8511
	Brief awakenings (n/h)*	31.5102 ±2.6435	20.1852 ±2.6435	t=2.164, df=5	0.0414	-0.8836

606 *animal numbers for analysis of brief awakenings: n=6
607
608

References

Achermann, Peter, and Alexander A. Borbély. 1998. "Coherence Analysis of the Human

Sleep Electroencephalogram." *Neuroscience* 85 (4): 1195–1208.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4522(97)00692-1.

Allen, Daicia C, Timothy L. Carlson, Yan Xiong, Jian Jin, Kathleen A Grant, and Verginia C.

Cuzon Carlson. 2019. "A Comparative Study of the Pharmacokinetics of Clozapine N -

Oxide and Clozapine N -Oxide Hydrochloride Salt in Rhesus Macaques." *Journal of*

Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 368 (2): 199–207.

https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.118.252031.

Allen, S R, and I Oswald. 1973. "The Effects of Perlapine on Sleep." *Psychopharmacologia* 32

(1): 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00421703.

Anaclet, Christelle, Nigel P Pedersen, Loris L Ferrari, Anne Venner, Caroline E Bass, Elda

Arrigoni, and Patrick M Fuller. 2015. "Basal Forebrain Control of Wakefulness and

Cortical Rhythms." *Nature Communications* 6 (1): 8744.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9744.

Ando, Susumu, Masaaki Ishido, Yoshio Hayano, Tadanori Seino, Yoichiro Orihashi, Kenzo

Katoh, Tetsuya Suzuki, Satoru Kutzkake, and Michinobu Haga. 1970. "A Comparative

Study of the New Hypnotics Perlapine and Nitrazepam." *Rinsho Yakuri/Japanese*

Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1 (3–4): 172–80.

https://doi.org/10.3999/jscpt.1.172.

Armbruster, Blaine N, Xiang Li, Mark H Pausch, Stefan Herlitze, and Bryan L Roth. 2007.

"Evolving the Lock to Fit the Key to Create a Family of G Protein-Coupled Receptors

Potently Activated by an Inert Ligand." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences 104 (12): 5163 LP – 5168. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700293104.

Bonaventura, Jordi, Mark A G Eldridge, Feng Hu, Juan L Gomez, Marta Sanchez-Soto, Ara M

Abramyan, Sherry Lam, Matthew A Boehm, Christina Ruiz, Mitchell R Farrell, Andrea

Moreno, Islam Mustafa Galal Faress, Niels Andersen, John Y Lin, Ruin Moaddel, Patrick

J Morris, Lei Shi, David R Sibley, Stephen V Mahler, Sadegh Nabavi, Martin G Pomper,

Antonello Bonci, Andrew G Horti, Barry J Richmond, and Michael Michaelides. 2019.

"High-Potency Ligands for DREADD Imaging and Activation in Rodents and Monkeys."

Nature Communications 10 (1): 4627. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12236-z.

Campbell, Erin J, and Nathan J Marchant. 2018. "The Use of Chemogenetics in Behavioural

Neuroscience: Receptor Variants, Targeting Approaches and Caveats." *British Journal of*

Pharmacology 175 (7): 994–1003. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14146.

Chen, Xin, Hyunah Choo, Xi-Ping Huang, Xiaobao Yang, Orrin Stone, Bryan L Roth, and Jian

Jin. 2015. "The First Structure-Activity Relationship Studies for Designer Receptors

Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs." *ACS Chemical Neuroscience* 6 (3): 476–84.

https://doi.org/10.1021/cn500325v.

Coward, D M, A Imperato, S Urwyler, and T G White. 1989. "Biochemical and Behavioural

Properties of Clozapine." *Psychopharmacology* 99 (1): S6–12.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00442552.

Eban-Rothschild, Ada, Gideon Rothschild, William J Giardino, Jeff R Jones, and Luis de Lecea.

2016. "VTA Dopaminergic Neurons Regulate Ethologically Relevant Sleep–Wake

Behaviors." *Nature Neuroscience* 19 (10): 1356–66. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4377.

Erickson, Evelyn T M, Loris L Ferrari, Heinrich S Gompf, and Christelle Anaclet. 2019.

"Differential Role of Pontomedullary Glutamatergic Neuronal Populations in Sleep-

Wake Control." *Frontiers in Neuroscience*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnins.2019.00755.

Faul, Franz, Edgar Erdfelder, Albert-Georg Lang, and Axel Buchner. 2007. "G*Power 3: A

Flexible Statistical Power Analysis Program for the Social, Behavioral, and Biomedical

Sciences." *Behavior Research Methods* 39 (2): 175–91.

https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03193146.

Fernandez, Laura M J, Gil Vantomme, Alejandro Osorio-Forero, Romain Cardis, Elidie Béard,

and Anita Lüthi. 2018. "Thalamic Reticular Control of Local Sleep in Mouse Sensory

Cortex." Edited by John Huguenard and Andrew J King. *ELife* 7: e39111.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39111.

Fisher, Simon P., Nanyi Cui, Laura E. McKillop, Jessica Gemignani, David M. Bannerman,

Peter L. Oliver, Stuart N. Peirson, and Vladyslav V. Vyazovskiy. 2016. "Stereotypic

Wheel Running Decreases Cortical Activity in Mice." *Nature Communications* 7: 13138.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13138.

Fleury Curado, Thomaz A, Huy Pho, Olga Dergacheva, Slava Berger, Rachel Lee, Carla Freire,

Aya Asherov, Luis U Sennes, David Mendelowitz, Alan R Schwartz, and Vsevolod Y

Polotsky. 2018. "Silencing of Hypoglossal Motoneurons Leads to Sleep Disordered

Breathing in Lean Mice." *Frontiers in Neurology*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fneur.2018.00962.

Franken, P, Derk-Jan Dijk, Irene Tobler, and Alexander A. Borbély. 1991. "Sleep Deprivation

in Rats: Effects on EEG Power Spectra, Vigilance States, and Cortical Temperature."

American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology

261 (1): R198–208. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1991.261.1.R198.

Franken, P, A Malafosse, and M Tafti. 1999. "Genetic Determinants of Sleep Regulation in

Inbred Mice." *Sleep* 22 (2): 155–69. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-

s2.0-0033559893&partnerID=40&md5=d97d8c0915f7e84511a76874fcfab91b.

Funk, Chadd M., Kayla Peelman, Michele Bellesi, William Marshall, Chiara Cirelli, and Giulio

Tononi. 2017. "Role of Somatostatin-Positive Cortical Interneurons in the Generation of

Sleep Slow Waves." *The Journal of Neuroscience* 37 (38): 9132–48.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1303-17.2017.

Gomez, Juan L, Jordi Bonaventura, Wojciech Lesniak, William B Mathews, Polina Sysa-Shah,

Lionel A Rodriguez, Randall J Ellis, Christopher T Richie, Brandon K Harvey, Robert F

Dannals, Martin G Pomper, Antonello Bonci, and Michael Michaelides. 2017.

"Chemogenetics Revealed: DREADD Occupancy and Activation via Converted

Clozapine." *Science* 357 (6350): 503–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2475.

Goutaudier, Raphaël, Véronique Coizet, Carole Carcenac, and Sebastien Carnicella. 2020.

"Compound 21, a Two-Edged Sword with Both DREADD-Selective and off-Target

Outcomes in Rats." *PloS One* 15 (9): e0238156.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238156.

Grønli, Janne, William C Clegern, Michelle A Schmidt, Rahmi S Nemri, Michael J Rempe,

Amelia L Gallitano, and Jonathan P Wisor. 2016. "Sleep Homeostatic and Waking

Behavioral Phenotypes in Egr3-Deficient Mice Associated with Serotonin Receptor 5-

HT(2) Deficits." *Sleep* 39 (12): 2189–99. https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.6324.

Guettier, Jean-Marc, Dinesh Gautam, Marco Scarselli, Inigo Ruiz de Azua, Jian Hua Li, Erica

Rosemond, Xiaochao Ma, Frank J Gonzalez, Blaine N Armbruster, Huiyan Lu, Bryan L

Roth, and Jürgen Wess. 2009. "A Chemical-Genetic Approach to Study G Protein

Regulation of β Cell Function in Vivo." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

106 (45): 19197 LP – 19202. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906593106.

Harding, Edward C, Xiao Yu, Andawei Miao, Nathanael Andrews, Ying Ma, Zhiwen Ye, Leda

Lignos, Giulia Miracca, Wei Ba, Raquel Yustos, Alexei L Vyssotski, William Wisden, and

Nicholas P Franks. 2018. "A Neuronal Hub Binding Sleep Initiation and Body Cooling in

Response to a Warm External Stimulus." *Current Biology* 28 (14): 2263-2273.e4.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.054.

Hayashi, Yu, Mitsuaki Kashiwagi, Kosuke Yasuda, Reiko Ando, Mika Kanuka, Kazuya Sakai,

and Shigeyoshi Itohara. 2015. "Cells of a Common Developmental Origin Regulate

REM/Non-REM Sleep and Wakefulness in Mice." *Science* 350 (6263): 957 LP – 961.

http://science.sciencemag.org/content/350/6263/957.abstract.

Hinze-Selch, Dunja, Janet Mullington, Armin Orth, Christoph J Lauer, and Thomas

Pollmächer. 1997. "Effects of Clozapine on Sleep: A Longitudinal Study." *Biological*

Psychiatry 42 (4): 260–66. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-

3223(96)00347-2.

Huang, Zhi-Li, Takatoshi Mochizuki, Wei-Min Qu, Zong-Yuan Hong, Takeshi Watanabe,

Yoshihiro Urade, and Osamu Hayaishi. 2006. "Altered Sleep-Wake Characteristics and

Lack of Arousal Response to H3 Receptor Antagonist in Histamine H1 Receptor

Knockout Mice." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

of America 103 (12): 4687–92. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600451103.

Huber, Reto, Tom Deboer, and Irene Tobler. 1999. "Prion Protein: A Role in Sleep

Regulation?" *Journal of Sleep Research* 8 (SUPPL. 1): 30–36.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2869.1999.00006.x.

———. 2000. "Effects of Sleep Deprivation on Sleep and Sleep EEG in Three Mouse Strains:

Empirical Data and Simulations." *Brain Research* 857 (1–2): 8–19.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(99)02248-9.

Ikeda-Sagara, Masami, Tomoya Ozaki, Mohammad Shahid, Eri Morioka, Kazuma Wada,

Kazuki Honda, Ayana Hori, Yuji Matsuya, Naoki Toyooka, and Masayuki Ikeda. 2012.

"Induction of Prolonged, Continuous Slow-Wave Sleep by Blocking Cerebral H1

Histamine Receptors in Rats." *British Journal of Pharmacology* 165 (1): 167–82.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01547.x.

Ilg, Ann-Kathrin, Thomas Enkel, Dusan Bartsch, and Florian Bähner. 2018. "Behavioral Effects

of Acute Systemic Low-Dose Clozapine in Wild-Type Rats: Implications for the Use of

DREADDs in Behavioral Neuroscience." *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience.*

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00173.

Jendryka, Martin, Monika Palchaudhuri, Daniel Ursu, Bastiaan van der Veen, Birgit Liss,

Dennis Kätzel, Wiebke Nissen, and Anton Pekcec. 2019. "Pharmacokinetic and

Pharmacodynamic Actions of Clozapine-N-Oxide, Clozapine, and Compound 21 in

DREADD-Based Chemogenetics in Mice." *Scientific Reports* 9 (1): 4522.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41088-2.

Kahle, Kristopher T, and Kevin J Staley. 2008. "The Bumetanide-Sensitive Na-K-2Cl

Cotransporter NKCC1 as a Potential Target of a Novel Mechanism-Based Treatment

Strategy for Neonatal Seizures." *Neurosurgical Focus* 25 (3): E22.

https://doi.org/10.3171/FOC/2008/25/9/E22.

Kane, John, G Honigfeld, J Singer, and H Meltzer. 1988. "Clozapine for the Treatment-

Resistant Schizophrenic. A Double-Blind Comparison with Chlorpromazine." *Archives of

General Psychiatry* 45 (9): 789–96.

https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1988.01800330013001.

Liu, Hanxiao, Xinxing Wang, Lu Chen, Liang Chen, Stella E Tsirka, Shaoyu Ge, and Qiaojie

Xiong. 2021. "Microglia Modulate Stable Wakefulness via the Thalamic Reticular

Nucleus in Mice." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 4646.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24915-x.

MacLaren, Duncan A A, Richard W Browne, Jessica K Shaw, Sandhya Krishnan

Radhakrishnan, Prachi Khare, Rodrigo A España, and Stewart D Clark. 2016. "Clozapine

N-Oxide Administration Produces Behavioral Effects in Long-Evans Rats: Implications

for Designing DREADD Experiments." *ENeuro* 3 (5).

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0219-16.2016.

Magnus, Christopher J, Peter H Lee, Jordi Bonaventura, Roland Zemla, Juan L Gomez,

Melissa H Ramirez, Xing Hu, Adriana Galvan, Jayeeta Basu, Michael Michaelides, and

Scott M Sternson. 2019. "Ultrapotent Chemogenetics for Research and Potential

Clinical Applications." *Science* 364 (6436): eaav5282.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav5282.

Mahler, Stephen V, and Gary Aston-Jones. 2018. "CNO Evil? Considerations for the Use of

DREADDs in Behavioral Neuroscience." *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43 (5): 934–36.

https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2017.299.

Manvich, Daniel F, Kevin A Webster, Stephanie L Foster, Martilias S Farrell, James C Ritchie,

Joseph H Porter, and David Weinshenker. 2018. "The DREADD Agonist Clozapine N-

Oxide (CNO) Is Reverse-Metabolized to Clozapine and Produces Clozapine-like

Interoceptive Stimulus Effects in Rats and Mice." *Scientific Reports* 8 (1): 3840.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22116-z.

McKillop, Laura E, Simon P Fisher, Nanyi Cui, Stuart N Peirson, Russell G. Foster, Keith A

Wafford, and Vladyslav V. Vyazovskiy. 2018. "Effects of Aging on Cortical Neural

Dynamics and Local Sleep Homeostasis in Mice." *The Journal of Neuroscience* 38 (16):

3911 LP – 3928. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2513-17.2018.

McKillop, Laura E, Simon P Fisher, Linus Milinski, Lukas B Krone, and Vladyslav V. Vyazovskiy.

2021. "Diazepam Effects on Local Cortical Neural Activity during Sleep in Mice."

Biochemical Pharmacology, March, 114515.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2021.114515.

McOmish, Caitlin E, Alena Lira, James B Hanks, and Jay A Gingrich. 2012. "Clozapine-Induced

Locomotor Suppression Is Mediated by 5-HT2A Receptors in the Forebrain."

Neuropsychopharmacology 37 (13): 2747–55. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.139.

Mondino, Alejandra, Viviane S Hambrecht-Wiedbusch, Duan Li, A Kane York, Dinesh Pal,

Joaquin González, Pablo Torterolo, George A Mashour, and Giancarlo Vanini. 2021.

"Glutamatergic Neurons in the Preoptic Hypothalamus Promote Wakefulness,

Destabilize NREM Sleep, Suppress REM Sleep, and Regulate Cortical Dynamics." *The*

Journal of Neuroscience 41 (15): 3462 LP – 3478.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2718-20.2021.

Monti, Jaime M, Pablo Torterolo, and Seithikurippu R Pandi Perumal. 2017. "The Effects of

Second Generation Antipsychotic Drugs on Sleep Variables in Healthy Subjects and

Patients with Schizophrenia." *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 33: 51–57.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2016.05.002.

Nagai, Yuji, Naohisa Miyakawa, Hiroyuki Takuwa, Yukiko Hori, Kei Oyama, Bin Ji, Manami

Takahashi, Xi-Ping Huang, Samuel T Slocum, Jeffrey F DiBerto, Yan Xiong, Takuya

Urushihata, Toshiyuki Hirabayashi, Atsushi Fujimoto, Koki Mimura, Justin G English, Jing

Liu, Ken-ichi Inoue, Katsushi Kumata, Chie Seki, Maiko Ono, Masafumi Shimojo, Ming-

Rong Zhang, Yutaka Tomita, Jin Nakahara, Tetsuya Suhara, Masahiko Takada, Makoto

Higuchi, Jian Jin, Bryan L Roth, and Takafumi Minamimoto. 2020. "Deschloroclozapine,

a Potent and Selective Chemogenetic Actuator Enables Rapid Neuronal and Behavioral

Modulations in Mice and Monkeys." *Nature Neuroscience* 23 (9): 1157–67.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0661-3.

Naganuma, Fumito, Sathyajit S Bandaru, Gianna Absi, Carrie E Mahoney, Thomas E

Scammell, and Ramalingam Vetrivelan. 2018. "Melanin-Concentrating Hormone

Neurons Contribute to Dysregulation of Rapid Eye Movement Sleep in Narcolepsy."

Neurobiology of Disease 120: 12–20.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2018.08.012.

Riemann, Dieter, and Christoph Nissen. 2012. *Sleep and Psychotropic Drugs*. Edited by Colin

A. Espie and Charles M. Morin. *Oxford Handbook of Sleep and Sleep Disorders*. Oxford:

Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195376203.013.0011.

Roth, Bryan L. 2016. "DREADDs for Neuroscientists." *Neuron* 89 (4): 683–94.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.040.

Saper, Clifford B, and Patrick M Fuller. 2017. "Wake–Sleep Circuitry: An Overview." *Current*

Opinion in Neurobiology 44 (June): 186–92.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2017.03.021.

Sivakumaran, Sudhir, Ross A Cardarelli, Jamie Maguire, Matt R Kelley, Liliya Silayeva,

Danielle H Morrow, Jayanta Mukherjee, Yvonne E Moore, Robert J Mather, Mark E

Duggan, Nicholas J Brandon, John Dunlop, Stephen Zicha, Stephen J Moss, and Tarek Z

Deeb. 2015. "Selective Inhibition of KCC2 Leads to Hyperexcitability and Epileptiform

Discharges in Hippocampal Slices and In Vivo." *Journal of Neuroscience* 35 (21): 8291–

96. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5205-14.2015.

Sorge, Silke, Thomas Pollmächer, and Marike Lancel. 2004. "Clozapine Alters Sleep-Wake

Behavior in Rats." *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29 (8): 1462–69.

https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300445.

Spierings, E L, M R Dzoljic, and M Godschalk. 1977. "Effect of Clozapine on the Sleep Pattern

in the Rat." *Pharmacology* 15 (6): 551–56. https://doi.org/10.1159/000136734.

Stille, G, A Sayers, H Lauener, and E Eichenberger. 1973. "6-(4-Methyl-1-

Piperazinyl)Morphanthridine (Perlapine), a New Tricyclic Compound with Sedative and

Sleep-Promoting Properties." *Psychopharmacologia* 28 (4): 325–37.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00422753.

Stucynski, Joseph A, Amanda L Schott, Justin Baik, Shinjae Chung, and Franz Weber. 2021.

"Regulation of REM Sleep by Inhibitory Neurons in the Dorsomedial Medulla." *Current*

Biology, November. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.10.030.

Takata, Y, Y Oishi, X.-Z. Zhou, E Hasegawa, K Takahashi, Y Cherasse, T Sakurai, and M

Lazarus. 2018. "Sleep and Wakefulness Are Controlled by Ventral Medial

Midbrain/Pons GABAergic Neurons in Mice." *Journal of Neuroscience* 38 (47): 10080–

92. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0598-18.2018.

Thompson, Karen J, Elham Khajehali, Sophie J Bradley, Jovana S Navarrete, Xi Ping Huang,

Samuel Slocum, Jian Jin, Jing Liu, Yan Xiong, Reid H J Olsen, Jeffrey F Diberto, Kristen M

Boyt, Melanie M Pina, Dipanwita Pati, Colin Molloy, Christoffer Bundgaard, Patrick M

Sexton, Thomas L Kash, Michael J Krashes, Arthur Christopoulos, Bryan L Roth, and

Andrew B Tobin. 2018. "DREADD Agonist 21 Is an Effective Agonist for Muscarinic-

Based DREADDs in Vitro and in Vivo." *ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science* 1 (1):

61–72. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsptsci.8b00012.

Tossell, Kyoko, Xiao Yu, Berta Anuncibay Soto, Mikal Vicente, Giulia Miracca, Panagiotis

Giannos, Andawei Miao, Bryan Hsieh, Ying Ma, Raquel Yustos, Alexei L Vyssotski,

Timothy Constandinou, Nicholas P Franks, and William Wisden. 2020. "Sleep

Deprivation Triggers Somatostatin Neurons in Prefrontal Cortex to Initiate Nesting and

Sleep via the Preoptic and Lateral Hypothalamus." *BioRxiv*, January,

2020.07.01.179671. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.01.179671.

Vaidyanathan, Trisha V, Max Collard, Sae Yokoyama, Michael E Reitman, and Kira E

Poskanzer. 2021. "Cortical Astrocytes Independently Regulate Sleep Depth and

Duration via Separate GPCR Pathways." Edited by Beth Stevens and Laura L Colgin.

ELife 10: e63329. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.63329.

Varin, Christophe, and Patricia Bonnavion. 2019. "Pharmacosynthetic Deconstruction of

Sleep-Wake Circuits in the Brain." *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* 253: 153–

206. https://doi.org/10.1007/164_2018_183.

Varin, Christophe, Pierre-Hervé Luppi, and Patrice Fort. 2018. "Melanin-Concentrating

Hormone-Expressing Neurons Adjust Slow-Wave Sleep Dynamics to Catalyze

Paradoxical (REM) Sleep." *Sleep* 41 (6). https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsy068.

Venner, Anne, Christelle Anaclet, Rebecca Y. Broadhurst, Clifford B. Saper, and Patrick M.

Fuller. 2016. "A Novel Population of Wake-Promoting GABAergic Neurons in the

Ventral Lateral Hypothalamus." *Current Biology* 26 (16): 2137–43.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.05.078.

Venner, Anne, William D Todd, Jimmy Fraigne, Hannah Bowrey, Ada Eban-Rothschild,

Satvinder Kaur, and Christelle Anaclet. 2019. "Newly Identified Sleep–Wake and

Circadian Circuits as Potential Therapeutic Targets." *Sleep* 42 (5).

https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsz023.

Weber, Franz, and Yang Dan. 2016. "Circuit-Based Interrogation of Sleep Control." *Nature*

538 (7623): 51.

Wenthur, Cody J, and Craig W Lindsley. 2013. "Classics in Chemical Neuroscience:

Clozapine." *ACS Chemical Neuroscience* 4 (7): 1018–25.

872   https://doi.org/10.1021/cn400121z.

873 Yu, Xiao, Wen Li, Ying Ma, Kyoko Tossell, Julia J Harris, Edward C Harding, Wei Ba, Giulia

874   Miracca, Dan Wang, Long Li, Juan Guo, Ming Chen, Yuqi Li, Raquel Yustos, Alexei L

875   Vyssotski, Denis Burdakov, Qianzi Yang, Hailong Dong, Nicholas P Franks, and William

876   Wisden. 2019. "GABA and Glutamate Neurons in the VTA Regulate Sleep and

877   Wakefulness." *Nature Neuroscience* 22 (1): 106–19. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-

878   018-0288-9.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Dr. Dennis Kätzel from the University of Ulm, Germany, for his advice on the study design and the preparation of the DREADD actuators; Prof. Dr. Antoine Adamantidis from the University of Bern, Switzerland, for his comments on the manuscript; all members of the laboratory of the Vyazovskiy lab for kind help with surgery assistance and animal care. This work was supported by a travel scholarship from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and a studentship from the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes awarded to JT as well as a Wellcome Trust PhD studentship 203971/Z/16/Z to LBK. LBK was also supported by a Mann Senior Scholarship in medical sciences by Hertford College, Oxford. LEM was supported by a Novo Nordisk Postdoctoral Fellowship run in partnership with the University of Oxford. LEM was also supported by a Sir Paul Nurse Junior Research Fellowship at Linacre College, Oxford. The laboratory of ZM received funding from the UK Medical Research Council (G00900901), the Royal Society, St John's College Research Centre, the Anatomical Society and Einstein Stiftung. ZM is an Einstein Visiting Fellow at Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin (host B. Eickholt for 2020–2024), and lead researcher at Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford. This work was further supported by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (098461/Z/12/Z), a John Fell OUP Research Fund grant (131/032) and Medical Research Council (UK) grants MR/N026039/1 and MR/ S01134X/1.

Author contributions

JT, AHS, ZM, CJA, VVV, and LBK designed the study. JPM, EM, LEM, HA, and LBK performed surgeries. JT, JPM, EM, LEM, LBK conducted the experiments. EM, AHS, and LBK prepared the drug solutions. JT, JPM, EM, LEM performed the sleep scoring. JT, LEM, SMS, VVV, and

904   LBK analysed the data. JT, ZM, CJA, VVV, and LBK wrote the manuscript with input from all

905   authors. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

907 Competing interests

908   The authors declare no competing interests.